

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
July 2008

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services August 26, 2008

Monthly Report, July 2008

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 47% with a denominator of 15,139 and a numerator of 7,127.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	72	22	31%	061	Fauquier	59	30	51%
003	Albemarle	71	34	48%	063	Floyd	18	11	61%
005	Alleghany/Covington	72	31	43%	065	Fluvanna	14	8	57%
007	Amelia	18	5	28%	067	Franklin County	79	38	48%
009	Amherst	36	17	47%	069	Frederick	71	34	48%
011	Appomattox	34	19	56%	071	Giles	18	11	61%
013	Arlington	114	56	49%	073	Gloucester	39	20	51%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	246	118	48%	075	Goochland	17	0	0%
017	Bath	6	2	33%	077	Grayson	19	13	68%
019	Bedford County/City	90	52	58%	079	Greene	22	6	27%
021	Bland	10	3	30%	081	Greensville/Emporia	74	33	45%
023	Botetourt	13	1	8%	083	Halifax	102	32	31%
025	Brunswick	58	8	14%	085	Hanover	77	23	30%
027	Buchanan	58	26	45%	087	Henrico	617	312	51%
029	Buckingham	29	13	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	265	121	46%
031	Campbell	120	62	52%	091	Highland	1	0	0%
033	Caroline	72	4	6%	093	Isle of Wight	69	44	64%
035	Carroll	56	33	59%	095	James City	58	31	53%
036	Charles City	8	2	25%	097	King and Queen	8	2	25%
037	Charlotte	16	3	19%	099	King George	12	8	67%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	380	183	48%	101	King William	18	10	56%
043	Clarke	9	2	22%	103	Lancaster	18	5	28%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	102	46	45%
047	Culpeper	64	31	48%	107	Loudoun	157	70	45%
049	Cumberland	38	14	37%	109	Louisa	36	18	50%
051	Dickensen	39	12	31%	111	Lunenburg	19	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	45	19	42%	113	Madison	11	5	45%
057	Essex	54	14	26%	115	Mathews	7	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	556	285	51%	117	Mecklenburg	79	35	44%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	1	13%	187	Warren	60	33	55%
121	Montgomery	178	100	56%	191	Washington	72	33	46%
125	Nelson	19	8	42%	193	Westmoreland	33	11	33%
127	New Kent	17	5	29%	195	Wise	201	90	45%
131	Northampton	36	15	42%	197	Wythe	67	32	48%
133	Northumberland	9	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	40	19	48%
135	Nottoway	82	11	13%	510	Alexandria	208	101	49%
137	Orange	33	16	48%	520	Bristol	125	56	45%
139	Page	18	7	39%	540	Charlottesville	163	90	55%
141	Patrick	65	38	58%	550	Chesapeake	528	242	46%
143	Pittsylvania	90	37	41%	590	Danville	200	95	48%
145	Powhatan	18	5	28%	620	Franklin City	37	17	46%
147	Prince Edward	73	37	51%	630	Fredericksburg	93	52	56%
149	Prince George	40	12	30%	640	Galax	34	14	41%
153	Prince William	575	309	54%	650	Hampton	555	247	45%
155	Pulaski	74	31	42%	670	Hopewell	172	77	45%
157	Rappahannock	5	2	40%	680	Lynchburg	258	127	49%
159	Richmond County	18	6	33%	683	Manassas	72	35	49%
161	Roanoke County	138	68	49%	685	Manassas Park	22	14	64%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	26	11	42%	700	Newport News	778	354	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	142	88	62%	710	Norfolk	931	454	49%
167	Russell	92	42	46%	720	Norton	28	11	39%
169	Scott	88	30	34%	730	Petersburg	291	119	41%
171	Shenandoah	14	2	14%	740	Portsmouth	605	280	46%
173	Smyth	75	29	39%	750	Radford	31	18	58%
175	Southampton	51	21	41%	760	Richmond City	1,426	728	51%
177	Spotsylvania	156	87	56%	770	Roanoke City	483	196	41%
179	Stafford	144	58	40%	800	Suffolk	163	92	56%
181	Surry	16	6	38%	810	Virginia Beach	443	242	55%
183	Sussex	34	26	76%	830	Williamsburg	19	8	42%
185	Tazewell	151	72	48%	840	Winchester	44	20	45%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 12,883 and a numerator of 5,086. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	65	15	23%	061	Fauquier	53	24	45%
003	Albemarle	53	18	34%	063	Floyd	15	8	53%
005	Alleghany/Covington	65	24	37%	065	Fluvanna	10	4	40%
007	Amelia	18	5	28%	067	Franklin County	70	29	41%
009	Amherst	29	12	41%	069	Frederick	61	25	41%
011	Appomattox	32	17	53%	071	Giles	14	7	50%
013	Arlington	94	38	40%	073	Gloucester	30	12	40%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	206	82	40%	075	Goochland	17	0	0%
017	Bath	5	1	20%	077	Grayson	16	10	63%
019	Bedford County/City	77	40	52%	079	Greene	21	5	24%
021	Bland	10	3	30%	081	Greensville/Emporia	71	30	42%
023	Botetourt	11	1	9%	083	Halifax	94	24	26%
025	Brunswick	52	4	8%	085	Hanover	67	15	22%
027	Buchanan	50	19	38%	087	Henrico	524	221	42%
029	Buckingham	26	12	46%	089	Henry/Martinsville	239	96	40%
031	Campbell	93	35	38%	091	Highland	1	0	0%
033	Caroline	67	0	0%	093	Isle of Wight	51	26	51%
035	Carroll	43	22	51%	095	James City	43	19	44%
036	Charles City	8	2	25%	097	King and Queen	6	0	0%
037	Charlotte	15	2	13%	099	King George	10	6	60%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	303	110	36%	101	King William	14	7	50%
043	Clarke	7	2	29%	103	Lancaster	18	5	28%
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	87	34	39%
047	Culpeper	54	21	39%	107	Loudoun	120	36	30%
049	Cumberland	31	9	29%	109	Louisa	30	12	40%
051	Dickensen	36	9	25%	111	Lunenburg	18	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	31	6	19%	113	Madison	11	5	45%
057	Essex	51	12	24%	115	Mathews	7	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	453	188	42%	117	Mecklenburg	72	28	39%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	1	13%	187	Warren	51	24	47%
121	Montgomery	149	72	48%	191	Washington	61	23	38%
125	Nelson	16	5	31%	193	Westmoreland	29	10	34%
127	New Kent	17	5	29%	195	Wise	172	63	37%
131	Northampton	34	13	38%	197	Wythe	50	21	42%
133	Northumberland	9	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	33	12	36%
135	Nottoway	73	2	3%	510	Alexandria	159	52	33%
137	Orange	30	13	43%	520	Bristol	108	42	39%
139	Page	15	4	27%	540	Charlottesville	134	61	46%
141	Patrick	47	23	49%	550	Chesapeake	462	182	39%
143	Pittsylvania	76	25	33%	590	Danville	175	73	42%
145	Powhatan	18	5	28%	620	Franklin City	28	10	36%
147	Prince Edward	58	23	40%	630	Fredericksburg	78	37	47%
149	Prince George	33	7	21%	640	Galax	27	7	26%
153	Prince William	461	202	44%	650	Hampton	475	183	39%
155	Pulaski	71	28	39%	670	Hopewell	156	62	40%
157	Rappahannock	4	1	25%	680	Lynchburg	218	89	41%
159	Richmond County	17	5	29%	683	Manassas	59	24	41%
161	Roanoke County	115	47	41%	685	Manassas Park	16	9	56%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	25	10	40%	700	Newport News	647	238	37%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	108	56	52%	710	Norfolk	850	376	44%
167	Russell	81	33	41%	720	Norton	26	9	35%
169	Scott	73	16	22%	730	Petersburg	265	98	37%
171	Shenandoah	13	2	15%	740	Portsmouth	522	202	39%
173	Smyth	65	21	32%	750	Radford	27	15	56%
175	Southampton	46	17	37%	760	Richmond City	1,222	559	46%
177	Spotsylvania	135	72	53%	770	Roanoke City	425	139	33%
179	Stafford	124	42	34%	800	Suffolk	133	62	47%
181	Surry	15	6	40%	810	Virginia Beach	355	160	45%
183	Sussex	28	20	71%	830	Williamsburg	16	5	31%
185	Tazewell	131	52	40%	840	Winchester	33	11	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 2,256 and a numerator of 2,041. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	6	6	100%
003	Albemarle	18	16	89%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	7	7	100%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	9	9	100%
009	Amherst	7	5	71%	069	Frederick	10	9	90%
011	Appomattox	2	2	100%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	20	18	90%	073	Gloucester	9	8	89%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	40	36	90%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	12	92%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	3	3	100%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	8	8	100%
025	Brunswick	6	4	67%	085	Hanover	10	8	80%
027	Buchanan	8	7	88%	087	Henrico	93	91	98%
029	Buckingham	3	1	33%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	25	96%
031	Campbell	27	27	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	5	4	80%	093	Isle of Wight	18	18	100%
035	Carroll	13	11	85%	095	James City	15	12	80%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	1	1	100%	099	King George	2	2	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	77	73	95%	101	King William	4	3	75%
043	Clarke	2	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	15	12	80%
047	Culpeper	10	10	100%	107	Loudoun	37	34	92%
049	Cumberland	7	5	71%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	14	13	93%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	2	67%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	103	97	94%	117	Mecklenburg	7	7	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	0	0	N/A	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	29	28	97%	191	Washington	11	10	91%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	1	25%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	29	27	93%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	17	11	65%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	9	9	100%	510	Alexandria	49	49	100%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	17	14	82%
139	Page	3	3	100%	540	Charlottesville	29	29	100%
141	Patrick	18	15	83%	550	Chesapeake	66	60	91%
143	Pittsylvania	14	12	86%	590	Danville	25	22	88%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	9	7	78%
147	Prince Edward	15	14	93%	630	Fredericksburg	15	15	100%
149	Prince George	7	5	71%	640	Galax	7	7	100%
153	Prince William	114	107	94%	650	Hampton	80	64	80%
155	Pulaski	3	3	100%	670	Hopewell	16	15	94%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	40	38	95%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	13	11	85%
161	Roanoke County	23	21	91%	685	Manassas Park	6	5	83%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	1	100%	700	Newport News	131	116	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	32	94%	710	Norfolk	81	78	96%
167	Russell	11	9	82%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	15	14	93%	730	Petersburg	26	21	81%
171	Shenandoah	1	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	83	78	94%
173	Smyth	10	8	80%	750	Radford	4	3	75%
175	Southampton	5	4	80%	760	Richmond City	204	169	83%
177	Spotsylvania	21	15	71%	770	Roanoke City	58	57	98%
179	Stafford	20	16	80%	800	Suffolk	30	30	100%
181	Surry	1	0	0%	810	Virginia Beach	88	82	93%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	3	100%
185	Tazewell	20	20	100%	840	Winchester	11	9	82%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-08	Jul-07	Change from Jul-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,208	4,621	13%	4,807	8%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,535	2,111	20%	1,658	53%
Applications Approved	2,654	2,312	15%	2,820	-6%
Cases Closed *	2,419	2,555	-5.3%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	27,533	27,922	-1%	67,995	-60%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,620	28,828	-1%	70,403	-59%

