

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

February 2012

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services March 29, 2012

Monthly Report, February 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,705 and a denominator of 17,797.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	18	59	31%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	23	53	43%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	32	60	53%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	9	26	35%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	16	43	37%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	27	26%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	12	42	29%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	48	117	41%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	20	39	51%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	22	91	24%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	28	42	67%	071	<i>Giles</i>	11	24	46%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	51	116	44%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	17	44	39%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	137	236	58%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	11	33	33%
017	<i>Bath</i>	2	5	40%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	12	30	40%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	45	90	50%	079	<i>Greene</i>	3	21	14%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	8	13%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	24	77	31%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	4	21	19%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	26	81	32%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	41	69	59%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	28	79	35%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	20	45	44%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	305	922	33%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	18	39	46%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	88	265	33%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	76	178	43%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	21	110	19%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	24	49	49%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	27	52	52%	095	<i>James City</i>	23	71	32%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	3	15	20%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	4	14	29%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	19	45	42%	099	<i>King George</i>	9	23	39%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	225	521	43%	101	<i>King William</i>	7	26	27%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	2	10	20%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	9	25	36%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	8	25%	105	<i>Lee</i>	57	126	45%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	28	79	35%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	67	150	45%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	8	34	24%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	28	48	58%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	13	29	45%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	16	42	38%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	19	49	39%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	10	10%
057	<i>Essex</i>	16	36	44%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	7	17	41%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	350	692	51%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	28	60	47%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	12	50%	187	Warren	36	80	45%
121	Montgomery	84	153	55%	191	Washington	34	70	49%
125	Nelson	6	18	33%	193	Westmoreland	17	41	41%
127	New Kent	4	35	11%	195	Wise	70	189	37%
131	Northampton	14	55	25%	197	Wythe	32	65	49%
133	Northumberland	12	13	92%	199	York/Poquoson	34	81	42%
135	Nottoway	32	85	38%	510	Alexandria	105	226	46%
137	Orange	18	47	38%	520	Bristol	80	144	56%
139	Page	13	31	42%	540	Charlottesville	103	194	53%
141	Patrick	29	54	54%	550	Chesapeake	328	648	51%
143	Pittsylvania	26	76	34%	590	Danville	89	201	44%
145	Powhatan	10	23	43%	620	Franklin City	10	50	20%
147	Prince Edward	35	73	48%	630	Fredericksburg	56	123	46%
149	Prince George	16	38	42%	640	Galax	11	30	37%
153	Prince William	336	674	50%	650	Hampton	389	808	48%
155	Pulaski	39	69	57%	670	Hopewell	48	189	25%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	127	307	41%
159	Richmond County	2	12	17%	683	Manassas	31	86	36%
161	Roanoke County	70	142	49%	685	Manassas Park	3	17	18%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	17	42	40%	700	Newport News	446	998	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	89	153	58%	710	Norfolk	706	1,383	51%
167	Russell	31	88	35%	720	Norton	8	19	42%
169	Scott	41	87	47%	730	Petersburg	165	308	54%
171	Shenandoah	10	24	42%	740	Portsmouth	239	587	41%
173	Smyth	44	111	40%	750	Radford	19	47	40%
175	Southampton	20	61	33%	760	Richmond City	545	1,523	36%
177	Spotsylvania	88	248	35%	770	Roanoke City	178	548	32%
179	Stafford	65	167	39%	800	Suffolk	86	144	60%
181	Surry	7	23	30%	810	Virginia Beach	277	510	54%
183	Sussex	11	39	28%	830	Williamsburg	11	26	42%
185	Tazewell	54	113	48%	840	Winchester	25	62	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 5,719 and a denominator of 15,623. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	16	57	28%	061	Fauquier	16	46	35%
003	Albemarle	19	47	40%	063	Floyd	6	23	26%
005	Alleghany/Covington	11	35	31%	065	Fluvanna	5	25	20%
007	Amelia	11	41	27%	067	Franklin County	42	111	38%
009	Amherst	15	33	45%	069	Frederick	12	81	15%
011	Appomattox	23	37	62%	071	Giles	9	22	41%
013	Arlington	31	96	32%	073	Gloucester	9	35	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	94	193	49%	075	Goochland	6	28	21%
017	Bath	1	4	25%	077	Grayson	6	24	25%
019	Bedford County/City	36	81	44%	079	Greene	1	18	6%
021	Bland	0	6	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	12	65	18%
023	Botetourt	3	20	15%	083	Halifax	17	71	24%
025	Brunswick	40	67	60%	085	Hanover	14	62	23%
027	Buchanan	13	37	35%	087	Henrico	221	821	27%
029	Buckingham	13	32	41%	089	Henry/Martinsville	70	244	29%
031	Campbell	52	150	35%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	20	102	20%	093	Isle of Wight	18	43	42%
035	Carroll	17	41	41%	095	James City	16	62	26%
036	Charles City	0	12	0%	097	King and Queen	3	12	25%
037	Charlotte	12	38	32%	099	King George	6	20	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	172	465	37%	101	King William	7	26	27%
043	Clarke	2	9	22%	103	Lancaster	8	22	36%
045	Craig	1	7	14%	105	Lee	40	108	37%
047	Culpeper	19	65	29%	107	Loudoun	30	112	27%
049	Cumberland	7	33	21%	109	Louisa	20	40	50%
051	Dickensen	8	24	33%	111	Lunenburg	12	38	32%
053	Dinwiddie	15	44	34%	113	Madison	0	9	0%
057	Essex	10	30	33%	115	Mathews	6	15	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	223	559	40%	117	Mecklenburg	20	52	38%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	11	45%	187	Warren	26	70	37%
121	Montgomery	50	119	42%	191	Washington	29	65	45%
125	Nelson	3	15	20%	193	Westmoreland	16	40	40%
127	New Kent	4	34	12%	195	Wise	45	161	28%
131	Northampton	13	54	24%	197	Wythe	23	56	41%
133	Northumberland	8	9	89%	199	York/Poquoson	26	73	36%
135	Nottoway	20	72	28%	510	Alexandria	74	195	38%
137	Orange	17	44	39%	520	Bristol	72	135	53%
139	Page	10	28	36%	540	Charlottesville	77	167	46%
141	Patrick	20	45	44%	550	Chesapeake	263	580	45%
143	Pittsylvania	23	73	32%	590	Danville	66	177	37%
145	Powhatan	7	20	35%	620	Franklin City	6	44	14%
147	Prince Edward	31	68	46%	630	Fredericksburg	33	99	33%
149	Prince George	11	33	33%	640	Galax	8	27	30%
153	Prince William	206	536	38%	650	Hampton	301	702	43%
155	Pulaski	31	61	51%	670	Hopewell	33	172	19%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	81	261	31%
159	Richmond County	2	12	17%	683	Manassas	15	70	21%
161	Roanoke County	38	110	35%	685	Manassas Park	0	14	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	39	36%	700	Newport News	338	881	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	55	119	46%	710	Norfolk	624	1,291	48%
167	Russell	25	82	30%	720	Norton	6	17	35%
169	Scott	33	77	43%	730	Petersburg	133	271	49%
171	Shenandoah	8	21	38%	740	Portsmouth	177	520	34%
173	Smyth	37	104	36%	750	Radford	14	42	33%
175	Southampton	17	57	30%	760	Richmond City	444	1,396	32%
177	Spotsylvania	67	220	30%	770	Roanoke City	125	491	25%
179	Stafford	44	146	30%	800	Suffolk	70	127	55%
181	Surry	4	20	20%	810	Virginia Beach	196	428	46%
183	Sussex	11	39	28%	830	Williamsburg	9	24	38%
185	Tazewell	39	98	40%	840	Winchester	20	57	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,986 and a denominator of 2,174. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	2	2	100%	061	Fauquier	7	7	100%
003	Albemarle	13	13	100%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	5	8	63%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	1	1	100%	067	Franklin County	6	6	100%
009	Amherst	5	6	83%	069	Frederick	10	10	100%
011	Appomattox	5	5	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	20	20	100%	073	Gloucester	8	9	89%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	43	43	100%	075	Goochland	5	5	100%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	6	6	100%
019	Bedford County/City	9	9	100%	079	Greene	2	3	67%
021	Bland	1	2	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	12	12	100%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	9	10	90%
025	Brunswick	1	2	50%	085	Hanover	14	17	82%
027	Buchanan	7	8	88%	087	Henrico	84	101	83%
029	Buckingham	5	7	71%	089	Henry/Martinsville	18	21	86%
031	Campbell	24	28	86%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	1	8	13%	093	Isle of Wight	6	6	100%
035	Carroll	10	11	91%	095	James City	7	9	78%
036	Charles City	3	3	100%	097	King and Queen	1	2	50%
037	Charlotte	7	7	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	53	56	95%	101	King William	0	0	N/A
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	1	3	33%
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	17	18	94%
047	Culpeper	9	14	64%	107	Loudoun	37	38	97%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	8	8	100%
051	Dickensen	5	5	100%	111	Lunenburg	4	4	100%
053	Dinwiddie	4	5	80%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	6	6	100%	115	Mathews	1	2	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	127	133	95%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	10	10	100%
121	Montgomery	34	34	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	0	1	0%	195	Wise	25	28	89%
131	Northampton	1	1	100%	197	Wythe	9	9	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	12	13	92%	510	Alexandria	31	31	100%
137	Orange	1	3	33%	520	Bristol	8	9	89%
139	Page	3	3	100%	540	Charlottesville	26	27	96%
141	Patrick	9	9	100%	550	Chesapeake	65	68	96%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	23	24	96%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	4	6	67%
147	Prince Edward	4	5	80%	630	Fredericksburg	23	24	96%
149	Prince George	5	5	100%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	130	138	94%	650	Hampton	88	106	83%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	15	17	88%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	46	46	100%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	16	16	100%
161	Roanoke County	32	32	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	108	117	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	34	100%	710	Norfolk	82	92	89%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	8	10	80%	730	Petersburg	32	37	86%
171	Shenandoah	2	3	67%	740	Portsmouth	62	67	93%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	3	4	75%	760	Richmond City	101	127	80%
177	Spotsylvania	21	28	75%	770	Roanoke City	53	57	93%
179	Stafford	21	21	100%	800	Suffolk	16	17	94%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	81	82	99%
183	Sussex	0	0	N/A	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Feb-12	Feb-11	Change from Feb-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,362	3,529	-5%	4,807	-30.1%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,228	2,264	-2%	1,658	34%
Applications Approved	1,637	1,795	-9%	2,820	-42%
Cases Closed *	2,555	2,664	-4%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	31,707	33,435	-5%	67,995	-53%
Cases Paid During Month ²	32,625	34,414	-5%	70,403	-54%

