

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**July 2011**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services August 23, 2011

# Monthly Report, July 2011

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 7,882 and a denominator of 18,611.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	51	24%	061	Fauquier	26	53	49%
003	Albemarle	38	80	48%	063	Floyd	7	21	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	47	43%	065	Fluvanna	9	21	43%
007	Amelia	13	38	34%	067	Franklin County	26	105	25%
009	Amherst	15	34	44%	069	Frederick	10	79	13%
011	Appomattox	27	45	60%	071	Giles	10	22	45%
013	Arlington	75	129	58%	073	Gloucester	18	36	50%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	148	263	56%	075	Goochland	12	35	34%
017	Bath	1	2	50%	077	Grayson	14	24	58%
019	Bedford County/City	40	99	40%	079	Greene	3	22	14%
021	Bland	0	6	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	29	87	33%
023	Botetourt	4	24	17%	083	Halifax	29	91	32%
025	Brunswick	32	66	48%	085	Hanover	48	87	55%
027	Buchanan	14	45	31%	087	Henrico	363	933	39%
029	Buckingham	16	32	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	87	252	35%
031	Campbell	83	190	44%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	15	124	12%	093	Isle of Wight	26	63	41%
035	Carroll	35	58	60%	095	James City	25	70	36%
036	Charles City	8	15	53%	097	King and Queen	3	7	43%
037	Charlotte	18	47	38%	099	King George	3	18	17%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	234	554	42%	101	King William	8	29	28%
043	Clarke	4	12	33%	103	Lancaster	6	24	25%
045	Craig	2	6	33%	105	Lee	50	123	41%
047	Culpeper	38	96	40%	107	Loudoun	40	148	27%
049	Cumberland	10	39	26%	109	Louisa	26	55	47%
051	Dickensen	16	38	42%	111	Lunenburg	18	48	38%
053	Dinwiddie	18	49	37%	113	Madison	4	9	44%
057	Essex	24	56	43%	115	Mathews	4	15	27%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	313	714	44%	117	Mecklenburg	40	83	48%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	12	21	57%	187	Warren	33	73	45%
121	Montgomery	100	181	55%	191	Washington	38	88	43%
125	Nelson	6	14	43%	193	Westmoreland	21	48	44%
127	New Kent	5	27	19%	195	Wise	61	183	33%
131	Northampton	23	59	39%	197	Wythe	28	71	39%
133	Northumberland	7	13	54%	199	York/Poquoson	42	100	42%
135	Nottoway	30	88	34%	510	Alexandria	115	241	48%
137	Orange	12	42	29%	520	Bristol	86	162	53%
139	Page	20	33	61%	540	Charlottesville	114	204	56%
141	Patrick	22	55	40%	550	Chesapeake	356	681	52%
143	Pittsylvania	33	97	34%	590	Danville	95	211	45%
145	Powhatan	4	18	22%	620	Franklin City	1	45	2%
147	Prince Edward	31	62	50%	630	Fredericksburg	58	124	47%
149	Prince George	17	42	40%	640	Galax	11	36	31%
153	Prince William	310	732	42%	650	Hampton	363	771	47%
155	Pulaski	39	76	51%	670	Hopewell	17	206	8%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	126	333	38%
159	Richmond County	5	10	50%	683	Manassas	32	93	34%
161	Roanoke County	66	140	47%	685	Manassas Park	3	16	19%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	49	33%	700	Newport News	481	1,042	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	68	154	44%	710	Norfolk	686	1,335	51%
167	Russell	38	84	45%	720	Norton	12	31	39%
169	Scott	32	81	40%	730	Petersburg	140	332	42%
171	Shenandoah	8	19	42%	740	Portsmouth	239	606	39%
173	Smyth	50	107	47%	750	Radford	12	41	29%
175	Southampton	19	72	26%	760	Richmond City	656	1,673	39%
177	Spotsylvania	96	231	42%	770	Roanoke City	171	649	26%
179	Stafford	83	164	51%	800	Suffolk	98	179	55%
181	Surry	12	28	43%	810	Virginia Beach	295	542	54%
183	Sussex	7	37	19%	830	Williamsburg	10	21	48%
185	Tazewell	62	133	47%	840	Winchester	31	82	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 6,015 and a denominator of 16,539. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	43	14%	061	Fauquier	19	45	42%
003	Albemarle	24	65	37%	063	Floyd	4	18	22%
005	Alleghany/Covington	13	38	34%	065	Fluvanna	6	18	33%
007	Amelia	13	35	37%	067	Franklin County	19	98	19%
009	Amherst	13	32	41%	069	Frederick	6	70	9%
011	Appomattox	22	38	58%	071	Giles	7	19	37%
013	Arlington	51	104	49%	073	Gloucester	13	30	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	105	219	48%	075	Goochland	10	32	31%
017	Bath	1	2	50%	077	Grayson	11	21	52%
019	Bedford County/City	33	92	36%	079	Greene	2	19	11%
021	Bland	0	6	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	20	78	26%
023	Botetourt	4	24	17%	083	Halifax	21	82	26%
025	Brunswick	28	62	45%	085	Hanover	35	73	48%
027	Buchanan	10	40	25%	087	Henrico	282	843	33%
029	Buckingham	14	29	48%	089	Henry/Martinsville	64	229	28%
031	Campbell	62	169	37%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	15	113	13%	093	Isle of Wight	20	57	35%
035	Carroll	25	48	52%	095	James City	19	63	30%
036	Charles City	6	13	46%	097	King and Queen	2	6	33%
037	Charlotte	12	41	29%	099	King George	0	14	0%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	178	493	36%	101	King William	7	27	26%
043	Clarke	3	9	33%	103	Lancaster	6	24	25%
045	Craig	1	5	20%	105	Lee	39	111	35%
047	Culpeper	30	83	36%	107	Loudoun	21	126	17%
049	Cumberland	6	35	17%	109	Louisa	10	39	26%
051	Dickensen	9	31	29%	111	Lunenburg	18	47	38%
053	Dinwiddie	13	43	30%	113	Madison	4	9	44%
057	Essex	20	50	40%	115	Mathews	3	14	21%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	201	596	34%	117	Mecklenburg	28	71	39%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	10	18	56%	187	Warren	25	63	40%
121	Montgomery	77	158	49%	191	Washington	33	83	40%
125	Nelson	4	12	33%	193	Westmoreland	21	44	48%
127	New Kent	5	27	19%	195	Wise	43	162	27%
131	Northampton	18	54	33%	197	Wythe	24	67	36%
133	Northumberland	6	12	50%	199	York/Poquoson	32	90	36%
135	Nottoway	23	80	29%	510	Alexandria	76	199	38%
137	Orange	10	38	26%	520	Bristol	63	139	45%
139	Page	13	26	50%	540	Charlottesville	84	174	48%
141	Patrick	18	51	35%	550	Chesapeake	289	607	48%
143	Pittsylvania	26	89	29%	590	Danville	78	189	41%
145	Powhatan	4	18	22%	620	Franklin City	1	45	2%
147	Prince Edward	27	58	47%	630	Fredericksburg	39	100	39%
149	Prince George	10	34	29%	640	Galax	10	35	29%
153	Prince William	218	633	34%	650	Hampton	293	692	42%
155	Pulaski	29	66	44%	670	Hopewell	7	192	4%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	88	291	30%
159	Richmond County	5	10	50%	683	Manassas	20	80	25%
161	Roanoke County	50	124	40%	685	Manassas Park	0	13	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	43	23%	700	Newport News	382	933	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	39	124	31%	710	Norfolk	588	1,226	48%
167	Russell	30	76	39%	720	Norton	7	25	28%
169	Scott	22	71	31%	730	Petersburg	114	304	38%
171	Shenandoah	5	16	31%	740	Portsmouth	172	536	32%
173	Smyth	40	97	41%	750	Radford	10	39	26%
175	Southampton	15	67	22%	760	Richmond City	550	1,542	36%
177	Spotsylvania	81	209	39%	770	Roanoke City	116	593	20%
179	Stafford	54	132	41%	800	Suffolk	72	152	47%
181	Surry	6	22	27%	810	Virginia Beach	222	466	48%
183	Sussex	6	36	17%	830	Williamsburg	8	19	42%
185	Tazewell	49	120	41%	840	Winchester	23	73	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July*

