

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
February 2008

Monthly Report, February 2008

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 47% with a denominator of 15,353 and a numerator of 7,286.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	55	15	27%	061	Fauquier	56	35	63%
003	Albemarle	53	26	49%	063	Floyd	16	10	63%
005	Alleghany/Covington	94	4	4%	065	Fluvanna	16	7	44%
007	Amelia	26	6	23%	067	Franklin County	97	26	27%
009	Amherst	40	17	43%	069	Frederick	54	28	52%
011	Appomattox	38	19	50%	071	Giles	21	11	52%
013	Arlington	114	52	46%	073	Gloucester	57	23	40%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	255	113	44%	075	Goochland	13	0	0%
017	Bath	4	3	75%	077	Grayson	24	14	58%
019	Bedford County/City	86	45	52%	079	Greene	22	8	36%
021	Bland	3	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	97	46	47%
023	Botetourt	14	1	7%	083	Halifax	105	39	37%
025	Brunswick	72	51	71%	085	Hanover	76	25	33%
027	Buchanan	87	38	44%	087	Henrico	613	223	36%
029	Buckingham	41	10	24%	089	Henry/Martinsville	245	91	37%
031	Campbell	127	69	54%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	49	9	18%	093	Isle of Wight	60	36	60%
035	Carroll	58	22	38%	095	James City	44	24	55%
036	Charles City	12	5	42%	097	King and Queen	11	3	27%
037	Charlotte	19	13	68%	099	King George	18	6	33%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	397	210	53%	101	King William	20	7	35%
043	Clarke	7	4	57%	103	Lancaster	5	0	0%
045	Craig	6	1	17%	105	Lee	128	59	46%
047	Culpeper	59	29	49%	107	Loudoun	149	76	51%
049	Cumberland	32	9	28%	109	Louisa	29	16	55%
051	Dickensen	41	18	44%	111	Lunenburg	12	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	43	21	49%	113	Madison	4	3	75%
057	Essex	35	12	34%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	544	281	52%	117	Mecklenburg	91	38	42%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	9	6	67%	187	Warren	57	35	61%
121	Montgomery	175	103	59%	191	Washington	93	54	58%
125	Nelson	19	7	37%	193	Westmoreland	35	4	11%
127	New Kent	22	5	23%	195	Wise	228	91	40%
131	Northampton	50	19	38%	197	Wythe	77	30	39%
133	Northumberland	13	6	46%	199	York/Poquoson	45	19	42%
135	Nottoway	79	38	48%	510	Alexandria	215	103	48%
137	Orange	34	12	35%	520	Bristol	119	65	55%
139	Page	32	18	56%	540	Charlottesville	160	85	53%
141	Patrick	66	39	59%	550	Chesapeake	509	212	42%
143	Pittsylvania	103	47	46%	590	Danville	197	79	40%
145	Powhatan	20	5	25%	620	Franklin City	32	18	56%
147	Prince Edward	69	41	59%	630	Fredericksburg	96	49	51%
149	Prince George	49	16	33%	640	Galax	37	21	57%
153	Prince William	542	277	51%	650	Hampton	524	262	50%
155	Pulaski	85	39	46%	670	Hopewell	155	27	17%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	269	151	56%
159	Richmond County	14	10	71%	683	Manassas	51	34	67%
161	Roanoke County	139	71	51%	685	Manassas Park	25	9	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	26	9	35%	700	Newport News	759	406	53%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	160	88	55%	710	Norfolk	920	530	58%
167	Russell	111	54	49%	720	Norton	36	11	31%
169	Scott	98	28	29%	730	Petersburg	298	132	44%
171	Shenandoah	23	4	17%	740	Portsmouth	607	287	47%
173	Smyth	76	30	39%	750	Radford	42	18	43%
175	Southampton	59	21	36%	760	Richmond City	1,469	809	55%
177	Spotsylvania	154	66	43%	770	Roanoke City	472	189	40%
179	Stafford	135	56	41%	800	Suffolk	150	96	64%
181	Surry	16	6	38%	810	Virginia Beach	516	245	47%
183	Sussex	46	27	59%	830	Williamsburg	16	8	50%
185	Tazewell	165	74	45%	840	Winchester	48	26	54%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 40% with a denominator of 12,975 and a numerator of 5,216. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	51	11	22%	061	Fauquier	43	22	51%
003	Albemarle	35	10	29%	063	Floyd	13	7	54%
005	Alleghany/Covington	86	1	1%	065	Fluvanna	11	3	27%
007	Amelia	23	4	17%	067	Franklin County	87	16	18%
009	Amherst	29	11	38%	069	Frederick	42	19	45%
011	Appomattox	30	11	37%	071	Giles	19	9	47%
013	Arlington	82	26	32%	073	Gloucester	47	17	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	210	71	34%	075	Goochland	13	0	0%
017	Bath	2	1	50%	077	Grayson	21	11	52%
019	Bedford County/City	73	32	44%	079	Greene	21	7	33%
021	Bland	3	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	89	38	43%
023	Botetourt	11	1	9%	083	Halifax	98	32	33%
025	Brunswick	65	44	68%	085	Hanover	63	14	22%
027	Buchanan	77	31	40%	087	Henrico	516	146	28%
029	Buckingham	38	9	24%	089	Henry/Martinsville	216	64	30%
031	Campbell	95	39	41%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	39	5	13%	093	Isle of Wight	50	26	52%
035	Carroll	47	12	26%	095	James City	32	13	41%
036	Charles City	12	5	42%	097	King and Queen	9	1	11%
037	Charlotte	18	12	67%	099	King George	14	2	14%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	322	140	43%	101	King William	16	6	38%
043	Clarke	6	3	50%	103	Lancaster	5	0	0%
045	Craig	5	0	0%	105	Lee	109	40	37%
047	Culpeper	50	20	40%	107	Loudoun	111	41	37%
049	Cumberland	27	6	22%	109	Louisa	22	9	41%
051	Dickensen	38	15	39%	111	Lunenburg	11	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	32	10	31%	113	Madison	3	2	67%
057	Essex	34	11	32%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	431	179	42%	117	Mecklenburg	85	33	39%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	1	25%	187	Warren	42	23	55%
121	Montgomery	144	74	51%	191	Washington	83	45	54%
125	Nelson	16	4	25%	193	Westmoreland	31	4	13%
127	New Kent	21	4	19%	195	Wise	197	66	34%
131	Northampton	41	10	24%	197	Wythe	66	25	38%
133	Northumberland	10	3	30%	199	York/Poquoson	35	10	29%
135	Nottoway	72	31	43%	510	Alexandria	167	62	37%
137	Orange	31	9	29%	520	Bristol	102	48	47%
139	Page	26	12	46%	540	Charlottesville	136	63	46%
141	Patrick	52	25	48%	550	Chesapeake	442	161	36%
143	Pittsylvania	91	38	42%	590	Danville	173	60	35%
145	Powhatan	19	4	21%	620	Franklin City	26	12	46%
147	Prince Edward	50	24	48%	630	Fredericksburg	80	36	45%
149	Prince George	44	13	30%	640	Galax	32	16	50%
153	Prince William	443	190	43%	650	Hampton	429	179	42%
155	Pulaski	74	28	38%	670	Hopewell	140	19	14%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	244	127	52%
159	Richmond County	10	6	60%	683	Manassas	41	25	61%
161	Roanoke County	117	50	43%	685	Manassas Park	20	5	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	24	7	29%	700	Newport News	614	270	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	126	57	45%	710	Norfolk	818	437	53%
167	Russell	102	45	44%	720	Norton	31	8	26%
169	Scott	81	15	19%	730	Petersburg	270	107	40%
171	Shenandoah	22	3	14%	740	Portsmouth	522	213	41%
173	Smyth	70	24	34%	750	Radford	38	15	39%
175	Southampton	52	17	33%	760	Richmond City	1,250	630	50%
177	Spotsylvania	137	54	39%	770	Roanoke City	407	129	32%
179	Stafford	118	41	35%	800	Suffolk	115	61	53%
181	Surry	16	6	38%	810	Virginia Beach	428	168	39%
183	Sussex	42	23	55%	830	Williamsburg	12	4	33%
185	Tazewell	144	55	38%	840	Winchester	36	16	44%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 87% with a denominator of 2,378 and a numerator of 2,070. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	4	100%	061	Fauquier	13	13	100%
003	Albemarle	18	16	89%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	3	38%	065	Fluvanna	5	4	80%
007	Amelia	3	2	67%	067	Franklin County	10	10	100%
009	Amherst	11	6	55%	069	Frederick	12	9	75%
011	Appomattox	8	8	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	32	26	81%	073	Gloucester	10	6	60%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	45	42	93%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	13	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	8	100%
023	Botetourt	3	0	0%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	7	7	100%	085	Hanover	13	11	85%
027	Buchanan	10	7	70%	087	Henrico	97	77	79%
029	Buckingham	3	1	33%	089	Henry/Martinsville	29	27	93%
031	Campbell	32	30	94%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	10	4	40%	093	Isle of Wight	10	10	100%
035	Carroll	11	10	91%	095	James City	12	11	92%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	2	N/A
037	Charlotte	1	1	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	75	70	93%	101	King William	4	1	25%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	19	19	100%
047	Culpeper	9	9	100%	107	Loudoun	38	35	92%
049	Cumberland	5	3	60%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	11	11	100%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	1	1	100%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	113	102	90%	117	Mecklenburg	6	5	83%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	5	100%	187	Warren	15	12	80%
121	Montgomery	31	29	94%	191	Washington	10	9	90%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	1	N/A	195	Wise	31	25	81%
131	Northampton	9	9	100%	197	Wythe	11	5	45%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	10	9	90%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	48	41	85%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	17	17	100%
139	Page	6	6	100%	540	Charlottesville	24	22	92%
141	Patrick	14	14	100%	550	Chesapeake	67	51	76%
143	Pittsylvania	12	9	75%	590	Danville	24	19	79%
145	Powhatan	1	1	N/A	620	Franklin City	6	6	100%
147	Prince Edward	19	17	89%	630	Fredericksburg	16	13	81%
149	Prince George	5	3	60%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	99	87	88%	650	Hampton	95	83	87%
155	Pulaski	11	11	100%	670	Hopewell	15	8	53%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	N/A	680	Lynchburg	25	24	96%
159	Richmond County	4	4	100%	683	Manassas	10	9	90%
161	Roanoke County	22	21	95%	685	Manassas Park	5	4	80%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	145	136	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	31	91%	710	Norfolk	102	93	91%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	5	3	60%
169	Scott	17	13	76%	730	Petersburg	28	25	89%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	N/A	740	Portsmouth	85	74	87%
173	Smyth	6	6	100%	750	Radford	4	3	75%
175	Southampton	7	4	57%	760	Richmond City	219	179	82%
177	Spotsylvania	17	12	71%	770	Roanoke City	65	60	92%
179	Stafford	17	15	88%	800	Suffolk	35	35	100%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	88	77	88%
183	Sussex	4	4	100%	830	Williamsburg	4	4	100%
185	Tazewell	21	19	90%	840	Winchester	12	10	83%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Feb-08	Feb-07	Change from Feb-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,138	3,359	-7%	4,807	-35%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	1,969	1,622	21%	1,658	19%
Applications Approved	1,924	1,873	3%	2,820	-32%
Cases Closed *	2,249	2,426	-7%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	27,645	29,027	-5%	67,995	-59%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,459	29,777	-4%	70,403	-60%