•The database indicates that of the 2,555 closed cases, 907 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 543 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 234 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 149 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Feb-12	Feb-11	Change from Feb-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	379	414	-8%	338	12%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	243	268	-9%	232	5%
Applications Approved	192	222	-14%	81	137%
Cases Closed *	246	274	-10%	107	130%
Cases at End of Month	1,804	2,215	-19%	321	462%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,900	2,311	-18%	394	382%

•The database indicates that of the 246 closed cases, 110 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 74 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 25 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 22 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	33,511	1,643	1,055	2,558	930	579	3,769	370	656	1,290	1,463	1,039	5,548	1,154	404	3,472	1,195	6,082	304
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,606	552	472	939	371	269	1,443	157	308	559	441	513	2,317	623	140	1,378	541	2,469	114
Part. in a Work Activity	10,125	444	374	629	286	186	1,175	100	260	420	322	370	1,641	418	109	1,057	334	1,941	59
% of Enrolled	74%	80%	79%	67%	77%	69%	81%	64%	84%	75%	73%	72%	71%	67%	78%	77%	62%	79%	52%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	115	0	2	11	0	7	14	5	0	2	4	4	29	5	2	6	7	17	0
Participants Employed	6,516	320	206	484	243	117	701	82	154	269	198	232	976	279	77	668	241	1,224	45
% of Enrolled	48%	58%	44%	52%	65%	43%	49%	52%	50%	48%	45%	45%	42%	45%	55%	48%	45%	50%	39%
Full Time	5,017	285	175	401	205	88	520	70	94	181	162	160	680	181	64	515	196	1,011	29
Part Time	1,499	35	31	83	38	29	181	12	60	88	36	72	296	98	13	153	45	213	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$7.68	\$7.76	\$8.03	\$7.99	\$8.10	\$9.47	\$8.22	\$8.46	\$7.90	\$7.75	\$7.76	\$8.39	\$8.65	\$8.15	\$8.18	\$7.82	\$7.93	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,057	\$1,081	\$1,080	\$1,103	\$1,062	\$1,255	\$1,071	\$1,012	\$1,012	\$1,069	\$975	\$1,078	\$1,092	\$1,060	\$1,077	\$1,082	\$1,041	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	21,408	999	812	1,910	697	458	2,277	249	467	845	929	698	2,971	833	268	2,335	618	3,836	206
Total Enrolled in VIEW	29,183	1,289	1,042	2,299	837	591	3,264	320	634	1,254	1,117	999	4,509	1,202	347	3,181	990	5,073	235
Part. in a Work Activity	25,908	1,182	967	1,954	763	513	3,002	276	594	1,108	1,013	894	3,943	982	320	2,823	826	4,542	206
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	93%	85%	91%	87%	92%	86%	94%	88%	91%	89%	87%	82%	92%	89%	83%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	14,594	711	504	1,151	519	282	1,773	169	357	641	470	465	2,050	557	177	1,575	474	2,626	93
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	48%	50%	62%	48%	54%	53%	56%	51%	42%	47%	45%	46%	51%	50%	48%	52%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$7.75	\$7.83	\$8.15	\$8.22	\$8.32	\$9.92	\$8.58	\$8.69	\$8.00	\$7.85	\$7.93	\$8.41	\$8.77	\$8.09	\$8.24	\$8.09	\$8.06	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,045	\$1,067	\$1,103	\$1,101	\$1,095	\$1,279	\$1,129	\$1,030	\$1,004	\$1,030	\$983	\$1,075	\$1,097	\$1,025	\$1,052	\$1,084	\$1,032	\$1,020
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	69%	62%	66%	73%	66%	72%	72%	70%	69%	64%	71%	77%	77%	64%	73%	73%	74%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	269,228	16,769	10,186	20,083	7,868	5,181	33,456	3,811	5,828	10,151	12,508	7,758	35,913	8,313	3,524	25,575	9,058	50,795	2,451
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	206,453	12,877	8,557	15,367	6,038	3,864	25,809	2,873	4,490	8,408	10,011	6,005	28,102	6,119	2,609	19,214	7,085	37,220	1,805
Part. in a Work Activity	197,450	12,375	8,202	14,629	5,879	3,649	24,951	2,765	4,378	7,949	9,689	5,730	26,988	5,765	2,450	18,296	6,766	35,249	1,740
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	94%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	95%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	139,546	8,100	5,515	10,423	4,211	2,508	18,205	1,934	3,347	5,733	6,166	3,631	18,937	4,014	1,641	13,344	4,829	25,996	1,012
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	64%	68%	70%	65%	71%	67%	75%	68%	62%	60%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$6.21	\$6.31	\$7.08	\$7.15	\$7.34	\$8.66	\$7.44	\$7.39	\$6.58	\$6.38	\$6.75	\$7.34	\$7.91	\$6.98	\$7.01	\$6.98	\$6.85	\$6.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$818	\$856	\$951	\$949	\$982	\$1,148	\$981	\$945	\$854	\$851	\$875	\$983	\$1,019	\$891	\$917	\$942	\$895	\$844
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	65%	57%	66%	66%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,643	144	82	289	210	168	245	455	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	552	23	24	118	76	70	85	140	16
Participants in a Work Activity	444	16	19	99	64	52	79	104	11
% of Enrolled	80%	70%	79%	84%	84%	74%	93%	74%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	320	7	17	75	36	40	55	80	10
% of Enrolled	58%	30%	71%	64%	47%	57%	65%	57%	63%
Full Time	285	6	16	64	31	36	51	72	9
Part Time	35	1	1	11	5	4	4	8	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.54	\$7.92	\$7.53	\$7.78	\$7.42	\$7.90	\$7.65	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,012	\$1,148	\$1,009	\$983	\$1,037	\$1,118	\$1,079	\$1,120

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	999	42	45	171	132	111	197	284	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,289	44	78	247	173	175	214	326	32
Participants in a Work Activity	1,182	36	71	242	162	156	212	278	25
% of Total Enrolled	92%	82%	91%	98%	94%	89%	99%	85%	78%
Participants Employed	711	19	45	152	81	92	132	170	20
% of Total Enrolled	55%	43%	58%	62%	47%	53%	62%	52%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.78	\$8.07	\$7.59	\$7.73	\$7.54	\$7.94	\$7.81	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,050	\$1,151	\$990	\$949	\$1,003	\$1,106	\$1,100	\$962
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	64%	56%	73%	72%	82%	63%	66%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,769	1,633	1,176	2,356	2,304	1,647	3,208	3,912	533
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,877	1,243	913	1,792	1,848	1,199	2,445	3,016	421
Participants in a Work Activity	12,375	1,161	862	1,778	1,759	1,174	2,359	2,896	386
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,100	657	550	1,176	1,136	699	1,658	1,909	315
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	61%	58%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.21	\$6.38	\$6.27	\$5.96	\$6.40	\$6.50	\$6.12	\$6.20	\$6.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$818	\$834	\$830	\$786	\$850	\$880	\$808	\$814	\$741
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,055	17	116	44	195	204	125	286	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	472	4	52	19	87	63	44	173	30
Participants in a Work Activity	374	2	51	19	69	36	36	147	14
% of Enrolled	79%	50%	98%	100%	79%	57%	82%	85%	47%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	206	1	34	10	37	29	32	51	12
% of Enrolled	44%	25%	65%	53%	43%	46%	73%	29%	40%
Full Time	175	1	25	7	35	25	30	44	8
Part Time	31	0	9	3	2	4	2	7	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$9.25	\$8.00	\$7.91	\$7.82	\$7.59	\$7.87	\$7.58	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,602	\$1,068	\$1,052	\$1,128	\$1,013	\$1,129	\$1,068	\$1,040

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	812	14	82	28	159	127	101	247	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,042	11	118	39	208	150	111	326	79
Participants in a Work Activity	967	10	114	38	195	138	106	296	70
% of Total Enrolled	93%	91%	97%	97%	94%	92%	95%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	504	5	69	25	87	75	69	135	39
% of Total Enrolled	48%	45%	58%	64%	42%	50%	62%	41%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$9.05	\$7.81	\$8.11	\$7.85	\$7.89	\$7.92	\$7.64	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,488	\$1,028	\$1,120	\$1,113	\$1,056	\$1,056	\$1,036	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	40%	59%	53%	67%	65%	66%	59%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,186	253	1,369	646	1,763	1,755	1,540	2,145	715
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,557	192	1,132	525	1,483	1,463	1,223	1,912	627
Participants in a Work Activity	8,202	181	1,045	489	1,430	1,425	1,201	1,837	594
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,515	116	754	351	861	935	816	1,288	394
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	67%	67%	58%	64%	67%	67%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.31	\$6.20	\$6.13	\$6.36	\$6.10	\$6.56	\$6.32	\$6.36	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$856	\$849	\$824	\$850	\$837	\$889	\$837	\$867	\$888
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	57%	54%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,558	89	46	11	62	249	60	315	184	283	72	1,187
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	939	25	13	3	17	122	22	114	61	107	31	424
Participants in a Work Activity	629	20	6	3	12	85	11	91	48	90	26	237
% of Enrolled	67%	80%	46%	100%	71%	70%	50%	80%	79%	84%	84%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	1
Participants Employed	484	17	6	2	9	40	6	87	37	67	21	192
% of Enrolled	52%	68%	46%	67%	53%	33%	27%	76%	61%	63%	68%	45%
Full Time	401	11	5	2	8	30	4	77	31	53	16	164
Part Time	83	6	1	0	1	10	2	10	6	14	5	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.98	\$8.03	\$7.25	\$8.03	\$7.64	\$7.59	\$7.89	\$7.77	\$8.41	\$7.75	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$945	\$1,144	\$1,099	\$1,084	\$995	\$990	\$1,069	\$1,044	\$1,073	\$966	\$1,138