*These are estimated locality July 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 90% with a numerator of 1,867 and a denominator of 2,072. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	8	75%	061	Fauquier	7	8	88%
003	Albemarle	14	15	93%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	7	9	78%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	0	3	0%	067	Franklin County	7	7	100%
009	Amherst	2	2	100%	069	Frederick	4	9	44%
011	Appomattox	5	7	71%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	24	25	96%	073	Gloucester	5	6	83%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	43	44	98%	075	Goochland	2	3	67%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	7	7	100%	079	Greene	1	3	33%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	9	9	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	8	9	89%
025	Brunswick	4	4	100%	085	Hanover	13	14	93%
027	Buchanan	4	5	80%	087	Henrico	81	90	90%
029	Buckingham	2	3	67%	089	Henry/Martinsville	23	23	100%
031	Campbell	21	21	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	0	11	0%	093	Isle of Wight	6	6	100%
035	Carroll	10	10	100%	095	James City	6	7	86%
036	Charles City	2	2	100%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	6	6	100%	099	King George	3	4	75%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	56	61	92%	101	King William	1	2	50%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	11	12	92%
047	Culpeper	8	13	62%	107	Loudoun	19	22	86%
049	Cumberland	4	4	100%	109	Louisa	16	16	100%
051	Dickensen	7	7	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	1	0%
053	Dinwiddie	5	6	83%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	4	6	67%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	112	118	95%	117	Mecklenburg	12	12	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	3	67%	187	Warren	8	10	80%
121	Montgomery	23	23	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	4	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	18	21	86%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	10	10	100%
135	Nottoway	7	8	88%	510	Alexandria	39	42	93%
137	Orange	2	4	50%	520	Bristol	23	23	100%
139	Page	7	7	100%	540	Charlottesville	30	30	100%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	67	74	91%
143	Pittsylvania	7	8	88%	590	Danville	17	22	77%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	0	0	N/A
147	Prince Edward	4	4	100%	630	Fredericksburg	19	24	79%
149	Prince George	7	8	88%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	92	99	93%	650	Hampton	70	79	89%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	10	14	71%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	38	42	90%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	12	13	92%
161	Roanoke County	16	16	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	6	100%	700	Newport News	99	109	91%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	29	30	97%	710	Norfolk	98	109	90%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	5	6	83%
169	Scott	10	10	100%	730	Petersburg	26	28	93%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	67	70	96%
173	Smyth	10	10	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	5	80%	760	Richmond City	106	131	81%
177	Spotsylvania	15	22	68%	770	Roanoke City	55	56	98%
179	Stafford	29	32	91%	800	Suffolk	26	27	96%
181	Surry	6	6	100%	810	Virginia Beach	73	76	96%
183	Sussex	1	1	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	13	13	100%	840	Winchester	8	9	89%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-11	Jul-10	Change from Jul-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,094	5,684	-10%	4,807	6%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,805	2,978	-6%	1,658	69%
Applications Approved	2,099	2,549	-18%	2,820	-26%
Cases Closed *	2,643	2,763	-4%	4,476	-41%
Cases at End of Month	32,439	34,122	-5%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	33,539	35,360	-5%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,643 closed cases, 1,122 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 595 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 226 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 141 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-11	Jul-10	Change from Jul-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	466	649	-28%	338	38%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	247	331	-25%	232	6%
Applications Approved	240	283	-15%	81	196%
Cases Closed *	231	281	-18%	107	116%
Cases at End of Month	2,000	2,130	-6%	321	523%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,115	2,279	-7%	394	437%

•The database indicates that of the 231 closed cases, 147 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 92 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 30 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 24 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	34,439	1,702	1,058	2,703	965	544	3,959	364	661	1,355	1,494	1,030	5,769	1,105	406	3,483	1,232	6,328	281
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,727	640	486	1,025	382	260	1,687	158	303	657	487	505	2,596	641	158	1,518	614	2,521	89
Part. in a Work Activity	10,892	493	405	706	291	181	1,342	108	260	470	353	386	1,865	423	125	1,144	350	1,945	45
% of Enrolled	74%	77%	83%	69%	76%	70%	80%	68%	86%	72%	72%	76%	72%	66%	79%	75%	57%	77%	51%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	134	5	6	8	0	9	11	1	2	2	7	6	28	5	3	11	9	21	0
Participants Employed	6,996	350	253	536	251	118	777	85	161	272	215	214	1,092	270	83	750	240	1,288	41
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	52%	52%	66%	45%	46%	54%	53%	41%	44%	42%	42%	42%	53%	49%	39%	51%	46%
Full Time	5,486	316	224	461	220	92	561	71	98	192	172	164	799	197	69	581	185	1,056	28
Part Time	1,510	34	29	75	31	26	216	14	63	80	43	50	293	73	14	169	55	232	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$7.62	\$7.70	\$7.94	\$8.03	\$8.22	\$9.41	\$8.49	\$8.54	\$7.92	\$7.90	\$7.74	\$8.27	\$8.51	\$7.89	\$8.12	\$7.97	\$7.95	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,053	\$1,052	\$1,097	\$1,110	\$1,090	\$1,205	\$1,141	\$1,036	\$1,011	\$1,076	\$1,022	\$1,082	\$1,118	\$1,051	\$1,076	\$1,070	\$1,037	\$1,041

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	2,974	133	115	289	109	52	322	38	74	121	128	99	408	95	32	345	98	493	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,767	671	513	1,176	401	282	1,837	166	330	696	535	545	2,745	670	175	1,662	634	2,631	98
Part. in a Work Activity	12,083	559	438	851	322	208	1,468	114	284	538	415	441	2,023	449	142	1,279	386	2,105	61
% of Total Enrolled	77%	83%	85%	72%	80%	74%	80%	69%	86%	77%	78%	81%	74%	67%	81%	77%	61%	80%	62%
Participants Employed	7,420	374	265	590	265	130	851	89	168	297	232	223	1,137	277	91	804	250	1,333	44
% of Total Enrolled	47%	56%	52%	50%	66%	46%	46%	54%	51%	43%	43%	41%	41%	41%	52%	48%	39%	51%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$7.63	\$7.72	\$7.96	\$8.03	\$8.22	\$9.50	\$8.48	\$8.57	\$7.93	\$7.92	\$7.74	\$8.27	\$8.50	\$7.97	\$8.12	\$7.98	\$7.95	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,010	\$1,029	\$1,066	\$1,062	\$1,073	\$1,182	\$1,116	\$1,011	\$984	\$1,011	\$980	\$1,047	\$1,059	\$1,027	\$1,036	\$1,036	\$1,012	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	75%	60%	66%	70%	62%	75%	70%	71%	66%	61%	72%	74%	77%	63%	73%	70%	74%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	259,435	16,335	9,797	19,324	7,547	4,932	32,284	3,678	5,612	9,764	12,150	7,452	34,610	7,807	3,384	24,550	8,781	49,073	2,355
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	197,987	12,506	8,226	14,701	5,754	3,645	24,823	2,765	4,295	8,042	9,722	5,722	26,950	5,715	2,495	18,291	6,842	35,766	1,727
Part. in a Work Activity	189,301	12,018	7,869	13,996	5,604	3,447	23,966	2,664	4,189	7,602	9,389	5,474	25,881	5,403	2,338	17,398	6,532	33,868	1,663
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	134,712	7,875	5,360	10,055	4,038	2,394	17,547	1,876	3,224	5,501	6,026	3,465	18,301	3,799	1,583	12,848	4,674	25,167	979
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	68%	62%	61%	68%	66%	63%	70%	68%	70%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$6.16	\$6.26	\$7.03	\$7.09	\$7.28	\$8.60	\$7.40	\$7.35	\$6.52	\$6.35	\$6.68	\$7.30	\$7.85	\$6.93	\$6.96	\$6.94	\$6.80	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$939	\$812	\$849	\$946	\$943	\$977	\$1,143	\$978	\$943	\$849	\$848	\$870	\$981	\$1,015	\$887	\$913	\$937	\$892	\$838
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	66%	68%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,702	144	98	313	230	187	263	418	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	640	20	43	138	92	98	102	129	18
Participants in a Work Activity	493	14	28	120	74	69	91	82	15
% of Enrolled	77%	70%	65%	87%	80%	70%	89%	64%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	350	6	21	79	45	56	55	73	15
% of Enrolled	55%	30%	49%	57%	49%	57%	54%	57%	83%
Full Time	316	6	20	68	40	49	50	70	13
Part Time	34	0	1	11	5	7	5	3	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$7.67	\$7.78	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.74	\$7.89	\$7.56	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	\$1,082	\$1,105	\$1,001	\$963	\$1,075	\$1,146	\$1,074	\$975

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	133	5	4	30	13	20	28	32	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	671	20	46	142	92	103	104	144	20
Participants in a Work Activity	559	15	37	131	80	74	102	104	16
% of Total Enrolled	83%	75%	80%	92%	87%	72%	98%	72%	80%
Participants Employed	374	6	24	84	46	57	58	83	16
% of Total Enrolled	56%	30%	52%	59%	50%	55%	56%	58%	80%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.63	\$7.67	\$7.71	\$7.58	\$7.47	\$7.74	\$7.86	\$7.59	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,010	\$1,074	\$1,035	\$967	\$898	\$1,032	\$1,108	\$1,038	\$915
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	55%	78%	75%	70%	88%	73%	73%	78%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,335	1,614	1,156	2,290	2,241	1,592	3,125	3,793	524
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,506	1,231	892	1,735	1,798	1,147	2,387	2,904	412
Participants in a Work Activity	12,018	1,151	842	1,718	1,711	1,123	2,300	2,791	382
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,875	648	535	1,130	1,113	673	1,609	1,855	312
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	62%	59%	67%	64%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.16	\$6.36	\$6.21	\$5.89	\$6.36	\$6.46	\$6.07	\$6.15	\$5.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$812	\$832	\$819	\$778	\$847	\$877	\$801	\$805	\$739
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	64%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,058	16	121	48	187	207	125	288	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	486	3	53	19	96	62	53	169	31
Participants in a Work Activity	405	3	46	17	81	49	47	139	23
% of Enrolled	83%	100%	87%	89%	84%	79%	89%	82%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1
Participants Employed	253	2	31	13	50	38	34	67	18
% of Enrolled	52%	67%	58%	68%	52%	61%	64%	40%	58%
Full Time	224	2	28	10	46	32	30	62	14
Part Time	29	0	3	3	4	6	4	5	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$8.00	\$7.58	\$7.47	\$7.87	\$7.63	\$7.65	\$7.60	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,052	\$1,212	\$1,043	\$903	\$1,119	\$1,000	\$1,001	\$1,061	\$1,141

