

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
November 2012

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services December 27, 2012

Monthly Report, November 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 7,910 and a denominator of 18,164

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	26	84	31%	061	Fauquier	25	52	48%
003	Albemarle	32	70	46%	063	Floyd	8	24	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	53	36%	065	Fluvanna	6	24	25%
007	Amelia	17	35	49%	067	Franklin County	50	124	40%
009	Amherst	25	49	51%	069	Frederick	9	78	12%
011	Appomattox	28	52	54%	071	Giles	7	30	23%
013	Arlington	68	112	61%	073	Gloucester	13	46	28%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	156	270	58%	075	Goochland	18	32	56%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	15	34	44%
019	Bedford County/City	39	104	38%	079	Greene	4	15	27%
021	Bland	4	7	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	42	97	43%
023	Botetourt	5	18	28%	083	Halifax	32	90	36%
025	Brunswick	45	81	56%	085	Hanover	30	86	35%
027	Buchanan	24	50	48%	087	Henrico	324	873	37%
029	Buckingham	19	38	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	87	234	37%
031	Campbell	63	153	41%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	23	116	20%	093	Isle of Wight	20	58	34%
035	Carroll	31	52	60%	095	James City	36	100	36%
036	Charles City	2	13	15%	097	King and Queen	3	15	20%
037	Charlotte	26	63	41%	099	King George	9	26	35%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	232	526	44%	101	King William	14	29	48%
043	Clarke	1	7	14%	103	Lancaster	10	24	42%
045	Craig	3	8	38%	105	Lee	69	140	49%
047	Culpeper	45	89	51%	107	Loudoun	72	152	47%
049	Cumberland	17	36	47%	109	Louisa	25	55	45%
051	Dickensen	8	17	47%	111	Lunenburg	16	36	44%
053	Dinwiddie	20	45	44%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	17	44	39%	115	Mathews	4	21	19%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	329	727	45%	117	Mecklenburg	23	65	35%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	34	56%	187	Warren	24	70	34%
121	Montgomery	58	136	43%	191	Washington	39	82	48%
125	Nelson	7	14	50%	193	Westmoreland	17	44	39%
127	New Kent	1	30	3%	195	Wise	82	166	49%
131	Northampton	16	56	29%	197	Wythe	20	55	36%
133	Northumberland	2	8	25%	199	York/Poquoson	43	96	45%
135	Nottoway	37	102	36%	510	Alexandria	111	252	44%
137	Orange	20	44	45%	520	Bristol	72	151	48%
139	Page	12	25	48%	540	Charlottesville	102	207	49%
141	Patrick	26	62	42%	550	Chesapeake	327	662	49%
143	Pittsylvania	25	76	33%	590	Danville	92	208	44%
145	Powhatan	10	23	43%	620	Franklin City	34	74	46%
147	Prince Edward	34	68	50%	630	Fredericksburg	52	104	50%
149	Prince George	15	46	33%	640	Galax	20	35	57%
153	Prince William	360	678	53%	650	Hampton	381	816	47%
155	Pulaski	34	71	48%	670	Hopewell	57	185	31%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	135	325	42%
159	Richmond County	4	13	31%	683	Manassas	34	66	52%
161	Roanoke County	73	145	50%	685	Manassas Park	5	17	29%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	38	37%	700	Newport News	453	1,044	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	65	149	44%	710	Norfolk	704	1,338	53%
167	Russell	38	89	43%	720	Norton	10	24	42%
169	Scott	36	79	46%	730	Petersburg	147	297	49%
171	Shenandoah	7	16	44%	740	Portsmouth	219	604	36%
173	Smyth	41	101	41%	750	Radford	16	37	43%
175	Southampton	0	56	0%	760	Richmond City	617	1,587	39%
177	Spotsylvania	89	273	33%	770	Roanoke City	203	561	36%
179	Stafford	74	169	44%	800	Suffolk	111	190	58%
181	Surry	13	24	54%	810	Virginia Beach	255	502	51%
183	Sussex	22	47	47%	830	Williamsburg	0	23	0%
185	Tazewell	50	116	43%	840	Winchester	28	60	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 5,854 and a denominator of 15,933. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	21	78	27%	061	Fauquier	15	41	37%
003	Albemarle	16	53	30%	063	Floyd	6	22	27%
005	Alleghany/Covington	17	50	34%	065	Fluvanna	4	21	19%
007	Amelia	13	31	42%	067	Franklin County	36	109	33%
009	Amherst	18	41	44%	069	Frederick	3	69	4%
011	Appomattox	19	42	45%	071	Giles	2	25	8%
013	Arlington	47	91	52%	073	Gloucester	10	43	23%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	111	223	50%	075	Goochland	13	26	50%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	10	28	36%
019	Bedford County/City	26	91	29%	079	Greene	3	13	23%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	34	88	39%
023	Botetourt	4	17	24%	083	Halifax	20	78	26%
025	Brunswick	31	65	48%	085	Hanover	18	72	25%
027	Buchanan	22	48	46%	087	Henrico	244	780	31%
029	Buckingham	11	30	37%	089	Henry/Martinsville	61	207	29%
031	Campbell	44	132	33%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	18	109	17%	093	Isle of Wight	15	52	29%
035	Carroll	26	46	57%	095	James City	27	89	30%
036	Charles City	2	13	15%	097	King and Queen	2	13	15%
037	Charlotte	20	57	35%	099	King George	7	23	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	173	462	37%	101	King William	8	23	35%
043	Clarke	1	7	14%	103	Lancaster	8	22	36%
045	Craig	0	5	0%	105	Lee	52	121	43%
047	Culpeper	32	76	42%	107	Loudoun	31	110	28%
049	Cumberland	15	34	44%	109	Louisa	20	50	40%
051	Dickensen	7	16	44%	111	Lunenburg	13	33	39%
053	Dinwiddie	12	36	33%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	14	40	35%	115	Mathews	4	20	20%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	222	614	36%	117	Mecklenburg	17	59	29%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	17	32	53%	187	Warren	12	58	21%
121	Montgomery	41	118	35%	191	Washington	34	76	45%
125	Nelson	6	13	46%	193	Westmoreland	13	40	33%
127	New Kent	0	26	0%	195	Wise	64	148	43%
131	Northampton	14	54	26%	197	Wythe	13	47	28%
133	Northumberland	0	6	0%	199	York/Poquoson	32	85	38%
135	Nottoway	22	84	26%	510	Alexandria	78	214	36%
137	Orange	17	40	43%	520	Bristol	62	140	44%
139	Page	7	20	35%	540	Charlottesville	71	175	41%
141	Patrick	16	52	31%	550	Chesapeake	247	575	43%
143	Pittsylvania	20	70	29%	590	Danville	74	187	40%
145	Powhatan	5	18	28%	620	Franklin City	28	67	42%
147	Prince Edward	23	57	40%	630	Fredericksburg	35	87	40%
149	Prince George	11	41	27%	640	Galax	15	30	50%
153	Prince William	230	545	42%	650	Hampton	280	700	40%
155	Pulaski	28	65	43%	670	Hopewell	47	170	28%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	112	301	37%
159	Richmond County	3	12	25%	683	Manassas	21	52	40%
161	Roanoke County	40	112	36%	685	Manassas Park	2	13	15%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	9	33	27%	700	Newport News	349	928	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	113	27%	710	Norfolk	614	1,247	49%
167	Russell	26	77	34%	720	Norton	6	20	30%
169	Scott	27	69	39%	730	Petersburg	112	260	43%
171	Shenandoah	5	14	36%	740	Portsmouth	163	546	30%
173	Smyth	29	89	33%	750	Radford	15	35	43%
175	Southampton	0	54	0%	760	Richmond City	500	1,453	34%
177	Spotsylvania	60	237	25%	770	Roanoke City	129	483	27%
179	Stafford	48	142	34%	800	Suffolk	90	168	54%
181	Surry	8	19	42%	810	Virginia Beach	191	436	44%
183	Sussex	16	41	39%	830	Williamsburg	0	21	0%
185	Tazewell	35	101	35%	840	Winchester	23	55	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 2,056 and a denominator of 2,231. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	6	83%	061	Fauquier	10	11	91%
003	Albemarle	16	17	94%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	3	67%	065	Fluvanna	2	3	67%
007	Amelia	4	4	100%	067	Franklin County	14	15	93%
009	Amherst	7	8	88%	069	Frederick	6	9	67%
011	Appomattox	9	10	90%	071	Giles	5	5	100%
013	Arlington	21	21	100%	073	Gloucester	3	3	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	45	47	96%	075	Goochland	5	6	83%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	6	83%
019	Bedford County/City	13	13	100%	079	Greene	1	2	50%
021	Bland	3	3	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	9	89%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	12	12	100%
025	Brunswick	14	16	88%	085	Hanover	12	14	86%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	80	93	86%
029	Buckingham	8	8	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	27	96%
031	Campbell	19	21	90%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	5	7	71%	093	Isle of Wight	5	6	83%
035	Carroll	5	6	83%	095	James City	9	11	82%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	1	2	50%
037	Charlotte	6	6	100%	099	King George	2	3	67%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59	64	92%	101	King William	6	6	100%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	3	3	100%	105	Lee	17	19	89%
047	Culpeper	13	13	100%	107	Loudoun	41	42	98%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	5	5	100%
051	Dickensen	1	1	100%	111	Lunenburg	3	3	100%
053	Dinwiddie	8	9	89%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	4	75%	115	Mathews	0	1	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	107	113	95%	117	Mecklenburg	6	6	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	12	12	100%
121	Montgomery	17	18	94%	191	Washington	5	6	83%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	4	100%
127	New Kent	1	4	25%	195	Wise	18	18	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	7	8	88%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	11	11	100%
135	Nottoway	15	18	83%	510	Alexandria	33	38	87%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	10	11	91%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	31	32	97%
141	Patrick	10	10	100%	550	Chesapeake	80	87	92%
143	Pittsylvania	5	6	83%	590	Danville	18	21	86%
145	Powhatan	5	5	100%	620	Franklin City	6	7	86%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	17	17	100%
149	Prince George	4	5	80%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	130	133	98%	650	Hampton	101	116	87%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	10	15	67%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	23	24	96%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	13	14	93%
161	Roanoke County	33	33	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	4	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	104	116	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	35	36	97%	710	Norfolk	90	91	99%
167	Russell	12	12	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	9	10	90%	730	Petersburg	35	37	95%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	56	58	97%
173	Smyth	12	12	100%	750	Radford	1	2	50%
175	Southampton	0	2	0%	760	Richmond City	117	134	87%
177	Spotsylvania	29	36	81%	770	Roanoke City	74	78	95%
179	Stafford	26	27	96%	800	Suffolk	21	22	95%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	64	66	97%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	0	2	0%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-12	Nov-11	Change from Nov-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,564	4,828	15%	4,807	16%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,795	2,885	32%	1,658	129%
Applications Approved	2,087	2,228	-6%	2,820	-26%
Cases Closed *	2,260	2,368	-5%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	30,975	32,709	-5%	67,995	-54%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,867	33,610	-5%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,260 closed cases, 800 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 509 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 214 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 138 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-12	Nov-11	Change from Nov-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	537	482	11%	338	59%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	415	283	47%	232	79%
Applications Approved	216	208	4%	81	167%
Cases Closed *	233	217	7%	107	118%
Cases at End of Month	1,647	1,875	-12%	321	413%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,759	1,975	-11%	394	346%

