

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
March 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services April 27, 2009

Monthly Report, March 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 17,024 and a numerator of 7,358.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	80	25	31%	061	Fauquier	59	28	47%
003	Albemarle	71	33	46%	063	Floyd	23	11	48%
005	Alleghany/Covington	79	22	28%	065	Fluvanna	16	8	50%
007	Amelia	31	6	19%	067	Franklin County	94	23	24%
009	Amherst	46	20	43%	069	Frederick	69	25	36%
011	Appomattox	33	14	42%	071	Giles	21	10	48%
013	Arlington	126	65	52%	073	Gloucester	50	13	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	288	150	52%	075	Goochland	12	4	33%
017	Bath	3	3	100%	077	Grayson	20	7	35%
019	Bedford County/City	99	51	52%	079	Greene	24	7	29%
021	Bland	8	2	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	72	26	36%
023	Botetourt	10	1	10%	083	Halifax	100	24	24%
025	Brunswick	65	43	66%	085	Hanover	106	34	32%
027	Buchanan	68	25	37%	087	Henrico	723	325	45%
029	Buckingham	32	8	25%	089	Henry/Martinsville	287	94	33%
031	Campbell	148	59	40%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	118	46	39%	093	Isle of Wight	72	41	57%
035	Carroll	55	33	60%	095	James City	65	28	43%
036	Charles City	12	5	42%	097	King and Queen	15	4	27%
037	Charlotte	25	6	24%	099	King George	16	7	44%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	456	201	44%	101	King William	28	11	39%
043	Clarke	13	3	23%	103	Lancaster	18	6	33%
045	Craig	4	0	0%	105	Lee	101	43	43%
047	Culpeper	72	20	28%	107	Loudoun	161	64	40%
049	Cumberland	37	12	32%	109	Louisa	39	18	46%
051	Dickensen	36	11	31%	111	Lunenburg	22	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	48	23	48%	113	Madison	13	4	31%
057	Essex	49	21	43%	115	Mathews	8	3	38%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	617	296	48%	117	Mecklenburg	79	33	42%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	25	7	28%	187	Warren	79	37	47%
121	Montgomery	204	103	50%	191	Washington	99	41	41%
125	Nelson	22	8	36%	193	Westmoreland	47	19	40%
127	New Kent	18	5	28%	195	Wise	202	69	34%
131	Northampton	39	15	38%	197	Wythe	82	30	37%
133	Northumberland	11	4	36%	199	York/Poquoson	62	23	37%
135	Nottoway	77	32	42%	510	Alexandria	254	118	46%
137	Orange	36	11	31%	520	Bristol	129	69	53%
139	Page	35	16	46%	540	Charlottesville	182	83	46%
141	Patrick	58	28	48%	550	Chesapeake	644	289	45%
143	Pittsylvania	88	29	33%	590	Danville	207	71	34%
145	Powhatan	19	10	53%	620	Franklin City	38	15	39%
147	Prince Edward	75	28	37%	630	Fredericksburg	106	63	59%
149	Prince George	38	11	29%	640	Galax	42	13	31%
153	Prince William	689	298	43%	650	Hampton	681	311	46%
155	Pulaski	72	29	40%	670	Hopewell	200	69	35%
157	Rappahannock	9	1	11%	680	Lynchburg	264	122	46%
159	Richmond County	14	3	21%	683	Manassas	78	38	49%
161	Roanoke County	140	78	56%	685	Manassas Park	28	10	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	38	14	37%	700	Newport News	902	379	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	137	73	53%	710	Norfolk	1,079	544	50%
167	Russell	109	46	42%	720	Norton	40	15	38%
169	Scott	95	17	18%	730	Petersburg	345	122	35%
171	Shenandoah	19	8	42%	740	Portsmouth	644	263	41%
173	Smyth	93	31	33%	750	Radford	44	16	36%
175	Southampton	56	13	23%	760	Richmond City	1,446	704	49%
177	Spotsylvania	207	77	37%	770	Roanoke City	493	164	33%
179	Stafford	176	95	54%	800	Suffolk	171	94	55%
181	Surry	30	2	7%	810	Virginia Beach	492	247	50%
183	Sussex	40	24	60%	830	Williamsburg	26	11	42%
185	Tazewell	145	56	39%	840	Winchester	62	32	52%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 37% with a denominator of 15,042 and a numerator of 5,599. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	68	13	19%	061	Fauquier	45	16	36%
003	Albemarle	52	14	27%	063	Floyd	18	7	39%
005	Alleghany/Covington	67	11	16%	065	Fluvanna	13	5	38%
007	Amelia	31	6	19%	067	Franklin County	86	15	17%
009	Amherst	41	15	37%	069	Frederick	62	18	29%
011	Appomattox	30	12	40%	071	Giles	18	7	39%
013	Arlington	105	47	45%	073	Gloucester	46	10	22%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	251	113	45%	075	Goochland	12	4	33%
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	16	3	19%
019	Bedford County/City	90	42	47%	079	Greene	23	6	26%
021	Bland	7	1	14%	081	Greensville/Emporia	63	17	27%
023	Botetourt	10	1	10%	083	Halifax	89	14	16%
025	Brunswick	57	36	63%	085	Hanover	95	25	26%
027	Buchanan	61	19	31%	087	Henrico	646	252	39%
029	Buckingham	28	4	14%	089	Henry/Martinsville	275	83	30%
031	Campbell	131	42	32%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	107	38	36%	093	Isle of Wight	56	25	45%
035	Carroll	48	26	54%	095	James City	55	22	40%
036	Charles City	11	5	45%	097	King and Queen	12	2	17%
037	Charlotte	22	3	14%	099	King George	11	2	18%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	388	133	34%	101	King William	24	8	33%
043	Clarke	12	3	25%	103	Lancaster	18	6	33%
045	Craig	3	0	0%	105	Lee	94	37	39%
047	Culpeper	67	17	25%	107	Loudoun	124	32	26%
049	Cumberland	30	9	30%	109	Louisa	33	12	36%
051	Dickensen	34	9	26%	111	Lunenburg	20	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	39	15	38%	113	Madison	12	4	33%
057	Essex	46	18	39%	115	Mathews	7	2	29%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	543	227	42%	117	Mecklenburg	66	21	32%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	5	22%	187	Warren	72	32	44%
121	Montgomery	174	76	44%	191	Washington	90	32	36%
125	Nelson	21	8	38%	193	Westmoreland	44	19	43%
127	New Kent	18	5	28%	195	Wise	183	50	27%
131	Northampton	37	13	35%	197	Wythe	69	25	36%
133	Northumberland	10	3	30%	199	York/Poquoson	58	19	33%
135	Nottoway	71	26	37%	510	Alexandria	231	95	41%
137	Orange	36	11	31%	520	Bristol	119	59	50%
139	Page	28	10	36%	540	Charlottesville	153	55	36%
141	Patrick	48	18	38%	550	Chesapeake	587	238	41%
143	Pittsylvania	80	22	28%	590	Danville	183	53	29%
145	Powhatan	18	9	50%	620	Franklin City	30	11	37%
147	Prince Edward	63	16	25%	630	Fredericksburg	87	48	55%
149	Prince George	36	9	25%	640	Galax	33	6	18%
153	Prince William	549	168	31%	650	Hampton	609	252	41%
155	Pulaski	61	20	33%	670	Hopewell	177	54	31%
157	Rappahannock	9	1	11%	680	Lynchburg	237	98	41%
159	Richmond County	13	2	15%	683	Manassas	65	26	40%
161	Roanoke County	121	60	50%	685	Manassas Park	23	6	26%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	34	10	29%	700	Newport News	794	284	36%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	117	53	45%	710	Norfolk	1,004	483	48%
167	Russell	101	39	39%	720	Norton	36	11	31%
169	Scott	81	7	9%	730	Petersburg	313	100	32%
171	Shenandoah	18	8	44%	740	Portsmouth	580	203	35%
173	Smyth	89	27	30%	750	Radford	39	12	31%
175	Southampton	53	12	23%	760	Richmond City	1,298	575	44%
177	Spotsylvania	188	65	35%	770	Roanoke City	443	115	26%
179	Stafford	149	69	46%	800	Suffolk	150	74	49%
181	Surry	30	2	7%	810	Virginia Beach	404	167	41%
183	Sussex	34	18	53%	830	Williamsburg	22	9	41%
185	Tazewell	128	39	30%	840	Winchester	51	21	41%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March

v

These are estimated locality March 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 89% with a denominator of 1,982 and a numerator of 1,759 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	12	100%	061	Fauquier	14	12	86%
003	Albemarle	19	19	100%	063	Floyd	5	4	80%
005	Alleghany/Covington	12	11	92%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	8	8	100%
009	Amherst	5	5	100%	069	Frederick	7	7	100%
011	Appomattox	3	2	67%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	21	18	86%	073	Gloucester	4	3	75%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	37	37	100%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	9	9	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	9	9	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	11	10	91%
025	Brunswick	8	7	88%	085	Hanover	11	9	82%
027	Buchanan	7	6	86%	087	Henrico	77	73	95%
029	Buckingham	4	4	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	12	11	92%
031	Campbell	17	17	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	11	8	73%	093	Isle of Wight	16	16	100%
035	Carroll	7	7	100%	095	James City	10	6	60%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	3	2	67%
037	Charlotte	3	3	100%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	68	68	100%	101	King William	4	3	75%
043	Clarke	1	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	7	6	86%
047	Culpeper	5	3	60%	107	Loudoun	37	32	86%
049	Cumberland	7	3	43%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	8	89%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	3	3	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	74	69	93%	117	Mecklenburg	13	12	92%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	7	5	71%
121	Montgomery	30	27	90%	191	Washington	9	9	100%
125	Nelson	1	0	0%	193	Westmoreland	3	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	19	19	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	13	5	38%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	23	23	100%
137	Orange	0	0	N/A	520	Bristol	10	10	100%
139	Page	7	6	86%	540	Charlottesville	29	28	97%
141	Patrick	10	10	100%	550	Chesapeake	57	51	89%
143	Pittsylvania	8	7	88%	590	Danville	24	18	75%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	8	4	50%
147	Prince Edward	12	12	100%	630	Fredericksburg	19	15	79%
149	Prince George	2	2	100%	640	Galax	9	7	78%
153	Prince William	140	130	93%	650	Hampton	72	59	82%
155	Pulaski	11	9	82%	670	Hopewell	23	15	65%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	27	24	89%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	13	12	92%
161	Roanoke County	19	18	95%	685	Manassas Park	5	4	80%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	108	95	88%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	20	20	100%	710	Norfolk	75	61	81%
167	Russell	8	7	88%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	14	10	71%	730	Petersburg	32	22	69%
171	Shenandoah	1	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	64	60	94%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	5	4	80%
175	Southampton	3	1	33%	760	Richmond City	148	129	87%
177	Spotsylvania	19	12	63%	770	Roanoke City	50	49	98%
179	Stafford	27	26	96%	800	Suffolk	21	20	95%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	88	80	91%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	4	2	50%
185	Tazewell	17	17	100%	840	Winchester	11	11	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Mar-09	Mar-08	Change from Mar-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,208	4,400	18%	4,807	8%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,380	1,825	30%	1,658	44%
Applications Approved	2,260	1,986	14%	2,820	-20%
Cases Closed *	2,360	2,425	-3%	4,476	-47%
Cases at End of Month	30,429	27,337	11%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,383	28,337	11%	70,403	-55%

