

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**March 2008**

# Monthly Report, March 2008

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....at end	2-3
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....at end	5-7
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

*These are estimated locality March 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 48% with a denominator of 15,203 and a numerator of 7,250.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	55	21	38%	061	Fauquier	54	31	57%
003	Albemarle	54	29	54%	063	Floyd	17	12	71%
005	Alleghany/Covington	88	8	9%	065	Fluvanna	14	7	50%
007	Amelia	21	8	38%	067	Franklin County	92	27	29%
009	Amherst	36	16	44%	069	Frederick	55	31	56%
011	Appomattox	38	24	63%	071	Giles	19	9	47%
013	Arlington	111	48	43%	073	Gloucester	55	21	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	258	114	44%	075	Goochland	14	2	14%
017	Bath	4	4	100%	077	Grayson	22	13	59%
019	Bedford County/City	88	52	59%	079	Greene	19	7	37%
021	Bland	4	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	87	38	44%
023	Botetourt	16	4	25%	083	Halifax	106	42	40%
025	Brunswick	69	46	67%	085	Hanover	74	23	31%
027	Buchanan	79	34	43%	087	Henrico	598	243	41%
029	Buckingham	30	10	33%	089	Henry/Martinsville	242	93	38%
031	Campbell	126	60	48%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	59	19	32%	093	Isle of Wight	60	39	65%
035	Carroll	59	29	49%	095	James City	42	21	50%
036	Charles City	14	5	36%	097	King and Queen	13	4	31%
037	Charlotte	21	12	57%	099	King George	17	9	53%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	376	200	53%	101	King William	16	6	38%
043	Clarke	6	2	33%	103	Lancaster	5	0	0%
045	Craig	5	1	20%	105	Lee	125	55	44%
047	Culpeper	58	28	48%	107	Loudoun	166	77	46%
049	Cumberland	32	10	31%	109	Louisa	30	16	53%
051	Dickensen	41	17	41%	111	Lunenburg	16	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	45	24	53%	113	Madison	8	4	50%
057	Essex	33	13	39%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	554	285	51%	117	Mecklenburg	88	50	57%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	9	5	56%	187	Warren	56	32	57%
121	Montgomery	178	100	56%	191	Washington	94	50	53%
125	Nelson	20	6	30%	193	Westmoreland	34	7	21%
127	New Kent	23	3	13%	195	Wise	227	81	36%
131	Northampton	53	20	38%	197	Wythe	75	36	48%
133	Northumberland	11	4	36%	199	York/Poquoson	46	27	59%
135	Nottoway	81	37	46%	510	Alexandria	219	115	53%
137	Orange	30	12	40%	520	Bristol	126	73	58%
139	Page	32	20	63%	540	Charlottesville	159	72	45%
141	Patrick	71	46	65%	550	Chesapeake	503	206	41%
143	Pittsylvania	103	47	46%	590	Danville	185	85	46%
145	Powhatan	20	3	15%	620	Franklin City	36	15	42%
147	Prince Edward	62	40	65%	630	Fredericksburg	95	53	56%
149	Prince George	47	12	26%	640	Galax	39	26	67%
153	Prince William	553	274	50%	650	Hampton	536	268	50%
155	Pulaski	82	37	45%	670	Hopewell	162	60	37%
157	Rappahannock	5	1	20%	680	Lynchburg	269	137	51%
159	Richmond County	18	7	39%	683	Manassas	52	31	60%
161	Roanoke County	136	66	49%	685	Manassas Park	20	10	50%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	25	12	48%	700	Newport News	760	402	53%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	146	89	61%	710	Norfolk	890	514	58%
167	Russell	109	52	48%	720	Norton	31	9	29%
169	Scott	101	40	40%	730	Petersburg	290	112	39%
171	Shenandoah	20	5	25%	740	Portsmouth	614	291	47%
173	Smyth	75	31	41%	750	Radford	44	17	39%
175	Southampton	59	22	37%	760	Richmond City	1,440	754	52%
177	Spotsylvania	156	58	37%	770	Roanoke City	471	181	38%
179	Stafford	132	59	45%	800	Suffolk	145	84	58%
181	Surry	16	8	50%	810	Virginia Beach	499	238	48%
183	Sussex	49	28	57%	830	Williamsburg	15	10	67%
185	Tazewell	163	80	49%	840	Winchester	42	26	62%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March

*These are estimated locality March 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 12,869 and a numerator of 5,221. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	51	17	33%	061	Fauquier	42	20	48%
003	Albemarle	39	17	44%	063	Floyd	13	8	62%
005	Alleghany/Covington	79	4	5%	065	Fluvanna	10	3	30%
007	Amelia	19	6	32%	067	Franklin County	85	20	24%
009	Amherst	30	11	37%	069	Frederick	44	21	48%
011	Appomattox	31	17	55%	071	Giles	16	6	38%
013	Arlington	85	27	32%	073	Gloucester	46	14	30%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	222	80	36%	075	Goochland	14	2	14%
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	19	10	53%
019	Bedford County/City	73	37	51%	079	Greene	16	4	25%
021	Bland	4	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	79	30	38%
023	Botetourt	13	4	31%	083	Halifax	97	35	36%
025	Brunswick	63	41	65%	085	Hanover	60	11	18%
027	Buchanan	69	25	36%	087	Henrico	500	165	33%
029	Buckingham	26	8	31%	089	Henry/Martinsville	213	65	31%
031	Campbell	96	33	34%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	50	14	28%	093	Isle of Wight	50	29	58%
035	Carroll	50	20	40%	095	James City	30	10	33%
036	Charles City	14	5	36%	097	King and Queen	11	2	18%
037	Charlotte	20	11	55%	099	King George	13	5	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	302	130	43%	101	King William	13	4	31%
043	Clarke	4	1	25%	103	Lancaster	5	0	0%
045	Craig	4	0	0%	105	Lee	106	37	35%
047	Culpeper	50	20	40%	107	Loudoun	127	41	32%
049	Cumberland	27	8	30%	109	Louisa	22	8	36%
051	Dickensen	38	14	37%	111	Lunenburg	15	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	33	12	36%	113	Madison	7	3	43%
057	Essex	32	12	38%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	441	181	41%	117	Mecklenburg	83	45	54%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	1	20%	187	Warren	42	21	50%
121	Montgomery	150	74	49%	191	Washington	86	42	49%
125	Nelson	17	3	18%	193	Westmoreland	30	7	23%
127	New Kent	22	2	9%	195	Wise	198	57	29%
131	Northampton	49	16	33%	197	Wythe	63	29	46%
133	Northumberland	9	2	22%	199	York/Poquoson	36	18	50%
135	Nottoway	75	32	43%	510	Alexandria	161	61	38%
137	Orange	25	9	36%	520	Bristol	107	56	52%
139	Page	25	14	56%	540	Charlottesville	133	51	38%
141	Patrick	56	32	57%	550	Chesapeake	432	152	35%
143	Pittsylvania	91	36	40%	590	Danville	165	71	43%
145	Powhatan	19	2	11%	620	Franklin City	29	9	31%
147	Prince Edward	46	24	52%	630	Fredericksburg	79	39	49%
149	Prince George	41	9	22%	640	Galax	34	21	62%
153	Prince William	446	186	42%	650	Hampton	437	184	42%
155	Pulaski	73	28	38%	670	Hopewell	141	42	30%
157	Rappahannock	4	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	242	113	47%
159	Richmond County	15	4	27%	683	Manassas	40	20	50%
161	Roanoke County	113	45	40%	685	Manassas Park	15	6	40%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	22	9	41%	700	Newport News	612	267	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	118	61	52%	710	Norfolk	795	424	53%
167	Russell	99	42	42%	720	Norton	29	7	24%
169	Scott	85	28	33%	730	Petersburg	264	88	33%
171	Shenandoah	19	4	21%	740	Portsmouth	539	225	42%
173	Smyth	68	24	35%	750	Radford	40	13	33%
175	Southampton	53	20	38%	760	Richmond City	1,233	591	48%
177	Spotsylvania	139	51	37%	770	Roanoke City	408	121	30%
179	Stafford	116	45	39%	800	Suffolk	109	50	46%
181	Surry	16	8	50%	810	Virginia Beach	412	162	39%
183	Sussex	41	22	54%	830	Williamsburg	10	5	50%
185	Tazewell	146	63	43%	840	Winchester	32	17	53%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## ***Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March***

*These are estimated locality March 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 87% with a denominator of 2,334 and a numerator of 2,029. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	4	100%	061	Fauquier	12	11	92%
003	Albemarle	15	12	80%	063	Floyd	4	4	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	9	4	44%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	2	2	100%	067	Franklin County	7	7	100%
009	Amherst	6	5	83%	069	Frederick	11	10	91%
011	Appomattox	7	7	100%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	26	21	81%	073	Gloucester	9	7	78%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	36	34	94%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	15	15	100%	079	Greene	3	3	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	8	100%
023	Botetourt	3	0	0%	083	Halifax	9	7	78%
025	Brunswick	6	5	83%	085	Hanover	14	12	86%
027	Buchanan	10	9	90%	087	Henrico	98	78	80%
029	Buckingham	4	2	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	29	28	97%
031	Campbell	30	27	90%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	9	5	56%	093	Isle of Wight	10	10	100%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	12	11	92%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	1	1	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	74	70	95%	101	King William	3	2	67%
043	Clarke	2	1	50%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	19	18	95%
047	Culpeper	8	8	100%	107	Loudoun	39	36	92%
049	Cumberland	5	2	40%	109	Louisa	8	8	100%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	12	12	100%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	1	1	100%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	113	104	92%	117	Mecklenburg	5	5	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	14	11	79%
121	Montgomery	28	26	93%	191	Washington	8	8	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	1	100%	195	Wise	29	24	83%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	12	7	58%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	10	9	90%
135	Nottoway	6	5	83%	510	Alexandria	58	54	93%
137	Orange	5	3	60%	520	Bristol	19	17	89%
139	Page	7	6	86%	540	Charlottesville	26	21	81%
141	Patrick	15	14	93%	550	Chesapeake	71	54	76%
143	Pittsylvania	12	11	92%	590	Danville	20	14	70%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	7	6	86%
147	Prince Edward	16	16	100%	630	Fredericksburg	16	14	88%
149	Prince George	6	3	50%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	107	88	82%	650	Hampton	99	84	85%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	21	18	86%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	27	24	89%
159	Richmond County	3	3	100%	683	Manassas	12	11	92%
161	Roanoke County	23	21	91%	685	Manassas Park	5	4	80%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	148	135	91%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	28	100%	710	Norfolk	95	90	95%
167	Russell	10	10	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	16	12	75%	730	Petersburg	26	24	92%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	75	66	88%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	6	2	33%	760	Richmond City	207	163	79%
177	Spotsylvania	17	7	41%	770	Roanoke City	63	60	95%
179	Stafford	16	14	88%	800	Suffolk	36	34	94%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	87	76	87%
183	Sussex	8	6	75%	830	Williamsburg	5	5	100%
185	Tazewell	17	17	100%	840	Winchester	10	9	90%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>Mar-08</b>	<b>Mar-07</b>	<b>Change from Mar-07</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	3,888	4,351	-11%	4,807	-19%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	1,825	1,781	2%	1,658	10%
Applications Approved	1,986	2,226	-11%	2,820	-30%
Cases Closed *	2,425	2,662	-9%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	27,337	28,698	-5%	67,995	-60%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	28,337	29,650	-4%	70,403	-60%

