

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**April 2009**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services June 1, 2009

# Monthly Report, April 2009

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for April

*These are estimated locality April 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 17,381 and a numerator of 7,487.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	73	25	34%	061	Fauquier	63	33	52%
003	Albemarle	76	31	41%	063	Floyd	28	8	29%
005	Alleghany/Covington	72	19	26%	065	Fluvanna	17	7	41%
007	Amelia	38	16	42%	067	Franklin County	92	22	24%
009	Amherst	44	19	43%	069	Frederick	66	28	42%
011	Appomattox	34	15	44%	071	Giles	19	7	37%
013	Arlington	118	58	49%	073	Gloucester	47	12	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	284	145	51%	075	Goochland	16	4	25%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	19	10	53%
019	Bedford County/City	107	44	41%	079	Greene	27	5	19%
021	Bland	8	5	63%	081	Greensville/Emporia	70	23	33%
023	Botetourt	8	1	13%	083	Halifax	107	26	24%
025	Brunswick	61	20	33%	085	Hanover	103	32	31%
027	Buchanan	64	23	36%	087	Henrico	761	317	42%
029	Buckingham	31	14	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	288	109	38%
031	Campbell	146	51	35%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	116	37	32%	093	Isle of Wight	76	40	53%
035	Carroll	60	33	55%	095	James City	58	26	45%
036	Charles City	12	3	25%	097	King and Queen	12	5	42%
037	Charlotte	25	13	52%	099	King George	18	8	44%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	483	195	40%	101	King William	25	13	52%
043	Clarke	15	3	20%	103	Lancaster	20	7	35%
045	Craig	4	3	75%	105	Lee	105	39	37%
047	Culpeper	75	30	40%	107	Loudoun	170	56	33%
049	Cumberland	40	15	38%	109	Louisa	43	21	49%
051	Dickensen	41	17	41%	111	Lunenburg	24	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	49	24	49%	113	Madison	12	3	25%
057	Essex	55	19	35%	115	Mathews	7	1	14%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	623	322	52%	117	Mecklenburg	84	29	35%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	28	6	21%	187	Warren	79	33	42%
121	Montgomery	205	105	51%	191	Washington	102	44	43%
125	Nelson	18	11	61%	193	Westmoreland	42	14	33%
127	New Kent	18	5	28%	195	Wise	210	72	34%
131	Northampton	41	7	17%	197	Wythe	82	26	32%
133	Northumberland	9	4	44%	199	York/Poquoson	60	20	33%
135	Nottoway	76	19	25%	510	Alexandria	264	121	46%
137	Orange	35	9	26%	520	Bristol	129	68	53%
139	Page	40	15	38%	540	Charlottesville	190	92	48%
141	Patrick	61	26	43%	550	Chesapeake	678	303	45%
143	Pittsylvania	95	26	27%	590	Danville	207	82	40%
145	Powhatan	20	11	55%	620	Franklin City	36	13	36%
147	Prince Edward	83	39	47%	630	Fredericksburg	108	64	59%
149	Prince George	35	12	34%	640	Galax	39	11	28%
153	Prince William	703	288	41%	650	Hampton	671	345	51%
155	Pulaski	78	38	49%	670	Hopewell	195	48	25%
157	Rappahannock	8	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	275	117	43%
159	Richmond County	13	3	23%	683	Manassas	85	36	42%
161	Roanoke County	148	75	51%	685	Manassas Park	23	8	35%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	39	14	36%	700	Newport News	928	421	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	144	77	53%	710	Norfolk	1,111	591	53%
167	Russell	113	50	44%	720	Norton	43	15	35%
169	Scott	92	15	16%	730	Petersburg	351	136	39%
171	Shenandoah	18	5	28%	740	Portsmouth	661	246	37%
173	Smyth	96	31	32%	750	Radford	37	13	35%
175	Southampton	51	11	22%	760	Richmond City	1,473	701	48%
177	Spotsylvania	202	82	41%	770	Roanoke City	511	166	32%
179	Stafford	185	95	51%	800	Suffolk	186	106	57%
181	Surry	26	6	23%	810	Virginia Beach	506	262	52%
183	Sussex	45	28	62%	830	Williamsburg	23	13	57%
185	Tazewell	147	64	44%	840	Winchester	61	30	49%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for April

*These are estimated locality April 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 37% with a denominator of 15,468 and a numerator of 5,794. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	63	15	24%	061	Fauquier	53	23	43%
003	Albemarle	56	13	23%	063	Floyd	23	3	13%
005	Alleghany/Covington	60	8	13%	065	Fluvanna	13	4	31%
007	Amelia	38	16	42%	067	Franklin County	84	16	19%
009	Amherst	39	14	36%	069	Frederick	59	21	36%
011	Appomattox	32	13	41%	071	Giles	16	5	31%
013	Arlington	99	40	40%	073	Gloucester	42	9	21%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	252	113	45%	075	Goochland	16	4	25%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	15	6	40%
019	Bedford County/City	98	36	37%	079	Greene	26	4	15%
021	Bland	6	3	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	63	16	25%
023	Botetourt	7	1	14%	083	Halifax	98	17	17%
025	Brunswick	53	13	25%	085	Hanover	92	23	25%
027	Buchanan	58	17	29%	087	Henrico	681	243	36%
029	Buckingham	28	11	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	276	98	36%
031	Campbell	132	38	29%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	104	30	29%	093	Isle of Wight	63	29	46%
035	Carroll	53	26	49%	095	James City	52	20	38%
036	Charles City	11	3	27%	097	King and Queen	10	3	30%
037	Charlotte	21	9	43%	099	King George	13	4	31%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	420	133	32%	101	King William	22	10	45%
043	Clarke	14	3	21%	103	Lancaster	20	7	35%
045	Craig	4	3	75%	105	Lee	98	33	34%
047	Culpeper	69	24	35%	107	Loudoun	136	28	21%
049	Cumberland	35	12	34%	109	Louisa	36	14	39%
051	Dickensen	39	15	38%	111	Lunenburg	22	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	40	16	40%	113	Madison	11	3	27%
057	Essex	51	16	31%	115	Mathews	6	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	546	255	47%	117	Mecklenburg	72	17	24%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	25	3	12%	187	Warren	71	26	37%
121	Montgomery	172	75	44%	191	Washington	93	35	38%
125	Nelson	18	11	61%	193	Westmoreland	39	14	36%
127	New Kent	18	5	28%	195	Wise	193	55	28%
131	Northampton	37	3	8%	197	Wythe	68	20	29%
133	Northumberland	8	3	38%	199	York/Poquoson	54	15	28%
135	Nottoway	70	14	20%	510	Alexandria	238	97	41%
137	Orange	34	8	24%	520	Bristol	121	61	50%
139	Page	33	8	24%	540	Charlottesville	161	63	39%
141	Patrick	50	15	30%	550	Chesapeake	618	250	40%
143	Pittsylvania	89	20	22%	590	Danville	185	64	35%
145	Powhatan	18	9	50%	620	Franklin City	30	9	30%
147	Prince Edward	74	30	41%	630	Fredericksburg	91	52	57%
149	Prince George	32	9	28%	640	Galax	33	6	18%
153	Prince William	569	166	29%	650	Hampton	604	289	48%
155	Pulaski	70	30	43%	670	Hopewell	173	36	21%
157	Rappahannock	8	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	249	94	38%
159	Richmond County	13	3	23%	683	Manassas	72	26	36%
161	Roanoke County	130	57	44%	685	Manassas Park	19	4	21%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	34	10	29%	700	Newport News	820	324	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	123	56	46%	710	Norfolk	1,038	527	51%
167	Russell	106	43	41%	720	Norton	38	10	26%
169	Scott	80	7	9%	730	Petersburg	319	110	34%
171	Shenandoah	17	5	29%	740	Portsmouth	604	192	32%
173	Smyth	91	26	29%	750	Radford	32	10	31%
175	Southampton	48	10	21%	760	Richmond City	1,338	593	44%
177	Spotsylvania	184	66	36%	770	Roanoke City	462	118	26%
179	Stafford	161	73	45%	800	Suffolk	162	84	52%
181	Surry	24	6	25%	810	Virginia Beach	421	183	43%
183	Sussex	39	23	59%	830	Williamsburg	19	10	53%
185	Tazewell	128	46	36%	840	Winchester	51	20	39%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for April*

*These are estimated locality April 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,913 and a numerator of 1,693 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	10	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	10	10	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	20	18	90%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	5	5	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	12	11	92%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	4	3	75%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	0	0	N/A	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	8	6	75%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	5	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	7	7	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	2	2	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	3	2	67%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	19	18	95%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	5	3	60%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	32	32	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	1	1	100%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	4	4	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	9	8	89%	079	<i>Greene</i>	1	1	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	2	2	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	7	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	0	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	9	9	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	8	7	88%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	11	9	82%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	6	6	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	80	74	93%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	3	3	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	12	11	92%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	14	13	93%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	12	7	58%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	13	11	85%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	7	7	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	6	6	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	0	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	2	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	4	4	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	4	80%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	63	62	98%	101	<i>King William</i>	3	3	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	0	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	7	6	86%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	6	6	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	34	28	82%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	5	3	60%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	7	7	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	2	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	2	0	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	9	8	89%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	0	0%
057	<i>Essex</i>	4	3	75%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	77	67	87%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	12	12	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	3	100%	187	Warren	8	7	88%
121	Montgomery	33	30	91%	191	Washington	9	9	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	3	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	17	17	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	14	6	43%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	6	5	83%
135	Nottoway	6	5	83%	510	Alexandria	26	24	92%
137	Orange	1	1	100%	520	Bristol	8	7	88%
139	Page	7	7	100%	540	Charlottesville	29	29	100%
141	Patrick	11	11	100%	550	Chesapeake	60	53	88%
143	Pittsylvania	6	6	100%	590	Danville	22	18	82%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	6	4	67%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	17	12	71%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	6	5	83%
153	Prince William	134	122	91%	650	Hampton	67	56	84%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	22	12	55%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	26	23	88%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	13	10	77%
161	Roanoke County	18	18	100%	685	Manassas Park	4	4	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	4	80%	700	Newport News	108	97	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	21	21	100%	710	Norfolk	73	64	88%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	5	5	100%
169	Scott	12	8	67%	730	Petersburg	32	26	81%
171	Shenandoah	1	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	57	54	95%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	5	3	60%
175	Southampton	3	1	33%	760	Richmond City	135	108	80%
177	Spotsylvania	18	16	89%	770	Roanoke City	49	48	98%
179	Stafford	24	22	92%	800	Suffolk	24	22	92%
181	Surry	2	0	0%	810	Virginia Beach	85	79	93%
183	Sussex	6	5	83%	830	Williamsburg	4	3	75%
185	Tazewell	19	18	95%	840	Winchester	10	10	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>Apr-08</b>	<b>Change from Apr-08</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,240	4,690	12%	4,807	9%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,704	2,438	11%	1,658	63%
Applications Approved	2,545	2,255	13%	2,820	-10%
Cases Closed *	2,184	2,248	-3%	4,476	-51%
Cases at End of Month	30,429	27,432	11%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	31,790	28,373	12%	70,403	-55%

