

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
June 2010

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services July 26, 2010

Monthly Report, June 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 19,654 and a numerator of 8,111.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	71	25	35%	061	Fauquier	73	31	42%
003	Albemarle	66	27	41%	063	Floyd	29	14	48%
005	Alleghany/Covington	71	3	4%	065	Fluvanna	20	2	10%
007	Amelia	30	7	23%	067	Franklin County	127	26	20%
009	Amherst	42	21	50%	069	Frederick	100	28	28%
011	Appomattox	39	22	56%	071	Giles	19	6	32%
013	Arlington	145	81	56%	073	Gloucester	59	25	42%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	311	163	52%	075	Goochland	27	4	15%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	30	15	50%
019	Bedford County/City	114	40	35%	079	Greene	34	10	29%
021	Bland	11	3	27%	081	Greensville/Emporia	85	28	33%
023	Botetourt	19	2	11%	083	Halifax	102	25	25%
025	Brunswick	60	29	48%	085	Hanover	105	25	24%
027	Buchanan	66	22	33%	087	Henrico	910	282	31%
029	Buckingham	51	19	37%	089	Henry/Martinsville	314	121	39%
031	Campbell	175	78	45%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	121	47	39%	093	Isle of Wight	90	31	34%
035	Carroll	59	41	69%	095	James City	78	29	37%
036	Charles City	7	1	14%	097	King and Queen	5	5	100%
037	Charlotte	33	12	36%	099	King George	22	7	32%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	581	242	42%	101	King William	34	14	41%
043	Clarke	11	5	45%	103	Lancaster	17	3	18%
045	Craig	5	2	40%	105	Lee	135	59	44%
047	Culpeper	87	37	43%	107	Loudoun	174	53	30%
049	Cumberland	38	15	39%	109	Louisa	54	27	50%
051	Dickensen	43	20	47%	111	Lunenburg	35	2	6%
053	Dinwiddie	52	12	23%	113	Madison	13	5	38%
057	Essex	71	23	32%	115	Mathews	7	2	29%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	725	282	39%	117	Mecklenburg	81	35	43%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	10	45%	187	Warren	84	39	46%
121	Montgomery	239	125	52%	191	Washington	111	52	47%
125	Nelson	18	10	56%	193	Westmoreland	43	21	49%
127	New Kent	21	4	19%	195	Wise	198	78	39%
131	Northampton	64	21	33%	197	Wythe	68	26	38%
133	Northumberland	13	8	62%	199	York/Poquoson	78	28	36%
135	Nottoway	62	19	31%	510	Alexandria	295	137	46%
137	Orange	48	18	38%	520	Bristol	147	78	53%
139	Page	41	12	29%	540	Charlottesville	202	81	40%
141	Patrick	52	26	50%	550	Chesapeake	735	353	48%
143	Pittsylvania	89	27	30%	590	Danville	237	99	42%
145	Powhatan	19	9	47%	620	Franklin City	36	11	31%
147	Prince Edward	72	37	51%	630	Fredericksburg	126	69	55%
149	Prince George	42	13	31%	640	Galax	43	17	40%
153	Prince William	800	337	42%	650	Hampton	709	333	47%
155	Pulaski	73	24	33%	670	Hopewell	232	81	35%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	347	129	37%
159	Richmond County	7	4	57%	683	Manassas	100	26	26%
161	Roanoke County	178	81	46%	685	Manassas Park	17	12	71%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	42	12	29%	700	Newport News	1,085	477	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	154	86	56%	710	Norfolk	1,386	725	52%
167	Russell	115	49	43%	720	Norton	48	16	33%
169	Scott	102	43	42%	730	Petersburg	379	173	46%
171	Shenandoah	16	8	50%	740	Portsmouth	704	286	41%
173	Smyth	103	38	37%	750	Radford	34	14	41%
175	Southampton	69	17	25%	760	Richmond City	1,750	641	37%
177	Spotsylvania	244	104	43%	770	Roanoke City	636	199	31%
179	Stafford	182	74	41%	800	Suffolk	188	96	51%
181	Surry	25	11	44%	810	Virginia Beach	561	279	50%
183	Sussex	44	25	57%	830	Williamsburg	29	8	28%
185	Tazewell	137	56	41%	840	Winchester	66	21	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 17,688 and a numerator of 6,346. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	66	20	30%	061	Fauquier	60	18	30%
003	Albemarle	54	17	31%	063	Floyd	22	7	32%
005	Alleghany/Covington	63	2	3%	065	Fluvanna	18	2	11%
007	Amelia	29	7	24%	067	Franklin County	116	19	16%
009	Amherst	37	16	43%	069	Frederick	92	21	23%
011	Appomattox	35	19	54%	071	Giles	15	2	13%
013	Arlington	118	56	47%	073	Gloucester	54	20	37%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	288	140	49%	075	Goochland	25	2	8%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	29	14	48%
019	Bedford County/City	102	28	27%	079	Greene	31	7	23%
021	Bland	10	2	20%	081	Greensville/Emporia	79	22	28%
023	Botetourt	18	1	6%	083	Halifax	94	17	18%
025	Brunswick	54	24	44%	085	Hanover	99	19	19%
027	Buchanan	60	18	30%	087	Henrico	828	218	26%
029	Buckingham	44	14	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	286	99	35%
031	Campbell	151	54	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	109	37	34%	093	Isle of Wight	85	26	31%
035	Carroll	50	32	64%	095	James City	65	19	29%
036	Charles City	6	1	17%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	28	7	25%	099	King George	19	4	21%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	520	184	35%	101	King William	33	14	42%
043	Clarke	11	5	45%	103	Lancaster	17	3	18%
045	Craig	4	1	25%	105	Lee	119	43	36%
047	Culpeper	73	27	37%	107	Loudoun	145	25	17%
049	Cumberland	35	12	34%	109	Louisa	51	24	47%
051	Dickensen	35	12	34%	111	Lunenburg	34	2	6%
053	Dinwiddie	46	8	17%	113	Madison	12	5	42%
057	Essex	66	18	27%	115	Mathews	7	2	29%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	654	215	33%	117	Mecklenburg	75	29	39%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	8	42%	187	Warren	75	31	41%
121	Montgomery	214	101	47%	191	Washington	103	44	43%
125	Nelson	13	5	38%	193	Westmoreland	41	20	49%
127	New Kent	19	4	21%	195	Wise	176	58	33%
131	Northampton	59	16	27%	197	Wythe	62	20	32%
133	Northumberland	9	4	44%	199	York/Poquoson	74	24	32%
135	Nottoway	58	16	28%	510	Alexandria	247	92	37%
137	Orange	47	18	38%	520	Bristol	127	58	46%
139	Page	39	11	28%	540	Charlottesville	177	60	34%
141	Patrick	49	24	49%	550	Chesapeake	678	298	44%
143	Pittsylvania	88	26	30%	590	Danville	220	85	39%
145	Powhatan	13	4	31%	620	Franklin City	32	8	25%
147	Prince Edward	63	28	44%	630	Fredericksburg	99	47	47%
149	Prince George	40	11	28%	640	Galax	37	11	30%
153	Prince William	672	216	32%	650	Hampton	628	263	42%
155	Pulaski	67	18	27%	670	Hopewell	211	62	29%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	313	98	31%
159	Richmond County	4	1	25%	683	Manassas	91	17	19%
161	Roanoke County	160	63	39%	685	Manassas Park	9	5	56%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	38	8	21%	700	Newport News	994	399	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	126	60	48%	710	Norfolk	1,293	637	49%
167	Russell	108	42	39%	720	Norton	45	13	29%
169	Scott	91	35	38%	730	Petersburg	340	141	41%
171	Shenandoah	14	6	43%	740	Portsmouth	629	215	34%
173	Smyth	96	32	33%	750	Radford	31	11	35%
175	Southampton	62	13	21%	760	Richmond City	1,617	536	33%
177	Spotsylvania	226	88	39%	770	Roanoke City	572	135	24%
179	Stafford	157	51	32%	800	Suffolk	168	78	46%
181	Surry	23	9	39%	810	Virginia Beach	498	217	44%
183	Sussex	39	20	51%	830	Williamsburg	26	6	23%
185	Tazewell	118	37	31%	840	Winchester	64	19	30%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 1,966 and a numerator of 1,765. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	5	100%	061	Fauquier	13	13	100%
003	Albemarle	12	10	83%	063	Floyd	7	7	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	1	13%	065	Fluvanna	2	0	0%
007	Amelia	1	0	0%	067	Franklin County	11	7	64%
009	Amherst	5	5	100%	069	Frederick	8	7	88%
011	Appomattox	4	3	75%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	27	25	93%	073	Gloucester	5	5	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	23	23	100%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	1	1	100%
019	Bedford County/City	12	12	100%	079	Greene	3	3	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	8	8	100%
025	Brunswick	6	5	83%	085	Hanover	6	6	100%
027	Buchanan	6	4	67%	087	Henrico	82	64	78%
029	Buckingham	7	5	71%	089	Henry/Martinsville	28	22	79%
031	Campbell	24	24	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	12	10	83%	093	Isle of Wight	5	5	100%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	13	10	77%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	4	4	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	61	58	95%	101	King William	1	0	0%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	16	16	100%
047	Culpeper	14	10	71%	107	Loudoun	29	28	97%
049	Cumberland	3	3	100%	109	Louisa	3	3	100%
051	Dickensen	8	8	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	6	4	67%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	5	5	100%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	71	67	94%	117	Mecklenburg	6	6	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	2	67%	187	Warren	9	8	89%
121	Montgomery	25	24	96%	191	Washington	8	8	100%
125	Nelson	5	5	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	1	50%
127	New Kent	2	0	0%	195	Wise	22	20	91%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	4	3	75%	510	Alexandria	48	45	94%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	20	20	100%
139	Page	2	1	50%	540	Charlottesville	25	21	84%
141	Patrick	3	2	67%	550	Chesapeake	57	55	96%
143	Pittsylvania	1	1	100%	590	Danville	17	14	82%
145	Powhatan	6	5	83%	620	Franklin City	4	3	75%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	27	22	81%
149	Prince George	2	2	100%	640	Galax	6	6	100%
153	Prince William	128	121	95%	650	Hampton	81	70	86%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	21	19	90%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	34	31	91%
159	Richmond County	3	3	100%	683	Manassas	9	9	100%
161	Roanoke County	18	18	100%	685	Manassas Park	8	7	88%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	91	78	86%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	26	93%	710	Norfolk	93	88	95%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	11	8	73%	730	Petersburg	39	32	82%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	75	71	95%
173	Smyth	7	6	86%	750	Radford	3	3	100%
175	Southampton	7	4	57%	760	Richmond City	133	105	79%
177	Spotsylvania	18	16	89%	770	Roanoke City	64	64	100%
179	Stafford	25	23	92%	800	Suffolk	20	18	90%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	63	62	98%
183	Sussex	5	5	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	2	2	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-10	Jun-09	Change from Jun-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,511	5,719	-4%	4,807	15%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,661	2,699	-1%	1,658	60%
Applications Approved	2,397	2,570	-7%	2,820	-15%
Cases Closed *	2,800	2,466	14%	4,476	-37%
Cases at End of Month	34,015	31,712	7%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month ²	35,121	32,833	7%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,800 closed cases, 1,004 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 664 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 192 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 140 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-10	Jun-09	Change from Jun-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	512	627	-18%	338	51%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	317	310	2%	232	37%
Applications Approved	236	269	-12%	81	191%
Cases Closed *	258	288	-10%	107	141%
Cases at End of Month	2,161	1,822	19%	321	573%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,276	1,959	16%	394	478%

