

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**November 2009**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services December 22, 2009

# *Monthly Report, November 2009*

## *Table of Contents*

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# *Estimated Work Participation Rates for November*

*These are estimated locality November 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 19,820 and a numerator of 8,515.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	73	27	37%	061	Fauquier	76	30	39%
003	Albemarle	77	41	53%	063	Floyd	22	9	41%
005	Alleghany/Covington	76	19	25%	065	Fluvanna	19	1	5%
007	Amelia	33	15	45%	067	Franklin County	133	39	29%
009	Amherst	44	19	43%	069	Frederick	79	27	34%
011	Appomattox	46	28	61%	071	Giles	23	12	52%
013	Arlington	139	83	60%	073	Gloucester	59	24	41%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	321	158	49%	075	Goochland	20	0	0%
017	Bath	3	0	0%	077	Grayson	22	12	55%
019	Bedford County/City	130	50	38%	079	Greene	36	11	31%
021	Bland	7	2	29%	081	Greensville/Emporia	88	22	25%
023	Botetourt	20	1	5%	083	Halifax	107	32	30%
025	Brunswick	74	41	55%	085	Hanover	110	20	18%
027	Buchanan	66	32	48%	087	Henrico	918	429	47%
029	Buckingham	49	21	43%	089	Henry/Martinsville	335	89	27%
031	Campbell	176	72	41%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	124	42	34%	093	Isle of Wight	82	43	52%
035	Carroll	62	41	66%	095	James City	76	41	54%
036	Charles City	9	1	11%	097	King and Queen	12	2	17%
037	Charlotte	42	18	43%	099	King George	29	11	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	575	260	45%	101	King William	25	12	48%
043	Clarke	6	2	33%	103	Lancaster	22	8	36%
045	Craig	4	3	75%	105	Lee	128	49	38%
047	Culpeper	74	31	42%	107	Loudoun	179	52	29%
049	Cumberland	43	22	51%	109	Louisa	54	28	52%
051	Dickensen	46	19	41%	111	Lunenburg	32	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	54	22	41%	113	Madison	19	5	26%
057	Essex	74	19	26%	115	Mathews	7	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	642	309	48%	117	Mecklenburg	101	43	43%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	4	22%	187	Warren	77	38	49%
121	Montgomery	211	99	47%	191	Washington	110	41	37%
125	Nelson	21	5	24%	193	Westmoreland	45	22	49%
127	New Kent	24	6	25%	195	Wise	239	94	39%
131	Northampton	50	16	32%	197	Wythe	80	30	38%
133	Northumberland	12	5	42%	199	York/Poquoson	74	40	54%
135	Nottoway	67	6	9%	510	Alexandria	291	143	49%
137	Orange	57	15	26%	520	Bristol	136	70	51%
139	Page	36	14	39%	540	Charlottesville	197	81	41%
141	Patrick	54	27	50%	550	Chesapeake	790	367	46%
143	Pittsylvania	87	26	30%	590	Danville	263	116	44%
145	Powhatan	23	12	52%	620	Franklin City	43	13	30%
147	Prince Edward	80	41	51%	630	Fredericksburg	125	60	48%
149	Prince George	38	19	50%	640	Galax	55	24	44%
153	Prince William	778	304	39%	650	Hampton	712	377	53%
155	Pulaski	80	32	40%	670	Hopewell	227	53	23%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	357	178	50%
159	Richmond County	18	3	17%	683	Manassas	93	35	38%
161	Roanoke County	168	91	54%	685	Manassas Park	29	21	72%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	47	12	26%	700	Newport News	1,050	527	50%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	165	82	50%	710	Norfolk	1,315	695	53%
167	Russell	126	57	45%	720	Norton	39	14	36%
169	Scott	110	30	27%	730	Petersburg	427	148	35%
171	Shenandoah	21	7	33%	740	Portsmouth	691	281	41%
173	Smyth	90	32	36%	750	Radford	39	21	54%
175	Southampton	66	17	26%	760	Richmond City	1,766	645	37%
177	Spotsylvania	234	106	45%	770	Roanoke City	650	221	34%
179	Stafford	187	78	42%	800	Suffolk	207	120	58%
181	Surry	35	17	49%	810	Virginia Beach	581	293	50%
183	Sussex	51	26	51%	830	Williamsburg	22	7	32%
185	Tazewell	162	73	45%	840	Winchester	69	28	41%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# ***Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for November***

***These are estimated locality November 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 38% with a denominator of 17,871 and a numerator of 6,769. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.***

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	66	20	30%	061	Fauquier	63	19	30%
003	Albemarle	59	25	42%	063	Floyd	19	8	42%
005	Alleghany/Covington	69	13	19%	065	Fluvanna	17	0	0%
007	Amelia	33	15	45%	067	Franklin County	123	30	24%
009	Amherst	38	14	37%	069	Frederick	69	17	25%
011	Appomattox	44	27	61%	071	Giles	21	10	48%
013	Arlington	123	68	55%	073	Gloucester	55	21	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	282	122	43%	075	Goochland	20	0	0%
017	Bath	3	0	0%	077	Grayson	20	10	50%
019	Bedford County/City	119	39	33%	079	Greene	32	7	22%
021	Bland	6	1	17%	081	Greensville/Emporia	84	18	21%
023	Botetourt	18	1	6%	083	Halifax	102	27	26%
025	Brunswick	68	35	51%	085	Hanover	103	15	15%
027	Buchanan	55	21	38%	087	Henrico	839	359	43%
029	Buckingham	44	16	36%	089	Henry/Martinsville	311	66	21%
031	Campbell	153	50	33%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	109	35	32%	093	Isle of Wight	72	34	47%
035	Carroll	57	37	65%	095	James City	66	32	48%
036	Charles City	8	1	13%	097	King and Queen	10	0	0%
037	Charlotte	35	12	34%	099	King George	24	6	25%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	517	206	40%	101	King William	21	10	48%
043	Clarke	5	1	20%	103	Lancaster	22	8	36%
045	Craig	3	2	67%	105	Lee	114	38	33%
047	Culpeper	69	28	41%	107	Loudoun	154	29	19%
049	Cumberland	40	20	50%	109	Louisa	49	23	47%
051	Dickensen	37	10	27%	111	Lunenburg	28	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	42	10	24%	113	Madison	17	3	18%
057	Essex	71	18	25%	115	Mathews	6	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	559	231	41%	117	Mecklenburg	87	29	33%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	17	3	18%	187	Warren	66	28	42%
121	Montgomery	178	66	37%	191	Washington	101	32	32%
125	Nelson	16	1	6%	193	Westmoreland	40	22	55%
127	New Kent	23	5	22%	195	Wise	211	66	31%
131	Northampton	46	12	26%	197	Wythe	71	23	32%
133	Northumberland	9	2	22%	199	York/Poquoson	68	34	50%
135	Nottoway	65	4	6%	510	Alexandria	262	114	44%
137	Orange	53	14	26%	520	Bristol	126	60	48%
139	Page	29	8	28%	540	Charlottesville	179	64	36%
141	Patrick	47	21	45%	550	Chesapeake	735	320	44%
143	Pittsylvania	82	22	27%	590	Danville	240	96	40%
145	Powhatan	19	8	42%	620	Franklin City	35	7	20%
147	Prince Edward	70	31	44%	630	Fredericksburg	105	43	41%
149	Prince George	35	16	46%	640	Galax	48	17	35%
153	Prince William	675	210	31%	650	Hampton	644	323	50%
155	Pulaski	71	23	32%	670	Hopewell	209	39	19%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	326	149	46%
159	Richmond County	18	3	17%	683	Manassas	81	24	30%
161	Roanoke County	145	68	47%	685	Manassas Park	24	16	67%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	44	9	20%	700	Newport News	941	430	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	139	57	41%	710	Norfolk	1,239	622	50%
167	Russell	119	50	42%	720	Norton	34	10	29%
169	Scott	97	19	20%	730	Petersburg	399	124	31%
171	Shenandoah	19	5	26%	740	Portsmouth	622	214	34%
173	Smyth	86	28	33%	750	Radford	35	17	49%
175	Southampton	59	11	19%	760	Richmond City	1,623	529	33%
177	Spotsylvania	221	97	44%	770	Roanoke City	582	158	27%
179	Stafford	170	63	37%	800	Suffolk	187	100	53%
181	Surry	32	14	44%	810	Virginia Beach	511	226	44%
183	Sussex	41	16	39%	830	Williamsburg	17	4	24%
185	Tazewell	142	55	39%	840	Winchester	61	20	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for November*

*These are estimated locality November 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 1,949 and a numerator of 1,746. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	13	11	85%
003	Albemarle	18	16	89%	063	Floyd	3	1	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	7	6	86%	065	Fluvanna	2	1	50%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	10	9	90%
009	Amherst	6	5	83%	069	Frederick	10	10	100%
011	Appomattox	2	1	50%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	16	15	94%	073	Gloucester	4	3	75%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	39	36	92%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	2	2	100%
019	Bedford County/City	11	11	100%	079	Greene	4	4	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	4	4	100%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	5	5	100%
025	Brunswick	6	6	100%	085	Hanover	7	5	71%
027	Buchanan	11	11	100%	087	Henrico	79	70	89%
029	Buckingham	5	5	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	24	23	96%
031	Campbell	23	22	96%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	15	7	47%	093	Isle of Wight	10	9	90%
035	Carroll	5	4	80%	095	James City	10	9	90%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	7	6	86%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	58	54	93%	101	King William	4	2	50%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	14	11	79%
047	Culpeper	5	3	60%	107	Loudoun	25	23	92%
049	Cumberland	3	2	67%	109	Louisa	5	5	100%
051	Dickensen	9	9	100%	111	Lunenburg	4	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	12	12	100%	113	Madison	2	2	100%
057	Essex	3	1	33%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	83	78	94%	117	Mecklenburg	14	14	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	11	10	91%
121	Montgomery	33	33	100%	191	Washington	9	9	100%
125	Nelson	5	4	80%	193	Westmoreland	5	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	1	100%	195	Wise	28	28	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	9	7	78%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	6	6	100%
135	Nottoway	2	2	100%	510	Alexandria	29	29	100%
137	Orange	4	1	25%	520	Bristol	10	10	100%
139	Page	7	6	86%	540	Charlottesville	18	17	94%
141	Patrick	7	6	86%	550	Chesapeake	55	47	85%
143	Pittsylvania	5	4	80%	590	Danville	23	20	87%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	8	6	75%
147	Prince Edward	10	10	100%	630	Fredericksburg	20	17	85%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	7	7	100%
153	Prince William	103	94	91%	650	Hampton	68	54	79%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	18	14	78%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	31	29	94%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	12	11	92%
161	Roanoke County	23	23	100%	685	Manassas Park	5	5	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	109	97	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	25	96%	710	Norfolk	76	73	96%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	5	4	80%
169	Scott	13	11	85%	730	Petersburg	28	24	86%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	69	67	97%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	7	6	86%	760	Richmond City	143	116	81%
177	Spotsylvania	13	9	69%	770	Roanoke City	68	63	93%
179	Stafford	17	15	88%	800	Suffolk	20	20	100%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	70	67	96%
183	Sussex	10	10	100%	830	Williamsburg	5	3	60%
185	Tazewell	20	18	90%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-09	Nov-08	Change from Nov-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,590	4,554	1%	4,807	-5%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,837	2,520	13%	1,658	71%
Applications Approved	2,140	2,598	-18%	2,820	-24%
Cases Closed *	2,314	2,081	11%	4,476	-48%
Cases at End of Month	34,101	29,304	16%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	34,991	30,177	16%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,314 closed cases, 865 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 520 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 172 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 116 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-09	Nov-08	Change from Nov-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	568	449	27%	338	68%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	283	277	2%	232	22%
Applications Approved	254	235	8%	81	214%
Cases Closed *	247	185	34%	107	131%
Cases at End of Month	2,107	1,202	75%	321	556%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,220	1,336	66%	394	464%