•The database indicates that of the 233 closed cases, 100 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 76 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 26 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 23 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,622	1,621	1,019	2,506	873	522	3,621	372	642	1,298	1,411	1,038	5,354	1,086	426	3,445	1,148	5,958	282
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,219	577	469	883	342	200	1,427	130	294	591	441	483	2,252	587	161	1,416	475	2,387	104
Part. in a Work Activity	10,027	459	368	616	266	132	1,124	108	257	423	340	371	1,684	404	128	1,121	337	1,820	69
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	78%	70%	78%	66%	79%	83%	87%	72%	77%	77%	75%	69%	80%	79%	71%	76%	66%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	121	3	3	7	2	8	15	1	5	1	2	7	15	4	4	15	7	21	1
Participants Employed	6,731	288	207	491	223	101	704	80	161	309	215	218	1,055	301	83	756	245	1,245	49
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	44%	56%	65%	51%	49%	62%	55%	52%	49%	45%	47%	51%	52%	53%	52%	52%	47%
Full Time	5,291	250	181	411	191	80	527	63	101	216	174	150	802	211	73	589	200	1,036	36
Part Time	1,440	38	26	80	32	21	177	17	60	93	41	68	253	90	10	167	45	209	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$7.68	\$7.81	\$8.12	\$8.11	\$8.39	\$9.42	\$8.46	\$8.25	\$7.98	\$7.84	\$7.75	\$8.38	\$8.36	\$8.10	\$8.26	\$8.15	\$8.03	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,037	\$1,089	\$1,133	\$1,123	\$1,138	\$1,229	\$1,137	\$1,003	\$1,022	\$1,062	\$990	\$1,112	\$1,067	\$1,110	\$1,096	\$1,129	\$1,052	\$1,009

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	14,123	685	502	1,197	431	226	1,663	169	306	507	603	497	2,012	506	176	1,535	414	2,567	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW	21,759	966	755	1,601	598	356	2,395	239	493	916	824	780	3,443	890	274	2,435	765	3,860	169
Part. in a Work Activity	18,863	883	674	1,357	544	290	2,120	210	463	783	733	684	2,943	735	246	2,074	656	3,324	144
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	89%	85%	91%	81%	89%	88%	94%	85%	89%	88%	85%	83%	90%	85%	86%	86%	85%
Participants Employed	11,194	492	347	890	389	185	1,220	133	272	502	385	371	1,673	478	150	1,229	417	1,984	77
% of Total Enrolled	51%	51%	46%	56%	65%	52%	51%	56%	55%	55%	47%	48%	49%	54%	55%	50%	55%	51%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$7.90	\$7.92	\$8.16	\$8.19	\$8.33	\$9.72	\$8.58	\$8.52	\$8.00	\$7.95	\$7.88	\$8.49	\$8.61	\$8.12	\$8.33	\$8.19	\$8.14	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,069	\$1,079	\$1,110	\$1,106	\$1,098	\$1,272	\$1,146	\$1,016	\$980	\$1,064	\$986	\$1,095	\$1,062	\$1,048	\$1,068	\$1,118	\$1,050	\$1,038
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	67%	66%	62%	70%	65%	76%	74%	70%	67%	67%	72%	75%	79%	73%	75%	71%	72%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	281,441	17,316	10,614	21,011	8,280	5,438	35,041	3,993	6,105	10,600	12,994	8,133	37,513	8,869	3,718	26,865	9,453	52,942	2,556
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	216,563	13,336	8,913	16,167	6,395	4,051	27,054	3,014	4,714	8,801	10,423	6,325	29,440	6,561	2,787	20,320	7,438	38,933	1,891
Part. in a Work Activity	207,007	12,831	8,548	15,402	6,229	3,831	26,077	2,909	4,600	8,305	10,088	6,056	28,281	6,199	2,614	19,330	7,095	36,786	1,826
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	97%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	145,997	8,357	5,746	10,961	4,440	2,635	18,981	2,026	3,507	6,013	6,386	3,847	19,846	4,310	1,747	14,039	5,066	27,030	1,060
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	64%	68%	69%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$6.26	\$6.37	\$7.14	\$7.20	\$7.40	\$8.72	\$7.51	\$7.44	\$6.65	\$6.43	\$6.83	\$7.40	\$7.97	\$7.05	\$7.08	\$7.05	\$6.90	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$950	\$825	\$863	\$958	\$957	\$989	\$1,155	\$989	\$946	\$860	\$857	\$880	\$988	\$1,021	\$898	\$923	\$950	\$901	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	66%	67%	71%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,621	162	62	313	220	158	248	409	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	577	47	12	151	78	64	79	130	16
Participants in a Work Activity	459	39	10	129	69	43	65	92	12
% of Enrolled	80%	83%	83%	85%	88%	67%	82%	71%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	288	19	5	63	27	31	47	86	10
% of Enrolled	50%	40%	42%	42%	35%	48%	59%	66%	63%
Full Time	250	14	5	52	23	27	41	80	8
Part Time	38	5	0	11	4	4	6	6	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.48	\$7.51	\$7.54	\$7.56	\$7.72	\$7.66	\$7.88	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$989	\$1,205	\$1,000	\$954	\$1,030	\$1,009	\$1,112	\$1,024

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	685	68	23	136	88	60	124	168	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	966	75	27	215	136	107	142	237	27
Participants in a Work Activity	883	68	24	205	122	98	139	207	20
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	89%	95%	90%	92%	98%	87%	74%
Participants Employed	492	26	11	109	51	56	83	140	16
% of Total Enrolled	51%	35%	41%	51%	38%	52%	58%	59%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.51	\$7.57	\$7.69	\$8.04	\$7.83	\$8.27	\$7.95	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$992	\$1,159	\$1,009	\$1,061	\$1,061	\$1,139	\$1,091	\$1,045
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	76%	55%	70%	67%	71%	63%	67%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,316	1,686	1,193	2,454	2,380	1,699	3,308	4,038	558
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,336	1,280	928	1,884	1,917	1,248	2,525	3,114	440
Participants in a Work Activity	12,831	1,195	876	1,869	1,821	1,226	2,437	3,004	403
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,357	678	558	1,225	1,164	728	1,702	1,974	328
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	67%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.26	\$6.41	\$6.29	\$6.04	\$6.44	\$6.56	\$6.17	\$6.27	\$6.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$825	\$838	\$835	\$794	\$854	\$886	\$815	\$824	\$751
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,019	16	119	52	195	199	107	270	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	469	4	52	18	77	74	33	177	34
Participants in a Work Activity	368	2	46	15	63	52	21	147	22
% of Enrolled	78%	N/A	88%	83%	82%	70%	64%	83%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	207	1	35	11	44	26	15	56	19
% of Enrolled	44%	N/A	67%	61%	57%	35%	45%	32%	56%
Full Time	181	1	32	11	42	23	14	44	14
Part Time	26	0	3	0	2	3	1	12	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	N/A	\$7.67	\$7.59	\$7.93	\$7.72	\$7.64	\$7.94	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	N/A	\$1,098	\$1,127	\$1,163	\$1,076	\$1,052	\$1,046	\$1,023

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	502	8	45	21	109	88	50	150	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	755	8	78	31	148	113	49	276	52
Participants in a Work Activity	674	4	72	30	124	104	47	247	46
% of Total Enrolled	89%	50%	92%	97%	84%	92%	96%	89%	88%
Participants Employed	347	3	42	17	72	55	29	101	28
% of Total Enrolled	46%	38%	54%	55%	49%	49%	59%	37%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$9.25	\$7.83	\$7.76	\$8.29	\$7.87	\$7.63	\$7.86	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,419	\$1,114	\$1,105	\$1,220	\$1,084	\$1,028	\$1,014	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	50%	78%	71%	57%	71%	60%	65%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,614	262	1,420	674	1,858	1,833	1,575	2,255	737
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,913	198	1,175	547	1,566	1,522	1,250	2,009	646
Participants in a Work Activity	8,548	184	1,086	510	1,509	1,483	1,227	1,935	614
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,746	118	783	361	915	968	837	1,357	407
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	67%	66%	58%	64%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.37	\$6.28	\$6.19	\$6.40	\$6.22	\$6.61	\$6.36	\$6.44	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$863	\$864	\$832	\$856	\$856	\$894	\$842	\$872	\$887
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	59%	66%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,506	107	43	13	63	227	59	319	192	252	69	1,162
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	883	40	15	1	22	114	18	97	59	99	32	386
Participants in a Work Activity	616	34	8	1	20	68	15	79	52	77	28	234
% of Enrolled	70%	85%	53%	100%	91%	60%	83%	81%	88%	78%	88%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	1
Participants Employed	491	21	8	1	12	44	8	70	39	62	23	203
% of Enrolled	56%	53%	53%	100%	55%	39%	44%	72%	66%	63%	72%	53%
Full Time	411	14	6	1	11	32	6	62	38	49	18	174
Part Time	80	7	2	0	1	12	2	8	1	13	5	29
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.21	\$8.07	\$8.00	\$8.10	\$8.07	\$7.83	\$8.05	\$7.93	\$8.23	\$7.55	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,133	\$1,063	\$1,048	\$1,039	\$1,161	\$1,072	\$1,043	\$1,101	\$1,182	\$1,096	\$941	\$1,194

