

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
June 2008

Monthly Report, June 2008

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 48% with a denominator of 15,092 and a numerator of 7,290.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	63	22	35%	061	Fauquier	59	29	49%
003	Albemarle	65	31	48%	063	Floyd	16	13	81%
005	Alleghany/Covington	81	35	43%	065	Fluvanna	17	8	47%
007	Amelia	19	8	42%	067	Franklin County	83	30	36%
009	Amherst	37	16	43%	069	Frederick	67	34	51%
011	Appomattox	31	20	65%	071	Giles	19	9	47%
013	Arlington	114	33	29%	073	Gloucester	48	27	56%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	251	120	48%	075	Goochland	11	0	0%
017	Bath	5	3	60%	077	Grayson	22	14	64%
019	Bedford County/City	85	50	59%	079	Greene	19	4	21%
021	Bland	9	2	22%	081	Greensville/Emporia	68	31	46%
023	Botetourt	12	1	8%	083	Halifax	104	30	29%
025	Brunswick	65	34	52%	085	Hanover	68	22	32%
027	Buchanan	60	27	45%	087	Henrico	605	290	48%
029	Buckingham	30	12	40%	089	Henry/Martinsville	241	116	48%
031	Campbell	123	63	51%	091	Highland	1	0	0%
033	Caroline	66	7	11%	093	Isle of Wight	64	39	61%
035	Carroll	58	37	64%	095	James City	56	34	61%
036	Charles City	7	2	29%	097	King and Queen	8	3	38%
037	Charlotte	16	4	25%	099	King George	12	9	75%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	375	197	53%	101	King William	12	8	67%
043	Clarke	8	0	0%	103	Lancaster	14	4	29%
045	Craig	3	0	0%	105	Lee	107	42	39%
047	Culpeper	61	29	48%	107	Loudoun	171	83	49%
049	Cumberland	37	16	43%	109	Louisa	33	15	45%
051	Dickensen	43	11	26%	111	Lunenburg	20	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	41	22	54%	113	Madison	12	6	50%
057	Essex	51	15	29%	115	Mathews	8	2	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	536	285	53%	117	Mecklenburg	82	35	43%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	1	13%	187	Warren	54	35	65%
121	Montgomery	180	105	58%	191	Washington	72	36	50%
125	Nelson	22	9	41%	193	Westmoreland	35	15	43%
127	New Kent	17	1	6%	195	Wise	200	78	39%
131	Northampton	40	17	43%	197	Wythe	63	31	49%
133	Northumberland	11	1	9%	199	York/Poquoson	37	20	54%
135	Nottoway	84	21	25%	510	Alexandria	208	116	56%
137	Orange	33	10	30%	520	Bristol	131	66	50%
139	Page	18	11	61%	540	Charlottesville	164	99	60%
141	Patrick	68	41	60%	550	Chesapeake	522	226	43%
143	Pittsylvania	91	34	37%	590	Danville	205	87	42%
145	Powhatan	19	6	32%	620	Franklin City	42	18	43%
147	Prince Edward	69	37	54%	630	Fredericksburg	92	53	58%
149	Prince George	41	12	29%	640	Galax	29	15	52%
153	Prince William	576	282	49%	650	Hampton	571	263	46%
155	Pulaski	76	32	42%	670	Hopewell	165	93	56%
157	Rappahannock	6	2	33%	680	Lynchburg	265	142	54%
159	Richmond County	18	7	39%	683	Manassas	74	44	59%
161	Roanoke County	139	74	53%	685	Manassas Park	20	13	65%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	25	9	36%	700	Newport News	763	389	51%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	146	95	65%	710	Norfolk	922	484	52%
167	Russell	93	45	48%	720	Norton	31	10	32%
169	Scott	87	32	37%	730	Petersburg	306	111	36%
171	Shenandoah	16	6	38%	740	Portsmouth	593	268	45%
173	Smyth	75	34	45%	750	Radford	35	18	51%
175	Southampton	51	14	27%	760	Richmond City	1,438	772	54%
177	Spotsylvania	156	84	54%	770	Roanoke City	468	176	38%
179	Stafford	152	69	45%	800	Suffolk	160	101	63%
181	Surry	16	6	38%	810	Virginia Beach	444	244	55%
183	Sussex	42	20	48%	830	Williamsburg	17	9	53%
185	Tazewell	151	76	50%	840	Winchester	41	26	63%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 12,800 and a numerator of 5,200. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	58	17	29%	061	Fauquier	50	22	44%
003	Albemarle	44	12	27%	063	Floyd	12	9	75%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	28	38%	065	Fluvanna	13	5	38%
007	Amelia	18	7	39%	067	Franklin County	75	23	31%
009	Amherst	31	11	35%	069	Frederick	58	26	45%
011	Appomattox	28	17	61%	071	Giles	14	5	36%
013	Arlington	95	18	19%	073	Gloucester	37	18	49%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	212	84	40%	075	Goochland	11	0	0%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	18	11	61%
019	Bedford County/City	73	39	53%	079	Greene	18	3	17%
021	Bland	9	2	22%	081	Greensville/Emporia	64	27	42%
023	Botetourt	11	1	9%	083	Halifax	99	25	25%
025	Brunswick	60	30	50%	085	Hanover	58	13	22%
027	Buchanan	52	19	37%	087	Henrico	511	199	39%
029	Buckingham	27	10	37%	089	Henry/Martinsville	214	91	43%
031	Campbell	95	35	37%	091	Highland	1	0	0%
033	Caroline	58	1	2%	093	Isle of Wight	50	25	50%
035	Carroll	46	26	57%	095	James City	40	20	50%
036	Charles City	7	2	29%	097	King and Queen	7	2	29%
037	Charlotte	15	3	20%	099	King George	8	5	63%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	297	120	40%	101	King William	8	4	50%
043	Clarke	6	0	0%	103	Lancaster	14	4	29%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	92	29	32%
047	Culpeper	52	20	38%	107	Loudoun	129	46	36%
049	Cumberland	32	12	38%	109	Louisa	26	8	31%
051	Dickensen	40	9	23%	111	Lunenburg	19	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	29	10	34%	113	Madison	12	6	50%
057	Essex	47	12	26%	115	Mathews	8	2	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	424	181	43%	117	Mecklenburg	76	29	38%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	1	14%	187	Warren	47	29	62%
121	Montgomery	152	78	51%	191	Washington	61	27	44%
125	Nelson	17	5	29%	193	Westmoreland	31	13	42%
127	New Kent	17	1	6%	195	Wise	175	57	33%
131	Northampton	37	14	38%	197	Wythe	48	21	44%
133	Northumberland	11	1	9%	199	York/Poquoson	30	13	43%
135	Nottoway	74	11	15%	510	Alexandria	153	63	41%
137	Orange	28	7	25%	520	Bristol	115	52	45%
139	Page	14	7	50%	540	Charlottesville	135	71	53%
141	Patrick	50	24	48%	550	Chesapeake	457	167	37%
143	Pittsylvania	77	21	27%	590	Danville	185	68	37%
145	Powhatan	18	5	28%	620	Franklin City	33	9	27%
147	Prince Edward	55	23	42%	630	Fredericksburg	74	37	50%
149	Prince George	33	6	18%	640	Galax	23	9	39%
153	Prince William	459	174	38%	650	Hampton	489	193	39%
155	Pulaski	72	29	40%	670	Hopewell	147	76	52%
157	Rappahannock	5	1	20%	680	Lynchburg	227	109	48%
159	Richmond County	17	6	35%	683	Manassas	60	31	52%
161	Roanoke County	114	50	44%	685	Manassas Park	14	9	64%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	23	8	35%	700	Newport News	630	263	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	114	64	56%	710	Norfolk	839	408	49%
167	Russell	82	36	44%	720	Norton	28	8	29%
169	Scott	74	21	28%	730	Petersburg	276	88	32%
171	Shenandoah	15	5	33%	740	Portsmouth	511	196	38%
173	Smyth	66	25	38%	750	Radford	34	17	50%
175	Southampton	48	12	25%	760	Richmond City	1,227	581	47%
177	Spotsylvania	135	69	51%	770	Roanoke City	410	120	29%
179	Stafford	130	50	38%	800	Suffolk	127	68	54%
181	Surry	16	6	38%	810	Virginia Beach	362	165	46%
183	Sussex	34	13	38%	830	Williamsburg	14	6	43%
185	Tazewell	130	55	42%	840	Winchester	28	14	50%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 91% with a denominator of 2,292 and a numerator of 2,090. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	5	100%	061	Fauquier	9	7	78%
003	Albemarle	21	19	90%	063	Floyd	4	4	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	7	88%	065	Fluvanna	4	3	75%
007	Amelia	1	1	100%	067	Franklin County	8	7	88%
009	Amherst	6	5	83%	069	Frederick	9	8	89%
011	Appomattox	3	3	100%	071	Giles	5	4	80%
013	Arlington	19	15	79%	073	Gloucester	11	9	82%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	39	36	92%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	4	3	75%
019	Bedford County/City	12	11	92%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	4	4	100%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	5	5	100%
025	Brunswick	5	4	80%	085	Hanover	10	9	90%
027	Buchanan	8	8	100%	087	Henrico	94	91	97%
029	Buckingham	3	2	67%	089	Henry/Martinsville	27	25	93%
031	Campbell	28	28	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	8	6	75%	093	Isle of Wight	14	14	100%
035	Carroll	12	11	92%	095	James City	16	14	88%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	1	1	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	78	77	99%	101	King William	4	4	100%
043	Clarke	2	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	15	13	87%
047	Culpeper	9	9	100%	107	Loudoun	42	37	88%
049	Cumberland	5	4	80%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	3	2	67%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	12	12	100%	113	Madison	0	0	#DIV/0!
057	Essex	4	3	75%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	112	104	93%	117	Mecklenburg	6	6	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	0	0%	187	Warren	7	6	86%
121	Montgomery	28	27	96%	191	Washington	11	9	82%
125	Nelson	5	4	80%	193	Westmoreland	4	2	50%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	25	21	84%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	15	10	67%
133	Northumberland	0	0	#DIV/0!	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	10	10	100%	510	Alexandria	55	53	96%
137	Orange	5	3	60%	520	Bristol	16	14	88%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	29	28	97%
141	Patrick	18	17	94%	550	Chesapeake	65	59	91%
143	Pittsylvania	14	13	93%	590	Danville	20	19	95%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	9	9	100%
147	Prince Edward	14	14	100%	630	Fredericksburg	18	16	89%
149	Prince George	8	6	75%	640	Galax	6	6	100%
153	Prince William	117	108	92%	650	Hampton	82	70	85%
155	Pulaski	4	3	75%	670	Hopewell	18	17	94%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	38	33	87%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	14	13	93%
161	Roanoke County	25	24	96%	685	Manassas Park	6	4	67%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	1	50%	700	Newport News	133	126	95%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	32	31	97%	710	Norfolk	83	76	92%
167	Russell	11	9	82%	720	Norton	3	2	67%
169	Scott	13	11	85%	730	Petersburg	30	23	77%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	82	72	88%
173	Smyth	9	9	100%	750	Radford	1	1	100%
175	Southampton	3	2	67%	760	Richmond City	211	191	91%
177	Spotsylvania	21	15	71%	770	Roanoke City	58	56	97%
179	Stafford	22	19	86%	800	Suffolk	33	33	100%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	82	79	96%
183	Sussex	8	7	88%	830	Williamsburg	3	3	100%
185	Tazewell	21	21	100%	840	Winchester	13	12	92%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-08	Jun-07	Change from Jun-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,152	4,362	-5%	4,807	-14%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,153	1,916	12%	1,658	30%
Applications Approved	2,200	2,071	6%	2,820	-22%
Cases Closed *	2,480	2,631	-5.7%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	27,485	28,469	-3%	67,995	-60%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,340	29,374	-4%	70,403	-60%

