

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

December 2012

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services January 29, 2013

Monthly Report, December 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,583 and a denominator of 17,769.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	23	79	29%	061	Fauquier	27	52	52%
003	Albemarle	33	67	49%	063	Floyd	8	22	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	56	38%	065	Fluvanna	6	26	23%
007	Amelia	14	32	44%	067	Franklin County	44	119	37%
009	Amherst	21	46	46%	069	Frederick	24	82	29%
011	Appomattox	32	57	56%	071	Giles	6	28	21%
013	Arlington	62	111	56%	073	Gloucester	17	50	34%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	145	250	58%	075	Goochland	13	26	50%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	13	32	41%
019	Bedford County/City	38	104	37%	079	Greene	1	13	8%
021	Bland	3	9	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	33	93	35%
023	Botetourt	3	14	21%	083	Halifax	29	91	32%
025	Brunswick	48	84	57%	085	Hanover	28	87	32%
027	Buchanan	28	54	52%	087	Henrico	335	862	39%
029	Buckingham	19	35	54%	089	Henry/Martinsville	76	226	34%
031	Campbell	52	154	34%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	6	110	5%	093	Isle of Wight	15	53	28%
035	Carroll	32	49	65%	095	James City	34	96	35%
036	Charles City	1	13	8%	097	King and Queen	2	15	13%
037	Charlotte	17	56	30%	099	King George	9	24	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	200	505	40%	101	King William	9	28	32%
043	Clarke	1	8	13%	103	Lancaster	12	24	50%
045	Craig	2	9	22%	105	Lee	73	136	54%
047	Culpeper	44	89	49%	107	Loudoun	67	153	44%
049	Cumberland	12	38	32%	109	Louisa	25	54	46%
051	Dickensen	8	18	44%	111	Lunenburg	17	36	47%
053	Dinwiddie	16	47	34%	113	Madison	4	9	44%
057	Essex	18	42	43%	115	Mathews	7	20	35%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	322	699	46%	117	Mecklenburg	24	65	37%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	32	50%	187	Warren	19	70	27%
121	Montgomery	49	132	37%	191	Washington	34	80	43%
125	Nelson	7	12	58%	193	Westmoreland	16	42	38%
127	New Kent	8	27	30%	195	Wise	85	175	49%
131	Northampton	15	58	26%	197	Wythe	18	51	35%
133	Northumberland	5	9	56%	199	York/Poquoson	31	93	33%
135	Nottoway	30	93	32%	510	Alexandria	117	251	47%
137	Orange	14	47	30%	520	Bristol	73	142	51%
139	Page	10	22	45%	540	Charlottesville	90	201	45%
141	Patrick	22	60	37%	550	Chesapeake	302	631	48%
143	Pittsylvania	24	78	31%	590	Danville	96	204	47%
145	Powhatan	9	23	39%	620	Franklin City	35	71	49%
147	Prince Edward	31	63	49%	630	Fredericksburg	44	105	42%
149	Prince George	14	43	33%	640	Galax	14	33	42%
153	Prince William	332	648	51%	650	Hampton	341	813	42%
155	Pulaski	30	73	41%	670	Hopewell	57	176	32%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	142	312	46%
159	Richmond County	5	15	33%	683	Manassas	35	65	54%
161	Roanoke County	62	135	46%	685	Manassas Park	6	17	35%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	13	40	33%	700	Newport News	418	1,008	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	64	147	44%	710	Norfolk	714	1,319	54%
167	Russell	33	94	35%	720	Norton	9	23	39%
169	Scott	41	72	57%	730	Petersburg	152	297	51%
171	Shenandoah	6	14	43%	740	Portsmouth	222	623	36%
173	Smyth	36	99	36%	750	Radford	14	38	37%
175	Southampton	0	57	0%	760	Richmond City	607	1,538	39%
177	Spotsylvania	93	270	34%	770	Roanoke City	191	559	34%
179	Stafford	71	161	44%	800	Suffolk	105	189	56%
181	Surry	12	27	44%	810	Virginia Beach	254	484	52%
183	Sussex	26	50	52%	830	Williamsburg	6	28	21%
185	Tazewell	50	108	46%	840	Winchester	27	56	48%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 5,598 and a denominator of 15,593. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	16	71	23%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	17	42	40%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	17	50	34%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	7	21	33%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	19	53	36%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	5	24	21%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	11	28	39%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	33	105	31%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	15	39	38%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	16	74	22%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	24	48	50%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	23	4%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	43	92	47%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	13	46	28%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	101	204	50%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	10	23	43%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	8	27	30%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	25	91	27%	079	<i>Greene</i>	1	11	9%
021	<i>Bland</i>	2	6	33%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	28	88	32%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	3	14	21%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	16	78	21%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	33	66	50%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	16	73	22%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	26	52	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	248	761	33%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	13	28	46%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	47	197	24%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	36	136	26%	091	<i>Highland</i>	1	1	100%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	5	100	5%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	10	45	22%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	24	41	59%	095	<i>James City</i>	25	87	29%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	13	8%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	12	0%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	11	50	22%	099	<i>King George</i>	8	23	35%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	146	446	33%	101	<i>King William</i>	6	24	25%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	8	13%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	9	21	43%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	7	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	58	118	49%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	30	75	40%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	25	111	23%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	10	36	28%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	19	48	40%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	17	41%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	13	32	41%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	9	39	23%	113	<i>Madison</i>	4	9	44%
