

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
May 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services June 25, 2009

Monthly Report, May 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 17,771 and a numerator of 7,576.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	74	26	35%	061	Fauquier	67	28	42%
003	Albemarle	73	36	49%	063	Floyd	32	13	41%
005	Alleghany/Covington	77	14	18%	065	Fluvanna	17	9	53%
007	Amelia	38	22	58%	067	Franklin County	89	27	30%
009	Amherst	35	12	34%	069	Frederick	72	29	40%
011	Appomattox	28	13	46%	071	Giles	16	5	31%
013	Arlington	119	66	55%	073	Gloucester	51	11	22%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	294	145	49%	075	Goochland	15	3	20%
017	Bath	4	1	25%	077	Grayson	19	8	42%
019	Bedford County/City	102	45	44%	079	Greene	26	8	31%
021	Bland	8	4	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	67	19	28%
023	Botetourt	10	1	10%	083	Halifax	106	27	25%
025	Brunswick	61	34	56%	085	Hanover	97	31	32%
027	Buchanan	64	29	45%	087	Henrico	761	337	44%
029	Buckingham	38	15	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	295	86	29%
031	Campbell	148	54	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	115	36	31%	093	Isle of Wight	75	43	57%
035	Carroll	57	35	61%	095	James City	63	26	41%
036	Charles City	13	3	23%	097	King and Queen	14	1	7%
037	Charlotte	33	13	39%	099	King George	18	9	50%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	482	196	41%	101	King William	23	10	43%
043	Clarke	12	4	33%	103	Lancaster	22	8	36%
045	Craig	5	0	0%	105	Lee	108	45	42%
047	Culpeper	82	30	37%	107	Loudoun	169	36	21%
049	Cumberland	40	18	45%	109	Louisa	48	24	50%
051	Dickensen	43	18	42%	111	Lunenburg	28	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	47	19	40%	113	Madison	12	5	42%
057	Essex	57	20	35%	115	Mathews	6	4	67%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	629	297	47%	117	Mecklenburg	80	30	38%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	29	8	28%	187	Warren	75	35	47%
121	Montgomery	213	124	58%	191	Washington	100	46	46%
125	Nelson	21	13	62%	193	Westmoreland	39	24	62%
127	New Kent	7	2	29%	195	Wise	219	78	36%
131	Northampton	41	15	37%	197	Wythe	78	26	33%
133	Northumberland	14	6	43%	199	York/Poquoson	64	24	38%
135	Nottoway	72	5	7%	510	Alexandria	260	117	45%
137	Orange	33	15	45%	520	Bristol	146	90	62%
139	Page	45	20	44%	540	Charlottesville	188	87	46%
141	Patrick	59	26	44%	550	Chesapeake	708	304	43%
143	Pittsylvania	98	22	22%	590	Danville	233	100	43%
145	Powhatan	22	7	32%	620	Franklin City	33	12	36%
147	Prince Edward	75	35	47%	630	Fredericksburg	113	59	52%
149	Prince George	37	15	41%	640	Galax	42	11	26%
153	Prince William	706	273	39%	650	Hampton	695	358	52%
155	Pulaski	82	38	46%	670	Hopewell	200	30	15%
157	Rappahannock	8	2	25%	680	Lynchburg	277	133	48%
159	Richmond County	15	3	20%	683	Manassas	76	29	38%
161	Roanoke County	144	75	52%	685	Manassas Park	25	9	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	41	14	34%	700	Newport News	940	426	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	156	86	55%	710	Norfolk	1,156	629	54%
167	Russell	125	62	50%	720	Norton	42	17	40%
169	Scott	97	36	37%	730	Petersburg	380	134	35%
171	Shenandoah	25	5	20%	740	Portsmouth	653	239	37%
173	Smyth	91	34	37%	750	Radford	37	16	43%
175	Southampton	56	14	25%	760	Richmond City	1,505	663	44%
177	Spotsylvania	212	74	35%	770	Roanoke City	560	172	31%
179	Stafford	190	95	50%	800	Suffolk	191	106	55%
181	Surry	29	5	17%	810	Virginia Beach	515	261	51%
183	Sussex	49	24	49%	830	Williamsburg	25	8	32%
185	Tazewell	153	67	44%	840	Winchester	67	25	37%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 37% with a denominator of 15,897 and a numerator of 5,943. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	64	16	25%	061	Fauquier	59	20	34%
003	Albemarle	51	16	31%	063	Floyd	27	9	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	65	3	5%	065	Fluvanna	14	6	43%
007	Amelia	38	22	58%	067	Franklin County	83	21	25%
009	Amherst	31	9	29%	069	Frederick	62	19	31%
011	Appomattox	26	11	42%	071	Giles	14	3	21%
013	Arlington	101	48	48%	073	Gloucester	43	5	12%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	264	115	44%	075	Goochland	15	3	20%
017	Bath	3	0	0%	077	Grayson	14	4	29%
019	Bedford County/City	94	37	39%	079	Greene	25	7	28%
021	Bland	6	4	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	59	12	20%
023	Botetourt	9	1	11%	083	Halifax	98	19	19%
025	Brunswick	55	28	51%	085	Hanover	87	22	25%
027	Buchanan	58	23	40%	087	Henrico	682	267	39%
029	Buckingham	35	12	34%	089	Henry/Martinsville	282	75	27%
031	Campbell	136	42	31%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	105	28	27%	093	Isle of Wight	64	32	50%
035	Carroll	51	29	57%	095	James City	55	19	35%
036	Charles City	12	3	25%	097	King and Queen	13	0	0%
037	Charlotte	28	8	29%	099	King George	14	5	36%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	426	148	35%	101	King William	20	8	40%
043	Clarke	11	4	36%	103	Lancaster	22	8	36%
045	Craig	5	0	0%	105	Lee	101	39	39%
047	Culpeper	76	25	33%	107	Loudoun	140	11	8%
049	Cumberland	35	15	43%	109	Louisa	41	17	41%
051	Dickensen	41	16	39%	111	Lunenburg	26	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	40	12	30%	113	Madison	11	5	45%
057	Essex	55	19	35%	115	Mathews	5	3	60%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	559	233	42%	117	Mecklenburg	71	21	30%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	26	5	19%	187	Warren	65	26	40%
121	Montgomery	173	89	51%	191	Washington	92	39	42%
125	Nelson	19	11	58%	193	Westmoreland	36	24	67%
127	New Kent	7	2	29%	195	Wise	199	60	30%
131	Northampton	37	11	30%	197	Wythe	67	22	33%
133	Northumberland	12	4	33%	199	York/Poquoson	58	18	31%
135	Nottoway	67	0	0%	510	Alexandria	234	92	39%
137	Orange	31	13	42%	520	Bristol	136	80	59%
139	Page	38	13	34%	540	Charlottesville	160	60	38%
141	Patrick	47	18	38%	550	Chesapeake	647	251	39%
143	Pittsylvania	94	18	19%	590	Danville	208	81	39%
145	Powhatan	20	5	25%	620	Franklin City	27	7	26%
147	Prince Edward	66	26	39%	630	Fredericksburg	96	47	49%
149	Prince George	33	12	36%	640	Galax	38	7	18%
153	Prince William	582	165	28%	650	Hampton	629	302	48%
155	Pulaski	72	28	39%	670	Hopewell	181	22	12%
157	Rappahannock	8	2	25%	680	Lynchburg	254	112	44%
159	Richmond County	15	3	20%	683	Manassas	66	21	32%
161	Roanoke County	125	56	45%	685	Manassas Park	22	6	27%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	35	10	29%	700	Newport News	826	324	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	136	66	49%	710	Norfolk	1,088	571	52%
167	Russell	116	53	46%	720	Norton	37	13	35%
169	Scott	85	25	29%	730	Petersburg	348	111	32%
171	Shenandoah	24	5	21%	740	Portsmouth	596	190	32%
173	Smyth	87	30	34%	750	Radford	34	13	38%
175	Southampton	52	12	23%	760	Richmond City	1,374	559	41%
177	Spotsylvania	191	58	30%	770	Roanoke City	512	125	24%
179	Stafford	166	76	46%	800	Suffolk	164	82	50%
181	Surry	26	3	12%	810	Virginia Beach	435	184	42%
183	Sussex	44	19	43%	830	Williamsburg	21	6	29%
185	Tazewell	130	47	36%	840	Winchester	56	16	29%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 87% with a denominator of 1,874 and a numerator of 1,633 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	10	10	100%	061	Fauquier	8	8	100%
003	Albemarle	22	20	91%	063	Floyd	5	4	80%
005	Alleghany/Covington	12	11	92%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	6	6	100%
009	Amherst	4	3	75%	069	Frederick	10	10	100%
011	Appomattox	2	2	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	18	18	100%	073	Gloucester	8	6	75%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	30	100%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	5	4	80%
019	Bedford County/City	8	8	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	2	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	7	88%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	8	8	100%
025	Brunswick	6	6	100%	085	Hanover	10	9	90%
027	Buchanan	6	6	100%	087	Henrico	79	70	89%
029	Buckingham	3	3	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	13	11	85%
031	Campbell	12	12	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	10	8	80%	093	Isle of Wight	11	11	100%
035	Carroll	6	6	100%	095	James City	8	7	88%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	56	48	86%	101	King William	3	2	67%
043	Clarke	1	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	7	6	86%
047	Culpeper	6	5	83%	107	Loudoun	29	25	86%
049	Cumberland	5	3	60%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	7	7	100%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	2	1	50%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	70	64	91%	117	Mecklenburg	9	9	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	3	100%	187	Warren	10	9	90%
121	Montgomery	40	35	88%	191	Washington	8	7	88%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	20	18	90%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	11	4	36%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	6	6	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	26	25	96%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	10	10	100%
139	Page	7	7	100%	540	Charlottesville	28	27	96%
141	Patrick	12	8	67%	550	Chesapeake	61	53	87%
143	Pittsylvania	4	4	100%	590	Danville	25	19	76%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	6	5	83%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	17	12	71%
149	Prince George	4	3	75%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	124	108	87%	650	Hampton	66	56	85%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	19	8	42%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	23	21	91%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	10	8	80%
161	Roanoke County	19	19	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	4	67%	700	Newport News	114	102	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	20	20	100%	710	Norfolk	68	58	85%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	5	4	80%
169	Scott	12	11	92%	730	Petersburg	32	23	72%
171	Shenandoah	1	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	57	49	86%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	3	3	100%
175	Southampton	4	2	50%	760	Richmond City	131	104	79%
177	Spotsylvania	21	16	76%	770	Roanoke City	48	47	98%
179	Stafford	24	19	79%	800	Suffolk	27	24	89%
181	Surry	3	2	67%	810	Virginia Beach	80	77	96%
183	Sussex	5	5	100%	830	Williamsburg	4	2	50%
185	Tazewell	23	20	87%	840	Winchester	11	9	82%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	May-09	May-08	Change from May-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,735	4,152	14%	4,807	-1%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,520	2,324	8%	1,658	52%
Applications Approved	2,395	2,394	0%	2,820	-15%
Cases Closed *	2,251	2,270	-1%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	31,438	27,433	15%	67,995	-54%
Cases Paid During Month ²	32,150	28,535	13%	70,403	-54%