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	115	1	11	4	22	19	16	34	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	513	4	54	19	96	65	61	177	37
Participants in a Work Activity	438	3	48	17	85	58	55	145	27
% of Total Enrolled	85%	75%	89%	89%	89%	89%	90%	82%	73%
Participants Employed	265	2	31	13	50	41	36	73	19
% of Total Enrolled	52%	50%	57%	68%	52%	63%	59%	41%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.72	\$8.00	\$7.58	\$7.47	\$7.87	\$7.64	\$7.80	\$7.61	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$1,204	\$1,021	\$853	\$1,107	\$1,020	\$965	\$1,014	\$1,139
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	80%	61%	60%	66%	58%	57%	56%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,797	244	1,314	631	1,689	1,690	1,491	2,043	695
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,226	186	1085	514	1414	1406	1193	1,824	604
Participants in a Work Activity	7,869	175	996	478	1358	1369	1172	1,748	573
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,360	114	729	342	836	912	792	1,255	380
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	67%	59%	65%	66%	69%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.26	\$6.13	\$6.07	\$6.31	\$6.04	\$6.51	\$6.27	\$6.32	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$849	\$836	\$819	\$839	\$829	\$884	\$830	\$862	\$882
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	57%	55%	61%	64%	58%	67%	63%	70%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,703	90	56	11	54	250	50	353	191	294	73	1,281
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1025	32	15	1	11	105	9	149	62	143	26	472
Participants in a Work Activity	706	27	6	1	8	68	8	124	50	119	19	276
% of Enrolled	69%	84%	40%	100%	73%	65%	89%	83%	81%	83%	73%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	1
Participants Employed	536	23	6	1	6	40	6	113	36	87	14	204
% of Enrolled	52%	72%	40%	100%	55%	38%	67%	76%	58%	61%	54%	43%
Full Time	461	20	6	1	6	30	6	95	32	78	13	174
Part Time	75	3	0	0	0	10	0	18	4	9	1	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.65	\$7.79	\$7.25	\$7.92	\$7.89	\$7.69	\$7.79	\$7.49	\$8.38	\$7.99	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$994	\$1,060	\$1,099	\$1,153	\$1,054	\$1,112	\$1,043	\$1,035	\$1,187	\$1,122	\$1,116

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	289	10	3	0	8	20	3	22	16	28	9	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,176	41	19	4	12	124	12	171	65	153	31	544
Participants in a Work Activity	851	32	7	1	8	88	10	149	58	132	20	346
% of Total Enrolled	72%	78%	37%	25%	67%	71%	83%	87%	89%	86%	65%	64%
Participants Employed	590	25	6	1	6	46	7	126	38	95	15	225
% of Total Enrolled	50%	61%	32%	25%	50%	37%	58%	74%	58%	62%	48%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.65	\$7.79	\$7.25	\$7.92	\$8.12	\$7.63	\$7.84	\$7.55	\$8.35	\$7.95	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$910	\$1,053	\$1,091	\$1,098	\$1,090	\$952	\$1,026	\$1,028	\$1,140	\$1,126	\$1,075
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	51%	75%	100%	47%	65%	58%	66%	60%	64%	65%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,324	1,117	324	79	483	1,691	488	2,754	1,683	2,104	756	7,845
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,701	839	202	38	343	1,278	348	2,241	1,326	1,678	580	5,828
Participants in a Work Activity	13,996	805	178	37	332	1,132	342	2,211	1,279	1,583	559	5,538
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	97%	97%	89%	98%	99%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,055	599	90	20	235	800	207	1,782	854	1,245	408	3,815
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	45%	53%	69%	63%	59%	80%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.03	\$6.81	\$7.23	\$7.06	\$6.88	\$6.90	\$7.01	\$6.88	\$6.73	\$7.53	\$6.95	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$946	\$827	\$923	\$1,028	\$924	\$941	\$951	\$927	\$932	\$1,034	\$901	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	58%	56%	63%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	965	573	6	2	89	295
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	382	221	3	1	36	121
Participants in a Work Activity	291	172	2	1	23	93
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	67%	N/A	64%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	251	151	2	1	19	78
% of Enrolled	66%	68%	67%	N/A	53%	64%
Full Time	220	130	2	1	15	72
Part Time	31	21	0	0	4	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.86	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.84	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,071	\$1,234	\$1,386	\$1,016	\$1,202

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	109	63	0	0	13	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	401	234	3	1	41	122
Participants in a Work Activity	322	194	2	1	27	98
% of Total Enrolled	80%	83%	67%	100%	66%	80%
Participants Employed	265	159	2	1	22	81
% of Total Enrolled	66%	68%	67%	100%	54%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.86	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.81	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$1,034	\$758	\$1,376	\$925	\$1,158
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	71%	100%	100%	70%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,547	4,048	74	18	678	2,729
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,754	3,135	46	8	507	2,058
Participants in a Work Activity	5,604	3,075	46	8	471	2,004
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,038	2,123	30	6	367	1,512
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	65%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$7.08	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.70	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$948	\$698	\$784	\$862	\$959
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	62%	83%	66%	68%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	544	18	159	68	46	111	142	281	183	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	260	7	83	31	23	54	62	89	34	55
Participants in a Work Activity	181	6	42	28	15	41	49	45	12	33
% of Enrolled	70%	86%	51%	90%	65%	76%	79%	51%	35%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	2	2	3	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	118	4	35	18	9	20	32	41	9	32
% of Enrolled	45%	57%	42%	58%	39%	37%	52%	46%	26%	58%
Full Time	92	4	27	17	7	13	24	28	8	20
Part Time	26	0	8	1	2	7	8	13	1	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$9.13	\$8.61	\$8.10	\$8.49	\$8.26	\$7.65	\$7.90	\$7.56	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,271	\$1,119	\$1,182	\$1,170	\$1,006	\$1,014	\$1,041	\$1,068	\$1,033

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	52	2	16	1	8	12	13	23	15	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	282	8	85	34	23	65	67	98	42	56
Participants in a Work Activity	208	6	45	34	19	53	51	61	24	37
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	53%	100%	83%	82%	76%	62%	57%	66%
Participants Employed	130	5	35	22	9	26	33	44	11	33
% of Total Enrolled	46%	63%	41%	65%	39%	40%	49%	45%	26%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$9.10	\$8.61	\$7.94	\$8.49	\$8.40	\$7.64	\$7.90	\$7.61	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,073	\$1,205	\$1,083	\$1,081	\$1,167	\$1,102	\$989	\$977	\$1,008	\$967
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	57%	73%	55%	60%	67%	56%	69%	54%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,932	191	1021	761	611	1191	1157	2,355	1484	871
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,645	115	745	620	370	891	904	1,727	1062	665
Participants in a Work Activity	3,447	109	697	604	357	824	856	1663	1037	626
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	96%	92%	95%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	2,394	71	429	434	229	610	621	979	553	426
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	58%	70%	62%	68%	69%	57%	52%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.28	\$7.41	\$7.62	\$7.28	\$6.68	\$7.36	\$7.19	\$6.63	\$6.68	\$6.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$977	\$981	\$997	\$987	\$918	\$999	\$957	\$838	\$842	\$834
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,959	293	1,471	240	1,264	452	201	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,687	107	512	167	637	186	71	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,342	86	444	112	487	146	60	7
% of Enrolled	80%	80%	87%	67%	76%	78%	85%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	7	0	3	1	0	0
Participants Employed	777	48	246	70	312	74	20	7
% of Enrolled	46%	45%	48%	42%	49%	40%	28%	100%
Full Time	561	27	183	38	239	54	13	7
Part Time	216	21	63	32	73	20	7	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.41	\$10.27	\$9.43	\$9.98	\$9.09	\$9.73	\$9.18	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,205	\$1,185	\$1,247	\$1,197	\$1,177	\$1,225	\$1,155	\$1,189

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	322	27	100	20	112	45	16	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,837	117	578	170	694	195	76	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,468	96	502	119	527	154	63	7
% of Total Enrolled	80%	82%	87%	70%	76%	79%	83%	100%
Participants Employed	851	55	276	75	338	76	24	7
% of Total Enrolled	46%	47%	48%	44%	49%	39%	32%	100%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.50	\$10.28	\$9.61	\$10.05	\$9.14	\$9.74	\$9.37	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,182	\$1,203	\$1,222	\$1,200	\$1,142	\$1,190	\$1,206	\$1,051
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	76%	71%	75%	75%	82%	76%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	32,284	2,777	11,352	2,261	10,372	3,724	1,389	409
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	24,823	2,161	8,509	1,796	8,043	2,948	1,068	298
Participants in a Work Activity	23,966	2,137	8,224	1,717	7,741	2,818	1,033	296
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,547	1,573	5,763	1,386	5,847	2,072	686	220
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	77%	73%	70%	64%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.60	\$8.18	\$8.60	\$9.12	\$8.73	\$8.29	\$8.10	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,143	\$1,060	\$1,137	\$1,180	\$1,190	\$1,063	\$1,092	\$1,074
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	364	162	90	24	82	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	158	76	37	5	38	2
Participants in a Work Activity	108	50	30	5	23	0
% of Enrolled	68%	66%	81%	100%	61%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	85	34	26	3	22	0
% of Enrolled	54%	45%	70%	60%	58%	0%
Full Time	71	28	24	3	16	N/A
Part Time	14	6	2	0	6	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.49	\$8.15	\$8.70	\$7.58	\$8.87	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,141	\$1,057	\$1,217	\$1,007	\$1,200	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	38	20	8	3	7	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	166	79	39	7	39	2
Participants in a Work Activity	114	52	32	6	24	0
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	82%	86%	62%	0%
Participants Employed	89	36	26	4	23	0
% of Total Enrolled	54%	46%	67%	57%	59%	0%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$8.18	\$8.70	\$7.69	\$8.83	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,116	\$1,005	\$1,203	\$1,077	\$1,199	N/A
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	73%	63%	86%	74%	0%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,678	1,414	1084	344	743	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,765	1015	834	252	603	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,664	975	826	245	560	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,876	665	572	162	438	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	64%	73%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.40	\$7.35	\$7.74	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$978	\$954	\$1,014	\$990	\$963	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	67%	70%	63%	59%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	661	128	48	49	90	39	307
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	303	49	13	22	38	14	167
Participants in a Work Activity	260	48	7	14	28	7	156
% of Enrolled	86%	98%	54%	64%	74%	50%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	161	32	3	11	22	6	87
% of Enrolled	53%	65%	23%	50%	58%	43%	52%
Full Time	98	22	3	4	16	6	47
Part Time	63	10	0	7	6	0	40
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.54	\$8.60	\$8.58	\$8.47	\$8.72	\$7.76	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$1,108	\$1,328	\$950	\$1,264	\$1,007	\$955