* The database indicates that of the 2,480 closed cases, 895 were VIEW cases, of which 631 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 154 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 115 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-08	Jun-07	Change from Jun-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	277	155	79%	338	-18%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	158	122	30%	232	-32%
Applications Approved	149	125	20%	81	84%
Cases Closed *	174	154	13%	107	63%
Cases at End of Month	980	938	4%	321	205%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,064	989	8%	394	170%

* The database indicates that of the 174 closed cases, 84 were VIEW cases, of which 55 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, eight were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, seven closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	28,465	1,760	902	2,230	814	335	3,061	365	569	974	1,493	899	4,493	805	331	2,684	1,066	5,453	231
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,456	578	377	817	294	148	1,136	124	193	411	454	409	1,711	346	107	929	434	1,920	68
Part. in a Work Activity	8,037	451	320	611	233	120	918	86	165	334	350	289	1,315	259	86	667	320	1,471	42
% of Enrolled	77%	78%	85%	75%	79%	81%	81%	69%	85%	81%	77%	71%	77%	75%	80%	72%	74%	77%	62%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	102	7	5	12	0	5	13	4	3	3	0	0	15	2	4	13	5	11	0
Participants Employed	6,166	371	245	500	207	96	590	72	112	254	250	223	819	217	56	593	242	1,279	40
% of Enrolled	59%	64%	65%	61%	70%	65%	52%	58%	58%	62%	55%	55%	48%	63%	52%	64%	56%	67%	59%
Full Time	5,349	357	220	435	185	80	489	61	84	218	213	172	683	184	46	513	213	1,159	37
Part Time	817	14	25	65	22	16	101	11	28	36	37	51	136	33	10	80	29	120	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$6.61	\$6.73	\$7.28	\$7.53	\$7.59	\$9.19	\$7.90	\$8.14	\$7.22	\$6.75	\$6.79	\$7.79	\$8.11	\$7.69	\$7.30	\$7.43	\$7.26	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$957	\$952	\$1,037	\$1,062	\$1,035	\$1,287	\$1,064	\$1,061	\$1,024	\$925	\$939	\$1,100	\$1,106	\$1,038	\$1,023	\$1,045	\$1,015	\$962

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	25,808	1,564	917	2,251	835	414	2,881	321	572	934	1,440	841	3,569	843	292	2,481	876	4,564	213
Total Enrolled in VIEW	28,909	1,614	1,082	2,395	908	429	3,074	314	602	1,135	1,490	1,032	4,370	835	321	2,652	1,056	5,372	228
Part. in a Work Activity	26,220	1,470	988	2,160	838	391	2,850	277	568	1,061	1,354	928	4,003	776	271	2,354	954	4,784	193
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	91%	90%	92%	91%	93%	88%	94%	93%	91%	90%	92%	93%	84%	89%	90%	89%	85%
Participants Employed	18,280	997	695	1,525	604	282	1,897	185	397	740	810	613	2,607	557	182	1,749	676	3,637	127
% of Total Enrolled	63%	62%	64%	64%	67%	66%	62%	59%	66%	65%	54%	59%	60%	67%	57%	66%	64%	68%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$6.53	\$6.80	\$7.47	\$7.67	\$7.81	\$9.73	\$8.13	\$8.16	\$7.23	\$6.90	\$6.94	\$7.97	\$8.19	\$7.45	\$7.47	\$7.39	\$7.38	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$888	\$939	\$1,015	\$1,043	\$1,051	\$1,333	\$1,067	\$1,039	\$963	\$922	\$900	\$1,085	\$1,066	\$980	\$1,002	\$993	\$997	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	70%	66%	75%	68%	74%	76%	67%	68%	60%	66%	72%	72%	59%	72%	69%	73%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	202,004	13,759	7,564	14,625	5,652	3,440	24,962	2,806	4,315	7,607	9,761	5,680	26,833	5,295	2,520	19,044	6,858	39,387	1,896
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	149,865	10,283	6,271	10,660	4,161	2,425	18,957	2,088	3,209	6,168	7,653	4,162	20,484	3,603	1,753	13,544	5,215	27,874	1,355
Part. in a Work Activity	144,118	9,889	5,979	10,258	4,041	2,348	18,417	2,010	3,123	5,857	7,381	3,962	19,859	3,467	1,666	13,004	4,996	26,555	1,306
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	107,630	6,602	4,346	7,737	3,042	1,736	14,143	1,482	2,578	4,426	5,079	2,685	14,752	2,592	1,183	10,087	3,766	20,603	791
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	69%	73%	73%	72%	75%	71%	80%	72%	66%	65%	72%	72%	67%	74%	72%	74%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$5.87	\$5.94	\$6.74	\$6.79	\$6.90	\$8.24	\$7.09	\$7.08	\$6.16	\$6.07	\$6.30	\$7.03	\$7.47	\$6.56	\$6.63	\$6.65	\$6.52	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$909	\$775	\$818	\$919	\$914	\$950	\$1,106	\$945	\$933	\$809	\$820	\$837	\$960	\$993	\$856	\$880	\$912	\$862	\$793
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	55%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	54%	57%	62%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,760	214	104	243	231	165	307	442	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	578	53	36	100	72	69	91	131	26
Participants in a Work Activity	451	38	26	81	63	46	81	102	14
% of Enrolled	78%	72%	72%	81%	88%	67%	89%	78%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1
Participants Employed	371	23	24	72	42	42	62	94	12
% of Enrolled	64%	43%	67%	72%	58%	61%	68%	72%	46%
Full Time	357	21	24	69	41	40	59	92	11
Part Time	14	2	0	3	1	2	3	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.91	\$6.71	\$6.25	\$6.93	\$6.64	\$6.52	\$6.70	\$6.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$966	\$1,011	\$887	\$1,016	\$994	\$922	\$984	\$869

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,564	140	105	186	200	174	324	376	59
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,614	140	103	242	239	156	294	384	56
Participants in a Work Activity	1,470	128	86	235	227	149	268	331	46
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	83%	97%	95%	96%	91%	86%	82%
Participants Employed	997	68	61	177	133	98	172	250	38
% of Total Enrolled	62%	49%	59%	73%	56%	63%	59%	65%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.53	\$6.57	\$6.70	\$6.19	\$6.96	\$6.63	\$6.59	\$6.46	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$888	\$880	\$947	\$842	\$952	\$931	\$874	\$883	\$799
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	61%	61%	74%	66%	89%	69%	70%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,759	1,440	998	1,922	1,870	1,238	2,673	3,183	435
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,283	1,080	742	1,408	1,463	852	2,015	2,386	337
Participants in a Work Activity	9,889	1,000	698	1,395	1,388	832	1,945	2,317	314
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	93%
Participants Employed	6,602	561	451	943	928	515	1,406	1,537	261
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	67%	63%	60%	70%	64%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.87	\$6.06	\$5.96	\$5.55	\$6.11	\$6.11	\$5.83	\$5.82	\$5.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$775	\$779	\$784	\$743	\$824	\$841	\$771	\$759	\$702
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	65%	57%	58%	61%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	902	21	102	50	138	189	106	242	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	377	7	40	12	58	61	48	128	23
Participants in a Work Activity	320	3	40	12	48	50	39	108	20
% of Enrolled	85%	43%	100%	100%	83%	82%	81%	84%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	245	0	34	10	39	41	38	70	13
% of Enrolled	65%	0%	85%	83%	67%	67%	79%	55%	57%
Full Time	220	N/A	31	9	34	36	33	64	13
Part Time	25	N/A	3	1	5	5	5	6	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.73	N/A	\$6.62	\$6.50	\$6.54	\$7.27	\$6.70	\$6.63	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	N/A	\$1,003	\$896	\$957	\$980	\$898	\$934	\$1,010