\* The database indicates that of the 2,425 closed cases, 897 were VIEW cases, of which 633 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 144 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 103 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>Mar-08</b>	<b>Mar-07</b>	<b>Change from Mar-07</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	172	165	4%	338	-49%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	129	124	4%	232	-44%
Applications Approved	94	116	-19%	81	16%
Cases Closed *	181	203	-11%	107	69%
Cases at End of Month	957	1,005	-5%	321	198%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,066	1,102	-3%	394	171%

\* The database indicates that of the 181 closed cases, 85 were VIEW cases, of which 53 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, fifteen were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, eleven closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	28,294	1,851	931	2,238	846	335	3,004	347	531	986	1,457	916	4,423	749	317	2,580	1,048	5,494	241
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,524	624	391	836	282	146	1,077	110	191	438	438	459	1,757	348	102	901	431	1,927	66
Part. in a Work Activity	8,014	487	315	606	212	120	875	85	160	327	330	329	1,347	253	72	658	289	1,509	40
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	81%	72%	75%	82%	81%	77%	84%	75%	75%	72%	77%	73%	71%	73%	67%	78%	61%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	120	10	4	15	0	3	14	2	3	2	0	7	17	3	2	16	10	12	0
Participants Employed	6,266	407	268	501	191	90	585	73	112	252	242	253	877	200	54	587	225	1,317	32
% of Enrolled	60%	65%	69%	60%	68%	62%	54%	66%	59%	58%	55%	55%	50%	57%	53%	65%	52%	68%	48%
Full Time	5,407	384	248	411	167	81	468	65	84	214	206	182	759	169	46	511	200	1,184	28
Part Time	859	23	20	90	24	9	117	8	28	38	36	71	118	31	8	76	25	133	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.46	\$6.57	\$6.66	\$7.24	\$7.35	\$7.49	\$9.30	\$7.72	\$7.96	\$7.22	\$6.68	\$6.64	\$7.81	\$8.23	\$7.53	\$7.30	\$7.32	\$7.22	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$935	\$953	\$1,009	\$1,050	\$1,067	\$1,303	\$1,067	\$1,027	\$1,021	\$908	\$894	\$1,121	\$1,142	\$1,072	\$1,024	\$1,047	\$1,005	\$988

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	19,785	1,217	701	1,738	638	320	2,141	232	426	737	1,125	660	2,757	645	212	1,875	667	3,531	163
Total Enrolled in VIEW	24,677	1,399	942	2,021	755	372	2,571	253	494	995	1,262	898	3,794	699	265	2,211	897	4,653	196
Part. in a Work Activity	22,155	1,263	850	1,795	672	336	2,374	231	467	924	1,141	794	3,427	629	220	1,945	792	4,135	160
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	90%	89%	89%	90%	92%	91%	95%	93%	90%	88%	90%	90%	83%	88%	88%	89%	82%
Participants Employed	15,533	869	612	1,262	491	238	1,567	161	331	643	685	538	2,241	450	151	1,471	569	3,151	103
% of Total Enrolled	63%	62%	65%	62%	65%	64%	61%	64%	67%	65%	54%	60%	59%	64%	57%	67%	63%	68%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$6.48	\$6.76	\$7.42	\$7.66	\$7.83	\$9.65	\$8.08	\$8.11	\$7.19	\$6.89	\$6.80	\$7.94	\$8.18	\$7.30	\$7.46	\$7.35	\$7.35	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$877	\$935	\$1,013	\$1,049	\$1,059	\$1,321	\$1,066	\$1,031	\$955	\$922	\$895	\$1,082	\$1,068	\$978	\$1,003	\$993	\$992	\$975
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	72%	70%	68%	74%	74%	73%	74%	68%	68%	62%	67%	73%	68%	67%	72%	67%	72%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	198,259	13,572	7,414	14,305	5,527	3,366	24,444	2,746	4,222	7,493	9,589	5,573	26,352	5,142	2,470	18,694	6,732	38,751	1,867
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	146,838	10,131	6,164	10,384	4,047	2,376	18,585	2,043	3,135	6,078	7,505	4,066	20,076	3,487	1,713	13,242	5,101	27,373	1,332
Part. in a Work Activity	141,234	9,746	5,872	10,006	3,922	2,302	18,063	1,976	3,053	5,770	7,245	3,867	19,451	3,350	1,629	12,725	4,881	26,094	1,282
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	105,583	6,506	4,283	7,537	2,955	1,701	13,907	1,464	2,532	4,349	4,988	2,629	14,494	2,501	1,156	9,883	3,687	20,242	769
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	69%	73%	73%	72%	75%	72%	81%	72%	66%	65%	72%	72%	67%	75%	72%	74%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.75	\$5.85	\$5.92	\$6.71	\$6.76	\$6.89	\$8.20	\$7.06	\$7.06	\$6.13	\$6.05	\$6.26	\$7.00	\$7.44	\$6.53	\$6.61	\$6.63	\$6.50	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$906	\$772	\$816	\$915	\$911	\$949	\$1,100	\$943	\$931	\$805	\$818	\$835	\$957	\$990	\$853	\$877	\$910	\$858	\$788
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	50%	55%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	52%	46%	55%	55%	57%	62%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,851	225	112	259	240	167	342	451	55
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	624	59	38	103	94	66	110	128	26
Participants in a Work Activity	487	42	29	80	75	42	101	104	14
% of Enrolled	78%	71%	76%	78%	80%	64%	92%	81%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	3
Participants Employed	407	33	27	76	47	39	75	96	14
% of Enrolled	65%	56%	71%	74%	50%	59%	68%	75%	54%
Full Time	384	26	26	73	41	37	73	96	12
Part Time	23	7	1	3	6	2	2	0	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.57	\$6.53	\$6.46	\$6.23	\$7.34	\$6.50	\$6.56	\$6.57	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$935	\$871	\$962	\$884	\$1,024	\$961	\$924	\$963	\$798

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,217	113	79	155	173	123	244	285	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,399	121	82	218	219	128	253	331	47
Participants in a Work Activity	1,263	107	70	205	205	123	231	281	41
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	85%	94%	94%	96%	91%	85%	87%
Participants Employed	869	60	52	161	117	82	148	216	33
% of Total Enrolled	62%	50%	63%	74%	53%	64%	58%	65%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.48	\$6.40	\$6.49	\$6.15	\$7.03	\$6.61	\$6.59	\$6.39	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$877	\$843	\$911	\$839	\$949	\$928	\$873	\$872	\$776
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	59%	75%	71%	65%	88%	75%	71%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,572	1,424	986	1,905	1,857	1,208	2,635	3,134	423
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,131	1,064	728	1,397	1,448	828	1,989	2,348	329
Participants in a Work Activity	9,746	983	688	1,379	1,372	811	1,923	2,280	310
% of Total Enrolled	96%	92%	95%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,506	556	444	932	914	502	1,390	1,512	256
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	67%	63%	61%	70%	64%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.85	\$6.04	\$5.94	\$5.53	\$6.10	\$6.09	\$5.83	\$5.81	\$5.71
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$772	\$775	\$779	\$741	\$821	\$838	\$769	\$755	\$698
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	55%	49%	60%	60%	64%	57%	58%	62%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	931	19	106	45	138	202	112	245	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	391	4	46	13	50	75	62	112	29
Participants in a Work Activity	315	2	41	12	41	64	49	82	24
% of Enrolled	81%	50%	89%	92%	82%	85%	79%	73%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
Participants Employed	268	2	30	9	36	53	47	70	21
% of Enrolled	69%	50%	65%	69%	72%	71%	76%	63%	72%
Full Time	248	2	29	7	33	49	40	68	20
Part Time	20	0	1	2	3	4	7	2	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.66	\$6.88	\$6.30	\$6.78	\$6.70	\$7.10	\$6.69	\$6.59	\$6.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$953	\$1,013	\$967	\$898	\$1,029	\$985	\$885	\$949	\$900

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	701	10	83	28	110	121	124	180	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	942	8	112	37	135	160	149	264	77
Participants in a Work Activity	850	7	101	36	114	154	146	228	64
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	90%	97%	84%	96%	98%	86%	83%
Participants Employed	612	4	74	27	77	111	108	163	48
% of Total Enrolled	65%	50%	66%	73%	57%	69%	72%	62%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.76	\$6.50	\$6.67	\$6.84	\$6.65	\$6.85	\$6.91	\$6.70	\$6.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$935	\$887	\$936	\$928	\$957	\$915	\$940	\$940	\$929
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	67%	75%	67%	66%	74%	73%	66%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,414	182	1,049	529	1,258	1,217	1,195	1,484	500
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,164	144	854	429	1036	984	969	1,311	437
Participants in a Work Activity	5,872	132	766	395	987	965	949	1,264	414
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,283	96	579	289	682	685	672	975	305
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	68%	67%	66%	70%	69%	74%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.92	\$5.76	\$5.74	\$6.11	\$5.71	\$6.21	\$6.01	\$5.91	\$5.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$816	\$794	\$782	\$815	\$791	\$862	\$807	\$826	\$823
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	46%	46%	58%	53%	51%	55%	48%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,238	131	28	6	33	189	32	315	191	260	75	978
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	836	113	8	2	10	66	12	131	59	94	40	301
Participants in a Work Activity	606	73	6	1	10	40	10	118	51	79	31	187
% of Enrolled	72%	65%	75%	50%	100%	61%	83%	90%	86%	84%	78%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	9	0	0
Participants Employed	501	65	5	1	8	28	8	105	39	52	28	162
% of Enrolled	60%	58%	63%	50%	80%	42%	67%	80%	66%	55%	70%	54%
Full Time	411	42	4	1	8	23	6	92	30	44	24	137
Part Time	90	23	1	0	0	5	2	13	9	8	4	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.24	\$7.11	\$7.00	\$6.91	\$6.92	\$7.38	\$7.23	\$7.35	\$6.70	\$7.39	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$949	\$950	\$1,212	\$1,044	\$956	\$1,020	\$1,013	\$995	\$920	\$996	\$1,070

