

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**September 2008**

# Monthly Report, September 2008

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for September

*These are estimated locality September 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 46% with a denominator of 16,000 and a numerator of 7,381.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	76	22	29%	061	Fauquier	67	36	54%
003	Albemarle	67	30	45%	063	Floyd	15	6	40%
005	Alleghany/Covington	75	33	44%	065	Fluvanna	12	5	42%
007	Amelia	22	5	23%	067	Franklin County	94	33	35%
009	Amherst	40	15	38%	069	Frederick	69	30	43%
011	Appomattox	37	19	51%	071	Giles	18	11	61%
013	Arlington	117	53	45%	073	Gloucester	47	16	34%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	269	130	48%	075	Goochland	17	6	35%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	19	8	42%
019	Bedford County/City	103	53	51%	079	Greene	26	10	38%
021	Bland	15	4	27%	081	Greensville/Emporia	77	36	47%
023	Botetourt	13	2	15%	083	Halifax	94	28	30%
025	Brunswick	72	41	57%	085	Hanover	78	32	41%
027	Buchanan	56	18	32%	087	Henrico	653	312	48%
029	Buckingham	40	14	35%	089	Henry/Martinsville	279	134	48%
031	Campbell	123	62	50%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	85	15	18%	093	Isle of Wight	75	42	56%
035	Carroll	53	33	62%	095	James City	52	31	60%
036	Charles City	9	2	22%	097	King and Queen	12	6	50%
037	Charlotte	20	7	35%	099	King George	16	9	56%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	388	177	46%	101	King William	19	9	47%
043	Clarke	12	2	17%	103	Lancaster	19	4	21%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	105	43	41%
047	Culpeper	73	39	53%	107	Loudoun	162	86	53%
049	Cumberland	36	13	36%	109	Louisa	36	24	67%
051	Dickensen	45	11	24%	111	Lunenburg	20	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	41	21	51%	113	Madison	22	7	32%
057	Essex	45	21	47%	115	Mathews	8	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	582	290	50%	117	Mecklenburg	82	38	46%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	2	14%	187	Warren	63	36	57%
121	Montgomery	180	108	60%	191	Washington	83	43	52%
125	Nelson	20	10	50%	193	Westmoreland	33	16	48%
127	New Kent	14	3	21%	195	Wise	208	82	39%
131	Northampton	40	16	40%	197	Wythe	77	31	40%
133	Northumberland	11	3	27%	199	York/Poquoson	41	16	39%
135	Nottoway	76	33	43%	510	Alexandria	238	113	47%
137	Orange	28	11	39%	520	Bristol	133	66	50%
139	Page	24	11	46%	540	Charlottesville	175	94	54%
141	Patrick	70	36	51%	550	Chesapeake	567	263	46%
143	Pittsylvania	89	30	34%	590	Danville	229	88	38%
145	Powhatan	16	4	25%	620	Franklin City	36	16	44%
147	Prince Edward	74	38	51%	630	Fredericksburg	102	60	59%
149	Prince George	41	11	27%	640	Galax	42	21	50%
153	Prince William	627	307	49%	650	Hampton	575	249	43%
155	Pulaski	73	33	45%	670	Hopewell	182	88	48%
157	Rappahannock	6	2	33%	680	Lynchburg	277	136	49%
159	Richmond County	25	4	16%	683	Manassas	73	38	52%
161	Roanoke County	131	67	51%	685	Manassas Park	21	12	57%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	26	6	23%	700	Newport News	852	392	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	145	81	56%	710	Norfolk	1,026	545	53%
167	Russell	101	41	41%	720	Norton	38	12	32%
169	Scott	97	35	36%	730	Petersburg	303	124	41%
171	Shenandoah	20	3	15%	740	Portsmouth	661	300	45%
173	Smyth	89	36	40%	750	Radford	32	18	56%
175	Southampton	48	18	38%	760	Richmond City	1,396	653	47%
177	Spotsylvania	163	70	43%	770	Roanoke City	501	176	35%
179	Stafford	160	66	41%	800	Suffolk	176	89	51%
181	Surry	20	7	35%	810	Virginia Beach	462	236	51%
183	Sussex	40	25	63%	830	Williamsburg	22	14	64%
185	Tazewell	154	77	50%	840	Winchester	42	24	57%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for September

*These are estimated locality September 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 13,734 and a numerator of 5,334. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	69	15	22%	061	Fauquier	58	27	47%
003	Albemarle	52	15	29%	063	Floyd	13	4	31%
005	Alleghany/Covington	63	21	33%	065	Fluvanna	9	3	33%
007	Amelia	22	5	23%	067	Franklin County	85	24	28%
009	Amherst	35	11	31%	069	Frederick	65	26	40%
011	Appomattox	34	16	47%	071	Giles	14	7	50%
013	Arlington	96	34	35%	073	Gloucester	38	9	24%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	232	94	41%	075	Goochland	17	6	35%
017	Bath	2	1	50%	077	Grayson	16	6	38%
019	Bedford County/City	91	42	46%	079	Greene	24	9	38%
021	Bland	14	3	21%	081	Greensville/Emporia	71	31	44%
023	Botetourt	12	2	17%	083	Halifax	88	22	25%
025	Brunswick	65	35	54%	085	Hanover	65	22	34%
027	Buchanan	46	11	24%	087	Henrico	546	214	39%
029	Buckingham	37	12	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	253	109	43%
031	Campbell	98	37	38%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	82	13	16%	093	Isle of Wight	58	27	47%
035	Carroll	42	22	52%	095	James City	37	16	43%
036	Charles City	9	2	22%	097	King and Queen	10	4	40%
037	Charlotte	19	6	32%	099	King George	13	6	46%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	315	108	34%	101	King William	16	6	38%
043	Clarke	9	0	0%	103	Lancaster	19	4	21%
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	92	31	34%
047	Culpeper	61	29	48%	107	Loudoun	114	43	38%
049	Cumberland	29	9	31%	109	Louisa	30	18	60%
051	Dickensen	43	9	21%	111	Lunenburg	19	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	30	11	37%	113	Madison	22	7	32%
057	Essex	40	16	40%	115	Mathews	7	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	477	188	39%	117	Mecklenburg	72	28	39%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	2	14%	187	Warren	49	23	47%
121	Montgomery	147	76	52%	191	Washington	70	30	43%
125	Nelson	18	8	44%	193	Westmoreland	29	15	52%
127	New Kent	13	3	23%	195	Wise	186	61	33%
131	Northampton	38	14	37%	197	Wythe	58	21	36%
133	Northumberland	11	3	27%	199	York/Poquoson	36	11	31%
135	Nottoway	67	25	37%	510	Alexandria	194	70	36%
137	Orange	26	9	35%	520	Bristol	121	55	45%
139	Page	20	8	40%	540	Charlottesville	143	63	44%
141	Patrick	55	22	40%	550	Chesapeake	494	196	40%
143	Pittsylvania	76	18	24%	590	Danville	203	69	34%
145	Powhatan	16	4	25%	620	Franklin City	30	10	33%
147	Prince Edward	60	24	40%	630	Fredericksburg	83	44	53%
149	Prince George	38	8	21%	640	Galax	34	13	38%
153	Prince William	498	189	38%	650	Hampton	498	187	38%
155	Pulaski	66	27	41%	670	Hopewell	159	68	43%
157	Rappahannock	6	2	33%	680	Lynchburg	237	96	41%
159	Richmond County	23	3	13%	683	Manassas	63	30	48%
161	Roanoke County	109	47	43%	685	Manassas Park	14	5	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	25	5	20%	700	Newport News	723	273	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	116	54	47%	710	Norfolk	944	474	50%
167	Russell	92	33	36%	720	Norton	34	9	26%
169	Scott	83	23	28%	730	Petersburg	277	101	36%
171	Shenandoah	20	3	15%	740	Portsmouth	577	219	38%
173	Smyth	84	32	38%	750	Radford	28	14	50%
175	Southampton	43	13	30%	760	Richmond City	1,223	511	42%
177	Spotsylvania	136	47	35%	770	Roanoke City	431	111	26%
179	Stafford	138	50	36%	800	Suffolk	150	63	42%
181	Surry	20	7	35%	810	Virginia Beach	373	154	41%
183	Sussex	32	18	56%	830	Williamsburg	18	11	61%
185	Tazewell	135	58	43%	840	Winchester	34	16	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for September*

*These are estimated locality September 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 2,256 and a numerator of 2,047 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	9	9	100%
003	Albemarle	15	15	100%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	12	12	100%	065	Fluvanna	3	2	67%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	9	9	100%
009	Amherst	5	4	80%	069	Frederick	4	4	100%
011	Appomattox	3	3	100%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	21	19	90%	073	Gloucester	9	7	78%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	37	36	97%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	3	2	67%
019	Bedford County/City	12	11	92%	079	Greene	2	1	50%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	5	83%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	6	6	100%
025	Brunswick	7	6	86%	085	Hanover	13	10	77%
027	Buchanan	10	7	70%	087	Henrico	107	98	92%
029	Buckingham	3	2	67%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	25	96%
031	Campbell	25	25	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	3	2	67%	093	Isle of Wight	17	15	88%
035	Carroll	11	11	100%	095	James City	15	15	100%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	1	1	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	73	69	95%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	3	2	67%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	13	12	92%
047	Culpeper	12	10	83%	107	Loudoun	48	43	90%
049	Cumberland	7	4	57%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	11	10	91%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	5	5	100%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	105	102	97%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	0	0	N/A	187	Warren	14	13	93%
121	Montgomery	33	32	97%	191	Washington	13	13	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	1	25%
127	New Kent	1	0	0%	195	Wise	22	21	95%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	19	10	53%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	9	8	89%	510	Alexandria	44	43	98%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	12	11	92%
139	Page	4	3	75%	540	Charlottesville	32	31	97%
141	Patrick	15	14	93%	550	Chesapeake	73	67	92%
143	Pittsylvania	13	12	92%	590	Danville	26	19	73%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	6	6	100%
147	Prince Edward	14	14	100%	630	Fredericksburg	19	16	84%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	8	8	100%
153	Prince William	129	118	91%	650	Hampton	77	62	81%
155	Pulaski	7	6	86%	670	Hopewell	23	20	87%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	40	40	100%
159	Richmond County	2	1	50%	683	Manassas	10	8	80%
161	Roanoke County	22	20	91%	685	Manassas Park	7	7	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	1	100%	700	Newport News	129	119	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	29	27	93%	710	Norfolk	82	71	87%
167	Russell	9	8	89%	720	Norton	4	3	75%
169	Scott	14	12	86%	730	Petersburg	26	23	88%
171	Shenandoah	0	0	N/A	740	Portsmouth	84	81	96%
173	Smyth	5	4	80%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	5	5	100%	760	Richmond City	173	142	82%
177	Spotsylvania	27	23	85%	770	Roanoke City	70	65	93%
179	Stafford	22	16	73%	800	Suffolk	26	26	100%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	89	82	92%
183	Sussex	8	7	88%	830	Williamsburg	4	3	75%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Sep-08	Sep-07	Change from Sep-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,980	4,461	34%	4,807	24%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,915	2,487	17%	1,658	76%
Applications Approved	2,813	3,518	-20%	2,820	0%
Cases Closed *	2,522	2,532	-0.4%	4,476	-44%
Cases at End of Month	27,856	27,775	0%	67,995	-59%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	29,074	28,706	1%	70,403	-59%