•The database indicates that of the 247 closed cases, 119 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 76 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, nine were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, seven closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	36,208	2,042	1,124	2,791	1,039	536	4,123	445	745	1,318	1,747	1,090	5,811	1,143	458	3,434	1,368	6,708	286
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,573	780	515	1,192	419	237	1,733	173	327	700	625	546	2,461	640	205	1,464	688	2,760	108
Part. in a Work Activity	11,435	595	383	774	302	170	1,357	117	267	527	452	399	1,731	438	154	1,130	395	2,194	50
% of Enrolled	73%	76%	74%	65%	72%	72%	78%	68%	82%	75%	72%	73%	70%	68%	75%	77%	57%	79%	46%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	147	5	7	9	0	9	27	8	1	4	2	3	25	16	5	4	10	12	0
Participants Employed	7,457	426	249	592	241	118	765	91	148	342	274	254	929	308	98	789	281	1,516	36
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	48%	50%	58%	50%	44%	53%	45%	49%	44%	47%	38%	48%	48%	54%	41%	55%	33%
Full Time	6,085	390	201	472	210	88	604	76	107	275	227	176	720	251	77	634	234	1,317	26
Part Time	1,372	36	48	120	31	30	161	15	41	67	47	78	209	57	21	155	47	199	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$7.32	\$7.59	\$7.83	\$7.72	\$8.05	\$9.34	\$8.21	\$8.45	\$7.73	\$7.54	\$7.67	\$8.11	\$8.29	\$7.75	\$7.89	\$7.77	\$7.77	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$987	\$1,018	\$1,047	\$1,044	\$1,032	\$1,264	\$1,093	\$1,065	\$1,054	\$1,016	\$968	\$1,077	\$1,098	\$1,020	\$1,059	\$1,047	\$1,055	\$1,020

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	16,214	926	640	1,421	591	295	1,774	220	409	654	846	495	2,337	578	207	1,465	527	2,686	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW	24,456	1,321	936	2,010	686	442	2,718	287	540	1,022	1,130	834	3,607	916	314	2,322	978	4,216	177
Part. in a Work Activity	21,282	1,164	822	1,705	636	368	2,414	250	491	915	970	719	3,138	782	260	2,051	771	3,687	139
% of Total Enrolled	87%	88%	88%	85%	93%	83%	89%	87%	91%	90%	86%	86%	87%	85%	83%	88%	79%	87%	79%
Participants Employed	12,162	723	447	1,029	381	227	1,288	155	263	534	464	365	1,528	477	158	1,246	441	2,368	68
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	48%	51%	56%	51%	47%	54%	49%	52%	41%	44%	42%	52%	50%	54%	45%	56%	38%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$7.35	\$7.48	\$7.93	\$7.74	\$8.22	\$9.67	\$8.30	\$8.33	\$7.78	\$7.58	\$7.60	\$8.27	\$8.29	\$7.86	\$7.94	\$7.89	\$7.81	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,073	\$987	\$983	\$1,072	\$1,034	\$1,072	\$1,296	\$1,099	\$972	\$1,029	\$995	\$937	\$1,108	\$1,077	\$1,017	\$1,054	\$1,059	\$1,051	\$1,066
Three Months Employment	72%	73%	64%	66%	75%	59%	76%	70%	67%	67%	63%	72%	75%	75%	71%	72%	73%	74%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	229,016	15,041	8,657	16,830	6,564	4,147	28,396	3,266	4,951	8,587	10,936	6,517	30,436	6,458	2,947	21,533	7,787	43,847	2,116
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	172,114	11,390	7,200	12,531	4,880	2,978	21,682	2,441	3,733	7,014	8,687	4,898	23,362	4,542	2,112	15,710	5,991	31,442	1,521
Part. in a Work Activity	164,972	10,944	6,859	11,990	4,739	2,855	20,994	2,354	3,626	6,639	8,373	4,673	22,556	4,336	1,982	15,057	5,711	29,827	1,457
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	97%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	119,943	7,245	4,807	8,819	3,464	2,045	15,643	1,669	2,847	4,928	5,532	3,039	16,254	3,117	1,368	11,379	4,189	22,722	876
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	67%	70%	71%	69%	72%	68%	76%	70%	64%	62%	70%	69%	65%	72%	70%	72%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.93	\$6.01	\$6.09	\$6.88	\$6.92	\$7.09	\$8.41	\$7.24	\$7.21	\$6.33	\$6.20	\$6.48	\$7.15	\$7.65	\$6.73	\$6.79	\$6.79	\$6.66	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$925	\$793	\$831	\$932	\$926	\$963	\$1,126	\$962	\$938	\$829	\$832	\$851	\$971	\$1,008	\$872	\$898	\$926	\$879	\$811
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	54%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,042	206	113	316	283	216	333	517	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	780	50	44	130	116	98	125	194	23
Participants in a Work Activity	595	39	25	104	101	66	110	131	19
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	57%	80%	87%	67%	88%	68%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	426	22	24	86	48	51	72	109	14
% of Enrolled	55%	44%	55%	66%	41%	52%	58%	56%	61%
Full Time	390	22	22	74	41	48	67	102	14
Part Time	36	0	2	12	7	3	5	7	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.69	\$7.61	\$6.99	\$7.25	\$7.28	\$7.40	\$7.48	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$987	\$1,099	\$1,023	\$909	\$931	\$1,019	\$996	\$1,022	\$981

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	926	62	56	130	121	92	179	256	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,321	102	86	205	193	137	206	347	45
Participants in a Work Activity	1,164	88	69	182	184	127	194	290	30
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	80%	89%	95%	93%	94%	84%	67%
Participants Employed	723	49	51	124	96	70	116	194	23
% of Total Enrolled	55%	48%	59%	60%	50%	51%	56%	56%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$8.05	\$7.40	\$7.05	\$7.26	\$7.28	\$7.38	\$7.43	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$987	\$1,159	\$998	\$910	\$950	\$1,016	\$962	\$1,021	\$937
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	68%	62%	82%	60%	91%	74%	70%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,041	1,549	1,075	2,097	2,051	1,410	2,891	3,491	477
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,390	1,174	816	1,558	1,624	989	2,197	2,657	375
Participants in a Work Activity	10,944	1,089	769	1,540	1,546	971	2,120	2,563	346
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,245	614	488	1,028	1,021	587	1,513	1,710	284
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	59%	69%	64%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.01	\$6.22	\$6.10	\$5.70	\$6.22	\$6.26	\$5.95	\$6.00	\$5.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$793	\$810	\$802	\$757	\$835	\$861	\$784	\$784	\$721
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	55%	49%	60%	58%	64%	57%	57%	60%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,124	15	142	49	197	229	129	268	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	515	11	66	16	74	103	53	144	48
Participants in a Work Activity	383	7	59	15	59	61	30	114	38
% of Enrolled	74%	64%	89%	94%	80%	59%	57%	79%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	0
Participants Employed	249	4	42	6	36	53	22	62	24
% of Enrolled	48%	36%	64%	38%	49%	51%	42%	43%	50%
Full Time	201	3	31	6	33	42	20	46	20
Part Time	48	1	11	0	3	11	2	16	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.59	\$7.39	\$7.42	\$8.40	\$7.81	\$7.31	\$7.52	\$7.75	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,018	\$987	\$991	\$1,234	\$1,101	\$958	\$1,007	\$986	\$1,113

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	640	9	76	31	123	115	76	145	65
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	936	12	106	30	162	182	107	245	92
Participants in a Work Activity	822	9	99	27	137	157	91	219	83
% of Total Enrolled	88%	75%	93%	90%	85%	86%	85%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	447	4	63	14	58	102	52	114	40
% of Total Enrolled	48%	33%	59%	47%	36%	56%	49%	47%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.48	\$7.39	\$7.30	\$7.77	\$7.49	\$7.35	\$7.55	\$7.58	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$965	\$953	\$1,167	\$990	\$977	\$986	\$925	\$1,142
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	100%	72%	64%	60%	60%	70%	61%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,657	221	1,194	581	1,486	1,456	1,349	1,752	618
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,200	172	978	472	1217	1192	1078	1,557	534
Participants in a Work Activity	6,859	159	889	436	1160	1153	1052	1,502	508
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	91%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,807	107	659	309	748	807	730	1,103	344
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	67%	65%	61%	68%	68%	71%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.09	\$5.95	\$5.89	\$6.18	\$5.84	\$6.38	\$6.14	\$6.12	\$6.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$831	\$819	\$800	\$828	\$803	\$872	\$819	\$843	\$853
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	49%	56%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,791	127	46	7	49	245	51	377	207	337	76	1,269
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1192	112	14	3	15	91	31	174	71	150	36	495
Participants in a Work Activity	774	54	3	3	13	46	21	145	54	123	31	281
% of Enrolled	65%	48%	21%	100%	87%	51%	68%	83%	76%	82%	86%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	592	42	3	2	9	33	14	126	33	85	24	221
% of Enrolled	50%	38%	21%	67%	60%	36%	45%	72%	46%	57%	67%	45%
Full Time	472	20	3	2	9	26	12	113	28	70	14	175
Part Time	120	22	0	0	0	7	2	13	5	15	10	46
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$7.48	\$7.25	\$7.75	\$7.56	\$7.83	\$9.24	\$7.77	\$7.40	\$8.00	\$7.36	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$825	\$952	\$1,175	\$1,136	\$1,115	\$1,357	\$1,052	\$956	\$1,075	\$913	\$1,069