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,738	101	23	10	19	158	32	202	139	182	83	789
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,021	154	19	6	30	153	41	308	164	219	91	836
Participants in a Work Activity	1,795	128	13	6	27	121	40	290	143	196	82	749
% of Total Enrolled	89%	83%	68%	100%	90%	79%	98%	94%	87%	89%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	1,262	91	8	5	22	81	27	248	95	148	64	473
% of Total Enrolled	62%	59%	42%	83%	73%	53%	66%	81%	58%	68%	70%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.42	\$7.36	\$7.20	\$7.82	\$6.99	\$7.40	\$6.95	\$7.24	\$7.06	\$7.63	\$7.42	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,013	\$904	\$844	\$1,256	\$930	\$1,061	\$963	\$972	\$951	\$1,061	\$938	\$1,061
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	70%	71%	33%	57%	64%	60%	72%	68%	76%	65%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,305	930	239	50	368	1,184	352	2,069	1,288	1,451	576	5,798
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,384	668	134	13	248	857	227	1,638	979	1,101	429	4,090
Participants in a Work Activity	10,006	638	121	13	243	792	223	1,627	945	1,053	421	3,930
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	98%	92%	98%	99%	97%	96%	98%	96%
Participants Employed	7,537	479	71	10	180	594	135	1,344	666	854	319	2,885
% of Total Enrolled	73%	72%	53%	77%	73%	69%	59%	82%	68%	78%	74%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.71	\$6.48	\$7.08	\$6.13	\$6.56	\$6.55	\$6.54	\$6.58	\$6.51	\$7.17	\$6.72	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$915	\$806	\$913	\$978	\$885	\$912	\$902	\$898	\$922	\$1,004	\$880	\$925
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	48%	43%	67%	46%	50%	48%	55%	45%	52%	46%	49%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	846	533	7	0	64	242
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	282	159	2	0	14	107
Participants in a Work Activity	212	112	2	N/A	9	89
% of Enrolled	75%	70%	100%	N/A	64%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	191	108	1	N/A	9	73
% of Enrolled	68%	68%	50%	N/A	64%	68%
Full Time	167	97	1	N/A	7	62
Part Time	24	11	0	N/A	2	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$7.26	\$2.50	M/A	\$6.73	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,058	\$325	N/A	\$883	\$1,069

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	638	403	4	0	35	196
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	755	440	9	0	51	255
Participants in a Work Activity	672	389	8	N/A	42	233
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	89%	N/A	82%	91%
Participants Employed	491	275	6	N/A	30	180
% of Total Enrolled	65%	63%	67%	N/A	59%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.66	\$7.65	\$6.55	N/A	\$8.01	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,048	\$805	N/A	\$1,095	\$1,052
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	71%	100%	N/A	72%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,527	2,959	54	15	513	1,986
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,047	2,192	32	7	375	1,441
Participants in a Work Activity	3,922	2,141	31	7	357	1,386
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	2,955	1,555	21	5	291	1,083
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	66%	71%	78%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.76	\$6.77	\$6.57	\$7.75	\$6.49	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$911	\$925	\$749	\$694	\$846	\$915
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	53%	55%	60%	54%	59%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	335	9	84	37	36	94	75	241	146	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	146	5	38	30	7	39	27	66	30	36
Participants in a Work Activity	120	3	30	24	7	30	26	40	20	20
% of Enrolled	82%	60%	79%	80%	0	77%	96%	61%	67%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	90	3	21	21	4	23	18	32	19	13
% of Enrolled	62%	60%	55%	70%	4	59%	67%	48%	63%	36%
Full Time	81	2	18	19	4	21	17	28	16	12
Part Time	9	1	3	2	0	2	1	4	3	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.49	\$6.22	\$7.81	\$7.53	\$6.49	\$7.98	\$6.87	\$7.20	\$7.42	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$794	\$1,064	\$1,101	\$843	\$1,174	\$992	\$988	\$997	\$974

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	320	8	75	48	35	85	69	163	99	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	372	10	96	66	16	95	89	196	99	97
Participants in a Work Activity	336	10	90	53	15	83	85	160	81	79
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	94%	80%	94%	87%	96%	82%	82%	81%
Participants Employed	238	10	55	42	10	62	59	103	52	51
% of Total Enrolled	64%	100%	57%	64%	63%	65%	66%	53%	53%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$7.85	\$7.66	\$7.83	\$7.70	\$8.42	\$7.37	\$7.23	\$7.36	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$981	\$978	\$1,017	\$1,097	\$1,191	\$1,027	\$975	\$962	\$987
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	56%	81%	81%	86%	69%	71%	72%	59%	87%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,366	143	585	530	445	847	816	1,867	1151	716
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,376	82	411	421	248	598	616	1,332	793	539
Participants in a Work Activity	2,302	78	395	412	243	575	599	1282	775	507
% of Total Enrolled	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,701	52	261	326	176	433	453	769	431	338
% of Total Enrolled	72%	63%	64%	77%	71%	72%	74%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.89	\$7.11	\$6.94	\$7.02	\$6.33	\$6.92	\$6.88	\$6.25	\$6.32	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$949	\$968	\$938	\$966	\$893	\$955	\$951	\$788	\$796	\$780
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	55%	57%	53%	59%	50%	62%	59%	65%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,004	218	1,102	212	932	378	116	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,077	63	328	116	401	114	39	16
Participants in a Work Activity	875	47	292	90	309	96	28	13
% of Enrolled	81%	75%	89%	78%	77%	84%	72%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	5	2	4	1	2	0
Participants Employed	585	27	170	72	222	65	19	10
% of Enrolled	54%	43%	52%	62%	55%	57%	49%	63%
Full Time	468	19	130	56	185	54	14	10
Part Time	117	8	40	16	37	11	5	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.30	\$8.58	\$9.62	\$9.55	\$9.11	\$9.11	\$8.21	\$11.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,303	\$1,091	\$1,349	\$1,362	\$1,284	\$1,265	\$1,054	\$1,782

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,141	130	707	189	758	235	84	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,571	144	869	242	877	300	97	42
Participants in a Work Activity	2,374	131	817	214	812	278	88	34
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	94%	88%	93%	93%	91%	81%
Participants Employed	1,567	79	517	168	566	167	52	18
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	59%	69%	65%	56%	54%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.65	\$10.50	\$10.00	\$9.94	\$9.28	\$9.41	\$8.87	\$10.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,321	\$1,471	\$1,367	\$1,338	\$1,292	\$1,214	\$1,185	\$1,510
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	65%	71%	70%	76%	69%	79%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	24,444	2,297	8,520	1,589	7,737	2,993	1,001	307
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,585	1,738	6,293	1,260	5,942	2,374	749	229
Participants in a Work Activity	18,063	1,716	6,115	1,216	5,783	2,283	724	226
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	97%	97%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	13,907	1,323	4,522	1,058	4,568	1,723	539	174
% of Total Enrolled	75%	76%	72%	84%	77%	73%	72%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$7.74	\$8.11	\$8.65	\$8.43	\$7.92	\$7.82	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,012	\$1,081	\$1,128	\$1,169	\$1,010	\$1,063	\$1,042
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	65%	62%	66%	62%	70%	61%	69%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	347	126	102	34	82	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	110	50	26	5	26	3
Participants in a Work Activity	85	36	24	5	18	2
% of Enrolled	77%	72%	92%	100%	69%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	73	31	20	4	17	1
% of Enrolled	66%	62%	77%	80%	65%	33%
Full Time	65	28	16	4	16	1
Part Time	8	3	4	0	1	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.72	\$8.20	\$7.83	\$6.09	\$7.04	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$1,131	\$1,119	\$1,003	\$900	\$1,169

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	232	88	68	16	52	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	253	102	74	15	55	7
Participants in a Work Activity	231	95	70	15	44	7
% of Total Enrolled	91%	93%	95%	100%	80%	100%
Participants Employed	161	58	50	12	38	3
% of Total Enrolled	64%	57%	68%	80%	69%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$8.23	\$8.36	\$7.70	\$7.54	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,103	\$1,095	\$1,185	\$931	\$1,094
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	71%	78%	60%	80%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,746	1,040	797	262	576	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,043	741	600	191	460	51
Participants in a Work Activity	1,976	716	593	184	435	48
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	99%	96%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	1,464	517	435	134	345	33
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	73%	70%	75%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.06	\$7.03	\$7.34	\$6.88	\$6.84	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$929	\$962	\$964	\$933	\$943
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	64%	44%	44%	43%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	531	77	32	43	84	27	268
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	191	29	8	18	19	6	111
Participants in a Work Activity	160	27	5	10	15	6	97
% of Enrolled	84%	93%	63%	56%	79%	100%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	112	20	5	8	11	5	63
% of Enrolled	59%	69%	63%	44%	58%	83%	57%
Full Time	84	19	5	4	9	5	42
Part Time	28	1	0	4	2	0	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.30	\$7.15	\$7.07	\$8.18	\$9.10	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,226	\$994	\$893	\$1,083	\$1,262	\$954

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	426	81	14	39	57	19	216
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	494	81	16	43	73	18	263
Participants in a Work Activity	467	77	13	37	64	18	258
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	81%	86%	88%	100%	98%
Participants Employed	331	56	9	30	50	13	173
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	56%	70%	68%	72%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$8.77	\$9.91	\$7.21	\$7.93	\$8.60	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,031	\$1,180	\$1,470	\$910	\$1,040	\$1,084	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	80%	75%	73%	62%	57%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,222	939	153	326	617	186	2,001
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,135	642	98	232	409	117	1,637
Participants in a Work Activity	3,053	628	93	221	385	116	1,610
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	95%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,532	511	74	185	274	81	1,407
% of Total Enrolled	81%	80%	76%	80%	67%	69%	86%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.06	\$7.41	\$7.19	\$7.03	\$6.98	\$7.30	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$931	\$969	\$1,070	\$918	\$943	\$1,018	\$915
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	51%	50%	53%	52%	57%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	986	80	67	165	213	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	438	25	26	76	113	198
Participants in a Work Activity	327	22	23	57	70	155
% of Enrolled	75%	88%	88%	75%	62%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	252	17	21	47	49	118
% of Enrolled	58%	68%	81%	62%	43%	60%
Full Time	214	12	21	39	41	101
Part Time	38	5	0	8	8	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.22	\$7.07	\$7.30	\$7.13	\$7.58	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,021	\$882	\$1,107	\$994	\$1,082	\$1,010

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	737	60	53	140	146	338
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	995	65	72	167	244	447
Participants in a Work Activity	924	61	70	155	222	416
% of Total Enrolled	93%	94%	97%	93%	91%	93%
Participants Employed	643	41	52	116	158	276
% of Total Enrolled	65%	63%	72%	69%	65%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.19	\$7.53	\$7.04	\$7.12	\$7.52	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$955	\$909	\$1,015	\$927	\$1,056	\$906
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	76%	63%	60%	72%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,493	688	623	1,466	1,721	2,995
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,078	545	544	1,133	1,426	2,430
Participants in a Work Activity	5,770	524	533	1,073	1,341	2,299
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	95%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	4,349	374	395	781	995	1,804
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	73%	69%	70%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.13	\$6.38	\$5.96	\$6.11	\$6.29	\$6.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$805	\$819	\$815	\$795	\$839	\$786
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,457	235	415	116	214	477
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	438	65	142	62	47	122
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	330	45	98	57	34	96
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	75%	69%	69%	92%	72%	79%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Participants Employed</b>	242	41	68	44	29	60
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	55%	63%	48%	71%	62%	49%
Full Time	206	38	52	39	26	51
Part Time	36	3	16	5	3	9
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.68	\$6.91	\$6.96	\$6.06	\$6.71	\$6.65
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$908	\$1,015	\$919	\$825	\$924	\$876

