

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
October 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services November 25, 2009

Monthly Report, October 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for October

These are estimated locality October 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 19,883 and a numerator of 8,645.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	80	23	29%	061	Fauquier	81	35	43%
003	Albemarle	75	38	51%	063	Floyd	27	11	41%
005	Alleghany/Covington	72	22	31%	065	Fluvanna	20	2	10%
007	Amelia	36	14	39%	067	Franklin County	121	15	12%
009	Amherst	47	18	38%	069	Frederick	79	22	28%
011	Appomattox	47	24	51%	071	Giles	20	6	30%
013	Arlington	145	88	61%	073	Gloucester	58	17	29%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	318	170	53%	075	Goochland	18	3	17%
017	Bath	4	1	25%	077	Grayson	25	11	44%
019	Bedford County/City	130	53	41%	079	Greene	37	13	35%
021	Bland	8	5	63%	081	Greensville/Emporia	82	34	41%
023	Botetourt	17	3	18%	083	Halifax	103	31	30%
025	Brunswick	71	33	46%	085	Hanover	122	28	23%
027	Buchanan	61	35	57%	087	Henrico	913	387	42%
029	Buckingham	44	19	43%	089	Henry/Martinsville	346	114	33%
031	Campbell	174	76	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	122	38	31%	093	Isle of Wight	91	42	46%
035	Carroll	66	41	62%	095	James City	76	40	53%
036	Charles City	8	2	25%	097	King and Queen	14	3	21%
037	Charlotte	45	25	56%	099	King George	26	11	42%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	539	257	48%	101	King William	27	12	44%
043	Clarke	9	3	33%	103	Lancaster	21	8	38%
045	Craig	5	3	60%	105	Lee	130	48	37%
047	Culpeper	78	35	45%	107	Loudoun	185	55	30%
049	Cumberland	45	22	49%	109	Louisa	55	29	53%
051	Dickensen	45	23	51%	111	Lunenburg	28	1	4%
053	Dinwiddie	54	23	43%	113	Madison	15	4	27%
057	Essex	75	4	5%	115	Mathews	7	3	43%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	639	323	51%	117	Mecklenburg	98	46	47%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	8	44%	187	Warren	70	36	51%
121	Montgomery	209	109	52%	191	Washington	106	46	43%
125	Nelson	25	11	44%	193	Westmoreland	56	20	36%
127	New Kent	21	4	19%	195	Wise	246	90	37%
131	Northampton	43	17	40%	197	Wythe	74	23	31%
133	Northumberland	12	6	50%	199	York/Poquoson	69	34	49%
135	Nottoway	63	20	32%	510	Alexandria	294	138	47%
137	Orange	54	16	30%	520	Bristol	149	77	52%
139	Page	38	15	39%	540	Charlottesville	190	98	52%
141	Patrick	55	27	49%	550	Chesapeake	791	391	49%
143	Pittsylvania	95	24	25%	590	Danville	269	129	48%
145	Powhatan	23	10	43%	620	Franklin City	43	16	37%
147	Prince Edward	79	37	47%	630	Fredericksburg	127	70	55%
149	Prince George	37	14	38%	640	Galax	49	17	35%
153	Prince William	766	308	40%	650	Hampton	730	359	49%
155	Pulaski	81	26	32%	670	Hopewell	217	56	26%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	360	162	45%
159	Richmond County	14	5	36%	683	Manassas	101	40	40%
161	Roanoke County	167	94	56%	685	Manassas Park	28	18	64%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51	13	25%	700	Newport News	1,055	518	49%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	164	73	45%	710	Norfolk	1,334	709	53%
167	Russell	124	56	45%	720	Norton	38	16	42%
169	Scott	105	46	44%	730	Petersburg	416	166	40%
171	Shenandoah	19	6	32%	740	Portsmouth	722	275	38%
173	Smyth	94	34	36%	750	Radford	37	19	51%
175	Southampton	71	17	24%	760	Richmond City	1,789	732	41%
177	Spotsylvania	245	97	40%	770	Roanoke City	663	214	32%
179	Stafford	177	66	37%	800	Suffolk	212	121	57%
181	Surry	32	11	34%	810	Virginia Beach	567	288	51%
183	Sussex	48	28	58%	830	Williamsburg	24	7	29%
185	Tazewell	170	84	49%	840	Winchester	70	25	36%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for October

These are estimated locality October 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 17,954 and a numerator of 6,929. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	73	16	22%	061	Fauquier	67	22	33%
003	Albemarle	60	24	40%	063	Floyd	24	9	38%
005	Alleghany/Covington	65	16	25%	065	Fluvanna	17	0	0%
007	Amelia	36	14	39%	067	Franklin County	111	10	9%
009	Amherst	44	15	34%	069	Frederick	69	12	17%
011	Appomattox	44	22	50%	071	Giles	18	4	22%
013	Arlington	125	68	54%	073	Gloucester	56	15	27%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	281	134	48%	075	Goochland	18	3	17%
017	Bath	4	1	25%	077	Grayson	21	7	33%
019	Bedford County/City	118	42	36%	079	Greene	33	9	27%
021	Bland	7	4	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	79	31	39%
023	Botetourt	15	3	20%	083	Halifax	97	25	26%
025	Brunswick	65	27	42%	085	Hanover	111	20	18%
027	Buchanan	49	24	49%	087	Henrico	834	319	38%
029	Buckingham	38	13	34%	089	Henry/Martinsville	323	93	29%
031	Campbell	153	55	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	107	28	26%	093	Isle of Wight	79	30	38%
035	Carroll	61	37	61%	095	James City	64	30	47%
036	Charles City	7	2	29%	097	King and Queen	12	1	8%
037	Charlotte	39	19	49%	099	King George	20	5	25%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	484	208	43%	101	King William	23	10	43%
043	Clarke	8	2	25%	103	Lancaster	21	8	38%
045	Craig	4	2	50%	105	Lee	116	35	30%
047	Culpeper	74	33	45%	107	Loudoun	157	29	18%
049	Cumberland	44	21	48%	109	Louisa	50	24	48%
051	Dickensen	40	18	45%	111	Lunenburg	24	1	4%
053	Dinwiddie	45	14	31%	113	Madison	14	4	29%
057	Essex	72	4	6%	115	Mathews	6	2	33%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	548	241	44%	117	Mecklenburg	86	34	40%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	6	38%	187	Warren	60	28	47%
121	Montgomery	181	83	46%	191	Washington	96	36	38%
125	Nelson	21	7	33%	193	Westmoreland	50	20	40%
127	New Kent	21	4	19%	195	Wise	218	63	29%
131	Northampton	38	12	32%	197	Wythe	67	17	25%
133	Northumberland	9	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	64	29	45%
135	Nottoway	61	18	30%	510	Alexandria	262	109	42%
137	Orange	49	15	31%	520	Bristol	136	65	48%
139	Page	31	9	29%	540	Charlottesville	168	77	46%
141	Patrick	48	20	42%	550	Chesapeake	739	343	46%
143	Pittsylvania	91	21	23%	590	Danville	243	107	44%
145	Powhatan	20	7	35%	620	Franklin City	36	12	33%
147	Prince Edward	68	26	38%	630	Fredericksburg	110	56	51%
149	Prince George	34	11	32%	640	Galax	47	15	32%
153	Prince William	659	211	32%	650	Hampton	665	306	46%
155	Pulaski	72	17	24%	670	Hopewell	198	41	21%
157	Rappahannock	1	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	333	136	41%
159	Richmond County	14	5	36%	683	Manassas	89	29	33%
161	Roanoke County	142	70	49%	685	Manassas Park	22	12	55%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	47	9	19%	700	Newport News	952	425	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	141	50	35%	710	Norfolk	1,254	633	50%
167	Russell	115	47	41%	720	Norton	33	12	36%
169	Scott	91	34	37%	730	Petersburg	391	148	38%
171	Shenandoah	18	5	28%	740	Portsmouth	656	213	32%
173	Smyth	91	31	34%	750	Radford	33	15	45%
175	Southampton	65	13	20%	760	Richmond City	1,644	613	37%
177	Spotsylvania	231	91	39%	770	Roanoke City	598	153	26%
179	Stafford	164	54	33%	800	Suffolk	192	101	53%
181	Surry	29	8	28%	810	Virginia Beach	496	221	45%
183	Sussex	40	20	50%	830	Williamsburg	20	5	25%
185	Tazewell	149	63	42%	840	Winchester	65	20	31%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for October

These are estimated locality October 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 89% with a denominator of 1,929 and a numerator of 1,716. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	7	7	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	14	13	93%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	15	14	93%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	2	67%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	7	6	86%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	3	2	67%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	0	0	N/A	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	10	5	50%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	3	3	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	10	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	3	2	67%	071	<i>Giles</i>	2	2	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	20	20	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	2	2	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	37	36	97%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	4	4	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	12	11	92%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	4	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	3	3	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	2	0	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	6	6	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	6	6	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	11	8	73%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	12	11	92%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	79	68	86%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	6	6	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	23	21	91%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	21	21	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	15	10	67%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	12	12	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	5	4	80%	095	<i>James City</i>	12	10	83%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	0	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	2	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	6	6	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	6	6	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	55	49	89%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	2	50%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	1	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	14	13	93%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	4	2	50%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	28	26	93%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	1	1	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	5	5	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	5	5	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	4	0	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	9	9	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	0	0%
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	0	0%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	91	82	90%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	12	12	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	10	8	80%
121	Montgomery	28	26	93%	191	Washington	10	10	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	6	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	28	27	96%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	7	6	86%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	2	2	100%	510	Alexandria	32	29	91%
137	Orange	5	1	20%	520	Bristol	13	12	92%
139	Page	7	6	86%	540	Charlottesville	22	21	95%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	52	48	92%
143	Pittsylvania	4	3	75%	590	Danville	26	22	85%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	7	4	57%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	17	14	82%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	107	97	91%	650	Hampton	65	53	82%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	19	15	79%
157	Rappahannock	2	1	50%	680	Lynchburg	27	26	96%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	12	11	92%
161	Roanoke County	25	24	96%	685	Manassas Park	6	6	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	103	93	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	23	23	100%	710	Norfolk	80	76	95%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	5	4	80%
169	Scott	14	12	86%	730	Petersburg	25	18	72%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	66	62	94%
173	Smyth	3	3	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	6	4	67%	760	Richmond City	145	119	82%
177	Spotsylvania	14	6	43%	770	Roanoke City	65	61	94%
179	Stafford	13	12	92%	800	Suffolk	20	20	100%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	71	67	94%
183	Sussex	8	8	100%	830	Williamsburg	4	2	50%
185	Tazewell	21	21	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Oct-09	Oct-08	Change from Oct-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,378	6,114	-12%	4,807	12%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,073	2,948	4%	1,658	85%
Applications Approved	2,750	2,959	-7%	2,820	-2%
Cases Closed *	2,552	2,349	9%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	33,833	28,688	18%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month ²	34,960	29,801	17%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,552 closed cases, 1,053 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 769 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 177 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 127 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Oct-09	Oct-08	Change from Oct-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	543	450	21%	338	61%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	341	209	63%	232	47%
Applications Approved	313	256	22%	81	286%
Cases Closed *	227	184	23%	107	112%
Cases at End of Month	2,061	1,130	82%	321	542%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,186	1,269	72%	394	455%