•The database indicates that of the 2,251 closed cases, 846 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 524 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 179 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 124 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	May-09	May-08	Change from May-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	414	277	49%	338	22%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	268	191	40%	232	16%
Applications Approved	245	169	45%	81	202%
Cases Closed *	234	176	33%	107	119%
Cases at End of Month	1,819	976	86%	321	467%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,906	1,083	76%	394	384%

•The database indicates that of the 234 closed cases, 119 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 78 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 19 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	33,257	1,886	1,039	2,563	938	503	3,852	427	662	1,182	1,661	1,001	5,125	1,022	400	3,232	1,232	6,266	266
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,750	744	501	1,075	401	236	1,577	152	270	556	524	492	2,143	546	181	1,282	585	2,403	82
Part. in a Work Activity	10,032	541	368	741	326	168	1,192	101	205	395	375	312	1,549	392	136	952	359	1,880	40
% of Enrolled	73%	73%	73%	69%	81%	71%	76%	66%	76%	71%	72%	63%	72%	72%	75%	74%	61%	78%	49%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	133	5	6	18	0	8	19	4	5	2	0	4	18	9	2	6	12	15	0
Participants Employed	6,737	403	238	535	257	118	699	78	124	258	230	210	866	239	97	698	259	1,396	32
% of Enrolled	49%	54%	48%	50%	64%	50%	44%	51%	46%	46%	44%	43%	40%	44%	54%	54%	44%	58%	39%
Full Time	5,552	375	197	427	219	93	530	66	82	206	188	161	702	189	76	567	212	1,240	22
Part Time	1,185	28	41	108	38	25	169	12	42	52	42	49	164	50	21	131	47	156	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.02	\$7.22	\$7.51	\$7.62	\$8.13	\$9.20	\$8.03	\$8.23	\$7.35	\$7.19	\$7.40	\$8.04	\$8.23	\$7.76	\$7.66	\$7.58	\$7.51	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$962	\$952	\$1,016	\$1,024	\$1,086	\$1,232	\$1,086	\$1,001	\$994	\$957	\$981	\$1,105	\$1,111	\$1,041	\$1,031	\$1,018	\$1,035	\$951

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	28,709	1,555	1,096	2,571	950	627	3,307	388	646	1,004	1,443	918	3,982	1,014	392	2,749	929	4,887	251
Total Enrolled in VIEW	30,497	1,564	1,188	2,559	949	583	3,452	367	680	1,155	1,441	1,059	4,409	1,065	405	2,966	1,101	5,315	239
Part. in a Work Activity	27,398	1,408	1,086	2,276	882	518	3,181	334	623	1,041	1,322	947	3,976	940	361	2,673	956	4,667	207
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	91%	89%	93%	89%	92%	91%	92%	90%	92%	89%	90%	88%	89%	90%	87%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	16,735	901	611	1,404	583	308	1,832	191	361	655	677	519	2,210	587	226	1,740	615	3,206	109
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	51%	55%	61%	53%	53%	52%	53%	57%	47%	49%	50%	55%	56%	59%	56%	60%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.02	\$7.10	\$7.61	\$7.68	\$8.20	\$9.76	\$8.15	\$8.38	\$7.45	\$7.16	\$7.39	\$8.07	\$8.44	\$7.82	\$7.75	\$7.73	\$7.61	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$978	\$954	\$1,047	\$1,046	\$1,073	\$1,332	\$1,104	\$1,039	\$996	\$938	\$969	\$1,105	\$1,122	\$1,023	\$1,053	\$1,056	\$1,050	\$965
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	57%	62%	74%	58%	74%	64%	70%	64%	63%	71%	71%	79%	62%	71%	74%	74%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	218,626	14,518	8,220	16,001	6,196	3,900	27,116	3,095	4,681	8,183	10,477	6,214	28,962	6,022	2,791	20,635	7,420	42,159	2,036
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	163,552	10,917	6,834	11,801	4,619	2,782	20,699	2,302	3,522	6,666	8,254	4,622	22,205	4,201	1,978	14,921	5,667	30,100	1,462
Part. in a Work Activity	156,799	10,485	6,510	11,310	4,480	2,670	20,043	2,219	3,421	6,305	7,966	4,400	21,455	4,010	1,869	14,291	5,401	28,564	1,400
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	115,182	6,970	4,618	8,394	3,330	1,923	15,093	1,588	2,738	4,711	5,360	2,899	15,678	2,904	1,304	10,897	4,012	21,920	843
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	68%	71%	72%	69%	73%	69%	78%	71%	65%	63%	71%	69%	66%	73%	71%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$5.95	\$6.03	\$6.82	\$6.88	\$7.03	\$8.35	\$7.17	\$7.16	\$6.25	\$6.14	\$6.41	\$7.10	\$7.59	\$6.67	\$6.73	\$6.74	\$6.60	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$786	\$826	\$926	\$923	\$959	\$1,119	\$955	\$938	\$819	\$827	\$849	\$967	\$1,005	\$868	\$891	\$921	\$872	\$802
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,886	209	110	271	272	189	295	479	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	744	54	46	121	120	91	109	179	24
Participants in a Work Activity	541	39	24	92	102	49	93	126	16
% of Enrolled	73%	72%	52%	76%	85%	54%	85%	70%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	403	25	21	78	47	46	63	108	15
% of Enrolled	54%	46%	46%	64%	39%	51%	58%	60%	63%
Full Time	375	24	21	72	43	43	60	97	15
Part Time	28	1	0	6	4	3	3	11	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$7.08	\$6.83	\$6.85	\$6.96	\$6.96	\$7.17	\$7.15	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$983	\$971	\$902	\$961	\$992	\$989	\$976	\$926

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,555	124	81	207	200	199	296	401	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,564	132	96	241	216	174	265	391	49
Participants in a Work Activity	1,408	119	81	228	204	151	246	338	41
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	84%	95%	94%	87%	93%	86%	84%
Participants Employed	901	64	50	155	117	83	160	240	32
% of Total Enrolled	58%	48%	52%	64%	54%	48%	60%	61%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$7.46	\$7.09	\$6.74	\$7.04	\$6.99	\$6.95	\$7.09	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$1,099	\$999	\$904	\$986	\$1,010	\$947	\$1,000	\$943
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	61%	82%	59%	75%	71%	66%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,518	1,514	1,040	2,022	1,974	1,344	2,802	3,366	456
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,917	1,141	781	1,498	1,551	929	2,121	2,541	355
Participants in a Work Activity	10,485	1,057	737	1,480	1,474	901	2,045	2,456	335
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	97%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,970	595	467	992	977	555	1,472	1,636	276
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	60%	69%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.95	\$6.15	\$6.02	\$5.64	\$6.18	\$6.19	\$5.90	\$5.92	\$5.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$786	\$797	\$793	\$752	\$832	\$852	\$779	\$774	\$716
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	48%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	61%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,039	14	124	34	199	206	125	249	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	501	5	53	12	90	92	70	142	37
Participants in a Work Activity	368	5	45	9	60	61	46	123	19
% of Enrolled	73%	100%	85%	75%	67%	66%	66%	87%	51%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
Participants Employed	238	4	36	5	34	46	31	66	16
% of Enrolled	48%	80%	68%	42%	38%	50%	44%	46%	43%
Full Time	197	3	29	3	29	40	28	52	13
Part Time	41	1	7	2	5	6	3	14	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.54	\$6.84	\$6.88	\$6.92	\$7.12	\$7.27	\$7.64	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$1,003	\$940	\$809	\$958	\$951	\$931	\$965	\$984

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,096	27	110	37	215	196	138	272	101
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,188	23	122	41	220	211	144	327	100
Participants in a Work Activity	1,086	21	114	38	196	194	140	298	85
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	93%	93%	89%	92%	97%	91%	85%
Participants Employed	611	10	80	23	90	115	85	167	41
% of Total Enrolled	51%	43%	66%	56%	41%	55%	59%	51%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$7.97	\$6.86	\$6.80	\$6.80	\$7.10	\$7.01	\$7.37	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$1,116	\$941	\$902	\$936	\$937	\$910	\$989	\$1,017
Three Months Employment ⁸	57%	78%	55%	64%	64%	46%	60%	53%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,220	215	1,136	560	1,415	1,364	1,292	1,665	573
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,834	166	930	454	1,163	1,111	1,039	1,476	495
Participants in a Work Activity	6,510	155	845	418	1,105	1,078	1,018	1,424	467
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	91%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,618	106	631	299	726	754	710	1,067	325
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	68%	66%	62%	68%	68%	72%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.03	\$5.93	\$5.83	\$6.13	\$5.78	\$6.31	\$6.09	\$6.06	\$5.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$826	\$815	\$793	\$817	\$798	\$868	\$814	\$841	\$836
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,563	121	27	9	45	227	40	360	186	312	78	1,158
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1075	87	11	8	21	77	27	169	65	129	37	444
Participants in a Work Activity	741	53	6	4	14	37	17	135	51	109	26	289
% of Enrolled	69%	61%	55%	50%	67%	48%	63%	80%	78%	84%	70%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	5	1	5
Participants Employed	535	47	6	3	13	26	11	112	35	75	15	192
% of Enrolled	50%	54%	55%	38%	62%	34%	41%	66%	54%	58%	41%	43%
Full Time	427	16	5	1	11	20	10	104	34	59	12	155
Part Time	108	31	1	2	2	6	1	8	1	16	3	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.51	\$6.92	\$7.69	\$7.27	\$7.20	\$7.92	\$8.05	\$7.66	\$7.12	\$7.58	\$7.57	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$761	\$1,052	\$896	\$979	\$1,093	\$1,145	\$1,051	\$996	\$1,015	\$1,096	\$1,040