* The database indicates that of the 2,260 closed cases, 804 were VIEW cases, of which 500 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 145 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 100 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Mar-09	Mar-08	Change from Mar-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	521	337	55%	338	54%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	304	129	136%	232	31%
Applications Approved	302	94	221%	81	273%
Cases Closed *	236	181	30%	107	121%
Cases at End of Month	1,634	957	71%	321	409%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,771	1,066	66%	394	349%

* The database indicates that of the 236 closed cases, 111 were VIEW cases, of which 70 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, seven were closed after 24 months participation, and of those six closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,063	1,834	1,063	2,429	933	486	3,671	405	650	1,108	1,611	971	4,947	983	409	3,146	1,177	5,988	252
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,883	646	492	969	373	236	1,503	126	262	514	472	443	2,086	519	172	1,194	545	2,244	87
Part. in a Work Activity	9,287	493	317	653	284	172	1,148	89	205	354	358	277	1,483	357	114	861	344	1,727	51
% of Enrolled	72%	76%	64%	67%	76%	73%	76%	71%	78%	69%	76%	63%	71%	69%	66%	72%	63%	77%	59%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	126	3	4	15	1	6	15	1	2	8	0	4	22	22	2	7	5	9	0
Participants Employed	6,362	364	227	509	228	112	669	62	115	231	222	197	873	226	78	649	256	1,309	35
% of Enrolled	49%	56%	46%	53%	61%	47%	45%	49%	44%	45%	47%	44%	42%	44%	45%	54%	47%	58%	40%
Full Time	5,165	329	181	415	187	86	495	51	71	187	182	138	701	183	58	520	203	1,150	28
Part Time	1,197	35	46	94	41	26	174	11	44	44	40	59	172	43	20	129	53	159	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$6.97	\$7.06	\$7.51	\$7.67	\$8.04	\$9.05	\$7.49	\$8.30	\$7.43	\$7.15	\$7.32	\$8.02	\$8.20	\$7.77	\$7.70	\$7.50	\$7.45	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$957	\$928	\$1,021	\$1,029	\$1,098	\$1,186	\$1,013	\$1,003	\$1,017	\$952	\$939	\$1,098	\$1,100	\$1,024	\$1,036	\$1,000	\$1,027	\$1,028

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	23,837	1,261	909	2,124	793	509	2,760	326	542	818	1,217	764	3,313	840	331	2,319	754	4,040	217
Total Enrolled in VIEW	26,796	1,337	1,049	2,234	824	502	3,058	313	586	1,001	1,264	922	3,951	914	357	2,624	972	4,673	215
Part. in a Work Activity	23,922	1,207	930	1,988	755	445	2,785	282	536	898	1,161	819	3,532	802	312	2,354	837	4,092	187
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	89%	89%	92%	89%	91%	90%	91%	90%	92%	89%	89%	88%	87%	90%	86%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	14,737	782	536	1,261	497	266	1,610	158	312	571	603	452	1,973	505	186	1,529	543	2,854	99
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	51%	56%	60%	53%	53%	50%	53%	57%	48%	49%	50%	55%	52%	58%	56%	61%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$6.99	\$7.05	\$7.61	\$7.67	\$8.14	\$9.64	\$7.99	\$8.35	\$7.46	\$7.15	\$7.33	\$8.06	\$8.46	\$7.87	\$7.71	\$7.67	\$7.57	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$976	\$949	\$1,054	\$1,046	\$1,072	\$1,314	\$1,087	\$1,030	\$1,012	\$939	\$961	\$1,100	\$1,121	\$1,021	\$1,049	\$1,050	\$1,046	\$979
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	59%	61%	73%	60%	75%	66%	70%	66%	64%	71%	70%	81%	58%	71%	76%	74%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	215,474	14,347	8,101	15,716	6,088	3,804	26,720	3,047	4,617	8,062	10,342	6,114	28,557	5,889	2,745	20,373	7,309	41,632	2,011
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	160,916	10,777	6,740	11,565	4,532	2,715	20,380	2,257	3,455	6,557	8,151	4,515	21,887	4,075	1,945	14,681	5,571	29,671	1,442
Part. in a Work Activity	154,309	10,363	6,396	11,103	4,389	2,607	19,728	2,173	3,356	6,202	7,874	4,303	21,153	3,895	1,835	14,062	5,312	28,171	1,387
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	113,672	6,894	4,556	8,280	3,260	1,887	14,916	1,557	2,703	4,645	5,304	2,848	15,513	2,835	1,275	10,738	3,961	21,665	835
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	68%	72%	72%	70%	73%	69%	78%	71%	65%	63%	71%	70%	66%	73%	71%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$5.93	\$6.01	\$6.81	\$6.86	\$7.00	\$8.32	\$7.14	\$7.15	\$6.23	\$6.13	\$6.38	\$7.09	\$7.57	\$6.65	\$6.71	\$6.72	\$6.59	\$6.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$917	\$784	\$824	\$926	\$921	\$958	\$1,116	\$952	\$936	\$819	\$826	\$846	\$966	\$1,003	\$865	\$889	\$919	\$870	\$802
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,834	204	117	270	250	181	302	453	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	646	49	38	118	109	80	96	134	22
Participants in a Work Activity	493	29	19	91	89	54	90	108	13
% of Enrolled	76%	59%	50%	77%	82%	68%	94%	81%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	364	23	14	78	41	44	66	86	12
% of Enrolled	56%	47%	37%	66%	38%	55%	69%	64%	55%
Full Time	329	22	13	71	37	39	60	77	10
Part Time	35	1	1	7	4	5	6	9	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.97	\$6.86	\$7.44	\$6.90	\$7.19	\$6.84	\$6.86	\$7.09	\$6.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$943	\$1,086	\$914	\$1,052	\$967	\$925	\$976	\$797

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,261	94	68	178	157	157	247	321	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,337	110	84	216	178	149	223	333	44
Participants in a Work Activity	1,207	97	66	200	171	139	206	292	36
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	79%	93%	96%	93%	92%	88%	82%
Participants Employed	782	57	39	135	98	78	139	207	29
% of Total Enrolled	58%	52%	46%	63%	55%	52%	62%	62%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$7.47	\$7.14	\$6.71	\$7.08	\$7.00	\$6.84	\$7.05	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$1,104	\$1,003	\$902	\$999	\$1,017	\$932	\$992	\$948
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	62%	88%	66%	76%	66%	63%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,347	1,490	1,032	2,005	1,948	1,317	2,775	3,328	452
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,777	1,122	772	1,482	1,525	914	2,101	2,508	353
Participants in a Work Activity	10,363	1,041	726	1,466	1,452	896	2,025	2,426	331
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,894	589	461	982	965	550	1,458	1,615	274
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	60%	69%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.93	\$6.14	\$6.01	\$5.62	\$6.16	\$6.18	\$5.88	\$5.90	\$5.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$784	\$795	\$791	\$750	\$831	\$851	\$777	\$770	\$714
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	48%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,063	20	129	42	189	208	131	260	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	492	9	52	9	89	98	57	140	38
Participants in a Work Activity	317	6	39	9	52	51	49	93	18
% of Enrolled	64%	67%	75%	100%	58%	52%	86%	66%	47%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	227	5	30	7	35	40	34	63	13
% of Enrolled	46%	56%	58%	78%	39%	41%	60%	45%	34%
Full Time	181	3	23	4	28	34	29	50	10
Part Time	46	2	7	3	7	6	5	13	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$6.67	\$6.73	\$6.89	\$6.80	\$7.06	\$7.07	\$7.36	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$928	\$755	\$903	\$793	\$930	\$918	\$909	\$963	\$1,030