\* The database indicates that of the 2,522 closed cases, 1,035 were VIEW cases, of which 643 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 187 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 142 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Sep-08	Sep-07	Change from Sep-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	439	181	143%	338	30%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	235	141	67%	232	1%
Applications Approved	244	195	25%	81	201%
Cases Closed *	208	135	54%	107	94%
Cases at End of Month	1,054	932	13%	321	228%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,184	997	19%	394	201%

\* The database indicates that of the 208 closed cases, 112 were VIEW cases, of which 70 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, seven were closed after 24 months participation, and all seven closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	28,910	1,795	907	2,230	811	355	3,182	359	566	997	1,555	911	4,522	806	341	2,711	1,056	5,560	246
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,926	563	419	813	297	151	1,208	134	217	436	480	432	1,744	371	142	988	448	1,997	86
Part. in a Work Activity	8,324	427	315	589	226	117	951	109	178	335	366	319	1,335	283	101	705	348	1,574	46
% of Enrolled	76%	76%	75%	72%	76%	77%	79%	81%	82%	77%	76%	74%	77%	76%	71%	71%	78%	79%	53%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	16	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	2	0
Participants Employed	6,219	352	244	482	211	87	591	70	124	236	248	223	824	227	70	587	277	1,326	40
% of Enrolled	57%	63%	58%	59%	71%	58%	49%	52%	57%	54%	52%	52%	47%	61%	49%	59%	62%	66%	47%
Full Time	5,329	337	210	407	189	76	459	65	89	200	211	166	683	190	54	512	242	1,205	34
Part Time	890	15	34	75	22	11	132	5	35	36	37	57	141	37	16	75	35	121	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.60	\$6.74	\$6.91	\$7.43	\$7.41	\$8.04	\$9.03	\$7.87	\$8.18	\$7.32	\$6.98	\$7.07	\$7.93	\$8.09	\$7.84	\$7.52	\$7.49	\$7.36	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$970	\$964	\$1,060	\$1,037	\$1,127	\$1,240	\$1,094	\$1,006	\$1,020	\$962	\$947	\$1,116	\$1,112	\$1,066	\$1,051	\$1,046	\$1,043	\$961

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	8,523	484	331	801	291	148	1,023	141	191	287	467	276	1,156	270	120	772	246	1,431	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,331	778	573	1,261	414	241	1,716	186	318	585	736	573	2,362	489	192	1,453	584	2,753	117
Part. in a Work Activity	13,113	658	498	1,080	355	210	1,511	160	292	511	631	475	2,033	401	158	1,204	492	2,353	91
% of Total Enrolled	86%	85%	87%	86%	86%	87%	88%	86%	92%	87%	86%	83%	86%	82%	82%	83%	84%	85%	78%
Participants Employed	8,960	498	341	771	283	139	906	93	191	346	367	295	1,199	308	105	895	357	1,805	61
% of Total Enrolled	58%	64%	60%	61%	68%	58%	53%	50%	60%	59%	50%	51%	51%	63%	55%	62%	61%	66%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.72	\$6.82	\$6.92	\$7.52	\$7.53	\$7.96	\$9.50	\$7.84	\$8.27	\$7.32	\$7.00	\$7.31	\$7.95	\$8.22	\$7.81	\$7.53	\$7.63	\$7.45	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,061	\$966	\$944	\$1,056	\$1,051	\$1,064	\$1,310	\$1,073	\$1,027	\$992	\$933	\$956	\$1,094	\$1,095	\$1,024	\$1,039	\$1,057	\$1,042	\$924
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	69%	66%	62%	71%	65%	73%	74%	70%	69%	61%	66%	71%	77%	58%	73%	72%	71%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	206,402	13,962	7,739	14,996	5,793	3,539	25,573	2,901	4,404	7,750	9,968	5,815	27,399	5,475	2,596	19,451	6,991	40,109	1,941
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	153,255	10,440	6,409	10,953	4,260	2,499	19,414	2,149	3,276	6,287	7,822	4,269	20,907	3,734	1,808	13,892	5,311	28,448	1,377
Part. in a Work Activity	147,207	10,025	6,103	10,539	4,124	2,414	18,827	2,072	3,196	5,963	7,542	4,060	20,250	3,582	1,713	13,298	5,088	27,083	1,328
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	96%	98%	95%	96%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	109,649	6,707	4,420	7,929	3,109	1,779	14,396	1,506	2,626	4,490	5,149	2,738	15,014	2,678	1,210	10,291	3,839	20,964	804
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	69%	72%	73%	71%	74%	70%	80%	71%	66%	64%	72%	72%	67%	74%	72%	74%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.81	\$5.89	\$5.97	\$6.77	\$6.81	\$6.94	\$8.27	\$7.10	\$7.11	\$6.18	\$6.09	\$6.34	\$7.05	\$7.50	\$6.60	\$6.66	\$6.68	\$6.55	\$6.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$912	\$778	\$821	\$922	\$917	\$954	\$1,110	\$948	\$935	\$812	\$823	\$842	\$962	\$997	\$861	\$884	\$916	\$866	\$794
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	55%	57%	62%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,795	199	113	244	237	194	316	432	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	563	43	32	96	68	67	95	136	26
Participants in a Work Activity	427	29	21	72	65	43	85	97	15
% of Enrolled	76%	67%	66%	75%	96%	64%	89%	71%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	352	18	17	65	45	38	68	90	11
% of Enrolled	63%	42%	53%	68%	66%	57%	72%	66%	42%
Full Time	337	18	17	63	42	35	65	86	11
Part Time	15	0	0	2	3	3	3	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.74	\$7.67	\$6.45	\$6.45	\$6.90	\$6.63	\$6.67	\$6.85	\$6.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$970	\$1,253	\$910	\$895	\$1,003	\$974	\$925	\$991	\$993

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	484	32	25	69	46	65	111	118	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	778	62	50	129	89	88	135	194	31
Participants in a Work Activity	658	49	37	112	83	76	122	159	20
% of Total Enrolled	85%	79%	74%	87%	93%	86%	90%	82%	65%
Participants Employed	498	32	28	89	61	54	89	132	13
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	56%	69%	69%	61%	66%	68%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.82	\$7.71	\$6.86	\$6.45	\$6.97	\$6.71	\$6.63	\$6.94	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$966	\$1,202	\$962	\$888	\$992	\$988	\$909	\$982	\$907
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	59%	61%	76%	68%	86%	70%	67%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,962	1,457	1,006	1,956	1,889	1,269	2,711	3,230	444
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,440	1,091	749	1,437	1,474	872	2,048	2,423	346
Participants in a Work Activity	10,025	1,014	705	1,417	1,399	850	1,976	2,344	320
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	97%	96%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	6,707	572	454	958	938	532	1,429	1,560	264
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	67%	64%	61%	70%	64%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.89	\$6.10	\$5.98	\$5.57	\$6.13	\$6.14	\$5.85	\$5.85	\$5.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$778	\$789	\$786	\$745	\$827	\$845	\$773	\$763	\$705
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	58%	61%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	907	21	116	39	141	186	107	239	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	419	9	39	10	65	68	55	137	36
Participants in a Work Activity	315	4	28	10	50	46	41	108	28
% of Enrolled	75%	44%	72%	100%	77%	68%	75%	79%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	244	4	24	9	36	37	40	72	22
% of Enrolled	58%	44%	62%	90%	55%	54%	73%	53%	61%
Full Time	210	3	20	8	29	32	35	62	21
Part Time	34	1	4	1	7	5	5	10	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.91	\$6.38	\$6.25	\$6.39	\$6.68	\$7.28	\$6.93	\$7.16	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$964	\$775	\$926	\$961	\$955	\$949	\$935	\$1,010	\$977

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	331	16	30	4	57	58	41	86	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	573	13	60	17	90	98	69	182	44
Participants in a Work Activity	498	11	53	16	71	88	66	153	40
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	88%	94%	79%	90%	96%	84%	91%
Participants Employed	341	6	43	13	45	63	52	94	25
% of Total Enrolled	60%	46%	72%	76%	50%	64%	75%	52%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$8.00	\$6.59	\$6.55	\$6.64	\$7.11	\$6.85	\$7.07	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$1,099	\$939	\$895	\$937	\$944	\$892	\$964	\$995
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	33%	70%	67%	80%	67%	76%	57%	48%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,739	204	1,085	539	1,316	1,269	1,229	1,565	532
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,409	156	887	436	1076	1027	989	1,382	456
Participants in a Work Activity	6,103	145	797	402	1020	1002	969	1,332	436
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,420	102	602	292	693	713	687	1,016	315
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	68%	67%	64%	69%	69%	74%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.97	\$5.86	\$5.78	\$6.11	\$5.73	\$6.27	\$6.04	\$5.97	\$5.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$821	\$805	\$789	\$816	\$794	\$867	\$810	\$833	\$830
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	45%	46%	57%	53%	49%	56%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,230	112	20	4	29	209	34	301	169	257	75	1,020
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	813	80	11	2	9	63	17	123	66	91	32	319
Participants in a Work Activity	589	58	5	1	7	43	15	105	54	75	21	205
% of Enrolled	72%	73%	45%	50%	78%	68%	88%	85%	82%	82%	66%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	482	46	4	1	7	35	12	94	37	59	19	168
% of Enrolled	59%	58%	36%	50%	78%	56%	71%	76%	56%	65%	59%	53%
Full Time	407	28	4	1	5	29	12	91	33	47	15	142
Part Time	75	18	0	0	2	6	0	3	4	12	4	26
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.43	\$6.81	\$7.78	\$7.00	\$6.59	\$7.68	\$7.51	\$7.41	\$7.09	\$7.41	\$7.38	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,060	\$817	\$1,277	\$1,212	\$868	\$1,139	\$1,146	\$1,100	\$1,027	\$1,023	\$918	\$1,119