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,197	53	9	6	26	113	36	131	78	114	33	598
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,601	65	24	4	42	176	44	188	106	162	50	740
Participants in a Work Activity	1,357	58	15	4	36	156	39	164	97	139	45	604
% of Total Enrolled	85%	89%	63%	100%	86%	89%	89%	87%	92%	86%	90%	82%
Participants Employed	890	35	10	1	22	80	18	130	66	108	32	388
% of Total Enrolled	56%	54%	42%	25%	52%	45%	41%	69%	62%	67%	64%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.17	\$8.08	\$8.00	\$8.39	\$8.03	\$7.92	\$8.06	\$7.96	\$8.57	\$7.78	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,013	\$985	\$1,032	\$1,222	\$1,036	\$1,026	\$1,100	\$1,119	\$1,136	\$977	\$1,141
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	43%	83%	60%	43%	66%	53%	61%	58%	65%	75%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,011	1,163	353	97	544	1,853	537	2,975	1,839	2,300	822	8,528
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,167	883	223	55	399	1,426	392	2,430	1,459	1,844	644	6,412
Participants in a Work Activity	15,402	848	196	54	385	1,278	383	2,392	1,403	1,737	619	6,107
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,961	622	99	28	262	878	228	1,908	929	1,369	452	4,186
% of Total Enrolled	68%	70%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$6.89	\$7.35	\$7.24	\$7.02	\$7.05	\$7.09	\$6.97	\$6.82	\$7.64	\$7.02	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$839	\$935	\$1,039	\$937	\$950	\$954	\$937	\$944	\$1,043	\$907	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	58%	63%	55%	58%	53%	64%	54%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	873	517	3	1	74	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	342	207	0	1	20	114
Participants in a Work Activity	266	165	0	1	12	88
% of Enrolled	78%	80%	N/A	100%	60%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	223	141	0	1	11	70
% of Enrolled	65%	68%	N/A	100%	55%	61%
Full Time	191	123	0	1	9	58
Part Time	32	18	0	0	2	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.95	N/A	\$8.00	\$7.74	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,123	\$1,100	N/A	\$1,386	\$958	\$1,191

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	431	244	0	3	23	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	598	341	2	1	43	211
Participants in a Work Activity	544	317	2	1	29	195
% of Total Enrolled	91%	93%	100%	100%	67%	92%
Participants Employed	389	221	1	1	23	143
% of Total Enrolled	65%	65%	50%	100%	53%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.04	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.89	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$1,058	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$987	\$1,192
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	100%	N/A	69%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,280	4,440	79	22	724	3,015
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,395	3,487	50	8	536	2,314
Participants in a Work Activity	6,229	3,431	50	8	492	2,248
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,440	2,333	32	6	380	1,689
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	64%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.20	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.75	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$961	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$976
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	522	19	170	63	42	126	102	282	188	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	200	5	63	29	10	46	47	104	58	46
Participants in a Work Activity	132	2	32	25	7	27	39	69	41	28
% of Enrolled	66%	40%	51%	86%	70%	59%	83%	66%	71%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	1	4	0	2	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	101	2	30	18	7	20	24	49	28	21
% of Enrolled	51%	40%	48%	62%	70%	43%	51%	47%	48%	46%
Full Time	80	1	22	17	6	14	20	36	21	15
Part Time	21	1	8	1	1	6	4	13	7	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$8.00	\$8.79	\$8.27	\$8.38	\$7.95	\$8.37	\$7.88	\$8.07	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,138	\$693	\$1,190	\$1,171	\$1,297	\$1,031	\$1,125	\$1,009	\$1,051	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	226	6	77	26	19	40	58	127	88	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	356	8	90	52	30	81	95	169	109	60
Participants in a Work Activity	290	6	69	45	23	61	86	144	93	51
% of Total Enrolled	81%	75%	77%	87%	77%	75%	91%	85%	85%	85%
Participants Employed	185	3	44	34	13	38	53	77	45	32
% of Total Enrolled	52%	38%	49%	65%	43%	47%	56%	46%	41%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.25	\$8.81	\$7.86	\$8.50	\$8.22	\$8.28	\$8.14	\$8.27	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,098	\$960	\$1,166	\$1,031	\$1,274	\$1,030	\$1,103	\$1,038	\$1,094	\$962
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	67%	64%	70%	75%	67%	61%	73%	63%	87%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,438	210	1,191	827	667	1,292	1,251	2,556	1,625	931
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,051	129	875	673	407	977	990	1,891	1,176	715
Participants in a Work Activity	3,831	121	816	657	394	903	940	1,826	1,147	679
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,635	75	502	469	244	664	681	1,060	602	458
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.46	\$7.77	\$7.35	\$6.79	\$7.47	\$7.32	\$6.78	\$6.83	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$989	\$984	\$1,012	\$993	\$934	\$1,010	\$968	\$853	\$857	\$847
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	71%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,621	249	1,458	224	1,089	409	155	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,427	76	502	126	519	152	46	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,124	63	407	87	398	132	33	4
% of Enrolled	79%	83%	81%	69%	77%	87%	72%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	7	0	3	0	5	0
Participants Employed	704	34	249	55	267	77	22	0
% of Enrolled	49%	45%	50%	44%	51%	51%	48%	0%
Full Time	527	18	187	28	209	68	17	N/A
Part Time	177	16	62	27	58	9	5	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.42	\$9.41	\$9.71	\$9.41	\$9.21	\$9.41	\$8.79	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,229	\$1,044	\$1,284	\$1,130	\$1,210	\$1,299	\$1,139	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,663	96	636	116	549	188	63	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,395	140	913	207	813	224	80	18
Participants in a Work Activity	2,120	127	828	172	703	203	71	16
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	91%	83%	86%	91%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	1,220	55	450	111	450	116	36	2
% of Total Enrolled	51%	39%	49%	54%	55%	52%	45%	11%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.72	\$9.97	\$9.91	\$10.20	\$9.49	\$9.47	\$9.10	\$11.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,272	\$1,210	\$1,320	\$1,279	\$1,237	\$1,258	\$1,147	\$1,818
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	65%	75%	82%	76%	84%	70%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	35,041	2,932	12,435	2,497	11,235	3,997	1,504	441
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	27,054	2,297	9,400	1,982	8,748	3,148	1,159	320
Participants in a Work Activity	26,077	2,276	9,045	1,894	8,409	3,011	1,125	317
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,981	1,640	6,316	1,530	6,311	2,212	745	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$8.32	\$8.77	\$9.29	\$8.80	\$8.41	\$8.24	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,070	\$1,157	\$1,201	\$1,193	\$1,079	\$1,106	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	372	166	86	30	80	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	130	57	26	11	29	7
Participants in a Work Activity	108	49	23	7	27	2
% of Enrolled	83%	86%	88%	64%	93%	29%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	80	38	17	4	21	0
% of Enrolled	62%	67%	65%	36%	72%	0%
Full Time	63	31	12	1	19	N/A
Part Time	17	7	5	3	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.67	\$8.47	\$8.38	\$8.07	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,137	\$1,150	\$1,133	\$974	\$1,147	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	169	87	43	17	15	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	239	103	60	20	49	7
Participants in a Work Activity	210	96	56	13	42	3
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	93%	65%	86%	43%
Participants Employed	133	62	36	5	30	0
% of Total Enrolled	56%	60%	60%	25%	61%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.58	\$8.98	\$8.39	\$8.08	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,113	\$1,275	\$1,138	\$1,041	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	64%	67%	93%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,993	1,543	1,181	371	795	103
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,014	1,123	906	274	644	67
Participants in a Work Activity	2,909	1,081	898	264	603	63
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	96%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	2,026	728	624	166	468	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	61%	73%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.51	\$7.48	\$7.88	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$989	\$970	\$1,030	\$998	\$962	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	69%	61%	60%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	642	125	61	39	96	38	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	294	42	23	17	50	11	151
Participants in a Work Activity	257	39	18	13	37	9	141
% of Enrolled	87%	93%	78%	76%	74%	82%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	1	3
Participants Employed	161	26	6	12	24	7	86
% of Enrolled	55%	62%	26%	71%	48%	64%	57%
Full Time	101	21	5	4	14	7	50
Part Time	60	5	1	8	10	0	36
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.40	\$8.17	\$8.35	\$8.00	\$8.21	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$1,182	\$1,022	\$886	\$983	\$1,338	\$942