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,910	53	19	10	43	180	38	222	136	158	64	987
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,299	79	32	12	40	234	41	325	158	261	76	1041
Participants in a Work Activity	1,954	69	16	10	33	199	36	298	142	226	63	862
% of Total Enrolled	85%	87%	50%	83%	83%	85%	88%	92%	90%	87%	83%	83%
Participants Employed	1,151	43	11	5	14	90	16	219	80	171	42	460
% of Total Enrolled	50%	54%	34%	42%	35%	38%	39%	67%	51%	66%	55%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.09	\$8.18	\$7.50	\$8.28	\$8.39	\$7.64	\$7.93	\$7.72	\$8.48	\$8.13	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,100	\$1,124	\$1,134	\$1,103	\$1,096	\$972	\$1,062	\$1,056	\$1,139	\$1,091	\$1,123
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	59%	57%	100%	70%	61%	50%	69%	56%	70%	85%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,083	1,128	335	86	513	1,764	507	2,860	1,749	2,184	785	8,172
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,367	852	210	44	366	1,342	367	2,332	1,385	1,756	612	6,101
Participants in a Work Activity	14,629	819	184	43	353	1,202	357	2,297	1,334	1,655	590	5,795
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	90%	97%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,423	606	93	23	240	832	213	1,834	883	1,301	429	3,969
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	52%	66%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$6.85	\$7.31	\$7.13	\$6.93	\$6.97	\$7.03	\$6.92	\$6.76	\$7.58	\$6.99	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$835	\$936	\$1,038	\$925	\$946	\$950	\$932	\$935	\$1,037	\$906	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	59%	56%	64%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	930	548	10	2	78	292
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	371	206	6	1	31	127
Participants in a Work Activity	286	152	5	1	20	108
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	83%	100%	65%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	243	136	2	1	19	85
% of Enrolled	65%	66%	33%	100%	61%	67%
Full Time	205	112	2	1	14	76
Part Time	38	24	0	0	5	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.92	\$8.63	\$8.00	\$7.64	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,085	\$1,247	\$1,386	\$890	\$1,174

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	697	415	4	0	45	233
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	837	506	7	1	59	264
Participants in a Work Activity	763	468	6	1	41	247
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	86%	100%	69%	94%
Participants Employed	519	300	3	1	31	184
% of Total Enrolled	62%	59%	43%	100%	53%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$8.18	\$8.17	\$8.00	\$7.70	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,084	\$930	\$1,376	\$879	\$1,162
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	75%	100%	100%	69%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,868	4,222	79	18	698	2,851
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,038	3,296	50	8	516	2,168
Participants in a Work Activity	5,879	3,234	50	8	479	2,108
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,211	2,214	31	6	372	1,588
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.14	\$6.54	\$7.79	\$6.71	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$949	\$955	\$709	\$784	\$861	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	83%	67%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	579	22	179	68	50	127	133	304	208	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	269	5	100	30	14	71	49	114	55	59
Participants in a Work Activity	186	3	58	27	11	54	33	59	35	24
% of Enrolled	69%	60%	58%	90%	79%	76%	67%	52%	64%	41%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	3	2	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	117	2	42	17	7	29	20	45	24	21
% of Enrolled	43%	40%	42%	57%	50%	41%	41%	39%	44%	36%
Full Time	88	2	28	15	6	20	17	29	17	12
Part Time	29	0	14	2	1	9	3	16	7	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$7.63	\$8.23	\$7.59	\$8.61	\$8.25	\$7.91	\$8.24	\$8.25	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,022	\$1,073	\$1,044	\$1,171	\$1,037	\$1,056	\$1,080	\$1,120	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	458	15	133	62	42	115	91	206	129	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	591	16	175	84	41	148	127	235	128	107
Participants in a Work Activity	513	13	141	84	39	124	112	206	106	100
% of Total Enrolled	87%	81%	81%	100%	95%	84%	88%	88%	83%	93%
Participants Employed	282	6	79	49	16	68	64	93	40	53
% of Total Enrolled	48%	38%	45%	58%	39%	46%	50%	40%	31%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.79	\$8.40	\$7.84	\$8.47	\$8.76	\$8.09	\$8.45	\$8.41	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,106	\$1,076	\$1,033	\$1,161	\$1,185	\$1,058	\$1,020	\$1,024	\$1,017
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	75%	77%	57%	63%	61%	69%	72%	71%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,181	202	1106	795	632	1248	1198	2,451	1550	901
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,864	122	827	648	384	942	941	1,805	1112	693
Participants in a Work Activity	3,649	115	767	633	372	871	891	1740	1083	657
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,508	72	471	450	233	641	641	1,012	569	443
% of Total Enrolled	65%	59%	57%	69%	61%	68%	68%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$7.42	\$7.69	\$7.30	\$6.72	\$7.43	\$7.24	\$6.71	\$6.75	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$982	\$978	\$1,002	\$986	\$922	\$1,007	\$961	\$844	\$847	\$841
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	62%	63%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	79%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,769	253	1,466	224	1,182	427	182	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,443	68	489	144	495	178	64	5
Participants in a Work Activity	1,175	57	426	90	404	143	51	4
% of Enrolled	81%	84%	87%	63%	82%	80%	80%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	1	8	0	3	1	1	0
Participants Employed	701	34	224	60	268	92	19	4
% of Enrolled	49%	50%	46%	42%	54%	52%	30%	80%
Full Time	520	19	159	39	210	75	15	3
Part Time	181	15	65	21	58	17	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.47	\$10.88	\$9.40	\$10.90	\$8.93	\$9.80	\$9.80	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,255	\$1,337	\$1,181	\$1,598	\$1,200	\$1,324	\$1,383	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,277	129	814	177	760	277	94	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,264	201	1,141	277	1,141	346	140	18
Participants in a Work Activity	3,002	197	1,062	235	1,038	320	133	17
% of Total Enrolled	92%	98%	93%	85%	91%	92%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	1,773	106	628	163	632	173	58	13
% of Total Enrolled	54%	53%	55%	59%	55%	50%	41%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.92	\$11.23	\$10.00	\$10.89	\$9.29	\$10.42	\$9.84	\$9.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,279	\$1,305	\$1,300	\$1,424	\$1,196	\$1,365	\$1,303	\$1,256
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	66%	70%	73%	72%	82%	61%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	33,456	2,839	11,800	2,365	10,740	3,843	1,444	425
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	25,809	2,222	8,899	1,880	8,339	3,045	1,116	308
Participants in a Work Activity	24,951	2,204	8,592	1,798	8,054	2,917	1,081	305
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,205	1,608	6,028	1,455	6,037	2,139	713	225
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	77%	72%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.66	\$8.28	\$8.67	\$9.22	\$8.75	\$8.37	\$8.18	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,067	\$1,144	\$1,194	\$1,189	\$1,074	\$1,100	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	71%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	370	148	96	25	93	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	157	68	40	8	38	3
Participants in a Work Activity	100	35	28	8	29	0
% of Enrolled	64%	51%	70%	100%	76%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	4	0	0	0
Participants Employed	82	29	24	4	25	0
% of Enrolled	52%	43%	60%	50%	66%	0%
Full Time	70	25	18	4	23	N/A
Part Time	12	4	6	0	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$8.32	\$8.14	\$7.44	\$8.31	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,093	\$976	\$1,123	\$1,130	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	249	102	90	15	38	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	320	145	94	19	59	3
Participants in a Work Activity	276	115	90	19	51	1
% of Total Enrolled	86%	79%	96%	100%	86%	33%
Participants Employed	169	66	52	9	42	0
% of Total Enrolled	53%	46%	55%	47%	71%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.42	\$8.96	\$7.75	\$8.52	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$1,092	\$1,184	\$1,172	\$1,108	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	73%	71%	56%	78%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,811	1,465	1134	349	768	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,873	1060	867	258	626	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,765	1014	858	251	583	59
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,934	683	593	163	456	39
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	63%	73%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$7.39	\$7.79	\$7.13	\$7.20	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$981	\$960	\$1,017	\$994	\$964	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	70%	61%	59%	45%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	656	125	60	43	92	34	302
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	308	37	26	14	37	12	182
Participants in a Work Activity	260	33	21	6	32	10	158
% of Enrolled	84%	89%	81%	43%	86%	83%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	154	27	3	5	21	8	90
% of Enrolled	50%	73%	12%	36%	57%	67%	49%
Full Time	94	20	2	3	17	5	47
Part Time	60	7	1	2	4	3	43
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.64	\$8.33	\$8.08	\$8.47	\$9.32	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$1,193	\$890	\$915	\$1,158	\$1,091	\$927