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	1,125	169	337	127	169	323
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	1,262	218	371	158	153	362
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	1,141	196	325	140	137	343
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	90%	90%	88%	89%	90%	95%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	685	114	208	112	71	180
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	54%	52%	56%	71%	46%	50%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.89	\$6.91	\$7.16	\$6.20	\$6.89	\$6.97
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$922	\$925	\$992	\$811	\$911	\$907
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	62%	72%	56%	71%	73%	55%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	9,589	1,480	2,468	980	1,547	3,114
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	7,505	1,219	1,738	838	1,159	2,551
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	7,245	1,164	1,669	786	1,140	2,486
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	95%	96%	94%	98%	97%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	4,988	764	1,166	577	678	1,803
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	66%	63%	67%	69%	58%	71%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.05	\$6.04	\$6.32	\$5.98	\$6.07	\$5.92
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$818	\$810	\$905	\$784	\$839	\$777
<b>Five Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	54%	48%	55%	67%	52%	53%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	916	48	110	61	51	54	139	38	173	132	110
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	459	13	61	33	13	19	95	27	70	63	65
Participants in a Work Activity	329	11	46	18	11	14	71	6	58	44	50
% of Enrolled	72%	85%	75%	55%	85%	74%	75%	22%	83%	70%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
Participants Employed	253	6	41	13	9	11	41	6	43	41	42
% of Enrolled	55%	46%	67%	39%	69%	58%	43%	22%	61%	65%	65%
Full Time	182	5	19	11	7	8	25	5	31	38	33
Part Time	71	1	22	2	2	3	16	1	12	3	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.64	\$7.33	\$6.02	\$6.87	\$7.26	\$8.00	\$6.74	\$6.00	\$6.40	\$6.55	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$894	\$913	\$692	\$931	\$939	\$1,075	\$862	\$731	\$878	\$976	\$1,009

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	660	36	86	65	40	35	94	14	120	79	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	898	38	115	82	40	40	147	28	144	127	137
Participants in a Work Activity	794	33	99	66	34	38	139	12	133	111	129
% of Total Enrolled	88%	87%	86%	80%	85%	95%	95%	43%	92%	87%	94%
Participants Employed	538	23	53	33	25	26	90	6	94	89	99
% of Total Enrolled	60%	61%	46%	40%	63%	65%	61%	21%	65%	70%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$7.94	\$6.05	\$6.74	\$7.90	\$7.72	\$6.68	\$6.00	\$6.30	\$6.81	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$895	\$1,039	\$800	\$870	\$1,051	\$1,034	\$807	\$697	\$793	\$982	\$969
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	29%	75%	53%	68%	67%	67%	N/A	56%	81%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,573	317	774	561	462	379	679	217	797	675	712
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,066	217	595	395	305	251	501	148	600	496	558
Participants in a Work Activity	3,867	198	586	360	284	237	482	146	562	464	548
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	98%	91%	93%	94%	96%	99%	94%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	2,629	143	340	238	168	147	298	78	429	353	435
% of Total Enrolled	65%	66%	57%	60%	55%	59%	59%	53%	72%	71%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.26	\$6.99	\$5.86	\$6.59	\$6.53	\$6.74	\$6.20	\$5.55	\$5.95	\$6.30	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$835	\$939	\$793	\$892	\$863	\$918	\$791	\$735	\$783	\$849	\$844
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	57%	53%	45%	41%	57%	59%	58%	54%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,423	747	29	129	1,091	37	2,390
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,757	254	13	48	453	10	979
Participants in a Work Activity	1,347	173	7	34	320	6	807
% of Enrolled	77%	68%	54%	71%	71%	60%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	1	1	2	8	0	5
Participants Employed	877	143	4	29	243	3	455
% of Enrolled	50%	56%	31%	60%	54%	30%	46%
Full Time	759	128	1	20	207	3	400
Part Time	118	15	3	9	36	0	55
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.81	\$7.63	\$6.93	\$8.17	\$8.14	\$10.50	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,121	\$1,101	\$857	\$1,078	\$1,175	\$1,699	\$1,100

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,757	556	21	71	642	22	1,445
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,794	621	19	88	926	45	2,095
Participants in a Work Activity	3,427	575	18	70	792	24	1,948
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	95%	80%	86%	53%	93%
Participants Employed	2,241	396	8	53	571	15	1,198
% of Total Enrolled	59%	64%	42%	60%	62%	33%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$8.03	\$6.84	\$8.17	\$8.19	\$7.71	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,093	\$856	\$1,025	\$1,121	\$885	\$1,066
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	80%	100%	79%	80%	63%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	26,352	4,985	173	781	5,903	228	14,282
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	20,076	3,685	122	524	4,627	149	10,969
Participants in a Work Activity	19,451	3,599	118	478	4,408	141	10,707
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	91%	95%	95%	98%
Participants Employed	14,494	2,583	85	358	3,325	87	8,056
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	70%	68%	72%	58%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.00	\$7.22	\$6.45	\$7.83	\$7.24	\$6.66	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$957	\$985	\$847	\$1,039	\$987	\$866	\$936
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	57%	67%	56%	52%	61%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	749	103	31	255	229	131
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	348	33	8	124	105	78
Participants in a Work Activity	253	25	7	82	75	64
% of Enrolled	73%	76%	88%	66%	71%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	200	23	6	67	57	47
% of Enrolled	57%	70%	75%	54%	54%	60%
Full Time	169	19	5	54	53	38
Part Time	31	4	1	13	4	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.23	\$8.41	\$8.47	\$8.08	\$8.28	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,142	\$1,231	\$1,131	\$1,119	\$1,151	\$1,122

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	645	98	29	192	192	134
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	699	83	26	240	177	173
Participants in a Work Activity	629	80	25	203	156	165
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	96%	85%	88%	95%
Participants Employed	450	57	18	153	110	112
% of Total Enrolled	64%	69%	69%	64%	62%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.15	\$8.11	\$8.10	\$8.21	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$1,111	\$1,121	\$1,053	\$1,084	\$1,045
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	53%	64%	70%	84%	60%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,142	826	345	1,430	1,489	1,052
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,487	548	221	1,066	797	855
Participants in a Work Activity	3,350	529	213	988	777	843
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	2,501	354	160	776	518	693
% of Total Enrolled	72%	65%	72%	73%	65%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.44	\$7.17	\$7.02	\$7.49	\$7.91	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$990	\$927	\$897	\$1,004	\$1,112	\$963
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	40%	52%	57%	55%	52%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	317	68	23	28	33	12	25	28	24	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	102	30	5	7	0	8	6	7	8	31
Participants in a Work Activity	72	24	3	5	N/A	4	6	6	5	19
% of Enrolled	71%	80%	60%	71%	N/A	50%	100%	86%	63%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	2	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	54	17	3	4	N/A	4	1	5	5	15
% of Enrolled	53%	57%	60%	57%	N/A	50%	17%	71%	63%	48%
Full Time	46	13	2	4	N/A	3	0	4	5	15
Part Time	8	4	1	0	N/A	1	1	1	0	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.53	\$7.27	\$8.20	\$7.37	M/A	\$6.49	\$2.30	\$7.21	\$7.43	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$1,021	\$1,006	\$1,171	N/A	\$907	\$279	\$939	\$1,141	\$1,237

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	212	51	19	17	8	13	20	19	17	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	265	54	13	21	12	13	28	20	19	85
Participants in a Work Activity	220	50	12	18	9	12	25	20	17	57
% of Total Enrolled	83%	93%	92%	86%	75%	92%	89%	100%	89%	67%
Participants Employed	151	32	8	13	7	9	17	11	12	42
% of Total Enrolled	57%	59%	62%	62%	58%	69%	61%	55%	63%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.30	\$7.30	\$7.54	\$7.51	\$6.76	\$7.67	\$7.07	\$6.89	\$7.56	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$978	\$913	\$1,000	\$1,041	\$773	\$1,101	\$955	\$890	\$1,027	\$1,028
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	75%	75%	78%	100%	60%	82%	63%	57%	52%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,470	351	192	179	296	143	284	226	230	569
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	1,713	263	118	142	223	87	200	178	113	389
Participants in a Work Activity	1,629	253	112	135	211	81	188	173	109	367
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	95%	93%	94%	97%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	1,156	188	69	87	158	55	145	114	79	261
% of Total Enrolled	67%	71%	58%	61%	71%	63%	73%	64%	70%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.53	\$6.49	\$6.73	\$6.84	\$6.34	\$6.52	\$6.58	\$6.29	\$6.62	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$853	\$817	\$860	\$957	\$803	\$836	\$852	\$830	\$928	\$876
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	42%	35%	44%	47%	49%	55%	43%	32%	49%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,580	30	102	75	39	63	948	1,300	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	901	12	33	24	16	21	275	510	10
Participants in a Work Activity	658	7	22	18	10	17	194	384	6
% of Enrolled	73%	58%	67%	75%	63%	81%	71%	75%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	1	0	3	0	5	7	0
Participants Employed	587	7	19	17	6	17	163	353	5
% of Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	71%	38%	81%	59%	69%	50%
Full Time	511	6	17	12	6	16	142	309	3
Part Time	76	1	2	5	0	1	21	44	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.30	\$8.08	\$6.80	\$8.05	\$9.58	\$7.27	\$7.30	\$7.22	\$8.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$1,153	\$965	\$1,116	\$1,639	\$1,028	\$997	\$1,023	\$990