•The database indicates that of the 258 closed cases, 116 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 81 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 13 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 10 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,176	1,912	1,081	2,824	1,054	584	4,259	397	710	1,328	1,654	1,100	5,894	1,163	420	3,436	1,354	6,697	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,456	706	498	1,213	453	306	1,867	140	312	654	542	505	2,489	641	172	1,459	653	2,736	110
Part. in a Work Activity	11,034	547	387	774	358	188	1,377	109	240	411	382	372	1,726	413	131	1,075	421	2,053	70
% of Enrolled	71%	77%	78%	64%	79%	61%	74%	78%	77%	63%	70%	74%	69%	64%	76%	74%	64%	75%	64%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	156	3	3	17	0	19	19	3	3	7	6	5	21	7	6	3	5	28	1
Participants Employed	7,409	408	259	575	302	124	821	79	152	293	245	226	1,009	314	100	775	279	1,385	63
% of Enrolled	48%	58%	52%	47%	67%	41%	44%	56%	49%	45%	45%	45%	41%	49%	58%	53%	43%	51%	57%
Full Time	5,911	373	229	458	259	97	612	61	112	233	194	169	758	235	77	609	222	1,169	44
Part Time	1,496	35	30	117	42	27	209	18	40	60	51	57	251	79	23	166	56	216	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$7.55	\$7.67	\$7.94	\$7.97	\$8.29	\$9.30	\$8.28	\$8.27	\$7.83	\$7.60	\$7.85	\$8.10	\$8.46	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.90	\$7.89	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$1,033	\$1,054	\$1,067	\$1,085	\$1,110	\$1,213	\$1,079	\$1,066	\$1,053	\$1,018	#####	\$1,067	\$1,074	\$1,028	\$1,057	\$1,047	\$1,046	\$1,069

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	33,825	1,828	1,246	2,910	1,196	707	3,950	414	760	1,324	1,651	1,031	4,796	1,208	420	3,129	1,137	5,825	293
Total Enrolled in VIEW	37,391	1,959	1,422	3,109	1,166	758	4,266	423	797	1,553	1,694	1,237	5,430	1,404	464	3,506	1,443	6,470	290
Part. in a Work Activity	33,517	1,783	1,307	2,734	1,119	645	3,871	387	747	1,400	1,537	1,125	4,871	1,214	418	3,125	1,270	5,714	250
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	92%	88%	96%	85%	91%	91%	94%	90%	91%	91%	90%	86%	90%	89%	88%	88%	86%
Participants Employed	18,984	1,042	683	1,560	681	364	2,127	235	420	826	691	569	2,474	765	259	1,898	717	3,544	129
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	48%	50%	58%	48%	50%	56%	53%	53%	41%	46%	46%	54%	56%	54%	50%	55%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$7.53	\$7.63	\$8.03	\$7.93	\$8.30	\$9.82	\$8.46	\$8.38	\$7.86	\$7.68	\$7.77	\$8.32	\$8.48	\$8.14	\$8.08	\$7.94	\$7.89	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,014	\$1,015	\$1,082	\$1,060	\$1,079	\$1,305	\$1,112	\$993	\$1,034	\$1,013	\$969	\$1,099	\$1,076	\$1,019	\$1,065	\$1,053	\$1,045	\$1,069
Three Months Employment ¹	72%	72%	65%	67%	75%	64%	76%	75%	67%	67%	59%	72%	75%	78%	63%	75%	70%	74%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	239,807	15,539	9,031	17,719	6,915	4,432	29,892	3,399	5,159	9,026	11,362	6,824	31,879	6,941	3,088	22,550	8,152	45,706	2,193
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	181,288	11,817	7,558	13,302	5,222	3,244	22,866	2,553	3,909	7,387	9,053	5,168	24,598	4,961	2,232	16,529	6,324	32,977	1,588
Part. in a Work Activity	173,494	11,346	7,226	12,707	5,086	3,082	22,088	2,469	3,802	6,981	8,728	4,939	23,682	4,699	2,105	15,774	6,043	31,213	1,524
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	95%	97%	97%	97%	95%	96%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	125,037	7,466	4,993	9,212	3,699	2,167	16,305	1,738	2,965	5,141	5,702	3,193	16,935	3,368	1,449	11,842	4,388	23,564	910
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	67%	71%	68%	76%	70%	63%	62%	69%	68%	65%	72%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$6.07	\$6.15	\$6.94	\$6.99	\$7.16	\$8.47	\$7.29	\$7.25	\$6.40	\$6.24	\$6.56	\$7.20	\$7.72	\$6.82	\$6.85	\$6.85	\$6.71	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$801	\$838	\$938	\$933	\$967	\$1,132	\$967	\$939	\$836	\$837	\$858	\$974	\$1,009	\$878	\$903	\$929	\$883	\$822
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	57%	51%	50%	56%	54%	63%	54%	55%	58%	53%	55%	59%	54%	47%	56%	54%	57%	62%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,912	195	101	300	275	225	292	462	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	706	47	28	125	118	108	95	162	23
Participants in a Work Activity	547	38	19	104	97	81	84	109	15
% of Enrolled	77%	81%	68%	83%	82%	75%	88%	67%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	408	19	18	86	52	65	58	95	15
% of Enrolled	58%	40%	64%	69%	44%	60%	61%	59%	65%
Full Time	373	19	18	80	44	55	55	88	14
Part Time	35	0	0	6	8	10	3	7	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$9.17	\$7.51	\$7.28	\$7.60	\$7.38	\$7.45	\$7.70	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$1,379	\$1,149	\$950	\$990	\$979	\$1,071	\$1,067	\$953

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,828	130	93	259	259	203	340	482	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,959	142	115	300	297	234	315	491	65
Participants in a Work Activity	1,783	131	100	282	281	217	294	429	49
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	87%	94%	95%	93%	93%	87%	75%
Participants Employed	1,042	73	68	178	140	115	172	264	32
% of Total Enrolled	53%	51%	59%	59%	47%	49%	55%	54%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$8.35	\$7.55	\$7.18	\$7.62	\$7.35	\$7.54	\$7.59	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$1,221	\$1,037	\$922	\$1,004	\$992	\$997	\$1,047	\$967
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	63%	62%	87%	68%	92%	67%	64%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,539	1,580	1,101	2,158	2,128	1,482	2,989	3,601	500
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,817	1,199	841	1,611	1,692	1,059	2,272	2,753	390
Participants in a Work Activity	11,346	1,117	796	1,593	1,609	1,037	2,189	2,645	360
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,466	629	504	1,059	1,055	623	1,552	1,753	291
% of Total Enrolled	63%	52%	60%	66%	62%	59%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.07	\$6.30	\$6.14	\$5.76	\$6.29	\$6.32	\$6.00	\$6.06	\$5.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$801	\$823	\$809	\$763	\$842	\$864	\$790	\$793	\$727
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	49%	60%	58%	64%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,081	17	122	53	200	225	123	253	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	498	10	59	18	80	99	48	139	45
Participants in a Work Activity	387	7	54	17	68	69	35	108	29
% of Enrolled	78%	70%	92%	94%	85%	70%	73%	78%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	259	6	45	9	38	45	26	71	19
% of Enrolled	52%	60%	76%	50%	48%	45%	54%	51%	42%
Full Time	229	5	41	7	37	39	23	61	16
Part Time	30	1	4	2	1	6	3	10	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.93	\$7.46	\$8.00	\$7.79	\$7.43	\$7.45	\$7.97	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,034	\$1,091	\$1,126	\$1,147	\$974	\$963	\$1,052	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,246	16	137	56	246	231	161	279	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,422	15	165	49	261	285	164	351	132
Participants in a Work Activity	1,307	15	157	46	233	258	153	326	119
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	95%	94%	89%	91%	93%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	683	9	95	27	87	148	76	183	58
% of Total Enrolled	48%	60%	58%	55%	33%	52%	46%	52%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$8.04	\$7.54	\$7.79	\$7.63	\$7.46	\$7.50	\$7.81	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$1,078	\$1,022	\$1,118	\$1,036	\$989	\$955	\$1,001	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	33%	69%	67%	63%	58%	74%	67%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,031	226	1,234	600	1,553	1,537	1,398	1,833	650
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,558	175	1,021	485	1,289	1,274	1,118	1,632	564
Participants in a Work Activity	7,226	164	930	449	1,233	1,238	1,098	1,579	535
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	91%	93%	96%	97%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	4,993	110	682	321	769	846	750	1,156	359
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	60%	66%	67%	71%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.15	\$6.05	\$5.96	\$6.24	\$5.89	\$6.43	\$6.17	\$6.20	\$6.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$838	\$830	\$810	\$835	\$810	\$876	\$820	\$852	\$860
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	47%	47%	56%	53%	49%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,824	125	46	10	49	285	46	403	200	343	70	1,247
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1213	114	22	1	19	114	14	185	63	170	32	479
Participants in a Work Activity	774	45	3	1	18	58	9	155	51	133	26	275
% of Enrolled	64%	39%	14%	100%	95%	51%	64%	84%	81%	78%	81%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	10	0	1
Participants Employed	575	45	3	1	16	37	8	133	39	79	21	193
% of Enrolled	47%	39%	14%	100%	84%	32%	57%	72%	62%	46%	66%	40%
Full Time	458	21	2	0	12	24	5	121	36	66	14	157
Part Time	117	24	1	1	4	13	3	12	3	13	7	36
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.12	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.59	\$7.76	\$7.71	\$7.94	\$7.53	\$7.97	\$7.56	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$968	\$852	\$650	\$934	\$963	\$918	\$1,098	\$1,027	\$1,059	\$946	\$1,135