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	801	24	10	0	4	88	19	95	70	81	26	384
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,261	107	15	2	15	89	27	187	100	137	52	530
Participants in a Work Activity	1,080	91	10	1	13	67	24	170	93	122	41	448
% of Total Enrolled	86%	85%	67%	50%	87%	75%	89%	91%	93%	89%	79%	85%
Participants Employed	771	68	4	1	10	48	17	142	60	92	36	293
% of Total Enrolled	61%	64%	27%	50%	67%	54%	63%	76%	60%	67%	69%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$7.09	\$7.78	\$7.00	\$7.49	\$7.57	\$7.37	\$7.54	\$7.15	\$7.54	\$7.28	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$850	\$827	\$1,204	\$939	\$1,095	\$1,055	\$1,083	\$1,021	\$1,084	\$922	\$1,107
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	59%	67%	N/A	69%	61%	53%	66%	57%	70%	58%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,996	958	250	51	377	1,261	373	2,149	1,350	1,540	605	6,082
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,953	703	144	13	256	906	244	1,709	1035	1179	457	4,307
Participants in a Work Activity	10,539	672	131	13	250	833	238	1,691	1001	1125	442	4,143
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	91%	100%	98%	92%	98%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	7,929	513	73	10	186	621	147	1,402	699	912	336	3,030
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	51%	77%	73%	69%	60%	82%	68%	77%	74%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.77	\$6.57	\$7.09	\$6.13	\$6.61	\$6.62	\$6.62	\$6.63	\$6.56	\$7.23	\$6.74	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$922	\$811	\$908	\$978	\$888	\$922	\$915	\$905	\$925	\$1,011	\$880	\$933
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	45%	67%	45%	51%	49%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	811	529	5	0	60	217
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	297	177	4	0	20	96
Participants in a Work Activity	226	122	4	N/A	15	85
% of Enrolled	76%	69%	100%	N/A	75%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	211	116	2	N/A	14	79
% of Enrolled	71%	66%	50%	N/A	70%	82%
Full Time	189	108	1	N/A	13	67
Part Time	22	8	1	N/A	1	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.41	\$7.35	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.25	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,037	\$1,028	\$703	N/A	\$1,039	\$1,058

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	291	193	3	0	16	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	414	258	4	0	27	125
Participants in a Work Activity	355	215	4	N/A	22	114
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	100%	N/A	81%	91%
Participants Employed	283	160	2	N/A	17	104
% of Total Enrolled	68%	62%	50%	N/A	63%	83%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.53	\$7.43	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.63	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,065	\$488	N/A	\$1,059	\$1,041
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	73%	100%	N/A	70%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,793	3,118	58	16	529	2,072
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,260	2,327	34	7	384	1,508
Participants in a Work Activity	4,124	2,264	33	7	368	1,452
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,109	1,638	22	5	299	1,145
% of Total Enrolled	73%	70%	65%	71%	78%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.81	\$6.81	\$6.56	\$7.75	\$6.51	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$917	\$931	\$732	\$694	\$850	\$921
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	53%	55%	60%	55%	59%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	355	8	107	46	29	96	69	246	155	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	151	4	52	22	8	39	26	86	42	44
Participants in a Work Activity	117	2	27	18	7	38	25	46	20	26
% of Enrolled	77%	50%	52%	82%	0	97%	96%	53%	48%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	87	2	25	16	6	19	19	40	20	20
% of Enrolled	58%	50%	48%	73%	4	49%	73%	47%	48%	45%
Full Time	76	2	23	12	4	17	18	34	17	17
Part Time	11	0	2	4	2	2	1	6	3	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$6.43	\$8.50	\$7.67	\$7.37	\$8.12	\$8.07	\$7.07	\$6.98	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,127	\$910	\$1,159	\$993	\$929	\$1,198	\$1,211	\$961	\$939	\$983

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	148	2	43	22	16	35	30	88	59	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	241	5	70	33	14	72	47	117	66	51
Participants in a Work Activity	210	5	57	29	11	65	43	91	49	42
% of Total Enrolled	87%	100%	81%	88%	79%	90%	91%	78%	74%	82%
Participants Employed	139	5	34	23	10	40	27	61	31	30
% of Total Enrolled	58%	100%	49%	70%	71%	56%	57%	52%	47%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.08	\$8.30	\$7.65	\$7.21	\$8.29	\$7.76	\$6.97	\$6.92	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$825	\$1,067	\$970	\$959	\$1,152	\$1,081	\$924	\$893	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	25%	73%	68%	73%	56%	69%	67%	62%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,539	145	641	551	466	889	847	1,941	1205	736
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,499	85	449	432	260	632	641	1,377	827	550
Participants in a Work Activity	2,414	80	426	423	254	608	623	1328	808	520
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	95%	98%	98%	96%	97%	96%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	1,779	54	284	333	183	456	469	804	451	353
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	63%	77%	70%	72%	73%	58%	55%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.94	\$7.07	\$7.04	\$7.03	\$6.36	\$6.99	\$6.93	\$6.30	\$6.37	\$6.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$954	\$951	\$948	\$965	\$894	\$964	\$957	\$794	\$801	\$786
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	47%	55%	57%	53%	58%	50%	62%	59%	65%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,182	253	1,122	228	969	412	148	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,208	84	376	123	446	119	48	12
Participants in a Work Activity	951	56	337	79	335	106	30	8
% of Enrolled	79%	67%	90%	64%	75%	89%	63%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	591	23	174	66	232	62	27	7
% of Enrolled	49%	27%	46%	54%	52%	52%	56%	58%
Full Time	459	10	144	46	183	45	25	6
Part Time	132	13	30	20	49	17	2	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.03	\$8.44	\$9.16	\$9.43	\$8.96	\$8.89	\$8.92	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,240	\$1,018	\$1,283	\$1,251	\$1,235	\$1,204	\$1,304	\$1,057

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,023	75	314	92	381	107	43	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,716	116	552	173	615	168	73	19
Participants in a Work Activity	1,511	91	511	144	540	148	61	16
% of Total Enrolled	88%	78%	93%	83%	88%	88%	84%	84%
Participants Employed	906	45	265	104	354	87	40	11
% of Total Enrolled	53%	39%	48%	60%	58%	52%	55%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.50	\$9.30	\$9.46	\$9.86	\$9.65	\$9.06	\$8.99	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,310	\$1,199	\$1,309	\$1,339	\$1,360	\$1,166	\$1,275	\$1,174
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	54%	77%	73%	72%	78%	63%	94%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	25,573	2,369	8,892	1,693	8,152	3,083	1,063	321
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	19,414	1,798	6,564	1,338	6,228	2,445	800	241
Participants in a Work Activity	18,827	1,770	6,378	1,288	6,034	2,349	772	236
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	14,396	1,349	4,665	1,110	4,758	1,766	566	182
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	71%	83%	76%	72%	71%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$7.80	\$8.17	\$8.73	\$8.51	\$7.96	\$7.89	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,018	\$1,091	\$1,140	\$1,180	\$1,016	\$1,072	\$1,045
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	70%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	359	136	100	34	82	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	134	52	38	20	20	4
Participants in a Work Activity	109	42	34	16	14	3
% of Enrolled	81%	81%	89%	80%	70%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	70	21	24	10	12	3
% of Enrolled	52%	40%	63%	50%	60%	75%
Full Time	65	20	23	10	11	1
Part Time	5	1	1	0	1	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$8.36	\$7.79	\$8.22	\$7.10	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,094	\$1,168	\$1,087	\$1,259	\$945	\$687

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	141	55	39	19	24	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	186	75	50	25	31	5
Participants in a Work Activity	160	62	47	25	22	4
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	94%	100%	71%	80%
Participants Employed	93	30	30	15	15	3
% of Total Enrolled	50%	40%	60%	60%	48%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$8.24	\$7.88	\$7.90	\$7.06	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,073	\$1,109	\$1,099	\$1,177	\$889	\$853
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	82%	71%	86%	59%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,901	1,105	834	282	603	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,149	787	627	207	474	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,072	756	620	200	445	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,506	530	447	143	351	35
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	71%	69%	74%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.06	\$7.42	\$6.96	\$6.85	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$948	\$932	\$973	\$975	\$932	\$935
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	63%	45%	44%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	566	109	28	39	78	34	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	217	40	5	18	23	12	119
Participants in a Work Activity	178	34	2	9	22	12	99
% of Enrolled	82%	85%	40%	50%	96%	100%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	124	22	1	7	12	11	71
% of Enrolled	57%	55%	20%	39%	52%	92%	60%
Full Time	89	15	1	5	11	9	48
Part Time	35	7	0	2	1	2	23
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.49	\$7.50	\$6.74	\$9.16	\$7.38	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,006	\$981	\$974	\$848	\$1,376	\$1,022	\$964

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	191	48	5	18	23	6	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	318	55	11	26	38	17	171
Participants in a Work Activity	292	53	9	18	36	14	162
% of Total Enrolled	92%	96%	82%	69%	95%	82%	95%
Participants Employed	191	31	6	11	20	12	111
% of Total Enrolled	60%	56%	55%	42%	53%	71%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.55	\$8.34	\$7.24	\$8.99	\$7.43	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,064	\$1,045	\$981	\$1,229	\$946	\$994
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	73%	100%	36%	68%	82%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,404	1001	159	337	648	198	2,061
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,276	683	103	238	434	128	1,690
Participants in a Work Activity	3,196	667	98	227	414	127	1,663
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	95%	95%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,626	536	78	190	288	89	1,445
% of Total Enrolled	80%	78%	76%	80%	66%	70%	86%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.11	\$7.48	\$7.28	\$7.04	\$7.07	\$7.30	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$935	\$976	\$1,076	\$915	\$954	\$1,006	\$918
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	54%	50%	50%	53%	53%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	997	79	65	172	222	459
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	436	27	23	90	123	173
Participants in a Work Activity	335	17	21	73	90	134
% of Enrolled	77%	63%	91%	81%	73%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	236	15	21	46	54	100
% of Enrolled	54%	56%	91%	51%	44%	58%
Full Time	200	11	20	41	48	80
Part Time	36	4	1	5	6	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.61	\$7.18	\$7.09	\$7.79	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,020	\$1,015	\$1,065	\$958	\$1,110	\$992