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	917	20	108	36	148	149	141	258	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,082	14	133	42	158	182	159	313	81
Participants in a Work Activity	988	14	124	41	133	173	156	276	71
% of Total Enrolled	91%	100%	93%	98%	84%	95%	98%	88%	88%
Participants Employed	695	5	92	28	87	128	113	190	52
% of Total Enrolled	64%	36%	69%	67%	55%	70%	71%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.80	\$6.50	\$6.78	\$6.82	\$6.66	\$6.92	\$6.93	\$6.75	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$881	\$964	\$932	\$949	\$924	\$940	\$935	\$948
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	50%	63%	77%	80%	70%	73%	67%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,564	191	1,067	535	1,285	1,238	1,210	1,530	508
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,271	150	873	433	1,052	1,002	977	1,343	441
Participants in a Work Activity	5,979	139	787	399	999	981	957	1,297	420
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	4,346	97	596	290	688	699	675	993	308
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	68%	67%	65%	70%	69%	74%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.94	\$5.76	\$5.77	\$6.11	\$5.72	\$6.24	\$6.02	\$5.93	\$5.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$818	\$795	\$789	\$816	\$792	\$865	\$809	\$829	\$827
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	45%	46%	58%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,230	124	21	8	31	186	33	316	169	270	71	1,001
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	817	88	13	2	13	63	12	137	58	88	39	304
Participants in a Work Activity	611	60	7	1	11	50	8	118	45	72	31	208
% of Enrolled	75%	68%	54%	50%	85%	79%	67%	86%	78%	82%	79%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	1
Participants Employed	500	49	4	1	8	38	7	106	36	51	25	175
% of Enrolled	61%	56%	31%	50%	62%	60%	58%	77%	62%	58%	64%	58%
Full Time	435	32	3	1	7	36	5	96	33	44	24	154
Part Time	65	17	1	0	1	2	2	10	3	7	1	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$6.93	\$6.89	\$7.00	\$6.77	\$7.24	\$6.89	\$7.39	\$6.90	\$7.31	\$6.99	\$7.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$875	\$985	\$1,212	\$952	\$1,074	\$939	\$1,051	\$1,012	\$1,017	\$968	\$1,096

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,251	131	26	11	26	210	42	277	180	236	102	1,010
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,395	184	25	6	36	182	49	372	195	256	110	980
Participants in a Work Activity	2,160	164	19	6	32	150	45	351	174	233	96	890
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	76%	100%	89%	82%	92%	94%	89%	91%	87%	91%
Participants Employed	1,525	126	10	5	25	101	30	299	114	178	74	563
% of Total Enrolled	64%	68%	40%	83%	69%	55%	61%	80%	58%	70%	67%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.38	\$7.11	\$7.82	\$7.06	\$7.45	\$6.98	\$7.33	\$7.12	\$7.72	\$7.29	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$891	\$785	\$1,256	\$918	\$1,069	\$973	\$987	\$951	\$1,079	\$925	\$1,064
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	55%	75%	50%	69%	62%	67%	70%	64%	72%	63%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,625	949	242	51	374	1,222	359	2,109	1,317	1,491	591	5,920
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,660	692	140	13	254	881	234	1,677	1,005	1,131	445	4,188
Participants in a Work Activity	10,258	659	126	13	248	817	227	1,661	971	1,082	433	4,021
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	90%	100%	98%	93%	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	7,737	504	72	10	183	611	138	1,382	681	876	328	2,952
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	51%	77%	72%	69%	59%	82%	68%	77%	74%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.55	\$7.07	\$6.13	\$6.58	\$6.59	\$6.56	\$6.61	\$6.54	\$7.20	\$6.72	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$812	\$904	\$978	\$884	\$918	\$906	\$901	\$923	\$1,009	\$880	\$929
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	43%	67%	45%	50%	48%	54%	46%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	814	504	7	0	67	236
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	294	166	2	0	15	111
Participants in a Work Activity	233	124	2	N/A	12	95
% of Enrolled	79%	75%	100%	N/A	80%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	207	111	1	N/A	10	85
% of Enrolled	70%	67%	50%	N/A	67%	77%
Full Time	185	104	0	N/A	9	72
Part Time	22	7	1	N/A	1	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.37	\$5.85	N/A	\$7.66	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,064	\$532	N/A	\$1,023	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	835	526	7	1	43	258
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	908	542	9	0	55	302
Participants in a Work Activity	838	495	8	N/A	50	285
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	89%	N/A	91%	94%
Participants Employed	604	341	6	N/A	34	223
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	N/A	62%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.63	\$6.45	N/A	\$7.93	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$1,043	\$762	N/A	\$1,077	\$1,046
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	77%	100%	N/A	70%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,652	3,032	57	16	519	2,028
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,161	2,266	32	7	379	1,477
Participants in a Work Activity	4,041	2,214	31	7	365	1,424
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,042	1,604	21	5	295	1,117
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	66%	71%	78%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.79	\$6.80	\$6.55	\$7.75	\$6.50	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$914	\$928	\$741	\$694	\$848	\$919
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	55%	60%	54%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	335	8	92	38	35	93	69	231	141	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	148	4	48	19	8	48	21	68	34	34
Participants in a Work Activity	120	2	36	16	7	39	20	42	19	23
% of Enrolled	81%	50%	75%	84%	1	81%	95%	62%	56%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	96	2	29	13	6	31	15	40	18	22
% of Enrolled	65%	50%	60%	68%	6	65%	71%	59%	53%	65%
Full Time	80	2	19	12	6	27	14	37	16	21
Part Time	16	0	10	1	0	4	1	3	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$6.43	\$7.84	\$7.50	\$7.18	\$7.67	\$7.31	\$6.97	\$6.97	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$898	\$987	\$1,079	\$1,159	\$1,032	\$1,066	\$962	\$972	\$953

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	414	9	105	61	42	110	87	213	133	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	429	12	114	71	23	114	95	228	121	107
Participants in a Work Activity	391	11	107	59	22	101	91	193	101	92
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	94%	83%	96%	89%	96%	85%	83%	86%
Participants Employed	282	11	66	44	14	80	67	127	63	64
% of Total Enrolled	66%	92%	58%	62%	61%	70%	71%	56%	52%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$7.38	\$7.68	\$7.82	\$7.50	\$8.31	\$7.51	\$7.28	\$7.49	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$905	\$975	\$1,014	\$1,107	\$1,159	\$1,040	\$969	\$980	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	67%	76%	77%	64%	59%	64%	66%	55%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,440	144	609	540	452	866	829	1,896	1173	723
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,425	84	426	424	255	615	621	1,355	809	546
Participants in a Work Activity	2,348	79	409	416	250	590	604	1306	790	516
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	1,736	53	272	327	180	447	457	791	442	349
% of Total Enrolled	72%	63%	64%	77%	71%	73%	74%	58%	55%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$7.06	\$6.97	\$7.02	\$6.34	\$6.95	\$6.90	\$6.28	\$6.36	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$950	\$955	\$939	\$966	\$898	\$957	\$953	\$793	\$801	\$784
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	56%	57%	54%	59%	50%	62%	59%	65%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,061	231	1,099	229	938	382	140	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,136	64	344	127	428	119	41	13
Participants in a Work Activity	918	47	300	95	331	103	33	9
% of Enrolled	81%	73%	87%	75%	77%	87%	80%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	7	1	2	2	1	0
Participants Employed	590	22	156	73	242	59	29	9
% of Enrolled	52%	34%	45%	57%	57%	50%	71%	69%
Full Time	489	18	130	58	203	43	28	9
Part Time	101	4	26	15	39	16	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.19	\$8.34	\$9.57	\$9.35	\$9.17	\$8.86	\$8.32	\$8.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,287	\$1,179	\$1,357	\$1,342	\$1,274	\$1,157	\$1,232	\$1,276

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,881	170	951	268	1,007	312	128	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,074	177	1,026	298	1,048	347	130	48
Participants in a Work Activity	2,850	164	969	264	973	321	122	37
% of Total Enrolled	93%	93%	94%	89%	93%	93%	94%	77%
Participants Employed	1,897	97	604	207	696	195	75	23
% of Total Enrolled	62%	55%	59%	69%	66%	56%	58%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.73	\$10.23	\$10.11	\$9.98	\$9.47	\$9.38	\$8.81	\$9.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,333	\$1,431	\$1,389	\$1,347	\$1,315	\$1,213	\$1,186	\$1,405
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	69%	72%	74%	73%	78%	75%	94%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	24,962	2,326	8,696	1,644	7,908	3,039	1,036	313
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,957	1,763	6,414	1,298	6,066	2,406	776	234
Participants in a Work Activity	18,417	1,740	6,236	1,248	5,898	2,314	752	229
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	14,143	1,334	4,586	1,082	4,663	1,743	557	178
% of Total Enrolled	75%	76%	71%	83%	77%	72%	72%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.76	\$8.15	\$8.69	\$8.47	\$7.94	\$7.85	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$1,015	\$1,088	\$1,135	\$1,174	\$1,013	\$1,067	\$1,041
Five Months Employment ⁹	64%	64%	62%	67%	62%	70%	60%	69%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	365	135	102	36	88	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	124	55	31	10	24	4
Participants in a Work Activity	86	35	29	9	11	2
% of Enrolled	69%	64%	94%	90%	46%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	72	29	21	9	11	2
% of Enrolled	58%	53%	68%	90%	46%	50%
Full Time	61	25	17	8	11	0
Part Time	11	4	4	1	0	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$8.33	\$7.85	\$7.93	\$6.99	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,108	\$1,067	\$1,165	\$935	\$652

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	321	128	87	27	67	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	314	128	85	25	67	9
Participants in a Work Activity	277	111	81	25	51	9
% of Total Enrolled	88%	87%	95%	100%	76%	100%
Participants Employed	185	64	57	18	42	4
% of Total Enrolled	59%	50%	67%	72%	63%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$8.15	\$8.60	\$8.06	\$7.46	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,076	\$1,132	\$1,187	\$922	\$905
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	84%	74%	67%	67%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,806	1,066	812	268	586	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,088	761	609	196	469	53
Participants in a Work Activity	2,010	728	602	189	441	50
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	96%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,482	522	439	138	349	34
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	72%	70%	74%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.04	\$7.39	\$6.93	\$6.84	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$929	\$969	\$970	\$932	\$929
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	44%	44%	43%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	569	95	27	41	82	32	292
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	193	21	8	16	23	12	113
Participants in a Work Activity	165	20	5	9	17	6	108
% of Enrolled	85%	95%	63%	56%	74%	50%	96%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	112	12	4	7	12	4	73
% of Enrolled	58%	57%	50%	44%	52%	33%	65%
Full Time	84	9	3	5	10	4	53
Part Time	28	3	1	2	2	0	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$8.10	\$7.63	\$7.68	\$8.58	\$7.63	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,061	\$1,028	\$960	\$1,186	\$1,041	\$1,054

