

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
December 2010

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services January 26, 2011

Monthly Report, December 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 40% with a denominator of 20,224 and a numerator of 8,032.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	94	29	31%	061	Fauquier	58	28	48%
003	Albemarle	61	28	46%	063	Floyd	30	17	57%
005	Alleghany/Covington	77	14	18%	065	Fluvanna	22	7	32%
007	Amelia	44	15	34%	067	Franklin County	134	45	34%
009	Amherst	44	18	41%	069	Frederick	106	19	18%
011	Appomattox	50	37	74%	071	Giles	28	5	18%
013	Arlington	128	55	43%	073	Gloucester	53	22	42%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	314	151	48%	075	Goochland	26	9	35%
017	Bath	5	3	60%	077	Grayson	29	12	41%
019	Bedford County/City	111	51	46%	079	Greene	33	19	58%
021	Bland	16	3	19%	081	Greensville/Emporia	91	44	48%
023	Botetourt	20	0	0%	083	Halifax	98	22	22%
025	Brunswick	74	40	54%	085	Hanover	105	41	39%
027	Buchanan	62	24	39%	087	Henrico	972	352	36%
029	Buckingham	37	14	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	336	119	35%
031	Campbell	167	82	49%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	124	44	35%	093	Isle of Wight	81	33	41%
035	Carroll	63	34	54%	095	James City	93	36	39%
036	Charles City	14	7	50%	097	King and Queen	10	2	20%
037	Charlotte	45	22	49%	099	King George	28	8	29%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	635	245	39%	101	King William	37	14	38%
043	Clarke	10	5	50%	103	Lancaster	30	7	23%
045	Craig	8	1	13%	105	Lee	141	51	36%
047	Culpeper	89	34	38%	107	Loudoun	137	45	33%
049	Cumberland	36	2	6%	109	Louisa	51	27	53%
051	Dickensen	39	18	46%	111	Lunenburg	39	2	5%
053	Dinwiddie	49	19	39%	113	Madison	17	5	29%
057	Essex	55	19	35%	115	Mathews	10	2	20%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	766	285	37%	117	Mecklenburg	72	33	46%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	4	18%	187	Warren	87	28	32%
121	Montgomery	196	105	54%	191	Washington	90	42	47%
125	Nelson	10	2	20%	193	Westmoreland	56	11	20%
127	New Kent	25	0	0%	195	Wise	214	70	33%
131	Northampton	72	17	24%	197	Wythe	77	24	31%
133	Northumberland	14	7	50%	199	York/Poquoson	97	41	42%
135	Nottoway	85	19	22%	510	Alexandria	295	119	40%
137	Orange	52	19	37%	520	Bristol	159	78	49%
139	Page	40	17	43%	540	Charlottesville	219	111	51%
141	Patrick	57	28	49%	550	Chesapeake	745	392	53%
143	Pittsylvania	89	31	35%	590	Danville	226	90	40%
145	Powhatan	18	5	28%	620	Franklin City	37	9	24%
147	Prince Edward	83	47	57%	630	Fredericksburg	117	50	43%
149	Prince George	40	11	28%	640	Galax	48	14	29%
153	Prince William	802	269	34%	650	Hampton	751	356	47%
155	Pulaski	70	31	44%	670	Hopewell	226	18	8%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	326	133	41%
159	Richmond County	11	1	9%	683	Manassas	99	36	36%
161	Roanoke County	181	103	57%	685	Manassas Park	33	11	33%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	40	15	38%	700	Newport News	1,135	435	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	150	63	42%	710	Norfolk	1,410	723	51%
167	Russell	105	43	41%	720	Norton	37	14	38%
169	Scott	102	36	35%	730	Petersburg	360	130	36%
171	Shenandoah	19	5	26%	740	Portsmouth	723	299	41%
173	Smyth	108	26	24%	750	Radford	39	15	38%
175	Southampton	76	1	1%	760	Richmond City	1,845	661	36%
177	Spotsylvania	267	97	36%	770	Roanoke City	661	223	34%
179	Stafford	187	85	45%	800	Suffolk	176	89	51%
181	Surry	31	14	45%	810	Virginia Beach	625	312	50%
183	Sussex	44	8	18%	830	Williamsburg	24	2	8%
185	Tazewell	111	37	33%	840	Winchester	73	24	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 34% with a denominator of 18,050 and a numerator of 6,174. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	83	21	25%	061	Fauquier	47	19	40%
003	Albemarle	53	20	38%	063	Floyd	24	11	46%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	12	16%	065	Fluvanna	20	5	25%
007	Amelia	41	13	32%	067	Franklin County	118	29	25%
009	Amherst	37	11	30%	069	Frederick	93	10	11%
011	Appomattox	45	32	71%	071	Giles	25	2	8%
013	Arlington	100	35	35%	073	Gloucester	49	18	37%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	284	122	43%	075	Goochland	22	6	27%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	24	7	29%
019	Bedford County/City	89	29	33%	079	Greene	30	16	53%
021	Bland	15	3	20%	081	Greensville/Emporia	86	39	45%
023	Botetourt	20	0	0%	083	Halifax	94	18	19%
025	Brunswick	67	35	52%	085	Hanover	94	32	34%
027	Buchanan	56	20	36%	087	Henrico	879	280	32%
029	Buckingham	32	10	31%	089	Henry/Martinsville	292	79	27%
031	Campbell	147	63	43%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	111	33	30%	093	Isle of Wight	76	28	37%
035	Carroll	56	27	48%	095	James City	80	26	33%
036	Charles City	13	6	46%	097	King and Queen	8	0	0%
037	Charlotte	40	17	43%	099	King George	25	5	20%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	559	178	32%	101	King William	35	13	37%
043	Clarke	9	4	44%	103	Lancaster	30	7	23%
045	Craig	8	1	13%	105	Lee	128	40	31%
047	Culpeper	81	27	33%	107	Loudoun	109	19	17%
049	Cumberland	34	0	0%	109	Louisa	44	20	45%
051	Dickensen	32	11	34%	111	Lunenburg	38	2	5%
053	Dinwiddie	46	16	35%	113	Madison	15	3	20%
057	Essex	53	17	32%	115	Mathews	9	2	22%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	649	180	28%	117	Mecklenburg	62	24	39%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	2	10%	187	Warren	75	19	25%
121	Montgomery	167	76	46%	191	Washington	87	39	45%
125	Nelson	8	0	0%	193	Westmoreland	52	11	21%
127	New Kent	24	0	0%	195	Wise	188	50	27%
131	Northampton	67	12	18%	197	Wythe	72	21	29%
133	Northumberland	13	7	54%	199	York/Poquoson	88	33	38%
135	Nottoway	79	13	16%	510	Alexandria	252	80	32%
137	Orange	49	16	33%	520	Bristol	147	66	45%
139	Page	37	14	38%	540	Charlottesville	197	92	47%
141	Patrick	51	22	43%	550	Chesapeake	680	334	49%
143	Pittsylvania	82	25	30%	590	Danville	212	79	37%
145	Powhatan	16	3	19%	620	Franklin City	34	6	18%
147	Prince Edward	67	31	46%	630	Fredericksburg	104	38	37%
149	Prince George	35	7	20%	640	Galax	43	9	21%
153	Prince William	684	160	23%	650	Hampton	658	290	44%
155	Pulaski	58	19	33%	670	Hopewell	209	8	4%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	295	108	37%
159	Richmond County	10	0	0%	683	Manassas	90	27	30%
161	Roanoke County	150	73	49%	685	Manassas Park	23	6	26%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	36	11	31%	700	Newport News	1,013	342	34%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	124	38	31%	710	Norfolk	1,316	642	49%
167	Russell	96	36	38%	720	Norton	32	10	31%
169	Scott	90	31	34%	730	Petersburg	312	95	30%
171	Shenandoah	17	4	24%	740	Portsmouth	651	239	37%
173	Smyth	100	19	19%	750	Radford	37	14	38%
175	Southampton	72	0	0%	760	Richmond City	1,711	545	32%
177	Spotsylvania	236	73	31%	770	Roanoke City	570	143	25%
179	Stafford	158	57	36%	800	Suffolk	152	68	45%
181	Surry	26	9	35%	810	Virginia Beach	554	243	44%
183	Sussex	40	8	20%	830	Williamsburg	23	2	9%
185	Tazewell	98	25	26%	840	Winchester	68	19	28%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 85% with a denominator of 2,174 and a numerator of 1,858. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	11	8	73%	061	Fauquier	11	9	82%
003	Albemarle	8	8	100%	063	Floyd	6	6	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	4	2	50%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	3	2	67%	067	Franklin County	16	16	100%
009	Amherst	7	7	100%	069	Frederick	13	9	69%
011	Appomattox	5	5	100%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	28	20	71%	073	Gloucester	4	4	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	29	97%	075	Goochland	4	3	75%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	5	5	100%
019	Bedford County/City	22	22	100%	079	Greene	3	3	100%
021	Bland	1	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	5	5	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	4	4	100%
025	Brunswick	7	5	71%	085	Hanover	11	9	82%
027	Buchanan	6	4	67%	087	Henrico	93	72	77%
029	Buckingham	5	4	80%	089	Henry/Martinsville	44	40	91%
031	Campbell	20	19	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	13	11	85%	093	Isle of Wight	5	5	100%
035	Carroll	7	7	100%	095	James City	13	10	77%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	76	67	88%	101	King William	2	1	50%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	13	11	85%
047	Culpeper	8	7	88%	107	Loudoun	28	26	93%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	7	7	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	2	2	100%
057	Essex	2	2	100%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	117	105	90%	117	Mecklenburg	10	9	90%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	12	9	75%
121	Montgomery	29	29	100%	191	Washington	3	3	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	0	0%	195	Wise	26	20	77%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	5	3	60%
133	Northumberland	1	0	0%	199	York/Poquoson	9	8	89%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	43	39	91%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	12	12	100%
139	Page	3	3	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	19	86%
141	Patrick	6	6	100%	550	Chesapeake	65	58	89%
143	Pittsylvania	7	6	86%	590	Danville	14	11	79%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	3	3	100%
147	Prince Edward	16	16	100%	630	Fredericksburg	13	12	92%
149	Prince George	5	4	80%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	118	109	92%	650	Hampton	93	66	71%
155	Pulaski	12	12	100%	670	Hopewell	17	10	59%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	31	25	81%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	9	9	100%
161	Roanoke County	31	30	97%	685	Manassas Park	10	5	50%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	122	93	76%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	25	96%	710	Norfolk	94	81	86%
167	Russell	9	7	78%	720	Norton	5	4	80%
169	Scott	12	5	42%	730	Petersburg	48	35	73%
171	Shenandoah	2	1	50%	740	Portsmouth	72	60	83%
173	Smyth	8	7	88%	750	Radford	2	1	50%
175	Southampton	4	1	25%	760	Richmond City	134	116	87%
177	Spotsylvania	31	24	77%	770	Roanoke City	91	80	88%
179	Stafford	29	28	97%	800	Suffolk	24	21	88%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	71	69	97%
183	Sussex	4	0	0%	830	Williamsburg	1	0	0%
185	Tazewell	13	12	92%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Dec-10	Dec-09	Change from Dec-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,989	4,430	-10%	4,807	-17%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,673	2,702	-1%	1,658	61%
Applications Approved	2,210	2,368	-7%	2,820	-22%
Cases Closed *	2,449	2,314	6%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	34,394	34,101	1%	67,995	-49%
Cases Paid During Month ²	35,307	34,991	1%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,449 closed cases, 946 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 570 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 161 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 113 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Dec-10	Dec-09	Change from Dec-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	252	563	-55%	338	-25%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	352	371	-5%	232	52%
Applications Approved	300	289	4%	81	270%
Cases Closed *	230	247	-7%	107	115%
Cases at End of Month	2,210	2,107	5%	321	588%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,321	2,220	5%	394	489%