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,910	106	38	11	42	249	55	413	231	317	86	1,362
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,109	168	37	11	58	246	68	461	242	367	102	1349
Participants in a Work Activity	2,734	145	26	11	54	169	63	426	219	329	90	1202
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	70%	100%	93%	69%	93%	92%	90%	90%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	1,560	84	7	7	36	87	36	305	106	222	62	608
% of Total Enrolled	50%	50%	19%	64%	62%	35%	53%	66%	44%	60%	61%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.99	\$7.57	\$7.91	\$7.77	\$7.85	\$7.99	\$7.96	\$7.59	\$8.24	\$7.81	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$922	\$839	\$1,113	\$1,062	\$1,041	\$1,097	\$1,075	\$997	\$1,130	\$1,024	\$1,118
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	76%	100%	33%	77%	64%	47%	78%	45%	60%	70%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	17,719	1,067	300	68	442	1,521	450	2,538	1,560	1,912	697	7,164
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,302	793	184	25	316	1,121	310	2,040	1,225	1,505	534	5,249
Participants in a Work Activity	12,707	761	164	25	309	986	304	2,012	1,177	1,428	516	5,025
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	100%	98%	88%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,212	572	85	16	224	701	184	1,636	793	1,115	385	3,501
% of Total Enrolled	69%	72%	46%	64%	71%	63%	59%	80%	65%	74%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$6.75	\$7.20	\$6.92	\$6.82	\$6.75	\$6.91	\$6.79	\$6.65	\$7.42	\$6.87	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$819	\$915	\$1,019	\$917	\$932	\$937	\$921	\$926	\$1,027	\$895	\$952
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	42%	60%	46%	50%	47%	54%	44%	53%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,054	644	8	1	81	320
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	453	269	2	0	30	152
Participants in a Work Activity	358	207	2	N/A	18	131
% of Enrolled	79%	77%	100%	N/A	60%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	302	180	2	N/A	18	102
% of Enrolled	67%	67%	100%	N/A	60%	67%
Full Time	259	157	1	N/A	13	88
Part Time	42	23	0	N/A	5	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.83	\$3.63	N/A	\$7.55	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,067	\$628	N/A	\$945	\$1,151

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	1,196	685	12	0	80	419
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,166	679	10	N/A	81	396
Participants in a Work Activity	1,119	656	10	N/A	63	390
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	100%	N/A	78%	98%
Participants Employed	681	393	5	N/A	39	244
% of Total Enrolled	58%	58%	50%	N/A	48%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$7.81	\$5.23	N/A	\$7.40	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$1,024	\$531	N/A	\$891	\$1,149
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	79%	0%	N/A	61%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,915	3,727	69	16	620	2,483
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,222	2,862	41	7	456	1,856
Participants in a Work Activity	5,086	2,808	41	7	426	1,804
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,699	1,955	27	5	332	1,380
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	66%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$6.98	\$6.39	\$7.75	\$6.58	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$933	\$942	\$690	\$694	\$849	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	56%	59%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	584	17	186	83	29	126	143	309	200	109
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	306	8	93	45	13	71	76	110	51	59
Participants in a Work Activity	188	5	48	29	10	46	50	70	33	37
% of Enrolled	61%	63%	52%	64%	77%	65%	66%	64%	65%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	1	0	5	1	5	7	1	0	1
Participants Employed	124	4	32	22	6	29	31	63	33	30
% of Enrolled	41%	50%	34%	49%	6	41%	41%	57%	65%	51%
Full Time	97	4	25	19	6	22	21	44	22	22
Part Time	27	0	7	3	0	7	10	19	11	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.13	\$9.02	\$7.93	\$7.72	\$8.42	\$7.82	\$7.93	\$8.06	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,133	\$1,242	\$1,121	\$1,040	\$1,143	\$946	\$1,069	\$1,077	\$1,060

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	707	19	190	135	48	157	158	293	189	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	758	19	187	154	46	176	176	290	175	115
Participants in a Work Activity	645	17	166	133	40	138	151	250	149	101
% of Total Enrolled	85%	89%	89%	86%	87%	78%	86%	86%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	364	11	76	73	21	95	88	129	79	50
% of Total Enrolled	48%	58%	41%	47%	46%	54%	50%	44%	45%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$7.98	\$8.79	\$8.28	\$7.76	\$8.42	\$7.99	\$8.05	\$7.89	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,075	\$1,109	\$1,115	\$938	\$1,177	\$970	\$1,069	\$1,050	\$1,098
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	57%	69%	51%	56%	71%	63%	80%	71%	93%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,432	176	877	701	546	1084	1048	2,193	1373	820
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,244	104	637	569	322	801	811	1,588	965	623
Participants in a Work Activity	3,082	97	599	550	312	751	773	1524	937	587
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	94%	95%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,167	63	375	400	208	561	560	910	520	390
% of Total Enrolled	67%	61%	59%	70%	65%	70%	69%	57%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.16	\$7.24	\$7.45	\$7.21	\$6.53	\$7.23	\$7.10	\$6.51	\$6.58	\$6.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$963	\$978	\$980	\$902	\$986	\$954	\$822	\$831	\$812
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	47%	52%	56%	53%	56%	51%	62%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,259	301	1,586	268	1,351	506	212	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,867	110	611	163	690	214	69	10
Participants in a Work Activity	1,377	85	474	117	475	174	44	8
% of Enrolled	74%	77%	78%	72%	69%	81%	64%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	1	11	0	4	3	0	0
Participants Employed	821	44	249	80	313	98	29	8
% of Enrolled	44%	40%	41%	49%	45%	46%	42%	80%
Full Time	612	28	186	58	230	81	21	8
Part Time	209	16	63	22	83	17	8	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.30	\$9.50	\$9.48	\$10.25	\$8.97	\$9.28	\$8.57	\$8.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,213	\$1,161	\$1,206	\$1,338	\$1,183	\$1,264	\$1,115	\$1,329

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,950	256	1,349	325	1,379	418	170	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,266	294	1,436	360	1,479	468	180	49
Participants in a Work Activity	3,871	275	1,324	323	1,315	430	157	47
% of Total Enrolled	91%	94%	92%	90%	89%	92%	87%	96%
Participants Employed	2,127	136	659	207	773	236	86	30
% of Total Enrolled	50%	46%	46%	58%	52%	50%	48%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.82	\$10.08	\$10.12	\$10.58	\$9.51	\$9.68	\$8.95	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,305	\$1,329	\$1,301	\$1,385	\$1,290	\$1,340	\$1,196	\$1,174
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	78%	73%	72%	76%	81%	83%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	29,892	2,632	10,470	2,085	9,545	3,493	1,286	381
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,866	2,032	7,809	1,636	7,374	2,766	963	286
Participants in a Work Activity	22,088	2,006	7,554	1,568	7,095	2,650	933	282
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	16,305	1,482	5,293	1,293	5,420	1,961	643	213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	79%	74%	71%	67%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.02	\$8.42	\$9.02	\$8.66	\$8.16	\$8.01	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,132	\$1,044	\$1,115	\$1,175	\$1,190	\$1,048	\$1,083	\$1,068
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	60%	65%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	397	185	96	26	88	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	140	53	35	12	37	3
Participants in a Work Activity	109	44	27	10	28	0
% of Enrolled	78%	83%	77%	83%	76%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	79	33	21	1	24	0
% of Enrolled	56%	62%	60%	8%	65%	0%
Full Time	61	22	16	1	22	N/A
Part Time	18	11	5	0	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$8.19	\$8.32	\$8.00	\$8.40	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,032	\$1,059	\$1,212	\$1,155	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	414	167	133	34	76	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	423	156	134	33	93	7
Participants in a Work Activity	387	140	128	32	82	5
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	96%	97%	88%	71%
Participants Employed	235	89	74	13	55	4
% of Total Enrolled	56%	57%	55%	39%	59%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.73	\$8.61	\$8.15	\$7.92	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,096	\$1,178	\$1,249	\$997	\$1,184
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	85%	67%	100%	66%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,399	1,301	996	319	695	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,553	932	764	236	562	59
Participants in a Work Activity	2,469	900	757	228	529	55
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	99%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	1,738	614	526	152	408	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	64%	73%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$7.28	\$7.62	\$7.03	\$7.00	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$950	\$999	\$988	\$944	\$958
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	62%	47%	43%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	710	144	46	56	104	37	323
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	312	43	14	31	43	12	169
Participants in a Work Activity	240	36	10	12	35	8	139
% of Enrolled	77%	84%	71%	39%	81%	67%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	1	0	2
Participants Employed	152	26	6	10	19	6	85
% of Enrolled	49%	60%	43%	32%	44%	50%	50%
Full Time	112	19	6	5	18	5	59
Part Time	40	7	0	5	1	1	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.88	\$8.11	\$8.33	\$8.02	\$7.65	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,181	\$1,127	\$1,030	\$1,219	\$966	\$1,004

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	760	175	21	62	128	34	340
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	797	146	18	68	136	46	383
Participants in a Work Activity	747	133	15	58	124	39	378
% of Total Enrolled	94%	91%	83%	85%	91%	85%	99%
Participants Employed	420	79	8	34	63	25	211
% of Total Enrolled	53%	54%	44%	50%	46%	54%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.74	\$8.19	\$7.99	\$8.13	\$8.00	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$1,136	\$1,158	\$918	\$961	\$987	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	79%	100%	64%	58%	55%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,159	1203	185	404	781	248	2,338
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,909	841	120	288	554	172	1,934
Participants in a Work Activity	3,802	819	115	267	529	167	1,905
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	93%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	2,965	628	88	214	344	114	1,577
% of Total Enrolled	76%	75%	73%	74%	62%	66%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.68	\$7.39	\$7.19	\$7.28	\$7.55	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$998	\$1,086	\$911	\$953	\$998	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	55%	49%	54%	52%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,328	103	95	217	283	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	654	39	47	116	206	246
Participants in a Work Activity	411	29	40	72	126	144
% of Enrolled	63%	74%	85%	62%	61%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	293	22	26	52	86	107
% of Enrolled	45%	56%	55%	45%	42%	43%
Full Time	233	22	26	44	57	84
Part Time	60	0	0	8	29	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.47	\$7.36	\$8.12	\$8.03	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,113	\$1,133	\$1,101	\$1,045	\$1,004