* The database indicates that of the 2,419 closed cases, 977 were VIEW cases, of which 609 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 170 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 119 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-08	Jul-07	Change from Jul-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	396	140	183%	338	17%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	220	128	72%	232	-5%
Applications Approved	199	135	47%	81	146%
Cases Closed *	178	168	6%	107	66%
Cases at End of Month	983	889	11%	321	206%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,090	971	12%	394	177%

* The database indicates that of the 178 closed cases, 91 were VIEW cases, of which 57 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 14 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 13 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	28,516	1,735	914	2,207	802	344	3,079	375	580	995	1,513	903	4,493	799	327	2,672	1,053	5,473	252
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,495	565	383	811	282	149	1,167	111	204	403	442	397	1,718	353	117	948	437	1,939	69
Part. in a Work Activity	7,778	431	284	592	224	110	911	77	166	307	327	258	1,293	253	81	650	325	1,446	43
% of Enrolled	74%	76%	74%	73%	79%	74%	78%	69%	81%	76%	74%	65%	75%	72%	69%	69%	74%	75%	62%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	102	6	7	14	0	4	8	1	2	1	1	1	17	2	6	9	8	15	0
Participants Employed	6,059	372	233	501	202	82	582	60	117	239	252	207	817	219	56	580	247	1,251	42
% of Enrolled	58%	66%	61%	62%	72%	55%	50%	54%	57%	59%	57%	52%	48%	62%	48%	61%	57%	65%	61%
Full Time	5,258	355	209	432	185	69	482	55	82	204	216	156	674	186	47	493	217	1,157	39
Part Time	801	17	24	69	17	13	100	5	35	35	36	51	143	33	9	87	30	94	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$6.60	\$6.80	\$7.33	\$7.53	\$7.61	\$9.30	\$7.81	\$8.08	\$7.24	\$6.93	\$6.82	\$7.80	\$8.12	\$7.87	\$7.38	\$7.41	\$7.25	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$944	\$955	\$1,048	\$1,065	\$1,055	\$1,313	\$1,079	\$1,019	\$1,020	\$951	\$928	\$1,101	\$1,113	\$1,094	\$1,031	\$1,054	\$1,018	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	2,892	160	115	275	109	43	334	53	64	94	149	89	409	88	43	257	64	509	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,476	595	424	902	296	168	1,275	123	228	439	524	441	1,860	375	133	1,055	473	2,085	80
Part. in a Work Activity	9,171	486	351	727	248	135	1,041	94	197	360	429	333	1,491	276	104	824	360	1,661	54
% of Total Enrolled	80%	82%	83%	81%	84%	80%	82%	76%	86%	82%	82%	76%	80%	74%	78%	78%	76%	80%	68%
Participants Employed	6,614	394	269	561	211	91	633	64	130	263	280	220	892	236	64	643	265	1,352	46
% of Total Enrolled	58%	66%	63%	62%	71%	54%	50%	52%	57%	60%	53%	50%	48%	63%	48%	61%	56%	65%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$6.60	\$6.77	\$7.35	\$7.50	\$7.65	\$9.31	\$7.79	\$8.14	\$7.21	\$6.88	\$6.89	\$7.82	\$8.11	\$8.03	\$7.37	\$7.49	\$7.28	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$935	\$930	\$1,040	\$1,057	\$1,011	\$1,291	\$1,065	\$1,021	\$980	\$905	\$920	\$1,086	\$1,085	\$1,071	\$1,018	\$1,039	\$1,012	\$951
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	69%	69%	64%	73%	66%	74%	75%	69%	67%	61%	67%	71%	74%	56%	73%	70%	72%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	203,413	13,819	7,627	14,754	5,692	3,469	25,132	2,836	4,337	7,652	9,817	5,720	27,027	5,349	2,542	19,170	6,891	39,664	1,915
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	150,961	10,334	6,322	10,743	4,195	2,452	19,106	2,101	3,231	6,201	7,710	4,193	20,631	3,647	1,767	13,650	5,244	28,074	1,360
Part. in a Work Activity	145,081	9,940	6,025	10,342	4,071	2,371	18,538	2,027	3,148	5,883	7,446	3,992	19,993	3,501	1,677	13,084	5,021	26,712	1,310
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	108,255	6,637	4,379	7,800	3,067	1,746	14,215	1,484	2,595	4,443	5,106	2,702	14,842	2,624	1,187	10,138	3,790	20,706	794
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	69%	73%	73%	71%	74%	71%	80%	72%	66%	64%	72%	72%	67%	74%	72%	74%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.79	\$5.88	\$5.95	\$6.75	\$6.80	\$6.91	\$8.25	\$7.09	\$7.09	\$6.17	\$6.08	\$6.29	\$7.03	\$7.48	\$6.58	\$6.64	\$6.66	\$6.53	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$776	\$819	\$920	\$916	\$951	\$1,108	\$946	\$934	\$810	\$821	\$837	\$960	\$995	\$859	\$881	\$913	\$862	\$794
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	55%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	54%	57%	62%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,735	202	105	245	232	169	311	422	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	565	47	31	101	73	71	99	122	21
Participants in a Work Activity	431	31	19	75	63	46	90	96	11
% of Enrolled	76%	66%	61%	74%	86%	65%	91%	79%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	372	24	19	71	44	42	68	93	11
% of Enrolled	66%	51%	61%	70%	60%	59%	69%	76%	52%
Full Time	355	22	18	69	42	39	65	89	11
Part Time	17	2	1	2	2	3	3	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.60	\$7.39	\$6.61	\$6.39	\$6.53	\$6.45	\$6.55	\$6.66	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$1,059	\$947	\$896	\$946	\$935	\$910	\$974	\$971

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	160	8	7	25	12	18	45	39	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	595	48	35	104	73	73	109	131	22
Participants in a Work Activity	486	34	25	84	65	53	98	112	15
% of Total Enrolled	82%	71%	71%	81%	89%	73%	90%	85%	68%
Participants Employed	394	24	21	74	46	44	74	100	11
% of Total Enrolled	66%	50%	60%	71%	63%	60%	68%	76%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.60	\$7.33	\$6.93	\$6.37	\$6.55	\$6.51	\$6.50	\$6.64	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$1,058	\$987	\$896	\$935	\$956	\$889	\$954	\$851
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	58%	66%	75%	66%	90%	67%	69%	55%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,819	1,445	1,000	1,932	1,875	1,244	2,687	3,199	437
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,334	1,084	745	1,415	1,468	857	2,032	2,396	337
Participants in a Work Activity	9,940	1,006	702	1,398	1,392	838	1,961	2,325	318
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,637	565	452	945	931	522	1,418	1,542	262
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	67%	63%	61%	70%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.88	\$6.07	\$5.97	\$5.56	\$6.11	\$6.11	\$5.84	\$5.83	\$5.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$776	\$782	\$785	\$743	\$824	\$841	\$771	\$760	\$703
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	58%	61%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	914	20	104	49	144	188	115	236	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	383	9	45	14	54	57	47	130	27
Participants in a Work Activity	284	4	42	13	44	44	39	81	17
% of Enrolled	74%	44%	93%	93%	81%	77%	83%	62%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	0
Participants Employed	233	4	40	11	37	39	38	50	14
% of Enrolled	61%	44%	89%	79%	69%	68%	81%	38%	52%
Full Time	209	3	36	9	30	35	36	46	14
Part Time	24	1	4	2	7	4	2	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.80	\$8.44	\$6.59	\$6.42	\$6.69	\$7.19	\$6.69	\$6.79	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$1,120	\$989	\$835	\$952	\$983	\$918	\$937	\$988