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	909	27	88	25	177	168	116	224	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,049	23	108	32	196	191	120	296	83
Participants in a Work Activity	930	20	98	31	172	161	115	261	72
% of Total Enrolled	89%	87%	91%	97%	88%	84%	96%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	536	9	68	21	82	97	78	145	36
% of Total Enrolled	51%	39%	63%	66%	42%	51%	65%	49%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.71	\$6.78	\$6.73	\$6.79	\$7.14	\$6.99	\$7.24	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$949	\$1,045	\$940	\$880	\$941	\$941	\$907	\$971	\$1,027
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	78%	64%	70%	75%	49%	62%	52%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,101	215	1,118	551	1,390	1,341	1,279	1,644	563
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,740	166	921	446	1147	1093	1025	1,458	484
Participants in a Work Activity	6,396	154	832	412	1090	1050	1003	1,399	456
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	96%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,556	105	622	298	719	738	705	1,049	320
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	68%	67%	63%	68%	69%	72%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.01	\$5.89	\$5.81	\$6.13	\$5.77	\$6.30	\$6.08	\$6.03	\$5.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$824	\$808	\$792	\$816	\$798	\$868	\$813	\$838	\$835
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	47%	46%	57%	53%	50%	57%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,429	118	22	9	42	210	38	364	173	299	75	1,079
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	969	92	12	2	15	68	22	177	51	119	35	376
Participants in a Work Activity	653	51	6	1	12	35	17	140	44	99	22	226
% of Enrolled	67%	55%	50%	50%	80%	51%	77%	79%	86%	83%	63%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	2
Participants Employed	509	44	6	0	8	21	10	119	35	76	15	175
% of Enrolled	53%	48%	50%	0%	53%	31%	45%	67%	69%	64%	43%	47%
Full Time	415	16	5	N/A	6	19	9	104	33	60	11	152
Part Time	94	28	1	N/A	2	2	1	15	2	16	4	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.51	\$7.36	\$7.99	N/A	\$7.08	\$7.98	\$7.66	\$7.55	\$7.03	\$7.60	\$7.27	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$758	\$1,193	N/A	\$912	\$1,089	\$1,076	\$1,032	\$963	\$1,042	\$952	\$1,076

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,124	95	23	4	28	208	52	304	169	218	70	953
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,234	147	27	4	32	168	52	349	177	245	81	952
Participants in a Work Activity	1,988	139	20	3	31	117	46	326	162	222	71	851
% of Total Enrolled	89%	95%	74%	75%	97%	70%	88%	93%	92%	91%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	1,261	98	10	1	17	73	29	252	93	164	49	475
% of Total Enrolled	56%	67%	37%	25%	53%	43%	56%	72%	53%	67%	60%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.32	\$7.84	\$7.00	\$8.01	\$7.76	\$7.62	\$7.55	\$7.14	\$7.76	\$7.19	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$836	\$967	\$1,204	\$1,100	\$1,105	\$1,013	\$1,070	\$989	\$1,100	\$933	\$1,097
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	49%	33%	N/A	63%	59%	61%	65%	59%	62%	53%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	15,716	987	261	54	394	1,329	395	2,276	1,403	1,634	634	6,349
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,565	726	155	15	268	954	264	1,806	1,083	1,262	476	4,556
Participants in a Work Activity	11,103	697	140	15	263	867	255	1,784	1,048	1,200	462	4,372
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	98%	91%	97%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,280	535	79	10	192	639	159	1,474	721	970	348	3,153
% of Total Enrolled	72%	74%	51%	67%	72%	67%	60%	82%	67%	77%	73%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.81	\$6.62	\$7.15	\$6.13	\$6.67	\$6.66	\$6.71	\$6.67	\$6.58	\$7.28	\$6.75	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$926	\$811	\$915	\$978	\$899	\$926	\$916	\$910	\$924	\$1,016	\$881	\$938
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	45%	44%	67%	44%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	933	592	5	1	67	268
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	373	227	2	0	24	120
Participants in a Work Activity	284	163	2	N/A	18	101
% of Enrolled	76%	72%	100%	N/A	75%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	228	136	2	N/A	17	73
% of Enrolled	61%	60%	100%	N/A	71%	61%
Full Time	187	119	0	N/A	10	58
Part Time	41	17	2	N/A	7	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.67	\$7.28	N/A	\$7.07	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,034	\$356	N/A	\$850	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	793	499	5	0	50	239
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	824	511	5	0	54	254
Participants in a Work Activity	755	464	5	N/A	45	241
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	100%	N/A	83%	95%
Participants Employed	497	285	3	N/A	31	178
% of Total Enrolled	60%	56%	60%	N/A	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.61	\$6.84	N/A	\$7.39	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,060	\$421	N/A	\$931	\$1,054
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	80%	100%	N/A	68%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,088	3,300	59	16	550	2,163
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,532	2,498	35	7	400	1,592
Participants in a Work Activity	4,389	2,432	34	7	381	1,535
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	3,260	1,724	23	5	308	1,200
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	66%	71%	77%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$6.87	\$6.60	\$7.75	\$6.53	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$935	\$709	\$694	\$848	\$926
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	57%	60%	55%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	486	18	135	56	48	121	108	252	172	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	236	7	57	29	17	80	46	87	44	43
Participants in a Work Activity	172	4	34	20	16	61	37	51	23	28
% of Enrolled	73%	57%	60%	69%	94%	76%	80%	59%	52%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	112	3	28	15	11	31	24	35	17	18
% of Enrolled	47%	43%	49%	52%	10	39%	52%	40%	39%	42%
Full Time	86	2	23	13	10	19	19	28	15	13
Part Time	26	1	5	2	1	12	5	7	2	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$7.65	\$8.26	\$9.40	\$7.53	\$7.71	\$7.67	\$7.66	\$7.89	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,098	\$1,007	\$1,199	\$1,436	\$1,043	\$907	\$1,054	\$1,028	\$1,092	\$968

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	509	14	125	73	56	129	112	217	143	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	502	11	127	73	41	150	100	215	127	88
Participants in a Work Activity	445	11	112	63	38	133	88	187	110	77
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	88%	86%	93%	89%	88%	87%	87%	88%
Participants Employed	266	7	68	39	25	74	53	99	57	42
% of Total Enrolled	53%	64%	54%	53%	61%	49%	53%	46%	45%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.49	\$8.50	\$8.39	\$7.59	\$8.21	\$7.78	\$7.34	\$7.44	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$941	\$1,103	\$1,114	\$993	\$1,090	\$1,037	\$979	\$998	\$957
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	50%	65%	47%	64%	57%	62%	67%	65%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,804	153	708	589	495	950	909	2,011	1250	761
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,715	90	499	464	283	692	687	1,442	869	573
Participants in a Work Activity	2,607	85	470	452	277	661	662	1387	848	539
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	97%	98%	96%	96%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,887	55	310	347	197	486	492	835	472	363
% of Total Enrolled	70%	61%	62%	75%	70%	70%	72%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$7.09	\$6.44	\$7.04	\$6.97	\$6.35	\$6.43	\$6.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$955	\$960	\$973	\$899	\$965	\$957	\$802	\$812	\$791
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	54%	57%	54%	58%	52%	61%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,671	284	1,350	246	1,128	447	160	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,503	83	505	143	536	166	58	12
Participants in a Work Activity	1,148	69	427	89	380	139	33	11
% of Enrolled	76%	83%	85%	62%	71%	84%	57%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	1	9	0	2	0	3	0
Participants Employed	669	39	193	76	253	75	24	9
% of Enrolled	45%	47%	38%	53%	47%	45%	41%	75%
Full Time	495	23	143	54	189	57	22	7
Part Time	174	16	50	22	64	18	2	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.05	\$8.98	\$8.81	\$9.86	\$9.08	\$8.93	\$8.22	\$10.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,186	\$1,053	\$1,152	\$1,311	\$1,201	\$1,158	\$1,131	\$1,376

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,760	191	919	252	935	306	122	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,058	207	1,016	286	1,063	315	136	35
Participants in a Work Activity	2,785	195	932	252	971	286	115	34
% of Total Enrolled	91%	94%	92%	88%	91%	91%	85%	97%
Participants Employed	1,610	100	477	176	617	157	61	22
% of Total Enrolled	53%	48%	47%	62%	58%	50%	45%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.64	\$9.79	\$9.61	\$10.19	\$9.60	\$9.61	\$8.77	\$9.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,314	\$1,282	\$1,306	\$1,403	\$1,323	\$1,247	\$1,237	\$1,285
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	60%	76%	78%	76%	75%	71%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	26,720	2,437	9,323	1,806	8,488	3,202	1,123	341
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,380	1,857	6,926	1,421	6,533	2,542	847	254
Participants in a Work Activity	19,728	1,837	6,706	1,363	6,317	2,442	811	252
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	14,916	1,393	4,843	1,159	4,935	1,814	580	192
% of Total Enrolled	73%	75%	70%	82%	76%	71%	68%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$7.87	\$8.23	\$8.82	\$8.55	\$8.02	\$7.91	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,027	\$1,096	\$1,153	\$1,182	\$1,023	\$1,074	\$1,060
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	69%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	405	157	111	34	91	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	126	45	35	11	31	4
Participants in a Work Activity	89	27	25	10	23	4
% of Enrolled	71%	60%	71%	91%	74%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	62	12	21	10	17	2
% of Enrolled	49%	27%	60%	91%	55%	50%
Full Time	51	8	16	10	16	1
Part Time	11	4	5	0	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$8.02	\$7.30	\$7.54	\$7.40	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$1,051	\$918	\$1,102	\$1,063	\$924

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	326	136	93	32	52	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	313	124	95	31	57	6
Participants in a Work Activity	282	109	89	31	48	5
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	94%	100%	84%	83%
Participants Employed	158	47	59	20	29	3
% of Total Enrolled	50%	38%	62%	65%	51%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.35	\$7.97	\$7.74	\$7.75	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,087	\$1,122	\$1,056	\$1,142	\$1,083	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	62%	58%	71%	81%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,047	1,164	878	294	626	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,257	830	663	213	497	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,173	796	652	206	468	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,557	545	469	147	361	35
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	71%	69%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.09	\$7.45	\$6.97	\$6.89	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$935	\$974	\$976	\$940	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	46%	44%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	650	130	36	48	91	42	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	262	40	12	21	34	19	136
Participants in a Work Activity	205	31	6	11	28	14	115
% of Enrolled	78%	78%	50%	52%	82%	74%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	115	21	4	7	12	13	58
% of Enrolled	44%	53%	33%	33%	35%	68%	43%
Full Time	71	17	4	2	7	9	32
Part Time	44	4	0	5	5	4	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.91	\$7.90	\$7.27	\$8.15	\$8.26	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$1,176	\$1,096	\$666	\$1,007	\$1,125	\$946