057	<i>Essex</i>	15	38	39%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	7	19	37%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	225	600	38%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	18	59	31%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	15	30	50%	187	Warren	8	59	14%
121	Montgomery	32	114	28%	191	Washington	29	74	39%
125	Nelson	6	11	55%	193	Westmoreland	12	37	32%
127	New Kent	7	23	30%	195	Wise	63	153	41%
131	Northampton	13	56	23%	197	Wythe	12	45	27%
133	Northumberland	4	8	50%	199	York/Poquoson	18	79	23%
135	Nottoway	13	74	18%	510	Alexandria	82	208	39%
137	Orange	11	44	25%	520	Bristol	62	130	48%
139	Page	5	17	29%	540	Charlottesville	56	167	34%
141	Patrick	14	52	27%	550	Chesapeake	223	547	41%
143	Pittsylvania	20	73	27%	590	Danville	77	181	43%
145	Powhatan	4	18	22%	620	Franklin City	27	63	43%
147	Prince Edward	21	53	40%	630	Fredericksburg	31	89	35%
149	Prince George	9	37	24%	640	Galax	10	29	34%
153	Prince William	210	523	40%	650	Hampton	250	706	35%
155	Pulaski	24	67	36%	670	Hopewell	48	164	29%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	118	286	41%
159	Richmond County	4	14	29%	683	Manassas	22	51	43%
161	Roanoke County	34	105	32%	685	Manassas Park	3	13	23%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	8	35	23%	700	Newport News	316	893	35%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	108	24%	710	Norfolk	622	1,224	51%
167	Russell	22	82	27%	720	Norton	6	20	30%
169	Scott	31	62	50%	730	Petersburg	122	262	47%
171	Shenandoah	5	13	38%	740	Portsmouth	172	571	30%
173	Smyth	22	85	26%	750	Radford	14	37	38%
175	Southampton	0	55	0%	760	Richmond City	494	1,407	35%
177	Spotsylvania	70	239	29%	770	Roanoke City	118	482	24%
179	Stafford	46	136	34%	800	Suffolk	83	166	50%
181	Surry	7	22	32%	810	Virginia Beach	195	424	46%
183	Sussex	21	45	47%	830	Williamsburg	6	25	24%
185	Tazewell	37	94	39%	840	Winchester	21	50	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,984 and a denominator of 2,174. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	8	88%	061	Fauquier	10	10	100%
003	Albemarle	16	17	94%	063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	3	67%	065	Fluvanna	1	2	50%
007	Amelia	3	4	75%	067	Franklin County	11	14	79%
009	Amherst	6	7	86%	069	Frederick	8	8	100%
011	Appomattox	8	9	89%	071	Giles	5	5	100%
013	Arlington	19	19	100%	073	Gloucester	4	4	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	44	46	96%	075	Goochland	3	3	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	5	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	13	100%	079	Greene	0	2	0%
021	Bland	1	3	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	5	5	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	13	13	100%
025	Brunswick	15	18	83%	085	Hanover	12	14	86%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	87	101	86%
029	Buckingham	6	7	86%	089	Henry/Martinsville	29	29	100%
031	Campbell	16	18	89%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	1	10	10%	093	Isle of Wight	5	8	63%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	9	9	100%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	3	67%
037	Charlotte	6	6	100%	099	King George	1	1	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	54	59	92%	101	King William	3	4	75%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	3	3	100%
045	Craig	2	2	100%	105	Lee	15	18	83%
047	Culpeper	14	14	100%	107	Loudoun	42	42	100%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	1	1	100%	111	Lunenburg	4	4	100%
053	Dinwiddie	7	8	88%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	4	75%	115	Mathews	0	1	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	97	99	98%	117	Mecklenburg	6	6	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	2	50%	187	Warren	11	11	100%
121	Montgomery	17	18	94%	191	Washington	5	6	83%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	5	80%
127	New Kent	1	4	25%	195	Wise	22	22	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	13	14	93%
135	Nottoway	17	19	89%	510	Alexandria	35	43	81%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	11	12	92%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	34	34	100%
141	Patrick	8	8	100%	550	Chesapeake	79	84	94%
143	Pittsylvania	4	5	80%	590	Danville	19	23	83%
145	Powhatan	5	5	100%	620	Franklin City	8	8	100%
147	Prince Edward	10	10	100%	630	Fredericksburg	13	16	81%
149	Prince George	5	6	83%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	122	125	98%	650	Hampton	91	107	85%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	9	12	75%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	24	26	92%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	13	14	93%
161	Roanoke County	28	30	93%	685	Manassas Park	3	4	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	102	115	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	38	39	97%	710	Norfolk	92	95	97%
167	Russell	11	12	92%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	10	10	100%	730	Petersburg	30	35	86%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	50	52	96%
173	Smyth	14	14	100%	750	Radford	0	1	0%
175	Southampton	0	2	0%	760	Richmond City	113	131	86%
177	Spotsylvania	23	31	74%	770	Roanoke City	73	77	95%
179	Stafford	25	25	100%	800	Suffolk	22	23	96%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	59	60	98%
183	Sussex	5	5	100%	830	Williamsburg	0	3	0%
185	Tazewell	13	14	93%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Dec-12	Dec-11	Change from Dec-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,985	4,045	-1%	4,807	-17%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,206	2,661	20%	1,658	93%
Applications Approved	1,510	2,051	-26%	2,820	-46%
Cases Closed *	2,236	2,483	-10%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	30,767	32,611	-6%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,479	33,593	-6%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,236 closed cases, 948 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 655 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 195 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 138 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Dec-12	Dec-11	Change from Dec-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	425	460	-8%	338	26%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	357	295	21%	232	54%
Applications Approved	157	213	-26%	81	94%
Cases Closed *	211	242	-13%	107	97%
Cases at End of Month	1,655	1,871	-12%	321	416%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,723	1,980	-13%	394	337%

