

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
May 2011

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services June 28, 2011

Monthly Report, May 2011

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,997 and a denominator of 18,593.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	19	52	37%	061	Fauquier	26	54	48%
003	Albemarle	38	67	57%	063	Floyd	7	25	28%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	51	39%	065	Fluvanna	5	21	24%
007	Amelia	14	40	35%	067	Franklin County	34	105	32%
009	Amherst	15	37	41%	069	Frederick	15	74	20%
011	Appomattox	34	48	71%	071	Giles	12	31	39%
013	Arlington	59	137	43%	073	Gloucester	23	48	48%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	142	292	49%	075	Goochland	9	31	29%
017	Bath	1	3	33%	077	Grayson	14	24	58%
019	Bedford County/City	42	100	42%	079	Greene	12	32	38%
021	Bland	1	7	14%	081	Greensville/Emporia	24	81	30%
023	Botetourt	4	23	17%	083	Halifax	31	100	31%
025	Brunswick	34	62	55%	085	Hanover	40	83	48%
027	Buchanan	21	51	41%	087	Henrico	325	928	35%
029	Buckingham	14	31	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	85	250	34%
031	Campbell	67	171	39%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	32	121	26%	093	Isle of Wight	20	53	38%
035	Carroll	33	64	52%	095	James City	32	74	43%
036	Charles City	7	15	47%	097	King and Queen	3	9	33%
037	Charlotte	20	40	50%	099	King George	10	26	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	268	589	46%	101	King William	4	25	16%
043	Clarke	4	10	40%	103	Lancaster	8	23	35%
045	Craig	0	6	0%	105	Lee	58	128	45%
047	Culpeper	37	93	40%	107	Loudoun	49	137	36%
049	Cumberland	15	38	39%	109	Louisa	31	58	53%
051	Dickensen	21	41	51%	111	Lunenburg	11	37	30%
053	Dinwiddie	23	51	45%	113	Madison	6	14	43%
057	Essex	27	51	53%	115	Mathews	3	13	23%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	306	742	41%	117	Mecklenburg	34	80	43%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	13	31%	187	Warren	34	73	47%
121	Montgomery	112	194	58%	191	Washington	38	80	48%
125	Nelson	5	12	42%	193	Westmoreland	15	54	28%
127	New Kent	2	26	8%	195	Wise	66	172	38%
131	Northampton	24	66	36%	197	Wythe	25	72	35%
133	Northumberland	4	7	57%	199	York/Poquoson	41	97	42%
135	Nottoway	29	89	33%	510	Alexandria	125	264	47%
137	Orange	17	40	43%	520	Bristol	91	172	53%
139	Page	16	36	44%	540	Charlottesville	96	211	45%
141	Patrick	23	50	46%	550	Chesapeake	381	686	56%
143	Pittsylvania	25	84	30%	590	Danville	91	188	48%
145	Powhatan	5	23	22%	620	Franklin City	9	34	26%
147	Prince Edward	38	73	52%	630	Fredericksburg	48	110	44%
149	Prince George	14	37	38%	640	Galax	12	38	32%
153	Prince William	299	737	41%	650	Hampton	378	732	52%
155	Pulaski	30	69	43%	670	Hopewell	25	217	12%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	129	328	39%
159	Richmond County	4	9	44%	683	Manassas	36	92	39%
161	Roanoke County	66	150	44%	685	Manassas Park	9	21	43%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	43	37%	700	Newport News	461	1,026	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69	144	48%	710	Norfolk	680	1,263	54%
167	Russell	37	98	38%	720	Norton	14	33	42%
169	Scott	38	88	43%	730	Petersburg	153	331	46%
171	Shenandoah	8	20	40%	740	Portsmouth	271	617	44%
173	Smyth	56	104	54%	750	Radford	11	35	31%
175	Southampton	16	72	22%	760	Richmond City	679	1,679	40%
177	Spotsylvania	106	252	42%	770	Roanoke City	200	626	32%
179	Stafford	82	174	47%	800	Suffolk	95	169	56%
181	Surry	13	32	41%	810	Virginia Beach	272	576	47%
183	Sussex	12	35	34%	830	Williamsburg	8	27	30%
185	Tazewell	53	120	44%	840	Winchester	32	71	45%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 6,134 and a denominator of 16,551. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	10	43	23%	061	Fauquier	19	47	40%
003	Albemarle	26	55	47%	063	Floyd	3	21	14%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	44	36%	065	Fluvanna	4	19	21%
007	Amelia	10	35	29%	067	Franklin County	27	98	28%
009	Amherst	11	33	33%	069	Frederick	9	66	14%
011	Appomattox	25	38	66%	071	Giles	9	28	32%
013	Arlington	32	107	30%	073	Gloucester	19	44	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	103	253	41%	075	Goochland	5	26	19%
017	Bath	1	3	33%	077	Grayson	11	21	52%
019	Bedford County/City	33	91	36%	079	Greene	8	28	29%
021	Bland	1	7	14%	081	Greensville/Emporia	17	74	23%
023	Botetourt	4	23	17%	083	Halifax	24	93	26%
025	Brunswick	30	58	52%	085	Hanover	26	69	38%
027	Buchanan	18	47	38%	087	Henrico	261	841	31%
029	Buckingham	9	26	35%	089	Henry/Martinsville	68	232	29%
031	Campbell	47	150	31%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	23	110	21%	093	Isle of Wight	16	49	33%
035	Carroll	24	55	44%	095	James City	25	65	38%
036	Charles City	6	13	46%	097	King and Queen	2	8	25%
037	Charlotte	13	33	39%	099	King George	5	21	24%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	202	521	39%	101	King William	4	24	17%
043	Clarke	2	8	25%	103	Lancaster	8	23	35%
045	Craig	0	6	0%	105	Lee	47	117	40%
047	Culpeper	30	83	36%	107	Loudoun	27	113	24%
049	Cumberland	12	33	36%	109	Louisa	18	45	40%
051	Dickensen	14	34	41%	111	Lunenburg	11	36	31%
053	Dinwiddie	16	44	36%	113	Madison	6	14	43%
057	Essex	22	46	48%	115	Mathews	2	12	17%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	193	625	31%	117	Mecklenburg	26	72	36%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	11	18%	187	Warren	25	64	39%
121	Montgomery	90	171	53%	191	Washington	32	74	43%
125	Nelson	2	9	22%	193	Westmoreland	15	50	30%
127	New Kent	2	26	8%	195	Wise	45	149	30%
131	Northampton	16	58	28%	197	Wythe	21	68	31%
133	Northumberland	4	7	57%	199	York/Poquoson	33	88	38%
135	Nottoway	22	82	27%	510	Alexandria	83	222	37%
137	Orange	13	36	36%	520	Bristol	68	149	46%
139	Page	12	32	38%	540	Charlottesville	68	182	37%
141	Patrick	20	47	43%	550	Chesapeake	315	617	51%
143	Pittsylvania	18	77	23%	590	Danville	78	169	46%
145	Powhatan	4	22	18%	620	Franklin City	6	31	19%
147	Prince Edward	30	65	46%	630	Fredericksburg	33	89	37%
149	Prince George	6	29	21%	640	Galax	10	36	28%
153	Prince William	210	640	33%	650	Hampton	316	658	48%
155	Pulaski	22	60	37%	670	Hopewell	17	201	8%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	104	298	35%
159	Richmond County	4	9	44%	683	Manassas	23	79	29%
161	Roanoke County	43	127	34%	685	Manassas Park	3	13	23%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	39	31%	700	Newport News	358	915	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	45	115	39%	710	Norfolk	598	1,178	51%
167	Russell	33	94	35%	720	Norton	9	28	32%
169	Scott	30	79	38%	730	Petersburg	124	293	42%
171	Shenandoah	5	16	31%	740	Portsmouth	197	540	36%
173	Smyth	47	95	49%	750	Radford	9	33	27%
175	Southampton	12	68	18%	760	Richmond City	554	1,540	36%
177	Spotsylvania	90	232	39%	770	Roanoke City	142	562	25%
179	Stafford	52	143	36%	800	Suffolk	71	144	49%
181	Surry	9	28	32%	810	Virginia Beach	199	499	40%
183	Sussex	12	35	34%	830	Williamsburg	7	26	27%
185	Tazewell	38	105	36%	840	Winchester	26	64	41%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,863 and a denominator of 2,042. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	9	9	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	7	7	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	12	12	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	4	4	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	7	57%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	2	50%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	5	80%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	7	7	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	4	4	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	6	8	75%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	9	10	90%	071	<i>Giles</i>	3	3	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	27	30	90%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	4	4	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	39	39	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	4	5	80%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	3	3	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	9	9	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	4	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	0	N/A	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	7	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	7	7	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	4	4	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	14	14	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	3	4	75%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	64	87	74%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	5	5	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	17	18	94%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	20	21	95%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	9	11	82%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	4	4	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	9	9	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	7	9	78%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	2	50%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	1	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	7	7	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	5	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	66	68	97%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	1	0%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	2	2	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	11	11	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	7	10	70%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	22	24	92%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	3	5	60%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	13	13	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	7	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	0	1	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	7	7	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	5	5	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	113	117	97%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	22	23	96%	191	Washington	6	6	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	4	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	21	23	91%
131	Northampton	8	8	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	8	9	89%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	42	42	100%
137	Orange	4	4	100%	520	Bristol	23	23	100%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	28	29	97%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	66	69	96%
143	Pittsylvania	7	7	100%	590	Danville	13	19	68%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	3	3	100%
147	Prince Edward	8	8	100%	630	Fredericksburg	15	21	71%
149	Prince George	8	8	100%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	89	97	92%	650	Hampton	62	74	84%
155	Pulaski	8	9	89%	670	Hopewell	8	16	50%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	25	30	83%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	13	13	100%
161	Roanoke County	23	23	100%	685	Manassas Park	6	8	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	103	111	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	24	29	83%	710	Norfolk	82	85	96%
167	Russell	4	4	100%	720	Norton	5	5	100%
169	Scott	8	9	89%	730	Petersburg	29	38	76%
171	Shenandoah	3	4	75%	740	Portsmouth	74	77	96%
173	Smyth	9	9	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	125	139	90%
177	Spotsylvania	16	20	80%	770	Roanoke City	58	64	91%
179	Stafford	30	31	97%	800	Suffolk	24	25	96%
181	Surry	4	4	100%	810	Virginia Beach	73	77	95%
183	Sussex	0	0	N/A	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	6	7	86%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	May-11	May-10	Change from May-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,942	4,611	7%	4,807	3%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,478	2,374	4%	1,658	49%
Applications Approved	2,078	2,280	-9%	2,820	-26%
Cases Closed *	2,470	2,492	-1%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	32,825	34,216	-4%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month ²	33,819	35,229	-4%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,470 closed cases, 1,021 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 514 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 219 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 138 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	May-11	May-10	Change from May-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	471	507	-7%	338	39%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	253	301	-16%	232	9%
Applications Approved	211	242	-13%	81	160%
Cases Closed *	301	273	10%	107	181%
Cases at End of Month	2,067	2,159	-4%	321	544%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,187	2,295	-5%	394	455%