* The database indicates that of the 2,249 closed cases, 847 were VIEW cases, of which 599 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 154 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 110 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Feb-08	Feb-07	Change from Feb-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	167	258	-35%	338	-51%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	154	130	18%	232	-34%
Applications Approved	138	144	-4%	81	70%
Cases Closed *	164	174	-6%	107	53%
Cases at End of Month	961	1,060	-9%	321	199%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,061	1,133	-6%	394	169%

* The database indicates that of the 164 closed cases, 67 were VIEW cases, of which 51 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, twelve were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, eleven closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	28,606	1,836	909	2,274	854	339	3,020	353	536	1,011	1,469	941	4,478	759	320	2,630	1,071	5,568	238
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,750	622	402	874	281	131	1,099	106	185	464	441	455	1,786	355	100	921	453	2,011	64
Part. in a Work Activity	8,059	488	304	616	198	110	885	89	163	351	340	318	1,344	251	77	660	298	1,533	34
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	76%	70%	70%	84%	81%	84%	88%	76%	77%	70%	75%	71%	77%	72%	66%	76%	53%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	94	6	4	7	0	4	8	2	2	4	0	6	8	5	1	20	5	12	0
Participants Employed	6,339	403	263	516	176	81	601	69	117	252	255	236	915	195	53	594	244	1,339	30
% of Enrolled	59%	65%	65%	59%	63%	62%	55%	65%	63%	54%	58%	52%	51%	55%	53%	64%	54%	67%	47%
Full Time	5,487	381	239	431	156	74	477	63	92	209	220	180	773	166	41	522	219	1,215	29
Part Time	852	22	24	85	20	7	124	6	25	43	35	56	142	29	12	72	25	124	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$6.47	\$6.61	\$7.20	\$7.52	\$7.38	\$9.27	\$7.68	\$7.77	\$7.19	\$6.79	\$6.55	\$7.77	\$8.02	\$7.27	\$7.31	\$7.32	\$7.22	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$920	\$938	\$1,016	\$1,057	\$1,053	\$1,294	\$1,084	\$1,031	\$1,017	\$946	\$895	\$1,110	\$1,109	\$1,005	\$1,038	\$1,059	\$1,010	\$1,038

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	18,062	1,106	650	1,589	570	293	1,947	213	383	683	1,024	615	2,526	587	190	1,716	603	3,215	152
Total Enrolled in VIEW	23,324	1,312	896	1,923	709	343	2,412	235	454	957	1,189	852	3,606	654	246	2,091	847	4,416	182
Part. in a Work Activity	20,807	1,184	790	1,684	620	308	2,214	217	427	884	1,063	760	3,248	574	206	1,832	747	3,903	146
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	88%	88%	87%	90%	92%	92%	94%	92%	89%	89%	90%	88%	84%	88%	88%	88%	80%
Participants Employed	14,605	811	575	1,196	453	222	1,454	149	306	603	652	504	2,145	404	139	1,389	536	2,973	94
% of Total Enrolled	63%	62%	64%	62%	64%	65%	60%	63%	67%	63%	55%	59%	59%	62%	57%	66%	63%	67%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$6.43	\$6.74	\$7.43	\$7.67	\$7.82	\$9.62	\$8.06	\$8.04	\$7.17	\$6.91	\$6.78	\$7.92	\$8.11	\$7.24	\$7.45	\$7.34	\$7.34	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$870	\$931	\$1,017	\$1,055	\$1,057	\$1,316	\$1,073	\$1,028	\$952	\$930	\$897	\$1,078	\$1,058	\$971	\$1,004	\$997	\$992	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	73%	70%	68%	75%	74%	73%	74%	67%	67%	62%	69%	72%	69%	70%	71%	70%	72%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	197,205	13,510	7,384	14,220	5,490	3,349	24,321	2,734	4,197	7,466	9,524	5,548	26,214	5,095	2,454	18,588	6,682	38,565	1,864
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	145,872	10,073	6,129	10,307	4,014	2,353	18,472	2,028	3,111	6,052	7,459	4,031	19,946	3,451	1,699	13,156	5,058	27,209	1,324
Part. in a Work Activity	140,314	9,695	5,833	9,932	3,885	2,278	17,953	1,963	3,031	5,740	7,201	3,836	19,341	3,305	1,618	12,640	4,848	25,943	1,272
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	104,883	6,461	4,258	7,479	2,925	1,686	13,823	1,452	2,514	4,323	4,964	2,600	14,422	2,465	1,148	9,817	3,665	20,116	765
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	69%	73%	73%	72%	75%	72%	81%	71%	67%	65%	72%	71%	68%	75%	72%	74%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$5.85	\$5.91	\$6.71	\$6.75	\$6.88	\$8.19	\$7.06	\$7.05	\$6.12	\$6.05	\$6.25	\$7.00	\$7.42	\$6.51	\$6.61	\$6.62	\$6.49	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$771	\$814	\$915	\$910	\$948	\$1,099	\$943	\$930	\$803	\$818	\$834	\$955	\$988	\$851	\$876	\$909	\$857	\$788
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	55%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	55%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	55%	57%	62%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,836	227	109	259	235	164	335	451	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	622	63	39	107	97	60	93	138	25
Participants in a Work Activity	488	47	27	87	77	39	88	107	16
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	69%	81%	79%	65%	95%	78%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
Participants Employed	403	26	23	81	47	37	72	101	16
% of Enrolled	65%	41%	59%	76%	48%	62%	77%	73%	64%
Full Time	381	21	22	79	43	36	68	98	14
Part Time	22	5	1	2	4	1	4	3	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.47	\$6.07	\$6.29	\$6.12	\$7.62	\$6.31	\$6.52	\$6.42	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$920	\$828	\$897	\$880	\$1,098	\$927	\$908	\$923	\$798

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,106	104	71	144	153	110	220	262	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,312	115	79	206	202	120	230	317	43
Participants in a Work Activity	1,184	99	68	199	190	111	209	269	39
% of Total Enrolled	90%	86%	86%	97%	94%	93%	91%	85%	91%
Participants Employed	811	51	45	156	105	78	138	207	31
% of Total Enrolled	62%	44%	57%	76%	52%	65%	60%	65%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.43	\$6.21	\$6.38	\$6.13	\$7.03	\$6.54	\$6.60	\$6.32	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$819	\$881	\$840	\$958	\$914	\$874	\$857	\$779
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	59%	77%	73%	68%	89%	75%	72%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,510	1,418	980	1,900	1,847	1,200	2,621	3,124	420
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,073	1,060	725	1,387	1,439	824	1,974	2,339	325
Participants in a Work Activity	9,695	979	686	1,375	1,363	804	1,908	2,272	308
% of Total Enrolled	96%	92%	95%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	6,461	550	437	928	903	500	1,384	1,505	254
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	67%	63%	61%	70%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.85	\$6.03	\$5.92	\$5.53	\$6.10	\$6.08	\$5.82	\$5.80	\$5.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$771	\$773	\$775	\$740	\$821	\$836	\$769	\$753	\$698
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	49%	60%	60%	64%	57%	58%	62%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	909	17	101	46	137	202	111	234	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	402	4	38	14	55	82	66	114	29
Participants in a Work Activity	304	2	33	14	38	71	56	68	22
% of Enrolled	76%	50%	87%	100%	69%	87%	85%	60%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
Participants Employed	263	2	28	9	30	56	50	67	21
% of Enrolled	65%	50%	74%	64%	55%	68%	76%	59%	72%
Full Time	239	2	26	7	26	52	43	63	20
Part Time	24	0	2	2	4	4	7	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.88	\$6.28	\$6.76	\$6.56	\$6.77	\$6.67	\$6.72	\$6.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$1,013	\$943	\$896	\$956	\$937	\$914	\$964	\$896