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	115	5	7	0	14	22	16	31	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	424	9	46	16	62	68	51	142	30
Participants in a Work Activity	351	6	45	14	49	59	48	110	20
% of Total Enrolled	83%	67%	98%	88%	79%	87%	94%	77%	67%
Participants Employed	269	5	40	12	40	49	43	66	14
% of Total Enrolled	63%	56%	87%	75%	65%	72%	84%	46%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.77	\$8.40	\$6.59	\$6.39	\$6.63	\$7.04	\$6.70	\$6.78	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$1,110	\$955	\$853	\$948	\$946	\$886	\$911	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	50%	64%	67%	84%	67%	73%	66%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,627	197	1,069	536	1,292	1,249	1,220	1,542	522
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,322	152	876	436	1056	1011	981	1,362	448
Participants in a Work Activity	6,025	141	791	401	1006	988	961	1,316	421
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	4,379	102	600	291	692	706	682	998	308
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	68%	67%	66%	70%	70%	73%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.95	\$5.86	\$5.78	\$6.11	\$5.72	\$6.25	\$6.02	\$5.94	\$5.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$819	\$804	\$789	\$815	\$793	\$866	\$809	\$829	\$827
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	45%	46%	58%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,207	120	26	4	33	184	36	305	179	263	78	979
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	811	88	12	2	11	59	13	131	55	89	33	318
Participants in a Work Activity	592	55	4	1	11	48	10	115	49	64	24	211
% of Enrolled	73%	63%	33%	50%	100%	81%	77%	88%	89%	72%	73%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	5	3	0
Participants Employed	501	53	3	1	10	37	9	108	36	49	20	175
% of Enrolled	62%	60%	25%	50%	91%	63%	69%	82%	65%	55%	61%	55%
Full Time	432	36	2	1	9	36	7	102	36	41	17	145
Part Time	69	17	1	0	1	1	2	6	0	8	3	30
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$6.91	\$6.95	\$7.00	\$7.46	\$7.36	\$7.03	\$7.40	\$7.01	\$7.26	\$7.61	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$878	\$1,023	\$1,212	\$1,116	\$1,104	\$973	\$1,065	\$1,051	\$1,039	\$1,090	\$1,074

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	275	7	5	0	1	31	7	31	25	36	12	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	902	94	13	2	12	63	15	142	59	94	37	371
Participants in a Work Activity	727	69	6	1	11	53	13	128	56	75	29	286
% of Total Enrolled	81%	73%	46%	50%	92%	84%	87%	90%	95%	80%	78%	77%
Participants Employed	561	58	3	1	10	39	9	115	42	58	22	204
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	23%	50%	83%	62%	60%	81%	71%	62%	59%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$6.96	\$6.95	\$7.00	\$7.46	\$7.29	\$6.98	\$7.39	\$7.01	\$7.35	\$7.43	\$7.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$846	\$799	\$1,212	\$1,028	\$1,064	\$942	\$1,051	\$1,039	\$1,079	\$1,049	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	53%	60%	50%	69%	62%	56%	67%	61%	73%	66%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,754	951	246	51	374	1,237	364	2,124	1,327	1,509	598	5,973
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,743	694	143	13	254	888	235	1,689	1,009	1,148	449	4,221
Participants in a Work Activity	10,342	660	128	13	249	823	231	1,672	975	1,091	438	4,062
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	90%	100%	98%	93%	98%	99%	97%	95%	98%	96%
Participants Employed	7,800	510	72	10	185	615	140	1,391	686	889	330	2,972
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	50%	77%	73%	69%	60%	82%	68%	77%	73%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.56	\$7.07	\$6.13	\$6.60	\$6.60	\$6.57	\$6.61	\$6.54	\$7.21	\$6.73	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$920	\$812	\$904	\$978	\$890	\$919	\$906	\$902	\$924	\$1,010	\$883	\$930
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	44%	67%	45%	50%	48%	54%	46%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	802	512	7	0	66	217
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	282	164	4	0	17	97
Participants in a Work Activity	224	120	4	N/A	16	84
% of Enrolled	79%	73%	100%	N/A	94%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	202	113	2	N/A	11	76
% of Enrolled	72%	69%	50%	N/A	65%	78%
Full Time	185	110	0	N/A	10	65
Part Time	17	3	2	N/A	1	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.40	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.56	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,073	\$327	N/A	\$1,010	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	109	76	3	0	6	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	296	176	4	0	17	99
Participants in a Work Activity	248	141	4	N/A	16	87
% of Total Enrolled	84%	80%	100%	N/A	94%	88%
Participants Employed	211	119	2	N/A	11	79
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	50%	N/A	65%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.37	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.56	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,062	\$492	N/A	\$1,006	\$1,072
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	73%	100%	N/A	66%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,692	3,054	58	16	523	2,041
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,195	2,286	34	7	379	1,489
Participants in a Work Activity	4,071	2,230	33	7	365	1,436
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,067	1,616	22	5	296	1,128
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	65%	71%	78%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.80	\$6.80	\$6.56	\$7.75	\$6.50	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$916	\$929	\$732	\$694	\$848	\$920
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	55%	60%	54%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	344	7	98	37	31	97	74	252	160	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	149	4	46	21	6	46	26	69	35	34
Participants in a Work Activity	110	2	28	14	6	37	23	43	18	25
% of Enrolled	74%	50%	61%	67%	0	80%	88%	62%	51%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	82	2	22	14	6	26	12	42	18	24
% of Enrolled	55%	50%	48%	67%	5	57%	46%	61%	51%	71%
Full Time	69	2	19	11	5	22	10	39	18	21
Part Time	13	0	3	3	1	4	2	3	0	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$6.43	\$7.81	\$7.45	\$7.02	\$8.15	\$6.78	\$6.88	\$6.79	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$898	\$1,056	\$1,016	\$1,112	\$1,164	\$863	\$952	\$978	\$933

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	43	1	14	3	2	12	11	37	25	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	168	4	48	22	9	56	29	80	42	38
Participants in a Work Activity	135	2	40	16	7	47	23	54	27	27
% of Total Enrolled	80%	50%	83%	73%	78%	84%	79%	68%	64%	71%
Participants Employed	91	2	23	14	7	33	12	46	21	25
% of Total Enrolled	54%	50%	48%	64%	78%	59%	41%	58%	50%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$6.43	\$7.86	\$7.45	\$7.16	\$8.07	\$6.78	\$6.89	\$6.86	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$746	\$1,002	\$958	\$1,092	\$1,085	\$878	\$951	\$938	\$963
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	71%	69%	75%	64%	59%	64%	68%	56%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,469	145	621	542	454	873	834	1,915	1185	730
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,452	84	433	427	256	623	629	1,360	813	547
Participants in a Work Activity	2,371	79	415	418	251	597	611	1310	794	516
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,746	53	273	329	181	451	459	794	445	349
% of Total Enrolled	71%	63%	63%	77%	71%	72%	73%	58%	55%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$7.06	\$6.97	\$7.02	\$6.35	\$6.96	\$6.90	\$6.29	\$6.36	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$955	\$941	\$965	\$897	\$959	\$953	\$794	\$801	\$785
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	55%	57%	53%	59%	51%	62%	59%	65%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,079	238	1,098	222	939	397	148	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,167	74	365	132	439	101	43	13
Participants in a Work Activity	911	54	315	98	317	86	30	11
% of Enrolled	78%	73%	86%	74%	72%	85%	70%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	6	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	582	24	165	77	231	50	26	9
% of Enrolled	50%	32%	45%	58%	53%	50%	60%	69%
Full Time	482	18	139	65	191	38	22	9
Part Time	100	6	26	12	40	12	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.30	\$8.45	\$9.40	\$9.76	\$9.44	\$8.68	\$8.35	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,313	\$1,154	\$1,330	\$1,421	\$1,328	\$1,187	\$1,175	\$1,187

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	334	21	106	38	117	34	14	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,275	81	411	134	465	118	53	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,041	61	361	107	365	97	38	12
% of Total Enrolled	82%	75%	88%	80%	78%	82%	72%	92%
Participants Employed	633	27	184	77	247	57	32	9
% of Total Enrolled	50%	33%	45%	57%	53%	48%	60%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.31	\$8.69	\$9.43	\$9.77	\$9.42	\$8.72	\$8.40	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,291	\$1,200	\$1,323	\$1,356	\$1,320	\$1,093	\$1,175	\$1,187
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	64%	74%	75%	73%	81%	64%	94%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	25,132	2,339	8,751	1,664	7,972	3,048	1,042	316
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,106	1,776	6,467	1,311	6,112	2,417	788	235
Participants in a Work Activity	18,538	1,752	6,278	1,264	5,929	2,324	759	232
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	14,215	1,338	4,611	1,092	4,684	1,748	562	180
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	71%	83%	77%	72%	71%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.77	\$8.16	\$8.71	\$8.48	\$7.94	\$7.85	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,108	\$1,016	\$1,089	\$1,137	\$1,176	\$1,013	\$1,066	\$1,045
Five Months Employment ⁹	64%	64%	62%	67%	62%	70%	60%	70%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	375	145	109	33	84	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	111	49	29	10	20	3
Participants in a Work Activity	77	26	27	9	12	3
% of Enrolled	69%	53%	93%	90%	60%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	60	21	19	7	11	2
% of Enrolled	54%	43%	66%	70%	55%	67%
Full Time	55	19	18	7	11	0
Part Time	5	2	1	0	0	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$8.45	\$7.65	\$7.94	\$6.95	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,155	\$1,085	\$1,220	\$930	\$546