•The database indicates that of the 301 closed cases, 162 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 87 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 28 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 21 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	34,892	1,740	1,093	2,751	955	545	4,106	405	684	1,288	1,497	1,005	5,844	1,164	412	3,472	1,245	6,395	291
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,703	660	510	1,077	376	251	1,679	159	314	612	479	480	2,534	668	162	1,484	606	2,563	89
Part. in a Work Activity	10,671	487	389	781	294	184	1,313	113	260	410	343	356	1,737	412	120	1,099	342	1,980	51
% of Enrolled	73%	74%	76%	73%	78%	73%	78%	71%	83%	67%	72%	74%	69%	62%	74%	74%	56%	77%	57%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	320	10	11	26	3	17	32	14	2	9	9	17	63	20	14	30	15	28	0
Participants Employed	7,170	362	247	550	252	127	808	79	171	270	233	211	1,081	303	87	758	241	1,346	44
% of Enrolled	49%	55%	48%	51%	67%	51%	48%	50%	54%	44%	49%	44%	43%	45%	54%	51%	40%	53%	49%
Full Time	5,561	314	210	451	216	105	575	64	103	199	188	161	791	226	74	587	188	1,082	27
Part Time	1,608	48	37	98	36	22	233	15	68	71	45	50	290	77	13	171	53	264	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$7.60	\$7.67	\$7.90	\$8.05	\$8.50	\$9.48	\$8.32	\$8.39	\$7.97	\$7.75	\$7.83	\$8.19	\$8.64	\$7.96	\$8.09	\$8.00	\$8.01	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,022	\$1,029	\$1,063	\$1,114	\$1,141	\$1,217	\$1,095	\$1,006	\$1,044	\$1,045	\$1,040	\$1,070	\$1,118	\$1,088	\$1,069	\$1,065	\$1,040	\$996

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	30,077	1,433	1,129	2,599	992	624	3,299	365	624	1,127	1,361	968	4,424	1,118	403	3,076	952	5,307	276
Total Enrolled in VIEW	36,037	1,578	1,338	2,975	1,067	713	4,052	390	757	1,439	1,520	1,193	5,440	1,428	470	3,681	1,338	6,365	293
Part. in a Work Activity	32,133	1,451	1,218	2,599	1,018	595	3,675	340	727	1,266	1,400	1,070	4,837	1,212	404	3,209	1,134	5,717	261
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	91%	87%	95%	83%	91%	87%	96%	88%	92%	90%	89%	85%	86%	87%	85%	90%	89%
Participants Employed	18,344	868	662	1,517	660	350	2,119	210	440	740	673	546	2,548	745	239	1,904	641	3,343	139
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	49%	51%	62%	49%	52%	54%	58%	51%	44%	46%	47%	52%	51%	52%	48%	53%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$7.65	\$7.77	\$7.98	\$8.11	\$8.53	\$9.89	\$8.62	\$8.49	\$8.01	\$7.85	\$7.97	\$8.37	\$8.57	\$8.00	\$8.18	\$8.14	\$8.04	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,083	\$1,028	\$1,033	\$1,058	\$1,071	\$1,128	\$1,289	\$1,103	\$1,014	\$1,050	\$1,032	\$1,013	\$1,089	\$1,076	\$1,021	\$1,050	\$1,066	\$1,043	\$1,073
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	76%	64%	66%	70%	59%	75%	77%	72%	66%	62%	68%	72%	77%	65%	72%	69%	73%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	256,616	16,239	9,695	19,077	7,465	4,867	31,952	3,638	5,531	9,634	12,034	7,364	34,221	7,689	3,342	24,236	8,678	48,615	2,339
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	195,433	12,387	8,145	14,485	5,673	3,588	24,491	2,732	4,222	7,923	9,624	5,642	26,592	5,602	2,458	18,010	6,763	35,385	1,711
Part. in a Work Activity	186,908	11,906	7,779	13,823	5,534	3,397	23,656	2,632	4,120	7,488	9,302	5,387	25,551	5,302	2,301	17,127	6,450	33,503	1,650
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	133,283	7,812	5,309	9,933	3,980	2,362	17,347	1,846	3,186	5,454	5,983	3,424	18,097	3,746	1,562	12,685	4,640	24,947	970
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	69%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	62%	61%	68%	67%	64%	70%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$6.15	\$6.24	\$7.02	\$7.07	\$7.28	\$8.58	\$7.38	\$7.33	\$6.51	\$6.34	\$6.67	\$7.29	\$7.83	\$6.92	\$6.94	\$6.93	\$6.79	\$6.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$810	\$847	\$944	\$940	\$977	\$1,141	\$975	\$942	\$848	\$847	\$869	\$980	\$1,015	\$886	\$911	\$936	\$891	\$836
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	52%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	54%	47%	56%	54%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,740	157	93	304	240	202	264	424	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	660	27	36	127	100	114	90	142	24
Participants in a Work Activity	487	17	26	95	82	75	81	93	18
% of Enrolled	74%	63%	72%	75%	82%	66%	90%	65%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	2	0	3	0	0	5	0
Participants Employed	362	10	21	74	47	55	56	82	17
% of Enrolled	55%	37%	58%	58%	47%	48%	62%	58%	71%
Full Time	314	9	19	62	39	46	54	70	15
Part Time	48	1	2	12	8	9	2	12	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.58	\$7.64	\$7.52	\$7.47	\$7.69	\$7.92	\$7.59	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$963	\$1,121	\$982	\$943	\$1,010	\$1,135	\$1,035	\$925

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,433	80	77	233	194	179	257	369	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,578	92	84	280	233	210	244	386	49
Participants in a Work Activity	1,451	84	75	268	208	201	230	341	44
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	89%	96%	89%	96%	94%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	868	44	45	165	114	110	133	220	37
% of Total Enrolled	55%	48%	54%	59%	49%	52%	55%	57%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$8.15	\$7.62	\$7.53	\$7.68	\$7.61	\$7.77	\$7.66	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,169	\$1,081	\$992	\$970	\$998	\$1,091	\$1,049	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	54%	80%	75%	73%	91%	75%	75%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,239	1,612	1,147	2,267	2,231	1,578	3,111	3,771	522
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,387	1,226	882	1,712	1,780	1,131	2,364	2,881	411
Participants in a Work Activity	11,906	1,147	834	1,692	1,690	1,109	2,276	2,777	381
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,812	647	530	1,120	1,106	659	1,600	1,840	310
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.15	\$6.35	\$6.20	\$5.87	\$6.35	\$6.43	\$6.06	\$6.14	\$5.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$810	\$832	\$818	\$776	\$847	\$873	\$800	\$804	\$735
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,093	19	127	44	198	197	137	297	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	510	4	56	18	90	65	53	191	33
Participants in a Work Activity	389	4	47	17	76	44	40	140	21
% of Enrolled	76%	100%	84%	94%	84%	68%	75%	73%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	1
Participants Employed	247	2	34	12	42	37	27	76	17
% of Enrolled	48%	50%	61%	67%	47%	57%	51%	40%	52%
Full Time	210	2	32	10	38	31	24	60	13
Part Time	37	0	2	2	4	6	3	16	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$8.00	\$7.53	\$7.71	\$7.97	\$7.59	\$7.69	\$7.57	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,212	\$1,049	\$963	\$1,122	\$1,026	\$968	\$987	\$1,082