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	650	9	73	27	101	116	115	166	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	896	8	101	36	132	156	141	249	73
Participants in a Work Activity	790	7	93	35	103	147	136	208	61
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	92%	97%	78%	94%	96%	84%	84%
Participants Employed	575	4	72	26	70	102	103	154	44
% of Total Enrolled	64%	50%	71%	72%	53%	65%	73%	62%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.50	\$6.68	\$6.89	\$6.59	\$6.78	\$6.90	\$6.72	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$931	\$887	\$936	\$938	\$937	\$901	\$948	\$942	\$926
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	67%	72%	65%	63%	71%	74%	67%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,384	181	1,042	529	1,253	1,214	1,188	1,479	498
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,129	144	844	428	1035	982	962	1,301	433
Participants in a Work Activity	5,833	132	759	394	982	962	942	1,249	413
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,258	96	578	289	677	681	667	966	304
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	68%	68%	65%	69%	69%	74%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.91	\$5.76	\$5.74	\$6.11	\$5.69	\$6.19	\$6.00	\$5.90	\$5.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$814	\$794	\$782	\$815	\$788	\$859	\$807	\$825	\$822
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	45%	46%	57%	53%	50%	55%	48%	61%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,274	132	25	7	33	200	31	319	182	257	74	1,014
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	874	134	14	2	10	62	16	129	50	99	41	317
Participants in a Work Activity	616	75	10	2	8	33	10	118	43	85	31	201
% of Enrolled	70%	56%	71%	100%	80%	53%	63%	91%	86%	86%	76%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0
Participants Employed	516	73	9	1	7	29	9	107	33	65	23	160
% of Enrolled	59%	54%	64%	50%	70%	47%	56%	83%	66%	66%	56%	50%
Full Time	431	48	8	1	6	23	8	97	27	57	16	140
Part Time	85	25	1	0	1	6	1	10	6	8	7	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.27	\$7.44	\$7.00	\$6.66	\$6.97	\$7.03	\$7.08	\$6.94	\$6.91	\$7.52	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$962	\$1,027	\$1,212	\$994	\$971	\$987	\$1,015	\$971	\$989	\$965	\$1,076

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,589	88	20	10	18	146	32	176	128	167	77	727
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,923	157	20	6	29	143	41	288	155	201	87	796
Participants in a Work Activity	1,684	116	15	6	25	109	39	266	134	184	79	711
% of Total Enrolled	88%	74%	75%	100%	86%	76%	95%	92%	86%	92%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	1,196	87	10	5	20	78	26	234	89	144	57	446
% of Total Enrolled	62%	55%	50%	83%	69%	55%	63%	81%	57%	72%	66%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.48	\$7.40	\$7.82	\$6.96	\$7.44	\$6.92	\$7.19	\$7.08	\$7.63	\$7.43	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$927	\$849	\$1,256	\$924	\$1,072	\$976	\$969	\$968	\$1,061	\$940	\$1,065
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	82%	86%	33%	63%	64%	60%	73%	68%	73%	63%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,220	923	236	50	368	1,177	352	2,051	1,282	1,442	571	5,768
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,307	656	133	13	248	851	227	1,624	973	1,090	426	4,066
Participants in a Work Activity	9,932	628	121	13	242	786	222	1,610	939	1,045	418	3,908
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	91%	100%	98%	92%	98%	99%	97%	96%	98%	96%
Participants Employed	7,479	468	71	10	179	592	134	1,334	660	852	312	2,867
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	53%	77%	72%	70%	59%	82%	68%	78%	73%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.71	\$6.48	\$7.08	\$6.13	\$6.56	\$6.55	\$6.53	\$6.57	\$6.51	\$7.17	\$6.71	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$915	\$808	\$914	\$978	\$884	\$912	\$904	\$897	\$923	\$1,003	\$879	\$925
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	48%	43%	67%	47%	50%	48%	55%	45%	53%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	854	534	6	0	64	250
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	281	153	1	0	16	111
Participants in a Work Activity	198	107	1	N/A	10	80
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	100%	N/A	63%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	176	97	1	N/A	9	69
% of Enrolled	63%	63%	100%	N/A	56%	62%
Full Time	156	86	1	N/A	7	62
Part Time	20	11	0	N/A	2	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.43	\$2.50	N/A	\$7.95	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,030	\$325	N/A	\$1,147	\$1,093

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	570	363	4	0	33	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	709	410	8	0	47	244
Participants in a Work Activity	620	358	7	N/A	39	216
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	88%	N/A	83%	89%
Participants Employed	453	256	6	N/A	28	163
% of Total Enrolled	64%	62%	75%	N/A	60%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.66	\$6.55	N/A	\$8.11	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,051	\$805	N/A	\$1,114	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	70%	100%	N/A	71%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,490	2,936	54	15	512	1,973
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,014	2,172	31	7	371	1,433
Participants in a Work Activity	3,885	2,116	30	7	355	1,377
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	2,925	1,538	21	5	289	1,072
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	68%	71%	78%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.76	\$6.57	\$7.75	\$6.49	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$924	\$749	\$694	\$846	\$914
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	55%	60%	54%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	339	11	88	41	32	93	74	238	141	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	131	5	33	25	5	36	27	64	27	37
Participants in a Work Activity	110	4	26	21	5	27	27	34	15	19
% of Enrolled	84%	80%	79%	84%	0	75%	100%	53%	56%	51%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	81	4	18	15	2	22	20	30	15	15
% of Enrolled	62%	80%	55%	60%	2	61%	74%	47%	56%	41%
Full Time	74	4	17	13	2	20	18	29	14	15
Part Time	7	0	1	2	0	2	2	1	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$6.91	\$7.87	\$7.10	\$7.75	\$7.68	\$6.89	\$7.16	\$7.85	\$6.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,012	\$1,147	\$1,079	\$1,093	\$1,050	\$954	\$1,038	\$1,129	\$947

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	293	7	68	46	31	78	63	152	91	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	343	10	86	61	13	88	85	182	91	91
Participants in a Work Activity	308	10	80	49	12	76	81	146	73	73
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	93%	80%	92%	86%	95%	80%	80%	80%
Participants Employed	222	10	52	37	7	58	58	94	46	48
% of Total Enrolled	65%	100%	60%	61%	54%	66%	68%	52%	51%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.85	\$7.65	\$7.78	\$8.36	\$8.34	\$7.39	\$7.24	\$7.43	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$981	\$990	\$1,000	\$1,240	\$1,167	\$1,031	\$988	\$993	\$982
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	67%	76%	78%	83%	76%	68%	75%	63%	89%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,349	142	580	529	442	843	813	1,864	1150	714
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,353	82	403	418	245	593	612	1,324	790	534
Participants in a Work Activity	2,278	78	387	408	240	570	595	1272	772	500
% of Total Enrolled	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,686	52	258	321	173	430	452	765	428	337
% of Total Enrolled	72%	63%	64%	77%	71%	73%	74%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$7.11	\$6.93	\$7.00	\$6.33	\$6.90	\$6.88	\$6.24	\$6.32	\$6.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$948	\$968	\$940	\$964	\$895	\$951	\$951	\$788	\$796	\$779
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	55%	57%	54%	60%	50%	62%	59%	65%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,020	211	1,103	209	953	381	118	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,099	55	331	120	409	134	35	15
Participants in a Work Activity	885	41	294	93	313	108	27	9
% of Enrolled	81%	75%	89%	78%	77%	81%	77%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	4	0	3	1	0	0
Participants Employed	601	25	178	71	230	71	18	8
% of Enrolled	55%	45%	54%	59%	56%	53%	51%	53%
Full Time	477	14	140	52	191	58	14	8
Part Time	124	11	38	19	39	13	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.27	\$8.31	\$9.62	\$9.44	\$9.12	\$9.28	\$7.95	\$10.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,294	\$1,020	\$1,360	\$1,316	\$1,286	\$1,253	\$1,030	\$1,685

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,947	117	641	166	693	218	76	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,412	128	817	229	819	291	88	40
Participants in a Work Activity	2,214	116	764	202	752	269	82	29
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	94%	88%	92%	92%	93%	73%
Participants Employed	1,454	72	481	157	524	157	48	15
% of Total Enrolled	60%	56%	59%	69%	64%	54%	55%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.62	\$10.66	\$9.93	\$9.93	\$9.24	\$9.45	\$8.86	\$9.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,316	\$1,506	\$1,354	\$1,335	\$1,288	\$1,220	\$1,186	\$1,421
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	60%	72%	71%	77%	71%	77%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	24,321	2,289	8,483	1,571	7,693	2,984	995	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,472	1,724	6,255	1,249	5,906	2,370	741	227
Participants in a Work Activity	17,953	1,705	6,076	1,204	5,750	2,277	719	222
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	13,823	1,316	4,495	1,048	4,541	1,716	536	171
% of Total Enrolled	75%	76%	72%	84%	77%	72%	72%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$7.74	\$8.09	\$8.64	\$8.42	\$7.92	\$7.81	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,099	\$1,011	\$1,078	\$1,126	\$1,167	\$1,010	\$1,062	\$1,029
Five Months Employment ⁹	64%	65%	62%	66%	62%	70%	61%	68%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	353	139	98	31	82	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	106	50	26	4	23	3
Participants in a Work Activity	89	44	24	4	16	1
% of Enrolled	84%	88%	92%	100%	70%	33%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	69	30	18	4	16	1
% of Enrolled	65%	60%	69%	100%	70%	33%
Full Time	63	29	15	4	14	1
Part Time	6	1	3	0	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$8.30	\$7.42	\$6.09	\$7.10	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,208	\$1,044	\$1,003	\$912	\$1,169

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	213	85	59	13	49	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	235	97	70	13	49	6
Participants in a Work Activity	217	91	66	13	41	6
% of Total Enrolled	92%	94%	94%	100%	84%	100%
Participants Employed	149	54	45	12	35	3
% of Total Enrolled	63%	56%	64%	92%	71%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.29	\$8.23	\$7.70	\$7.54	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$1,138	\$1,074	\$1,185	\$931	\$1,094
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	70%	76%	67%	82%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,734	1,038	789	262	575	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,028	736	597	191	454	50
Participants in a Work Activity	1,963	711	590	184	431	47
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	99%	96%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	1,452	513	430	134	342	33
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	72%	70%	75%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.03	\$7.32	\$6.88	\$6.84	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$943	\$931	\$960	\$964	\$933	\$943
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	64%	44%	43%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	536	82	31	45	84	29	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	185	27	7	19	16	7	109
Participants in a Work Activity	163	23	4	12	13	7	104
% of Enrolled	88%	85%	57%	63%	81%	100%	95%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	117	18	3	12	11	6	67
% of Enrolled	63%	67%	43%	63%	69%	86%	61%
Full Time	92	17	3	7	10	4	51
Part Time	25	1	0	5	1	2	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.53	\$6.92	\$7.19	\$7.36	\$7.46	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,263	\$898	\$915	\$978	\$933	\$1,012