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	53	23	18	2	9	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	123	51	33	12	23	4
Participants in a Work Activity	94	33	30	11	17	3
% of Total Enrolled	76%	65%	91%	92%	74%	75%
Participants Employed	64	22	20	9	11	2
% of Total Enrolled	52%	43%	61%	75%	48%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$8.40	\$7.60	\$7.93	\$6.95	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,139	\$1,075	\$1,165	\$875	\$758
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	81%	74%	67%	65%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,836	1,083	820	268	590	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,101	769	614	196	469	53
Participants in a Work Activity	2,027	736	608	189	444	50
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	96%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	1,484	524	439	138	349	34
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	71%	70%	74%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.05	\$7.39	\$6.93	\$6.84	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$931	\$969	\$970	\$932	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	44%	44%	43%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	580	101	29	48	85	34	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	204	30	6	15	26	12	115
Participants in a Work Activity	166	23	5	7	20	7	104
% of Enrolled	81%	77%	83%	47%	77%	58%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	117	18	3	6	12	4	74
% of Enrolled	57%	60%	50%	40%	46%	33%	64%
Full Time	82	12	2	4	10	3	51
Part Time	35	6	1	2	2	1	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$7.95	\$7.50	\$7.13	\$8.57	\$7.63	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$993	\$931	\$924	\$1,151	\$973	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	64	11	2	12	10	2	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	228	31	8	16	29	14	130
Participants in a Work Activity	197	27	7	10	24	8	121
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	88%	63%	83%	57%	93%
Participants Employed	130	18	4	6	15	5	82
% of Total Enrolled	57%	58%	50%	38%	52%	36%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.95	\$7.63	\$7.13	\$8.84	\$7.27	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,017	\$923	\$982	\$1,190	\$889	\$1,006
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	77%	100%	50%	61%	90%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,337	972	158	335	637	195	2,040
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,231	665	101	235	425	125	1,680
Participants in a Work Activity	3,148	648	96	224	403	123	1,654
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	95%	95%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,595	526	76	188	283	84	1,438
% of Total Enrolled	80%	79%	75%	80%	67%	67%	86%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.44	\$7.23	\$7.04	\$7.04	\$7.28	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$934	\$974	\$1,072	\$915	\$949	\$1,010	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	49%	49%	54%	52%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	995	77	70	169	212	467
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	403	25	31	82	106	159
Participants in a Work Activity	307	19	25	64	71	128
% of Enrolled	76%	76%	81%	78%	67%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	239	14	25	51	51	98
% of Enrolled	59%	56%	81%	62%	48%	62%
Full Time	204	12	25	47	45	75
Part Time	35	2	0	4	6	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.24	\$7.34	\$7.02	\$7.14	\$7.57	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,020	\$1,012	\$1,049	\$1,001	\$1,081	\$992

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	94	4	11	20	14	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	439	27	39	84	117	172
Participants in a Work Activity	360	22	33	72	85	148
% of Total Enrolled	82%	81%	85%	86%	73%	86%
Participants Employed	263	16	29	55	56	107
% of Total Enrolled	60%	59%	74%	65%	48%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$7.24	\$6.94	\$7.15	\$7.58	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$939	\$1,033	\$943	\$1,067	\$947
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	83%	68%	59%	66%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,652	699	632	1,513	1,756	3,052
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,201	555	553	1,173	1,453	2,467
Participants in a Work Activity	5,883	534	540	1,107	1,365	2,337
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	4,443	385	404	804	1,013	1,837
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	73%	69%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.17	\$6.39	\$5.97	\$6.15	\$6.33	\$6.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$810	\$822	\$818	\$801	\$846	\$791
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,513	230	451	121	221	490
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	442	54	173	55	43	117
Participants in a Work Activity	327	36	126	47	24	94
% of Enrolled	74%	67%	73%	85%	56%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	252	34	87	40	24	67
% of Enrolled	57%	63%	50%	73%	56%	57%
Full Time	216	29	75	33	21	58
Part Time	36	5	12	7	3	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.93	\$7.04	\$7.27	\$6.27	\$6.98	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$973	\$1,002	\$869	\$970	\$914

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	149	19	40	19	23	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	524	67	186	63	53	155
Participants in a Work Activity	429	54	149	53	43	130
% of Total Enrolled	82%	81%	80%	84%	81%	84%
Participants Employed	280	38	92	45	29	76
% of Total Enrolled	53%	57%	49%	71%	55%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$6.92	\$7.24	\$6.20	\$6.91	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$909	\$957	\$795	\$947	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	66%	57%	69%	59%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	9,817	1,512	2,540	1,005	1,579	3,181
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,710	1,242	1,809	859	1,193	2,607
Participants in a Work Activity	7,446	1,188	1,737	806	1,172	2,543
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	5,106	773	1,212	589	698	1,834
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	67%	69%	59%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.08	\$6.06	\$6.36	\$5.99	\$6.09	\$5.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$821	\$812	\$908	\$787	\$842	\$779
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	49%	55%	66%	52%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	903	53	110	59	48	61	145	38	154	111	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	397	14	52	21	11	32	61	22	62	60	62
Participants in a Work Activity	258	11	38	17	7	13	55	6	41	32	38
% of Enrolled	65%	79%	73%	81%	64%	41%	90%	27%	66%	53%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	207	7	38	15	7	11	35	5	32	28	29
% of Enrolled	52%	50%	73%	71%	64%	34%	57%	23%	52%	47%	47%
Full Time	156	5	23	14	3	6	23	4	26	27	25
Part Time	51	2	15	1	4	5	12	1	6	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$7.26	\$5.99	\$7.41	\$7.37	\$8.23	\$6.78	\$5.94	\$6.84	\$6.88	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$928	\$827	\$746	\$1,141	\$688	\$1,034	\$890	\$723	\$1,018	\$1,030	\$981

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	89	3	11	9	4	10	13	4	13	12	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	441	17	58	25	15	32	72	22	69	64	67
Participants in a Work Activity	333	14	46	17	10	19	68	8	66	34	51
% of Total Enrolled	76%	82%	79%	68%	67%	59%	94%	36%	96%	53%	76%
Participants Employed	220	9	30	15	7	12	42	5	38	30	32
% of Total Enrolled	50%	53%	52%	60%	47%	38%	58%	23%	55%	47%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$7.15	\$5.95	\$7.43	\$7.37	\$8.21	\$6.91	\$5.94	\$6.83	\$6.88	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$920	\$859	\$785	\$1,128	\$681	\$1,047	\$857	\$723	\$974	\$1,041	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	47%	83%	68%	44%	73%	65%	100%	58%	77%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,720	324	790	572	473	397	693	224	814	698	735
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,193	225	609	404	314	270	518	153	614	511	575
Participants in a Work Activity	3,992	206	605	371	291	251	499	151	578	478	562
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	99%	92%	93%	93%	96%	99%	94%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	2,702	145	355	247	170	156	311	80	436	358	444
% of Total Enrolled	64%	64%	58%	61%	54%	58%	60%	52%	71%	70%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.29	\$7.00	\$5.87	\$6.62	\$6.59	\$6.81	\$6.24	\$5.55	\$5.98	\$6.33	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$837	\$937	\$792	\$900	\$857	\$921	\$791	\$736	\$790	\$854	\$845
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	56%	52%	46%	42%	56%	59%	58%	55%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,493	766	35	124	1,150	36	2,382
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,718	250	9	49	415	13	982
Participants in a Work Activity	1,293	173	4	34	291	8	783
% of Enrolled	75%	69%	44%	69%	70%	62%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	0	7	4	6
Participants Employed	817	130	4	28	219	5	431
% of Enrolled	48%	52%	44%	57%	53%	38%	44%
Full Time	674	104	3	20	185	3	359
Part Time	143	26	1	8	34	2	72
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.94	\$8.00	\$8.47	\$8.06	\$9.83	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,127	\$1,074	\$1,149	\$1,173	\$1,272	\$1,052

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	409	89	6	20	94	4	196
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,860	262	9	49	450	13	1,077
Participants in a Work Activity	1,491	220	4	35	340	8	884
% of Total Enrolled	80%	84%	44%	71%	76%	62%	82%
Participants Employed	892	136	4	28	238	5	481
% of Total Enrolled	48%	52%	44%	57%	53%	38%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.87	\$8.00	\$8.47	\$8.10	\$9.83	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,098	\$1,195	\$1,139	\$1,147	\$1,216	\$1,047
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	79%	80%	72%	77%	44%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	27,027	5,156	180	815	6,082	237	14,557
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,631	3,815	123	547	4,782	155	11,209
Participants in a Work Activity	19,993	3,736	119	500	4,555	147	10,936
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	91%	95%	95%	98%
Participants Employed	14,842	2,652	87	373	3,423	91	8,216
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	71%	68%	72%	59%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.26	\$6.48	\$7.89	\$7.27	\$6.70	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$960	\$990	\$851	\$1,049	\$992	\$863	\$939
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	56%	66%	56%	52%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	799	127	31	273	233	135
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	353	24	7	137	107	78
Participants in a Work Activity	253	15	6	99	72	61
% of Enrolled	72%	63%	86%	72%	67%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	219	12	6	87	61	53
% of Enrolled	62%	50%	86%	64%	57%	68%
Full Time	186	9	5	75	58	39
Part Time	33	3	1	12	3	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.81	\$7.38	\$8.17	\$8.02	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,113	\$1,133	\$956	\$1,121	\$1,109	\$1,117