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	467	90	39	32	77	29	200
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	634	106	44	44	93	31	316
Participants in a Work Activity	594	102	41	36	83	25	307
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	82%	89%	81%	97%
Participants Employed	357	66	13	22	53	15	188
% of Total Enrolled	56%	62%	30%	50%	57%	48%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.69	\$8.73	\$9.02	\$8.44	\$9.09	\$9.04	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,030	\$1,145	\$1,022	\$964	\$1,187	\$1,237	\$926
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	74%	64%	46%	77%	91%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,828	1353	248	451	913	292	2,571
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,490	975	171	323	670	206	2,145
Participants in a Work Activity	4,378	951	166	304	642	203	2,112
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,347	710	108	245	416	129	1,739
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	63%	76%	62%	63%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.78	\$7.59	\$7.33	\$7.60	\$7.72	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$1,005	\$1,050	\$914	\$986	\$1,016	\$919
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	56%	63%	62%	65%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,290	94	95	200	302	599
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	559	31	46	72	195	215
Participants in a Work Activity	420	25	41	55	144	155
% of Enrolled	75%	81%	89%	76%	74%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	269	19	28	34	82	106
% of Enrolled	48%	61%	61%	47%	42%	49%
Full Time	181	14	28	24	49	66
Part Time	88	5	0	10	33	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.97	\$7.70	\$7.96	\$8.01	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$1,067	\$1,099	\$972	\$1,020	\$987

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	845	60	64	139	174	408
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,254	75	89	199	344	547
Participants in a Work Activity	1,108	66	83	168	315	476
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	93%	84%	92%	87%
Participants Employed	641	42	50	95	178	276
% of Total Enrolled	51%	56%	56%	48%	52%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.02	\$7.74	\$8.17	\$8.15	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	\$1,016	\$1,122	\$1,009	\$1,039	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	58%	85%	68%	69%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,151	944	795	2,045	2,364	4,003
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,408	759	696	1,635	2,021	3,297
Participants in a Work Activity	7,949	723	683	1,524	1,909	3,110
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,733	507	487	1,060	1,380	2,299
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.58	\$6.77	\$6.26	\$6.65	\$6.79	\$6.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$854	\$863	\$866	\$854	\$895	\$828
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,463	210	466	98	228	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	441	48	177	66	46	104
Participants in a Work Activity	322	27	133	47	31	84
% of Enrolled	73%	56%	75%	71%	67%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	198	27	68	35	23	45
% of Enrolled	45%	56%	38%	53%	50%	43%
Full Time	162	21	52	30	19	40
Part Time	36	6	16	5	4	5
Average Wage⁶	\$7.75	\$7.60	\$7.87	\$7.45	\$7.69	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,069	\$989	\$1,073	\$1,101	\$1,028	\$1,106

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	929	117	288	94	136	294
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	1,117	150	366	135	152	314
Participants in a Work Activity	1,013	131	330	122	132	298
% of Total Enrolled	91%	87%	90%	90%	87%	95%
Participants Employed	470	59	164	73	63	111
% of Total Enrolled	42%	39%	45%	54%	41%	35%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.85	\$7.88	\$7.87	\$7.54	\$8.04	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,030	\$1,020	\$1,024	\$1,003	\$1,068	\$1,042
Three Months Employment⁸	64%	58%	59%	82%	58%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,508	1,944	3,367	1,228	2,033	3,936
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,011	1,615	2,551	1,064	1,557	3,224
Participants in a Work Activity	9,689	1,557	2,450	1,002	1,531	3,149
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,166	915	1,610	716	849	2,076
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	63%	67%	55%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.38	\$6.35	\$6.78	\$6.25	\$6.38	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$851	\$837	\$936	\$815	\$865	\$801
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	61%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,039	77	114	63	84	62	139	70	162	126	142
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	513	46	69	27	27	27	60	40	54	82	81
Participants in a Work Activity	370	32	53	25	27	23	47	22	30	51	60
% of Enrolled	72%	70%	77%	93%	100%	85%	78%	55%	56%	62%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	232	17	40	15	11	10	19	15	26	46	33
% of Enrolled	45%	37%	58%	56%	41%	37%	32%	38%	48%	56%	41%
Full Time	160	11	22	12	8	5	13	11	15	35	28
Part Time	72	6	18	3	3	5	6	4	11	11	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$8.58	\$7.27	\$7.86	\$8.00	\$8.28	\$7.68	\$7.61	\$7.98	\$7.56	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$903	\$833	\$1,000	\$1,002	\$946	\$895	\$989	\$1,103	\$953	\$1,139

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	698	57	81	55	65	28	87	50	92	86	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	999	62	119	77	82	50	133	76	125	140	135
Participants in a Work Activity	894	52	106	76	74	41	123	60	117	119	126
% of Total Enrolled	89%	84%	89%	99%	90%	82%	92%	79%	94%	85%	93%
Participants Employed	465	29	47	38	33	23	50	31	67	83	64
% of Total Enrolled	47%	47%	39%	49%	40%	46%	38%	41%	54%	59%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.17	\$7.39	\$7.95	\$8.22	\$8.31	\$7.87	\$7.65	\$7.99	\$8.09	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$929	\$863	\$1,067	\$960	\$1,003	\$937	\$960	\$969	\$1,039	\$1,068
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	68%	69%	78%	64%	50%	65%	84%	66%	88%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,758	500	976	759	667	521	915	349	1121	928	1022
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,005	361	780	572	485	379	724	272	886	715	831
Participants in a Work Activity	5,730	339	773	539	449	361	691	258	846	663	811
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	94%	93%	95%	95%	95%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,631	216	437	324	250	217	415	128	585	480	579
% of Total Enrolled	60%	60%	56%	57%	52%	57%	57%	47%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$7.42	\$6.20	\$7.01	\$7.22	\$7.24	\$6.70	\$6.22	\$6.56	\$6.77	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$955	\$819	\$938	\$927	\$947	\$820	\$767	\$840	\$897	\$880
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	63%	58%	57%	65%	64%	68%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,548	996	46	144	1,608	51	2,703
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,317	394	20	54	812	14	1,023
Participants in a Work Activity	1,641	293	15	40	541	11	741
% of Enrolled	71%	74%	75%	74%	67%	79%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	29	3	1	0	7	0	18
Participants Employed	976	188	8	17	366	7	390
% of Enrolled	42%	48%	40%	31%	45%	50%	38%
Full Time	680	145	5	12	238	5	275
Part Time	296	43	3	5	128	2	115
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$8.75	\$9.31	\$8.34	\$8.53	\$7.52	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,158	\$1,293	\$1,099	\$1,080	\$1,127	\$1,031

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,971	616	23	115	811	26	1,380
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,509	850	44	124	1,451	36	2,004
Participants in a Work Activity	3,943	734	41	115	1,238	32	1,783
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	93%	93%	85%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	2,050	393	27	58	700	18	854
% of Total Enrolled	45%	46%	61%	47%	48%	50%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.65	\$8.41	\$8.61	\$8.52	\$9.22	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,132	\$992	\$1,116	\$1,074	\$1,060	\$1,052
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	79%	63%	73%	83%	69%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	35,913	7,385	269	1235	8,905	340	17,779
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,102	5,617	202	876	7,200	243	13,964
Participants in a Work Activity	26,988	5,416	192	811	6,804	226	13,539
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	93%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,937	3,568	137	561	4,785	137	9,749
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	64%	66%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$7.60	\$7.05	\$8.18	\$7.64	\$7.59	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,019	\$898	\$1,049	\$1,018	\$947	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	70%	65%	67%	67%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,154	199	48	426	306	175
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	623	129	15	215	149	115
Participants in a Work Activity	418	61	11	146	109	91
% of Enrolled	67%	47%	73%	68%	73%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	3	0	1
Participants Employed	279	46	6	107	59	61
% of Enrolled	45%	36%	40%	50%	40%	53%
Full Time	181	30	3	76	32	40
Part Time	98	16	3	31	27	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.65	\$8.32	\$8.10	\$8.31	\$9.72	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,092	\$1,011	\$989	\$1,054	\$1,338	\$993

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	833	112	45	290	225	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,202	187	43	425	308	239
Participants in a Work Activity	982	152	39	323	260	208
% of Total Enrolled	82%	81%	91%	76%	84%	87%
Participants Employed	557	65	18	211	133	130
% of Total Enrolled	46%	35%	42%	50%	43%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$8.30	\$8.60	\$8.34	\$9.31	\$9.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,009	\$1,105	\$1,065	\$1,158	\$1,122
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	84%	35%	83%	77%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,313	1,282	505	2,514	2,389	1,623
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,119	901	341	1,968	1,536	1,373
Participants in a Work Activity	5,765	859	324	1,794	1,471	1,317
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,014	539	208	1,335	901	1,031
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	61%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.57	\$7.36	\$7.97	\$8.44	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$957	\$919	\$1,028	\$1,118	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	57%	58%	68%	67%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	404	80	26	46	52	24	28	32	28	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	140	22	8	25	12	9	17	10	10	27
Participants in a Work Activity	109	10	7	22	11	6	15	9	8	21
% of Enrolled	78%	45%	88%	88%	92%	67%	88%	90%	80%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	77	10	2	12	9	6	10	8	3	17
% of Enrolled	55%	45%	25%	48%	75%	67%	59%	80%	30%	63%
Full Time	64	9	1	9	7	5	8	7	2	16
Part Time	13	1	1	3	2	1	2	1	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.57	\$7.83	\$7.46	\$10.29	\$7.12	\$7.99	\$8.24	\$8.17	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$1,017	\$819	\$961	\$1,390	\$988	\$1,042	\$1,125	\$714	\$1,075