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	572	113	19	48	79	29	284
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	602	99	19	48	92	26	318
Participants in a Work Activity	568	94	15	40	81	24	314
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	79%	83%	88%	92%	99%
Participants Employed	397	67	11	34	57	15	213
% of Total Enrolled	66%	68%	58%	71%	62%	58%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.75	\$9.45	\$7.25	\$8.01	\$8.42	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,195	\$1,378	\$883	\$1,054	\$1,063	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	76%	100%	56%	63%	82%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,315	967	157	329	632	194	2,036
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,209	657	100	234	421	124	1,673
Participants in a Work Activity	3,123	642	94	224	396	121	1,646
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,578	521	76	188	280	83	1,430
% of Total Enrolled	80%	79%	76%	80%	67%	67%	85%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.44	\$7.23	\$7.04	\$7.02	\$7.29	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$933	\$975	\$1,072	\$914	\$947	\$1,016	\$917
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	49%	50%	53%	51%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	974	74	68	165	209	458
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	411	26	30	74	114	167
Participants in a Work Activity	334	20	28	65	89	132
% of Enrolled	81%	77%	93%	88%	78%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	254	18	24	51	54	107
% of Enrolled	62%	69%	80%	69%	47%	64%
Full Time	218	15	24	46	45	88
Part Time	36	3	0	5	9	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.29	\$6.92	\$7.22	\$7.44	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$993	\$1,019	\$1,041	\$1,051	\$1,010

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	934	70	62	186	194	422
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,135	74	81	200	280	500
Participants in a Work Activity	1,061	70	78	186	257	470
% of Total Enrolled	93%	95%	96%	93%	92%	94%
Participants Employed	740	51	59	137	182	311
% of Total Enrolled	65%	69%	73%	69%	65%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.48	\$6.94	\$7.16	\$7.55	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$963	\$925	\$997	\$938	\$1,061	\$918
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	83%	65%	60%	70%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,607	697	628	1,502	1,748	3,032
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,168	553	549	1,161	1,446	2,459
Participants in a Work Activity	5,857	532	538	1,099	1,359	2,329
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	95%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	4,426	384	401	801	1,010	1,830
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	73%	69%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.16	\$6.40	\$5.97	\$6.14	\$6.32	\$6.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$809	\$823	\$816	\$800	\$844	\$790
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,493	235	443	116	225	474
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	454	59	167	54	41	133
Participants in a Work Activity	350	39	134	49	25	103
% of Enrolled	77%	66%	80%	91%	61%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	250	35	83	40	23	69
% of Enrolled	55%	59%	50%	74%	56%	52%
Full Time	213	29	70	35	19	60
Part Time	37	6	13	5	4	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.87	\$7.08	\$6.09	\$6.42	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$925	\$964	\$983	\$844	\$807	\$922

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,440	219	444	151	211	415
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,490	251	449	177	186	427
Participants in a Work Activity	1,354	225	399	157	164	409
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	89%	89%	88%	96%
Participants Employed	810	126	257	125	88	214
% of Total Enrolled	54%	50%	57%	71%	47%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.92	\$7.16	\$6.22	\$6.84	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$922	\$926	\$986	\$819	\$897	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	67%	53%	73%	65%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	9,761	1,501	2,532	998	1,570	3,160
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,653	1,238	1,791	851	1,186	2,587
Participants in a Work Activity	7,381	1,179	1,720	798	1,161	2,523
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	5,079	773	1,204	584	692	1,826
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	67%	69%	58%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.07	\$6.05	\$6.34	\$5.99	\$6.08	\$5.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$820	\$812	\$907	\$786	\$839	\$778
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	899	51	106	58	44	58	140	36	167	118	121
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	409	16	50	23	12	29	61	22	66	69	61
Participants in a Work Activity	289	13	37	16	4	17	53	8	52	40	49
% of Enrolled	71%	81%	74%	70%	33%	59%	87%	36%	79%	58%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	223	8	35	14	4	13	33	5	41	38	32
% of Enrolled	55%	50%	70%	61%	33%	45%	54%	23%	62%	55%	52%
Full Time	172	7	22	11	3	11	19	4	34	36	25
Part Time	51	1	13	3	1	2	14	1	7	2	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.79	\$7.23	\$5.96	\$7.20	\$6.40	\$7.81	\$7.02	\$5.94	\$6.75	\$6.79	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$897	\$725	\$1,021	\$908	\$1,172	\$893	\$723	\$1,020	\$1,004	\$960

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	841	43	105	76	57	49	117	23	141	105	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,032	46	127	94	54	52	166	27	159	148	159
Participants in a Work Activity	928	41	116	82	43	47	158	16	149	127	149
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	91%	87%	80%	90%	95%	59%	94%	86%	94%
Participants Employed	613	26	64	43	28	33	100	6	105	98	110
% of Total Enrolled	59%	57%	50%	46%	52%	63%	60%	22%	66%	66%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$9.99	\$6.09	\$6.81	\$7.90	\$7.83	\$6.68	\$5.83	\$6.38	\$6.90	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$900	\$1,122	\$789	\$902	\$1,016	\$1,050	\$796	\$683	\$822	\$992	\$953
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	39%	81%	68%	41%	75%	67%	N/A	59%	72%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,680	321	786	568	472	391	689	222	811	691	729
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,162	224	605	403	313	263	514	153	610	509	568
Participants in a Work Activity	3,962	204	600	371	288	246	495	151	574	475	558
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	99%	92%	92%	94%	96%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,685	144	350	247	168	154	306	80	435	358	443
% of Total Enrolled	65%	64%	58%	61%	54%	59%	60%	52%	71%	70%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.30	\$7.28	\$5.87	\$6.60	\$6.56	\$6.79	\$6.22	\$5.55	\$5.97	\$6.33	\$6.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$837	\$952	\$792	\$896	\$862	\$924	\$788	\$736	\$789	\$853	\$844
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	53%	46%	43%	57%	59%	58%	55%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,493	760	31	129	1,116	35	2,422
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,711	247	9	45	403	12	995
Participants in a Work Activity	1,315	171	5	30	287	9	813
% of Enrolled	77%	69%	56%	67%	71%	75%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	0	0	10	0	5
Participants Employed	819	125	4	26	209	7	448
% of Enrolled	48%	51%	44%	58%	52%	58%	45%
Full Time	683	103	3	19	177	4	377
Part Time	136	22	1	7	32	3	71
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$7.73	\$8.00	\$8.42	\$8.06	\$8.94	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$1,080	\$1,074	\$1,111	\$1,174	\$1,147	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,569	719	24	89	836	28	1,873
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,370	752	20	106	1,061	50	2,381
Participants in a Work Activity	4,003	711	19	86	929	30	2,228
% of Total Enrolled	92%	95%	95%	81%	88%	60%	94%
Participants Employed	2,607	457	10	64	657	20	1,399
% of Total Enrolled	60%	61%	50%	60%	62%	40%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.18	\$7.17	\$8.43	\$8.19	\$7.71	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,111	\$909	\$1,068	\$1,124	\$853	\$1,064
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	79%	67%	72%	78%	43%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	26,833	5,105	176	798	6,038	233	14,483
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,484	3,781	123	540	4,740	154	11,146
Participants in a Work Activity	19,859	3,700	119	493	4,521	146	10,880
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	91%	95%	95%	98%
Participants Employed	14,752	2,629	87	368	3,398	91	8,179
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	71%	68%	72%	59%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.25	\$6.48	\$7.88	\$7.26	\$6.70	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$960	\$989	\$851	\$1,045	\$990	\$862	\$938
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	56%	66%	56%	51%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	805	116	31	273	245	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	346	29	6	140	101	70
Participants in a Work Activity	259	18	5	101	78	57
% of Enrolled	75%	62%	83%	72%	77%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	217	16	5	87	63	46
% of Enrolled	63%	55%	83%	62%	62%	66%
Full Time	184	12	3	73	60	36
Part Time	33	4	2	14	3	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.68	\$7.65	\$8.24	\$7.85	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$1,093	\$948	\$1,133	\$1,068	\$1,127

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	843	117	38	260	258	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	835	89	31	297	214	204
Participants in a Work Activity	776	88	28	262	198	200
% of Total Enrolled	93%	99%	90%	88%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	557	60	21	198	137	141
% of Total Enrolled	67%	67%	68%	67%	64%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.09	\$8.14	\$8.17	\$8.14	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,091	\$1,069	\$1,062	\$1,075	\$1,054
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	63%	60%	73%	80%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,295	842	352	1,485	1,542	1,074
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,603	553	224	1118	833	875
Participants in a Work Activity	3,467	535	214	1037	815	866
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	2,592	356	161	815	544	716
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	72%	73%	65%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.17	\$7.04	\$7.53	\$7.91	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$926	\$896	\$1,008	\$1,109	\$967
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	40%	51%	56%	56%	53%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	331	81	17	26	42	18	20	24	30	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	107	32	4	9	8	6	5	7	9	27
Participants in a Work Activity	86	26	3	8	5	5	2	4	9	24
% of Enrolled	80%	81%	75%	89%	63%	83%	40%	57%	100%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Participants Employed	56	12	3	7	3	5	1	2	5	18
% of Enrolled	52%	38%	75%	78%	38%	83%	20%	29%	56%	67%
Full Time	46	9	2	5	2	4	1	2	5	16
Part Time	10	3	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$7.18	\$7.63	\$7.97	\$8.83	\$6.49	\$9.35	\$6.65	\$7.35	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$874	\$1,126	\$1,012	\$1,071	\$919	\$1,296	\$1,092	\$1,016	\$1,156