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,571	124	29	8	42	250	67	361	202	270	84	1,134
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,559	158	29	10	42	200	63	394	199	282	95	1087
Participants in a Work Activity	2,276	151	23	8	36	140	57	370	180	257	84	970
% of Total Enrolled	89%	96%	79%	80%	86%	70%	90%	94%	90%	91%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	1,404	105	11	4	23	83	34	281	103	180	53	527
% of Total Enrolled	55%	66%	38%	40%	55%	42%	54%	71%	52%	64%	56%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.27	\$7.82	\$7.20	\$7.89	\$7.79	\$7.72	\$7.58	\$7.13	\$7.74	\$7.26	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$825	\$964	\$969	\$1,091	\$1,103	\$1,030	\$1,064	\$975	\$1,099	\$956	\$1,083
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	49%	20%	N/A	63%	62%	63%	64%	64%	67%	48%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,001	1,005	267	57	406	1,356	406	2,306	1,427	1,669	643	6,459
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,801	730	157	20	277	982	274	1,840	1099	1291	486	4,645
Participants in a Work Activity	11,310	700	143	20	267	886	264	1,818	1062	1228	472	4,450
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	91%	100%	96%	90%	96%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,394	537	80	13	196	649	164	1,494	730	986	351	3,194
% of Total Enrolled	71%	74%	51%	65%	71%	66%	60%	81%	66%	76%	72%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$6.62	\$7.15	\$6.39	\$6.69	\$6.67	\$6.75	\$6.68	\$6.58	\$7.28	\$6.76	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$926	\$810	\$915	\$950	\$902	\$927	\$921	\$911	\$923	\$1,016	\$883	\$938
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	44%	67%	45%	50%	47%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	938	601	6	0	69	262
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	401	239	2	0	26	134
Participants in a Work Activity	326	190	2	N/A	20	114
% of Enrolled	81%	79%	100%	N/A	77%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	257	155	2	N/A	17	83
% of Enrolled	64%	65%	100%	N/A	65%	62%
Full Time	219	138	0	N/A	12	69
Part Time	38	17	2	N/A	5	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.61	\$7.28	N/A	\$7.15	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$1,012	\$356	N/A	\$961	\$1,074

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	950	590	6	0	59	295
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	949	583	5	0	60	301
Participants in a Work Activity	882	542	5	N/A	50	285
% of Total Enrolled	93%	93%	100%	N/A	83%	95%
Participants Employed	583	331	3	N/A	33	216
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	60%	N/A	55%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.60	\$6.84	N/A	\$7.39	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,048	\$421	N/A	\$943	\$1,065
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	81%	100%	N/A	67%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,196	3,362	60	16	557	2,201
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,619	2,546	35	7	405	1,626
Participants in a Work Activity	4,480	2,486	34	7	386	1,567
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	3,330	1,762	23	5	310	1,230
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	66%	71%	77%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$6.88	\$6.60	\$7.75	\$6.53	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$923	\$936	\$709	\$694	\$849	\$929
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	57%	60%	55%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	503	17	151	59	39	128	109	266	181	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	236	9	52	38	12	77	48	82	42	40
Participants in a Work Activity	168	5	32	32	11	50	38	40	23	17
% of Enrolled	71%	56%	62%	84%	92%	65%	79%	49%	55%	43%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	1	2	0	2	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	118	1	26	22	9	37	23	32	20	12
% of Enrolled	50%	11%	50%	58%	8	48%	48%	39%	48%	30%
Full Time	93	1	21	18	8	28	17	22	15	7
Part Time	25	0	5	4	1	9	6	10	5	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$7.50	\$8.62	\$8.74	\$7.49	\$7.92	\$7.59	\$7.47	\$7.72	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,039	\$1,134	\$1,256	\$1,040	\$1,005	\$1,021	\$951	\$1,033	\$814

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	627	19	156	93	65	156	138	251	159	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	583	15	143	90	49	169	117	239	138	101
Participants in a Work Activity	518	15	127	77	46	148	105	207	125	82
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	89%	86%	94%	88%	90%	87%	91%	81%
Participants Employed	308	7	80	47	25	89	60	109	64	45
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	56%	52%	51%	53%	51%	46%	46%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$7.41	\$8.58	\$8.38	\$7.57	\$8.31	\$7.82	\$7.30	\$7.39	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$942	\$1,090	\$1,115	\$995	\$1,099	\$1,033	\$965	\$982	\$944
Three Months Employment ⁸	58%	50%	63%	55%	61%	55%	58%	70%	66%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,900	158	734	604	503	972	929	2,036	1262	774
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,782	93	512	479	290	707	701	1,462	879	583
Participants in a Work Activity	2,670	88	482	465	284	673	678	1400	857	543
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	94%	97%	98%	95%	97%	96%	97%	93%
Participants Employed	1,923	55	321	353	197	499	498	843	478	365
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	63%	74%	68%	71%	71%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.12	\$7.23	\$7.11	\$6.44	\$7.08	\$6.98	\$6.36	\$6.44	\$6.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$954	\$961	\$974	\$899	\$968	\$957	\$802	\$812	\$791
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	53%	57%	53%	58%	52%	61%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,852	288	1,396	267	1,191	489	163	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,577	86	494	158	587	180	60	12
Participants in a Work Activity	1,192	65	417	97	427	146	31	9
% of Enrolled	76%	76%	84%	61%	73%	81%	52%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	16	0	1	1	1	0
Participants Employed	699	36	206	71	278	74	27	7
% of Enrolled	44%	42%	42%	45%	47%	41%	45%	58%
Full Time	530	21	160	50	213	57	24	5
Part Time	169	15	46	21	65	17	3	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.20	\$9.02	\$9.25	\$9.81	\$9.07	\$9.48	\$8.52	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,232	\$1,070	\$1,220	\$1,339	\$1,243	\$1,259	\$1,139	\$961

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,307	218	1,094	299	1,133	373	144	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,452	232	1,138	329	1,200	362	152	39
Participants in a Work Activity	3,181	216	1,063	294	1,101	331	138	38
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	93%	89%	92%	91%	91%	97%
Participants Employed	1,832	112	551	196	704	178	68	23
% of Total Enrolled	53%	48%	48%	60%	59%	49%	45%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.76	\$9.83	\$9.78	\$10.25	\$9.70	\$9.84	\$8.81	\$9.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,332	\$1,272	\$1,328	\$1,407	\$1,344	\$1,302	\$1,226	\$1,243
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	65%	73%	73%	77%	75%	84%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	27,116	2,456	9,453	1,841	8,627	3,251	1,140	348
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,699	1,879	7,029	1,458	6,642	2,572	863	256
Participants in a Work Activity	20,043	1,854	6,818	1,400	6,416	2,469	832	254
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,093	1,404	4,901	1,175	5,002	1,832	587	192
% of Total Enrolled	73%	75%	70%	81%	75%	71%	68%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$7.89	\$8.26	\$8.84	\$8.58	\$8.05	\$7.92	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,028	\$1,100	\$1,155	\$1,185	\$1,029	\$1,074	\$1,057
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	68%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	427	190	112	32	86	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	152	66	40	12	29	5
Participants in a Work Activity	101	39	33	7	21	1
% of Enrolled	66%	59%	83%	58%	72%	20%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	3	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	78	26	27	6	18	1
% of Enrolled	51%	39%	68%	50%	62%	20%
Full Time	66	20	24	6	16	0
Part Time	12	6	3	0	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.48	\$7.93	\$7.37	\$7.80	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,072	\$1,121	\$1,109	\$1,060	\$788

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	388	169	110	34	62	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	367	152	108	35	63	9
Participants in a Work Activity	334	133	103	35	56	7
% of Total Enrolled	91%	88%	95%	100%	89%	78%
Participants Employed	191	63	67	20	37	4
% of Total Enrolled	52%	41%	62%	57%	59%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.48	\$8.23	\$7.74	\$7.74	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,119	\$1,111	\$1,142	\$1,070	\$851
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	59%	61%	62%	81%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,095	1,189	891	295	635	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,302	852	674	216	503	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,219	816	665	209	476	53
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	95%	93%
Participants Employed	1,588	560	476	147	369	36
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	71%	68%	73%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.13	\$7.49	\$6.97	\$6.90	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$939	\$980	\$976	\$940	\$932
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	45%	43%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	662	127	34	51	96	45	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	270	40	10	27	38	18	137
Participants in a Work Activity	205	28	6	14	24	15	118
% of Enrolled	76%	70%	60%	52%	63%	83%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	1	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	124	18	4	10	17	12	63
% of Enrolled	46%	45%	40%	37%	45%	67%	46%
Full Time	82	15	4	4	12	8	39
Part Time	42	3	0	6	5	4	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$9.03	\$8.83	\$7.36	\$8.13	\$7.05	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,246	\$1,307	\$762	\$1,021	\$806	\$980