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	542	136	20	44	77	26	239
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	586	111	22	44	81	31	297
Participants in a Work Activity	536	106	18	29	68	30	285
% of Total Enrolled	91%	95%	82%	66%	84%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	312	61	11	19	33	22	166
% of Total Enrolled	53%	55%	50%	43%	41%	71%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.69	\$8.19	\$8.01	\$8.66	\$8.10	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,030	\$1,118	\$1,094	\$982	\$1,122	\$1,028	\$980
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	66%	100%	53%	76%	67%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,617	1069	169	353	682	211	2,133
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,455	732	113	251	465	138	1,756
Participants in a Work Activity	3,356	714	106	235	438	137	1,726
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	94%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,703	560	83	194	298	96	1,472
% of Total Enrolled	78%	77%	73%	77%	64%	70%	84%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.54	\$7.31	\$7.10	\$7.12	\$7.44	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$936	\$982	\$1,077	\$916	\$954	\$1,011	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	52%	50%	54%	54%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,108	88	75	171	257	517
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	514	31	22	99	162	200
Participants in a Work Activity	354	20	19	83	108	124
% of Enrolled	69%	65%	86%	84%	67%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	6	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	231	16	12	46	58	99
% of Enrolled	45%	52%	55%	46%	36%	50%
Full Time	187	13	12	35	51	76
Part Time	44	3	0	11	7	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.68	\$7.04	\$7.62	\$7.79	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$964	\$1,089	\$1,074	\$1,121	\$929

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	818	57	58	169	181	353
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,001	70	73	199	254	405
Participants in a Work Activity	898	61	69	181	223	364
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	95%	91%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	571	44	44	117	134	232
% of Total Enrolled	57%	63%	60%	59%	53%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.46	\$7.38	\$7.06	\$7.62	\$7.79	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$931	\$1,029	\$1,012	\$1,109	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	38%	55%	58%	68%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,062	737	658	1,606	1,862	3,199
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,557	587	574	1,258	1,551	2,587
Participants in a Work Activity	6,202	560	561	1,185	1,454	2,442
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,645	408	411	847	1,071	1,908
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	72%	67%	69%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.23	\$6.45	\$6.01	\$6.25	\$6.41	\$6.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$819	\$826	\$822	\$814	\$858	\$798
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,611	247	524	123	225	492
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	472	43	207	66	37	119
Participants in a Work Activity	358	27	168	53	28	82
% of Enrolled	76%	63%	81%	80%	76%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	222	20	93	34	21	54
% of Enrolled	47%	47%	45%	52%	57%	45%
Full Time	182	15	75	29	19	44
Part Time	40	5	18	5	2	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.40	\$7.15	\$6.73	\$6.94	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$1,080	\$936	\$930	\$894	\$969

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,217	166	419	116	147	369
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,264	183	428	143	136	374
Participants in a Work Activity	1,161	173	383	127	131	347
% of Total Enrolled	92%	95%	89%	89%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	603	75	226	75	64	163
% of Total Enrolled	48%	41%	53%	52%	47%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.12	\$7.24	\$6.56	\$7.17	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$928	\$953	\$868	\$932	\$962
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	55%	69%	64%	49%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,342	1,594	2,728	1,040	1,652	3,328
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,151	1,320	1,965	897	1,250	2,719
Participants in a Work Activity	7,874	1,269	1,878	842	1,232	2,653
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	5,304	793	1,290	606	727	1,888
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	66%	68%	58%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.13	\$6.10	\$6.43	\$6.04	\$6.14	\$5.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$826	\$815	\$909	\$793	\$844	\$784
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	971	68	110	71	68	61	127	37	171	129	129
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	443	22	57	25	19	21	64	35	65	82	53
Participants in a Work Activity	277	15	45	16	18	15	46	8	32	41	41
% of Enrolled	63%	68%	79%	64%	95%	71%	72%	23%	49%	50%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	197	10	42	12	13	10	15	7	31	29	28
% of Enrolled	44%	45%	74%	48%	68%	48%	23%	20%	48%	35%	53%
Full Time	138	10	26	10	11	8	9	4	14	27	19
Part Time	59	0	16	2	2	2	6	3	17	2	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$8.86	\$6.77	\$7.68	\$7.76	\$7.57	\$6.72	\$6.34	\$7.35	\$7.54	\$7.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$1,209	\$837	\$980	\$1,181	\$954	\$809	\$713	\$880	\$1,056	\$928

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	764	59	85	84	66	44	83	24	129	94	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	922	49	115	89	57	53	140	40	145	114	120
Participants in a Work Activity	819	40	108	78	51	49	122	26	142	88	115
% of Total Enrolled	89%	82%	94%	88%	89%	92%	87%	65%	98%	77%	96%
Participants Employed	452	19	59	38	27	27	62	10	87	57	66
% of Total Enrolled	49%	39%	51%	43%	47%	51%	44%	25%	60%	50%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$7.88	\$6.58	\$7.83	\$8.37	\$8.17	\$7.26	\$5.89	\$7.19	\$7.27	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$961	\$976	\$817	\$1,095	\$1,128	\$1,078	\$890	\$690	\$965	\$1,060	\$942
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	67%	80%	63%	71%	69%	59%	75%	74%	76%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,114	359	824	618	514	417	729	240	880	746	787
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,515	248	639	447	344	289	551	170	662	548	617
Participants in a Work Activity	4,303	226	637	410	319	273	530	168	626	508	606
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	100%	92%	93%	94%	96%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,848	151	371	263	185	168	321	85	464	376	464
% of Total Enrolled	63%	61%	58%	59%	54%	58%	58%	50%	70%	69%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.38	\$7.07	\$5.96	\$6.72	\$6.76	\$6.91	\$6.33	\$5.57	\$6.09	\$6.40	\$6.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$846	\$941	\$802	\$909	\$887	\$931	\$797	\$731	\$801	\$864	\$851
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	57%	52%	46%	46%	57%	59%	58%	55%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,947	878	35	148	1,299	43	2,544
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,086	324	14	98	574	17	1,059
Participants in a Work Activity	1,483	226	9	50	396	9	793
% of Enrolled	71%	70%	64%	51%	69%	53%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	1	1	3	14	0	3
Participants Employed	873	154	5	37	268	9	400
% of Enrolled	42%	48%	36%	38%	47%	53%	38%
Full Time	701	115	4	31	225	6	320
Part Time	172	39	1	6	43	3	80
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.88	\$7.20	\$8.19	\$8.59	\$8.09	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,098	\$1,035	\$980	\$1,082	\$1,227	\$1,065	\$1,039

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,313	714	24	121	789	27	1,638
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,951	707	23	141	984	32	2,064
Participants in a Work Activity	3,532	652	20	124	857	23	1,856
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	87%	88%	87%	72%	90%
Participants Employed	1,973	354	10	72	527	18	992
% of Total Enrolled	50%	50%	43%	51%	54%	56%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.08	\$7.96	\$8.40	\$8.47	\$9.73	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$1,084	\$1,078	\$1,102	\$1,182	\$1,239	\$1,058
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	76%	75%	83%	77%	75%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	28,557	5,568	189	888	6,532	253	15,127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,887	4,134	134	614	5,157	171	11,677
Participants in a Work Activity	21,153	4,032	127	564	4,894	158	11,378
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	92%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	15,513	2,809	91	408	3,625	102	8,478
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	66%	70%	60%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.30	\$6.55	\$7.93	\$7.36	\$6.98	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$966	\$991	\$857	\$1,047	\$1,002	\$894	\$943
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	54%	65%	56%	52%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	983	180	35	336	268	164
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	519	94	9	182	131	103
Participants in a Work Activity	357	76	7	95	95	84
% of Enrolled	69%	81%	78%	52%	73%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	1	1	18	0	2
Participants Employed	226	28	6	83	52	57
% of Enrolled	44%	30%	67%	46%	40%	55%
Full Time	183	28	4	61	45	45
Part Time	43	0	2	22	7	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$8.66	\$8.43	\$7.97	\$8.22	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$1,290	\$1,012	\$1,030	\$1,057	\$1,156

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	840	159	35	242	258	146
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	914	145	30	298	253	188
Participants in a Work Activity	802	135	27	240	228	172
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	90%	81%	90%	91%
Participants Employed	505	56	19	181	132	117
% of Total Enrolled	55%	39%	63%	61%	52%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.54	\$8.42	\$8.22	\$8.74	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,121	\$1,246	\$1,037	\$1,052	\$1,187	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁸	81%	97%	55%	83%	85%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,889	946	377	1,653	1,737	1,176
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,075	639	243	1,256	975	962
Participants in a Work Activity	3,895	619	232	1,148	949	947
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	91%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,835	386	174	902	608	765
% of Total Enrolled	70%	60%	72%	72%	62%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.29	\$7.14	\$7.62	\$8.07	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$948	\$905	\$1,010	\$1,121	\$974
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	42%	50%	57%	58%	54%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	409	89	19	52	41	19	37	24	30	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	172	42	11	23	17	10	18	8	3	40
Participants in a Work Activity	114	34	4	19	9	5	9	3	3	28
% of Enrolled	66%	81%	36%	83%	53%	50%	50%	38%	100%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	78	23	3	8	6	5	5	3	3	22
% of Enrolled	45%	55%	27%	35%	35%	50%	28%	38%	100%	55%
Full Time	58	15	2	5	6	5	3	2	1	19
Part Time	20	8	1	3	0	0	2	1	2	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.22	\$9.00	\$7.90	\$7.64	\$7.90	\$7.68	\$8.17	\$8.34	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$947	\$1,132	\$874	\$1,160	\$1,170	\$975	\$1,044	\$917	\$1,097