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	74	16	6	3	14	5	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	330	49	15	22	47	14	183
Participants in a Work Activity	284	48	8	14	34	7	173
% of Total Enrolled	86%	98%	53%	64%	72%	50%	95%
Participants Employed	168	31	4	11	24	6	92
% of Total Enrolled	51%	63%	27%	50%	51%	43%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.60	\$9.00	\$8.47	\$8.70	\$7.76	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,011	\$1,111	\$1,320	\$1,015	\$1,190	\$958	\$920
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	79%	67%	80%	74%	70%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,612	1302	225	439	874	274	2,498
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,295	931	149	311	635	193	2,076
Participants in a Work Activity	4,189	910	144	292	607	189	2,047
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,224	678	103	238	390	123	1,692
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	69%	77%	61%	64%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$7.74	\$7.46	\$7.30	\$7.49	\$7.59	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$1,001	\$1,064	\$915	\$976	\$995	\$921
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	59%	57%	64%	61%	65%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,355	85	93	217	306	654
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	657	30	43	105	194	285
Participants in a Work Activity	470	21	38	77	146	188
% of Enrolled	72%	70%	88%	73%	75%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	272	13	28	47	76	108
% of Enrolled	41%	43%	65%	45%	39%	38%
Full Time	192	11	28	40	43	70
Part Time	80	2	0	7	33	38
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$7.82	\$7.82	\$8.13	\$7.96	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,011	\$1,025	\$1,141	\$1,064	\$1,001	\$960

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	121	6	5	14	26	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	696	31	43	109	215	298
Participants in a Work Activity	538	23	38	80	184	213
% of Total Enrolled	77%	74%	88%	73%	86%	71%
Participants Employed	297	14	28	51	86	118
% of Total Enrolled	43%	45%	65%	47%	40%	40%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$7.94	\$7.82	\$8.04	\$8.06	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$984	\$1,015	\$1,140	\$974	\$1,003	\$934
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	52%	78%	59%	70%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,764	904	766	1,973	2,261	3,860
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,042	724	666	1,572	1,928	3,152
Participants in a Work Activity	7,602	690	654	1,462	1,820	2,976
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,501	485	470	1,025	1,314	2,207
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.52	\$6.71	\$6.22	\$6.60	\$6.73	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$849	\$858	\$860	\$849	\$889	\$823
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,494	238	483	107	230	436
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	487	69	165	68	64	121
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	353	32	130	55	40	96
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	72%	46%	79%	81%	63%	79%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	7	1	0	2	1	3
<b>Participants Employed</b>	215	29	75	35	28	48
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	44%	42%	45%	51%	44%	40%
Full Time	172	26	59	26	22	39
Part Time	43	3	16	9	6	9
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.90	\$8.18	\$7.88	\$7.64	\$8.19	\$7.77
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,076	\$1,182	\$1,093	\$1,007	\$1,110	\$1,017

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	128	23	34	5	25	41
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	535	71	179	73	71	141
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	415	45	147	57	50	116
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	78%	63%	82%	78%	70%	82%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	232	31	78	36	30	57
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	43%	44%	44%	49%	42%	40%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.92	\$8.11	\$7.92	\$7.63	\$8.41	\$7.73
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,011	\$1,092	\$1,026	\$973	\$1,073	\$940
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	61%	59%	62%	72%	63%	51%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	12,150	1,899	3,260	1,183	1,972	3,836
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	9,722	1,567	2,468	1,030	1,506	3,151
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	9,389	1,504	2,371	971	1,475	3,068
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,026	894	1,570	692	823	2,047
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.35	\$6.32	\$6.75	\$6.26	\$6.34	\$6.12
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$848	\$835	\$936	\$816	\$861	\$798
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	59%	64%	75%	61%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,030	76	116	58	81	61	141	71	164	134	128
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	505	29	51	30	37	37	69	42	66	83	61
Participants in a Work Activity	386	25	27	29	31	26	49	34	60	57	48
% of Enrolled	76%	86%	53%	97%	84%	70%	71%	81%	91%	69%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	214	13	24	17	13	12	18	16	31	46	24
% of Enrolled	42%	45%	47%	57%	35%	32%	26%	38%	47%	55%	39%
Full Time	164	10	17	16	12	9	8	11	19	41	21
Part Time	50	3	7	1	1	3	10	5	12	5	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$7.94	\$7.38	\$7.82	\$7.62	\$8.78	\$7.42	\$7.70	\$7.48	\$7.77	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,022	\$974	\$925	\$1,114	\$1,022	\$1,160	\$840	\$954	\$949	\$1,075	\$1,183

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	99	6	13	5	12	4	13	3	17	12	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	545	31	61	36	39	38	74	46	68	85	67
Participants in a Work Activity	441	25	46	34	34	26	64	41	62	59	50
% of Total Enrolled	81%	81%	75%	94%	87%	68%	86%	89%	91%	69%	75%
Participants Employed	223	13	24	18	13	12	21	17	32	47	26
% of Total Enrolled	41%	42%	39%	50%	33%	32%	28%	37%	47%	55%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$7.94	\$7.37	\$7.88	\$7.62	\$8.78	\$7.44	\$7.72	\$7.47	\$7.77	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$980	\$951	\$932	\$1,156	\$914	\$1,195	\$738	\$907	\$891	\$1,033	\$1,125
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	68%	61%	74%	52%	73%	55%	76%	85%	76%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,452	470	946	737	636	508	880	322	1083	886	984
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,722	339	747	549	455	369	692	250	852	678	791
Participants in a Work Activity	5,474	322	743	516	422	350	661	246	817	626	771
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	93%	95%	96%	98%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,465	203	423	311	232	208	396	118	564	458	552
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	56%	57%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.68	\$7.37	\$6.16	\$6.96	\$7.15	\$7.19	\$6.62	\$6.07	\$6.48	\$6.67	\$6.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$870	\$959	\$816	\$937	\$926	\$950	\$809	\$745	\$834	\$890	\$876
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	63%	67%	62%	59%	58%	64%	60%	69%	69%	70%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,769	1068	55	141	1,656	54	2,795
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,596	457	26	58	896	19	1,140
Participants in a Work Activity	1,865	335	21	46	602	14	847
% of Enrolled	72%	73%	81%	79%	67%	74%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	28	2	1	1	19	0	5
Participants Employed	1,092	213	13	30	393	9	434
% of Enrolled	42%	47%	50%	52%	44%	47%	38%
Full Time	799	168	7	26	274	6	318
Part Time	293	45	6	4	119	3	116
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.31	\$8.23	\$8.65	\$8.40	\$10.49	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,099	\$1,031	\$1,208	\$1,095	\$1,409	\$1,047

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	408	78	3	18	116	4	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,745	480	29	63	940	21	1,212
Participants in a Work Activity	2,023	364	23	50	655	15	916
% of Total Enrolled	74%	76%	79%	79%	70%	71%	76%
Participants Employed	1,137	221	14	33	405	10	454
% of Total Enrolled	41%	46%	48%	52%	43%	48%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.30	\$8.42	\$8.75	\$8.39	\$10.16	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$1,062	\$1,038	\$1,083	\$1,047	\$1,166	\$1,034
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	78%	71%	71%	80%	64%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	34,610	7,064	254	1171	8,489	326	17,306
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	26,950	5,334	190	833	6,809	229	13,555
Participants in a Work Activity	25,881	5,150	180	768	6,445	212	13,126
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,301	3,434	125	543	4,560	130	9,509
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	66%	65%	67%	57%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.30	\$7.55	\$6.94	\$8.17	\$7.59	\$7.56	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$1,014	\$896	\$1,046	\$1,017	\$949	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	70%	63%	68%	66%	64%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,105	196	52	393	278	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	641	114	15	241	155	116
Participants in a Work Activity	423	63	13	148	110	89
% of Enrolled	66%	55%	87%	61%	71%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	4	0	1
Participants Employed	270	39	10	95	61	65
% of Enrolled	42%	34%	67%	39%	39%	56%
Full Time	197	30	7	78	39	43
Part Time	73	9	3	17	22	22
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.51	\$8.26	\$8.56	\$8.14	\$9.11	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,118	\$1,081	\$1,137	\$1,101	\$1,113	\$1,169

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	95	18	4	32	20	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	670	117	15	252	157	129
Participants in a Work Activity	449	67	13	157	115	97
% of Total Enrolled	67%	57%	87%	62%	73%	75%
Participants Employed	277	39	10	99	61	68
% of Total Enrolled	41%	33%	67%	39%	39%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.50	\$8.26	\$8.56	\$8.11	\$9.11	\$8.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,006	\$1,176	\$1,054	\$1,054	\$1,083
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	76%	55%	77%	85%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	7,807	1,217	477	2,330	2,246	1,537
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,715	843	318	1833	1425	1296
Participants in a Work Activity	5,403	800	304	1681	1371	1247
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	96%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,799	520	201	1,256	839	983
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	63%	69%	59%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.85	\$7.53	\$7.32	\$7.93	\$8.38	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,015	\$955	\$917	\$1,027	\$1,117	\$984
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	57%	61%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	406	87	20	51	52	19	32	29	40	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	158	41	2	16	14	9	23	10	7	36
Participants in a Work Activity	125	32	2	16	13	7	19	7	7	22
% of Enrolled	79%	78%	100%	100%	93%	78%	83%	70%	100%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	83	24	1	9	10	4	9	3	5	18
% of Enrolled	53%	59%	50%	56%	71%	44%	39%	30%	71%	50%
Full Time	69	20	1	7	8	2	6	3	5	17
Part Time	14	4	0	2	2	2	3	0	0	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$7.94	\$8.00	\$7.73	\$7.98	\$6.47	\$8.19	\$8.14	\$7.36	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,101	\$1,212	\$1,044	\$1,037	\$640	\$1,050	\$1,188	\$1,044	\$1,058

