

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT** Including Graphs of Statewide Trends **January 2009**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services February 27, 2009  
Work Participation Rates added March, 18 2009

# Monthly Report, January 2009

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for January

*These are estimated locality January 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 45% with a denominator of 17,154 and a numerator of 7,784.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	81	30	37%	061	Fauquier	64	32	50%
003	Albemarle	65	32	49%	063	Floyd	21	9	43%
005	Alleghany/Covington	84	35	42%	065	Fluvanna	8	5	63%
007	Amelia	23	7	30%	067	Franklin County	96	28	29%
009	Amherst	47	15	32%	069	Frederick	78	30	38%
011	Appomattox	32	22	69%	071	Giles	23	8	35%
013	Arlington	127	66	52%	073	Gloucester	52	17	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	297	149	50%	075	Goochland	11	2	18%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	20	8	40%
019	Bedford County/City	91	48	53%	079	Greene	25	7	28%
021	Bland	12	5	42%	081	Greensville/Emporia	80	24	30%
023	Botetourt	9	1	11%	083	Halifax	108	22	20%
025	Brunswick	80	58	73%	085	Hanover	91	37	41%
027	Buchanan	71	26	37%	087	Henrico	724	346	48%
029	Buckingham	39	14	36%	089	Henry/Martinsville	278	96	35%
031	Campbell	145	62	43%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	116	41	35%	093	Isle of Wight	74	35	47%
035	Carroll	59	33	56%	095	James City	57	18	32%
036	Charles City	12	3	25%	097	King and Queen	14	3	21%
037	Charlotte	30	10	33%	099	King George	16	9	56%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	480	230	48%	101	King William	22	7	32%
043	Clarke	12	2	17%	103	Lancaster	19	5	26%
045	Craig	4	0	0%	105	Lee	106	47	44%
047	Culpeper	62	31	50%	107	Loudoun	164	68	41%
049	Cumberland	37	17	46%	109	Louisa	41	23	56%
051	Dickensen	37	11	30%	111	Lunenburg	21	3	14%
053	Dinwiddie	46	20	43%	113	Madison	13	4	31%
057	Essex	51	20	39%	115	Mathews	11	2	18%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	642	315	49%	117	Mecklenburg	91	46	51%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	4	22%	187	Warren	79	30	38%
121	Montgomery	191	115	60%	191	Washington	94	32	34%
125	Nelson	22	8	36%	193	Westmoreland	44	12	27%
127	New Kent	21	5	24%	195	Wise	202	70	35%
131	Northampton	54	21	39%	197	Wythe	75	24	32%
133	Northumberland	14	4	29%	199	York/Poquoson	53	19	36%
135	Nottoway	82	11	13%	510	Alexandria	267	142	53%
137	Orange	35	16	46%	520	Bristol	136	68	50%
139	Page	26	11	42%	540	Charlottesville	187	112	60%
141	Patrick	63	32	51%	550	Chesapeake	633	298	47%
143	Pittsylvania	90	31	34%	590	Danville	244	88	36%
145	Powhatan	15	8	53%	620	Franklin City	43	18	42%
147	Prince Edward	76	39	51%	630	Fredericksburg	106	63	59%
149	Prince George	35	16	46%	640	Galax	48	21	44%
153	Prince William	695	352	51%	650	Hampton	670	326	49%
155	Pulaski	74	30	41%	670	Hopewell	199	72	36%
157	Rappahannock	9	3	33%	680	Lynchburg	269	127	47%
159	Richmond County	13	4	31%	683	Manassas	84	47	56%
161	Roanoke County	144	75	52%	685	Manassas Park	26	12	46%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	37	13	35%	700	Newport News	900	425	47%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	129	69	53%	710	Norfolk	1,125	578	51%
167	Russell	95	46	48%	720	Norton	40	16	40%
169	Scott	89	29	33%	730	Petersburg	346	116	34%
171	Shenandoah	18	8	44%	740	Portsmouth	619	280	45%
173	Smyth	90	31	34%	750	Radford	38	15	39%
175	Southampton	48	8	17%	760	Richmond City	1,453	719	49%
177	Spotsylvania	195	81	42%	770	Roanoke City	524	177	34%
179	Stafford	165	74	45%	800	Suffolk	188	107	57%
181	Surry	25	7	28%	810	Virginia Beach	503	241	48%
183	Sussex	40	23	58%	830	Williamsburg	32	13	41%
185	Tazewell	141	71	50%	840	Winchester	56	26	46%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

*These are estimated locality January 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 14,944 and a numerator of 5,831. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	68	18	26%	061	Fauquier	46	14	30%
003	Albemarle	48	16	33%	063	Floyd	19	7	37%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	24	33%	065	Fluvanna	6	3	50%
007	Amelia	23	7	30%	067	Franklin County	87	22	25%
009	Amherst	37	10	27%	069	Frederick	72	24	33%
011	Appomattox	27	17	63%	071	Giles	18	4	22%
013	Arlington	103	45	44%	073	Gloucester	44	12	27%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	256	109	43%	075	Goochland	11	2	18%
017	Bath	1	0	0%	077	Grayson	17	5	29%
019	Bedford County/City	80	37	46%	079	Greene	23	5	22%
021	Bland	11	4	36%	081	Greensville/Emporia	68	12	18%
023	Botetourt	9	1	11%	083	Halifax	98	13	13%
025	Brunswick	71	50	70%	085	Hanover	79	26	33%
027	Buchanan	64	20	31%	087	Henrico	637	267	42%
029	Buckingham	36	11	31%	089	Henry/Martinsville	267	87	33%
031	Campbell	124	41	33%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	109	36	33%	093	Isle of Wight	59	20	34%
035	Carroll	51	25	49%	095	James City	46	11	24%
036	Charles City	12	3	25%	097	King and Queen	11	1	9%
037	Charlotte	28	8	29%	099	King George	13	6	46%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	402	155	39%	101	King William	19	4	21%
043	Clarke	10	1	10%	103	Lancaster	19	5	26%
045	Craig	3	0	0%	105	Lee	96	37	39%
047	Culpeper	55	25	45%	107	Loudoun	118	26	22%
049	Cumberland	29	11	38%	109	Louisa	37	19	51%
051	Dickensen	33	9	27%	111	Lunenburg	20	3	15%
053	Dinwiddie	38	13	34%	113	Madison	12	4	33%
057	Essex	46	16	35%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	540	224	41%	117	Mecklenburg	81	36	44%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	2	13%	187	Warren	72	26	36%
121	Montgomery	161	87	54%	191	Washington	84	23	27%
125	Nelson	21	7	33%	193	Westmoreland	41	12	29%
127	New Kent	19	4	21%	195	Wise	178	50	28%
131	Northampton	51	18	35%	197	Wythe	60	16	27%
133	Northumberland	14	4	29%	199	York/Poquoson	48	14	29%
135	Nottoway	75	4	5%	510	Alexandria	231	107	46%
137	Orange	34	16	47%	520	Bristol	122	54	44%
139	Page	20	5	25%	540	Charlottesville	150	76	51%
141	Patrick	49	18	37%	550	Chesapeake	562	231	41%
143	Pittsylvania	79	22	28%	590	Danville	219	70	32%
145	Powhatan	15	8	53%	620	Franklin City	32	11	34%
147	Prince Edward	63	26	41%	630	Fredericksburg	87	47	54%
149	Prince George	31	12	39%	640	Galax	39	13	33%
153	Prince William	550	220	40%	650	Hampton	597	265	44%
155	Pulaski	63	21	33%	670	Hopewell	172	52	30%
157	Rappahannock	9	3	33%	680	Lynchburg	228	91	40%
159	Richmond County	10	2	20%	683	Manassas	70	33	47%
161	Roanoke County	118	51	43%	685	Manassas Park	21	8	38%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	34	10	29%	700	Newport News	773	315	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	108	49	45%	710	Norfolk	1,043	511	49%
167	Russell	86	37	43%	720	Norton	36	12	33%
169	Scott	77	19	25%	730	Petersburg	316	97	31%
171	Shenandoah	17	7	41%	740	Portsmouth	552	223	40%
173	Smyth	85	26	31%	750	Radford	33	12	36%
175	Southampton	44	6	14%	760	Richmond City	1,306	590	45%
177	Spotsylvania	177	68	38%	770	Roanoke City	464	118	25%
179	Stafford	138	49	36%	800	Suffolk	158	80	51%
181	Surry	25	7	28%	810	Virginia Beach	410	152	37%
183	Sussex	35	20	57%	830	Williamsburg	27	11	41%
185	Tazewell	121	53	44%	840	Winchester	48	18	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 2,210 and a numerator of 1,963 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	13	12	92%	061	Fauquier	18	18	100%
003	Albemarle	17	16	94%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	11	11	100%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	9	6	67%
009	Amherst	10	5	50%	069	Frederick	6	6	100%
011	Appomattox	5	5	100%	071	Giles	5	4	80%
013	Arlington	24	21	88%	073	Gloucester	8	5	63%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	41	40	98%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	1	50%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	11	11	100%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	12	12	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	10	9	90%
025	Brunswick	9	8	89%	085	Hanover	12	11	92%
027	Buchanan	7	6	86%	087	Henrico	87	79	91%
029	Buckingham	3	3	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	11	9	82%
031	Campbell	21	21	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	7	5	71%	093	Isle of Wight	15	15	100%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	11	7	64%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	3	2	67%
037	Charlotte	2	2	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	78	75	96%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	2	1	50%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	10	10	100%
047	Culpeper	7	6	86%	107	Loudoun	46	42	91%
049	Cumberland	8	6	75%	109	Louisa	4	4	100%
051	Dickensen	4	2	50%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	8	7	88%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	5	4	80%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	102	91	89%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	7	4	57%
121	Montgomery	30	28	93%	191	Washington	10	9	90%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	1	50%	195	Wise	24	20	83%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	15	8	53%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	36	35	97%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	14	14	100%
139	Page	6	6	100%	540	Charlottesville	37	36	97%
141	Patrick	14	14	100%	550	Chesapeake	71	67	94%
143	Pittsylvania	11	9	82%	590	Danville	25	18	72%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	11	7	64%
147	Prince Edward	13	13	100%	630	Fredericksburg	19	16	84%
149	Prince George	4	4	100%	640	Galax	9	8	89%
153	Prince William	145	132	91%	650	Hampton	73	61	84%
155	Pulaski	11	9	82%	670	Hopewell	27	20	74%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	41	36	88%
159	Richmond County	3	2	67%	683	Manassas	14	14	100%
161	Roanoke County	26	24	92%	685	Manassas Park	5	4	80%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	127	110	87%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	21	20	95%	710	Norfolk	82	67	82%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	12	10	83%	730	Petersburg	30	19	63%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	67	57	85%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	5	3	60%
175	Southampton	4	2	50%	760	Richmond City	147	129	88%
177	Spotsylvania	18	13	72%	770	Roanoke City	60	59	98%
179	Stafford	27	25	93%	800	Suffolk	30	27	90%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	93	89	96%
183	Sussex	5	3	60%	830	Williamsburg	5	2	40%
185	Tazewell	20	18	90%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-09	Jan-08	Change from Jan-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,918	4,718	4%	4,807	2%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,462	2,080	18%	1,658	48%
Applications Approved	2,279	1,984	15%	2,820	-19%
Cases Closed *	2,113	2,285	-8%	4,476	-53%
Cases at End of Month	30,158	27,758	9%	67,995	-56%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	30,992	28,534	9%	70,403	-56%