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,875	20	78	64	26	62	633	972	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,211	29	84	73	19	69	703	1,212	22
Participants in a Work Activity	1,945	27	62	63	16	63	639	1,054	21
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	74%	86%	84%	91%	91%	87%	95%
Participants Employed	1,471	15	47	52	11	49	457	826	14
% of Total Enrolled	67%	52%	56%	71%	58%	71%	65%	68%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.46	\$7.60	\$7.50	\$7.63	\$8.20	\$7.47	\$7.55	\$7.37	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,011	\$977	\$936	\$1,309	\$982	\$989	\$1,013	\$1,048
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	70%	58%	72%	83%	69%	75%	71%	57%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	18,694	112	744	707	205	697	6,653	9,340	236
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,242	78	499	516	141	471	4,626	6,755	156
Participants in a Work Activity	12,725	76	467	482	135	460	4,533	6,423	149
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	93%	96%	98%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	9,883	37	363	377	99	318	3,412	5,155	122
% of Total Enrolled	75%	47%	73%	73%	70%	68%	74%	76%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.61	\$6.66	\$6.44	\$6.88	\$7.17	\$6.67	\$6.63	\$6.58	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$877	\$885	\$816	\$844	\$999	\$848	\$878	\$882	\$866
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	60%	55%	52%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,048	88	88	34	81	248	509
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	431	17	35	18	38	119	204
Participants in a Work Activity	289	17	23	15	33	69	132
% of Enrolled	67%	100%	66%	83%	87%	58%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	3	0	1	4	2
Participants Employed	225	16	14	6	30	48	111
% of Enrolled	52%	94%	40%	33%	79%	40%	54%
Full Time	200	15	12	5	27	40	101
Part Time	25	1	2	1	3	8	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.35	\$7.31	\$8.30	\$7.39	\$6.88	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$1,134	\$987	\$950	\$1,068	\$973	\$1,073

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	667	66	70	19	49	182	281
Total Enrolled in VIEW	897	62	81	27	93	250	384
Participants in a Work Activity	792	59	69	26	87	218	333
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	85%	96%	94%	87%	87%
Participants Employed	569	48	43	12	69	155	242
% of Total Enrolled	63%	77%	53%	44%	74%	62%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$7.28	\$7.39	\$7.47	\$7.27	\$7.34	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$993	\$1,076	\$945	\$854	\$988	\$1,004	\$990
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	67%	62%	75%	69%	59%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,732	852	573	243	552	1,667	2,845
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,101	601	405	185	422	1,303	2,185
Participants in a Work Activity	4,881	599	352	174	405	1,251	2,100
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,687	429	257	132	299	951	1,619
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	63%	71%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.63	\$6.63	\$6.99	\$6.66	\$6.70	\$6.51	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$910	\$911	\$945	\$844	\$929	\$896	\$915
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	52%	51%	48%	56%	52%	57%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/08 and Work Activity as of 3/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,494	130	117	946	78	1,771	1,142	348	962
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,927	40	41	324	22	687	415	93	305
Participants in a Work Activity	1,509	30	29	234	16	586	319	76	219
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	71%	72%	73%	85%	77%	82%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	1	4	0	0	5	0	2
Participants Employed	1,317	28	24	214	14	521	256	65	195
% of Enrolled	68%	70%	59%	66%	64%	76%	62%	70%	64%
Full Time	1,184	23	19	200	10	485	222	55	170
Part Time	133	5	5	14	4	36	34	10	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.22	\$6.97	\$6.89	\$7.36	\$7.36	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$6.99	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,005	\$951	\$966	\$1,052	\$1,030	\$983	\$1,019	\$955	\$1,021

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,531	88	84	589	50	1,137	579	235	769
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,653	95	114	744	70	1,655	894	293	788
Participants in a Work Activity	4,135	86	105	654	65	1,457	796	280	692
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	92%	88%	93%	88%	89%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	3,151	68	69	508	38	1,173	620	197	478
% of Total Enrolled	68%	72%	61%	68%	54%	71%	69%	67%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$7.39	\$6.83	\$7.52	\$7.03	\$7.29	\$7.22	\$7.08	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$992	\$1,019	\$865	\$1,022	\$904	\$967	\$990	\$941	\$1,069
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	72%	66%	73%	48%	74%	74%	56%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	38,751	831	725	6,015	612	12,621	6,396	2,496	9,055
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	27,373	576	558	4,222	473	8,854	4,897	1,884	5,909
Participants in a Work Activity	26,094	552	532	4,013	460	8,492	4,623	1,866	5,556
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	96%	94%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	20,242	424	349	3,203	339	6,504	3,752	1,482	4,189
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	63%	76%	72%	73%	77%	79%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.50	\$6.52	\$6.24	\$6.60	\$6.15	\$6.41	\$6.41	\$6.34	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$858	\$872	\$794	\$877	\$770	\$825	\$868	\$828	\$920
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	56%	48%	56%	56%	55%	62%	60%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	52.5%	3.96	<b>103</b>	\$7.36	3.02	<b>65</b>	59.5%	4.26	<b>99</b>	3.75	<b>103</b>
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	69.1%	6.07	<b>30</b>	\$8.77	6.16	<b>9</b>	80.0%	7.17	<b>15</b>	6.46	<b>5</b>
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	59.1%	4.79	<b>80</b>	\$7.36	3.02	<b>66</b>	69.7%	5.71	<b>63</b>	4.51	<b>74</b>
007	<i>Amelia</i>	60.5%	4.98	<b>75</b>	\$7.94	4.31	<b>27</b>	29.4%	0.00	<b>118</b>	3.10	<b>115</b>
009	<i>Amherst</i>	63.1%	5.30	<b>59</b>	\$7.53	3.40	<b>51</b>	76.5%	6.67	<b>23</b>	5.12	<b>37</b>
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	72.2%	6.46	<b>12</b>	\$7.04	2.31	<b>84</b>	63.0%	4.75	<b>87</b>	4.51	<b>73</b>
013	<i>Arlington</i>	54.9%	4.26	<b>96</b>	\$10.50	10.00	<b>1</b>	64.6%	4.99	<b>82</b>	6.41	<b>7</b>
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	62.5%	5.23	<b>61</b>	\$7.65	3.67	<b>42</b>	71.0%	5.88	<b>58</b>	4.93	<b>55</b>
017	<i>Bath</i>	66.7%	5.76	<b>39</b>	\$6.55	1.22	<b>108</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	5.66	<b>18</b>
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	69.5%	6.11	<b>24</b>	\$7.12	2.49	<b>78</b>	59.8%	4.30	<b>98</b>	4.30	<b>78</b>
021	<i>Bland</i>	50.0%	3.64	<b>106</b>	\$6.50	1.11	<b>110</b>	66.7%	5.28	<b>72</b>	3.34	<b>109</b>
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	42.1%	2.63	<b>115</b>	\$7.20	2.67	<b>76</b>	71.4%	5.95	<b>50</b>	3.75	<b>102</b>
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	46.1%	3.14	<b>111</b>	\$6.05	0.11	<b>118</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>27</b>	3.24	<b>112</b>
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	49.6%	3.58	<b>108</b>	\$6.40	0.89	<b>112</b>	59.0%	4.19	<b>101</b>	2.89	<b>117</b>
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	40.2%	2.39	<b>117</b>	\$6.74	1.64	<b>101</b>	52.9%	3.33	<b>112</b>	2.46	<b>118</b>
031	<i>Campbell</i>	64.8%	5.51	<b>49</b>	\$7.52	3.38	<b>52</b>	72.4%	6.09	<b>44</b>	4.99	<b>48</b>
033	<i>Caroline</i>	68.7%	6.01	<b>32</b>	\$8.15	4.78	<b>20</b>	52.5%	3.27	<b>113</b>	4.69	<b>67</b>
035	<i>Carroll</i>	66.1%	5.68	<b>41</b>	\$6.67	1.49	<b>104</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>28</b>	4.54	<b>71</b>
036	<i>Charles City</i>	51.7%	3.86	<b>105</b>	\$7.60	3.56	<b>45</b>	70.0%	5.75	<b>61</b>	4.39	<b>75</b>
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	62.5%	5.23	<b>62</b>	\$7.90	4.22	<b>29</b>	68.4%	5.53	<b>68</b>	4.99	<b>50</b>
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	63.8%	5.39	<b>53</b>	\$8.03	4.51	<b>24</b>	80.4%	7.22	<b>14</b>	5.71	<b>15</b>
043	<i>Clarke</i>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$7.85	4.11	<b>30</b>	55.6%	3.70	<b>109</b>	5.94	<b>10</b>
045	<i>Craig</i>	83.3%	7.88	<b>2</b>	\$7.82	4.04	<b>32</b>	33.3%	0.56	<b>117</b>	4.16	<b>87</b>
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	56.9%	4.51	<b>89</b>	\$8.23	4.96	<b>15</b>	71.1%	5.90	<b>56</b>	5.12	<b>38</b>
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	65.0%	5.55	<b>48</b>	\$7.72	3.82	<b>35</b>	66.7%	5.28	<b>73</b>	4.88	<b>59</b>
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	63.4%	5.34	<b>57</b>	\$6.49	1.09	<b>111</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>29</b>	4.30	<b>79</b>
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	77.4%	7.13	<b>5</b>	\$7.28	2.84	<b>71</b>	66.7%	5.28	<b>74</b>	5.08	<b>40</b>
057	<i>Essex</i>	59.3%	4.81	<b>79</b>	\$7.30	2.89	<b>69</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>30</b>	4.72	<b>65</b>
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	59.5%	4.84	<b>78</b>	\$10.00	8.89	<b>3</b>	71.3%	5.94	<b>53</b>	6.56	<b>3</b>
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	67.6%	5.87	<b>37</b>	\$8.36	5.24	<b>13</b>	78.4%	6.94	<b>21</b>	6.02	<b>8</b>
063	<i>Floyd</i>	73.3%	6.61	<b>8</b>	\$6.99	2.20	<b>88</b>	57.1%	3.93	<b>103</b>	4.24	<b>81</b>
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	56.3%	4.43	<b>91</b>	\$9.91	8.69	<b>5</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>31</b>	6.53	<b>4</b>
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	52.9%	4.01	<b>101</b>	\$7.40	3.11	<b>59</b>	64.3%	4.94	<b>85</b>	4.02	<b>92</b>
069	<i>Frederick</i>	57.3%	4.56	<b>86</b>	\$7.66	3.69	<b>40</b>	81.0%	7.30	<b>12</b>	5.18	<b>31</b>
071	<i>Giles</i>	65.9%	5.65	<b>42</b>	\$6.95	2.11	<b>90</b>	60.0%	4.33	<b>94</b>	4.03	<b>91</b>
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	56.0%	4.39	<b>93</b>	\$7.50	3.33	<b>55</b>	57.7%	4.01	<b>102</b>	3.91	<b>98</b>
075	<i>Goochland</i>	42.1%	2.63	<b>116</b>	\$6.84	1.87	<b>96</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	4.83	<b>61</b>
077	<i>Grayson</i>	73.0%	6.56	<b>9</b>	\$6.84	1.87	<b>97</b>	66.7%	5.28	<b>75</b>	4.57	<b>70</b>