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	331	73	19	41	35	16	38	24	22	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	357	85	18	42	29	16	34	24	24	85
Participants in a Work Activity	312	78	16	39	25	13	25	22	23	71
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	89%	93%	86%	81%	74%	92%	96%	84%
Participants Employed	186	50	8	19	15	10	14	10	12	48
% of Total Enrolled	52%	59%	44%	45%	52%	63%	41%	42%	50%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$7.51	\$7.68	\$8.11	\$7.66	\$7.15	\$8.35	\$7.30	\$7.62	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$946	\$919	\$1,059	\$1,120	\$978	\$1,038	\$905	\$1,001	\$1,105
Three Months Employment ⁸	58%	50%	29%	64%	55%	100%	80%	33%	33%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	2,745	402	207	220	327	156	307	251	254	621
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,945	306	132	174	244	98	221	201	132	437
Participants in a Work Activity	1,835	293	124	166	230	89	203	195	128	407
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	94%	91%	92%	97%	97%	93%
Participants Employed	1,275	216	76	101	168	61	152	122	89	290
% of Total Enrolled	66%	71%	58%	58%	69%	62%	69%	61%	67%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.65	\$6.64	\$6.88	\$7.05	\$6.42	\$6.59	\$6.68	\$6.32	\$6.72	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$865	\$834	\$869	\$969	\$820	\$845	\$862	\$831	\$930	\$888
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	35%	47%	47%	49%	55%	40%	33%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,146	27	102	116	43	94	1,180	1,553	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,194	10	42	47	17	34	415	610	19
Participants in a Work Activity	861	5	28	31	13	23	293	456	12
% of Enrolled	72%	50%	67%	66%	76%	68%	71%	75%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0
Participants Employed	649	5	23	21	7	17	207	359	10
% of Enrolled	54%	50%	55%	45%	41%	50%	50%	59%	53%
Full Time	520	4	15	15	4	13	157	304	8
Part Time	129	1	8	6	3	4	50	55	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.70	\$6.63	\$8.08	\$7.50	\$8.69	\$7.47	\$7.63	\$7.70	\$9.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$819	\$997	\$984	\$1,005	\$956	\$991	\$1,069	\$1,213

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,319	10	90	80	28	81	808	1,181	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,624	15	85	101	34	76	865	1,404	44
Participants in a Work Activity	2,354	12	70	90	32	66	805	1,236	43
% of Total Enrolled	90%	80%	82%	89%	94%	87%	93%	88%	98%
Participants Employed	1,529	8	47	66	17	36	502	828	25
% of Total Enrolled	58%	53%	55%	65%	50%	47%	58%	59%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.21	\$7.82	\$8.09	\$8.71	\$7.65	\$7.68	\$7.67	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$987	\$980	\$1,032	\$1,120	\$962	\$1,022	\$1,072	\$1,099
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	100%	77%	73%	88%	57%	72%	71%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,373	121	834	790	232	769	7,204	10,149	274
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,681	85	563	592	165	528	5,091	7,466	191
Participants in a Work Activity	14,062	82	519	552	159	510	4,977	7,080	183
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,738	43	394	429	110	340	3,685	5,595	142
% of Total Enrolled	73%	51%	70%	72%	67%	64%	72%	75%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.71	\$6.66	\$6.55	\$7.03	\$7.32	\$6.75	\$6.72	\$6.68	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$889	\$884	\$827	\$861	\$1,004	\$856	\$887	\$897	\$887
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	61%	56%	52%	54%	45%	52%	58%	51%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,177	130	83	56	64	293	551
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	545	25	32	23	36	154	275
Participants in a Work Activity	344	20	27	15	33	106	143
% of Enrolled	63%	80%	84%	65%	92%	69%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	1	1	1	1
Participants Employed	256	16	19	12	22	70	117
% of Enrolled	47%	64%	59%	52%	61%	45%	43%
Full Time	203	16	11	6	20	53	97
Part Time	53	0	8	6	2	17	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.28	\$7.81	\$8.42	\$7.14	\$7.26	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,000	\$1,150	\$966	\$1,079	\$953	\$913	\$1,038

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	754	94	68	26	52	207	307
Total Enrolled in VIEW	972	78	82	35	78	268	431
Participants in a Work Activity	837	75	69	32	72	248	341
% of Total Enrolled	86%	96%	84%	91%	92%	93%	79%
Participants Employed	543	43	44	24	46	160	226
% of Total Enrolled	56%	55%	54%	69%	59%	60%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.80	\$8.41	\$8.19	\$7.18	\$7.48	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$1,221	\$1,257	\$925	\$1,023	\$969	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	82%	68%	71%	77%	75%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,309	932	629	265	595	1,823	3,065
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,571	649	455	201	462	1,438	2,366
Participants in a Work Activity	5,312	646	398	188	446	1,384	2,250
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	3,961	453	290	149	318	1,032	1,719
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	64%	74%	69%	72%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.72	\$6.70	\$7.20	\$6.80	\$6.74	\$6.61	\$6.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$928	\$979	\$849	\$933	\$901	\$922
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	51%	50%	57%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/09 and Work Activity as of 3/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,988	134	115	1,121	79	1,934	1,200	414	991
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,244	46	31	484	24	800	403	125	331
Participants in a Work Activity	1,727	31	19	353	20	643	324	96	241
% of Enrolled	77%	67%	61%	73%	83%	80%	80%	77%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	1	0	1	4	1	1
Participants Employed	1,309	25	11	283	10	525	214	66	175
% of Enrolled	58%	54%	35%	58%	42%	66%	53%	53%	53%
Full Time	1,150	18	9	250	4	490	175	55	149
Part Time	159	7	2	33	6	35	39	11	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.48	\$6.59	\$7.40	\$6.94	\$7.32	\$7.52	\$7.48	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$964	\$814	\$1,024	\$837	\$1,020	\$1,046	\$991	\$1,078

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,040	91	80	726	66	1,268	686	286	837
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,673	102	89	869	66	1,517	875	315	840
Participants in a Work Activity	4,092	91	82	781	65	1,307	773	291	702
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	92%	90%	98%	86%	88%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	2,854	64	40	549	35	1,012	533	175	446
% of Total Enrolled	61%	63%	45%	63%	53%	67%	61%	56%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.49	\$7.43	\$7.71	\$7.10	\$7.32	\$7.62	\$7.55	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,035	\$951	\$1,065	\$923	\$1,009	\$1,080	\$1,028	\$1,088
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	74%	59%	77%	59%	80%	67%	69%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	41,632	908	785	6,588	654	13,463	6,840	2,681	9,713
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	29,671	635	605	4,682	513	9,473	5,259	2,051	6,453
Participants in a Work Activity	28,171	609	577	4,450	502	9,014	4,973	2,022	6,024
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	95%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	21,665	464	370	3,499	360	6,921	3,999	1,568	4,484
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	61%	75%	70%	73%	76%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.59	\$6.63	\$6.32	\$6.72	\$6.21	\$6.48	\$6.50	\$6.42	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$888	\$803	\$893	\$779	\$836	\$881	\$839	\$931
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	60%	56%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	44.9%	4.21	98	\$7.44	3.60	76	65.0%	5.10	70	4.31	97
003	Albemarle	55.0%	6.35	54	\$8.69	6.51	11	66.0%	5.24	67	6.03	31
005	Alleghany/Covington	66.7%	8.83	7	\$7.32	3.33	82	49.3%	2.91	110	5.02	73
007	Amelia	38.8%	2.92	114	\$7.88	4.63	41	66.7%	5.33	63	4.29	98
009	Amherst	62.9%	8.02	17	\$7.38	3.47	80	37.5%	1.25	114	4.24	100
011	Appomattox	60.3%	7.47	31	\$7.06	2.72	105	54.6%	3.64	100	4.61	89
013	Arlington	48.3%	4.94	88	\$9.79	9.07	2	60.3%	4.44	89	6.15	27
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	55.8%	6.52	47	\$7.61	4.00	67	79.6%	7.14	17	5.89	37
017	Bath	60.0%	7.41	32	\$6.84	2.21	111	100.0%	10.00	1	6.54	16
019	Bedford County/City	58.8%	7.16	38	\$7.62	4.02	63	57.8%	4.10	95	5.09	68
021	Bland	39.1%	2.99	113	\$7.71	4.23	55	77.8%	6.89	19	4.71	85
023	Botetourt	37.0%	2.55	117	\$7.84	4.53	42	33.3%	0.67	115	2.58	116
025	Brunswick	51.3%	5.57	73	\$6.58	1.60	117	80.5%	7.27	14	4.82	81
027	Buchanan	51.8%	5.68	71	\$7.47	3.67	75	70.3%	5.84	54	5.06	70
029	Buckingham	42.7%	3.75	107	\$7.83	4.51	43	63.0%	4.81	79	4.36	94
031	Campbell	52.8%	5.88	65	\$7.79	4.42	47	67.8%	5.49	60	5.26	63
033	Caroline	38.6%	2.89	115	\$8.54	6.16	13	96.6%	9.52	6	6.19	26
035	Carroll	63.0%	8.04	16	\$6.78	2.07	114	63.6%	4.91	75	5.01	74
036	Charles City	53.3%	6.00	59	\$7.21	3.07	91	100.0%	10.00	2	6.36	20
037	Charlotte	47.4%	4.74	91	\$8.37	5.77	22	71.4%	6.00	46	5.50	54
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	50.1%	5.31	78	\$8.08	5.09	35	75.7%	6.59	29	5.67	47
043	Clarke	63.6%	8.18	13	\$7.49	3.72	72	50.0%	3.00	107	4.97	77
045	Craig	25.0%	0.00	118	\$7.00	2.58	107	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.29	119
047	Culpeper	37.9%	2.73	116	\$8.35	5.72	23	61.8%	4.65	86	4.37	93
049	Cumberland	50.9%	5.50	75	\$8.17	5.30	31	69.2%	5.69	58	5.50	55
051	Dickensen	46.4%	4.54	95	\$7.14	2.91	98	61.5%	4.62	87	4.02	107
053	Dimwiddie	55.1%	6.38	51	\$7.80	4.44	45	82.1%	7.50	12	6.11	28
057	Essex	58.8%	7.17	37	\$7.51	3.77	71	50.0%	3.00	108	4.64	88
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	46.9%	4.65	94	\$9.61	8.65	4	76.2%	6.67	25	6.66	14
061	Fauquier	62.1%	7.86	25	\$7.97	4.84	38	57.8%	4.09	96	5.60	49
063	Floyd	53.1%	5.96	60	\$8.01	4.93	36	62.5%	4.75	82	5.21	65
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	5.30	79	\$8.19	5.35	29	100.0%	10.00	3	6.88	6
067	Franklin County	43.5%	3.91	104	\$7.76	4.35	50	59.3%	4.30	90	4.19	101
069	Frederick	53.5%	6.05	57	\$8.50	6.07	14	65.1%	5.12	69	5.74	42
071	Giles	55.8%	6.52	48	\$7.62	4.02	64	61.1%	4.56	88	5.03	72
073	Gloucester	55.3%	6.42	50	\$7.82	4.49	44	77.1%	6.80	23	5.90	36
075	Goochland	43.5%	3.91	103	\$7.96	4.81	39	75.0%	6.50	33	5.08	69
077	Grayson	65.6%	8.61	9	\$6.73	1.95	115	70.0%	5.80	55	5.45	59