\* The database indicates that of the 2,184 closed cases, 849 were VIEW cases, of which 497 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 170 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 129 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>Apr-09</b>	<b>Apr-08</b>	<b>Change from Apr-08</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	508	312	63%	338	50%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	317	181	75%	232	37%
Applications Approved	298	158	89%	81	268%
Cases Closed *	200	164	22%	107	87%
Cases at End of Month	1,634	976	67%	321	409%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,889	1,080	75%	394	379%

\* The database indicates that of the 200 closed cases, 101 were VIEW cases, of which 54 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 17 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 16 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	32,699	1,901	1,035	2,519	949	487	3,780	420	670	1,126	1,628	980	5,013	1,005	409	3,182	1,202	6,128	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,324	726	512	989	379	237	1,532	137	286	537	516	481	2,103	543	185	1,255	554	2,267	85
Part. in a Work Activity	9,738	545	346	681	305	165	1,211	109	219	340	388	292	1,541	353	129	928	370	1,771	45
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	68%	69%	80%	70%	79%	80%	77%	63%	75%	61%	73%	65%	70%	74%	67%	78%	53%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	124	8	3	10	0	5	13	3	2	3	2	5	20	16	5	8	4	17	0
Participants Employed	6,589	402	252	499	240	111	690	68	134	242	232	206	873	231	91	689	261	1,330	38
% of Enrolled	49%	55%	49%	50%	63%	47%	45%	50%	47%	45%	45%	43%	42%	43%	49%	55%	47%	59%	45%
Full Time	5,409	375	205	401	199	88	514	57	92	201	190	150	702	180	71	561	211	1,185	27
Part Time	1,180	27	47	98	41	23	176	11	42	41	42	56	171	51	20	128	50	145	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.75	\$7.00	\$7.20	\$7.47	\$7.58	\$8.29	\$9.17	\$8.07	\$8.34	\$7.42	\$7.20	\$7.25	\$7.96	\$8.13	\$7.62	\$7.67	\$7.59	\$7.48	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,048	\$971	\$951	\$1,008	\$1,011	\$1,127	\$1,224	\$1,096	\$1,050	\$1,010	\$962	\$928	\$1,095	\$1,074	\$1,023	\$1,038	\$1,016	\$1,030	\$988

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	26,381	1,444	1,016	2,343	873	575	3,058	360	604	900	1,324	834	3,668	928	370	2,544	841	4,464	235
Total Enrolled in VIEW	28,687	1,459	1,126	2,385	882	548	3,249	339	639	1,084	1,364	1,001	4,176	1,000	388	2,812	1,031	4,981	223
Part. in a Work Activity	25,702	1,308	1,003	2,138	821	478	2,994	312	587	971	1,253	893	3,741	876	337	2,505	894	4,392	199
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	89%	90%	93%	87%	92%	92%	92%	90%	92%	89%	90%	88%	87%	89%	87%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	15,776	843	590	1,326	541	288	1,729	174	344	613	634	491	2,082	545	205	1,647	587	3,033	104
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	52%	56%	61%	53%	53%	51%	54%	57%	46%	49%	50%	55%	53%	59%	57%	61%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$7.01	\$7.09	\$7.61	\$7.66	\$8.17	\$9.71	\$8.15	\$8.36	\$7.47	\$7.16	\$7.31	\$8.06	\$8.43	\$7.82	\$7.74	\$7.71	\$7.60	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$980	\$952	\$1,049	\$1,044	\$1,074	\$1,326	\$1,108	\$1,035	\$1,005	\$937	\$956	\$1,102	\$1,115	\$1,020	\$1,054	\$1,057	\$1,049	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	70%	59%	61%	74%	59%	75%	66%	69%	64%	64%	70%	70%	80%	59%	71%	74%	75%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	217,118	14,457	8,171	15,862	6,144	3,858	26,940	3,071	4,655	8,118	10,398	6,159	28,758	5,962	2,774	20,502	7,365	41,899	2,025
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	162,283	10,855	6,791	11,679	4,573	2,757	20,530	2,278	3,492	6,615	8,208	4,578	22,047	4,146	1,965	14,818	5,613	29,890	1,448
Part. in a Work Activity	155,579	10,422	6,452	11,211	4,437	2,638	19,891	2,198	3,394	6,254	7,929	4,359	21,292	3,956	1,850	14,176	5,354	28,372	1,394
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	114,438	6,932	4,598	8,332	3,296	1,905	15,011	1,572	2,727	4,678	5,328	2,877	15,584	2,865	1,289	10,824	3,992	21,788	840
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	68%	71%	72%	69%	73%	69%	78%	71%	65%	63%	71%	69%	66%	73%	71%	73%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.86	\$5.94	\$6.02	\$6.81	\$6.87	\$7.02	\$8.34	\$7.16	\$7.16	\$6.24	\$6.14	\$6.39	\$7.10	\$7.58	\$6.66	\$6.73	\$6.73	\$6.59	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$918	\$785	\$825	\$926	\$922	\$959	\$1,118	\$954	\$937	\$819	\$826	\$846	\$966	\$1,003	\$867	\$891	\$920	\$871	\$802
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,901	213	117	279	263	190	310	471	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	726	48	45	124	121	86	115	164	23
Participants in a Work Activity	545	32	25	93	100	48	106	125	16
% of Enrolled	75%	67%	56%	75%	83%	56%	92%	76%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	1	4	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	402	20	22	81	44	46	71	103	15
% of Enrolled	55%	42%	49%	65%	36%	53%	62%	63%	65%
Full Time	375	19	22	73	41	42	69	94	15
Part Time	27	1	0	8	3	4	2	9	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.00	\$6.91	\$6.84	\$6.87	\$7.02	\$6.92	\$7.07	\$7.19	\$6.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$930	\$968	\$895	\$997	\$986	\$994	\$1,017	\$896

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,444	115	78	199	182	181	279	365	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,459	119	93	228	197	157	252	366	47
Participants in a Work Activity	1,308	105	77	214	181	142	234	315	40
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	83%	94%	92%	90%	93%	86%	85%
Participants Employed	843	57	48	145	106	80	151	224	32
% of Total Enrolled	58%	48%	52%	64%	54%	51%	60%	61%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.01	\$7.48	\$7.08	\$6.74	\$7.03	\$7.01	\$6.93	\$7.09	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$980	\$1,104	\$994	\$901	\$992	\$1,015	\$947	\$1,008	\$933
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	68%	59%	82%	63%	77%	68%	66%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,457	1,508	1,037	2,015	1,962	1,333	2,793	3,353	456
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,855	1,129	779	1,489	1,539	917	2,117	2,530	355
Participants in a Work Activity	10,422	1,044	734	1,470	1,460	897	2,041	2,442	334
% of Total Enrolled	96%	92%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,932	589	466	987	970	552	1,466	1,626	276
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	60%	69%	64%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$5.94	\$6.14	\$6.02	\$5.63	\$6.17	\$6.19	\$5.89	\$5.91	\$5.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$785	\$795	\$792	\$751	\$832	\$852	\$778	\$773	\$715
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	55%	48%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	61%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,035	17	124	39	194	199	126	252	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	512	6	52	10	85	105	67	145	42
Participants in a Work Activity	346	4	44	8	58	53	47	112	20
% of Enrolled	68%	67%	85%	80%	68%	50%	70%	77%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	252	3	36	7	36	48	38	71	13
% of Enrolled	49%	50%	69%	70%	42%	46%	57%	49%	31%
Full Time	205	2	29	4	29	43	34	55	9
Part Time	47	1	7	3	7	5	4	16	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$6.52	\$6.81	\$7.18	\$6.89	\$7.04	\$7.15	\$7.76	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$951	\$725	\$919	\$902	\$927	\$949	\$911	\$1,019	\$928

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,016	27	101	34	197	188	130	248	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,126	23	117	33	207	206	134	315	91
Participants in a Work Activity	1,003	21	107	32	181	182	123	280	77
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	91%	97%	87%	88%	92%	89%	85%
Participants Employed	590	9	79	22	88	110	85	159	38
% of Total Enrolled	52%	39%	68%	67%	43%	53%	63%	50%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$7.71	\$6.83	\$6.82	\$6.80	\$7.11	\$7.02	\$7.36	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$952	\$1,045	\$930	\$906	\$935	\$939	\$913	\$989	\$1,004
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	78%	64%	67%	71%	48%	62%	51%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,171	215	1,128	558	1,402	1,357	1,287	1,656	568
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,791	166	928	446	1,152	1,106	1,034	1,470	489
Participants in a Work Activity	6,452	155	839	412	1,096	1,068	1,009	1,413	460
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,598	105	630	298	724	749	710	1,060	322
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	68%	67%	63%	68%	69%	72%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.02	\$5.89	\$5.83	\$6.13	\$5.78	\$6.31	\$6.09	\$6.05	\$5.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$825	\$808	\$792	\$817	\$798	\$868	\$814	\$840	\$834
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,519	117	22	9	44	237	43	358	183	301	79	1,126
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	989	85	11	6	16	67	26	172	63	125	33	385
Participants in a Work Activity	681	51	5	5	11	35	17	137	56	97	22	245
% of Enrolled	69%	60%	45%	83%	69%	52%	65%	80%	89%	78%	67%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	2
Participants Employed	499	45	5	1	9	24	10	114	38	70	11	172
% of Enrolled	50%	53%	45%	17%	56%	36%	38%	66%	60%	56%	33%	45%
Full Time	401	17	4	1	7	19	8	103	36	57	6	143
Part Time	98	28	1	0	2	5	2	11	2	13	5	29
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.47	\$6.91	\$7.68	\$9.25	\$6.60	\$7.98	\$8.06	\$7.57	\$7.07	\$7.62	\$7.33	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$772	\$1,100	\$1,602	\$805	\$1,088	\$1,009	\$1,039	\$962	\$1,034	\$960	\$1,045