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	646	155	21	57	95	34	284
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	680	135	24	54	96	36	335
Participants in a Work Activity	623	130	20	35	79	33	326
% of Total Enrolled	92%	96%	83%	65%	82%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	361	73	11	24	42	24	187
% of Total Enrolled	53%	54%	46%	44%	44%	67%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.96	\$8.45	\$7.89	\$8.55	\$7.98	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,202	\$1,156	\$970	\$1,109	\$972	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	69%	100%	59%	85%	63%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,681	1081	170	362	695	216	2,157
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,522	751	114	259	477	142	1,779
Participants in a Work Activity	3,421	734	107	239	449	140	1,752
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	92%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,738	570	83	197	306	98	1,484
% of Total Enrolled	78%	76%	73%	76%	64%	69%	83%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.16	\$7.59	\$7.35	\$7.10	\$7.15	\$7.44	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$992	\$1,084	\$915	\$956	\$999	\$917
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	52%	49%	55%	55%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,182	92	81	177	277	555
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	556	21	28	110	172	225
Participants in a Work Activity	395	20	22	83	115	155
% of Enrolled	71%	95%	79%	75%	67%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	258	12	14	50	73	109
% of Enrolled	46%	57%	50%	45%	42%	48%
Full Time	206	12	14	36	59	85
Part Time	52	0	0	14	14	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.72	\$7.31	\$7.70	\$7.47	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$1,120	\$1,132	\$1,013	\$1,024	\$933

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,004	70	72	212	224	426
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,155	80	82	229	296	468
Participants in a Work Activity	1,041	72	80	208	259	422
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	98%	91%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	655	45	50	137	164	259
% of Total Enrolled	57%	56%	61%	60%	55%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.36	\$7.16	\$7.64	\$7.71	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$996	\$936	\$1,053	\$988	\$1,059	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	47%	50%	61%	67%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,183	751	666	1,635	1,897	3,234
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,666	596	581	1,282	1,583	2,624
Participants in a Work Activity	6,305	569	569	1,208	1,483	2,476
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,711	408	417	866	1,095	1,925
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	72%	68%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.25	\$6.46	\$6.02	\$6.28	\$6.43	\$6.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$819	\$827	\$825	\$814	\$857	\$798
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,661	259	532	117	240	513
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	524	63	220	67	48	126
Participants in a Work Activity	375	40	166	59	23	87
% of Enrolled	72%	63%	75%	88%	48%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	230	30	91	34	20	55
% of Enrolled	44%	48%	41%	51%	42%	44%
Full Time	188	20	77	29	18	44
Part Time	42	10	14	5	2	11
Average Wage⁶	\$7.19	\$7.55	\$7.15	\$6.78	\$6.90	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$957	\$1,035	\$955	\$929	\$872	\$965

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,443	202	481	130	190	440
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	1,441	209	488	159	165	420
Participants in a Work Activity	1,322	195	444	146	149	388
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	91%	92%	90%	92%
Participants Employed	677	86	252	84	70	185
% of Total Enrolled	47%	41%	52%	53%	42%	44%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.16	\$7.22	\$7.24	\$6.57	\$7.16	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$938	\$923	\$956	\$861	\$928	\$957
Three Months Employment⁸	63%	60%	67%	72%	50%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,477	1,613	2,762	1,049	1,679	3,374
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	8,254	1,337	1,998	903	1,270	2,746
Participants in a Work Activity	7,966	1,282	1,913	850	1,244	2,677
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,360	802	1,311	611	733	1,903
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	66%	68%	58%	69%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.14	\$6.12	\$6.44	\$6.04	\$6.15	\$6.00
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$827	\$816	\$910	\$792	\$844	\$785
Five Months Employment⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,001	74	105	71	75	67	121	40	183	126	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	492	34	49	37	28	30	55	38	73	78	70
Participants in a Work Activity	312	30	35	27	18	22	38	11	35	37	59
% of Enrolled	63%	88%	71%	73%	64%	73%	69%	29%	48%	47%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	210	14	30	18	14	12	13	7	28	34	40
% of Enrolled	43%	41%	61%	49%	50%	40%	24%	18%	38%	44%	57%
Full Time	161	12	22	18	12	10	6	4	18	34	25
Part Time	49	2	8	0	2	2	7	3	10	0	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$8.48	\$6.82	\$7.49	\$7.94	\$7.70	\$6.64	\$6.34	\$7.08	\$6.96	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$981	\$1,020	\$912	\$1,098	\$1,167	\$1,080	\$724	\$713	\$922	\$953	\$1,066

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	918	69	96	107	79	57	101	32	151	104	122
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,059	64	121	104	69	64	156	43	168	126	144
Participants in a Work Activity	947	60	114	92	58	58	135	29	158	105	138
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	94%	88%	84%	91%	87%	67%	94%	83%	96%
Participants Employed	519	27	61	44	31	31	66	10	94	72	83
% of Total Enrolled	49%	42%	50%	42%	45%	48%	42%	23%	56%	57%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.79	\$6.59	\$7.89	\$8.53	\$8.08	\$7.22	\$5.89	\$7.19	\$7.16	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$951	\$833	\$1,099	\$1,174	\$1,076	\$874	\$690	\$950	\$1,019	\$996
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	50%	79%	67%	75%	65%	59%	100%	73%	75%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,214	363	830	634	522	426	741	245	894	753	806
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,622	257	643	460	354	298	563	173	681	558	635
Participants in a Work Activity	4,400	239	641	423	326	279	540	171	639	519	623
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	100%	92%	92%	94%	96%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,899	157	373	267	189	171	323	85	471	386	477
% of Total Enrolled	63%	61%	58%	58%	53%	57%	57%	49%	69%	69%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.41	\$7.09	\$5.96	\$6.76	\$6.81	\$6.92	\$6.33	\$5.57	\$6.11	\$6.40	\$6.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$849	\$938	\$805	\$914	\$895	\$933	\$795	\$731	\$800	\$863	\$858
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	57%	51%	46%	46%	57%	60%	58%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,125	932	33	147	1,369	42	2,602
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,143	357	11	101	569	16	1,089
Participants in a Work Activity	1,549	225	7	59	383	9	866
% of Enrolled	72%	63%	64%	58%	67%	56%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	2	2	1	12	0	1
Participants Employed	866	162	4	43	245	8	404
% of Enrolled	40%	45%	36%	43%	43%	50%	37%
Full Time	702	130	4	31	203	5	329
Part Time	164	32	0	12	42	3	75
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.01	\$7.13	\$8.26	\$8.47	\$8.26	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,098	\$1,020	\$1,033	\$1,195	\$1,109	\$1,061

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,982	870	27	143	976	32	1,934
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,409	828	24	155	1,102	33	2,267
Participants in a Work Activity	3,976	752	21	138	970	28	2,067
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	88%	89%	88%	85%	91%
Participants Employed	2,210	409	11	80	585	19	1,106
% of Total Enrolled	50%	49%	46%	52%	53%	58%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.14	\$7.89	\$8.40	\$8.46	\$9.75	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,101	\$1,064	\$1,095	\$1,180	\$1,263	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	77%	71%	87%	78%	80%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	28,962	5,675	191	907	6,669	258	15,262
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,205	4,228	135	627	5,245	172	11,798
Participants in a Work Activity	21,455	4,113	128	578	4,987	163	11,486
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	92%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	15,678	2,854	92	415	3,667	103	8,547
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	66%	70%	60%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$7.32	\$6.55	\$7.94	\$7.37	\$7.00	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$994	\$857	\$1,047	\$1,004	\$900	\$944
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	52%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,022	179	32	358	285	168
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	546	102	10	194	143	97
Participants in a Work Activity	392	81	9	113	111	78
% of Enrolled	72%	79%	90%	58%	78%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	5	0	4	0	0
Participants Employed	239	35	6	80	60	58
% of Enrolled	44%	34%	60%	41%	42%	60%
Full Time	189	33	3	63	49	41
Part Time	50	2	3	17	11	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$7.81	\$8.01	\$8.05	\$8.61	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,127	\$952	\$1,100	\$1,126	\$1,120

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,014	184	42	304	305	179
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,065	169	34	356	294	212
Participants in a Work Activity	940	155	31	290	268	196
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	91%	81%	91%	92%
Participants Employed	587	72	20	206	154	135
% of Total Enrolled	55%	43%	59%	58%	52%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$7.92	\$8.43	\$8.32	\$8.80	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,122	\$1,133	\$1,028	\$1,084	\$1,189	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	92%	50%	77%	81%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,022	964	383	1,700	1,777	1,198
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,201	655	245	1304	1013	984
Participants in a Work Activity	4,010	633	234	1191	985	967
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	96%	91%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,904	400	174	922	630	778
% of Total Enrolled	69%	61%	71%	71%	62%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$7.25	\$7.15	\$7.65	\$8.11	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$942	\$905	\$1,015	\$1,123	\$976
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	42%	49%	57%	57%	54%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	400	92	18	51	41	19	41	23	30	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	181	41	7	23	18	8	25	7	6	46
Participants in a Work Activity	136	32	4	22	13	5	11	5	5	39
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	57%	96%	72%	63%	44%	71%	83%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	97	18	4	14	7	5	9	4	4	32
% of Enrolled	54%	44%	57%	61%	39%	63%	36%	57%	67%	70%
Full Time	76	14	3	10	6	5	5	2	3	28
Part Time	21	4	1	4	1	0	4	2	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.24	\$7.34	\$8.37	\$7.61	\$7.90	\$7.18	\$8.13	\$7.85	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$1,038	\$833	\$1,099	\$1,146	\$1,170	\$865	\$922	\$1,019	\$1,066