•The database indicates that of the 211 closed cases, 121 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 97 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 28 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 25 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,422	1,598	1,012	2,470	868	511	3,618	355	654	1,293	1,416	1,039	5,298	1,094	418	3,405	1,119	5,970	284
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,813	574	416	829	324	194	1,425	130	290	595	438	457	2,169	565	154	1,384	424	2,340	105
Part. in a Work Activity	9,655	490	324	591	252	136	1,122	99	257	424	318	359	1,575	361	117	1,070	319	1,792	49
% of Enrolled	75%	85%	78%	71%	78%	70%	79%	76%	89%	71%	73%	79%	73%	64%	76%	77%	75%	77%	47%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	114	1	1	12	1	7	12	4	5	5	2	6	16	4	3	11	5	18	1
Participants Employed	6,574	290	197	466	219	103	697	84	153	304	204	204	1,052	279	86	728	239	1,226	43
% of Enrolled	51%	51%	47%	56%	68%	53%	49%	65%	53%	51%	47%	45%	49%	49%	56%	53%	56%	52%	41%
Full Time	5,151	257	170	386	176	76	527	70	100	208	163	142	790	196	73	560	195	1,030	32
Part Time	1,423	33	27	80	43	27	170	14	53	96	41	62	262	83	13	168	44	196	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$7.62	\$7.84	\$8.06	\$8.12	\$8.48	\$9.57	\$8.55	\$8.32	\$7.99	\$7.97	\$7.66	\$8.35	\$8.30	\$8.06	\$8.16	\$8.13	\$8.05	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,094	\$1,031	\$1,073	\$1,117	\$1,093	\$1,134	\$1,263	\$1,178	\$1,040	\$1,015	\$1,090	\$967	\$1,103	\$1,054	\$1,081	\$1,070	\$1,130	\$1,057	\$997