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,343	99	25	7	35	236	60	330	187	249	77	1,038
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,385	153	27	8	37	185	56	369	191	263	87	1009
Participants in a Work Activity	2,138	147	22	7	33	127	54	349	174	240	75	910
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	81%	88%	89%	69%	96%	95%	91%	91%	86%	90%
Participants Employed	1,326	103	10	2	21	79	31	265	99	169	49	498
% of Total Enrolled	56%	67%	37%	25%	57%	43%	55%	72%	52%	64%	56%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.61	\$7.28	\$7.84	\$8.13	\$7.77	\$7.80	\$7.70	\$7.57	\$7.13	\$7.74	\$7.18	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$827	\$967	\$1,398	\$1,042	\$1,108	\$1,001	\$1,068	\$976	\$1,099	\$932	\$1,091
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	48%	25%	N/A	60%	54%	63%	63%	64%	63%	47%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	15,862	990	263	56	400	1,349	401	2,290	1,416	1,654	639	6,404
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,679	727	155	19	272	969	267	1,824	1,093	1,277	481	4,595
Participants in a Work Activity	11,211	698	142	19	264	874	261	1,802	1,056	1,216	465	4,414
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	92%	100%	97%	90%	98%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,332	537	79	11	194	645	161	1,483	726	975	348	3,173
% of Total Enrolled	71%	74%	51%	58%	71%	67%	60%	81%	66%	76%	72%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.81	\$6.62	\$7.15	\$6.41	\$6.67	\$6.67	\$6.73	\$6.67	\$6.58	\$7.28	\$6.75	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$926	\$810	\$915	\$1,028	\$897	\$927	\$915	\$910	\$923	\$1,016	\$881	\$938
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	43%	67%	44%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	949	601	6	0	68	274
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	379	232	2	0	24	121
Participants in a Work Activity	305	181	2	N/A	18	104
% of Enrolled	80%	78%	100%	N/A	75%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	240	149	2	N/A	16	73
% of Enrolled	63%	64%	100%	N/A	67%	60%
Full Time	199	134	0	N/A	9	56
Part Time	41	15	2	N/A	7	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$7.58	\$7.28	\$0.00	\$7.10	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,011	\$1,023	\$356	\$0	\$886	\$1,030

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	873	539	6	0	55	273
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	882	544	5	0	57	276
Participants in a Work Activity	821	507	5	0	47	262
% of Total Enrolled	93%	93%	100%	N/A	82%	95%
Participants Employed	541	311	3	0	32	195
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	60%	N/A	56%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.66	\$7.59	\$6.84	\$0.00	\$7.37	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,054	\$421	\$0	\$929	\$1,054
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	81%	100%	N/A	65%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,144	3,327	60	16	555	2,186
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	4,573	2,521	35	7	403	1,607
Participants in a Work Activity	4,437	2,462	34	7	383	1,551
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,296	1,746	23	5	309	1,213
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	66%	71%	77%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.87	\$6.87	\$6.60	\$7.75	\$6.53	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$922	\$936	\$709	\$694	\$848	\$927
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	54%	57%	60%	55%	59%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDDS5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	487	17	142	61	34	125	108	265	177	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	237	10	54	37	15	76	45	85	46	39
Participants in a Work Activity	165	6	31	26	12	55	35	45	25	20
% of Enrolled	70%	60%	57%	70%	80%	72%	78%	53%	54%	51%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	111	2	24	20	10	33	22	38	21	17
% of Enrolled	47%	20%	44%	54%	8	43%	49%	45%	46%	44%
Full Time	88	2	21	15	8	24	18	27	14	13
Part Time	23	0	3	5	2	9	4	11	7	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.50	\$8.11	\$9.45	\$7.40	\$8.13	\$8.16	\$7.55	\$7.74	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,127	\$1,023	\$1,152	\$1,346	\$1,018	\$1,007	\$1,140	\$988	\$1,006	\$966

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDS5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	575	18	142	88	58	145	124	235	150	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	548	15	133	84	45	162	109	223	132	91
Participants in a Work Activity	478	13	117	67	40	143	98	199	121	78
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	88%	80%	89%	88%	90%	89%	92%	86%
Participants Employed	288	7	71	44	25	83	58	104	61	43
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	53%	52%	56%	51%	53%	47%	46%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$7.41	\$8.45	\$8.48	\$7.57	\$8.29	\$7.84	\$7.32	\$7.39	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$942	\$1,097	\$1,116	\$984	\$1,102	\$1,036	\$968	\$971	\$964
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	40%	67%	50%	53%	57%	65%	66%	64%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDDS5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,858	157	722	601	497	963	918	2,025	1256	769
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,757	93	505	475	286	703	695	1,448	873	575
Participants in a Work Activity	2,638	87	475	455	279	671	671	1394	854	540
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,905	55	313	350	197	494	496	840	476	364
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	62%	74%	69%	70%	71%	58%	55%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.02	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$7.11	\$6.44	\$7.07	\$6.98	\$6.36	\$6.44	\$6.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$959	\$954	\$959	\$974	\$898	\$967	\$957	\$802	\$810	\$792
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	54%	57%	53%	58%	51%	61%	58%	65%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,780	294	1,379	260	1,162	480	152	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,532	75	505	147	550	184	59	12
Participants in a Work Activity	1,211	61	447	102	403	152	37	9
% of Enrolled	79%	81%	89%	69%	73%	83%	63%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	9	0	1	1	2	0
Participants Employed	690	34	204	71	269	81	25	6
% of Enrolled	45%	45%	40%	48%	49%	44%	42%	50%
Full Time	514	19	150	51	204	63	23	4
Part Time	176	15	54	20	65	18	2	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.17	\$8.99	\$9.03	\$9.74	\$9.20	\$9.46	\$8.20	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,224	\$1,079	\$1,174	\$1,299	\$1,261	\$1,262	\$1,143	\$979

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,058	204	1,019	285	1,038	340	133	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,249	218	1,074	307	1,131	340	142	37
Participants in a Work Activity	2,994	206	1,008	274	1,036	309	126	35
% of Total Enrolled	92%	94%	94%	89%	92%	91%	89%	95%
Participants Employed	1,729	106	517	186	662	171	65	22
% of Total Enrolled	53%	49%	48%	61%	59%	50%	46%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.71	\$9.82	\$9.72	\$10.27	\$9.63	\$9.76	\$8.73	\$9.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,326	\$1,285	\$1,322	\$1,401	\$1,336	\$1,283	\$1,234	\$1,259
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	65%	75%	74%	76%	75%	78%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	26,940	2,445	9,400	1,833	8,559	3,231	1,129	343
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	20,530	1,867	6,974	1,439	6,586	2,556	853	255
Participants in a Work Activity	19,891	1,845	6,769	1,382	6,369	2,454	820	252
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,011	1,399	4,875	1,167	4,968	1,826	584	192
% of Total Enrolled	73%	75%	70%	81%	75%	71%	68%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$7.88	\$8.24	\$8.83	\$8.56	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,118	\$1,028	\$1,099	\$1,154	\$1,184	\$1,027	\$1,074	\$1,058
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	69%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	420	177	114	30	89	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	137	50	40	14	30	3
Participants in a Work Activity	109	39	35	12	21	2
% of Enrolled	80%	78%	88%	86%	70%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	68	21	22	8	16	1
% of Enrolled	50%	42%	55%	57%	53%	33%
Full Time	57	16	18	8	15	0
Part Time	11	5	4	0	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$8.63	\$8.00	\$7.59	\$7.74	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,137	\$1,051	\$1,100	\$1,122	\$788

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	360	156	101	34	56	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	339	137	101	35	60	6
Participants in a Work Activity	312	123	98	35	51	5
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	97%	100%	85%	83%
Participants Employed	174	57	62	20	32	3
% of Total Enrolled	51%	42%	61%	57%	53%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$8.55	\$8.13	\$7.74	\$7.82	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,143	\$1,082	\$1,142	\$1,102	\$853
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	62%	62%	62%	84%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,071	1,179	882	295	630	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,278	840	668	216	500	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,198	807	660	209	471	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,572	554	472	147	364	35
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	71%	68%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$7.13	\$7.47	\$6.97	\$6.90	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$954	\$939	\$977	\$976	\$941	\$935
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	63%	46%	44%	47%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	670	132	37	50	105	43	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	286	46	12	27	35	17	149
Participants in a Work Activity	219	35	5	14	25	14	126
% of Enrolled	77%	76%	42%	52%	71%	82%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	134	25	4	11	17	12	65
% of Enrolled	47%	54%	33%	41%	49%	71%	44%
Full Time	92	23	4	4	12	10	39
Part Time	42	2	0	7	5	2	26
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$8.95	\$8.83	\$7.50	\$7.78	\$8.27	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,289	\$1,307	\$787	\$981	\$1,201	\$977

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	604	147	21	48	90	33	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	639	125	23	48	88	32	323
Participants in a Work Activity	587	122	18	33	73	30	311
% of Total Enrolled	92%	98%	78%	69%	83%	94%	96%
Participants Employed	344	69	11	23	39	24	178
% of Total Enrolled	54%	55%	48%	48%	44%	75%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	\$8.79	\$8.45	\$8.00	\$8.55	\$8.00	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,035	\$1,159	\$1,156	\$985	\$1,119	\$1,013	\$969
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	66%	100%	56%	85%	61%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,655	1075	170	356	692	215	2,147
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,492	745	113	254	471	139	1,770
Participants in a Work Activity	3,394	729	106	237	443	137	1,742
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	93%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,727	568	83	196	303	98	1,479
% of Total Enrolled	78%	76%	73%	77%	64%	71%	84%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$7.56	\$7.35	\$7.11	\$7.13	\$7.43	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$937	\$987	\$1,084	\$916	\$956	\$1,008	\$917
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	54%	52%	49%	55%	54%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,126	91	79	177	256	523
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	537	26	30	104	165	212
Participants in a Work Activity	340	17	21	70	111	121
% of Enrolled	63%	65%	70%	67%	67%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	242	14	15	49	66	98
% of Enrolled	45%	54%	50%	47%	40%	46%
Full Time	201	13	15	38	53	82
Part Time	41	1	0	11	13	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.42	\$7.69	\$7.22	\$7.64	\$7.74	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,010	\$1,092	\$1,128	\$1,031	\$1,052	\$942