\* The database indicates that of the 2,113 closed cases, 758 were VIEW cases, of which 446 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 152 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 101 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-09	Jan-08	Change from Jan-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	536	189	184%	338	59%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	295	137	115%	232	27%
Applications Approved	269	154	75%	81	233%
Cases Closed *	197	157	25%	107	84%
Cases at End of Month	1,434	955	50%	321	347%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,520	1,048	45%	394	286%

\* The database indicates that of the 197 closed cases, 102 were VIEW cases, of which 60 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, ten were closed after 24 months participation, and of those nine closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	31,592	1,825	1,035	2,425	896	463	3,547	395	634	1,064	1,650	988	4,899	946	375	3,067	1,171	5,952	260
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,520	617	487	939	341	199	1,404	133	252	465	542	456	2,069	470	147	1,167	534	2,205	93
Part. in a Work Activity	9,076	469	312	611	244	143	1,110	103	203	337	387	296	1,523	314	92	871	361	1,656	44
% of Enrolled	72%	76%	64%	65%	72%	72%	79%	77%	81%	72%	71%	65%	74%	67%	63%	75%	68%	75%	47%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	113	4	11	10	0	3	23	3	1	0	1	2	21	2	6	6	2	18	0
Participants Employed	6,204	341	209	472	203	94	625	78	127	217	245	216	870	232	63	617	270	1,290	35
% of Enrolled	50%	55%	43%	50%	60%	47%	45%	59%	50%	47%	45%	47%	42%	49%	43%	53%	51%	59%	38%
Full Time	5,091	309	172	383	166	71	468	67	89	170	192	149	702	191	46	494	226	1,165	31
Part Time	1,113	32	37	89	37	23	157	11	38	47	53	67	168	41	17	123	44	125	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$6.82	\$7.11	\$7.42	\$7.55	\$8.11	\$9.08	\$7.83	\$8.19	\$7.39	\$7.13	\$7.12	\$7.95	\$8.36	\$7.91	\$7.52	\$7.46	\$7.50	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$944	\$980	\$1,004	\$995	\$1,085	\$1,200	\$1,080	\$1,010	\$1,001	\$930	\$901	\$1,092	\$1,133	\$1,054	\$1,007	\$1,017	\$1,046	\$1,082

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	19,182	1,009	736	1,754	640	388	2,217	265	427	637	1,005	621	2,663	662	260	1,866	595	3,256	181
Total Enrolled in VIEW	23,247	1,166	904	1,929	705	405	2,613	272	512	858	1,130	801	3,484	758	298	2,283	855	4,090	184
Part. in a Work Activity	20,541	1,040	797	1,697	628	353	2,386	244	466	770	1,017	704	3,103	662	256	1,992	726	3,541	159
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	88%	88%	89%	87%	91%	90%	91%	90%	90%	88%	89%	87%	86%	87%	85%	87%	86%
Participants Employed	12,989	693	459	1,104	421	218	1,408	148	283	502	548	412	1,761	433	156	1,325	490	2,542	86
% of Total Enrolled	56%	59%	51%	57%	60%	54%	54%	54%	55%	59%	48%	51%	51%	57%	52%	58%	57%	62%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$6.95	\$7.03	\$7.58	\$7.62	\$8.15	\$9.63	\$8.00	\$8.27	\$7.44	\$7.15	\$7.24	\$8.02	\$8.48	\$7.86	\$7.66	\$7.62	\$7.55	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$979	\$955	\$1,053	\$1,044	\$1,073	\$1,319	\$1,086	\$1,027	\$1,013	\$938	\$953	\$1,096	\$1,124	\$1,028	\$1,046	\$1,044	\$1,047	\$966
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	67%	63%	61%	73%	59%	75%	73%	71%	67%	66%	72%	69%	81%	61%	73%	77%	74%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	212,480	14,209	7,986	15,483	5,989	3,709	26,337	2,999	4,541	7,947	10,222	6,012	28,174	5,760	2,694	20,088	7,201	41,135	1,994
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	158,359	10,668	6,630	11,359	4,451	2,630	20,039	2,220	3,402	6,451	8,059	4,422	21,581	3,948	1,899	14,427	5,487	29,262	1,424
Part. in a Work Activity	151,916	10,251	6,304	10,909	4,297	2,530	19,427	2,138	3,307	6,115	7,771	4,210	20,874	3,782	1,792	13,804	5,236	27,799	1,370
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	97%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	112,405	6,836	4,501	8,165	3,203	1,845	14,765	1,549	2,684	4,596	5,265	2,814	15,366	2,779	1,252	10,597	3,923	21,442	823
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	68%	72%	72%	70%	74%	70%	79%	71%	65%	64%	71%	70%	66%	73%	71%	73%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.84	\$5.92	\$5.99	\$6.80	\$6.84	\$6.98	\$8.31	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$6.22	\$6.12	\$6.36	\$7.08	\$7.56	\$6.63	\$6.70	\$6.70	\$6.57	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$916	\$782	\$823	\$925	\$919	\$957	\$1,115	\$951	\$936	\$817	\$825	\$844	\$964	\$1,002	\$864	\$887	\$917	\$869	\$799
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	49%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	55%	57%	62%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,825	216	108	259	251	189	304	440	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	617	57	26	114	100	74	90	136	20
Participants in a Work Activity	469	32	15	88	92	43	85	97	17
% of Enrolled	76%	56%	58%	77%	92%	58%	94%	71%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	341	22	11	71	40	40	62	80	15
% of Enrolled	55%	39%	42%	62%	40%	54%	69%	59%	75%
Full Time	309	19	9	68	34	39	56	70	14
Part Time	32	3	2	3	6	1	6	10	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.82	\$6.75	\$6.75	\$6.75	\$6.84	\$6.97	\$6.76	\$6.94	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$912	\$943	\$909	\$960	\$1,044	\$904	\$966	\$905

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,009	81	49	145	122	127	199	253	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,166	99	67	188	154	133	199	285	41
Participants in a Work Activity	1,040	84	55	175	148	116	185	241	36
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	82%	93%	96%	87%	93%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	693	48	35	122	87	71	124	178	28
% of Total Enrolled	59%	48%	52%	65%	56%	53%	62%	62%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.95	\$7.51	\$6.95	\$6.65	\$7.01	\$7.01	\$6.79	\$7.04	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$979	\$1,123	\$972	\$896	\$986	\$1,044	\$933	\$997	\$998
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	56%	50%	88%	63%	75%	65%	64%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,209	1,485	1,017	1,992	1,927	1,299	2,752	3,289	448
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,668	1,118	758	1,470	1,509	900	2,086	2,476	351
Participants in a Work Activity	10,251	1,034	716	1,454	1,436	877	2,012	2,391	331
% of Total Enrolled	96%	92%	94%	99%	95%	97%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,836	583	458	977	958	544	1,450	1,593	273
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	60%	70%	64%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.92	\$6.13	\$6.00	\$5.61	\$6.15	\$6.18	\$5.87	\$5.88	\$5.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$782	\$793	\$788	\$748	\$829	\$852	\$776	\$768	\$716
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	60%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,035	22	118	46	192	212	119	250	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	487	14	49	14	89	87	57	141	36
Participants in a Work Activity	312	7	43	10	59	49	35	89	20
% of Enrolled	64%	50%	88%	71%	66%	56%	61%	63%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	1	0	1	0	2	1	5	1
Participants Employed	209	6	29	7	40	35	25	54	13
% of Enrolled	43%	43%	59%	50%	45%	40%	44%	38%	36%
Full Time	172	4	24	3	34	29	21	45	12
Part Time	37	2	5	4	6	6	4	9	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.11	\$6.63	\$6.78	\$6.81	\$6.88	\$7.06	\$6.95	\$7.65	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$980	\$769	\$958	\$707	\$1,017	\$958	\$900	\$1,060	\$1,042

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	736	21	74	23	151	128	92	176	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	904	21	94	32	167	153	105	260	72
Participants in a Work Activity	797	18	87	29	150	131	101	220	61
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	93%	91%	90%	86%	96%	85%	85%
Participants Employed	459	8	58	18	71	84	69	120	31
% of Total Enrolled	51%	38%	62%	56%	43%	55%	66%	46%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.03	\$7.84	\$6.78	\$6.75	\$6.76	\$7.13	\$6.91	\$7.26	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$955	\$1,067	\$965	\$872	\$964	\$946	\$895	\$980	\$1,015
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	75%	64%	80%	78%	58%	67%	55%	60%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,986	209	1,110	550	1,373	1,311	1,264	1,616	553
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,630	164	912	446	1,125	1,063	1,014	1,431	475
Participants in a Work Activity	6,304	152	824	412	1,074	1,030	994	1,370	448
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,501	104	614	297	712	727	698	1,032	317
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	67%	63%	68%	69%	72%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.99	\$5.89	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$5.76	\$6.29	\$6.06	\$6.01	\$5.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$823	\$808	\$792	\$816	\$798	\$868	\$811	\$837	\$833
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,425	127	24	8	42	223	35	354	181	281	77	1,073
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	939	92	10	1	13	72	15	160	60	110	37	369
Participants in a Work Activity	611	50	6	1	10	35	11	141	51	83	20	203
% of Enrolled	65%	54%	60%	100%	77%	49%	73%	88%	85%	75%	54%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	2
Participants Employed	472	42	4	1	9	20	9	112	30	63	14	168
% of Enrolled	50%	46%	40%	100%	69%	28%	60%	70%	50%	57%	38%	46%
Full Time	383	16	3	1	8	18	6	99	30	46	14	142
Part Time	89	26	1	0	1	2	3	13	0	17	0	26
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.42	\$6.83	\$7.63	\$7.00	\$7.57	\$7.50	\$7.46	\$7.44	\$6.88	\$7.59	\$7.30	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,004	\$739	\$987	\$1,212	\$1,077	\$1,007	\$849	\$1,024	\$957	\$1,014	\$1,009	\$1,065