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	392	88	26	44	43	17	46	28	28	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	405	92	23	47	36	16	42	27	28	94
Participants in a Work Activity	361	86	17	46	31	13	34	25	26	83
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	74%	98%	86%	81%	81%	93%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	226	57	9	25	18	10	19	12	14	62
% of Total Enrolled	56%	62%	39%	53%	50%	63%	45%	44%	50%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.44	\$7.60	\$8.26	\$7.61	\$7.15	\$8.03	\$7.42	\$7.55	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,023	\$957	\$932	\$1,110	\$1,106	\$978	\$946	\$938	\$997	\$1,096
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	53%	33%	71%	46%	100%	82%	44%	80%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,791	412	213	222	330	157	314	255	260	628
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,978	309	136	178	248	98	226	204	136	443
Participants in a Work Activity	1,869	297	125	172	233	89	207	198	131	417
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	92%	97%	94%	91%	92%	97%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	1,304	219	77	107	171	61	154	124	91	300
% of Total Enrolled	66%	71%	57%	60%	69%	62%	68%	61%	67%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.67	\$6.64	\$6.89	\$7.13	\$6.44	\$6.59	\$6.69	\$6.34	\$6.73	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$868	\$837	\$871	\$980	\$823	\$845	\$859	\$834	\$930	\$892
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	35%	47%	47%	49%	55%	40%	33%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,232	26	106	119	38	95	1,209	1,609	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,282	11	39	48	7	47	456	656	18
Participants in a Work Activity	952	5	22	40	4	31	324	512	14
% of Enrolled	74%	45%	56%	83%	57%	66%	71%	78%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0
Participants Employed	698	4	20	32	3	24	235	366	14
% of Enrolled	54%	36%	51%	67%	43%	51%	52%	56%	78%
Full Time	567	3	13	22	3	17	183	314	12
Part Time	131	1	7	10	0	7	52	52	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$6.42	\$8.16	\$7.72	\$8.93	\$7.33	\$7.65	\$7.64	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$756	\$1,046	\$977	\$1,453	\$927	\$995	\$1,060	\$1,117

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,749	12	109	97	30	101	948	1,406	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,966	17	101	114	36	99	975	1,578	46
Participants in a Work Activity	2,673	13	84	104	33	80	912	1,401	46
% of Total Enrolled	90%	76%	83%	91%	92%	81%	94%	89%	100%
Participants Employed	1,740	8	56	81	19	49	564	932	31
% of Total Enrolled	59%	47%	55%	71%	53%	49%	58%	59%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.21	\$8.01	\$8.07	\$8.65	\$7.64	\$7.69	\$7.72	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$987	\$1,020	\$1,035	\$1,135	\$989	\$1,027	\$1,075	\$1,022
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	100%	59%	73%	64%	50%	74%	70%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,635	123	846	799	234	786	7,296	10,273	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,921	87	576	601	167	550	5,176	7,572	192
Participants in a Work Activity	14,291	83	532	564	160	524	5,059	7,184	185
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	92%	94%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,897	43	403	441	112	350	3,736	5,664	148
% of Total Enrolled	73%	49%	70%	73%	67%	64%	72%	75%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.73	\$6.66	\$6.58	\$7.06	\$7.33	\$6.77	\$6.73	\$6.70	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$891	\$884	\$832	\$865	\$1,006	\$861	\$889	\$899	\$882
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	61%	56%	51%	53%	45%	52%	58%	51%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,232	132	88	57	66	309	580
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	585	24	28	25	41	169	298
Participants in a Work Activity	359	21	23	15	32	95	173
% of Enrolled	61%	88%	82%	60%	78%	56%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	1	0	1	6	4
Participants Employed	259	14	15	10	27	71	122
% of Enrolled	44%	58%	54%	40%	66%	42%	41%
Full Time	212	13	12	8	22	51	106
Part Time	47	1	3	2	5	20	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$7.34	\$8.14	\$8.58	\$7.01	\$7.25	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,171	\$1,123	\$1,104	\$875	\$889	\$1,086

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	929	107	80	31	67	254	390
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,101	92	94	38	87	293	497
Participants in a Work Activity	956	88	79	35	81	267	406
% of Total Enrolled	87%	96%	84%	92%	93%	91%	82%
Participants Employed	615	48	50	28	53	170	266
% of Total Enrolled	56%	52%	53%	74%	61%	58%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.89	\$8.41	\$8.39	\$7.17	\$7.50	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,231	\$1,242	\$963	\$998	\$962	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	74%	57%	54%	87%	73%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,420	942	637	270	606	1,853	3,112
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,667	660	466	204	469	1,459	2,409
Participants in a Work Activity	5,401	656	407	191	453	1,401	2,293
% of Total Enrolled	95%	99%	87%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,012	455	294	152	324	1,040	1,747
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	63%	75%	69%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.72	\$7.22	\$6.85	\$6.74	\$6.62	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$930	\$981	\$854	\$931	\$901	\$926
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	51%	50%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/09 and Work Activity as of 5/29/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,266	142	125	1,163	84	2,055	1,236	438	1,023
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,403	47	36	506	18	910	423	133	330
Participants in a Work Activity	1,880	36	21	394	12	728	328	106	255
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	58%	78%	67%	80%	78%	80%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	2	1	1	0	0	6	1	4
Participants Employed	1,396	28	14	311	7	546	218	82	190
% of Enrolled	58%	60%	39%	61%	39%	60%	52%	62%	58%
Full Time	1,240	21	13	275	5	505	181	75	165
Part Time	156	7	1	36	2	41	37	7	25
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.51	\$7.12	\$7.30	\$7.48	\$6.97	\$7.40	\$7.61	\$7.42	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$923	\$1,059	\$1,031	\$836	\$1,022	\$1,064	\$1,027	\$1,072

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,887	114	94	884	76	1,545	815	339	1,020
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,315	120	99	964	70	1,762	990	354	956
Participants in a Work Activity	4,667	106	91	866	69	1,519	873	332	811
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	92%	90%	99%	86%	88%	94%	85%
Participants Employed	3,206	76	43	614	37	1,135	588	204	509
% of Total Enrolled	60%	63%	43%	64%	53%	64%	59%	58%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.43	\$7.58	\$7.77	\$7.08	\$7.37	\$7.64	\$7.59	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$1,012	\$1,019	\$1,072	\$918	\$1,016	\$1,078	\$1,040	\$1,083
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	71%	65%	77%	56%	80%	71%	67%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	42,159	920	793	6,690	659	13,621	6,908	2,716	9,852
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,100	648	613	4,744	515	9,619	5,339	2,081	6,541
Participants in a Work Activity	28,564	621	584	4,512	504	9,146	5,042	2,053	6,102
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	21,920	474	371	3,546	361	7,004	4,040	1,589	4,535
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	61%	75%	70%	73%	76%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.60	\$6.64	\$6.34	\$6.74	\$6.22	\$6.49	\$6.51	\$6.44	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$872	\$888	\$808	\$895	\$779	\$838	\$882	\$842	\$932
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	56%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	46.4%	4.58	92	\$7.39	3.44	79	65.8%	5.72	65	4.58	94
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	54.1%	6.11	56	\$8.96	7.04	8	68.6%	6.08	57	6.41	20
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	66.5%	8.57	7	\$7.27	3.17	85	49.2%	3.65	111	5.13	74
007	<i>Amelia</i>	42.2%	3.75	110	\$7.79	4.36	47	50.0%	3.75	105	3.95	110
009	<i>Amherst</i>	56.3%	6.54	43	\$7.36	3.37	83	46.7%	3.33	113	4.42	99
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	61.0%	7.48	21	\$7.16	2.91	96	50.0%	3.75	106	4.71	90
013	<i>Arlington</i>	48.3%	4.96	88	\$9.83	9.04	3	64.6%	5.58	70	6.53	17
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	56.8%	6.65	42	\$7.60	3.92	66	81.1%	7.63	15	6.07	32
017	<i>Bath</i>	60.0%	7.29	24	\$6.84	2.18	113	100.0%	10.00	1	6.49	18
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	59.8%	7.25	25	\$7.64	4.01	62	61.3%	5.16	85	5.47	58
021	<i>Bland</i>	43.5%	4.01	104	\$7.97	4.77	36	77.8%	7.22	23	5.33	67
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	37.9%	2.91	118	\$7.82	4.43	44	20.0%	0.00	118	2.45	119
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	50.4%	5.39	77	\$6.59	1.61	117	79.1%	7.38	20	4.79	87
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	48.5%	5.00	84	\$7.46	3.60	74	69.6%	6.20	54	4.93	79
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	42.3%	3.78	108	\$7.89	4.59	39	66.7%	5.83	62	4.73	89
031	<i>Campbell</i>	55.4%	6.38	50	\$7.71	4.17	59	66.7%	5.83	63	5.46	59
033	<i>Caroline</i>	42.6%	3.84	106	\$7.92	4.66	38	92.3%	9.04	7	5.84	44
035	<i>Carroll</i>	65.6%	8.39	9	\$6.86	2.22	112	55.0%	4.38	99	5.00	77
036	<i>Charles City</i>	47.1%	4.72	90	\$7.21	3.03	91	100.0%	10.00	2	5.92	40
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	44.9%	4.30	96	\$8.53	6.06	14	75.0%	6.88	32	5.74	48
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	49.4%	5.18	81	\$8.14	5.16	30	76.9%	7.12	28	5.82	47
043	<i>Clarke</i>	46.7%	4.64	91	\$7.41	3.49	78	50.0%	3.75	107	3.96	109
045	<i>Craig</i>	40.0%	3.32	116	\$7.20	3.00	92	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.16	117
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	41.4%	3.61	112	\$8.48	5.94	15	59.4%	4.92	92	4.82	86
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	48.4%	4.99	86	\$8.08	5.02	31	65.2%	5.65	67	5.22	72
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	52.1%	5.72	70	\$7.09	2.75	103	60.9%	5.11	87	4.53	97
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	52.2%	5.73	69	\$7.89	4.59	40	73.9%	6.74	37	5.69	50
057	<i>Essex</i>	62.0%	7.67	19	\$7.44	3.56	75	52.6%	4.08	104	5.10	76
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	48.4%	4.99	87	\$9.78	8.92	4	73.2%	6.65	39	6.85	10
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	62.0%	7.69	18	\$8.23	5.37	28	61.4%	5.17	84	6.08	31
063	<i>Floyd</i>	54.8%	6.25	53	\$7.89	4.59	41	62.5%	5.31	80	5.38	63
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	45.8%	4.48	93	\$8.45	5.87	18	100.0%	10.00	3	6.78	11
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	41.5%	3.62	111	\$7.79	4.36	48	61.7%	5.21	82	4.40	100
069	<i>Frederick</i>	55.9%	6.48	46	\$8.58	6.17	12	62.5%	5.31	81	5.99	34
071	<i>Giles</i>	54.0%	6.09	57	\$7.72	4.20	57	63.2%	5.40	78	5.23	71
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	55.4%	6.38	49	\$8.01	4.86	34	59.3%	4.91	93	5.38	62
075	<i>Goochland</i>	45.8%	4.48	94	\$7.89	4.59	42	71.4%	6.43	46	5.16	73
077	<i>Grayson</i>	56.1%	6.51	44	\$6.80	2.09	114	63.6%	5.46	73	4.68	91

