

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

March 2012

Monthly Report, March 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 7,635 and a denominator of 17,259.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	22	62	35%	061	Fauquier	25	48	52%
003	Albemarle	33	61	54%	063	Floyd	9	27	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	46	41%	065	Fluvanna	9	25	36%
007	Amelia	14	40	35%	067	Franklin County	36	103	35%
009	Amherst	21	35	60%	069	Frederick	35	91	38%
011	Appomattox	25	45	56%	071	Giles	10	26	38%
013	Arlington	48	102	47%	073	Gloucester	21	43	49%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	135	234	58%	075	Goochland	10	31	32%
017	Bath	3	4	75%	077	Grayson	12	24	50%
019	Bedford County/City	43	94	46%	079	Greene	6	18	33%
021	Bland	2	6	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	24	79	30%
023	Botetourt	3	22	14%	083	Halifax	25	81	31%
025	Brunswick	42	73	58%	085	Hanover	29	72	40%
027	Buchanan	21	44	48%	087	Henrico	286	888	32%
029	Buckingham	17	35	49%	089	Henry/Martinsville	95	247	38%
031	Campbell	68	170	40%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	26	108	24%	093	Isle of Wight	24	54	44%
035	Carroll	28	46	61%	095	James City	25	71	35%
036	Charles City	3	12	25%	097	King and Queen	3	14	21%
037	Charlotte	20	43	47%	099	King George	9	18	50%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	226	516	44%	101	King William	6	27	22%
043	Clarke	2	9	22%	103	Lancaster	6	24	25%
045	Craig	5	10	50%	105	Lee	53	124	43%
047	Culpeper	42	85	49%	107	Loudoun	62	154	40%
049	Cumberland	10	37	27%	109	Louisa	25	47	53%
051	Dickensen	9	27	33%	111	Lunenburg	21	38	55%
053	Dinwiddie	14	42	33%	113	Madison	3	12	25%
057	Essex	15	38	39%	115	Mathews	4	16	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	355	707	50%	117	Mecklenburg	22	55	40%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	13	62%	187	Warren	33	76	43%
121	Montgomery	72	151	48%	191	Washington	36	67	54%
125	Nelson	5	13	38%	193	Westmoreland	18	39	46%
127	New Kent	3	32	9%	195	Wise	74	180	41%
131	Northampton	14	50	28%	197	Wythe	28	61	46%
133	Northumberland	10	13	77%	199	York/Poquoson	32	82	39%
135	Nottoway	36	82	44%	510	Alexandria	116	222	52%
137	Orange	15	53	28%	520	Bristol	82	145	57%
139	Page	16	28	57%	540	Charlottesville	104	198	53%
141	Patrick	29	58	50%	550	Chesapeake	314	623	50%
143	Pittsylvania	20	64	31%	590	Danville	88	186	47%
145	Powhatan	9	19	47%	620	Franklin City	15	47	32%
147	Prince Edward	37	73	51%	630	Fredericksburg	58	118	49%
149	Prince George	17	38	45%	640	Galax	11	36	31%
153	Prince William	326	660	49%	650	Hampton	362	778	47%
155	Pulaski	34	68	50%	670	Hopewell	48	188	26%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	117	293	40%
159	Richmond County	2	14	14%	683	Manassas	30	83	36%
161	Roanoke County	65	137	47%	685	Manassas Park	7	14	50%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	15	38	39%	700	Newport News	463	960	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	92	145	63%	710	Norfolk	702	1,326	53%
167	Russell	33	73	45%	720	Norton	9	25	36%
169	Scott	35	79	44%	730	Petersburg	163	303	54%
171	Shenandoah	6	26	23%	740	Portsmouth	241	549	44%
173	Smyth	45	106	42%	750	Radford	24	45	53%
175	Southampton	19	48	40%	760	Richmond City	544	1,473	37%
177	Spotsylvania	94	239	39%	770	Roanoke City	170	535	32%
179	Stafford	65	169	38%	800	Suffolk	83	143	58%
181	Surry	6	22	27%	810	Virginia Beach	276	504	55%
183	Sussex	13	42	31%	830	Williamsburg	5	23	22%
185	Tazewell	48	109	44%	840	Winchester	27	60	45%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 5,689 and a denominator of 15,108. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	20	60	33%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	19	41	46%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	19	47	40%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	6	24	25%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	13	38	34%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	23	30%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	13	39	33%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	30	97	31%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	16	29	55%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	25	81	31%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	21	41	51%	071	<i>Giles</i>	8	24	33%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	30	84	36%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	13	34	38%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	90	189	48%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	5	26	19%
017	<i>Bath</i>	3	4	75%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	6	18	33%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	35	86	41%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	15	27%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	5	20%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	13	68	19%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	3	21	14%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	19	74	26%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	40	70	57%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	17	59	29%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	13	36	36%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	204	793	26%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	11	26	42%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	72	222	32%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	43	145	30%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	25	100	25%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	17	47	36%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	20	38	53%	095	<i>James City</i>	16	57	28%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	10	10%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	13	15%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	13	36	36%	099	<i>King George</i>	7	16	44%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	171	457	37%	101	<i>King William</i>	6	27	22%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	2	9	22%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	5	21	24%
045	<i>Craig</i>	4	9	44%	105	<i>Lee</i>	38	107	36%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	33	72	46%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	26	117	22%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	9	36	25%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	18	40	45%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	6	23	26%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	17	34	50%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	11	39	28%	113	<i>Madison</i>	3	12	25%
057	<i>Essex</i>	9	32	28%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	3	13	23%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	232	577	40%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	14	47	30%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	11	55%	187	Warren	22	64	34%
121	Montgomery	45	123	37%	191	Washington	32	63	51%
125	Nelson	1	9	11%	193	Westmoreland	17	38	45%
127	New Kent	3	31	10%	195	Wise	46	150	31%
131	Northampton	14	50	28%	197	Wythe	20	53	38%
133	Northumberland	6	9	67%	199	York/Poquoson	24	74	32%
135	Nottoway	23	67	34%	510	Alexandria	80	186	43%
137	Orange	11	49	22%	520	Bristol	76	135	56%
139	Page	12	24	50%	540	Charlottesville	80	172	47%
141	Patrick	20	49	41%	550	Chesapeake	254	557	46%
143	Pittsylvania	17	61	28%	590	Danville	69	166	42%
145	Powhatan	6	16	38%	620	Franklin City	11	41	27%
147	Prince Edward	32	67	48%	630	Fredericksburg	34	94	36%
149	Prince George	10	31	32%	640	Galax	8	32	25%
153	Prince William	195	526	37%	650	Hampton	278	674	41%
155	Pulaski	28	60	47%	670	Hopewell	34	168	20%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	72	246	29%
159	Richmond County	2	14	14%	683	Manassas	13	66	20%
161	Roanoke County	33	105	31%	685	Manassas Park	4	11	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	13	36	36%	700	Newport News	353	842	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	57	109	52%	710	Norfolk	620	1,232	50%
167	Russell	27	67	40%	720	Norton	7	23	30%
169	Scott	28	70	40%	730	Petersburg	130	267	49%
171	Shenandoah	4	22	18%	740	Portsmouth	187	491	38%
173	Smyth	38	99	38%	750	Radford	18	39	46%
175	Southampton	14	41	34%	760	Richmond City	456	1,353	34%
177	Spotsylvania	74	210	35%	770	Roanoke City	118	481	25%
179	Stafford	47	149	32%	800	Suffolk	67	127	53%
181	Surry	4	19	21%	810	Virginia Beach	187	414	45%
183	Sussex	12	41	29%	830	Williamsburg	4	21	19%
185	Tazewell	38	98	39%	840	Winchester	21	53	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 90% with a numerator of 1,946 and a denominator of 2,151. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	2	2	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	6	7	86%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	14	14	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	6	8	75%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	2	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	1	1	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	6	6	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	6	83%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	10	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	4	4	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	2	2	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	18	18	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	8	9	89%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	45	45	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	5	5	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	6	6	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	8	8	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	3	67%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	11	11	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	1	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	6	7	86%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	2	3	67%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	12	13	92%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	8	8	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	82	95	86%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	6	9	67%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	23	25	92%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	25	25	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	1	8	13%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	7	7	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	8	8	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	9	14	64%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	1	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	7	7	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	2	2	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	55	59	93%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	0	N/A
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	3	33%
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	1	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	15	17	88%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	9	13	69%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	36	37	97%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	1	1	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	7	7	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	3	4	75%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	4	4	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	3	3	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	6	6	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	3	33%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	123	130	95%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	11	12	92%
121	Montgomery	27	28	96%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	0	1	0%	195	Wise	28	30	93%
131	Northampton	0	0	N/A	197	Wythe	8	8	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	13	15	87%	510	Alexandria	36	36	100%
137	Orange	4	4	100%	520	Bristol	6	10	60%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	24	26	92%
141	Patrick	9	9	100%	550	Chesapeake	60	66	91%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	19	20	95%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	4	6	67%
147	Prince Edward	5	6	83%	630	Fredericksburg	24	24	100%
149	Prince George	7	7	100%	640	Galax	3	4	75%
153	Prince William	131	134	98%	650	Hampton	84	104	81%
155	Pulaski	6	8	75%	670	Hopewell	14	20	70%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	45	47	96%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	17	17	100%
161	Roanoke County	32	32	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	110	118	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	35	36	97%	710	Norfolk	82	94	87%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	7	9	78%	730	Petersburg	33	36	92%
171	Shenandoah	2	4	50%	740	Portsmouth	54	58	93%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	6	6	100%
175	Southampton	5	7	71%	760	Richmond City	88	120	73%
177	Spotsylvania	20	29	69%	770	Roanoke City	52	54	96%
179	Stafford	18	20	90%	800	Suffolk	16	16	100%
181	Surry	2	3	67%	810	Virginia Beach	89	90	99%
183	Sussex	1	1	100%	830	Williamsburg	1	2	50%
185	Tazewell	10	11	91%	840	Winchester	6	7	86%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Mar-12	Mar-11	Change from Mar-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,459	4,776	-7%	4,807	-7%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,177	2,342	-7%	1,658	31%
Applications Approved	1,816	2,075	-12%	2,820	-36%
Cases Closed *	2,694	2,752	-2%	4,476	-40%
Cases at End of Month	31,130	32,986	-6%	67,995	-54%
Cases Paid During Month ²	32,186	34,151	-6%	70,403	-54%