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	900	60	65	192	205	378
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,084	74	80	211	279	440
Participants in a Work Activity	971	67	76	191	243	394
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	95%	91%	87%	90%
Participants Employed	613	44	47	126	150	246
% of Total Enrolled	57%	59%	59%	60%	54%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.47	\$7.36	\$7.12	\$7.65	\$7.78	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,005	\$929	\$1,050	\$999	\$1,079	\$970
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	40%	50%	59%	65%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,118	740	663	1,623	1,881	3,211
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	6,615	591	580	1,267	1,571	2,606
Participants in a Work Activity	6,254	565	567	1,193	1,469	2,460
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,678	408	414	856	1,083	1,917
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	71%	68%	69%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.24	\$6.46	\$6.02	\$6.27	\$6.42	\$6.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$819	\$826	\$824	\$814	\$858	\$798
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,628	254	534	118	226	496
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	516	57	216	67	37	139
Participants in a Work Activity	388	34	180	52	24	98
% of Enrolled	75%	60%	83%	78%	65%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	232	29	95	30	20	58
% of Enrolled	45%	51%	44%	45%	54%	42%
Full Time	190	19	78	25	20	48
Part Time	42	10	17	5	0	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$7.63	\$7.14	\$6.81	\$6.88	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$1,035	\$933	\$941	\$932	\$991

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,324	186	450	126	163	399
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,364	196	460	156	145	407
Participants in a Work Activity	1,253	182	420	142	137	372
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	91%	91%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	634	84	233	78	66	173
% of Total Enrolled	46%	43%	51%	50%	46%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$7.23	\$7.24	\$6.57	\$7.15	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$937	\$918	\$953	\$864	\$936	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	56%	68%	67%	52%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,398	1,604	2,744	1,045	1,662	3,343
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,208	1,328	1,984	901	1,256	2,739
Participants in a Work Activity	7,929	1,274	1,901	847	1,237	2,670
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,328	800	1,296	607	729	1,896
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	65%	67%	58%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.14	\$6.12	\$6.44	\$6.04	\$6.14	\$5.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$826	\$815	\$909	\$792	\$844	\$785
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	55%	66%	51%	53%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	980	71	107	71	73	55	121	40	179	127	136
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	481	31	49	34	26	25	63	36	71	81	65
Participants in a Work Activity	292	23	37	24	21	15	37	10	35	39	51
% of Enrolled	61%	74%	76%	71%	81%	60%	59%	28%	49%	48%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	206	12	32	15	14	11	17	7	32	34	32
% of Enrolled	43%	39%	65%	44%	54%	44%	27%	19%	45%	42%	49%
Full Time	150	9	24	14	11	10	11	4	14	34	19
Part Time	56	3	8	1	3	1	6	3	18	0	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.25	\$8.55	\$6.84	\$7.52	\$7.69	\$7.61	\$6.77	\$6.34	\$7.23	\$7.03	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$928	\$1,029	\$921	\$1,010	\$1,112	\$1,097	\$819	\$713	\$815	\$949	\$917

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	834	64	89	96	72	51	88	26	137	100	111
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,001	58	119	96	66	58	146	41	156	125	136
Participants in a Work Activity	893	52	110	84	58	53	126	27	151	102	130
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	92%	88%	88%	91%	86%	66%	97%	82%	96%
Participants Employed	491	25	60	40	29	30	64	10	90	70	73
% of Total Enrolled	49%	43%	50%	42%	44%	52%	44%	24%	58%	56%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.31	\$7.82	\$6.59	\$7.83	\$8.30	\$8.09	\$7.24	\$5.89	\$7.17	\$7.20	\$7.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$956	\$944	\$833	\$1,085	\$1,117	\$1,080	\$883	\$690	\$948	\$1,022	\$943
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	50%	79%	64%	70%	68%	55%	67%	75%	74%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,159	360	827	626	518	422	732	242	885	750	797
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,578	253	642	454	352	293	555	171	672	557	629
Participants in a Work Activity	4,359	232	638	415	326	276	533	169	635	518	617
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	99%	91%	93%	94%	96%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,877	155	372	264	187	171	321	85	467	385	470
% of Total Enrolled	63%	61%	58%	58%	53%	58%	58%	50%	69%	69%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.39	\$7.08	\$5.96	\$6.74	\$6.77	\$6.92	\$6.33	\$5.57	\$6.10	\$6.40	\$6.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$846	\$937	\$805	\$910	\$887	\$933	\$796	\$731	\$800	\$863	\$851
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	45%	57%	52%	46%	46%	57%	59%	58%	56%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,013	904	34	154	1,319	39	2,563
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,103	336	14	93	564	18	1,078
Participants in a Work Activity	1,541	233	8	52	380	14	854
% of Enrolled	73%	69%	57%	56%	67%	78%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	0	1	0	14	0	5
Participants Employed	873	156	6	40	259	9	403
% of Enrolled	42%	46%	43%	43%	46%	50%	37%
Full Time	702	122	5	32	211	6	326
Part Time	171	34	1	8	48	3	77
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.86	\$7.17	\$8.23	\$8.39	\$8.09	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,063	\$968	\$1,071	\$1,184	\$1,074	\$1,054

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,668	800	25	134	883	28	1,798
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,176	762	24	145	1,035	33	2,177
Participants in a Work Activity	3,741	698	21	130	903	28	1,961
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	88%	90%	87%	85%	90%
Participants Employed	2,082	376	11	74	554	19	1,048
% of Total Enrolled	50%	49%	46%	51%	54%	58%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$8.08	\$7.89	\$8.42	\$8.46	\$9.75	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,102	\$1,093	\$1,064	\$1,106	\$1,179	\$1,263	\$1,061
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	77%	71%	84%	77%	77%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	28,758	5,622	190	899	6,600	254	15,193
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	22,047	4,177	135	618	5,195	172	11,750
Participants in a Work Activity	21,292	4,071	128	570	4,934	163	11,426
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	92%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	15,584	2,828	92	410	3,643	103	8,508
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	66%	70%	60%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.30	\$6.55	\$7.94	\$7.36	\$7.00	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$966	\$992	\$857	\$1,048	\$1,003	\$900	\$943
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	52%	61%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,005	189	33	331	290	162
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	543	110	10	184	140	99
Participants in a Work Activity	353	64	8	93	107	81
% of Enrolled	65%	58%	80%	51%	76%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	1	1	13	0	1
Participants Employed	231	30	5	81	55	60
% of Enrolled	43%	27%	50%	44%	39%	61%
Full Time	180	28	2	63	44	43
Part Time	51	2	3	18	11	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	\$8.29	\$8.31	\$7.95	\$8.16	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$1,224	\$868	\$1,074	\$1,021	\$1,066

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	928	173	39	268	289	159
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,000	162	32	331	276	199
Participants in a Work Activity	876	151	29	262	250	184
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	91%	79%	91%	92%
Participants Employed	545	61	19	196	143	126
% of Total Enrolled	55%	38%	59%	59%	52%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.31	\$8.42	\$8.28	\$8.69	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,115	\$1,202	\$1,028	\$1,073	\$1,165	\$1,095
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	94%	62%	80%	83%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,962	957	381	1,674	1,765	1,185
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,146	652	245	1,281	996	972
Participants in a Work Activity	3,956	632	234	1,166	968	956
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	96%	91%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,865	389	174	913	619	770
% of Total Enrolled	69%	60%	71%	71%	62%	79%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$7.27	\$7.14	\$7.64	\$8.07	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$946	\$904	\$1,013	\$1,118	\$974
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	42%	51%	56%	58%	54%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	409	87	23	49	44	18	37	25	32	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	185	44	9	25	17	8	23	7	5	47
Participants in a Work Activity	129	33	3	21	12	5	13	5	4	33
% of Enrolled	70%	75%	33%	84%	71%	63%	57%	71%	80%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	91	23	3	12	9	5	7	3	3	26
% of Enrolled	49%	52%	33%	48%	53%	63%	30%	43%	60%	55%
Full Time	71	16	2	8	8	5	6	2	1	23
Part Time	20	7	1	4	1	0	1	1	2	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$7.81	\$7.56	\$7.90	\$7.49	\$8.33	\$8.34	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,023	\$969	\$792	\$931	\$1,133	\$1,170	\$1,053	\$1,097	\$917	\$1,067

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	370	78	22	42	43	17	44	28	26	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	388	90	19	46	33	16	39	27	26	92
Participants in a Work Activity	337	82	16	44	27	13	32	25	24	74
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	84%	96%	82%	81%	82%	93%	92%	80%
Participants Employed	205	54	8	23	17	10	16	11	12	54
% of Total Enrolled	53%	60%	42%	50%	52%	63%	41%	41%	46%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.82	\$7.49	\$7.62	\$8.00	\$7.62	\$7.15	\$8.19	\$7.36	\$7.62	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,020	\$953	\$930	\$1,038	\$1,113	\$978	\$1,008	\$948	\$1,001	\$1,097
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	58%	14%	58%	50%	100%	78%	29%	57%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,774	407	209	220	330	157	312	255	258	626
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	1,965	308	132	177	246	98	223	204	134	443
Participants in a Work Activity	1,850	296	124	170	231	89	205	198	129	408
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	94%	91%	92%	97%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	1,289	218	76	105	170	61	153	123	89	294
% of Total Enrolled	66%	71%	58%	59%	69%	62%	69%	60%	66%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.66	\$6.64	\$6.89	\$7.06	\$6.44	\$6.59	\$6.68	\$6.33	\$6.72	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$867	\$835	\$870	\$967	\$822	\$845	\$862	\$834	\$930	\$889
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	42%	35%	47%	47%	49%	55%	40%	33%	49%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,182	27	105	115	39	90	1,214	1,562	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,255	9	40	51	7	41	446	641	20
Participants in a Work Activity	928	4	33	39	6	25	316	491	14
% of Enrolled	74%	44%	83%	76%	86%	61%	71%	77%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0
Participants Employed	689	4	25	31	4	19	222	371	13
% of Enrolled	55%	44%	63%	61%	57%	46%	50%	58%	65%
Full Time	561	3	19	20	3	14	172	320	10
Part Time	128	1	6	11	1	5	50	51	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.67	\$6.42	\$8.12	\$7.76	\$9.70	\$7.49	\$7.65	\$7.62	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,038	\$756	\$1,079	\$1,004	\$1,414	\$962	\$999	\$1,063	\$1,091