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	43.2%	3.85	106	\$8.01	4.93	37	52.9%	3.41	105	4.06	104
081	Greensville/Emporia	44.3%	4.09	101	\$7.26	3.19	87	59.1%	4.27	93	3.85	108
083	Halifax	41.0%	3.39	111	\$7.12	2.86	101	54.6%	3.64	101	3.29	114
085	Hanover	51.1%	5.52	74	\$8.40	5.84	20	83.0%	7.62	10	6.33	21
087	Henrico	53.6%	6.05	56	\$8.47	6.00	15	76.9%	6.76	24	6.27	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	52.8%	5.89	64	\$7.24	3.14	88	69.0%	5.65	59	4.89	78
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	62.7%	8.00	19	\$7.49	3.72	73	73.8%	6.33	41	6.02	32
095	James City	65.3%	8.55	10	\$8.09	5.12	34	72.9%	6.20	44	6.62	15
097	King and Queen	44.4%	4.12	100	\$7.68	4.16	57	28.6%	0.00	118	2.76	115
099	King George	63.3%	8.12	14	\$8.42	5.88	17	54.6%	3.64	102	5.88	38
101	King William	45.2%	4.29	96	\$8.11	5.16	32	63.6%	4.91	76	4.79	84
103	Lancaster	51.7%	5.66	72	\$7.66	4.12	61	54.6%	3.64	103	4.47	91
105	Lee	62.5%	7.94	20	\$6.71	1.91	116	87.7%	8.27	7	6.04	30
107	Loudoun	61.5%	7.74	26	\$10.19	10.00	1	77.6%	6.87	20	8.20	1
109	Louisa	40.7%	3.33	112	\$8.66	6.44	12	76.2%	6.67	26	5.48	57
111	Lunenburg	25.0%	0.00	119	\$5.89	0.00	119	75.0%	6.50	34	2.17	118
113	Madison	64.5%	8.37	12	\$7.74	4.30	54	71.4%	6.00	47	6.22	25
115	Mathews	62.5%	7.94	21	\$7.15	2.93	97	100.0%	10.00	4	6.96	5
117	Mecklenburg	60.0%	7.41	33	\$7.19	3.02	92	73.9%	6.34	40	5.59	51
119	Middlesex	41.2%	3.43	110	\$8.35	5.72	24	80.0%	7.20	16	5.45	60
121	Montgomery	72.2%	10.00	1	\$7.55	3.86	69	64.6%	5.04	72	6.30	23
125	Nelson	71.0%	9.74	2	\$8.10	5.14	33	66.7%	5.33	64	6.74	11
127	New Kent	50.0%	5.30	80	\$8.71	6.56	10	87.5%	8.25	8	6.70	12
131	Northampton	47.7%	4.81	90	\$7.22	3.09	90	70.4%	5.85	53	4.59	90
133	Northumberland	41.7%	3.53	109	\$7.30	3.28	84	33.3%	0.67	116	2.49	117
135	Nottoway	50.0%	5.30	81	\$7.27	3.21	86	75.8%	6.61	28	5.04	71
137	Orange	50.9%	5.48	76	\$7.75	4.33	52	81.0%	7.33	13	5.71	44
139	Page	53.4%	6.02	58	\$8.39	5.81	21	47.4%	2.63	113	4.82	80
141	Patrick	52.4%	5.81	67	\$6.56	1.56	118	64.0%	4.96	74	4.11	103
143	Pittsylvania	47.1%	4.67	93	\$7.17	2.98	95	49.0%	2.86	111	3.50	112
145	Powhatan	56.3%	6.62	45	\$9.73	8.93	3	75.0%	6.50	35	7.35	3
147	Prince Edward	55.0%	6.36	53	\$7.40	3.51	78	75.6%	6.58	31	5.48	56
149	Prince George	53.7%	6.07	55	\$8.41	5.86	19	67.7%	5.48	61	5.81	40
153	Prince William	58.0%	7.00	39	\$9.60	8.63	6	75.6%	6.59	30	7.41	2
155	Pulaski	52.5%	5.83	66	\$7.14	2.91	99	58.7%	4.21	94	4.32	96
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	5.30	82	\$7.00	2.58	108	100.0%	10.00	5	5.96	35
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.30	83	\$7.62	4.02	65	33.3%	0.67	117	3.33	113
161	Roanoke County	66.9%	8.88	5	\$7.76	4.35	51	62.2%	4.71	84	5.98	34
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	57.4%	6.87	41	\$7.39	3.49	79	50.0%	3.00	109	4.45	92
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.1%	9.55	3	\$7.80	4.44	46	66.0%	5.23	68	6.41	18
167	Russell	55.1%	6.37	52	\$7.08	2.77	103	66.2%	5.26	66	4.80	83
169	Scott	52.3%	5.79	69	\$7.00	2.58	109	75.9%	6.63	27	5.00	75
171	Shenandoah	61.0%	7.62	27	\$7.59	3.95	68	64.3%	5.00	73	5.53	52

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	41.8%	3.57	108	\$6.79	2.09	113	75.0%	6.50	36	4.05	105
175	<i>Southampton</i>	44.9%	4.22	97	\$7.43	3.58	77	59.3%	4.30	91	4.03	106
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.7%	7.57	29	\$8.22	5.42	27	82.5%	7.55	11	6.85	8
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.2%	5.76	70	\$8.74	6.63	9	85.4%	7.95	9	6.78	10
181	<i>Surry</i>	68.6%	9.23	4	\$8.19	5.35	30	71.4%	6.00	48	6.86	7
183	<i>Sussex</i>	59.0%	7.20	35	\$7.18	3.00	94	77.4%	6.84	21	5.68	45
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	62.3%	7.91	22	\$6.84	2.21	112	66.3%	5.28	65	5.13	66
187	<i>Warren</i>	49.3%	5.15	86	\$8.21	5.40	28	57.1%	4.00	97	4.85	79
191	<i>Washington</i>	50.8%	5.46	77	\$7.14	2.91	100	48.5%	2.79	112	3.72	111
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	56.5%	6.67	44	\$8.42	5.88	18	74.1%	6.37	39	6.31	22
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.2%	7.87	24	\$7.05	2.70	106	62.9%	4.80	81	5.12	67
197	<i>Wythe</i>	65.0%	8.47	11	\$6.99	2.56	110	62.2%	4.71	83	5.25	64
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.4%	4.74	92	\$7.65	4.09	62	57.1%	4.00	98	4.28	99
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.8%	5.26	85	\$9.61	8.65	5	75.4%	6.56	32	6.82	9
520	<i>Bristol</i>	49.0%	5.08	87	\$7.24	3.14	89	51.9%	3.27	106	3.83	109
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	55.9%	6.54	46	\$8.24	5.47	25	71.3%	5.98	50	6.00	33
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.2%	8.09	15	\$7.71	4.23	56	77.4%	6.84	22	6.39	19
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.6%	3.94	102	\$7.33	3.35	81	64.7%	5.06	71	4.11	102
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	53.0%	5.94	62	\$7.10	2.81	102	59.3%	4.30	92	4.35	95
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	62.2%	7.89	23	\$8.47	6.00	16	73.0%	6.22	43	6.70	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.4%	3.89	105	\$7.07	2.74	104	63.2%	4.84	77	3.83	110
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.0%	7.00	40	\$7.68	4.16	58	72.2%	6.11	45	5.76	41
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	59.7%	7.35	34	\$7.48	3.70	74	74.8%	6.47	38	5.84	39
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	57.3%	6.84	42	\$7.29	3.26	85	73.5%	6.29	42	5.46	58
683	<i>Manassas</i>	44.9%	4.21	99	\$8.77	6.70	8	70.6%	5.88	52	5.60	50
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	62.9%	8.02	18	\$9.22	7.74	7	71.4%	6.00	49	7.25	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	59.0%	7.20	36	\$7.67	4.14	59	70.6%	5.88	51	5.74	43
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	66.7%	8.84	6	\$7.32	3.33	83	80.2%	7.23	15	6.46	17
720	<i>Norton</i>	65.9%	8.67	8	\$7.17	2.98	96	75.0%	6.50	37	6.05	29
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	52.4%	5.81	68	\$7.67	4.14	60	78.3%	6.96	18	5.64	48
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	60.9%	7.61	28	\$7.62	4.02	66	67.0%	5.38	62	5.67	46
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.5%	7.52	30	\$7.19	3.02	93	53.3%	3.47	104	4.67	87
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.1%	4.89	89	\$7.77	4.37	49	63.2%	4.84	78	4.70	86
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	49.9%	5.27	84	\$7.75	4.33	53	62.9%	4.81	80	4.80	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.6%	6.47	49	\$7.55	3.86	70	69.4%	5.72	57	5.35	62
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.1%	5.95	61	\$7.96	4.81	40	70.0%	5.80	56	5.52	53
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	56.8%	6.74	43	\$8.23	5.44	26	56.3%	3.88	99	5.35	61
840	<i>Winchester</i>	53.0%	5.93	63	\$7.78	4.40	48	61.9%	4.67	85	5.00	76