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	287	14	23	58	53	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	585	33	44	118	153	237
Participants in a Work Activity	511	31	42	103	122	213
% of Total Enrolled	87%	94%	95%	87%	80%	90%
Participants Employed	346	23	33	70	75	145
% of Total Enrolled	59%	70%	75%	59%	49%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.23	\$7.03	\$7.26	\$7.67	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$992	\$904	\$1,032	\$953	\$1,074	\$972
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	80%	64%	64%	70%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,750	708	634	1,533	1,779	3,096
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	6,287	560	553	1,196	1,478	2,500
Participants in a Work Activity	5,963	539	542	1,127	1,384	2,371
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	4,490	390	404	812	1,026	1,858
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	73%	68%	69%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.18	\$6.40	\$5.99	\$6.17	\$6.35	\$6.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$812	\$821	\$819	\$803	\$849	\$793
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	54%	43%	55%	60%	62%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,555	221	479	120	226	509
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	480	57	192	62	43	126
Participants in a Work Activity	366	36	145	53	35	97
% of Enrolled	76%	63%	76%	85%	81%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	248	30	99	31	28	60
% of Enrolled	52%	53%	52%	50%	65%	48%
Full Time	211	24	84	29	26	48
Part Time	37	6	15	2	2	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.98	\$7.07	\$7.18	\$6.49	\$7.00	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$989	\$1,008	\$951	\$947	\$883

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	467	52	147	52	65	151
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	736	95	249	91	79	222
Participants in a Work Activity	631	78	212	79	70	192
% of Total Enrolled	86%	82%	85%	87%	89%	86%
Participants Employed	367	46	134	52	42	93
% of Total Enrolled	50%	48%	54%	57%	53%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.00	\$6.97	\$7.17	\$6.36	\$7.18	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$933	\$920	\$970	\$833	\$985	\$916
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	64%	61%	69%	57%	59%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	9,968	1,533	2,597	1,011	1,601	3,226
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,822	1,263	1,845	869	1,208	2,637
Participants in a Work Activity	7,542	1,202	1,765	816	1,189	2,570
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,149	777	1,226	593	709	1,844
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	66%	68%	59%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.09	\$6.07	\$6.38	\$6.00	\$6.12	\$5.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$823	\$813	\$910	\$789	\$844	\$781
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	911	59	110	60	53	54	133	38	152	121	131
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	432	15	59	34	17	32	65	25	64	63	58
Participants in a Work Activity	319	13	47	24	12	22	61	7	48	42	43
% of Enrolled	74%	87%	80%	71%	71%	69%	94%	28%	75%	67%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	223	9	40	13	8	16	32	6	36	32	31
% of Enrolled	52%	60%	68%	38%	47%	50%	49%	24%	56%	51%	53%
Full Time	166	7	24	13	4	13	19	5	27	31	23
Part Time	57	2	16	0	4	3	13	1	9	1	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.07	\$7.59	\$6.34	\$7.62	\$8.61	\$8.15	\$6.99	\$5.31	\$7.07	\$6.95	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$947	\$962	\$773	\$1,078	\$901	\$1,165	\$853	\$652	\$1,036	\$1,002	\$1,006

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	276	17	40	29	24	22	30	9	38	36	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	573	21	77	48	24	38	93	26	89	78	79
Participants in a Work Activity	475	18	65	33	18	32	84	11	84	60	70
% of Total Enrolled	83%	86%	84%	69%	75%	84%	90%	42%	94%	77%	89%
Participants Employed	295	12	34	21	10	20	47	6	56	47	42
% of Total Enrolled	51%	57%	44%	44%	42%	53%	51%	23%	63%	60%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.31	\$7.37	\$6.30	\$7.51	\$8.53	\$8.17	\$7.11	\$5.31	\$7.01	\$7.09	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$956	\$888	\$774	\$1,102	\$854	\$1,118	\$868	\$647	\$1,004	\$1,041	\$967
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	57%	71%	73%	42%	68%	57%	100%	60%	73%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,815	331	803	578	485	402	700	229	828	714	745
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,269	227	617	415	320	273	525	157	625	525	585
Participants in a Work Activity	4,060	207	614	376	295	257	506	155	588	490	572
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	100%	91%	92%	94%	96%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,738	147	355	249	173	161	311	81	445	367	449
% of Total Enrolled	64%	65%	58%	60%	54%	59%	59%	52%	71%	70%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.34	\$7.01	\$5.90	\$6.64	\$6.65	\$6.86	\$6.26	\$5.52	\$6.02	\$6.36	\$6.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$842	\$937	\$798	\$902	\$861	\$930	\$792	\$732	\$797	\$858	\$850
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	43%	57%	51%	45%	44%	57%	60%	57%	55%	61%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,522	772	35	124	1,167	31	2,393
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,744	261	16	59	460	8	940
Participants in a Work Activity	1,335	201	12	39	322	5	756
% of Enrolled	77%	77%	75%	66%	70%	63%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	824	137	4	29	236	4	414
% of Enrolled	47%	52%	25%	49%	51%	50%	44%
Full Time	683	109	3	21	195	3	352
Part Time	141	28	1	8	41	1	62
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$8.14	\$7.38	\$8.73	\$8.32	\$7.91	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,116	\$1,111	\$1,051	\$1,182	\$1,186	\$993	\$1,075

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,156	255	11	40	268	5	577
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,362	354	17	69	581	15	1,326
Participants in a Work Activity	2,033	321	13	53	486	10	1,150
% of Total Enrolled	86%	91%	76%	77%	84%	67%	87%
Participants Employed	1,199	194	5	35	324	6	635
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	29%	51%	56%	40%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.04	\$8.25	\$8.60	\$8.31	\$9.94	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,094	\$1,093	\$1,219	\$1,172	\$1,152	\$1,232	\$1,058
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	78%	60%	79%	77%	50%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	27,399	5,262	183	831	6,181	236	14,706
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	20,907	3,877	129	564	4,854	156	11,327
Participants in a Work Activity	20,250	3,800	124	515	4,624	148	11,039
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	91%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	15,014	2,690	88	379	3,476	92	8,289
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	68%	67%	72%	59%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.05	\$7.28	\$6.51	\$7.91	\$7.30	\$6.73	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$992	\$856	\$1,052	\$995	\$866	\$940
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	55%	66%	56%	52%	61%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	806	137	26	273	231	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	371	42	7	138	102	82
Participants in a Work Activity	283	27	7	103	74	72
% of Enrolled	76%	64%	100%	75%	73%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	227	18	4	92	55	58
% of Enrolled	61%	43%	57%	67%	54%	71%
Full Time	190	17	4	74	49	46
Part Time	37	1	0	18	6	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$7.96	\$6.81	\$8.05	\$8.05	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,112	\$1,253	\$930	\$1,095	\$1,112	\$1,107

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	270	53	13	79	76	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	489	52	13	176	143	105
Participants in a Work Activity	401	40	12	143	113	93
% of Total Enrolled	82%	77%	92%	81%	79%	89%
Participants Employed	308	23	8	124	80	73
% of Total Enrolled	63%	44%	62%	70%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$7.80	\$7.60	\$8.29	\$8.21	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,163	\$933	\$1,081	\$1,120	\$1,087
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	65%	75%	77%	82%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,475	874	362	1,538	1,590	1,111
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,734	569	229	1,157	877	902
Participants in a Work Activity	3,582	550	219	1,073	851	889
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	2,678	361	164	857	563	733
% of Total Enrolled	72%	63%	72%	74%	64%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.50	\$7.18	\$7.04	\$7.60	\$7.95	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$997	\$930	\$897	\$1,013	\$1,112	\$969
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	41%	50%	56%	56%	53%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	341	80	21	33	41	16	27	22	32	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	142	41	7	16	9	8	10	10	8	33
Participants in a Work Activity	101	28	6	14	6	6	7	5	4	25
% of Enrolled	71%	68%	86%	88%	67%	75%	70%	50%	50%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	70	14	5	9	4	6	5	3	3	21
% of Enrolled	49%	34%	71%	56%	44%	75%	50%	30%	38%	64%
Full Time	54	11	3	6	3	4	2	3	2	20
Part Time	16	3	2	3	1	2	3	0	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$7.93	\$7.37	\$8.19	\$7.69	\$6.41	\$8.27	\$6.60	\$7.33	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,165	\$848	\$1,075	\$1,040	\$830	\$1,013	\$972	\$854	\$1,175

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	120	31	8	12	12	3	13	8	11	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	192	53	9	19	12	8	14	12	15	50
Participants in a Work Activity	158	45	7	17	11	6	11	9	12	40
% of Total Enrolled	82%	85%	78%	89%	92%	75%	79%	75%	80%	80%
Participants Employed	105	27	6	10	7	6	7	4	8	30
% of Total Enrolled	55%	51%	67%	53%	58%	75%	50%	33%	53%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.81	\$7.43	\$7.16	\$8.04	\$8.18	\$6.41	\$8.34	\$6.59	\$7.42	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$916	\$769	\$1,108	\$1,085	\$825	\$1,032	\$977	\$971	\$1,191
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	58%	63%	50%	70%	67%	83%	55%	50%	44%	54%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,596	377	199	193	312	145	294	241	245	590
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	1,808	283	125	152	230	89	208	189	123	409
Participants in a Work Activity	1,713	270	118	146	217	82	194	184	117	385
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	96%	94%	92%	93%	97%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	1,210	195	75	93	162	57	150	116	85	277
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	60%	61%	70%	64%	72%	61%	69%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.60	\$6.58	\$6.82	\$6.98	\$6.39	\$6.52	\$6.65	\$6.27	\$6.68	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$861	\$827	\$859	\$970	\$809	\$831	\$862	\$831	\$927	\$886
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	42%	35%	45%	47%	50%	55%	42%	33%	49%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,711	27	98	94	28	64	1,012	1,359	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	988	9	36	26	12	24	316	544	21
Participants in a Work Activity	705	5	25	21	9	16	238	375	16
% of Enrolled	71%	56%	69%	81%	75%	67%	75%	69%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	587	3	22	18	7	11	184	328	14
% of Enrolled	59%	33%	61%	69%	58%	46%	58%	60%	67%
Full Time	512	2	19	13	6	10	152	297	13
Part Time	75	1	3	5	1	1	32	31	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$8.00	\$7.46	\$8.05	\$8.36	\$7.24	\$7.39	\$7.55	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,032	\$1,061	\$1,079	\$1,125	\$1,023	\$1,000	\$1,078	\$1,031