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,324	119	104	251	264	586
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,553	116	112	282	395	648
Participants in a Work Activity	1,400	100	109	252	354	585
% of Total Enrolled	90%	86%	97%	89%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	826	61	61	158	212	334
% of Total Enrolled	53%	53%	54%	56%	54%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$7.79	\$7.58	\$7.98	\$8.03	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,047	\$1,179	\$1,032	\$1,052	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	75%	80%	63%	61%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,026	839	731	1,816	2,081	3,559
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,387	669	636	1,440	1,754	2,888
Participants in a Work Activity	6,981	635	623	1,352	1,646	2,725
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,141	453	449	959	1,209	2,071
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	71%	67%	69%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.40	\$6.60	\$6.13	\$6.45	\$6.59	\$6.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$836	\$845	\$846	\$835	\$874	\$813
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	45%	55%	59%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,654	236	543	105	244	526
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	542	62	216	71	51	142
Participants in a Work Activity	382	33	173	53	31	92
% of Enrolled	70%	53%	80%	75%	61%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	4	1	0
Participants Employed	245	26	109	35	25	50
% of Enrolled	45%	42%	50%	49%	49%	35%
Full Time	194	19	79	26	24	46
Part Time	51	7	30	9	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.66	\$7.66	\$7.28	\$7.44	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,041	\$985	\$981	\$1,036	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,651	226	538	133	233	521
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,694	231	594	160	191	518
Participants in a Work Activity	1,537	199	541	145	174	478
% of Total Enrolled	91%	86%	91%	91%	91%	92%
Participants Employed	691	77	298	89	71	156
% of Total Enrolled	41%	33%	50%	56%	37%	30%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.87	\$7.74	\$7.33	\$7.57	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$966	\$1,049	\$965	\$1,005	\$994
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	68%	58%	62%	54%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,362	1,756	3,032	1,115	1,832	3,627
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,053	1,450	2,255	962	1,397	2,989
Participants in a Work Activity	8,728	1,392	2,160	904	1,371	2,901
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,702	844	1,459	646	782	1,971
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	65%	67%	56%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.24	\$6.22	\$6.62	\$6.10	\$6.24	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$837	\$823	\$924	\$798	\$853	\$790
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,100	79	139	73	81	65	141	59	191	130	142
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	505	33	44	37	22	34	71	36	83	70	75
Participants in a Work Activity	372	27	31	31	18	27	55	12	67	44	60
% of Enrolled	74%	82%	70%	84%	82%	79%	77%	33%	81%	63%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	226	14	28	16	12	17	24	10	38	33	34
% of Enrolled	45%	42%	64%	43%	55%	50%	34%	28%	46%	47%	45%
Full Time	169	12	19	15	10	16	17	5	22	25	28
Part Time	57	2	9	1	2	1	7	5	16	8	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.11	\$7.59	\$7.80	\$7.45	\$8.18	\$7.64	\$7.08	\$7.95	\$8.28	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,046	\$944	\$1,140	\$1,045	\$1,113	\$940	\$772	\$1,039	\$1,067	\$1,128

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	1,031	68	129	95	88	47	120	50	164	121	149
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,237	72	129	112	98	67	167	62	197	154	179
Participants in a Work Activity	1,125	69	124	107	90	63	147	54	185	121	165
% of Total Enrolled	91%	96%	96%	96%	92%	94%	88%	87%	94%	79%	92%
Participants Employed	569	35	60	49	38	36	69	22	96	73	91
% of Total Enrolled	46%	49%	47%	44%	39%	54%	41%	35%	49%	47%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.37	\$7.31	\$8.00	\$8.10	\$8.14	\$7.56	\$7.18	\$7.87	\$7.72	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$1,062	\$893	\$1,075	\$1,020	\$1,038	\$883	\$722	\$978	\$1,005	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	82%	72%	75%	65%	79%	57%	67%	70%	77%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,824	413	890	690	580	462	812	281	989	815	892
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,168	292	695	514	404	330	629	211	770	614	709
Participants in a Work Activity	4,939	276	693	478	374	314	600	209	730	573	692
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	93%	93%	95%	95%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,193	177	402	290	209	191	364	99	525	421	515
% of Total Enrolled	62%	61%	58%	56%	52%	58%	58%	47%	68%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.56	\$7.21	\$6.09	\$6.92	\$6.97	\$7.06	\$6.51	\$5.83	\$6.32	\$6.54	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$858	\$945	\$814	\$929	\$903	\$940	\$807	\$725	\$818	\$875	\$861
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	57%	53%	48%	48%	56%	56%	58%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,894	1079	45	185	1,640	37	2,908
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,489	438	15	109	735	13	1,179
Participants in a Work Activity	1,726	282	5	65	485	10	879
% of Enrolled	69%	64%	33%	60%	66%	77%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	3	3	5	3	1	6
Participants Employed	1,009	191	4	50	340	7	417
% of Enrolled	41%	44%	27%	46%	46%	54%	35%
Full Time	758	150	1	30	258	4	315
Part Time	251	41	3	20	82	3	102
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$8.03	\$8.69	\$8.39	\$8.19	\$6.46	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,067	\$861	\$971	\$1,107	\$793	\$1,052

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	4,796	976	37	175	1,333	28	2,247
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,430	1034	39	218	1,496	40	2,603
Participants in a Work Activity	4,871	921	29	183	1,344	37	2,357
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	74%	84%	90%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	2,474	488	15	105	738	21	1,107
% of Total Enrolled	46%	47%	38%	48%	49%	53%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.36	\$8.36	\$8.88	\$8.48	\$8.72	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,099	\$1,114	\$1,009	\$1,107	\$1,119	\$1,044	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	81%	78%	76%	81%	64%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	31,879	6,383	220	1051	7,642	279	16,304
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,598	4,792	160	752	6,030	195	12,669
Participants in a Work Activity	23,682	4,635	148	689	5,721	184	12,305
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	16,935	3,130	103	478	4,099	116	9,009
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	64%	64%	68%	59%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.43	\$6.70	\$8.09	\$7.48	\$7.24	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$1,003	\$866	\$1,050	\$1,010	\$913	\$949
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	61%	54%	64%	57%	53%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,163	198	50	439	288	188
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	641	102	15	246	159	119
Participants in a Work Activity	413	61	9	164	104	75
% of Enrolled	64%	60%	60%	67%	65%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	2	1	2	0	2
Participants Employed	314	54	3	125	69	63
% of Enrolled	49%	53%	20%	51%	43%	53%
Full Time	235	40	3	103	47	42
Part Time	79	14	0	22	22	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.27	\$11.16	\$8.46	\$8.44	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,017	\$1,737	\$1,112	\$1,006	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,208	202	62	410	309	225
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,404	229	49	468	365	293
Participants in a Work Activity	1,214	192	45	393	328	256
% of Total Enrolled	86%	84%	92%	84%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	765	127	19	267	176	176
% of Total Enrolled	54%	55%	39%	57%	48%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.18	\$8.42	\$8.45	\$8.84	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$1,054	\$1,074	\$1,078	\$1,130	\$1,034
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	83%	54%	80%	78%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,941	1,091	432	2,021	2,024	1,373
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,961	754	283	1,559	1,223	1,142
Participants in a Work Activity	4,699	720	270	1,422	1,187	1,100
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,368	477	187	1,083	743	878
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	69%	61%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.40	\$7.25	\$7.80	\$8.24	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$951	\$916	\$1,022	\$1,116	\$977
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	48%	57%	58%	55%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	420	104	22	52	40	25	36	30	29	82
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	172	54	2	32	12	13	19	7	5	28
Participants in a Work Activity	131	43	2	25	7	10	11	6	5	22
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	100%	78%	58%	77%	58%	86%	100%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	100	32	2	15	5	9	10	4	3	20
% of Enrolled	58%	59%	100%	47%	42%	69%	53%	57%	60%	71%
Full Time	77	21	2	10	3	9	8	3	3	18
Part Time	23	11	0	5	2	0	2	1	0	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.11	\$7.96	\$8.43	\$8.40	\$8.28	\$6.52	\$8.25	\$8.83	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,022	\$1,222	\$1,035	\$897	\$1,192	\$873	\$909	\$1,356	\$1,024

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	420	97	25	60	33	19	54	22	36	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	464	109	22	65	38	17	60	22	34	97
Participants in a Work Activity	418	100	22	58	33	17	52	22	34	80
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	100%	89%	87%	100%	87%	100%	100%	82%
Participants Employed	259	65	8	34	24	12	31	12	16	57
% of Total Enrolled	56%	60%	36%	52%	63%	71%	52%	55%	47%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.89	\$7.88	\$8.24	\$8.41	\$8.43	\$8.16	\$8.65	\$7.78	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$928	\$1,104	\$995	\$964	\$1,120	\$1,121	\$947	\$992	\$1,101
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	63%	67%	68%	47%	100%	75%	63%	36%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,088	472	235	264	357	172	353	271	290	674
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,232	362	152	212	273	108	257	218	162	488
Participants in a Work Activity	2,105	348	144	201	255	101	235	212	158	451
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	93%	94%	91%	97%	98%	92%
Participants Employed	1,449	255	81	127	185	69	173	132	104	323
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	53%	60%	68%	64%	67%	61%	64%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$6.82	\$6.95	\$7.27	\$6.59	\$6.76	\$6.85	\$6.50	\$6.84	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$837	\$881	\$973	\$828	\$863	\$888	\$839	\$930	\$906
Five Months Employment ⁹	47%	41%	35%	46%	49%	54%	57%	42%	33%	51%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,436	22	120	134	40	127	1,200	1,754	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,459	5	43	56	15	79	484	749	28
Participants in a Work Activity	1,075	3	32	47	8	57	337	569	22
% of Enrolled	74%	60%	74%	84%	53%	72%	70%	76%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	775	1	24	39	5	41	230	414	21
% of Enrolled	53%	20%	56%	70%	33%	52%	48%	55%	75%
Full Time	609	1	16	27	2	23	180	343	17
Part Time	166	0	8	12	3	18	50	71	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$9.98	\$8.00	\$8.70	\$7.51	\$8.00	\$8.03	\$7.91	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,729	\$941	\$1,076	\$754	\$998	\$1,047	\$1,072	\$1,114

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	3,129	13	126	138	44	106	1,019	1,626	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,506	16	131	162	40	137	1,127	1,835	58
Participants in a Work Activity	3,125	13	108	148	37	124	1,028	1,619	48
% of Total Enrolled	89%	81%	82%	91%	93%	91%	91%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	1,898	9	64	98	15	79	623	972	38
% of Total Enrolled	54%	56%	49%	60%	38%	58%	55%	53%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$6.58	\$8.05	\$8.31	\$8.46	\$7.87	\$8.19	\$8.03	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$821	\$923	\$1,037	\$992	\$986	\$1,058	\$1,090	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	0%	51%	74%	100%	90%	78%	73%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	22,550	134	948	909	268	867	7,900	11,201	323
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,529	93	659	702	194	626	5,655	8,367	233
Participants in a Work Activity	15,774	90	607	655	185	601	5,509	7,909	218
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	92%	93%	95%	96%	97%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,842	51	438	499	121	400	4,037	6,123	173
% of Total Enrolled	72%	55%	66%	71%	62%	64%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.72	\$6.70	\$7.22	\$7.39	\$6.92	\$6.85	\$6.81	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$903	\$868	\$835	\$883	\$1,000	\$873	\$899	\$911	\$904
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	55%	52%	50%	46%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,354	122	97	62	82	347	644
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	653	17	42	35	36	203	320
Participants in a Work Activity	421	13	32	34	27	115	200
% of Enrolled	64%	76%	76%	97%	75%	57%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	279	9	24	17	19	76	134
% of Enrolled	43%	53%	57%	49%	53%	37%	42%
Full Time	222	8	16	12	14	55	117
Part Time	56	1	7	5	5	21	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$7.49	\$8.85	\$7.64	\$7.74	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,207	\$976	\$1,121	\$958	\$990	\$1,085