•The database indicates that of the 230 closed cases, 110 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 65 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 18 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 14 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,604	1,850	1,122	2,831	1,049	560	4,235	448	720	1,328	1,661	1,062	6,105	1,202	441	3,568	1,307	6,806	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,892	716	512	1,217	476	260	1,739	178	333	632	562	540	2,648	677	190	1,586	640	2,863	123
Part. in a Work Activity	11,024	519	343	721	372	172	1,301	114	277	436	402	364	1,765	422	112	1,107	332	2,207	58
% of Enrolled	69%	72%	67%	59%	78%	66%	75%	64%	83%	69%	72%	67%	67%	62%	59%	70%	52%	77%	47%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	123	2	7	11	0	11	18	7	2	0	6	3	13	11	3	9	6	13	1
Participants Employed	7,461	358	243	589	293	114	817	91	179	301	267	236	1,035	300	84	796	246	1,464	48
% of Enrolled	47%	50%	47%	48%	62%	44%	47%	51%	54%	48%	48%	44%	39%	44%	44%	50%	38%	51%	39%
Full Time	5,743	309	214	484	232	86	588	75	113	235	218	164	731	219	63	585	178	1,216	33
Part Time	1,718	49	29	105	61	28	229	16	66	66	49	72	304	81	21	211	68	248	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.48	\$7.72	\$7.94	\$8.06	\$8.32	\$9.34	\$8.75	\$8.26	\$7.85	\$7.76	\$7.81	\$8.18	\$8.51	\$7.77	\$8.08	\$7.88	\$7.95	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,002	\$1,035	\$1,061	\$1,058	\$1,088	\$1,224	\$1,183	\$1,007	\$1,067	\$1,055	\$1,010	\$1,065	\$1,099	\$1,002	\$1,049	\$1,011	\$1,037	\$984

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	18,733	939	715	1,593	635	387	2,041	244	373	654	903	607	2,757	689	241	1,858	584	3,330	183
Total Enrolled in VIEW	27,511	1,209	987	2,234	827	522	3,109	295	572	1,089	1,185	915	4,172	1,103	341	2,760	1,058	4,917	216
Part. in a Work Activity	23,838	1,084	857	1,844	761	408	2,766	250	540	958	1,070	795	3,618	896	280	2,326	836	4,362	187
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	87%	83%	92%	78%	89%	85%	94%	88%	90%	87%	87%	81%	82%	84%	79%	89%	87%
Participants Employed	13,522	635	473	1,122	503	240	1,539	158	311	554	525	415	1,837	558	171	1,403	475	2,501	102
% of Total Enrolled	49%	53%	48%	50%	61%	46%	50%	54%	54%	51%	44%	45%	44%	51%	50%	51%	45%	51%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$7.60	\$7.80	\$7.98	\$8.12	\$8.47	\$9.82	\$8.63	\$8.33	\$8.01	\$7.82	\$7.95	\$8.32	\$8.51	\$7.94	\$8.16	\$8.04	\$7.99	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,015	\$1,034	\$1,064	\$1,061	\$1,127	\$1,290	\$1,092	\$984	\$1,056	\$1,025	\$998	\$1,080	\$1,067	\$1,007	\$1,045	\$1,049	\$1,039	\$1,053
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	72%	70%	66%	69%	65%	77%	78%	76%	68%	64%	69%	73%	79%	60%	75%	71%	74%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	249,646	15,971	9,415	18,479	7,237	4,690	31,104	3,554	5,370	9,352	11,787	7,139	33,256	7,383	3,224	23,546	8,445	47,418	2,276
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	189,428	12,165	7,899	13,956	5,492	3,434	23,791	2,658	4,099	7,682	9,413	5,434	25,740	5,338	2,353	17,381	6,560	34,381	1,652
Part. in a Work Activity	181,226	11,689	7,532	13,315	5,347	3,252	22,975	2,562	3,996	7,279	9,103	5,191	24,761	5,047	2,204	16,543	6,260	32,577	1,593
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	129,671	7,657	5,165	9,628	3,857	2,264	16,884	1,807	3,088	5,317	5,879	3,325	17,573	3,586	1,508	12,317	4,523	24,354	939
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	69%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	62%	61%	68%	67%	64%	71%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$6.11	\$6.20	\$6.99	\$7.04	\$7.23	\$8.54	\$7.35	\$7.28	\$6.47	\$6.30	\$6.62	\$7.25	\$7.79	\$6.87	\$6.90	\$6.89	\$6.76	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$806	\$843	\$942	\$937	\$973	\$1,139	\$972	\$939	\$844	\$843	\$864	\$978	\$1,012	\$882	\$907	\$933	\$888	\$829
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	52%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	54%	46%	56%	54%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,850	177	97	312	247	212	258	482	65
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	716	37	34	144	102	125	88	158	28
Participants in a Work Activity	519	30	24	111	86	87	63	101	17
% of Enrolled	72%	81%	71%	77%	84%	70%	72%	64%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	358	16	19	82	44	55	37	88	17
% of Enrolled	50%	43%	56%	57%	43%	44%	42%	56%	61%
Full Time	309	16	18	74	33	44	35	75	14
Part Time	49	0	1	8	11	11	2	13	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$8.09	\$7.42	\$7.42	\$7.65	\$7.50	\$7.63	\$7.39	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,164	\$1,016	#####	\$962	\$984	\$1,114	\$980	\$872

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	939	52	44	151	123	121	164	248	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,209	77	56	218	180	164	180	295	39
Participants in a Work Activity	1,084	68	52	204	163	151	163	249	34
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	93%	94%	91%	92%	91%	84%	87%
Participants Employed	635	36	28	128	86	79	92	157	29
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	50%	59%	48%	48%	51%	53%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$8.03	\$7.48	\$7.50	\$7.68	\$7.60	\$7.72	\$7.58	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$1,130	\$1,027	\$995	\$986	\$1,006	\$1,063	\$1,026	\$872
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	66%	65%	77%	72%	88%	66%	67%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,971	1,599	1,123	2,223	2,191	1,540	3,063	3,712	520
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,165	1,216	859	1,673	1,749	1,101	2,332	2,830	405
Participants in a Work Activity	11,689	1,137	815	1,657	1,662	1,077	2,241	2,724	376
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,657	642	516	1,096	1,086	638	1,576	1,798	305
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.11	\$6.33	\$6.17	\$5.83	\$6.33	\$6.38	\$6.03	\$6.10	\$5.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$806	\$828	\$812	\$772	\$846	\$871	\$794	\$798	\$730
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	60%	57%	65%	56%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,122	16	123	53	222	217	130	271	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	512	10	59	19	91	72	45	173	43
Participants in a Work Activity	343	5	48	13	56	49	33	118	21
% of Enrolled	67%	50%	81%	68%	62%	68%	73%	68%	49%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	243	3	36	6	44	37	29	72	16
% of Enrolled	47%	30%	61%	32%	48%	51%	64%	42%	37%
Full Time	214	2	35	5	41	29	27	62	13
Part Time	29	1	1	1	3	8	2	10	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$8.05	\$7.52	\$7.25	\$7.83	\$7.55	\$7.68	\$7.81	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$826	\$1,076	\$952	\$1,096	\$965	\$1,011	\$1,025	\$1,093