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,129	23	111	38	231	192	136	325	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,338	22	143	42	261	236	141	387	106
Participants in a Work Activity	1,218	21	138	39	245	222	137	329	87
% of Total Enrolled	91%	95%	97%	93%	94%	94%	97%	85%	82%
Participants Employed	662	10	94	27	107	110	79	187	48
% of Total Enrolled	49%	45%	66%	64%	41%	47%	56%	48%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.51	\$7.60	\$7.85	\$7.88	\$7.59	\$7.86	\$7.85	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$940	\$994	\$1,001	\$1,113	\$1,011	\$1,016	\$1,022	\$1,112
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	60%	71%	67%	74%	55%	60%	62%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,695	243	1,305	625	1,675	1,668	1,478	2,012	689
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,145	186	1,077	510	1,400	1,390	1,182	1,799	601
Participants in a Work Activity	7,779	175	988	474	1,343	1,352	1,159	1,719	569
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,309	114	724	340	824	903	783	1,245	376
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	67%	59%	65%	66%	69%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.24	\$6.12	\$6.05	\$6.30	\$6.01	\$6.50	\$6.25	\$6.31	\$6.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$847	\$835	\$818	\$839	\$824	\$884	\$828	\$860	\$877
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	48%	46%	54%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,751	100	59	14	50	266	59	375	189	310	66	1,263
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1077	43	25	7	16	111	21	177	60	141	25	451
Participants in a Work Activity	781	39	10	4	9	86	15	144	51	125	18	280
% of Enrolled	73%	91%	40%	57%	56%	77%	71%	81%	85%	89%	72%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	3	0	1	0	2	2	3	0	5	5	5
Participants Employed	550	24	7	3	6	46	12	128	31	82	16	195
% of Enrolled	51%	56%	28%	43%	38%	41%	57%	72%	52%	58%	64%	43%
Full Time	451	18	7	3	4	37	9	110	29	60	13	161
Part Time	98	6	0	0	2	9	2	18	2	22	3	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.59	\$7.64	\$7.33	\$7.54	\$8.27	\$6.95	\$7.92	\$7.40	\$8.05	\$7.78	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,063	\$969	\$1,049	\$1,059	\$925	\$1,125	\$932	\$1,074	\$1,003	\$1,036	\$1,024	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,599	87	23	13	49	265	55	383	195	251	74	1,204
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,975	159	33	13	55	305	59	468	202	351	89	1241
Participants in a Work Activity	2,599	135	19	12	48	249	57	431	190	308	74	1076
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	58%	92%	87%	82%	97%	92%	94%	88%	83%	87%
Participants Employed	1,517	70	7	4	31	143	33	308	99	211	51	560
% of Total Enrolled	51%	44%	21%	31%	56%	47%	56%	66%	49%	60%	57%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.75	\$7.64	\$7.60	\$7.53	\$8.04	\$7.73	\$7.87	\$7.74	\$8.14	\$7.95	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$936	\$1,042	\$1,016	\$967	\$1,022	\$1,056	\$1,034	\$1,047	\$1,081	\$993	\$1,103
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	50%	100%	100%	39%	67%	58%	67%	61%	62%	68%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,077	1,111	319	78	477	1,669	488	2,728	1,667	2,073	744	7,723
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,485	837	198	36	340	1258	348	2,220	1313	1654	573	5,708
Participants in a Work Activity	13,823	803	176	35	329	1120	341	2,187	1268	1565	552	5,447
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	89%	97%	97%	89%	98%	99%	97%	95%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	9,933	596	89	19	235	792	206	1,762	844	1,227	405	3,758
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	53%	69%	63%	59%	79%	64%	74%	71%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$6.81	\$7.22	\$7.05	\$6.87	\$6.88	\$7.00	\$6.87	\$6.71	\$7.50	\$6.94	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$825	\$921	\$1,027	\$922	\$938	\$948	\$927	\$931	\$1,029	\$899	\$959
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	45%	42%	61%	44%	50%	47%	54%	44%	53%	45%	51%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	955	567	8	1	88	291
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	376	213	4	1	30	128
Participants in a Work Activity	294	163	3	1	22	105
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	75%	N/A	73%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	252	142	3	1	22	84
% of Enrolled	67%	67%	75%	N/A	73%	66%
Full Time	216	117	3	1	17	78
Part Time	36	25	0	0	5	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.85	\$7.58	\$8.00	\$7.88	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,062	\$1,147	\$1,386	\$1,004	\$1,227

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	992	555	6	2	79	350
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,067	607	7	1	91	361
Participants in a Work Activity	1,018	575	7	1	80	355
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	100%	100%	88%	98%
Participants Employed	660	361	5	1	60	233
% of Total Enrolled	62%	59%	71%	100%	66%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.93	\$6.00	\$8.00	\$7.99	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,040	\$746	\$1,376	\$1,024	\$1,133
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	69%	100%	N/A	69%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,465	4,004	74	18	665	2,704
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,673	3,091	46	8	494	2,034
Participants in a Work Activity	5,534	3,035	46	8	462	1,983
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,980	2,089	30	6	363	1,492
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	65%	75%	73%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$7.06	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.69	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$946	\$698	\$784	\$862	\$956
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	57%	60%	54%	58%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	545	22	182	70	31	110	130	291	183	108
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	251	7	74	34	11	66	59	89	31	58
Participants in a Work Activity	184	4	47	25	11	51	46	51	16	35
% of Enrolled	73%	57%	64%	74%	100%	77%	78%	57%	52%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	2	4	4	1	3	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	127	4	34	18	5	31	35	44	13	31
% of Enrolled	51%	57%	46%	53%	45%	47%	59%	49%	42%	53%
Full Time	105	4	27	17	4	26	27	27	7	20
Part Time	22	0	7	1	1	5	8	17	6	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$9.13	\$8.65	\$8.24	\$7.51	\$8.98	\$8.12	\$7.88	\$7.51	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,141	\$1,217	\$1,134	\$1,165	\$1,014	\$1,224	\$1,072	\$996	\$907	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	624	18	167	94	71	138	136	276	175	101
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	713	20	191	110	50	172	170	293	174	119
Participants in a Work Activity	595	17	163	95	45	133	142	261	155	106
% of Total Enrolled	83%	85%	85%	86%	90%	77%	84%	89%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	350	12	84	57	21	81	95	139	71	68
% of Total Enrolled	49%	60%	44%	52%	42%	47%	56%	47%	41%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.59	\$9.00	\$8.29	\$8.36	\$8.89	\$8.04	\$8.20	\$8.27	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,153	\$1,206	\$1,129	\$1,093	\$1,212	\$1,008	\$1,073	\$1,056	\$1,088
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	56%	71%	50%	64%	67%	48%	70%	58%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,867	188	1001	756	598	1180	1144	2,339	1474	865
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,588	114	733	613	357	877	894	1,711	1051	660
Participants in a Work Activity	3,397	108	688	594	346	813	848	1650	1026	624
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	95%	96%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,362	70	427	425	223	604	613	970	549	421
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	69%	62%	69%	69%	57%	52%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.40	\$7.62	\$7.27	\$6.63	\$7.35	\$7.18	\$6.62	\$6.67	\$6.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$977	\$980	\$997	\$986	\$914	\$999	\$956	\$836	\$841	\$831
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	47%	51%	54%	52%	55%	49%	61%	57%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,106	300	1,527	238	1,330	465	210	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,679	107	532	156	626	189	65	4
Participants in a Work Activity	1,313	87	462	102	451	148	59	4
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	87%	65%	72%	78%	91%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	32	0	18	0	12	2	0	0
Participants Employed	808	58	262	73	300	92	19	4
% of Enrolled	48%	54%	49%	47%	48%	49%	29%	100%
Full Time	575	31	195	46	218	68	14	3
Part Time	233	27	67	27	82	24	5	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.48	\$9.97	\$9.67	\$9.87	\$9.22	\$9.47	\$8.15	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,217	\$1,159	\$1,294	\$1,262	\$1,163	\$1,211	\$1,062	\$1,137

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,299	200	1,143	227	1,174	374	151	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,052	260	1,434	309	1,409	430	191	19
Participants in a Work Activity	3,675	248	1,315	273	1,252	390	178	19
% of Total Enrolled	91%	95%	92%	88%	89%	91%	93%	100%
Participants Employed	2,119	140	740	169	761	229	70	10
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	52%	55%	54%	53%	37%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.89	\$10.55	\$10.27	\$10.31	\$9.40	\$10.05	\$8.93	\$9.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,289	\$1,324	\$1,368	\$1,304	\$1,208	\$1,330	\$1,184	\$1,374
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	77%	73%	87%	72%	82%	78%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	31,952	2,749	11,228	2,229	10,273	3,688	1,378	407
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,491	2,139	8,414	1,760	7,916	2,910	1,056	296
Participants in a Work Activity	23,656	2,118	8,122	1,687	7,623	2,787	1,025	294
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,347	1,561	5,694	1,371	5,763	2,064	677	217
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	71%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.16	\$8.58	\$9.10	\$8.72	\$8.28	\$8.07	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,141	\$1,058	\$1,135	\$1,180	\$1,189	\$1,062	\$1,088	\$1,075
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	61%	65%	62%	70%	59%	64%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	405	171	111	26	89	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	159	70	41	10	36	2
Participants in a Work Activity	113	52	28	7	26	0
% of Enrolled	71%	74%	68%	70%	72%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	5	5	1	3	0
Participants Employed	79	37	18	5	19	0
% of Enrolled	50%	53%	44%	50%	53%	0%
Full Time	64	28	16	5	15	N/A
Part Time	15	9	2	0	4	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.01	\$8.72	\$7.60	\$8.75	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,021	\$1,137	\$1,087	\$1,203	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	365	161	112	30	55	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	390	152	119	35	80	4
Participants in a Work Activity	340	129	104	34	70	3
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	87%	97%	88%	75%
Participants Employed	210	77	66	14	52	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	51%	55%	40%	65%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$8.00	\$9.05	\$8.45	\$9.01	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$973	\$1,195	\$1,097	\$1,185	\$774
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	80%	73%	100%	74%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,638	1,400	1071	339	735	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,732	1002	822	250	597	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,632	963	810	243	558	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,846	654	560	160	433	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	64%	73%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$7.32	\$7.73	\$7.11	\$7.15	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$950	\$1,010	\$991	\$961	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	684	129	41	61	97	35	321
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	314	44	17	29	48	10	166
Participants in a Work Activity	260	39	9	20	36	9	147
% of Enrolled	83%	89%	53%	69%	75%	90%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	171	30	9	20	26	5	81
% of Enrolled	54%	68%	53%	69%	54%	50%	49%
Full Time	103	23	9	10	18	5	38
Part Time	68	7	0	10	8	0	43
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$8.86	\$8.66	\$8.36	\$8.57	\$8.22	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$1,165	\$1,271	\$1,020	\$1,167	\$1,131	\$855