•The database indicates that of the 2,694 closed cases, 1,118 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 632 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 248 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 160 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Mar-12	Mar-11	Change from Mar-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	398	534	-25%	338	18%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	221	293	-25%	232	-5%
Applications Approved	207	226	-8%	81	156%
Cases Closed *	236	296	-20%	107	121%
Cases at End of Month	1,819	2,179	-17%	321	467%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,906	2,297	-17%	394	384%

•The database indicates that of the 236 closed cases, 122 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 71 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 17 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,949	1,621	1,029	2,544	933	561	3,668	376	635	1,273	1,436	1,006	5,527	1,105	380	3,431	1,155	5,980	289
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,208	518	446	903	353	252	1,409	172	304	553	453	484	2,290	642	133	1,333	520	2,337	106
Part. in a Work Activity	9,970	407	358	641	273	177	1,125	119	267	414	335	380	1,656	435	103	1,049	337	1,837	57
% of Enrolled	75%	79%	80%	71%	77%	70%	80%	69%	88%	75%	74%	79%	72%	68%	77%	79%	65%	79%	54%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	114	0	1	12	0	6	7	1	1	3	0	4	34	11	3	13	5	13	0
Participants Employed	6,423	295	214	487	234	113	669	93	163	268	205	238	969	291	69	652	240	1,178	45
% of Enrolled	49%	57%	48%	54%	66%	45%	47%	54%	54%	48%	45%	49%	42%	45%	52%	49%	46%	50%	42%
Full Time	4,973	260	185	408	200	86	505	77	103	179	171	167	686	205	54	494	191	974	28
Part Time	1,450	35	29	79	34	27	164	16	60	89	34	71	283	86	15	158	49	204	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$7.73	\$7.82	\$8.04	\$8.08	\$8.13	\$9.59	\$8.43	\$8.26	\$7.88	\$7.74	\$7.85	\$8.32	\$8.71	\$8.17	\$8.19	\$7.80	\$7.94	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,088	\$1,060	\$1,091	\$1,090	\$1,124	\$1,063	\$1,290	\$1,103	\$999	\$992	\$1,078	\$988	\$1,074	\$1,126	\$1,037	\$1,083	\$1,079	\$1,040	\$1,064

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	23,231	1,085	879	2,057	757	494	2,503	277	495	905	1,007	764	3,218	893	295	2,499	669	4,212	222
Total Enrolled in VIEW	30,741	1,360	1,092	2,428	891	616	3,445	347	669	1,317	1,199	1,043	4,736	1,275	366	3,342	1,047	5,328	240
Part. in a Work Activity	27,351	1,255	1,013	2,075	817	541	3,144	300	632	1,164	1,076	955	4,159	1,052	332	2,969	875	4,777	215
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	93%	85%	92%	88%	91%	86%	94%	88%	90%	92%	88%	83%	91%	89%	84%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	15,475	740	541	1,221	553	301	1,883	190	384	677	497	498	2,163	599	185	1,657	508	2,777	101
% of Total Enrolled	50%	54%	50%	50%	62%	49%	55%	55%	57%	51%	41%	48%	46%	47%	51%	50%	49%	52%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$7.75	\$7.84	\$8.15	\$8.23	\$8.36	\$9.96	\$8.65	\$8.64	\$7.99	\$7.83	\$7.95	\$8.40	\$8.80	\$8.07	\$8.25	\$8.06	\$8.08	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,048	\$1,070	\$1,105	\$1,103	\$1,101	\$1,291	\$1,135	\$1,021	\$999	\$1,033	\$988	\$1,074	\$1,099	\$1,018	\$1,052	\$1,082	\$1,033	\$1,027
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	68%	61%	65%	73%	65%	71%	74%	69%	70%	64%	70%	76%	76%	66%	72%	74%	72%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	270,457	16,823	10,224	20,194	7,914	5,204	33,615	3,831	5,851	10,187	12,565	7,793	36,057	8,371	3,549	25,702	9,095	51,022	2,460
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	207,530	12,928	8,591	15,455	6,077	3,879	25,938	2,892	4,517	8,450	10,067	6,031	28,240	6,179	2,627	19,331	7,128	37,392	1,808
Part. in a Work Activity	198,472	12,431	8,234	14,716	5,916	3,670	25,057	2,781	4,409	7,989	9,729	5,770	27,137	5,824	2,461	18,404	6,804	35,393	1,747
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	140,241	8,125	5,547	10,484	4,237	2,522	18,298	1,949	3,370	5,760	6,185	3,656	19,026	4,050	1,649	13,408	4,855	26,103	1,017
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	65%	71%	67%	75%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$6.21	\$6.32	\$7.08	\$7.15	\$7.35	\$8.67	\$7.45	\$7.40	\$6.59	\$6.39	\$6.76	\$7.35	\$7.92	\$6.99	\$7.02	\$6.99	\$6.85	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$819	\$857	\$952	\$950	\$983	\$1,150	\$982	\$945	\$854	\$853	\$875	\$984	\$1,020	\$891	\$918	\$942	\$896	\$845
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	65%	57%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,621	142	75	290	206	174	236	446	52
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	518	23	22	99	60	66	79	149	20
Participants in a Work Activity	407	18	16	89	57	50	70	96	11
% of Enrolled	79%	78%	73%	90%	95%	76%	89%	64%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	295	7	11	60	34	38	58	78	9
% of Enrolled	57%	30%	50%	61%	57%	58%	73%	52%	45%
Full Time	260	6	11	50	29	35	52	68	9
Part Time	35	1	0	10	5	3	6	10	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.39	\$8.17	\$7.61	\$7.86	\$7.47	\$7.89	\$7.69	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$938	\$1,250	\$993	\$999	\$1,022	\$1,118	\$1,078	\$1,216

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,085	46	48	187	140	123	208	309	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,360	47	81	263	179	183	222	348	37
Participants in a Work Activity	1,255	40	73	261	167	165	220	302	27
% of Total Enrolled	92%	85%	90%	99%	93%	90%	99%	87%	73%
Participants Employed	740	21	45	155	83	99	141	175	21
% of Total Enrolled	54%	45%	56%	59%	46%	54%	64%	50%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.76	\$8.08	\$7.60	\$7.75	\$7.59	\$7.92	\$7.80	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,045	\$1,159	\$983	\$962	\$1,014	\$1,102	\$1,102	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	69%	53%	75%	71%	79%	66%	66%	33%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,823	1,636	1,179	2,369	2,307	1,654	3,214	3,928	536
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,928	1,246	914	1,804	1,852	1,204	2,452	3,031	425
Participants in a Work Activity	12,431	1,164	864	1,791	1,763	1,181	2,366	2,914	388
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	91%
Participants Employed	8,125	659	550	1,177	1,138	705	1,666	1,914	316
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	59%	68%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.21	\$6.38	\$6.28	\$5.96	\$6.41	\$6.51	\$6.13	\$6.21	\$6.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$819	\$834	\$831	\$785	\$850	\$881	\$809	\$815	\$743
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,029	15	116	44	192	203	120	276	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	446	2	53	7	82	65	43	160	34
Participants in a Work Activity	358	2	48	6	73	42	33	134	20
% of Enrolled	80%	100%	91%	86%	89%	65%	77%	84%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	214	0	36	3	38	37	30	59	11
% of Enrolled	48%	0%	68%	43%	46%	57%	70%	37%	32%
Full Time	185	N/A	28	2	34	33	28	52	8
Part Time	29	N/A	8	1	4	4	2	7	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	N/A	\$7.98	\$8.49	\$7.83	\$7.77	\$7.88	\$7.75	\$7.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	N/A	\$1,047	\$1,154	\$1,114	\$1,066	\$1,152	\$1,091	\$1,062

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	879	17	89	29	173	137	107	267	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,092	11	125	39	217	160	118	338	84
Participants in a Work Activity	1,013	10	117	38	205	150	109	311	73
% of Total Enrolled	93%	91%	94%	97%	94%	94%	92%	92%	87%
Participants Employed	541	5	74	25	91	84	73	150	39
% of Total Enrolled	50%	45%	59%	64%	42%	53%	62%	44%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$9.05	\$7.78	\$8.11	\$7.82	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$7.71	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,488	\$1,024	\$1,120	\$1,101	\$1,067	\$1,059	\$1,050	\$1,116
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	40%	62%	53%	66%	62%	63%	57%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,224	254	1,376	649	1,770	1,762	1,544	2,150	719
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,591	192	1,138	525	1,489	1,471	1,226	1,918	632
Participants in a Work Activity	8,234	181	1,047	489	1,435	1,434	1,203	1,848	597
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	5,547	116	758	351	865	943	819	1,301	394
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	67%	58%	64%	67%	68%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.32	\$6.20	\$6.14	\$6.36	\$6.11	\$6.58	\$6.32	\$6.38	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$857	\$849	\$825	\$850	\$838	\$891	\$838	\$869	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	57%	54%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,544	92	44	12	65	241	60	318	190	287	67	1,168
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	903	33	15	5	22	97	25	103	61	101	29	412
Participants in a Work Activity	641	24	7	4	20	65	18	77	54	88	25	259
% of Enrolled	71%	73%	47%	80%	91%	67%	72%	75%	89%	87%	86%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	487	18	7	4	13	33	9	75	36	68	20	204
% of Enrolled	54%	55%	47%	80%	59%	34%	36%	73%	59%	67%	69%	50%
Full Time	408	11	6	3	12	28	8	67	31	53	15	174
Part Time	79	7	1	1	1	5	1	8	5	15	5	30
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.17	\$7.95	\$7.69	\$7.88	\$7.66	\$7.52	\$7.91	\$7.84	\$8.45	\$7.74	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$974	\$1,124	\$1,057	\$1,086	\$1,035	\$1,008	\$1,060	\$1,033	\$1,109	\$951	\$1,142