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,544	12	103	92	28	91	871	1,306	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,812	15	94	108	35	89	930	1,496	45
Participants in a Work Activity	2,505	12	82	98	33	74	858	1,304	44
% of Total Enrolled	89%	80%	87%	91%	94%	83%	92%	87%	98%
Participants Employed	1,647	8	55	77	19	43	539	879	27
% of Total Enrolled	59%	53%	59%	71%	54%	48%	58%	59%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$7.21	\$8.08	\$8.09	\$8.65	\$7.69	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$987	\$1,032	\$1,035	\$1,135	\$1,001	\$1,028	\$1,074	\$1,057
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	100%	75%	74%	67%	43%	71%	70%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,502	123	844	796	232	777	7,244	10,212	274
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,818	85	570	597	166	541	5,141	7,526	192
Participants in a Work Activity	14,176	82	530	560	160	518	5,015	7,127	184
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	93%	94%	96%	96%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,824	43	402	437	112	346	3,712	5,628	144
% of Total Enrolled	73%	51%	71%	73%	67%	64%	72%	75%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.73	\$6.66	\$6.58	\$7.05	\$7.33	\$6.77	\$6.73	\$6.69	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$891	\$884	\$833	\$864	\$1,006	\$861	\$888	\$898	\$885
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	61%	56%	52%	53%	45%	52%	58%	51%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,202	131	82	54	72	299	564
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	554	23	28	23	36	160	284
Participants in a Work Activity	370	19	22	19	29	106	175
% of Enrolled	67%	83%	79%	83%	81%	66%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	0	1	0	2
Participants Employed	261	16	16	12	24	70	123
% of Enrolled	47%	70%	57%	52%	67%	44%	43%
Full Time	211	15	11	9	19	52	105
Part Time	50	1	5	3	5	18	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.59	\$7.28	\$8.04	\$8.21	\$6.88	\$7.23	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,016	\$1,164	\$1,067	\$952	\$844	\$905	\$1,093

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	841	96	73	28	60	231	353
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,031	85	89	36	81	277	463
Participants in a Work Activity	894	81	75	33	75	253	377
% of Total Enrolled	87%	95%	84%	92%	93%	91%	81%
Participants Employed	587	48	48	27	50	164	250
% of Total Enrolled	57%	56%	54%	75%	62%	59%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.71	\$7.89	\$8.41	\$8.33	\$7.14	\$7.48	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,057	\$1,231	\$1,253	\$919	\$1,000	\$968	\$1,076
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	78%	61%	69%	84%	74%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,365	933	632	267	603	1,841	3,089
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,613	654	462	202	464	1,446	2,385
Participants in a Work Activity	5,354	650	404	189	448	1,389	2,274
% of Total Enrolled	95%	99%	87%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	3,992	455	293	151	321	1,036	1,736
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	63%	75%	69%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.73	\$6.72	\$7.21	\$6.83	\$6.74	\$6.62	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$920	\$930	\$981	\$849	\$931	\$902	\$925
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	53%	51%	50%	57%	52%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through April 30, 2009

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/09 and Work Activity as of 4/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,128	140	120	1,128	76	1,983	1,220	434	1,027
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,267	50	30	477	16	823	407	134	330
Participants in a Work Activity	1,771	34	22	356	14	678	320	105	242
% of Enrolled	78%	68%	73%	75%	88%	82%	79%	78%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	2	0	3	10	0	2
Participants Employed	1,330	27	14	284	7	533	217	76	172
% of Enrolled	59%	54%	47%	60%	44%	65%	53%	57%	52%
Full Time	1,185	21	12	253	6	499	177	67	150
Part Time	145	6	2	31	1	34	40	9	22
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.48	\$7.47	\$7.30	\$7.49	\$7.02	\$7.32	\$7.56	\$7.52	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$997	\$1,004	\$1,035	\$961	\$1,012	\$1,041	\$1,026	\$1,071

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,464	108	88	798	69	1,408	752	320	921
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,981	114	94	908	66	1,623	936	338	902
Participants in a Work Activity	4,392	102	86	829	65	1,413	821	310	766
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	91%	91%	98%	87%	88%	92%	85%
Participants Employed	3,033	72	43	582	36	1,074	561	187	478
% of Total Enrolled	61%	63%	46%	64%	55%	66%	60%	55%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.60	\$7.47	\$7.58	\$7.75	\$7.09	\$7.35	\$7.63	\$7.58	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,026	\$1,003	\$1,070	\$935	\$1,013	\$1,077	\$1,035	\$1,087
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	73%	67%	79%	52%	81%	69%	71%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	41,899	918	790	6,631	655	13,548	6,878	2,702	9,777
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	29,890	645	609	4,710	513	9,543	5,304	2,069	6,497
Participants in a Work Activity	28,372	618	580	4,485	502	9,079	5,002	2,037	6,069
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	98%	93%
Participants Employed	21,788	471	371	3,522	361	6,959	4,018	1,577	4,509
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	61%	75%	70%	73%	76%	76%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.59	\$6.64	\$6.34	\$6.73	\$6.21	\$6.48	\$6.51	\$6.43	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$871	\$888	\$807	\$894	\$780	\$837	\$882	\$841	\$932
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	56%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	56%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	46.2%	4.31	95	\$7.39	3.42	78	64.1%	5.81	75	4.52	95
003	Albemarle	55.2%	6.09	52	\$8.79	6.62	8	66.0%	6.03	67	6.25	26
005	Alleghany/Covington	67.3%	8.48	8	\$7.28	3.17	86	47.5%	3.88	111	5.18	75
007	Amelia	43.1%	3.70	104	\$7.82	4.41	46	50.0%	4.17	106	4.09	109
009	Amherst	59.5%	6.93	28	\$7.36	3.36	81	40.0%	3.00	114	4.43	99
011	Appomattox	58.8%	6.79	34	\$7.12	2.81	100	50.0%	4.17	107	4.59	93
013	Arlington	48.6%	4.79	86	\$9.82	8.97	2	64.8%	5.89	71	6.55	16
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	57.2%	6.48	41	\$7.59	3.88	68	80.7%	7.75	14	6.04	37
017	Bath	60.0%	7.04	22	\$6.84	2.17	112	100.0%	10.00	1	6.40	21
019	Bedford County/City	59.7%	6.98	27	\$7.65	4.02	63	58.8%	5.20	92	5.40	60
021	Bland	39.1%	2.91	115	\$7.71	4.16	58	77.8%	7.41	21	4.83	86
023	Botetourt	37.0%	2.50	117	\$7.84	4.45	43	25.0%	1.25	117	2.73	116
025	Brunswick	50.4%	5.14	79	\$6.59	1.60	117	78.6%	7.50	19	4.75	88
027	Buchanan	47.9%	4.65	91	\$7.48	3.63	74	67.5%	6.21	59	4.83	85
029	Buckingham	41.7%	3.41	111	\$7.83	4.43	45	64.3%	5.83	73	4.56	94
031	Campbell	53.8%	5.80	59	\$7.78	4.32	50	65.1%	5.92	69	5.35	64
033	Caroline	37.7%	2.62	116	\$8.31	5.53	24	93.8%	9.27	6	5.81	47
035	Carroll	67.5%	8.52	7	\$6.83	2.15	113	63.6%	5.76	76	5.48	57
036	Charles City	53.3%	5.72	64	\$7.21	3.01	92	100.0%	10.00	2	6.24	27
037	Charlotte	43.9%	3.86	102	\$8.30	5.50	25	69.6%	6.45	50	5.27	70
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	49.3%	4.93	85	\$8.08	5.00	34	77.2%	7.34	25	5.76	49
043	Clarke	46.7%	4.40	94	\$7.41	3.47	77	40.0%	3.00	115	3.62	114
045	Craig	25.0%	0.12	118	\$8.13	5.11	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.62	117
047	Culpeper	41.6%	3.40	112	\$8.55	6.07	12	61.8%	5.54	84	5.00	80
049	Cumberland	51.7%	5.40	71	\$8.09	5.02	32	68.0%	6.27	57	5.56	53
051	Dickensen	51.6%	5.38	72	\$7.08	2.72	105	59.1%	5.23	91	4.44	97
053	Dinwiddie	56.5%	6.34	44	\$7.89	4.57	41	77.8%	7.41	22	6.10	30
057	Essex	60.0%	7.04	23	\$7.49	3.65	73	57.6%	5.05	95	5.25	72
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	48.1%	4.69	89	\$9.72	8.74	5	75.4%	7.12	31	6.85	8
061	Fauquier	61.4%	7.31	19	\$8.13	5.11	31	62.2%	5.59	82	6.01	38
063	Floyd	56.8%	6.40	43	\$7.77	4.29	52	60.0%	5.33	90	5.34	66
065	Fluvanna	47.8%	4.63	92	\$8.45	5.84	16	100.0%	10.00	3	6.83	9
067	Franklin County	42.7%	3.62	106	\$7.80	4.36	49	54.2%	4.65	101	4.21	105
069	Frederick	53.4%	5.73	63	\$8.45	5.84	17	66.7%	6.11	60	5.89	41
071	Giles	55.4%	6.12	50	\$7.70	4.13	59	63.2%	5.70	77	5.32	67
073	Gloucester	58.5%	6.74	37	\$8.08	5.00	35	75.0%	7.08	32	6.28	25
075	Goochland	45.8%	4.24	97	\$7.89	4.57	42	71.4%	6.67	43	5.16	76
077	Grayson	66.7%	8.35	9	\$6.82	2.12	114	66.7%	6.11	61	5.53	54