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	715	12	63	26	152	130	83	197	52
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	987	18	107	32	197	180	94	274	85
Participants in a Work Activity	857	12	99	27	172	167	93	223	64
% of Total Enrolled	87%	67%	93%	84%	87%	93%	99%	81%	75%
Participants Employed	473	8	68	16	75	81	57	130	38
% of Total Enrolled	48%	44%	64%	50%	38%	45%	61%	47%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.44	\$7.62	\$7.79	\$7.80	\$7.61	\$7.92	\$7.97	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$866	\$1,008	\$1,026	\$1,093	\$999	\$1,050	\$1,026	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	50%	65%	67%	76%	60%	64%	77%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,415	235	1,271	616	1,633	1,623	1,445	1,920	672
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,899	182	1,053	500	1,363	1,347	1,151	1,715	588
Participants in a Work Activity	7,532	166	964	462	1,299	1,312	1,131	1,645	553
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	92%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	5,165	112	706	329	799	878	770	1,201	370
% of Total Enrolled	65%	62%	67%	66%	59%	65%	67%	70%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.20	\$6.09	\$6.01	\$6.26	\$5.95	\$6.48	\$6.21	\$6.26	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$843	\$830	\$815	\$838	\$817	\$881	\$826	\$856	\$871
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	46%	46%	55%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,831	128	49	10	51	275	60	390	203	316	76	1,273
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1217	122	24	4	16	131	21	179	52	143	32	493
Participants in a Work Activity	721	47	3	4	12	59	17	137	42	117	22	261
% of Enrolled	59%	39%	13%	100%	75%	45%	81%	77%	81%	82%	69%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	2
Participants Employed	589	42	3	3	9	42	16	126	34	80	20	214
% of Enrolled	48%	34%	13%	75%	56%	32%	76%	70%	65%	56%	63%	43%
Full Time	484	28	3	3	9	32	14	103	33	67	15	177
Part Time	105	14	0	0	0	10	2	23	1	13	5	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.81	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.42	\$7.99	\$7.57	\$8.05	\$7.82	\$7.91	\$8.24	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$967	\$1,083	\$1,175	\$1,036	\$1,004	\$989	\$1,061	\$1,072	\$1,064	\$1,031	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,593	52	11	5	22	175	34	237	124	151	46	736
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,234	141	27	5	34	238	40	349	140	273	70	917
Participants in a Work Activity	1,844	89	7	4	32	175	37	317	130	236	59	758
% of Total Enrolled	83%	63%	26%	80%	94%	74%	93%	91%	93%	86%	84%	83%
Participants Employed	1,122	53	3	3	24	100	23	229	73	153	42	419
% of Total Enrolled	50%	38%	11%	60%	71%	42%	58%	66%	52%	56%	60%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.71	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.43	\$7.99	\$7.66	\$7.90	\$7.82	\$8.11	\$8.00	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$898	\$1,075	\$1,005	\$934	\$1,013	\$985	\$1,039	\$1,081	\$1,090	\$992	\$1,121
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	53%	100%	100%	63%	69%	63%	70%	60%	59%	76%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,479	1,093	308	71	456	1,620	470	2,638	1,621	2,005	728	7,469
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,956	823	188	28	324	1,207	330	2,137	1,272	1,595	559	5,493
Participants in a Work Activity	13,315	787	166	27	318	1,061	323	2,109	1,227	1,510	543	5,244
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	96%	98%	88%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	9,628	584	85	18	230	750	197	1,705	823	1,180	400	3,656
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	64%	71%	62%	60%	80%	65%	74%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$6.78	\$7.20	\$7.02	\$6.85	\$6.83	\$6.96	\$6.84	\$6.70	\$7.47	\$6.92	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$823	\$918	\$1,030	\$919	\$936	\$940	\$925	\$931	\$1,030	\$898	\$957
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	45%	42%	56%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,049	634	8	1	91	315
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	476	272	5	0	43	156
Participants in a Work Activity	372	216	5	N/A	23	128
% of Enrolled	78%	79%	100%	N/A	53%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	293	167	1	N/A	22	103
% of Enrolled	62%	61%	20%	N/A	51%	66%
Full Time	232	126	0	N/A	19	87
Part Time	61	41	1	N/A	3	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.77	\$7.25	N/A	\$8.25	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,006	\$628	N/A	\$1,166	\$1,123

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	635	354	5	0	46	230
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	827	470	6	0	66	285
Participants in a Work Activity	761	429	6	N/A	56	270
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	100%	N/A	85%	95%
Participants Employed	503	269	3	N/A	42	189
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	50%	N/A	64%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.92	\$4.83	N/A	\$7.94	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,039	\$520	N/A	\$1,042	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	71%	75%	N/A	69%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,237	3,890	73	16	642	2,616
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,492	2,999	45	7	475	1,966
Participants in a Work Activity	5,347	2,936	45	7	447	1,912
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,857	2,020	28	5	349	1,455
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.03	\$6.42	\$7.75	\$6.65	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$944	\$681	\$694	\$858	\$951
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	56%	58%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	560	16	184	71	26	118	145	309	192	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	260	8	86	34	9	62	61	123	56	67
Participants in a Work Activity	172	4	43	30	7	40	48	58	27	31
% of Enrolled	66%	50%	50%	88%	78%	65%	79%	47%	48%	46%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	2	4	5	1	0	1
Participants Employed	114	3	31	16	4	30	30	48	21	27
% of Enrolled	44%	38%	36%	47%	44%	48%	49%	39%	38%	40%
Full Time	86	3	23	13	4	27	16	33	15	18
Part Time	28	0	8	3	0	3	14	15	6	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.50	\$8.54	\$7.46	\$8.00	\$9.11	\$7.77	\$7.69	\$7.75	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,088	\$1,202	\$1,129	\$983	\$1,212	\$1,240	\$921	\$984	\$1,025	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	387	11	109	48	46	85	88	183	114	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	522	12	131	81	37	132	129	216	126	90
Participants in a Work Activity	408	8	99	70	30	95	106	187	112	75
% of Total Enrolled	78%	67%	76%	86%	81%	72%	82%	87%	89%	83%
Participants Employed	240	6	54	40	16	57	67	102	55	47
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	41%	49%	43%	43%	52%	47%	44%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.50	\$8.98	\$8.09	\$8.57	\$8.94	\$7.89	\$8.09	\$8.21	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,127	\$1,212	\$1,202	\$1,101	\$1,179	\$1,251	\$970	\$1,053	\$1,065	\$1,041
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	83%	74%	53%	67%	72%	56%	79%	74%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,690	182	950	727	579	1142	1110	2,276	1431	845
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,434	107	681	591	344	848	863	1,652	1014	638
Participants in a Work Activity	3,252	100	640	576	331	783	822	1593	990	603
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	97%	96%	92%	95%	96%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,264	64	399	411	218	583	589	939	536	403
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	59%	70%	63%	69%	68%	57%	53%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.30	\$7.54	\$7.24	\$6.62	\$7.30	\$7.14	\$6.57	\$6.64	\$6.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$973	\$974	\$988	\$982	\$916	\$996	\$953	\$829	\$837	\$819
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	47%	51%	55%	52%	55%	50%	61%	57%	67%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,235	314	1,569	244	1,343	512	205	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,739	113	575	151	642	179	69	10
Participants in a Work Activity	1,301	94	471	94	431	153	50	8
% of Enrolled	75%	83%	82%	62%	67%	85%	72%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	2	13	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	817	51	275	71	298	94	23	5
% of Enrolled	47%	45%	48%	47%	46%	53%	33%	50%
Full Time	588	31	223	41	205	67	16	5
Part Time	229	20	52	30	93	27	7	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.34	\$10.42	\$9.39	\$9.89	\$8.97	\$9.46	\$8.76	\$10.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,224	\$1,355	\$1,276	\$1,237	\$1,155	\$1,207	\$1,132	\$1,796

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,041	131	700	142	710	233	101	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,109	210	1,107	243	1,055	326	152	16
Participants in a Work Activity	2,766	199	1,004	205	912	301	129	16
% of Total Enrolled	89%	95%	91%	84%	86%	92%	85%	100%
Participants Employed	1,539	98	550	117	540	177	50	7
% of Total Enrolled	50%	47%	50%	48%	51%	54%	33%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.82	\$10.39	\$10.19	\$10.26	\$9.32	\$9.98	\$9.00	\$10.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,290	\$1,407	\$1,345	\$1,299	\$1,218	\$1,316	\$1,159	\$1,548
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	76%	75%	80%	75%	87%	76%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	31,104	2,708	10,916	2,175	9,948	3,610	1,346	401
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,791	2,107	8,157	1,711	7,656	2,843	1,024	293
Participants in a Work Activity	22,975	2,086	7,878	1,637	7,375	2,724	984	291
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	16,884	1,526	5,536	1,329	5,593	2,025	660	215
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	73%	71%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.10	\$8.52	\$9.06	\$8.69	\$8.24	\$8.05	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,139	\$1,057	\$1,128	\$1,177	\$1,191	\$1,056	\$1,085	\$1,077
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	61%	65%	61%	70%	59%	64%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	448	199	100	35	110	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	178	67	42	9	58	2
Participants in a Work Activity	114	41	29	7	37	0
% of Enrolled	64%	61%	69%	78%	64%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	3	0	4	0
Participants Employed	91	35	18	4	34	0
% of Enrolled	51%	52%	43%	44%	59%	0%
Full Time	75	26	17	3	29	N/A
Part Time	16	9	1	1	5	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.75	\$8.12	\$9.48	\$8.06	\$9.10	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,183	\$1,039	\$1,320	\$1,177	\$1,261	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	244	113	66	19	41	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	295	113	81	26	71	4
Participants in a Work Activity	250	91	72	26	58	3
% of Total Enrolled	85%	81%	89%	100%	82%	75%
Participants Employed	158	59	45	9	44	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	56%	35%	62%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.05	\$9.13	\$8.97	\$8.79	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,092	\$962	\$1,206	\$1,128	\$1,147	\$774
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	83%	81%	100%	69%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,554	1,368	1040	330	725	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,658	972	793	243	589	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,562	934	785	236	549	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,807	640	544	158	426	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	65%	72%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.31	\$7.69	\$7.10	\$7.10	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$950	\$1,007	\$991	\$955	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	61%	47%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	720	136	45	62	99	33	345
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	333	35	14	32	38	7	207
Participants in a Work Activity	277	30	11	23	29	5	179
% of Enrolled	83%	86%	79%	72%	76%	71%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	179	20	8	22	21	5	103
% of Enrolled	54%	57%	57%	69%	55%	71%	50%
Full Time	113	17	6	9	13	2	66
Part Time	66	3	2	13	8	3	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.79	\$7.81	\$8.35	\$8.31	\$7.53	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,266	\$1,006	\$986	\$1,101	\$711	\$957