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	44.4%	4.20	99	\$7.89	4.59	43	58.8%	4.85	95	4.55	96
081	Greensville/Emporia	42.3%	3.78	109	\$7.22	3.05	89	59.5%	4.93	90	3.92	111
083	Halifax	41.1%	3.55	113	\$7.22	3.05	90	60.0%	5.00	89	3.87	112
085	Hanover	51.6%	5.62	73	\$8.40	5.76	21	87.2%	8.40	8	6.59	14
087	Henrico	53.1%	5.92	62	\$8.46	5.89	16	77.9%	7.23	22	6.35	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	51.6%	5.63	72	\$7.24	3.10	88	67.0%	5.88	60	4.87	83
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	63.3%	7.95	16	\$7.43	3.53	76	71.4%	6.43	47	5.97	35
095	James City	71.1%	9.48	4	\$8.07	5.00	32	72.9%	6.62	42	7.03	4
097	King and Queen	39.1%	3.15	117	\$7.60	3.92	67	33.3%	1.67	117	2.91	118
099	King George	58.8%	7.05	31	\$8.43	5.83	19	50.0%	3.75	108	5.54	53
101	King William	53.2%	5.94	60	\$8.26	5.44	27	71.4%	6.43	48	5.93	37
103	Lancaster	50.0%	5.30	78	\$7.61	3.94	65	46.2%	3.27	114	4.17	106
105	Lee	64.3%	8.14	12	\$6.74	1.95	116	81.6%	7.70	13	5.93	38
107	Loudoun	59.6%	7.20	26	\$10.25	10.00	1	73.0%	6.63	41	7.94	1
109	Louisa	43.8%	4.06	103	\$8.55	6.10	13	85.0%	8.13	10	6.10	30
111	Lunenburg	23.3%	0.00	119	\$5.89	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	4	3.33	116
113	Madison	57.1%	6.72	40	\$7.74	4.24	52	61.5%	5.19	83	5.39	61
115	Mathews	62.5%	7.78	17	\$7.15	2.89	99	100.0%	10.00	5	6.89	9
117	Mecklenburg	56.0%	6.48	45	\$7.19	2.98	94	72.6%	6.57	44	5.35	66
119	Middlesex	45.2%	4.36	95	\$8.03	4.91	33	81.8%	7.73	12	5.66	51
121	Montgomery	71.3%	9.53	3	\$7.58	3.88	69	63.6%	5.45	75	6.28	26
125	Nelson	66.7%	8.61	6	\$7.98	4.79	35	63.2%	5.40	79	6.27	27
127	New Kent	52.8%	5.85	65	\$8.65	6.33	11	63.6%	5.46	74	5.88	42
131	Northampton	44.6%	4.22	98	\$7.20	3.00	93	78.3%	7.28	21	4.84	84
133	Northumberland	44.4%	4.20	100	\$7.42	3.51	77	44.4%	3.06	116	3.59	114
135	Nottoway	57.1%	6.72	41	\$7.16	2.91	97	75.0%	6.88	33	5.50	56
137	Orange	58.7%	7.03	32	\$7.74	4.24	53	81.3%	7.66	14	6.31	25
139	Page	52.2%	5.74	68	\$8.38	5.71	23	54.6%	4.32	101	5.26	69
141	Patrick	52.8%	5.86	64	\$6.57	1.56	118	71.7%	6.47	45	4.63	93
143	Pittsylvania	42.4%	3.80	107	\$7.16	2.91	98	50.0%	3.75	109	3.49	115
145	Powhatan	57.6%	6.81	39	\$9.75	8.85	5	80.0%	7.50	18	7.72	2
147	Prince Edward	57.6%	6.82	37	\$7.67	4.08	61	75.0%	6.88	34	5.93	39
149	Prince George	53.2%	5.94	61	\$8.41	5.78	20	57.1%	4.64	97	5.45	60
153	Prince William	58.7%	7.02	33	\$9.70	8.74	6	76.6%	7.08	31	7.61	3
155	Pulaski	51.8%	5.65	71	\$7.13	2.84	100	64.1%	5.51	71	4.67	92
157	Rappahannock	44.4%	4.20	101	\$6.89	2.29	111	100.0%	10.00	6	5.50	57
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.30	79	\$7.55	3.81	72	80.0%	7.50	19	5.54	54
161	Roanoke County	63.8%	8.05	13	\$7.74	4.24	54	67.3%	5.91	59	6.07	33
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	55.0%	6.29	52	\$7.39	3.44	80	64.7%	5.59	69	5.11	75
165	Rockingham/H'burg	71.8%	9.62	2	\$7.82	4.43	45	65.7%	5.71	66	6.58	15
167	Russell	54.2%	6.13	55	\$7.04	2.64	107	59.0%	4.88	94	4.55	95
169	Scott	47.7%	4.85	89	\$6.99	2.52	109	75.0%	6.88	35	4.75	88
171	Shenandoah	51.0%	5.51	76	\$7.57	3.85	71	61.1%	5.14	86	4.83	85

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	40.9%	3.50	115	\$6.80	2.09	115	63.8%	5.48	72	3.69	113
175	<i>Southampton</i>	43.4%	4.00	105	\$7.58	3.88	70	65.2%	5.65	68	4.51	98
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.9%	6.86	35	\$8.32	5.57	24	77.4%	7.18	25	6.54	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.4%	5.78	67	\$8.80	6.67	10	81.0%	7.62	16	6.69	12
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.7%	10.00	1	\$8.39	5.73	22	53.9%	4.23	102	6.66	13
183	<i>Sussex</i>	60.9%	7.47	22	\$7.17	2.94	95	86.7%	8.33	9	6.25	28
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	60.4%	7.36	23	\$6.95	2.43	110	70.8%	6.35	50	5.38	64
187	<i>Warren</i>	52.7%	5.83	66	\$8.31	5.55	25	54.9%	4.36	100	5.25	70
191	<i>Washington</i>	54.5%	6.20	54	\$7.10	2.78	102	45.9%	3.24	115	4.07	108
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.0%	8.47	8	\$8.27	5.46	26	76.9%	7.12	29	7.01	7
195	<i>Wise</i>	61.4%	7.56	20	\$7.09	2.75	104	66.4%	5.80	64	5.37	65
197	<i>Wythe</i>	59.0%	7.09	29	\$7.01	2.57	108	60.4%	5.05	88	4.90	80
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	49.5%	5.20	80	\$7.64	4.01	63	50.0%	3.75	110	4.32	104
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.2%	5.14	82	\$9.84	9.06	2	75.0%	6.88	36	7.02	5
520	<i>Bristol</i>	51.1%	5.52	75	\$7.37	3.39	81	53.5%	4.18	103	4.36	103
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	55.8%	6.46	47	\$8.22	5.34	29	68.1%	6.01	58	5.94	36
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.7%	8.02	14	\$7.77	4.31	50	76.7%	7.09	30	6.47	19
590	<i>Danville</i>	44.0%	4.12	102	\$7.31	3.26	84	59.4%	4.93	91	4.10	107
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	52.9%	5.87	63	\$7.08	2.73	106	56.0%	4.50	98	4.37	102
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	63.7%	8.02	15	\$8.46	5.89	17	77.1%	7.14	27	7.02	6
640	<i>Galax</i>	41.0%	3.52	114	\$7.09	2.75	105	73.1%	6.64	40	4.30	105
650	<i>Hampton</i>	57.8%	6.86	36	\$7.69	4.13	60	73.9%	6.74	38	5.91	41
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	58.0%	6.89	34	\$7.50	3.69	73	72.8%	6.60	43	5.73	49
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	55.3%	6.36	51	\$7.27	3.17	86	70.0%	6.25	53	5.26	68
683	<i>Manassas</i>	44.7%	4.26	97	\$8.81	6.70	9	84.2%	8.03	11	6.33	24
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	59.0%	7.08	30	\$9.05	7.25	7	71.4%	6.43	49	6.92	8
700	<i>Newport News</i>	59.1%	7.10	28	\$7.72	4.20	58	70.3%	6.29	52	5.86	43
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	64.4%	8.16	11	\$7.37	3.39	82	80.2%	7.52	17	6.36	22
720	<i>Norton</i>	65.3%	8.34	10	\$7.13	2.84	101	77.3%	7.16	26	6.11	29
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	53.5%	6.00	58	\$7.76	4.29	51	77.6%	7.19	24	5.83	46
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	59.4%	7.17	27	\$7.64	4.01	64	70.7%	6.34	51	5.84	45
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.8%	6.45	48	\$7.26	3.14	87	48.3%	3.54	112	4.38	101
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.8%	5.06	83	\$7.79	4.36	49	63.3%	5.41	77	4.94	78
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	48.5%	5.00	85	\$7.73	4.22	56	63.3%	5.42	76	4.88	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.6%	6.82	38	\$7.59	3.90	68	67.0%	5.87	61	5.53	55
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.2%	5.95	59	\$7.96	4.75	37	69.4%	6.18	55	5.62	52
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	67.4%	8.75	5	\$7.74	4.24	55	68.8%	6.09	56	6.36	21
840	<i>Winchester</i>	51.3%	5.56	74	\$7.82	4.43	46	57.5%	4.69	96	4.89	81