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	268	60	21	32	22	13	36	14	16	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	347	80	16	44	30	18	46	21	18	74
Participants in a Work Activity	320	74	16	40	29	17	46	20	15	63
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	100%	91%	97%	94%	100%	95%	83%	85%
Participants Employed	177	44	5	21	20	11	22	12	9	33
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	31%	48%	67%	61%	48%	57%	50%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.04	\$7.73	\$7.61	\$8.81	\$7.80	\$8.39	\$8.15	\$7.62	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$991	\$805	\$957	\$1,207	\$1,017	\$1,062	\$1,075	\$951	\$1,017
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	66%	50%	56%	75%	69%	68%	75%	50%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,524	537	270	319	393	198	403	306	320	778
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,609	422	178	259	306	134	296	251	190	573
Participants in a Work Activity	2,450	402	169	246	287	128	274	244	182	518
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	94%	96%	93%	97%	96%	90%
Participants Employed	1,641	288	92	150	203	88	194	146	116	364
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	52%	58%	66%	66%	66%	58%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$7.02	\$6.99	\$7.33	\$6.79	\$6.99	\$7.01	\$6.65	\$6.95	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$891	\$862	\$864	\$959	\$856	\$893	\$904	\$858	\$925	\$916
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	49%	47%	60%	53%	69%	68%	54%	40%	64%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,472	27	87	131	56	132	1,272	1,728	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,378	5	24	46	31	77	501	677	17
Participants in a Work Activity	1,057	2	19	36	20	55	415	499	11
% of Enrolled	77%	40%	79%	78%	65%	71%	83%	74%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
Participants Employed	668	1	15	30	6	36	248	322	10
% of Enrolled	48%	20%	63%	65%	19%	47%	50%	48%	59%
Full Time	515	0	14	16	4	19	190	264	8
Part Time	153	1	1	14	2	17	58	58	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$2.50	\$8.05	\$8.81	\$8.15	\$8.19	\$8.10	\$8.21	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$217	\$1,088	\$950	\$1,096	\$968	\$1,057	\$1,118	\$1,048

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,335	12	77	96	33	88	812	1,191	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,181	19	82	123	43	155	1,104	1,613	42
Participants in a Work Activity	2,823	14	74	105	36	138	1,015	1,402	39
% of Total Enrolled	89%	74%	90%	85%	84%	89%	92%	87%	93%
Participants Employed	1,575	11	41	72	17	78	557	777	22
% of Total Enrolled	50%	58%	50%	59%	40%	50%	50%	48%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.60	\$8.12	\$8.57	\$8.20	\$8.34	\$8.21	\$8.23	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,004	\$1,025	\$1,078	\$1,166	\$925	\$1,045	\$1,069	\$978
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	18%	45%	76%	78%	74%	76%	73%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	25,575	162	1046	1065	331	1045	8,914	12,642	370
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,214	117	741	837	235	794	6,565	9,653	272
Participants in a Work Activity	18,296	111	687	780	222	763	6,404	9,076	253
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	94%	96%	98%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,344	65	485	579	133	495	4,575	6,821	191
% of Total Enrolled	69%	56%	65%	69%	57%	62%	70%	71%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$7.03	\$6.85	\$7.41	\$7.48	\$7.25	\$7.01	\$6.97	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$917	\$922	\$848	\$905	\$1,019	\$896	\$912	\$925	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	59%	61%	73%	59%	63%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,195	140	71	48	85	308	543
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	541	24	30	30	34	187	236
Participants in a Work Activity	334	22	21	21	21	72	177
% of Enrolled	62%	92%	70%	70%	62%	39%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	0	1	2	2
Participants Employed	241	20	15	8	16	57	125
% of Enrolled	45%	83%	50%	27%	47%	30%	53%
Full Time	196	19	13	3	13	37	111
Part Time	45	1	2	5	3	20	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$8.18	\$8.07	\$8.47	\$7.98	\$7.53	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,296	\$1,118	\$954	\$1,159	\$936	\$1,109

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	618	72	60	26	51	155	254
Total Enrolled in VIEW	990	61	75	53	75	289	437
Participants in a Work Activity	826	60	61	47	62	202	394
% of Total Enrolled	83%	98%	81%	89%	83%	70%	90%
Participants Employed	474	36	33	27	32	107	239
% of Total Enrolled	48%	59%	44%	51%	43%	37%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.02	\$8.66	\$8.57	\$7.93	\$7.91	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,208	\$1,134	\$927	\$1,117	\$1,015	\$1,102
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	70%	72%	71%	58%	67%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,058	1161	806	357	719	2,253	3,762
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,085	787	606	291	577	1,805	3,019
Participants in a Work Activity	6,766	784	529	277	558	1,724	2,894
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,829	521	371	203	378	1,224	2,132
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	61%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$6.90	\$7.48	\$7.21	\$6.95	\$6.84	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$960	\$994	\$872	\$949	\$917	\$950
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	59%	59%	58%	64%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through February 29, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/29/12 and Work Activity as of 2/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,082	122	121	1,052	121	2,173	1,138	377	978
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,469	29	39	452	40	1,046	387	110	366
Participants in a Work Activity	1,941	23	29	378	34	795	303	84	295
% of Enrolled	79%	79%	74%	84%	85%	76%	78%	76%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	2	0	3	5	0	7
Participants Employed	1,224	19	20	253	14	501	168	62	187
% of Enrolled	50%	66%	51%	56%	35%	48%	43%	56%	51%
Full Time	1,011	14	12	210	11	435	129	53	147
Part Time	213	5	8	43	3	66	39	9	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$7.77	\$7.38	\$7.98	\$7.36	\$7.87	\$7.94	\$7.72	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$1,049	\$959	\$1,078	\$992	\$1,010	\$1,041	\$1,042	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,836	81	72	625	90	1,302	692	204	770
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,073	79	111	914	96	1,798	939	262	874
Participants in a Work Activity	4,542	74	99	842	90	1,570	842	247	778
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	89%	92%	94%	87%	90%	94%	89%
Participants Employed	2,626	47	50	524	29	926	452	150	448
% of Total Enrolled	52%	59%	45%	57%	30%	52%	48%	57%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.00	\$7.85	\$8.14	\$7.62	\$7.95	\$8.06	\$7.94	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,053	\$928	\$1,066	\$1,000	\$1,001	\$1,041	\$1,020	\$1,065
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	62%	80%	68%	77%	71%	64%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	50,795	1153	974	8,161	808	16,348	8,212	3,207	11,932
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	37,220	828	782	5,982	642	11,858	6,496	2,504	8,128
Participants in a Work Activity	35,249	797	748	5,704	630	11,226	6,127	2,478	7,539
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,996	574	450	4,344	410	8,322	4,658	1,848	5,390
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	58%	73%	64%	70%	72%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.87	\$6.61	\$7.02	\$6.46	\$6.74	\$6.74	\$6.68	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$895	\$909	\$825	\$927	\$809	\$861	\$901	\$869	\$951
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	31.3%	3.13	116	\$8.41	2.68	34	71.4%	6.51	47	4.10	79
003	Albemarle	62.3%	6.23	10	\$8.73	3.51	19	74.0%	6.82	35	5.52	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	54.4%	5.44	39	\$8.09	1.84	65	59.5%	5.05	94	4.11	78
007	Amelia	46.8%	4.68	77	\$8.17	2.05	54	68.4%	6.14	65	4.29	68
009	Amherst	56.0%	5.60	32	\$8.02	1.66	73	57.6%	4.82	101	4.03	85
011	Appomattox	56.2%	5.62	31	\$7.74	0.94	102	85.2%	8.19	8	4.91	32
013	Arlington	52.7%	5.27	43	\$11.23	10.00	1	66.3%	5.88	74	7.05	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	59.3%	5.93	18	\$8.18	2.08	51	75.4%	7.00	31	5.00	29
017	Bath	42.9%	4.29	92	\$8.17	2.05	55	100.0%	10.00	1	5.45	15
019	Bedford County/City	47.7%	4.77	72	\$8.17	2.05	56	68.0%	6.08	68	4.30	67
021	Bland	45.5%	4.55	83	\$9.05	4.34	11	40.0%	2.67	117	3.85	92
023	Botetourt	34.4%	3.44	115	\$8.18	2.08	52	57.1%	4.76	103	3.43	106
025	Brunswick	39.5%	3.95	104	\$7.39	0.03	118	69.2%	6.24	58	3.40	109
027	Buchanan	43.2%	4.32	90	\$7.78	1.04	100	64.3%	5.64	83	3.66	98
029	Buckingham	49.4%	4.94	66	\$7.95	1.48	79	78.3%	7.34	20	4.59	50
031	Campbell	51.7%	5.17	48	\$8.15	2.00	57	69.2%	6.24	59	4.47	59
033	Caroline	34.8%	3.48	114	\$8.30	2.39	44	84.4%	8.09	10	4.65	47
035	Carroll	58.5%	5.85	23	\$7.81	1.12	97	58.9%	4.98	97	3.98	88
036	Charles City	57.9%	5.79	25	\$7.60	0.57	113	18.2%	0.00	119	2.12	119
037	Charlotte	40.2%	4.02	102	\$8.22	2.18	47	64.3%	5.64	84	3.95	89
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.2%	4.62	79	\$8.65	3.30	21	78.9%	7.42	18	5.11	27
043	Clarke	37.5%	3.75	110	\$8.79	3.66	17	75.0%	6.94	32	4.79	39
045	Craig	41.7%	4.17	97	\$7.50	0.31	117	100.0%	10.00	2	4.83	37
047	Culpeper	45.5%	4.55	82	\$8.42	2.70	33	73.3%	6.74	38	4.66	46
049	Cumberland	46.0%	4.60	80	\$8.31	2.42	43	50.0%	3.89	110	3.63	99
051	Dickensen	57.7%	5.77	26	\$8.07	1.79	68	56.4%	4.67	105	4.08	80
053	Dinwiddie	59.0%	5.90	20	\$8.02	1.66	74	70.0%	6.33	52	4.63	48
057	Essex	55.0%	5.50	37	\$8.04	1.71	71	65.9%	5.83	78	4.35	66
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.0%	5.50	36	\$10.00	6.81	4	69.8%	6.30	56	6.20	6
061	Fauquier	55.3%	5.53	34	\$8.96	4.10	15	70.6%	6.41	51	5.35	17
063	Floyd	35.0%	3.50	113	\$8.28	2.34	45	70.0%	6.33	53	4.06	82
065	Fluvanna	29.5%	2.95	119	\$9.02	4.26	14	64.3%	5.64	85	4.28	70
067	Franklin County	38.5%	3.85	108	\$8.39	2.62	37	60.5%	5.18	93	3.88	91
069	Frederick	45.1%	4.51	84	\$8.40	2.65	36	76.9%	7.18	27	4.78	41
071	Giles	39.0%	3.90	106	\$7.64	0.68	108	50.0%	3.89	111	2.82	117
073	Gloucester	50.0%	5.00	57	\$8.12	1.92	62	45.5%	3.33	116	3.42	108
075	Goochland	61.4%	6.14	14	\$8.41	2.68	35	63.2%	5.50	87	4.77	43
077	Grayson	64.1%	6.41	8	\$8.11	1.90	63	52.9%	4.25	109	4.18	76