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	47.9%	4.65	90	\$8.00	4.82	36	56.3%	4.90	98	4.79	87
081	Greensville/Emporia	43.8%	3.84	103	\$7.24	3.08	88	54.8%	4.72	100	3.88	111
083	Halifax	42.9%	3.65	105	\$7.23	3.06	90	56.3%	4.90	99	3.87	112
085	Hanover	51.0%	5.26	75	\$8.42	5.78	19	83.6%	8.09	10	6.38	22
087	Henrico	53.5%	5.76	61	\$8.46	5.87	15	77.3%	7.35	24	6.33	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	50.7%	5.19	77	\$7.24	3.08	89	67.9%	6.26	58	4.84	84
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	63.2%	7.66	16	\$7.47	3.61	76	73.0%	6.85	41	6.04	36
095	James City	71.3%	9.27	4	\$8.09	5.02	33	73.7%	6.93	36	7.07	5
097	King and Queen	42.1%	3.50	109	\$7.62	3.95	65	14.3%	0.00	118	2.48	118
099	King George	59.4%	6.91	30	\$8.42	5.78	20	61.5%	5.51	86	6.07	34
101	King William	50.0%	5.06	81	\$8.00	4.82	37	58.3%	5.14	94	5.01	79
103	Lancaster	51.5%	5.36	73	\$7.62	3.95	66	50.0%	4.17	108	4.49	96
105	Lee	63.6%	7.75	13	\$6.74	1.94	116	81.7%	7.87	13	5.85	43
107	Loudoun	60.6%	7.15	21	\$10.27	10.00	1	73.6%	6.92	39	8.02	1
109	Louisa	44.3%	3.94	101	\$8.55	6.07	13	85.0%	8.25	7	6.09	32
111	Lunenburg	24.4%	0.00	119	\$5.89	0.00	119	66.7%	6.11	62	2.04	119
113	Madison	57.1%	6.47	42	\$7.74	4.22	55	61.5%	5.51	87	5.40	59
115	Mathews	62.5%	7.53	17	\$7.15	2.88	96	100.0%	10.00	4	6.80	10
117	Mecklenburg	57.7%	6.58	39	\$7.17	2.92	95	74.6%	7.04	35	5.51	55
119	Middlesex	41.0%	3.29	113	\$8.19	5.25	29	77.8%	7.41	23	5.32	68
121	Montgomery	71.8%	9.37	3	\$7.57	3.84	71	62.5%	5.62	81	6.28	24
125	Nelson	75.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	4.82	38	61.1%	5.46	88	6.76	12
127	New Kent	54.3%	5.91	55	\$8.65	6.30	11	66.7%	6.11	63	6.11	29
131	Northampton	47.3%	4.52	93	\$7.23	3.06	91	69.2%	6.41	51	4.66	92
133	Northumberland	40.7%	3.23	114	\$7.36	3.36	82	28.6%	1.67	116	2.75	115
135	Nottoway	56.0%	6.25	47	\$7.20	2.99	93	73.7%	6.93	37	5.39	61
137	Orange	53.3%	5.72	65	\$7.82	4.41	47	84.2%	8.16	8	6.09	31
139	Page	52.4%	5.53	68	\$8.48	5.91	14	50.0%	4.17	109	5.20	74
141	Patrick	50.0%	5.06	82	\$6.57	1.55	118	66.7%	6.11	64	4.24	104
143	Pittsylvania	45.5%	4.17	100	\$7.15	2.88	97	52.4%	4.44	103	3.83	113
145	Powhatan	57.6%	6.56	40	\$9.75	8.81	4	76.9%	7.31	27	7.56	2
147	Prince Edward	53.7%	5.79	60	\$7.37	3.38	79	76.2%	7.22	28	5.46	58
149	Prince George	53.9%	5.84	57	\$8.41	5.75	21	60.6%	5.40	89	5.66	51
153	Prince William	58.5%	6.75	36	\$9.63	8.54	6	76.2%	7.22	30	7.50	3
155	Pulaski	51.8%	5.42	69	\$7.13	2.83	99	64.3%	5.83	74	4.70	91
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	5.06	83	\$7.00	2.53	110	100.0%	10.00	5	5.86	42
159	Richmond County	46.2%	4.30	96	\$7.62	3.95	67	57.1%	5.00	96	4.42	100
161	Roanoke County	64.3%	7.88	11	\$7.74	4.22	56	63.0%	5.68	79	5.93	40
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	56.1%	6.27	46	\$7.37	3.38	80	58.8%	5.20	93	4.95	81
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.7%	9.14	5	\$7.81	4.38	48	66.4%	6.08	66	6.54	18
167	Russell	53.8%	5.81	58	\$7.03	2.60	107	63.1%	5.69	78	4.70	90
169	Scott	51.0%	5.25	76	\$7.01	2.56	109	77.1%	7.33	26	5.04	77
171	Shenandoah	55.6%	6.16	49	\$7.57	3.84	72	53.3%	4.55	102	4.85	83

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	42.5%	3.58	<b>107</b>	\$6.80	2.08	<b>115</b>	71.4%	6.67	<b>44</b>	4.11	<b>108</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	45.7%	4.22	<b>99</b>	\$7.58	3.86	<b>69</b>	66.7%	6.11	<b>65</b>	4.73	<b>89</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	59.2%	6.88	<b>31</b>	\$8.28	5.46	<b>27</b>	80.2%	7.69	<b>16</b>	6.68	<b>13</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	51.8%	5.42	<b>70</b>	\$8.69	6.39	<b>10</b>	82.8%	7.99	<b>11</b>	6.60	<b>15</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	75.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	\$8.33	5.57	<b>22</b>	68.8%	6.35	<b>53</b>	7.31	<b>4</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	61.7%	7.38	<b>18</b>	\$7.14	2.85	<b>98</b>	83.9%	8.12	<b>9</b>	6.12	<b>28</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	59.9%	7.02	<b>26</b>	\$6.93	2.37	<b>111</b>	68.5%	6.32	<b>56</b>	5.24	<b>73</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	51.2%	5.30	<b>74</b>	\$8.29	5.48	<b>26</b>	57.1%	5.00	<b>97</b>	5.26	<b>71</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.4%	5.73	<b>62</b>	\$7.11	2.79	<b>101</b>	48.5%	3.99	<b>110</b>	4.17	<b>106</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	58.7%	6.78	<b>35</b>	\$8.33	5.57	<b>23</b>	80.0%	7.67	<b>17</b>	6.67	<b>14</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	61.2%	7.27	<b>20</b>	\$7.09	2.74	<b>102</b>	65.9%	6.02	<b>68</b>	5.34	<b>65</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	63.4%	7.71	<b>14</b>	\$7.02	2.58	<b>108</b>	61.7%	5.53	<b>85</b>	5.28	<b>69</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	48.3%	4.73	<b>87</b>	\$7.69	4.11	<b>61</b>	42.9%	3.33	<b>113</b>	4.06	<b>110</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.3%	5.12	<b>80</b>	\$9.76	8.84	<b>3</b>	74.8%	7.06	<b>34</b>	7.00	<b>7</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	50.5%	5.15	<b>78</b>	\$7.36	3.36	<b>83</b>	51.1%	4.29	<b>105</b>	4.27	<b>103</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	55.1%	6.07	<b>53</b>	\$8.24	5.37	<b>28</b>	69.6%	6.45	<b>49</b>	5.96	<b>39</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	64.1%	7.85	<b>12</b>	\$7.75	4.25	<b>53</b>	78.8%	7.52	<b>18</b>	6.54	<b>17</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	42.5%	3.58	<b>108</b>	\$7.31	3.24	<b>85</b>	62.6%	5.64	<b>80</b>	4.15	<b>107</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	54.5%	5.96	<b>54</b>	\$7.09	2.74	<b>103</b>	51.9%	4.38	<b>104</b>	4.36	<b>101</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	63.3%	7.69	<b>15</b>	\$8.43	5.80	<b>18</b>	73.4%	6.90	<b>40</b>	6.80	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	41.8%	3.43	<b>110</b>	\$7.05	2.65	<b>106</b>	76.2%	7.22	<b>29</b>	4.43	<b>98</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.0%	6.63	<b>38</b>	\$7.70	4.13	<b>60</b>	71.4%	6.66	<b>46</b>	5.81	<b>46</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	59.2%	6.88	<b>32</b>	\$7.48	3.63	<b>75</b>	73.6%	6.92	<b>38</b>	5.81	<b>45</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	55.9%	6.23	<b>48</b>	\$7.28	3.17	<b>87</b>	71.8%	6.71	<b>42</b>	5.37	<b>62</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	45.8%	4.23	<b>98</b>	\$8.73	6.48	<b>9</b>	78.1%	7.44	<b>20</b>	6.05	<b>35</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	59.5%	6.93	<b>29</b>	\$9.15	7.44	<b>7</b>	71.4%	6.67	<b>45</b>	7.01	<b>6</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	58.8%	6.79	<b>33</b>	\$7.69	4.11	<b>62</b>	70.4%	6.55	<b>48</b>	5.82	<b>44</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	66.2%	8.26	<b>10</b>	\$7.35	3.33	<b>84</b>	80.7%	7.75	<b>15</b>	6.45	<b>19</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	68.1%	8.63	<b>6</b>	\$7.09	2.74	<b>104</b>	81.8%	7.88	<b>12</b>	6.42	<b>20</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.0%	5.85	<b>56</b>	\$7.75	4.25	<b>54</b>	75.0%	7.08	<b>33</b>	5.73	<b>50</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	59.9%	7.02	<b>25</b>	\$7.63	3.97	<b>64</b>	69.1%	6.39	<b>52</b>	5.80	<b>48</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	56.3%	6.31	<b>45</b>	\$7.18	2.95	<b>94</b>	46.7%	3.78	<b>112</b>	4.34	<b>102</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.1%	4.69	<b>88</b>	\$7.78	4.32	<b>51</b>	61.8%	5.54	<b>83</b>	4.85	<b>82</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	49.4%	4.93	<b>84</b>	\$7.74	4.22	<b>57</b>	64.6%	5.87	<b>72</b>	5.01	<b>78</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.3%	6.11	<b>51</b>	\$7.58	3.86	<b>70</b>	70.6%	6.57	<b>47</b>	5.51	<b>56</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.0%	5.65	<b>67</b>	\$7.96	4.73	<b>40</b>	68.7%	6.35	<b>55</b>	5.57	<b>52</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	60.0%	7.04	<b>24</b>	\$8.00	4.82	<b>39</b>	68.8%	6.35	<b>54</b>	6.07	<b>33</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	53.2%	5.69	<b>66</b>	\$7.84	4.45	<b>44</b>	64.9%	5.90	<b>70</b>	5.35	<b>63</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.5%	1.42	115	\$810	2.38	98	57.8%	6.73	31	3.51	107
003	Albemarle	76.2%	7.84	9	\$987	5.99	18	54.4%	5.80	61	6.54	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.9%	7.14	21	\$810	2.37	99	45.6%	3.38	108	4.29	87
007	Amelia	61.3%	3.41	97	\$937	4.97	35	44.9%	3.18	111	3.86	98
009	Amherst	69.0%	5.71	60	\$826	2.70	91	53.2%	5.47	70	4.63	74
011	Appomattox	71.4%	6.40	37	\$824	2.67	92	43.4%	2.77	114	3.94	93
013	Arlington	74.9%	7.45	16	\$1,028	6.81	10	64.0%	8.44	9	7.57	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.3%	5.78	53	\$936	4.94	36	53.7%	5.62	67	5.44	40
017	Bath	65.7%	4.73	83	\$709	0.32	119	57.1%	6.55	40	3.87	97
019	Bedford County/City	67.6%	5.27	71	\$814	2.45	96	55.1%	6.00	55	4.58	77
021	Bland	63.3%	4.00	91	\$808	2.34	100	47.8%	3.99	97	3.44	110
023	Botetourt	51.0%	0.37	118	\$915	4.52	49	43.3%	2.75	115	2.55	119
025	Brunswick	57.9%	2.43	111	\$805	2.26	102	57.2%	6.58	37	3.76	101
027	Buchanan	52.2%	0.73	117	\$795	2.07	107	54.7%	5.88	59	2.89	115
029	Buckingham	58.1%	2.49	109	\$910	4.42	52	51.7%	5.06	77	3.99	92
031	Campbell	68.9%	5.68	61	\$858	3.35	75	59.7%	7.25	24	5.42	41
033	Caroline	59.7%	2.94	105	\$946	5.15	30	41.9%	2.36	117	3.48	108
035	Carroll	67.9%	5.37	68	\$792	2.01	108	45.6%	3.38	107	3.59	106
036	Charles City	50.6%	0.26	119	\$884	3.89	66	61.1%	7.64	15	3.93	94
037	Charlotte	53.1%	1.01	116	\$887	3.95	64	46.3%	3.58	102	2.85	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	67.7%	5.32	70	\$992	6.10	17	60.8%	7.55	16	6.32	22
043	Clarke	59.1%	2.79	107	\$954	5.30	29	46.2%	3.53	103	3.87	96
045	Craig	57.9%	2.42	112	\$1,028	6.82	9	66.7%	9.17	3	6.14	24
047	Culpeper	66.0%	4.80	81	\$939	5.01	33	56.1%	6.27	47	5.36	46
049	Cumberland	58.4%	2.56	108	\$933	4.88	38	46.0%	3.47	104	3.64	104
051	Dickensen	59.8%	2.99	104	\$792	2.01	110	48.4%	4.14	95	3.05	114
053	Dinwiddie	69.6%	5.87	50	\$930	4.82	42	53.3%	5.49	69	5.39	45
057	Essex	70.8%	6.22	42	\$835	2.89	86	42.0%	2.38	116	3.83	99
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.9%	5.97	49	\$1,099	8.26	4	61.7%	7.81	13	7.35	6
061	Fauquier	70.7%	6.19	43	\$977	5.77	20	62.7%	8.09	10	6.68	12
063	Floyd	71.3%	6.39	39	\$897	4.14	59	44.2%	2.98	112	4.50	79
065	Fluvanna	73.5%	7.01	24	\$1,084	7.96	5	52.4%	5.24	75	6.74	10
067	Franklin County	66.6%	4.98	75	\$927	4.76	43	49.9%	4.56	87	4.77	70
069	Frederick	62.0%	3.63	96	\$959	5.42	26	54.1%	5.71	63	4.92	64
071	Giles	60.3%	3.13	99	\$915	4.52	50	47.8%	3.98	98	3.87	95
073	Gloucester	70.5%	6.15	44	\$833	2.84	89	56.1%	6.26	48	5.08	55
075	Goochland	68.1%	5.45	66	\$857	3.34	76	54.8%	5.90	56	4.89	67
077	Grayson	66.8%	5.05	74	\$817	2.52	94	57.2%	6.57	38	4.71	71