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	306	63	26	22	57	21	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	493	75	35	26	81	25	251
Participants in a Work Activity	463	72	30	23	71	20	247
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	86%	88%	88%	80%	98%
Participants Employed	272	44	12	15	41	9	151
% of Total Enrolled	55%	59%	34%	58%	51%	36%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.52	\$8.82	\$8.02	\$8.32	\$8.52	\$8.85	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$1,160	\$855	\$999	\$998	\$1,061	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	76%	77%	54%	58%	89%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,105	1,414	280	472	967	317	2,655
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,714	1,026	194	338	713	224	2,219
Participants in a Work Activity	4,600	1001	187	320	684	219	2,189
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	96%	95%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,507	744	119	256	440	137	1,811
% of Total Enrolled	74%	73%	61%	76%	62%	61%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$7.84	\$7.72	\$7.37	\$7.66	\$7.79	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,010	\$1,043	\$916	\$984	\$1,016	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	57%	63%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,298	100	87	214	284	613
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	591	36	47	82	160	266
Participants in a Work Activity	423	28	37	67	113	178
% of Enrolled	72%	78%	79%	82%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	309	24	20	48	70	147
% of Enrolled	52%	67%	43%	59%	44%	55%
Full Time	216	20	20	29	47	100
Part Time	93	4	0	19	23	47
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$8.01	\$7.86	\$8.04	\$8.01	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$1,077	\$1,131	\$966	\$1,022	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	507	57	43	96	84	227
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	916	60	71	128	254	403
Participants in a Work Activity	783	52	66	102	228	335
% of Total Enrolled	85%	87%	93%	80%	90%	83%
Participants Employed	502	38	39	72	133	220
% of Total Enrolled	55%	63%	55%	56%	52%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.96	\$7.91	\$8.08	\$8.12	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$993	\$1,124	\$925	\$980	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	61%	83%	61%	69%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,600	996	826	2,141	2,466	4,171
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,801	799	724	1,713	2,118	3,447
Participants in a Work Activity	8,305	759	710	1,590	1,996	3,250
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,013	534	510	1,113	1,454	2,402
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.65	\$6.86	\$6.31	\$6.73	\$6.86	\$6.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$860	\$875	\$873	\$858	\$900	\$833
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,411	187	447	105	217	455
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	441	49	159	65	43	125
Participants in a Work Activity	340	26	136	46	30	102
% of Enrolled	77%	53%	86%	71%	70%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	215	20	90	33	18	54
% of Enrolled	49%	41%	57%	51%	42%	43%
Full Time	174	19	70	26	15	44
Part Time	41	1	20	7	3	10
Average Wage⁶	\$7.84	\$7.46	\$7.94	\$7.80	\$7.39	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,062	\$1,068	\$1,075	\$1,091	\$956	\$1,057

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	603	76	184	46	98	199
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	824	86	278	103	108	249
Participants in a Work Activity	733	67	245	87	99	235
% of Total Enrolled	89%	78%	88%	84%	92%	94%
Participants Employed	385	36	151	58	43	97
% of Total Enrolled	47%	42%	54%	56%	40%	39%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.95	\$7.88	\$7.94	\$7.71	\$7.82	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,064	\$1,055	\$1,067	\$1,033	\$1,061	\$1,082
Three Months Employment⁸	67%	69%	71%	64%	46%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,994	2,005	3,509	1,276	2,132	4,072
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,423	1,661	2,685	1,109	1,630	3,338
Participants in a Work Activity	10,088	1,599	2,578	1,043	1,604	3,264
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,386	935	1,706	745	876	2,124
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.43	\$6.39	\$6.84	\$6.32	\$6.43	\$6.18
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$857	\$842	\$941	\$823	\$869	\$806
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	59%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,038	63	120	66	84	73	150	66	149	127	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	483	39	55	27	52	35	68	24	46	78	59
Participants in a Work Activity	371	30	45	22	49	29	54	15	33	45	49
% of Enrolled	77%	77%	82%	81%	94%	83%	79%	63%	72%	58%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	218	22	35	10	18	18	27	9	21	34	24
% of Enrolled	45%	56%	64%	37%	35%	51%	40%	38%	46%	44%	41%
Full Time	150	15	25	9	13	11	18	8	10	24	17
Part Time	68	7	10	1	5	7	9	1	11	10	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$8.11	\$7.59	\$8.13	\$7.82	\$8.31	\$7.16	\$7.64	\$7.73	\$7.67	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$990	\$1,004	\$976	\$1,115	\$976	\$969	\$948	\$1,169	\$910	\$989	\$1,028

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	497	35	62	36	47	29	62	34	63	65	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	780	58	97	55	73	51	96	47	79	119	105
Participants in a Work Activity	684	47	85	52	70	49	86	40	69	92	94
% of Total Enrolled	88%	81%	88%	95%	96%	96%	90%	85%	87%	77%	90%
Participants Employed	371	31	48	25	27	26	42	21	40	63	48
% of Total Enrolled	48%	53%	49%	45%	37%	51%	44%	45%	51%	53%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.36	\$7.73	\$8.20	\$7.72	\$8.61	\$7.55	\$7.70	\$7.68	\$7.78	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$991	\$971	\$1,189	\$929	\$1,066	\$877	\$1,083	\$898	\$978	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	89%	90%	71%	61%	71%	81%	44%	62%	77%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,133	529	1,013	785	712	547	950	375	1,181	977	1,064
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,325	391	811	596	525	402	757	292	926	759	866
Participants in a Work Activity	6,056	368	807	563	489	384	724	287	885	703	846
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	100%	94%	93%	96%	96%	98%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,847	233	459	337	269	234	438	145	615	511	606
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	58%	58%	50%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$7.49	\$6.30	\$7.06	\$7.26	\$7.36	\$6.76	\$6.48	\$6.61	\$6.84	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$960	\$831	\$947	\$926	\$953	\$822	\$813	\$839	\$902	\$883
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	65%	67%	62%	57%	58%	64%	61%	67%	69%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,354	1,038	40	154	1,418	49	2,655
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,252	374	27	71	746	11	1,023
Participants in a Work Activity	1,684	287	24	43	514	8	808
% of Enrolled	75%	77%	89%	61%	69%	73%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	1	0	2	1	2	9
Participants Employed	1,055	190	12	32	383	5	433
% of Enrolled	47%	51%	44%	45%	51%	45%	42%
Full Time	802	161	7	19	280	4	331
Part Time	253	29	5	13	103	1	102
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.55	\$7.68	\$8.30	\$8.58	\$6.51	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,199	\$981	\$1,025	\$1,133	\$682	\$1,072

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,012	415	12	73	486	23	1,003
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,443	605	35	100	1,081	28	1,594
Participants in a Work Activity	2,943	526	33	86	884	27	1,387
% of Total Enrolled	85%	87%	94%	86%	82%	96%	87%
Participants Employed	1,673	311	15	50	582	12	703
% of Total Enrolled	49%	51%	43%	50%	54%	43%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.77	\$7.65	\$8.69	\$8.59	\$9.30	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,172	\$899	\$1,091	\$1,096	\$1,294	\$1,064
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	77%	77%	60%	79%	78%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	37,513	7,781	283	1315	9,345	369	18,420
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,440	5,915	215	944	7,605	267	14,494
Participants in a Work Activity	28,281	5,707	205	876	7,195	251	14,047
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	19,846	3,739	145	601	5,108	145	10,108
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.67	\$7.11	\$8.23	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$1,027	\$894	\$1,052	\$1,022	\$965	\$959
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	65%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,086	183	52	389	298	164
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	587	107	18	219	151	92
Participants in a Work Activity	404	49	13	144	120	78
% of Enrolled	69%	46%	72%	66%	79%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	1	1	0	1
Participants Employed	301	39	11	114	76	61
% of Enrolled	51%	36%	61%	52%	50%	66%
Full Time	211	29	9	82	55	36
Part Time	90	10	2	32	21	25
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.21	\$8.79	\$8.11	\$8.83	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,083	\$1,214	\$1,046	\$1,110	\$1,014

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	506	72	28	174	147	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	890	139	31	316	248	156
Participants in a Work Activity	735	82	29	275	210	139
% of Total Enrolled	83%	59%	94%	87%	85%	89%
Participants Employed	478	55	14	186	127	96
% of Total Enrolled	54%	40%	45%	59%	51%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.61	\$8.19	\$8.62	\$8.27	\$9.27	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,066	\$1,054	\$1,017	\$1,171	\$994
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	88%	69%	88%	77%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,869	1,350	529	2,706	2,569	1,715
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,561	944	359	2,119	1,688	1,451
Participants in a Work Activity	6,199	899	342	1,951	1,612	1,395
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,310	568	217	1,440	994	1,091
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	60%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.60	\$7.43	\$8.00	\$8.55	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$959	\$922	\$1,026	\$1,124	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	69%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	426	81	25	50	43	28	59	35	33	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	161	31	8	22	12	10	34	8	11	25
Participants in a Work Activity	128	20	5	20	10	7	33	7	7	19
% of Enrolled	80%	65%	63%	91%	83%	70%	97%	88%	64%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	83	14	3	10	9	5	23	0	4	15
% of Enrolled	52%	45%	38%	45%	75%	50%	68%	0%	36%	60%
Full Time	73	12	3	8	8	4	21	N/A	3	14
Part Time	10	2	0	2	1	1	2	N/A	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$9.14	\$8.30	\$8.00	\$8.13	\$8.38	\$7.63	N/A	\$7.85	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,309	\$1,278	\$1,067	\$1,085	\$1,171	\$1,082	N/A	\$955	\$996