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	5.00	58	\$8.44	2.75	32	46.2%	3.42	115	3.72	95
081	Greensville/Emporia	37.6%	3.76	109	\$7.87	1.27	92	65.1%	5.74	80	3.59	101
083	Halifax	39.3%	3.93	105	\$7.88	1.30	90	58.3%	4.91	99	3.38	110
085	Hanover	46.8%	4.68	78	\$8.61	3.19	22	72.7%	6.67	41	4.85	36
087	Henrico	48.2%	4.82	68	\$8.52	2.96	26	83.2%	7.94	13	5.24	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	44.8%	4.48	86	\$7.87	1.27	93	59.4%	5.04	95	3.60	100
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.61	75	100.0%	10.00	3	7.20	2
093	Isle of Wight	59.5%	5.95	16	\$8.00	1.61	76	78.1%	7.33	21	4.96	30
095	James City	58.5%	5.85	22	\$8.57	3.09	24	75.6%	7.01	30	5.32	19
097	King and Queen	31.3%	3.13	117	\$7.73	0.91	103	50.0%	3.89	112	2.64	118
099	King George	41.9%	4.19	95	\$8.60	3.17	23	35.3%	2.09	118	3.15	116
101	King William	47.7%	4.77	73	\$7.61	0.60	112	56.3%	4.65	106	3.34	112
103	Lancaster	66.7%	6.67	6	\$8.81	3.71	16	75.0%	6.94	33	5.78	10
105	Lee	61.5%	6.15	13	\$7.59	0.55	114	73.5%	6.76	37	4.49	56
107	Loudoun	58.8%	5.88	21	\$10.89	9.12	2	72.8%	6.68	40	7.23	1
109	Louisa	57.0%	5.70	30	\$9.09	4.44	10	77.1%	7.20	26	5.78	9
111	Lunenburg	40.8%	4.08	101	\$7.65	0.70	107	84.0%	8.04	11	4.27	72
113	Madison	47.4%	4.74	75	\$7.75	0.96	101	55.6%	4.57	108	3.42	107
115	Mathews	61.1%	6.11	15	\$7.80	1.09	99	69.2%	6.24	60	4.48	57
117	Mecklenburg	53.6%	5.36	42	\$7.99	1.58	77	66.1%	5.86	76	4.27	73
119	Middlesex	47.8%	4.78	71	\$8.39	2.62	38	68.4%	6.14	66	4.52	53
121	Montgomery	67.4%	6.74	5	\$7.93	1.43	83	68.5%	6.15	64	4.77	42
125	Nelson	48.4%	4.84	67	\$9.04	4.31	12	90.9%	8.89	4	6.01	7
127	New Kent	39.5%	3.95	103	\$8.20	2.13	49	77.8%	7.28	22	4.46	61
131	Northampton	49.5%	4.95	64	\$8.48	2.86	29	72.7%	6.67	42	4.83	38
133	Northumberland	57.1%	5.71	29	\$8.15	2.00	58	75.0%	6.94	34	4.89	34
135	Nottoway	59.3%	5.93	19	\$8.09	1.84	66	87.5%	8.47	5	5.41	16
137	Orange	71.2%	7.12	3	\$8.52	2.96	27	77.8%	7.28	23	5.79	8
139	Page	58.3%	5.83	24	\$7.84	1.19	96	56.8%	4.72	104	3.92	90
141	Patrick	54.1%	5.41	41	\$7.54	0.42	115	82.3%	7.83	15	4.55	52
143	Pittsylvania	41.4%	4.14	98	\$8.04	1.71	72	57.5%	4.81	102	3.55	102
145	Powhatan	50.0%	5.00	59	\$9.22	4.78	8	69.2%	6.24	61	5.34	18
147	Prince Edward	47.4%	4.74	74	\$7.97	1.53	78	65.2%	5.75	79	4.01	87
149	Prince George	44.0%	4.40	89	\$8.66	3.32	20	72.4%	6.63	44	4.78	40
153	Prince William	55.4%	5.54	33	\$9.29	4.96	7	72.1%	6.59	45	5.70	11
155	Pulaski	50.6%	5.06	52	\$7.72	0.88	105	56.1%	4.63	107	3.53	103
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.00	60	\$7.62	0.62	110	50.0%	3.89	113	3.17	115
161	Roanoke County	65.5%	6.55	7	\$8.48	2.86	30	69.5%	6.28	57	5.23	23
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	52.5%	5.25	45	\$7.70	0.83	106	77.3%	7.22	25	4.44	62
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69.7%	6.97	4	\$8.36	2.55	39	69.1%	6.23	62	5.25	21
167	Russell	46.8%	4.68	76	\$7.73	0.91	104	71.9%	6.56	46	4.05	83
169	Scott	52.6%	5.26	44	\$7.54	0.42	116	81.7%	7.76	16	4.48	58
171	Shenandoah	39.0%	3.90	107	\$8.47	2.83	31	62.5%	5.42	89	4.05	84

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	41.8%	4.18	96	\$7.85	1.22	94	67.2%	5.99	69	3.80	93
175	<i>Southampton</i>	45.0%	4.50	85	\$7.85	1.22	95	61.8%	5.33	90	3.68	96
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	49.6%	4.96	63	\$8.34	2.49	40	83.5%	7.98	12	5.15	26
179	<i>Stafford</i>	43.2%	4.32	91	\$9.31	5.01	6	76.8%	7.17	28	5.50	14
181	<i>Surry</i>	50.9%	5.09	51	\$8.57	3.09	25	71.4%	6.51	48	4.90	33
183	<i>Sussex</i>	42.7%	4.27	93	\$7.93	1.43	84	58.1%	4.87	100	3.52	104
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	61.7%	6.17	12	\$7.94	1.45	81	63.1%	5.49	88	4.37	63
187	<i>Warren</i>	45.9%	4.59	81	\$8.76	3.58	18	60.8%	5.21	92	4.46	60
191	<i>Washington</i>	50.0%	5.00	61	\$7.89	1.32	89	64.8%	5.70	81	4.01	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	44.6%	4.46	87	\$8.10	1.87	64	59.1%	5.00	96	3.78	94
195	<i>Wise</i>	52.1%	5.21	47	\$7.81	1.12	98	66.1%	5.86	75	4.06	81
197	<i>Wythe</i>	62.2%	6.22	11	\$7.92	1.40	85	66.0%	5.85	77	4.49	55
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	50.3%	5.03	56	\$8.34	2.49	41	73.7%	6.78	36	4.77	44
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.0%	5.00	62	\$10.42	7.90	3	82.4%	7.84	14	6.91	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	41.4%	4.14	100	\$7.64	0.68	109	58.6%	4.94	98	3.25	113
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	59.5%	5.95	17	\$8.51	2.94	28	66.7%	5.93	71	4.94	31
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.3%	5.73	27	\$8.14	1.97	59	79.7%	7.52	17	5.07	28
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.4%	3.54	112	\$7.90	1.35	88	64.2%	5.62	86	3.50	105
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	30.2%	3.02	118	\$7.62	0.62	111	68.0%	6.09	67	3.24	114
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	54.4%	5.44	40	\$9.11	4.49	9	72.5%	6.63	43	5.52	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	49.4%	4.94	65	\$7.91	1.38	86	70.0%	6.33	54	4.22	75
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.5%	5.05	54	\$8.21	2.16	48	76.2%	7.09	29	4.77	45
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	37.0%	3.70	111	\$7.91	1.38	87	66.7%	5.93	72	3.67	97
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	50.5%	5.05	53	\$7.88	1.30	91	70.0%	6.33	55	4.22	74
683	<i>Manassas</i>	41.4%	4.14	99	\$9.84	6.39	5	61.4%	5.28	91	5.27	20
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	72.2%	7.22	2	\$9.04	4.31	13	85.7%	8.25	7	6.60	5
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.2%	4.82	69	\$8.23	2.21	46	73.2%	6.72	39	4.58	51
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.5%	5.15	49	\$7.95	1.48	80	77.3%	7.23	24	4.62	49
720	<i>Norton</i>	62.5%	6.25	9	\$7.38	0.00	119	50.0%	3.89	114	3.38	111
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.7%	5.47	38	\$8.05	1.74	70	78.5%	7.37	19	4.86	35
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.1%	4.81	70	\$8.06	1.77	69	71.1%	6.47	50	4.35	65
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.3%	5.53	35	\$8.13	1.95	60	84.6%	8.12	9	5.20	25
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	42.6%	4.26	94	\$8.19	2.10	50	71.3%	6.49	49	4.29	69
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.2%	4.42	88	\$8.18	2.08	53	66.5%	5.90	73	4.13	77
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.3%	5.73	28	\$7.94	1.45	82	64.5%	5.66	82	4.28	71
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.3%	5.13	50	\$8.32	2.44	42	67.1%	5.97	70	4.51	54
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	52.4%	5.24	46	\$8.13	1.95	61	87.5%	8.47	6	5.22	24
840	<i>Winchester</i>	50.4%	5.04	55	\$8.09	1.84	67	69.1%	6.22	63	4.37	64