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	69.8%	6.15	23	\$7.21	2.69	75	72.7%	6.14	43	4.99	49
081	Greenville/Emporia	61.2%	5.06	72	\$6.68	1.51	103	66.7%	5.28	76	3.95	97
083	Halifax	52.3%	3.93	104	\$6.91	2.02	91	71.6%	5.98	48	3.98	95
085	Hanover	60.2%	4.94	77	\$8.17	4.82	19	79.4%	7.08	19	5.61	19
087	Henrico	61.7%	5.12	70	\$8.19	4.87	18	79.9%	7.15	17	5.71	14
089	Henry/Martinsville	56.1%	4.41	92	\$7.16	2.58	77	55.9%	3.75	108	3.58	108
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	71.6%	6.38	14	\$7.39	3.09	60	72.1%	6.05	46	5.17	33
095	James City	71.2%	6.34	15	\$7.63	3.62	43	71.9%	6.02	47	5.33	27
097	King and Queen	61.5%	5.10	71	\$7.54	3.42	49	75.0%	6.46	32	5.00	47
099	King George	69.2%	6.08	28	\$8.11	4.69	22	63.6%	4.85	86	5.21	29
101	King William	61.9%	5.15	67	\$7.51	3.36	54	77.8%	6.85	22	5.12	39
103	Lancaster	58.3%	4.70	83	\$6.76	1.69	100	100.0%	10.00	3	5.46	23
105	Lee	73.9%	6.67	7	\$6.15	0.33	117	71.4%	5.95	51	4.32	77
107	Loudoun	69.4%	6.11	25	\$9.94	8.76	4	70.3%	5.79	60	6.88	1
109	Louisa	68.5%	5.99	33	\$7.93	4.29	28	61.5%	4.55	91	4.94	53
111	Lunenburg	21.4%	0.00	119	\$6.00	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
113	Madison	80.0%	7.45	4	\$7.70	3.78	37	60.0%	4.33	95	5.19	30
115	Mathews	69.2%	6.08	29	\$7.67	3.71	39	60.0%	4.33	96	4.71	66
117	Mecklenburg	65.3%	5.58	44	\$6.30	0.67	114	55.6%	3.70	110	3.32	111
119	Middlesex	60.7%	5.00	73	\$7.07	2.38	82	81.8%	7.42	10	4.93	54
121	Montgomery	80.5%	7.52	3	\$7.24	2.76	73	72.3%	6.07	45	5.45	24
125	Nelson	72.2%	6.46	13	\$8.60	5.78	10	57.1%	3.93	104	5.39	25
127	New Kent	57.9%	4.64	85	\$8.20	4.89	17	83.3%	7.64	9	5.72	13
131	Northampton	52.6%	3.96	102	\$7.11	2.47	79	87.1%	8.17	5	4.87	60
133	Northumberland	55.0%	4.27	95	\$6.89	1.98	93	62.5%	4.69	88	3.65	107
135	Nottoway	70.1%	6.19	22	\$6.81	1.80	99	81.5%	7.38	11	5.12	36
137	Orange	69.1%	6.07	31	\$7.54	3.42	50	80.0%	7.17	16	5.55	21
139	Page	63.6%	5.37	55	\$7.83	4.07	31	80.6%	7.25	13	5.56	20
141	Patrick	70.9%	6.29	17	\$6.20	0.44	115	71.4%	5.95	52	4.23	83
143	Pittsylvania	46.4%	3.18	110	\$6.89	1.98	94	73.3%	6.22	39	3.79	101
145	Powhatan	33.3%	1.52	118	\$7.71	3.80	36	62.5%	4.69	89	3.33	110
147	Prince Edward	72.3%	6.47	11	\$7.08	2.40	80	73.9%	6.30	37	5.06	42
149	Prince George	53.1%	4.03	100	\$7.39	3.09	61	61.5%	4.55	92	3.89	99
153	Prince William	64.5%	5.49	51	\$9.28	7.29	7	75.6%	6.54	25	6.44	6
155	Pulaski	57.9%	4.65	84	\$7.06	2.36	83	68.0%	5.47	69	4.16	88
157	Rappahannock	42.9%	2.73	113	\$8.50	5.56	11	50.0%	2.92	115	3.73	104
159	Richmond County	63.2%	5.31	58	\$7.56	3.47	47	57.1%	3.93	105	4.24	82
161	Roanoke County	67.6%	5.87	36	\$7.63	3.62	44	76.2%	6.62	24	5.37	26
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	58.8%	4.76	81	\$8.01	4.47	25	60.0%	4.33	97	4.52	72
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.6%	6.26	19	\$7.66	3.69	41	79.9%	7.15	18	5.70	16
167	Russell	53.4%	4.07	99	\$7.03	2.29	85	65.0%	5.04	81	3.80	100
169	Scott	64.1%	5.43	52	\$6.61	1.36	106	87.7%	8.26	4	5.01	45
171	Shenandoah	62.5%	5.23	63	\$7.70	3.78	38	85.7%	7.98	6	5.66	17

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.0%	4.53	<b>88</b>	\$6.65	1.44	<b>105</b>	66.1%	5.19	<b>78</b>	3.72	<b>106</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.5%	4.98	<b>76</b>	\$6.83	1.84	<b>98</b>	65.9%	5.16	<b>79</b>	3.99	<b>94</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	63.8%	5.39	<b>54</b>	\$8.10	4.67	<b>23</b>	69.8%	5.72	<b>62</b>	5.26	<b>28</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.1%	5.18	<b>65</b>	\$8.21	4.91	<b>16</b>	84.2%	7.76	<b>8</b>	5.95	<b>9</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	44.4%	2.93	<b>112</b>	\$7.47	3.27	<b>56</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>33</b>	4.22	<b>84</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	74.2%	6.72	<b>6</b>	\$7.27	2.82	<b>72</b>	68.8%	5.57	<b>65</b>	5.04	<b>44</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.5%	4.72	<b>82</b>	\$6.59	1.31	<b>107</b>	75.0%	6.46	<b>34</b>	4.16	<b>86</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	65.3%	5.58	<b>45</b>	\$8.42	5.38	<b>12</b>	68.6%	5.56	<b>66</b>	5.50	<b>22</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.4%	6.10	<b>26</b>	\$6.85	1.89	<b>95</b>	73.5%	6.25	<b>38</b>	4.75	<b>64</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	49.4%	3.56	<b>109</b>	\$7.33	2.96	<b>68</b>	51.7%	3.16	<b>114</b>	3.23	<b>113</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	65.3%	5.58	<b>46</b>	\$6.39	0.87	<b>113</b>	70.5%	5.82	<b>59</b>	4.09	<b>90</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	72.5%	6.50	<b>10</b>	\$6.91	2.02	<b>92</b>	73.2%	6.21	<b>41</b>	4.91	<b>57</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	71.0%	6.31	<b>16</b>	\$7.47	3.27	<b>57</b>	68.6%	5.55	<b>67</b>	5.04	<b>43</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	55.7%	4.36	<b>94</b>	\$9.41	7.58	<b>6</b>	69.4%	5.66	<b>64</b>	5.86	<b>11</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	61.7%	5.13	<b>69</b>	\$6.70	1.56	<b>102</b>	66.1%	5.20	<b>77</b>	3.96	<b>96</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	65.8%	5.64	<b>43</b>	\$7.98	4.40	<b>26</b>	64.5%	4.97	<b>84</b>	5.00	<b>46</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	68.3%	5.96	<b>34</b>	\$7.52	3.38	<b>53</b>	73.1%	6.19	<b>42</b>	5.18	<b>32</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	49.7%	3.60	<b>107</b>	\$6.97	2.16	<b>89</b>	54.6%	3.56	<b>111</b>	3.11	<b>114</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	54.3%	4.18	<b>97</b>	\$7.03	2.29	<b>86</b>	48.0%	2.63	<b>116</b>	3.03	<b>116</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	64.7%	5.51	<b>50</b>	\$8.27	5.04	<b>14</b>	60.3%	4.37	<b>93</b>	4.98	<b>51</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.3%	5.21	<b>64</b>	\$6.53	1.18	<b>109</b>	73.3%	6.22	<b>40</b>	4.20	<b>85</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	65.0%	5.55	<b>47</b>	\$7.55	3.44	<b>48</b>	75.2%	6.48	<b>26</b>	5.16	<b>34</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	62.0%	5.16	<b>66</b>	\$7.34	2.98	<b>67</b>	59.2%	4.22	<b>100</b>	4.12	<b>89</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	61.7%	5.13	<b>68</b>	\$7.02	2.27	<b>87</b>	67.5%	5.40	<b>70</b>	4.27	<b>80</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	53.6%	4.10	<b>98</b>	\$8.87	6.38	<b>8</b>	79.0%	7.02	<b>20</b>	5.83	<b>12</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	42.9%	2.73	<b>114</b>	\$10.19	9.31	<b>2</b>	85.7%	7.98	<b>7</b>	6.67	<b>2</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	68.2%	5.95	<b>35</b>	\$7.37	3.04	<b>63</b>	71.0%	5.90	<b>57</b>	4.96	<b>52</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.9%	6.29	<b>18</b>	\$7.29	2.87	<b>70</b>	73.9%	6.31	<b>36</b>	5.16	<b>35</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	70.2%	6.21	<b>21</b>	\$6.17	0.38	<b>116</b>	61.9%	4.60	<b>90</b>	3.73	<b>105</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	63.0%	5.29	<b>60</b>	\$7.38	3.07	<b>62</b>	71.6%	5.98	<b>49</b>	4.78	<b>63</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	69.4%	6.10	<b>27</b>	\$7.22	2.71	<b>74</b>	74.3%	6.36	<b>35</b>	5.06	<b>41</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	6.22	<b>20</b>	\$7.42	3.16	<b>58</b>	65.2%	5.07	<b>80</b>	4.82	<b>62</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	57.2%	4.55	<b>87</b>	\$7.79	3.98	<b>33</b>	67.4%	5.39	<b>71</b>	4.64	<b>69</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	56.6%	4.47	<b>90</b>	\$7.58	3.51	<b>46</b>	64.6%	4.98	<b>83</b>	4.32	<b>76</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	67.2%	5.83	<b>38</b>	\$7.08	2.40	<b>81</b>	56.1%	3.78	<b>107</b>	4.00	<b>93</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	60.7%	4.99	<b>74</b>	\$7.73	3.84	<b>34</b>	71.3%	5.93	<b>54</b>	4.92	<b>56</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	63.6%	5.37	<b>56</b>	\$8.12	4.71	<b>21</b>	57.1%	3.93	<b>106</b>	4.67	<b>68</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	66.3%	5.71	<b>40</b>	\$7.37	3.04	<b>64</b>	71.1%	5.91	<b>55</b>	4.89	<b>58</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.4%	1.80	116	\$796	2.14	98	58.9%	7.10	29	3.68	107
003	Albemarle	79.6%	8.35	6	\$969	5.78	18	55.1%	6.08	56	6.74	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.7%	6.30	47	\$806	2.37	96	47.6%	4.08	97	4.25	89
007	Amelia	65.9%	4.79	88	\$939	5.17	30	46.1%	3.68	101	4.55	80
009	Amherst	68.6%	5.50	77	\$819	2.63	89	52.5%	5.39	71	4.51	84
011	Appomattox	72.6%	6.54	37	\$815	2.55	92	42.6%	2.74	115	3.94	98
013	Arlington	76.1%	7.45	18	\$1,012	6.69	10	64.7%	8.63	8	7.59	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.9%	6.10	56	\$925	4.87	39	52.6%	5.40	70	5.46	43
017	Bath	65.6%	4.72	90	\$749	1.16	116	55.0%	6.06	58	3.98	96
019	Bedford County/City	68.9%	5.58	75	\$795	2.13	99	55.4%	6.17	51	4.63	78
021	Bland	66.7%	4.99	87	\$794	2.11	100	45.8%	3.59	105	3.57	111
023	Botetourt	53.0%	1.44	117	\$913	4.61	47	42.6%	2.74	114	2.93	119
025	Brunswick	57.1%	2.52	114	\$793	2.09	102	56.9%	6.57	39	3.72	106
027	Buchanan	52.3%	1.25	119	\$775	1.72	112	54.6%	5.95	60	2.97	118
029	Buckingham	60.3%	3.33	107	\$892	4.17	56	52.9%	5.48	67	4.33	88
031	Campbell	69.8%	5.80	68	\$839	3.06	80	59.7%	7.32	24	5.39	44
033	Caroline	64.6%	4.46	93	\$927	4.92	37	40.1%	2.09	118	3.82	103
035	Carroll	67.8%	5.29	80	\$782	1.87	108	46.0%	3.66	102	3.60	108
036	Charles City	47.4%	0.00	120	\$885	4.03	57	60.0%	7.39	22	3.81	104
037	Charlotte	55.1%	1.99	115	\$863	3.56	68	45.3%	3.47	108	3.01	117
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	70.1%	5.88	64	\$985	6.13	16	60.8%	7.60	18	6.54	17
043	Clarke	63.4%	4.15	98	\$968	5.78	19	46.0%	3.65	103	4.53	83
045	Craig	76.9%	7.66	14	\$978	5.98	17	66.7%	9.17	5	7.60	5
047	Culpeper	69.8%	5.80	69	\$929	4.95	35	55.7%	6.25	47	5.67	37
049	Cumberland	58.6%	2.89	110	\$918	4.72	43	41.5%	2.44	117	3.35	113
051	Dickensen	61.0%	3.52	105	\$779	1.78	110	49.2%	4.49	90	3.27	115
053	Dinwiddie	71.4%	6.22	52	\$911	4.57	49	52.3%	5.33	76	5.37	46
057	Essex	71.5%	6.24	50	\$817	2.60	90	42.4%	2.70	116	3.85	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71.9%	6.34	46	\$1,081	8.16	4	61.8%	7.86	14	7.45	7
061	Fauquier	72.5%	6.51	40	\$962	5.65	23	63.9%	8.42	10	6.86	11
063	Floyd	72.6%	6.53	38	\$885	4.02	58	46.0%	3.65	104	4.74	72
065	Fluvanna	75.5%	7.29	21	\$1,070	7.92	5	50.9%	4.96	84	6.73	13
067	Franklin County	69.3%	5.68	73	\$912	4.60	48	49.7%	4.65	88	4.97	65
069	Frederick	63.5%	4.17	96	\$938	5.15	31	55.2%	6.12	54	5.15	57
071	Giles	59.5%	3.12	109	\$902	4.39	51	48.3%	4.28	94	3.93	99
073	Gloucester	72.7%	6.57	36	\$816	2.57	91	55.5%	6.19	50	5.11	58
075	Goochland	69.7%	5.77	70	\$847	3.23	74	56.6%	6.48	41	5.16	55
077	Grayson	67.4%	5.17	82	\$815	2.54	93	57.6%	6.75	34	4.82	70