•The database indicates that of the 227 closed cases, 114 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 69 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 15 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 14 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	35,894	2,017	1,117	2,742	1,006	540	4,060	450	744	1,311	1,752	1,090	5,740	1,118	450	3,431	1,371	6,686	269
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,494	804	549	1,221	405	241	1,708	174	304	681	613	545	2,445	627	181	1,458	695	2,742	101
Part. in a Work Activity	11,278	600	405	794	314	175	1,314	124	258	489	454	401	1,713	437	115	1,062	423	2,153	47
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	74%	65%	78%	73%	77%	71%	85%	72%	74%	74%	70%	70%	64%	73%	61%	79%	47%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	148	10	4	13	0	10	19	8	3	6	1	4	27	4	2	5	6	26	0
Participants Employed	7,420	446	251	591	234	118	714	94	149	333	272	251	945	301	98	774	293	1,515	41
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	46%	48%	58%	49%	42%	54%	49%	49%	44%	46%	39%	48%	54%	53%	42%	55%	41%
Full Time	6,049	414	207	466	206	89	555	79	99	259	228	178	740	247	74	606	240	1,331	31
Part Time	1,371	32	44	125	28	29	159	15	50	74	44	73	205	54	24	168	53	184	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.29	\$7.60	\$7.84	\$7.72	\$8.30	\$9.07	\$8.40	\$8.31	\$7.69	\$7.47	\$7.67	\$8.16	\$8.18	\$7.76	\$7.88	\$7.77	\$7.73	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$999	\$1,037	\$1,041	\$1,044	\$1,061	\$1,229	\$1,148	\$1,014	\$1,032	\$1,015	\$976	\$1,090	\$1,093	\$1,006	\$1,051	\$1,056	\$1,052	\$1,028

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	13,575	786	539	1,195	482	243	1,456	187	346	557	702	405	1,942	477	169	1,267	428	2,280	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW	22,567	1,239	870	1,854	625	399	2,488	264	487	929	1,023	768	3,336	840	274	2,180	913	3,923	155
Part. in a Work Activity	19,160	1,070	749	1,535	574	322	2,159	223	450	794	874	639	2,804	713	225	1,852	693	3,377	107
% of Total Enrolled	85%	86%	86%	83%	92%	81%	87%	84%	92%	85%	85%	83%	84%	85%	82%	85%	76%	86%	69%
Participants Employed	11,099	678	416	919	343	200	1,140	147	241	488	416	331	1,395	430	145	1,138	409	2,197	66
% of Total Enrolled	49%	55%	48%	50%	55%	50%	46%	56%	49%	53%	41%	43%	42%	51%	53%	52%	45%	56%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.33	\$7.47	\$7.90	\$7.75	\$8.23	\$9.51	\$8.31	\$8.28	\$7.72	\$7.52	\$7.58	\$8.22	\$8.21	\$7.92	\$7.90	\$7.88	\$7.77	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$990	\$987	\$1,070	\$1,035	\$1,077	\$1,277	\$1,102	\$955	\$1,017	\$990	\$937	\$1,106	\$1,071	\$1,034	\$1,049	\$1,061	\$1,047	\$1,074
Three Months Employment	71%	72%	63%	66%	73%	60%	75%	67%	69%	65%	61%	70%	74%	77%	70%	71%	73%	74%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	227,502	14,977	8,590	16,690	6,504	4,107	28,190	3,246	4,904	8,538	10,874	6,470	30,229	6,390	2,920	21,422	7,732	43,619	2,100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	170,840	11,337	7,148	12,421	4,839	2,950	21,516	2,423	3,695	6,958	8,626	4,852	23,183	4,481	2,076	15,621	5,944	31,263	1,507
Part. in a Work Activity	163,633	10,881	6,806	11,879	4,699	2,828	20,819	2,333	3,602	6,567	8,316	4,625	22,347	4,285	1,954	14,942	5,665	29,645	1,440
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	94%	96%	95%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	119,175	7,210	4,782	8,739	3,442	2,024	15,531	1,661	2,833	4,889	5,506	3,013	16,158	3,079	1,353	11,303	4,166	22,611	875
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	67%	70%	71%	69%	72%	69%	77%	70%	64%	62%	70%	69%	65%	72%	70%	72%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.92	\$6.00	\$6.08	\$6.87	\$6.91	\$7.08	\$8.39	\$7.23	\$7.20	\$6.32	\$6.19	\$6.47	\$7.14	\$7.63	\$6.72	\$6.78	\$6.78	\$6.65	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$924	\$793	\$831	\$932	\$926	\$963	\$1,124	\$962	\$937	\$827	\$831	\$851	\$971	\$1,008	\$872	\$897	\$926	\$878	\$811
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	54%	63%	53%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	56%	55%	57%	61%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,017	205	117	307	270	204	344	516	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	804	50	52	129	115	101	131	195	31
Participants in a Work Activity	600	42	34	104	96	66	113	125	20
% of Enrolled	75%	84%	65%	81%	83%	65%	86%	64%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	4	0	1	4	1
Participants Employed	446	24	33	87	55	51	72	109	15
% of Enrolled	55%	48%	63%	67%	48%	50%	55%	56%	48%
Full Time	414	24	33	76	47	49	68	102	15
Part Time	32	0	0	11	8	2	4	7	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$7.83	\$7.61	\$6.96	\$7.21	\$7.27	\$7.32	\$7.42	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$1,158	\$1,100	\$913	\$934	\$1,020	\$995	\$1,030	\$988

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	786	55	49	116	96	80	147	218	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,239	96	81	192	182	135	189	319	45
Participants in a Work Activity	1,070	82	60	167	171	119	175	267	29
% of Total Enrolled	86%	85%	74%	87%	94%	88%	93%	84%	64%
Participants Employed	678	48	47	118	90	67	105	181	22
% of Total Enrolled	55%	50%	58%	61%	49%	50%	56%	57%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$8.06	\$7.41	\$7.03	\$7.24	\$7.28	\$7.37	\$7.39	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$990	\$1,164	\$1,029	\$909	\$947	\$1,015	\$968	\$1,017	\$938
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	66%	62%	82%	63%	90%	74%	68%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,977	1,546	1,069	2,088	2,045	1,402	2,878	3,475	474
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,337	1,169	811	1,549	1,616	987	2,186	2,644	375
Participants in a Work Activity	10,881	1,084	761	1,532	1,537	964	2,108	2,549	346
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,210	614	484	1,024	1,015	585	1,504	1,701	283
% of Total Enrolled	64%	53%	60%	66%	63%	59%	69%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.00	\$6.22	\$6.09	\$5.69	\$6.22	\$6.25	\$5.94	\$5.99	\$5.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$793	\$809	\$802	\$756	\$835	\$861	\$783	\$783	\$721
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	58%	65%	57%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,117	16	140	46	197	227	127	269	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	549	8	74	15	90	96	53	160	53
Participants in a Work Activity	405	7	58	13	75	70	41	116	25
% of Enrolled	74%	88%	78%	87%	83%	73%	77%	73%	47%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	251	4	43	9	41	51	25	58	20
% of Enrolled	46%	50%	58%	60%	46%	53%	47%	36%	38%
Full Time	207	3	30	9	39	42	22	43	19
Part Time	44	1	13	0	2	9	3	15	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.39	\$7.39	\$8.18	\$7.65	\$7.43	\$7.35	\$7.85	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$987	\$979	\$1,280	\$1,128	\$1,018	\$944	\$987	\$1,189

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	539	6	65	27	99	91	63	129	59
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	870	8	99	28	153	161	95	237	89
Participants in a Work Activity	749	8	87	24	125	146	85	204	70
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	88%	86%	82%	91%	89%	86%	79%
Participants Employed	416	4	58	14	54	94	49	107	36
% of Total Enrolled	48%	50%	59%	50%	35%	58%	52%	45%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.39	\$7.29	\$7.77	\$7.47	\$7.35	\$7.45	\$7.60	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$965	\$950	\$1,167	\$1,001	\$995	\$956	\$925	\$1,168
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	100%	71%	62%	65%	62%	68%	57%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,590	219	1,186	580	1,470	1,438	1,342	1,742	613
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,148	170	975	470	1208	1175	1069	1,550	531
Participants in a Work Activity	6,806	159	883	433	1152	1143	1046	1,493	497
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	91%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,782	107	655	309	745	799	727	1,099	341
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	66%	62%	68%	68%	71%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.08	\$5.95	\$5.88	\$6.18	\$5.83	\$6.37	\$6.13	\$6.11	\$6.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$831	\$819	\$799	\$828	\$803	\$873	\$817	\$842	\$853
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,742	132	47	5	46	250	50	380	197	319	72	1,244
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1221	106	9	2	16	85	32	194	76	146	36	519
Participants in a Work Activity	794	46	3	2	12	49	16	147	53	126	31	309
% of Enrolled	65%	43%	33%	100%	75%	58%	50%	76%	70%	86%	86%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	7	0	1
Participants Employed	591	38	3	2	11	32	12	125	35	83	28	222
% of Enrolled	48%	36%	33%	100%	69%	38%	38%	64%	46%	57%	78%	43%
Full Time	466	17	3	2	11	24	11	108	29	66	18	177
Part Time	125	21	0	0	0	8	1	17	6	17	10	45
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.14	\$7.25	\$7.75	\$7.69	\$7.71	\$7.93	\$7.85	\$7.44	\$8.26	\$7.72	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$762	\$1,033	\$1,175	\$1,106	\$1,079	\$1,131	\$1,039	\$958	\$1,120	\$990	\$1,065