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	88	19	2	22	26	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	375	31	7	147	110	80
Participants in a Work Activity	276	19	6	112	73	66
% of Total Enrolled	74%	61%	86%	76%	66%	83%
Participants Employed	236	16	6	96	62	56
% of Total Enrolled	63%	52%	86%	65%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.68	\$7.38	\$8.15	\$8.04	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,113	\$851	\$1,075	\$1,101	\$1,102
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	65%	64%	76%	82%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,349	852	354	1,497	1,558	1,088
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,647	554	225	1,134	850	884
Participants in a Work Activity	3,501	536	215	1,056	822	872
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	2,624	356	162	835	549	722
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	72%	74%	65%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.17	\$7.03	\$7.57	\$7.93	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$995	\$926	\$895	\$1,011	\$1,111	\$969
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	41%	51%	56%	56%	53%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	327	79	17	27	39	17	22	21	32	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	117	36	4	11	8	6	8	8	9	27
Participants in a Work Activity	81	25	2	10	8	5	3	4	7	17
% of Enrolled	69%	69%	50%	91%	100%	83%	38%	50%	78%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Participants Employed	56	15	2	8	4	5	3	2	4	13
% of Enrolled	48%	42%	50%	73%	50%	83%	38%	25%	44%	48%
Full Time	47	13	1	6	3	4	2	2	4	12
Part Time	9	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$7.44	\$6.75	\$7.91	\$8.63	\$6.49	\$8.87	\$7.18	\$7.50	\$8.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,094	\$1,045	\$877	\$1,035	\$1,242	\$919	\$1,049	\$1,101	\$1,102	\$1,247

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	43	12	3	3	2	1	5	2	3	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	133	37	4	11	9	6	9	9	10	38
Participants in a Work Activity	104	32	2	10	9	5	5	5	8	28
% of Total Enrolled	78%	86%	50%	91%	100%	83%	56%	56%	80%	74%
Participants Employed	64	15	2	8	4	5	4	2	5	19
% of Total Enrolled	48%	41%	50%	73%	44%	83%	44%	22%	50%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.44	\$6.75	\$7.91	\$8.63	\$6.49	\$8.65	\$7.18	\$7.45	\$9.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$845	\$877	\$1,067	\$1,323	\$919	\$1,090	\$1,101	\$1,029	\$1,264
Three Months Employment ⁸	56%	67%	80%	67%	100%	67%	40%	44%	50%	46%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,542	368	196	186	304	144	289	236	237	582
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,767	274	122	145	227	87	204	187	119	402
Participants in a Work Activity	1,677	264	114	139	215	81	190	182	114	378
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	93%	96%	95%	93%	93%	97%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	1,187	191	72	91	160	56	148	115	82	272
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	59%	63%	70%	64%	73%	61%	69%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.58	\$6.55	\$6.80	\$6.94	\$6.38	\$6.52	\$6.61	\$6.27	\$6.66	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$859	\$823	\$867	\$965	\$810	\$836	\$855	\$831	\$929	\$882
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	43%	36%	45%	47%	50%	55%	42%	32%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,672	28	92	95	29	62	1,003	1,334	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	948	6	26	35	10	19	314	524	14
Participants in a Work Activity	650	3	22	29	6	14	211	355	10
% of Enrolled	69%	50%	85%	83%	60%	74%	67%	68%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0
Participants Employed	580	3	21	28	4	13	174	328	9
% of Enrolled	61%	50%	81%	80%	40%	68%	55%	63%	64%
Full Time	493	2	20	22	2	12	141	286	8
Part Time	87	1	1	6	2	1	33	42	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$8.00	\$7.36	\$8.31	\$8.63	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.37	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,032	\$1,049	\$1,155	\$1,110	\$1,054	\$973	\$1,048	\$1,018

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	257	1	12	8	2	7	96	123	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,055	7	27	39	13	23	344	587	15
Participants in a Work Activity	824	3	24	32	9	16	282	446	12
% of Total Enrolled	78%	43%	89%	82%	69%	70%	82%	76%	80%
Participants Employed	643	3	22	29	6	15	190	369	9
% of Total Enrolled	61%	43%	81%	74%	46%	65%	55%	63%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$8.00	\$7.35	\$8.22	\$9.32	\$7.34	\$7.22	\$7.35	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,179	\$958	\$1,051	\$1,201	\$995	\$987	\$1,034	\$957
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	67%	57%	68%	83%	73%	77%	72%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	19,170	113	771	737	212	707	6,816	9,568	246
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,650	79	513	544	148	484	4,757	6,962	163
Participants in a Work Activity	13,084	77	479	509	140	470	4,657	6,598	154
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	94%	95%	97%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	10,138	39	371	402	101	322	3,482	5,294	127
% of Total Enrolled	74%	49%	72%	74%	68%	67%	73%	76%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.65	\$6.47	\$6.96	\$7.24	\$6.69	\$6.64	\$6.61	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$881	\$888	\$821	\$853	\$1,001	\$852	\$880	\$887	\$869
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	61%	56%	53%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,053	87	90	37	61	267	511
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	437	22	30	14	31	131	209
Participants in a Work Activity	325	20	22	11	27	107	138
% of Enrolled	74%	91%	73%	79%	87%	82%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	2	0	0	4	2
Participants Employed	247	14	16	9	23	79	106
% of Enrolled	57%	64%	53%	64%	74%	60%	51%
Full Time	217	14	15	5	19	69	95
Part Time	30	0	1	4	4	10	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$6.99	\$7.81	\$8.64	\$6.90	\$7.36	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,096	\$1,319	\$1,078	\$966	\$1,022	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	64	8	6	3	7	19	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW	473	28	37	17	39	134	218
Participants in a Work Activity	360	27	25	15	35	110	148
% of Total Enrolled	76%	96%	68%	88%	90%	82%	68%
Participants Employed	265	18	19	11	25	82	110
% of Total Enrolled	56%	64%	51%	65%	64%	61%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$7.37	\$8.39	\$8.34	\$7.04	\$7.34	\$7.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,174	\$1,505	\$963	\$1,001	\$971	\$1,003
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	79%	51%	83%	68%	67%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,891	872	593	248	565	1,708	2,905
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,244	616	425	189	434	1,346	2,234
Participants in a Work Activity	5,021	614	370	177	419	1,296	2,145
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,790	437	272	139	303	984	1,655
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	64%	74%	70%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.66	\$6.65	\$7.09	\$6.69	\$6.70	\$6.55	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$913	\$917	\$968	\$847	\$929	\$898	\$916
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	53%	51%	49%	57%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/08 and Work Activity as of 7/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,473	128	101	973	77	1,784	1,113	378	919
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,939	44	34	362	16	702	390	105	286
Participants in a Work Activity	1,446	28	24	254	12	563	290	74	201
% of Enrolled	75%	64%	71%	70%	75%	80%	74%	70%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	2	2	4	0	0	5	0	2
Participants Employed	1,251	24	19	216	11	507	237	67	170
% of Enrolled	65%	55%	56%	60%	69%	72%	61%	64%	59%
Full Time	1,157	19	15	204	9	487	206	65	152
Part Time	94	5	4	12	2	20	31	2	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$6.75	\$7.14	\$7.44	\$6.77	\$7.17	\$7.28	\$7.00	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$885	\$983	\$1,055	\$1,002	\$1,001	\$1,021	\$995	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	509	11	11	107	10	134	87	37	112
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,085	49	37	397	22	712	434	124	310
Participants in a Work Activity	1,661	36	31	305	16	603	332	96	242
% of Total Enrolled	80%	73%	84%	77%	73%	85%	76%	77%	78%
Participants Employed	1,352	25	20	240	14	524	261	77	191
% of Total Enrolled	65%	51%	54%	60%	64%	74%	60%	62%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$6.69	\$7.20	\$7.44	\$7.00	\$7.14	\$7.41	\$7.00	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$935	\$963	\$1,044	\$960	\$983	\$1,044	\$953	\$1,049
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	65%	62%	75%	61%	77%	70%	58%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	39,664	854	745	6,232	627	12,875	6,530	2,556	9,245
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	28,074	596	573	4,379	484	9,030	5,007	1,934	6,071
Participants in a Work Activity	26,712	573	548	4,150	469	8,642	4,730	1,907	5,693
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	97%	96%	94%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	20,706	439	359	3,297	347	6,633	3,832	1,507	4,292
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	63%	75%	72%	73%	77%	78%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.53	\$6.55	\$6.27	\$6.64	\$6.18	\$6.43	\$6.44	\$6.36	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$862	\$878	\$798	\$883	\$775	\$829	\$872	\$831	\$924
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	55%	55%	62%	60%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	50.0%	4.29	80	\$6.86	2.14	96	55.9%	2.65	105	3.03	110
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	58.1%	5.54	59	\$7.95	4.69	29	76.7%	6.12	24	5.45	25
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	61.7%	6.10	42	\$6.96	2.38	86	52.8%	2.13	108	3.53	98
007	<i>Amelia</i>	52.9%	4.75	71	\$7.15	2.82	72	47.1%	1.18	114	2.91	112
009	<i>Amherst</i>	59.3%	5.72	56	\$7.24	3.03	66	83.3%	7.22	10	5.32	27
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	74.4%	8.05	13	\$6.94	2.33	89	67.9%	4.64	56	5.01	44
013	<i>Arlington</i>	33.3%	1.72	116	\$8.69	6.41	9	64.2%	4.03	83	4.05	83
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	67.6%	7.01	24	\$7.37	3.33	55	73.3%	5.55	35	5.30	29
017	<i>Bath</i>	50.0%	4.29	81	\$6.40	1.07	114	100.0%	10.00	1	5.12	40
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	65.5%	6.68	26	\$7.15	2.82	73	59.0%	3.16	96	4.22	75
021	<i>Bland</i>	55.6%	5.15	64	\$8.40	5.73	13	50.0%	1.67	110	4.18	77
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	23.1%	0.13	117	\$6.95	2.35	87	60.0%	3.33	94	1.94	118
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	51.7%	4.56	74	\$5.95	0.02	118	82.8%	7.13	13	3.90	91
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	50.0%	4.29	82	\$7.33	3.24	63	57.5%	2.92	102	3.48	101
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	60.0%	5.84	51	\$7.43	3.47	50	68.2%	4.70	54	4.67	57
031	<i>Campbell</i>	47.9%	3.96	95	\$7.58	3.82	39	66.3%	4.39	71	4.06	82
033	<i>Caroline</i>	51.6%	4.54	76	\$7.68	4.06	35	64.7%	4.12	81	4.24	74
035	<i>Carroll</i>	87.0%	10.00	1	\$6.59	1.52	108	64.1%	4.02	84	5.18	34
036	<i>Charles City</i>	42.9%	3.19	109	\$8.00	4.80	27	66.7%	4.45	62	4.14	78
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	46.7%	3.78	99	\$7.37	3.33	56	44.4%	0.74	116	2.62	116
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	51.9%	4.59	73	\$7.87	4.50	32	78.6%	6.43	20	5.17	37
043	<i>Clarke</i>	50.0%	4.29	83	\$6.43	1.14	113	71.4%	5.24	44	3.56	97
045	<i>Craig</i>	50.0%	4.29	84	\$7.00	2.47	80	50.0%	1.67	111	2.81	115
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	43.1%	3.23	108	\$8.40	5.73	14	81.4%	6.90	15	5.29	30
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	37.5%	2.36	113	\$8.21	5.29	21	72.7%	5.46	38	4.37	68
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	60.0%	5.84	52	\$6.93	2.31	90	65.6%	4.27	75	4.14	80
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	64.3%	6.50	32	\$7.37	3.33	57	79.2%	6.53	19	5.45	24
057	<i>Essex</i>	40.5%	2.83	111	\$7.44	3.50	48	66.7%	4.45	63	3.59	96
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	44.8%	3.48	103	\$9.43	8.14	3	73.6%	5.60	33	5.74	15
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	60.6%	5.93	46	\$7.60	3.87	37	74.4%	5.73	31	5.18	36
063	<i>Floyd</i>	83.3%	9.44	4	\$7.46	3.54	45	69.2%	4.87	49	5.95	11
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	50.0%	4.29	85	\$7.63	3.94	36	100.0%	10.00	2	6.08	8
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	61.9%	6.13	41	\$7.29	3.15	64	61.9%	3.65	89	4.31	71
069	<i>Frederick</i>	47.9%	3.97	94	\$7.86	4.48	33	69.2%	4.87	50	4.44	67
071	<i>Giles</i>	60.0%	5.84	53	\$6.98	2.42	85	55.6%	2.59	106	3.62	95
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	81.5%	9.15	6	\$7.35	3.29	58	56.8%	2.79	103	5.08	41
075	<i>Goochland</i>	44.4%	3.43	105	\$8.00	4.80	28	80.0%	6.67	17	4.97	46
077	<i>Grayson</i>	75.0%	8.15	11	\$6.39	1.05	115	66.7%	4.45	64	4.55	63