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,057	59	24	11	50	193	45	236	151	173	64	1,051
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,428	89	35	14	48	242	44	338	169	271	78	1100
Participants in a Work Activity	2,075	75	17	11	41	212	42	305	153	238	67	914
% of Total Enrolled	85%	84%	49%	79%	85%	88%	95%	90%	91%	88%	86%	83%
Participants Employed	1,221	47	12	7	19	94	17	224	86	181	46	488
% of Total Enrolled	50%	53%	34%	50%	40%	39%	39%	66%	51%	67%	59%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.09	\$8.13	\$7.68	\$8.10	\$8.31	\$7.60	\$7.93	\$7.77	\$8.51	\$8.07	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,091	\$1,110	\$1,098	\$1,121	\$1,083	\$974	\$1,059	\$1,065	\$1,144	\$1,074	\$1,132
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	66%	63%	100%	50%	60%	44%	67%	55%	67%	83%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,194	1,130	340	87	519	1,771	513	2,872	1,762	2,203	786	8,211
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,455	856	213	46	374	1,347	370	2,339	1,394	1,766	612	6,138
Participants in a Work Activity	14,716	822	185	44	361	1,209	362	2,302	1,343	1,666	591	5,831
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	96%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	10,484	609	94	25	245	836	214	1,838	888	1,311	432	3,992
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	54%	66%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	71%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$6.85	\$7.31	\$7.20	\$6.94	\$6.98	\$7.04	\$6.92	\$6.77	\$7.59	\$6.99	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$835	\$936	\$1,034	\$928	\$946	\$950	\$931	\$936	\$1,037	\$906	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	59%	57%	64%	57%	58%	53%	64%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	933	551	9	2	79	292
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	353	191	6	1	32	123
Participants in a Work Activity	273	146	4	1	21	101
% of Enrolled	77%	76%	67%	100%	66%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	234	129	2	1	17	85
% of Enrolled	66%	68%	33%	100%	53%	69%
Full Time	200	108	2	1	13	76
Part Time	34	21	0	0	4	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.01	\$8.63	\$8.00	\$7.80	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,104	\$1,247	\$1,386	\$964	\$1,180

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	757	456	4	0	47	250
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	891	537	7	1	64	282
Participants in a Work Activity	817	503	6	1	43	264
% of Total Enrolled	92%	94%	86%	100%	67%	94%
Participants Employed	553	320	3	1	31	198
% of Total Enrolled	62%	60%	43%	100%	48%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$8.19	\$8.17	\$8.00	\$7.83	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,084	\$930	\$1,376	\$915	\$1,160
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	76%	N/A	100%	72%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,914	4,250	79	18	701	2,866
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,077	3,315	50	8	521	2,183
Participants in a Work Activity	5,916	3,257	50	8	480	2,121
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,237	2,227	31	6	372	1,601
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.15	\$6.54	\$7.79	\$6.72	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$950	\$955	\$709	\$784	\$863	\$967
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	83%	67%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

12

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	561	21	174	68	40	129	129	289	196	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	252	5	87	33	16	70	41	106	54	52
Participants in a Work Activity	177	3	45	31	10	52	36	57	30	27
% of Enrolled	70%	60%	52%	94%	63%	74%	88%	54%	56%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	113	3	33	21	6	28	22	45	24	21
% of Enrolled	45%	60%	38%	64%	38%	40%	54%	42%	44%	40%
Full Time	86	3	23	18	5	19	18	28	16	12
Part Time	27	0	10	3	1	9	4	17	8	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$8.00	\$8.19	\$8.31	\$8.71	\$8.17	\$7.67	\$8.19	\$8.23	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,063	\$1,186	\$1,061	\$1,200	\$1,135	\$1,015	\$960	\$1,064	\$1,090	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	494	16	146	67	45	122	98	222	139	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	616	17	180	89	43	153	134	240	131	109
Participants in a Work Activity	541	14	147	89	41	129	121	215	111	104
% of Total Enrolled	88%	82%	82%	100%	95%	84%	90%	90%	85%	95%
Participants Employed	301	8	81	53	16	71	72	101	44	57
% of Total Enrolled	49%	47%	45%	60%	37%	46%	54%	42%	34%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.69	\$8.38	\$8.09	\$8.47	\$8.75	\$8.12	\$8.44	\$8.44	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,162	\$1,079	\$1,083	\$1,161	\$1,176	\$1,054	\$1,027	\$1,043	\$1,016
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	75%	76%	55%	67%	57%	68%	76%	79%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,204	202	1116	799	636	1250	1201	2,460	1556	904
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,879	123	831	650	386	945	944	1,808	1114	694
Participants in a Work Activity	3,670	116	772	636	374	875	897	1747	1087	660
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,522	74	473	453	233	644	645	1,017	573	444
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	57%	70%	60%	68%	68%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.44	\$7.70	\$7.31	\$6.72	\$7.43	\$7.25	\$6.72	\$6.76	\$6.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$984	\$1,002	\$989	\$922	\$1,007	\$961	\$845	\$848	\$841
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	62%	63%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	79%

See pp 42-44

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,668	248	1,449	216	1,129	411	176	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,409	61	494	145	491	152	59	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,125	49	438	97	357	127	50	7
% of Enrolled	80%	80%	89%	67%	73%	84%	85%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	4	0	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	669	28	222	61	254	81	19	4
% of Enrolled	47%	46%	45%	42%	52%	53%	32%	57%
Full Time	505	14	172	38	198	65	15	3
Part Time	164	14	50	23	56	16	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.59	\$11.37	\$9.40	\$11.07	\$9.16	\$9.79	\$9.72	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,290	\$1,355	\$1,231	\$1,627	\$1,238	\$1,325	\$1,400	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,503	138	888	198	838	309	103	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,445	209	1,217	293	1,206	355	145	20
Participants in a Work Activity	3,144	200	1,133	251	1,072	326	142	20
% of Total Enrolled	91%	96%	93%	86%	89%	92%	98%	100%
Participants Employed	1,883	108	671	173	670	185	63	13
% of Total Enrolled	55%	52%	55%	59%	56%	52%	43%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.96	\$11.20	\$10.07	\$10.79	\$9.36	\$10.45	\$9.82	\$9.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,291	\$1,301	\$1,325	\$1,420	\$1,207	\$1,364	\$1,307	\$1,256
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	64%	70%	75%	71%	84%	61%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	33,615	2,847	11,860	2,378	10,791	3,862	1,451	426
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	25,938	2,227	8,955	1,894	8,380	3,052	1,120	310
Participants in a Work Activity	25,057	2,206	8,645	1,811	8,076	2,921	1,090	308
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,298	1,610	6,063	1,463	6,072	2,147	718	225
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	77%	72%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.67	\$8.28	\$8.69	\$9.24	\$8.76	\$8.38	\$8.19	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,150	\$1,067	\$1,147	\$1,195	\$1,190	\$1,075	\$1,101	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	71%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	376	156	96	26	90	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	172	82	37	9	41	3
Participants in a Work Activity	119	54	28	6	31	0
% of Enrolled	69%	66%	76%	67%	76%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	93	42	19	5	27	0
% of Enrolled	54%	51%	51%	56%	66%	0%
Full Time	77	35	14	5	23	N/A
Part Time	16	7	5	0	4	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.44	\$8.64	\$8.35	\$8.30	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,116	\$1,020	\$1,271	\$1,110	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	277	124	92	19	38	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	347	163	98	21	62	3
Participants in a Work Activity	300	131	95	19	54	1
% of Total Enrolled	86%	80%	97%	90%	87%	33%
Participants Employed	190	80	55	11	44	0
% of Total Enrolled	55%	49%	56%	52%	71%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.65	\$8.55	\$9.03	\$8.16	\$8.50	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,135	\$1,118	\$1,180	\$1,221	\$1,090	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	76%	74%	63%	75%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,831	1,477	1137	353	769	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,892	1073	869	260	628	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,781	1026	860	251	585	59
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,949	692	596	164	458	39
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	69%	63%	73%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.41	\$7.80	\$7.15	\$7.20	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$982	\$963	\$1,018	\$997	\$963	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	59%	45%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	635	120	55	34	98	32	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	304	39	21	12	41	9	182
Participants in a Work Activity	267	37	20	4	35	6	165
% of Enrolled	88%	95%	95%	33%	85%	67%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	163	27	7	4	28	5	92
% of Enrolled	54%	69%	33%	33%	68%	56%	51%
Full Time	103	20	5	2	20	5	51
Part Time	60	7	2	2	8	0	41
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.30	\$8.89	\$7.60	\$8.30	\$7.44	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$1,106	\$971	\$982	\$1,121	\$1,035	\$932