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	32	9	0	6	2	2	3	2	2	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	175	47	2	18	15	10	25	10	8	40
Participants in a Work Activity	142	40	2	17	13	8	21	8	8	25
% of Total Enrolled	81%	85%	100%	94%	87%	80%	84%	80%	100%	63%
Participants Employed	91	28	1	10	10	5	9	4	5	19
% of Total Enrolled	52%	60%	50%	56%	67%	50%	36%	40%	63%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.26	\$8.00	\$7.76	\$7.98	\$6.63	\$8.19	\$7.92	\$7.36	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,050	\$1,204	\$997	\$1,124	\$606	\$1,067	\$1,064	\$1,037	\$1,028
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	74%	50%	80%	79%	46%	78%	63%	44%	45%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,384	508	255	302	382	192	388	299	308	750
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,495	401	168	240	298	128	285	243	181	551
Participants in a Work Activity	2,338	384	159	230	279	120	259	236	176	495
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	94%	91%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,583	279	90	143	196	82	187	141	112	353
% of Total Enrolled	63%	70%	54%	60%	66%	64%	66%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.93	\$6.98	\$6.97	\$7.31	\$6.68	\$6.90	\$6.94	\$6.58	\$6.92	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$887	\$859	\$871	\$963	\$841	\$873	\$898	\$849	\$928	\$915
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	49%	45%	61%	54%	68%	69%	53%	39%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,483	28	87	143	51	132	1,267	1,734	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,518	12	26	44	22	86	551	752	25
Participants in a Work Activity	1,144	9	24	35	13	67	415	567	14
% of Enrolled	75%	75%	92%	80%	59%	78%	75%	75%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	1	8	2	0
Participants Employed	750	6	16	28	12	37	262	375	14
% of Enrolled	49%	50%	62%	64%	55%	43%	48%	50%	56%
Full Time	581	5	10	16	9	19	199	311	12
Part Time	169	1	6	12	3	18	63	64	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.04	\$7.81	\$8.61	\$8.61	\$8.07	\$8.05	\$8.14	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,133	\$1,038	\$1,130	\$1,249	\$967	\$1,032	\$1,102	\$1,245

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	345	0	10	8	7	17	137	162	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,662	12	29	48	23	93	585	846	26
Participants in a Work Activity	1,279	9	27	40	13	71	453	650	16
% of Total Enrolled	77%	75%	93%	83%	57%	76%	77%	77%	62%
Participants Employed	804	6	17	31	12	41	276	407	14
% of Total Enrolled	48%	50%	59%	65%	52%	44%	47%	48%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.04	\$7.75	\$8.55	\$8.61	\$8.03	\$8.05	\$8.14	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$1,073	\$955	\$1,134	\$1,235	\$818	\$1,001	\$1,069	\$1,094
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	67%	53%	74%	75%	73%	76%	73%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	24,550	155	1009	1007	308	997	8,573	12,148	353
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,291	112	708	783	216	744	6,251	9,217	260
Participants in a Work Activity	17,398	109	658	732	207	711	6,066	8,674	241
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,848	62	469	548	129	467	4,383	6,604	186
% of Total Enrolled	70%	55%	66%	70%	60%	63%	70%	72%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.96	\$7.03	\$6.79	\$7.34	\$7.47	\$7.16	\$6.96	\$6.92	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$913	\$924	\$841	\$899	\$1,019	\$891	\$908	\$922	\$909
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	54%	60%	62%	73%	59%	64%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,232	132	75	47	81	315	582
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	614	28	34	33	34	223	262
Participants in a Work Activity	350	24	23	25	25	81	172
% of Enrolled	57%	86%	68%	76%	74%	36%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	3	1	3	0	2
Participants Employed	240	17	14	17	14	68	110
% of Enrolled	39%	61%	41%	52%	41%	30%	42%
Full Time	185	13	11	11	11	48	91
Part Time	55	4	3	6	3	20	19
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.79	\$9.04	\$8.37	\$7.86	\$7.82	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,066	\$1,334	\$1,052	\$1,144	\$971	\$1,092

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	98	15	7	3	11	22	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW	634	28	35	39	42	223	267
Participants in a Work Activity	386	27	25	28	33	83	190
% of Total Enrolled	61%	96%	71%	72%	79%	37%	71%
Participants Employed	250	18	15	19	15	68	115
% of Total Enrolled	39%	64%	43%	49%	36%	30%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$7.76	\$8.92	\$8.31	\$7.84	\$7.82	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$1,069	\$1,280	\$897	\$1,123	\$946	\$1,067
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	72%	65%	61%	59%	72%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,781	1121	766	341	699	2,188	3,666
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,842	762	571	280	561	1,742	2,926
Participants in a Work Activity	6,532	759	500	266	544	1,669	2,794
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,674	506	355	199	368	1,188	2,058
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	62%	71%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.94	\$6.86	\$7.43	\$7.17	\$6.92	\$6.79	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$937	\$952	\$994	\$870	\$945	\$911	\$945
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	59%	58%	58%	65%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/11 and Work Activity as of 7/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,328	138	138	1,066	112	2,232	1,176	393	1,073
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,521	38	55	468	20	975	445	131	389
Participants in a Work Activity	1,945	28	36	381	7	744	340	103	306
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	65%	81%	35%	76%	76%	79%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	3	1	0	2	11	0	4
Participants Employed	1,288	26	15	270	6	497	202	77	195
% of Enrolled	51%	68%	27%	58%	30%	51%	45%	59%	50%
Full Time	1,056	23	6	222	3	435	148	66	153
Part Time	232	3	9	48	3	62	54	11	42
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.15	\$7.31	\$7.96	\$8.21	\$7.85	\$8.00	\$7.67	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,037	\$1,122	\$770	\$1,072	\$940	\$1,002	\$1,053	\$1,044	\$1,067

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	493	15	15	77	7	186	89	29	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,631	38	62	501	20	987	479	139	405
Participants in a Work Activity	2,105	31	49	427	7	762	378	115	336
% of Total Enrolled	80%	82%	79%	85%	35%	77%	79%	83%	83%
Participants Employed	1,333	26	21	289	6	487	218	81	205
% of Total Enrolled	51%	68%	34%	58%	30%	49%	46%	58%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.15	\$8.01	\$8.00	\$8.21	\$7.85	\$7.97	\$7.68	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$1,137	\$883	\$1,048	\$933	\$978	\$1,033	\$994	\$1,030
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	59%	75%	80%	35%	76%	71%	64%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	49,073	1111	945	7,876	769	15,832	7,928	3,117	11,495
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	35,766	793	753	5,728	599	11,413	6,240	2,434	7,806
Participants in a Work Activity	33,868	762	720	5,460	588	10,802	5,882	2,401	7,253
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,167	555	433	4,195	396	8,039	4,526	1,807	5,216
% of Total Enrolled	70%	70%	58%	73%	66%	70%	73%	74%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$6.84	\$6.62	\$6.97	\$6.42	\$6.69	\$6.69	\$6.64	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$892	\$907	\$822	\$922	\$803	\$857	\$898	\$866	\$947
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	60%	55%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	70%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	26.2%	2.62	118	\$7.61	2.68	105	100.0%	10.00	108	5.10	67
003	Albemarle	63.3%	6.33	17	\$8.60	5.40	20	100.0%	10.00	16	7.24	2
005	Alleghany/Covington	61.0%	6.10	22	\$7.65	2.79	98	100.0%	10.00	112	6.30	13
007	Amelia	41.9%	4.19	87	\$7.94	3.59	66	87.9%	8.79	69	5.52	43
009	Amherst	45.2%	4.52	77	\$7.94	3.59	67	85.7%	8.57	111	5.56	38
011	Appomattox	65.1%	6.51	13	\$7.82	3.26	80	85.4%	8.54	19	6.10	18
013	Arlington	47.0%	4.70	72	\$10.28	10.00	1	84.6%	8.46	29	7.72	1
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.9%	6.79	7	\$7.86	3.37	75	82.3%	8.23	59	6.13	16
017	Bath	66.7%	6.67	8	\$7.63	2.74	101	80.4%	8.04	1	5.81	28
019	Bedford County/City	46.8%	4.68	73	\$8.04	3.86	53	80.0%	8.00	94	5.51	44
021	Bland	50.0%	5.00	51	\$8.00	3.75	57	80.0%	8.00	10	5.58	36
023	Botetourt	31.6%	3.16	110	\$7.79	3.18	85	80.0%	8.00	33	4.78	89
025	Brunswick	39.3%	3.93	96	\$7.37	2.03	115	79.9%	7.99	90	4.65	95
027	Buchanan	30.0%	3.00	114	\$7.67	2.85	97	79.4%	7.94	106	4.60	99
029	Buckingham	50.0%	5.00	52	\$7.88	3.42	73	78.7%	7.87	42	5.43	49
031	Campbell	40.0%	4.00	92	\$8.06	3.92	51	78.6%	7.86	64	5.26	60
033	Caroline	33.3%	3.33	108	\$8.26	4.47	35	78.6%	7.86	26	5.22	63
035	Carroll	57.4%	5.74	34	\$7.58	2.60	108	78.3%	7.83	89	5.39	51
036	Charles City	50.0%	5.00	53	\$8.04	3.86	54	78.1%	7.81	71	5.56	39
037	Charlotte	33.3%	3.33	109	\$7.62	2.71	104	77.8%	7.78	110	4.61	97
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.0%	4.60	74	\$8.30	4.58	33	77.8%	7.78	18	5.65	34
043	Clarke	62.5%	6.25	19	\$9.10	6.77	9	77.8%	7.78	100	6.93	6
045	Craig	25.0%	2.50	119	\$7.25	1.70	117	77.1%	7.71	2	3.97	117
047	Culpeper	45.6%	4.56	75	\$8.18	4.25	39	76.5%	7.65	48	5.48	46
049	Cumberland	31.6%	3.16	111	\$8.78	5.89	13	76.1%	7.61	44	5.55	41
051	Dickensen	52.2%	5.22	44	\$7.71	2.96	94	76.0%	7.60	20	5.26	59
053	Dinwiddie	64.3%	6.43	16	\$7.76	3.10	87	76.0%	7.60	53	5.71	31
057	Essex	59.6%	5.96	23	\$8.26	4.47	36	75.7%	7.57	39	6.00	22
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	47.8%	4.78	67	\$9.61	8.16	5	75.6%	7.56	57	6.83	7
061	Fauquier	66.7%	6.67	9	\$8.70	5.67	15	75.5%	7.55	84	6.63	9
063	Floyd	50.0%	5.00	54	\$7.92	3.53	70	75.5%	7.55	115	5.36	53
065	Fluvanna	26.7%	2.67	117	\$9.00	6.49	10	75.1%	7.51	72	5.55	40
067	Franklin County	37.1%	3.71	103	\$8.12	4.08	45	75.0%	7.50	78	5.10	68
069	Frederick	41.2%	4.12	90	\$8.61	5.42	18	75.0%	7.50	49	5.68	32
071	Giles	58.3%	5.83	30	\$7.63	2.74	102	75.0%	7.50	98	5.36	54
073	Gloucester	58.6%	5.86	28	\$7.75	3.07	90	75.0%	7.50	109	5.48	47
075	Goochland	48.3%	4.83	65	\$8.42	4.90	27	75.0%	7.50	56	5.74	29
077	Grayson	68.4%	6.84	5	\$7.47	2.30	111	75.0%	7.50	92	5.55	42