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,195	53	9	6	9	103	22	169	103	116	34	571
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,854	128	15	9	29	130	45	277	141	214	65	801
Participants in a Work Activity	1,535	100	12	9	25	89	35	240	121	190	55	659
% of Total Enrolled	83%	78%	80%	100%	86%	68%	78%	87%	86%	89%	85%	82%
Participants Employed	919	58	5	6	17	49	21	177	62	127	42	355
% of Total Enrolled	50%	45%	33%	67%	59%	38%	47%	64%	44%	59%	65%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.66	\$7.44	\$7.63	\$7.60	\$7.68	\$8.00	\$7.90	\$7.51	\$8.17	\$7.90	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$843	\$861	\$1,090	\$1,064	\$1,032	\$1,142	\$1,070	\$992	\$1,143	\$1,072	\$1,099
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	66%	56%	100%	76%	63%	70%	74%	60%	63%	60%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,690	1,038	276	64	420	1,417	423	2,398	1,483	1,769	667	6,735
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,421	765	164	23	291	1,027	290	1,921	1,155	1,381	504	4,900
Participants in a Work Activity	11,879	734	151	23	283	924	279	1,896	1,113	1,310	489	4,677
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	92%	100%	97%	90%	96%	99%	96%	95%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	8,739	553	83	15	206	671	172	1,548	757	1,037	370	3,327
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	51%	65%	71%	65%	59%	81%	66%	75%	73%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$6.68	\$7.18	\$6.69	\$6.74	\$6.69	\$6.80	\$6.73	\$6.62	\$7.35	\$6.84	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$932	\$812	\$919	\$1,004	\$909	\$928	\$928	\$915	\$924	\$1,024	\$894	\$944
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	42%	75%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,006	621	9	0	86	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	405	247	3	0	31	124
Participants in a Work Activity	314	201	3	N/A	19	91
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	100%	N/A	61%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	234	152	1	N/A	17	64
% of Enrolled	58%	62%	33%	N/A	55%	52%
Full Time	206	135	0	N/A	15	56
Part Time	28	17	1	N/A	2	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.68	\$8.00	N/A	\$7.34	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,026	\$693	N/A	\$958	\$1,117

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	482	288	2	0	30	162
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	625	395	4	0	39	187
Participants in a Work Activity	574	364	4	N/A	32	174
% of Total Enrolled	92%	92%	100%	N/A	82%	93%
Participants Employed	343	214	3	N/A	19	107
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	75%	N/A	49%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.72	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.28	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$1,021	\$547	N/A	\$913	\$1,091
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	82%	50%	N/A	67%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,504	3,527	62	16	580	2,319
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,839	2,678	37	7	421	1,696
Participants in a Work Activity	4,699	2,618	36	7	400	1,638
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,442	1,824	25	5	316	1,272
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	71%	75%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.92	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.54	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$926	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$849	\$934
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	57%	60%	55%	58%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	540	10	176	77	31	110	136	269	181	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	241	4	69	40	9	52	67	101	63	38
Participants in a Work Activity	175	4	36	27	9	42	57	47	26	21
% of Enrolled	73%	100%	52%	68%	100%	81%	85%	47%	41%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	4	0	3	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	118	2	33	19	7	28	29	41	26	15
% of Enrolled	49%	50%	48%	48%	7	54%	43%	41%	41%	39%
Full Time	89	2	22	15	7	23	20	31	19	12
Part Time	29	0	11	4	0	5	9	10	7	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$7.50	\$8.99	\$8.26	\$7.07	\$8.34	\$7.84	\$7.72	\$7.67	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,169	\$1,141	\$1,096	\$1,008	\$1,069	\$946	\$1,028	\$1,024	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	243	10	59	46	15	59	54	114	84	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	399	12	82	82	22	104	97	155	102	53
Participants in a Work Activity	322	12	61	66	19	82	82	107	65	42
% of Total Enrolled	81%	100%	74%	80%	86%	79%	85%	69%	64%	79%
Participants Employed	200	8	37	42	14	54	45	66	44	22
% of Total Enrolled	50%	67%	45%	51%	64%	52%	46%	43%	43%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$8.06	\$8.86	\$8.48	\$7.70	\$8.29	\$7.66	\$7.99	\$7.77	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,061	\$1,123	\$1,151	\$979	\$1,142	\$935	\$1,074	\$1,026	\$1,175
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	57%	72%	61%	67%	51%	56%	81%	76%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,107	168	784	642	520	1022	971	2,100	1309	791
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,950	98	550	512	299	746	745	1,507	914	593
Participants in a Work Activity	2,828	93	519	495	293	710	718	1440	883	557
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	94%	97%	98%	95%	96%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,024	60	340	375	202	523	524	875	500	375
% of Total Enrolled	69%	61%	62%	73%	68%	70%	70%	58%	55%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.19	\$7.32	\$7.16	\$6.49	\$7.14	\$7.01	\$6.42	\$6.50	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$963	\$958	\$970	\$977	\$904	\$975	\$954	\$811	\$820	\$802
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	47%	55%	56%	53%	57%	51%	61%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,060	300	1,412	283	1,316	502	194	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,708	105	522	151	625	217	70	18
Participants in a Work Activity	1,314	93	439	100	442	183	41	16
% of Enrolled	77%	89%	84%	66%	71%	84%	59%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	14	0	4	0	1	0
Participants Employed	714	39	219	77	265	76	26	12
% of Enrolled	42%	37%	42%	51%	42%	35%	37%	67%
Full Time	555	23	174	47	209	68	22	12
Part Time	159	16	45	30	56	8	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.07	\$9.03	\$9.28	\$9.20	\$8.96	\$9.13	\$8.70	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,229	\$1,212	\$1,254	\$1,172	\$1,219	\$1,311	\$1,153	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,456	101	440	102	549	170	66	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,488	174	805	204	883	287	106	29
Participants in a Work Activity	2,159	161	730	164	738	261	77	28
% of Total Enrolled	87%	93%	91%	80%	84%	91%	73%	97%
Participants Employed	1,140	73	354	113	417	124	42	17
% of Total Enrolled	46%	42%	44%	55%	47%	43%	40%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.51	\$9.27	\$9.82	\$9.66	\$9.38	\$9.56	\$8.62	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,277	\$1,177	\$1,293	\$1,223	\$1,291	\$1,359	\$1,129	\$1,148
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	75%	76%	77%	74%	73%	77%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	28,190	2,517	9,815	1,927	9,006	3,353	1,205	367
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,516	1,934	7,301	1,520	6,939	2,645	904	273
Participants in a Work Activity	20,819	1,913	7,084	1,461	6,686	2,535	870	270
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,531	1,431	5,044	1,219	5,152	1,877	606	202
% of Total Enrolled	72%	74%	69%	80%	74%	71%	67%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$7.91	\$8.32	\$8.87	\$8.60	\$8.09	\$7.94	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,031	\$1,108	\$1,157	\$1,187	\$1,038	\$1,076	\$1,061
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	67%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	450	194	118	30	105	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	174	72	47	14	39	2
Participants in a Work Activity	124	53	40	8	21	2
% of Enrolled	71%	74%	85%	57%	54%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	2	2	1	3	0
Participants Employed	94	40	28	6	18	2
% of Enrolled	54%	56%	60%	43%	46%	100%
Full Time	79	32	24	5	16	2
Part Time	15	8	4	1	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.71	\$8.47	\$8.58	\$7.63	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,124	\$1,184	\$1,375	\$1,061	\$1,205