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	495	96	41	31	84	31	212
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	669	112	47	45	100	32	333
Participants in a Work Activity	632	109	45	37	90	26	325
% of Total Enrolled	94%	97%	96%	82%	90%	81%	98%
Participants Employed	384	69	16	22	59	16	202
% of Total Enrolled	57%	62%	34%	49%	59%	50%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.70	\$9.08	\$8.44	\$8.96	\$8.87	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,137	\$1,022	\$964	\$1,156	\$1,208	\$925
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	71%	64%	42%	72%	89%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,851	1358	250	451	921	293	2,578
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,517	980	174	323	676	207	2,157
Participants in a Work Activity	4,409	957	169	305	648	204	2,126
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,370	713	111	245	422	130	1,749
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	64%	76%	62%	63%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.78	\$7.63	\$7.33	\$7.61	\$7.72	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$1,005	\$1,048	\$914	\$985	\$1,015	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	56%	63%	62%	65%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,273	89	94	204	292	594
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	553	27	53	83	192	198
Participants in a Work Activity	414	21	46	69	137	141
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	87%	83%	71%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	268	15	32	38	82	101
% of Enrolled	48%	56%	60%	46%	43%	51%
Full Time	179	12	32	23	46	66
Part Time	89	3	0	15	36	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$7.96	\$8.16	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$992	\$992	\$1,111	\$926	\$1,011	\$962

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	905	67	69	157	185	427
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,317	78	95	215	360	569
Participants in a Work Activity	1,164	70	91	185	323	495
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	96%	86%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	677	43	55	102	188	289
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	58%	47%	52%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.99	\$7.72	\$8.15	\$8.20	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$1,031	\$1,118	\$992	\$1,031	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	55%	92%	71%	72%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,187	949	797	2,057	2,368	4,016
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,450	761	698	1,647	2,032	3,312
Participants in a Work Activity	7,989	727	688	1,535	1,914	3,125
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	99%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,760	508	491	1,065	1,388	2,308
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.59	\$6.77	\$6.27	\$6.66	\$6.81	\$6.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$854	\$864	\$867	\$853	\$895	\$828
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,436	212	452	94	236	442
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	453	49	183	60	48	113
Participants in a Work Activity	335	27	142	48	34	84
% of Enrolled	74%	55%	78%	80%	71%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	205	25	74	34	27	45
% of Enrolled	45%	51%	40%	57%	56%	40%
Full Time	171	21	58	29	24	39
Part Time	34	4	16	5	3	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$7.57	\$7.85	\$7.39	\$7.62	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,024	\$1,090	\$1,092	\$1,034	\$1,102

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,007	130	313	101	147	316
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,199	161	400	140	161	337
Participants in a Work Activity	1,076	140	355	127	138	316
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	89%	91%	86%	94%
Participants Employed	497	63	176	76	67	115
% of Total Enrolled	41%	39%	44%	54%	42%	34%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.84	\$7.86	\$7.53	\$8.00	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$1,020	\$1,036	\$997	\$1,066	\$1,044
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	61%	61%	79%	60%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,565	1,955	3,380	1,235	2,047	3,948
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,067	1,625	2,571	1,069	1,564	3,238
Participants in a Work Activity	9,729	1,564	2,462	1,007	1,536	3,160
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,185	917	1,620	717	853	2,078
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	63%	67%	55%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.39	\$6.35	\$6.79	\$6.31	\$6.39	\$6.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$853	\$837	\$939	\$823	\$866	\$802
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	61%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,006	74	108	59	76	66	138	71	153	121	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	484	43	64	26	29	29	53	44	50	71	75
Participants in a Work Activity	380	31	57	23	27	22	39	35	45	45	56
% of Enrolled	79%	72%	89%	88%	93%	76%	74%	80%	90%	63%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	238	19	36	13	12	12	20	19	28	40	39
% of Enrolled	49%	44%	56%	50%	41%	41%	38%	43%	56%	56%	52%
Full Time	167	13	20	11	9	6	14	16	13	31	34
Part Time	71	6	16	2	3	6	6	3	15	9	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.59	\$7.26	\$7.80	\$7.83	\$8.17	\$7.86	\$8.52	\$7.65	\$7.86	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$980	\$843	\$995	\$956	\$911	\$966	\$1,190	\$932	\$982	\$1,117

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	764	62	83	58	69	36	94	58	100	97	107
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,043	63	123	80	88	54	136	83	130	145	141
Participants in a Work Activity	955	57	118	79	77	45	126	73	125	124	131
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	96%	99%	88%	83%	93%	88%	96%	86%	93%
Participants Employed	498	30	49	38	34	26	52	36	73	87	73
% of Total Enrolled	48%	48%	40%	48%	39%	48%	38%	43%	56%	60%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.19	\$7.38	\$7.95	\$8.11	\$8.30	\$7.92	\$8.05	\$7.92	\$8.08	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$932	\$864	\$1,067	\$951	\$975	\$954	\$1,036	\$960	\$1,043	\$1,060
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	74%	71%	78%	58%	50%	70%	85%	63%	87%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,793	502	977	761	670	525	919	356	1125	932	1026
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,031	362	782	574	490	381	726	277	888	717	834
Participants in a Work Activity	5,770	342	780	541	452	363	693	270	851	665	813
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	100%	94%	92%	95%	95%	97%	96%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,656	217	438	324	251	218	416	133	591	483	585
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	56%	51%	57%	57%	48%	67%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$7.43	\$6.20	\$7.01	\$7.22	\$7.25	\$6.71	\$6.35	\$6.57	\$6.77	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$955	\$819	\$938	\$926	\$945	\$822	\$787	\$841	\$898	\$881
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	63%	57%	57%	64%	64%	68%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,527	1,001	47	139	1,589	52	2,699
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,290	389	24	56	786	15	1,020
Participants in a Work Activity	1,656	292	18	38	541	11	756
% of Enrolled	72%	75%	75%	68%	69%	73%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	34	4	3	0	6	0	21
Participants Employed	969	177	7	22	352	6	405
% of Enrolled	42%	46%	29%	39%	45%	40%	40%
Full Time	686	137	3	15	240	5	286
Part Time	283	40	4	7	112	1	119
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.58	\$8.03	\$8.52	\$8.54	\$7.34	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,134	\$952	\$1,085	\$1,109	\$1,182	\$1,018

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,218	673	25	119	866	29	1,506
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,736	891	48	131	1,497	39	2,130
Participants in a Work Activity	4,159	782	44	120	1,297	33	1,883
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	92%	92%	87%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	2,163	413	29	63	730	18	910
% of Total Enrolled	46%	46%	60%	48%	49%	46%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.66	\$8.33	\$8.74	\$8.52	\$9.19	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,137	\$980	\$1,136	\$1,075	\$1,081	\$1,045
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	77%	63%	72%	82%	71%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	36,057	7,421	271	1238	8,939	344	17,844
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,240	5,646	204	882	7,230	246	14,032
Participants in a Work Activity	27,137	5,452	193	816	6,849	227	13,600
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	19,026	3,585	139	566	4,810	137	9,789
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	68%	64%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.61	\$7.05	\$8.19	\$7.64	\$7.60	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$1,020	\$897	\$1,050	\$1,019	\$950	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,105	193	46	395	298	173
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	642	124	13	233	165	107
Participants in a Work Activity	435	64	9	153	129	80
% of Enrolled	68%	52%	69%	66%	78%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	9	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	291	47	8	111	68	57
% of Enrolled	45%	38%	62%	48%	41%	53%
Full Time	205	35	6	82	41	41
Part Time	86	12	2	29	27	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.71	\$8.21	\$8.64	\$8.27	\$9.89	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,126	\$1,030	\$941	\$1,065	\$1,363	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	893	123	45	312	243	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,275	201	44	454	328	248
Participants in a Work Activity	1,052	165	40	344	283	220
% of Total Enrolled	83%	82%	91%	76%	86%	89%
Participants Employed	599	72	21	224	144	138
% of Total Enrolled	47%	36%	48%	49%	44%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.80	\$8.36	\$8.74	\$8.34	\$9.41	\$9.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,099	\$1,023	\$1,079	\$1,066	\$1,174	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	88%	29%	84%	74%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,371	1,291	506	2,537	2,406	1,631
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,179	914	341	1,993	1,553	1,378
Participants in a Work Activity	5,824	871	324	1,813	1,489	1,327
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,050	545	210	1,346	911	1,038
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	62%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.58	\$7.38	\$7.97	\$8.48	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,020	\$957	\$919	\$1,028	\$1,124	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	57%	58%	68%	67%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	380	78	25	40	48	23	26	30	29	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	133	26	12	21	11	8	12	9	9	25
Participants in a Work Activity	103	17	5	20	10	6	10	9	6	20
% of Enrolled	77%	65%	42%	95%	91%	75%	83%	100%	67%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	69	10	3	10	8	6	7	6	4	15
% of Enrolled	52%	38%	25%	48%	73%	75%	58%	67%	44%	60%
Full Time	54	7	3	5	6	5	6	5	2	15
Part Time	15	3	0	5	2	1	1	1	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.79	\$7.68	\$7.38	\$10.67	\$7.12	\$7.78	\$8.02	\$8.38	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$947	\$1,328	\$787	\$1,379	\$936	\$1,044	\$1,148	\$736	\$1,097