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	15,479	749	561	1,310	477	243	1,840	184	344	557	650	541	2,153	565	194	1,674	460	2,834	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW	23,252	1,028	822	1,717	646	383	2,591	258	527	990	879	835	3,625	937	290	2,616	799	4,125	184
Part. in a Work Activity	20,426	964	736	1,475	603	317	2,328	227	508	845	784	741	3,124	777	262	2,251	698	3,626	160
% of Total Enrolled	88%	94%	90%	86%	93%	83%	90%	88%	96%	85%	89%	89%	86%	83%	90%	86%	87%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	12,010	518	376	949	427	198	1,334	147	289	532	410	393	1,804	505	160	1,313	454	2,121	80
% of Total Enrolled	52%	50%	46%	55%	66%	52%	51%	57%	55%	54%	47%	47%	50%	54%	55%	50%	57%	51%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$7.91	\$7.91	\$8.15	\$8.23	\$8.46	\$9.86	\$8.63	\$8.52	\$8.01	\$7.97	\$7.87	\$8.47	\$8.63	\$8.09	\$8.31	\$8.24	\$8.17	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,069	\$1,070	\$1,111	\$1,110	\$1,111	\$1,293	\$1,164	\$1,019	\$979	\$1,065	\$977	\$1,096	\$1,062	\$1,040	\$1,062	\$1,129	\$1,054	\$1,044
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	69%	66%	64%	70%	66%	77%	76%	72%	67%	68%	70%	75%	80%	73%	76%	73%	73%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	282,637	17,379	10,658	21,086	8,315	5,462	35,217	4,013	6,141	10,641	13,026	8,171	37,647	8,938	3,739	26,994	9,493	53,151	2,566
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	217,524	13,376	8,955	16,241	6,429	4,075	27,195	3,028	4,739	8,843	10,454	6,355	29,550	6,599	2,799	20,424	7,457	39,104	1,901
Part. in a Work Activity	207,988	12,883	8,581	15,469	6,267	3,852	26,222	2,919	4,634	8,343	10,114	6,088	28,389	6,234	2,626	19,435	7,117	36,978	1,837
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	146,567	8,376	5,764	11,004	4,468	2,645	19,066	2,036	3,518	6,030	6,400	3,861	19,942	4,333	1,754	14,099	5,090	27,118	1,063
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	64%	68%	69%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$6.27	\$6.38	\$7.14	\$7.21	\$7.41	\$8.73	\$7.52	\$7.44	\$6.66	\$6.44	\$6.83	\$7.40	\$7.97	\$7.05	\$7.08	\$7.05	\$6.91	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$825	\$863	\$958	\$958	\$989	\$1,157	\$990	\$946	\$860	\$857	\$880	\$988	\$1,021	\$898	\$923	\$951	\$901	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	66%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,598	164	67	300	227	155	244	392	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	574	51	13	149	74	67	83	124	13
Participants in a Work Activity	490	50	13	127	66	53	78	95	8
% of Enrolled	85%	98%	100%	85%	89%	79%	94%	77%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	290	23	6	64	30	32	49	78	8
% of Enrolled	51%	45%	46%	43%	41%	48%	59%	63%	62%
Full Time	257	16	6	52	27	29	45	74	8
Part Time	33	7	0	12	3	3	4	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.70	\$7.60	\$7.55	\$7.59	\$7.75	\$7.63	\$7.64	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$999	\$1,221	\$989	\$982	\$1,072	\$1,035	\$1,064	\$997