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	5.00	55	\$8.47	5.04	25	74.3%	7.43	11	5.82	27
081	Greensville/Emporia	28.4%	2.84	116	\$7.44	2.22	114	74.2%	7.42	105	4.16	113
083	Halifax	43.7%	4.37	80	\$8.11	4.05	46	74.0%	7.40	96	5.27	58
085	Hanover	52.4%	5.24	43	\$8.75	5.81	14	73.7%	7.37	62	6.14	14
087	Henrico	43.1%	4.31	82	\$8.39	4.82	30	73.7%	7.37	13	5.50	45
089	Henry/Martinsville	43.6%	4.36	81	\$7.92	3.53	71	73.3%	7.33	87	5.07	70
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	3.75	58	73.3%	7.33	3	7.03	4
093	Isle of Wight	68.4%	6.84	6	\$8.15	4.16	43	73.2%	7.32	97	6.11	17
095	James City	64.6%	6.46	15	\$8.55	5.26	23	73.0%	7.30	41	6.34	11
097	King and Queen	50.0%	5.00	56	\$8.00	3.75	59	72.9%	7.29	114	5.35	55
099	King George	66.7%	6.67	10	\$8.56	5.29	22	72.7%	7.27	107	6.41	10
101	King William	55.6%	5.56	37	\$7.76	3.10	88	72.7%	7.27	12	5.31	56
103	Lancaster	66.7%	6.67	11	\$7.98	3.70	62	72.7%	7.27	17	5.88	25
105	Lee	59.2%	5.92	24	\$7.58	2.60	109	72.6%	7.26	34	5.26	61
107	Loudoun	44.1%	4.41	78	\$10.05	9.37	3	72.4%	7.24	31	7.01	5
109	Louisa	51.1%	5.11	48	\$8.70	5.67	16	72.4%	7.24	43	6.01	21
111	Lunenburg	37.0%	3.70	104	\$7.72	2.99	93	71.9%	7.19	24	4.62	96
113	Madison	57.1%	5.71	35	\$7.69	2.90	95	71.4%	7.14	5	5.25	62
115	Mathews	50.0%	5.00	57	\$6.63	0.00	119	71.4%	7.14	116	4.05	116
117	Mecklenburg	47.1%	4.71	71	\$7.47	2.30	112	71.0%	7.10	7	4.70	94
119	Middlesex	36.0%	3.60	105	\$8.19	4.27	38	71.0%	7.10	21	4.99	78
121	Montgomery	73.7%	7.37	4	\$7.84	3.32	78	71.0%	7.10	77	5.93	23
125	Nelson	42.9%	4.29	84	\$7.76	3.10	89	70.8%	7.08	65	4.82	86
127	New Kent	52.2%	5.22	45	\$8.61	5.42	19	70.8%	7.08	35	5.91	24
131	Northampton	58.9%	5.89	27	\$8.00	3.75	60	70.6%	7.06	14	5.57	37
133	Northumberland	40.0%	4.00	93	\$7.92	3.53	72	70.0%	7.00	86	4.84	84
135	Nottoway	55.3%	5.53	39	\$7.77	3.12	86	70.0%	7.00	25	5.22	64
137	Orange	59.0%	5.90	26	\$8.83	6.03	12	69.7%	6.97	40	6.30	12
139	Page	64.7%	6.47	14	\$7.94	3.59	68	69.4%	6.94	104	5.67	33
141	Patrick	49.3%	4.93	60	\$7.63	2.74	103	68.8%	6.88	54	4.85	83
143	Pittsylvania	42.3%	4.23	86	\$8.41	4.88	28	68.0%	6.80	85	5.30	57
145	Powhatan	47.6%	4.76	68	\$10.16	9.67	2	67.7%	6.77	81	7.07	3
147	Prince Edward	38.8%	3.88	101	\$8.07	3.95	49	66.7%	6.67	15	4.83	85
149	Prince George	42.9%	4.29	85	\$8.92	6.27	11	66.7%	6.67	79	5.74	30
153	Prince William	48.7%	4.87	63	\$9.14	6.88	7	66.7%	6.67	32	6.14	15
155	Pulaski	58.5%	5.85	29	\$7.55	2.52	110	66.7%	6.67	91	5.01	74
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.3%	6.63	120	3.32	119
159	Richmond County	62.5%	6.25	20	\$7.36	2.00	116	66.2%	6.62	118	4.96	81
161	Roanoke County	62.1%	6.21	21	\$8.35	4.71	31	66.2%	6.62	82	5.85	26
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	53.7%	5.37	41	\$7.81	3.23	82	65.3%	6.53	73	5.04	71
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.4%	6.64	12	\$8.43	4.93	26	65.2%	6.52	67	6.03	20
167	Russell	50.0%	5.00	58	\$7.47	2.30	113	65.2%	6.52	66	4.61	98
169	Scott	55.3%	5.53	38	\$7.74	3.04	91	64.3%	6.43	4	5.00	76
171	Shenandoah	39.1%	3.91	98	\$8.49	5.10	24	64.3%	6.43	93	5.15	66