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	295	68	22	34	23	14	36	16	20	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	366	86	20	45	32	18	46	23	19	77
Participants in a Work Activity	332	78	17	42	31	17	46	22	15	64
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	85%	93%	97%	94%	100%	96%	79%	83%
Participants Employed	185	45	6	21	21	11	22	13	12	34
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	30%	47%	66%	61%	48%	57%	63%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.04	\$7.69	\$7.62	\$8.74	\$7.80	\$8.39	\$8.10	\$7.67	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$983	\$826	\$960	\$1,178	\$1,017	\$1,062	\$1,087	\$935	\$1,015
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	64%	60%	53%	63%	78%	67%	89%	50%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,549	545	271	322	394	199	403	307	323	785
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,627	428	182	260	308	134	296	252	191	576
Participants in a Work Activity	2,461	406	170	248	289	128	274	245	182	519
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	93%	95%	94%	96%	93%	97%	95%	90%
Participants Employed	1,649	289	93	150	204	88	194	147	119	365
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	51%	58%	66%	66%	66%	58%	62%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$7.02	\$7.00	\$7.33	\$6.79	\$6.99	\$7.01	\$6.66	\$6.96	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$891	\$861	\$865	\$959	\$854	\$893	\$904	\$859	\$924	\$916
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	49%	48%	60%	53%	69%	68%	54%	40%	64%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,431	27	91	136	51	126	1,250	1,713	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,333	8	24	46	28	68	473	674	12
Participants in a Work Activity	1,049	2	22	39	24	48	386	522	6
% of Enrolled	79%	25%	92%	85%	86%	71%	82%	77%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	2	0	0	1	1	6	2	1
Participants Employed	652	1	17	31	6	31	232	328	6
% of Enrolled	49%	13%	71%	67%	21%	46%	49%	49%	50%
Full Time	494	0	15	17	3	15	178	262	4
Part Time	158	1	2	14	3	16	54	66	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$2.50	\$8.21	\$8.65	\$9.90	\$8.26	\$8.14	\$8.16	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,083	\$217	\$1,111	\$1,067	\$1,233	\$975	\$1,068	\$1,107	\$939

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,499	13	81	106	29	94	865	1,281	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,342	21	84	136	44	157	1,158	1,699	43
Participants in a Work Activity	2,969	14	79	115	40	140	1,055	1,486	40
% of Total Enrolled	89%	67%	94%	85%	91%	89%	91%	87%	93%
Participants Employed	1,657	11	44	80	18	81	584	816	23
% of Total Enrolled	50%	52%	52%	59%	41%	52%	50%	48%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.60	\$8.18	\$8.52	\$8.66	\$8.34	\$8.23	\$8.23	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,004	\$1,045	\$1,068	\$1,201	\$927	\$1,049	\$1,065	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	18%	48%	73%	67%	73%	75%	71%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	25,702	163	1047	1074	333	1051	8,960	12,701	373
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,331	118	742	848	236	796	6,603	9,715	273
Participants in a Work Activity	18,404	111	691	788	226	765	6,432	9,137	254
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,408	65	487	587	133	498	4,598	6,848	192
% of Total Enrolled	69%	55%	66%	69%	56%	63%	70%	70%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$7.03	\$6.86	\$7.42	\$7.53	\$7.25	\$7.02	\$6.97	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$918	\$922	\$850	\$905	\$1,023	\$896	\$914	\$926	\$905
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	59%	61%	72%	59%	63%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,155	132	74	45	82	296	526
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	520	24	27	27	27	202	213
Participants in a Work Activity	337	20	17	12	23	104	161
% of Enrolled	65%	83%	63%	44%	85%	51%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	3	1
Participants Employed	240	20	11	5	17	69	118
% of Enrolled	46%	83%	41%	19%	63%	34%	55%
Full Time	191	19	9	1	15	43	104
Part Time	49	1	2	4	2	26	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.98	\$8.12	\$8.00	\$8.42	\$7.57	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,220	\$1,113	\$682	\$1,312	\$968	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	669	73	64	28	56	171	277
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,047	64	79	55	78	316	455
Participants in a Work Activity	875	63	62	49	66	225	410
% of Total Enrolled	84%	98%	78%	89%	85%	71%	90%
Participants Employed	508	39	33	29	34	121	252
% of Total Enrolled	49%	61%	42%	53%	44%	38%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.98	\$8.59	\$8.49	\$8.09	\$7.88	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,210	\$1,117	\$884	\$1,149	\$1,024	\$1,098
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	68%	79%	71%	62%	70%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,095	1163	809	358	721	2,270	3,774
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,128	789	610	292	579	1,828	3,030
Participants in a Work Activity	6,804	786	530	278	560	1,744	2,906
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,855	523	371	204	380	1,237	2,140
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	61%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$6.90	\$7.48	\$7.21	\$6.97	\$6.85	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$961	\$993	\$869	\$951	\$918	\$950
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	59%	58%	64%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/12 and Work Activity as of 3/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,980	125	120	1,039	124	2,147	1,115	349	961
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,337	32	33	408	45	1,015	368	102	334
Participants in a Work Activity	1,837	26	26	334	39	763	293	85	271
% of Enrolled	79%	81%	79%	82%	87%	75%	80%	83%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	1	0	4	6	0	2
Participants Employed	1,178	22	16	236	16	477	169	63	179
% of Enrolled	50%	69%	48%	58%	36%	47%	46%	62%	54%
Full Time	974	16	9	194	10	417	129	56	143
Part Time	204	6	7	42	6	60	40	7	36
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.75	\$7.55	\$7.97	\$7.50	\$7.88	\$7.91	\$7.72	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,022	\$967	\$1,068	\$905	\$1,018	\$1,022	\$1,071	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,212	91	76	678	98	1,442	762	222	843
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,328	88	112	965	102	1,880	989	273	919
Participants in a Work Activity	4,777	82	101	888	98	1,646	879	262	821
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	90%	92%	96%	88%	89%	96%	89%
Participants Employed	2,777	53	51	547	33	978	483	159	473
% of Total Enrolled	52%	60%	46%	57%	32%	52%	49%	58%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$7.98	\$7.91	\$8.15	\$7.64	\$7.96	\$8.05	\$7.95	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$1,043	\$919	\$1,063	\$960	\$1,004	\$1,035	\$1,033	\$1,077
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	83%	62%	76%	76%	76%	68%	65%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	51,022	1160	978	8,203	812	16,413	8,254	3,218	11,984
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	37,392	834	784	6,018	646	11,902	6,529	2,512	8,167
Participants in a Work Activity	35,393	802	750	5,737	635	11,262	6,145	2,486	7,576
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,103	577	451	4,363	413	8,353	4,680	1,855	5,411
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	58%	72%	64%	70%	72%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.88	\$6.68	\$7.03	\$6.47	\$6.74	\$6.74	\$6.68	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$896	\$909	\$826	\$927	\$809	\$862	\$901	\$870	\$952
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	33.6%	3.36	117	\$8.44	2.77	33	78.6%	7.38	#REF!	4.50	58
003	Albemarle	61.6%	6.16	12	\$8.70	3.46	20	70.8%	6.43	#REF!	5.35	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	52.8%	5.28	44	\$8.09	1.86	65	65.6%	5.80	75	4.31	70
007	Amelia	47.6%	4.76	76	\$8.19	2.12	50	73.7%	6.78	39	4.56	54
009	Amherst	55.1%	5.51	39	\$7.99	1.60	78	54.6%	4.45	105	3.85	92
011	Appomattox	57.9%	5.79	28	\$7.72	0.89	106	92.0%	9.02	3	5.23	20
013	Arlington	51.7%	5.17	56	\$11.20	10.00	1	64.1%	5.61	81	6.93	4
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	59.6%	5.96	19	\$8.19	2.12	51	75.8%	7.04	29	5.04	30
017	Bath	42.9%	4.29	98	\$8.17	2.07	53	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.18	115
019	Bedford County/City	47.4%	4.74	78	\$8.15	2.02	56	71.2%	6.48	48	4.41	66
021	Bland	45.5%	4.55	87	\$9.05	4.37	11	40.0%	2.67	115	3.86	90
023	Botetourt	34.3%	3.43	114	\$8.13	1.96	58	62.5%	5.42	86	3.60	104
025	Brunswick	39.8%	3.98	104	\$7.38	0.00	118	70.8%	6.43	52	3.47	108
027	Buchanan	44.7%	4.47	89	\$7.76	0.99	104	68.8%	6.18	61	3.88	88
029	Buckingham	47.5%	4.75	77	\$7.95	1.49	82	78.3%	7.34	22	4.53	55
031	Campbell	52.2%	5.22	52	\$8.20	2.15	48	71.7%	6.54	44	4.64	50
033	Caroline	35.8%	3.58	113	\$8.36	2.57	39	87.5%	8.47	6	4.87	40
035	Carroll	59.2%	5.92	21	\$7.78	1.05	102	61.8%	5.33	90	4.10	83
036	Charles City	52.4%	5.24	47	\$7.60	0.58	113	18.2%	0.00	118	1.94	119
037	Charlotte	38.6%	3.86	108	\$8.11	1.91	60	57.7%	4.83	100	3.53	106
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.4%	4.64	84	\$8.66	3.35	22	76.7%	7.15	24	5.05	29
043	Clarke	47.1%	4.71	79	\$8.69	3.43	21	75.0%	6.94	32	5.03	32
045	Craig	50.0%	5.00	63	\$7.68	0.79	109	100.0%	10.00	1	5.26	19
047	Culpeper	49.1%	4.91	66	\$8.55	3.06	25	76.2%	7.09	25	5.02	33
049	Cumberland	48.1%	4.81	71	\$8.30	2.41	45	50.0%	3.89	109	3.70	98
051	Dickensen	55.6%	5.56	35	\$8.08	1.83	68	52.8%	4.23	108	3.87	89
053	Dinwiddie	60.9%	6.09	14	\$7.98	1.57	79	67.9%	6.07	66	4.58	53
057	Essex	52.3%	5.23	50	\$8.04	1.73	74	63.9%	5.59	82	4.18	77
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.1%	5.51	38	\$10.07	7.04	4	69.9%	6.32	58	6.29	6
061	Fauquier	56.1%	5.61	33	\$9.03	4.32	13	74.2%	6.85	37	5.59	10
063	Floyd	39.6%	3.96	105	\$8.10	1.88	62	50.0%	3.89	110	3.24	112
065	Fluvanna	34.0%	3.40	116	\$9.08	4.45	9	64.3%	5.64	80	4.50	59
067	Franklin County	38.8%	3.88	107	\$8.31	2.43	44	60.3%	5.15	97	3.82	94
069	Frederick	45.0%	4.50	88	\$8.38	2.62	38	76.0%	7.07	28	4.73	46
071	Giles	38.6%	3.86	109	\$7.60	0.58	114	44.4%	3.21	113	2.55	117
073	Gloucester	52.4%	5.24	48	\$8.18	2.09	52	48.3%	3.68	112	3.67	99
075	Goochland	60.4%	6.04	16	\$8.33	2.49	43	63.2%	5.50	83	4.68	48
077	Grayson	64.1%	6.41	8	\$8.11	1.91	61	52.9%	4.25	106	4.19	76