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	383	74	13	34	51	17	194
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	454	74	15	38	65	16	246
Participants in a Work Activity	427	68	12	33	57	16	241
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	80%	87%	88%	100%	98%
Participants Employed	306	50	7	29	46	11	163
% of Total Enrolled	67%	68%	47%	76%	71%	69%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.82	\$10.45	\$7.19	\$7.84	\$8.00	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,192	\$1,556	\$902	\$1,023	\$985	\$979
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	77%	67%	75%	64%	56%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,197	934	152	322	612	184	1,993
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,111	636	98	229	401	115	1,632
Participants in a Work Activity	3,031	620	93	219	379	114	1,606
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	96%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,514	506	72	184	271	80	1,401
% of Total Enrolled	81%	80%	73%	80%	68%	70%	86%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.40	\$7.18	\$7.03	\$6.96	\$7.21	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$967	\$1,070	\$917	\$939	\$1,007	\$915
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	51%	50%	53%	51%	57%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,011	80	67	165	218	481
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	464	29	24	74	119	218
Participants in a Work Activity	351	21	22	58	81	169
% of Enrolled	76%	72%	92%	78%	68%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	1	2	0
Participants Employed	252	15	18	42	51	126
% of Enrolled	54%	52%	75%	57%	43%	58%
Full Time	209	10	18	33	43	105
Part Time	43	5	0	9	8	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.14	\$7.11	\$7.30	\$7.35	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$858	\$1,084	\$1,023	\$1,036	\$1,016

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	683	57	49	129	135	313
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	957	65	68	162	233	429
Participants in a Work Activity	884	60	67	149	216	392
% of Total Enrolled	92%	92%	99%	92%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	603	39	47	107	149	261
% of Total Enrolled	63%	60%	69%	66%	64%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.63	\$6.97	\$7.14	\$7.42	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$925	\$1,005	\$932	\$1,042	\$903
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	80%	60%	62%	71%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,466	686	621	1,460	1,716	2,983
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,052	544	541	1,128	1,419	2,420
Participants in a Work Activity	5,740	523	530	1,068	1,336	2,283
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	95%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,323	373	392	774	989	1,795
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	72%	69%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.12	\$6.38	\$5.95	\$6.10	\$6.28	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$803	\$819	\$813	\$794	\$836	\$785
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	42%	56%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,469	245	423	112	228	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	441	63	134	60	65	119
Participants in a Work Activity	340	49	103	52	43	93
% of Enrolled	77%	78%	77%	87%	66%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	255	43	66	45	35	66
% of Enrolled	58%	68%	49%	75%	54%	55%
Full Time	220	40	55	39	31	55
Part Time	35	3	11	6	4	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.79	\$7.20	\$7.03	\$6.06	\$6.80	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,076	\$989	\$808	\$940	\$915

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,024	146	310	118	154	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,189	208	346	146	144	345
Participants in a Work Activity	1,063	186	303	128	124	322
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	88%	88%	86%	93%
Participants Employed	652	112	195	104	65	176
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	56%	71%	45%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$7.19	\$6.21	\$6.94	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$928	\$1,007	\$812	\$925	\$911
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	68%	53%	75%	71%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	9,524	1,467	2,447	975	1,535	3,100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,459	1,212	1,723	829	1,153	2,542
Participants in a Work Activity	7,201	1,159	1,658	778	1,130	2,476
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	4,964	762	1,155	572	674	1,801
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	69%	58%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.05	\$6.03	\$6.31	\$5.98	\$6.07	\$5.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$818	\$810	\$906	\$784	\$840	\$777
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	48%	55%	67%	53%	54%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	941	55	111	65	52	58	152	37	168	130	113
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	455	14	56	40	14	21	89	27	64	67	63
Participants in a Work Activity	318	6	49	20	12	19	65	6	52	41	48
% of Enrolled	70%	43%	88%	50%	86%	90%	73%	22%	81%	61%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	236	4	40	14	11	8	39	6	36	41	37
% of Enrolled	52%	29%	71%	35%	79%	38%	44%	22%	56%	61%	59%
Full Time	180	4	20	12	10	7	25	5	27	38	32
Part Time	56	0	20	2	1	1	14	1	9	3	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.55	\$7.38	\$6.06	\$6.90	\$7.05	\$6.98	\$6.73	\$6.00	\$6.48	\$6.40	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$895	\$1,028	\$707	\$958	\$1,044	\$1,019	\$860	\$731	\$890	\$930	\$1,016

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	615	33	81	64	38	35	89	13	111	66	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	852	34	106	79	37	39	139	28	136	120	134
Participants in a Work Activity	760	30	94	62	32	36	132	12	127	110	125
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	89%	78%	86%	92%	95%	43%	93%	92%	93%
Participants Employed	504	21	52	31	22	24	86	6	84	83	95
% of Total Enrolled	59%	62%	49%	39%	59%	62%	62%	21%	62%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$7.99	\$6.05	\$6.81	\$7.93	\$7.42	\$6.67	\$6.00	\$6.32	\$6.81	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$897	\$1,068	\$798	\$885	\$1,064	\$1,002	\$816	\$697	\$804	\$982	\$967
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	39%	75%	47%	70%	67%	67%	N/A	59%	89%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,548	315	770	561	460	379	677	216	791	670	709
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,031	214	588	393	302	250	496	148	594	491	555
Participants in a Work Activity	3,836	195	583	356	282	235	476	146	558	460	545
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	99%	91%	93%	94%	96%	99%	94%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	2,600	141	339	237	165	145	294	78	422	347	432
% of Total Enrolled	65%	66%	58%	60%	55%	58%	59%	53%	71%	71%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.25	\$6.99	\$5.86	\$6.60	\$6.51	\$6.69	\$6.19	\$5.55	\$5.94	\$6.29	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$834	\$942	\$793	\$894	\$861	\$913	\$793	\$735	\$785	\$846	\$843
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	57%	53%	45%	41%	57%	59%	58%	54%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,478	770	27	131	1,091	34	2,425
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,786	268	15	45	454	8	996
Participants in a Work Activity	1,344	196	5	34	310	6	793
% of Enrolled	75%	73%	33%	76%	68%	75%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	6	0	2
Participants Employed	915	163	5	30	253	2	462
% of Enrolled	51%	61%	33%	67%	56%	25%	46%
Full Time	773	143	1	22	210	2	395
Part Time	142	20	4	8	43	0	67
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.65	\$6.94	\$8.24	\$8.08	\$12.00	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,092	\$761	\$1,092	\$1,163	\$1,905	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,526	497	20	64	589	21	1,335
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,606	579	18	80	875	42	2,012
Participants in a Work Activity	3,248	547	15	65	743	23	1,855
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	83%	81%	85%	55%	92%
Participants Employed	2,145	375	7	51	545	15	1,152
% of Total Enrolled	59%	65%	39%	64%	62%	36%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.98	\$6.96	\$8.19	\$8.15	\$7.73	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,078	\$872	\$1,022	\$1,115	\$882	\$1,066
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	80%	100%	79%	80%	57%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	26,214	4,944	172	775	5,865	227	14,231
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,946	3,651	122	516	4,590	146	10,921
Participants in a Work Activity	19,341	3,582	116	474	4,373	140	10,656
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	92%	95%	96%	98%
Participants Employed	14,422	2,564	85	357	3,303	87	8,026
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	70%	69%	72%	60%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$7.21	\$6.45	\$7.83	\$7.23	\$6.65	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$982	\$847	\$1,039	\$986	\$866	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	57%	67%	56%	52%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	759	104	32	253	230	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	355	30	8	136	105	76
Participants in a Work Activity	251	24	5	85	79	58
% of Enrolled	71%	80%	63%	63%	75%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	4	0	1
Participants Employed	195	19	3	72	60	41
% of Enrolled	55%	63%	38%	53%	57%	54%
Full Time	166	16	2	60	55	33
Part Time	29	3	1	12	5	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.00	\$8.05	\$7.84	\$8.01	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,109	\$1,145	\$934	\$1,079	\$1,110	\$1,156

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	587	90	29	171	173	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	654	79	26	228	162	159
Participants in a Work Activity	574	74	25	191	135	149
% of Total Enrolled	88%	94%	96%	84%	83%	94%
Participants Employed	404	54	15	143	96	96
% of Total Enrolled	62%	68%	58%	63%	59%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.99	\$8.01	\$8.07	\$8.06	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,078	\$1,107	\$1,050	\$1,056	\$1,054
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	51%	60%	72%	85%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,095	819	345	1,415	1,473	1,043
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,451	547	221	1,057	785	841
Participants in a Work Activity	3,305	529	213	977	756	830
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	92%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	2,465	352	159	768	506	680
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	72%	73%	64%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$7.15	\$6.99	\$7.48	\$7.88	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$923	\$892	\$1,004	\$1,109	\$963
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	40%	52%	57%	55%	52%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	320	67	28	28	31	17	24	25	27	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	100	27	4	9	1	8	8	6	9	28
Participants in a Work Activity	77	21	3	8	1	4	7	6	8	19
% of Enrolled	77%	78%	75%	89%	100%	50%	88%	100%	89%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	53	16	3	7	0	4	3	5	3	12
% of Enrolled	53%	59%	75%	78%	0%	50%	38%	83%	33%	43%
Full Time	41	9	3	6	N/A	3	2	3	3	12
Part Time	12	7	0	1	N/A	1	1	2	0	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.25	\$7.83	\$7.60	N/A	\$6.49	\$7.28	\$6.91	\$7.75	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$918	\$1,147	\$1,133	N/A	\$907	\$1,003	\$874	\$1,182	\$1,052