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	772	4	28	23	9	22	276	392	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,453	10	45	51	16	34	454	816	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,204	7	35	45	14	24	399	654	26
% of Total Enrolled	83%	70%	78%	88%	88%	71%	88%	80%	96%
Participants Employed	895	3	29	38	10	16	280	501	18
% of Total Enrolled	62%	30%	64%	75%	63%	47%	62%	61%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.53	\$8.00	\$7.42	\$8.18	\$8.75	\$7.34	\$7.46	\$7.50	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,039	\$1,171	\$949	\$1,046	\$1,191	\$967	\$1,016	\$1,054	\$1,042
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	71%	66%	73%	86%	76%	73%	73%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	19,451	116	782	749	216	717	6,904	9,712	255
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,892	81	527	554	150	493	4,822	7,091	174
Participants in a Work Activity	13,298	78	489	520	144	476	4,715	6,710	166
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	93%	94%	96%	97%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	10,291	39	377	409	104	323	3,532	5,372	135
% of Total Enrolled	74%	48%	72%	74%	69%	66%	73%	76%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.66	\$6.65	\$6.49	\$6.98	\$7.26	\$6.70	\$6.66	\$6.63	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$884	\$888	\$822	\$855	\$1,005	\$853	\$883	\$890	\$877
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	58%	56%	53%	54%	46%	52%	58%	51%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,056	94	96	39	60	273	494
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	448	23	30	16	24	135	220
Participants in a Work Activity	348	21	25	14	22	112	154
% of Enrolled	78%	91%	83%	88%	92%	83%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	277	18	18	10	20	84	127
% of Enrolled	62%	78%	60%	63%	83%	62%	58%
Full Time	242	18	17	7	20	67	113
Part Time	35	0	1	3	0	17	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.49	\$7.54	\$7.96	\$8.25	\$6.82	\$7.41	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,046	\$1,202	\$1,274	\$1,039	\$1,040	\$983	\$1,035

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	246	27	29	9	17	71	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW	584	42	51	21	45	164	261
Participants in a Work Activity	492	41	38	19	40	144	210
% of Total Enrolled	84%	98%	75%	90%	89%	88%	80%
Participants Employed	357	29	27	14	31	105	151
% of Total Enrolled	61%	69%	53%	67%	69%	64%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.63	\$7.78	\$8.48	\$8.06	\$7.11	\$7.53	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,057	\$1,239	\$1,401	\$952	\$1,046	\$977	\$1,030
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	85%	58%	83%	65%	74%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,991	883	607	252	571	1,734	2,944
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,311	625	434	191	437	1,362	2,262
Participants in a Work Activity	5,088	623	378	179	422	1,310	2,176
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,839	445	277	141	306	997	1,673
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	64%	74%	70%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.68	\$6.68	\$7.12	\$6.70	\$6.71	\$6.58	\$6.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$916	\$923	\$973	\$848	\$932	\$901	\$918
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	53%	51%	50%	57%	51%	57%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through September 30, 2008

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/08 and Work Activity as of 9/30/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,560	128	100	977	78	1,839	1,136	385	917
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,997	41	26	364	21	763	396	105	281
Participants in a Work Activity	1,574	31	18	265	17	630	335	76	202
% of Enrolled	79%	76%	69%	73%	81%	83%	85%	72%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	1,326	28	14	237	14	559	238	68	168
% of Enrolled	66%	68%	54%	65%	67%	73%	60%	65%	60%
Full Time	1,205	19	9	220	9	531	213	58	146
Part Time	121	9	5	17	5	28	25	10	22
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.36	\$7.03	\$7.47	\$7.46	\$6.91	\$7.30	\$7.30	\$7.31	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$936	\$970	\$1,059	\$902	\$1,038	\$1,061	\$1,021	\$1,056

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,431	28	26	272	21	442	253	99	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,753	57	49	521	30	944	545	165	442
Participants in a Work Activity	2,353	50	42	445	27	813	470	140	366
% of Total Enrolled	85%	88%	86%	85%	90%	86%	86%	85%	83%
Participants Employed	1,805	38	24	335	18	684	344	101	261
% of Total Enrolled	66%	67%	49%	64%	60%	72%	63%	61%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.45	\$7.03	\$7.20	\$7.59	\$7.07	\$7.28	\$7.52	\$7.22	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$999	\$940	\$1,068	\$935	\$1,008	\$1,078	\$994	\$1,094
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	58%	62%	76%	59%	76%	70%	59%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	40,109	866	751	6,317	631	13,010	6,602	2,580	9,352
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	28,448	601	580	4,458	489	9,143	5,060	1,956	6,161
Participants in a Work Activity	27,083	579	553	4,238	477	8,734	4,792	1,935	5,775
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	96%	95%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	20,964	445	360	3,359	349	6,717	3,875	1,522	4,337
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	62%	75%	71%	73%	77%	78%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.55	\$6.57	\$6.28	\$6.66	\$6.19	\$6.45	\$6.46	\$6.38	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$866	\$882	\$799	\$887	\$776	\$832	\$876	\$834	\$927
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	48%	56%	55%	55%	62%	60%	56%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	47.0%	3.11	<b>103</b>	\$6.92	3.48	<b>103</b>	61.8%	4.90	<b>82</b>	3.83	<b>108</b>
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	56.4%	4.33	<b>67</b>	\$8.55	7.00	<b>11</b>	73.0%	6.40	<b>36</b>	5.91	<b>19</b>
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	63.6%	5.26	<b>35</b>	\$7.09	3.84	<b>87</b>	59.0%	4.54	<b>92</b>	4.55	<b>85</b>
007	<i>Amelia</i>	57.1%	4.43	<b>64</b>	\$7.37	4.45	<b>67</b>	57.1%	4.29	<b>100</b>	4.39	<b>92</b>
009	<i>Amherst</i>	69.7%	6.06	<b>14</b>	\$7.23	4.15	<b>75</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>14</b>	5.85	<b>21</b>
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	75.0%	6.75	<b>6</b>	\$7.03	3.71	<b>94</b>	63.6%	5.15	<b>79</b>	5.21	<b>53</b>
013	<i>Arlington</i>	38.8%	2.04	<b>114</b>	\$9.30	8.62	<b>5</b>	54.4%	3.92	<b>107</b>	4.86	<b>71</b>
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	62.0%	5.06	<b>41</b>	\$7.43	4.58	<b>62</b>	72.7%	6.36	<b>39</b>	5.33	<b>44</b>
017	<i>Bath</i>	50.0%	3.50	<b>91</b>	\$6.40	2.35	<b>116</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	5.28	<b>47</b>
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	59.3%	4.71	<b>56</b>	\$7.26	4.21	<b>72</b>	63.8%	5.17	<b>78</b>	4.70	<b>76</b>
021	<i>Bland</i>	46.2%	3.00	<b>104</b>	\$8.00	5.81	<b>33</b>	33.3%	1.11	<b>117</b>	3.31	<b>116</b>
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	26.7%	0.47	<b>118</b>	\$7.78	5.33	<b>40</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>65</b>	3.79	<b>109</b>
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	44.2%	2.74	<b>106</b>	\$6.30	2.14	<b>118</b>	71.4%	6.19	<b>44</b>	3.69	<b>111</b>
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	51.6%	3.71	<b>87</b>	\$7.71	5.18	<b>44</b>	58.7%	4.49	<b>93</b>	4.46	<b>90</b>
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	43.8%	2.69	<b>107</b>	\$7.51	4.75	<b>58</b>	73.3%	6.44	<b>32</b>	4.63	<b>79</b>
031	<i>Campbell</i>	49.0%	3.37	<b>95</b>	\$7.67	5.10	<b>45</b>	69.7%	5.95	<b>53</b>	4.81	<b>72</b>
033	<i>Caroline</i>	44.2%	2.75	<b>105</b>	\$7.80	5.38	<b>39</b>	65.4%	5.38	<b>74</b>	4.50	<b>87</b>
035	<i>Carroll</i>	71.7%	6.32	<b>10</b>	\$6.59	2.76	<b>111</b>	70.5%	6.06	<b>47</b>	5.05	<b>63</b>
036	<i>Charles City</i>	30.0%	0.90	<b>116</b>	\$8.00	5.81	<b>34</b>	71.4%	6.19	<b>45</b>	4.30	<b>96</b>
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	41.7%	2.42	<b>111</b>	\$8.53	6.95	<b>13</b>	41.7%	2.22	<b>115</b>	3.86	<b>107</b>
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	54.8%	4.12	<b>73</b>	\$8.04	5.90	<b>31</b>	77.6%	7.01	<b>18</b>	5.68	<b>27</b>
043	<i>Clarke</i>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$7.08	3.82	<b>89</b>	25.0%	0.00	<b>118</b>	4.61	<b>81</b>
045	<i>Craig</i>	50.0%	3.50	<b>92</b>	\$7.00	3.65	<b>98</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.58	<b>114</b>
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	40.0%	2.20	<b>112</b>	\$8.24	6.33	<b>25</b>	82.1%	7.61	<b>11</b>	5.38	<b>41</b>
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	52.6%	3.84	<b>82</b>	\$8.17	6.18	<b>29</b>	68.2%	5.76	<b>60</b>	5.26	<b>49</b>
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	56.0%	4.28	<b>68</b>	\$6.86	3.35	<b>105</b>	60.7%	4.76	<b>85</b>	4.13	<b>99</b>
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	69.0%	5.98	<b>18</b>	\$7.78	5.33	<b>41</b>	84.6%	7.95	<b>8</b>	6.42	<b>9</b>
057	<i>Essex</i>	50.9%	3.62	<b>88</b>	\$7.43	4.58	<b>63</b>	62.5%	5.00	<b>81</b>	4.40	<b>91</b>
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	48.0%	3.24	<b>100</b>	\$9.46	8.96	<b>4</b>	77.5%	7.00	<b>19</b>	6.40	<b>10</b>
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	60.0%	4.80	<b>50</b>	\$7.88	5.55	<b>36</b>	71.4%	6.19	<b>46</b>	5.51	<b>36</b>
063	<i>Floyd</i>	66.7%	5.67	<b>24</b>	\$7.49	4.71	<b>60</b>	69.2%	5.90	<b>58</b>	5.42	<b>38</b>
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	54.5%	4.09	<b>75</b>	\$8.34	6.54	<b>15</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	6.88	<b>3</b>
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	53.9%	4.01	<b>76</b>	\$7.57	4.88	<b>53</b>	60.7%	4.75	<b>87</b>	4.55	<b>84</b>
069	<i>Frederick</i>	48.6%	3.31	<b>97</b>	\$8.30	6.46	<b>19</b>	72.7%	6.36	<b>40</b>	5.38	<b>40</b>
071	<i>Giles</i>	63.0%	5.19	<b>37</b>	\$7.37	4.45	<b>68</b>	53.3%	3.78	<b>109</b>	4.47	<b>89</b>
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	64.4%	5.38	<b>31</b>	\$7.42	4.56	<b>65</b>	65.7%	5.43	<b>73</b>	5.12	<b>56</b>
075	<i>Goochland</i>	29.4%	0.82	<b>117</b>	\$8.25	6.35	<b>23</b>	60.0%	4.67	<b>88</b>	3.95	<b>103</b>
077	<i>Grayson</i>	76.5%	6.94	<b>3</b>	\$6.55	2.68	<b>113</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>66</b>	5.06	<b>60</b>