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	624	113	38	50	108	27	288
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	757	119	36	59	123	31	389
Participants in a Work Activity	727	116	36	53	110	31	381
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	100%	90%	89%	100%	98%
Participants Employed	440	69	17	37	60	16	241
% of Total Enrolled	58%	58%	47%	63%	49%	52%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.69	\$8.39	\$8.46	\$8.79	\$8.03	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$1,106	\$1,108	\$1,000	\$1,150	\$1,011	\$949
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	76%	70%	78%	71%	70%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,531	1281	215	434	859	269	2,473
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,222	911	142	311	620	187	2,051
Participants in a Work Activity	4,120	890	137	292	593	186	2,022
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,186	670	99	237	380	120	1,680
% of Total Enrolled	75%	74%	70%	76%	61%	64%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$7.73	\$7.51	\$7.29	\$7.45	\$7.60	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$1,000	\$1,083	\$915	\$970	\$997	\$919
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	56%	51%	53%	50%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,288	87	88	208	289	616
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	612	19	45	104	190	254
Participants in a Work Activity	410	13	44	76	140	137
% of Enrolled	67%	68%	98%	73%	74%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	2	0	4	1	2
Participants Employed	270	9	31	40	80	110
% of Enrolled	44%	47%	69%	38%	42%	43%
Full Time	199	9	31	31	53	75
Part Time	71	0	0	9	27	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.58	\$7.71	\$7.92	\$8.24	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,074	\$1,141	\$1,012	\$1,081	\$1,000

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,127	84	64	230	226	523
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,439	96	92	266	379	606
Participants in a Work Activity	1,266	86	89	226	352	513
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	97%	85%	93%	85%
Participants Employed	740	54	57	124	212	293
% of Total Enrolled	51%	56%	62%	47%	56%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.74	\$7.77	\$8.37	\$8.11	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$1,036	\$1,167	\$1,095	\$1,050	\$1,012
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	48%	74%	63%	69%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,634	896	759	1,949	2,226	3,804
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,923	714	661	1,554	1,889	3,105
Participants in a Work Activity	7,488	681	651	1,446	1,784	2,926
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,454	480	468	1,016	1,299	2,191
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	65%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.51	\$6.69	\$6.21	\$6.57	\$6.71	\$6.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$848	\$856	\$859	\$848	\$888	\$822
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	44%	54%	59%	61%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,497	243	473	106	218	457
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	479	72	173	73	43	118
Participants in a Work Activity	343	36	122	62	32	91
% of Enrolled	72%	50%	71%	85%	74%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	0	4	4	0
Participants Employed	233	28	84	40	25	56
% of Enrolled	49%	39%	49%	55%	58%	47%
Full Time	188	23	66	29	21	49
Part Time	45	5	18	11	4	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.95	\$7.78	\$7.48	\$8.19	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,129	\$1,069	\$972	\$1,080	\$1,002

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,361	224	460	117	180	380
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,520	225	552	159	175	409
Participants in a Work Activity	1,400	202	514	142	162	380
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	93%	89%	93%	93%
Participants Employed	673	80	272	91	73	157
% of Total Enrolled	44%	36%	49%	57%	42%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.08	\$7.95	\$7.38	\$7.88	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,063	\$1,057	\$954	\$996	\$1,035
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	60%	63%	74%	63%	54%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,034	1,874	3,237	1,175	1,941	3,807
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	9,624	1,546	2,446	1,021	1,481	3,130
Participants in a Work Activity	9,302	1,488	2,344	960	1,459	3,051
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	97%
Participants Employed	5,983	885	1,559	687	816	2,036
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.34	\$6.30	\$6.74	\$6.25	\$6.33	\$6.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$847	\$833	\$935	\$814	\$858	\$797
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	53%	64%	50%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,005	73	113	53	71	58	130	68	167	135	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	480	33	53	23	26	32	61	31	68	81	72
Participants in a Work Activity	356	25	28	20	23	25	51	23	52	53	56
% of Enrolled	74%	76%	53%	87%	88%	78%	84%	74%	76%	65%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	8	0	0	0	2	0	2	5	0	0
Participants Employed	211	11	25	13	13	9	21	12	33	43	31
% of Enrolled	44%	33%	47%	57%	50%	28%	34%	39%	49%	53%	43%
Full Time	161	9	16	13	13	7	12	7	23	36	25
Part Time	50	2	9	0	0	2	9	5	10	7	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$8.16	\$7.35	\$7.66	\$8.93	\$8.23	\$7.74	\$7.90	\$7.84	\$7.58	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,022	\$897	\$1,135	\$1,287	\$1,115	\$958	\$906	\$1,071	\$1,033	\$1,082

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	968	73	97	71	75	58	120	51	154	124	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,193	87	122	77	90	77	164	69	174	158	175
Participants in a Work Activity	1,070	79	111	71	81	71	145	59	166	126	161
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	91%	92%	90%	92%	88%	86%	95%	80%	92%
Participants Employed	546	42	55	33	36	32	64	25	92	86	81
% of Total Enrolled	46%	48%	45%	43%	40%	42%	39%	36%	53%	54%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.62	\$7.40	\$7.58	\$8.40	\$8.63	\$7.67	\$7.46	\$8.10	\$7.96	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$1,105	\$872	\$1,092	\$1,128	\$1,066	\$852	\$831	\$1,026	\$1,082	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	76%	56%	71%	60%	53%	57%	67%	75%	67%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,364	463	936	734	627	502	870	313	1072	876	971
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,642	333	743	545	448	363	680	241	840	668	781
Participants in a Work Activity	5,387	316	737	508	416	347	650	237	801	616	759
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	99%	93%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,424	199	421	308	228	203	393	113	557	454	548
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	56%	58%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.67	\$7.36	\$6.15	\$6.95	\$7.13	\$7.16	\$6.61	\$6.03	\$6.46	\$6.65	\$6.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$869	\$960	\$815	\$935	\$925	\$947	\$810	\$739	\$834	\$888	\$873
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	49%	56%	52%	47%	47%	54%	51%	57%	56%	59%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,844	1092	48	143	1,651	59	2,851
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,534	453	21	66	850	23	1,121
Participants in a Work Activity	1,737	326	10	54	526	17	804
% of Enrolled	69%	72%	48%	82%	62%	74%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	63	9	1	2	30	0	21
Participants Employed	1,081	222	10	42	374	6	427
% of Enrolled	43%	49%	48%	64%	44%	26%	38%
Full Time	791	186	5	30	262	5	303
Part Time	290	36	5	12	112	1	124
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.22	\$8.90	\$8.67	\$8.30	\$11.31	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,136	\$1,092	\$1,126	\$1,075	\$1,694	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	4,424	925	40	161	1,175	56	2,067
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,440	1042	42	195	1,589	54	2,518
Participants in a Work Activity	4,837	930	34	167	1,393	45	2,268
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	81%	86%	88%	83%	90%
Participants Employed	2,548	502	21	117	805	20	1,083
% of Total Enrolled	47%	48%	50%	60%	51%	37%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.49	\$8.80	\$8.53	\$8.52	\$9.63	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,139	\$1,162	\$1,028	\$1,107	\$1,194	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	76%	80%	70%	76%	50%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	34,221	6,984	250	1152	8,355	321	17,159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,592	5,266	182	824	6,681	226	13,413
Participants in a Work Activity	25,551	5,091	170	761	6,312	210	13,007
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	92%	94%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,097	3,390	119	541	4,487	127	9,433
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	65%	66%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$7.53	\$6.91	\$8.17	\$7.58	\$7.54	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$1,013	\$894	\$1,047	\$1,016	\$946	\$953
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	60%	54%	62%	57%	51%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,164	205	51	418	301	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	668	108	17	266	160	117
Participants in a Work Activity	412	55	14	160	102	81
% of Enrolled	62%	51%	82%	60%	64%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	10	1	6	0	3
Participants Employed	303	44	9	123	62	65
% of Enrolled	45%	41%	53%	46%	39%	56%
Full Time	226	34	7	102	38	45
Part Time	77	10	2	21	24	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$9.19	\$8.14	\$8.38	\$8.81	\$8.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,118	\$1,231	\$1,080	\$1,119	\$1,063	\$1,098

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,118	176	59	400	278	205
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,428	198	54	533	360	283
Participants in a Work Activity	1,212	175	46	436	307	248
% of Total Enrolled	85%	88%	85%	82%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	745	101	18	293	168	165
% of Total Enrolled	52%	51%	33%	55%	47%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$8.60	\$8.27	\$8.46	\$8.79	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$1,055	\$989	\$1,071	\$1,101	\$1,083
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	68%	73%	77%	83%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,689	1,202	470	2,290	2,213	1,514
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,602	824	313	1,804	1,394	1,267
Participants in a Work Activity	5,302	789	299	1,650	1,341	1,223
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	91%	96%	97%
Participants Employed	3,746	516	198	1,241	826	965
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	63%	69%	59%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.53	\$7.30	\$7.93	\$8.34	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$956	\$916	\$1,028	\$1,116	\$983
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	44%	48%	56%	58%	56%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	412	86	25	47	55	24	29	25	37	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	162	40	3	20	19	8	17	9	8	38
Participants in a Work Activity	120	34	1	18	13	6	10	8	8	22
% of Enrolled	74%	85%	33%	90%	68%	75%	59%	89%	100%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	3	0	1	2	0	0	5	1	2
Participants Employed	87	25	1	9	11	6	10	5	5	15
% of Enrolled	54%	63%	33%	45%	58%	75%	59%	56%	63%	39%
Full Time	74	23	1	7	9	2	7	5	5	15
Part Time	13	2	0	2	2	4	3	0	0	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$8.21	\$7.25	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$8.04	\$8.12	\$7.40	\$7.91	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,088	\$1,251	\$973	\$1,115	\$1,069	\$779	\$1,010	\$1,074	\$1,115	\$992