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	187	76	64	16	31	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	264	102	80	22	55	5
Participants in a Work Activity	223	85	75	22	37	4
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	94%	100%	67%	80%
Participants Employed	147	56	48	11	28	4
% of Total Enrolled	56%	55%	60%	50%	51%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.71	\$8.40	\$8.03	\$7.49	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,107	\$1,141	\$1,233	\$958	\$1,184
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	67%	65%	63%	76%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,246	1,246	941	307	667	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,423	890	720	227	529	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,333	856	712	220	491	54
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,661	585	504	151	383	38
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	70%	67%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.22	\$7.56	\$7.01	\$6.93	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$947	\$990	\$984	\$941	\$958
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	55%	62%	45%	43%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	744	144	47	53	110	46	344
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	304	52	8	25	48	11	160
Participants in a Work Activity	258	44	5	15	42	10	142
% of Enrolled	85%	85%	63%	60%	88%	91%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	149	31	5	13	24	8	68
% of Enrolled	49%	60%	63%	52%	50%	73%	43%
Full Time	99	24	5	7	14	4	45
Part Time	50	7	0	6	10	4	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.47	\$7.76	\$7.85	\$8.71	\$7.66	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$1,151	\$1,102	\$887	\$1,027	\$840	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	346	88	11	22	59	19	147
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	487	85	9	39	79	30	245
Participants in a Work Activity	450	77	5	32	70	26	240
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	56%	82%	89%	87%	98%
Participants Employed	241	41	5	22	39	18	116
% of Total Enrolled	49%	48%	56%	56%	49%	60%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$8.59	\$7.76	\$7.86	\$8.29	\$7.63	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$1,157	\$1,094	\$825	\$983	\$830	\$911
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	69%	100%	68%	71%	71%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,904	1141	179	381	735	234	2,234
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,695	793	114	270	514	157	1,847
Participants in a Work Activity	3,602	776	108	253	491	156	1,818
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	94%	96%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,833	594	85	207	326	107	1,514
% of Total Enrolled	77%	75%	75%	77%	63%	68%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.62	\$7.35	\$7.14	\$7.23	\$7.47	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$994	\$1,082	\$907	\$957	\$983	\$917
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	54%	50%	56%	56%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,311	94	99	217	285	616
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	681	40	52	118	187	284
Participants in a Work Activity	489	23	41	76	147	202
% of Enrolled	72%	58%	79%	64%	79%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	3	0	1
Participants Employed	333	21	32	57	80	143
% of Enrolled	49%	53%	62%	48%	43%	50%
Full Time	259	17	32	44	57	109
Part Time	74	4	0	13	23	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$7.68	\$7.57	\$7.70	\$7.85	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,024	\$1,165	\$1,009	\$1,022	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	557	50	47	113	100	247
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	929	58	65	167	252	387
Participants in a Work Activity	794	43	59	140	222	330
% of Total Enrolled	85%	74%	91%	84%	88%	85%
Participants Employed	488	31	35	89	129	204
% of Total Enrolled	53%	53%	54%	53%	51%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.64	\$7.48	\$7.85	\$7.98	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$1,010	\$1,144	\$1,007	\$1,044	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	58%	62%	65%	67%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,538	789	698	1,710	1,971	3,370
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,958	625	607	1,342	1,648	2,736
Participants in a Work Activity	6,567	590	591	1,258	1,545	2,583
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	97%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,889	426	430	901	1,139	1,993
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	71%	67%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.32	\$6.51	\$6.07	\$6.36	\$6.50	\$6.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$827	\$832	\$834	\$824	\$866	\$806
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,752	252	582	109	242	567
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	613	66	266	75	44	162
Participants in a Work Activity	454	37	218	56	24	119
% of Enrolled	74%	56%	82%	75%	55%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	272	31	120	37	21	63
% of Enrolled	44%	47%	45%	49%	48%	39%
Full Time	228	28	99	32	18	51
Part Time	44	3	21	5	3	12
Average Wage⁶	\$7.47	\$7.97	\$7.42	\$7.16	\$7.23	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,015	\$1,170	\$996	\$1,023	\$914	\$1,005

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	702	73	237	67	95	230
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	1,023	122	388	108	93	312
Participants in a Work Activity	874	90	329	87	83	285
% of Total Enrolled	85%	74%	85%	81%	89%	91%
Participants Employed	416	47	168	56	37	108
% of Total Enrolled	41%	39%	43%	52%	40%	35%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.52	\$7.64	\$7.53	\$7.25	\$7.52	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$990	\$931	\$1,024	\$985	\$954	\$977
Three Months Employment⁸	61%	69%	60%	71%	54%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,874	1,670	2,879	1,080	1,745	3,500
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	8,626	1,381	2,117	932	1,325	2,871
Participants in a Work Activity	8,316	1,328	2,019	869	1,304	2,796
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	93%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,506	821	1,367	624	753	1,941
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	65%	67%	57%	68%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.19	\$6.16	\$6.51	\$6.10	\$6.19	\$6.03
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$831	\$818	\$916	\$801	\$847	\$788
Five Months Employment⁹	54%	48%	54%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,090	77	120	76	80	66	146	45	197	130	153
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	545	29	58	42	37	40	80	30	84	68	77
Participants in a Work Activity	401	28	41	35	27	36	62	16	70	36	50
% of Enrolled	74%	97%	71%	83%	73%	90%	78%	53%	83%	53%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
Participants Employed	251	19	33	24	17	20	24	11	37	31	35
% of Enrolled	46%	66%	57%	57%	46%	50%	30%	37%	44%	46%	45%
Full Time	178	15	22	22	12	13	11	7	23	30	23
Part Time	73	4	11	2	5	7	13	4	14	1	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$8.24	\$7.16	\$8.08	\$7.89	\$8.25	\$7.51	\$7.06	\$7.65	\$7.33	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$1,052	\$863	\$1,126	\$1,117	\$1,051	\$900	\$870	\$962	\$968	\$936

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	405	27	62	30	40	18	45	16	66	44	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	768	45	77	64	64	43	101	38	118	107	111
Participants in a Work Activity	639	41	65	61	57	40	86	28	107	57	97
% of Total Enrolled	83%	91%	84%	95%	89%	93%	85%	74%	91%	53%	87%
Participants Employed	331	27	35	33	24	21	34	12	50	40	55
% of Total Enrolled	43%	60%	45%	52%	38%	49%	34%	32%	42%	37%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$8.10	\$7.01	\$8.03	\$7.99	\$8.30	\$7.39	\$6.96	\$7.46	\$7.47	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$1,040	\$845	\$1,056	\$1,061	\$1,060	\$790	\$808	\$930	\$964	\$890
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	67%	81%	71%	63%	86%	43%	100%	69%	79%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,470	384	856	656	551	443	770	256	934	776	844
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,852	272	662	484	380	311	592	189	714	581	667
Participants in a Work Activity	4,625	253	659	449	351	297	564	187	676	537	652
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	100%	93%	92%	95%	95%	99%	95%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	3,013	169	383	279	197	178	342	89	486	398	492
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	57%	58%	47%	68%	69%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.47	\$7.13	\$6.01	\$6.85	\$6.89	\$7.00	\$6.41	\$5.66	\$6.18	\$6.46	\$6.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$851	\$940	\$806	\$921	\$901	\$938	\$797	\$736	\$806	\$868	\$851
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	52%	47%	46%	57%	61%	58%	55%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,740	1028	36	187	1,567	46	2,876
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,445	409	11	128	709	20	1,168
Participants in a Work Activity	1,713	274	8	63	478	13	877
% of Enrolled	70%	67%	73%	49%	67%	65%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	27	0	1	2	18	1	5
Participants Employed	945	189	5	47	295	10	399
% of Enrolled	39%	46%	45%	37%	42%	50%	34%
Full Time	740	157	5	37	227	8	306
Part Time	205	32	0	10	68	2	93
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.19	\$7.80	\$8.87	\$8.30	\$8.06	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$1,096	\$1,120	\$1,162	\$1,113	\$1,026	\$1,063

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,942	371	9	72	538	9	943
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,336	582	15	146	925	26	1,642
Participants in a Work Activity	2,804	501	10	95	763	25	1,410
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	67%	65%	82%	96%	86%
Participants Employed	1,395	271	6	60	417	12	629
% of Total Enrolled	42%	47%	40%	41%	45%	46%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$8.19	\$7.75	\$9.38	\$8.46	\$8.49	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$1,091	\$1,082	\$1,232	\$1,135	\$1,129	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	80%	83%	80%	82%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	30,229	5,974	198	977	7,084	266	15,730
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,183	4,455	138	683	5,571	183	12,153
Participants in a Work Activity	22,347	4,333	131	620	5,282	173	11,808
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	91%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	16,158	2,964	95	439	3,833	109	8,718
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	69%	64%	69%	60%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.37	\$6.59	\$8.06	\$7.42	\$7.09	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$998	\$864	\$1,061	\$1,007	\$911	\$946
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	54%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,118	189	45	390	304	190
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	627	121	13	208	159	126
Participants in a Work Activity	437	91	9	150	100	87
% of Enrolled	70%	75%	69%	72%	63%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	3	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	301	60	5	106	65	65
% of Enrolled	48%	50%	38%	51%	41%	52%
Full Time	247	52	3	88	58	46
Part Time	54	8	2	18	7	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$7.73	\$7.80	\$8.36	\$8.43	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,093	\$1,053	\$986	\$1,123	\$1,108	\$1,075

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	477	100	27	158	112	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	840	146	25	276	213	180
Participants in a Work Activity	713	124	23	230	179	157
% of Total Enrolled	85%	85%	92%	83%	84%	87%
Participants Employed	430	70	10	155	89	106
% of Total Enrolled	51%	48%	40%	56%	42%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$7.92	\$7.78	\$8.34	\$8.44	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,071	\$1,057	\$1,081	\$1,092	\$1,043
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	90%	67%	77%	74%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,390	1,025	408	1,819	1,873	1,265
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,481	691	264	1,391	1,088	1,047
Participants in a Work Activity	4,285	670	252	1,282	1,060	1,021
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	3,079	430	181	987	663	818
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	69%	71%	61%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.29	\$7.17	\$7.72	\$8.15	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$945	\$909	\$1,021	\$1,122	\$977
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	49%	57%	58%	55%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	450	106	30	53	52	16	43	31	36	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	181	53	10	19	17	10	22	4	9	37
Participants in a Work Activity	115	29	3	17	9	8	13	4	5	27
% of Enrolled	64%	55%	30%	89%	53%	80%	59%	100%	56%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	98	26	3	11	7	8	13	3	5	22
% of Enrolled	54%	49%	30%	58%	41%	80%	59%	75%	56%	59%
Full Time	74	19	1	8	5	8	9	1	3	20
Part Time	24	7	2	3	2	0	4	2	2	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.75	\$8.58	\$8.18	\$8.62	\$8.49	\$6.42	\$8.08	\$7.25	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$1,042	\$867	\$1,011	\$1,056	\$1,308	\$829	\$844	\$804	\$1,026