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	79.7%	8.39	5	\$918	4.72	42	50.0%	4.72	87	5.94	31
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.5%	3.13	108	\$791	2.05	103	57.3%	6.67	35	3.95	97
083	Halifax	62.7%	3.96	102	\$810	2.45	94	48.4%	4.29	93	3.57	110
085	Hanover	68.3%	5.42	78	\$1,039	7.28	8	66.8%	9.20	4	7.30	8
087	Henrico	71.9%	6.34	45	\$987	6.17	15	56.2%	6.37	46	6.30	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	67.1%	5.10	84	\$905	4.46	50	55.1%	6.07	57	5.21	54
091	Highland	71.4%	6.23	51	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.39	23	4.54	82
093	Isle of Wight	73.6%	6.80	29	\$872	3.75	64	55.5%	6.20	49	5.58	41
095	James City	73.1%	6.65	33	\$844	3.17	76	52.4%	5.36	73	5.06	62
097	King and Queen	58.5%	2.87	112	\$860	3.49	70	35.5%	0.85	119	2.40	120
099	King George	72.4%	6.48	43	\$897	4.27	53	52.5%	5.37	72	5.38	45
101	King William	61.3%	3.59	104	\$957	5.55	24	43.8%	3.05	111	4.06	94
103	Lancaster	70.9%	6.08	59	\$803	2.29	97	47.0%	3.92	99	4.09	92
105	Lee	66.7%	5.01	86	\$741	0.99	117	59.7%	7.30	25	4.43	87
107	Loudoun	84.0%	9.49	2	\$1,128	9.15	2	66.4%	9.10	6	9.24	1
109	Louisa	67.0%	5.08	85	\$943	5.24	28	52.8%	5.46	68	5.26	53
111	Lunenburg	52.7%	1.37	118	\$735	0.87	118	58.9%	7.10	30	3.11	116
113	Madison	70.2%	5.90	63	\$964	5.69	21	44.1%	3.14	109	4.91	66
115	Mathews	63.2%	4.10	99	\$836	3.00	82	49.0%	4.45	91	3.85	101
117	Mecklenburg	71.5%	6.25	49	\$783	1.88	107	58.2%	6.91	31	5.01	63
119	Middlesex	72.5%	6.51	41	\$852	3.34	71	55.2%	6.10	55	5.31	50
121	Montgomery	82.1%	8.99	3	\$898	4.29	52	54.9%	6.02	59	6.43	21
125	Nelson	69.2%	5.66	74	\$1,018	6.83	9	52.2%	5.30	78	5.93	32
127	New Kent	70.2%	5.91	62	\$999	6.43	14	53.8%	5.72	64	6.02	30
131	Northampton	62.7%	3.97	101	\$780	1.82	109	65.5%	8.84	7	4.88	67
133	Northumberland	64.0%	4.31	95	\$830	2.86	83	42.7%	2.78	113	3.32	114
135	Nottoway	71.2%	6.16	54	\$849	3.26	72	53.9%	5.77	63	5.06	61
137	Orange	75.0%	7.16	23	\$933	5.03	33	43.8%	3.06	110	5.08	60
139	Page	77.4%	7.79	13	\$966	5.73	20	57.0%	6.59	38	6.70	14
141	Patrick	68.9%	5.56	76	\$784	1.90	106	66.8%	9.20	3	5.55	42
143	Pittsylvania	58.5%	2.87	111	\$839	3.07	79	52.4%	5.36	74	3.76	105
145	Powhatan	58.4%	2.84	113	\$866	3.64	66	51.9%	5.23	81	3.90	100
147	Prince Edward	78.0%	7.92	9	\$844	3.16	77	60.2%	7.45	20	6.18	26
149	Prince George	63.5%	4.16	97	\$945	5.29	27	51.4%	5.10	83	4.85	68
153	Prince William	76.9%	7.64	15	\$1,169	10.00	1	61.8%	7.87	13	8.50	2
155	Pulaski	68.0%	5.35	79	\$922	4.81	40	45.4%	3.48	107	4.55	81
157	Rappahannock	64.7%	4.48	92	\$943	5.24	29	42.9%	2.82	112	4.18	90
159	Richmond County	69.9%	5.84	65	\$928	4.94	36	32.3%	0.00	120	3.59	109
161	Roanoke County	77.6%	7.82	12	\$1,004	6.52	13	52.3%	5.33	75	6.56	16
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.6%	7.83	11	\$846	3.20	75	54.0%	5.80	62	5.61	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.2%	7.20	22	\$915	4.65	46	59.3%	7.21	27	6.35	24
167	Russell	63.1%	4.07	100	\$821	2.69	88	59.6%	7.28	26	4.68	77
169	Scott	60.6%	3.43	106	\$838	3.04	81	64.4%	8.55	9	5.01	64
171	Shenandoah	71.0%	6.11	55	\$893	4.20	55	53.3%	5.60	66	5.30	51