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,421	56	13	7	11	121	26	199	127	140	42	679
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,010	137	20	10	30	146	48	301	158	232	69	859
Participants in a Work Activity	1,705	110	12	10	27	99	41	270	138	207	59	732
% of Total Enrolled	85%	80%	60%	100%	90%	68%	85%	90%	87%	89%	86%	85%
Participants Employed	1,029	64	5	6	18	52	27	204	71	143	44	395
% of Total Enrolled	51%	47%	25%	60%	60%	36%	56%	68%	45%	62%	64%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$7.83	\$7.44	\$7.63	\$7.58	\$7.74	\$8.26	\$7.87	\$7.56	\$8.08	\$7.89	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$872	\$861	\$1,090	\$1,073	\$1,054	\$1,183	\$1,070	\$999	\$1,118	\$1,054	\$1,101
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	68%	63%	100%	76%	64%	71%	75%	55%	64%	62%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,830	1,040	279	65	423	1,432	427	2,419	1,498	1,788	671	6,788
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,531	773	169	24	292	1038	292	1,934	1168	1396	508	4,937
Participants in a Work Activity	11,990	741	151	24	285	931	284	1,912	1126	1325	493	4,718
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	100%	98%	90%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,819	557	83	15	207	673	176	1,566	766	1,051	372	3,353
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	49%	63%	71%	65%	60%	81%	66%	75%	73%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.88	\$6.70	\$7.18	\$6.69	\$6.74	\$6.70	\$6.86	\$6.74	\$6.62	\$7.35	\$6.84	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$932	\$813	\$919	\$1,004	\$910	\$929	\$938	\$916	\$925	\$1,022	\$894	\$945
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	45%	42%	75%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	53%	47%	50%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,039	632	11	0	84	312
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	419	262	3	0	31	123
Participants in a Work Activity	302	189	1	0	17	95
% of Enrolled	72%	72%	33%	N/A	55%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	241	148	1	0	16	76
% of Enrolled	58%	56%	33%	N/A	52%	62%
Full Time	210	134	0	0	12	64
Part Time	31	14	1	0	4	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.72	\$7.69	\$8.00	\$0.00	\$7.37	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,029	\$693	\$0	\$931	\$1,102

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	591	344	4	0	36	207
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	686	436	4	0	41	205
Participants in a Work Activity	636	401	4	N/A	34	197
% of Total Enrolled	93%	92%	100%	N/A	83%	96%
Participants Employed	381	234	3	N/A	20	124
% of Total Enrolled	56%	54%	75%	N/A	49%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$7.71	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.30	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,034	\$1,014	\$547	N/A	\$922	\$1,098
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	84%	50%	N/A	65%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,564	3,556	64	16	584	2,344
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,880	2,705	37	7	422	1,709
Participants in a Work Activity	4,739	2,639	36	7	402	1,655
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,464	1,834	25	5	317	1,283
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	71%	75%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$6.92	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.54	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$926	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$849	\$935
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	57%	60%	55%	58%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	536	10	173	73	33	119	128	286	191	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	237	3	55	41	9	62	67	108	61	47
Participants in a Work Activity	170	3	32	31	8	45	51	50	24	26
% of Enrolled	72%	100%	58%	76%	89%	73%	76%	46%	39%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	4	0	1	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	118	1	27	20	7	32	31	36	22	14
% of Enrolled	50%	33%	49%	49%	5	52%	46%	33%	36%	30%
Full Time	88	1	21	14	5	26	21	26	15	11
Part Time	30	0	6	6	2	6	10	10	7	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.05	\$7.50	\$8.61	\$7.92	\$7.18	\$8.09	\$7.80	\$7.77	\$7.66	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$1,137	\$1,186	\$980	\$890	\$1,052	\$940	\$1,020	\$991	\$1,066

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	295	10	75	59	17	71	63	143	100	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	442	12	88	88	23	121	110	177	113	64
Participants in a Work Activity	368	12	72	76	20	94	94	139	84	55
% of Total Enrolled	83%	100%	82%	86%	87%	78%	85%	79%	74%	86%
Participants Employed	227	8	43	46	16	63	51	68	44	24
% of Total Enrolled	51%	67%	49%	52%	70%	52%	46%	38%	39%	38%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.00	\$8.78	\$8.40	\$7.72	\$8.29	\$7.74	\$7.97	\$7.77	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$1,068	\$1,119	\$1,125	\$930	\$1,138	\$957	\$1,066	\$1,026	\$1,142
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	50%	72%	61%	58%	53%	50%	77%	71%	88%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,147	168	796	653	522	1029	979	2,116	1319	797
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,978	98	553	516	300	758	753	1,521	922	599
Participants in a Work Activity	2,855	93	522	504	294	718	724	1457	894	563
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	94%	98%	98%	95%	96%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,045	60	345	379	203	532	526	876	500	376
% of Total Enrolled	69%	61%	62%	73%	68%	70%	70%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$7.20	\$7.34	\$7.16	\$6.50	\$7.15	\$7.02	\$6.42	\$6.50	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$963	\$959	\$971	\$976	\$902	\$976	\$954	\$811	\$820	\$802
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	53%	56%	53%	57%	51%	61%	58%	66%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,123	305	1,450	277	1,324	523	193	51
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,733	99	545	152	645	209	63	20
Participants in a Work Activity	1,357	87	468	102	468	169	47	16
% of Enrolled	78%	88%	86%	67%	73%	81%	75%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	27	0	18	0	9	0	0	0
Participants Employed	765	41	241	82	279	80	29	13
% of Enrolled	44%	41%	44%	54%	43%	38%	46%	65%
Full Time	604	30	194	50	225	68	24	13
Part Time	161	11	47	32	54	12	5	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.34	\$9.06	\$9.58	\$10.13	\$9.07	\$9.20	\$9.23	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,264	\$1,260	\$1,277	\$1,294	\$1,245	\$1,290	\$1,277	\$1,070

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,774	117	556	132	656	204	76	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,718	183	883	221	981	305	110	35
Participants in a Work Activity	2,414	172	804	182	848	285	89	34
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	91%	82%	86%	93%	81%	97%
Participants Employed	1,288	80	399	125	476	137	51	20
% of Total Enrolled	47%	44%	45%	57%	49%	45%	46%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.67	\$9.36	\$10.02	\$10.26	\$9.43	\$9.61	\$9.04	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,296	\$1,210	\$1,311	\$1,285	\$1,303	\$1,348	\$1,199	\$1,136
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	76%	76%	74%	77%	76%	79%	57%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	28,396	2,532	9,902	1,951	9,058	3,373	1,211	369
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	21,682	1,943	7,359	1,537	7,003	2,655	907	278
Participants in a Work Activity	20,994	1,922	7,141	1,475	6,753	2,550	878	275
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	15,643	1,437	5,080	1,230	5,194	1,886	611	205
% of Total Enrolled	72%	74%	69%	80%	74%	71%	67%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.41	\$7.92	\$8.34	\$8.92	\$8.61	\$8.10	\$7.97	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,126	\$1,032	\$1,110	\$1,161	\$1,188	\$1,038	\$1,080	\$1,061
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	59%	67%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	445	193	117	30	101	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	173	71	44	10	46	2
Participants in a Work Activity	117	50	31	5	29	2
% of Enrolled	68%	70%	70%	50%	63%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	5	0	2	0
Participants Employed	91	37	26	4	22	2
% of Enrolled	53%	52%	59%	40%	48%	100%
Full Time	76	30	22	4	18	2
Part Time	15	7	4	0	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$8.28	\$8.57	\$8.38	\$7.68	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,093	\$1,040	\$1,172	\$1,309	\$1,042	\$1,205

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	220	87	73	19	40	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	287	109	86	24	63	5
Participants in a Work Activity	250	94	82	23	47	4
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	95%	96%	75%	80%
Participants Employed	155	57	50	11	33	4
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	58%	46%	52%	80%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.69	\$8.45	\$8.03	\$7.53	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,099	\$1,104	\$1,149	\$1,233	\$950	\$1,184
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	71%	65%	71%	75%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,266	1,252	948	310	670	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,441	897	724	229	534	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,354	863	718	221	498	54
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,669	586	506	151	388	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	70%	66%	73%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.22	\$7.57	\$7.01	\$6.94	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$947	\$991	\$984	\$941	\$958
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	62%	45%	44%	50%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	745	133	45	55	110	45	357
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	327	55	8	30	49	8	177
Participants in a Work Activity	267	46	5	14	40	7	155
% of Enrolled	82%	84%	63%	47%	82%	88%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	148	29	5	12	20	7	75
% of Enrolled	45%	53%	63%	40%	41%	88%	42%
Full Time	107	23	5	8	13	6	52
Part Time	41	6	0	4	7	1	23
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$8.88	\$7.76	\$7.75	\$8.39	\$8.29	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,065	\$1,184	\$1,102	\$977	\$996	\$1,227	\$1,034

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	409	104	10	27	70	21	177
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	540	98	9	47	87	33	266
Participants in a Work Activity	491	85	5	36	76	29	260
% of Total Enrolled	91%	87%	56%	77%	87%	88%	98%
Participants Employed	263	47	5	25	40	21	125
% of Total Enrolled	49%	48%	56%	53%	46%	64%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.69	\$7.76	\$7.82	\$8.27	\$7.80	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	\$1,138	\$1,094	\$847	\$976	\$931	\$936
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	75%	100%	68%	62%	71%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,951	1,154	178	383	745	236	2,255
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,733	804	114	274	520	160	1,861
Participants in a Work Activity	3,626	782	108	254	494	158	1,830
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	93%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,847	599	85	208	326	110	1,519
% of Total Enrolled	76%	75%	75%	76%	63%	69%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.21	\$7.63	\$7.35	\$7.15	\$7.23	\$7.50	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$938	\$994	\$1,082	\$908	\$956	\$993	\$918
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	55%	49%	55%	54%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,318	92	101	214	284	627
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	700	42	52	127	198	281
Participants in a Work Activity	527	31	48	87	135	226
% of Enrolled	75%	74%	92%	69%	68%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	342	22	32	61	82	145
% of Enrolled	49%	52%	62%	48%	41%	52%
Full Time	275	20	32	50	55	118
Part Time	67	2	0	11	27	27
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.73	\$7.81	\$7.46	\$7.82	\$7.94	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$1,069	\$1,153	\$1,055	\$1,022	\$1,046

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	654	62	55	128	122	287
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,022	68	73	186	270	425
Participants in a Work Activity	915	57	73	159	237	389
% of Total Enrolled	90%	84%	100%	85%	88%	92%
Participants Employed	534	35	42	97	138	222
% of Total Enrolled	52%	51%	58%	52%	51%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.78	\$7.70	\$7.48	\$7.88	\$8.03	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$1,016	\$1,146	\$1,021	\$1,050	\$1,001
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	66%	69%	65%	65%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,587	795	703	1,719	1,989	3,381
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,014	630	612	1,359	1,660	2,753
Participants in a Work Activity	6,639	598	601	1,274	1,555	2,611
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	4,928	430	435	909	1,148	2,006
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	71%	67%	69%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.33	\$6.52	\$6.08	\$6.37	\$6.51	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$829	\$834	\$836	\$826	\$867	\$807
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	52%	43%	55%	60%	63%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,747	241	582	115	243	566
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	625	75	259	80	48	163
Participants in a Work Activity	452	40	195	70	28	119
% of Enrolled	72%	53%	75%	88%	58%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	274	32	114	40	22	66
% of Enrolled	44%	43%	44%	50%	46%	40%
Full Time	227	30	89	34	20	54
Part Time	47	2	25	6	2	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.54	\$8.22	\$7.43	\$7.25	\$7.40	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,016	\$1,239	\$973	\$1,024	\$989	\$985