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	169	39	11	20	14	5	24	8	16	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	274	63	14	34	21	10	35	11	19	67
Participants in a Work Activity	225	54	11	31	15	8	27	10	17	52
% of Total Enrolled	82%	86%	79%	91%	71%	80%	77%	91%	89%	78%
Participants Employed	145	28	6	20	11	8	17	7	9	39
% of Total Enrolled	53%	44%	43%	59%	52%	80%	49%	64%	47%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.66	\$7.85	\$8.37	\$8.21	\$8.49	\$7.14	\$8.83	\$7.50	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,070	\$925	\$997	\$1,089	\$1,273	\$917	\$993	\$897	\$1,074
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	60%	80%	50%	71%	100%	94%	67%	50%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,920	441	221	237	345	160	330	263	273	650
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,076	327	143	190	260	99	234	209	147	467
Participants in a Work Activity	1,954	314	134	183	241	91	215	203	142	431
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	92%	92%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	1,353	226	79	116	175	64	160	128	97	308
% of Total Enrolled	65%	69%	55%	61%	67%	65%	68%	61%	66%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.72	\$6.69	\$6.92	\$7.18	\$6.49	\$6.68	\$6.69	\$6.44	\$6.76	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$872	\$840	\$874	\$975	\$828	\$861	\$862	\$839	\$922	\$897
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	40%	36%	44%	48%	54%	57%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,431	20	128	122	42	111	1,277	1,694	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,458	4	35	50	19	62	521	746	21
Participants in a Work Activity	1,062	2	23	36	11	45	344	587	14
% of Enrolled	73%	50%	66%	72%	58%	73%	66%	79%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
Participants Employed	774	2	21	32	5	37	259	405	13
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	60%	64%	26%	60%	50%	54%	62%
Full Time	606	2	12	17	3	31	191	338	12
Part Time	168	0	9	15	2	6	68	67	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.25	\$7.68	\$7.93	\$8.61	\$7.68	\$7.90	\$7.89	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$942	\$887	\$948	\$1,058	\$1,025	\$1,029	\$1,080	\$1,192

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,267	1	51	43	20	42	423	658	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,180	8	72	82	25	84	727	1,147	35
Participants in a Work Activity	1,852	5	49	73	20	72	632	974	27
% of Total Enrolled	85%	63%	68%	89%	80%	86%	87%	85%	77%
Participants Employed	1,138	5	33	55	9	52	383	581	20
% of Total Enrolled	52%	63%	46%	67%	36%	62%	53%	51%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$6.13	\$7.90	\$7.91	\$8.76	\$7.57	\$8.05	\$7.84	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$773	\$922	\$986	\$973	\$963	\$1,064	\$1,065	\$1,023
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	25%	68%	67%	71%	67%	73%	71%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	21,422	124	888	838	251	827	7,543	10,651	300
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,621	87	606	636	182	588	5,384	7,925	213
Participants in a Work Activity	14,942	85	555	594	171	567	5,254	7,516	200
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	92%	93%	94%	96%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,303	47	412	464	116	381	3,871	5,855	157
% of Total Enrolled	72%	54%	68%	73%	64%	65%	72%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$6.70	\$6.61	\$7.11	\$7.37	\$6.84	\$6.79	\$6.75	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$897	\$871	\$832	\$871	\$1,001	\$866	\$895	\$904	\$889
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	61%	56%	51%	52%	47%	53%	58%	53%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,371	141	83	66	72	354	655
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	695	31	34	31	38	207	354
Participants in a Work Activity	423	26	26	23	28	110	210
% of Enrolled	61%	84%	76%	74%	74%	53%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	0	0	2	3
Participants Employed	293	20	16	14	17	74	152
% of Enrolled	42%	65%	47%	45%	45%	36%	43%
Full Time	240	18	14	8	14	49	137
Part Time	53	2	2	6	3	25	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.12	\$7.81	\$7.95	\$7.20	\$7.40	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,260	\$1,133	\$936	\$949	\$907	\$1,116

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	428	59	33	20	31	106	179
Total Enrolled in VIEW	913	54	62	41	61	247	448
Participants in a Work Activity	693	51	50	39	55	165	333
% of Total Enrolled	76%	94%	81%	95%	90%	67%	74%
Participants Employed	409	30	28	23	29	97	202
% of Total Enrolled	45%	56%	45%	56%	48%	39%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.06	\$8.00	\$8.01	\$7.26	\$7.44	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,198	\$1,080	\$1,013	\$922	\$934	\$1,122
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	74%	58%	57%	71%	73%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,732	987	663	287	626	1,930	3,239
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,944	690	489	223	487	1,530	2,525
Participants in a Work Activity	5,665	687	429	211	472	1,462	2,404
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,166	472	308	162	332	1,074	1,818
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	63%	73%	68%	70%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$6.78	\$7.25	\$6.88	\$6.76	\$6.66	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$926	\$939	\$982	\$857	\$932	\$904	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	50%	50%	58%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through October 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/30/09 and Work Activity as of 10/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,686	144	124	1,263	94	2,220	1,280	442	1,119
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,742	64	44	584	16	987	491	153	403
Participants in a Work Activity	2,153	35	27	450	14	834	378	115	300
% of Enrolled	79%	55%	61%	77%	88%	84%	77%	75%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	0	0	0	0	4	14	1	7
Participants Employed	1,515	33	16	333	6	587	244	88	208
% of Enrolled	55%	52%	36%	57%	38%	59%	50%	58%	52%
Full Time	1,331	30	9	294	5	532	198	86	177
Part Time	184	3	7	39	1	55	46	2	31
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.47	\$8.48	\$7.71	\$7.93	\$7.57	\$7.72	\$7.80	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,006	\$1,035	\$1,052	\$1,137	\$1,030	\$1,042	\$1,136	\$1,099

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,280	53	41	361	45	739	430	150	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,923	88	70	787	49	1,316	731	253	629
Participants in a Work Activity	3,377	74	67	687	47	1,144	621	220	517
% of Total Enrolled	86%	84%	96%	87%	96%	87%	85%	87%	82%
Participants Employed	2,197	53	27	472	21	781	385	143	315
% of Total Enrolled	56%	60%	39%	60%	43%	59%	53%	57%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.56	\$8.34	\$7.68	\$8.07	\$7.56	\$7.89	\$7.89	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,015	\$979	\$1,037	\$1,090	\$1,022	\$1,073	\$1,111	\$1,072
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	75%	67%	79%	48%	80%	66%	66%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	43,619	961	827	6,962	681	14,090	7,135	2,797	10,166
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	31,263	683	644	4,972	536	9,952	5,530	2,150	6,796
Participants in a Work Activity	29,645	650	614	4,720	524	9,466	5,213	2,124	6,334
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	22,611	494	387	3,681	369	7,210	4,154	1,636	4,680
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	60%	74%	69%	72%	75%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.65	\$6.68	\$6.42	\$6.78	\$6.28	\$6.53	\$6.56	\$6.49	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$893	\$813	\$901	\$789	\$843	\$887	\$851	\$936
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	47%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	56%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	43.1%	2.39	94	\$7.77	4.44	64	75.8%	6.77	30	4.53	79
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	48.2%	3.44	70	\$8.59	6.67	12	68.9%	5.85	59	5.32	41
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	45.3%	2.84	82	\$7.66	4.15	72	66.1%	5.48	78	4.15	94
007	<i>Amelia</i>	60.0%	5.87	15	\$8.10	5.34	33	66.7%	5.56	66	5.59	25
009	<i>Amherst</i>	53.4%	4.52	43	\$7.64	4.09	75	57.6%	4.34	105	4.32	90
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	53.8%	4.60	42	\$7.48	3.66	94	61.5%	4.87	96	4.38	85
013	<i>Arlington</i>	42.0%	2.14	98	\$9.27	8.51	6	74.7%	6.62	34	5.76	18
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	54.2%	4.67	41	\$7.72	4.31	68	82.0%	7.60	12	5.52	29
017	<i>Bath</i>	75.0%	8.97	3	\$7.27	3.09	110	50.0%	3.33	114	5.13	51
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	53.3%	4.48	44	\$7.85	4.66	60	65.4%	5.39	82	4.85	65
021	<i>Bland</i>	50.0%	3.80	58	\$7.39	3.41	102	100.0%	10.00	1	5.74	19
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	33.3%	0.36	118	\$7.44	3.55	99	55.6%	4.07	110	2.66	117
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	45.5%	2.87	81	\$7.01	2.38	116	81.5%	7.53	15	4.26	91
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	50.0%	3.80	59	\$8.06	5.23	35	65.8%	5.44	80	4.82	68
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	51.6%	4.13	52	\$8.03	5.15	41	71.0%	6.13	52	5.14	49
031	<i>Campbell</i>	51.2%	4.05	54	\$7.98	5.01	47	66.7%	5.56	67	4.87	64
033	<i>Caroline</i>	47.9%	3.38	71	\$7.92	4.85	51	89.8%	8.64	8	5.62	24
035	<i>Carroll</i>	58.6%	5.58	25	\$7.29	3.14	107	71.4%	6.19	47	4.97	58
036	<i>Charles City</i>	62.5%	6.39	11	\$6.13	0.00	119	25.0%	0.00	119	2.13	118
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	37.5%	1.22	112	\$7.99	5.04	46	63.0%	5.06	89	3.77	105
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	46.6%	3.09	77	\$8.19	5.58	29	77.6%	7.01	24	5.23	44
043	<i>Clarke</i>	66.7%	7.25	5	\$8.06	5.23	36	57.1%	4.29	106	5.59	26
045	<i>Craig</i>	66.7%	7.25	6	\$7.63	4.07	78	100.0%	10.00	2	7.10	3
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	54.9%	4.82	40	\$8.71	6.99	10	66.7%	5.56	68	5.79	16
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	48.8%	3.56	67	\$8.30	5.88	24	85.7%	8.09	10	5.85	14
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	58.0%	5.46	28	\$7.41	3.47	101	62.1%	4.94	94	4.62	75
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	55.6%	4.95	36	\$8.06	5.23	37	73.9%	6.52	40	5.57	28
057	<i>Essex</i>	44.4%	2.66	88	\$7.66	4.15	73	60.0%	4.67	101	3.82	103
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	44.0%	2.56	90	\$9.82	10.00	1	75.7%	6.76	31	6.44	7
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	60.0%	5.87	16	\$8.40	6.15	19	65.0%	5.33	83	5.78	17
063	<i>Floyd</i>	58.6%	5.58	23	\$7.60	3.98	81	76.5%	6.86	28	5.48	34
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	55.6%	4.95	37	\$7.76	4.42	66	100.0%	10.00	3	6.46	6
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	37.7%	1.26	111	\$7.68	4.20	70	63.0%	5.07	88	3.51	112
069	<i>Frederick</i>	45.1%	2.80	85	\$8.86	7.40	7	72.0%	6.27	46	5.49	30
071	<i>Giles</i>	46.7%	3.12	76	\$8.00	5.07	44	69.6%	5.94	56	4.71	73
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	45.8%	2.94	80	\$7.90	4.80	53	67.9%	5.71	63	4.49	83
075	<i>Goochland</i>	40.0%	1.74	103	\$7.75	4.39	67	80.0%	7.33	17	4.49	82
077	<i>Grayson</i>	50.0%	3.80	60	\$7.77	4.44	65	61.5%	4.87	97	4.37	87