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	1,137	136	97	50	74	300	480
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,443	97	106	66	96	379	699
Participants in a Work Activity	1,270	94	89	65	91	321	610
% of Total Enrolled	88%	97%	84%	98%	95%	85%	87%
Participants Employed	717	45	53	40	48	179	352
% of Total Enrolled	50%	46%	50%	61%	50%	47%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.02	\$7.68	\$8.47	\$7.83	\$7.70	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,189	\$995	\$988	\$1,010	\$989	\$1,090
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	47%	76%	65%	48%	72%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,152	1037	713	308	651	2,041	3,402
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,324	721	526	246	514	1,622	2,695
Participants in a Work Activity	6,043	718	461	234	498	1,554	2,578
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,388	483	330	177	346	1,129	1,923
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	63%	72%	67%	70%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.81	\$7.26	\$7.02	\$6.83	\$6.73	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$929	\$944	\$975	\$862	\$937	\$908	\$937
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	52%	50%	48%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through June 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/10 and Work Activity as of 6/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,697	168	118	1,208	112	2,261	1,312	416	1,102
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,736	62	57	550	18	1,040	471	143	395
Participants in a Work Activity	2,053	42	26	403	11	820	351	100	300
% of Enrolled	75%	68%	46%	73%	61%	79%	75%	70%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	28	1	0	1	0	12	12	0	2
Participants Employed	1,385	33	12	314	8	528	212	71	207
% of Enrolled	51%	53%	21%	57%	44%	51%	45%	50%	52%
Full Time	1,169	28	7	270	6	461	160	59	178
Part Time	216	5	5	44	2	67	52	12	29
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.58	\$7.50	\$8.02	\$7.54	\$7.70	\$7.95	\$8.03	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,019	\$901	\$1,079	\$1,024	\$1,000	\$1,071	\$1,067	\$1,093

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	5,825	149	117	935	103	1,945	1,001	358	1,217
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	6,470	148	133	1,177	92	2,211	1,159	413	1,137
Participants in a Work Activity	5,714	137	126	1,060	84	1,945	1,006	381	975
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	95%	90%	91%	88%	87%	92%	86%
Participants Employed	3,544	86	48	749	38	1,225	601	227	570
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	36%	64%	41%	55%	52%	55%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.72	\$8.05	\$7.95	\$8.10	\$7.66	\$7.97	\$8.12	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,027	\$956	\$1,067	\$1,073	\$1,003	\$1,060	\$1,116	\$1,085
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	57%	77%	57%	76%	71%	69%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	45,706	1027	871	7,299	711	14,766	7,438	2,916	10,678
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	32,977	729	687	5,240	561	10,535	5,796	2,254	7,175
Participants in a Work Activity	31,213	699	655	4,974	546	9,996	5,443	2,225	6,675
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	23,564	522	404	3,875	382	7,516	4,283	1,696	4,886
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	59%	74%	68%	71%	74%	75%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.71	\$6.74	\$6.47	\$6.87	\$6.34	\$6.59	\$6.61	\$6.56	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$883	\$898	\$816	\$910	\$797	\$848	\$891	\$859	\$941
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	46%	57%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em-ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	45.1%	5.08	95	\$7.89	4.97	71	71.1%	7.11	54	5.72	85
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	54.1%	6.81	44	\$8.74	6.56	10	78.7%	7.87	24	7.08	15
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	50.0%	6.02	66	\$7.99	5.16	60	75.5%	7.55	39	6.24	58
007	<i>Amelia</i>	48.6%	5.75	77	\$8.37	5.87	25	82.4%	8.24	14	6.62	34
009	<i>Amherst</i>	52.6%	6.52	51	\$7.79	4.79	82	75.0%	7.50	41	6.27	55
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	54.5%	6.88	42	\$7.58	4.39	102	80.0%	8.00	19	6.42	46
013	<i>Arlington</i>	46.3%	5.29	92	\$10.08	9.07	3	78.5%	7.85	25	7.40	5
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	57.9%	7.54	23	\$7.81	4.82	79	78.9%	7.89	23	6.75	24
017	<i>Bath</i>	50.0%	6.02	67	\$5.23	0.00	119	0.0%	0.00	118	2.01	119
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	56.0%	7.18	31	\$7.98	5.14	64	62.9%	6.29	85	6.20	61
021	<i>Bland</i>	60.0%	7.95	15	\$8.04	5.25	54	33.3%	3.33	116	5.51	92
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	18.9%	0.00	119	\$7.57	4.37	103	100.0%	10.00	1	4.79	109
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	46.5%	5.34	88	\$7.31	3.89	114	72.2%	7.22	50	5.48	95
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	51.4%	6.29	60	\$8.35	5.83	28	62.5%	6.25	88	6.12	65
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	43.8%	4.81	100	\$8.00	5.18	58	75.0%	7.50	42	5.83	79
031	<i>Campbell</i>	53.7%	6.73	48	\$8.03	5.23	55	60.6%	6.06	93	6.01	68
033	<i>Caroline</i>	55.5%	7.07	33	\$8.18	5.51	41	82.5%	8.25	13	6.95	17
035	<i>Carroll</i>	57.6%	7.48	25	\$7.54	4.32	107	68.6%	6.86	64	6.22	60
036	<i>Charles City</i>	56.3%	7.23	30	\$6.58	2.52	118	0.0%	0.00	119	3.25	118
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	38.8%	3.84	107	\$8.10	5.36	49	65.0%	6.50	78	5.24	100
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	47.2%	5.47	85	\$8.36	5.85	26	81.3%	8.13	16	6.49	41
043	<i>Clarke</i>	57.9%	7.54	22	\$7.98	5.14	65	57.1%	5.71	98	6.13	64
045	<i>Craig</i>	63.6%	8.65	4	\$7.91	5.01	70	33.3%	3.33	117	5.67	86
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	57.1%	7.38	28	\$8.73	6.54	11	85.4%	8.54	11	7.49	4
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	53.7%	6.74	47	\$8.14	5.44	44	79.0%	7.90	22	6.69	29
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	59.1%	7.78	19	\$7.55	4.34	106	62.2%	6.22	91	6.11	66
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	46.4%	5.32	89	\$8.02	5.21	57	46.7%	4.67	112	5.07	104
057	<i>Essex</i>	59.6%	7.88	16	\$7.89	4.97	72	62.9%	6.29	86	6.38	50
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	45.9%	5.22	93	\$10.12	9.14	2	73.2%	7.32	47	7.23	9
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	55.2%	7.03	36	\$8.61	6.32	14	66.7%	6.67	73	6.67	31
063	<i>Floyd</i>	62.1%	8.35	7	\$7.77	4.75	86	76.9%	7.69	32	6.93	19
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	44.4%	4.94	97	\$8.19	5.53	38	100.0%	10.00	2	6.82	22
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	35.4%	3.18	115	\$7.85	4.90	77	63.6%	6.36	82	4.81	108
069	<i>Frederick</i>	40.6%	4.20	105	\$8.79	6.65	9	69.2%	6.92	61	5.93	74
071	<i>Giles</i>	52.9%	6.58	50	\$7.99	5.16	61	47.4%	4.74	111	5.49	93
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	48.9%	5.79	75	\$8.05	5.27	51	51.4%	5.14	107	5.40	98
075	<i>Goochland</i>	38.5%	3.78	109	\$8.36	5.85	27	77.8%	7.78	29	5.80	81
077	<i>Grayson</i>	55.1%	7.00	37	\$7.79	4.79	83	66.7%	6.67	74	6.15	63