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	846	102	277	81	114	272
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,130	138	420	120	105	347
Participants in a Work Activity	970	101	358	101	92	318
% of Total Enrolled	86%	73%	85%	84%	88%	92%
Participants Employed	464	51	186	64	40	123
% of Total Enrolled	41%	37%	44%	53%	38%	35%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$7.82	\$7.56	\$7.29	\$7.55	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$995	\$982	\$1,023	\$993	\$960	\$967
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	72%	63%	70%	61%	57%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,936	1,684	2,896	1,085	1,755	3,516
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,687	1,390	2,135	941	1,335	2,886
Participants in a Work Activity	8,373	1,334	2,038	879	1,312	2,810
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	93%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,532	824	1,377	628	756	1,947
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	64%	67%	57%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.20	\$6.17	\$6.52	\$6.11	\$6.19	\$6.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$832	\$820	\$916	\$803	\$847	\$788
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	54%	66%	51%	53%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,090	75	119	84	77	64	144	48	195	130	154
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	546	30	53	44	36	41	74	31	81	73	83
Participants in a Work Activity	399	28	35	34	32	30	57	11	67	44	61
% of Enrolled	73%	93%	66%	77%	89%	73%	77%	35%	83%	60%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	254	18	30	27	21	19	22	10	34	37	36
% of Enrolled	47%	60%	57%	61%	58%	46%	30%	32%	42%	51%	43%
Full Time	176	13	18	25	14	12	11	6	18	34	25
Part Time	78	5	12	2	7	7	11	4	16	3	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.67	\$8.25	\$7.42	\$8.07	\$7.69	\$8.01	\$7.51	\$6.97	\$7.70	\$7.40	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$968	\$1,004	\$895	\$1,148	\$1,023	\$952	\$912	\$829	\$931	\$959	\$967

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	495	29	69	39	47	23	57	20	83	54	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	834	48	85	66	72	49	110	39	128	115	122
Participants in a Work Activity	719	44	76	64	66	46	95	29	118	70	111
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	89%	97%	92%	94%	86%	74%	92%	61%	91%
Participants Employed	365	27	37	35	30	24	39	12	55	46	60
% of Total Enrolled	44%	56%	44%	53%	42%	49%	35%	31%	43%	40%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.60	\$8.10	\$7.15	\$7.98	\$7.89	\$8.18	\$7.41	\$6.96	\$7.47	\$7.56	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$937	\$1,040	\$870	\$1,057	\$1,030	\$1,012	\$802	\$808	\$930	\$964	\$890
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	75%	75%	77%	69%	88%	43%	100%	70%	77%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,517	386	860	659	556	447	776	260	940	779	854
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,898	274	669	485	386	315	597	190	721	586	675
Participants in a Work Activity	4,673	255	664	452	358	301	569	188	682	543	661
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,039	169	385	281	203	181	345	89	489	401	496
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	53%	57%	58%	47%	68%	68%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.48	\$7.13	\$6.02	\$6.86	\$6.91	\$7.00	\$6.42	\$5.66	\$6.19	\$6.48	\$6.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$851	\$940	\$808	\$922	\$901	\$935	\$798	\$736	\$807	\$869	\$851
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	57%	52%	47%	46%	57%	61%	58%	55%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,811	1045	42	179	1,588	45	2,912
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,461	408	15	120	726	17	1,175
Participants in a Work Activity	1,731	282	7	68	513	10	851
% of Enrolled	70%	69%	47%	57%	71%	59%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	1	2	0	18	2	2
Participants Employed	929	190	6	45	292	7	389
% of Enrolled	38%	47%	40%	38%	40%	41%	33%
Full Time	720	149	4	35	223	6	303
Part Time	209	41	2	10	69	1	86
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$8.19	\$8.02	\$8.63	\$8.15	\$7.86	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,082	\$1,021	\$1,094	\$1,080	\$964	\$1,073

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,337	446	15	84	650	10	1,132
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,607	628	20	150	1,019	26	1,764
Participants in a Work Activity	3,138	561	11	110	885	25	1,546
% of Total Enrolled	87%	89%	55%	73%	87%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	1,528	300	8	62	460	14	684
% of Total Enrolled	42%	48%	40%	41%	45%	54%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.27	\$7.83	\$9.28	\$8.44	\$9.19	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,094	\$956	\$1,220	\$1,129	\$1,009	\$1,093
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	80%	75%	82%	82%	80%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	30,436	6,017	202	983	7,161	266	15,807
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	23,362	4,487	143	691	5,645	183	12,213
Participants in a Work Activity	22,556	4,376	132	632	5,364	173	11,879
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	92%	91%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	16,254	2,987	96	441	3,865	110	8,755
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	67%	64%	68%	60%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.15	\$7.38	\$6.61	\$8.05	\$7.43	\$7.18	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$998	\$860	\$1,060	\$1,008	\$903	\$947
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	54%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,143	187	41	408	309	198
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	640	115	11	230	159	125
Participants in a Work Activity	438	93	8	145	106	86
% of Enrolled	68%	81%	73%	63%	67%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	10	2	2	0	2
Participants Employed	308	51	5	115	67	70
% of Enrolled	48%	44%	45%	50%	42%	56%
Full Time	251	42	3	99	57	50
Part Time	57	9	2	16	10	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.88	\$7.80	\$8.41	\$8.27	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,098	\$1,040	\$993	\$1,134	\$1,053	\$1,133

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	578	114	32	195	139	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	916	162	27	306	229	192
Participants in a Work Activity	782	139	23	247	205	168
% of Total Enrolled	85%	86%	85%	81%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	477	79	10	171	101	116
% of Total Enrolled	52%	49%	37%	56%	44%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.93	\$7.78	\$8.35	\$8.50	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,051	\$1,057	\$1,084	\$1,088	\$1,076
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	87%	64%	74%	69%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,458	1,034	411	1,848	1,889	1,276
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,542	705	265	1416	1101	1055
Participants in a Work Activity	4,336	681	252	1295	1079	1029
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	95%	91%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	3,117	436	181	999	673	828
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	68%	71%	61%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.65	\$7.30	\$7.17	\$7.73	\$8.16	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$944	\$909	\$1,021	\$1,120	\$980
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	43%	49%	57%	58%	55%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	458	108	27	56	52	20	45	30	40	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	205	59	12	26	17	9	25	6	14	37
Participants in a Work Activity	154	46	7	24	13	7	17	5	9	26
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	58%	92%	76%	78%	68%	83%	64%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1
Participants Employed	98	26	3	14	8	7	12	3	6	19
% of Enrolled	48%	44%	25%	54%	47%	78%	48%	50%	43%	51%
Full Time	77	19	2	10	7	7	9	2	4	17
Part Time	21	7	1	4	1	0	3	1	2	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.75	\$7.85	\$8.81	\$7.88	\$8.54	\$8.42	\$6.29	\$7.50	\$7.25	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,020	\$1,058	\$1,253	\$968	\$1,140	\$1,300	\$797	\$890	\$795	\$1,047

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	207	48	14	26	16	7	29	9	21	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	314	75	19	39	22	9	40	14	24	72
Participants in a Work Activity	260	62	15	36	18	7	29	14	21	58
% of Total Enrolled	83%	83%	79%	92%	82%	78%	73%	100%	88%	81%
Participants Employed	158	34	6	22	12	7	18	8	11	40
% of Total Enrolled	50%	45%	32%	56%	55%	78%	45%	57%	46%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$7.60	\$7.96	\$8.13	\$8.19	\$8.42	\$7.18	\$8.68	\$7.46	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,017	\$1,008	\$1,116	\$978	\$1,084	\$1,262	\$932	\$997	\$830	\$1,066
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	66%	80%	56%	73%	100%	94%	60%	43%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,947	447	223	240	347	162	334	263	278	653
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,112	337	148	194	262	99	238	212	152	470
Participants in a Work Activity	1,982	321	138	187	244	91	215	206	145	435
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	93%	96%	93%	92%	90%	97%	95%	93%
Participants Employed	1,368	232	80	118	176	64	161	129	99	309
% of Total Enrolled	65%	69%	54%	61%	67%	65%	68%	61%	65%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.73	\$6.70	\$6.94	\$7.17	\$6.50	\$6.68	\$6.70	\$6.44	\$6.76	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$872	\$838	\$879	\$972	\$829	\$861	\$863	\$840	\$916	\$897
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	41%	36%	45%	49%	53%	57%	41%	33%	50%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,434	18	129	130	39	111	1,263	1,709	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,464	4	41	50	17	68	518	747	19
Participants in a Work Activity	1,130	2	31	40	13	52	394	585	13
% of Enrolled	77%	50%	76%	80%	76%	76%	76%	78%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	789	2	22	35	5	39	270	407	9
% of Enrolled	54%	50%	54%	70%	29%	57%	52%	54%	47%
Full Time	634	2	14	22	4	29	208	347	8
Part Time	155	0	8	13	1	10	62	60	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$7.25	\$7.63	\$7.95	\$8.38	\$7.67	\$7.89	\$7.91	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$942	\$842	\$973	\$1,002	\$992	\$1,039	\$1,096	\$1,185

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,465	2	62	53	20	52	484	761	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,322	8	80	91	27	91	767	1,220	38
Participants in a Work Activity	2,051	5	61	80	26	78	708	1,062	31
% of Total Enrolled	88%	63%	76%	88%	96%	86%	92%	87%	82%
Participants Employed	1,246	5	37	63	10	55	420	635	21
% of Total Enrolled	54%	63%	46%	69%	37%	60%	55%	52%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$6.13	\$7.89	\$7.97	\$8.82	\$7.59	\$8.08	\$7.90	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$773	\$902	\$985	\$996	\$968	\$1,064	\$1,075	\$1,036
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	25%	64%	68%	75%	69%	74%	71%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	21,533	124	896	845	251	833	7,583	10,699	302
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	15,710	87	612	644	184	593	5,414	7,960	216
Participants in a Work Activity	15,057	85	563	600	177	570	5,298	7,560	204
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	92%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,379	47	414	470	117	384	3,898	5,891	158
% of Total Enrolled	72%	54%	68%	73%	64%	65%	72%	74%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.79	\$6.70	\$6.62	\$7.12	\$7.38	\$6.85	\$6.80	\$6.76	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$898	\$871	\$831	\$872	\$1,002	\$867	\$896	\$905	\$890
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	61%	56%	51%	52%	46%	53%	58%	52%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,368	126	84	74	72	356	656
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	688	22	35	32	41	205	353
Participants in a Work Activity	395	15	26	19	26	100	209
% of Enrolled	57%	68%	74%	59%	63%	49%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	1	0	0	6	3
Participants Employed	281	12	16	15	19	64	155
% of Enrolled	41%	55%	46%	47%	46%	31%	44%
Full Time	234	11	14	9	12	47	141
Part Time	47	1	2	6	7	17	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$8.37	\$7.77	\$7.72	\$7.64	\$7.45	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$1,312	\$1,097	\$933	\$955	\$930	\$1,091