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	373	75	23	29	55	13	178
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	572	88	26	47	84	21	306
Participants in a Work Activity	540	85	25	38	73	21	298
% of Total Enrolled	94%	97%	96%	81%	87%	100%	97%
Participants Employed	311	45	12	29	39	10	176
% of Total Enrolled	54%	51%	46%	62%	46%	48%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.62	\$8.00	\$8.49	\$8.48	\$7.72	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$1,148	\$986	\$995	\$1,079	\$893	\$928
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	74%	86%	79%	73%	88%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,370	1253	202	422	817	258	2,418
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,099	885	132	302	589	180	2,011
Participants in a Work Activity	3,996	864	127	281	564	179	1,981
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	93%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,088	650	94	230	361	117	1,636
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	71%	76%	61%	65%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.70	\$7.43	\$7.26	\$7.36	\$7.56	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$1,000	\$1,070	\$913	\$958	\$989	\$917
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	57%	50%	54%	50%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,328	94	90	219	297	628
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	632	32	45	88	201	266
Participants in a Work Activity	436	21	43	58	161	153
% of Enrolled	69%	66%	96%	66%	80%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	301	16	33	33	93	126
% of Enrolled	48%	50%	73%	38%	46%	47%
Full Time	235	15	33	19	70	98
Part Time	66	1	0	14	23	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.02	\$7.78	\$8.06	\$8.00	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,054	\$1,155	\$978	\$1,101	\$1,044

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	654	51	36	138	127	302
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,089	68	70	202	304	445
Participants in a Work Activity	958	57	67	167	287	380
% of Total Enrolled	88%	84%	96%	83%	94%	85%
Participants Employed	554	36	42	88	166	222
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	60%	44%	55%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.87	\$7.69	\$8.55	\$8.02	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,030	\$1,148	\$1,142	\$1,027	\$1,031
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	62%	75%	64%	69%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,352	868	747	1,889	2,162	3,686
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,682	692	649	1,508	1,829	3,004
Participants in a Work Activity	7,279	660	638	1,406	1,732	2,843
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,317	466	460	990	1,259	2,142
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.47	\$6.66	\$6.18	\$6.54	\$6.66	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$844	\$851	\$853	\$845	\$883	\$820
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	44%	54%	58%	61%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,661	252	548	98	245	518
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	562	78	221	79	50	134
Participants in a Work Activity	402	33	177	57	36	99
% of Enrolled	72%	42%	80%	72%	72%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	3	2	0
Participants Employed	267	29	108	42	30	58
% of Enrolled	48%	37%	49%	53%	60%	43%
Full Time	218	21	90	28	28	51
Part Time	49	8	18	14	2	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.90	\$7.77	\$7.61	\$7.77	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,154	\$1,058	\$980	\$1,063	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	903	137	325	72	126	243
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,185	168	441	126	140	310
Participants in a Work Activity	1,070	144	409	110	123	284
% of Total Enrolled	90%	86%	93%	87%	88%	92%
Participants Employed	525	58	222	71	58	116
% of Total Enrolled	44%	35%	50%	56%	41%	37%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$8.10	\$7.84	\$7.45	\$7.82	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,054	\$1,037	\$964	\$1,001	\$1,038
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	66%	65%	68%	60%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,787	1,823	3,173	1,148	1,907	3,736
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,413	1,511	2,378	996	1,458	3,070
Participants in a Work Activity	9,103	1,454	2,284	938	1,437	2,990
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	97%
Participants Employed	5,879	868	1,525	671	805	2,010
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.30	\$6.27	\$6.69	\$6.17	\$6.29	\$6.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$843	\$829	\$930	\$804	\$857	\$794
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	53%	65%	50%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,062	72	127	61	78	66	139	68	174	132	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	540	35	60	25	42	28	85	46	69	69	81
Participants in a Work Activity	364	28	39	22	32	13	71	12	55	40	52
% of Enrolled	67%	80%	65%	88%	76%	46%	84%	26%	80%	58%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	236	19	31	15	17	11	30	11	34	34	34
% of Enrolled	44%	54%	52%	60%	40%	39%	35%	24%	49%	49%	42%
Full Time	164	11	21	13	12	8	13	7	23	28	28
Part Time	72	8	10	2	5	3	17	4	11	6	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$8.77	\$7.38	\$7.34	\$7.69	\$8.73	\$7.61	\$7.30	\$7.56	\$7.84	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$1,053	\$893	\$1,001	\$993	\$1,029	\$876	\$899	\$997	\$1,096	\$1,184

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	607	39	68	45	52	34	75	26	102	68	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	915	60	91	61	70	56	136	52	141	113	135
Participants in a Work Activity	795	52	82	55	62	51	119	35	127	89	123
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	90%	90%	89%	91%	88%	67%	90%	79%	91%
Participants Employed	415	31	45	26	27	28	52	12	70	64	60
% of Total Enrolled	45%	52%	49%	43%	39%	50%	38%	23%	50%	57%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.68	\$7.44	\$7.53	\$8.16	\$8.75	\$7.57	\$7.30	\$8.06	\$8.02	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$1,077	\$883	\$1,050	\$1,038	\$1,063	\$814	\$836	\$1,017	\$1,084	\$1,046
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	90%	74%	70%	59%	59%	60%	69%	65%	77%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,139	439	916	713	611	486	844	300	1039	848	943
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,434	311	722	530	434	345	662	225	814	639	752
Participants in a Work Activity	5,191	294	718	495	402	330	631	223	773	594	731
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,325	190	415	301	219	200	384	102	541	439	534
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	57%	57%	50%	58%	58%	45%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.62	\$7.32	\$6.12	\$6.93	\$7.05	\$7.15	\$6.56	\$5.87	\$6.41	\$6.60	\$6.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$864	\$953	\$814	\$931	\$913	\$945	\$806	\$733	\$829	\$882	\$868
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	57%	52%	48%	47%	55%	53%	57%	56%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,105	1127	46	163	1,712	52	3,005
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,648	419	29	106	823	21	1,250
Participants in a Work Activity	1,765	297	11	62	511	6	878
% of Enrolled	67%	71%	38%	58%	62%	29%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	2	0	0	6	0	5
Participants Employed	1,035	183	11	55	364	4	418
% of Enrolled	39%	44%	38%	52%	44%	19%	33%
Full Time	731	150	8	35	246	3	289
Part Time	304	33	3	20	118	1	129
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.03	\$8.89	\$8.57	\$8.35	\$12.39	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,083	\$1,209	\$1,096	\$1,089	\$1,559	\$1,025

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,757	566	29	102	714	32	1,314
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,172	765	37	158	1,211	38	1,963
Participants in a Work Activity	3,618	655	26	123	1,052	28	1,734
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	70%	78%	87%	74%	88%
Participants Employed	1,837	347	16	88	589	14	783
% of Total Enrolled	44%	45%	43%	56%	49%	37%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.41	\$8.74	\$8.35	\$8.42	\$9.51	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,118	\$1,138	\$1,000	\$1,103	\$1,157	\$1,055
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	79%	90%	70%	80%	75%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	33,256	6,732	242	1111	8,061	301	16,809
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	25,740	5,056	178	795	6,395	211	13,105
Participants in a Work Activity	24,761	4,883	164	731	6,070	194	12,719
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	92%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	17,573	3,260	114	516	4,314	122	9,247
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	64%	65%	67%	58%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.49	\$6.86	\$8.13	\$7.53	\$7.46	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$1,009	\$886	\$1,048	\$1,014	\$936	\$952
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	60%	55%	62%	57%	51%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,202	211	49	461	296	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	677	135	20	232	164	126
Participants in a Work Activity	422	68	10	147	115	82
% of Enrolled	62%	50%	50%	63%	70%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	5	0	1	0	5
Participants Employed	300	50	7	112	64	67
% of Enrolled	44%	37%	35%	48%	39%	53%
Full Time	219	36	6	85	43	49
Part Time	81	14	1	27	21	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.51	\$8.69	\$8.32	\$8.38	\$8.33	\$8.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,099	\$1,109	\$1,284	\$1,054	\$1,002	\$1,241

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	689	110	37	248	172	122
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,103	180	34	394	273	222
Participants in a Work Activity	896	151	24	306	229	186
% of Total Enrolled	81%	84%	71%	78%	84%	84%
Participants Employed	558	88	9	215	122	124
% of Total Enrolled	51%	49%	26%	55%	45%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.51	\$8.52	\$8.36	\$8.49	\$8.57	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,050	\$1,064	\$1,057	\$1,054	\$1,112
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	70%	53%	82%	81%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,383	1,154	452	2,182	2,141	1,454
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,338	807	296	1,694	1,323	1,218
Participants in a Work Activity	5,047	770	280	1,542	1,282	1,173
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,586	504	192	1,171	790	929
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	65%	69%	60%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$7.50	\$7.27	\$7.90	\$8.26	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$955	\$917	\$1,026	\$1,109	\$983
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	44%	48%	57%	57%	56%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	441	85	26	61	47	22	33	32	35	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	190	48	7	33	13	10	22	11	8	38
Participants in a Work Activity	112	26	2	26	8	7	10	10	4	19
% of Enrolled	59%	54%	29%	79%	62%	70%	45%	91%	50%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	84	16	2	16	8	7	10	6	3	16
% of Enrolled	44%	33%	29%	48%	62%	70%	45%	55%	38%	42%
Full Time	63	10	1	11	7	5	8	5	1	15
Part Time	21	6	1	5	1	2	2	1	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.01	\$4.70	\$8.30	\$7.88	\$7.93	\$7.17	\$7.48	\$8.53	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,039	\$508	\$1,028	\$1,047	\$1,183	\$946	\$1,046	\$730	\$968