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	403	69	26	47	36	22	38	36	29	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	470	98	19	68	42	28	49	32	27	107
Participants in a Work Activity	404	95	18	61	37	26	37	29	26	75
% of Total Enrolled	86%	97%	95%	90%	88%	93%	76%	91%	96%	70%
Participants Employed	239	56	8	32	18	20	27	14	14	50
% of Total Enrolled	51%	57%	42%	47%	43%	71%	55%	44%	52%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.35	\$7.13	\$7.94	\$8.05	\$7.92	\$7.59	\$7.78	\$7.94	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,061	\$837	\$976	\$1,070	\$1,017	\$996	\$971	\$998	\$1,041
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	65%	75%	80%	75%	50%	80%	78%	29%	52%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,342	500	253	295	379	190	379	295	305	746
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,458	395	167	238	297	123	276	238	178	546
Participants in a Work Activity	2,301	379	158	228	278	116	250	231	173	488
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	94%	91%	97%	97%	89%
Participants Employed	1,562	274	87	141	196	80	185	139	111	349
% of Total Enrolled	64%	69%	52%	59%	66%	65%	67%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.92	\$6.96	\$6.95	\$7.31	\$6.68	\$6.93	\$6.92	\$6.55	\$6.92	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$886	\$858	\$872	\$964	\$842	\$879	\$896	\$844	\$927	\$913
Five Months Employment ⁹	47%	42%	33%	48%	49%	54%	57%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,472	30	96	134	45	130	1,223	1,771	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,484	13	33	52	22	85	525	739	15
Participants in a Work Activity	1,099	9	23	37	13	61	422	524	10
% of Enrolled	74%	69%	70%	71%	59%	72%	80%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	30	1	4	0	2	1	12	8	2
Participants Employed	758	9	19	30	8	38	272	373	9
% of Enrolled	51%	69%	58%	58%	36%	45%	52%	50%	60%
Full Time	587	8	13	23	5	14	211	306	7
Part Time	171	1	6	7	3	24	61	67	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.08	\$7.89	\$7.97	\$7.66	\$8.07	\$8.08	\$8.13	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,161	\$1,019	\$1,087	\$964	\$907	\$1,038	\$1,106	\$1,210

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	3,076	31	106	132	38	131	1,048	1,552	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,681	29	111	155	42	193	1,223	1,878	50
Participants in a Work Activity	3,209	26	97	141	38	167	1,116	1,583	41
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	87%	91%	90%	87%	91%	84%	82%
Participants Employed	1,904	14	63	89	10	107	636	952	33
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	57%	57%	24%	55%	52%	51%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.39	\$7.99	\$8.49	\$7.76	\$8.43	\$8.15	\$8.15	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$1,259	\$952	\$1,066	\$965	\$1,030	\$1,020	\$1,076	\$1,036
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	88%	61%	71%	75%	79%	74%	71%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	24,236	155	1002	997	295	971	8,460	12,008	348
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,010	112	702	776	214	723	6,154	9,076	253
Participants in a Work Activity	17,127	108	649	722	205	693	5,995	8,519	236
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,685	61	467	543	125	460	4,329	6,517	183
% of Total Enrolled	70%	54%	67%	70%	58%	64%	70%	72%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$6.99	\$6.78	\$7.31	\$7.41	\$7.11	\$6.94	\$6.90	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$911	\$921	\$840	\$895	\$1,004	\$887	\$906	\$920	\$902
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	48%	53%	51%	52%	48%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,245	134	74	60	69	323	585
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	606	21	25	43	33	219	265
Participants in a Work Activity	342	18	15	29	23	86	171
% of Enrolled	56%	86%	60%	67%	70%	39%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	3	3	3	3	3
Participants Employed	241	14	13	21	19	67	107
% of Enrolled	40%	67%	52%	49%	58%	31%	40%
Full Time	188	11	10	13	17	49	88
Part Time	53	3	3	8	2	18	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.53	\$8.44	\$8.51	\$8.39	\$7.88	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,014	\$1,187	\$989	\$1,202	\$1,006	\$1,084

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	952	109	53	41	68	226	455
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,338	78	86	71	99	364	640
Participants in a Work Activity	1,134	76	70	70	89	274	555
% of Total Enrolled	85%	97%	81%	99%	90%	75%	87%
Participants Employed	641	43	48	43	46	142	319
% of Total Enrolled	48%	55%	56%	61%	46%	39%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.81	\$8.53	\$8.65	\$8.17	\$7.94	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,140	\$1,077	\$1,027	\$1,065	\$987	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	64%	72%	60%	63%	63%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,678	1107	754	339	688	2,160	3,630
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,763	753	562	279	547	1,731	2,891
Participants in a Work Activity	6,450	750	491	266	531	1,656	2,756
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,640	501	351	199	364	1,184	2,041
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	62%	71%	67%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.93	\$6.85	\$7.39	\$7.16	\$6.91	\$6.79	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$936	\$951	\$986	\$871	\$944	\$911	\$945
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	51%	49%	47%	57%	50%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/11 and Work Activity as of 5/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,395	145	140	1,111	107	2,201	1,200	407	1,084
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,563	38	54	496	20	989	435	127	404
Participants in a Work Activity	1,980	26	31	422	17	755	335	97	297
% of Enrolled	77%	68%	57%	85%	85%	76%	77%	76%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	28	0	2	1	0	4	11	2	8
Participants Employed	1,346	24	24	303	7	500	210	74	204
% of Enrolled	53%	63%	44%	61%	35%	51%	48%	58%	50%
Full Time	1,082	21	10	241	3	440	153	64	150
Part Time	264	3	14	62	4	60	57	10	54
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.65	\$8.07	\$8.15	\$9.30	\$7.86	\$7.97	\$7.80	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,076	\$948	\$1,077	\$1,511	\$1,006	\$1,040	\$1,060	\$1,051

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	5,307	126	103	903	95	1,730	923	314	1,113
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	6,365	138	132	1,187	86	2,190	1,159	363	1,110
Participants in a Work Activity	5,717	121	118	1,105	85	1,939	1,047	345	957
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	89%	93%	99%	89%	90%	95%	86%
Participants Employed	3,343	72	49	704	32	1,175	560	207	544
% of Total Enrolled	53%	52%	37%	59%	37%	54%	48%	57%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.03	\$7.97	\$8.18	\$8.10	\$7.87	\$8.06	\$8.10	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$1,033	\$944	\$1,095	\$974	\$1,006	\$1,043	\$1,048	\$1,071
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	64%	72%	79%	32%	75%	71%	65%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	48,615	1096	928	7,803	763	15,678	7,856	3,088	11,403
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	35,385	782	737	5,677	598	11,286	6,177	2,404	7,724
Participants in a Work Activity	33,503	754	705	5,411	586	10,684	5,825	2,372	7,166
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,947	549	430	4,158	395	7,963	4,493	1,791	5,168
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	58%	73%	66%	71%	73%	75%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.79	\$6.82	\$6.62	\$6.97	\$6.41	\$6.68	\$6.68	\$6.63	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$891	\$904	\$823	\$921	\$803	\$856	\$897	\$865	\$946
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	45%	56%	53%	56%	61%	58%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	40.8%	2.49	104	\$8.27	4.99	42	58.3%	5.83	99	4.44	102
003	Albemarle	58.0%	4.67	23	\$8.69	5.91	17	75.7%	7.57	28	6.05	11
005	Alleghany/Covington	44.0%	2.90	93	\$7.75	3.85	97	50.0%	5.00	110	3.91	112
007	Amelia	48.3%	3.44	75	\$8.62	5.76	20	76.0%	7.60	27	5.60	29
009	Amherst	56.3%	4.45	33	\$7.74	3.82	98	48.3%	4.83	115	4.37	103
011	Appomattox	62.0%	5.17	13	\$7.77	3.89	94	74.1%	7.41	42	5.49	35
013	Arlington	53.8%	4.14	48	\$10.55	10.00	1	77.3%	7.73	23	7.29	2
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	59.5%	4.86	19	\$7.93	4.24	80	68.7%	6.87	67	5.32	49
017	Bath	71.4%	6.37	3	\$6.00	0.00	120	100.0%	10.00	1	5.46	37
019	Bedford County/City	46.6%	3.22	85	\$8.37	5.21	38	62.5%	6.25	88	4.89	77
021	Bland	45.5%	3.08	89	\$7.51	3.32	114	60.0%	6.00	95	4.13	109
023	Botetourt	21.2%	0.00	120	\$7.64	3.60	104	100.0%	10.00	2	4.53	96
025	Brunswick	45.1%	3.03	92	\$7.40	3.08	116	55.6%	5.56	103	3.89	115
027	Buchanan	47.8%	3.38	78	\$8.15	4.73	48	54.2%	5.42	107	4.51	98
029	Buckingham	42.9%	2.75	97	\$7.58	3.47	111	71.4%	7.14	52	4.45	101
031	Campbell	55.9%	4.41	35	\$8.11	4.64	54	69.2%	6.92	66	5.32	50
033	Caroline	51.0%	3.78	61	\$8.60	5.71	21	68.4%	6.84	69	5.45	38
035	Carroll	65.7%	5.65	8	\$7.60	3.52	107	70.8%	7.08	58	5.42	40
036	Charles City	48.3%	3.44	76	\$8.39	5.25	36	87.5%	8.75	6	5.81	23
037	Charlotte	40.0%	2.38	105	\$8.40	5.27	35	60.0%	6.00	96	4.55	94
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	48.2%	3.42	77	\$8.49	5.47	27	76.1%	7.61	26	5.50	34
043	Clarke	60.0%	4.92	17	\$8.59	5.69	22	55.6%	5.56	104	5.39	44
045	Craig	30.8%	1.21	117	\$7.60	3.52	108	100.0%	10.00	3	4.91	75
047	Culpeper	50.7%	3.74	64	\$8.00	4.40	69	80.0%	8.00	11	5.38	45
049	Cumberland	41.6%	2.58	102	\$8.63	5.78	19	52.9%	5.29	108	4.55	95
051	Dickensen	53.6%	4.11	50	\$7.62	3.56	105	80.0%	8.00	12	5.22	57
053	Dimwiddie	55.1%	4.30	41	\$7.81	3.98	92	64.3%	6.43	81	4.90	76
057	Essex	57.1%	4.56	27	\$8.35	5.16	40	64.5%	6.45	80	5.39	43
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	51.6%	3.86	60	\$10.27	9.38	3	72.9%	7.29	46	6.84	5
061	Fauquier	55.5%	4.35	39	\$9.05	6.70	8	73.0%	7.30	45	6.12	10
063	Floyd	56.4%	4.46	32	\$7.53	3.36	112	38.9%	3.89	116	3.90	113
065	Fluvanna	47.2%	3.30	79	\$8.39	5.25	37	70.0%	7.00	61	5.18	63
067	Franklin County	46.9%	3.26	82	\$8.04	4.48	63	67.4%	6.74	73	4.83	81
069	Frederick	44.0%	2.89	94	\$9.00	6.59	10	70.6%	7.06	59	5.51	33
071	Giles	55.9%	4.41	36	\$7.73	3.80	100	58.3%	5.83	100	4.68	88
073	Gloucester	56.8%	4.51	31	\$7.99	4.37	71	61.4%	6.14	92	5.01	70
075	Goochland	50.0%	3.65	65	\$8.80	6.15	14	80.0%	8.00	13	5.94	13
077	Grayson	64.3%	5.47	11	\$7.85	4.07	88	66.7%	6.67	74	5.40	42