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	527	64	40	24	39	137	223
Total Enrolled in VIEW	978	56	67	44	69	260	482
Participants in a Work Activity	771	53	56	41	63	184	374
% of Total Enrolled	79%	95%	84%	93%	91%	71%	78%
Participants Employed	441	30	31	25	34	102	219
% of Total Enrolled	45%	54%	46%	57%	49%	39%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.06	\$7.96	\$8.03	\$7.48	\$7.52	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,198	\$1,057	\$969	\$939	\$962	\$1,110
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	70%	63%	67%	72%	67%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,787	990	670	290	631	1,948	3,258
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,991	692	493	226	493	1,539	2,548
Participants in a Work Activity	5,711	689	434	213	478	1,475	2,422
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,189	472	310	164	336	1,079	1,828
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	63%	73%	68%	70%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.79	\$6.78	\$7.25	\$6.89	\$6.77	\$6.67	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$926	\$939	\$981	\$855	\$932	\$906	\$933
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	53%	50%	50%	57%	51%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through November 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/09 and Work Activity as of 11/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,708	156	118	1,266	92	2,204	1,297	452	1,123
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,760	52	41	609	20	1,014	469	152	403
Participants in a Work Activity	2,194	37	17	493	14	827	387	117	302
% of Enrolled	79%	71%	41%	81%	70%	82%	83%	77%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	1	0	0	5	4	1	1
Participants Employed	1,516	31	15	346	6	573	245	96	204
% of Enrolled	55%	60%	37%	57%	30%	57%	52%	63%	51%
Full Time	1,317	29	7	304	4	517	193	91	172
Part Time	199	2	8	42	2	56	52	5	32
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.63	\$8.46	\$7.75	\$9.13	\$7.60	\$7.79	\$7.93	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,055	\$1,067	\$1,022	\$1,060	\$1,114	\$1,032	\$1,033	\$1,165	\$1,084

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,686	65	50	427	56	869	503	172	544
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,216	93	76	833	52	1,414	787	270	691
Participants in a Work Activity	3,687	85	72	747	48	1,233	679	239	584
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	95%	90%	92%	87%	86%	89%	85%
Participants Employed	2,368	57	30	507	23	840	415	154	342
% of Total Enrolled	56%	61%	39%	61%	44%	59%	53%	57%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.81	\$7.73	\$8.36	\$7.73	\$8.37	\$7.58	\$7.92	\$7.95	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,060	\$958	\$1,041	\$1,077	\$1,022	\$1,073	\$1,127	\$1,083
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	74%	59%	79%	46%	80%	67%	65%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	43,847	969	835	6,995	689	14,158	7,174	2,811	10,216
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,442	686	648	5,002	539	10,007	5,563	2,161	6,836
Participants in a Work Activity	29,827	659	617	4,756	525	9,517	5,240	2,134	6,379
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	22,722	497	390	3,707	370	7,245	4,170	1,641	4,702
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	60%	74%	69%	72%	75%	76%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.66	\$6.70	\$6.43	\$6.79	\$6.30	\$6.54	\$6.57	\$6.50	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$879	\$897	\$813	\$902	\$790	\$844	\$888	\$853	\$937
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	56%	47%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	38.9%	2.53	<b>105</b>	\$7.77	3.97	<b>68</b>	70.7%	6.10	<b>55</b>	4.20	<b>98</b>
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	48.0%	4.17	<b>71</b>	\$8.69	6.20	<b>11</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>31</b>	5.68	<b>24</b>
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	46.7%	3.95	<b>74</b>	\$7.83	4.12	<b>62</b>	67.9%	5.71	<b>71</b>	4.59	<b>85</b>
007	<i>Amelia</i>	56.3%	5.68	<b>32</b>	\$8.10	4.77	<b>34</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>32</b>	5.71	<b>21</b>
009	<i>Amherst</i>	51.5%	4.81	<b>56</b>	\$7.70	3.80	<b>77</b>	65.6%	5.42	<b>77</b>	4.68	<b>79</b>
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	57.5%	5.92	<b>24</b>	\$7.48	3.27	<b>96</b>	69.2%	5.90	<b>62</b>	5.03	<b>59</b>
013	<i>Arlington</i>	43.7%	3.40	<b>95</b>	\$9.36	7.82	<b>5</b>	75.6%	6.75	<b>30</b>	5.99	<b>15</b>
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	53.7%	5.21	<b>43</b>	\$7.71	3.83	<b>76</b>	84.2%	7.89	<b>12</b>	5.64	<b>29</b>
017	<i>Bath</i>	75.0%	9.09	<b>3</b>	\$7.27	2.76	<b>112</b>	50.0%	3.33	<b>113</b>	5.06	<b>58</b>
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	52.2%	4.94	<b>53</b>	\$7.88	4.24	<b>60</b>	65.1%	5.35	<b>80</b>	4.84	<b>74</b>
021	<i>Bland</i>	33.3%	1.52	<b>116</b>	\$7.39	3.05	<b>105</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	4.86	<b>72</b>
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	25.0%	0.00	<b>119</b>	\$7.44	3.17	<b>101</b>	62.5%	5.00	<b>93</b>	2.72	<b>117</b>
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	43.5%	3.37	<b>96</b>	\$7.15	2.47	<b>115</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>33</b>	4.17	<b>102</b>
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	48.0%	4.19	<b>70</b>	\$8.05	4.65	<b>41</b>	68.3%	5.77	<b>69</b>	4.87	<b>69</b>
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	53.0%	5.10	<b>47</b>	\$7.98	4.48	<b>47</b>	77.1%	6.95	<b>24</b>	5.51	<b>37</b>
031	<i>Campbell</i>	51.1%	4.75	<b>57</b>	\$8.03	4.60	<b>42</b>	65.1%	5.35	<b>82</b>	4.90	<b>68</b>
033	<i>Caroline</i>	48.8%	4.32	<b>67</b>	\$7.93	4.36	<b>52</b>	87.3%	8.30	<b>10</b>	5.66	<b>27</b>
035	<i>Carroll</i>	59.4%	6.26	<b>20</b>	\$7.30	2.83	<b>108</b>	72.1%	6.28	<b>49</b>	5.12	<b>54</b>
036	<i>Charles City</i>	62.5%	6.82	<b>10</b>	\$6.13	0.00	<b>119</b>	25.0%	0.00	<b>119</b>	2.27	<b>119</b>
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	41.7%	3.03	<b>99</b>	\$7.89	4.26	<b>56</b>	69.2%	5.90	<b>63</b>	4.40	<b>91</b>
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	47.8%	4.14	<b>72</b>	\$8.27	5.18	<b>26</b>	80.3%	7.38	<b>17</b>	5.57	<b>33</b>
043	<i>Clarke</i>	66.7%	7.58	<b>7</b>	\$8.00	4.53	<b>45</b>	50.0%	3.33	<b>114</b>	5.15	<b>50</b>
045	<i>Craig</i>	60.0%	6.36	<b>18</b>	\$7.63	3.63	<b>80</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	6.67	<b>4</b>
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	52.3%	4.96	<b>50</b>	\$8.69	6.20	<b>12</b>	70.7%	6.09	<b>56</b>	5.75	<b>20</b>
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	49.0%	4.36	<b>64</b>	\$8.18	4.96	<b>32</b>	88.2%	8.43	<b>8</b>	5.92	<b>16</b>
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	59.3%	6.24	<b>22</b>	\$7.40	3.08	<b>104</b>	61.8%	4.90	<b>97</b>	4.74	<b>78</b>
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	53.6%	5.19	<b>44</b>	\$8.06	4.67	<b>38</b>	69.6%	5.94	<b>61</b>	5.27	<b>46</b>
057	<i>Essex</i>	45.3%	3.70	<b>86</b>	\$7.60	3.56	<b>82</b>	65.5%	5.40	<b>78</b>	4.22	<b>97</b>
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	45.2%	3.67	<b>87</b>	\$10.02	9.42	<b>2</b>	76.2%	6.83	<b>28</b>	6.64	<b>6</b>
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	58.1%	6.03	<b>23</b>	\$8.45	5.62	<b>15</b>	65.1%	5.35	<b>81</b>	5.66	<b>26</b>
063	<i>Floyd</i>	60.0%	6.36	<b>19</b>	\$7.58	3.51	<b>84</b>	76.5%	6.86	<b>27</b>	5.58	<b>32</b>
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	55.6%	5.56	<b>37</b>	\$7.76	3.95	<b>70</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>3</b>	6.50	<b>7</b>
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	35.6%	1.93	<b>113</b>	\$7.74	3.90	<b>71</b>	64.4%	5.26	<b>85</b>	3.70	<b>111</b>
069	<i>Frederick</i>	48.9%	4.34	<b>65</b>	\$8.78	6.42	<b>10</b>	72.0%	6.27	<b>50</b>	5.67	<b>25</b>
071	<i>Giles</i>	56.3%	5.68	<b>33</b>	\$8.26	5.16	<b>28</b>	70.8%	6.11	<b>54</b>	5.65	<b>28</b>
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	46.3%	3.86	<b>80</b>	\$7.89	4.26	<b>57</b>	64.3%	5.24	<b>86</b>	4.45	<b>88</b>
075	<i>Goochland</i>	40.0%	2.73	<b>101</b>	\$7.83	4.12	<b>63</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>34</b>	4.50	<b>86</b>
077	<i>Grayson</i>	46.7%	3.94	<b>75</b>	\$7.77	3.97	<b>69</b>	64.3%	5.24	<b>87</b>	4.38	<b>92</b>