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,754	80	17	2	21	182	38	238	147	172	55	802
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,929	133	23	3	29	146	41	289	163	210	70	822
Participants in a Work Activity	1,697	125	16	2	27	105	38	273	151	190	62	708
% of Total Enrolled	88%	94%	70%	67%	93%	72%	93%	94%	93%	90%	89%	86%
Participants Employed	1,104	83	7	1	15	64	27	211	84	146	44	422
% of Total Enrolled	57%	62%	30%	33%	52%	44%	66%	73%	52%	70%	63%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$7.14	\$7.56	\$7.00	\$8.08	\$7.63	\$7.53	\$7.55	\$7.12	\$7.68	\$7.21	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	\$838	\$768	\$1,204	\$1,131	\$1,087	\$975	\$1,069	\$996	\$1,102	\$931	\$1,100
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	47%	50%	N/A	80%	66%	53%	61%	57%	64%	61%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	15,483	983	255	52	390	1,307	385	2,232	1,394	1,594	622	6,269
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,359	719	151	14	267	937	254	1,766	1,077	1,230	468	4,476
Participants in a Work Activity	10,909	689	136	14	261	857	248	1,750	1,043	1,172	456	4,283
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	98%	91%	98%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,165	524	76	10	190	631	157	1,446	717	956	343	3,115
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	50%	71%	71%	67%	62%	82%	67%	78%	73%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$6.59	\$7.10	\$6.13	\$6.66	\$6.64	\$6.68	\$6.65	\$6.57	\$7.26	\$6.75	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$925	\$811	\$900	\$978	\$899	\$924	\$910	\$908	\$924	\$1,015	\$881	\$937
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	49%	46%	44%	67%	44%	51%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	896	585	5	1	64	241
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	341	219	0	0	24	98
Participants in a Work Activity	244	153	N/A	N/A	13	78
% of Enrolled	72%	70%	N/A	N/A	54%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	203	130	N/A	N/A	9	64
% of Enrolled	60%	59%	N/A	N/A	38%	65%
Full Time	166	110	N/A	N/A	6	50
Part Time	37	20	N/A	N/A	3	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.55	\$7.53	N/A	N/A	\$7.06	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$995	\$988	N/A	N/A	\$971	\$1,013

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	640	405	5	0	40	190
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	705	441	4	0	47	213
Participants in a Work Activity	628	392	4	N/A	38	194
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	100%	N/A	81%	91%
Participants Employed	421	244	2	N/A	21	154
% of Total Enrolled	60%	55%	50%	N/A	45%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$7.51	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.55	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,047	\$488	N/A	\$962	\$1,056
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	76%	100%	N/A	65%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,989	3,239	59	16	541	2,134
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,451	2,450	34	7	395	1,565
Participants in a Work Activity	4,297	2,379	33	7	376	1,502
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	3,203	1,692	22	5	302	1,182
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	65%	71%	76%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.84	\$6.84	\$6.56	\$7.75	\$6.52	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$919	\$933	\$732	\$694	\$848	\$925
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	54%	57%	60%	55%	59%

*EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through  
January 31, 2009  
TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09*

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD5</b>	<b>Clarke</b>	<b>Frederick</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Shen.</b>	<b>Warren</b>	<b>Winchester</b>	<b>Total EDD18</b>	<b>Accomack</b>	<b>Nhampton</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	463	15	142	48	42	118	98	260	162	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	199	7	50	17	15	71	39	93	47	46
Participants in a Work Activity	143	2	31	12	14	53	31	44	20	24
% of Enrolled	72%	29%	62%	71%	93%	75%	79%	47%	43%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	94	2	26	9	9	26	22	35	18	17
% of Enrolled	47%	29%	52%	53%	8	37%	56%	38%	38%	37%
Full Time	71	1	20	7	8	17	18	31	17	14
Part Time	23	1	6	2	1	9	4	4	1	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$8.73	\$8.44	\$8.48	\$7.38	\$7.78	\$8.22	\$7.57	\$7.90	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,085	\$1,081	\$1,136	\$1,191	\$938	\$959	\$1,190	\$1,082	\$1,157	\$1,003

*Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date*

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD5</b>	<b>Clarke</b>	<b>Frederick</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Shen.</b>	<b>Warren</b>	<b>Winchester</b>	<b>Total EDD18</b>	<b>Accomack</b>	<b>Nhampton</b>
Individuals Referred	388	10	100	56	35	100	87	181	113	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	405	9	98	58	33	127	80	184	110	74
Participants in a Work Activity	353	9	89	49	30	105	71	159	96	63
% of Total Enrolled	87%	100%	91%	84%	91%	83%	89%	86%	87%	85%
Participants Employed	218	6	57	32	19	63	41	86	50	36
% of Total Enrolled	54%	67%	58%	55%	58%	50%	51%	47%	45%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$7.54	\$8.64	\$8.03	\$7.28	\$8.20	\$7.99	\$7.25	\$7.37	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,073	\$914	\$1,115	\$1,017	\$962	\$1,104	\$1,084	\$966	\$992	\$936
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	33%	67%	52%	56%	56%	61%	67%	64%	72%

*Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96*

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD5</b>	<b>Clarke</b>	<b>Frederick</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Shen.</b>	<b>Warren</b>	<b>Winchester</b>	<b>Total EDD18</b>	<b>Accomack</b>	<b>Nhampton</b>
Individuals Referred	3,709	150	686	574	481	929	889	1,994	1,237	757
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,630	88	473	450	277	674	668	1,424	860	564
Participants in a Work Activity	2,530	83	450	440	271	640	646	1,370	840	530
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	98%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,845	54	300	341	192	475	483	823	466	357
% of Total Enrolled	70%	61%	63%	76%	69%	70%	72%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.98	\$7.12	\$7.15	\$7.06	\$6.39	\$7.02	\$6.96	\$6.33	\$6.42	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$957	\$953	\$958	\$967	\$896	\$965	\$959	\$799	\$810	\$787
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	55%	57%	53%	58%	51%	62%	58%	66%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,547	267	1,278	247	1,119	427	152	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,404	90	431	136	512	171	50	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,110	67	374	98	379	140	40	12
% of Enrolled	79%	74%	87%	72%	74%	82%	80%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	23	0	17	1	1	2	2	0
Participants Employed	625	33	170	62	249	77	23	11
% of Enrolled	45%	37%	39%	46%	49%	45%	46%	79%
Full Time	468	17	138	44	184	53	23	9
Part Time	157	16	32	18	65	24	0	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.08	\$8.88	\$8.97	\$9.94	\$8.99	\$9.25	\$8.42	\$9.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,200	\$1,044	\$1,208	\$1,293	\$1,188	\$1,211	\$1,189	\$1,220

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,217	151	724	196	776	252	91	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,613	177	846	252	925	272	110	31
Participants in a Work Activity	2,386	160	786	224	842	248	96	30
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	93%	89%	91%	91%	87%	97%
Participants Employed	1,408	83	414	151	543	142	56	19
% of Total Enrolled	54%	47%	49%	60%	59%	52%	51%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.63	\$9.65	\$9.65	\$10.20	\$9.60	\$9.47	\$8.76	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,319	\$1,274	\$1,328	\$1,407	\$1,330	\$1,219	\$1,252	\$1,204
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	56%	75%	76%	76%	81%	76%	93%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	26,337	2,411	9,176	1,767	8,387	3,169	1,094	333
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	20,039	1,836	6,788	1,395	6,434	2,511	825	250
Participants in a Work Activity	19,427	1,813	6,586	1,343	6,228	2,414	795	248
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	14,765	1,379	4,788	1,141	4,888	1,803	577	189
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	71%	82%	76%	72%	70%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	\$7.84	\$8.21	\$8.79	\$8.54	\$8.00	\$7.90	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,115	\$1,024	\$1,096	\$1,150	\$1,181	\$1,021	\$1,074	\$1,051
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	69%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	395	153	107	33	91	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	133	51	33	13	31	5
Participants in a Work Activity	103	38	25	13	23	4
% of Enrolled	77%	75%	76%	100%	74%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	78	24	20	12	20	2
% of Enrolled	59%	47%	61%	92%	65%	40%
Full Time	67	19	16	12	19	1
Part Time	11	5	4	0	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$7.91	\$7.51	\$7.93	\$8.07	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,047	\$979	\$1,185	\$1,175	\$909

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	265	102	83	30	41	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	272	105	81	31	49	6
Participants in a Work Activity	244	92	75	31	41	5
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	93%	100%	84%	83%
Participants Employed	148	45	54	19	27	3
% of Total Enrolled	54%	43%	67%	61%	55%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.31	\$7.97	\$7.80	\$7.83	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,086	\$1,119	\$1,047	\$1,157	\$1,090	\$853
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	78%	61%	85%	76%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,999	1,142	869	292	615	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,220	813	651	213	489	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,138	780	640	206	461	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,549	543	466	146	359	35
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	72%	69%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$7.08	\$7.45	\$6.97	\$6.89	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$951	\$935	\$973	\$977	\$939	\$935
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	63%	46%	44%	47%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	634	120	30	41	83	48	312
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	252	40	6	19	25	19	143
Participants in a Work Activity	203	35	4	6	18	16	124
% of Enrolled	81%	88%	67%	32%	72%	84%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	127	24	4	6	9	13	71
% of Enrolled	50%	60%	67%	32%	36%	68%	50%
Full Time	89	22	4	3	8	11	41
Part Time	38	2	0	3	1	2	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$8.31	\$7.90	\$8.35	\$8.01	\$7.75	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,010	\$1,199	\$1,096	\$881	\$1,118	\$1,004	\$940

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	427	101	13	35	55	24	199
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	512	96	16	40	67	27	266
Participants in a Work Activity	466	93	13	27	55	25	253
% of Total Enrolled	91%	97%	81%	68%	82%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	283	54	10	17	29	19	154
% of Total Enrolled	55%	56%	63%	43%	43%	70%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.47	\$8.21	\$8.18	\$8.78	\$7.66	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,116	\$1,100	\$1,045	\$1,168	\$974	\$970
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	67%	100%	50%	77%	73%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,541	1040	165	350	663	209	2,114
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,402	718	107	250	451	135	1,741
Participants in a Work Activity	3,307	701	101	234	427	134	1,710
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	94%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,684	554	82	193	294	94	1,467
% of Total Enrolled	79%	77%	77%	77%	65%	70%	84%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.31	\$7.10	\$7.10	\$7.35	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$936	\$981	\$1,078	\$919	\$955	\$1,004	\$917
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	51%	50%	54%	54%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,064	87	68	172	252	485
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	465	25	24	89	145	182
Participants in a Work Activity	337	23	19	65	101	129
% of Enrolled	72%	92%	79%	73%	70%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	217	9	17	44	55	92
% of Enrolled	47%	36%	71%	49%	38%	51%
Full Time	170	6	17	32	48	67
Part Time	47	3	0	12	7	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.39	\$7.78	\$6.91	\$7.49	\$7.80	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,001	\$992	\$1,052	\$952	\$1,122	\$943