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	62.7%	5.27	12	\$8.46	5.41	29	77.8%	7.78	21	6.15	9
081	Greensville/Emporia	39.0%	2.26	107	\$7.67	3.67	102	57.1%	5.71	101	3.88	116
083	Halifax	35.6%	1.82	115	\$8.08	4.57	59	60.4%	6.04	94	4.14	108
085	Hanover	60.0%	4.92	18	\$8.53	5.56	23	70.2%	7.02	60	5.83	22
087	Henrico	50.7%	3.74	63	\$8.52	5.54	26	76.4%	7.64	25	5.64	27
089	Henry/Martinsville	49.3%	3.56	67	\$7.95	4.29	75	63.4%	6.34	85	4.73	86
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	4.40	70	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.20	3
093	Isle of Wight	52.2%	3.93	55	\$8.03	4.46	65	64.1%	6.41	82	4.93	73
095	James City	57.4%	4.60	24	\$8.49	5.47	28	71.2%	7.12	53	5.73	25
097	King and Queen	42.1%	2.65	99	\$7.13	2.48	119	75.0%	7.50	32	4.21	107
099	King George	33.3%	1.54	116	\$8.27	4.99	43	72.7%	7.27	48	4.60	91
101	King William	47.1%	3.28	81	\$7.94	4.26	77	80.0%	8.00	14	5.18	64
103	Lancaster	42.9%	2.75	98	\$8.05	4.51	62	75.0%	7.50	33	4.92	74
105	Lee	58.9%	4.79	21	\$7.53	3.36	113	75.3%	7.53	31	5.23	55
107	Loudoun	54.7%	4.25	44	\$10.31	9.47	2	86.6%	8.66	7	7.46	1
109	Louisa	48.8%	3.50	71	\$8.79	6.13	15	71.1%	7.11	56	5.58	30
111	Lunenburg	36.2%	1.91	114	\$7.46	3.21	115	66.7%	6.67	75	3.93	111
113	Madison	40.0%	2.38	106	\$8.45	5.38	31	100.0%	10.00	4	5.92	14
115	Mathews	71.4%	6.37	4	\$7.92	4.22	81	50.0%	5.00	111	5.20	61
117	Mecklenburg	52.9%	4.02	52	\$8.10	4.62	56	74.5%	7.45	37	5.36	46
119	Middlesex	55.1%	4.30	42	\$7.59	3.49	109	80.0%	8.00	15	5.27	52
121	Montgomery	65.8%	5.66	7	\$7.87	4.11	85	66.7%	6.67	76	5.48	36
125	Nelson	51.6%	3.86	59	\$8.03	4.46	66	70.0%	7.00	62	5.11	67
127	New Kent	23.8%	0.33	119	\$7.76	3.87	96	75.0%	7.50	34	3.90	114
131	Northampton	57.1%	4.56	28	\$8.13	4.68	52	82.9%	8.29	9	5.84	20
133	Northumberland	43.8%	2.86	95	\$7.78	3.91	93	77.8%	7.78	22	4.85	79
135	Nottoway	54.4%	4.22	46	\$7.96	4.31	74	66.7%	6.67	77	5.06	68
137	Orange	65.0%	5.56	9	\$9.01	6.62	9	74.2%	7.42	40	6.53	7
139	Page	51.8%	3.88	58	\$8.29	5.03	41	50.0%	5.00	112	4.64	90
141	Patrick	57.2%	4.57	26	\$7.38	3.03	117	73.7%	7.37	43	4.99	72
143	Pittsylvania	41.7%	2.60	101	\$7.88	4.13	82	62.8%	6.28	87	4.34	104
145	Powhatan	37.0%	2.01	112	\$9.63	7.98	6	50.0%	5.00	113	5.00	71
147	Prince Edward	46.3%	3.18	88	\$8.01	4.42	67	79.2%	7.92	16	5.17	65
149	Prince George	55.8%	4.39	38	\$8.53	5.56	24	72.0%	7.20	50	5.72	26
153	Prince William	54.0%	4.16	47	\$9.40	7.47	7	71.9%	7.19	51	6.28	8
155	Pulaski	49.0%	3.53	68	\$7.74	3.82	99	61.3%	6.13	93	4.49	99
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	0.48	118	\$9.00	6.59	11	0.0%	0.00	119	2.36	120
159	Richmond County	51.9%	3.89	57	\$7.94	4.26	78	28.6%	2.86	118	3.67	118
161	Roanoke County	60.1%	4.94	16	\$8.14	4.70	51	61.8%	6.18	91	5.27	51
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	65.9%	5.68	6	\$7.99	4.37	72	75.7%	7.57	29	5.87	17
165	Rockingham/H'burg	64.5%	5.50	10	\$8.45	5.38	32	68.6%	6.86	68	5.92	15
167	Russell	48.9%	3.52	70	\$7.68	3.69	101	72.9%	7.29	47	4.83	80
169	Scott	52.4%	3.96	54	\$7.61	3.54	106	91.4%	9.14	5	5.54	32
171	Shenandoah	42.0%	2.64	100	\$8.36	5.19	39	63.6%	6.36	83	4.73	87

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	41.0%	2.51	103	\$7.88	4.13	83	73.7%	7.37	44	4.67	89
175	<i>Southampton</i>	37.1%	2.02	111	\$7.97	4.33	73	72.4%	7.24	49	4.53	97
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	55.0%	4.28	43	\$8.46	5.41	30	76.9%	7.69	24	5.79	24
179	<i>Stafford</i>	46.7%	3.23	84	\$8.79	6.13	16	83.0%	8.30	8	5.89	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.6%	4.99	15	\$8.65	5.82	18	60.0%	6.00	97	5.61	28
183	<i>Sussex</i>	46.5%	3.21	87	\$8.17	4.77	47	62.5%	6.25	89	4.74	85
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	54.5%	4.23	45	\$7.77	3.89	95	75.4%	7.54	30	5.22	58
187	<i>Warren</i>	47.1%	3.28	80	\$8.89	6.35	13	66.7%	6.67	78	5.43	39
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.6%	3.22	86	\$7.59	3.49	110	55.0%	5.50	105	4.07	110
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	46.7%	3.24	83	\$8.01	4.42	68	52.0%	5.20	109	4.29	105
195	<i>Wise</i>	57.0%	4.54	30	\$7.66	3.65	103	74.8%	7.48	36	5.22	56
197	<i>Wythe</i>	56.0%	4.42	34	\$7.86	4.09	87	59.6%	5.96	98	4.82	82
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	55.4%	4.34	40	\$8.43	5.34	33	79.0%	7.90	18	5.86	18
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	53.3%	4.07	51	\$10.05	8.90	4	82.0%	8.20	10	7.06	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.3%	3.44	73	\$7.85	4.07	89	62.2%	6.22	90	4.57	93
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	62.0%	5.17	14	\$8.41	5.30	34	70.9%	7.09	57	5.85	19
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	59.3%	4.84	20	\$8.18	4.79	45	79.0%	7.90	17	5.84	21
590	<i>Danville</i>	38.4%	2.18	109	\$7.83	4.02	91	54.4%	5.44	106	3.88	117
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	37.2%	2.03	110	\$8.10	4.62	57	32.0%	3.20	117	3.28	119
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.3%	4.71	22	\$8.53	5.56	25	78.7%	7.87	19	6.04	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	45.3%	3.06	90	\$7.84	4.04	90	63.6%	6.36	84	4.49	100
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.0%	3.91	56	\$8.15	4.73	49	74.4%	7.44	39	5.36	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	39.0%	2.26	108	\$7.94	4.26	79	63.2%	6.32	86	4.28	106
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	48.3%	3.44	72	\$7.88	4.13	84	67.4%	6.74	72	4.77	83
683	<i>Manassas</i>	36.6%	1.96	113	\$8.93	6.44	12	78.4%	7.84	20	5.41	41
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	52.6%	3.99	53	\$9.82	8.40	5	75.0%	7.50	35	6.63	6
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.7%	3.74	62	\$8.15	4.73	50	71.2%	7.12	54	5.19	62
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	53.7%	4.12	49	\$7.87	4.11	86	74.5%	7.45	38	5.23	54
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.5%	6.89	2	\$7.26	2.77	118	70.0%	7.00	63	5.55	31
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	49.8%	3.63	66	\$8.13	4.68	53	74.2%	7.42	41	5.24	53
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.3%	3.44	74	\$8.06	4.53	61	71.1%	7.11	55	5.03	69
750	<i>Radford</i>	57.3%	4.58	25	\$7.95	4.29	76	67.9%	6.79	70	5.22	59
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	43.0%	2.77	96	\$8.18	4.79	46	67.4%	6.74	71	4.77	84
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.1%	3.04	91	\$8.07	4.55	60	69.7%	6.97	65	4.85	78
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.0%	4.55	29	\$8.10	4.62	58	64.6%	6.46	79	5.21	60
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	49.0%	3.53	69	\$8.24	4.92	44	69.9%	6.99	64	5.15	66
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.0%	5.68	5	\$8.11	4.64	55	57.1%	5.71	102	5.35	48
840	<i>Winchester</i>	55.9%	4.40	37	\$8.04	4.48	64	48.4%	4.84	114	4.58	92