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	53.2%	5.13	46	\$7.82	4.09	64	68.4%	5.79	68	5.00	63
081	Greenville/Emporia	35.5%	1.90	114	\$7.41	3.10	103	43.3%	2.44	117	2.48	118
083	Halifax	37.0%	2.17	111	\$7.82	4.09	65	72.3%	6.31	48	4.19	99
085	Hanover	41.3%	2.97	100	\$9.28	7.63	6	82.4%	7.65	14	6.08	12
087	Henrico	45.1%	3.66	88	\$8.44	5.59	16	82.5%	7.66	13	5.64	30
089	Henry/Martinsville	44.3%	3.51	92	\$7.56	3.46	88	62.5%	5.00	94	3.99	105
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	61.3%	6.60	12	\$7.73	3.87	73	74.5%	6.60	40	5.69	22
095	James City	69.2%	8.04	5	\$7.97	4.46	48	68.0%	5.73	70	6.08	13
097	King and Queen	31.6%	1.20	117	\$7.96	4.43	49	80.0%	7.33	18	4.32	95
099	King George	37.0%	2.19	109	\$7.78	4.00	67	64.3%	5.24	88	3.81	109
101	King William	56.4%	5.71	30	\$8.13	4.84	33	56.3%	4.17	110	4.91	66
103	Lancaster	54.5%	5.37	41	\$8.19	4.99	30	73.3%	6.44	46	5.60	31
105	Lee	60.5%	6.45	14	\$7.05	2.23	116	82.3%	7.64	16	5.44	41
107	Loudoun	56.6%	5.74	29	\$10.26	10.00	1	74.3%	6.57	42	7.44	2
109	Louisa	46.0%	3.81	82	\$8.27	5.18	27	61.8%	4.90	98	4.63	83
111	Lunenburg	30.8%	1.05	118	\$6.96	2.01	118	100.0%	10.00	4	4.35	94
113	Madison	45.8%	3.79	83	\$8.03	4.60	43	71.4%	6.19	52	4.86	71
115	Mathews	77.8%	9.60	2	\$8.42	5.54	18	100.0%	10.00	5	8.38	1
117	Mecklenburg	43.0%	3.27	98	\$7.47	3.24	99	70.2%	6.03	58	4.18	100
119	Middlesex	45.0%	3.64	89	\$7.18	2.54	114	94.1%	9.22	6	5.13	53
121	Montgomery	67.8%	7.78	6	\$7.87	4.21	61	74.9%	6.65	39	6.21	10
125	Nelson	63.6%	7.02	9	\$7.80	4.04	66	71.4%	6.19	53	5.75	19
127	New Kent	37.0%	2.19	110	\$8.82	6.51	9	75.0%	6.67	35	5.12	55
131	Northampton	37.5%	2.27	108	\$8.37	5.42	20	87.5%	8.33	9	5.34	43
133	Northumberland	57.1%	5.84	25	\$8.68	6.17	13	60.0%	4.67	104	5.56	35
135	Nottoway	40.0%	2.73	102	\$7.56	3.46	89	76.7%	6.89	26	4.36	93
137	Orange	52.4%	4.98	49	\$7.53	3.39	93	75.0%	6.67	36	5.01	62
139	Page	52.3%	4.96	51	\$8.40	5.50	19	61.0%	4.80	99	5.08	57
141	Patrick	53.3%	5.15	45	\$7.29	2.81	110	69.8%	5.97	59	4.64	82
143	Pittsylvania	38.1%	2.38	107	\$7.55	3.44	91	60.5%	4.74	101	3.52	113
145	Powhatan	53.8%	5.24	42	\$9.19	7.41	7	80.0%	7.33	19	6.66	5
147	Prince Edward	49.2%	4.40	63	\$7.48	3.27	97	75.0%	6.67	37	4.78	76
149	Prince George	46.3%	3.87	79	\$7.96	4.43	50	63.0%	5.06	91	4.45	89
153	Prince William	48.5%	4.28	69	\$9.43	7.99	4	76.8%	6.91	25	6.39	8
155	Pulaski	44.9%	3.62	90	\$7.56	3.46	90	54.7%	3.96	111	3.68	112
157	Rappahannock	80.0%	10.00	1	\$8.19	4.99	31	66.7%	5.56	74	6.85	3
159	Richmond County	45.8%	3.79	84	\$7.46	3.22	100	42.9%	2.38	118	3.13	116
161	Roanoke County	61.6%	6.66	11	\$8.08	4.72	35	63.9%	5.19	89	5.52	36
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48.8%	4.32	66	\$7.30	2.83	109	84.2%	7.89	11	5.02	61
165	Rockingham/H'burg	60.5%	6.45	15	\$7.89	4.26	58	62.1%	4.95	96	5.22	47
167	Russell	49.7%	4.50	60	\$7.26	2.74	113	60.4%	4.72	103	3.99	106
169	Scott	51.1%	4.74	59	\$7.28	2.78	111	91.1%	8.81	7	5.45	40
171	Shenandoah	69.6%	8.10	4	\$7.72	3.85	75	58.3%	4.44	107	5.47	39

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	35.8%	1.96	<b>112</b>	\$7.49	3.29	<b>95</b>	60.5%	4.73	<b>102</b>	3.33	<b>114</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	39.5%	2.63	<b>103</b>	\$8.36	5.40	<b>22</b>	58.6%	4.48	<b>106</b>	4.17	<b>101</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	55.9%	5.61	<b>36</b>	\$8.35	5.38	<b>23</b>	74.4%	6.58	<b>41</b>	5.86	<b>17</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	44.1%	3.47	<b>94</b>	\$8.50	5.74	<b>14</b>	68.9%	5.85	<b>65</b>	5.02	<b>60</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	56.8%	5.79	<b>28</b>	\$8.03	4.60	<b>44</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>75</b>	5.31	<b>44</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	49.3%	4.41	<b>62</b>	\$7.48	3.27	<b>98</b>	72.4%	6.32	<b>47</b>	4.67	<b>80</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	56.3%	5.69	<b>31</b>	\$7.38	3.03	<b>106</b>	74.0%	6.53	<b>45</b>	5.08	<b>56</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	52.1%	4.92	<b>54</b>	\$8.29	5.23	<b>25</b>	53.5%	3.79	<b>112</b>	4.65	<b>81</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.0%	5.64	<b>34</b>	\$7.35	2.95	<b>107</b>	60.0%	4.67	<b>105</b>	4.42	<b>90</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	55.6%	5.56	<b>38</b>	\$7.93	4.36	<b>53</b>	74.2%	6.56	<b>43</b>	5.49	<b>38</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	55.9%	5.62	<b>35</b>	\$7.43	3.15	<b>102</b>	69.6%	5.95	<b>60</b>	4.91	<b>67</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	48.6%	4.29	<b>68</b>	\$7.55	3.44	<b>92</b>	70.5%	6.07	<b>57</b>	4.60	<b>84</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	60.4%	6.44	<b>16</b>	\$7.59	3.54	<b>83</b>	69.1%	5.87	<b>64</b>	5.28	<b>45</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	44.9%	3.62	<b>91</b>	\$9.61	8.43	<b>3</b>	75.7%	6.75	<b>29</b>	6.27	<b>9</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.5%	3.91	<b>76</b>	\$7.58	3.51	<b>85</b>	60.7%	4.76	<b>100</b>	4.06	<b>104</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	47.0%	4.00	<b>73</b>	\$8.43	5.57	<b>17</b>	62.6%	5.02	<b>92</b>	4.86	<b>70</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.9%	6.52	<b>13</b>	\$7.73	3.87	<b>74</b>	78.7%	7.16	<b>23</b>	5.85	<b>18</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.4%	1.90	<b>115</b>	\$7.67	3.73	<b>78</b>	57.0%	4.27	<b>109</b>	3.30	<b>115</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	44.2%	3.50	<b>93</b>	\$8.37	5.42	<b>21</b>	45.8%	2.78	<b>116</b>	3.90	<b>107</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	60.4%	6.44	<b>17</b>	\$8.30	5.25	<b>24</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>38</b>	6.12	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.5%	3.36	<b>97</b>	\$7.61	3.58	<b>81</b>	65.5%	5.40	<b>79</b>	4.12	<b>103</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	54.8%	5.41	<b>40</b>	\$8.08	4.72	<b>36</b>	74.2%	6.55	<b>44</b>	5.56	<b>34</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	39.2%	2.59	<b>104</b>	\$7.52	3.37	<b>94</b>	67.1%	5.61	<b>72</b>	3.85	<b>108</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.2%	4.95	<b>52</b>	\$7.66	3.70	<b>79</b>	68.8%	5.84	<b>66</b>	4.83	<b>75</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	46.4%	3.88	<b>77</b>	\$9.04	7.05	<b>8</b>	79.3%	7.24	<b>21</b>	6.06	<b>14</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	57.1%	5.84	<b>26</b>	\$8.08	4.72	<b>37</b>	57.1%	4.29	<b>108</b>	4.95	<b>65</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	52.0%	4.92	<b>55</b>	\$7.90	4.29	<b>55</b>	71.5%	6.20	<b>51</b>	5.13	<b>51</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	59.4%	6.26	<b>21</b>	\$7.58	3.51	<b>86</b>	79.7%	7.29	<b>20</b>	5.69	<b>23</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	51.1%	4.75	<b>58</b>	\$7.02	2.15	<b>117</b>	82.4%	7.65	<b>15</b>	4.85	<b>73</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	45.4%	3.72	<b>85</b>	\$8.06	4.67	<b>39</b>	79.2%	7.22	<b>22</b>	5.20	<b>48</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.7%	5.04	<b>48</b>	\$7.92	4.33	<b>54</b>	67.0%	5.59	<b>73</b>	4.99	<b>64</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	63.8%	7.05	<b>8</b>	\$7.89	4.26	<b>59</b>	62.2%	4.95	<b>95</b>	5.42	<b>42</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	38.8%	2.50	<b>106</b>	\$8.06	4.67	<b>40</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>76</b>	4.24	<b>96</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	46.0%	3.82	<b>81</b>	\$8.00	4.53	<b>46</b>	63.7%	5.16	<b>90</b>	4.50	<b>87</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.0%	5.82	<b>27</b>	\$7.95	4.41	<b>51</b>	64.6%	5.28	<b>84</b>	5.17	<b>49</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	49.5%	4.45	<b>61</b>	\$8.25	5.13	<b>29</b>	68.6%	5.81	<b>67</b>	5.13	<b>52</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	55.3%	5.50	<b>39</b>	\$7.58	3.51	<b>87</b>	64.7%	5.29	<b>83</b>	4.77	<b>77</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	46.4%	3.88	<b>78</b>	\$7.74	3.90	<b>72</b>	50.0%	3.33	<b>115</b>	3.71	<b>110</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.2%	2.12	114	\$820	2.54	97	58.0%	6.01	30	3.56	108
003	Albemarle	74.5%	7.95	13	\$994	6.07	17	54.8%	5.24	57	6.42	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.1%	7.25	29	\$813	2.42	100	45.5%	3.05	109	4.24	89
007	Amelia	61.7%	4.27	97	\$940	4.97	36	46.0%	3.18	108	4.14	95
009	Amherst	68.3%	6.16	53	\$834	2.83	91	51.5%	4.47	80	4.49	82
011	Appomattox	71.1%	6.97	34	\$836	2.88	89	43.4%	2.55	114	4.13	96
013	Arlington	74.0%	7.80	17	\$1,032	6.85	10	64.1%	7.43	9	7.36	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.8%	6.03	58	\$938	4.94	38	54.7%	5.22	58	5.40	40
017	Bath	67.6%	5.96	64	\$706	0.25	119	56.5%	5.65	44	3.95	101
019	Bedford County/City	66.9%	5.76	70	\$826	2.66	95	55.1%	5.32	55	4.58	77
021	Bland	62.2%	4.42	95	\$819	2.53	98	47.9%	3.61	99	3.52	109
023	Botetourt	49.1%	0.65	119	\$919	4.54	48	41.5%	2.12	116	2.44	119
025	Brunswick	57.5%	3.08	111	\$808	2.31	103	57.3%	5.84	35	3.74	102
027	Buchanan	52.3%	1.57	118	\$810	2.34	102	54.6%	5.19	60	3.03	116
029	Buckingham	57.9%	3.19	109	\$922	4.61	47	51.8%	4.54	79	4.11	97
031	Campbell	69.2%	6.42	43	\$867	3.50	73	59.7%	6.39	24	5.43	38
033	Caroline	61.8%	4.31	96	\$944	5.07	34	43.1%	2.49	115	3.96	100
035	Carroll	67.4%	5.91	66	\$800	2.14	110	46.1%	3.19	107	3.74	103
036	Charles City	54.0%	2.06	116	\$871	3.58	71	60.5%	6.59	17	4.08	98
037	Charlotte	52.6%	1.65	117	\$901	4.19	61	47.4%	3.51	102	3.12	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66.6%	5.67	73	\$998	6.16	16	60.6%	6.60	16	6.14	23
043	Clarke	61.2%	4.14	99	\$959	5.36	27	48.2%	3.68	97	4.39	86
045	Craig	62.5%	4.50	93	\$1,004	6.27	14	75.0%	10.00	1	6.92	8
047	Culpeper	65.3%	5.32	79	\$947	5.12	31	55.5%	5.42	52	5.28	44
049	Cumberland	57.5%	3.05	112	\$935	4.88	41	46.4%	3.27	105	3.73	104
051	Dickensen	59.8%	3.73	106	\$802	2.18	109	48.8%	3.82	96	3.24	113
053	Dinwiddie	68.2%	6.14	54	\$939	4.96	37	52.7%	4.75	70	5.28	43
057	Essex	68.8%	6.33	46	\$838	2.92	88	40.7%	1.93	118	3.72	105
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.0%	6.38	44	\$1,110	8.41	4	61.7%	6.88	13	7.22	6
061	Fauquier	69.9%	6.63	41	\$991	6.00	19	62.3%	7.01	11	6.55	13
063	Floyd	70.9%	6.91	36	\$910	4.37	53	46.3%	3.24	106	4.84	65
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	7.97	12	\$1,082	7.86	5	54.6%	5.18	61	7.00	7
067	Franklin County	64.8%	5.17	81	\$929	4.76	45	50.1%	4.13	87	4.69	73
069	Frederick	62.4%	4.47	94	\$971	5.61	26	52.7%	4.75	71	4.94	59
071	Giles	60.3%	3.86	103	\$938	4.93	39	48.0%	3.64	98	4.14	94
073	Gloucester	67.6%	5.98	62	\$831	2.78	92	55.9%	5.51	50	4.75	71
075	Goochland	67.1%	5.83	69	\$860	3.36	78	54.7%	5.21	59	4.80	69
077	Grayson	65.5%	5.35	78	\$828	2.71	94	57.0%	5.77	37	4.61	75