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	42.3%	2.50	108	\$7.24	4.17	73	35.7%	1.43	116	2.70	118
081	Greensville/Emporia	50.5%	3.57	90	\$7.11	3.89	84	56.6%	4.21	102	3.89	105
083	Halifax	48.4%	3.29	98	\$6.97	3.59	100	64.0%	5.20	77	4.03	102
085	Hanover	50.7%	3.59	89	\$8.60	7.11	10	79.4%	7.25	16	5.98	17
087	Henrico	55.8%	4.25	70	\$8.31	6.48	17	76.5%	6.87	21	5.87	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	53.8%	4.00	77	\$7.17	4.02	81	60.7%	4.76	86	4.26	97
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	66.7%	5.67	25	\$7.03	3.71	95	58.3%	4.44	96	4.61	80
095	James City	74.5%	6.69	8	\$8.18	6.20	27	73.5%	6.46	31	6.45	8
097	King and Queen	66.7%	5.67	26	\$7.16	4.00	82	50.0%	3.33	110	4.33	95
099	King George	61.5%	5.00	43	\$7.60	4.95	50	75.0%	6.67	27	5.54	34
101	King William	52.6%	3.84	83	\$8.04	5.90	32	70.0%	6.00	51	5.25	50
103	Lancaster	58.3%	4.58	59	\$8.18	6.20	28	66.7%	5.56	67	5.45	37
105	Lee	69.0%	5.97	19	\$6.45	2.46	114	76.0%	6.79	23	5.07	57
107	Loudoun	60.1%	4.82	49	\$9.86	9.83	2	72.9%	6.38	37	7.01	1
109	Louisa	52.6%	3.84	84	\$8.99	7.95	7	68.0%	5.73	62	5.84	22
111	Lunenburg	23.1%	0.00	119	\$5.31	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	3	3.33	115
113	Madison	60.0%	4.80	51	\$7.90	5.59	35	85.7%	8.09	6	6.16	14
115	Mathews	75.0%	6.75	7	\$6.41	2.38	115	83.3%	7.78	9	5.63	29
117	Mecklenburg	62.9%	5.18	39	\$7.01	3.67	97	59.7%	4.63	89	4.49	88
119	Middlesex	50.0%	3.50	93	\$8.34	6.54	16	54.6%	3.94	106	4.66	78
121	Montgomery	75.9%	6.87	4	\$7.54	4.82	54	66.5%	5.53	71	5.74	24
125	Nelson	70.6%	6.18	12	\$7.43	4.58	64	81.8%	7.58	12	6.11	15
127	New Kent	62.5%	5.13	40	\$8.75	7.43	9	85.7%	8.09	7	6.88	2
131	Northampton	58.8%	4.65	58	\$7.02	3.69	96	74.1%	6.54	28	4.96	66
133	Northumberland	33.3%	1.33	115	\$6.59	2.76	112	50.0%	3.33	111	2.48	119
135	Nottoway	60.3%	4.83	48	\$7.09	3.84	88	73.2%	6.42	34	5.03	64
137	Orange	48.4%	3.29	99	\$7.06	3.78	92	59.1%	4.55	91	3.87	106
139	Page	69.7%	6.06	15	\$7.65	5.05	48	68.2%	5.76	61	5.62	30
141	Patrick	57.1%	4.43	65	\$6.36	2.27	117	69.5%	5.93	55	4.21	98
143	Pittsylvania	53.2%	3.91	79	\$7.18	4.04	80	56.6%	4.21	103	4.05	101
145	Powhatan	40.0%	2.20	113	\$9.94	10.00	1	50.0%	3.33	112	5.18	54
147	Prince Edward	53.2%	3.91	80	\$7.23	4.15	76	74.1%	6.54	29	4.87	69
149	Prince George	52.9%	3.88	81	\$8.48	6.85	14	58.3%	4.44	97	5.06	61
153	Prince William	57.6%	4.48	62	\$9.65	9.37	3	71.7%	6.22	43	6.69	5
155	Pulaski	60.0%	4.80	52	\$7.15	3.97	83	56.8%	4.23	101	4.34	94
157	Rappahannock	60.0%	4.80	53	\$7.00	3.65	99	66.7%	5.56	68	4.67	77
159	Richmond County	53.3%	3.93	78	\$7.42	4.56	66	44.4%	2.59	114	3.69	110
161	Roanoke County	67.2%	5.73	23	\$7.54	4.82	55	70.3%	6.04	49	5.53	35
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	63.0%	5.19	38	\$7.63	5.01	49	64.3%	5.24	76	5.14	55
165	Rockingham/H'burg	83.2%	7.82	2	\$7.67	5.10	46	69.3%	5.91	57	6.28	13
167	Russell	68.5%	5.91	21	\$6.97	3.59	101	67.6%	5.68	63	5.06	58
169	Scott	61.4%	4.98	45	\$6.71	3.02	108	86.4%	8.18	5	5.39	39
171	Shenandoah	71.4%	6.29	11	\$7.21	4.10	78	72.7%	6.36	41	5.58	31