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	241	39	17	33	24	14	18	22	17	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	341	76	13	57	25	23	36	21	17	73
Participants in a Work Activity	280	72	9	48	22	20	27	17	17	48
% of Total Enrolled	82%	95%	69%	84%	88%	87%	75%	81%	100%	66%
Participants Employed	171	42	4	28	13	12	20	9	8	35
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	31%	49%	52%	52%	56%	43%	47%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.31	\$6.33	\$8.02	\$7.85	\$7.81	\$7.45	\$7.87	\$8.29	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,009	\$921	\$983	\$1,018	\$1,082	\$1,005	\$958	\$1,034	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	54%	75%	68%	46%	60%	75%	100%	25%	54%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,224	481	245	284	371	182	365	286	296	714
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,353	377	161	228	282	118	267	231	170	519
Participants in a Work Activity	2,204	363	149	216	265	111	243	223	166	468
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	94%	91%	97%	98%	90%
Participants Employed	1,508	264	83	137	191	73	180	136	108	336
% of Total Enrolled	64%	70%	52%	60%	68%	62%	67%	59%	64%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$6.88	\$6.91	\$7.31	\$6.64	\$6.81	\$6.90	\$6.53	\$6.89	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$882	\$845	\$877	\$966	\$835	\$876	\$895	\$842	\$928	\$908
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	41%	36%	46%	48%	54%	58%	41%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,568	25	112	144	49	136	1,219	1,851	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,586	9	39	60	21	83	523	830	21
Participants in a Work Activity	1,107	8	30	42	7	51	384	568	17
% of Enrolled	70%	89%	77%	70%	33%	61%	73%	68%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0
Participants Employed	796	6	25	34	5	40	264	405	17
% of Enrolled	50%	67%	64%	57%	24%	48%	50%	49%	81%
Full Time	585	6	17	22	2	17	189	322	10
Part Time	211	0	8	12	3	23	75	83	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$7.67	\$7.52	\$8.29	\$7.51	\$8.37	\$8.09	\$8.07	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,059	\$912	\$1,076	\$767	\$1,001	\$1,026	\$1,079	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,858	18	71	85	21	76	642	929	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,760	18	85	115	29	144	908	1,425	36
Participants in a Work Activity	2,326	17	69	100	21	118	806	1,166	29
% of Total Enrolled	84%	94%	81%	87%	72%	82%	89%	82%	81%
Participants Employed	1,403	8	46	68	5	79	464	705	28
% of Total Enrolled	51%	44%	54%	59%	17%	55%	51%	49%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.56	\$8.03	\$8.70	\$7.51	\$8.52	\$8.12	\$8.09	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,294	\$976	\$1,094	\$748	\$1,066	\$1,001	\$1,070	\$1,047
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	50%	58%	76%	80%	85%	76%	74%	87%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	23,546	144	982	962	283	925	8,241	11,677	332
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,381	103	684	743	205	681	5,946	8,778	241
Participants in a Work Activity	16,543	100	632	689	196	652	5,792	8,256	226
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	92%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	12,317	56	454	524	121	434	4,205	6,343	180
% of Total Enrolled	71%	54%	66%	71%	59%	64%	71%	72%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.89	\$6.75	\$7.29	\$7.39	\$7.06	\$6.90	\$6.86	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$907	\$900	\$839	\$892	\$1,000	\$886	\$902	\$916	\$902
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	50%	54%	51%	51%	47%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,307	139	83	59	77	344	605
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	640	27	29	35	47	236	266
Participants in a Work Activity	332	20	21	22	23	84	162
% of Enrolled	52%	74%	72%	63%	49%	36%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	1	0	1	3
Participants Employed	246	18	16	16	15	67	114
% of Enrolled	38%	67%	55%	46%	32%	28%	43%
Full Time	178	12	10	10	11	39	96
Part Time	68	6	6	6	4	28	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.48	\$7.71	\$8.44	\$8.03	\$7.66	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$951	\$968	\$981	\$1,058	\$904	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	584	72	31	21	39	153	268
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,058	53	66	50	79	314	496
Participants in a Work Activity	836	51	52	49	70	210	404
% of Total Enrolled	79%	96%	79%	98%	89%	67%	81%
Participants Employed	475	30	37	30	30	111	237
% of Total Enrolled	45%	57%	56%	60%	38%	35%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$7.72	\$8.18	\$8.66	\$7.83	\$7.84	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,064	\$1,027	\$1,057	\$987	\$953	\$1,100
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	66%	79%	75%	77%	68%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,445	1084	734	324	670	2,116	3,517
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,560	738	543	260	536	1,693	2,790
Participants in a Work Activity	6,260	735	475	247	520	1,617	2,666
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,523	493	341	187	353	1,161	1,988
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	63%	72%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$6.83	\$7.32	\$7.08	\$6.86	\$6.76	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$933	\$945	\$979	\$867	\$938	\$909	\$942
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	52%	50%	48%	56%	50%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through December 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/10 and Work Activity as of 12/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,806	162	144	1,182	114	2,344	1,288	418	1,154
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,863	51	65	524	21	1,104	527	126	445
Participants in a Work Activity	2,207	36	28	426	16	869	398	94	340
% of Enrolled	77%	71%	43%	81%	76%	79%	76%	75%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	3
Participants Employed	1,464	32	20	290	5	578	250	71	218
% of Enrolled	51%	63%	31%	55%	24%	52%	47%	56%	49%
Full Time	1,216	25	12	243	0	513	192	60	171
Part Time	248	7	8	47	5	65	58	11	47
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.38	\$7.78	\$7.93	\$8.09	\$7.81	\$7.98	\$8.18	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$1,070	\$981	\$1,061	\$773	\$1,011	\$1,048	\$1,075	\$1,055

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,330	89	68	556	70	1,077	574	187	709
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,917	115	104	915	71	1,717	900	266	829
Participants in a Work Activity	4,362	100	86	829	69	1,521	803	247	707
% of Total Enrolled	89%	87%	83%	91%	97%	89%	89%	93%	85%
Participants Employed	2,501	60	33	524	18	908	422	142	394
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	32%	57%	25%	53%	47%	53%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.14	\$7.79	\$8.09	\$7.79	\$7.79	\$8.06	\$8.20	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,033	\$951	\$1,085	\$858	\$1,001	\$1,039	\$1,056	\$1,075
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	70%	71%	76%	56%	76%	75%	63%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	47,418	1074	906	7,600	745	15,308	7,668	3,016	11,101
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	34,381	763	721	5,489	588	10,974	6,012	2,344	7,490
Participants in a Work Activity	32,577	737	687	5,225	577	10,405	5,668	2,318	6,960
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,354	539	419	4,035	389	7,779	4,403	1,747	5,043
% of Total Enrolled	71%	71%	58%	74%	66%	71%	73%	75%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.81	\$6.51	\$6.92	\$6.37	\$6.64	\$6.66	\$6.61	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$888	\$903	\$820	\$916	\$797	\$853	\$895	\$863	\$944
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	45%	56%	54%	55%	61%	58%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	43.7%	4.88	90	\$8.21	5.99	40	74.4%	7.44	48	6.11	66
003	Albemarle	51.1%	6.00	49	\$8.62	6.72	20	73.5%	7.35	52	6.69	33
005	Alleghany/Covington	37.6%	3.97	105	\$7.71	5.11	97	53.1%	5.31	113	4.79	111
007	Amelia	51.7%	6.08	47	\$8.68	6.83	18	90.0%	9.00	5	7.30	9
009	Amherst	52.9%	6.27	38	\$7.87	5.39	77	61.8%	6.18	97	5.95	78
011	Appomattox	60.0%	7.33	11	\$7.69	5.07	98	75.0%	7.50	41	6.63	36
013	Arlington	46.7%	5.33	78	\$10.39	9.86	1	75.6%	7.56	37	7.58	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	57.2%	6.92	20	\$7.92	5.48	70	71.2%	7.12	59	6.51	41
017	Bath	50.0%	5.83	53	\$4.83	0.00	119	75.0%	7.50	42	4.44	115
019	Bedford County/City	43.6%	4.87	91	\$8.55	6.60	24	64.3%	6.43	92	5.96	76
021	Bland	44.4%	5.00	86	\$7.44	4.63	113	50.0%	5.00	115	4.88	110
023	Botetourt	11.1%	0.00	119	\$7.50	4.73	108	100.0%	10.00	1	4.91	109
025	Brunswick	49.5%	5.75	62	\$7.44	4.63	114	73.7%	7.37	51	5.92	81
027	Buchanan	46.8%	5.35	77	\$8.03	5.67	59	65.5%	6.55	86	5.86	86
029	Buckingham	42.6%	4.73	96	\$7.53	4.79	106	69.6%	6.96	67	5.49	98
031	Campbell	54.6%	6.52	32	\$8.02	5.66	61	69.1%	6.91	71	6.36	52
033	Caroline	48.9%	5.67	65	\$8.52	6.54	25	70.2%	7.02	62	6.41	51
035	Carroll	63.6%	7.87	7	\$7.62	4.95	101	64.8%	6.48	88	6.43	48
036	Charles City	44.4%	5.00	87	\$8.56	6.61	23	50.0%	5.00	116	5.54	97
037	Charlotte	38.6%	4.12	101	\$8.16	5.90	45	59.1%	5.91	105	5.31	102
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	45.4%	5.14	82	\$8.41	6.35	34	78.6%	7.86	24	6.45	45
043	Clarke	50.0%	5.83	54	\$8.50	6.51	28	83.3%	8.33	14	6.89	21
045	Craig	60.0%	7.33	12	\$7.75	5.18	93	100.0%	10.00	2	7.50	6
047	Culpeper	52.2%	6.17	41	\$8.05	5.71	58	82.7%	8.27	16	6.71	31
049	Cumberland	50.0%	5.83	55	\$8.75	6.95	15	58.8%	5.88	106	6.22	59
051	Dickensen	50.0%	5.83	56	\$7.48	4.70	110	64.5%	6.45	91	5.66	93
053	Dimwiddie	56.6%	6.82	22	\$7.72	5.12	94	65.5%	6.55	87	6.17	62
057	Essex	55.3%	6.62	30	\$8.31	6.17	37	54.3%	5.43	110	6.07	70
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	49.7%	5.79	59	\$10.19	9.50	4	74.8%	7.48	47	7.59	4
061	Fauquier	55.6%	6.67	28	\$9.13	7.62	8	80.6%	8.06	19	7.45	7
063	Floyd	70.6%	8.92	3	\$7.43	4.61	115	62.5%	6.25	96	6.59	37
065	Fluvanna	46.2%	5.26	80	\$8.00	5.62	64	85.7%	8.57	11	6.48	42
067	Franklin County	42.0%	4.64	97	\$7.99	5.60	66	68.9%	6.89	72	5.71	90
069	Frederick	41.2%	4.52	99	\$8.98	7.36	11	73.8%	7.38	49	6.42	49
071	Giles	57.5%	6.96	18	\$7.66	5.02	100	63.2%	6.32	94	6.10	67
073	Gloucester	54.1%	6.45	35	\$8.03	5.67	60	57.6%	5.76	107	5.96	77
075	Goochland	43.2%	4.82	92	\$8.74	6.93	16	90.0%	9.00	6	6.92	20
077	Grayson	50.0%	5.83	57	\$7.79	5.25	89	66.7%	6.67	82	5.92	80