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	6.02	68	\$7.99	5.16	62	63.6%	6.36	83	5.85	77
081	Greensville/Emporia	41.3%	4.33	103	\$7.56	4.36	105	56.5%	5.65	100	4.78	110
083	Halifax	33.3%	2.79	116	\$7.87	4.93	74	68.2%	6.82	66	4.85	107
085	Hanover	48.2%	5.66	79	\$8.88	6.82	7	76.4%	7.64	35	6.71	28
087	Henrico	49.3%	5.89	72	\$8.48	6.07	16	80.6%	8.06	18	6.67	30
089	Henry/Martinsville	50.2%	6.05	64	\$7.74	4.69	89	58.1%	5.81	95	5.52	91
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	58.1%	7.58	21	\$7.72	4.65	90	77.6%	7.76	30	6.66	32
095	James City	60.5%	8.05	12	\$8.31	5.76	30	74.0%	7.40	45	7.07	16
097	King and Queen	36.4%	3.38	112	\$7.88	4.95	73	66.7%	6.67	75	5.00	106
099	King George	38.8%	3.84	108	\$8.42	5.96	22	53.9%	5.39	106	5.06	105
101	King William	52.3%	6.46	53	\$8.24	5.63	33	68.4%	6.84	65	6.31	53
103	Lancaster	63.2%	8.56	6	\$8.41	5.94	24	46.7%	4.67	113	6.39	49
105	Lee	59.3%	7.82	17	\$7.18	3.64	116	86.9%	8.69	10	6.72	27
107	Loudoun	57.5%	7.47	26	\$10.58	10.00	1	72.5%	7.25	49	8.24	2
109	Louisa	46.3%	5.30	91	\$8.13	5.42	45	57.5%	5.75	97	5.49	94
111	Lunenburg	35.5%	3.21	114	\$7.18	3.64	117	66.7%	6.67	76	4.51	115
113	Madison	39.4%	3.96	106	\$8.15	5.46	43	100.0%	10.00	3	6.47	42
115	Mathews	70.6%	10.00	1	\$8.43	5.98	21	100.0%	10.00	4	8.66	1
117	Mecklenburg	48.7%	5.77	76	\$7.87	4.93	75	70.5%	7.05	57	5.92	75
119	Middlesex	51.7%	6.34	58	\$8.16	5.48	42	75.0%	7.50	43	6.44	45
121	Montgomery	66.2%	9.14	2	\$7.96	5.10	67	78.2%	7.82	27	7.35	6
125	Nelson	54.3%	6.86	43	\$8.00	5.18	59	54.6%	5.46	104	5.83	78
127	New Kent	37.5%	3.60	110	\$8.46	6.04	18	100.0%	10.00	5	6.54	39
131	Northampton	43.5%	4.75	101	\$8.30	5.74	31	92.6%	9.26	6	6.58	37
133	Northumberland	54.5%	6.90	41	\$8.65	6.39	13	62.5%	6.25	89	6.51	40
135	Nottoway	47.4%	5.51	82	\$7.72	4.65	91	76.7%	7.67	33	5.94	73
137	Orange	59.1%	7.78	18	\$7.92	5.03	69	65.5%	6.55	77	6.45	44
139	Page	47.4%	5.51	83	\$8.28	5.70	32	51.4%	5.14	108	5.45	96
141	Patrick	55.6%	7.10	32	\$7.33	3.93	113	61.7%	6.17	92	5.73	84
143	Pittsylvania	37.2%	3.53	111	\$7.57	4.37	104	54.2%	5.42	105	4.44	116
145	Powhatan	52.5%	6.50	52	\$8.72	6.52	12	63.6%	6.36	84	6.46	43
147	Prince Edward	50.8%	6.18	61	\$7.68	4.58	94	79.6%	7.96	21	6.24	59
149	Prince George	50.0%	6.02	69	\$7.68	4.58	95	76.2%	7.62	36	6.07	67
153	Prince William	52.3%	6.45	54	\$9.51	8.00	5	75.8%	7.58	38	7.34	8
155	Pulaski	43.8%	4.82	99	\$7.59	4.41	100	44.6%	4.46	114	4.56	113
157	Rappahannock	57.1%	7.40	27	\$8.19	5.53	39	50.0%	5.00	109	5.98	72
159	Richmond County	47.1%	5.45	87	\$7.78	4.77	85	36.4%	3.64	115	4.62	112
161	Roanoke County	60.5%	8.05	13	\$8.24	5.63	34	60.3%	6.03	94	6.57	38
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48.1%	5.66	80	\$7.40	4.06	111	90.5%	9.05	8	6.25	56
165	Rockingham/H'burg	61.6%	8.26	8	\$8.24	5.63	35	68.0%	6.80	67	6.90	20
167	Russell	47.1%	5.46	86	\$7.62	4.47	99	67.6%	6.76	68	5.56	90
169	Scott	49.1%	5.85	74	\$7.35	3.96	112	92.1%	9.21	7	6.34	52
171	Shenandoah	45.7%	5.17	94	\$7.76	4.73	87	55.6%	5.56	103	5.15	102

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	33.3%	2.79	117	\$7.63	4.49	98	62.8%	6.28	87	4.52	114
175	<i>Southampton</i>	36.1%	3.32	113	\$8.05	5.27	52	56.5%	5.65	101	4.75	111
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.1%	7.38	29	\$8.45	6.02	20	80.0%	8.00	20	7.13	14
179	<i>Stafford</i>	48.2%	5.67	78	\$8.84	6.75	8	78.3%	7.83	26	6.75	25
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.6%	8.07	11	\$8.47	6.06	17	65.0%	6.50	79	6.87	21
183	<i>Sussex</i>	50.0%	6.02	70	\$7.83	4.86	78	47.8%	4.78	110	5.22	101
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	54.6%	6.91	40	\$7.54	4.32	108	67.3%	6.73	70	5.99	70
187	<i>Warren</i>	54.0%	6.79	45	\$8.42	5.96	23	70.7%	7.07	56	6.61	35
191	<i>Washington</i>	51.9%	6.39	56	\$7.46	4.17	110	57.9%	5.79	96	5.45	97
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	58.8%	7.71	20	\$8.21	5.57	37	69.6%	6.96	59	6.75	26
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.8%	6.74	46	\$7.59	4.41	101	63.9%	6.39	81	5.85	76
197	<i>Wythe</i>	46.3%	5.31	90	\$7.50	4.24	109	74.3%	7.43	44	5.66	87
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	57.7%	7.50	24	\$7.87	4.93	76	90.5%	9.05	9	7.16	10
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.4%	6.10	62	\$9.68	8.32	4	81.0%	8.10	17	7.51	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	52.1%	6.43	55	\$7.81	4.82	80	67.3%	6.73	71	5.99	69
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	55.1%	7.00	38	\$8.46	6.04	19	67.2%	6.72	72	6.59	36
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.6%	8.65	5	\$7.95	5.08	68	77.2%	7.72	31	7.15	11
590	<i>Danville</i>	30.1%	2.17	118	\$7.69	4.60	93	56.4%	5.64	102	4.13	117
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	41.3%	4.33	104	\$8.10	5.36	50	57.1%	5.71	99	5.14	103
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	60.1%	7.96	14	\$8.35	5.83	29	76.5%	7.65	34	7.15	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.9%	4.84	98	\$7.64	4.50	97	64.7%	6.47	80	5.27	99
650	<i>Hampton</i>	55.3%	7.04	35	\$8.19	5.53	40	78.0%	7.80	28	6.79	23
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	47.2%	5.48	84	\$7.70	4.62	92	72.0%	7.20	51	5.77	82
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.5%	6.31	59	\$7.76	4.73	88	69.1%	6.91	62	5.98	71
683	<i>Manassas</i>	47.8%	5.59	81	\$8.95	6.95	6	83.0%	8.30	12	6.95	18
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	61.2%	8.19	9	\$8.50	6.11	15	71.4%	7.14	52	7.15	12
700	<i>Newport News</i>	53.0%	6.59	49	\$8.03	5.23	56	72.9%	7.29	48	6.37	51
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.4%	7.06	34	\$7.66	4.54	96	76.2%	7.62	37	6.41	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	49.2%	5.87	73	\$7.24	3.76	115	73.3%	7.33	46	5.65	88
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	50.4%	6.08	63	\$8.05	5.27	53	75.1%	7.51	40	6.29	54
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	51.9%	6.37	57	\$7.97	5.12	66	71.1%	7.11	53	6.20	62
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.8%	8.10	10	\$7.81	4.82	81	70.0%	7.00	58	6.64	33
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	42.5%	4.57	102	\$8.13	5.42	46	69.5%	6.95	60	5.65	89
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.1%	5.06	96	\$8.13	5.42	47	67.6%	6.76	69	5.75	83
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.0%	6.98	39	\$8.12	5.40	48	68.9%	6.89	63	6.42	47
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.1%	6.04	65	\$8.23	5.61	36	71.0%	7.10	55	6.25	57
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	65.5%	9.02	3	\$7.79	4.79	84	82.4%	8.24	15	7.35	7
840	<i>Winchester</i>	50.0%	6.02	71	\$7.99	5.16	63	62.5%	6.25	90	5.81	80

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.9%	2.18	115	\$831	2.82	95	57.9%	6.76	27	3.92	106
003	Albemarle	74.7%	8.06	6	\$998	6.15	19	55.3%	6.04	53	6.75	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.1%	7.34	22	\$819	2.57	101	46.1%	3.55	108	4.48	88
007	Amelia	60.6%	4.08	97	\$945	5.09	36	46.6%	3.70	104	4.29	95
009	Amherst	67.7%	6.09	54	\$845	3.10	88	51.6%	5.05	79	4.75	80
011	Appomattox	70.6%	6.90	36	\$846	3.12	87	44.6%	3.16	112	4.39	90
013	Arlington	72.9%	7.56	18	\$1,044	7.08	10	64.1%	8.42	8	7.69	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.3%	6.26	47	\$942	5.03	39	55.1%	5.98	54	5.76	36
017	Bath	65.9%	5.56	72	\$690	0.00	120	54.2%	5.74	61	3.77	109
019	Bedford County/City	66.6%	5.77	63	\$835	2.90	92	54.9%	5.95	57	4.87	72
021	Bland	62.9%	4.71	89	\$830	2.80	96	47.4%	3.91	101	3.81	108
023	Botetourt	46.2%	0.00	120	\$915	4.50	54	41.5%	2.32	117	2.27	119
025	Brunswick	57.8%	3.29	111	\$814	2.48	104	57.5%	6.64	32	4.14	100
027	Buchanan	52.5%	1.77	117	\$823	2.65	98	54.5%	5.82	60	3.42	112
029	Buckingham	56.4%	2.89	112	\$929	4.78	47	52.9%	5.40	69	4.36	93
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.43	43	\$874	3.68	73	59.0%	7.06	23	5.72	37
033	Caroline	63.3%	4.83	88	\$951	5.22	32	43.3%	2.81	115	4.29	96
035	Carroll	66.8%	5.83	60	\$810	2.39	108	46.6%	3.70	103	3.97	104
036	Charles City	54.8%	2.45	114	\$868	3.57	75	55.0%	5.97	56	3.99	103
037	Charlotte	51.7%	1.57	118	\$903	4.26	62	47.8%	4.02	99	3.28	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.3%	5.41	74	\$1,003	6.26	15	60.7%	7.51	14	6.40	21
043	Clarke	60.6%	4.07	98	\$963	5.46	27	47.4%	3.90	102	4.48	89
045	Craig	64.0%	5.04	82	\$1,019	6.57	13	60.0%	7.32	18	6.31	24
047	Culpeper	65.9%	5.57	71	\$950	5.21	33	56.0%	6.24	46	5.67	38
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.31	109	\$940	5.01	41	48.2%	4.12	96	4.15	99
051	Dickensen	59.9%	3.89	100	\$809	2.37	109	48.6%	4.23	92	3.50	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.0%	5.88	59	\$944	5.08	37	51.9%	5.13	76	5.36	49
057	Essex	70.4%	6.86	37	\$837	2.94	91	41.2%	2.24	118	4.01	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.11	52	\$1,115	8.50	4	61.8%	7.80	10	7.47	6
061	Fauquier	68.8%	6.41	44	\$999	6.17	17	61.6%	7.75	12	6.78	12
063	Floyd	70.9%	6.99	34	\$917	4.54	52	45.6%	3.41	111	4.98	62
065	Fluvanna	73.3%	7.68	16	\$1,086	7.92	5	54.6%	5.85	59	7.15	7
067	Franklin County	62.5%	4.62	92	\$932	4.83	45	49.7%	4.53	87	4.66	84
069	Frederick	58.9%	3.59	105	\$978	5.75	23	52.4%	5.25	74	4.86	74
071	Giles	59.4%	3.72	104	\$937	4.95	42	47.5%	3.93	100	4.20	98
073	Gloucester	66.5%	5.73	64	\$835	2.89	93	55.0%	5.97	55	4.87	73
075	Goochland	64.4%	5.14	80	\$866	3.53	76	53.9%	5.66	65	4.78	78
077	Grayson	66.2%	5.66	68	\$835	2.89	94	56.5%	6.36	42	4.97	63