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	292	73	24	24	18	14	24	28	23	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	321	71	17	24	20	13	30	28	24	94
Participants in a Work Activity	271	64	15	22	14	12	27	26	22	69
% of Total Enrolled	84%	90%	88%	92%	70%	92%	90%	93%	92%	73%
Participants Employed	182	38	11	17	10	10	18	11	15	52
% of Total Enrolled	57%	54%	65%	71%	50%	77%	60%	39%	63%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.61	\$7.79	\$7.81	\$7.38	\$7.42	\$7.18	\$6.74	\$7.51	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$928	\$1,012	\$1,066	\$848	\$1,044	\$969	\$919	\$1,004	\$996
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	70%	100%	57%	N/A	67%	33%	50%	56%	54%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,520	363	195	183	302	144	287	234	235	577
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,753	272	121	144	227	87	202	185	118	397
Participants in a Work Activity	1,666	262	114	138	213	81	190	178	114	376
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	94%	96%	94%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	1,183	191	72	91	160	56	146	114	82	271
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	60%	63%	70%	64%	72%	62%	69%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.56	\$6.54	\$6.80	\$6.92	\$6.37	\$6.52	\$6.59	\$6.27	\$6.65	\$6.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$856	\$821	\$867	\$965	\$805	\$836	\$854	\$832	\$928	\$876
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	43%	37%	45%	47%	49%	55%	42%	32%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,684	28	89	95	35	59	1,006	1,347	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	929	6	26	36	11	19	311	509	11
Participants in a Work Activity	667	5	21	30	7	14	217	366	7
% of Enrolled	72%	83%	81%	83%	64%	74%	70%	72%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	1	0	0	0	4	7	1
Participants Employed	593	4	19	28	4	13	182	338	5
% of Enrolled	64%	67%	73%	78%	36%	68%	59%	66%	45%
Full Time	513	3	17	18	3	11	158	298	5
Part Time	80	1	2	10	1	2	24	40	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.25	\$7.31	\$8.21	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.15	\$7.28	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,023	\$1,041	\$1,019	\$1,071	\$1,159	\$1,003	\$988	\$1,035	\$1,141

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,481	20	100	94	33	75	843	1,291	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,652	30	98	99	26	81	849	1,442	27
Participants in a Work Activity	2,354	28	77	89	22	75	786	1,252	25
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	79%	90%	85%	93%	93%	87%	93%
Participants Employed	1,749	17	57	75	12	53	542	975	18
% of Total Enrolled	66%	57%	58%	76%	46%	65%	64%	68%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.47	\$7.47	\$7.78	\$8.18	\$7.55	\$7.50	\$7.40	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,005	\$971	\$937	\$1,297	\$1,002	\$986	\$1,015	\$1,055
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	67%	50%	74%	86%	75%	76%	71%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	19,044	112	761	731	211	704	6,780	9,506	239
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,544	79	509	541	147	480	4,728	6,900	160
Participants in a Work Activity	13,004	77	477	506	140	469	4,628	6,555	152
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	94%	95%	98%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	10,087	39	370	400	100	322	3,468	5,263	125
% of Total Enrolled	74%	49%	73%	74%	68%	67%	73%	76%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.63	\$6.65	\$6.47	\$6.95	\$7.17	\$6.69	\$6.64	\$6.60	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$888	\$820	\$849	\$1,000	\$852	\$880	\$885	\$870
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	64%	56%	52%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,066	85	94	38	76	260	513
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	434	19	36	17	31	125	206
Participants in a Work Activity	320	18	28	14	29	96	135
% of Enrolled	74%	95%	78%	82%	94%	77%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	242	15	20	10	18	71	108
% of Enrolled	56%	79%	56%	59%	58%	57%	52%
Full Time	213	15	15	7	16	64	96
Part Time	29	0	5	3	2	7	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.47	\$7.26	\$8.14	\$7.39	\$7.38	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,155	\$961	\$1,119	\$1,041	\$1,037	\$1,044

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	876	90	90	22	63	240	371
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,056	76	99	30	105	307	439
Participants in a Work Activity	954	73	86	29	101	278	387
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	87%	97%	96%	91%	88%
Participants Employed	676	56	57	18	70	190	285
% of Total Enrolled	64%	74%	58%	60%	67%	62%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.38	\$7.46	\$7.52	\$7.21	\$7.40	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$1,098	\$944	\$903	\$974	\$1,004	\$985
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	76%	52%	78%	70%	64%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,858	869	590	246	559	1,696	2,898
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,215	612	422	188	431	1,339	2,223
Participants in a Work Activity	4,996	610	368	177	416	1,287	2,138
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,766	435	270	138	300	973	1,650
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	64%	73%	70%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.65	\$6.65	\$7.03	\$6.68	\$6.70	\$6.55	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$912	\$916	\$945	\$848	\$928	\$900	\$916
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	52%	51%	48%	56%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through June 30, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/08 and Work Activity as of 6/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,453	126	106	958	80	1,787	1,101	361	934
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,920	43	30	362	16	691	385	110	283
Participants in a Work Activity	1,471	29	20	244	12	559	301	85	221
% of Enrolled	77%	67%	67%	67%	75%	81%	78%	77%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	2
Participants Employed	1,279	23	20	223	12	499	235	72	195
% of Enrolled	67%	53%	67%	62%	75%	72%	61%	65%	69%
Full Time	1,159	17	15	202	9	471	208	66	171
Part Time	120	6	5	21	3	28	27	6	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$6.70	\$7.16	\$7.44	\$7.15	\$7.17	\$7.37	\$6.98	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$864	\$979	\$1,047	\$1,020	\$989	\$1,073	\$978	\$1,012

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,564	111	109	793	69	1,477	762	294	949
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,372	114	127	880	82	1,864	1,028	349	928
Participants in a Work Activity	4,784	107	119	775	76	1,640	921	327	819
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	94%	88%	93%	88%	90%	94%	88%
Participants Employed	3,637	83	77	591	49	1,312	716	229	580
% of Total Enrolled	68%	73%	61%	67%	60%	70%	70%	66%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$7.45	\$6.90	\$7.57	\$7.10	\$7.27	\$7.28	\$7.12	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$1,038	\$879	\$1,032	\$922	\$964	\$1,001	\$948	\$1,069
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	72%	58%	76%	58%	76%	71%	62%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	39,387	849	736	6,161	621	12,814	6,492	2,530	9,184
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	27,874	592	566	4,333	479	8,985	4,975	1,919	6,025
Participants in a Work Activity	26,555	570	541	4,111	466	8,608	4,701	1,893	5,665
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	97%	96%	94%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	20,603	438	355	3,271	345	6,602	3,811	1,502	4,279
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	63%	75%	72%	73%	77%	78%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.52	\$6.55	\$6.26	\$6.63	\$6.17	\$6.43	\$6.43	\$6.36	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$862	\$878	\$797	\$882	\$775	\$828	\$872	\$831	\$923
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	55%	55%	62%	60%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	52.1%	4.30	101	\$7.49	3.77	54	54.6%	3.18	106	3.75	108
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	67.7%	6.55	32	\$8.75	6.64	10	75.6%	6.33	26	6.51	9
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	68.5%	6.66	29	\$7.38	3.52	66	55.0%	3.25	105	4.48	81
007	<i>Amelia</i>	56.5%	4.94	93	\$9.99	9.45	3	38.9%	0.83	116	5.08	57
009	<i>Amherst</i>	68.9%	6.72	27	\$7.48	3.75	55	83.3%	7.50	8	5.99	16
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	72.8%	7.29	9	\$6.94	2.52	92	64.5%	4.68	78	4.83	68
013	<i>Arlington</i>	54.8%	4.69	99	\$10.23	10.00	1	69.2%	5.38	59	6.69	7
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	62.9%	5.86	57	\$7.63	4.09	43	76.5%	6.48	20	5.48	37
017	<i>Bath</i>	66.7%	6.40	39	\$6.45	1.41	113	100.0%	10.00	1	5.94	17
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	68.5%	6.66	28	\$7.16	3.02	79	60.2%	4.04	94	4.57	77
021	<i>Bland</i>	35.7%	1.94	118	\$6.50	1.52	111	50.0%	2.50	110	1.99	118
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	40.0%	2.56	115	\$7.11	2.91	84	75.0%	6.25	27	3.91	98
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	50.4%	4.06	103	\$6.09	0.59	118	80.8%	7.12	11	3.92	97
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	48.6%	3.79	109	\$6.57	1.68	109	61.4%	4.20	91	3.23	114
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	45.7%	3.39	113	\$6.81	2.23	100	68.2%	5.23	61	3.61	110
031	<i>Campbell</i>	65.0%	6.16	50	\$7.55	3.91	47	70.0%	5.50	52	5.19	54
033	<i>Caroline</i>	67.4%	6.51	34	\$8.09	5.14	23	62.9%	4.43	85	5.36	41
035	<i>Carroll</i>	69.2%	6.76	25	\$6.78	2.16	101	63.2%	4.47	84	4.46	83
036	<i>Charles City</i>	56.7%	4.96	92	\$7.47	3.73	56	66.7%	5.00	64	4.56	78
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	51.9%	4.27	102	\$7.90	4.70	29	41.2%	1.18	115	3.38	112
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	60.8%	5.55	70	\$8.18	5.34	17	78.7%	6.80	14	5.90	20
043	<i>Clarke</i>	91.7%	10.00	1	\$7.38	3.52	67	66.7%	5.00	65	6.17	11
045	<i>Craig</i>	83.3%	8.80	2	\$7.82	4.52	32	50.0%	2.50	111	5.27	46
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	50.0%	4.00	106	\$8.15	5.27	20	84.1%	7.61	7	5.63	29
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	63.5%	5.94	56	\$7.83	4.55	31	75.0%	6.25	28	5.58	32
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	59.2%	5.33	79	\$6.70	1.98	104	61.3%	4.19	92	3.83	102
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	73.7%	7.41	7	\$7.38	3.52	68	76.0%	6.40	24	5.78	22
057	<i>Essex</i>	53.5%	4.51	100	\$7.61	4.05	45	70.0%	5.50	53	4.68	71
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	58.9%	5.28	80	\$10.11	9.73	2	72.3%	5.84	43	6.95	4
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	67.1%	6.46	37	\$8.60	6.30	11	74.4%	6.15	31	6.30	10
063	<i>Floyd</i>	69.4%	6.80	23	\$7.06	2.80	88	69.2%	5.38	60	4.99	61
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	57.9%	5.14	85	\$9.45	8.23	7	100.0%	10.00	2	7.79	1
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	55.5%	4.79	96	\$7.45	3.68	60	61.9%	4.29	88	4.25	89
069	<i>Frederick</i>	57.9%	5.14	86	\$7.68	4.20	42	76.5%	6.47	21	5.27	47
071	<i>Giles</i>	61.2%	5.62	68	\$6.98	2.61	90	66.7%	5.00	66	4.41	84
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	58.2%	5.18	84	\$7.47	3.73	57	50.0%	2.50	112	3.80	104
075	<i>Goochland</i>	50.0%	4.00	107	\$7.17	3.05	78	66.7%	5.00	67	4.02	94
077	<i>Grayson</i>	66.7%	6.40	40	\$6.82	2.25	99	76.9%	6.54	19	5.06	58