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	77.2%	8.11	6	\$916	4.54	48	49.4%	4.43	90	5.70	34
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.8%	2.40	113	\$796	2.09	106	56.9%	6.49	42	3.66	103
083	Halifax	60.2%	3.11	101	\$815	2.48	95	48.4%	4.15	94	3.25	112
085	Hanover	66.3%	4.91	79	\$1,048	7.23	8	65.3%	8.78	7	6.98	7
087	Henrico	70.1%	6.03	48	\$1,003	6.31	16	56.1%	6.28	46	6.21	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	65.3%	4.61	84	\$909	4.40	53	54.8%	5.90	57	4.97	60
091	Highland	71.4%	6.42	36	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.34	22	4.59	76
093	Isle of Wight	73.0%	6.89	26	\$888	3.97	62	55.5%	6.10	52	5.65	38
095	James City	73.2%	6.94	25	\$864	3.47	71	51.6%	5.04	79	5.15	51
097	King and Queen	57.6%	2.32	114	\$870	3.60	69	34.8%	0.40	119	2.11	120
099	King George	71.0%	6.30	41	\$904	4.30	54	50.7%	4.77	85	5.12	53
101	King William	59.3%	2.84	106	\$967	5.58	25	46.7%	3.67	100	4.03	91
103	Lancaster	69.1%	5.73	58	\$822	2.63	93	47.4%	3.87	99	4.08	90
105	Lee	66.3%	4.90	80	\$751	1.16	116	60.1%	7.37	20	4.48	81
107	Loudoun	81.1%	9.27	3	\$1,154	9.38	2	66.1%	9.01	4	9.22	1
109	Louisa	64.3%	4.32	86	\$956	5.34	28	54.6%	5.85	60	5.17	50
111	Lunenburg	49.7%	0.00	120	\$731	0.77	117	59.2%	7.12	26	2.63	117
113	Madison	68.1%	5.42	67	\$976	5.77	21	45.7%	3.41	105	4.86	68
115	Mathews	62.2%	3.70	94	\$845	3.08	81	49.1%	4.33	92	3.70	102
117	Mecklenburg	69.5%	5.85	51	\$800	2.16	103	58.3%	6.87	29	4.96	61
119	Middlesex	68.6%	5.58	64	\$862	3.44	73	55.2%	6.01	53	5.01	58
121	Montgomery	81.3%	9.33	2	\$910	4.42	51	54.0%	5.67	64	6.48	16
125	Nelson	70.5%	6.14	45	\$1,008	6.41	14	54.2%	5.75	62	6.10	27
127	New Kent	67.5%	5.25	72	\$1,006	6.38	15	52.9%	5.40	71	5.67	35
131	Northampton	63.3%	4.02	90	\$792	2.00	111	65.4%	8.83	6	4.95	62
133	Northumberland	60.3%	3.13	100	\$834	2.86	88	40.0%	1.84	118	2.61	118
135	Nottoway	69.1%	5.73	57	\$863	3.45	72	55.5%	6.11	51	5.10	54
137	Orange	72.8%	6.82	28	\$941	5.05	32	43.7%	2.84	113	4.90	66
139	Page	73.7%	7.08	22	\$974	5.71	22	57.3%	6.60	35	6.47	17
141	Patrick	67.4%	5.22	73	\$792	2.01	109	66.0%	8.99	5	5.41	43
143	Pittsylvania	58.0%	2.46	110	\$844	3.06	82	51.2%	4.91	82	3.48	109
145	Powhatan	59.9%	3.01	103	\$900	4.20	56	51.7%	5.04	78	4.08	89
147	Prince Edward	74.7%	7.39	20	\$851	3.22	78	60.5%	7.47	19	6.03	29
149	Prince George	63.4%	4.05	89	\$981	5.86	19	50.8%	4.82	84	4.91	65
153	Prince William	75.4%	7.60	13	\$1,184	10.00	1	62.1%	7.91	12	8.50	2
155	Pulaski	66.4%	4.94	76	\$923	4.69	46	45.2%	3.28	109	4.30	86
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.46	85	\$935	4.92	37	46.7%	3.67	101	4.35	84
159	Richmond County	66.4%	4.94	77	\$930	4.82	41	33.3%	0.00	120	3.25	111
161	Roanoke County	76.4%	7.87	8	\$1,016	6.57	12	52.5%	5.26	74	6.57	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	76.7%	7.97	7	\$848	3.15	80	54.7%	5.88	58	5.66	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.5%	7.61	12	\$927	4.76	44	59.0%	7.06	27	6.48	15
167	Russell	63.0%	3.93	92	\$832	2.81	90	58.7%	6.97	28	4.57	78
169	Scott	60.2%	3.10	102	\$852	3.22	77	64.0%	8.44	8	4.92	63
171	Shenandoah	68.9%	5.66	62	\$898	4.17	57	53.5%	5.54	68	5.13	52