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.2%	1.97	116	\$841	2.91	94	56.8%	6.48	34	3.79	107
003	Albemarle	73.5%	7.74	10	\$1,000	6.16	18	54.7%	5.89	51	6.60	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.2%	7.10	22	\$825	2.60	103	44.6%	3.15	110	4.28	97
007	Amelia	59.8%	4.01	96	\$960	5.34	30	48.8%	4.29	91	4.55	85
009	Amherst	67.2%	6.03	50	\$856	3.22	89	50.1%	4.66	83	4.64	82
011	Appomattox	70.8%	6.99	24	\$859	3.29	85	44.1%	3.03	113	4.44	87
013	Arlington	73.0%	7.58	15	\$1,058	7.32	9	64.0%	8.42	7	7.78	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.6%	6.12	48	\$946	5.06	41	55.1%	6.01	49	5.73	35
017	Bath	65.2%	5.48	69	\$698	0.00	120	57.1%	6.57	30	4.02	101
019	Bedford County/City	65.4%	5.53	67	\$848	3.05	90	53.9%	5.67	56	4.75	76
021	Bland	61.3%	4.42	93	\$835	2.79	97	47.5%	3.95	99	3.72	109
023	Botetourt	44.9%	0.00	120	\$921	4.54	56	41.8%	2.39	117	2.31	118
025	Brunswick	56.7%	3.17	108	\$815	2.39	109	56.5%	6.39	39	3.98	103
027	Buchanan	52.8%	2.12	115	\$832	2.73	100	53.9%	5.67	57	3.51	112
029	Buckingham	56.5%	3.13	109	\$935	4.84	47	52.0%	5.17	70	4.38	91
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.44	41	\$888	3.87	73	58.8%	7.02	23	5.78	33
033	Caroline	62.6%	4.78	86	\$956	5.26	33	44.1%	3.01	114	4.35	94
035	Carroll	67.2%	6.03	51	\$818	2.44	108	46.0%	3.53	107	4.00	102
036	Charles City	54.5%	2.57	113	\$921	4.55	54	47.8%	4.03	94	3.72	108
037	Charlotte	50.9%	1.61	118	\$925	4.63	52	47.5%	3.94	100	3.39	113
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.4%	5.26	73	\$1,013	6.42	15	60.3%	7.41	17	6.36	21
043	Clarke	61.4%	4.45	92	\$980	5.73	26	46.6%	3.69	105	4.62	83
045	Craig	52.8%	2.12	114	\$1,027	6.70	13	61.1%	7.65	13	5.49	45
047	Culpeper	65.3%	5.50	68	\$950	5.14	38	55.7%	6.17	47	5.60	40
049	Cumberland	55.9%	2.97	111	\$947	5.07	40	46.6%	3.70	104	3.91	104
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.10	95	\$818	2.46	107	48.0%	4.09	92	3.55	110
053	Dinwiddie	66.5%	5.84	57	\$951	5.15	37	51.5%	5.03	74	5.34	50
057	Essex	69.4%	6.61	35	\$858	3.27	86	41.8%	2.40	116	4.09	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.15	47	\$1,135	8.89	3	61.2%	7.67	12	7.57	6
061	Fauquier	68.1%	6.27	44	\$1,010	6.36	16	61.9%	7.85	8	6.83	11
063	Floyd	69.1%	6.54	38	\$922	4.56	53	44.2%	3.05	111	4.72	81
065	Fluvanna	69.7%	6.70	34	\$1,083	7.83	6	56.3%	6.35	41	6.96	7
067	Franklin County	63.0%	4.87	85	\$938	4.90	46	50.1%	4.64	84	4.80	72
069	Frederick	58.3%	3.60	105	\$997	6.10	20	51.4%	5.01	75	4.90	66
071	Giles	59.2%	3.85	99	\$948	5.08	39	47.2%	3.86	102	4.27	98
073	Gloucester	66.5%	5.84	58	\$840	2.90	95	53.3%	5.51	63	4.75	77
075	Goochland	65.4%	5.53	66	\$894	3.99	71	53.9%	5.67	58	5.06	60
077	Grayson	66.7%	5.88	55	\$839	2.88	96	54.5%	5.84	53	4.87	69

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.2%	8.46	4	\$915	4.42	60	50.9%	4.88	79	5.92	29
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.8%	3.48	106	\$810	2.29	111	53.7%	5.64	59	3.80	106
083	Halifax	57.2%	3.33	107	\$833	2.75	99	47.7%	4.00	98	3.36	114
085	Hanover	65.7%	5.60	64	\$1,047	7.11	10	61.8%	7.84	9	6.85	9
087	Henrico	67.2%	6.01	52	\$1,016	6.48	14	56.8%	6.47	35	6.32	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.08	82	\$935	4.82	48	53.5%	5.57	62	5.16	56
091	Highland	75.0%	8.13	7	\$784	1.75	116	60.0%	7.34	18	5.74	34
093	Isle of Wight	70.2%	6.83	31	\$904	4.20	65	53.9%	5.70	55	5.58	41
095	James City	70.0%	6.77	33	\$895	4.01	70	51.4%	5.00	76	5.26	53
097	King and Queen	52.1%	1.93	117	\$872	3.54	80	33.3%	0.09	119	1.86	120
099	King George	63.3%	4.95	84	\$916	4.43	59	47.7%	4.01	96	4.47	86
101	King William	59.2%	3.87	98	\$964	5.43	28	47.7%	4.01	97	4.43	88
103	Lancaster	66.0%	5.69	62	\$842	2.93	93	49.4%	4.47	88	4.36	92
105	Lee	65.4%	5.54	65	\$776	1.59	117	60.3%	7.41	16	4.85	70
107	Loudoun	77.9%	8.91	3	\$1,180	9.80	2	65.3%	8.79	3	9.17	1
109	Louisa	61.3%	4.42	94	\$970	5.53	27	53.0%	5.44	64	5.13	58
111	Lunenburg	46.9%	0.52	119	\$739	0.85	118	51.1%	4.92	78	2.10	119
113	Madison	64.0%	5.15	77	\$991	5.97	22	47.3%	3.90	101	5.01	64
115	Mathews	65.0%	5.44	71	\$879	3.69	76	53.6%	5.61	60	4.91	65
117	Mecklenburg	66.3%	5.78	59	\$834	2.77	98	57.3%	6.60	29	5.05	61
119	Middlesex	67.0%	5.97	53	\$896	4.03	69	56.7%	6.43	36	5.48	46
121	Montgomery	79.4%	9.31	2	\$927	4.66	51	53.6%	5.60	61	6.53	16
125	Nelson	64.2%	5.20	75	\$997	6.08	21	50.0%	4.62	85	5.30	52
127	New Kent	58.4%	3.64	101	\$1,004	6.23	17	52.1%	5.20	68	5.02	63
131	Northampton	63.8%	5.10	81	\$831	2.72	101	66.3%	9.06	2	5.63	39
133	Northumberland	58.4%	3.64	102	\$844	2.99	92	40.0%	1.91	118	2.84	117
135	Nottoway	68.0%	6.23	45	\$888	3.88	72	55.7%	6.19	46	5.43	47
137	Orange	72.5%	7.46	18	\$961	5.36	29	43.6%	2.87	115	5.23	55
139	Page	69.3%	6.60	36	\$986	5.87	23	54.4%	5.82	54	6.10	27
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.04	49	\$814	2.38	110	64.4%	8.53	5	5.65	37
143	Pittsylvania	55.1%	2.75	112	\$858	3.27	87	49.9%	4.61	86	3.54	111
145	Powhatan	56.2%	3.04	110	\$946	5.05	43	51.3%	4.97	77	4.35	93
147	Prince Edward	70.2%	6.82	32	\$873	3.56	79	59.1%	7.09	21	5.82	30
149	Prince George	62.5%	4.74	89	\$986	5.87	24	49.5%	4.49	87	5.03	62
153	Prince William	72.8%	7.54	16	\$1,189	10.00	1	61.6%	7.78	10	8.44	2
155	Pulaski	64.3%	5.23	74	\$931	4.74	49	44.2%	3.04	112	4.33	95
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.14	78	\$954	5.22	35	47.1%	3.83	103	4.73	79
159	Richmond County	62.4%	4.71	90	\$927	4.67	50	33.0%	0.00	120	3.13	116
161	Roanoke County	74.2%	7.91	9	\$1,029	6.75	11	52.6%	5.34	67	6.67	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.5%	7.72	11	\$862	3.34	83	54.5%	5.85	52	5.63	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	7.68	12	\$956	5.26	34	57.8%	6.73	27	6.56	15
167	Russell	62.1%	4.65	91	\$847	3.03	91	56.9%	6.49	33	4.72	80
169	Scott	58.3%	3.60	104	\$873	3.57	78	65.3%	8.78	4	5.32	51
171	Shenandoah	62.5%	4.74	88	\$914	4.40	61	51.7%	5.08	73	4.74	78