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	37.5%	2.36	114	\$7.13	2.77	75	50.0%	1.67	112	2.27	117
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.3%	5.58	58	\$6.91	2.26	93	64.9%	4.15	80	4.00	87
083	Halifax	56.7%	5.33	62	\$6.92	2.28	91	65.5%	4.25	76	3.96	89
085	Hanover	57.1%	5.39	61	\$8.47	5.90	12	72.2%	5.37	41	5.55	23
087	Henrico	52.9%	4.74	72	\$8.10	5.03	24	77.0%	6.17	21	5.32	28
089	Henry/Martinsville	49.5%	4.21	91	\$7.24	3.03	67	56.7%	2.78	104	3.34	105
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	51.0%	4.45	78	\$6.69	1.75	105	65.5%	4.24	77	3.48	102
095	James City	74.4%	8.05	14	\$8.22	5.31	20	68.4%	4.74	53	6.04	9
097	King and Queen	50.0%	4.29	86	\$6.75	1.89	101	80.0%	6.67	18	4.28	73
099	King George	85.7%	9.81	2	\$7.38	3.36	54	63.6%	3.94	86	5.70	17
101	King William	72.7%	7.80	16	\$7.91	4.59	31	66.7%	4.45	65	5.61	20
103	Lancaster	44.4%	3.43	106	\$8.63	6.27	11	100.0%	10.00	3	6.57	3
105	Lee	71.2%	7.56	20	\$6.37	1.00	116	74.7%	5.79	30	4.78	53
107	Loudoun	57.5%	5.44	60	\$9.77	8.93	2	75.2%	5.87	27	6.75	2
109	Louisa	51.7%	4.56	75	\$8.84	6.76	7	61.3%	3.55	91	4.96	48
111	Lunenburg	22.7%	0.08	118	\$5.94	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	4	3.36	104
113	Madison	75.0%	8.15	12	\$7.93	4.64	30	66.7%	4.45	66	5.75	14
115	Mathews	83.3%	9.44	5	\$6.49	1.28	112	66.7%	4.45	67	5.06	43
117	Mecklenburg	55.1%	5.07	66	\$6.83	2.07	97	58.5%	3.08	99	3.41	103
119	Middlesex	44.4%	3.43	107	\$8.65	6.32	10	40.0%	0.00	119	3.25	107
121	Montgomery	81.0%	9.08	7	\$7.39	3.38	53	66.7%	4.45	68	5.63	18
125	Nelson	35.7%	2.08	115	\$7.27	3.10	65	90.0%	8.33	6	4.51	66
127	New Kent	46.2%	3.70	102	\$9.32	7.88	5	83.3%	7.22	11	6.27	5
131	Northampton	65.8%	6.73	25	\$6.92	2.28	92	86.4%	7.73	8	5.58	22
133	Northumberland	22.2%	0.00	119	\$7.18	2.89	70	44.4%	0.74	117	1.21	119
135	Nottoway	46.9%	3.81	98	\$6.88	2.19	95	76.7%	6.12	25	4.04	84
137	Orange	47.8%	3.96	96	\$6.95	2.35	88	65.2%	4.20	79	3.50	99
139	Page	63.6%	6.40	34	\$7.45	3.52	46	75.0%	5.83	28	5.25	31
141	Patrick	71.4%	7.60	18	\$6.20	0.61	117	69.3%	4.89	47	4.37	69
143	Pittsylvania	54.7%	5.02	68	\$6.91	2.26	94	59.0%	3.17	95	3.48	100
145	Powhatan	38.5%	2.51	112	\$9.83	9.07	1	44.4%	0.74	118	4.11	81
147	Prince Edward	47.8%	3.95	97	\$6.99	2.45	84	72.3%	5.39	40	3.93	90
149	Prince George	51.4%	4.50	77	\$8.39	5.71	17	51.4%	1.91	109	4.04	85
153	Prince William	53.1%	4.77	70	\$9.42	8.11	4	73.2%	5.54	36	6.14	6
155	Pulaski	71.2%	7.56	19	\$7.01	2.49	79	61.1%	3.52	93	4.53	64
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	4.29	87	\$7.00	2.47	81	66.7%	4.45	69	3.74	94
159	Richmond County	50.0%	4.29	88	\$7.45	3.52	47	50.0%	1.67	113	3.16	108
161	Roanoke County	61.7%	6.10	43	\$7.35	3.29	59	72.9%	5.49	37	4.96	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	64.7%	6.56	29	\$7.56	3.78	41	64.7%	4.12	82	4.82	52
165	Rockingham/H'burg	79.8%	8.89	8	\$7.71	4.13	34	71.9%	5.32	43	6.11	7
167	Russell	63.0%	6.30	37	\$6.55	1.42	109	65.8%	4.29	74	4.00	86
169	Scott	60.3%	5.88	49	\$6.51	1.33	110	90.0%	8.33	7	5.18	33
171	Shenandoah	77.8%	8.58	9	\$7.16	2.84	71	63.6%	3.94	87	5.12	39