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.7%	7.59	9	\$8.49	6.49	29	79.2%	7.92	23	7.33	8
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.2%	4.07	102	\$7.57	4.86	105	60.5%	6.05	98	4.99	108
083	Halifax	34.5%	3.51	110	\$8.10	5.80	51	66.0%	6.60	85	5.30	103
085	Hanover	55.7%	6.69	27	\$8.35	6.24	36	70.2%	7.02	63	6.65	35
087	Henrico	48.6%	5.63	66	\$8.42	6.37	33	79.9%	7.99	22	6.66	34
089	Henry/Martinsville	50.3%	5.88	52	\$7.84	5.34	81	64.6%	6.46	90	5.89	84
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	52.2%	6.16	42	\$8.14	5.87	46	69.8%	6.98	65	6.34	55
095	James City	59.1%	7.20	15	\$8.70	6.86	17	76.5%	7.65	30	7.24	10
097	King and Queen	30.8%	2.95	113	\$6.33	2.66	118	75.0%	7.50	43	4.37	116
099	King George	26.5%	2.30	114	\$8.36	6.26	35	53.3%	5.33	112	4.63	113
101	King William	49.1%	5.70	64	\$8.02	5.66	62	68.4%	6.84	76	6.07	71
103	Lancaster	52.0%	6.13	45	\$7.85	5.35	80	46.2%	4.62	117	5.37	100
105	Lee	58.7%	7.14	16	\$7.50	4.73	109	76.7%	7.67	29	6.51	40
107	Loudoun	48.1%	5.56	68	\$10.26	9.63	2	80.4%	8.04	20	7.74	3
109	Louisa	46.4%	5.30	79	\$8.48	6.47	32	73.0%	7.30	53	6.36	54
111	Lunenburg	23.1%	1.79	117	\$7.30	4.38	116	69.2%	6.92	70	4.37	117
113	Madison	34.6%	3.53	109	\$8.97	7.34	12	100.0%	10.00	3	6.96	19
115	Mathews	52.2%	6.16	43	\$7.81	5.28	87	60.0%	6.00	101	5.81	88
117	Mecklenburg	49.6%	5.78	60	\$8.06	5.73	56	64.6%	6.46	89	5.99	74
119	Middlesex	55.6%	6.67	29	\$7.45	4.65	111	75.0%	7.50	44	6.27	57
121	Montgomery	65.6%	8.18	5	\$7.90	5.44	73	70.2%	7.02	61	6.88	22
125	Nelson	47.6%	5.48	72	\$7.72	5.12	95	87.5%	8.75	8	6.45	44
127	New Kent	17.2%	0.92	118	\$7.51	4.75	107	80.0%	8.00	21	4.56	114
131	Northampton	52.2%	6.17	40	\$7.95	5.53	68	84.2%	8.42	13	6.71	32
133	Northumberland	42.9%	4.76	95	\$7.87	5.39	78	100.0%	10.00	4	6.72	30
135	Nottoway	56.6%	6.83	21	\$8.02	5.66	63	76.7%	7.67	28	6.72	29
137	Orange	62.0%	7.63	8	\$8.79	7.02	14	69.4%	6.94	69	7.20	12
139	Page	49.4%	5.74	63	\$8.09	5.78	53	52.8%	5.28	114	5.60	96
141	Patrick	56.3%	6.79	23	\$7.45	4.65	112	68.1%	6.81	77	6.08	68
143	Pittsylvania	41.4%	4.55	98	\$7.82	5.30	85	60.5%	6.05	99	5.30	104
145	Powhatan	36.8%	3.86	107	\$9.51	8.30	6	75.0%	7.50	45	6.55	39
147	Prince Edward	44.4%	5.00	88	\$7.92	5.48	71	72.0%	7.20	55	5.89	85
149	Prince George	56.1%	6.74	24	\$8.18	5.94	43	78.6%	7.86	25	6.85	25
153	Prince William	51.2%	6.01	48	\$9.32	7.96	7	75.4%	7.54	40	7.17	16
155	Pulaski	52.1%	6.15	44	\$7.82	5.30	86	60.0%	6.00	102	5.82	87
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	2.08	116	\$9.00	7.39	9	0.0%	0.00	119	3.16	119
159	Richmond County	47.1%	5.39	75	\$8.29	6.13	38	25.0%	2.50	118	4.68	112
161	Roanoke County	56.0%	6.74	25	\$8.11	5.82	49	59.4%	5.94	104	6.17	63
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	63.6%	7.88	6	\$7.94	5.51	69	68.8%	6.88	73	6.76	28
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.3%	8.28	4	\$8.49	6.49	30	67.2%	6.72	81	7.16	17
167	Russell	47.8%	5.50	71	\$7.68	5.05	99	71.8%	7.18	56	5.91	82
169	Scott	48.2%	5.56	67	\$7.60	4.91	103	87.7%	8.77	7	6.41	50
171	Shenandoah	43.2%	4.82	93	\$8.57	6.63	21	66.7%	6.67	83	6.04	72

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	38.1%	4.04	103	\$7.80	5.27	88	76.0%	7.60	32	5.64	95
175	<i>Southampton</i>	31.7%	3.09	112	\$7.79	5.25	90	71.0%	7.10	60	5.15	106
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	54.6%	6.52	33	\$8.49	6.49	31	82.2%	8.22	17	7.08	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	44.7%	5.04	85	\$8.57	6.63	22	80.7%	8.07	18	6.58	38
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.0%	7.33	13	\$8.66	6.79	19	75.0%	7.50	46	7.21	11
183	<i>Sussex</i>	38.0%	4.03	104	\$7.83	5.32	84	76.9%	7.69	26	5.68	92
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	51.1%	6.00	50	\$7.72	5.12	96	66.3%	6.63	84	5.92	79
187	<i>Warren</i>	43.2%	4.81	94	\$8.94	7.29	13	72.2%	7.22	54	6.44	47
191	<i>Washington</i>	45.0%	5.08	83	\$7.61	4.93	102	60.3%	6.03	100	5.35	101
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	47.9%	5.53	69	\$7.90	5.44	74	54.2%	5.42	111	5.46	99
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.2%	6.32	37	\$7.58	4.88	104	67.4%	6.74	78	5.98	75
197	<i>Wythe</i>	60.6%	7.43	10	\$7.92	5.48	72	64.3%	6.43	93	6.45	46
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.9%	6.56	31	\$8.52	6.54	26	84.6%	8.46	12	7.19	14
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	54.3%	6.48	34	\$9.98	9.13	5	87.4%	8.74	9	8.12	2
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.4%	5.45	74	\$7.97	5.57	67	76.9%	7.69	27	6.23	58
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.5%	6.96	17	\$8.26	6.08	39	75.4%	7.54	39	6.86	23
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.3%	6.92	19	\$8.09	5.78	54	76.3%	7.63	31	6.78	27
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.4%	3.95	106	\$7.90	5.44	75	60.0%	6.00	103	5.13	107
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	25.4%	2.14	115	\$7.79	5.25	91	56.3%	5.63	108	4.34	118
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.9%	6.71	26	\$8.52	6.54	27	83.3%	8.33	15	7.20	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	44.7%	5.04	84	\$7.84	5.34	82	70.0%	7.00	64	5.79	89
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.1%	6.00	51	\$8.12	5.83	48	75.8%	7.58	35	6.47	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	35.4%	3.64	108	\$7.84	5.34	83	68.5%	6.85	74	5.27	105
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	49.9%	5.82	58	\$7.87	5.39	79	68.5%	6.85	75	6.02	73
683	<i>Manassas</i>	32.9%	3.27	111	\$9.00	7.39	10	75.6%	7.56	36	6.07	69
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	43.8%	4.90	89	\$10.20	9.52	3	71.4%	7.14	57	7.19	15
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.5%	5.75	61	\$8.09	5.78	55	73.8%	7.38	50	6.30	56
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.9%	6.27	39	\$7.79	5.25	92	75.8%	7.58	34	6.36	53
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.4%	9.49	2	\$7.07	3.97	117	69.6%	6.96	68	6.81	26
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	47.8%	5.50	70	\$8.11	5.82	50	71.4%	7.14	58	6.15	64
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	46.9%	5.37	76	\$8.06	5.73	57	75.4%	7.54	38	6.21	60
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.0%	7.33	14	\$8.00	5.62	65	75.9%	7.59	33	6.85	24
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	39.9%	4.32	100	\$8.17	5.92	44	67.4%	6.74	79	5.66	94
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.7%	5.19	81	\$8.10	5.80	52	67.3%	6.73	80	5.91	83
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.4%	6.34	36	\$8.20	5.98	41	63.1%	6.31	95	6.21	61
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	47.5%	5.46	73	\$8.19	5.96	42	69.7%	6.97	66	6.13	65
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	77.8%	10.00	1	\$8.13	5.85	47	87.0%	8.70	10	8.18	1
840	<i>Winchester</i>	51.9%	6.12	46	\$7.89	5.43	76	55.6%	5.56	109	5.70	91