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.9%	3.76	100	\$824	2.58	104	52.1%	5.19	69	3.85	105
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.3%	3.62	103	\$823	2.56	105	45.2%	3.31	109	3.16	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.8%	6.45	40	\$1,028	6.71	12	56.1%	6.28	43	6.48	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.3%	3.87	97	\$1,116	8.50	4	58.5%	6.92	24	6.43	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.3%	7.14	21	\$871	3.52	81	46.5%	3.68	106	4.78	73
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.5%	5.84	56	\$944	5.00	45	57.0%	6.53	31	5.79	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.7%	6.15	46	\$800	2.08	114	56.6%	6.42	37	4.88	67
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.9%	6.47	39	\$999	6.13	19	54.9%	5.95	50	6.18	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.0%	5.41	72	\$884	3.78	75	47.8%	4.02	95	4.41	90
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.9%	5.13	79	\$913	4.38	62	50.5%	4.75	81	4.75	75
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.9%	5.12	80	\$804	2.16	112	56.9%	6.50	32	4.59	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.2%	5.76	60	\$828	2.66	102	55.8%	6.21	45	4.87	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.6%	5.05	83	\$887	3.85	74	47.9%	4.06	93	4.32	96
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.9%	7.03	23	\$1,062	7.41	8	69.8%	10.00	1	8.15	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	69.2%	6.56	37	\$860	3.30	84	49.4%	4.45	89	4.77	74
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.9%	10.00	1	\$919	4.51	58	56.2%	6.30	42	6.94	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.2%	7.65	14	\$921	4.55	55	56.4%	6.36	40	6.19	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.0%	5.44	70	\$797	2.03	115	51.8%	5.11	71	4.19	99
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.1%	5.71	61	\$803	2.14	113	52.9%	5.42	65	4.42	89
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.2%	8.45	5	\$983	5.81	25	56.0%	6.25	44	6.83	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.6%	4.77	87	\$877	3.65	77	58.8%	7.03	22	5.15	57
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.3%	6.87	29	\$906	4.24	64	52.8%	5.39	66	5.50	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.4%	6.34	43	\$911	4.34	63	50.2%	4.68	82	5.12	59
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.6%	6.93	27	\$822	2.54	106	61.2%	7.68	11	5.71	36
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.18	76	\$1,088	7.93	5	59.4%	7.19	20	6.77	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.3%	7.67	13	\$1,075	7.68	7	64.2%	8.47	6	7.94	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.8%	7.27	20	\$920	4.53	57	58.3%	6.88	25	6.23	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.6%	6.93	28	\$856	3.22	88	55.5%	6.13	48	5.42	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.25	6	\$735	0.77	119	60.5%	7.47	15	5.49	44
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	6.94	26	\$945	5.02	44	57.7%	6.73	28	6.23	23
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.7%	7.52	17	\$897	4.05	68	60.9%	7.59	14	6.39	20
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.7%	6.96	25	\$899	4.10	67	45.5%	3.39	108	4.82	71
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.3%	6.87	30	\$953	5.19	36	59.7%	7.25	19	6.44	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.8%	5.65	63	\$959	5.31	31	50.5%	4.77	80	5.24	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.5%	8.00	8	\$865	3.41	82	58.0%	6.79	26	6.06	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.9%	5.94	54	\$946	5.06	42	56.6%	6.42	38	5.80	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	72.3%	7.41	19	\$902	4.16	66	51.7%	5.08	72	5.55	42
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.39	42	\$956	5.26	32	49.3%	4.44	90	5.36	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – May 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	22,829	1,009	942	2,038	748	417	2,328	265	516	879	1,023	809	3,223	856	326	2,419	750	4,082	199
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	94%	95%	95%	95%	88%	85%	88%	95%	93%	95%	95%	90%	77%	94%	95%	88%	91%	87%
% Timely in May 2011	93%	97%	95%	96%	97%	92%	90%	91%	90%	98%	94%	99%	90%	73%	93%	95%	96%	95%	92%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	16,921	761	693	1,469	586	287	1,739	181	409	624	824	612	2,422	624	236	1,731	558	2,995	170
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	97%	96%	97%	91%	95%	94%	98%	95%	98%	97%	93%	91%	95%	91%	94%	91%	96%
Percent in May 2011	94%	100%	100%	96%	98%	94%	98%	100%	100%	99%	99%	97%	88%	89%	90%	91%	97%	91%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	121	2	0	2	2	3	19	2	4	0	1	0	24	37	2	10	5	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	123	0	17	6	84	23	13	16	0	12	0	67	37	3	8	51	20	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1009	54	56	179	143	118	176	257	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	93%	96%	99%	97%	95%	93%	91%	77%
% Timely in May 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	89%	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	761	41	39	138	111	96	122	190	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	98%	97%	99%	96%	100%	98%	97%	83%
Percent in May 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	123	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	244

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	942	13	78	28	196	158	98	302	69
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	97%	100%	96%	96%	93%	99%	74%
% Timely in May 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	95%	77%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	693	12	58	21	150	126	72	201	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	98%	95%	99%	99%	97%	97%	83%
Percent in May 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2038	75	13	10	36	217	44	328	157	208	53	897
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	88%	69%	100%	97%	98%	98%	96%	100%	99%	66%	95%
% Timely in May 2011	96%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1469	51	8	5	22	148	35	241	116	151	42	650
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	96%	63%	100%	100%	91%	97%	99%	98%	96%	98%	96%
Percent in May 2011	96%	100%	0%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	748	429	5	1	57	256
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	79%	89%
% Timely in May 2011	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	86%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	586	333	5	1	40	207
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	99%	100%	100%	80%	99%
Percent in May 2011	98%	100%	N/A	100%	83%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	6	5

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	417	12	94	75	33	99	104	199	122	77
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	92%	66%	96%	85%	94%	97%	87%	87%	87%
% Timely in May 2011	92%	100%	71%	100%	67%	100%	100%	92%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	287	7	73	56	25	59	67	170	105	65
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	84%	100%	92%	95%	85%	96%	97%	95%
Percent in May 2011	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	84	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,328	170	797	166	797	270	117	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	85%	99%	88%	87%	74%	99%	81%	82%
% Timely in May 2011	90%	100%	97%	92%	78%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1739	148	579	131	589	199	82	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	96%	95%	92%	95%	94%	100%
Percent in May 2011	98%	100%	100%	94%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	19	0	3	0	16	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	9	0	25	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	265	107	85	21	50	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	79%	96%	100%	90%	50%
% Timely in May 2011	91%	91%	100%	100%	83%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	181	68	59	16	37	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	91%	98%	100%	89%	100%
Percent in May 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	6	19

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	516	85	30	36	87	22	256
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	93%	53%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in May 2011	90%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	409	66	25	28	65	15	210
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	95%	96%	82%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in May 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	16	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	879	69	57	176	189	388
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	98%	98%	100%	85%
% Timely in May 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	624	46	38	128	144	268
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	98%	100%	94%	99%	93%
Percent in May 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1023	166	337	108	132	280
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	95%	88%	99%	100%	99%
% Timely in May 2011	94%	100%	77%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	824	133	284	77	107	223
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	99%	98%	91%	100%	100%
Percent in May 2011	99%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	12	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	809	50	95	54	74	50	95	43	122	96	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	98%	94%	91%	96%	100%	89%	72%	98%	99%	98%
% Timely in May 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	612	41	71	45	58	40	74	25	97	62	99
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	98%	99%	98%	97%	100%	97%	100%	100%	84%	100%
Percent in May 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	3,223	658	25	98	895	43	1,504
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	89%	84%	95%	82%	98%	95%
% Timely in May 2011	90%	88%	0%	100%	82%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2422	499	23	77	659	23	1,141
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	93%	91%	95%	92%	91%	93%
Percent in May 2011	88%	85%	50%	93%	88%	0%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	24	5	1	0	15	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	67	48	20	0	89	0	8

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	856	130	45	298	200	183
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	77%	62%	100%	60%	92%	95%
% Timely in May 2011	73%	9%	100%	73%	94%	85%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	624	87	27	218	162	130
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	95%	93%	87%	91%	95%
Percent in May 2011	89%	100%	100%	75%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	37	29	0	4	2	2
Average # of Days Over 30	37	40	0	32	25	2

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	326	67	17	40	33	13	27	28	26	75
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	82%	93%	97%	100%	93%	100%	100%	87%
% Timely in May 2011	93%	100%	100%	75%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	236	54	10	33	24	11	19	22	21	42
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	84%	100%	100%	79%
Percent in May 2011	90%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	60%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,419	24	82	107	28	119	809	1225	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	88%	91%	97%	68%	97%	100%	93%	64%
% Timely in May 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1731	21	63	86	20	86	574	869	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	98%	93%	85%	91%	95%	87%	75%
Percent in May 2011	91%	100%	100%	92%	100%	88%	99%	85%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	750	60	47	37	56	158	392
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	98%	100%	100%	100%	61%	93%
% Timely in May 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	558	48	28	24	47	123	288
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	89%	92%	100%	90%	94%
Percent in May 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	51	0	0	0	0	51	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - May 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	4,082	83	86	717	82	1312	755	267	780
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	89%	78%	90%	100%	86%	96%	99%	93%
% Timely in May 2011	95%	75%	55%	96%	100%	95%	99%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2995	66	69	555	64	941	545	206	549
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	95%	96%	94%	100%	83%	93%	97%	95%
Percent in May 2011	91%	100%	100%	99%	100%	79%	96%	89%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	8	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	20	3	26	0	0	0	0	0	3

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>May-10</i>	<i>May-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,530	15,771	1.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,369	132,623	2.5%
Total Cases Collected	144,899	148,394	2.4%
TANF Collections	\$4,166,648	\$4,697,615	12.7%
NTANF Collections	\$51,025,371	\$54,967,921	7.7%
Total Collections	\$55,192,019	\$59,665,536	8.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$576,383,659	\$590,772,489	2.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$368	\$373	1.5%

Paternities Established

	<i>May-11</i>
TANF Paternities	238
NTANF Paternities	402
Combined Paternities	640

Diversionsary Assistance

May Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	8		Pittsylvania	1
Alleghany / Covington	2		Prince William	1
Amelia	3		Pulaski	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	10		Roanoke County	3
Bedford City	1		Rockingham / H'burg	8
Brunswick	2		Shenandoah	15
Buckingham	3		Spotsylvania	7
Campbell	2		Stafford	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	9		Tazewell	1
Cumberland	3		Warren	1
Dickenson	2		Westmoreland	1
Dinwiddie	4		Wythe	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Bristol	7
Fauquier	3		Charlottesville	5
Franklin County	2		Chesapeake	4
Frederick	3		Danville	1
Gloucester	1		Franklin City	2
Grayson	1		Fredericksburg	2
Greene	5		Hampton	14
Halifax	1		Hopewell	4
Henrico	9		Manassas	1
Henry / Martinsville	6		Newport News	24
Isle of Wight	1		Norfolk	17
Loudoun	1		Petersburg	4
Lunenburg	1		Portsmouth	1
Mecklenburg	1		Richmond City	2
Montgomery	2		Roanoke City	6
Orange	1		Suffolk	4
Page	1		Virginia Beach	5
Patrick	6		Winchester	2
			Statewide	246

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of evening of June 3, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now on decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2011



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

May 31, 2011




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May, it was \$8.29 rather than the \$8.20 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.