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	64.5%	6.53	31	\$6.63	1.61	107	84.3%	7.39	9	5.18	35
175	<i>Southampton</i>	54.1%	4.92	69	\$7.20	2.94	69	61.8%	3.63	90	3.83	93
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	65.3%	6.66	27	\$8.15	5.15	23	76.1%	6.02	26	5.94	12
179	<i>Stafford</i>	56.4%	5.27	63	\$8.04	4.90	26	81.6%	6.94	14	5.70	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	64.7%	6.56	30	\$8.34	5.59	19	83.3%	7.22	12	6.46	4
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.1%	6.47	33	\$7.04	2.56	77	68.2%	4.70	55	4.58	60
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.9%	7.05	23	\$6.50	1.31	111	67.0%	4.50	59	4.29	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	58.9%	5.67	57	\$8.07	4.97	25	58.8%	3.14	97	4.59	59
191	<i>Washington</i>	72.1%	7.70	17	\$7.04	2.56	78	67.1%	4.52	58	4.93	49
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	50.0%	4.29	89	\$9.06	7.27	6	46.4%	1.07	115	4.21	76
195	<i>Wise</i>	76.3%	8.36	10	\$6.64	1.63	106	68.6%	4.76	52	4.92	50
197	<i>Wythe</i>	84.3%	9.59	3	\$6.70	1.77	102	72.7%	5.46	39	5.61	21
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	65.2%	6.64	28	\$7.34	3.26	61	73.3%	5.56	34	5.15	38
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.3%	4.03	93	\$8.72	6.48	8	80.8%	6.80	16	5.77	13
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.5%	3.75	101	\$6.78	1.96	99	65.9%	4.31	73	3.34	106
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	63.1%	6.31	36	\$8.20	5.27	22	66.9%	4.49	61	5.36	26
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.5%	5.91	47	\$7.44	3.50	49	74.8%	5.80	29	5.07	42
590	<i>Danville</i>	49.0%	4.14	92	\$6.81	2.03	98	58.0%	3.01	101	3.06	109
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.6%	6.40	35	\$7.00	2.47	82	61.3%	3.55	92	4.14	79
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	70.0%	7.38	21	\$8.35	5.62	18	69.4%	4.90	46	5.97	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	46.7%	3.78	100	\$6.70	1.77	103	58.6%	3.10	98	2.88	113
650	<i>Hampton</i>	55.2%	5.10	65	\$7.22	2.98	68	76.8%	6.13	23	4.74	55
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	61.2%	6.02	45	\$7.34	3.26	62	67.0%	4.50	60	4.59	58
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	62.2%	6.18	39	\$7.13	2.77	76	68.6%	4.77	51	4.57	61
683	<i>Manassas</i>	60.4%	5.89	48	\$8.40	5.73	15	63.6%	3.94	88	5.19	32
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	69.2%	7.26	22	\$8.40	5.73	16	93.8%	8.96	5	7.32	1
700	<i>Newport News</i>	62.9%	6.28	38	\$7.35	3.29	60	72.2%	5.36	42	4.97	45
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.6%	7.94	15	\$7.14	2.80	74	76.9%	6.14	22	5.62	19
720	<i>Norton</i>	50.0%	4.29	90	\$6.70	1.77	104	55.0%	2.50	107	2.85	114
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	50.5%	4.36	79	\$7.49	3.61	43	74.2%	5.70	32	4.56	62
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	60.1%	5.86	50	\$7.41	3.43	52	70.3%	5.05	45	4.78	54
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.5%	5.75	55	\$7.43	3.47	51	66.0%	4.33	72	4.52	65
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	44.7%	3.47	104	\$7.60	3.87	38	67.2%	4.53	57	3.96	88
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	55.0%	5.06	67	\$7.53	3.71	42	65.3%	4.21	78	4.33	70
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	62.1%	6.16	40	\$7.00	2.47	83	58.5%	3.08	100	3.90	92
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	61.6%	6.08	44	\$7.48	3.59	44	69.3%	4.88	48	4.85	51
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	60.0%	5.84	54	\$7.58	3.82	40	66.7%	4.45	70	4.70	56
840	<i>Winchester</i>	41.4%	2.96	110	\$6.78	1.96	100	64.1%	4.02	85	2.98	111

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.7%	1.48	115	\$801	2.23	99	58.8%	7.06	29	3.59	107
003	Albemarle	79.1%	8.21	6	\$974	5.80	18	53.8%	5.73	64	6.58	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.5%	6.66	31	\$812	2.45	94	45.6%	3.54	102	4.22	88
007	Amelia	64.4%	4.16	91	\$937	5.04	31	44.3%	3.18	109	4.13	90
009	Amherst	69.4%	5.52	69	\$822	2.65	90	52.8%	5.45	71	4.54	80
011	Appomattox	73.1%	6.54	37	\$818	2.57	92	43.2%	2.88	113	4.00	94
013	Arlington	75.3%	7.17	20	\$1,016	6.68	9	64.4%	8.54	8	7.46	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.7%	5.89	56	\$929	4.88	36	52.8%	5.46	70	5.41	43
017	Bath	64.7%	4.23	89	\$732	0.79	118	55.0%	6.04	55	3.69	104
019	Bedford County/City	68.5%	5.29	74	\$801	2.23	100	55.5%	6.16	51	4.56	79
021	Bland	67.1%	4.90	82	\$804	2.28	98	45.2%	3.44	107	3.54	109
023	Botetourt	50.3%	0.27	119	\$904	4.36	51	43.9%	3.07	111	2.57	119
025	Brunswick	58.3%	2.46	113	\$792	2.03	103	56.5%	6.43	40	3.64	106
027	Buchanan	52.1%	0.76	118	\$782	1.82	111	54.4%	5.89	60	2.83	117
029	Buckingham	61.1%	3.25	105	\$900	4.27	53	52.3%	5.32	76	4.28	87
031	Campbell	69.7%	5.62	65	\$846	3.15	78	59.6%	7.27	25	5.34	44
033	Caroline	64.3%	4.11	94	\$926	4.82	39	40.9%	2.28	118	3.74	102
035	Carroll	68.5%	5.28	75	\$789	1.97	107	45.6%	3.53	103	3.59	108
036	Charles City	49.4%	0.00	120	\$888	4.02	58	60.6%	7.54	19	3.85	98
037	Charlotte	54.1%	1.32	116	\$857	3.38	70	45.5%	3.51	104	2.73	118
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69.5%	5.56	68	\$990	6.15	16	60.9%	7.60	18	6.44	19
043	Clarke	63.1%	3.79	99	\$955	5.41	26	46.0%	3.64	99	4.28	86
045	Craig	76.9%	7.61	14	\$978	5.89	17	66.7%	9.15	4	7.55	5
047	Culpeper	68.1%	5.18	78	\$931	4.91	34	56.0%	6.30	48	5.46	41
049	Cumberland	57.8%	2.32	114	\$921	4.72	42	42.2%	2.62	116	3.22	113
051	Dickensen	60.7%	3.12	107	\$785	1.89	110	48.7%	4.36	93	3.12	114
053	Dinwiddie	70.9%	5.96	52	\$917	4.62	46	52.5%	5.38	73	5.32	45
057	Essex	69.7%	5.61	66	\$823	2.67	89	42.9%	2.80	114	3.70	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71.3%	6.05	50	\$1,089	8.20	4	61.9%	7.88	13	7.38	7
061	Fauquier	71.5%	6.11	47	\$969	5.70	21	62.9%	8.14	10	6.65	12
063	Floyd	72.8%	6.48	39	\$890	4.08	57	45.5%	3.49	106	4.68	73
065	Fluvanna	75.2%	7.14	22	\$1,072	7.85	5	49.1%	4.47	91	6.49	17
067	Franklin County	69.3%	5.49	70	\$919	4.67	44	50.5%	4.83	85	5.00	62
069	Frederick	63.0%	3.78	100	\$941	5.12	29	55.3%	6.11	52	5.00	60
071	Giles	59.6%	2.82	109	\$906	4.39	50	48.4%	4.27	96	3.83	99
073	Gloucester	72.3%	6.34	43	\$821	2.63	91	55.9%	6.27	49	5.08	58
075	Goochland	70.7%	5.90	53	\$851	3.26	75	55.7%	6.23	50	5.13	56
077	Grayson	66.7%	4.80	85	\$815	2.51	93	57.8%	6.78	32	4.69	72