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	65.8%	4.78	<b>89</b>	\$791	2.04	<b>104</b>	52.7%	5.45	<b>69</b>	4.09	<b>93</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.5%	3.92	<b>103</b>	\$794	2.10	<b>101</b>	47.5%	4.06	<b>98</b>	3.36	<b>112</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	72.8%	6.58	<b>35</b>	\$1,004	6.54	<b>12</b>	56.5%	6.46	<b>43</b>	6.53	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	65.0%	4.56	<b>91</b>	\$1,112	8.81	<b>3</b>	55.4%	6.17	<b>52</b>	6.51	<b>20</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.4%	6.21	<b>53</b>	\$844	3.16	<b>78</b>	48.3%	4.28	<b>95</b>	4.55	<b>79</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	70.9%	6.08	<b>58</b>	\$929	4.96	<b>34</b>	56.3%	6.40	<b>44</b>	5.81	<b>34</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.9%	5.83	<b>66</b>	\$769	1.59	<b>114</b>	57.2%	6.63	<b>37</b>	4.68	<b>75</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.4%	6.48	<b>42</b>	\$955	5.51	<b>25</b>	59.2%	7.16	<b>28</b>	6.39	<b>22</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.6%	5.76	<b>71</b>	\$862	3.54	<b>69</b>	50.5%	4.85	<b>85</b>	4.72	<b>73</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	67.1%	5.10	<b>83</b>	\$876	3.84	<b>63</b>	49.2%	4.51	<b>89</b>	4.48	<b>85</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.40	<b>94</b>	\$755	1.29	<b>115</b>	57.9%	6.84	<b>32</b>	4.18	<b>91</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.3%	5.69	<b>72</b>	\$807	2.39	<b>95</b>	55.4%	6.16	<b>53</b>	4.75	<b>71</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	67.5%	5.21	<b>81</b>	\$848	3.24	<b>73</b>	46.1%	3.68	<b>100</b>	4.04	<b>95</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.6%	6.53	<b>39</b>	\$1,010	6.67	<b>11</b>	69.8%	10.00	<b>1</b>	7.73	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	74.4%	6.99	<b>24</b>	\$826	2.79	<b>85</b>	48.3%	4.26	<b>96</b>	4.68	<b>76</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	85.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$915	4.66	<b>44</b>	56.6%	6.49	<b>40</b>	7.05	<b>10</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	75.9%	7.38	<b>20</b>	\$877	3.86	<b>62</b>	56.3%	6.39	<b>45</b>	5.88	<b>33</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	70.7%	6.03	<b>60</b>	\$777	1.75	<b>111</b>	53.3%	5.60	<b>65</b>	4.46	<b>86</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	71.7%	6.29	<b>48</b>	\$770	1.61	<b>113</b>	55.6%	6.21	<b>48</b>	4.71	<b>74</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	81.1%	8.73	<b>4</b>	\$963	5.68	<b>22</b>	52.3%	5.33	<b>77</b>	6.58	<b>15</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	69.8%	5.81	<b>67</b>	\$823	2.73	<b>87</b>	60.5%	7.52	<b>19</b>	5.35	<b>48</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	73.8%	6.83	<b>28</b>	\$878	3.88	<b>61</b>	52.0%	5.26	<b>80</b>	5.32	<b>49</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	73.0%	6.63	<b>34</b>	\$896	4.25	<b>54</b>	51.8%	5.20	<b>82</b>	5.36	<b>47</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.2%	6.96	<b>26</b>	\$786	1.95	<b>105</b>	62.9%	8.15	<b>11</b>	5.69	<b>36</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	72.0%	6.37	<b>44</b>	\$1,063	7.77	<b>6</b>	60.8%	7.61	<b>17</b>	7.25	<b>9</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	76.0%	7.41	<b>19</b>	\$1,042	7.32	<b>7</b>	68.8%	9.73	<b>2</b>	8.15	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	76.3%	7.50	<b>17</b>	\$882	3.97	<b>59</b>	57.7%	6.78	<b>33</b>	6.08	<b>28</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.5%	6.76	<b>31</b>	\$825	2.77	<b>86</b>	54.5%	5.92	<b>61</b>	5.15	<b>56</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.8%	7.89	<b>10</b>	\$698	0.09	<b>119</b>	61.6%	7.82	<b>15</b>	5.27	<b>52</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	74.1%	6.92	<b>27</b>	\$915	4.66	<b>45</b>	57.2%	6.64	<b>36</b>	6.07	<b>29</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.6%	7.58	<b>16</b>	\$868	3.67	<b>65</b>	61.8%	7.88	<b>12</b>	6.37	<b>23</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	74.4%	6.99	<b>25</b>	\$880	3.92	<b>60</b>	45.7%	3.57	<b>106</b>	4.83	<b>69</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	73.4%	6.75	<b>32</b>	\$936	5.10	<b>32</b>	61.2%	7.70	<b>16</b>	6.52	<b>19</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.5%	6.00	<b>61</b>	\$925	4.88	<b>38</b>	49.0%	4.45	<b>92</b>	5.11	<b>59</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	78.7%	8.11	<b>7</b>	\$828	2.83	<b>84</b>	60.1%	7.42	<b>21</b>	6.12	<b>27</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	70.9%	6.09	<b>57</b>	\$920	4.76	<b>41</b>	56.6%	6.48	<b>42</b>	5.78	<b>35</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	78.2%	7.99	<b>8</b>	\$866	3.63	<b>67</b>	52.1%	5.29	<b>79</b>	5.64	<b>39</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	73.5%	6.78	<b>30</b>	\$951	5.41	<b>26</b>	50.2%	4.78	<b>86</b>	5.66	<b>38</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2007 – March 31, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	17,089	982	569	1,540	566	281	1,919	201	384	664	903	570	2,535	485	169	1,693	546	2,958	124
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	95%	91%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%	98%	97%	96%	92%	94%	89%	95%	97%	91%	94%	85%
% Timely in March 2008	94%	93%	96%	94%	100%	94%	90%	100%	98%	98%	98%	91%	92%	91%	96%	96%	96%	96%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	9,739	593	348	875	319	149	1,039	116	230	409	551	346	1,426	269	92	900	321	1,688	68
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	98%	95%	99%	99%	98%	97%	96%	98%	96%	98%	98%	97%	96%	90%	95%	95%	96%	94%
Percent in March 2008	97%	99%	###	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	95%	97%	97%	96%	96%	100%	96%	94%	96%	88%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	63	5	1	8	0	1	8	1	0	0	1	4	21	1	4	6	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	20	123	22	0	2	4	31	0	0	3	10	38	2	186	70	4	0	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	982	82	55	141	156	91	186	236	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	96%	100%	96%	100%	91%	95%	94%	83%
% Timely in March 2008	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%	94%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	593	47	37	91	97	55	109	135	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	96%	95%	99%	99%	98%	100%	99%	95%
Percent in March 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	0	0	8	0	29	21

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	569	6	59	24	81	111	85	153	50
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	91%	100%	92%	100%	84%	98%	81%	92%	92%
% Timely in March 2008	96%	N/A	83%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	1
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	348	4	30	13	47	70	67	91	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	75%	97%	100%	87%	97%	93%	97%	100%
Percent in March 2008	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	123	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botetourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1540	83	11	3	23	132	34	196	124	173	68	693
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	65%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	98%	93%	98%
% Timely in March 2008	94%	56%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	875	58	6	1	14	67	22	97	68	88	42	412
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	93%	100%	100%	100%	97%	95%	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	22	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	566	347	6	0	30	183
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	98%	98%	100%	N/A	97%	97%
% Timely in March 2008	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	319	200	3	0	17	99
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	98%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in March 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	281	7	62	48	18	79	67	124	65	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	100%	95%	100%	67%	100%	100%	85%	88%	83%
% Timely in March 2008	94%	100%	89%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	149	5	31	28	7	42	36	68	41	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	97%	94%	100%	85%
Percent in March 2008	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	67%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1,919	135	620	186	660	217	71	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	98%	96%	92%	91%	98%	82%	73%
% Timely in March 2008	90%	83%	95%	71%	91%	96%	100%	80%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1039	64	325	91	376	120	45	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	100%	97%	91%	98%	98%	100%	72%
Percent in March 2008	99%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	8	2	1	2	1	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	4	2	17	2	3	3	0	5

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	201	80	67	7	40	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	94%	100%	100%	98%	29%
% Timely in March 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	116	43	41	5	22	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	98%	80%	91%	100%
Percent in March 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	0	31	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	384	71	17	26	46	16	208
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in March 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	230	40	6	15	25	9	135
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	98%	67%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in March 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	664	50	55	125	132	302
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	98%	100%	100%	98%	94%
% Timely in March 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	409	40	29	70	90	180
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	97%	99%	94%	95%
Percent in March 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	94%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	903	128	264	123	137	251
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	91%	97%	98%	99%	96%
% Timely in March 2008	98%	94%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	551	93	159	66	72	161
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	99%	97%	98%	100%	98%
Percent in March 2008	97%	100%	97%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	3	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	570	25	82	48	32	32	84	7	102	61	97
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	92%	84%	99%	83%	100%	100%	87%	86%	95%	82%	97%
% Timely in March 2008	91%	83%	100%	75%	100%	100%	67%	0%	95%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	346	12	55	32	22	18	51	6	57	35	58
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	92%	98%	94%	95%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2008	97%	100%	92%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>											
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	2	0	0	19	1	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	2,535	504	13	59	613	18	1,328
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	95%	100%	100%	89%	94%	95%
% Timely in March 2008	92%	98%	100%	100%	82%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1426	299	9	28	319	13	758
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	99%	100%	93%	96%	92%	98%
Percent in March 2008	96%	100%	100%	80%	92%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	21	1	0	0	17	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	38	135	0	0	39	0	4

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	485	65	24	130	121	145
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	89%	94%	100%	90%	75%	97%
% Timely in March 2008	91%	80%	100%	78%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	269	43	12	74	67	73
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	92%	95%	96%	99%
Percent in March 2008	96%	100%	100%	92%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	2	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	169	46	9	14	2	10	19	16	16	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	100%	100%	50%	70%	100%	100%	75%	100%
% Timely in March 2008	96%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	92	26	5	10	1	5	5	9	9	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	90%	100%	100%	90%	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	73%
Percent in March 2008	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	186	0	0	0	0	186	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1,693	19	73	62	15	60	532	913	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	74%	99%	100%	60%	97%	99%	96%	100%
% Timely in March 2008	96%	0%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	900	14	29	34	8	30	277	498	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	97%	93%	100%
Percent in March 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	95%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	6	2	1	0	1	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	70	92	9	0	213	0	0	6	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	546	46	59	15	50	141	235
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	91%	98%	88%	100%	100%	83%	94%
% Timely in March 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	321	27	31	12	31	82	138
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	90%	100%	97%	100%	92%
Percent in March 2008	94%	100%	90%	100%	75%	100%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	2,958	81	76	478	44	900	531	233	615
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	93%	99%	95%	100%	90%	97%	94%	96%
% Timely in March 2008	96%	80%	100%	93%	100%	95%	95%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1688	47	47	280	30	541	297	130	316
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	96%	98%	93%	100%	95%	96%	98%	98%
Percent in March 2008	96%	83%	100%	91%	100%	98%	96%	96%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Mar-07</i>	<i>Mar-08</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,081	16,291	1.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	130,274	128,436	-1.4%
Total Cases Collected	146,355	144,727	-1.1%
TANF Collections	\$5,217,499	\$6,396,490	22.6%
NTANF Collections	\$52,084,352	\$52,717,537	1.2%
Total Collections	\$57,301,851	\$59,114,027	3.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$443,036,345	\$455,868,474	2.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$349	\$357	2.3%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Mar-08</i>
TANF Paternities	243
NTANF Paternities	478
Combined Paternities	721

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *March Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Montgomery	6
Alleghany / Covington	1		Page	1
Appomattox	1		Patrick	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Pittsylvania	3
Bedford City	2		Prince William	6
Buckingham	2		Pulaski	1
Campbell	1		Roanoke County	2
Caroline	6		Rockingham/ H'burg	10
Carroll	1		Shenandoah	3
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	12		Smyth	4
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	6
Culpeper	2		Stafford	3
Dinwiddie	4		Warren	8
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Wythe	4
Fauquier	1		York / Poquoson	2
Floyd	1		Bristol	1
Franklin County	6		Charlottesville	6
Frederick	7		Chesapeake	2
Gloucester	1		Fredricksburg	4
Greene	2		Hampton	4
Halifax	2		Hopewell	1
Hanover	1		Newport News	19
Henrico	3		Norfolk	11
Henry / Martinsville	7		Portsmouth	2
King William	1		Richmond City	2
Loudoun	4		Roanoke City	17
Louisa	1		Suffolk	8
Mathews	3		Virginia Beach	9
Middlesex	1		Winchester	4
			<b>Statewide</b>	<b>241</b>

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments were introduced

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$5.15 to \$5.85 an hour on 7/24/07. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of April 1, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site: [www.dss.virginia.gov](http://www.dss.virginia.gov). On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:**  
**[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov)**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2008




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. This is generally consistent with the caseload trends and the slight case decline after December 2004 seems to be reflected in applications received. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

March 31, 2008




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March, it was \$7.59 rather than the \$7.46 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the apparent recent reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.