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.2%	1.87	118	\$847	2.84	97	65.2%	5.86	48	3.53	109
003	Albemarle	72.8%	7.76	13	\$1,005	6.11	20	57.6%	4.13	101	6.00	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.1%	7.30	19	\$835	2.59	103	58.6%	4.34	94	4.74	77
007	Amelia	59.8%	4.23	94	\$955	5.06	37	64.6%	5.72	52	5.00	67
009	Amherst	66.8%	6.12	50	\$863	3.16	86	60.0%	4.66	84	4.65	79
011	Appomattox	70.0%	6.98	26	\$866	3.23	83	55.6%	3.67	108	4.63	83
013	Arlington	72.4%	7.63	16	\$1,067	7.38	8	69.6%	6.85	18	7.29	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.22	47	\$955	5.06	38	67.3%	6.33	36	5.87	29
017	Bath	62.0%	4.82	85	\$709	0.00	120	62.1%	5.14	74	3.32	115
019	Bedford County/City	64.8%	5.59	65	\$854	2.98	92	61.0%	4.90	78	4.49	87
021	Bland	60.4%	4.39	92	\$849	2.89	95	57.0%	3.98	104	3.75	106
023	Botetourt	44.3%	0.00	120	\$936	4.68	48	56.3%	3.83	106	2.84	118
025	Brunswick	56.0%	3.19	111	\$819	2.25	110	66.9%	6.25	40	3.90	103
027	Buchanan	52.9%	2.33	114	\$834	2.57	104	63.3%	5.43	66	3.44	111
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.36	108	\$938	4.72	47	62.7%	5.29	68	4.45	88
031	Campbell	68.3%	6.52	36	\$895	3.82	74	64.1%	5.59	57	5.31	56
033	Caroline	59.8%	4.22	95	\$957	5.11	35	57.2%	4.02	103	4.45	89
035	Carroll	66.6%	6.07	52	\$824	2.37	108	54.4%	3.38	110	3.94	102
036	Charles City	55.6%	3.06	112	\$922	4.39	58	50.9%	2.59	116	3.35	114
037	Charlotte	51.5%	1.97	117	\$927	4.48	53	57.7%	4.13	100	3.53	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.5%	5.23	75	\$1,019	6.38	15	69.6%	6.85	19	6.16	22
043	Clarke	59.0%	4.00	96	\$978	5.54	27	62.1%	5.15	72	4.90	72
045	Craig	52.3%	2.17	115	\$1,038	6.79	11	63.6%	5.50	61	4.82	75
047	Culpeper	64.4%	5.48	66	\$960	5.16	33	67.2%	6.30	38	5.65	35
049	Cumberland	57.3%	3.53	105	\$947	4.91	45	57.5%	4.08	102	4.17	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.34	93	\$830	2.49	105	55.5%	3.62	109	3.48	110
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	5.96	56	\$960	5.16	32	58.8%	4.39	92	5.17	63
057	Essex	68.2%	6.51	37	\$862	3.14	87	49.2%	2.20	117	3.95	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.38	44	\$1,144	8.97	3	69.2%	6.77	22	7.37	5
061	Fauquier	68.4%	6.55	35	\$1,017	6.35	17	69.7%	6.89	17	6.60	11
063	Floyd	65.6%	5.79	60	\$925	4.45	55	56.9%	3.95	105	4.73	78
065	Fluvanna	63.2%	5.13	78	\$1,050	7.02	9	60.0%	4.66	85	5.60	37
067	Franklin County	62.0%	4.81	86	\$946	4.87	46	58.1%	4.23	97	4.64	80
069	Frederick	57.0%	3.44	106	\$1,002	6.03	21	62.7%	5.28	69	4.92	71
071	Giles	58.0%	3.74	101	\$950	4.97	41	53.7%	3.23	114	3.98	99
073	Gloucester	65.5%	5.75	63	\$848	2.86	96	58.9%	4.40	90	4.34	94
075	Goochland	67.8%	6.40	41	\$898	3.89	71	65.0%	5.82	49	5.37	51
077	Grayson	66.9%	6.14	49	\$850	2.89	93	60.9%	4.87	79	4.63	81

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.58	4	\$914	4.22	63	56.3%	3.82	107	5.54	40
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.3%	3.54	104	\$820	2.28	109	64.5%	5.69	53	3.84	104
083	Halifax	56.7%	3.36	107	\$837	2.63	102	58.3%	4.29	96	3.42	112
085	Hanover	64.0%	5.37	68	\$1,049	6.99	10	66.6%	6.17	44	6.18	21
087	Henrico	66.5%	6.03	53	\$1,018	6.37	16	66.6%	6.18	43	6.19	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.1%	5.12	79	\$936	4.67	49	64.2%	5.61	56	5.14	64
091	Highland	75.0%	8.35	6	\$784	1.53	117	83.3%	10.00	1	6.63	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.3%	6.81	33	\$909	4.12	65	60.4%	4.76	83	5.23	61
095	James City	69.2%	6.77	34	\$905	4.03	68	61.1%	4.92	77	5.24	60
097	King and Queen	51.7%	2.01	116	\$864	3.20	85	46.9%	1.67	118	2.29	120
099	King George	61.0%	4.54	90	\$919	4.32	59	58.5%	4.32	95	4.39	93
101	King William	57.9%	3.70	102	\$959	5.14	34	60.4%	4.76	82	4.54	85
103	Lancaster	66.3%	6.00	54	\$856	3.03	91	53.0%	3.07	115	4.03	98
105	Lee	65.6%	5.80	59	\$786	1.57	116	72.4%	7.49	8	4.95	69
107	Loudoun	77.4%	9.00	3	\$1,194	10.00	1	71.3%	7.26	11	8.75	1
109	Louisa	62.1%	4.84	84	\$986	5.70	25	63.3%	5.41	67	5.32	55
111	Lunenburg	47.1%	0.75	119	\$767	1.18	118	63.6%	5.48	62	2.47	119
113	Madison	63.2%	5.14	77	\$994	5.88	22	60.9%	4.87	80	5.29	58
115	Mathews	65.7%	5.81	58	\$893	3.79	75	68.8%	6.67	28	5.42	47
117	Mecklenburg	66.0%	5.91	57	\$840	2.70	99	68.0%	6.49	31	5.03	66
119	Middlesex	65.5%	5.78	61	\$904	4.01	69	68.5%	6.60	29	5.46	45
121	Montgomery	78.6%	9.34	2	\$932	4.58	51	64.8%	5.75	50	6.56	12
125	Nelson	62.6%	4.98	82	\$1,016	6.32	18	62.4%	5.21	70	5.51	44
127	New Kent	56.6%	3.35	109	\$1,019	6.39	14	73.0%	7.64	7	5.79	31
131	Northampton	63.9%	5.34	69	\$841	2.70	98	79.5%	9.12	2	5.72	32
133	Northumberland	58.2%	3.77	99	\$858	3.06	90	53.9%	3.26	113	3.36	113
135	Nottoway	67.1%	6.21	48	\$897	3.86	72	69.1%	6.74	24	5.60	38
137	Orange	72.8%	7.76	12	\$964	5.25	30	59.3%	4.51	87	5.84	30
139	Page	69.4%	6.84	32	\$986	5.70	26	59.7%	4.59	86	5.71	33
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.25	46	\$815	2.18	111	75.1%	8.13	6	5.52	41
143	Pittsylvania	54.5%	2.78	113	\$865	3.21	84	60.6%	4.79	81	3.60	107
145	Powhatan	56.4%	3.29	110	\$947	4.91	44	63.4%	5.43	65	4.54	84
147	Prince Edward	69.7%	6.90	31	\$880	3.51	78	68.9%	6.70	26	5.70	34
149	Prince George	61.2%	4.60	88	\$994	5.87	23	58.8%	4.39	93	4.95	70
153	Prince William	72.4%	7.64	15	\$1,189	9.90	2	70.7%	7.12	13	8.22	2
155	Pulaski	63.8%	5.29	73	\$935	4.66	50	54.3%	3.36	111	4.44	90
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.06	80	\$954	5.05	39	44.7%	1.18	119	3.76	105
159	Richmond County	61.1%	4.56	89	\$925	4.45	56	39.6%	0.00	120	3.00	117
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	8.10	8	\$1,037	6.75	12	58.9%	4.41	89	6.42	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.1%	7.56	17	\$861	3.13	89	66.9%	6.25	39	5.65	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.2%	7.87	10	\$966	5.30	29	67.6%	6.41	33	6.53	13
167	Russell	61.5%	4.67	87	\$850	2.89	94	67.2%	6.31	37	4.63	82
169	Scott	58.3%	3.81	98	\$880	3.51	79	77.3%	8.61	4	5.31	57
171	Shenandoah	60.7%	4.46	91	\$922	4.39	57	70.0%	6.94	15	5.26	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.74	100	\$837	2.64	101	63.9%	5.55	58	3.98	100
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.5%	3.60	103	\$825	2.39	107	54.2%	3.34	112	3.11	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.8%	6.40	40	\$1,028	6.57	13	67.9%	6.48	32	6.48	14
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.7%	3.91	97	\$1,118	8.43	4	67.3%	6.34	35	6.22	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.8%	6.92	28	\$872	3.35	80	58.0%	4.20	99	4.83	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.5%	5.77	62	\$949	4.94	43	63.7%	5.51	60	5.41	48
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.8%	6.40	42	\$808	2.04	114	65.6%	5.95	46	4.79	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.0%	6.46	39	\$1,007	6.13	19	69.1%	6.74	25	6.44	16
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.9%	5.33	70	\$889	3.70	76	58.0%	4.21	98	4.42	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.5%	5.23	74	\$916	4.26	62	64.5%	5.69	54	5.06	65
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.3%	5.17	76	\$814	2.16	112	66.9%	6.24	41	4.52	86
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.7%	6.10	51	\$837	2.64	100	66.9%	6.24	42	4.99	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.3%	4.91	83	\$896	3.84	73	59.2%	4.47	88	4.41	92
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	7.06	22	\$1,074	7.52	7	77.2%	8.59	5	7.72	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.4%	6.27	45	\$867	3.25	82	62.1%	5.15	73	4.89	73
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.1%	10.00	1	\$919	4.32	60	65.4%	5.89	47	6.74	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.6%	7.70	14	\$927	4.48	52	64.8%	5.75	51	5.98	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.4%	5.47	67	\$801	1.89	115	61.7%	5.06	75	4.14	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.9%	5.32	72	\$809	2.06	113	64.2%	5.62	55	4.33	95
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.1%	8.37	5	\$990	5.78	24	66.2%	6.08	45	6.74	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.8%	5.04	81	\$888	3.68	77	69.9%	6.93	16	5.22	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.7%	6.91	30	\$912	4.18	64	63.4%	5.44	64	5.51	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.8%	6.40	43	\$917	4.28	61	63.5%	5.46	63	5.38	50
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.7%	6.92	29	\$828	2.44	106	68.9%	6.69	27	5.35	53
683	<i>Manassas</i>	63.9%	5.33	71	\$1,100	8.06	5	71.1%	7.20	12	6.86	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.1%	7.82	11	\$1,082	7.69	6	77.6%	8.69	3	8.06	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.7%	7.17	20	\$925	4.46	54	69.1%	6.75	23	6.13	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.2%	7.04	24	\$861	3.13	88	67.5%	6.38	34	5.52	42
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.8%	8.30	7	\$741	0.66	119	71.9%	7.38	9	5.45	46
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.16	21	\$950	4.96	42	70.2%	7.00	14	6.37	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.7%	7.45	18	\$901	3.95	70	69.5%	6.84	20	6.08	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.1%	7.02	25	\$906	4.05	66	61.2%	4.95	76	5.34	54
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.8%	6.94	27	\$956	5.08	36	71.8%	7.35	10	6.46	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.1%	5.65	64	\$966	5.30	28	62.3%	5.20	71	5.38	49
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.8%	8.02	9	\$869	3.29	81	68.0%	6.50	30	5.94	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.3%	5.99	55	\$951	4.97	40	69.3%	6.78	21	5.91	28
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	70.2%	7.05	23	\$906	4.05	67	63.9%	5.55	59	5.55	39
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.1%	6.48	38	\$961	5.19	31	58.8%	4.40	91	5.36	52