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	50.0%	3.50	<b>94</b>	\$6.64	2.87	<b>109</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>15</b>	4.57	<b>83</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	49.0%	3.37	<b>96</b>	\$7.20	4.08	<b>79</b>	61.8%	4.90	<b>83</b>	4.12	<b>100</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.5%	6.16	<b>13</b>	\$8.29	6.44	<b>20</b>	77.5%	6.99	<b>20</b>	6.53	<b>6</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	55.9%	4.27	<b>69</b>	\$8.21	6.26	<b>26</b>	81.6%	7.54	<b>13</b>	6.03	<b>16</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	66.7%	5.67	<b>27</b>	\$8.06	5.94	<b>30</b>	83.3%	7.78	<b>10</b>	6.46	<b>7</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	68.9%	5.96	<b>20</b>	\$7.11	3.89	<b>85</b>	64.9%	5.31	<b>75</b>	5.05	<b>62</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	65.9%	5.57	<b>29</b>	\$6.63	2.85	<b>110</b>	69.6%	5.94	<b>54</b>	4.79	<b>73</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	55.6%	4.22	<b>71</b>	\$8.29	6.44	<b>21</b>	56.0%	4.13	<b>104</b>	4.93	<b>68</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.3%	5.36	<b>33</b>	\$7.11	3.89	<b>86</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>69</b>	4.93	<b>67</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	60.0%	4.80	<b>54</b>	\$8.54	6.98	<b>12</b>	53.9%	3.85	<b>108</b>	5.21	<b>52</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	68.0%	5.85	<b>22</b>	\$6.94	3.52	<b>102</b>	66.9%	5.59	<b>64</b>	4.98	<b>65</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	75.4%	6.80	<b>5</b>	\$6.85	3.33	<b>106</b>	75.9%	6.78	<b>24</b>	5.63	<b>28</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.1%	3.12	<b>102</b>	\$7.34	4.38	<b>69</b>	76.0%	6.80	<b>22</b>	4.77	<b>74</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.8%	3.73	<b>85</b>	\$9.06	8.10	<b>6</b>	77.7%	7.02	<b>17</b>	6.29	<b>12</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	51.6%	3.71	<b>86</b>	\$7.07	3.80	<b>90</b>	57.3%	4.30	<b>99</b>	3.94	<b>104</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	64.9%	5.44	<b>30</b>	\$8.25	6.35	<b>24</b>	70.3%	6.03	<b>50</b>	5.94	<b>18</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	64.3%	5.36	<b>32</b>	\$7.59	4.92	<b>51</b>	75.7%	6.76	<b>25</b>	5.68	<b>26</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	41.9%	2.45	<b>110</b>	\$7.04	3.74	<b>93</b>	59.3%	4.57	<b>90</b>	3.58	<b>113</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	60.0%	4.80	<b>55</b>	\$7.07	3.80	<b>91</b>	58.6%	4.48	<b>94</b>	4.36	<b>93</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	69.5%	6.04	<b>16</b>	\$8.31	6.48	<b>18</b>	73.2%	6.42	<b>35</b>	6.31	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	56.8%	4.39	<b>66</b>	\$6.91	3.46	<b>104</b>	47.6%	3.02	<b>113</b>	3.62	<b>112</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	61.7%	5.02	<b>42</b>	\$7.46	4.64	<b>61</b>	73.3%	6.44	<b>33</b>	5.37	<b>42</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	64.0%	5.32	<b>34</b>	\$7.53	4.79	<b>56</b>	74.0%	6.54	<b>30</b>	5.55	<b>33</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	61.2%	4.95	<b>47</b>	\$7.24	4.17	<b>74</b>	70.4%	6.05	<b>48</b>	5.06	<b>59</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	54.8%	4.12	<b>74</b>	\$8.99	7.95	<b>8</b>	63.4%	5.12	<b>80</b>	5.73	<b>25</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	57.9%	4.53	<b>60</b>	\$8.27	6.39	<b>22</b>	94.1%	9.22	<b>4</b>	6.71	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	61.4%	4.98	<b>44</b>	\$7.50	4.73	<b>59</b>	72.5%	6.34	<b>42</b>	5.35	<b>43</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.5%	6.42	<b>9</b>	\$7.28	4.25	<b>70</b>	75.6%	6.75	<b>26</b>	5.81	<b>23</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	41.9%	2.45	<b>109</b>	\$6.85	3.33	<b>107</b>	55.6%	4.07	<b>105</b>	3.28	<b>117</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	57.9%	4.52	<b>61</b>	\$7.59	4.92	<b>52</b>	72.9%	6.38	<b>38</b>	5.28	<b>48</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	63.1%	5.21	<b>36</b>	\$7.52	4.77	<b>57</b>	69.8%	5.97	<b>52</b>	5.32	<b>45</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.2%	6.00	<b>17</b>	\$7.28	4.25	<b>71</b>	57.5%	4.33	<b>98</b>	4.86	<b>70</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	47.9%	3.23	<b>101</b>	\$7.67	5.10	<b>47</b>	66.2%	5.50	<b>72</b>	4.61	<b>82</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	55.3%	4.19	<b>72</b>	\$7.72	5.21	<b>43</b>	60.8%	4.77	<b>84</b>	4.72	<b>75</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	61.2%	4.96	<b>46</b>	\$7.22	4.13	<b>77</b>	58.5%	4.47	<b>95</b>	4.52	<b>86</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	59.0%	4.68	<b>57</b>	\$7.85	5.49	<b>37</b>	68.2%	5.76	<b>59</b>	5.31	<b>46</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.7%	5.67	<b>28</b>	\$7.83	5.44	<b>38</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>70</b>	5.56	<b>32</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.4%	4.47	<b>63</b>	\$7.76	5.29	<b>42</b>	69.4%	5.93	<b>56</b>	5.23	<b>51</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.5%	1.71	115	\$801	2.21	100	59.0%	7.06	28	3.66	105
003	Albemarle	78.5%	8.12	6	\$976	5.80	18	54.4%	5.81	61	6.58	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.0%	6.65	38	\$811	2.42	95	46.0%	3.55	101	4.21	88
007	Amelia	64.8%	4.45	89	\$937	5.00	31	43.4%	2.86	114	4.10	92
009	Amherst	69.6%	5.75	62	\$821	2.63	91	53.5%	5.57	65	4.65	76
011	Appomattox	73.1%	6.67	37	\$819	2.58	92	42.9%	2.72	115	3.99	95
013	Arlington	75.0%	7.20	22	\$1,018	6.67	9	64.1%	8.43	8	7.43	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.4%	5.95	55	\$931	4.88	37	52.9%	5.42	71	5.42	42
017	Bath	64.7%	4.43	90	\$732	0.78	117	55.0%	5.98	55	3.73	101
019	Bedford County/City	67.9%	5.29	76	\$803	2.25	99	55.5%	6.10	50	4.55	80
021	Bland	65.4%	4.61	87	\$805	2.29	98	45.2%	3.34	104	3.42	111
023	Botetourt	50.7%	0.68	119	\$908	4.41	51	44.8%	3.23	109	2.78	118
025	Brunswick	57.5%	2.51	114	\$798	2.13	102	56.6%	6.40	40	3.68	104
027	Buchanan	52.4%	1.15	117	\$789	1.97	107	54.0%	5.72	64	2.94	116
029	Buckingham	60.0%	3.17	108	\$902	4.27	53	51.4%	5.00	79	4.15	90
031	Campbell	69.4%	5.69	66	\$849	3.19	78	59.5%	7.20	25	5.36	45
033	Caroline	63.4%	4.09	99	\$930	4.86	39	40.9%	2.17	118	3.71	103
035	Carroll	67.9%	5.28	77	\$789	1.95	109	45.5%	3.42	103	3.55	109
036	Charles City	48.1%	0.00	120	\$888	3.99	59	57.6%	6.68	32	3.56	107
037	Charlotte	54.1%	1.58	116	\$861	3.44	70	44.9%	3.26	108	2.76	119
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69.4%	5.68	67	\$992	6.13	16	60.7%	7.53	17	6.45	19
043	Clarke	63.5%	4.12	98	\$951	5.29	28	47.1%	3.83	98	4.41	85
045	Craig	76.9%	7.70	12	\$978	5.85	17	66.7%	9.13	3	7.56	5
047	Culpeper	67.3%	5.14	80	\$932	4.89	36	55.6%	6.14	49	5.39	44
049	Cumberland	59.0%	2.90	111	\$930	4.86	38	43.6%	2.90	113	3.55	108
051	Dickensen	60.6%	3.34	106	\$786	1.89	111	49.1%	4.38	92	3.20	114
053	Dinwiddie	71.2%	6.17	49	\$923	4.71	43	52.8%	5.37	74	5.42	43
057	Essex	68.9%	5.56	72	\$827	2.74	88	41.8%	2.40	116	3.57	106
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71.1%	6.14	51	\$1,091	8.18	4	61.8%	7.83	13	7.38	7
061	Fauquier	71.3%	6.20	48	\$973	5.75	20	62.9%	8.11	10	6.69	11
063	Floyd	72.7%	6.56	39	\$888	4.00	58	45.2%	3.34	105	4.63	77
065	Fluvanna	75.7%	7.38	19	\$1,076	7.86	5	50.0%	4.63	86	6.62	13
067	Franklin County	68.5%	5.46	73	\$922	4.69	44	50.6%	4.80	83	4.98	62
069	Frederick	63.3%	4.04	100	\$948	5.22	29	55.3%	6.06	53	5.11	55
071	Giles	60.2%	3.24	107	\$915	4.56	48	48.8%	4.30	93	4.03	94
073	Gloucester	71.5%	6.26	45	\$822	2.64	90	56.3%	6.34	43	5.08	59
075	Goochland	68.2%	5.37	75	\$856	3.33	73	55.0%	5.98	56	4.89	68
077	Grayson	67.0%	5.04	82	\$816	2.51	93	57.4%	6.62	34	4.72	71

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	79.8%	8.48	5	\$915	4.55	49	50.0%	4.63	87	5.89	32
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.2%	2.97	110	\$792	2.01	106	56.7%	6.45	39	3.81	100
083	Halifax	61.5%	3.58	102	\$813	2.45	94	48.3%	4.17	96	3.40	112
085	Hanover	67.2%	5.10	81	\$1,052	7.36	7	66.5%	9.07	4	7.18	8
087	Henrico	71.6%	6.28	44	\$995	6.19	15	56.2%	6.31	46	6.26	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	66.4%	4.90	84	\$910	4.45	50	54.9%	5.94	57	5.10	56
091	Highland	71.4%	6.23	46	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.33	20	4.52	81
093	Isle of Wight	74.0%	6.93	26	\$882	3.86	63	55.1%	6.00	54	5.60	39
095	James City	73.8%	6.87	28	\$855	3.32	74	52.8%	5.39	72	5.19	51
097	King and Queen	60.0%	3.17	109	\$859	3.40	71	35.4%	0.68	119	2.42	120
099	King George	71.6%	6.28	43	\$897	4.18	55	50.3%	4.72	85	5.06	60
101	King William	61.2%	3.49	104	\$970	5.68	22	44.7%	3.20	111	4.12	91
103	Lancaster	70.4%	5.97	53	\$809	2.37	97	47.0%	3.81	99	4.05	93
105	Lee	66.7%	4.96	83	\$745	1.05	116	59.8%	7.26	22	4.42	83
107	Loudoun	83.0%	9.32	2	\$1,140	9.17	2	66.4%	9.06	5	9.18	1
109	Louisa	66.4%	4.88	85	\$954	5.35	27	53.4%	5.55	66	5.26	48
111	Lunenburg	51.6%	0.92	118	\$732	0.78	118	60.0%	7.33	21	3.01	115
113	Madison	69.1%	5.60	70	\$975	5.79	19	45.0%	3.29	107	4.90	67
115	Mathews	64.0%	4.26	95	\$831	2.83	86	50.0%	4.63	88	3.90	97
117	Mecklenburg	71.2%	6.17	50	\$797	2.12	103	57.4%	6.63	33	4.97	63
119	Middlesex	72.1%	6.42	42	\$862	3.45	69	55.3%	6.07	52	5.31	46
121	Montgomery	82.0%	9.07	3	\$905	4.35	52	54.3%	5.79	62	6.40	22
125	Nelson	69.5%	5.72	63	\$1,006	6.41	13	53.3%	5.53	67	5.89	31
127	New Kent	69.3%	5.67	68	\$1,005	6.40	14	54.2%	5.75	63	5.94	30
131	Northampton	64.2%	4.29	94	\$786	1.90	110	65.5%	8.81	7	5.00	61
133	Northumberland	61.4%	3.54	103	\$831	2.83	85	41.5%	2.34	117	2.90	117
135	Nottoway	69.9%	5.82	60	\$858	3.38	72	55.4%	6.08	51	5.09	57
137	Orange	74.1%	6.93	25	\$932	4.89	35	44.2%	3.05	112	4.96	65
139	Page	77.1%	7.75	11	\$965	5.58	24	56.8%	6.47	38	6.60	15
141	Patrick	68.2%	5.38	74	\$789	1.96	108	66.0%	8.94	6	5.43	41
143	Pittsylvania	58.7%	2.82	113	\$844	3.10	81	52.2%	5.22	76	3.71	102
145	Powhatan	59.0%	2.90	112	\$866	3.54	68	51.8%	5.10	78	3.85	99
147	Prince Edward	76.8%	7.66	13	\$850	3.21	77	60.6%	7.49	18	6.12	26
149	Prince George	63.8%	4.20	96	\$973	5.74	21	50.7%	4.81	82	4.91	66
153	Prince William	76.4%	7.56	15	\$1,180	10.00	1	61.9%	7.85	12	8.47	2
155	Pulaski	67.5%	5.19	79	\$925	4.75	42	45.1%	3.30	106	4.41	84
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.46	88	\$935	4.96	32	44.8%	3.23	110	4.22	87
159	Richmond County	69.1%	5.61	69	\$927	4.80	40	32.9%	0.00	120	3.47	110
161	Roanoke County	77.4%	7.82	10	\$1,011	6.54	12	52.3%	5.26	75	6.54	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.9%	7.96	7	\$850	3.22	76	54.6%	5.88	60	5.69	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.9%	7.44	17	\$921	4.67	45	59.5%	7.19	26	6.43	20
167	Russell	63.6%	4.15	97	\$827	2.74	89	59.4%	7.17	27	4.68	73
169	Scott	61.0%	3.44	105	\$845	3.11	80	63.8%	8.35	9	4.97	64
171	Shenandoah	70.4%	5.95	56	\$894	4.13	56	53.1%	5.48	69	5.19	52