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.9%	2.18	115	\$831	2.82	95	57.9%	6.76	27	3.92	106
003	Albemarle	74.7%	8.06	6	\$998	6.15	19	55.3%	6.04	53	6.75	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.1%	7.34	22	\$819	2.57	101	46.1%	3.55	108	4.48	88
007	Amelia	60.6%	4.08	97	\$945	5.09	36	46.6%	3.70	104	4.29	95
009	Amherst	67.7%	6.09	54	\$845	3.10	88	51.6%	5.05	79	4.75	80
011	Appomattox	70.6%	6.90	36	\$846	3.12	87	44.6%	3.16	112	4.39	90
013	Arlington	72.9%	7.56	18	\$1,044	7.08	10	64.1%	8.42	8	7.69	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.3%	6.26	47	\$942	5.03	39	55.1%	5.98	54	5.76	36
017	Bath	65.9%	5.56	72	\$690	0.00	120	54.2%	5.74	61	3.77	109
019	Bedford County/City	66.6%	5.77	63	\$835	2.90	92	54.9%	5.95	57	4.87	72
021	Bland	62.9%	4.71	89	\$830	2.80	96	47.4%	3.91	101	3.81	108
023	Botetourt	46.2%	0.00	120	\$915	4.50	54	41.5%	2.32	117	2.27	119
025	Brunswick	57.8%	3.29	111	\$814	2.48	104	57.5%	6.64	32	4.14	100
027	Buchanan	52.5%	1.77	117	\$823	2.65	98	54.5%	5.82	60	3.42	112
029	Buckingham	56.4%	2.89	112	\$929	4.78	47	52.9%	5.40	69	4.36	93
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.43	43	\$874	3.68	73	59.0%	7.06	23	5.72	37
033	Caroline	63.3%	4.83	88	\$951	5.22	32	43.3%	2.81	115	4.29	96
035	Carroll	66.8%	5.83	60	\$810	2.39	108	46.6%	3.70	103	3.97	104
036	Charles City	54.8%	2.45	114	\$868	3.57	75	55.0%	5.97	56	3.99	103
037	Charlotte	51.7%	1.57	118	\$903	4.26	62	47.8%	4.02	99	3.28	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.3%	5.41	74	\$1,003	6.26	15	60.7%	7.51	14	6.40	21
043	Clarke	60.6%	4.07	98	\$963	5.46	27	47.4%	3.90	102	4.48	89
045	Craig	64.0%	5.04	82	\$1,019	6.57	13	60.0%	7.32	18	6.31	24
047	Culpeper	65.9%	5.57	71	\$950	5.21	33	56.0%	6.24	46	5.67	38
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.31	109	\$940	5.01	41	48.2%	4.12	96	4.15	99
051	Dickensen	59.9%	3.89	100	\$809	2.37	109	48.6%	4.23	92	3.50	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.0%	5.88	59	\$944	5.08	37	51.9%	5.13	76	5.36	49
057	Essex	70.4%	6.86	37	\$837	2.94	91	41.2%	2.24	118	4.01	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.11	52	\$1,115	8.50	4	61.8%	7.80	10	7.47	6
061	Fauquier	68.8%	6.41	44	\$999	6.17	17	61.6%	7.75	12	6.78	12
063	Floyd	70.9%	6.99	34	\$917	4.54	52	45.6%	3.41	111	4.98	62
065	Fluvanna	73.3%	7.68	16	\$1,086	7.92	5	54.6%	5.85	59	7.15	7
067	Franklin County	62.5%	4.62	92	\$932	4.83	45	49.7%	4.53	87	4.66	84
069	Frederick	58.9%	3.59	105	\$978	5.75	23	52.4%	5.25	74	4.86	74
071	Giles	59.4%	3.72	104	\$937	4.95	42	47.5%	3.93	100	4.20	98
073	Gloucester	66.5%	5.73	64	\$835	2.89	93	55.0%	5.97	55	4.87	73
075	Goochland	64.4%	5.14	80	\$866	3.53	76	53.9%	5.66	65	4.78	78
077	Grayson	66.2%	5.66	68	\$835	2.89	94	56.5%	6.36	42	4.97	63

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.3%	7.95	10	\$911	4.42	57	49.5%	4.47	89	5.61	42
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.9%	3.30	110	\$807	2.35	110	55.6%	6.14	49	3.93	105
083	Halifax	58.2%	3.40	108	\$823	2.65	99	47.9%	4.05	98	3.37	113
085	Hanover	63.6%	4.91	87	\$1,050	7.20	8	64.5%	8.53	7	6.88	10
087	Henrico	68.0%	6.16	51	\$1,010	6.41	14	56.5%	6.38	39	6.32	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.7%	5.24	76	\$924	4.68	49	53.5%	5.57	67	5.16	57
091	Highland	71.4%	7.14	27	\$694	0.07	119	60.0%	7.32	19	4.84	75
093	Isle of Wight	71.6%	7.19	26	\$898	4.17	65	55.4%	6.08	50	5.81	33
095	James City	71.1%	7.04	32	\$883	3.86	69	51.7%	5.07	78	5.32	51
097	King and Queen	53.3%	2.01	116	\$881	3.83	70	35.1%	0.59	119	2.14	120
099	King George	66.1%	5.63	69	\$916	4.51	53	47.9%	4.05	97	4.73	81
101	King William	59.9%	3.88	101	\$973	5.66	26	46.1%	3.55	107	4.36	91
103	Lancaster	67.8%	6.10	53	\$828	2.76	97	48.5%	4.21	93	4.36	92
105	Lee	65.7%	5.53	73	\$763	1.45	116	60.2%	7.38	16	4.79	77
107	Loudoun	79.0%	9.29	3	\$1,175	9.69	2	65.3%	8.75	4	9.25	1
109	Louisa	62.1%	4.50	95	\$953	5.25	30	53.7%	5.61	66	5.12	60
111	Lunenburg	46.9%	0.20	119	\$725	0.70	118	56.5%	6.37	41	2.42	118
113	Madison	64.4%	5.15	78	\$988	5.96	20	46.6%	3.69	105	4.93	68
115	Mathews	63.9%	5.01	84	\$863	3.45	78	54.1%	5.72	62	4.73	82
117	Mecklenburg	68.2%	6.22	48	\$818	2.56	102	57.6%	6.68	30	5.15	58
119	Middlesex	67.3%	5.98	55	\$888	3.96	68	57.4%	6.62	33	5.52	45
121	Montgomery	80.2%	9.62	2	\$921	4.63	50	53.9%	5.67	63	6.64	16
125	Nelson	66.3%	5.68	66	\$998	6.16	18	51.5%	5.02	80	5.62	40
127	New Kent	62.4%	4.58	93	\$1,000	6.20	16	50.4%	4.73	85	5.17	56
131	Northampton	62.6%	4.64	91	\$812	2.44	106	66.4%	9.05	2	5.38	48
133	Northumberland	60.6%	4.06	99	\$839	2.98	90	41.7%	2.36	116	3.13	117
135	Nottoway	68.6%	6.33	45	\$875	3.71	72	54.9%	5.95	58	5.33	50
137	Orange	72.6%	7.47	21	\$944	5.07	38	43.4%	2.83	114	5.13	59
139	Page	70.3%	6.82	38	\$980	5.79	22	55.9%	6.20	47	6.27	26
141	Patrick	67.2%	5.93	57	\$798	2.15	111	65.6%	8.84	3	5.64	39
143	Pittsylvania	56.0%	2.77	113	\$853	3.25	83	50.6%	4.77	84	3.60	110
145	Powhatan	59.5%	3.76	103	\$913	4.45	55	53.3%	5.50	68	4.57	85
147	Prince Edward	72.6%	7.48	20	\$861	3.42	80	59.8%	7.28	20	6.06	30
149	Prince George	62.7%	4.68	90	\$975	5.71	25	49.6%	4.51	88	4.97	64
153	Prince William	73.5%	7.73	15	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.7%	7.78	11	8.50	2
155	Pulaski	64.7%	5.25	75	\$926	4.71	48	44.4%	3.09	113	4.35	94
157	Rappahannock	64.4%	5.15	79	\$958	5.37	28	48.5%	4.20	94	4.91	70
159	Richmond County	64.2%	5.09	81	\$930	4.79	46	33.0%	0.00	120	3.30	114
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	7.89	12	\$1,027	6.74	11	52.7%	5.35	70	6.66	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.8%	7.53	19	\$849	3.17	85	55.7%	6.15	48	5.62	41
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.4%	7.97	9	\$948	5.15	35	58.5%	6.92	25	6.68	14
167	Russell	62.4%	4.57	94	\$842	3.04	89	57.5%	6.65	31	4.76	79
169	Scott	58.8%	3.57	106	\$864	3.49	77	64.5%	8.54	6	5.20	54
171	Shenandoah	64.6%	5.21	77	\$902	4.25	63	52.5%	5.30	73	4.92	69