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	190	47	17	17	6	12	17	15	16	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	246	48	13	20	12	12	26	17	19	79
Participants in a Work Activity	206	46	12	18	9	11	23	17	16	54
% of Total Enrolled	84%	96%	92%	90%	75%	92%	88%	100%	84%	68%
Participants Employed	139	30	7	13	7	9	16	10	9	38
% of Total Enrolled	57%	63%	54%	65%	58%	75%	62%	59%	47%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.24	\$7.34	\$7.60	\$7.51	\$6.76	\$7.67	\$7.33	\$6.83	\$7.83	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$899	\$1,033	\$1,044	\$773	\$1,101	\$993	\$929	\$1,055	\$974
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	74%	60%	89%	100%	67%	82%	63%	50%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,454	349	191	179	296	142	282	222	229	564
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,699	260	118	141	223	86	199	175	113	384
Participants in a Work Activity	1,618	251	112	135	211	80	187	170	109	363
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	1,148	187	69	87	158	55	145	113	77	257
% of Total Enrolled	68%	72%	58%	62%	71%	64%	73%	65%	68%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.51	\$6.48	\$6.73	\$6.83	\$6.34	\$6.52	\$6.59	\$6.28	\$6.62	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$851	\$814	\$861	\$957	\$803	\$836	\$855	\$832	\$928	\$868
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	43%	35%	43%	47%	49%	55%	43%	31%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,630	29	106	77	39	67	959	1,335	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	921	11	37	25	15	27	278	518	10
Participants in a Work Activity	660	8	20	21	10	17	203	375	6
% of Enrolled	72%	73%	54%	84%	67%	63%	73%	72%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	0	0	1	2	0	4	11	2
Participants Employed	594	6	15	19	7	16	177	349	5
% of Enrolled	64%	55%	41%	76%	47%	59%	64%	67%	50%
Full Time	522	5	13	15	7	16	152	310	4
Part Time	72	1	2	4	0	0	25	39	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.31	\$8.01	\$6.94	\$8.11	\$8.79	\$7.12	\$7.18	\$7.30	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$1,100	\$999	\$1,149	\$1,465	\$1,030	\$978	\$1,053	\$1,158

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,716	17	77	57	24	59	578	885	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,091	26	82	69	19	68	656	1,151	20
Participants in a Work Activity	1,832	24	59	60	15	58	601	996	19
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	72%	87%	79%	85%	92%	87%	95%
Participants Employed	1,389	14	41	50	11	45	434	781	13
% of Total Enrolled	66%	54%	50%	72%	58%	66%	66%	68%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.54	\$7.56	\$7.63	\$8.03	\$7.34	\$7.50	\$7.38	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	\$985	\$984	\$942	\$1,278	\$963	\$983	\$1,019	\$1,086
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	75%	61%	72%	100%	69%	73%	70%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	18,588	109	743	701	203	694	6,618	9,285	235
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,156	75	498	513	141	470	4,594	6,711	154
Participants in a Work Activity	12,640	73	465	479	135	455	4,507	6,379	147
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	93%	96%	97%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	9,817	36	359	375	99	314	3,395	5,118	121
% of Total Enrolled	75%	48%	72%	73%	70%	67%	74%	76%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.63	\$6.43	\$6.87	\$7.15	\$6.65	\$6.62	\$6.58	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$876	\$876	\$814	\$844	\$995	\$844	\$877	\$882	\$868
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	63%	56%	53%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,071	87	85	32	84	256	527
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	453	19	32	15	43	139	205
Participants in a Work Activity	298	17	23	11	34	79	134
% of Enrolled	66%	89%	72%	73%	79%	57%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	244	17	17	7	29	62	112
% of Enrolled	54%	89%	53%	47%	67%	45%	55%
Full Time	219	17	16	4	28	53	101
Part Time	25	0	1	3	1	9	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$7.03	\$7.24	\$7.17	\$7.32	\$7.13	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,063	\$1,031	\$921	\$1,090	\$1,023	\$1,084

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	603	59	62	15	46	161	260
Total Enrolled in VIEW	847	59	74	22	92	235	365
Participants in a Work Activity	747	56	63	21	86	205	316
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	85%	95%	93%	87%	87%
Participants Employed	536	44	41	11	65	146	229
% of Total Enrolled	63%	75%	55%	50%	71%	62%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$7.22	\$7.39	\$6.93	\$7.27	\$7.38	\$7.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$1,072	\$964	\$837	\$991	\$1,011	\$992
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	67%	71%	86%	69%	62%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,682	847	566	240	550	1,649	2,830
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,058	599	398	181	421	1,288	2,171
Participants in a Work Activity	4,848	597	346	170	404	1,240	2,091
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,665	426	255	132	297	945	1,610
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	64%	73%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.62	\$6.62	\$6.99	\$6.63	\$6.69	\$6.50	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$909	\$909	\$948	\$843	\$929	\$895	\$915
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	52%	52%	49%	58%	52%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through February 29, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/08 and Work Activity as of 2/29/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,568	133	114	960	75	1,792	1,178	355	961
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,011	43	43	357	15	708	423	99	323
Participants in a Work Activity	1,533	34	32	257	15	584	319	75	217
% of Enrolled	76%	79%	74%	72%	100%	82%	75%	76%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	1
Participants Employed	1,339	33	27	231	10	518	264	71	185
% of Enrolled	67%	77%	63%	65%	67%	73%	62%	72%	57%
Full Time	1,215	27	21	222	8	481	230	64	162
Part Time	124	6	6	9	2	37	34	7	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.28	\$7.07	\$7.38	\$7.08	\$7.13	\$7.32	\$6.99	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$1,001	\$943	\$1,071	\$1,074	\$979	\$1,039	\$978	\$998

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,215	81	77	533	44	1,043	531	215	691
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,416	85	107	704	62	1,588	851	280	739
Participants in a Work Activity	3,903	75	99	631	59	1,397	751	259	632
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	93%	90%	95%	88%	88%	93%	86%
Participants Employed	2,973	60	67	481	33	1,124	592	181	435
% of Total Enrolled	67%	71%	63%	68%	53%	71%	70%	65%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$7.42	\$6.84	\$7.51	\$6.92	\$7.28	\$7.22	\$7.06	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$992	\$1,041	\$868	\$1,021	\$898	\$969	\$991	\$944	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	77%	66%	73%	44%	75%	74%	58%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	38,565	827	723	5,976	609	12,567	6,371	2,487	9,005
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	27,209	568	554	4,189	469	8,813	4,864	1,877	5,875
Participants in a Work Activity	25,943	544	528	3,997	458	8,455	4,591	1,856	5,514
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	96%	94%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	20,116	419	348	3,183	337	6,469	3,732	1,473	4,155
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	63%	76%	72%	73%	77%	78%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.49	\$6.51	\$6.24	\$6.59	\$6.13	\$6.41	\$6.40	\$6.34	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$857	\$872	\$794	\$876	\$768	\$825	\$867	\$827	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	55%	54%	62%	60%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	50.5%	3.71	103	\$7.43	3.07	56	62.8%	4.42	90	3.73	102
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	67.6%	5.87	33	\$8.82	6.05	9	77.3%	6.59	25	6.17	6
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	55.4%	4.33	89	\$7.48	3.18	54	81.7%	7.25	17	4.92	44
007	<i>Amelia</i>	61.8%	5.13	62	\$7.99	4.27	25	38.9%	0.83	117	3.41	106
009	<i>Amherst</i>	60.0%	4.91	71	\$7.63	3.50	43	80.0%	7.00	18	5.14	33
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	69.1%	6.07	25	\$6.97	2.08	85	60.0%	4.00	98	4.05	86
013	<i>Arlington</i>	56.3%	4.43	86	\$10.66	10.00	1	60.0%	4.00	99	6.14	7
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	62.4%	5.22	57	\$7.66	3.56	40	70.3%	5.54	59	4.77	52
017	<i>Bath</i>	75.0%	6.82	7	\$6.55	1.18	107	100.0%	10.00	1	6.00	8
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	66.0%	5.68	38	\$7.14	2.45	77	62.2%	4.33	94	4.15	82
021	<i>Bland</i>	50.0%	3.64	104	\$6.50	1.07	110	66.7%	5.00	73	3.24	111
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	50.0%	3.64	105	\$7.40	3.00	61	85.7%	7.86	10	4.83	48
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	49.1%	3.52	109	\$6.05	0.11	118	75.0%	6.25	34	3.29	108
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	44.3%	2.92	114	\$6.21	0.45	114	59.0%	3.85	104	2.40	117
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	39.2%	2.27	115	\$6.81	1.74	98	46.7%	2.00	115	2.00	118
031	<i>Campbell</i>	63.9%	5.41	50	\$7.42	3.05	58	71.4%	5.71	53	4.72	59
033	<i>Caroline</i>	68.4%	5.97	29	\$7.99	4.27	26	51.4%	2.70	112	4.32	78
035	<i>Carroll</i>	71.3%	6.35	16	\$6.68	1.46	103	71.7%	5.75	52	4.52	66
036	<i>Charles City</i>	53.8%	4.13	94	\$7.54	3.30	49	75.0%	6.25	35	4.56	64
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	59.5%	4.84	74	\$7.93	4.14	28	70.0%	5.50	61	4.83	49
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	64.8%	5.52	46	\$7.98	4.25	27	79.9%	6.99	20	5.58	20
043	<i>Clarke</i>	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.85	3.97	30	66.7%	5.00	74	6.32	4
045	<i>Craig</i>	83.3%	7.88	3	\$7.82	3.91	33	33.3%	0.00	118	3.93	94
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	55.7%	4.36	88	\$8.29	4.91	14	69.8%	5.47	63	4.91	45
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	61.5%	5.10	64	\$7.42	3.05	59	66.7%	5.00	75	4.38	71
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	57.0%	4.52	84	\$6.38	0.82	111	77.1%	6.57	27	3.97	92
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	74.6%	6.76	9	\$7.22	2.62	72	66.7%	5.00	76	4.79	50
057	<i>Essex</i>	62.5%	5.23	56	\$7.34	2.88	67	73.9%	6.09	41	4.73	58
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	58.9%	4.77	76	\$9.93	8.43	3	72.2%	5.83	50	6.34	2
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	64.3%	5.45	48	\$8.23	4.79	15	76.2%	6.43	30	5.56	23
063	<i>Floyd</i>	69.0%	6.05	26	\$6.96	2.06	86	62.5%	4.38	91	4.16	80
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	46.7%	3.21	112	\$10.45	9.55	2	66.7%	5.00	77	5.92	10
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	54.5%	4.21	91	\$7.44	3.09	55	64.4%	4.66	84	3.99	90
069	<i>Frederick</i>	60.5%	4.97	68	\$7.65	3.54	42	75.6%	6.33	31	4.95	43
071	<i>Giles</i>	63.4%	5.34	52	\$6.92	1.97	90	60.0%	4.00	100	3.77	99
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	50.0%	3.64	106	\$7.56	3.35	48	61.3%	4.19	97	3.73	103
075	<i>Goochland</i>	38.9%	2.22	116	\$6.96	2.06	87	100.0%	10.00	2	4.76	55
077	<i>Grayson</i>	72.2%	6.46	12	\$6.89	1.91	95	64.7%	4.71	83	4.36	73