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.3%	1.28	115	\$812	2.42	98	57.8%	6.76	32	3.49	108
003	Albemarle	76.5%	7.83	9	\$982	5.91	17	54.2%	5.77	61	6.50	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.7%	7.00	23	\$811	2.40	99	45.5%	3.35	108	4.25	87
007	Amelia	60.9%	3.22	100	\$941	5.06	32	44.4%	3.07	111	3.78	99
009	Amherst	69.5%	5.77	53	\$826	2.71	91	53.5%	5.57	70	4.68	73
011	Appomattox	71.6%	6.39	39	\$822	2.63	92	43.2%	2.73	115	3.92	94
013	Arlington	75.0%	7.39	16	\$1,027	6.82	9	64.1%	8.48	8	7.57	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.0%	5.62	59	\$935	4.95	35	53.9%	5.69	64	5.42	40
017	Bath	65.7%	4.65	83	\$709	0.32	119	57.1%	6.58	37	3.85	97
019	Bedford County/City	67.3%	5.12	73	\$814	2.46	96	55.1%	6.01	54	4.53	78
021	Bland	63.3%	3.92	93	\$808	2.35	100	47.3%	3.84	99	3.37	110
023	Botetourt	51.0%	0.29	118	\$915	4.54	50	44.1%	2.97	112	2.60	119
025	Brunswick	58.1%	2.38	112	\$802	2.22	102	56.9%	6.51	40	3.70	102
027	Buchanan	52.5%	0.74	117	\$795	2.07	107	54.3%	5.79	59	2.86	115
029	Buckingham	58.8%	2.61	108	\$909	4.42	53	51.5%	5.02	80	4.02	90
031	Campbell	69.1%	5.63	58	\$858	3.36	74	59.6%	7.26	24	5.42	41
033	Caroline	60.4%	3.08	102	\$948	5.21	30	42.3%	2.48	117	3.59	105
035	Carroll	67.5%	5.18	70	\$792	2.01	109	45.8%	3.44	105	3.54	107
036	Charles City	50.6%	0.17	119	\$884	3.90	66	61.1%	7.67	15	3.92	95
037	Charlotte	53.8%	1.12	116	\$887	3.95	65	46.0%	3.50	103	2.86	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	67.9%	5.31	67	\$991	6.09	16	60.9%	7.61	16	6.34	23
043	Clarke	61.1%	3.28	99	\$955	5.34	28	46.2%	3.54	102	4.06	89
045	Craig	66.7%	4.93	76	\$978	5.82	19	66.7%	9.21	3	6.65	12
047	Culpeper	65.7%	4.63	84	\$935	4.94	36	55.9%	6.24	49	5.27	46
049	Cumberland	58.1%	2.40	111	\$931	4.87	39	46.0%	3.49	104	3.59	106
051	Dickensen	59.7%	2.87	106	\$791	1.98	110	48.4%	4.16	95	3.01	114
053	Dinwiddie	69.8%	5.85	50	\$928	4.79	42	53.4%	5.55	71	5.40	43
057	Essex	70.6%	6.09	43	\$834	2.87	87	42.5%	2.52	116	3.83	98
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.9%	5.89	49	\$1,096	8.25	4	61.6%	7.82	13	7.32	6
061	Fauquier	70.7%	6.13	42	\$974	5.73	22	62.7%	8.12	10	6.66	11
063	Floyd	71.6%	6.40	36	\$899	4.20	57	43.8%	2.90	113	4.50	79
065	Fluvanna	73.5%	6.93	24	\$1,077	7.85	5	51.6%	5.05	78	6.61	13
067	Franklin County	67.0%	5.02	74	\$926	4.76	44	49.9%	4.58	87	4.79	70
069	Frederick	62.1%	3.58	97	\$960	5.44	26	54.3%	5.79	58	4.94	64
071	Giles	60.2%	3.02	103	\$916	4.56	48	47.8%	3.99	97	3.86	96
073	Gloucester	70.0%	5.91	48	\$827	2.73	90	56.3%	6.33	46	4.99	59
075	Goochland	67.9%	5.29	68	\$857	3.35	75	54.2%	5.77	60	4.80	68
077	Grayson	66.8%	4.97	75	\$816	2.50	94	57.4%	6.65	35	4.71	71

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	77.3%	8.07	6	\$916	4.55	49	49.7%	4.52	88	5.71	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.3%	2.44	109	\$797	2.11	106	57.1%	6.58	38	3.71	101
083	Halifax	60.1%	2.98	105	\$815	2.49	95	48.4%	4.16	94	3.21	112
085	Hanover	66.4%	4.86	79	\$1,047	7.24	8	65.2%	8.79	7	6.97	7
087	Henrico	70.3%	6.00	44	\$1,002	6.32	15	55.9%	6.24	48	6.19	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	65.6%	4.63	85	\$909	4.42	52	54.8%	5.93	57	4.99	60
091	Highland	71.4%	6.33	40	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.37	22	4.57	76
093	Isle of Wight	73.1%	6.82	26	\$888	3.98	61	55.5%	6.12	51	5.64	37
095	James City	72.5%	6.64	31	\$861	3.42	73	51.7%	5.06	76	5.04	53
097	King and Queen	57.6%	2.24	114	\$869	3.59	69	34.8%	0.40	119	2.08	120
099	King George	71.6%	6.39	38	\$905	4.32	54	50.0%	4.60	86	5.10	51
101	King William	58.0%	2.38	113	\$969	5.64	24	47.2%	3.83	100	3.95	93
103	Lancaster	68.9%	5.57	61	\$820	2.59	93	47.4%	3.89	98	4.02	91
105	Lee	66.3%	4.81	81	\$750	1.15	116	60.0%	7.36	23	4.44	81
107	Loudoun	81.6%	9.33	3	\$1,153	9.40	2	66.1%	9.04	4	9.26	1
109	Louisa	64.1%	4.16	89	\$954	5.33	29	54.0%	5.71	62	5.07	52
111	Lunenburg	50.0%	0.00	120	\$731	0.77	117	59.2%	7.15	26	2.64	117
113	Madison	69.0%	5.62	60	\$976	5.79	20	45.7%	3.42	106	4.94	63
115	Mathews	62.2%	3.62	96	\$845	3.09	81	49.1%	4.34	91	3.69	103
117	Mecklenburg	70.1%	5.94	47	\$801	2.19	103	58.4%	6.91	29	5.01	57
119	Middlesex	68.8%	5.55	64	\$862	3.44	72	54.9%	5.95	56	4.98	61
121	Montgomery	81.6%	9.35	2	\$910	4.42	51	54.0%	5.70	63	6.49	17
125	Nelson	69.6%	5.78	52	\$1,011	6.51	12	53.7%	5.61	68	5.97	30
127	New Kent	66.7%	4.93	77	\$1,004	6.35	14	53.9%	5.69	65	5.65	36
131	Northampton	63.4%	3.95	91	\$791	1.98	111	65.6%	8.92	6	4.95	62
133	Northumberland	60.7%	3.16	101	\$831	2.81	88	40.0%	1.84	118	2.61	118
135	Nottoway	68.6%	5.50	65	\$864	3.48	71	55.4%	6.10	52	5.03	54
137	Orange	72.6%	6.69	29	\$940	5.04	33	43.8%	2.89	114	4.87	66
139	Page	74.8%	7.33	18	\$973	5.71	23	56.7%	6.44	42	6.49	16
141	Patrick	67.6%	5.19	69	\$793	2.02	108	65.9%	9.00	5	5.41	42
143	Pittsylvania	58.2%	2.41	110	\$844	3.07	82	51.3%	4.97	82	3.48	109
145	Powhatan	59.6%	2.85	107	\$894	4.11	59	51.7%	5.06	77	4.01	92
147	Prince Edward	75.2%	7.45	15	\$851	3.22	78	60.5%	7.51	18	6.06	27
149	Prince George	63.7%	4.06	90	\$979	5.84	18	51.0%	4.89	83	4.93	65
153	Prince William	75.5%	7.55	13	\$1,182	10.00	1	62.1%	7.93	12	8.49	2
155	Pulaski	66.6%	4.90	78	\$924	4.72	45	45.4%	3.34	109	4.32	86
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.38	86	\$935	4.94	37	46.7%	3.68	101	4.33	85
159	Richmond County	67.4%	5.15	72	\$930	4.84	41	33.3%	0.00	120	3.33	111
161	Roanoke County	76.9%	7.94	8	\$1,016	6.59	11	52.3%	5.24	74	6.59	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.0%	7.98	7	\$848	3.16	80	54.9%	5.95	55	5.70	34
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.4%	7.50	14	\$926	4.76	43	59.0%	7.10	27	6.45	19
167	Russell	63.3%	3.93	92	\$831	2.81	89	58.9%	7.07	28	4.60	75
169	Scott	60.2%	3.01	104	\$851	3.23	77	63.7%	8.38	9	4.87	67
171	Shenandoah	69.6%	5.80	51	\$899	4.20	56	53.8%	5.64	67	5.21	50