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	80.0%	8.46	5	\$915	4.59	48	49.4%	4.55	88	5.86	30
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.0%	2.95	108	\$791	2.01	105	56.1%	6.34	45	3.76	100
083	Halifax	62.2%	3.55	103	\$812	2.44	95	48.6%	4.32	95	3.44	110
085	Hanover	68.2%	5.20	77	\$1,049	7.36	7	66.3%	9.04	6	7.20	8
087	Henrico	71.6%	6.13	46	\$992	6.19	15	56.3%	6.38	42	6.23	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	67.0%	4.87	83	\$908	4.44	49	55.0%	6.04	56	5.12	57
091	Highland	71.4%	6.09	48	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.37	22	4.49	81
093	Isle of Wight	73.7%	6.70	27	\$878	3.81	64	55.1%	6.06	54	5.53	40
095	James City	73.9%	6.77	26	\$853	3.29	73	53.0%	5.51	68	5.19	52
097	King and Queen	59.0%	2.66	110	\$867	3.58	67	35.9%	0.96	119	2.40	120
099	King George	72.0%	6.25	44	\$895	4.18	56	51.0%	4.98	81	5.13	54
101	King William	62.8%	3.70	101	\$965	5.61	24	44.6%	3.26	108	4.19	89
103	Lancaster	70.5%	5.83	57	\$810	2.41	96	47.0%	3.90	98	4.05	92
105	Lee	66.8%	4.81	84	\$743	1.03	116	59.7%	7.30	24	4.38	84
107	Loudoun	83.3%	9.37	2	\$1,137	9.20	2	66.8%	9.19	3	9.25	1
109	Louisa	66.6%	4.75	86	\$949	5.29	28	53.5%	5.64	65	5.23	51
111	Lunenburg	52.3%	0.81	117	\$736	0.86	117	58.9%	7.08	28	2.92	115
113	Madison	70.4%	5.81	59	\$970	5.73	19	44.2%	3.16	110	4.90	66
115	Mathews	64.4%	4.14	92	\$836	2.95	82	50.0%	4.71	86	3.93	96
117	Mecklenburg	71.0%	5.97	51	\$790	2.00	106	57.8%	6.78	33	4.92	65
119	Middlesex	72.5%	6.40	40	\$855	3.35	71	54.7%	5.95	58	5.23	50
121	Montgomery	82.4%	9.11	3	\$902	4.32	52	54.4%	5.88	61	6.44	20
125	Nelson	67.2%	4.92	81	\$1,010	6.56	12	52.1%	5.25	78	5.58	38
127	New Kent	68.2%	5.21	76	\$1,001	6.38	14	54.2%	5.82	63	5.80	32
131	Northampton	63.8%	3.98	97	\$785	1.89	109	65.4%	8.80	7	4.89	68
133	Northumberland	61.5%	3.35	104	\$831	2.85	83	41.9%	2.55	117	2.92	116
135	Nottoway	70.1%	5.71	61	\$854	3.32	72	54.7%	5.96	57	5.00	61
137	Orange	74.4%	6.91	24	\$932	4.93	33	43.6%	3.00	112	4.95	63
139	Page	77.0%	7.64	13	\$965	5.63	23	57.2%	6.62	36	6.63	13
141	Patrick	68.6%	5.30	73	\$787	1.93	108	66.4%	9.09	5	5.44	42
143	Pittsylvania	58.5%	2.52	112	\$842	3.07	80	52.4%	5.35	74	3.65	105
145	Powhatan	58.7%	2.58	111	\$863	3.50	69	51.8%	5.19	79	3.76	101
147	Prince Edward	77.2%	7.69	12	\$845	3.14	79	61.0%	7.65	17	6.16	26
149	Prince George	64.0%	4.04	96	\$968	5.69	22	50.9%	4.95	83	4.89	67
153	Prince William	76.6%	7.53	15	\$1,176	10.00	1	62.0%	7.89	12	8.47	2
155	Pulaski	68.0%	5.14	79	\$924	4.78	40	45.5%	3.51	105	4.47	83
157	Rappahannock	64.2%	4.08	95	\$933	4.96	32	42.9%	2.80	115	3.95	95
159	Richmond County	68.9%	5.39	71	\$929	4.87	38	32.4%	0.00	120	3.42	111
161	Roanoke County	77.4%	7.75	11	\$1,010	6.56	13	52.4%	5.34	75	6.55	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	78.1%	7.93	7	\$848	3.19	76	54.4%	5.87	62	5.66	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.8%	7.28	19	\$920	4.70	43	59.3%	7.18	27	6.39	22
167	Russell	63.4%	3.88	98	\$824	2.69	88	59.5%	7.23	26	4.60	77
169	Scott	60.9%	3.19	106	\$841	3.05	81	64.3%	8.53	9	4.92	64
171	Shenandoah	70.7%	5.89	54	\$897	4.22	55	53.5%	5.63	66	5.24	47

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	65.5%	4.46	88	\$793	2.06	102	52.9%	5.49	69	4.00	93
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.7%	3.67	102	\$798	2.17	101	47.6%	4.06	97	3.30	112
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	73.6%	6.70	28	\$1,011	6.58	11	56.2%	6.36	44	6.54	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	64.6%	4.20	90	\$1,111	8.65	3	56.1%	6.34	46	6.40	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.5%	6.67	29	\$847	3.18	77	48.8%	4.38	92	4.74	70
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.8%	5.64	63	\$929	4.88	37	56.9%	6.54	38	5.69	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.8%	5.64	64	\$771	1.60	114	57.4%	6.69	35	4.64	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.4%	6.36	41	\$959	5.50	25	58.7%	7.04	30	6.30	24
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.8%	5.65	62	\$866	3.57	68	49.9%	4.69	87	4.63	76
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	67.7%	5.05	80	\$882	3.90	62	49.2%	4.50	89	4.48	82
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.14	93	\$760	1.37	115	57.7%	6.76	34	4.09	91
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.5%	5.56	67	\$809	2.39	97	56.3%	6.38	43	4.78	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	66.5%	4.74	87	\$852	3.29	74	46.0%	3.63	100	3.88	97
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.3%	6.34	42	\$1,013	6.63	10	69.9%	10.00	1	7.65	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	73.3%	6.60	34	\$829	2.81	85	48.7%	4.35	94	4.59	78
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	85.6%	10.00	1	\$918	4.64	45	56.4%	6.42	41	7.02	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	75.3%	7.16	21	\$883	3.92	60	56.1%	6.34	47	5.80	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	70.3%	5.79	60	\$779	1.77	112	53.1%	5.54	67	4.37	85
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	71.7%	6.16	45	\$775	1.69	113	55.2%	6.10	53	4.65	74
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	81.7%	8.92	4	\$969	5.70	20	52.7%	5.43	72	6.68	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	68.8%	5.35	72	\$827	2.75	87	60.2%	7.43	20	5.18	53
650	<i>Hampton</i>	73.2%	6.58	35	\$880	3.86	63	52.1%	5.26	77	5.23	49
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	73.1%	6.55	36	\$898	4.24	54	51.0%	4.96	82	5.25	46
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.5%	6.93	23	\$791	2.01	104	62.6%	8.06	11	5.67	35
683	<i>Manassas</i>	71.3%	6.06	49	\$1,066	7.72	6	60.2%	7.42	21	7.07	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	76.6%	7.52	16	\$1,045	7.27	8	69.6%	9.94	2	8.24	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	76.0%	7.36	18	\$887	4.00	59	57.8%	6.78	31	6.05	27
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.5%	6.65	32	\$829	2.79	86	54.7%	5.95	59	5.13	55
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.7%	7.83	10	\$703	0.19	119	61.2%	7.69	16	5.24	48
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	74.1%	6.82	25	\$916	4.61	47	57.1%	6.60	37	6.01	29
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.5%	7.50	17	\$872	3.70	65	61.7%	7.84	14	6.35	23
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.5%	6.66	30	\$883	3.91	61	45.7%	3.55	101	4.71	71
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	73.3%	6.61	33	\$939	5.08	30	61.2%	7.69	15	6.46	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.4%	5.81	58	\$930	4.89	35	49.1%	4.47	90	5.06	59
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	77.9%	7.88	8	\$831	2.85	84	59.7%	7.30	23	6.01	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	70.7%	5.89	55	\$924	4.77	41	56.5%	6.44	39	5.70	33
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	77.9%	7.88	9	\$869	3.63	66	51.6%	5.14	80	5.55	39
840	<i>Winchester</i>	73.0%	6.52	38	\$953	5.37	27	50.6%	4.86	84	5.58	37

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	74	8	1	3	1	0	5	1	1	0	2	1	20	20	5	4	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	20	13	6	15	0	8	11	4	0	6	11	38	40	247	114	18	15	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	3
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	28	0	26	0	15	13

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	15

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	0	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	12	0	7	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	11	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	4	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	1	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	4	7	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	20	0	2	0	18	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	16	0	41	0	0

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	20	14	0	3	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	50	0	6	24	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	247	0	0	0	0	247	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	114	214	0	0	0	0	14	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	0	0	18

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jul-07</i>	<i>Jul-08</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,467	17,162	18.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,593	132,084	4.3%
Total Cases Collected	141,060	149,246	5.8%
TANF Collections	\$2,674,158	\$4,993,184	86.7%
NTANF Collections	\$45,864,304	\$52,778,404	15.1%
Total Collections	\$48,538,462	\$57,771,588	19.0%
SFY YTD Collections	\$48,538,462	\$57,771,588	19.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$344	\$387	12.5%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jul-08</i>
TANF Paternities	1,264
NTANF Paternities	4,648
Combined Paternities	5,912

Diversionsary Assistance

July Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	3		Page	2
Alleghany / Covington	2		Patrick	1
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	9
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	9		Prince William	6
Bedford City	1		Roanoke County	9
Brunswick	2		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Buckingham	3		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Campbell	1		Shenandoah	4
Caroline	2		Smyth	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	10		Spotsylvania	12
Clarke	1		Stafford	6
Culpeper	1		Tazewell	2
Dinwiddie	2		Warren	5
Fauquier	2		Wythe	2
Floyd	3		York / Poquoson	1
Franklin County	8		Bristol	1
Frederick	7		Charlottesville	2
Goochland	1		Chesapeake	4
Greene	1		Franklin City	1
Halifax	2		Fredricksburg	3
Henrico	7		Hampton	6
Henry / Martinsville	3		Hopewell	6
Isle of Wight	2		Mannassas	2
James City	1		Newport News	16
Loudoun	1		Norfolk	18
Louisa	2		Portsmouth	3
Lunenburg	1		Richmond City	3
Madison	1		Roanoke City	20
Montgomery	6		Suffolk	6
Northampton	1		Virginia Beach	9
Orange	2		Winchester	6
			Statewide	268

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of August 1, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site: www.dss.virginia.gov. On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2008




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. This is generally consistent with the caseload trends and the slight case decline after December 2004 seems to be reflected in applications received. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

July 31, 2008




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July, it was \$7.66 rather than the \$7.53 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the apparent recent slight reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.