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.7%	3.81	102	\$810	2.40	107	52.7%	5.33	71	3.85	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.8%	3.57	107	\$816	2.52	103	46.0%	3.52	109	3.20	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.5%	6.58	41	\$1,022	6.64	12	56.6%	6.40	37	6.54	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	60.8%	4.12	96	\$1,116	8.52	3	57.6%	6.68	29	6.44	20
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.0%	7.29	24	\$862	3.43	79	48.3%	4.16	95	4.96	65
183	<i>Sussex</i>	67.3%	5.98	56	\$937	4.94	43	56.9%	6.47	35	5.80	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.3%	6.26	46	\$790	1.99	115	56.5%	6.38	38	4.88	71
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.0%	6.75	39	\$986	5.93	21	56.1%	6.26	44	6.31	23
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.4%	5.72	65	\$876	3.72	71	48.6%	4.24	91	4.56	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.2%	5.66	67	\$906	4.32	60	50.8%	4.83	83	4.94	67
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.7%	4.95	85	\$793	2.06	113	57.0%	6.52	34	4.51	87
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.1%	5.91	58	\$820	2.59	100	56.3%	6.31	43	4.94	66
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.9%	5.01	83	\$873	3.65	74	46.2%	3.59	106	4.08	101
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.9%	6.99	33	\$1,048	7.15	9	69.9%	10.00	1	8.05	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.8%	6.97	35	\$852	3.23	84	48.7%	4.26	90	4.82	76
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.5%	10.00	1	\$918	4.55	51	56.1%	6.25	45	6.94	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.0%	7.85	13	\$910	4.39	58	56.5%	6.37	40	6.21	29
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.9%	5.59	70	\$790	2.00	114	52.3%	5.22	75	4.27	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.1%	6.20	50	\$797	2.14	112	53.9%	5.67	64	4.67	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.9%	8.68	4	\$977	5.75	24	55.3%	6.05	52	6.83	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.7%	4.94	86	\$860	3.40	81	59.8%	7.26	21	5.20	55
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.4%	7.13	28	\$899	4.17	64	52.6%	5.33	72	5.54	44
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.6%	6.62	40	\$908	4.36	59	51.0%	4.89	82	5.29	52
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.7%	7.22	25	\$813	2.45	105	62.1%	7.88	9	5.85	32
683	<i>Manassas</i>	66.8%	5.82	61	\$1,083	7.86	6	59.6%	7.20	22	6.96	8
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.5%	8.00	8	\$1,068	7.56	7	65.1%	8.69	5	8.08	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.2%	7.63	17	\$911	4.43	56	58.3%	6.86	26	6.31	25
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.3%	7.11	30	\$848	3.16	86	55.3%	6.06	51	5.44	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.6%	8.04	7	\$727	0.74	117	60.4%	7.42	15	5.40	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.4%	7.12	29	\$937	4.93	44	57.7%	6.70	28	6.25	27
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.9%	7.84	14	\$891	4.03	67	60.9%	7.58	13	6.48	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.1%	7.33	23	\$895	4.11	66	46.0%	3.52	110	4.98	61
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.1%	7.05	31	\$949	5.19	34	60.2%	7.38	17	6.54	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.7%	5.80	62	\$952	5.24	31	49.9%	4.59	86	5.21	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.2%	8.22	5	\$859	3.37	82	59.0%	7.05	24	6.21	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.1%	6.20	49	\$941	5.02	40	56.8%	6.44	36	5.89	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.2%	7.94	11	\$904	4.27	61	51.9%	5.12	77	5.78	35
840	<i>Winchester</i>	69.1%	6.47	42	\$954	5.27	29	51.2%	4.92	81	5.55	43

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	26,075	1,395	996	2,370	927	536	2,866	311	633	###	1,250	883	3,615	929	334	2,404	916	4,404	232
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	94%	94%	94%	93%	88%	84%	93%	97%	95%	94%	95%	89%	82%	91%	96%	85%	89%	88%
% Timely in June 2010	89%	87%	97%	94%	97%	85%	84%	71%	93%	92%	95%	97%	81%	67%	64%	95%	82%	94%	95%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	19,490	1,059	781	1,706	743	376	2,158	243	495	826	990	680	2,773	687	254	1,749	685	3,109	176
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	98%	98%	95%	99%	91%	93%	95%	97%	93%	98%	98%	94%	91%	96%	92%	93%	91%	97%
Percent in June 2010	95%	100%	99%	97%	97%	85%	94%	88%	100%	95%	99%	100%	93%	92%	100%	95%	95%	92%	94%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	170	8	1	6	2	7	29	4	2	1	5	2	52	24	6	10	6	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	36	41	6	17	43	22	32	17	280	39	19	33	46	29	14	20	14	48	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1395	93	82	204	201	159	242	369	45
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	98%	95%	97%	99%	93%	94%	92%	71%
% Timely in June 2010	87%	100%	82%	87%	100%	83%	90%	84%	33%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1059	77	59	161	152	136	186	263	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	97%	93%	100%	100%	99%	100%	97%	80%
Percent in June 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	0	6	0	36	0	0	70

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	996	11	102	43	194	181	102	254	109
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	100%	98%	89%	96%	83%	98%	93%
% Timely in June 2010	97%	N/A	100%	75%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	781	10	87	28	151	142	81	196	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	96%	99%	100%	100%	99%	92%
Percent in June 2010	99%	N/A	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	2370	95	28	6	36	179	48	327	212	250	71	1118
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	84%	93%	100%	100%	79%	94%	98%	100%	95%	77%	95%
% Timely in June 2010	94%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	96%	83%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1706	76	21	4	25	112	43	249	153	165	48	810
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	97%	81%	100%	96%	72%	100%	99%	100%	96%	98%	96%
Percent in June 2010	97%	100%	86%	N/A	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	17	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	927	543	10	0	58	316
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	90%	N/A	84%	83%
% Timely in June 2010	97%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	743	434	7	0	40	262
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	86%	N/A	88%	99%
Percent in June 2010	97%	98%	N/A	N/A	75%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	82	0	3	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	536	8	137	120	30	115	126	232	146	86
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	88%	100%	68%	98%	60%	99%	94%	88%	90%	86%
% Timely in June 2010	85%	100%	54%	90%	0%	100%	100%	95%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	376	5	101	98	19	74	79	176	113	63
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	100%	82%	100%	100%	92%	87%	97%	98%	95%
Percent in June 2010	85%	100%	58%	100%	100%	80%	89%	94%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	2,866	229	907	236	1,006	326	124	38
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	99%	89%	90%	76%	98%	48%	87%
% Timely in June 2010	84%	95%	83%	91%	79%	100%	22%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	2158	179	661	173	773	245	94	33
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	90%	97%	93%	93%	81%	94%
Percent in June 2010	94%	100%	97%	100%	90%	92%	82%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	29	0	1	0	14	0	14	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	3	0	37	0	28	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	311	120	105	22	63	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	92%	95%	95%	92%	0%
% Timely in June 2010	71%	29%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	243	89	88	18	47	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	96%	97%	94%	89%	100%
Percent in June 2010	88%	91%	83%	100%	88%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	4	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	17	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	633	129	10	42	106	29	317
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	97%	100%	90%	64%	99%	100%	98%
% Timely in June 2010	93%	100%	N/A	60%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	495	89	5	33	84	22	262
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	97%	100%	85%	98%	100%	99%
Percent in June 2010	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	280	0	280	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1074	92	88	217	225	452
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	98%	96%	99%	91%
% Timely in June 2010	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	826	65	73	156	181	351
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	95%	99%	94%	94%	91%
Percent in June 2010	95%	100%	100%	95%	96%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	0	39

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1250	163	406	116	159	406
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	99%	90%	98%	100%	94%
% Timely in June 2010	95%	100%	88%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	990	134	319	92	119	326
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	99%	97%	97%	100%	98%
Percent in June 2010	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	3	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	9	0	0	33

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	883	44	112	87	75	35	101	42	160	97	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	86%	95%	95%	96%	91%	95%	93%	98%	93%	95%
% Timely in June 2010	97%	88%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	680	33	97	60	61	28	75	34	127	64	101
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	97%	100%	98%	100%	100%	95%	100%	99%	88%	99%
Percent in June 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	3,615	736	32	139	995	24	1,689
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	92%	81%	94%	82%	96%	91%
% Timely in June 2010	81%	92%	100%	78%	60%	0%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	2773	578	21	105	744	20	1,305
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	96%	86%	92%	94%	95%	93%
Percent in June 2010	93%	97%	100%	100%	90%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	52	5	2	0	38	1	6
Average # of Days Over 30	46	124	53	0	40	12	22

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	929	164	48	291	226	200
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	82%	59%	100%	78%	90%	92%
% Timely in June 2010	67%	13%	100%	70%	85%	87%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	687	107	37	218	177	148
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	90%	97%	89%	91%	93%
Percent in June 2010	92%	71%	100%	97%	80%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	24	14	0	6	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	29	22	0	41	40	12

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	334	87	16	49	29	12	39	21	30	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	82%	88%	100%	93%	75%	100%	100%	87%	92%
% Timely in June 2010	64%	57%	N/A	100%	0%	25%	100%	100%	100%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	254	71	14	37	21	8	28	15	28	32
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	96%	99%	93%	95%	100%	100%	89%	100%	96%	94%
Percent in June 2010	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	6	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	14	22	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	3

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	2,404	8	98	115	37	88	733	1281	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	50%	100%	100%	89%	99%	100%	94%	98%
% Timely in June 2010	95%	0%	100%	100%	50%	88%	100%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1749	5	76	85	26	70	519	938	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	40%	93%	99%	100%	87%	95%	90%	100%
Percent in June 2010	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	88%	91%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	2	1	0	6	1
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	0	34	13	0	9	66

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	916	84	79	47	64	223	419
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	85%	99%	97%	96%	97%	59%	90%
% Timely in June 2010	82%	100%	100%	100%	100%	39%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	685	66	51	34	52	161	321
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	98%	94%	100%	100%	94%	88%
Percent in June 2010	95%	100%	88%	100%	100%	94%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	5	1
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	16	4

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	4,404	117	100	662	91	1411	848	290	885
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	92%	86%	92%	97%	79%	95%	95%	95%
% Timely in June 2010	94%	100%	70%	100%	100%	92%	93%	95%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	3109	83	76	497	78	971	570	234	600
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	94%	100%	90%	99%	84%	94%	96%	95%
Percent in June 2010	92%	100%	100%	88%	100%	91%	92%	91%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	110	0	0	0	33	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jun-09</i>	<i>Jun-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,413	15,031	-2.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,752	130,930	0.9%
Total Cases Collected	145,165	145,961	0.5%
TANF Collections	\$3,720,508	\$3,359,091	-9.7%
NTANF Collections	\$50,874,077	\$52,292,636	2.8%
Total Collections	\$54,594,585	\$55,651,727	1.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$637,578,863	\$632,035,386	-0.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$372	\$369	-0.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jun-10</i>
TANF Paternities	305
NTANF Paternities	451
Combined Paternities	756

Diversionsary Assistance

June Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Montgomery	3
Alleghany / Covington	4		Nottoway	1
Amelia	2		Orange	1
Appomattox	2		Patrick	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	16		Prince Edward	1
Bedford City	6		Prince William	5
Botetourt	1		Pulaski	2
Buckingham	2		Roanoke County	17
Campbell	4		Rockingham / H'burg	11
Caroline	1		Shenandoah	13
Charles City	1		Spotsylvania	7
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	8		Stafford	14
Clarke	1		Warren	6
Culpeper	2		York / Poquoson	2
Cumberland	2		Bristol	10
Dinwiddie	4		Charlottesville	4
Fairfax / Falls Church	6		Chesapeake	1
Fauquier	1		Danville	2
Floyd	5		Franklin City	4
Franklin County	4		Fredricksburg	5
Frederick	6		Hampton	9
Greene	4		Hopewell	5
Hanover	2		Newport News	17
Henrico	8		Norfolk	29
Henry / Martinsville	7		Petersburg	2
Isle of Wight	2		Richmond City	6
James City	2		Roanoke City	19
King William	1		Suffolk	10
Louisa	2		Virginia Beach	7
Mathews	2		Winchester	4
			Statewide	331

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of morning of July 1, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through June 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through June 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


June 30, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of July and represent end of June data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June, it was \$8.21 rather than the \$8.10 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.