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	56.4%	5.13	33	\$7.86	4.69	59	68.4%	5.79	61	5.20	46
081	Greensville/Emporia	33.7%	0.43	117	\$7.39	3.41	103	42.9%	2.38	118	2.08	119
083	Halifax	38.5%	1.43	109	\$7.64	4.09	76	69.4%	5.92	58	3.82	104
085	Hanover	41.1%	1.97	101	\$9.38	8.81	4	82.6%	7.68	11	6.15	9
087	Henrico	45.1%	2.79	87	\$8.46	6.31	17	80.2%	7.36	16	5.49	31
089	Henry/Martinsville	43.3%	2.42	92	\$7.53	3.79	88	60.3%	4.70	100	3.64	109
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	60.2%	5.92	14	\$7.56	3.88	85	75.0%	6.67	32	5.49	32
095	James City	67.1%	7.33	4	\$7.91	4.82	52	66.7%	5.56	69	5.90	13
097	King and Queen	42.9%	2.33	95	\$7.85	4.66	61	80.0%	7.33	18	4.77	70
099	King George	40.0%	1.74	104	\$7.78	4.47	63	66.7%	5.56	70	3.92	99
101	King William	58.8%	5.63	22	\$8.37	6.07	20	50.0%	3.33	115	5.01	56
103	Lancaster	52.4%	4.30	48	\$8.21	5.64	27	71.4%	6.19	48	5.37	39
105	Lee	61.5%	6.17	13	\$7.03	2.44	115	81.8%	7.58	13	5.40	37
107	Loudoun	55.4%	4.92	39	\$9.66	9.57	2	77.0%	6.93	26	7.14	2
109	Louisa	49.4%	3.67	65	\$8.29	5.85	25	71.0%	6.13	53	5.22	45
111	Lunenburg	31.6%	0.00	119	\$6.96	2.25	118	100.0%	10.00	4	4.08	95
113	Madison	50.0%	3.80	61	\$8.03	5.15	42	62.5%	5.00	92	4.65	74
115	Mathews	80.0%	10.00	1	\$8.49	6.40	13	100.0%	10.00	5	8.80	1
117	Mecklenburg	42.4%	2.23	97	\$7.46	3.60	97	68.8%	5.83	60	3.89	101
119	Middlesex	48.6%	3.51	69	\$7.14	2.74	114	93.8%	9.17	6	5.14	48
121	Montgomery	63.9%	6.67	8	\$7.90	4.80	54	74.1%	6.55	38	6.01	11
125	Nelson	60.0%	5.87	17	\$7.63	4.07	79	71.4%	6.19	49	5.38	38
127	New Kent	36.0%	0.91	114	\$8.76	7.13	9	71.4%	6.19	50	4.74	72
131	Northampton	41.5%	2.05	100	\$8.47	6.34	16	87.5%	8.33	9	5.58	27
133	Northumberland	63.6%	6.62	9	\$8.83	7.32	8	66.7%	5.56	71	6.50	5
135	Nottoway	37.4%	1.20	113	\$7.47	3.63	95	78.6%	7.14	21	3.99	98
137	Orange	50.9%	3.99	55	\$7.49	3.69	93	76.2%	6.83	29	4.83	66
139	Page	51.2%	4.06	53	\$8.48	6.37	15	61.1%	4.81	99	5.08	54
141	Patrick	51.9%	4.19	50	\$7.25	3.04	112	70.7%	6.10	55	4.44	84
143	Pittsylvania	39.8%	1.69	105	\$7.52	3.77	89	54.1%	3.87	112	3.11	115
145	Powhatan	46.2%	3.01	79	\$8.49	6.40	14	81.8%	7.58	14	5.66	22
147	Prince Edward	49.5%	3.71	63	\$7.50	3.71	91	72.9%	6.39	43	4.60	76
149	Prince George	45.2%	2.81	83	\$8.00	5.07	45	57.7%	4.36	104	4.08	96
153	Prince William	47.2%	3.23	75	\$9.38	8.81	5	74.2%	6.56	36	6.20	8
155	Pulaski	44.0%	2.56	91	\$7.51	3.74	90	59.6%	4.62	103	3.64	110
157	Rappahannock	80.0%	10.00	2	\$8.19	5.58	30	66.7%	5.56	72	7.05	4
159	Richmond County	47.4%	3.26	73	\$7.50	3.71	92	50.0%	3.33	116	3.44	113
161	Roanoke County	59.3%	5.73	20	\$8.17	5.53	31	63.1%	5.07	87	5.45	35
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48.7%	3.54	68	\$7.28	3.12	108	73.3%	6.44	42	4.37	88
165	Rockingham/H'burg	57.2%	5.30	29	\$7.88	4.74	58	61.2%	4.82	98	4.95	59
167	Russell	49.5%	3.69	64	\$7.24	3.01	113	62.9%	5.06	91	3.92	100
169	Scott	49.6%	3.73	62	\$7.28	3.12	109	90.4%	8.72	7	5.19	47
171	Shenandoah	63.6%	6.62	10	\$7.70	4.25	69	66.7%	5.56	73	5.48	33

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	35.3%	0.77	115	\$7.47	3.63	96	64.6%	5.28	84	3.23	114
175	<i>Southampton</i>	38.6%	1.44	108	\$8.34	5.99	22	66.7%	5.56	74	4.33	89
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	56.2%	5.08	34	\$8.34	5.99	23	76.7%	6.89	27	5.99	12
179	<i>Stafford</i>	41.8%	2.11	99	\$8.44	6.26	18	74.5%	6.60	35	4.99	57
181	<i>Surry</i>	56.1%	5.06	35	\$8.01	5.09	43	56.5%	4.20	109	4.79	69
183	<i>Sussex</i>	47.5%	3.30	72	\$7.26	3.06	111	71.0%	6.13	54	4.16	93
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.6%	4.95	38	\$7.37	3.36	105	74.0%	6.53	39	4.95	60
187	<i>Warren</i>	51.9%	4.20	49	\$8.29	5.85	26	50.9%	3.45	113	4.50	80
191	<i>Washington</i>	58.4%	5.54	26	\$7.35	3.31	106	61.9%	4.92	95	4.59	78
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	58.2%	5.50	27	\$7.95	4.93	50	74.2%	6.56	37	5.66	21
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.7%	5.20	31	\$7.39	3.41	104	67.8%	5.70	65	4.77	71
197	<i>Wythe</i>	51.6%	4.13	51	\$7.45	3.58	98	68.4%	5.79	62	4.50	81
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.9%	6.26	12	\$7.57	3.90	84	66.7%	5.56	75	5.24	43
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	43.2%	2.40	93	\$9.56	9.30	3	72.5%	6.33	45	6.01	10
520	<i>Bristol</i>	45.1%	2.80	84	\$7.60	3.98	82	57.0%	4.27	107	3.69	107
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	47.3%	3.26	74	\$8.37	6.07	21	66.0%	5.46	79	4.93	61
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.0%	5.86	18	\$7.68	4.20	71	78.5%	7.13	22	5.73	20
590	<i>Danville</i>	34.6%	0.63	116	\$7.61	4.01	80	57.0%	4.27	108	2.97	116
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	42.9%	2.33	96	\$8.07	5.26	34	47.8%	3.04	117	3.54	111
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.9%	5.64	21	\$8.05	5.20	38	75.0%	6.67	33	5.84	15
640	<i>Galax</i>	40.4%	1.83	102	\$7.64	4.09	77	63.0%	5.06	90	3.66	108
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.7%	4.36	46	\$8.05	5.20	39	73.4%	6.45	41	5.34	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	39.3%	1.59	107	\$7.44	3.55	100	72.6%	6.35	44	3.83	102
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.7%	4.36	45	\$7.56	3.88	86	66.5%	5.53	76	4.59	77
683	<i>Manassas</i>	39.6%	1.66	106	\$8.62	6.75	11	77.4%	6.99	25	5.13	50
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	58.6%	5.58	24	\$8.05	5.20	40	62.5%	5.00	93	5.26	42
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.7%	3.94	56	\$7.84	4.63	62	71.4%	6.18	51	4.92	62
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	59.3%	5.73	19	\$7.56	3.88	87	79.9%	7.32	19	5.64	23
720	<i>Norton</i>	48.9%	3.57	66	\$7.00	2.36	117	79.0%	7.19	20	4.38	86
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	45.1%	2.79	86	\$8.12	5.39	32	77.6%	7.02	23	5.07	55
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.7%	4.36	47	\$7.89	4.77	56	66.5%	5.53	77	4.88	63
750	<i>Radford</i>	64.6%	6.82	7	\$7.90	4.80	55	60.0%	4.67	102	5.43	36
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	38.3%	1.39	110	\$7.97	4.99	48	67.8%	5.71	64	4.03	97
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.3%	2.63	89	\$7.96	4.96	49	63.8%	5.17	86	4.25	92
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	56.5%	5.15	32	\$7.89	4.77	57	65.7%	5.43	81	5.12	53
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.1%	3.82	57	\$8.20	5.61	28	69.5%	5.93	57	5.12	52
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	57.1%	5.28	30	\$7.60	3.98	83	64.3%	5.24	85	4.83	67
840	<i>Winchester</i>	46.4%	3.06	78	\$7.66	4.15	74	55.6%	4.07	111	3.76	106