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2011 – February 29, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	15,428	714	589	1,350	517	325	1,587	170	353	652	655	541	2,061	598	206	1,803	435	2,724	148
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	95%	96%	98%	94%	90%	93%	89%	99%	98%	96%	94%	94%	75%	93%	97%	85%	89%	92%
% Timely in February 2012	93%	94%	96%	99%	95%	94%	96%	93%	94%	99%	94%	99%	91%	54%	89%	93%	95%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	10,327	498	398	861	357	197	1,099	113	259	455	443	361	1,411	364	144	1,194	287	1,792	94
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	99%	98%	97%	97%	90%	96%	94%	98%	95%	98%	98%	94%	92%	96%	95%	96%	92%	100%
Percent in February 2012	94%	100%	100%	97%	99%	87%	95%	92%	93%	96%	96%	97%	90%	90%	97%	92%	92%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	99	0	0	0	3	3	8	6	0	0	0	0	26	34	4	13	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	0	0	13	168	56	78	0	0	0	0	36	26	24	49	11	48	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011		714	25	39	118	102	83	130	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011		95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	94%	73%
% Timely in February 2012		94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	91%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011		498	20	24	95	68	62	97	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011		99%	100%	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	71%
Percent in February 2012		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	589	6	58	23	119	88	61	189	45
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	97%	100%	98%	98%	100%	97%	80%
% Timely in February 2012	96%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	398	6	40	14	84	58	39	125	32
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%	98%	100%	99%	91%
Percent in February 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1350	42	13	9	31	135	26	168	110	122	46	648
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	91%	97%
% Timely in February 2012	99%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	861	34	6	6	19	81	18	115	70	77	26	409
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	67%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	95%
Percent in February 2012	97%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	517	328	1	0	23	165
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	100%	100%	N/A	39%	90%
% Timely in February 2012	95%	100%	100%	N/A	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	357	229	0	0	17	111
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	59%	99%
Percent in February 2012	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	13	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	325	9	96	52	24	86	58	148	89	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	89%	78%	98%	92%	100%	90%	92%	93%	90%
% Timely in February 2012	94%	100%	86%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	197	4	54	35	19	41	44	94	55	39
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	90%	100%	91%	94%	100%	83%	89%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in February 2012	87%	N/A	77%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	168	0	168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,587	115	541	117	537	186	79	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	97%	96%	83%	100%	96%	75%
% Timely in February 2012	96%	100%	96%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1099	86	353	76	392	129	54	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	99%	98%	97%	94%	93%	96%	100%
Percent in February 2012	95%	94%	95%	100%	94%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	56	0	0	0	56	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	170	65	56	11	36	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	94%	91%	91%	78%	50%
% Timely in February 2012	93%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	113	47	36	7	22	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	96%	100%	100%	77%	100%
Percent in February 2012	92%	100%	100%	100%	50%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	1	4	1
Average # of Days Over 30	78	0	0	179	64	29

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	353	68	31	21	59	20	154
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	97%	100%	97%	100%	99%
% Timely in February 2012	94%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	259	55	23	18	46	11	106
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	96%	96%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in February 2012	93%	92%	86%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	652	46	48	107	151	300
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	97%	99%	98%
% Timely in February 2012	99%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	455	32	37	74	103	209
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	97%	92%	94%	96%
Percent in February 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	87%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	655	89	205	75	92	194
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	98%	91%	99%	99%	98%
% Timely in February 2012	94%	100%	90%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	443	61	137	49	59	137
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	93%	98%	100%	99%
Percent in February 2012	96%	100%	87%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	541	32	70	47	59	20	64	35	68	63	83
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	88%	97%	94%	100%	100%	84%	86%	99%	98%	95%
% Timely in February 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	361	20	49	30	37	14	49	18	50	44	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	95%	98%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%
Percent in February 2012	97%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,061	419	17	61	595	17	952
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	93%	94%	95%	91%	100%	95%
% Timely in February 2012	91%	96%	50%	100%	78%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1411	274	13	38	423	12	651
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	97%	100%	95%	93%	92%	94%
Percent in February 2012	90%	98%	100%	100%	84%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	26	1	1	0	20	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	36	70	11	0	42	0	6

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	598	76	32	208	151	131
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	75%	74%	100%	40%	97%	98%
% Timely in February 2012	54%	33%	100%	24%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	364	47	21	121	91	84
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	98%	100%	84%	91%	99%
Percent in February 2012	90%	100%	100%	79%	94%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	34	9	0	25	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	32	0	24	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	206	48	18	27	16	7	30	11	12	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	61%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	97%
% Timely in February 2012	89%	100%	33%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	144	38	8	21	9	6	24	8	6	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	95%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	96%
Percent in February 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,803	7	60	77	22	81	622	914	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	98%	99%	14%	98%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in February 2012	93%	100%	100%	67%	0%	100%	97%	97%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1194	3	45	50	8	51	433	586	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	98%	94%	63%	98%	98%	92%	94%
Percent in February 2012	92%	100%	100%	92%	0%	100%	97%	89%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	13	0	0	1	10	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	0	0	10	62	0	4	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	435	40	45	17	41	90	202
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	85%	100%	98%	100%	100%	49%	90%
% Timely in February 2012	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	287	34	28	10	23	52	140
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	95%
Percent in February 2012	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	11	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - February 29, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,724	46	62	462	75	890	544	148	497
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	91%	98%	97%	87%	74%	97%	98%	96%
% Timely in February 2012	94%	100%	88%	100%	100%	88%	96%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1792	34	39	313	58	583	346	110	309
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	94%	100%	96%	98%	82%	98%	98%	97%
Percent in February 2012	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	84%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Feb-11</i>	<i>Feb-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,991	16,810	5.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,811	131,853	2.4%
Total Cases Collected	144,802	148,663	2.7%
TANF Collections	\$5,939,136	\$6,978,605	17.5%
NTANF Collections	\$51,365,057	\$55,719,444	8.5%
Total Collections	\$57,304,193	\$62,698,049	9.4%
SFY YTD Collections	\$408,250,940	\$421,004,121	3.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$359.44	\$365.35	1.6%

Paternities Established

	<i>Feb-12</i>
TANF Paternities	213
NTANF Paternities	388
Combined Paternities	601

Diversionsary Assistance

February Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	5		Orange	1
Bedford City	2		Page	1
Buckingham	1		Patrick	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Roanoke County	3
Clarke	2		Rockingham/ H'burg	7
Culpeper	5		Shenandoah	6
Cumberland	1		Smyth	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Warren	4
Fauquier	1		York / Poquoson	2
Floyd	1		Bristol	1
Franklin County	1		Charlottesville	1
Frederick	1		Chesapeake	1
Gloucester	1		Franklin City	1
Henrico	4		Hampton	5
Henry / Martinsville	2		Hopewell	3
Isle of Wight	1		Lynchburg	1
King William	1		Manassas	1
Loudoun	2		Manassas Park	1
Louisa	2		Newport News	8
Lunenburg	1		Norfolk	5
Mathews	2		Portsmouth	3
Middlesex	1		Richmond City	5
Montgomery	1		Roanoke City	6
New Kent	1		Suffolk	4
Northampton	1		Virginia Beach	1
			Statewide	125

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of March 1, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through February 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in slight decline.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through February 2012




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Feb 29, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of March and represent end of February data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of February it was \$8.32 rather than the \$8.22 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.