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.7%	3.75	94	\$798	2.13	105	53.0%	5.43	73	3.77	100
175	<i>Southampton</i>	61.2%	3.30	98	\$803	2.23	101	48.0%	4.05	96	3.19	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	71.8%	6.45	34	\$1,010	6.47	13	56.7%	6.44	43	6.45	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.4%	3.65	95	\$1,121	8.76	3	57.7%	6.73	33	6.38	22
181	<i>Surry</i>	74.1%	7.13	21	\$849	3.18	79	50.4%	4.71	85	5.01	58
183	<i>Sussex</i>	68.8%	5.57	62	\$933	4.90	38	56.7%	6.45	41	5.64	38
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.4%	5.73	56	\$777	1.69	114	57.0%	6.52	39	4.65	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.2%	5.98	45	\$965	5.56	25	57.9%	6.79	31	6.11	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.5%	5.18	71	\$868	3.57	70	49.5%	4.48	89	4.41	82
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.4%	4.84	80	\$888	3.98	62	48.9%	4.30	92	4.37	83
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.26	87	\$770	1.57	115	57.2%	6.59	36	4.14	88
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.8%	5.55	63	\$813	2.44	97	56.6%	6.41	44	4.80	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.4%	4.26	88	\$856	3.33	76	45.4%	3.33	110	3.64	104
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.4%	6.31	41	\$1,023	6.75	10	69.5%	10.00	1	7.69	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.9%	6.49	33	\$838	2.95	84	48.7%	4.24	93	4.56	77
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	83.8%	10.00	1	\$918	4.59	47	55.9%	6.23	50	6.94	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.7%	7.31	19	\$893	4.07	60	56.0%	6.26	47	5.88	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.4%	5.75	55	\$784	1.85	112	53.1%	5.45	72	4.35	84
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.2%	5.96	46	\$779	1.74	113	53.9%	5.67	66	4.46	80
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.5%	8.73	4	\$974	5.74	21	53.6%	5.61	69	6.69	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	66.1%	4.76	82	\$835	2.89	86	60.1%	7.40	21	5.02	56
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.4%	6.62	32	\$887	3.96	64	52.2%	5.22	75	5.27	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	71.8%	6.43	35	\$901	4.25	55	51.4%	4.99	81	5.23	49
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.8%	7.02	22	\$798	2.13	104	62.7%	8.11	11	5.75	32
683	<i>Manassas</i>	68.5%	5.46	66	\$1,074	7.79	6	60.3%	7.44	20	6.90	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.6%	7.57	12	\$1,060	7.50	7	69.1%	9.88	2	8.31	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.9%	7.37	17	\$897	4.15	58	58.0%	6.82	30	6.12	25
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.1%	6.82	27	\$836	2.91	85	55.1%	6.02	53	5.25	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.6%	8.17	5	\$714	0.42	118	60.4%	7.47	19	5.35	44
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.7%	6.70	28	\$922	4.68	46	57.4%	6.66	34	6.01	28
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.0%	7.70	11	\$881	3.84	67	61.4%	7.74	14	6.43	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.1%	6.83	25	\$881	3.84	68	45.7%	3.41	107	4.69	72
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.6%	6.68	30	\$943	5.09	31	60.7%	7.54	17	6.44	20
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	69.2%	5.68	57	\$938	5.00	34	49.2%	4.38	90	5.02	55
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.5%	7.82	10	\$839	2.98	83	59.5%	7.23	25	6.01	29
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.5%	5.76	54	\$931	4.86	40	56.3%	6.35	45	5.66	35
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.3%	7.20	20	\$887	3.96	63	50.7%	4.80	84	5.32	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	71.6%	6.39	37	\$957	5.39	27	51.5%	5.02	79	5.60	39

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	17,160	831	665	1,572	577	351	1,997	209	424	610	843	540	2,444	582	247	1,738	539	2,836	155
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	95%	93%	94%	96%	88%	94%	95%	96%	94%	95%	93%	91%	87%	94%	97%	84%	91%	88%
% Timely in March 2009	93%	97%	91%	95%	100%	88%	94%	91%	94%	99%	93%	96%	91%	84%	97%	93%	93%	93%	94%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	11,840	571	474	1,036	406	227	1,388	148	296	426	620	418	1,732	398	154	1,120	391	1,925	110
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	98%	97%	98%	96%	95%	95%	99%	96%	97%	98%	97%	95%	97%	96%	92%	94%	96%
Percent in March 2009	96%	100%	96%	97%	99%	86%	94%	85%	98%	95%	98%	100%	97%	94%	100%	97%	95%	93%	89%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	95	4	2	1	0	1	12	6	1	0	3	2	33	12	1	14	2	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	57	4	5	0	9	7	57	17	0	6	4	43	34	2	8	40	4	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	831	65	49	131	111	95	128	226	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	94%	96%	98%	100%	96%	91%	93%	92%
% Timely in March 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	571	52	24	99	78	72	98	130	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	94%	88%	99%	99%	97%	99%	97%	94%
Percent in March 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	118

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	665	14	64	16	125	122	71	179	74
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	95%	100%	92%	95%	83%	95%	91%
% Timely in March 2009	91%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	89%	70%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	474	9	50	10	100	77	51	128	49
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	89%	100%	100%	97%	99%	100%	98%	98%
Percent in March 2009	96%	N/A	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botetourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1572	74	16	1	22	128	38	212	146	172	48	715
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	80%	100%	100%	95%	77%	92%	97%	100%	97%	85%	96%
% Timely in March 2009	95%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	85%	100%	92%	100%	90%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1036	45	11	1	16	68	26	140	101	115	34	479
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%	96%	100%	100%	100%	97%	98%
Percent in March 2009	97%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	577	365	4	0	34	174
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	N/A	97%	87%
% Timely in March 2009	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	406	261	2	0	23	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	99%	100%	N/A	83%	97%
Percent in March 2009	99%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	351	9	77	53	31	107	74	155	97	58
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	88%	89%	75%	100%	65%	98%	89%	88%	94%	79%
% Timely in March 2009	88%	0%	75%	100%	60%	100%	100%	94%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	227	6	53	31	23	65	49	110	71	39
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	92%	97%	100%	98%	96%	96%	100%	90%
Percent in March 2009	86%	100%	75%	100%	100%	92%	67%	89%	100%	78%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,997	160	641	176	682	218	95	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	98%	91%	89%	97%	94%	92%
% Timely in March 2009	94%	100%	99%	80%	88%	100%	92%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1388	113	412	131	493	155	67	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	92%	93%	96%	95%	100%	94%
Percent in March 2009	94%	100%	90%	85%	99%	93%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	12	0	0	3	6	0	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	6	7	0	4	9

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	209	79	68	19	37	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	99%	99%	95%	95%	17%
% Timely in March 2009	91%	100%	100%	N/A	86%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	148	54	48	19	25	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	89%	100%	100%	96%	100%
Percent in March 2009	85%	67%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	2	4
Average # of Days Over 30	57	0	0	0	12	79

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	424	87	15	32	63	21	206
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	99%	100%	81%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in March 2009	94%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	296	64	9	19	37	13	154
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	98%	100%	84%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2009	98%	89%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	17	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	610	39	45	132	145	249
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	99%	94%	90%
% Timely in March 2009	99%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	426	23	33	89	102	179
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	97%	94%	96%
Percent in March 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	843	131	269	88	99	256
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	95%	92%	98%	100%	95%
% Timely in March 2009	93%	90%	87%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	620	99	194	60	71	196
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	95%	97%	98%
Percent in March 2009	98%	100%	94%	86%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	3	8	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	540	23	74	69	51	35	59	16	92	54	67
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	96%	93%	97%	100%	100%	68%	94%	98%	89%	94%
% Timely in March 2009	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	418	18	60	55	41	25	38	11	76	41	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	85%	100%
Percent in March 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,444	499	19	94	632	19	1,181
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	91%	95%	68%	99%	84%	100%	94%
% Timely in March 2009	91%	91%	100%	100%	79%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1732	360	11	69	417	13	862
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	98%	100%	91%	95%	92%	97%
Percent in March 2009	97%	99%	100%	92%	97%	80%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	33	4	0	0	29	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	43	53	0	0	41	0	0

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	582	104	25	169	155	129
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	87%	93%	100%	79%	85%	95%
% Timely in March 2009	84%	76%	100%	79%	90%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	398	63	20	114	116	85
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	98%	100%	88%	97%	99%
Percent in March 2009	94%	92%	100%	91%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	12	7	0	3	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	32	0	24	55.5	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	247	53	16	30	23	8	30	18	18	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	98%	88%	93%	96%	75%	100%	94%	94%	92%
% Timely in March 2009	97%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	154	35	9	19	15	4	17	15	10	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	93%	75%	94%	100%	100%	93%
Percent in March 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,738	7	60	68	22	56	566	926	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	86%	87%	99%	100%	95%	99%	96%	91%
% Timely in March 2009	93%	N/A	80%	93%	100%	92%	100%	91%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1120	5	32	41	15	33	370	597	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	94%	97%	95%	100%
Percent in March 2009	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	14	0	2	1	0	1	0	8	2
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	7	5	0	9	0	10	3

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	539	58	59	20	30	143	229
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	84%	97%	98%	70%	100%	78%	79%
% Timely in March 2009	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	391	40	40	13	24	109	165
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	92%	100%	95%	100%	96%	98%	84%
Percent in March 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	0	0	0	0	40

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,836	63	61	514	49	825	510	220	594
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	91%	94%	98%	93%	100%	79%	96%	99%	95%
% Timely in March 2009	93%	92%	100%	98%	100%	84%	96%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1925	36	42	381	35	542	365	170	354
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	96%	94%	89%	96%	98%	97%
Percent in March 2009	93%	100%	100%	95%	100%	85%	95%	96%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Mar-08</i>	<i>Mar-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,291	16,319	0.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,436	129,911	1.1%
Total Cases Collected	144,727	146,230	1.0%
TANF Collections	\$6,396,490	\$7,206,058	12.7%
NTANF Collections	\$52,717,537	\$57,580,093	9.2%
Total Collections	\$59,114,027	\$64,786,151	9.6%
SFY YTD Collections	\$455,868,474	\$466,563,779	2.3%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$357	\$365	2.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>Mar-09</i>
TANF Paternities	251
NTANF Paternities	435
Combined Paternities	686

Diversionsary Assistance

March Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	6		Page	2
Alleghany / Covington	2		Patrick	4
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	6
Amherst	1		Prince William	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Pulaski	3
Bedford City	4		Roanoke County	12
Buckingham	1		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Campbell	1		Rockingham / H'burg	3
Caroline	2		Shenandoah	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Smyth	3
Culpeper	1		Spotsylvania	5
Dickensen	1		Stafford	5
Dinwiddie	1		Warren	12
Fairfax / Falls Church	4		Wythe	2
Fauquier	2		Bristol	2
Floyd	2		Charlottesville	3
Franklin County	13		Chesapeake	4
Frederick	4		Franklin City	1
Gloucester	1		Fredricksburg	2
Greene	2		Hampton	10
Hanover	1		Hopewell	2
Henrico	3		Mannassas	2
Henry / Martinsville	4		Manassas Park	3
Isle of Wight	1		Newport News	16
James City	1		Norfolk	16
Louisa	3		Richmond City	6
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	14
Madison	1		Suffolk	10
Northampton	1		Virginia Beach	15
Nottoway	1		Winchester	7
Orange	4		Statewide	261

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of April 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..


This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:

http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

Mar 31, 2009




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March, it was \$7.86 rather than the \$7.74 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.