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.36	6	\$908	4.32	55	48.9%	3.85	95	5.51	36
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.8%	3.15	110	\$798	2.10	111	56.6%	5.66	42	3.64	107
083	Halifax	59.3%	3.58	108	\$820	2.56	96	47.7%	3.58	100	3.24	114
085	Hanover	63.8%	4.88	87	\$1,060	7.41	8	65.1%	7.66	7	6.65	12
087	Henrico	68.5%	6.22	50	\$1,008	6.34	13	56.2%	5.57	48	6.04	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.5%	5.08	84	\$916	4.50	51	54.2%	5.11	65	4.89	62
091	Highland	71.4%	7.07	33	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	6.47	23	4.51	80
093	Isle of Wight	72.4%	7.36	27	\$897	4.10	63	55.8%	5.49	51	5.65	32
095	James City	73.0%	7.52	23	\$872	3.60	70	51.4%	4.45	82	5.19	48
097	King and Queen	54.1%	2.07	115	\$879	3.74	68	36.1%	0.84	119	2.22	120
099	King George	68.3%	6.17	52	\$909	4.35	54	49.1%	3.89	94	4.81	67
101	King William	60.8%	4.02	102	\$972	5.63	25	44.8%	2.88	112	4.18	92
103	Lancaster	67.2%	5.85	68	\$829	2.73	93	49.1%	3.90	93	4.16	93
105	Lee	66.0%	5.50	74	\$757	1.27	116	60.1%	6.49	21	4.42	83
107	Loudoun	80.0%	9.54	3	\$1,161	9.44	2	65.6%	7.79	5	8.92	1
109	Louisa	62.7%	4.56	92	\$956	5.30	29	55.4%	5.38	53	5.08	51
111	Lunenburg	46.8%	0.00	120	\$736	0.86	117	61.0%	6.70	15	2.52	118
113	Madison	65.9%	5.49	75	\$984	5.88	20	45.5%	3.04	110	4.80	68
115	Mathews	64.6%	5.12	83	\$861	3.39	76	52.5%	4.71	76	4.41	84
117	Mecklenburg	67.8%	6.03	57	\$807	2.28	105	58.0%	5.99	31	4.77	70
119	Middlesex	67.6%	5.98	63	\$863	3.43	75	56.7%	5.68	40	5.03	54
121	Montgomery	81.0%	9.81	2	\$916	4.50	50	53.8%	5.00	67	6.44	15
125	Nelson	68.8%	6.30	48	\$993	6.04	18	54.4%	5.14	64	5.83	30
127	New Kent	63.6%	4.81	88	\$1,002	6.23	15	51.8%	4.54	78	5.19	47
131	Northampton	62.8%	4.58	91	\$802	2.18	108	65.6%	7.78	6	4.85	64
133	Northumberland	60.8%	4.03	101	\$840	2.95	87	41.0%	2.00	117	2.99	117
135	Nottoway	68.4%	6.21	51	\$869	3.54	72	55.0%	5.30	56	5.01	55
137	Orange	72.7%	7.42	25	\$941	4.99	35	43.5%	2.59	113	5.00	57
139	Page	73.4%	7.65	20	\$976	5.70	24	56.4%	5.61	46	6.32	19
141	Patrick	66.7%	5.72	71	\$803	2.21	106	65.7%	7.82	4	5.25	45
143	Pittsylvania	56.6%	2.81	113	\$847	3.11	84	50.8%	4.31	84	3.41	110
145	Powhatan	60.1%	3.81	105	\$903	4.23	58	54.1%	5.07	66	4.37	87
147	Prince Edward	73.5%	7.66	19	\$851	3.19	82	60.3%	6.53	19	5.79	31
149	Prince George	62.9%	4.61	89	\$981	5.80	21	50.2%	4.16	86	4.86	63
153	Prince William	74.2%	7.86	14	\$1,188	10.00	1	61.8%	6.89	12	8.25	2
155	Pulaski	65.6%	5.39	77	\$925	4.67	46	44.9%	2.91	111	4.32	88
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	5.70	72	\$958	5.35	28	50.0%	4.11	88	5.05	52
159	Richmond County	65.1%	5.26	80	\$916	4.48	52	32.5%	0.00	120	3.25	112
161	Roanoke County	75.3%	8.18	8	\$1,022	6.64	11	52.6%	4.72	73	6.51	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	75.1%	8.13	9	\$849	3.15	83	54.6%	5.18	62	5.49	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.1%	8.12	10	\$935	4.87	42	58.2%	6.04	28	6.34	18
167	Russell	62.9%	4.61	90	\$835	2.86	90	58.2%	6.04	27	4.50	81
169	Scott	59.4%	3.60	107	\$861	3.38	77	64.4%	7.50	8	4.83	66
171	Shenandoah	67.7%	5.99	61	\$902	4.21	60	53.1%	4.84	69	5.01	56