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	176	34	10	22	12	8	39	12	13	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	274	57	10	36	21	18	53	17	19	43
Participants in a Work Activity	246	50	9	34	20	15	52	14	15	37
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	90%	94%	95%	83%	98%	82%	79%	86%
Participants Employed	150	30	5	19	13	11	30	6	10	26
% of Total Enrolled	55%	53%	50%	53%	62%	61%	57%	35%	53%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.68	\$8.05	\$8.49	\$8.20	\$7.90	\$7.66	\$7.62	\$7.56	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$988	\$1,126	\$1,172	\$1,102	\$1,084	\$1,031	\$951	\$908	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	78%	83%	92%	46%	100%	87%	50%	60%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,718	574	277	339	411	210	443	322	334	808
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,787	458	187	279	323	144	330	265	203	598
Participants in a Work Activity	2,614	436	177	265	304	138	307	256	190	541
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	94%	96%	93%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,747	308	95	163	215	94	215	149	124	384
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	58%	67%	65%	65%	56%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$7.03	\$7.46	\$6.84	\$7.04	\$7.08	\$6.68	\$6.96	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$898	\$868	\$872	\$983	\$860	\$900	\$910	\$858	\$919	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	48%	61%	51%	69%	71%	53%	39%	64%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,445	23	96	154	45	131	1,251	1,708	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,416	8	35	69	20	72	522	674	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,121	5	23	55	13	54	420	543	8
% of Enrolled	79%	63%	66%	80%	65%	75%	80%	81%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	0	3	0	4	6	2	0
Participants Employed	756	3	17	42	9	34	263	380	8
% of Enrolled	53%	38%	49%	61%	45%	47%	50%	56%	50%
Full Time	589	2	16	28	7	14	198	318	6
Part Time	167	1	1	14	2	20	65	62	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$6.35	\$7.81	\$8.27	\$9.81	\$8.23	\$8.36	\$8.20	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$912	\$1,027	\$1,018	\$1,357	\$896	\$1,094	\$1,122	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,535	4	47	77	11	51	514	807	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,435	10	57	117	30	112	810	1,273	26
Participants in a Work Activity	2,074	8	43	95	19	98	720	1,075	16
% of Total Enrolled	85%	80%	75%	81%	63%	88%	89%	84%	62%
Participants Employed	1,229	6	26	67	12	54	434	617	13
% of Total Enrolled	50%	60%	46%	57%	40%	48%	54%	48%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$7.39	\$7.84	\$8.74	\$9.90	\$8.39	\$8.27	\$8.31	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,128	\$964	\$1,082	\$1,373	\$891	\$1,035	\$1,106	\$1,023
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	67%	57%	67%	82%	70%	79%	74%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,865	165	1,084	1,155	347	1,120	9,347	13,256	391
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,320	120	768	916	248	852	6,923	10,207	286
Participants in a Work Activity	19,330	113	710	853	235	817	6,733	9,604	265
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	92%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	14,039	68	500	634	139	530	4,815	7,154	199
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.12	\$6.88	\$7.50	\$7.59	\$7.32	\$7.08	\$7.03	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$923	\$944	\$851	\$917	\$1,033	\$895	\$918	\$932	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	58%	61%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,148	122	85	49	66	313	513
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	475	15	35	24	32	165	204
Participants in a Work Activity	337	13	23	20	27	97	157
% of Enrolled	71%	87%	66%	83%	84%	59%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	3	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	245	10	14	10	19	71	121
% of Enrolled	52%	67%	40%	42%	59%	43%	59%
Full Time	200	9	9	8	17	46	111
Part Time	45	1	5	2	2	25	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.71	\$8.59	\$7.90	\$8.10	\$7.96	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$1,406	\$1,138	\$995	\$1,202	\$998	\$1,182

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	414	48	38	17	26	112	173
Total Enrolled in VIEW	765	41	62	43	55	238	326
Participants in a Work Activity	656	40	49	36	46	190	295
% of Total Enrolled	86%	98%	79%	84%	84%	80%	90%
Participants Employed	417	29	28	19	30	119	192
% of Total Enrolled	55%	71%	45%	44%	55%	50%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.33	\$8.86	\$8.05	\$8.35	\$7.86	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,118	\$1,271	\$1,154	\$867	\$1,199	\$1,022	\$1,160
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	63%	62%	80%	69%	68%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,453	1,209	854	377	752	2,368	3,893
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,438	810	648	310	609	1,921	3,140
Participants in a Work Activity	7,095	806	560	296	585	1,833	3,015
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,066	537	389	218	398	1,306	2,218
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$6.94	\$7.54	\$7.26	\$7.03	\$6.92	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$950	\$969	\$1,000	\$873	\$958	\$924	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	58%	59%	58%	63%	64%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through November 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/12 and Work Activity as of 11/30/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,958	119	120	1,021	123	2,126	1,094	396	959
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,387	36	38	399	45	1,007	391	133	338
Participants in a Work Activity	1,820	26	23	317	35	761	297	98	263
% of Enrolled	76%	72%	61%	79%	78%	76%	76%	74%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	0	1	0	8	8	2	2
Participants Employed	1,245	17	21	221	24	529	167	82	184
% of Enrolled	52%	47%	55%	55%	53%	53%	43%	62%	54%
Full Time	1,036	13	12	177	16	476	129	67	146
Part Time	209	4	9	44	8	53	38	15	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.39	\$7.21	\$8.10	\$8.34	\$8.00	\$7.97	\$7.91	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,087	\$894	\$1,083	\$1,156	\$1,037	\$1,070	\$1,035	\$1,053

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,567	49	25	413	73	916	421	160	510
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,860	66	48	681	86	1,496	667	206	610
Participants in a Work Activity	3,324	57	46	609	80	1,260	567	185	520
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	96%	89%	93%	84%	85%	90%	85%
Participants Employed	1,984	33	27	376	39	780	297	119	313
% of Total Enrolled	51%	50%	56%	55%	45%	52%	45%	58%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$8.20	\$7.85	\$8.17	\$8.78	\$8.06	\$8.07	\$8.03	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$1,021	\$944	\$1,064	\$1,233	\$1,035	\$1,061	\$1,023	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	58%	58%	75%	54%	74%	69%	77%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	52,942	1,203	995	8,529	843	17,012	8,562	3,333	12,465
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	38,933	867	796	6,268	674	12,403	6,794	2,608	8,523
Participants in a Work Activity	36,786	834	762	5,965	663	11,694	6,386	2,579	7,903
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,030	595	462	4,524	436	8,678	4,817	1,921	5,597
% of Total Enrolled	69%	69%	58%	72%	65%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.92	\$6.66	\$7.08	\$6.61	\$6.80	\$6.78	\$6.73	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$901	\$912	\$829	\$932	\$833	\$867	\$905	\$875	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	41.3%	4.13	100	\$8.27	2.16	46	62.5%	3.44	87	3.24	98
003	Albemarle	58.7%	5.87	25	\$8.82	3.33	15	76.3%	5.86	38	5.02	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	53.8%	5.38	42	\$8.17	1.95	58	42.9%	0.00	118	2.44	113
007	Amelia	53.4%	5.34	49	\$8.36	2.35	37	88.9%	8.06	7	5.25	12
009	Amherst	63.3%	6.33	9	\$7.96	1.50	78	60.6%	3.11	96	3.65	79
011	Appomattox	54.9%	5.49	37	\$7.91	1.40	85	83.3%	7.08	15	4.66	27
013	Arlington	39.3%	3.93	106	\$9.97	5.76	3	64.8%	3.84	81	4.51	33
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	64.8%	6.48	7	\$8.04	1.67	71	69.6%	4.68	60	4.28	44
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	68	\$7.25	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	1	5.00	16
019	Bedford County/City	56.3%	5.63	32	\$8.08	1.76	63	61.3%	3.23	92	3.54	85
021	Bland	37.5%	3.75	108	\$9.25	4.24	10	50.0%	1.25	112	3.08	105
023	Botetourt	41.7%	4.17	99	\$8.08	1.76	64	83.3%	7.08	16	4.34	43
025	Brunswick	49.5%	4.95	74	\$7.73	1.02	104	90.0%	8.25	5	4.74	26
027	Buchanan	34.7%	3.47	115	\$7.51	0.55	117	76.5%	5.88	36	3.30	95
029	Buckingham	45.5%	4.55	84	\$8.20	2.01	54	71.4%	5.00	47	3.85	67
031	Campbell	52.4%	5.24	57	\$8.12	1.84	62	69.0%	4.57	63	3.88	63
033	Caroline	39.6%	3.96	105	\$8.19	1.99	57	87.5%	7.81	10	4.59	31
035	Carroll	53.8%	5.38	43	\$7.83	1.23	96	78.4%	6.22	26	4.28	46
036	Charles City	60.0%	6.00	18	\$7.39	0.30	118	66.7%	4.17	70	3.49	87
037	Charlotte	37.0%	3.70	111	\$7.72	1.00	105	60.9%	3.15	95	2.62	111
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51.4%	5.14	61	\$8.77	3.22	18	76.9%	5.95	34	4.77	24
043	Clarke	37.5%	3.75	109	\$8.25	2.12	51	66.7%	4.17	71	3.35	93
045	Craig	25.0%	2.50	117	\$8.00	1.59	76	60.0%	3.00	97	2.36	115
047	Culpeper	60.2%	6.02	16	\$8.58	2.82	25	78.0%	6.15	28	5.00	17
049	Cumberland	51.0%	5.10	64	\$8.61	2.88	23	70.6%	4.85	52	4.28	45
051	Dickensen	40.7%	4.07	102	\$7.57	0.68	114	54.6%	2.05	108	2.27	116
053	Dinwiddie	70.7%	7.07	2	\$8.33	2.29	40	62.5%	3.44	88	4.27	48
057	Essex	52.6%	5.26	53	\$8.68	3.03	21	78.3%	6.20	27	4.83	23
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	49.3%	4.93	75	\$9.91	5.64	4	75.2%	5.66	40	5.41	9
061	Fauquier	60.0%	6.00	19	\$8.98	3.67	12	63.6%	3.64	84	4.43	37
063	Floyd	52.4%	5.24	56	\$8.39	2.42	34	43.5%	0.11	117	2.59	112
065	Fluvanna	34.3%	3.43	116	\$8.02	1.63	75	76.9%	5.96	32	3.67	77
067	Franklin County	45.5%	4.55	85	\$8.03	1.65	73	66.2%	4.08	77	3.43	90
069	Frederick	48.9%	4.89	76	\$8.81	3.31	16	63.6%	3.64	85	3.94	59
071	Giles	40.9%	4.09	101	\$7.92	1.42	84	52.9%	1.76	111	2.42	114
073	Gloucester	45.6%	4.56	83	\$7.84	1.25	95	56.5%	2.39	107	2.73	110
075	Goochland	42.9%	4.29	96	\$7.65	0.85	111	76.9%	5.96	33	3.70	72
077	Grayson	54.8%	5.48	38	\$7.76	1.08	102	71.4%	5.00	48	3.85	66