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	637	38	46	131	139	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	858	51	65	168	224	350
Participants in a Work Activity	770	51	61	151	194	313
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	94%	90%	87%	89%
Participants Employed	502	34	40	104	113	211
% of Total Enrolled	59%	67%	62%	62%	50%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.44	\$7.40	\$7.07	\$7.52	\$7.76	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,013	\$944	\$1,040	\$989	\$1,104	\$983
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	56%	67%	61%	65%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,947	723	650	1,576	1,835	3,163
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,451	574	568	1,230	1,527	2,552
Participants in a Work Activity	6,115	553	555	1,158	1,432	2,417
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	4,596	399	409	837	1,055	1,896
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	72%	68%	69%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.22	\$6.43	\$6.00	\$6.23	\$6.39	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$817	\$825	\$822	\$810	\$855	\$797
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	54%	43%	55%	60%	63%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,650	249	515	123	226	537
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	542	71	216	65	40	150
Participants in a Work Activity	387	37	174	52	23	101
% of Enrolled	71%	52%	81%	80%	58%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	245	25	101	32	18	69
% of Enrolled	45%	35%	47%	49%	45%	46%
Full Time	192	17	77	28	17	53
Part Time	53	8	24	4	1	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.16	\$6.69	\$7.15	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$930	\$1,021	\$926	\$950	\$933	\$892

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,005	145	335	92	122	311
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,130	170	377	121	120	342
Participants in a Work Activity	1,017	151	337	109	109	311
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	89%	90%	91%	91%
Participants Employed	548	71	204	67	56	150
% of Total Enrolled	48%	42%	54%	55%	47%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.15	\$7.17	\$7.26	\$6.50	\$7.20	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$938	\$931	\$958	\$861	\$949	\$944
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	56%	70%	71%	50%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,222	1,583	2,688	1,028	1,633	3,290
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,059	1,311	1,930	883	1,237	2,698
Participants in a Work Activity	7,771	1,252	1,846	831	1,214	2,628
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,265	790	1,275	602	720	1,878
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	66%	68%	58%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.12	\$6.10	\$6.42	\$6.02	\$6.13	\$5.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$825	\$815	\$910	\$791	\$844	\$783
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	988	60	118	61	71	56	134	45	173	137	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	456	21	62	34	22	25	56	29	76	68	63
Participants in a Work Activity	296	17	48	25	19	17	47	4	54	29	36
% of Enrolled	65%	81%	77%	74%	86%	68%	84%	14%	71%	43%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	216	7	47	14	13	12	20	4	38	29	32
% of Enrolled	47%	33%	76%	41%	59%	48%	36%	14%	50%	43%	51%
Full Time	149	7	28	12	10	9	11	3	18	28	23
Part Time	67	0	19	2	3	3	9	1	20	1	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.12	\$7.86	\$6.65	\$7.88	\$8.09	\$7.61	\$6.60	\$5.96	\$7.29	\$6.99	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$901	\$1,105	\$804	\$1,064	\$1,144	\$957	\$735	\$701	\$838	\$1,004	\$916

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	621	42	74	70	53	34	66	20	105	79	78
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	801	37	102	80	49	48	114	32	136	94	109
Participants in a Work Activity	704	33	96	68	43	42	98	17	134	72	101
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	94%	85%	88%	88%	86%	53%	99%	77%	93%
Participants Employed	412	16	55	33	22	26	60	6	81	53	60
% of Total Enrolled	51%	43%	54%	41%	45%	54%	53%	19%	60%	56%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.38	\$6.54	\$7.86	\$8.19	\$8.11	\$7.27	\$5.31	\$7.20	\$7.06	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$953	\$903	\$807	\$1,131	\$1,035	\$1,071	\$895	\$647	\$977	\$1,034	\$942
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	67%	80%	67%	67%	68%	57%	50%	73%	82%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,012	350	817	607	501	413	717	237	862	737	771
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,422	242	629	440	336	284	531	163	654	536	607
Participants in a Work Activity	4,210	221	627	402	311	266	511	161	619	498	594
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	100%	91%	93%	94%	96%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,814	149	367	259	180	167	319	81	460	373	459
% of Total Enrolled	64%	62%	58%	59%	54%	59%	60%	50%	70%	70%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.36	\$7.02	\$5.95	\$6.71	\$6.71	\$6.90	\$6.32	\$5.52	\$6.09	\$6.37	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$844	\$936	\$801	\$911	\$874	\$930	\$797	\$732	\$801	\$860	\$850
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	43%	57%	51%	45%	44%	57%	59%	58%	55%	61%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,899	844	37	139	1,285	35	2,559
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,069	340	10	86	546	16	1,071
Participants in a Work Activity	1,523	220	7	59	390	11	836
% of Enrolled	74%	65%	70%	69%	71%	69%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	1	0	16	1	3
Participants Employed	870	157	5	37	252	6	413
% of Enrolled	42%	46%	50%	43%	46%	38%	39%
Full Time	702	115	4	25	211	4	343
Part Time	168	42	1	12	41	2	70
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$7.87	\$7.94	\$8.26	\$8.36	\$8.93	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,092	\$1,020	\$1,058	\$1,016	\$1,185	\$1,241	\$1,067

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,663	564	19	95	637	21	1,327
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,484	604	19	116	861	27	1,857
Participants in a Work Activity	3,103	551	17	104	746	21	1,664
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	89%	90%	87%	78%	90%
Participants Employed	1,761	318	10	62	468	14	889
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	53%	53%	54%	52%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.02	\$8.07	\$8.05	\$8.50	\$8.37	\$9.30	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,083	\$1,085	\$1,111	\$1,164	\$1,136	\$1,063
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	76%	57%	86%	76%	67%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	28,174	5,455	186	867	6,417	248	15,001
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	21,581	4,055	130	594	5,060	166	11,576
Participants in a Work Activity	20,874	3,962	124	548	4,811	156	11,273
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	15,366	2,781	91	397	3,579	98	8,420
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	70%	67%	71%	59%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.08	\$7.29	\$6.55	\$7.93	\$7.33	\$6.84	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$964	\$991	\$857	\$1,049	\$999	\$875	\$942
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	51%	61%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	946	178	29	322	254	163
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	470	78	9	166	123	94
Participants in a Work Activity	314	56	9	96	80	73
% of Enrolled	67%	72%	100%	58%	65%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	232	30	9	79	61	53
% of Enrolled	49%	38%	100%	48%	50%	56%
Full Time	191	30	7	66	56	32
Part Time	41	0	2	13	5	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	\$8.87	\$8.95	\$8.03	\$8.29	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,133	\$1,307	\$1,128	\$1,086	\$1,131	\$1,107

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	662	130	28	185	205	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	758	109	25	247	218	159
Participants in a Work Activity	662	98	23	209	189	143
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	92%	85%	87%	90%
Participants Employed	433	45	16	156	118	98
% of Total Enrolled	57%	41%	64%	63%	54%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$8.52	\$8.62	\$8.28	\$8.65	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,124	\$1,247	\$1,044	\$1,063	\$1,189	\$1,096
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	81%	100%	50%	83%	85%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,760	928	373	1,612	1,694	1,153
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,948	610	240	1,212	944	942
Participants in a Work Activity	3,782	590	229	1,122	915	926
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,779	378	172	883	596	750
% of Total Enrolled	70%	62%	72%	73%	63%	80%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.56	\$7.26	\$7.13	\$7.61	\$8.04	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,002	\$944	\$904	\$1,011	\$1,121	\$972
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	42%	50%	57%	57%	53%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	375	85	19	44	44	17	27	25	30	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	147	37	7	17	20	9	11	9	2	35
Participants in a Work Activity	92	28	2	14	8	4	6	6	2	22
% of Enrolled	63%	76%	29%	82%	40%	44%	55%	67%	100%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	63	17	2	8	6	2	3	4	2	19
% of Enrolled	43%	46%	29%	47%	30%	22%	27%	44%	100%	54%
Full Time	46	11	2	5	6	2	2	2	0	16
Part Time	17	6	0	3	0	0	1	2	2	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$7.72	\$9.50	\$8.66	\$7.98	\$7.75	\$8.00	\$7.39	\$7.55	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$1,054	\$1,524	\$1,044	\$1,244	\$1,175	\$1,009	\$845	\$715	\$1,024

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	260	55	15	27	33	14	25	24	18	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	298	73	14	31	27	14	23	21	21	74
Participants in a Work Activity	256	67	12	29	22	10	19	20	20	57
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	86%	94%	81%	71%	83%	95%	95%	77%
Participants Employed	156	43	7	16	12	8	11	8	11	40
% of Total Enrolled	52%	59%	50%	52%	44%	57%	48%	38%	52%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$7.57	\$7.65	\$8.08	\$7.76	\$6.84	\$8.64	\$6.99	\$7.40	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,028	\$962	\$976	\$1,093	\$1,147	\$870	\$1,083	\$883	\$957	\$1,101
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	63%	29%	67%	56%	100%	70%	33%	33%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,694	391	204	207	326	155	300	251	251	609
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	1,899	298	129	164	243	96	214	198	129	428
Participants in a Work Activity	1,792	285	122	157	228	86	198	193	125	398
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	90%	93%	97%	97%	93%
Participants Employed	1,252	209	76	99	166	59	151	120	88	284
% of Total Enrolled	66%	70%	59%	60%	68%	61%	71%	61%	68%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.63	\$6.62	\$6.87	\$7.02	\$6.42	\$6.55	\$6.67	\$6.29	\$6.69	\$6.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$864	\$834	\$874	\$972	\$819	\$834	\$861	\$830	\$926	\$885
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	41%	35%	47%	48%	49%	55%	41%	33%	49%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,067	29	105	95	36	79	1,153	1,537	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,167	9	38	39	17	26	417	601	20
Participants in a Work Activity	871	6	21	31	5	17	319	458	14
% of Enrolled	75%	67%	55%	79%	29%	65%	76%	76%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0
Participants Employed	617	5	18	22	4	11	212	334	11
% of Enrolled	53%	56%	47%	56%	24%	42%	51%	56%	55%
Full Time	494	4	16	14	4	7	155	284	10
Part Time	123	1	2	8	0	4	57	50	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$6.63	\$7.84	\$7.77	\$9.00	\$7.74	\$7.44	\$7.51	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,007	\$819	\$1,121	\$954	\$1,233	\$946	\$948	\$1,038	\$1,173

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,866	10	69	60	24	56	657	955	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,283	14	68	83	27	57	754	1,242	38
Participants in a Work Activity	1,992	11	55	76	25	48	679	1,061	37
% of Total Enrolled	87%	79%	81%	92%	93%	84%	90%	85%	97%
Participants Employed	1,325	8	38	58	12	26	437	722	24
% of Total Enrolled	58%	57%	56%	70%	44%	46%	58%	58%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.66	\$7.21	\$7.71	\$8.20	\$8.94	\$7.59	\$7.59	\$7.63	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,046	\$987	\$999	\$1,040	\$1,205	\$971	\$1,008	\$1,070	\$1,124
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	83%	73%	78%	88%	71%	76%	71%	60%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,088	121	818	776	229	744	7,113	10,019	268
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,427	84	547	577	160	510	5,011	7,353	185
Participants in a Work Activity	13,804	81	507	541	154	493	4,892	6,959	177
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	93%	94%	96%	97%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,597	43	385	425	106	330	3,640	5,527	141
% of Total Enrolled	73%	51%	70%	74%	66%	65%	73%	75%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.70	\$6.66	\$6.52	\$7.02	\$7.29	\$6.73	\$6.70	\$6.66	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$887	\$884	\$826	\$860	\$1,008	\$855	\$885	\$895	\$888
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	59%	56%	51%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,171	113	88	54	67	290	559
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	534	27	33	24	30	159	261
Participants in a Work Activity	361	25	26	19	25	111	155
% of Enrolled	68%	93%	79%	79%	83%	70%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	270	17	20	12	18	79	124
% of Enrolled	51%	63%	61%	50%	60%	50%	48%
Full Time	226	17	15	6	17	61	110
Part Time	44	0	5	6	1	18	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.46	\$7.11	\$7.65	\$7.70	\$7.06	\$7.50	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,017	\$1,112	\$1,028	\$874	\$1,052	\$959	\$1,047