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.3%	6.99	5	\$7.19	2.55	74	75.0%	6.25	36	5.26	29
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.9%	5.15	60	\$6.67	1.44	104	67.2%	5.08	72	3.89	95
083	Halifax	53.8%	4.13	95	\$6.91	1.95	93	68.2%	5.24	68	3.77	100
085	Hanover	63.8%	5.39	51	\$8.19	4.70	16	79.5%	6.92	22	5.67	15
087	Henrico	62.3%	5.20	58	\$8.15	4.61	17	79.6%	6.93	21	5.58	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	56.4%	4.45	85	\$7.19	2.55	75	53.3%	2.99	111	3.33	107
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	70.6%	6.26	22	\$7.42	3.05	60	77.3%	6.59	26	5.30	28
095	James City	72.5%	6.50	11	\$7.63	3.50	44	71.9%	5.78	51	5.26	30
097	King and Queen	53.8%	4.13	96	\$7.60	3.43	46	60.0%	4.00	101	3.85	96
099	King George	57.7%	4.62	81	\$8.01	4.31	23	60.0%	4.00	102	4.31	79
101	King William	65.0%	5.55	43	\$7.51	3.24	51	88.9%	8.33	6	5.71	13
103	Lancaster	58.3%	4.70	79	\$6.76	1.63	101	100.0%	10.00	3	5.44	24
105	Lee	75.7%	6.91	6	\$6.13	0.28	117	73.2%	5.97	45	4.39	70
107	Loudoun	68.6%	6.00	28	\$9.93	8.43	4	70.8%	5.62	58	6.69	1
109	Louisa	70.8%	6.28	20	\$7.84	3.95	31	64.1%	4.62	85	4.95	42
111	Lunenburg	21.4%	0.00	119	\$6.00	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
113	Madison	92.3%	9.02	2	\$7.70	3.65	38	66.7%	5.00	78	5.89	11
115	Mathews	75.0%	6.82	8	\$7.67	3.58	39	66.7%	5.00	79	5.13	34
117	Mecklenburg	61.8%	5.13	63	\$6.32	0.69	112	58.6%	3.79	105	3.20	113
119	Middlesex	61.5%	5.10	65	\$7.33	2.85	69	81.8%	7.27	16	5.08	36
121	Montgomery	81.3%	7.61	4	\$7.19	2.55	76	72.9%	5.93	46	5.37	26
125	Nelson	68.8%	6.02	27	\$8.00	4.29	24	55.6%	3.33	110	4.55	65
127	New Kent	57.9%	4.64	80	\$8.03	4.36	22	100.0%	10.00	4	6.33	3
131	Northampton	52.7%	3.99	100	\$7.07	2.30	79	88.9%	8.33	7	4.87	46
133	Northumberland	58.8%	4.76	78	\$6.83	1.78	97	62.5%	4.38	92	3.64	104
135	Nottoway	69.2%	6.08	24	\$6.81	1.74	99	89.1%	8.36	5	5.39	25
137	Orange	71.4%	6.36	15	\$7.54	3.30	50	82.4%	7.35	15	5.67	14
139	Page	60.7%	4.99	67	\$7.78	3.82	35	78.4%	6.76	23	5.19	31
141	Patrick	71.2%	6.34	17	\$6.21	0.45	115	74.7%	6.21	38	4.33	76
143	Pittsylvania	45.1%	3.02	113	\$6.94	2.02	88	70.9%	5.64	56	3.56	105
145	Powhatan	35.7%	1.82	118	\$7.73	3.71	36	57.1%	3.57	107	3.03	115
147	Prince Edward	70.9%	6.30	18	\$7.04	2.23	81	77.5%	6.62	24	5.05	37
149	Prince George	55.4%	4.32	90	\$7.39	2.98	62	71.4%	5.71	54	4.34	75
153	Prince William	64.0%	5.42	49	\$9.24	6.95	7	76.7%	6.50	29	6.29	5
155	Pulaski	57.4%	4.58	82	\$7.08	2.32	78	68.4%	5.25	67	4.05	87
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	3.64	107	\$8.50	5.36	10	50.0%	2.50	113	3.83	98
159	Richmond County	47.4%	3.30	111	\$7.83	3.93	32	50.0%	2.50	114	3.24	110
161	Roanoke County	71.6%	6.39	14	\$7.63	3.50	45	73.5%	6.02	43	5.30	27
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	59.6%	4.85	73	\$8.11	4.53	19	59.1%	3.86	103	4.42	69
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.8%	5.77	34	\$7.66	3.56	41	84.1%	7.61	13	5.65	17
167	Russell	52.0%	3.89	101	\$7.03	2.21	82	67.5%	5.13	70	3.74	101
169	Scott	65.0%	5.55	44	\$6.54	1.16	108	88.9%	8.33	8	5.01	39
171	Shenandoah	53.8%	4.13	97	\$8.36	5.06	11	83.3%	7.50	14	5.56	22

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	53.0%	4.02	99	\$6.59	1.27	106	63.2%	4.47	87	3.25	109
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.6%	5.24	55	\$6.84	1.80	96	65.9%	4.89	81	3.98	91
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	62.7%	5.26	54	\$8.07	4.44	20	72.5%	5.87	48	5.19	32
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.3%	4.81	75	\$8.06	4.42	21	84.6%	7.69	12	5.64	18
181	<i>Surry</i>	50.0%	3.64	108	\$6.93	2.00	89	85.7%	7.86	11	4.50	67
183	<i>Sussex</i>	70.7%	6.26	21	\$7.27	2.73	71	68.6%	5.29	66	4.76	54
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	60.0%	4.91	72	\$6.60	1.29	105	75.0%	6.25	37	4.15	83
187	<i>Warren</i>	65.9%	5.66	39	\$8.34	5.02	12	75.5%	6.33	32	5.67	16
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.4%	5.59	41	\$6.78	1.67	100	71.3%	5.69	55	4.32	77
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	48.1%	3.39	110	\$6.92	1.97	91	62.1%	4.31	96	3.23	112
195	<i>Wise</i>	65.3%	5.58	42	\$6.32	0.69	113	72.3%	5.85	49	4.04	88
197	<i>Wythe</i>	73.0%	6.57	10	\$6.90	1.93	94	73.7%	6.05	42	4.85	47
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	66.2%	5.70	36	\$7.34	2.88	68	69.4%	5.42	65	4.66	61
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	54.0%	4.14	93	\$9.45	7.40	6	70.9%	5.64	57	5.73	12
520	<i>Bristol</i>	61.8%	5.14	61	\$6.72	1.55	102	67.5%	5.12	71	3.94	93
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	66.3%	5.71	35	\$7.90	4.08	29	63.1%	4.46	88	4.75	57
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	68.3%	5.97	30	\$7.51	3.24	52	72.5%	5.88	47	5.03	38
590	<i>Danville</i>	51.0%	3.77	102	\$6.99	2.12	84	56.6%	3.49	109	3.13	114
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	53.2%	4.05	98	\$6.92	1.97	92	44.4%	1.67	116	2.56	116
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	60.4%	4.96	69	\$8.30	4.94	13	62.5%	4.38	93	4.76	56
640	<i>Galax</i>	60.3%	4.94	70	\$6.51	1.09	109	80.0%	7.00	19	4.35	74
650	<i>Hampton</i>	66.2%	5.69	37	\$7.50	3.22	53	73.4%	6.00	44	4.97	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	62.1%	5.18	59	\$7.38	2.96	64	62.1%	4.32	95	4.15	81
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	60.8%	5.02	66	\$7.01	2.17	83	66.2%	4.93	80	4.04	89
683	<i>Manassas</i>	54.5%	4.21	92	\$8.86	6.14	8	76.9%	6.54	28	5.63	19
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	37.5%	2.05	117	\$9.55	7.62	5	87.5%	8.13	9	5.93	9
700	<i>Newport News</i>	67.9%	5.91	32	\$7.38	2.96	65	69.7%	5.46	64	4.78	51
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.8%	6.28	19	\$7.28	2.75	70	75.3%	6.30	33	5.11	35
720	<i>Norton</i>	72.1%	6.45	13	\$6.19	0.41	116	70.0%	5.50	62	4.12	85
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	62.7%	5.26	53	\$7.37	2.94	66	74.1%	6.12	39	4.77	53
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	69.6%	6.13	23	\$7.22	2.62	73	74.0%	6.10	40	4.95	41
750	<i>Radford</i>	65.5%	5.61	40	\$7.43	3.07	57	63.0%	4.46	89	4.38	72
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	57.3%	4.56	83	\$7.79	3.84	34	65.7%	4.85	82	4.42	68
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	56.0%	4.40	87	\$7.59	3.41	47	63.9%	4.58	86	4.13	84
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	64.6%	5.50	47	\$7.06	2.27	80	58.2%	3.73	106	3.84	97
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	58.9%	4.76	77	\$7.71	3.67	37	70.1%	5.52	60	4.65	62
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	65.0%	5.55	45	\$8.14	4.59	18	57.1%	3.57	108	4.57	63
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.2%	5.96	31	\$7.39	2.98	63	68.0%	5.20	69	4.71	60