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	70.8%	7.00	13	\$7.25	3.23	75	55.6%	3.33	103	4.52	80
081	Greenville/Emporia	60.2%	5.47	74	\$6.68	1.93	105	66.7%	5.00	68	4.14	91
083	Halifax	50.2%	4.03	104	\$6.92	2.48	94	66.7%	5.00	69	3.84	101
085	Hanover	60.4%	5.49	73	\$8.43	5.91	12	72.2%	5.83	44	5.75	27
087	Henrico	61.9%	5.72	65	\$8.19	5.36	16	77.8%	6.68	16	5.92	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	57.2%	5.04	91	\$7.16	3.02	80	53.1%	2.97	108	3.68	109
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	72.8%	7.28	10	\$7.45	3.68	61	71.7%	5.76	46	5.57	33
095	James City	75.8%	7.71	5	\$7.78	4.43	37	74.3%	6.14	32	6.09	13
097	King and Queen	64.7%	6.12	52	\$7.79	4.45	35	100.0%	10.00	3	6.86	6
099	King George	67.7%	6.55	31	\$8.14	5.25	21	60.0%	4.00	95	5.27	48
101	King William	70.8%	7.00	14	\$7.81	4.50	34	57.1%	3.57	101	5.02	60
103	Lancaster	50.0%	4.00	108	\$7.38	3.52	69	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.76	107
105	Lee	73.1%	7.33	8	\$6.19	0.82	117	74.0%	6.10	34	4.75	69
107	Loudoun	69.5%	6.80	22	\$9.98	9.43	4	74.1%	6.12	33	7.45	2
109	Louisa	62.0%	5.72	64	\$8.01	4.95	27	63.3%	4.50	83	5.06	59
111	Lunenburg	22.2%	0.00	119	\$5.83	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
113	Madison	72.0%	7.17	11	\$8.06	5.07	26	66.7%	5.00	70	5.75	26
115	Mathews	76.9%	7.88	4	\$7.42	3.61	62	66.7%	5.00	71	5.50	36
117	Mecklenburg	66.0%	6.31	46	\$6.38	1.25	114	59.2%	3.87	96	3.81	103
119	Middlesex	60.0%	5.44	75	\$7.18	3.07	77	33.3%	0.00	117	2.84	115
121	Montgomery	80.4%	8.37	3	\$7.33	3.41	71	70.0%	5.50	54	5.76	23
125	Nelson	57.7%	5.11	87	\$8.42	5.89	13	81.8%	7.27	10	6.09	14
127	New Kent	46.2%	3.45	112	\$8.18	5.34	18	85.7%	7.86	6	5.55	34
131	Northampton	59.8%	5.41	77	\$7.09	2.86	86	82.6%	7.39	9	5.22	52
133	Northumberland	39.3%	2.46	117	\$6.74	2.07	103	50.0%	2.50	113	2.34	117
135	Nottoway	66.2%	6.34	45	\$6.90	2.43	96	71.7%	5.76	45	4.84	67
137	Orange	62.7%	5.83	59	\$7.46	3.70	58	66.7%	5.00	72	4.84	66
139	Page	62.0%	5.72	63	\$7.82	4.52	33	77.4%	6.61	18	5.62	30
141	Patrick	70.6%	6.97	15	\$6.22	0.89	116	72.9%	5.93	40	4.60	76
143	Pittsylvania	47.3%	3.61	111	\$6.84	2.30	98	64.7%	4.71	77	3.54	111
145	Powhatan	40.0%	2.56	116	\$7.71	4.27	41	42.9%	1.43	114	2.75	116
147	Prince Edward	69.2%	6.76	24	\$7.12	2.93	81	72.6%	5.89	41	5.19	53
149	Prince George	57.6%	5.09	89	\$7.46	3.70	59	51.5%	2.73	109	3.84	100
153	Prince William	66.4%	6.36	44	\$9.47	8.27	6	73.2%	5.97	37	6.87	5
155	Pulaski	58.5%	5.22	83	\$7.12	2.93	82	64.1%	4.61	80	4.25	88
157	Rappahannock	44.4%	3.20	114	\$7.90	4.70	30	66.7%	5.00	73	4.30	87
159	Richmond County	62.5%	5.80	60	\$7.51	3.82	50	55.6%	3.33	104	4.32	86
161	Roanoke County	69.5%	6.81	21	\$7.72	4.30	39	72.5%	5.87	42	5.66	28
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	61.8%	5.70	67	\$7.93	4.77	28	61.1%	4.17	93	4.88	65
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.8%	7.43	6	\$7.72	4.30	40	73.7%	6.06	35	5.93	18
167	Russell	55.6%	4.81	95	\$6.96	2.57	91	65.8%	4.87	75	4.08	93
169	Scott	62.8%	5.85	58	\$6.63	1.82	107	89.4%	8.40	5	5.36	42
171	Shenandoah	60.9%	5.57	69	\$7.50	3.80	52	63.6%	4.55	82	4.64	74

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	55.1%	4.73	98	\$6.66	1.89	106	80.0%	7.00	13	4.54	79
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.6%	5.53	72	\$6.90	2.43	97	57.9%	3.68	100	3.88	99
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	66.7%	6.40	41	\$8.17	5.32	19	73.0%	5.95	39	5.89	21
179	<i>Stafford</i>	64.0%	6.02	54	\$8.14	5.25	22	80.5%	7.07	12	6.11	12
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.0%	5.44	76	\$7.52	3.84	49	77.8%	6.67	17	5.32	44
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.7%	6.40	42	\$7.21	3.14	76	69.6%	5.44	55	4.99	62
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.5%	5.22	82	\$6.59	1.73	108	69.4%	5.41	57	4.12	92
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.2%	6.91	19	\$8.31	5.64	14	58.7%	3.81	98	5.45	39
191	<i>Washington</i>	70.3%	6.93	18	\$6.92	2.48	95	69.5%	5.43	56	4.94	64
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	55.3%	4.77	97	\$7.36	3.48	70	53.9%	3.08	107	3.77	106
195	<i>Wise</i>	65.1%	6.18	49	\$6.46	1.43	112	70.4%	5.56	50	4.39	85
197	<i>Wythe</i>	71.1%	7.03	12	\$6.93	2.50	93	73.1%	5.97	38	5.17	55
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	65.4%	6.22	48	\$7.55	3.91	48	75.0%	6.25	30	5.46	38
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	56.2%	4.89	94	\$9.38	8.07	8	78.1%	6.72	15	6.56	8
520	<i>Bristol</i>	60.7%	5.54	71	\$6.75	2.09	102	67.5%	5.12	63	4.25	90
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	67.0%	6.45	38	\$8.08	5.11	25	62.6%	4.39	86	5.32	43
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	67.2%	6.47	36	\$7.57	3.95	46	76.1%	6.41	22	5.61	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	50.1%	4.02	105	\$7.00	2.66	89	55.6%	3.33	102	3.34	113
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	59.8%	5.40	78	\$7.10	2.89	85	58.1%	3.71	99	4.00	95
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	69.1%	6.75	26	\$8.31	5.64	15	65.8%	4.86	76	5.75	24
640	<i>Galax</i>	64.2%	6.04	53	\$6.57	1.68	110	61.5%	4.23	90	3.99	96
650	<i>Hampton</i>	63.8%	5.99	55	\$7.50	3.80	53	76.0%	6.41	23	5.40	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	61.9%	5.71	66	\$7.40	3.57	64	64.0%	4.60	81	4.63	75
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	62.2%	5.76	62	\$7.09	2.86	87	69.3%	5.39	58	4.67	73
683	<i>Manassas</i>	57.7%	5.11	88	\$8.81	6.77	9	75.0%	6.25	29	6.04	15
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	47.9%	3.70	110	\$9.69	8.77	5	93.8%	9.06	4	7.18	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	67.6%	6.54	33	\$7.40	3.57	65	71.0%	5.66	49	5.25	49
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.4%	6.94	17	\$7.27	3.27	74	75.9%	6.39	25	5.53	35
720	<i>Norton</i>	67.9%	6.57	30	\$6.25	0.95	115	59.1%	3.86	97	3.80	105
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	64.9%	6.15	51	\$7.41	3.59	63	73.4%	6.01	36	5.25	50
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	69.6%	6.83	20	\$7.28	3.30	73	71.3%	5.70	48	5.27	45
750	<i>Radford</i>	67.3%	6.49	35	\$7.29	3.32	72	62.5%	4.38	87	4.73	70
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	58.8%	5.26	81	\$7.79	4.45	36	67.7%	5.16	62	4.96	63
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	57.4%	5.07	90	\$7.63	4.09	44	65.9%	4.88	74	4.68	72
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	65.6%	6.25	47	\$7.12	2.93	83	61.6%	4.24	89	4.47	82
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	62.5%	5.80	61	\$7.75	4.36	38	70.2%	5.53	51	5.23	51
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.7%	6.40	43	\$8.09	5.14	24	71.4%	5.71	47	5.75	25
840	<i>Winchester</i>	70.5%	6.96	16	\$7.51	3.82	51	64.1%	4.62	79	5.13	56