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	595	69	57	21	37	162	249
Total Enrolled in VIEW	855	65	75	32	64	242	377
Participants in a Work Activity	726	63	60	29	59	210	305
% of Total Enrolled	85%	97%	80%	91%	92%	87%	81%
Participants Employed	490	37	39	22	41	143	208
% of Total Enrolled	57%	57%	52%	69%	64%	59%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$7.86	\$8.46	\$7.82	\$7.12	\$7.54	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,228	\$1,305	\$792	\$1,032	\$977	\$1,041
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	81%	62%	86%	78%	79%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,201	913	623	261	585	1,791	3,028
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,487	639	451	199	451	1,417	2,330
Participants in a Work Activity	5,236	637	392	186	436	1,354	2,231
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	93%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,923	450	285	148	313	1,020	1,707
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	63%	74%	69%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.70	\$6.70	\$7.18	\$6.73	\$6.72	\$6.61	\$6.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$917	\$926	\$979	\$836	\$933	\$902	\$921
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	54%	50%	51%	57%	51%	57%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/09 and Work Activity as of 1/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,952	137	112	1,080	92	1,963	1,181	423	964
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,205	36	37	443	25	800	411	128	325
Participants in a Work Activity	1,656	30	16	322	19	630	315	103	221
% of Enrolled	75%	83%	43%	73%	76%	79%	77%	80%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	0	0	3	0	0	6	1	8
Participants Employed	1,290	21	11	263	11	544	220	66	154
% of Enrolled	59%	58%	30%	59%	44%	68%	54%	52%	47%
Full Time	1,165	17	9	242	5	516	186	60	130
Part Time	125	4	2	21	6	28	34	6	24
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.50	\$7.40	\$7.10	\$7.58	\$6.88	\$7.32	\$7.58	\$7.55	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,046	\$972	\$1,012	\$1,061	\$813	\$1,030	\$1,073	\$1,032	\$1,072

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,256	71	64	593	54	1,030	549	230	665
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,090	84	79	763	56	1,338	783	273	714
Participants in a Work Activity	3,541	76	70	678	53	1,143	690	247	584
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	89%	89%	95%	85%	88%	90%	82%
Participants Employed	2,542	53	34	484	32	918	482	152	387
% of Total Enrolled	62%	63%	43%	63%	57%	69%	62%	56%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.55	\$7.40	\$7.15	\$7.75	\$7.13	\$7.30	\$7.60	\$7.49	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$1,036	\$959	\$1,077	\$923	\$1,007	\$1,078	\$1,033	\$1,084
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	68%	48%	77%	62%	81%	68%	65%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	41,135	895	772	6,501	645	13,328	6,749	2,649	9,596
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	29,262	621	595	4,608	506	9,359	5,199	2,021	6,353
Participants in a Work Activity	27,799	597	567	4,381	493	8,917	4,920	1,994	5,930
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	95%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	21,442	454	367	3,453	357	6,858	3,966	1,552	4,435
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	62%	75%	71%	73%	76%	77%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.57	\$6.61	\$6.29	\$6.70	\$6.21	\$6.47	\$6.49	\$6.41	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$869	\$887	\$802	\$892	\$778	\$835	\$880	\$838	\$929
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	56%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	60%	56%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	45.5%	4.92	<b>98</b>	\$7.37	4.21	<b>77</b>	63.6%	4.91	<b>77</b>	4.68	<b>102</b>
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	56.3%	6.91	<b>50</b>	\$8.47	6.46	<b>18</b>	66.7%	5.33	<b>63</b>	6.24	<b>36</b>
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	62.4%	8.05	<b>24</b>	\$7.14	3.74	<b>93</b>	47.4%	2.64	<b>114</b>	4.81	<b>96</b>
007	<i>Amelia</i>	43.2%	4.51	<b>106</b>	\$7.38	4.23	<b>76</b>	66.7%	5.33	<b>64</b>	4.69	<b>100</b>
009	<i>Amherst</i>	66.7%	8.83	<b>9</b>	\$7.40	4.27	<b>73</b>	55.6%	3.78	<b>101</b>	5.63	<b>66</b>
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	61.5%	7.89	<b>30</b>	\$7.07	3.60	<b>99</b>	66.7%	5.33	<b>65</b>	5.61	<b>68</b>
013	<i>Arlington</i>	46.9%	5.19	<b>94</b>	\$9.65	8.88	<b>2</b>	56.3%	3.89	<b>97</b>	5.98	<b>55</b>
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	55.3%	6.74	<b>55</b>	\$7.51	4.50	<b>70</b>	76.4%	6.69	<b>31</b>	5.98	<b>56</b>
017	<i>Bath</i>	50.0%	5.76	<b>85</b>	\$6.40	2.23	<b>118</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	6.00	<b>51</b>
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	61.9%	7.95	<b>26</b>	\$7.52	4.52	<b>69</b>	61.5%	4.60	<b>85</b>	5.69	<b>63</b>
021	<i>Bland</i>	38.1%	3.57	<b>115</b>	\$7.84	5.17	<b>42</b>	75.0%	6.50	<b>40</b>	5.08	<b>88</b>
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	30.4%	2.15	<b>118</b>	\$7.56	4.60	<b>62</b>	50.0%	3.00	<b>107</b>	3.25	<b>116</b>
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	53.9%	6.48	<b>67</b>	\$6.54	2.52	<b>116</b>	80.0%	7.20	<b>20</b>	5.40	<b>75</b>
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	48.5%	5.48	<b>91</b>	\$7.51	4.50	<b>71</b>	56.1%	3.85	<b>99</b>	4.61	<b>103</b>
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	41.3%	4.15	<b>114</b>	\$7.86	5.21	<b>40</b>	66.7%	5.33	<b>66</b>	4.90	<b>93</b>
031	<i>Campbell</i>	50.4%	5.84	<b>84</b>	\$7.76	5.01	<b>48</b>	64.6%	5.05	<b>74</b>	5.30	<b>79</b>
033	<i>Caroline</i>	41.3%	4.15	<b>113</b>	\$8.52	6.56	<b>16</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	6.91	<b>13</b>
035	<i>Carroll</i>	61.7%	7.92	<b>27</b>	\$6.78	3.01	<b>112</b>	63.8%	4.94	<b>76</b>	5.29	<b>80</b>
036	<i>Charles City</i>	57.1%	7.08	<b>44</b>	\$7.21	3.89	<b>86</b>	83.3%	7.67	<b>13</b>	6.21	<b>37</b>
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	44.9%	4.82	<b>99</b>	\$8.19	5.89	<b>28</b>	66.7%	5.33	<b>67</b>	5.35	<b>77</b>
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	52.6%	6.25	<b>70</b>	\$8.07	5.64	<b>34</b>	75.6%	6.58	<b>36</b>	6.16	<b>40</b>
043	<i>Clarke</i>	66.7%	8.83	<b>10</b>	\$7.54	4.56	<b>66</b>	33.3%	0.67	<b>115</b>	4.69	<b>101</b>
045	<i>Craig</i>	33.3%	2.69	<b>117</b>	\$7.00	3.46	<b>104</b>	N/A	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	3.07	<b>117</b>
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	42.9%	4.44	<b>109</b>	\$8.31	6.13	<b>22</b>	78.4%	6.97	<b>24</b>	5.85	<b>60</b>
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	54.2%	6.53	<b>63</b>	\$8.11	5.73	<b>31</b>	67.9%	5.50	<b>60</b>	5.92	<b>57</b>
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	52.2%	6.17	<b>74</b>	\$6.95	3.35	<b>108</b>	50.0%	3.00	<b>108</b>	4.18	<b>109</b>
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	56.9%	7.04	<b>47</b>	\$7.86	5.21	<b>41</b>	81.3%	7.38	<b>17</b>	6.54	<b>21</b>
057	<i>Essex</i>	58.9%	7.40	<b>37</b>	\$7.57	4.62	<b>61</b>	62.9%	4.80	<b>80</b>	5.61	<b>67</b>
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	48.9%	5.56	<b>89</b>	\$9.65	8.88	<b>3</b>	75.3%	6.54	<b>39</b>	6.99	<b>11</b>
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	66.7%	8.83	<b>11</b>	\$7.97	5.44	<b>38</b>	61.0%	4.54	<b>88</b>	6.27	<b>35</b>
063	<i>Floyd</i>	51.7%	6.08	<b>78</b>	\$8.08	5.66	<b>32</b>	80.0%	7.20	<b>21</b>	6.31	<b>34</b>
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	62.5%	8.06	<b>22</b>	\$8.21	5.93	<b>25</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>3</b>	8.00	<b>2</b>
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	43.8%	4.62	<b>104</b>	\$7.63	4.74	<b>56</b>	65.6%	5.19	<b>70</b>	4.85	<b>95</b>
069	<i>Frederick</i>	58.2%	7.26	<b>39</b>	\$8.64	6.81	<b>12</b>	67.4%	5.44	<b>61</b>	6.51	<b>25</b>
071	<i>Giles</i>	65.9%	8.68	<b>12</b>	\$7.53	4.54	<b>68</b>	52.9%	3.41	<b>105</b>	5.54	<b>69</b>
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	55.9%	6.84	<b>52</b>	\$7.71	4.91	<b>52</b>	73.2%	6.24	<b>44</b>	6.00	<b>50</b>
075	<i>Goochland</i>	52.6%	6.24	<b>71</b>	\$8.05	5.60	<b>35</b>	57.1%	4.00	<b>95</b>	5.28	<b>81</b>
077	<i>Grayson</i>	56.3%	6.91	<b>51</b>	\$6.75	2.94	<b>114</b>	80.0%	7.20	<b>22</b>	5.69	<b>64</b>