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	52.1%	5.21	<b>46</b>	\$7.87	3.40	<b>74</b>	63.9%	6.39	<b>76</b>	5.00	<b>77</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	33.9%	3.39	<b>107</b>	\$8.01	3.78	<b>56</b>	63.4%	6.34	<b>36</b>	4.50	<b>102</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	39.3%	3.93	<b>97</b>	\$8.11	4.05	<b>47</b>	63.2%	6.32	<b>23</b>	4.77	<b>90</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	38.9%	3.89	<b>100</b>	\$9.11	6.79	<b>8</b>	62.5%	6.25	<b>6</b>	5.64	<b>35</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	48.7%	4.87	<b>62</b>	\$8.31	4.60	<b>32</b>	61.6%	6.16	<b>88</b>	5.21	<b>65</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	35.7%	3.57	<b>106</b>	\$7.84	3.32	<b>79</b>	61.1%	6.11	<b>95</b>	4.33	<b>107</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.8%	5.58	<b>36</b>	\$7.86	3.37	<b>76</b>	60.9%	6.09	<b>50</b>	5.01	<b>73</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	40.0%	4.00	<b>94</b>	\$8.40	4.85	<b>29</b>	60.7%	6.07	<b>74</b>	4.97	<b>80</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.1%	6.31	<b>18</b>	\$7.64	2.77	<b>99</b>	60.3%	6.03	<b>99</b>	5.04	<b>72</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	47.5%	4.75	<b>69</b>	\$8.08	3.97	<b>48</b>	60.0%	6.00	<b>117</b>	4.91	<b>82</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	57.6%	5.76	<b>33</b>	\$7.59	2.63	<b>107</b>	60.0%	6.00	<b>46</b>	4.80	<b>88</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	59.0%	5.90	<b>25</b>	\$7.80	3.21	<b>83</b>	59.2%	5.92	<b>101</b>	5.01	<b>75</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	44.1%	4.41	<b>79</b>	\$8.03	3.84	<b>55</b>	59.1%	5.91	<b>52</b>	4.72	<b>92</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	39.0%	3.90	<b>99</b>	\$9.74	8.52	<b>4</b>	58.8%	5.88	<b>8</b>	6.10	<b>19</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	41.2%	4.12	<b>89</b>	\$7.61	2.68	<b>106</b>	58.8%	5.88	<b>103</b>	4.23	<b>111</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	50.3%	5.03	<b>50</b>	\$8.57	5.32	<b>21</b>	58.3%	5.83	<b>70</b>	5.39	<b>50</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.7%	5.77	<b>32</b>	\$8.00	3.75	<b>61</b>	57.6%	5.76	<b>9</b>	5.09	<b>69</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	40.4%	4.04	<b>91</b>	\$7.73	3.01	<b>92</b>	57.1%	5.71	<b>113</b>	4.26	<b>110</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	30.0%	3.00	<b>115</b>	\$8.21	4.33	<b>37</b>	56.5%	5.65	<b>119</b>	4.33	<b>109</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	52.7%	5.27	<b>42</b>	\$8.64	5.51	<b>17</b>	56.3%	5.63	<b>47</b>	5.47	<b>48</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	51.4%	5.14	<b>47</b>	\$8.16	4.19	<b>42</b>	56.0%	5.60	<b>61</b>	4.97	<b>79</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	47.2%	4.72	<b>70</b>	\$8.05	3.89	<b>52</b>	55.2%	5.52	<b>27</b>	4.71	<b>93</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	30.5%	3.05	<b>113</b>	\$7.82	3.26	<b>81</b>	54.8%	5.48	<b>55</b>	3.93	<b>118</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	39.6%	3.96	<b>95</b>	\$7.80	3.21	<b>84</b>	54.6%	5.46	<b>75</b>	4.21	<b>112</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	31.6%	3.16	<b>112</b>	\$9.37	7.51	<b>6</b>	54.6%	5.46	<b>28</b>	5.37	<b>52</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	\$8.30	4.58	<b>34</b>	54.2%	5.42	<b>37</b>	6.66	<b>8</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.1%	4.81	<b>66</b>	\$8.14	4.14	<b>44</b>	52.6%	5.26	<b>51</b>	4.74	<b>91</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	49.3%	4.93	<b>59</b>	\$7.85	3.34	<b>77</b>	52.4%	5.24	<b>30</b>	4.50	<b>101</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	80.0%	8.00	<b>3</b>	\$7.09	1.26	<b>118</b>	51.6%	5.16	<b>22</b>	4.81	<b>87</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	43.1%	4.31	<b>83</b>	\$7.93	3.56	<b>69</b>	51.2%	5.12	<b>60</b>	4.33	<b>108</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.5%	4.55	<b>76</b>	\$7.97	3.67	<b>63</b>	51.1%	5.11	<b>58</b>	4.44	<b>104</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	48.4%	4.84	<b>64</b>	\$7.95	3.62	<b>64</b>	50.0%	5.00	<b>80</b>	4.49	<b>103</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	37.5%	3.75	<b>102</b>	\$8.07	3.95	<b>50</b>	47.1%	4.71	<b>68</b>	4.13	<b>114</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	41.4%	4.14	<b>88</b>	\$7.95	3.62	<b>65</b>	46.2%	4.62	<b>63</b>	4.12	<b>115</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.3%	5.83	<b>31</b>	\$7.68	2.88	<b>96</b>	45.2%	4.52	<b>83</b>	4.41	<b>105</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.6%	5.06	<b>49</b>	\$8.18	4.25	<b>40</b>	44.4%	4.44	<b>45</b>	4.58	<b>100</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	53.8%	5.38	<b>40</b>	\$8.18	4.25	<b>41</b>	34.8%	3.48	<b>38</b>	4.37	<b>106</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	49.3%	4.93	<b>61</b>	\$7.64	2.77	<b>100</b>	0.0%	0.00	<b>102</b>	2.56	<b>120</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.1%	2.03	117	\$842	2.93	93	65.3%	5.91	48	3.62	109
003	Albemarle	72.8%	7.65	13	\$1,001	6.17	18	57.3%	4.10	103	5.97	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.4%	7.26	21	\$827	2.62	104	58.4%	4.36	96	4.75	80
007	Amelia	59.9%	4.15	96	\$959	5.32	31	63.3%	5.47	65	4.98	72
009	Amherst	67.0%	6.07	51	\$858	3.25	88	60.0%	4.70	86	4.68	84
011	Appomattox	70.6%	7.04	23	\$860	3.30	86	55.7%	3.73	108	4.69	83
013	Arlington	72.8%	7.64	14	\$1,060	7.37	9	70.1%	7.01	15	7.34	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.7%	6.27	46	\$948	5.10	42	66.7%	6.23	41	5.87	31
017	Bath	65.2%	5.59	66	\$698	0.00	120	62.1%	5.18	72	3.59	110
019	Bedford County/City	65.2%	5.59	67	\$849	3.07	91	61.1%	4.96	78	4.54	89
021	Bland	61.3%	4.53	94	\$836	2.81	97	56.6%	3.95	105	3.76	105
023	Botetourt	44.6%	0.00	120	\$923	4.58	55	55.7%	3.74	107	2.77	118
025	Brunswick	56.6%	3.27	110	\$816	2.40	110	67.3%	6.38	38	4.02	102
027	Buchanan	52.6%	2.19	115	\$832	2.73	101	63.5%	5.51	63	3.48	113
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.27	109	\$937	4.87	47	62.3%	5.24	71	4.46	94
031	Campbell	68.2%	6.39	44	\$889	3.88	74	64.3%	5.69	53	5.32	58
033	Caroline	61.7%	4.64	92	\$955	5.24	34	56.6%	3.95	106	4.61	86
035	Carroll	67.2%	6.13	49	\$819	2.46	108	55.0%	3.58	110	4.06	101
036	Charles City	55.4%	2.92	112	\$924	4.61	53	54.0%	3.36	114	3.63	108
037	Charlotte	51.0%	1.74	118	\$926	4.65	52	58.5%	4.39	95	3.59	111
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.4%	5.37	73	\$1,014	6.43	17	70.1%	6.99	17	6.26	21
043	Clarke	61.7%	4.65	91	\$981	5.76	26	64.1%	5.64	55	5.35	56
045	Craig	52.6%	2.19	116	\$1,028	6.71	12	63.2%	5.43	67	4.78	79
047	Culpeper	65.5%	5.67	64	\$954	5.21	36	66.5%	6.19	42	5.69	38
049	Cumberland	56.4%	3.20	111	\$950	5.14	40	58.2%	4.31	98	4.21	97
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.17	95	\$819	2.48	107	55.4%	3.67	109	3.44	114
053	Dinwiddie	66.4%	5.91	55	\$952	5.18	38	58.9%	4.46	91	5.18	63
057	Essex	69.6%	6.77	35	\$859	3.29	87	48.8%	2.17	117	4.08	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.27	45	\$1,137	8.93	3	69.4%	6.84	24	7.35	5
061	Fauquier	68.6%	6.50	39	\$1,014	6.43	16	69.5%	6.87	22	6.60	12
063	Floyd	68.5%	6.48	41	\$924	4.60	54	56.9%	4.02	104	5.03	69
065	Fluvanna	69.1%	6.65	36	\$1,064	7.45	7	59.5%	4.59	88	6.23	23
067	Franklin County	62.6%	4.88	86	\$941	4.94	46	58.3%	4.32	97	4.72	81
069	Frederick	57.6%	3.53	104	\$997	6.08	20	61.7%	5.09	75	4.90	75
071	Giles	59.5%	4.04	99	\$951	5.14	39	54.4%	3.45	112	4.21	98
073	Gloucester	66.2%	5.87	57	\$841	2.91	95	60.1%	4.73	85	4.50	91
075	Goochland	65.8%	5.75	60	\$896	4.04	71	63.2%	5.44	66	5.08	67
077	Grayson	66.5%	5.95	54	\$839	2.87	96	60.9%	4.91	79	4.58	88

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.5%	8.65	4	\$915	4.42	61	57.4%	4.13	102	5.73	37
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.2%	3.43	106	\$809	2.27	111	63.8%	5.58	58	3.76	106
083	Halifax	57.1%	3.38	107	\$835	2.80	98	58.6%	4.40	94	3.52	112
085	Hanover	65.2%	5.58	68	\$1,046	7.09	10	67.9%	6.51	33	6.39	18
087	Henrico	67.0%	6.07	52	\$1,017	6.49	15	66.0%	6.07	45	6.21	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.6%	5.16	82	\$936	4.85	48	64.4%	5.72	52	5.24	62
091	Highland	75.0%	8.24	7	\$784	1.75	116	83.3%	10.00	1	6.66	10
093	Isle of Wight	70.0%	6.88	32	\$907	4.27	66	60.2%	4.75	84	5.30	59
095	James City	70.0%	6.88	33	\$899	4.09	68	61.7%	5.10	74	5.36	53
097	King and Queen	53.6%	2.44	114	\$871	3.53	80	45.0%	1.32	118	2.43	119
099	King George	63.2%	5.05	83	\$917	4.46	60	61.5%	5.04	77	4.85	76
101	King William	59.6%	4.07	98	\$963	5.40	28	61.5%	5.05	76	4.84	77
103	Lancaster	65.8%	5.74	61	\$841	2.91	94	53.9%	3.33	115	4.00	103
105	Lee	65.1%	5.57	69	\$778	1.63	117	72.4%	7.53	8	4.91	74
107	Loudoun	77.2%	8.83	3	\$1,180	9.80	2	71.7%	7.36	11	8.66	1
109	Louisa	61.4%	4.56	93	\$976	5.66	27	63.9%	5.59	57	5.27	60
111	Lunenburg	47.2%	0.72	119	\$745	0.97	118	60.4%	4.81	83	2.17	120
113	Madison	64.3%	5.34	74	\$990	5.95	23	62.5%	5.28	69	5.52	46
115	Mathews	64.1%	5.28	77	\$873	3.56	79	67.6%	6.43	35	5.09	65
117	Mecklenburg	66.2%	5.86	58	\$834	2.77	99	68.8%	6.71	29	5.11	64
119	Middlesex	65.6%	5.70	62	\$898	4.08	69	69.3%	6.83	25	5.53	44
121	Montgomery	79.5%	9.46	2	\$927	4.67	51	65.0%	5.84	50	6.66	11
125	Nelson	63.7%	5.19	81	\$995	6.05	21	60.6%	4.84	82	5.36	52
127	New Kent	59.7%	4.11	97	\$1,019	6.53	14	73.3%	7.73	7	6.12	26
131	Northampton	64.1%	5.28	78	\$834	2.77	100	79.8%	9.20	2	5.75	35
133	Northumberland	58.0%	3.65	103	\$849	3.08	90	52.8%	3.08	116	3.27	115
135	Nottoway	67.6%	6.22	47	\$890	3.91	73	69.2%	6.80	27	5.64	40
137	Orange	72.6%	7.60	16	\$963	5.40	29	59.0%	4.49	89	5.83	32
139	Page	70.0%	6.89	31	\$987	5.88	24	59.7%	4.65	87	5.81	33
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.12	50	\$816	2.41	109	74.7%	8.05	6	5.53	45
143	Pittsylvania	54.6%	2.73	113	\$861	3.31	85	60.8%	4.89	80	3.65	107
145	Powhatan	56.8%	3.31	108	\$949	5.12	41	63.7%	5.56	59	4.66	85
147	Prince Edward	69.8%	6.83	34	\$876	3.62	78	69.7%	6.90	18	5.78	34
149	Prince George	62.2%	4.77	87	\$994	6.02	22	57.7%	4.20	101	4.99	70
153	Prince William	72.7%	7.62	15	\$1,190	10.00	1	71.1%	7.24	13	8.29	2
155	Pulaski	64.4%	5.37	72	\$932	4.76	49	54.1%	3.37	113	4.50	92
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.25	79	\$954	5.22	35	44.7%	1.26	119	3.91	104
159	Richmond County	61.9%	4.69	90	\$928	4.68	50	39.2%	0.00	120	3.12	117
161	Roanoke County	74.2%	8.02	9	\$1,034	6.83	11	58.8%	4.43	93	6.43	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.4%	7.53	18	\$862	3.35	84	66.2%	6.12	43	5.67	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.5%	7.83	11	\$959	5.32	32	67.7%	6.46	34	6.53	14
167	Russell	61.9%	4.70	88	\$847	3.04	92	67.4%	6.39	37	4.71	82
169	Scott	58.7%	3.82	102	\$877	3.65	77	77.1%	8.59	4	5.35	55
171	Shenandoah	61.9%	4.69	89	\$918	4.47	59	69.6%	6.90	19	5.35	54