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.2%	1.63	116	\$796	2.17	98	59.3%	7.27	28	3.69	107
003	Albemarle	79.6%	8.34	6	\$967	5.77	19	54.6%	6.07	59	6.73	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.3%	6.17	51	\$808	2.41	95	48.3%	4.43	95	4.34	90
007	Amelia	65.9%	4.73	90	\$942	5.23	29	46.1%	3.85	102	4.60	81
009	Amherst	68.6%	5.43	77	\$819	2.65	89	52.2%	5.45	75	4.51	85
011	Appomattox	72.5%	6.46	39	\$813	2.51	93	42.5%	2.91	116	3.96	100
013	Arlington	76.3%	7.49	17	\$1,011	6.70	9	64.8%	8.70	8	7.63	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.8%	6.03	56	\$924	4.87	38	52.5%	5.52	73	5.47	43
017	Bath	67.7%	5.22	81	\$749	1.16	116	55.0%	6.17	56	4.18	91
019	Bedford County/City	68.6%	5.45	76	\$794	2.12	100	55.6%	6.31	49	4.63	80
021	Bland	66.7%	4.93	88	\$794	2.12	99	45.1%	3.60	106	3.55	110
023	Botetourt	53.4%	1.42	117	\$914	4.64	45	43.4%	3.16	112	3.07	117
025	Brunswick	57.7%	2.55	114	\$793	2.09	103	56.9%	6.67	39	3.77	106
027	Buchanan	51.9%	1.03	119	\$773	1.68	112	54.5%	6.05	60	2.92	119
029	Buckingham	60.3%	3.25	106	\$894	4.22	55	53.1%	5.68	68	4.39	89
031	Campbell	69.7%	5.73	68	\$836	3.01	80	60.0%	7.47	23	5.40	46
033	Caroline	64.4%	4.32	94	\$923	4.84	40	40.3%	2.34	118	3.83	104
035	Carroll	68.5%	5.41	78	\$782	1.85	108	45.7%	3.74	105	3.67	108
036	Charles City	48.0%	0.00	120	\$876	3.84	62	63.3%	8.33	11	4.06	95
037	Charlotte	54.6%	1.75	115	\$861	3.54	68	45.0%	3.56	108	2.95	118
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	70.2%	5.87	62	\$982	6.09	16	60.9%	7.70	20	6.55	18
043	Clarke	63.4%	4.07	99	\$968	5.79	18	46.9%	4.07	100	4.65	79
045	Craig	76.9%	7.64	13	\$978	6.00	17	66.7%	9.20	4	7.61	6
047	Culpeper	69.7%	5.73	67	\$931	5.00	34	55.7%	6.34	48	5.69	38
049	Cumberland	58.0%	2.64	113	\$913	4.62	47	41.3%	2.61	117	3.29	114
051	Dickensen	60.3%	3.24	107	\$775	1.71	111	49.1%	4.65	91	3.20	115
053	Dinwiddie	71.1%	6.11	52	\$909	4.55	49	52.0%	5.40	79	5.35	50
057	Essex	71.9%	6.32	47	\$814	2.55	91	42.9%	3.04	114	3.97	99
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71.9%	6.31	48	\$1,078	8.12	4	61.7%	7.90	15	7.44	7
061	Fauquier	72.0%	6.35	44	\$960	5.61	23	64.0%	8.51	10	6.82	11
063	Floyd	72.2%	6.39	42	\$884	4.02	57	46.6%	3.99	101	4.80	70
065	Fluvanna	73.5%	6.73	30	\$1,070	7.94	5	50.9%	5.11	84	6.59	16
067	Franklin County	69.6%	5.70	70	\$912	4.61	48	49.7%	4.80	88	5.04	63
069	Frederick	64.0%	4.23	97	\$940	5.19	30	55.1%	6.19	55	5.20	55
071	Giles	59.0%	2.91	110	\$904	4.43	51	48.3%	4.44	94	3.93	102
073	Gloucester	72.1%	6.36	43	\$814	2.54	92	55.8%	6.38	47	5.10	61
075	Goochland	69.7%	5.73	69	\$847	3.24	72	56.6%	6.58	44	5.18	56
077	Grayson	67.5%	5.16	83	\$815	2.55	90	57.4%	6.80	35	4.84	69

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	80.3%	8.55	5	\$917	4.72	42	50.3%	4.95	86	6.07	30
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.3%	2.98	109	\$793	2.09	102	57.0%	6.69	38	3.92	103
083	Halifax	62.9%	3.93	101	\$810	2.45	94	48.2%	4.40	97	3.59	109
085	Hanover	69.2%	5.60	74	\$1,039	7.29	7	66.7%	9.20	5	7.36	8
087	Henrico	72.0%	6.33	45	\$986	6.16	15	56.2%	6.49	45	6.33	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	67.0%	5.03	84	\$906	4.49	50	55.0%	6.16	57	5.23	54
091	Highland	71.4%	6.19	50	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.47	24	4.55	83
093	Isle of Wight	73.8%	6.81	28	\$872	3.75	63	55.3%	6.24	53	5.60	41
095	James City	73.1%	6.63	34	\$844	3.17	76	52.6%	5.53	72	5.11	60
097	King and Queen	58.5%	2.77	111	\$861	3.53	69	35.5%	1.10	119	2.47	120
099	King George	71.9%	6.33	46	\$892	4.19	56	52.5%	5.51	74	5.34	52
101	King William	61.7%	3.62	104	\$957	5.56	24	43.0%	3.06	113	4.08	94
103	Lancaster	70.9%	6.04	54	\$803	2.30	97	47.0%	4.08	99	4.14	93
105	Lee	66.9%	5.00	86	\$740	0.98	117	59.7%	7.39	26	4.46	88
107	Loudoun	83.9%	9.49	2	\$1,126	9.13	2	66.2%	9.06	6	9.23	1
109	Louisa	67.6%	5.17	82	\$939	5.19	31	53.2%	5.71	67	5.36	49
111	Lunenburg	52.7%	1.24	118	\$735	0.88	118	58.9%	7.18	30	3.10	116
113	Madison	70.2%	5.85	65	\$964	5.71	21	44.0%	3.31	110	4.96	67
115	Mathews	64.0%	4.22	98	\$836	3.01	81	49.0%	4.60	92	3.94	101
117	Mecklenburg	71.0%	6.09	53	\$785	1.92	106	58.3%	7.02	31	5.01	65
119	Middlesex	72.9%	6.57	36	\$855	3.40	71	55.2%	6.21	54	5.39	47
121	Montgomery	82.1%	9.02	3	\$897	4.29	52	54.8%	6.11	58	6.47	20
125	Nelson	69.6%	5.70	71	\$1,007	6.61	11	51.5%	5.25	83	5.85	34
127	New Kent	70.2%	5.87	63	\$995	6.36	14	53.8%	5.85	65	6.03	31
131	Northampton	63.1%	3.99	100	\$779	1.79	109	65.4%	8.85	7	4.88	68
133	Northumberland	64.6%	4.38	92	\$832	2.91	83	42.7%	2.98	115	3.42	113
135	Nottoway	70.7%	5.99	58	\$846	3.20	74	54.3%	5.98	62	5.06	62
137	Orange	75.3%	7.22	20	\$933	5.05	33	43.4%	3.16	111	5.14	58
139	Page	76.8%	7.61	15	\$964	5.71	20	56.7%	6.61	41	6.64	14
141	Patrick	69.0%	5.55	75	\$784	1.90	107	67.0%	9.29	3	5.58	42
143	Pittsylvania	58.5%	2.76	112	\$840	3.08	79	52.8%	5.58	69	3.81	105
145	Powhatan	59.6%	3.06	108	\$866	3.63	67	51.9%	5.36	80	4.02	97
147	Prince Edward	77.8%	7.88	12	\$843	3.14	78	60.4%	7.58	21	6.20	26
149	Prince George	64.1%	4.25	96	\$948	5.37	27	52.1%	5.42	78	5.01	64
153	Prince William	76.9%	7.63	14	\$1,167	10.00	1	61.9%	7.96	14	8.53	2
155	Pulaski	67.8%	5.24	80	\$923	4.85	39	45.1%	3.60	107	4.56	82
157	Rappahannock	66.0%	4.76	89	\$943	5.25	28	44.4%	3.43	109	4.48	86
159	Richmond County	68.1%	5.32	79	\$928	4.95	36	31.3%	0.00	120	3.42	112
161	Roanoke County	78.2%	7.97	9	\$1,003	6.54	13	52.7%	5.58	70	6.70	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.9%	7.90	11	\$846	3.21	73	53.9%	5.87	64	5.66	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.8%	7.08	22	\$914	4.64	46	59.2%	7.26	29	6.33	24
167	Russell	62.8%	3.90	103	\$821	2.69	88	59.6%	7.35	27	4.65	78
169	Scott	60.7%	3.35	105	\$836	3.00	82	64.4%	8.60	9	4.98	66
171	Shenandoah	70.6%	5.97	59	\$895	4.25	54	53.9%	5.89	63	5.37	48