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	42.5%	4.38	111	\$8.18	5.87	30	50.0%	3.00	109	4.42	107
081	Greenville/Emporia	52.6%	6.24	72	\$7.27	4.01	82	57.5%	4.04	94	4.77	99
083	Halifax	41.8%	4.24	112	\$7.17	3.80	91	55.6%	3.78	102	3.94	112
085	Hanover	53.4%	6.39	68	\$8.50	6.52	17	86.3%	8.08	9	7.00	10
087	Henrico	54.4%	6.56	61	\$8.37	6.26	21	75.8%	6.61	35	6.48	26
089	Henry/Martinsville	54.1%	6.52	65	\$7.26	3.99	84	69.7%	5.76	54	5.42	74
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	63.1%	8.17	20	\$7.40	4.27	74	68.0%	5.52	59	5.99	54
095	James City	69.9%	9.42	4	\$8.20	5.91	26	78.2%	6.95	27	7.43	6
097	King and Queen	50.0%	5.76	86	\$7.65	4.79	55	28.6%	0.00	118	3.51	115
099	King George	64.0%	8.34	16	\$8.62	6.77	14	50.0%	3.00	110	6.04	45
101	King William	51.6%	6.06	79	\$8.08	5.66	33	66.7%	5.33	68	5.69	65
103	Lancaster	44.4%	4.74	101	\$7.76	5.01	49	55.6%	3.78	103	4.51	106
105	Lee	64.9%	8.50	14	\$6.65	2.74	115	88.0%	8.32	7	6.52	23
107	Loudoun	59.9%	7.59	34	\$10.20	10.00	1	76.0%	6.64	33	8.07	1
109	Louisa	43.3%	4.52	105	\$8.78	7.10	8	76.9%	6.77	30	6.13	42
111	Lunenburg	18.8%	0.00	119	\$5.31	0.00	119	50.0%	3.00	111	1.00	119
113	Madison	61.3%	7.84	31	\$7.80	5.09	45	84.6%	7.85	12	6.93	12
115	Mathews	57.1%	7.08	45	\$6.84	3.13	110	100.0%	10.00	4	6.73	19
117	Mecklenburg	59.6%	7.52	35	\$7.20	3.87	88	72.9%	6.20	45	5.86	58
119	Middlesex	47.8%	5.36	93	\$8.64	6.81	13	70.0%	5.80	53	5.99	53
121	Montgomery	73.0%	10.00	1	\$7.55	4.58	64	61.0%	4.55	87	6.38	28
125	Nelson	70.4%	9.51	3	\$7.66	4.81	54	73.3%	6.27	43	6.86	16
127	New Kent	44.4%	4.74	102	\$8.94	7.42	7	87.5%	8.25	8	6.80	18
131	Northampton	48.6%	5.51	90	\$7.10	3.66	98	72.4%	6.14	47	5.10	87
133	Northumberland	38.1%	3.57	116	\$6.99	3.44	106	33.3%	0.67	116	2.56	118
135	Nottoway	56.4%	6.94	49	\$7.06	3.58	100	82.1%	7.49	15	6.00	49
137	Orange	55.1%	6.70	58	\$7.83	5.15	43	76.0%	6.64	32	6.16	39
139	Page	55.2%	6.71	56	\$8.03	5.56	36	52.2%	3.30	106	5.19	83
141	Patrick	55.4%	6.75	54	\$6.50	2.43	117	70.7%	5.90	51	5.03	91
143	Pittsylvania	46.7%	5.14	95	\$7.20	3.87	89	50.0%	3.00	112	4.00	111
145	Powhatan	51.9%	6.10	77	\$9.30	8.16	6	66.7%	5.33	69	6.53	22
147	Prince Edward	55.0%	6.69	59	\$7.29	4.05	80	81.3%	7.38	18	6.04	44
149	Prince George	52.0%	6.13	76	\$8.46	6.44	19	62.2%	4.70	82	5.76	62
153	Prince William	58.7%	7.36	38	\$9.60	8.77	4	76.0%	6.64	34	7.59	4
155	Pulaski	51.5%	6.04	80	\$7.12	3.70	96	57.1%	4.00	96	4.58	104
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	5.76	87	\$7.00	3.46	105	100.0%	10.00	5	6.41	27
159	Richmond County	52.4%	6.20	73	\$7.40	4.27	75	33.3%	0.67	117	3.71	113
161	Roanoke County	69.5%	9.36	5	\$7.68	4.85	53	63.6%	4.91	78	6.37	29
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	44.7%	4.78	100	\$7.55	4.58	65	58.8%	4.23	92	4.53	105
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.3%	9.87	2	\$7.79	5.07	46	69.5%	5.74	55	6.89	14
167	Russell	56.5%	6.96	48	\$7.01	3.48	102	62.9%	4.81	79	5.08	89
169	Scott	53.4%	6.38	69	\$7.01	3.48	103	75.0%	6.50	41	5.45	72
171	Shenandoah	57.6%	7.16	43	\$7.28	4.03	81	56.3%	3.88	98	5.02	92

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	42.5%	4.38	<b>110</b>	\$6.76	2.97	<b>113</b>	78.3%	6.96	<b>26</b>	4.77	<b>98</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	43.0%	4.48	<b>108</b>	\$7.15	3.76	<b>92</b>	48.3%	2.76	<b>113</b>	3.67	<b>114</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	63.2%	8.18	<b>18</b>	\$8.28	6.07	<b>23</b>	82.5%	7.55	<b>14</b>	7.27	<b>8</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	54.1%	6.52	<b>64</b>	\$8.65	6.83	<b>11</b>	85.4%	7.96	<b>11</b>	7.10	<b>9</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	68.8%	9.21	<b>6</b>	\$7.82	5.13	<b>44</b>	85.7%	8.00	<b>10</b>	7.45	<b>5</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.1%	8.35	<b>15</b>	\$7.12	3.70	<b>97</b>	78.4%	6.97	<b>25</b>	6.34	<b>32</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	62.3%	8.03	<b>25</b>	\$6.79	3.03	<b>111</b>	64.9%	5.08	<b>72</b>	5.38	<b>76</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	49.6%	5.69	<b>88</b>	\$8.20	5.91	<b>27</b>	56.1%	3.85	<b>100</b>	5.15	<b>86</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	54.9%	6.66	<b>60</b>	\$7.13	3.72	<b>94</b>	58.4%	4.18	<b>93</b>	4.86	<b>94</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	54.1%	6.51	<b>66</b>	\$8.40	6.32	<b>20</b>	72.7%	6.18	<b>46</b>	6.34	<b>33</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.5%	8.05	<b>23</b>	\$7.04	3.54	<b>101</b>	64.5%	5.03	<b>75</b>	5.54	<b>70</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	65.7%	8.66	<b>13</b>	\$6.91	3.27	<b>109</b>	67.4%	5.43	<b>62</b>	5.79	<b>61</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	45.6%	4.95	<b>97</b>	\$7.59	4.66	<b>59</b>	70.6%	5.88	<b>52</b>	5.17	<b>84</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	52.2%	6.17	<b>75</b>	\$9.47	8.51	<b>5</b>	81.4%	7.39	<b>16</b>	7.35	<b>7</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.2%	5.05	<b>96</b>	\$7.26	3.99	<b>85</b>	55.4%	3.75	<b>104</b>	4.26	<b>108</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.9%	7.21	<b>42</b>	\$8.19	5.89	<b>29</b>	71.4%	6.00	<b>48</b>	6.37	<b>30</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.4%	8.24	<b>17</b>	\$7.75	4.99	<b>51</b>	77.3%	6.82	<b>29</b>	6.68	<b>20</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.9%	4.63	<b>103</b>	\$7.27	4.01	<b>83</b>	69.5%	5.73	<b>56</b>	4.79	<b>97</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	57.1%	7.08	<b>46</b>	\$7.13	3.72	<b>95</b>	62.1%	4.69	<b>84</b>	5.16	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	61.6%	7.90	<b>28</b>	\$8.56	6.65	<b>15</b>	70.8%	5.91	<b>50</b>	6.82	<b>17</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.1%	4.48	<b>107</b>	\$6.97	3.39	<b>107</b>	60.0%	4.40	<b>90</b>	4.09	<b>110</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.0%	7.23	<b>41</b>	\$7.59	4.66	<b>60</b>	75.5%	6.57	<b>37</b>	6.15	<b>41</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	59.1%	7.43	<b>36</b>	\$7.54	4.56	<b>67</b>	78.8%	7.03	<b>23</b>	6.34	<b>31</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	60.3%	7.65	<b>33</b>	\$7.30	4.07	<b>78</b>	73.5%	6.28	<b>42</b>	6.00	<b>48</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	50.9%	5.93	<b>83</b>	\$8.76	7.06	<b>9</b>	75.5%	6.57	<b>38</b>	6.52	<b>24</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	61.3%	7.84	<b>32</b>	\$8.76	7.06	<b>10</b>	93.3%	9.07	<b>6</b>	7.99	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	58.1%	7.26	<b>40</b>	\$7.63	4.74	<b>57</b>	71.3%	5.98	<b>49</b>	5.99	<b>52</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	68.6%	9.19	<b>7</b>	\$7.30	4.07	<b>79</b>	81.1%	7.36	<b>19</b>	6.87	<b>15</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	68.3%	9.13	<b>8</b>	\$7.20	3.87	<b>90</b>	65.0%	5.10	<b>71</b>	6.03	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	55.2%	6.71	<b>57</b>	\$7.56	4.60	<b>63</b>	77.4%	6.84	<b>28</b>	6.05	<b>43</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	61.6%	7.89	<b>29</b>	\$7.60	4.68	<b>58</b>	68.1%	5.53	<b>58</b>	6.04	<b>46</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	62.9%	8.13	<b>21</b>	\$7.21	3.89	<b>87</b>	60.6%	4.49	<b>89</b>	5.50	<b>71</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	47.9%	5.37	<b>92</b>	\$7.76	5.01	<b>50</b>	62.2%	4.70	<b>83</b>	5.03	<b>90</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.3%	6.01	<b>81</b>	\$7.77	5.03	<b>47</b>	62.6%	4.77	<b>81</b>	5.27	<b>82</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.7%	6.81	<b>53</b>	\$7.49	4.46	<b>72</b>	64.8%	5.07	<b>73</b>	5.45	<b>73</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	54.2%	6.53	<b>62</b>	\$7.94	5.38	<b>39</b>	69.0%	5.66	<b>57</b>	5.86	<b>59</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	63.2%	8.18	<b>19</b>	\$8.23	5.97	<b>24</b>	60.0%	4.40	<b>91</b>	6.19	<b>38</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	51.3%	5.99	<b>82</b>	\$7.99	5.48	<b>37</b>	61.1%	4.56	<b>86</b>	5.34	<b>78</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.2%	1.30	115	\$810	2.37	99	58.2%	6.83	29	3.50	107
003	Albemarle	77.2%	7.95	8	\$981	5.89	17	54.6%	5.82	60	6.55	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.9%	6.71	30	\$811	2.41	96	45.5%	3.35	107	4.15	87
007	Amelia	61.6%	3.44	100	\$936	4.96	34	43.1%	2.68	115	3.69	102
009	Amherst	69.5%	5.73	60	\$825	2.70	91	53.6%	5.55	69	4.66	72
011	Appomattox	72.0%	6.45	36	\$822	2.63	92	43.3%	2.75	114	3.94	94
013	Arlington	75.1%	7.35	20	\$1,024	6.78	9	63.9%	8.38	8	7.50	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.1%	5.60	65	\$933	4.90	38	53.8%	5.62	66	5.37	44
017	Bath	64.7%	4.34	87	\$732	0.78	117	57.1%	6.53	38	3.88	96
019	Bedford County/City	68.0%	5.31	73	\$810	2.38	98	55.3%	6.03	53	4.57	76
021	Bland	63.4%	3.97	92	\$808	2.35	100	47.8%	3.96	97	3.43	110
023	Botetourt	50.3%	0.18	119	\$900	4.23	55	44.1%	2.95	111	2.45	119
025	Brunswick	58.3%	2.50	113	\$801	2.20	103	56.9%	6.45	40	3.72	99
027	Buchanan	52.1%	0.71	117	\$793	2.03	107	53.9%	5.65	65	2.80	115
029	Buckingham	58.9%	2.65	111	\$911	4.45	49	51.1%	4.87	81	3.99	91
031	Campbell	69.1%	5.61	64	\$855	3.31	76	59.9%	7.28	23	5.40	43
033	Caroline	62.0%	3.55	97	\$944	5.13	30	41.7%	2.29	116	3.66	104
035	Carroll	67.3%	5.10	75	\$792	2.02	108	45.7%	3.38	105	3.50	108
036	Charles City	51.2%	0.43	118	\$884	3.90	64	58.8%	6.99	28	3.78	98
037	Charlotte	53.6%	1.12	116	\$874	3.70	68	45.3%	3.29	108	2.70	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	68.6%	5.46	67	\$991	6.09	16	60.9%	7.57	15	6.37	23
043	Clarke	61.4%	3.38	102	\$953	5.32	29	46.2%	3.52	102	4.07	90
045	Craig	71.4%	6.29	41	\$978	5.83	19	66.7%	9.15	3	7.09	7
047	Culpeper	66.8%	4.95	77	\$935	4.94	36	55.8%	6.16	51	5.35	45
049	Cumberland	58.8%	2.64	112	\$930	4.84	39	44.0%	2.92	112	3.47	109
051	Dickensen	60.4%	3.10	105	\$788	1.93	110	48.7%	4.22	93	3.09	114
053	Dinwiddie	70.4%	6.00	49	\$926	4.75	42	53.6%	5.56	68	5.44	42
057	Essex	70.1%	5.91	52	\$834	2.87	86	41.4%	2.21	117	3.66	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	70.5%	6.03	47	\$1,096	8.24	4	61.7%	7.79	13	7.36	6
061	Fauquier	71.6%	6.33	40	\$973	5.72	21	62.8%	8.08	11	6.71	12
063	Floyd	71.2%	6.21	43	\$899	4.20	56	44.4%	3.03	110	4.48	80
065	Fluvanna	76.6%	7.79	10	\$1,078	7.88	5	50.8%	4.80	82	6.82	11
067	Franklin County	67.3%	5.11	74	\$924	4.71	45	50.6%	4.74	84	4.85	68
069	Frederick	63.4%	3.97	91	\$958	5.43	27	55.0%	5.96	55	5.12	52
071	Giles	61.8%	3.51	98	\$910	4.44	50	47.7%	3.95	98	3.97	92
073	Gloucester	70.4%	5.99	50	\$826	2.71	90	56.4%	6.33	46	5.01	61
075	Goochland	70.0%	5.87	53	\$857	3.34	74	54.9%	5.91	58	5.04	58
077	Grayson	66.6%	4.89	79	\$816	2.50	94	57.1%	6.52	39	4.63	74