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	57.7%	5.77	28	\$8.32	2.27	41	53.9%	1.92	110	3.32	94
081	Greensville/Emporia	43.8%	4.38	94	\$7.55	0.64	116	80.7%	6.61	22	3.87	64
083	Halifax	41.9%	4.19	98	\$7.88	1.33	88	68.8%	4.53	65	3.35	92
085	Hanover	50.0%	5.00	69	\$8.69	3.05	20	60.0%	3.00	98	3.68	74
087	Henrico	53.8%	5.38	45	\$8.59	2.84	24	78.7%	6.28	25	4.83	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	54.3%	5.43	41	\$7.94	1.46	82	71.0%	4.92	50	3.94	60
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.59	77	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.79	4
093	Isle of Wight	50.0%	5.00	70	\$8.20	2.01	55	58.3%	2.71	102	3.24	99
095	James City	57.3%	5.73	29	\$8.74	3.16	19	66.7%	4.17	72	4.35	42
097	King and Queen	50.0%	5.00	71	\$8.05	1.69	69	83.3%	7.08	17	4.59	29
099	King George	45.2%	4.52	87	\$8.62	2.90	22	69.2%	4.61	61	4.01	57
101	King William	52.8%	5.28	52	\$8.49	2.63	31	91.7%	8.54	4	5.48	7
103	Lancaster	61.9%	6.19	11	\$8.20	2.01	56	46.2%	0.58	114	2.93	109
105	Lee	50.7%	5.07	65	\$7.69	0.93	108	70.0%	4.75	59	3.58	82
107	Loudoun	53.6%	5.36	46	\$10.20	6.25	2	82.4%	6.92	19	6.18	2
109	Louisa	50.6%	5.06	67	\$8.52	2.69	28	57.6%	2.58	105	3.44	89
111	Lunenburg	44.7%	4.47	90	\$7.70	0.95	107	44.4%	0.28	116	1.90	118
113	Madison	25.0%	2.50	118	\$8.39	2.42	35	66.7%	4.17	73	3.03	106
115	Mathews	61.1%	6.11	14	\$7.90	1.38	86	100.0%	10.00	2	5.83	3
117	Mecklenburg	50.6%	5.06	66	\$7.68	0.91	109	62.0%	3.35	89	3.11	104
119	Middlesex	56.6%	5.66	30	\$7.66	0.87	110	87.0%	7.72	11	4.75	25
121	Montgomery	69.1%	6.91	3	\$8.06	1.72	67	61.0%	3.18	94	3.94	61
125	Nelson	36.0%	3.60	113	\$8.85	3.39	14	88.9%	8.06	8	5.02	15
127	New Kent	40.0%	4.00	103	\$9.90	5.61	5	81.8%	6.82	20	5.48	8
131	Northampton	53.3%	5.33	50	\$7.96	1.50	79	86.7%	7.67	12	4.83	21
133	Northumberland	35.3%	3.53	114	\$7.62	0.78	113	50.0%	1.25	113	1.85	119
135	Nottoway	52.9%	5.29	51	\$7.78	1.12	100	77.1%	5.99	31	4.14	53
137	Orange	61.2%	6.12	13	\$8.08	1.76	65	92.9%	8.75	3	5.54	6
139	Page	65.4%	6.54	6	\$7.86	1.29	91	70.4%	4.81	56	4.22	49
141	Patrick	56.3%	5.63	31	\$7.71	0.97	106	63.8%	3.67	83	3.43	91
143	Pittsylvania	39.8%	3.98	104	\$7.82	1.21	98	46.0%	0.54	115	1.91	117
145	Powhatan	42.9%	4.29	97	\$9.30	4.34	8	77.8%	6.11	29	4.91	20
147	Prince Edward	45.7%	4.57	82	\$7.87	1.31	89	68.9%	4.56	64	3.48	88
149	Prince George	45.2%	4.52	88	\$8.86	3.41	13	61.9%	3.33	90	3.75	71
153	Prince William	55.4%	5.54	35	\$9.49	4.75	6	76.4%	5.86	37	5.38	10
155	Pulaski	62.3%	6.23	10	\$7.96	1.50	80	58.5%	2.74	101	3.49	86
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	52.6%	5.26	54	\$7.56	0.66	115	60.0%	3.00	99	2.97	107
161	Roanoke County	66.7%	6.67	5	\$8.57	2.80	27	64.8%	3.85	80	4.44	36
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	53.5%	5.35	48	\$7.89	1.36	87	90.0%	8.25	6	4.98	18
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67.8%	6.78	4	\$8.47	2.58	32	67.8%	4.36	67	4.57	32
167	Russell	37.5%	3.75	110	\$8.04	1.67	72	66.7%	4.17	74	3.20	101
169	Scott	52.3%	5.23	58	\$7.83	1.23	97	71.4%	5.00	49	3.82	69
171	Shenandoah	43.3%	4.33	95	\$8.50	2.65	30	75.0%	5.62	41	4.20	51

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	48.6%	4.86	78	\$8.29	2.20	43	56.7%	2.42	106	3.16	103
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.3%	5.63	33	\$7.85	1.27	94	57.7%	2.60	104	3.16	102
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	58.9%	5.89	24	\$8.27	2.16	47	87.9%	7.89	9	5.31	11
179	<i>Stafford</i>	51.2%	5.12	63	\$9.27	4.28	9	76.7%	5.93	35	5.11	13
181	<i>Surry</i>	44.2%	4.42	92	\$8.05	1.69	70	80.0%	6.50	23	4.20	50
183	<i>Sussex</i>	54.5%	5.45	40	\$8.35	2.33	39	68.8%	4.53	66	4.11	56
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.5%	5.85	26	\$8.27	2.16	48	63.5%	3.61	86	3.87	65
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.9%	4.69	81	\$8.22	2.06	52	67.4%	4.29	69	3.68	75
191	<i>Washington</i>	48.7%	4.87	77	\$7.87	1.31	90	70.6%	4.85	53	3.68	76
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	60.5%	6.05	15	\$8.22	2.06	53	61.9%	3.33	91	3.81	70
195	<i>Wise</i>	59.1%	5.91	22	\$7.95	1.48	81	66.7%	4.17	75	3.85	68
197	<i>Wythe</i>	59.2%	5.92	21	\$7.63	0.81	112	59.5%	2.92	100	3.21	100
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	48.2%	4.82	80	\$8.39	2.42	36	70.2%	4.79	57	4.01	58
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.8%	5.18	60	\$9.47	4.70	7	83.8%	7.17	14	5.68	5
520	<i>Bristol</i>	36.6%	3.66	112	\$7.86	1.29	92	65.0%	3.87	78	2.94	108
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.2%	6.02	17	\$8.47	2.58	33	70.4%	4.82	55	4.47	35
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	55.2%	5.52	36	\$8.17	1.95	59	74.8%	5.59	43	4.35	41
590	<i>Danville</i>	39.0%	3.90	107	\$8.17	1.95	60	70.5%	4.84	54	3.56	83
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	45.3%	4.53	86	\$8.78	3.24	17	54.1%	1.96	109	3.24	97
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	61.5%	6.15	12	\$8.58	2.82	26	64.9%	3.85	79	4.27	47
640	<i>Galax</i>	53.8%	5.38	44	\$7.75	1.06	103	81.8%	6.82	21	4.42	38
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.6%	5.36	47	\$8.27	2.16	49	79.1%	6.35	24	4.62	28
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	50.0%	5.00	72	\$7.86	1.29	93	67.7%	4.35	68	3.55	84
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	54.6%	5.46	39	\$7.93	1.44	83	66.7%	4.17	76	3.69	73
683	<i>Manassas</i>	45.0%	4.50	89	\$9.10	3.92	11	70.2%	4.79	58	4.40	39
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	11.1%	1.11	119	\$11.97	10.00	1	85.7%	7.50	13	6.20	1
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.5%	4.85	79	\$8.31	2.25	42	74.4%	5.51	44	4.20	52
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.1%	5.21	59	\$8.06	1.72	68	74.0%	5.45	45	4.13	54
720	<i>Norton</i>	59.3%	5.93	20	\$7.82	1.21	99	58.3%	2.71	103	3.28	96
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	58.9%	5.89	23	\$8.26	2.14	50	75.6%	5.73	39	4.59	30
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	44.5%	4.45	91	\$8.07	1.74	66	69.1%	4.60	62	3.60	81
750	<i>Radford</i>	64.0%	6.40	8	\$7.78	1.12	101	75.0%	5.62	42	4.38	40
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	44.1%	4.41	93	\$8.28	2.18	44	72.2%	5.13	46	3.91	62
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	52.4%	5.24	55	\$8.16	1.93	61	64.2%	3.74	82	3.64	80
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.8%	5.78	27	\$8.03	1.65	74	77.3%	6.03	30	4.49	34
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.3%	5.13	62	\$8.36	2.35	38	70.8%	4.89	51	4.13	55
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	50.0%	5.00	73	\$8.51	2.67	29	83.3%	7.08	18	4.92	19
840	<i>Winchester</i>	55.8%	5.58	34	\$8.28	2.18	45	61.3%	3.23	93	3.66	78