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.6%	1.46	115	\$801	2.23	98	59.3%	7.20	28	3.63	107
003	Albemarle	79.3%	8.29	6	\$975	5.85	18	54.1%	5.82	63	6.65	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.8%	6.50	37	\$812	2.47	94	45.8%	3.59	102	4.19	90
007	Amelia	64.3%	4.13	94	\$952	5.38	27	45.0%	3.39	109	4.30	87
009	Amherst	69.4%	5.56	70	\$823	2.68	89	52.8%	5.46	69	4.57	80
011	Appomattox	73.0%	6.56	35	\$816	2.55	92	42.8%	2.79	115	3.96	96
013	Arlington	75.7%	7.28	20	\$1,015	6.69	10	64.4%	8.57	9	7.52	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.8%	5.93	54	\$928	4.88	37	52.6%	5.40	72	5.40	43
017	Bath	65.6%	4.50	87	\$741	0.99	117	55.0%	6.06	56	3.85	99
019	Bedford County/City	69.0%	5.44	73	\$800	2.22	99	55.5%	6.19	52	4.61	77
021	Bland	64.7%	4.24	90	\$795	2.10	101	45.2%	3.45	107	3.26	113
023	Botetourt	51.4%	0.57	119	\$904	4.38	51	42.9%	2.82	112	2.59	119
025	Brunswick	57.9%	2.35	114	\$792	2.04	103	56.8%	6.54	37	3.64	105
027	Buchanan	51.9%	0.71	118	\$779	1.78	111	54.5%	5.91	60	2.80	117
029	Buckingham	61.3%	3.30	105	\$896	4.20	56	52.8%	5.46	71	4.32	86
031	Campbell	69.8%	5.67	63	\$844	3.13	79	59.5%	7.27	25	5.36	44
033	Caroline	64.4%	4.16	92	\$926	4.84	39	40.4%	2.15	118	3.72	104
035	Carroll	68.3%	5.24	76	\$789	1.97	106	45.5%	3.53	105	3.58	108
036	Charles City	49.4%	0.00	120	\$888	4.04	57	63.6%	8.36	10	4.13	91
037	Charlotte	53.7%	1.19	116	\$862	3.50	69	45.8%	3.60	101	2.77	118
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69.5%	5.58	68	\$989	6.15	16	61.0%	7.65	18	6.46	20
043	Clarke	63.1%	3.80	101	\$955	5.44	25	46.0%	3.65	100	4.30	89
045	Craig	76.9%	7.63	14	\$978	5.92	17	66.7%	9.17	4	7.57	5
047	Culpeper	68.6%	5.32	75	\$929	4.90	34	55.7%	6.24	50	5.49	41
049	Cumberland	58.6%	2.54	112	\$924	4.80	40	42.5%	2.72	116	3.36	111
051	Dickensen	60.8%	3.16	106	\$784	1.88	110	48.7%	4.37	92	3.14	114
053	Dinwiddie	71.1%	6.01	52	\$916	4.62	47	52.3%	5.32	76	5.32	45
057	Essex	70.2%	5.78	62	\$821	2.64	90	42.9%	2.82	113	3.74	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71.5%	6.13	49	\$1,088	8.21	4	61.9%	7.89	15	7.41	7
061	Fauquier	72.1%	6.29	43	\$969	5.73	20	63.4%	8.29	11	6.77	11
063	Floyd	72.0%	6.28	44	\$884	3.97	59	45.5%	3.51	106	4.59	79
065	Fluvanna	76.0%	7.38	19	\$1,072	7.88	5	49.1%	4.48	89	6.58	15
067	Franklin County	69.4%	5.54	71	\$918	4.66	44	50.4%	4.82	85	5.00	62
069	Frederick	63.8%	4.01	98	\$939	5.11	30	55.6%	6.22	51	5.11	59
071	Giles	59.0%	2.66	111	\$906	4.41	50	48.4%	4.28	95	3.79	100
073	Gloucester	72.7%	6.46	38	\$820	2.63	91	55.8%	6.26	49	5.12	57
075	Goochland	70.7%	5.92	55	\$851	3.27	74	56.4%	6.43	41	5.21	53
077	Grayson	67.0%	4.88	83	\$816	2.53	93	57.8%	6.79	32	4.74	69

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	80.3%	8.58	5	\$914	4.59	48	50.0%	4.72	86	5.96	30
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.5%	2.82	108	\$788	1.97	107	56.6%	6.47	39	3.75	101
083	Halifax	62.4%	3.62	103	\$812	2.46	95	48.5%	4.32	94	3.47	110
085	Hanover	68.1%	5.20	78	\$1,045	7.32	7	66.2%	9.03	6	7.18	8
087	Henrico	71.7%	6.18	48	\$990	6.18	15	56.3%	6.39	45	6.25	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	67.2%	4.95	81	\$907	4.44	49	54.9%	6.02	57	5.13	56
091	Highland	71.4%	6.11	50	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.39	21	4.50	82
093	Isle of Wight	74.0%	6.82	26	\$878	3.83	63	55.3%	6.12	54	5.59	39
095	James City	73.9%	6.80	28	\$849	3.24	75	52.5%	5.38	73	5.14	54
097	King and Queen	59.5%	2.81	109	\$867	3.60	67	36.5%	1.12	119	2.51	120
099	King George	71.9%	6.23	46	\$896	4.21	55	50.7%	4.90	83	5.11	58
101	King William	63.2%	3.83	100	\$965	5.65	23	45.1%	3.42	108	4.30	88
103	Lancaster	70.5%	5.85	59	\$805	2.32	97	47.0%	3.92	98	4.03	93
105	Lee	67.0%	4.88	84	\$743	1.02	116	59.8%	7.34	24	4.41	84
107	Loudoun	83.4%	9.41	2	\$1,135	9.19	2	66.7%	9.19	3	9.26	1
109	Louisa	66.5%	4.75	86	\$947	5.28	28	53.3%	5.60	66	5.21	52
111	Lunenburg	52.3%	0.81	117	\$736	0.87	118	58.9%	7.10	29	2.92	116
113	Madison	70.4%	5.83	60	\$970	5.76	19	44.1%	3.14	110	4.91	66
115	Mathews	64.4%	4.15	93	\$836	2.96	82	49.0%	4.46	90	3.86	98
117	Mecklenburg	71.3%	6.08	51	\$789	1.99	105	58.2%	6.90	31	4.99	63
119	Middlesex	72.3%	6.34	42	\$854	3.33	71	55.4%	6.16	53	5.28	47
121	Montgomery	82.4%	9.15	3	\$901	4.32	52	54.4%	5.89	61	6.46	21
125	Nelson	66.9%	4.87	85	\$1,016	6.72	9	51.4%	5.09	79	5.56	40
127	New Kent	68.0%	5.17	79	\$1,000	6.38	14	53.7%	5.70	64	5.75	32
131	Northampton	63.9%	4.03	97	\$784	1.88	109	65.3%	8.79	7	4.90	67
133	Northumberland	61.6%	3.39	104	\$832	2.87	83	42.3%	2.67	117	2.98	115
135	Nottoway	70.3%	5.81	61	\$853	3.32	72	54.7%	5.98	58	5.04	61
137	Orange	74.4%	6.94	24	\$932	4.96	32	43.7%	3.04	111	4.98	64
139	Page	77.1%	7.69	13	\$966	5.66	22	56.8%	6.53	38	6.62	14
141	Patrick	68.6%	5.33	74	\$786	1.92	108	66.2%	9.04	5	5.43	42
143	Pittsylvania	58.3%	2.49	113	\$839	3.03	81	52.4%	5.37	74	3.63	106
145	Powhatan	59.1%	2.69	110	\$862	3.50	70	51.2%	5.05	81	3.75	102
147	Prince Edward	78.0%	7.93	9	\$844	3.13	78	60.9%	7.63	19	6.23	26
149	Prince George	64.0%	4.05	96	\$945	5.23	29	51.4%	5.09	80	4.79	68
153	Prince William	76.9%	7.62	15	\$1,174	10.00	1	61.9%	7.90	13	8.51	2
155	Pulaski	67.8%	5.09	80	\$923	4.78	41	45.6%	3.54	104	4.47	83
157	Rappahannock	64.2%	4.09	95	\$929	4.90	35	42.9%	2.82	114	3.93	97
159	Richmond County	69.5%	5.57	69	\$928	4.88	36	32.3%	0.00	120	3.49	109
161	Roanoke County	77.5%	7.78	11	\$1,009	6.55	12	52.3%	5.34	75	6.56	16
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.8%	7.88	10	\$848	3.20	77	54.2%	5.84	62	5.64	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.6%	7.27	21	\$919	4.68	43	59.3%	7.20	27	6.38	22
167	Russell	63.4%	3.90	99	\$824	2.70	88	59.4%	7.24	26	4.61	78
169	Scott	60.4%	3.07	107	\$841	3.06	80	64.5%	8.60	8	4.91	65
171	Shenandoah	70.6%	5.88	56	\$898	4.25	54	53.5%	5.66	65	5.26	49