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	77.2%	7.96	7	\$919	4.62	47	49.7%	4.49	88	5.69	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.1%	3.00	108	\$797	2.11	106	57.2%	6.55	35	3.89	95
083	Halifax	60.3%	3.06	107	\$815	2.49	95	48.4%	4.13	95	3.22	113
085	Hanover	66.8%	4.96	76	\$1,049	7.28	8	64.9%	8.67	7	6.97	9
087	Henrico	70.7%	6.09	44	\$999	6.26	15	56.0%	6.21	49	6.19	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	66.1%	4.74	84	\$910	4.43	51	55.0%	5.95	56	5.04	59
091	Highland	71.4%	6.29	42	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.32	21	4.53	78
093	Isle of Wight	73.1%	6.77	29	\$887	3.95	61	55.9%	6.20	50	5.64	38
095	James City	73.7%	6.93	24	\$860	3.40	73	51.4%	4.96	79	5.10	53
097	King and Queen	58.9%	2.67	110	\$874	3.69	69	35.3%	0.54	119	2.30	120
099	King George	71.7%	6.36	39	\$904	4.31	53	50.0%	4.57	87	5.08	54
101	King William	60.4%	3.09	106	\$972	5.71	22	46.6%	3.64	101	4.14	88
103	Lancaster	68.3%	5.39	70	\$819	2.56	93	47.7%	3.95	99	3.96	93
105	Lee	66.5%	4.85	81	\$748	1.11	116	59.9%	7.29	22	4.42	82
107	Loudoun	81.8%	9.29	3	\$1,150	9.35	2	65.8%	8.91	5	9.18	1
109	Louisa	65.2%	4.48	85	\$955	5.36	28	54.3%	5.74	62	5.20	50
111	Lunenburg	49.7%	0.00	120	\$732	0.78	118	59.2%	7.10	25	2.63	118
113	Madison	68.5%	5.45	68	\$977	5.81	20	45.6%	3.36	106	4.88	67
115	Mathews	61.5%	3.40	101	\$834	2.88	85	49.1%	4.32	91	3.53	106
117	Mecklenburg	70.3%	5.97	51	\$801	2.21	102	57.9%	6.74	32	4.97	62
119	Middlesex	70.6%	6.04	45	\$861	3.42	71	55.2%	6.01	54	5.16	51
121	Montgomery	81.9%	9.31	2	\$908	4.39	52	54.2%	5.73	63	6.48	18
125	Nelson	69.6%	5.77	56	\$1,004	6.36	14	53.8%	5.60	67	5.91	30
127	New Kent	66.3%	4.79	83	\$1,008	6.43	13	54.5%	5.80	61	5.67	35
131	Northampton	63.3%	3.94	93	\$787	1.92	111	65.6%	8.86	6	4.90	65
133	Northumberland	60.6%	3.16	103	\$830	2.79	88	40.7%	2.03	118	2.66	117
135	Nottoway	69.6%	5.76	59	\$860	3.40	72	55.4%	6.05	52	5.07	56
137	Orange	73.4%	6.86	25	\$939	5.04	32	43.6%	2.81	113	4.90	66
139	Page	75.8%	7.55	15	\$967	5.60	24	56.7%	6.41	43	6.52	17
141	Patrick	68.2%	5.35	72	\$791	2.00	109	66.2%	9.02	4	5.46	41
143	Pittsylvania	58.2%	2.46	114	\$844	3.08	80	51.8%	5.06	77	3.53	105
145	Powhatan	59.0%	2.70	109	\$875	3.71	67	50.6%	4.73	85	3.71	100
147	Prince Edward	75.6%	7.50	16	\$850	3.21	78	60.7%	7.50	17	6.07	27
149	Prince George	63.2%	3.91	95	\$979	5.84	18	50.4%	4.69	86	4.81	69
153	Prince William	76.0%	7.60	14	\$1,181	10.00	1	62.0%	7.88	12	8.49	2
155	Pulaski	66.6%	4.88	80	\$924	4.73	44	45.0%	3.19	109	4.27	86
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.37	86	\$935	4.94	35	46.7%	3.66	100	4.33	85
159	Richmond County	68.2%	5.36	71	\$926	4.76	41	33.3%	0.00	120	3.37	111
161	Roanoke County	77.7%	8.11	6	\$1,015	6.58	11	51.9%	5.10	76	6.60	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	76.5%	7.74	11	\$848	3.17	79	54.6%	5.83	59	5.58	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.5%	7.47	18	\$925	4.73	43	59.1%	7.06	26	6.42	20
167	Russell	63.5%	3.99	90	\$829	2.77	89	58.9%	7.01	27	4.59	75
169	Scott	60.4%	3.11	104	\$852	3.25	77	63.9%	8.38	9	4.92	64
171	Shenandoah	69.3%	5.68	63	\$896	4.14	57	53.1%	5.42	72	5.08	55