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.9%	2.12	115	\$837	3.07	95	57.2%	6.57	30	3.92	105
003	Albemarle	73.4%	7.81	13	\$1,000	6.26	18	54.3%	5.79	58	6.62	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.0%	7.12	29	\$823	2.78	102	45.0%	3.27	111	4.39	95
007	Amelia	61.1%	4.39	95	\$953	5.34	34	47.1%	3.82	101	4.52	86
009	Amherst	67.3%	6.12	53	\$851	3.33	88	50.0%	4.61	84	4.69	82
011	Appomattox	70.9%	7.10	31	\$853	3.37	87	43.7%	2.90	114	4.46	92
013	Arlington	72.4%	7.53	17	\$1,057	7.37	8	63.8%	8.35	7	7.75	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.4%	6.13	52	\$944	5.17	40	55.2%	6.01	50	5.77	37
017	Bath	62.2%	4.71	89	\$681	0.00	120	53.9%	5.66	62	3.45	112
019	Bedford County/City	65.6%	5.66	65	\$845	3.21	91	54.4%	5.82	57	4.90	74
021	Bland	61.5%	4.52	93	\$830	2.92	97	45.5%	3.40	109	3.61	110
023	Botetourt	45.2%	0.00	120	\$918	4.66	53	41.8%	2.39	116	2.35	118
025	Brunswick	57.5%	3.39	110	\$814	2.61	108	56.7%	6.42	35	4.14	100
027	Buchanan	52.8%	2.10	116	\$828	2.88	100	54.6%	5.85	56	3.61	111
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.20	112	\$931	4.90	48	52.5%	5.28	69	4.46	91
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.54	40	\$883	3.96	73	58.3%	6.86	23	5.78	36
033	Caroline	62.5%	4.77	88	\$955	5.38	30	43.7%	2.90	115	4.35	97
035	Carroll	67.0%	6.04	55	\$815	2.63	107	46.0%	3.52	106	4.06	102
036	Charles City	54.4%	2.53	114	\$900	4.31	66	50.0%	4.61	85	3.82	107
037	Charlotte	50.5%	1.45	118	\$913	4.56	60	48.4%	4.18	92	3.40	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.5%	5.33	75	\$1,009	6.43	15	60.3%	7.40	15	6.39	20
043	Clarke	59.8%	4.04	98	\$974	5.75	26	46.6%	3.68	103	4.49	88
045	Craig	64.3%	5.28	77	\$1,030	6.85	11	56.3%	6.31	41	6.15	29
047	Culpeper	65.8%	5.71	63	\$950	5.28	37	55.5%	6.10	47	5.70	41
049	Cumberland	58.0%	3.53	107	\$945	5.19	38	46.5%	3.67	104	4.13	101
051	Dickensen	60.1%	4.11	97	\$812	2.58	109	48.3%	4.15	93	3.61	109
053	Dinwiddie	66.8%	5.97	57	\$945	5.18	39	51.5%	5.02	74	5.39	50
057	Essex	70.0%	6.87	37	\$845	3.23	90	40.5%	2.04	118	4.05	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.9%	6.27	46	\$1,128	8.76	3	61.2%	7.64	12	7.56	6
061	Fauquier	68.6%	6.47	43	\$1,007	6.40	16	61.4%	7.71	11	6.86	11
063	Floyd	71.0%	7.13	28	\$919	4.67	52	45.6%	3.43	108	5.08	61
065	Fluvanna	71.2%	7.19	26	\$1,070	7.64	7	56.5%	6.38	37	7.07	7
067	Franklin County	62.1%	4.68	90	\$936	5.00	46	50.2%	4.65	82	4.78	80
069	Frederick	58.6%	3.70	104	\$988	6.03	22	51.1%	4.90	78	4.88	77
071	Giles	59.7%	4.01	100	\$940	5.08	43	47.6%	3.97	98	4.35	96
073	Gloucester	66.4%	5.86	60	\$839	3.10	93	53.9%	5.68	61	4.88	76
075	Goochland	64.0%	5.21	79	\$886	4.02	71	54.6%	5.87	55	5.03	63
077	Grayson	65.8%	5.70	64	\$838	3.08	94	54.9%	5.94	51	4.90	73

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.2%	8.56	5	\$913	4.56	59	49.5%	4.48	89	5.87	34
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.0%	3.54	106	\$806	2.46	110	54.8%	5.90	52	3.97	104
083	Halifax	57.4%	3.38	111	\$829	2.90	98	47.6%	3.96	99	3.42	113
085	Hanover	64.9%	5.45	71	\$1,048	7.19	10	62.4%	7.97	8	6.87	10
087	Henrico	67.5%	6.16	49	\$1,014	6.53	14	56.7%	6.42	36	6.37	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.1%	5.23	78	\$930	4.89	49	53.3%	5.51	65	5.21	55
091	Highland	71.4%	7.25	22	\$694	0.26	119	60.0%	7.32	18	4.95	68
093	Isle of Wight	70.6%	7.04	33	\$903	4.35	63	54.7%	5.88	54	5.75	39
095	James City	70.5%	7.00	35	\$892	4.15	70	51.5%	5.01	75	5.39	51
097	King and Queen	51.6%	1.75	117	\$877	3.84	76	36.0%	0.82	119	2.14	120
099	King George	64.9%	5.44	72	\$917	4.62	55	47.7%	3.98	97	4.68	83
101	King William	60.1%	4.12	96	\$966	5.59	27	46.3%	3.62	105	4.44	93
103	Lancaster	67.7%	6.23	47	\$835	3.02	96	48.0%	4.06	94	4.44	94
105	Lee	65.5%	5.62	66	\$772	1.79	116	60.3%	7.40	16	4.93	69
107	Loudoun	77.7%	8.98	3	\$1,177	9.73	2	64.9%	8.66	5	9.13	1
109	Louisa	61.3%	4.45	94	\$958	5.43	28	54.0%	5.69	59	5.19	57
111	Lunenburg	45.3%	0.03	119	\$733	1.03	117	52.8%	5.37	66	2.15	119
113	Madison	65.0%	5.48	69	\$991	6.08	20	47.0%	3.79	102	5.12	60
115	Mathews	61.9%	4.61	92	\$876	3.82	77	53.9%	5.66	63	4.69	81
117	Mecklenburg	66.5%	5.88	59	\$829	2.90	99	57.3%	6.59	29	5.12	59
119	Middlesex	67.4%	6.14	50	\$895	4.19	69	57.7%	6.69	27	5.67	42
121	Montgomery	79.8%	9.57	2	\$925	4.79	51	53.9%	5.68	60	6.68	14
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.48	70	\$989	6.05	21	50.5%	4.75	79	5.42	48
127	New Kent	59.0%	3.82	101	\$1,000	6.26	17	51.3%	4.96	77	5.01	65
131	Northampton	63.2%	4.97	85	\$819	2.72	105	66.8%	9.15	2	5.61	43
133	Northumberland	58.9%	3.78	102	\$842	3.15	92	41.5%	2.30	117	3.08	117
135	Nottoway	68.7%	6.50	42	\$882	3.95	74	56.1%	6.26	43	5.57	46
137	Orange	72.3%	7.50	18	\$955	5.37	31	44.0%	2.99	113	5.29	54
139	Page	69.5%	6.73	38	\$982	5.91	24	54.8%	5.90	53	6.18	27
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.13	51	\$804	2.43	111	65.1%	8.71	4	5.76	38
143	Pittsylvania	55.2%	2.77	113	\$857	3.45	84	50.3%	4.69	81	3.63	108
145	Powhatan	57.8%	3.49	109	\$936	5.01	45	51.3%	4.97	76	4.49	87
147	Prince Edward	71.0%	7.14	27	\$868	3.67	80	60.1%	7.36	17	6.06	30
149	Prince George	62.8%	4.87	87	\$979	5.85	25	49.7%	4.52	87	5.08	62
153	Prince William	73.1%	7.70	16	\$1,191	10.00	1	61.5%	7.72	10	8.47	2
155	Pulaski	64.7%	5.39	74	\$931	4.90	47	44.6%	3.14	112	4.48	90
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.18	80	\$954	5.36	32	48.5%	4.20	91	4.91	71
159	Richmond County	63.5%	5.07	83	\$928	4.85	50	33.0%	0.00	120	3.31	115
161	Roanoke County	74.0%	7.96	10	\$1,030	6.84	12	52.5%	5.28	68	6.69	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.5%	7.82	12	\$858	3.48	83	55.8%	6.19	45	5.83	35
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.0%	7.97	9	\$951	5.29	36	57.8%	6.74	25	6.67	15
167	Russell	62.1%	4.67	91	\$846	3.23	89	56.8%	6.47	33	4.79	79
169	Scott	57.9%	3.52	108	\$871	3.72	79	65.4%	8.79	3	5.35	52
171	Shenandoah	63.4%	5.02	84	\$916	4.62	58	51.7%	5.08	73	4.91	72