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	48.9%	4.89	67	\$8.44	2.77	34	41.7%	2.87	114	3.51	107
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.2%	3.82	111	\$7.92	1.41	85	70.0%	6.33	55	3.86	91
083	Halifax	39.1%	3.91	106	\$7.84	1.20	97	60.9%	5.22	96	3.44	109
085	Hanover	48.1%	4.81	72	\$8.74	3.56	17	72.2%	6.60	43	4.99	34
087	Henrico	48.8%	4.88	69	\$8.52	2.98	26	81.7%	7.76	15	5.21	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	44.0%	4.40	93	\$7.86	1.26	95	61.0%	5.24	95	3.63	103
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.62	76	100.0%	10.00	2	7.21	2
093	Isle of Wight	60.2%	6.02	17	\$7.98	1.57	80	82.8%	7.89	13	5.16	28
095	James City	58.8%	5.88	26	\$8.52	2.98	27	73.5%	6.76	40	5.21	22
097	King and Queen	30.0%	3.00	119	\$7.69	0.81	108	60.0%	5.11	98	2.97	116
099	King George	47.7%	4.77	75	\$8.74	3.56	18	29.4%	1.37	117	3.24	113
101	King William	46.7%	4.67	80	\$7.62	0.63	112	52.9%	4.25	107	3.18	114
103	Lancaster	65.6%	6.56	6	\$8.74	3.56	19	62.5%	5.42	87	5.18	26
105	Lee	58.9%	5.89	25	\$7.60	0.58	115	75.3%	6.98	31	4.48	60
107	Loudoun	59.0%	5.90	22	\$10.79	8.93	2	74.8%	6.92	34	7.25	1
109	Louisa	59.0%	5.90	23	\$8.96	4.14	14	72.3%	6.62	42	5.55	11
111	Lunenburg	43.4%	4.34	97	\$8.05	1.75	72	85.0%	8.17	9	4.75	44
113	Madison	52.4%	5.24	49	\$8.16	2.04	55	62.5%	5.42	88	4.23	73
115	Mathews	61.1%	6.11	13	\$7.80	1.10	100	77.8%	7.28	23	4.83	42
117	Mecklenburg	56.2%	5.62	32	\$7.92	1.41	86	63.0%	5.47	84	4.17	78
119	Middlesex	47.8%	4.78	74	\$8.39	2.64	37	66.7%	5.93	68	4.45	64
121	Montgomery	66.3%	6.63	5	\$7.93	1.44	84	66.7%	5.93	69	4.66	49
125	Nelson	50.0%	5.00	64	\$8.87	3.90	15	88.9%	8.64	4	5.85	7
127	New Kent	40.9%	4.09	103	\$8.66	3.35	23	66.7%	5.93	70	4.46	63
131	Northampton	52.3%	5.23	51	\$8.43	2.75	35	74.2%	6.85	38	4.94	35
133	Northumberland	56.5%	5.65	31	\$8.10	1.88	63	88.9%	8.64	5	5.39	15
135	Nottoway	60.0%	6.00	18	\$8.08	1.83	69	87.0%	8.41	7	5.41	14
137	Orange	71.0%	7.10	2	\$8.50	2.93	29	75.0%	6.94	33	5.66	9
139	Page	59.6%	5.96	20	\$8.09	1.86	66	55.0%	4.50	103	4.10	82
141	Patrick	54.3%	5.43	40	\$7.53	0.39	117	79.3%	7.47	17	4.43	65
143	Pittsylvania	41.6%	4.16	102	\$8.00	1.62	77	60.0%	5.11	99	3.63	102
145	Powhatan	46.2%	4.62	85	\$9.19	4.74	8	71.4%	6.51	46	5.29	18
147	Prince Edward	51.8%	5.18	55	\$7.92	1.41	87	64.4%	5.65	79	4.08	84
149	Prince George	41.8%	4.18	101	\$8.59	3.17	24	78.6%	7.38	21	4.91	37
153	Prince William	55.6%	5.56	36	\$9.36	5.18	7	71.2%	6.47	49	5.74	8
155	Pulaski	50.9%	5.09	59	\$7.77	1.02	103	55.0%	4.50	104	3.54	105
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	63.2%	6.32	10	\$7.67	0.76	110	50.0%	3.89	111	3.65	101
161	Roanoke County	66.8%	6.68	4	\$8.51	2.96	28	66.7%	5.93	71	5.19	25
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48.4%	4.84	70	\$7.83	1.18	98	85.0%	8.17	10	4.73	45
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.2%	7.02	3	\$8.34	2.51	40	68.2%	6.12	64	5.22	21
167	Russell	46.4%	4.64	83	\$7.75	0.97	105	70.9%	6.44	50	4.02	85
169	Scott	54.1%	5.41	41	\$7.59	0.55	116	79.0%	7.44	19	4.47	61
171	Shenandoah	37.2%	3.72	112	\$8.47	2.85	31	66.7%	5.93	72	4.17	79

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	41.9%	4.19	100	\$7.82	1.15	99	66.1%	5.86	73	3.74	97
175	<i>Southampton</i>	45.5%	4.55	86	\$7.91	1.39	89	61.8%	5.33	91	3.76	96
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	49.3%	4.93	65	\$8.34	2.51	41	84.4%	8.09	11	5.18	27
179	<i>Stafford</i>	43.9%	4.39	94	\$9.41	5.31	6	74.5%	6.88	36	5.53	12
181	<i>Surry</i>	52.7%	5.27	45	\$8.49	2.91	30	70.6%	6.41	53	4.86	41
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.6%	4.36	95	\$8.09	1.86	67	61.5%	5.30	92	3.84	93
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	63.5%	6.35	9	\$7.92	1.41	88	65.7%	5.81	74	4.53	56
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.4%	4.64	82	\$8.75	3.59	16	57.1%	4.76	102	4.33	69
191	<i>Washington</i>	52.5%	5.25	46	\$7.90	1.36	91	62.3%	5.39	89	4.00	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	44.2%	4.42	92	\$8.08	1.83	70	70.0%	6.33	56	4.19	75
195	<i>Wise</i>	50.3%	5.03	62	\$7.80	1.10	101	65.6%	5.79	76	3.97	87
197	<i>Wythe</i>	61.9%	6.19	11	\$7.90	1.36	92	62.7%	5.44	85	4.33	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	51.6%	5.16	57	\$8.34	2.51	42	73.2%	6.73	41	4.80	43
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	52.1%	5.21	53	\$10.45	8.04	3	83.9%	8.04	12	7.09	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	44.4%	4.44	90	\$7.71	0.86	107	57.4%	4.79	101	3.37	110
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.7%	6.07	15	\$8.47	2.85	32	68.7%	6.17	62	5.03	31
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.7%	5.67	30	\$8.15	2.02	57	75.7%	7.03	30	4.91	38
590	<i>Danville</i>	34.1%	3.41	115	\$7.91	1.39	90	61.3%	5.26	94	3.35	111
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	32.4%	3.24	118	\$7.64	0.68	111	76.2%	7.09	26	3.67	100
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.6%	5.56	34	\$9.06	4.40	10	70.1%	6.35	54	5.44	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	46.4%	4.64	81	\$7.90	1.36	93	70.0%	6.33	57	4.11	81
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.4%	5.04	61	\$8.23	2.23	46	74.7%	6.91	35	4.73	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	38.3%	3.83	110	\$7.88	1.31	94	69.6%	6.28	59	3.81	95
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	50.8%	5.08	60	\$7.86	1.26	96	69.4%	6.26	60	4.20	74
683	<i>Manassas</i>	43.4%	4.34	96	\$9.82	6.39	5	61.4%	5.28	93	5.34	17
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	65.0%	6.50	7	\$9.04	4.35	12	85.7%	8.25	8	6.37	5
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.0%	4.80	73	\$8.23	2.23	47	71.4%	6.50	47	4.51	57
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.0%	5.20	54	\$7.96	1.52	81	76.2%	7.09	27	4.60	52
720	<i>Norton</i>	56.8%	5.68	29	\$7.38	0.00	119	33.3%	1.85	116	2.51	118
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	55.4%	5.54	37	\$8.03	1.70	75	79.3%	7.47	18	4.90	39
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.8%	4.88	68	\$8.05	1.75	73	68.3%	6.13	63	4.26	72
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.0%	5.90	24	\$8.07	1.81	71	82.6%	7.87	14	5.19	24
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	42.7%	4.27	99	\$8.17	2.07	54	71.6%	6.52	45	4.29	71
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.4%	4.44	91	\$8.20	2.15	49	65.6%	5.79	77	4.12	80
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.2%	5.82	27	\$7.95	1.49	83	64.7%	5.69	78	4.33	67
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.5%	5.15	58	\$8.40	2.67	36	67.8%	6.06	67	4.63	51
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	53.5%	5.35	43	\$8.10	1.88	64	80.0%	7.56	16	4.93	36
840	<i>Winchester</i>	53.7%	5.37	42	\$8.12	1.94	59	67.9%	6.08	65	4.46	62