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	63.3%	3.93	<b>94</b>	\$798	2.13	<b>104</b>	53.2%	5.45	<b>70</b>	3.84	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	61.7%	3.47	<b>99</b>	\$802	2.21	<b>101</b>	48.1%	4.05	<b>96</b>	3.24	<b>112</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	72.9%	6.70	<b>31</b>	\$1,011	6.51	<b>12</b>	56.8%	6.45	<b>41</b>	6.55	<b>15</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	63.1%	3.89	<b>96</b>	\$1,121	8.77	<b>3</b>	57.3%	6.57	<b>34</b>	6.41	<b>21</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	74.4%	7.14	<b>22</b>	\$836	2.92	<b>83</b>	50.8%	4.78	<b>83</b>	4.95	<b>63</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.4%	5.70	<b>62</b>	\$933	4.90	<b>37</b>	56.7%	6.42	<b>42</b>	5.68	<b>34</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.5%	5.73	<b>61</b>	\$776	1.68	<b>114</b>	57.2%	6.54	<b>37</b>	4.65	<b>73</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.5%	6.01	<b>48</b>	\$965	5.56	<b>25</b>	58.0%	6.76	<b>30</b>	6.11	<b>25</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	68.4%	5.41	<b>69</b>	\$868	3.57	<b>70</b>	49.5%	4.44	<b>89</b>	4.47	<b>81</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.4%	4.82	<b>82</b>	\$885	3.92	<b>62</b>	49.1%	4.32	<b>90</b>	4.35	<b>83</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.24	<b>89</b>	\$768	1.52	<b>115</b>	57.2%	6.55	<b>36</b>	4.10	<b>89</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.8%	5.54	<b>66</b>	\$811	2.41	<b>97</b>	56.4%	6.34	<b>45</b>	4.76	<b>70</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.7%	4.34	<b>88</b>	\$855	3.31	<b>75</b>	45.9%	3.44	<b>103</b>	3.70	<b>101</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.8%	6.40	<b>38</b>	\$1,021	6.71	<b>10</b>	69.8%	10.00	<b>1</b>	7.70	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	72.1%	6.49	<b>35</b>	\$837	2.93	<b>82</b>	48.7%	4.21	<b>94</b>	4.54	<b>77</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	84.3%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$917	4.58	<b>48</b>	56.0%	6.21	<b>48</b>	6.93	<b>10</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.9%	7.30	<b>21</b>	\$892	4.06	<b>59</b>	56.2%	6.27	<b>47</b>	5.88	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.6%	5.76	<b>57</b>	\$783	1.83	<b>112</b>	53.1%	5.42	<b>73</b>	4.34	<b>84</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.6%	6.03	<b>46</b>	\$778	1.73	<b>113</b>	54.1%	5.71	<b>64</b>	4.49	<b>79</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.6%	8.66	<b>4</b>	\$972	5.70	<b>23</b>	53.2%	5.45	<b>71</b>	6.60	<b>13</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	66.7%	4.93	<b>78</b>	\$833	2.85	<b>87</b>	60.3%	7.41	<b>19</b>	5.06	<b>57</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.6%	6.64	<b>33</b>	\$885	3.92	<b>63</b>	52.0%	5.13	<b>75</b>	5.23	<b>47</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	72.0%	6.45	<b>37</b>	\$902	4.26	<b>54</b>	51.4%	4.95	<b>80</b>	5.22	<b>48</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.3%	7.12	<b>23</b>	\$797	2.12	<b>105</b>	62.9%	8.10	<b>10</b>	5.78	<b>32</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	69.9%	5.86	<b>54</b>	\$1,074	7.80	<b>6</b>	60.2%	7.37	<b>20</b>	7.01	<b>8</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.6%	7.49	<b>17</b>	\$1,051	7.32	<b>7</b>	68.8%	9.72	<b>2</b>	8.18	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	75.2%	7.37	<b>19</b>	\$895	4.12	<b>58</b>	58.0%	6.75	<b>31</b>	6.08	<b>26</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.3%	6.82	<b>27</b>	\$835	2.89	<b>84</b>	55.0%	5.93	<b>57</b>	5.21	<b>49</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.8%	8.12	<b>5</b>	\$716	0.46	<b>119</b>	60.5%	7.44	<b>18</b>	5.34	<b>46</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	73.3%	6.82	<b>28</b>	\$921	4.65	<b>46</b>	57.4%	6.61	<b>33</b>	6.03	<b>28</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.3%	7.69	<b>12</b>	\$880	3.81	<b>66</b>	61.5%	7.72	<b>14</b>	6.41	<b>22</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.3%	6.83	<b>26</b>	\$881	3.83	<b>65</b>	45.8%	3.42	<b>104</b>	4.69	<b>71</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.7%	6.67	<b>32</b>	\$942	5.09	<b>31</b>	60.8%	7.53	<b>16</b>	6.43	<b>19</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	69.6%	5.76	<b>58</b>	\$937	4.98	<b>33</b>	49.0%	4.30	<b>92</b>	5.01	<b>60</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.8%	7.84	<b>9</b>	\$838	2.96	<b>81</b>	59.5%	7.19	<b>24</b>	5.99	<b>29</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.8%	5.82	<b>55</b>	\$929	4.83	<b>40</b>	56.5%	6.35	<b>44</b>	5.67	<b>36</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	76.2%	7.67	<b>13</b>	\$888	3.99	<b>60</b>	52.3%	5.20	<b>74</b>	5.62	<b>39</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	72.3%	6.54	<b>34</b>	\$959	5.44	<b>26</b>	51.4%	4.96	<b>78</b>	5.65	<b>37</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2008 – January 31, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	13,169	653	504	1,179	439	257	1,532	165	323	456	653	451	1,879	452	173	1,320	427	2,189	117
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	92%	93%	94%	97%	93%	94%	96%	96%	94%	95%	90%	92%	82%	94%	97%	82%	91%	93%
% Timely in January 2009	84%	82%	92%	83%	95%	78%	85%	90%	92%	90%	94%	59%	87%	60%	90%	96%	57%	86%	94%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	7,850	393	314	690	254	139	960	115	192	292	419	263	1,129	264	100	714	260	1,281	71
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	99%	98%	97%	98%	96%	97%	99%	96%	97%	98%	97%	95%	96%	96%	92%	95%	97%
Percent in January 2009	95%	97%	99%	95%	95%	100%	92%	96%	100%	98%	97%	95%	96%	98%	92%	95%	87%	95%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	163	17	2	3	4	1	11	2	2	1	0	29	35	36	2	6	10	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	22	6	18	66	27	11	64	9	1	0	23	35	24	157	7	12	31	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	653	57	33	102	82	78	107	173	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	93%	94%	95%	99%	92%	89%	90%	86%
% Timely in January 2009	82%	85%	100%	92%	94%	67%	75%	76%	100%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	393	36	17	70	45	51	70	92	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	94%	82%	99%	98%	98%	99%	97%	92%
Percent in January 2009	97%	93%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	92%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	17	1	0	3	1	3	0	7	2
Average # of Days Over 30	22	2	0	36	2	11	0	17	59

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	504	10	52	11	102	85	53	140	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	96%	100%	91%	96%	83%	95%	92%
% Timely in January 2009	92%	N/A	100%	100%	93%	93%	75%	88%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	314	9	28	5	63	51	32	88	38
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	89%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	100%
Percent in January 2009	99%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	2	0	10	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1179	52	12	1	17	96	30	151	121	128	40	531
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	81%	100%	100%	94%	81%	90%	97%	100%	98%	83%	95%
% Timely in January 2009	83%	71%	100%	N/A	100%	47%	83%	96%	100%	89%	57%	86%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	690	31	9	0	11	48	14	93	69	75	22	318
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	81%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in January 2009	95%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	69%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>												
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	22	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	439	287	2	0	29	121
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	99%	100%	N/A	97%	92%
% Timely in January 2009	95%	95%	N/A	N/A	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	254	164	2	0	15	73
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	95%
Percent in January 2009	95%	100%	N/A	N/A	75%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	3	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	66	21	0	0	0	199

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	257	7	49	39	24	83	55	117	75	42
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	86%	94%	100%	67%	99%	89%	93%	93%	93%
% Timely in January 2009	78%	75%	33%	N/A	75%	95%	60%	94%	100%	88%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	139	3	32	19	16	36	33	71	47	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	94%	95%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	92%
Percent in January 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,532	121	473	139	542	167	69	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	97%	92%	89%	97%	93%	86%
% Timely in January 2009	85%	100%	93%	80%	79%	91%	67%	25%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	960	87	288	85	337	104	48	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	94%	93%	96%	96%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2009	92%	100%	85%	94%	93%	95%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	11	0	2	0	6	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	7	0	10	0	0	18

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	165	62	52	19	28	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	98%	98%	95%	96%	25%
% Timely in January 2009	90%	100%	100%	0%	88%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	115	40	42	16	15	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	93%	100%	100%	93%	100%
Percent in January 2009	96%	89%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days		2	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30		64	0	0	0	64

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	323	66	12	25	45	14	161
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	98%	92%	88%	100%	93%	96%
% Timely in January 2009	92%	100%	0%	100%	100%	75%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	192	45	5	15	25	9	93
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	87%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	15	0	0	2	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	456	23	36	100	113	184
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	100%	95%	88%
% Timely in January 2009	90%	100%	100%	100%	95%	69%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	292	17	26	58	63	128
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	95%	95%	96%
Percent in January 2009	98%	100%	100%	92%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	1

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	653	103	204	65	77	204
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	95%	93%	97%	100%	95%
% Timely in January 2009	94%	90%	100%	100%	100%	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	419	58	141	45	50	125
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	96%	98%	98%
Percent in January 2009	97%	100%	100%	91%	100%	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	451	21	60	57	44	26	48	8	81	51	55
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	90%	86%	92%	96%	100%	100%	69%	88%	98%	71%	93%
% Timely in January 2009	59%	60%	100%	83%	100%	100%	8%	N/A	92%	0%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	263	9	41	33	26	16	26	5	42	30	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%
Percent in January 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	29	2	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	14	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	15	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	25	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,879	379	13	73	482	16	916
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	95%	62%	99%	84%	100%	94%
% Timely in January 2009	87%	91%	100%	100%	71%	100%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1129	237	8	44	270	6	564
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	98%	100%	89%	96%	100%	98%
Percent in January 2009	96%	97%	N/A	80%	94%	100%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	35	4	0	0	29	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	35	38	0	0	36	0	15

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	452	93	21	124	121	93
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	82%	72%	100%	77%	81%	94%
% Timely in January 2009	60%	45%	N/A	55%	70%	87%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	264	39	10	77	78	60
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	86%	97%	98%
Percent in January 2009	98%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	36	26	0	4	4	2
Average # of Days Over 30	24	25	0	11	36.75	9

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	173	38	9	19	18	4	21	16	11	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	97%	78%	95%	94%	50%	100%	94%	91%	95%
% Timely in January 2009	90%	100%	0%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	N/A	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	100	25	6	12	10	1	13	10	7	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	90%	0%	85%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2009	92%	100%	N/A	100%	80%	N/A	75%	100%	N/A	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	157	0	10	0	0	303	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,320	6	36	47	15	36	441	711	28
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	89%	100%	100%	94%	99%	96%	93%
% Timely in January 2009	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	94%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	714	4	20	26	9	23	219	393	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	95%	100%	100%	91%	97%	95%	100%
Percent in January 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	93%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	15

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	427	41	50	16	24	116	180
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	82%	98%	98%	63%	100%	77%	78%
% Timely in January 2009	57%	100%	100%	20%	100%	40%	44%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	260	28	31	8	15	70	108
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	92%	100%	94%	100%	93%	99%	85%
Percent in January 2009	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	77%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	0	1	9
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	3	13

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,189	43	48	407	35	624	404	180	448
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	91%	93%	96%	93%	100%	80%	96%	98%	96%
% Timely in January 2009	86%	83%	100%	84%	100%	70%	96%	96%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1281	27	27	260	21	357	249	113	227
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	96%	95%	92%	97%	99%	96%
Percent in January 2009	95%	100%	100%	97%	100%	91%	97%	100%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	52	0	0	0	9	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Jan-08</i>	<i>Jan-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,166	13,526	-4.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,638	125,479	-0.9%
Total Cases Collected	140,804	139,005	-1.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,536,809	\$2,220,187	-12.5%
NTANF Collections	\$47,656,948	\$47,502,095	-0.3%
Total Collections	\$50,193,757	\$49,722,282	-0.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$341,172,494	\$355,556,742	4.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$346	\$357	3.2%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Jan-09</i>
TANF Paternities	258
NTANF Paternities	429
Combined Paternities	687

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *January Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Prince William	5
Alleghany / Covington	2		Pulaski	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	14		Rappahannock	1
Bedford City	2		Roanoke County	14
Botetourt	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	5
Buckingham	4		Shenandoah	1
Caroline	4		Smyth	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	14		Spotsylvania	12
Clarke	2		Stafford	8
Cumberland	3		Warren	8
Dickensen	2		Wythe	3
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Alexandria	1
Fauquier	1		Bristol	4
Franklin County	7		Charlottesville	1
Frederick	5		Chesapeake	2
Greene	1		Fredricksburg	3
Halifax	1		Hampton	5
Henrico	4		Hopewell	5
Henry / Martinsville	5		Lynchburg	4
James City	1		Mannassas	3
Loudoun	1		Manassas Park	1
Madison	2		Newport News	23
Mathews	2		Norfolk	15
Montgomery	3		Ports mouth	1
Orange	2		Richmond City	3
Page	2		Roanoke City	19
Patrick	4		Suffolk	11
Pittsylvania	2		Virginia Beach	8
Prince George	1		Winchester	5
			<b>Statewide</b>	<b>277</b>

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of February 2, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..


This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:

[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:  
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2009



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the very recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Jan 31, 2009



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January, it was \$7.86 rather than the \$7.70 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.