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.8%	3.88	<b>93</b>	\$798	2.12	<b>105</b>	52.7%	5.34	<b>73</b>	3.78	<b>100</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.9%	3.31	<b>98</b>	\$807	2.31	<b>101</b>	47.8%	3.99	<b>96</b>	3.21	<b>113</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	71.3%	6.37	<b>40</b>	\$1,013	6.52	<b>13</b>	56.5%	6.37	<b>43</b>	6.42	<b>19</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.1%	3.68	<b>95</b>	\$1,118	8.65	<b>3</b>	57.6%	6.67	<b>34</b>	6.33	<b>21</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	74.8%	7.40	<b>19</b>	\$849	3.16	<b>79</b>	50.0%	4.59	<b>86</b>	5.05	<b>56</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.2%	5.75	<b>56</b>	\$931	4.84	<b>40</b>	57.3%	6.59	<b>36</b>	5.73	<b>33</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.2%	5.77	<b>54</b>	\$778	1.72	<b>114</b>	56.9%	6.49	<b>41</b>	4.66	<b>72</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.3%	6.07	<b>47</b>	\$967	5.58	<b>24</b>	57.7%	6.72	<b>32</b>	6.13	<b>26</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.7%	5.32	<b>69</b>	\$868	3.56	<b>70</b>	49.6%	4.48	<b>88</b>	4.45	<b>82</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.4%	4.92	<b>78</b>	\$889	3.99	<b>61</b>	49.5%	4.44	<b>89</b>	4.45	<b>83</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.30	<b>87</b>	\$773	1.62	<b>115</b>	57.2%	6.56	<b>39</b>	4.16	<b>88</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.7%	5.60	<b>63</b>	\$814	2.45	<b>97</b>	56.5%	6.36	<b>44</b>	4.80	<b>69</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.0%	4.21	<b>88</b>	\$861	3.40	<b>74</b>	45.1%	3.23	<b>110</b>	3.61	<b>105</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.4%	6.42	<b>35</b>	\$1,027	6.80	<b>11</b>	69.7%	10.00	<b>1</b>	7.74	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	72.1%	6.62	<b>33</b>	\$840	2.99	<b>84</b>	48.7%	4.23	<b>93</b>	4.61	<b>75</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	83.6%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$917	4.56	<b>47</b>	56.1%	6.26	<b>49</b>	6.94	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.8%	7.41	<b>18</b>	\$894	4.09	<b>60</b>	55.9%	6.21	<b>50</b>	5.90	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.2%	5.76	<b>55</b>	\$785	1.86	<b>112</b>	52.9%	5.39	<b>72</b>	4.34	<b>85</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.4%	6.10	<b>46</b>	\$780	1.75	<b>113</b>	53.8%	5.64	<b>65</b>	4.50	<b>80</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.2%	8.72	<b>4</b>	\$974	5.71	<b>23</b>	53.8%	5.64	<b>66</b>	6.69	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	65.8%	4.77	<b>82</b>	\$834	2.86	<b>87</b>	60.1%	7.37	<b>21</b>	5.00	<b>59</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.2%	6.65	<b>32</b>	\$888	3.97	<b>63</b>	52.3%	5.21	<b>76</b>	5.27	<b>47</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	71.6%	6.48	<b>34</b>	\$902	4.24	<b>55</b>	51.5%	5.00	<b>80</b>	5.24	<b>49</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.6%	7.05	<b>23</b>	\$798	2.13	<b>104</b>	62.6%	8.06	<b>11</b>	5.75	<b>32</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	68.5%	5.54	<b>65</b>	\$1,074	7.77	<b>6</b>	59.8%	7.29	<b>23</b>	6.87	<b>9</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.3%	7.56	<b>14</b>	\$1,058	7.44	<b>7</b>	68.5%	9.68	<b>2</b>	8.22	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.8%	7.41	<b>17</b>	\$898	4.16	<b>58</b>	58.1%	6.82	<b>30</b>	6.13	<b>25</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.9%	6.86	<b>27</b>	\$837	2.92	<b>85</b>	55.2%	6.01	<b>54</b>	5.26	<b>48</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.7%	8.28	<b>5</b>	\$715	0.44	<b>118</b>	60.5%	7.48	<b>18</b>	5.40	<b>44</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.8%	6.82	<b>29</b>	\$925	4.72	<b>45</b>	57.6%	6.68	<b>33</b>	6.07	<b>28</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.8%	7.69	<b>11</b>	\$882	3.83	<b>67</b>	61.3%	7.69	<b>14</b>	6.41	<b>20</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.3%	6.69	<b>31</b>	\$881	3.82	<b>68</b>	45.7%	3.40	<b>106</b>	4.64	<b>73</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.4%	6.71	<b>30</b>	\$943	5.09	<b>31</b>	60.6%	7.50	<b>17</b>	6.43	<b>18</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	69.1%	5.71	<b>59</b>	\$938	4.98	<b>34</b>	49.3%	4.38	<b>91</b>	5.03	<b>57</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.2%	7.83	<b>10</b>	\$841	3.00	<b>83</b>	59.4%	7.16	<b>25</b>	6.00	<b>30</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.4%	5.82	<b>52</b>	\$932	4.85	<b>39</b>	56.4%	6.34	<b>45</b>	5.67	<b>36</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	75.0%	7.47	<b>15</b>	\$885	3.89	<b>65</b>	51.1%	4.88	<b>83</b>	5.42	<b>42</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	71.4%	6.40	<b>38</b>	\$957	5.38	<b>27</b>	51.5%	5.00	<b>81</b>	5.59	<b>39</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2008 – April 30, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	19,310	961	764	1,755	657	400	2,223	240	487	706	928	623	2,726	649	282	1,932	608	3,198	171
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	95%	93%	94%	96%	87%	94%	95%	96%	95%	95%	93%	91%	89%	94%	97%	83%	90%	89%
% Timely in April 2009	91%	90%	97%	92%	97%	78%	91%	89%	98%	99%	93%	91%	83%	91%	93%	98%	81%	92%	100%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	13,533	647	546	1,216	480	268	1,589	165	339	477	707	456	1,952	456	175	1,295	447	2,193	125
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	98%	97%	98%	97%	95%	96%	99%	96%	97%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%	92%	94%	97%
Percent in April 2009	96%	97%	94%	96%	100%	93%	97%	100%	98%	98%	98%	100%	95%	93%	95%	95%	87%	94%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	118	5	1	2	2	3	13	7	0	0	4	5	50	10	2	4	6	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	42	6	11	16	5	8	72	0	0	11	6	37	53	10	30	5	17	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	961	71	57	146	133	110	161	255	28
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	94%	96%	99%	100%	95%	91%	92%	93%
% Timely in April 2009	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%	89%	75%	100%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	647	55	27	111	94	81	107	151	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	96%	89%	99%	99%	98%	98%	97%	95%
Percent in April 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	95%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	0	0	0	28	0	5	148

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	764	16	70	18	143	143	84	214	76
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	96%	100%	93%	95%	80%	96%	92%
% Timely in April 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	546	12	56	12	111	90	60	146	59
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	92%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%	97%	97%
Percent in April 2009	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	89%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1755	82	19	3	23	138	48	244	165	184	57	792
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	79%	100%	100%	96%	77%	92%	96%	100%	96%	86%	96%
% Timely in April 2009	92%	71%	100%	100%	N/A	88%	90%	93%	100%	83%	88%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1216	63	14	1	17	82	27	174	122	132	38	546
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	77%	96%	100%	100%	100%	97%	98%
Percent in April 2009	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	657	415	4	0	39	199
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	N/A	95%	87%
% Timely in April 2009	97%	98%	N/A	N/A	75%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	480	304	2	0	25	149
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	99%	100%	N/A	84%	97%
Percent in April 2009	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	27	0	0	4	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	400	11	86	62	40	118	83	171	112	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	87%	100%	77%	97%	55%	97%	89%	89%	95%	80%
% Timely in April 2009	78%	100%	89%	78%	14%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	268	7	63	36	23	77	62	125	77	48
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	97%	100%	99%	94%	97%	100%	92%
Percent in April 2009	93%	100%	91%	100%	N/A	100%	85%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,223	170	710	204	761	247	105	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	98%	91%	89%	97%	92%	92%
% Timely in April 2009	91%	100%	98%	82%	89%	100%	80%	33%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1589	138	480	148	555	175	74	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	92%	93%	96%	96%	100%	95%
Percent in April 2009	97%	100%	97%	88%	95%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	13	0	0	2	7	0	2	2
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	12	6	0	10	9

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	240	93	78	21	41	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	99%	99%	90%	95%	14%
% Timely in April 2009	89%	100%	100%	50%	100%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	165	62	56	19	26	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	90%	100%	100%	96%	100%
Percent in April 2009	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	2	5
Average # of Days Over 30	72	0	0	0	42	84

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	487	102	18	36	72	23	236
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	99%	94%	81%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in April 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	339	70	9	20	43	17	180
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	99%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in April 2009	98%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	706	48	55	147	169	287
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	99%	95%	90%
% Timely in April 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	477	28	39	97	111	202
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	96%	94%	96%
Percent in April 2009	98%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	928	139	304	98	111	276
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	96%	91%	97%	99%	95%
% Timely in April 2009	93%	100%	87%	100%	89%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	707	116	219	67	84	221
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	96%	98%	99%
Percent in April 2009	98%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	1	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	33	3	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	623	35	80	74	60	42	65	17	107	65	78
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	94%	94%	97%	100%	90%	71%	94%	97%	91%	95%
% Timely in April 2009	91%	92%	100%	100%	100%	43%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	456	20	61	60	44	27	47	13	82	47	55
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	91%	100%
Percent in April 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	5	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,726	551	22	102	700	24	1,327
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	91%	94%	68%	99%	83%	100%	93%
% Timely in April 2009	83%	90%	67%	100%	62%	100%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1952	406	13	71	479	14	969
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	98%	100%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Percent in April 2009	95%	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	50	6	1	0	40	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	37	53	4	0	38	0	8

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	649	117	27	194	172	139
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	89%	97%	100%	81%	86%	94%
% Timely in April 2009	91%	88%	100%	92%	100%	80%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	456	77	21	130	128	100
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	97%	100%	87%	96%	99%
Percent in April 2009	93%	93%	100%	88%	92%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	10	4	0	3	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	53	67	0	30	85.5	5

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	282	59	17	38	26	8	38	20	20	56
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	98%	88%	95%	92%	75%	97%	95%	90%	93%
% Timely in April 2009	93%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	88%	N/A	50%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	175	39	11	21	18	6	19	16	11	34
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	94%	83%	89%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in April 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	14	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,932	7	66	76	25	66	633	1022	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	86%	89%	100%	100%	95%	99%	96%	95%
% Timely in April 2009	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	91%	98%	98%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1295	7	41	45	18	37	422	695	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	86%	98%	98%	100%	92%	96%	96%	100%
Percent in April 2009	95%	50%	100%	75%	100%	75%	92%	98%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	0	4	0	42	33

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	608	64	66	21	40	160	257
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	83%	97%	98%	71%	100%	76%	78%
% Timely in April 2009	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%	54%	78%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	447	44	44	14	27	125	193
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	92%	100%	95%	100%	96%	98%	83%
Percent in April 2009	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	73%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	4	2
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	3	10

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - April 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	3,198	74	66	570	57	926	581	253	671
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	90%	95%	97%	93%	100%	78%	96%	98%	95%
% Timely in April 2009	92%	100%	80%	98%	100%	86%	94%	96%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	2193	41	50	420	42	624	417	189	410
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	95%	95%	89%	96%	97%	97%
Percent in April 2009	94%	100%	100%	90%	100%	89%	98%	89%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	13	0	0	0	34	0	10

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Apr-08</i>	<i>Apr-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,963	15,636	-2.0%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,208	129,799	-1.8%
Total Cases Collected	148,171	145,435	-1.8%
TANF Collections	\$4,561,185	\$4,932,893	8.1%
NTANF Collections	\$52,491,539	\$52,227,523	-0.5%
Total Collections	\$57,052,724	\$57,160,416	0.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$512,921,198	\$523,724,195	2.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$360	\$367	2.1%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Apr-09</i>
TANF Paternities	257
NTANF Paternities	398
Combined Paternities	655

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *April Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	9		Page	2
Alleghany / Covington	4		Patrick	4
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	8
Appomattox	1		Prince Edward	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Prince William	1
Bedford City	7		Pulaski	4
Brunswick	1		Roanoke County	4
Buckingham	3		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	3
Campbell	4		Rockingham / H'burg	7
Caroline	1		Shenandoah	11
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Smyth	2
Craig	1		Spotsylvania	12
Culpeper	1		Stafford	11
Cumberland	4		Tazewell	1
Dinwiddie	1		Warren	6
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Washington	2
Fauquier	2		Wythe	3
Floyd	3		Bristol	2
Franklin County	4		Charlottesville	4
Frederick	6		Chesapeake	5
Gloucester	1		Danville	1
Greene	1		Franklin City	2
Halifax	1		Fredricksburg	3
Henrico	6		Hampton	4
Henry / Martinsville	2		Hopewell	7
Isle of Wight	2		Mannassas	1
Loudoun	5		Newport News	17
Louisa	2		Norfolk	13
Madison	4		Richmond City	10
Mathews	1		Roanoke City	14
Montgomery	2		Suffolk	11
Northampton	1		Virginia Beach	8
Nottoway	1		Winchester	3
Orange	2		Statewide	292

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of May 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:  
[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through April 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through April 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

Apr 30, 2009




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of May and represent end of April data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of April, it was \$7.84 rather than the \$7.75 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.