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	61.5%	4.20	<b>98</b>	\$803	2.21	<b>107</b>	52.7%	4.74	<b>72</b>	3.72	<b>106</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.2%	3.84	<b>104</b>	\$813	2.41	<b>101</b>	47.5%	3.51	<b>101</b>	3.25	<b>111</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.6%	6.82	<b>38</b>	\$1,021	6.62	<b>12</b>	56.9%	5.73	<b>39</b>	6.39	<b>17</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	61.1%	4.11	<b>100</b>	\$1,120	8.62	<b>3</b>	57.9%	5.97	<b>32</b>	6.23	<b>22</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.6%	7.40	<b>26</b>	\$855	3.25	<b>79</b>	50.0%	4.11	<b>89</b>	4.92	<b>61</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	68.2%	6.13	<b>55</b>	\$932	4.82	<b>44</b>	57.4%	5.86	<b>34</b>	5.60	<b>35</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.9%	6.33	<b>45</b>	\$784	1.82	<b>115</b>	57.1%	5.79	<b>36</b>	4.65	<b>74</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.2%	6.71	<b>39</b>	\$976	5.71	<b>23</b>	56.6%	5.68	<b>41</b>	6.03	<b>26</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.7%	6.00	<b>60</b>	\$872	3.60	<b>69</b>	49.3%	3.94	<b>91</b>	4.51	<b>79</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.7%	5.43	<b>76</b>	\$897	4.10	<b>62</b>	50.3%	4.19	<b>85</b>	4.58	<b>78</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	5.04	<b>86</b>	\$784	1.83	<b>114</b>	57.0%	5.77	<b>38</b>	4.21	<b>90</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.7%	6.00	<b>59</b>	\$819	2.52	<b>99</b>	56.4%	5.61	<b>47</b>	4.71	<b>72</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.8%	5.15	<b>82</b>	\$867	3.50	<b>74</b>	46.5%	3.28	<b>104</b>	3.98	<b>99</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	6.96	<b>35</b>	\$1,038	6.97	<b>9</b>	69.6%	8.72	<b>2</b>	7.55	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.8%	6.90	<b>37</b>	\$843	3.01	<b>86</b>	49.2%	3.91	<b>92</b>	4.61	<b>76</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$918	4.53	<b>49</b>	56.1%	5.54	<b>49</b>	6.69	<b>9</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.1%	7.84	<b>15</b>	\$902	4.21	<b>59</b>	56.5%	5.64	<b>45</b>	5.90	<b>29</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	67.5%	5.93	<b>65</b>	\$788	1.91	<b>113</b>	52.6%	4.72	<b>75</b>	4.18	<b>91</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.6%	6.27	<b>49</b>	\$790	1.94	<b>112</b>	53.7%	4.99	<b>68</b>	4.40	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	78.5%	9.10	<b>4</b>	\$980	5.79	<b>22</b>	54.5%	5.18	<b>63</b>	6.69	<b>10</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	64.4%	5.05	<b>85</b>	\$853	3.23	<b>80</b>	60.1%	6.50	<b>20</b>	4.93	<b>60</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.0%	7.23	<b>30</b>	\$896	4.09	<b>64</b>	52.6%	4.72	<b>74</b>	5.35	<b>42</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	70.1%	6.69	<b>40</b>	\$906	4.28	<b>56</b>	51.4%	4.45	<b>81</b>	5.14	<b>49</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	72.9%	7.48	<b>24</b>	\$807	2.30	<b>104</b>	62.5%	7.06	<b>10</b>	5.61	<b>34</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.4%	5.90	<b>67</b>	\$1,080	7.81	<b>6</b>	59.4%	6.34	<b>25</b>	6.68	<b>11</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.7%	7.73	<b>18</b>	\$1,061	7.42	<b>7</b>	67.4%	8.20	<b>3</b>	7.79	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.0%	7.81	<b>16</b>	\$905	4.28	<b>57</b>	58.1%	6.03	<b>29</b>	6.04	<b>25</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.4%	7.35	<b>28</b>	\$844	3.04	<b>85</b>	55.2%	5.35	<b>54</b>	5.24	<b>46</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.7%	8.31	<b>7</b>	\$721	0.55	<b>118</b>	60.1%	6.48	<b>22</b>	5.11	<b>50</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.7%	7.16	<b>31</b>	\$933	4.83	<b>43</b>	57.7%	5.93	<b>33</b>	5.97	<b>27</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.0%	8.08	<b>11</b>	\$888	3.93	<b>67</b>	61.1%	6.73	<b>14</b>	6.25	<b>21</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.2%	7.59	<b>21</b>	\$894	4.04	<b>65</b>	46.6%	3.32	<b>103</b>	4.98	<b>58</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.7%	7.14	<b>32</b>	\$947	5.12	<b>32</b>	60.4%	6.56	<b>18</b>	6.27	<b>20</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	67.9%	6.06	<b>56</b>	\$945	5.08	<b>33</b>	49.6%	4.03	<b>90</b>	5.05	<b>53</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.9%	8.37	<b>5</b>	\$853	3.21	<b>81</b>	59.3%	6.29	<b>26</b>	5.96	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.8%	6.31	<b>47</b>	\$937	4.91	<b>40</b>	56.6%	5.66	<b>43</b>	5.63	<b>33</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.1%	7.56	<b>22</b>	\$890	3.97	<b>66</b>	52.0%	4.58	<b>77</b>	5.37	<b>41</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	69.9%	6.62	<b>42</b>	\$954	5.27	<b>30</b>	51.1%	4.37	<b>83</b>	5.42	<b>39</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2009 – November 30, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	7,126	397	255	591	189	115	759	97	169	293	324	230	1,122	294	87	723	248	1,168	65
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	90%	93%	94%	93%	92%	86%	94%	95%	97%	95%	96%	86%	81%	85%	95%	83%	91%	88%
% Timely in November 2009	90%	79%	96%	96%	85%	100%	89%	88%	100%	99%	90%	96%	82%	70%	81%	94%	88%	94%	97%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	4,571	283	178	397	169	71	493	61	138	193	273	140	646	158	53	416	148	730	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	96%	98%	95%	98%	99%	94%	92%	96%	94%	99%	98%	94%	97%	96%	93%	91%	92%	100%
Percent in November 2009	95%	96%	99%	97%	99%	100%	93%	93%	94%	94%	99%	97%	95%	96%	100%	94%	90%	92%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	190	26	1	3	10	1	20	2	6	1	3	1	52	31	3	15	5	9	1
Average # of Days Over 30	17	15	32	3	9	53	19	24	59	1	14	11	15	17	13	22	11	2	5

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009		397	33	27	65	48	55	58	100	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009		90%	100%	96%	86%	100%	80%	98%	86%	82%
% Timely in November 2009		79%	100%	100%	73%	100%	47%	100%	76%	67%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009		283	21	20	46	40	28	47	73	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009		96%	100%	90%	100%	100%	96%	100%	96%	50%
Percent in November 2009		96%	100%	83%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	57%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days		26	0	0	8	0	10	0	7	1
Average # of Days Over 30		15	0	0	15	0	14	0	16	24

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	255	3	38	13	34	38	26	76	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	100%	100%	85%	95%	73%	97%	96%
% Timely in November 2009	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	82%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	178	0	20	10	31	29	18	43	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	N/A	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	89%
Percent in November 2009	99%	N/A	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	591	45	5	3	3	51	10	82	28	70	17	277
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	96%	100%	100%	100%	69%	100%	100%	100%	94%	88%	96%
% Timely in November 2009	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	397	19	2	2	5	22	6	62	31	35	13	200
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	73%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	96%
Percent in November 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	1

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	189	108	1	0	19	61
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	97%	100%	N/A	84%	87%
% Timely in November 2009	85%	92%	N/A	N/A	50%	82%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	169	108	0	0	11	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	73%	98%
Percent in November 2009	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	88%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	10	5	0	0	3	2
Average # of Days Over 30	9	10	0	0	6	13

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	115	2	19	25	3	35	31	65	44	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	79%	100%	100%	97%	87%	88%	98%	67%
% Timely in November 2009	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	71	3	10	17	4	24	13	24	18	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	53	0	53	0	0	0	0	5	0	5

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	759	48	226	63	286	87	33	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	86%	98%	92%	86%	80%	99%	45%	94%
% Timely in November 2009	89%	100%	97%	78%	90%	94%	0%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	493	50	136	36	182	58	22	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	88%	94%	98%	93%	91%	100%
Percent in November 2009	93%	100%	82%	93%	99%	94%	94%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	20	0	1	2	3	0	13	1
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	1	7	20	0	21	39

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	97	41	28	4	24	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	95%	100%	75%	88%	N/A
% Timely in November 2009	88%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	61	23	29	3	6	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	96%	93%	100%	67%	N/A
Percent in November 2009	93%	100%	93%	N/A	0%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	44	0	0	4	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	169	36	5	13	27	2	86
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	0%	77%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in November 2009	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	138	31	0	11	26	4	66
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	96%	94%	N/A	100%	96%	100%	97%
Percent in November 2009	94%	89%	N/A	100%	93%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	6	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	59	0	59	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	293	20	22	66	72	113
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	97%	100%	100%	97%	100%	93%
% Timely in November 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	193	16	21	35	48	73
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	100%	97%	94%	90%
Percent in November 2009	94%	100%	100%	100%	88%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	1

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	324	33	127	38	28	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	97%	95%	95%	100%	93%
% Timely in November 2009	90%	90%	88%	89%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	273	24	85	25	32	107
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	98%	92%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2009	99%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	2	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	21	2	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	230	9	35	19	16	13	28	12	31	26	41
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	92%	96%	92%	97%	96%	93%
% Timely in November 2009	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	140	8	19	12	15	8	15	7	24	13	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%	95%
Percent in November 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,122	211	6	50	346	5	504
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	86%	92%	100%	90%	78%	100%	88%
% Timely in November 2009	82%	95%	100%	100%	66%	N/A	84%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	646	130	2	25	177	7	305
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	97%	100%	76%	93%	86%	95%
Percent in November 2009	95%	98%	100%	86%	95%	80%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	52	5	0	0	20	0	27
Average # of Days Over 30	15	31	0	0	10	0	16

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	294	65	7	104	69	49
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	81%	71%	100%	76%	87%	94%
% Timely in November 2009	70%	52%	100%	64%	77%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	158	25	12	54	36	31
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	100%	100%	94%	100%	97%
Percent in November 2009	96%	100%	100%	93%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	31	17	0	10	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	24	0	7	6	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	87	28	4	14	7	1	4	4	9	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	85%	71%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	94%
% Timely in November 2009	81%	67%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	50%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	53	12	5	2	4	0	8	3	7	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	96%	100%	80%	100%	100%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2009	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	723	1	24	22	15	37	231	378	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	94%	93%
% Timely in November 2009	94%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	94%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	416	0	15	17	4	11	114	244	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	N/A	87%	100%	100%	100%	97%	90%	100%
Percent in November 2009	94%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	100%	98%	91%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	15	1	0	0	0	0	10	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	22	33	0	0	0	0	24	6	37

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	248	19	16	14	16	64	119
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	83%	100%	94%	86%	88%	69%	86%
% Timely in November 2009	88%	100%	83%	100%	100%	88%	84%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	148	18	12	8	10	37	63
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	81%
Percent in November 2009	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	79%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	1	4
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	1	13

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,168	29	22	225	8	366	246	68	204
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	97%	95%	94%	100%	81%	93%	99%	98%
% Timely in November 2009	94%	90%	100%	98%	100%	88%	89%	100%	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	730	17	21	113	17	198	158	70	136
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	100%	91%	100%	83%	96%	96%	96%
Percent in November 2009	92%	100%	100%	89%	100%	84%	94%	97%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Nov-08</i>	<i>Nov-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,200	14,192	7.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	124,649	124,598	0.0%
Total Cases Collected	137,849	138,790	0.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,153,477	\$2,512,087	16.7%
NTANF Collections	\$42,673,839	\$44,470,418	4.2%
Total Collections	\$44,827,316	\$46,982,505	4.8%
SFY YTD Collections	\$254,664,474	\$245,222,975	-3.7%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$356	\$348	-2.1%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Nov-09</i>
TANF Paternities	212
NTANF Paternities	318
Combined Paternities	530

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *November Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	11		Page	2
Alleghany / Covington	2		Patrick	2
Amelia	2		Prince William	5
Amherst	3		Pulaski	1
Appomattox	1		Roanoke County	12
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	12		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	2
Bedford City	2		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Buckingham	6		Shenandoah	14
Campbell	1		Smyth	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	20		Spotsylvania	9
Clarke	3		Stafford	19
Culpeper	3		Warren	2
Cumberland	1		Wythe	2
Dinwiddie	1		York / Poquoson	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Bristol	7
Fauquier	2		Charlottesville	4
Franklin County	10		Chesapeake	2
Frederick	2		Danville	2
Gloucester	3		Franklin City	2
Grayson	1		Fredricksburg	1
Greene	3		Galax	1
Halifax	1		Hampton	11
Henrico	8		Hopewell	8
Henry / Martinsville	7		Mannassas	1
King and Queen	1		Manassas Park	2
Loudoun	1		Newport News	25
Louisa	2		Norfolk	18
Lunenburg	1		Portsmouth	1
Mathews	1		Richmond City	5
Montgomery	1		Roanoke City	7
Nottoway	1		Suffolk	8
Orange	2		Virginia Beach	8
			Statewide	319

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of morning of December 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:**  
**[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov)**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through November 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through November 2009



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


November 30, 2009



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of December and represent end of November data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of November, it was \$8.17 rather than the \$7.99 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.