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.4%	1.53	115	\$812	2.41	99	57.9%	6.75	31	3.56	109
003	Albemarle	75.9%	7.81	11	\$992	6.07	17	54.5%	5.82	61	6.57	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.6%	7.13	22	\$810	2.36	100	45.8%	3.43	105	4.30	86
007	Amelia	61.1%	3.49	98	\$938	4.97	35	44.1%	2.96	113	3.80	100
009	Amherst	68.5%	5.64	61	\$827	2.71	91	53.2%	5.46	69	4.60	77
011	Appomattox	71.8%	6.60	34	\$825	2.68	92	42.9%	2.62	115	3.97	93
013	Arlington	74.7%	7.46	19	\$1,028	6.79	10	63.9%	8.40	9	7.55	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.2%	5.85	52	\$936	4.92	36	53.9%	5.64	65	5.47	42
017	Bath	65.7%	4.84	82	\$709	0.32	119	57.1%	6.54	39	3.90	95
019	Bedford County/City	67.6%	5.37	72	\$814	2.45	97	55.2%	6.00	52	4.61	76
021	Bland	63.9%	4.29	88	\$815	2.46	96	47.8%	3.98	96	3.58	108
023	Botetourt	51.0%	0.53	118	\$915	4.51	50	44.3%	3.00	112	2.68	117
025	Brunswick	58.0%	2.59	110	\$805	2.25	102	57.5%	6.63	34	3.83	99
027	Buchanan	52.1%	0.88	117	\$797	2.10	106	54.4%	5.79	62	2.92	116
029	Buckingham	58.0%	2.60	109	\$914	4.49	51	51.3%	4.93	81	4.00	92
031	Campbell	69.2%	5.85	54	\$857	3.33	77	59.9%	7.31	23	5.50	41
033	Caroline	61.1%	3.48	99	\$942	5.06	31	42.2%	2.43	116	3.66	104
035	Carroll	67.8%	5.46	69	\$793	2.03	108	45.7%	3.40	107	3.63	105
036	Charles City	49.4%	0.09	119	\$884	3.87	65	61.1%	7.63	15	3.86	98
037	Charlotte	53.4%	1.24	116	\$895	4.10	60	46.3%	3.58	102	2.97	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	67.5%	5.36	73	\$994	6.10	16	60.7%	7.51	17	6.32	23
043	Clarke	59.1%	2.92	108	\$954	5.29	28	46.2%	3.52	104	3.91	94
045	Craig	65.0%	4.63	85	\$950	5.21	29	66.7%	9.16	3	6.33	22
047	Culpeper	65.7%	4.84	81	\$939	4.99	33	55.9%	6.20	49	5.34	46
049	Cumberland	57.4%	2.41	112	\$933	4.87	37	46.3%	3.57	103	3.61	106
051	Dickensen	59.8%	3.11	106	\$793	2.02	109	48.4%	4.14	95	3.09	114
053	Dinwiddie	68.9%	5.78	59	\$930	4.81	42	53.3%	5.48	68	5.36	45
057	Essex	70.9%	6.34	40	\$837	2.91	86	42.1%	2.40	117	3.88	96
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.7%	6.01	48	\$1,100	8.27	4	61.7%	7.80	13	7.36	6
061	Fauquier	70.6%	6.27	43	\$980	5.83	19	62.8%	8.08	10	6.73	11
063	Floyd	70.8%	6.31	41	\$902	4.24	55	44.5%	3.07	111	4.54	80
065	Fluvanna	72.8%	6.91	28	\$1,084	7.93	5	52.4%	5.23	75	6.69	12
067	Franklin County	66.1%	4.95	79	\$927	4.75	44	50.0%	4.58	85	4.76	70
069	Frederick	62.7%	3.96	93	\$961	5.43	25	53.2%	5.46	70	4.95	63
071	Giles	59.9%	3.13	105	\$921	4.62	47	47.5%	3.89	98	3.88	97
073	Gloucester	70.0%	6.08	46	\$832	2.82	89	55.8%	6.18	50	5.02	58
075	Goochland	68.1%	5.55	63	\$857	3.33	76	54.8%	5.89	56	4.92	66
077	Grayson	65.9%	4.88	80	\$817	2.51	94	57.2%	6.56	37	4.65	74

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.1%	7.85	10	\$915	4.51	49	49.4%	4.42	88	5.60	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.4%	2.40	113	\$795	2.06	107	57.2%	6.57	36	3.68	103
083	Halifax	60.0%	3.17	103	\$816	2.48	95	48.4%	4.14	94	3.26	112
085	Hanover	66.2%	4.97	78	\$1,047	7.18	8	65.0%	8.70	7	6.95	7
087	Henrico	69.9%	6.06	47	\$1,004	6.31	14	56.1%	6.26	46	6.21	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	65.6%	4.81	84	\$910	4.40	53	54.6%	5.86	59	5.02	59
091	Highland	71.4%	6.50	35	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.33	22	4.61	75
093	Isle of Wight	73.1%	7.00	26	\$888	3.94	64	55.4%	6.06	51	5.67	35
095	James City	73.4%	7.07	23	\$865	3.49	71	51.5%	4.99	79	5.18	51
097	King and Queen	56.6%	2.18	114	\$871	3.60	69	34.8%	0.40	119	2.06	120
099	King George	71.0%	6.38	39	\$905	4.30	54	49.4%	4.41	89	5.03	57
101	King William	60.1%	3.20	102	\$980	5.83	20	46.7%	3.69	100	4.24	87
103	Lancaster	69.0%	5.78	58	\$823	2.63	93	47.1%	3.79	99	4.07	91
105	Lee	66.2%	4.98	77	\$752	1.18	116	60.2%	7.38	21	4.51	81
107	Loudoun	80.6%	9.18	3	\$1,155	9.39	2	66.0%	8.99	4	9.18	1
109	Louisa	64.2%	4.38	87	\$956	5.33	27	54.8%	5.89	58	5.20	49
111	Lunenburg	49.1%	0.00	120	\$731	0.77	117	59.7%	7.26	25	2.67	118
113	Madison	68.1%	5.52	65	\$976	5.75	21	45.4%	3.31	108	4.86	68
115	Mathews	62.2%	3.82	96	\$845	3.07	81	49.1%	4.32	92	3.74	102
117	Mecklenburg	69.2%	5.84	55	\$800	2.17	103	58.5%	6.91	29	4.97	60
119	Middlesex	68.1%	5.54	64	\$859	3.36	74	55.2%	6.00	53	4.97	61
121	Montgomery	81.2%	9.35	2	\$911	4.41	52	54.0%	5.69	64	6.48	17
125	Nelson	69.0%	5.80	57	\$999	6.20	15	54.8%	5.89	57	5.96	30
127	New Kent	67.1%	5.23	74	\$1,006	6.36	13	52.9%	5.39	71	5.66	36
131	Northampton	62.6%	3.93	94	\$791	1.97	111	65.5%	8.85	6	4.92	67
133	Northumberland	60.8%	3.40	100	\$834	2.85	88	39.6%	1.73	118	2.66	119
135	Nottoway	69.2%	5.85	53	\$863	3.44	72	55.1%	5.97	55	5.09	52
137	Orange	73.4%	7.07	25	\$940	5.02	32	43.4%	2.76	114	4.95	62
139	Page	73.7%	7.16	21	\$974	5.70	23	57.3%	6.59	35	6.49	16
141	Patrick	67.7%	5.40	71	\$792	2.00	110	65.8%	8.93	5	5.45	44
143	Pittsylvania	57.7%	2.50	111	\$844	3.05	82	51.2%	4.91	82	3.49	110
145	Powhatan	59.9%	3.14	104	\$900	4.19	57	52.2%	5.18	77	4.17	90
147	Prince Edward	75.1%	7.58	15	\$858	3.35	75	60.4%	7.43	19	6.12	27
149	Prince George	63.1%	4.07	91	\$981	5.85	18	51.0%	4.86	84	4.93	65
153	Prince William	75.3%	7.63	14	\$1,185	10.00	1	62.0%	7.89	12	8.51	2
155	Pulaski	66.4%	5.04	76	\$923	4.67	46	45.3%	3.28	109	4.33	85
157	Rappahannock	63.2%	4.09	90	\$932	4.85	38	46.7%	3.67	101	4.20	89
159	Richmond County	66.9%	5.19	75	\$930	4.81	41	33.3%	0.00	120	3.33	111
161	Roanoke County	76.4%	7.95	8	\$1,016	6.56	11	52.4%	5.24	74	6.58	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	76.5%	7.99	7	\$849	3.15	80	54.5%	5.82	60	5.66	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.6%	7.73	13	\$929	4.79	43	59.0%	7.07	27	6.53	15
167	Russell	63.0%	4.04	92	\$832	2.81	90	58.6%	6.94	28	4.60	78
169	Scott	59.7%	3.09	107	\$852	3.21	79	64.2%	8.48	8	4.93	64
171	Shenandoah	67.9%	5.48	67	\$899	4.18	58	53.5%	5.54	67	5.07	54