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.2%	1.83	116	\$857	2.90	94	64.9%	5.87	49	3.53	107
003	Albemarle	72.5%	7.56	12	\$1,010	6.06	20	57.9%	4.31	100	5.98	24
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.4%	7.00	21	\$839	2.53	102	58.0%	4.33	99	4.62	80
007	Amelia	59.6%	4.08	95	\$960	5.02	37	64.7%	5.84	50	4.98	66
009	Amherst	66.8%	6.03	51	\$875	3.26	81	60.0%	4.77	84	4.69	78
011	Appomattox	70.4%	7.00	20	\$873	3.23	83	56.2%	3.92	107	4.72	77
013	Arlington	71.4%	7.26	15	\$1,070	7.30	8	69.6%	6.92	17	7.16	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.9%	6.05	50	\$961	5.04	36	67.3%	6.41	37	5.83	28
017	Bath	64.0%	5.27	71	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	5.06	77	3.44	110
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.53	65	\$858	2.92	92	60.7%	4.93	80	4.46	88
021	Bland	59.6%	4.08	94	\$864	3.03	90	56.5%	3.99	106	3.70	105
023	Botetourt	44.4%	0.00	120	\$935	4.51	53	57.9%	4.30	101	2.94	117
025	Brunswick	56.6%	3.28	107	\$831	2.35	109	67.5%	6.44	35	4.02	99
027	Buchanan	53.0%	2.30	114	\$838	2.50	104	63.6%	5.57	63	3.46	109
029	Buckingham	56.5%	3.26	108	\$947	4.76	47	62.3%	5.30	69	4.44	90
031	Campbell	68.6%	6.52	36	\$900	3.77	73	63.6%	5.58	60	5.29	54
033	Caroline	60.2%	4.24	89	\$959	5.01	38	56.9%	4.09	104	4.44	89
035	Carroll	66.6%	5.98	52	\$832	2.38	108	54.3%	3.50	110	3.95	100
036	Charles City	56.7%	3.30	106	\$944	4.69	49	51.8%	2.94	115	3.64	106
037	Charlotte	51.2%	1.84	115	\$926	4.32	57	57.0%	4.09	103	3.42	112
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.06	78	\$1,027	6.41	15	69.2%	6.83	24	6.10	21
043	Clarke	58.1%	3.69	102	\$984	5.51	27	62.7%	5.38	67	4.86	72
045	Craig	50.9%	1.75	117	\$1,039	6.66	12	63.0%	5.44	66	4.61	81
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.49	66	\$970	5.23	31	66.4%	6.21	44	5.64	34
049	Cumberland	58.2%	3.71	100	\$953	4.88	45	58.2%	4.37	98	4.32	94
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.23	90	\$835	2.43	105	54.9%	3.63	108	3.43	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	5.88	55	\$969	5.20	32	58.4%	4.42	94	5.17	60
057	Essex	67.2%	6.14	45	\$868	3.13	87	49.8%	2.49	117	3.92	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.2%	6.13	46	\$1,157	9.10	3	69.2%	6.83	23	7.35	5
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.58	34	\$1,030	6.47	14	68.9%	6.76	26	6.60	10
063	Floyd	65.7%	5.71	58	\$937	4.56	51	54.8%	3.60	109	4.62	79
065	Fluvanna	61.3%	4.55	83	\$1,043	6.74	11	60.7%	4.92	81	5.40	47
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.61	82	\$950	4.81	46	58.2%	4.38	97	4.60	82
069	Frederick	57.4%	3.49	105	\$1,012	6.10	19	61.8%	5.17	72	4.92	69
071	Giles	58.2%	3.70	101	\$954	4.89	44	53.0%	3.21	113	3.94	101
073	Gloucester	65.1%	5.56	63	\$851	2.77	98	58.5%	4.43	93	4.26	96
075	Goochland	67.4%	6.19	42	\$894	3.67	76	65.4%	5.98	47	5.28	55
077	Grayson	66.0%	5.80	56	\$856	2.87	95	61.0%	5.00	78	4.56	83

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.7%	8.42	4	\$916	4.12	65	56.5%	4.00	105	5.51	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.9%	3.62	104	\$822	2.18	113	64.1%	5.70	55	3.83	104
083	Halifax	56.3%	3.20	109	\$842	2.58	101	58.5%	4.45	90	3.41	113
085	Hanover	63.7%	5.18	73	\$1,052	6.92	9	65.3%	5.97	48	6.02	23
087	Henrico	67.2%	6.12	48	\$1,022	6.30	17	66.4%	6.22	41	6.21	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.5%	5.14	76	\$941	4.63	50	64.4%	5.77	53	5.18	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.22	6	\$784	1.38	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.53	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.6%	6.51	37	\$912	4.04	66	60.2%	4.81	83	5.12	63
095	James City	69.2%	6.67	33	\$917	4.13	64	60.6%	4.92	82	5.24	58
097	King and Queen	50.8%	1.72	118	\$872	3.21	84	47.6%	2.00	118	2.31	120
099	King George	60.4%	4.31	88	\$922	4.24	60	58.3%	4.40	96	4.32	95
101	King William	58.4%	3.77	98	\$983	5.50	28	61.3%	5.07	76	4.78	75
103	Lancaster	66.6%	5.96	53	\$860	2.96	91	51.3%	2.83	116	3.92	103
105	Lee	65.0%	5.54	64	\$794	1.58	117	72.5%	7.57	7	4.90	71
107	Loudoun	77.2%	8.81	3	\$1,201	10.00	1	71.8%	7.42	9	8.74	1
109	Louisa	61.7%	4.65	81	\$984	5.51	26	62.5%	5.34	68	5.17	61
111	Lunenburg	49.7%	1.41	119	\$813	1.97	115	61.3%	5.08	75	2.82	119
113	Madison	60.6%	4.35	87	\$998	5.80	23	60.8%	4.95	79	5.03	65
115	Mathews	65.3%	5.61	61	\$900	3.78	72	69.3%	6.86	20	5.42	45
117	Mecklenburg	66.4%	5.92	54	\$839	2.53	103	67.5%	6.45	34	4.96	67
119	Middlesex	65.2%	5.58	62	\$910	3.99	68	70.7%	7.17	13	5.58	37
121	Montgomery	78.5%	9.17	2	\$937	4.54	52	63.8%	5.62	57	6.44	14
125	Nelson	61.2%	4.50	84	\$1,016	6.18	18	64.0%	5.67	56	5.45	44
127	New Kent	56.0%	3.13	111	\$1,033	6.52	13	72.0%	7.46	8	5.70	33
131	Northampton	64.1%	5.28	70	\$847	2.69	99	79.9%	9.23	2	5.73	32
133	Northumberland	56.2%	3.18	110	\$858	2.91	93	52.6%	3.12	114	3.07	116
135	Nottoway	67.3%	6.16	44	\$902	3.82	71	69.3%	6.86	21	5.61	36
137	Orange	72.7%	7.60	11	\$962	5.07	35	59.7%	4.71	86	5.79	30
139	Page	69.7%	6.80	29	\$993	5.70	24	60.0%	4.76	85	5.75	31
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.12	47	\$823	2.19	112	74.6%	8.05	6	5.45	43
143	Pittsylvania	53.7%	2.51	113	\$869	3.15	86	59.7%	4.71	87	3.46	108
145	Powhatan	54.3%	2.66	112	\$965	5.13	34	63.8%	5.62	59	4.47	87
147	Prince Edward	70.0%	6.87	26	\$883	3.44	79	68.0%	6.58	31	5.63	35
149	Prince George	60.0%	4.20	91	\$1,000	5.85	22	58.5%	4.45	91	4.83	73
153	Prince William	72.1%	7.46	14	\$1,193	9.83	2	70.6%	7.14	14	8.14	2
155	Pulaski	63.7%	5.18	72	\$944	4.70	48	53.6%	3.33	112	4.40	92
157	Rappahannock	59.7%	4.11	93	\$958	4.98	42	43.6%	1.10	119	3.40	114
159	Richmond County	61.1%	4.48	85	\$919	4.17	62	38.7%	0.00	120	2.89	118
161	Roanoke County	74.2%	8.02	8	\$1,043	6.75	10	58.4%	4.41	95	6.39	16
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.9%	7.12	18	\$866	3.09	89	67.1%	6.37	38	5.52	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.0%	7.68	10	\$976	5.36	29	67.6%	6.47	33	6.50	12
167	Russell	60.7%	4.39	86	\$854	2.83	97	67.1%	6.36	39	4.52	85
169	Scott	58.3%	3.75	99	\$886	3.49	78	76.7%	8.51	5	5.25	56
171	Shenandoah	60.0%	4.18	92	\$934	4.49	54	70.2%	7.05	16	5.24	57