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	1.98	116	\$848	2.86	97	65.2%	5.86	48	3.57	108
003	Albemarle	72.8%	7.75	12	\$1,005	6.10	20	57.5%	4.09	100	5.98	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.1%	7.31	19	\$835	2.59	103	58.6%	4.34	94	4.75	77
007	Amelia	59.9%	4.28	95	\$955	5.06	38	65.0%	5.81	50	5.05	66
009	Amherst	66.8%	6.12	51	\$864	3.19	86	60.1%	4.68	84	4.66	80
011	Appomattox	70.3%	7.09	24	\$867	3.24	83	55.7%	3.69	108	4.67	79
013	Arlington	72.3%	7.62	16	\$1,067	7.37	8	69.5%	6.84	17	7.28	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.24	47	\$955	5.06	37	67.3%	6.34	36	5.88	29
017	Bath	62.0%	4.84	87	\$709	0.00	120	62.1%	5.14	74	3.32	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.7%	5.56	65	\$853	2.96	92	61.1%	4.92	77	4.48	87
021	Bland	60.4%	4.41	91	\$849	2.88	96	57.0%	3.98	104	3.76	106
023	Botetourt	44.1%	0.00	120	\$936	4.67	50	56.9%	3.97	105	2.88	118
025	Brunswick	56.0%	3.21	110	\$819	2.25	111	66.6%	6.17	42	3.88	103
027	Buchanan	52.9%	2.37	115	\$834	2.58	104	63.2%	5.40	67	3.45	111
029	Buckingham	56.4%	3.33	107	\$938	4.71	48	62.5%	5.24	69	4.43	93
031	Campbell	68.3%	6.54	37	\$895	3.82	74	64.0%	5.59	56	5.32	57
033	Caroline	59.6%	4.19	96	\$957	5.11	35	57.4%	4.06	102	4.45	89
035	Carroll	66.6%	6.08	52	\$825	2.38	108	54.4%	3.37	112	3.95	100
036	Charles City	55.1%	2.96	112	\$922	4.39	58	50.9%	2.59	116	3.31	115
037	Charlotte	51.2%	1.92	117	\$926	4.46	55	57.1%	4.01	103	3.46	110
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.5%	5.24	75	\$1,020	6.39	15	69.4%	6.82	19	6.15	22
043	Clarke	60.2%	4.34	94	\$984	5.65	27	62.1%	5.15	73	5.05	67
045	Craig	54.3%	2.76	114	\$1,034	6.68	12	63.6%	5.50	62	4.98	70
047	Culpeper	64.5%	5.51	66	\$963	5.23	30	67.1%	6.29	38	5.67	35
049	Cumberland	57.2%	3.54	105	\$945	4.86	46	57.5%	4.08	101	4.16	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.34	93	\$831	2.50	105	55.3%	3.60	109	3.48	109
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	6.00	55	\$961	5.18	33	58.7%	4.36	92	5.18	63
057	Essex	67.5%	6.33	45	\$861	3.13	89	49.2%	2.20	117	3.89	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.38	42	\$1,147	9.02	3	69.2%	6.77	23	7.39	5
061	Fauquier	68.6%	6.62	35	\$1,018	6.35	17	69.2%	6.77	22	6.58	11
063	Floyd	65.5%	5.78	62	\$928	4.50	52	56.6%	3.89	106	4.72	78
065	Fluvanna	63.8%	5.32	73	\$1,048	6.97	10	60.0%	4.66	85	5.65	36
067	Franklin County	62.1%	4.85	86	\$946	4.87	45	58.1%	4.23	97	4.65	81
069	Frederick	56.9%	3.46	106	\$1,002	6.04	21	62.7%	5.28	68	4.93	73
071	Giles	57.8%	3.71	101	\$950	4.96	42	53.2%	3.10	114	3.92	101
073	Gloucester	65.6%	5.82	59	\$850	2.90	94	58.9%	4.42	90	4.38	94
075	Goochland	68.1%	6.50	39	\$897	3.86	72	65.0%	5.82	49	5.39	50
077	Grayson	66.9%	6.15	49	\$850	2.89	95	60.7%	4.83	80	4.62	83

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.58	4	\$914	4.21	63	56.3%	3.81	107	5.53	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.3%	3.56	104	\$822	2.32	110	64.3%	5.65	54	3.85	104
083	Halifax	56.4%	3.33	108	\$837	2.63	102	58.4%	4.29	96	3.42	113
085	Hanover	64.2%	5.42	68	\$1,050	7.02	9	66.5%	6.14	44	6.20	20
087	Henrico	66.5%	6.06	54	\$1,019	6.38	16	66.5%	6.15	43	6.20	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.0%	5.11	79	\$939	4.73	47	64.0%	5.59	57	5.14	64
091	Highland	75.0%	8.35	6	\$784	1.53	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.63	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.2%	6.78	34	\$909	4.12	65	60.4%	4.76	83	5.22	61
095	James City	69.2%	6.79	33	\$905	4.03	67	61.2%	4.93	76	5.25	59
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.89	118	\$865	3.20	85	47.6%	1.82	118	2.30	120
099	King George	61.6%	4.72	88	\$919	4.31	60	58.4%	4.29	95	4.44	91
101	King William	57.7%	3.67	102	\$959	5.14	34	60.4%	4.76	82	4.52	85
103	Lancaster	66.2%	5.98	57	\$854	2.98	91	53.0%	3.07	115	4.01	98
105	Lee	65.2%	5.71	63	\$785	1.56	117	72.4%	7.50	7	4.93	72
107	Loudoun	77.2%	8.96	3	\$1,195	10.00	1	71.4%	7.27	11	8.74	1
109	Louisa	62.4%	4.95	83	\$985	5.67	26	63.3%	5.42	66	5.35	54
111	Lunenburg	48.0%	1.05	119	\$787	1.60	116	63.6%	5.48	63	2.71	119
113	Madison	63.1%	5.13	78	\$997	5.93	22	60.9%	4.87	79	5.31	58
115	Mathews	65.7%	5.83	58	\$893	3.79	75	68.8%	6.67	28	5.43	47
117	Mecklenburg	66.6%	6.07	53	\$841	2.70	99	68.1%	6.51	30	5.09	65
119	Middlesex	65.5%	5.79	61	\$904	4.01	69	68.5%	6.60	29	5.47	45
121	Montgomery	78.6%	9.32	2	\$931	4.58	51	64.5%	5.69	52	6.53	13
125	Nelson	62.8%	5.05	81	\$1,015	6.29	18	62.4%	5.21	70	5.52	44
127	New Kent	56.4%	3.31	109	\$1,023	6.46	14	71.9%	7.38	8	5.72	34
131	Northampton	64.0%	5.37	71	\$841	2.72	98	79.4%	9.09	2	5.73	33
133	Northumberland	58.3%	3.84	99	\$859	3.09	90	54.2%	3.34	113	3.42	112
135	Nottoway	67.4%	6.29	46	\$898	3.88	71	69.3%	6.79	21	5.65	37
137	Orange	72.9%	7.79	11	\$963	5.23	31	59.4%	4.53	87	5.85	30
139	Page	69.7%	6.92	30	\$989	5.76	25	59.7%	4.59	86	5.76	32
141	Patrick	67.1%	6.21	48	\$823	2.34	109	75.0%	8.10	6	5.55	40
143	Pittsylvania	54.5%	2.82	113	\$866	3.22	84	60.5%	4.79	81	3.61	107
145	Powhatan	55.7%	3.13	111	\$950	4.95	44	63.9%	5.56	58	4.55	84
147	Prince Edward	70.1%	7.04	27	\$881	3.53	79	68.9%	6.71	26	5.76	31
149	Prince George	60.8%	4.52	90	\$993	5.84	23	58.7%	4.36	93	4.91	74
153	Prince William	72.5%	7.67	15	\$1,190	9.90	2	70.7%	7.10	13	8.22	2
155	Pulaski	63.7%	5.30	74	\$936	4.67	49	54.4%	3.38	111	4.45	90
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.08	80	\$954	5.05	39	44.7%	1.18	119	3.77	105
159	Richmond County	62.3%	4.92	85	\$924	4.42	56	39.6%	0.00	120	3.11	117
161	Roanoke County	74.2%	8.15	8	\$1,037	6.76	11	58.7%	4.38	91	6.43	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.4%	7.38	18	\$863	3.16	87	67.0%	6.27	39	5.60	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.90	10	\$967	5.31	29	67.6%	6.41	33	6.54	12
167	Russell	61.4%	4.69	89	\$850	2.91	93	67.2%	6.30	37	4.63	82
169	Scott	58.6%	3.90	98	\$881	3.54	78	77.2%	8.60	4	5.35	55
171	Shenandoah	60.4%	4.39	92	\$922	4.39	57	70.0%	6.94	15	5.24	60