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.4%	3.88	95	\$798	2.12	105	52.7%	5.33	73	3.78	101
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.5%	3.32	101	\$808	2.33	101	47.7%	3.95	97	3.20	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.7%	6.29	42	\$1,015	6.54	12	56.6%	6.40	43	6.41	20
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.2%	3.81	97	\$1,123	8.73	3	57.1%	6.54	40	6.36	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	74.5%	7.40	20	\$854	3.27	78	50.0%	4.58	86	5.08	53
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.1%	5.82	56	\$931	4.83	40	56.9%	6.48	42	5.71	33
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.4%	5.91	49	\$779	1.73	114	56.9%	6.48	41	4.71	71
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.6%	6.26	44	\$968	5.58	24	57.8%	6.74	32	6.19	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.9%	5.46	68	\$868	3.55	70	49.8%	4.52	87	4.51	82
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	67.7%	5.42	70	\$892	4.03	62	49.1%	4.33	91	4.60	79
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.45	86	\$774	1.63	115	57.2%	6.56	38	4.21	88
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.3%	5.60	62	\$814	2.44	98	56.4%	6.34	45	4.79	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.6%	4.23	89	\$861	3.39	73	44.9%	3.19	110	3.60	107
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.2%	6.44	37	\$1,029	6.82	9	69.7%	10.00	1	7.76	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	72.3%	6.75	31	\$841	2.99	84	48.7%	4.21	93	4.65	73
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	83.4%	10.00	1	\$917	4.55	48	56.0%	6.24	47	6.93	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.7%	7.47	18	\$895	4.10	61	56.0%	6.22	48	5.93	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.3%	5.88	51	\$785	1.86	112	52.8%	5.34	72	4.36	84
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.1%	6.11	45	\$779	1.73	113	53.8%	5.63	66	4.49	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.1%	8.73	4	\$976	5.74	22	54.1%	5.72	63	6.73	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	65.7%	4.82	83	\$836	2.90	87	60.3%	7.40	20	5.04	55
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.2%	6.72	33	\$889	3.97	63	52.3%	5.22	76	5.30	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	71.3%	6.46	36	\$901	4.22	56	51.2%	4.90	83	5.19	50
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.4%	7.07	24	\$798	2.13	104	62.6%	8.04	11	5.74	32
683	<i>Manassas</i>	68.0%	5.51	66	\$1,074	7.74	6	59.8%	7.28	24	6.84	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.0%	7.54	16	\$1,057	7.39	7	67.8%	9.46	2	8.13	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.8%	7.49	17	\$899	4.17	59	58.1%	6.80	30	6.15	26
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.8%	6.91	27	\$838	2.93	85	55.2%	6.00	54	5.28	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.7%	8.35	5	\$716	0.46	118	60.8%	7.55	16	5.45	43
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.5%	6.82	29	\$926	4.72	45	57.6%	6.66	33	6.07	28
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.7%	7.74	12	\$882	3.84	67	61.3%	7.69	14	6.42	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.2%	6.73	32	\$883	3.86	66	45.7%	3.40	106	4.67	72
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.4%	6.80	30	\$944	5.08	30	60.5%	7.46	18	6.45	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	68.8%	5.73	60	\$938	4.97	34	49.3%	4.39	90	5.03	56
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.4%	7.94	9	\$842	3.01	83	59.4%	7.16	26	6.04	29
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.3%	5.89	50	\$932	4.85	39	56.5%	6.36	44	5.70	34
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	77.1%	8.15	6	\$882	3.83	68	51.4%	4.97	80	5.65	38
840	<i>Winchester</i>	71.0%	6.39	38	\$957	5.36	26	51.6%	5.02	78	5.59	40

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – May 31, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	22,011	1,136	870	2,001	753	452	2,507	277	552	804	1,049	722	3,070	754	317	2,197	687	3,673	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	96%	93%	94%	96%	87%	95%	96%	96%	95%	94%	93%	92%	90%	95%	97%	84%	90%	88%
% Timely in May 2009	93%	94%	93%	94%	97%	80%	92%	100%	100%	###	92%	94%	88%	91%	94%	97%	80%	94%	76%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	15,635	740	626	1,401	541	314	1,840	192	399	564	804	524	2,264	531	217	1,512	505	2,514	147
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	98%	97%	98%	97%	95%	95%	98%	96%	97%	99%	96%	95%	96%	96%	92%	94%	96%
Percent in May 2009	96%	99%	99%	96%	100%	100%	94%	96%	95%	99%	99%	97%	95%	99%	95%	94%	97%	94%	91%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	118	8	1	5	1	7	13	0	0	0	3	6	48	8	2	4	7	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	11	31	7	14	9	6	0	0	0	9	18	33	38	7	6	11	16	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1136	89	69	168	164	124	188	303	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	94%	97%	98%	100%	95%	92%	94%	94%
% Timely in May 2009	94%	93%	100%	95%	97%	88%	100%	94%	50%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	740	61	37	127	103	92	116	181	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	97%	92%	99%	99%	98%	97%	97%	96%
Percent in May 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	2
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	24	1	30	0	4	10

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	870	16	79	26	161	164	96	241	87
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	96%	100%	91%	96%	79%	96%	93%
% Timely in May 2009	93%	N/A	100%	100%	88%	100%	73%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	626	13	61	16	122	107	73	164	70
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	92%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%	97%	97%
Percent in May 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botet- ourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2001	89	19	6	30	163	57	265	187	212	66	907
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	81%	100%	100%	97%	77%	93%	97%	100%	96%	86%	96%
% Timely in May 2009	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	76%	82%	100%	100%	100%	88%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1401	69	15	1	19	95	33	202	128	158	43	638
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%	97%	100%	100%	99%	98%	98%
Percent in May 2009	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	9

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	753	475	5	0	42	231
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	80%	N/A	98%	88%
% Timely in May 2009	97%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	541	344	4	0	27	166
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	99%	100%	N/A	85%	98%
Percent in May 2009	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	14	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	452	13	99	73	42	132	93	190	119	71
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	87%	100%	73%	97%	64%	98%	88%	88%	94%	77%
% Timely in May 2009	80%	100%	42%	100%	N/A	100%	78%	76%	100%	64%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	314	8	71	45	30	93	67	147	93	54
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	96%	98%	100%	99%	94%	96%	99%	91%
Percent in May 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	94%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,507	185	805	231	857	287	115	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	98%	91%	90%	97%	92%	100%
% Timely in May 2009	92%	92%	99%	77%	90%	100%	70%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1840	157	574	162	634	203	89	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	93%	92%	96%	94%	100%	95%
Percent in May 2009	94%	100%	96%	86%	96%	82%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	13	1	0	6	6	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	3	0	11	3	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	277	117	88	23	44	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	98%	99%	91%	98%	20%
% Timely in May 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	192	71	65	19	33	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	92%	100%	100%	94%	50%
Percent in May 2009	96%	100%	100%	N/A	86%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	552	119	20	40	84	26	263
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	99%	95%	80%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in May 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	399	86	12	26	53	21	201
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	97%	100%	81%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in May 2009	95%	88%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	804	52	65	175	193	319
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	99%	96%	91%
% Timely in May 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	564	37	41	119	130	237
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	97%	95%	96%
Percent in May 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1049	163	335	112	126	313
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	96%	90%	97%	99%	95%
% Timely in May 2009	92%	95%	88%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	804	129	253	80	94	248
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	95%	98%	99%
Percent in May 2009	99%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	9

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	722	45	87	93	68	48	75	21	117	69	99
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	98%	94%	98%	100%	94%	73%	90%	97%	91%	95%
% Timely in May 2009	94%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	89%	75%	100%	67%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	524	22	73	64	49	32	54	16	91	58	65
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%
Percent in May 2009	97%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	6	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	8	0	0	35	14	0	0	7	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	3,070	641	22	111	783	24	1,489
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	95%	73%	99%	85%	100%	94%
% Timely in May 2009	88%	92%	100%	100%	70%	N/A	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	2264	469	16	85	558	16	1,120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	100%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Percent in May 2009	95%	94%	100%	93%	96%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	48	8	0	0	38	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	33	26	0	0	35	0	23

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	754	138	31	224	201	160
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	90%	98%	100%	84%	87%	95%
% Timely in May 2009	91%	79%	100%	94%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	531	94	23	146	149	119
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	98%	100%	88%	97%	98%
Percent in May 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	5	0	2	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	12	0	47	149	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	317	67	20	41	35	8	43	23	21	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	99%	90%	95%	94%	75%	100%	96%	95%	93%
% Timely in May 2009	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	217	48	15	28	20	8	24	17	16	41
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	95%	75%	92%	100%	100%	93%
Percent in May 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,197	9	73	88	26	78	720	1166	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	89%	88%	100%	100%	97%	99%	96%	97%
% Timely in May 2009	97%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	99%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1512	7	49	62	20	52	484	807	31
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	86%	94%	98%	100%	94%	96%	95%	100%
Percent in May 2009	94%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	100%	97%	92%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	687	66	73	23	46	175	304
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	84%	97%	99%	74%	100%	73%	82%
% Timely in May 2009	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	27%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	505	55	47	17	30	139	217
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	92%	100%	96%	100%	97%	98%	85%
Percent in May 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	0	2	5
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	8	12

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - May 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	3,673	87	74	649	60	1087	665	287	764
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	90%	95%	97%	93%	98%	78%	96%	98%	96%
% Timely in May 2009	94%	92%	78%	95%	50%	88%	98%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	2514	55	56	480	46	722	464	211	480
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	95%	96%	88%	96%	97%	98%
Percent in May 2009	94%	100%	100%	95%	100%	87%	96%	100%	99%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	8	3	0	0	0	23	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>May-08</i>	<i>May-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,112	14,821	-8.0%
NTANF Cases Collected	131,124	130,144	-0.7%
Total Cases Collected	147,236	144,965	-1.5%
TANF Collections	\$4,768,041	\$5,520,125	15.8%
NTANF Collections	\$50,950,748	\$53,739,958	5.5%
Total Collections	\$55,718,789	\$59,260,083	6.4%
SFY YTD Collections	\$568,639,987	\$582,984,278	2.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$362	\$371	2.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>May-09</i>
TANF Paternities	228
NTANF Paternities	386
Combined Paternities	614

Diversionsary Assistance

May Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	6		Roanoke County	9
Amelia	1		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Appomattox	1		Rockingham / H'burg	6
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Scott	1
Bedford City	7		Shenandoah	6
Brunswick	1		Smyth	2
Buckingham	7		Spotsylvania	10
Campbell	2		Stafford	15
Caroline	3		Surry	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Sussex	1
Clarke	1		Tazewell	2
Cumberland	1		Warren	1
Dinwiddie	2		Wise	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	6		Wythe	2
Fauquier	3		York / Poquoson	2
Floyd	1		Alexandria	1
Franklin County	8		Bristol	5
Frederick	3		Charlottesville	6
Greene	2		Chesapeake	6
Hanover	2		Danville	1
Henrico	4		Franklin City	2
Henry / Martinsville	4		Fredricksburg	2
Loudoun	2		Hampton	11
Louisa	1		Hopewell	6
Lunenburg	1		Mannassas	2
Madison	1		Newport News	15
Montgomery	1		Norfolk	9
New Kent	1		Petersburg	1
Nottoway	1		Portsmouth	1
Orange	2		Richmond City	5
Page	1		Roanoke City	15
Patrick	1		Suffolk	12
Pittsylvania	5		Virginia Beach	7
Prince William	4		Winchester	6
Pulaski	4		Statewide	287

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of June 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

May 31, 2009




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May, it was \$7.86 rather than the \$7.77 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.