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.7%	2.18	115	\$820	2.55	96	57.9%	5.93	32	3.55	108
003	Albemarle	74.9%	7.97	12	\$994	6.09	17	54.7%	5.17	59	6.41	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.3%	7.22	29	\$812	2.40	101	45.6%	3.02	108	4.21	89
007	Amelia	62.1%	4.31	96	\$940	4.98	36	45.3%	2.93	110	4.07	93
009	Amherst	68.2%	6.04	56	\$832	2.80	91	51.8%	4.49	79	4.45	83
011	Appomattox	70.8%	6.81	37	\$834	2.84	90	43.2%	2.44	113	4.03	97
013	Arlington	74.0%	7.71	17	\$1,031	6.83	10	63.9%	7.37	9	7.30	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.1%	6.03	58	\$938	4.95	39	54.5%	5.13	63	5.37	41
017	Bath	67.6%	5.87	65	\$706	0.25	119	56.5%	5.61	43	3.91	101
019	Bedford County/City	67.1%	5.75	69	\$824	2.63	95	55.2%	5.28	56	4.55	78
021	Bland	62.9%	4.54	93	\$819	2.54	97	47.9%	3.55	98	3.54	109
023	Botetourt	50.6%	1.01	119	\$919	4.55	49	41.5%	2.05	116	2.54	118
025	Brunswick	57.9%	3.09	109	\$806	2.27	103	57.3%	5.80	35	3.72	102
027	Buchanan	52.5%	1.56	117	\$809	2.34	102	54.5%	5.12	64	3.01	115
029	Buckingham	57.6%	3.03	111	\$921	4.60	48	52.0%	4.54	78	4.05	95
031	Campbell	69.1%	6.31	43	\$866	3.49	74	59.6%	6.34	24	5.38	40
033	Caroline	62.2%	4.34	95	\$945	5.10	33	43.2%	2.43	114	3.96	99
035	Carroll	67.2%	5.76	68	\$799	2.13	110	46.0%	3.12	107	3.67	105
036	Charles City	54.0%	1.99	116	\$871	3.59	71	60.5%	6.56	17	4.04	96
037	Charlotte	51.8%	1.36	118	\$901	4.21	60	46.8%	3.29	102	2.95	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66.5%	5.57	73	\$998	6.16	16	60.5%	6.56	18	6.10	23
043	Clarke	61.2%	4.05	100	\$958	5.35	28	47.2%	3.38	101	4.26	88
045	Craig	65.2%	5.20	82	\$1,004	6.28	14	75.0%	10.00	1	7.16	7
047	Culpeper	65.7%	5.34	79	\$947	5.13	31	55.3%	5.31	54	5.26	44
049	Cumberland	57.2%	2.91	112	\$938	4.96	38	46.1%	3.12	106	3.66	106
051	Dickensen	59.7%	3.61	104	\$802	2.20	107	48.7%	3.74	94	3.18	114
053	Dinwiddie	68.4%	6.11	53	\$939	4.97	37	52.9%	4.73	72	5.27	43
057	Essex	69.1%	6.31	44	\$840	2.96	87	40.4%	1.78	117	3.69	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.1%	6.31	45	\$1,108	8.39	4	61.7%	6.83	13	7.18	6
061	Fauquier	70.0%	6.57	42	\$990	5.99	18	62.2%	6.96	11	6.51	13
063	Floyd	70.8%	6.79	38	\$909	4.36	55	46.2%	3.15	105	4.77	68
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	7.88	13	\$1,082	7.87	5	53.9%	4.97	69	6.91	8
067	Franklin County	65.3%	5.23	81	\$928	4.76	44	49.9%	4.04	89	4.67	73
069	Frederick	61.8%	4.22	97	\$970	5.60	26	54.8%	5.20	58	5.01	53
071	Giles	59.3%	3.50	107	\$928	4.74	45	48.3%	3.65	97	3.97	98
073	Gloucester	68.0%	5.99	62	\$832	2.80	92	55.9%	5.46	49	4.75	71
075	Goochland	68.8%	6.24	48	\$864	3.44	75	54.7%	5.16	60	4.95	57
077	Grayson	65.7%	5.35	78	\$828	2.72	94	56.9%	5.69	39	4.58	75

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.7%	8.48	5	\$907	4.33	56	50.0%	4.06	88	5.62	32
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.8%	3.06	110	\$797	2.10	111	56.6%	5.63	42	3.60	107
083	Halifax	59.4%	3.54	106	\$818	2.52	98	47.7%	3.52	99	3.19	113
085	Hanover	64.3%	4.93	87	\$1,061	7.44	8	65.3%	7.70	7	6.69	9
087	Henrico	68.8%	6.22	49	\$1,007	6.36	13	55.9%	5.47	48	6.02	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.6%	5.01	85	\$916	4.50	51	54.3%	5.09	65	4.86	63
091	Highland	71.4%	6.98	33	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	6.43	22	4.47	82
093	Isle of Wight	72.3%	7.24	28	\$893	4.04	65	55.7%	5.42	52	5.57	35
095	James City	73.0%	7.42	23	\$871	3.59	70	51.0%	4.30	83	5.10	49
097	King and Queen	55.2%	2.34	114	\$874	3.65	68	36.1%	0.76	119	2.25	120
099	King George	68.6%	6.16	51	\$909	4.36	54	48.8%	3.76	93	4.76	70
101	King William	61.1%	4.00	101	\$975	5.70	25	44.2%	2.69	112	4.13	92
103	Lancaster	67.3%	5.80	67	\$828	2.72	93	48.5%	3.69	95	4.07	94
105	Lee	66.1%	5.45	75	\$756	1.26	116	60.0%	6.43	23	4.38	84
107	Loudoun	80.2%	9.49	3	\$1,157	9.38	2	65.6%	7.76	5	8.88	1
109	Louisa	63.4%	4.68	90	\$957	5.33	29	55.8%	5.44	51	5.15	48
111	Lunenburg	47.1%	0.00	120	\$736	0.86	117	61.3%	6.73	14	2.53	119
113	Madison	66.5%	5.57	74	\$984	5.89	19	45.5%	2.98	109	4.81	67
115	Mathews	64.6%	5.03	84	\$861	3.40	77	54.2%	5.07	66	4.50	80
117	Mecklenburg	68.1%	6.01	59	\$806	2.27	104	58.2%	6.02	29	4.77	69
119	Middlesex	68.4%	6.10	54	\$862	3.42	76	56.7%	5.64	41	5.05	52
121	Montgomery	80.6%	9.60	2	\$915	4.49	52	53.9%	4.98	68	6.36	17
125	Nelson	68.2%	6.04	57	\$983	5.86	20	55.6%	5.38	53	5.76	31
127	New Kent	63.7%	4.77	89	\$1,001	6.22	15	51.8%	4.49	80	5.16	47
131	Northampton	63.2%	4.63	91	\$802	2.19	108	65.6%	7.76	6	4.86	64
133	Northumberland	61.2%	4.06	99	\$839	2.94	88	40.0%	1.68	118	2.89	117
135	Nottoway	68.5%	6.14	52	\$868	3.53	72	54.9%	5.22	57	4.97	56
137	Orange	72.4%	7.26	27	\$941	5.01	35	43.0%	2.40	115	4.89	60
139	Page	73.2%	7.50	22	\$977	5.73	23	56.4%	5.58	45	6.27	19
141	Patrick	67.0%	5.69	71	\$801	2.18	109	65.8%	7.81	4	5.23	45
143	Pittsylvania	56.8%	2.79	113	\$847	3.10	84	50.9%	4.28	84	3.39	110
145	Powhatan	59.6%	3.58	105	\$911	4.40	53	54.1%	5.03	67	4.33	86
147	Prince Edward	73.8%	7.65	19	\$851	3.19	81	60.7%	6.60	16	5.81	30
149	Prince George	63.0%	4.56	92	\$982	5.84	21	50.4%	4.15	85	4.85	65
153	Prince William	74.2%	7.79	14	\$1,187	10.00	1	61.9%	6.88	12	8.22	2
155	Pulaski	65.5%	5.29	80	\$924	4.67	46	45.2%	2.92	111	4.29	87
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	5.61	72	\$958	5.36	27	48.4%	3.67	96	4.88	61
159	Richmond County	66.0%	5.42	76	\$922	4.62	47	32.9%	0.00	120	3.35	111
161	Roanoke County	75.1%	8.03	9	\$1,024	6.68	11	52.4%	4.63	77	6.45	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	75.1%	8.02	10	\$849	3.15	83	54.6%	5.14	62	5.43	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.0%	8.00	11	\$934	4.86	41	58.4%	6.04	28	6.30	18
167	Russell	62.8%	4.51	94	\$835	2.86	89	58.4%	6.06	27	4.47	81
169	Scott	59.3%	3.49	108	\$861	3.38	78	64.8%	7.57	8	4.81	66
171	Shenandoah	67.6%	5.87	66	\$904	4.27	57	53.4%	4.87	71	5.00	54