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	64.4%	4.35	<b>91</b>	\$794	2.06	<b>104</b>	53.2%	5.50	<b>68</b>	3.97	<b>96</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.1%	3.73	<b>101</b>	\$799	2.16	<b>101</b>	47.6%	3.97	<b>97</b>	3.29	<b>113</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	74.1%	6.94	<b>24</b>	\$1,013	6.56	<b>11</b>	56.2%	6.31	<b>45</b>	6.61	<b>14</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	64.2%	4.30	<b>93</b>	\$1,112	8.61	<b>3</b>	56.3%	6.32	<b>44</b>	6.41	<b>21</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.8%	6.87	<b>29</b>	\$848	3.17	<b>79</b>	49.6%	4.52	<b>89</b>	4.86	<b>69</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	70.0%	5.86	<b>58</b>	\$932	4.90	<b>34</b>	57.0%	6.53	<b>37</b>	5.76	<b>34</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.8%	5.79	<b>61</b>	\$773	1.62	<b>114</b>	57.2%	6.58	<b>36</b>	4.67	<b>74</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.2%	6.43	<b>41</b>	\$964	5.56	<b>25</b>	58.3%	6.88	<b>29</b>	6.29	<b>24</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.4%	5.70	<b>65</b>	\$867	3.56	<b>67</b>	49.4%	4.48	<b>90</b>	4.58	<b>79</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	67.7%	5.24	<b>78</b>	\$886	3.95	<b>61</b>	48.7%	4.27	<b>95</b>	4.49	<b>82</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.35	<b>92</b>	\$763	1.43	<b>115</b>	57.6%	6.68	<b>31</b>	4.15	<b>89</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.5%	5.71	<b>64</b>	\$810	2.39	<b>96</b>	56.5%	6.38	<b>41</b>	4.82	<b>70</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	65.5%	4.65	<b>86</b>	\$853	3.28	<b>75</b>	46.4%	3.65	<b>100</b>	3.86	<b>98</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.2%	6.45	<b>40</b>	\$1,016	6.63	<b>10</b>	69.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	7.69	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	73.5%	6.79	<b>31</b>	\$833	2.87	<b>83</b>	48.8%	4.29	<b>94</b>	4.65	<b>75</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	85.5%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$918	4.61	<b>47</b>	56.1%	6.27	<b>47</b>	6.96	<b>10</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	75.3%	7.28	<b>21</b>	\$887	3.97	<b>60</b>	55.9%	6.22	<b>48</b>	5.82	<b>33</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.9%	5.83	<b>59</b>	\$781	1.78	<b>112</b>	53.0%	5.43	<b>70</b>	4.35	<b>86</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	71.4%	6.22	<b>47</b>	\$776	1.69	<b>113</b>	54.9%	5.94	<b>58</b>	4.62	<b>78</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	81.3%	8.87	<b>4</b>	\$969	5.67	<b>23</b>	52.8%	5.38	<b>73</b>	6.64	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	69.1%	5.60	<b>71</b>	\$830	2.81	<b>87</b>	60.1%	7.35	<b>19</b>	5.25	<b>49</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	73.2%	6.72	<b>33</b>	\$883	3.88	<b>62</b>	51.9%	5.15	<b>77</b>	5.25	<b>50</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	73.2%	6.71	<b>34</b>	\$901	4.25	<b>54</b>	51.1%	4.93	<b>81</b>	5.30	<b>47</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.3%	7.01	<b>23</b>	\$793	2.05	<b>105</b>	62.5%	7.99	<b>11</b>	5.68	<b>37</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	70.8%	6.05	<b>52</b>	\$1,072	7.78	<b>6</b>	59.7%	7.25	<b>23</b>	7.03	<b>9</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.5%	7.33	<b>20</b>	\$1,045	7.23	<b>8</b>	69.8%	9.97	<b>2</b>	8.17	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	75.8%	7.39	<b>18</b>	\$890	4.04	<b>57</b>	57.9%	6.76	<b>30</b>	6.06	<b>27</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.5%	6.78	<b>32</b>	\$832	2.84	<b>84</b>	54.7%	5.90	<b>59</b>	5.17	<b>53</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	76.3%	7.54	<b>16</b>	\$705	0.24	<b>119</b>	61.4%	7.70	<b>15</b>	5.16	<b>54</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	74.0%	6.91	<b>27</b>	\$918	4.62	<b>46</b>	57.4%	6.61	<b>35</b>	6.05	<b>28</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.6%	7.61	<b>14</b>	\$876	3.75	<b>66</b>	61.6%	7.76	<b>14</b>	6.38	<b>23</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.5%	6.79	<b>30</b>	\$880	3.83	<b>64</b>	45.6%	3.45	<b>102</b>	4.69	<b>72</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	73.2%	6.70	<b>35</b>	\$940	5.07	<b>30</b>	61.0%	7.59	<b>16</b>	6.45	<b>18</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.4%	5.94	<b>57</b>	\$933	4.93	<b>33</b>	49.1%	4.39	<b>91</b>	5.09	<b>58</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	77.8%	7.94	<b>8</b>	\$834	2.88	<b>82</b>	59.7%	7.24	<b>24</b>	6.02	<b>29</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	70.4%	5.96	<b>54</b>	\$927	4.79	<b>41</b>	56.5%	6.38	<b>42</b>	5.71	<b>35</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	77.6%	7.88	<b>9</b>	\$877	3.77	<b>65</b>	51.2%	4.96	<b>80</b>	5.54	<b>40</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	73.2%	6.70	<b>36</b>	\$957	5.42	<b>26</b>	50.5%	4.75	<b>84</b>	5.62	<b>38</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18	
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																				
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	3,994	205	147	385	112	64	467	64	93	143	195	134	546	127	57	402	119	695	39	
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	96%	96%	98%	99%	98%	96%	95%	95%	94%	97%	93%	92%	82%	96%	96%	93%	94%	92%	
% Timely in September 2008	93%	95%	96%	97%	100%	100%	96%	96%	86%	95%	99%	91%	90%	75%	100%	93%	89%	93%	95%	
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																				
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																				
# Over 30 Days	73	1	1	3	1	0	5	1	0	1	0	3	20	21	5	5	2	4	0	
Average # of Days Over 30	46	4	13	8	76	0	7	18	0	32	0	19	21	48	299	12	13	23	0	

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008		205	14	11	30	22	24	41	57	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008		96%	93%	100%	97%	100%	96%	98%	93%	83%
% Timely in September 2008		95%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	89%	100%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	147	2	8	0	22	29	15	49	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	98%	91%
% Timely in September 2008	96%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	60%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	385	16	5	0	3	32	15	44	46	43	15	166
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	94%	100%	N/A	67%	97%	80%	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in September 2008	97%	100%	100%	N/A	50%	92%	63%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	112	80	2	0	8	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	95%
% Timely in September 2008	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	76	0	0	0	0	76

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	64	1	18	8	4	13	20	39	27	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	92%	89%	100%
% Timely in September 2008	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	467	41	143	47	160	44	27	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	99%	96%	93%	98%	100%	80%
% Timely in September 2008	96%	100%	100%	95%	91%	100%	100%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	6	0	0	13

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	64	27	19	9	7	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	96%	95%	100%	100%	50%
% Timely in September 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	0	18

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	93	18	4	10	15	4	42
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	94%	100%	90%	100%	100%	93%
% Timely in September 2008	86%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	70%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	143	6	15	30	32	60
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%
% Timely in September 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	84%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	0	0	32

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	195	24	61	23	24	63
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	92%	97%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in September 2008	99%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	134	3	15	19	10	13	20	3	20	15	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	73%	95%	100%	92%	95%	33%	100%	100%	94%
% Timely in September 2008	91%	100%	100%	86%	100%	75%	88%	50%	100%	100%	86%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	0	0	0	5	0	26	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	546	107	8	21	145	3	262
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	95%	50%	100%	82%	100%	97%
% Timely in September 2008	90%	95%	100%	100%	75%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	20	3	0	0	17	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	25	0	0	20	0	0

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	127	24	5	37	29	32
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	82%	50%	100%	86%	83%	97%
% Timely in September 2008	75%	50%	100%	80%	80%	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	21	17	0	1	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	48	52	0	9	49.5	3

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	57	15	5	7	3	1	6	4	3	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	93%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	299	0	0	0	30	366	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	402	0	14	13	5	10	118	231	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	N/A	71%	100%	100%	80%	96%	97%	100%
% Timely in September 2008	93%	N/A	25%	100%	100%	75%	92%	96%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	12	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	119	17	14	4	6	29	49
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%
% Timely in September 2008	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	81%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	0	0	13

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	695	10	14	137	7	184	142	57	144
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	90%	100%	97%	100%	88%	95%	100%	94%
% Timely in September 2008	93%	67%	100%	98%	100%	88%	94%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0

## **Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals**

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Sep-07</i></b>	<b><i>Sep-08</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	12,824	13,619	6.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	120,933	128,592	6.3%
Total Cases Collected	133,757	142,211	6.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,187,811	\$2,452,322	12.1%
NTANF Collections	\$41,465,629	\$48,364,461	16.6%
Total Collections	\$43,653,440	\$50,816,783	16.4%
SFY YTD Collections	\$144,600,547	\$160,041,746	10.7%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$346	\$368	6.3%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Sep-08</i></b>
TANF Paternities	726
NTANF Paternities	3,239
Combined Paternities	3,965

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *September Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Accomack	2		Patrick	3
Albemarle	5		Pittsylvania	3
Alleghany / Covington	1		Powhatan	1
Amelia	1		Prince Edward	1
Appomattox	2		Prince William	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	20		Pulaski	1
Bedford City	1		Roanoke County	5
Brunswick	2		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Buckingham	4		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Caroline	5		Scott	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	14		Shenandoah	6
Culpeper	6		Smyth	5
Cumberland	4		Spotsylvania	17
Dickensen	1		Stafford	1
Dinwiddie	1		Tazewell	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Warren	3
Fauquier	4		Wythe	4
Floyd	1		York / Poquoson	2
Franklin County	8		Alexandria	2
Frederick	9		Bristol	2
Greene	1		Charlottesville	1
Halifax	1		Chesapeake	7
Henrico	7		Franklin City	2
Henry / Martinsville	10		Hampton	6
Isle of Wight	2		Hopewell	2
James City	1		Manassas Park	1
Loudoun	2		Newport News	21
Louisa	1		Norfolk	11
Lunenburg	1		Richmond City	5
Montgomery	4		Roanoke City	15
Northampton	2		Suffolk	12
Nottoway	2		Virginia Beach	9
Orange	2		Winchester	6
Page	1		Statewide	304

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of October 1, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site: [www.dss.virginia.gov](http://www.dss.virginia.gov). On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:**  
**[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov)**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through September 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through September 2008



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the very recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Sep 30, 2008



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of October and represent end of September data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of September, it was \$7.77 rather than the \$7.60 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the apparent recent slight reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.