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.4%	3.77	97	\$856	2.87	96	63.6%	5.57	62	4.07	97
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.67	103	\$829	2.31	110	54.2%	3.48	111	3.15	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.0%	6.33	40	\$1,026	6.39	16	68.7%	6.72	28	6.48	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.9%	3.89	96	\$1,124	8.42	4	67.8%	6.53	32	6.28	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.3%	6.97	22	\$873	3.23	82	57.8%	4.28	102	4.83	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.4%	5.63	59	\$958	4.99	41	63.5%	5.56	65	5.39	50
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.4%	6.18	43	\$815	2.03	114	65.4%	5.98	46	4.73	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.0%	6.33	39	\$1,010	6.05	21	68.7%	6.72	27	6.37	18
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.6%	5.16	75	\$894	3.67	75	58.5%	4.45	92	4.42	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.2%	5.33	69	\$923	4.25	59	64.4%	5.76	54	5.11	64
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.4%	5.10	77	\$824	2.20	111	66.4%	6.22	42	4.51	86
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.06	49	\$842	2.59	100	66.4%	6.21	43	4.95	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.2%	4.79	80	\$895	3.68	74	59.5%	4.67	88	4.38	93
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.3%	6.95	23	\$1,079	7.48	7	77.2%	8.64	4	7.69	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.5%	6.22	41	\$872	3.21	85	62.2%	5.27	71	4.90	70
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	1	\$920	4.19	61	65.7%	6.04	45	6.74	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.2%	7.46	13	\$932	4.44	55	64.6%	5.81	51	5.91	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.6%	5.17	74	\$806	1.85	116	61.8%	5.17	73	4.06	98
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.7%	5.45	67	\$833	2.40	107	64.4%	5.77	52	4.54	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.2%	8.27	5	\$988	5.61	25	66.5%	6.22	40	6.70	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.0%	5.00	79	\$887	3.51	77	69.5%	6.90	18	5.13	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.76	32	\$918	4.16	63	63.6%	5.58	61	5.50	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.0%	6.34	38	\$924	4.29	58	63.5%	5.57	64	5.40	49
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.7%	6.79	30	\$833	2.41	106	68.5%	6.69	29	5.30	53
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.3%	5.34	68	\$1,106	8.03	5	70.9%	7.22	12	6.87	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	70.9%	7.13	16	\$1,093	7.78	6	77.5%	8.70	3	7.87	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.1%	6.90	25	\$932	4.44	56	69.1%	6.82	25	6.05	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.0%	6.87	27	\$867	3.10	88	67.4%	6.43	36	5.47	41
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.5%	8.10	7	\$751	0.70	119	71.3%	7.31	11	5.37	51
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.05	19	\$958	4.99	40	70.3%	7.08	15	6.38	17
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.9%	7.12	17	\$905	3.88	70	69.5%	6.89	19	5.97	25
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.2%	6.93	24	\$907	3.93	69	61.6%	5.13	74	5.33	52
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.7%	6.81	28	\$959	5.00	39	71.8%	7.41	10	6.40	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.3%	5.61	60	\$975	5.32	30	62.3%	5.28	70	5.41	46
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.7%	7.86	9	\$875	3.26	80	68.1%	6.58	30	5.90	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.7%	5.72	57	\$956	4.93	43	69.3%	6.85	22	5.83	29
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.6%	6.77	31	\$910	3.99	67	63.8%	5.62	58	5.46	42
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.55	35	\$968	5.19	33	58.6%	4.47	89	5.40	48

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	8,749	426	308	704	280	129	971	101	198	321	371	337	1,208	337	126	970	277	1,610	75
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	98%	95%	96%	93%	85%	91%	91%	96%	97%	97%	95%	94%	69%	96%	98%	91%	92%	83%
% Timely in November 2012	92%	98%	99%	98%	99%	75%	93%	91%	97%	97%	98%	98%	92%	61%	94%	96%	94%	89%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	3,634	170	129	295	123	61	412	43	84	129	162	124	525	122	44	393	108	680	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	99%	98%	97%	99%	89%	96%	91%	100%	92%	98%	97%	94%	92%	98%	95%	93%	93%	####
Percent in November 2012	96%	98%	97%	98%	100%	86%	97%	100%	100%	93%	98%	95%	96%	93%	95%	95%	95%	94%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	128	1	0	1	0	11	9	7	1	1	1	0	27	55	2	5	0	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	9	0	77	0	41	29	69	26	2	40	0	72	39	28	16	0	16	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012		426	38	15	82	67	40	70	14
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012		98%	100%	100%	100%	96%	90%	99%	100%
% Timely in November 2012		98%	100%	100%	100%	82%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012		170	13	5	38	26	18	24	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012		99%	100%	100%	97%	96%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2012		98%	100%	100%	95%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	308	0	25	13	69	43	30	103	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	N/A	96%	100%	96%	98%	93%	95%	84%
% Timely in November 2012	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	129	0	13	6	25	13	10	46	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in November 2012	97%	N/A	100%	100%	85%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	704	41	6	3	21	67	20	76	51	71	20	328
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	95%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	95%	92%
% Timely in November 2012	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	295	19	0	1	7	26	9	29	15	36	12	141
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in November 2012	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	77	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	280	163	0	0	10	107
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	98%	N/A	N/A	40%	91%
% Timely in November 2012	99%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	123	72	0	0	4	47
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	98%
Percent in November 2012	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	129	4	33	19	8	27	38	75	59	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	85%	100%	55%	95%	88%	93%	100%	83%	83%	81%
% Timely in November 2012	75%	N/A	0%	100%	50%	100%	100%	80%	92%	57%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	61	2	13	5	3	16	22	30	26	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	89%	100%	85%	100%	100%	75%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2012	86%	100%	71%	100%	100%	75%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	971	69	355	69	340	90	38	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	91%	99%	97%	90%	83%	98%	89%	80%
% Timely in November 2012	93%	100%	96%	78%	90%	100%	89%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	412	31	150	33	141	38	16	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	99%	94%	91%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2012	97%	100%	99%	93%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	9	0	1	5	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	14	40	15	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	101	53	27	6	12	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	91%	100%	96%	83%	58%	33%
% Timely in November 2012	91%	100%	100%	100%	33%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	43	24	13	2	4	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	91%	92%	100%	100%	50%	N/A
Percent in November 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	69	0	0	0	69	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	198	38	15	15	34	14	82
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	80%	100%	94%	100%	98%
% Timely in November 2012	97%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	84	13	7	7	12	8	37
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	26	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	321	33	28	53	61	146
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	100%	91%	100%	97%
% Timely in November 2012	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	129	17	12	21	29	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	100%	81%	90%	94%
Percent in November 2012	93%	100%	100%	91%	88%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	2	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	371	40	125	29	53	124
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	93%	95%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in November 2012	98%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	162	17	53	18	22	52
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in November 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	40	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	337	23	43	31	29	17	42	24	35	47	46
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	96%	98%	97%	100%	100%	76%	96%	100%	100%	93%
% Timely in November 2012	98%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	124	4	24	14	11	3	13	11	14	14	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%	67%	92%	100%	100%	93%	100%
Percent in November 2012	95%	100%	100%	83%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,208	235	10	50	311	15	587
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	94%	80%	94%	90%	100%	96%
% Timely in November 2012	92%	91%	N/A	90%	84%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	525	96	4	23	132	8	262
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	96%	75%	100%	88%	100%	95%
Percent in November 2012	96%	94%	100%	100%	92%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	27	3	0	2	19	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	72	77	0	42	83	0	18

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	337	53	21	116	92	55
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	69%	51%	100%	41%	93%	96%
% Timely in November 2012	61%	65%	100%	15%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	122	4	12	47	36	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	75%	100%	91%	86%	100%
Percent in November 2012	93%	67%	100%	95%	86%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	55	26	0	28	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	66	0	15	34	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	126	26	3	16	10	3	30	9	12	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	67%	88%	100%	100%	100%	89%	92%	100%
% Timely in November 2012	94%	100%	50%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	44	12	1	5	2	0	14	1	4	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	100%	80%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2012	95%	100%	N/A	50%	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	44	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	970	4	28	54	6	35	283	544	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	96%	93%	50%	91%	100%	99%	75%
% Timely in November 2012	96%	100%	100%	88%	N/A	75%	98%	99%	33%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	393	0	13	16	5	9	126	216	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	98%	94%	100%
Percent in November 2012	95%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	98%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	22

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	277	22	27	13	18	74	123
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	91%	100%	100%	100%	94%	78%	94%
% Timely in November 2012	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	73%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	108	11	14	5	6	24	48
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	93%	100%	100%	92%	90%
Percent in November 2012	95%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,610	33	14	256	60	548	282	111	306
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	64%	96%	98%	86%	92%	97%	97%
% Timely in November 2012	89%	100%	0%	97%	89%	80%	86%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	680	10	6	101	29	240	126	49	119
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	100%	96%	100%	85%	93%	98%	99%
Percent in November 2012	94%	100%	100%	98%	100%	89%	89%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	7	0	4	1	1	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	21	12	12	0	3	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Nov-11</i>	<i>Nov-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,853	14,714	-0.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,133	129,191	0.0%
Total Cases Collected	143,986	143,905	-0.1%
TANF Collections	\$2,936,457	\$2,504,833	-15%
NTANF Collections	\$49,311,681	\$48,205,876	-2.2%
Total Collections	\$52,248,138	\$50,710,709	-2.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$256,043,816	\$258,794,429	1.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$356.77	\$359.90	0.9%

Paternities Established

	<i>Nov-12</i>
TANF Paternities	172
NTANF Paternities	298
Combined Paternities	470

Diversionsary Assistance

November Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	4		Nottoway	2
Alleghany / Covington	1		Orange	6
Amelia	2		Patrick	1
Appomattox	1		Pittsylvania	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Prince George	1
Campbell	1		Prince William	2
Caroline	1		Roanoke County	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Culpeper	3		Rockingham / H'burg	2
Cumberland	1		Shenandoah	9
Dickenson	3		Spotsylvania	5
Dinwiddie	1		Stafford	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Warren	4
Fauquier	1		Westmoreland	1
Fluvanna	1		York / Poquoson	1
Frederick	4		Bristol	8
Gloucester	1		Charlottesville	3
Greene	2		Chesapeake	1
Greensville / Emporia	1		Franklin City	3
Halifax	2		Hampton	10
Hanover	2		Hopewell	5
Henrico	6		Lynchburg	2
Henry / Martinsville	5		Newport News	13
Isle of Wight	1		Norfolk	13
King George	1		Petersburg	1
Loudoun	3		Portsmouth	1
Louisa	1		Richmond City	2
Mecklenburg	1		Roanoke City	10
Middlesex	1		Suffolk	7
New Kent	1		Virginia Beach	2
			Statewide	186

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the afternoon of December 2, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through November 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth, declined and now seems level. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through November 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent increase is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Nov 30, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of December and represent end of November data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of November it was \$8.35 rather than the \$8.26 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.