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	61.7%	4.18	98	\$803	2.21	106	52.7%	4.69	74	3.69	103
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.1%	3.73	103	\$813	2.42	100	47.4%	3.45	100	3.20	112
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	71.0%	6.84	35	\$1,021	6.62	12	56.9%	5.71	38	6.39	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	60.9%	3.97	102	\$1,122	8.67	3	57.9%	5.93	31	6.19	22
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.6%	7.33	25	\$857	3.31	79	50.4%	4.14	86	4.93	59
183	<i>Sussex</i>	68.2%	6.04	55	\$932	4.82	43	57.6%	5.87	34	5.58	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.8%	6.22	50	\$783	1.82	114	57.1%	5.75	37	4.60	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.1%	6.60	41	\$975	5.70	24	56.8%	5.66	40	5.99	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	68.0%	5.99	61	\$873	3.63	69	49.6%	3.97	90	4.53	79
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.0%	5.41	77	\$897	4.12	62	50.3%	4.14	87	4.55	77
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.94	86	\$783	1.81	115	57.3%	5.79	36	4.18	90
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.0%	6.00	60	\$817	2.50	99	56.4%	5.57	46	4.69	72
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.8%	5.08	83	\$866	3.49	73	46.5%	3.24	103	3.93	100
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	6.84	34	\$1,038	6.97	9	69.6%	8.72	2	7.51	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.9%	6.83	36	\$842	3.01	86	49.1%	3.83	92	4.56	76
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	82.0%	10.00	1	\$917	4.53	50	55.9%	5.46	50	6.66	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.0%	7.72	15	\$901	4.20	61	56.3%	5.56	47	5.83	29
590	<i>Danville</i>	67.6%	5.88	64	\$788	1.91	113	52.7%	4.70	73	4.16	91
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.8%	6.24	47	\$789	1.93	112	53.7%	4.94	70	4.37	85
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	78.1%	8.90	4	\$977	5.74	22	54.6%	5.15	61	6.60	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	64.2%	4.91	88	\$853	3.23	80	60.1%	6.47	21	4.87	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.9%	7.11	31	\$895	4.08	63	52.6%	4.67	76	5.29	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	70.2%	6.62	40	\$904	4.27	58	51.4%	4.38	81	5.09	50
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	72.8%	7.38	24	\$806	2.26	105	62.6%	7.04	10	5.56	36
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.0%	5.72	70	\$1,076	7.74	6	59.5%	6.32	25	6.59	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.0%	7.71	16	\$1,061	7.45	7	67.4%	8.19	3	7.78	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.9%	7.68	18	\$904	4.26	59	58.2%	6.02	30	5.99	26
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.4%	7.27	26	\$843	3.03	85	55.2%	5.30	55	5.20	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.5%	8.14	7	\$721	0.55	118	60.3%	6.50	20	5.06	51
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.0%	7.14	30	\$933	4.84	42	57.7%	5.88	33	5.95	27
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.1%	8.04	8	\$887	3.92	67	61.2%	6.72	15	6.23	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.4%	7.55	21	\$894	4.07	64	46.4%	3.21	104	4.94	58
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.7%	7.07	32	\$946	5.11	32	60.4%	6.53	19	6.24	20
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	67.9%	5.97	63	\$944	5.07	34	49.6%	3.96	91	5.00	55
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.1%	8.31	6	\$851	3.19	82	59.2%	6.25	26	5.92	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.9%	6.24	46	\$936	4.90	40	56.5%	5.60	44	5.58	33
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.7%	7.63	20	\$889	3.95	66	52.7%	4.69	75	5.42	38
840	<i>Winchester</i>	70.3%	6.66	39	\$954	5.26	30	51.1%	4.32	82	5.42	39

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2009 – October 31, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	5,968	348	229	521	165	105	633	91	154	249	300	209	874	228	63	579	194	982	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	94%	94%	94%	96%	83%	86%	96%	94%	95%	97%	94%	88%	85%	89%	95%	81%	92%	86%
% Timely in October 2009	92%	94%	94%	95%	99%	76%	86%	91%	95%	97%	97%	94%	86%	83%	92%	96%	89%	94%	92%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	2,106	136	101	179	89	37	233	34	59	85	121	64	255	84	32	183	65	337	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	97%	97%	93%	97%	97%	95%	91%	100%	95%	99%	98%	94%	99%	94%	91%	92%	92%	100%
Percent in October 2009	95%	97%	97%	93%	97%	97%	95%	91%	100%	95%	99%	98%	94%	99%	94%	91%	92%	92%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	157	8	2	9	1	11	24	2	9	3	2	6	41	21	1	12	3	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	19	10	2	5	7	22	14	16	33	14	23	20	22	27	22	17	33	2	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009		348	29	25	52	40	42	59	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009		94%	100%	96%	92%	100%	93%	97%	77%
% Timely in October 2009		94%	100%	93%	82%	100%	89%	97%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009		136	9	8	22	27	7	22	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009		97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
Percent in October 2009		97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	0%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		8	0	0	4	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		10	0	0	6	0	16	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	229	2	27	12	40	36	19	63	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	100%	100%	85%	97%	79%	97%	97%
% Timely in October 2009	94%	100%	100%	100%	86%	96%	63%	98%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	101	0	10	4	21	14	10	24	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
Percent in October 2009	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botetourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	521	33	4	2	4	43	14	79	22	65	9	246
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	94%	75%	100%	100%	72%	93%	100%	100%	94%	89%	95%
% Timely in October 2009	95%	94%	67%	100%	N/A	68%	67%	100%	100%	95%	75%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	179	8	1	1	0	10	2	22	19	20	6	90
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	88%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%	85%	100%	93%
Percent in October 2009	93%	88%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%	85%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	9	1	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	5	10	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	8

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	165	92	1	0	14	58
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	88%
% Timely in October 2009	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	89	57	0	0	3	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	33%	97%
Percent in October 2009	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	33%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	105	2	27	22	2	22	30	44	32	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	83%	100%	52%	100%	100%	95%	87%	86%	97%	58%
% Timely in October 2009	76%	N/A	46%	100%	N/A	80%	82%	92%	100%	71%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	37	2	8	7	1	12	7	12	9	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	11	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	23	0	0	6	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	633	53	171	50	235	76	31	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	86%	98%	91%	88%	78%	100%	61%	71%
% Timely in October 2009	86%	100%	94%	83%	79%	100%	38%	70%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	233	20	71	22	82	27	6	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	93%	95%	96%	93%	83%	100%
Percent in October 2009	95%	100%	93%	95%	96%	93%	83%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	24	0	0	2	12	0	7	3
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	3	17	0	16	7

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	91	36	29	10	16	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	92%	100%	90%	100%	N/A
% Timely in October 2009	91%	81%	100%	86%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	34	12	14	3	5	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	92%	93%	100%	80%	N/A
Percent in October 2009	91%	92%	93%	100%	80%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	2	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	16	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	154	34	6	12	23	6	73
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	0%	67%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in October 2009	95%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	59	13	0	7	11	2	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2009	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	9	0	7	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	38	15	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	249	19	19	52	61	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	100%	92%	100%	92%
% Timely in October 2009	97%	100%	100%	96%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	85	8	9	16	22	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	100%	94%	100%	90%
Percent in October 2009	95%	100%	100%	94%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	14

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	300	31	112	31	25	101
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	97%	90%	97%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in October 2009	97%	80%	98%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	121	9	30	11	18	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2009	99%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	23	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	209	13	36	18	17	11	21	10	30	19	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	62%	97%	100%	88%	100%	95%	100%	97%	100%	91%
% Timely in October 2009	94%	33%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	64	3	7	6	6	6	7	6	10	5	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%
Percent in October 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	874	172	4	42	259	5	392
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	88%	90%	75%	88%	82%	100%	90%
% Timely in October 2009	86%	85%	50%	87%	87%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	255	44	1	11	61	2	136
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	95%	100%	73%	95%	100%	94%
Percent in October 2009	94%	95%	100%	73%	95%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	41	8	1	1	15	0	16
Average # of Days Over 30	22	20	7	3	39	0	9

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	228	56	4	71	54	43
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	85%	66%	100%	85%	96%	95%
% Timely in October 2009	83%	50%	100%	95%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	84	19	7	24	19	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2009	99%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	21	20	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	28	0	0	8	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	63	14	6	7	7	1	4	2	6	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	79%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	94%
% Timely in October 2009	92%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	75%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	32	5	5	2	2	0	5	2	4	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	80%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2009	94%	100%	80%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	579	1	16	24	8	24	167	326	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	93%	92%
% Timely in October 2009	96%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	183	0	7	4	0	3	52	111	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	N/A	86%	100%	N/A	100%	96%	87%	100%
Percent in October 2009	91%	N/A	86%	100%	N/A	100%	96%	87%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	12	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	1
Average # of Days Over 30	17	3	0	0	0	0	38	15	7

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	194	14	13	12	8	51	96
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	81%	100%	100%	83%	75%	61%	86%
% Timely in October 2009	89%	100%	100%	83%	100%	67%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	65	4	6	5	5	16	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
Percent in October 2009	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	0	0	33	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	982	28	21	172	6	296	220	69	170
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	96%	90%	93%	83%	82%	97%	96%	97%
% Timely in October 2009	94%	92%	86%	96%	100%	87%	98%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	337	6	13	47	11	97	80	35	48
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	100%	94%	100%	81%	98%	97%	94%
Percent in October 2009	92%	100%	100%	94%	100%	81%	98%	97%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Oct-08</i>	<i>Oct-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,908	14,782	6.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,029	126,955	-1.6%
Total Cases Collected	142,937	141,737	-0.8%
TANF Collections	\$2,477,626	\$2,641,662	6.6%
NTANF Collections	\$47,317,786	\$46,174,076	-2.4%
Total Collections	\$49,795,412	\$48,815,738	-2.0%
SFY YTD Collections	\$209,837,158	\$198,240,470	-5.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$363	\$350	-3.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Oct-09</i>
TANF Paternities	216
NTANF Paternities	370
Combined Paternities	586

Diversionsary Assistance

October Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	3		Patrick	3
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	1
Amherst	3		Prince William	6
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Pulaski	4
Bedford City	4		Roanoke County	15
Buckingham	7		Rockingham/ H'burg	15
Campbell	1		Shenandoah	20
Caroline	4		Smyth	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	30		Spotsylvania	15
Clarke	2		Stafford	14
Culpeper	3		Surry	1
Dinwiddie	2		Tazewell	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	4		Warren	8
Fauquier	3		Westmoreland	1
Floyd	2		York / Poquoson	1
Franklin County	6		Alexandria	2
Frederick	4		Bristol	6
Gloucester	1		Charlottesville	2
Goochland	1		Chesapeake	5
Grayson	1		Danville	2
Greene	10		Fredricksburg	5
Halifax	1		Hampton	12
Henrico	10		Hopewell	3
Henry / Martinsville	6		Lynchburg	2
Isle of Wight	6		Mannassas	1
James City	2		Manassas Park	5
King William	1		Newport News	30
Loudoun	1		Norfolk	34
Mathews	1		Portsmouth	3
Montgomery	2		Richmond City	12
Nelson	1		Roanoke City	10
Nottoway	1		Suffolk	21
Orange	2		Virginia Beach	13
Page	1		Winchester	4
			Statewide	419

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark

¹⁴ As of about noon November 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..


This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:

http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through October 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through October 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


October 31 2009



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of November and represent end of October data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of October, it was \$8.14 rather than the \$7.94 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.