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.78	100	\$838	2.64	101	63.8%	5.54	60	3.98	99
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.5%	3.62	103	\$826	2.40	107	54.5%	3.40	110	3.14	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.5%	6.33	44	\$1,028	6.56	13	68.0%	6.50	31	6.47	14
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.7%	3.93	97	\$1,124	8.55	4	67.4%	6.35	35	6.28	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.9%	6.96	28	\$869	3.28	82	58.0%	4.20	99	4.82	76
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.6%	5.82	60	\$951	4.99	41	63.7%	5.51	61	5.44	46
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.9%	6.44	40	\$809	2.05	113	65.7%	5.96	46	4.82	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.1%	6.50	38	\$1,007	6.13	19	69.0%	6.71	25	6.45	16
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.1%	5.41	70	\$891	3.74	76	58.1%	4.23	98	4.46	88
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.4%	5.21	76	\$916	4.26	62	64.4%	5.67	53	5.04	68
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.1%	5.15	77	\$815	2.18	112	66.9%	6.23	40	4.52	86
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.8%	6.14	50	\$838	2.65	100	66.7%	6.20	41	5.00	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.6%	4.99	82	\$896	3.84	73	59.1%	4.46	88	4.43	92
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.3%	7.09	23	\$1,075	7.53	7	77.1%	8.57	5	7.73	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.8%	6.41	41	\$869	3.29	81	62.2%	5.16	72	4.96	71
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.1%	10.00	1	\$920	4.33	59	65.4%	5.90	47	6.74	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.5%	7.68	14	\$927	4.48	53	64.7%	5.74	51	5.97	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.2%	5.42	67	\$802	1.91	115	61.7%	5.06	75	4.13	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.9%	5.36	72	\$809	2.04	114	64.2%	5.62	55	4.34	95
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.3%	8.44	5	\$989	5.77	24	66.2%	6.08	45	6.76	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.3%	4.93	84	\$889	3.69	77	69.9%	6.93	16	5.18	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.90	32	\$914	4.21	64	63.5%	5.45	64	5.52	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.7%	6.37	43	\$918	4.30	61	63.3%	5.42	65	5.36	53
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.7%	6.92	31	\$828	2.45	106	68.8%	6.67	27	5.34	56
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.41	69	\$1,101	8.08	5	71.1%	7.21	12	6.90	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	72.6%	7.70	13	\$1,082	7.68	6	77.6%	8.69	3	8.02	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.5%	7.13	22	\$926	4.46	54	69.1%	6.74	24	6.11	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.2%	7.05	26	\$862	3.14	88	67.5%	6.38	34	5.52	43
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.4%	8.18	7	\$743	0.70	119	71.9%	7.38	9	5.42	48
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.17	20	\$950	4.96	43	70.2%	6.99	14	6.37	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.7%	7.45	17	\$901	3.95	70	69.5%	6.84	18	6.08	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.6%	7.16	21	\$906	4.04	66	61.1%	4.92	78	5.37	52
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.8%	6.94	29	\$956	5.07	36	71.7%	7.35	10	6.45	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.0%	5.66	64	\$968	5.32	28	62.3%	5.19	71	5.39	49
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.8%	8.04	9	\$870	3.31	80	68.0%	6.50	32	5.95	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.3%	5.99	56	\$952	4.99	40	69.3%	6.79	20	5.92	28
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	70.3%	7.09	25	\$905	4.03	68	63.9%	5.55	59	5.56	39
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.3%	6.55	36	\$961	5.19	32	59.0%	4.43	89	5.39	51

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2011 – March 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	17,550	815	655	1,531	597	361	1,817	195	409	736	746	618	2,371	668	235	2,018	506	3,101	171
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	95%	97%	98%	94%	91%	93%	91%	99%	99%	96%	94%	94%	76%	92%	98%	86%	89%	93%
% Timely in March 2012	96%	99%	100%	98%	97%	97%	94%	93%	96%	100%	98%	95%	95%	87%	83%	100%	97%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	12,227	580	480	1,018	412	235	1,304	134	293	523	527	430	1,675	453	165	1,394	341	2,137	126
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	98%	98%	97%	97%	91%	96%	93%	99%	96%	97%	98%	94%	92%	96%	94%	96%	92%	100%
Percent in March 2012	95%	96%	99%	96%	95%	95%	98%	86%	100%	99%	96%	97%	97%	93%	100%	94%	94%	92%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	64	0	0	1	2	2	5	2	0	0	0	3	22	14	8	3	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	0	0	3	26	264	6	104	0	0	0	4	44	59	27	84	0	17	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	815	31	42	137	112	90	146	241	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	99%	100%	89%	95%	93%	81%
% Timely in March 2012	99%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	580	22	29	106	85	73	107	151	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	97%	100%	96%	100%	100%	97%	71%
Percent in March 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	92%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	655	7	61	23	131	102	68	213	50
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	97%	100%	98%	98%	100%	97%	82%
% Timely in March 2012	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	480	6	49	18	101	70	50	147	39
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	94%	98%	99%	98%	99%	92%
Percent in March 2012	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1531	54	17	10	38	149	31	183	126	138	52	733
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	92%	98%
% Timely in March 2012	98%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1018	41	6	8	22	101	23	135	80	94	31	477
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	67%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	97%	95%
Percent in March 2012	96%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	597	376	4	0	27	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	100%	100%	N/A	41%	91%
% Timely in March 2012	97%	100%	100%	N/A	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	412	262	0	0	19	131
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	99%	N/A	N/A	53%	99%
Percent in March 2012	95%	97%	N/A	N/A	0%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	0	0	26	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	361	11	101	56	27	98	68	171	102	69
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	91%	91%	79%	98%	93%	100%	91%	93%	94%	91%
% Timely in March 2012	97%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	235	7	71	41	21	49	46	126	74	52
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	91%	100%	90%	95%	100%	86%	89%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2012	95%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	264	0	264	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,817	122	649	138	601	209	84	14
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	97%	96%	84%	100%	96%	79%
% Timely in March 2012	94%	100%	96%	95%	86%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1304	101	427	100	444	155	67	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	99%	98%	97%	94%	94%	97%	100%
Percent in March 2012	98%	100%	99%	96%	96%	96%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	195	81	66	12	34	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	91%	94%	92%	100%	82%	50%
% Timely in March 2012	93%	94%	100%	100%	0%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	134	54	46	10	23	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	93%	94%	98%	100%	74%	100%
Percent in March 2012	86%	86%	90%	100%	0%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	104	0	0	0	147	60

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	409	74	36	24	67	24	184
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	94%	100%	97%	100%	99%
% Timely in March 2012	96%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	293	58	26	19	49	14	127
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	99%	97%	96%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in March 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	736	52	55	120	169	340
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	97%	99%	98%
% Timely in March 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	523	35	42	83	122	241
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	97%	98%	92%	95%	97%
Percent in March 2012	99%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	746	101	239	84	107	215
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	98%	90%	99%	99%	98%
% Timely in March 2012	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	527	78	155	56	71	167
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	93%	98%	100%	99%
Percent in March 2012	96%	100%	89%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	618	36	82	57	63	25	71	41	82	71	90
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	89%	96%	95%	100%	100%	79%	88%	99%	99%	96%
% Timely in March 2012	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	33%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	430	23	56	38	43	14	59	24	57	54	62
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	91%	98%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	96%	98%
Percent in March 2012	97%	67%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,371	478	19	68	679	19	1,108
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	94%	95%	96%	92%	100%	96%
% Timely in March 2012	95%	96%	100%	100%	90%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1675	332	14	47	485	15	782
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	97%	100%	96%	93%	93%	94%
Percent in March 2012	97%	98%	100%	100%	95%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	22	1	1	0	20	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	44	16	42	0	45	0	0

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	668	86	39	220	175	148
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	76%	67%	100%	43%	97%	99%
% Timely in March 2012	87%	29%	100%	75%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	453	58	24	149	118	104
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	95%	100%	84%	92%	99%
Percent in March 2012	93%	100%	100%	82%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	14	6	0	8	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	59	40	0	73	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	235	54	17	31	18	12	33	16	13	41
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	65%	90%	100%	67%	100%	100%	62%	98%
% Timely in March 2012	83%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	20%	100%	100%	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	165	42	12	24	10	6	28	9	8	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	95%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	96%
Percent in March 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	8	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	0	49	0	0	15	0	0	8	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,018	8	72	87	19	86	683	1042	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	99%	100%	42%	98%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in March 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1394	3	52	59	10	64	497	691	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	100%	98%	95%	60%	97%	98%	92%	94%
Percent in March 2012	94%	N/A	100%	100%	50%	92%	97%	92%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	84	0	0	0	126	0	1	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	506	43	53	19	44	118	229
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	86%	100%	98%	100%	100%	60%	91%
% Timely in March 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	341	37	34	12	29	66	163
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	94%
Percent in March 2012	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	3,101	50	68	527	88	1016	615	171	566
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	92%	99%	97%	89%	75%	98%	98%	95%
% Timely in March 2012	96%	100%	100%	97%	100%	93%	100%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	2137	41	47	367	64	692	419	131	376
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	95%	100%	96%	98%	81%	99%	98%	97%
Percent in March 2012	92%	100%	100%	96%	100%	79%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	3

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Mar-11</i>	<i>Mar-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,719	17,004	1.7%
NTANF Cases Collected	133,644	133,266	-0.3%
Total Cases Collected	150,363	150,270	-0.1%
TANF Collections	\$6,585,563	\$6,810,745	3.4%
NTANF Collections	\$59,109,901	\$59,591,743	0.8%
Total Collections	\$65,695,464	\$66,402,488	1.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$473,946,404	\$487,406,609	2.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$368.50	\$374.18	1.5%

Paternities Established

	<i>Mar-12</i>
TANF Paternities	208
NTANF Paternities	429
Combined Paternities	637

Diversionsary Assistance

March Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Alleghany / Covington	1		Montgomery	1
Appomattox	2		Orange	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	5		Page	1
Bedford City	1		Patrick	1
Buckingham	3		Pittsylvania	1
Campbell	1		Prince William	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Rockingham/ H'burg	1
Clarke	2		Shenandoah	10
Culpeper	3		Spotsylvania	5
Cumberland	1		Warren	3
Dickenson	1		Westmoreland	1
Dinwiddie	1		York / Poquoson	3
Fairfax/ Falls Church	4		Bristol	4
Fauquier	2		Charlottesville	3
Franklin County	1		Chesapeake	2
Frederick	2		Danville	1
Gloucester	2		Galax	1
Grayson	2		Hampton	5
Greene	6		Hopewell	4
Henrico	5		Newport News	14
Henry / Martinsville	4		Norfolk	10
Isle of Wight	4		Petersburg	3
King William	1		Richmond City	1
Loudoun	2		Roanoke City	5
Louisa	1		Suffolk	5
Mathews	1		Virginia Beach	3
Mecklenburg	1		Winchester	3
			Statewide	162

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of April 2, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in slight decline.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Mar 31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March it was \$8.32 rather than the \$8.23 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.