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	65.4%	4.44	88	\$792	2.04	102	52.9%	5.49	68	3.99	94
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.7%	3.70	102	\$797	2.15	100	47.9%	4.15	97	3.33	112
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	72.9%	6.52	36	\$1,008	6.55	13	56.3%	6.41	44	6.49	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	65.3%	4.41	89	\$1,109	8.64	3	56.3%	6.39	46	6.48	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.4%	6.66	32	\$848	3.21	76	48.4%	4.28	96	4.72	71
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.6%	5.60	67	\$928	4.87	38	56.4%	6.43	42	5.64	37
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.8%	5.65	65	\$771	1.60	114	57.3%	6.67	35	4.64	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.7%	6.46	39	\$957	5.48	24	58.7%	7.05	30	6.33	24
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.8%	5.65	66	\$865	3.56	68	49.8%	4.65	87	4.62	76
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	68.3%	5.23	77	\$876	3.79	64	49.2%	4.51	88	4.51	81
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.17	91	\$759	1.35	115	57.6%	6.75	34	4.09	92
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.1%	5.46	72	\$809	2.39	96	55.9%	6.30	48	4.72	72
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	67.1%	4.91	82	\$852	3.29	73	46.3%	3.73	99	3.98	95
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.4%	6.39	41	\$1,013	6.64	11	69.8%	10.00	1	7.68	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	73.9%	6.81	27	\$829	2.80	85	48.6%	4.34	93	4.65	74
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	85.5%	10.00	1	\$917	4.65	45	56.4%	6.42	43	7.02	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	75.5%	7.23	22	\$882	3.91	60	56.2%	6.38	47	5.84	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	70.6%	5.88	57	\$778	1.76	112	53.2%	5.58	67	4.40	85
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	72.0%	6.28	45	\$775	1.68	113	55.2%	6.11	55	4.69	73
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	81.8%	8.99	4	\$967	5.69	21	52.8%	5.46	70	6.71	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	69.8%	5.67	64	\$827	2.76	87	60.4%	7.50	20	5.31	46
650	<i>Hampton</i>	73.4%	6.64	34	\$880	3.87	61	52.1%	5.28	77	5.27	48
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	72.7%	6.45	40	\$900	4.29	53	51.1%	5.02	82	5.25	50
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.4%	6.94	23	\$790	2.00	104	62.6%	8.09	12	5.68	34
683	<i>Manassas</i>	71.8%	6.21	47	\$1,067	7.78	6	60.0%	7.39	22	7.12	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	76.1%	7.39	18	\$1,041	7.23	8	69.3%	9.87	2	8.16	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	76.3%	7.45	17	\$885	3.99	58	57.8%	6.79	33	6.08	27
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.5%	6.68	31	\$828	2.79	86	54.6%	5.95	59	5.14	55
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.4%	7.78	12	\$702	0.16	119	61.4%	7.77	16	5.24	51
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	74.2%	6.88	25	\$916	4.62	46	57.0%	6.58	36	6.03	29
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.6%	7.54	16	\$872	3.71	65	61.9%	7.90	14	6.38	23
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.7%	6.74	29	\$880	3.87	62	45.6%	3.55	103	4.72	70
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	73.4%	6.65	33	\$938	5.09	31	61.2%	7.71	17	6.48	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.5%	5.85	58	\$929	4.90	33	49.0%	4.45	91	5.07	60
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	78.3%	8.00	7	\$831	2.85	84	59.9%	7.36	23	6.07	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	71.0%	6.00	53	\$923	4.77	42	56.5%	6.46	40	5.74	33
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	78.1%	7.96	8	\$870	3.67	66	51.7%	5.17	78	5.60	38
840	<i>Winchester</i>	73.6%	6.71	30	\$953	5.40	26	50.5%	4.84	84	5.65	35

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	19,556	1,149	670	1,780	650	303	2,084	233	455	749	1,085	657	2,761	592	216	1,916	668	3,437	151
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	94%	90%	96%	97%	95%	92%	95%	98%	96%	95%	93%	93%	89%	92%	96%	89%	93%	85%
% Timely in June 2008	93%	79%	86%	99%	98%	88%	92%	100%	100%	98%	91%	100%	94%	89%	80%	95%	80%	95%	82%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	14,319	850	512	1,271	485	233	1,555	171	343	572	818	509	2,078	432	149	1,305	497	2,431	108
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	98%	96%	99%	99%	98%	97%	97%	98%	96%	98%	99%	97%	97%	94%	95%	95%	96%	95%
Percent in June 2008	97%	98%	100%	99%	100%	97%	97%	100%	98%	96%	100%	100%	96%	94%	100%	94%	95%	97%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	66	9	1	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	2	1	18	11	4	8	3	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	3	4	65	24	4	4	0	0	0	11	2	38	28	274	53	10	4	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1149	100	76	157	171	108	222	275	40
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	95%	100%	94%	100%	87%	95%	92%	88%
% Timely in June 2008	79%	71%	100%	85%	100%	38%	92%	67%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	850	79	49	127	139	82	159	183	32
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	97%	96%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%	88%
Percent in June 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	75%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	9	1	0	2	0	4	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	1	0	10	0	1	0	2	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	670	12	71	29	91	121	88	198	60
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	90%	83%	92%	100%	78%	98%	83%	92%	92%
% Timely in June 2008	86%	67%	89%	100%	100%	86%	100%	79%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	512	5	51	20	74	99	82	144	37
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	80%	98%	100%	92%	98%	94%	98%	97%
Percent in June 2008	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1780	117	17	4	24	154	39	225	154	186	82	778
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	75%	100%	75%	100%	94%	100%	99%	100%	97%	90%	98%
% Timely in June 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1271	79	10	2	17	99	27	163	98	126	58	592
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	95%	100%	100%	100%	96%	96%	99%	100%	98%	100%	99%
Percent in June 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	65	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	650	409	4	0	34	203
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	98%	100%	N/A	97%	96%
% Timely in June 2008	98%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	485	302	4	0	27	152
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	99%	100%	N/A	96%	99%
Percent in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	24	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	303	8	70	50	24	89	62	151	84	67
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	93%	100%	54%	100%	100%	85%	89%	79%
% Timely in June 2008	88%	N/A	80%	100%	0%	100%	100%	82%	100%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	233	6	53	40	19	62	53	108	62	46
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	96%	100%	95%	98%	98%	95%	100%	89%
Percent in June 2008	97%	N/A	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	2,084	135	661	200	738	226	93	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	92%	99%	95%	92%	88%	98%	85%	77%
% Timely in June 2008	92%	100%	98%	89%	85%	95%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1555	114	488	147	549	167	61	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	99%	97%	93%	98%	99%	100%	83%
Percent in June 2008	97%	100%	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	1	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	1	5	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	233	88	71	16	49	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	94%	99%	100%	98%	44%
% Timely in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	171	66	57	8	32	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	98%	98%	88%	94%	100%
Percent in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	455	83	14	30	55	22	251
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	343	60	11	25	40	14	193
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	97%	82%	96%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in June 2008	98%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	749	55	58	152	148	336
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	100%	99%	98%	93%
% Timely in June 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	572	47	45	109	115	256
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	98%	98%	97%	95%	95%
Percent in June 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	92%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1085	164	327	138	148	308
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	95%	91%	94%	98%	99%	94%
% Timely in June 2008	91%	83%	90%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	818	126	242	96	112	242
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	98%	96%	99%	100%	99%
Percent in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	11	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	657	28	89	58	46	34	91	11	115	76	109
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	93%	86%	98%	86%	100%	100%	88%	100%	95%	86%	94%
% Timely in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	509	23	79	40	29	25	75	7	93	52	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	96%	99%	95%	97%	100%	99%	100%	100%	98%	100%
Percent in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>											
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	2,761	552	14	65	655	22	1,453
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	93%	95%	100%	97%	86%	95%	95%
% Timely in June 2008	94%	98%	100%	100%	82%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	2078	423	12	46	471	17	1,109
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	99%	100%	89%	95%	94%	98%
Percent in June 2008	96%	98%	100%	78%	98%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	18	1	0	0	16	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	38	10	0	0	42	0	11

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	592	79	25	180	141	167
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	89%	81%	100%	91%	78%	98%
% Timely in June 2008	89%	20%	100%	94%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	432	61	19	125	107	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	97%	95%	95%	96%	99%
Percent in June 2008	94%	86%	N/A	88%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	11	10	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	31	0	1	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	216	59	12	16	10	10	17	23	19	50
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	92%	97%	92%	100%	60%	70%	82%	100%	79%	100%
% Timely in June 2008	80%	50%	100%	N/A	75%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	149	44	8	13	2	7	11	17	14	33
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	94%	100%	100%	92%	100%	71%	100%	100%	100%	82%
Percent in June 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	274	0	0	0	0	274	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	1,916	20	87	80	21	63	603	1024	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	75%	100%	100%	62%	97%	98%	96%	100%
% Timely in June 2008	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	94%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	1305	18	56	49	14	44	392	718	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	100%	100%	93%	98%	97%	94%	100%
Percent in June 2008	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	92%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	8	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	183	0	0	0	0	10	10	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	668	49	76	21	56	188	278
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	89%	98%	91%	100%	100%	80%	90%
% Timely in June 2008	80%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	71%	73%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	497	37	52	18	43	131	216
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	92%	100%	98%	98%	91%
Percent in June 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	0	10

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2007	3,437	97	94	571	57	1064	612	257	685
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	93%	92%	99%	94%	100%	89%	97%	93%	95%
% Timely in June 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	97%	90%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	2431	72	68	413	45	743	428	188	474
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	94%	99%	94%	98%	95%	97%	98%	98%
Percent in June 2008	97%	89%	100%	98%	86%	97%	98%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jun-07</i>	<i>Jun-08</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,479	16,736	8.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	130,358	132,546	1.7%
Total Cases Collected	145,837	149,282	2.4%
TANF Collections	\$3,645,850	\$5,339,512	46.5%
NTANF Collections	\$49,272,536	\$54,000,497	9.6%
Total Collections	\$52,918,386	\$59,340,009	12.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$607,509,113	\$627,979,996	3.4%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$355	\$365	2.6%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jun-08</i>
TANF Paternities	1,369
NTANF Paternities	5,280
Combined Paternities	6,649

Diversionsary Assistance

June Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Pittsylvania	5
Alleghany / Covington	4		Prince William	3
Amelia	1		Pulaski	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	11		Roanoke County	6
Bedford City	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	9
Buckingham	4		Scott	1
Caroline	3		Shenandoah	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Spotsylvania	6
Culpeper	1		Stafford	1
Dickensen	1		Warren	5
Dinwiddie	2		Westmoreland	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Wythe	7
Fauquier	3		York / Poquoson	1
Floyd	1		Charlottesville	4
Franklin County	7		Fredricksburg	2
Frederick	7		Hampton	8
Greene	3		Hopewell	3
Halifax	2		Mannassas	3
Hanover	2		Manassas Park	1
Henrico	9		Newport News	8
Henry / Martinsville	7		Norfolk	16
Loudoun	1		Petersburg	1
Louisa	1		Richmond City	2
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	16
Montgomery	2		Suffolk	10
Nottoway	2		Virginia Beach	9
Page	1		Winchester	3
Patrick	5		Statewide	231

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments were introduced. However, at the same time, TANF-UP cases became solely state funded.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.15 to \$5.85 an hour on 7/24/07. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of July 1, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site: www.dss.virginia.gov. On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through June 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through June 2008




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. This is generally consistent with the caseload trends and the slight case decline after December 2004 seems to be reflected in applications received. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

June 30, 2008




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of July and represent end of June data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June, it was \$7.61 rather than the \$7.50 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the apparent recent slight reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.