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	65.4%	4.60	91	\$788	1.99	104	52.7%	5.58	71	4.06	96
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.8%	3.91	102	\$794	2.10	101	47.8%	4.31	98	3.44	111
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	72.7%	6.52	37	\$1,004	6.54	12	56.9%	6.66	40	6.57	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	64.5%	4.35	93	\$1,109	8.76	3	55.4%	6.26	52	6.46	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.9%	6.59	35	\$843	3.15	77	49.2%	4.65	90	4.80	71
183	<i>Sussex</i>	70.5%	5.96	60	\$929	4.96	35	57.5%	6.82	34	5.91	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	70.1%	5.84	66	\$769	1.58	113	57.1%	6.70	37	4.71	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.5%	6.48	38	\$951	5.43	26	59.9%	7.44	25	6.45	22
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.3%	5.64	72	\$859	3.49	70	50.3%	4.96	85	4.70	77
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.9%	5.00	85	\$868	3.68	64	49.4%	4.71	89	4.47	87
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.32	95	\$753	1.26	115	58.1%	6.96	32	4.18	92
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.3%	5.64	73	\$807	2.39	96	55.4%	6.27	51	4.76	73
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	66.8%	4.97	87	\$844	3.17	75	45.9%	3.80	103	3.98	98
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.4%	6.45	40	\$1,010	6.68	10	69.8%	10.00	1	7.71	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	74.3%	6.94	23	\$825	2.77	85	48.2%	4.40	96	4.70	76
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	85.8%	10.00	1	\$915	4.67	43	56.6%	6.58	42	7.08	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	76.0%	7.39	19	\$876	3.85	61	56.1%	6.46	46	5.90	33
590	<i>Danville</i>	70.8%	6.04	55	\$777	1.76	110	53.7%	5.82	66	4.54	84
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	71.9%	6.30	49	\$768	1.56	114	55.5%	6.29	50	4.72	74
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	80.9%	8.68	4	\$963	5.69	22	52.2%	5.44	76	6.60	15
640	<i>Galax</i>	70.2%	5.87	64	\$822	2.71	87	61.1%	7.74	19	5.44	44
650	<i>Hampton</i>	73.9%	6.84	26	\$877	3.87	60	51.8%	5.35	81	5.35	51
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	73.4%	6.70	32	\$895	4.25	53	51.8%	5.34	82	5.43	45
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.2%	6.92	24	\$785	1.93	105	62.9%	8.22	12	5.69	39
683	<i>Manassas</i>	72.3%	6.43	41	\$1,062	7.78	6	61.4%	7.82	17	7.34	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.3%	7.22	21	\$1,029	7.08	8	68.2%	9.58	2	7.96	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	76.3%	7.47	18	\$882	3.97	58	57.7%	6.86	33	6.10	29
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.4%	6.71	31	\$825	2.76	86	54.5%	6.03	61	5.17	57
720	<i>Norton</i>	78.2%	7.97	10	\$698	0.09	119	61.6%	7.88	16	5.31	53
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	74.2%	6.91	25	\$915	4.66	44	57.3%	6.77	36	6.12	28
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.7%	7.59	16	\$867	3.66	66	61.9%	7.96	13	6.40	23
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.2%	6.67	33	\$879	3.92	59	45.7%	3.75	104	4.78	72
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	73.5%	6.74	29	\$935	5.10	32	61.1%	7.76	18	6.53	19
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.5%	5.95	61	\$925	4.87	37	48.9%	4.58	93	5.14	59
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	78.5%	8.05	8	\$827	2.82	84	60.1%	7.50	22	6.12	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	70.7%	6.00	57	\$918	4.74	41	56.6%	6.58	43	5.77	35
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	78.6%	8.08	7	\$868	3.68	65	52.1%	5.42	77	5.73	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	73.9%	6.83	27	\$951	5.43	25	50.2%	4.93	87	5.73	36

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2007 – February 29, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	14,778	858	493	1,353	511	242	1,620	172	326	575	781	481	2,192	402	140	1,462	464	2,605	101
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	95%	90%	96%	97%	97%	94%	94%	98%	96%	96%	92%	94%	89%	94%	96%	90%	94%	82%
% Timely in February 2008	95%	93%	98%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	100%	98%	93%	96%	90%	89%	95%	94%	90%	98%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	8,129	512	277	727	263	122	874	106	198	344	476	284	1,198	216	75	750	250	1,397	60
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	99%	94%	99%	98%	98%	96%	95%	97%	96%	98%	98%	98%	97%	88%	95%	96%	96%	95%
Percent in February 2008	97%	99%	92%	98%	100%	100%	95%	100%	93%	94%	97%	96%	99%	100%	100%	97%	90%	96%	78%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	77	5	1	13	1	1	4	1	0	0	3	1	21	3	5	14	4	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	4	92	45	5	14	28	19	0	0	3	7	32	3	153	40	39	0	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	858	68	53	126	132	80	156	211	32
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	96%	100%	94%	100%	93%	96%	94%	84%
% Timely in February 2008	93%	92%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	89%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	512	40	33	81	84	44	95	118	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	95%	94%	100%	99%	100%	100%	99%	94%
Percent in February 2008	99%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	7

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	493	6	52	23	70	96	71	132	43
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	90%	100%	92%	100%	83%	98%	79%	91%	91%
% Timely in February 2008	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	1
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	277	4	25	12	40	47	53	78	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	94%	75%	96%	100%	83%	98%	94%	96%	100%
Percent in February 2008	92%	N/A	100%	100%	73%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	92	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1353	75	8	4	20	116	31	167	114	152	60	606
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	64%	100%	75%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	99%	92%	99%
% Timely in February 2008	95%	40%	100%	50%	N/A	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	727	40	4	1	12	54	20	85	55	72	36	348
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	95%	99%	100%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in February 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	13	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	49	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	511	319	6	0	25	161
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	98%	100%	N/A	96%	97%
% Timely in February 2008	97%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	263	168	2	0	14	79
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	98%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in February 2008	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	5	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	242	6	51	42	14	67	62	101	54	47
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	100%	96%	100%	64%	100%	100%	82%	85%	79%
% Timely in February 2008	96%	N/A	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	122	5	25	22	6	36	28	60	36	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	88%
Percent in February 2008	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	100%	60%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1,620	114	509	154	568	186	64	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	100%	96%	95%	92%	98%	77%	72%
% Timely in February 2008	97%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	874	56	286	77	309	95	38	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	100%	97%	92%	97%	98%	100%	62%
Percent in February 2008	95%	100%	94%	80%	96%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	4	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	5	0	0	0	35	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	172	66	63	6	31	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	92%	100%	100%	100%	17%
% Timely in February 2008	95%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	106	39	38	5	19	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	97%	97%	80%	89%	100%
Percent in February 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	0	0	0	19

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	326	64	13	20	38	15	176
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in February 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	198	32	5	14	21	7	119
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	97%	60%	100%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in February 2008	93%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	575	44	44	106	123	258
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	100%	100%	98%	93%
% Timely in February 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	344	33	21	67	74	149
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	97%	95%	99%	95%	96%
Percent in February 2008	94%	88%	100%	100%	91%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	781	110	225	104	118	224
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	91%	96%	99%	100%	95%
% Timely in February 2008	93%	83%	92%	100%	100%	89%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	476	80	130	61	64	141
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	99%	98%	98%	98%	99%
Percent in February 2008	97%	100%	94%	100%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	2	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	3	0	0	2

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	481	19	68	41	30	26	74	6	80	49	88
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	92%	84%	99%	85%	100%	100%	89%	100%	95%	76%	97%
% Timely in February 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	92%	75%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	284	10	43	21	18	16	39	4	50	30	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	90%	100%	95%	94%	100%	97%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Percent in February 2008	96%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	2,192	435	11	44	530	15	1,157
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	94%	100%	100%	89%	100%	95%
% Timely in February 2008	90%	98%	100%	100%	74%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1198	253	8	23	268	10	636
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	98%	100%	96%	97%	90%	98%
Percent in February 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	N/A	99%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	21	2	0	0	17	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	32	64	0	0	31	0	9

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	402	61	19	107	93	122
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	89%	93%	100%	93%	68%	97%
% Timely in February 2008	89%	78%	100%	92%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	216	35	9	61	54	57
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	97%	100%	95%	94%	100%
Percent in February 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	3	7	0	0	0	1

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	140	35	10	10	2	8	15	13	13	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	100%	90%	100%	50%	63%	100%	100%	69%	100%
% Timely in February 2008	95%	100%	50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	75	23	5	7	0	5	5	9	6	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	88%	100%	100%	86%	N/A	60%	100%	100%	100%	60%
Percent in February 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	153	0	1	0	0	191	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1,462	19	63	53	14	55	451	791	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	74%	100%	100%	43%	95%	98%	96%	100%
% Timely in February 2008	94%	0%	100%	100%	0%	90%	97%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	750	12	28	25	6	28	240	407	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	97%	93%	100%
Percent in February 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	14	5	0	0	3	1	2	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	33	0	0	98	2	39	8	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	464	40	53	14	42	118	197
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	90%	98%	87%	100%	100%	81%	91%
% Timely in February 2008	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	250	24	21	7	27	59	112
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	92%
Percent in February 2008	90%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	87%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	0	0	39

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2007 - February 29, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	2,605	63	67	418	37	821	464	200	535
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	95%	99%	95%	100%	89%	98%	94%	96%
% Timely in February 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	98%	94%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1397	40	38	234	28	459	246	102	250
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	97%	94%	100%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Percent in February 2008	96%	100%	100%	93%	100%	93%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Feb-07</i>	<i>Feb-08</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,697	15,327	-2.4%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,684	129,529	2.2%
Total Cases Collected	142,381	144,856	1.7%
TANF Collections	\$5,351,983	\$4,660,320	-12.9%
NTANF Collections	\$46,387,220	\$50,921,633	9.8%
Total Collections	\$51,739,203	\$55,581,953	7.4%
SFY YTD Collections	\$385,734,494	\$396,754,447	2.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$344	\$350	2.0%

Paternities Established

	<i>Feb-08</i>
TANF Paternities	261
NTANF Paternities	443
Combined Paternities	704

Diversionsary Assistance

February Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Prince William	3
Alleghany / Covington	1		Pulaski	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	9		Roanoke County	5
Brunswick	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	9
Buckingham	2		Scott	1
Campbell	1		Shenandoah	5
Caroline	2		Smyth	2
Carroll	1		Spotsylvania	11
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	10		Stafford	1
Culpeper	1		Tazewell	1
Dinwiddie	1		Warren	4
Fauquier	5		Wythe	5
Franklin County	6		Bristol	4
Frederick	6		Charlottesville	4
Greene	1		Chesapeake	3
Halifax	5		Danville	1
Henrico	5		Fredricksburg	1
Henry / Martinsville	2		Galax	1
Isle of Wight	2		Hampton	5
James City	1		Hopewell	2
Lancaster	1		Manassas Park	1
Loudoun	2		Newport News	9
Louisa	1		Norfolk	9
Lunenburg	1		Portsmouth	1
Mathews	1		Richmond City	5
Mecklenburg	1		Roanoke City	13
Montgomery	2		Suffolk	12
Patrick	5		Virginia Beach	9
Pittsylvania	2		Winchester	5
Prince Edward	1		Statewide	215

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments were introduced

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.15 to \$5.85 an hour on 7/24/07. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of March 1, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report may be available on the Department of Social Services web site: www.dss.virginia.gov. On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for deletions from or additions to the mailing list may be sent by e-mail to william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2008




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. This is generally consistent with the caseload trends and the slight case decline after December 2004 seems to be reflected in applications received. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

January 31, 2008




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January, it was \$7.60 rather than the \$7.43 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with a more recent increase in the percent full time which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.