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	3.71	103	\$817	2.66	106	52.4%	5.25	70	3.87	106
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.1%	3.57	105	\$820	2.73	103	45.4%	3.36	110	3.22	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.1%	6.62	39	\$1,026	6.76	13	56.9%	6.48	32	6.62	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.7%	4.01	99	\$1,109	8.38	4	57.4%	6.62	28	6.34	23
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.9%	7.39	20	\$867	3.66	81	47.9%	4.04	95	5.03	64
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.9%	5.71	62	\$938	5.04	44	56.5%	6.37	39	5.71	40
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.6%	6.19	48	\$794	2.23	114	56.5%	6.37	38	4.93	70
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.8%	6.51	41	\$996	6.17	19	55.2%	6.03	49	6.24	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.2%	5.53	68	\$881	3.92	75	47.8%	4.01	96	4.49	89
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.7%	5.40	73	\$908	4.45	62	50.0%	4.61	86	4.82	78
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.5%	5.07	82	\$798	2.30	112	56.9%	6.49	31	4.62	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.9%	6.00	56	\$826	2.85	101	55.7%	6.15	46	5.00	67
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.7%	5.12	81	\$886	4.02	72	47.3%	3.87	100	4.34	98
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.2%	7.20	25	\$1,056	7.36	9	69.9%	10.00	1	8.19	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.0%	6.87	36	\$856	3.44	85	49.1%	4.35	90	4.89	75
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$917	4.64	54	56.4%	6.36	40	7.00	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.5%	7.83	11	\$916	4.62	57	56.2%	6.29	42	6.25	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.5%	5.61	67	\$794	2.23	115	52.0%	5.16	72	4.33	99
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.2%	5.80	61	\$797	2.28	113	53.8%	5.64	64	4.57	85
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.3%	8.59	4	\$983	5.93	23	55.9%	6.22	44	6.92	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.9%	4.90	86	\$871	3.72	78	58.8%	6.99	21	5.20	56
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.7%	7.06	32	\$902	4.33	64	52.7%	5.34	67	5.58	45
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.6%	6.46	44	\$909	4.47	61	50.1%	4.63	83	5.19	58
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.3%	7.22	23	\$820	2.73	104	61.5%	7.73	9	5.89	33
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.5%	5.32	76	\$1,085	7.92	5	59.4%	7.15	20	6.80	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.4%	7.79	14	\$1,077	7.76	6	63.8%	8.36	6	7.97	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	72.3%	7.48	19	\$916	4.62	56	58.4%	6.88	22	6.33	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.9%	7.10	30	\$853	3.37	86	55.5%	6.10	48	5.52	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.3%	8.33	6	\$730	0.97	118	60.4%	7.43	14	5.58	44
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.3%	7.21	24	\$942	5.12	42	57.7%	6.69	26	6.34	22
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.2%	7.75	15	\$895	4.20	68	60.9%	7.57	13	6.51	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.6%	7.29	21	\$898	4.26	67	45.8%	3.48	107	5.01	66
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.6%	7.01	34	\$952	5.31	35	59.7%	7.25	19	6.52	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.6%	5.91	58	\$957	5.42	29	50.3%	4.70	80	5.34	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.5%	8.11	8	\$863	3.56	82	58.2%	6.83	24	6.17	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.3%	6.12	54	\$944	5.17	41	56.7%	6.42	34	5.90	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.7%	8.16	7	\$902	4.33	65	52.3%	5.23	71	5.90	31
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.3%	6.37	45	\$953	5.34	33	49.6%	4.49	88	5.40	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – December 31, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	12,444	583	506	1,082	417	217	1,235	164	284	469	606	453	1,741	470	174	1,293	412	2,225	113
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	92%	93%	95%	94%	81%	84%	86%	95%	93%	96%	94%	88%	74%	91%	95%	86%	92%	94%
% Timely in December 2010	89%	88%	88%	96%	97%	71%	86%	67%	94%	96%	93%	90%	84%	64%	78%	92%	81%	96%	91%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	6,585	303	268	569	235	99	657	88	164	247	335	256	934	237	79	670	211	1,180	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	97%	96%	97%	89%	95%	93%	98%	95%	98%	97%	94%	94%	95%	91%	93%	92%	96%
Percent in December 2010	94%	96%	96%	98%	97%	90%	94%	97%	98%	95%	98%	95%	94%	95%	96%	89%	89%	92%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	250	16	9	4	1	22	31	7	0	2	7	7	73	29	6	16	14	4	2
Average # of Days Over 30	32	38	18	42	48	30	31	31	0	9	9	14	48	28	15	16	20	25	36

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	583	36	27	108	84	64	94	149	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	89%	93%	98%	99%	94%	93%	90%	57%
% Timely in December 2010	88%	100%	67%	96%	100%	91%	83%	84%	0%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	303	19	13	58	50	35	42	73	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	95%	100%	97%	96%	100%	95%	96%	85%
Percent in December 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	96%	83%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	16	0	0	1	0	3	0	5	7
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	0	2	0	36	0	15	60

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	506	3	39	18	103	95	53	153	42
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	97%	94%	93%	97%	81%	99%	76%
% Timely in December 2010	88%	100%	100%	86%	93%	100%	50%	100%	44%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	268	0	20	7	59	47	31	82	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	N/A	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%	99%	82%
Percent in December 2010	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	1	2	0	5	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	23	31	0	15	0	1

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1082	38	6	3	14	120	25	177	93	112	32	462
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	76%	67%	67%	100%	98%	96%	98%	100%	98%	59%	95%
% Timely in December 2010	96%	67%	50%	100%	100%	95%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	569	23	3	1	7	57	17	98	57	53	16	237
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	94%	99%	100%	96%	100%	96%
Percent in December 2010	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	0	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	417	237	4	0	26	150
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	100%	N/A	62%	91%
% Timely in December 2010	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	235	121	2	0	15	97
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	99%	100%	N/A	60%	99%
Percent in December 2010	97%	98%	N/A	N/A	60%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	0	0	0	48

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	217	3	51	34	20	49	60	113	74	39
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	81%	67%	41%	91%	85%	98%	95%	94%	95%	92%
% Timely in December 2010	71%	50%	27%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	92%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	99	1	22	17	12	19	28	53	34	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	89%	100%	86%	100%	83%	89%	86%	96%	97%	95%
Percent in December 2010	90%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	22	0	22	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	30	0	0	0	0	36	2	69

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,235	106	428	93	398	136	63	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	84%	100%	86%	89%	75%	98%	65%	55%
% Timely in December 2010	86%	100%	93%	73%	73%	97%	77%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	657	69	227	55	210	63	28	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	94%	98%	94%	92%	93%	100%
Percent in December 2010	94%	100%	93%	95%	93%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	31	0	4	2	22	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	26	17	35	0	0	19

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	164	65	46	13	39	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	86%	77%	100%	92%	82%	100%
% Timely in December 2010	67%	44%	100%	100%	60%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	88	35	23	7	22	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	89%	100%	100%	91%	100%
Percent in December 2010	97%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	7	2	0	1	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	8	0	139	16	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	284	51	18	18	43	9	145
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	89%	50%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in December 2010	94%	100%	100%	60%	100%	N/A	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	164	29	11	9	24	7	84
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	97%	91%	89%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2010	98%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	469	39	30	97	101	202
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	100%	98%	100%	84%
% Timely in December 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	247	16	18	46	50	117
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	91%	100%	93%
Percent in December 2010	95%	100%	100%	85%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	9

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	606	101	205	61	85	154
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	95%	91%	98%	100%	99%
% Timely in December 2010	93%	96%	75%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	335	51	124	41	44	75
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	100%	98%	90%	100%	99%
Percent in December 2010	98%	100%	97%	94%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	9	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	453	23	53	32	43	26	58	19	77	44	78
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	98%	91%	95%	92%	88%	42%	99%	100%	99%
% Timely in December 2010	90%	86%	100%	100%	89%	60%	100%	20%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	256	12	30	20	27	15	29	10	41	27	45
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	93%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%
Percent in December 2010	95%	100%	91%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	7	1	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	26	0	0	0	6	0	15	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,741	345	24	54	479	23	816
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	86%	75%	100%	79%	87%	95%
% Timely in December 2010	84%	85%	50%	100%	72%	67%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	934	211	15	28	236	8	436
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	95%	93%	89%	93%	88%	95%
Percent in December 2010	94%	96%	100%	77%	93%	67%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	73	11	3	0	42	2	15
Average # of Days Over 30	48	59	38	0	60	16	11

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	470	74	22	165	113	96
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	74%	74%	100%	48%	89%	94%
% Timely in December 2010	64%	73%	100%	28%	74%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	237	41	12	84	55	45
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	83%	94%	91%	96%
Percent in December 2010	95%	100%	67%	97%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	29	4	0	20	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	32	0	30	19	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	174	29	11	21	17	10	14	17	16	39
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	93%	73%	95%	94%	80%	100%	94%	100%	85%
% Timely in December 2010	78%	75%	50%	100%	50%	0%	100%	80%	100%	78%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	79	12	5	11	8	7	8	9	9	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	70%
Percent in December 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	6	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	27	7	0	2	26	0	2	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,293	15	51	61	16	61	410	670	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	80%	92%	98%	38%	98%	100%	94%	67%
% Timely in December 2010	92%	67%	90%	93%	0%	100%	100%	90%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	670	11	27	32	9	30	230	324	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	100%	88%	89%	90%	97%	87%	57%
Percent in December 2010	89%	100%	100%	80%	100%	67%	94%	88%	50%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	16	1	0	0	5	0	0	9	1
Average # of Days Over 30	16	15	0	0	15	0	0	8	89

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	412	34	23	15	31	100	209
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	86%	97%	96%	100%	100%	66%	90%
% Timely in December 2010	81%	100%	83%	100%	100%	52%	85%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	211	22	9	6	18	44	112
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	95%	89%	100%	100%	91%	93%
Percent in December 2010	89%	88%	75%	100%	100%	92%	89%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	14	0	1	0	0	8	5
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	9	0	0	24	15

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,225	55	51	377	53	692	426	142	429
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	93%	86%	90%	98%	89%	96%	100%	92%
% Timely in December 2010	96%	100%	81%	96%	100%	94%	96%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1180	26	24	212	30	378	216	82	212
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	92%	92%	96%	92%	100%	88%	92%	99%	94%
Percent in December 2010	92%	100%	90%	93%	100%	84%	94%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	15	0	71	0	1	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Dec-09</i>	<i>Dec-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,298	14,427	0.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,564	127,355	0.6%
Total Cases Collected	140,862	141,782	0.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,607,753	\$2,599,176	-0.3%
NTANF Collections	\$48,060,128	\$49,037,810	2.0%
Total Collections	\$50,667,881	\$51,636,986	1.91%
SFY YTD Collections	\$295,890,856	\$303,146,230	2.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$350	\$356	1.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Dec-10</i>
TANF Paternities	183
NTANF Paternities	360
Combined Paternities	543

Diversionsary Assistance

December Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	6		Orange	2
Alleghany / Covington	2		Page	1
Amelia	4		Patrick	9
Appomattox	3		Pittsylvania	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Prince William	5
Bedford City	3		Pulaski	3
Buckingham	3		Roanoke County	15
Campbell	2		Rockingham / H'burg	9
Caroline	4		Shenandoah	17
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	9		Southampton	1
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	11
Culpeper	1		Stafford	18
Dinwiddie	2		Warren	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Wythe	2
Fauquier	3		York / Poquoson	3
Floyd	4		Bristol	8
Fluvanna	2		Chesapeake	6
Franklin County	6		Danville	2
Frederick	3		Fredricksburg	6
Grayson	1		Hampton	11
Greene	3		Hopewell	7
Hanover	1		Lynchburg	1
Henrico	5		Mannassas	2
Henry / Martinsville	6		Newport News	19
Isle of Wight	1		Norfolk	16
King George	1		Petersburg	1
King William	1		Portsmouth	1
Loudoun	1		Richmond City	5
Lunenburg	5		Roanoke City	8
Middlesex	1		Suffolk	9
Montgomery	1		Virginia Beach	7
Nottoway	1		Winchester	1
			Statewide	311

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of morning of January 2, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through December 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through December 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


December 31, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of January and represent end of December data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of December, it was \$8.23 rather than the \$8.15 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.