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.1%	3.94	<b>100</b>	\$829	2.67	<b>103</b>	64.1%	5.64	<b>54</b>	4.09	<b>99</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.5%	3.50	<b>105</b>	\$822	2.54	<b>106</b>	54.5%	3.47	<b>111</b>	3.17	<b>116</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.5%	6.49	<b>40</b>	\$1,027	6.70	<b>13</b>	68.0%	6.52	<b>32</b>	6.57	<b>13</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.9%	3.88	<b>101</b>	\$1,117	8.53	<b>4</b>	68.1%	6.56	<b>30</b>	6.32	<b>20</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.1%	7.18	<b>22</b>	\$870	3.50	<b>81</b>	58.0%	4.27	<b>100</b>	4.98	<b>71</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.6%	5.70	<b>63</b>	\$945	5.03	<b>45</b>	64.6%	5.75	<b>51</b>	5.49	<b>47</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.4%	6.18	<b>48</b>	\$801	2.11	<b>114</b>	65.9%	6.06	<b>46</b>	4.78	<b>78</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.5%	6.47	<b>42</b>	\$999	6.12	<b>19</b>	69.4%	6.84	<b>23</b>	6.48	<b>16</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.9%	5.50	<b>71</b>	\$884	3.79	<b>75</b>	58.2%	4.31	<b>99</b>	4.53	<b>90</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.1%	5.28	<b>76</b>	\$915	4.41	<b>62</b>	63.7%	5.54	<b>60</b>	5.08	<b>66</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.9%	5.23	<b>80</b>	\$805	2.19	<b>112</b>	67.1%	6.33	<b>39</b>	4.58	<b>87</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.4%	5.91	<b>56</b>	\$830	2.69	<b>102</b>	66.8%	6.26	<b>40</b>	4.95	<b>73</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.8%	4.93	<b>85</b>	\$891	3.93	<b>72</b>	58.9%	4.47	<b>90</b>	4.44	<b>95</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.3%	6.96	<b>27</b>	\$1,063	7.42	<b>8</b>	77.0%	8.55	<b>5</b>	7.65	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.8%	6.56	<b>37</b>	\$862	3.35	<b>83</b>	62.6%	5.31	<b>68</b>	5.08	<b>68</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.5%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$921	4.53	<b>58</b>	65.4%	5.94	<b>47</b>	6.82	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.2%	7.76	<b>12</b>	\$922	4.57	<b>56</b>	65.1%	5.87	<b>49</b>	6.07	<b>27</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.0%	5.52	<b>70</b>	\$798	2.03	<b>115</b>	61.7%	5.11	<b>73</b>	4.22	<b>96</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.1%	5.83	<b>59</b>	\$803	2.14	<b>113</b>	63.6%	5.52	<b>61</b>	4.50	<b>93</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.8%	8.47	<b>5</b>	\$984	5.83	<b>25</b>	66.1%	6.10	<b>44</b>	6.80	<b>9</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.9%	4.97	<b>84</b>	\$882	3.75	<b>76</b>	70.4%	7.07	<b>14</b>	5.26	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.1%	6.92	<b>29</b>	\$908	4.27	<b>65</b>	63.6%	5.52	<b>62</b>	5.57	<b>42</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.40	<b>43</b>	\$911	4.34	<b>63</b>	63.4%	5.49	<b>64</b>	5.41	<b>50</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.0%	6.89	<b>30</b>	\$823	2.55	<b>105</b>	68.8%	6.72	<b>28</b>	5.39	<b>51</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.2%	5.33	<b>75</b>	\$1,092	8.02	<b>5</b>	71.5%	7.32	<b>12</b>	6.89	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.8%	7.92	<b>10</b>	\$1,074	7.66	<b>6</b>	77.9%	8.77	<b>3</b>	8.12	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.7%	7.33	<b>19</b>	\$922	4.56	<b>57</b>	69.3%	6.82	<b>26</b>	6.24	<b>22</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.4%	7.01	<b>24</b>	\$857	3.24	<b>89</b>	67.4%	6.39	<b>36</b>	5.55	<b>43</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.7%	8.44	<b>6</b>	\$739	0.83	<b>119</b>	72.4%	7.51	<b>9</b>	5.59	<b>41</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.3%	6.98	<b>26</b>	\$945	5.04	<b>44</b>	70.1%	7.01	<b>16</b>	6.34	<b>19</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.5%	7.57	<b>17</b>	\$898	4.07	<b>70</b>	69.6%	6.88	<b>20</b>	6.18	<b>25</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	6.98	<b>25</b>	\$901	4.14	<b>67</b>	60.8%	4.89	<b>81</b>	5.33	<b>57</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.2%	6.93	<b>28</b>	\$954	5.21	<b>37</b>	71.8%	7.38	<b>10</b>	6.51	<b>15</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.5%	5.66	<b>65</b>	\$960	5.34	<b>30</b>	62.4%	5.25	<b>70</b>	5.42	<b>48</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.2%	8.03	<b>8</b>	\$866	3.42	<b>82</b>	68.1%	6.56	<b>31</b>	6.00	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.8%	6.03	<b>53</b>	\$947	5.08	<b>43</b>	69.5%	6.87	<b>21</b>	5.99	<b>29</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	71.5%	7.30	<b>20</b>	\$909	4.30	<b>64</b>	63.9%	5.61	<b>56</b>	5.74	<b>36</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.53	<b>38</b>	\$957	5.27	<b>33</b>	58.8%	4.43	<b>92</b>	5.41	<b>49</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	130	1	3	6	2	4	8	3	1	1	4	3	28	28	4	11	14	9	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	3	9	7	20	97	33	34	25	14	13	4	46	30	14	21	49	13	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	9	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	15

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	9

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	37	0	0	3

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	97	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	8	0	1	1	6	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	4	4	42	0	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	34	1	0	0	67	35

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	25	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	14

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	2	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	8	0	0	17

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	3	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	28	5	1	0	17	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	46	75	23	0	51	0	3

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	28	15	0	13	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	44	0	14	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	1	7	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	0	0	3	32	0	0	1	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	14	0	0	0	1	13	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	0	0	0	3	52	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	9	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	5	0	0	0	16	0	17

## ***Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals***

### ***Collections***

	<i><b>Jul-10</b></i>	<i><b>Jul-11</b></i>	<i><b>% Change</b></i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,499	14,701	1.4%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,434	128,575	1.7%
Total Cases Collected	140,933	143,276	1.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,733,101	\$2,836,526	3.8%
NTANF Collections	\$46,627,480	\$47,544,327	2.0%
Total Collections	\$49,360,581	\$50,380,853	2.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$49,360,581	\$50,380,853	2.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$350	\$352	0.4%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<i><b>Jul-11</b></i>
TANF Paternities	225
NTANF Paternities	411
Combined Paternities	636

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *July Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	2		Prince William	2
Alleghany / Covington	1		Pulaski	1
Amelia	2		Roanoke County	4
Amherst	1		Rockingham / H'burg	7
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Scott	1
Bedford City	3		Shenandoah	8
Buckingham	8		Smyth	1
Campbell	2		Spotsylvania	6
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	8		Stafford	15
Culpeper	3		Surry	1
Cumberland	6		Warren	5
Dinwiddie	1		Wythe	2
Essex	1		York / Poquoson	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	6		Bristol	1
Fauquier	5		Charlottesville	5
Franklin County	3		Chesapeake	5
Frederick	7		Franklin City	1
Gloucester	1		Fredericksburg	1
Greene	4		Hampton	10
Halifax	1		Hopewell	3
Hanover	1		Newport News	17
Henrico	5		Norfolk	13
Henry / Martinsville	1		Petersburg	3
James City	2		Portsmouth	5
Loudoun	1		Richmond City	2
Louisa	1		Roanoke City	9
Lunenburg	1		Suffolk	10
Mathews	1		Virginia Beach	7
Middlesex	3		Winchester	1
Orange	3		Statewide	289

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup>Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup>A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup>The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup>Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup>This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup>Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus , data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup>Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of August 1, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2011




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


July 31, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July it was \$8.27 rather than the \$8.19 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.