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	749	70	26	146	92	74	143	179	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,028	79	28	228	143	116	159	248	27
Participants in a Work Activity	964	78	27	226	131	104	156	222	20
% of Total Enrolled	94%	99%	96%	99%	92%	90%	98%	90%	74%
Participants Employed	518	30	12	117	57	58	87	141	16
% of Total Enrolled	50%	38%	43%	51%	40%	50%	55%	57%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.66	\$7.60	\$7.68	\$7.99	\$7.95	\$8.22	\$7.95	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$998	\$1,158	\$1,001	\$1,053	\$1,090	\$1,135	\$1,090	\$1,060
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	89%	55%	70%	70%	78%	64%	65%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,379	1,690	1,195	2,463	2,387	1,711	3,321	4,053	559
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,376	1,282	928	1,895	1,921	1,255	2,533	3,122	440
Participants in a Work Activity	12,883	1,203	877	1,884	1,828	1,230	2,445	3,013	403
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,376	681	559	1,232	1,169	729	1,703	1,975	328
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	67%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.27	\$6.42	\$6.30	\$6.04	\$6.44	\$6.57	\$6.17	\$6.27	\$6.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$825	\$838	\$835	\$794	\$854	\$888	\$816	\$824	\$751
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,012	18	113	53	205	193	109	263	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	416	4	40	16	81	59	31	155	30
Participants in a Work Activity	324	3	34	14	60	36	19	135	23
% of Enrolled	78%	N/A	85%	88%	74%	61%	61%	87%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	197	2	26	10	44	28	17	51	19
% of Enrolled	47%	N/A	65%	63%	54%	47%	55%	33%	63%
Full Time	170	2	25	9	42	23	15	43	11
Part Time	27	0	1	1	2	5	2	8	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	N/A	\$7.68	\$7.63	\$7.77	\$7.91	\$7.76	\$7.94	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	N/A	\$1,114	\$1,105	\$1,112	\$1,061	\$1,062	\$1,059	\$924

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	561	11	51	23	124	105	52	161	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	822	8	81	31	164	131	55	290	62
Participants in a Work Activity	736	5	77	30	139	115	52	266	52
% of Total Enrolled	90%	63%	95%	97%	85%	88%	95%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	376	4	44	17	76	61	34	108	32
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	54%	55%	46%	47%	62%	37%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$9.06	\$7.81	\$7.76	\$8.24	\$7.91	\$7.69	\$7.85	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,430	\$1,106	\$1,105	\$1,207	\$1,083	\$1,030	\$1,006	\$883
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	50%	76%	70%	59%	74%	55%	63%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,658	264	1,425	674	1,872	1,847	1,576	2,260	740
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,955	198	1,177	547	1,578	1,535	1,253	2,017	650
Participants in a Work Activity	8,581	185	1,088	510	1,516	1,491	1,230	1,944	617
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,764	119	785	361	917	972	840	1,361	409
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	67%	66%	58%	63%	67%	67%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.38	\$6.29	\$6.19	\$6.40	\$6.23	\$6.62	\$6.36	\$6.44	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$863	\$867	\$832	\$856	\$856	\$895	\$843	\$872	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	63%	59%	66%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,470	106	43	10	63	215	58	305	192	251	75	1,152
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	829	31	17	1	16	113	17	83	50	95	28	378
Participants in a Work Activity	591	25	9	1	13	93	13	65	46	75	23	228
% of Enrolled	71%	81%	53%	100%	81%	82%	76%	78%	92%	79%	82%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	1	0
Participants Employed	466	18	8	1	11	43	7	61	37	55	21	204
% of Enrolled	56%	58%	47%	100%	69%	38%	41%	73%	74%	58%	75%	54%
Full Time	386	15	6	1	10	31	5	54	37	44	18	165
Part Time	80	3	2	0	1	12	2	7	0	11	3	39
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.49	\$7.94	\$8.00	\$7.93	\$8.20	\$7.80	\$8.07	\$8.15	\$7.80	\$7.58	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,117	\$1,188	\$980	\$1,039	\$1,114	\$1,113	\$1,017	\$1,101	\$1,233	\$1,000	\$975	\$1,150

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,310	59	11	6	32	131	38	153	82	124	36	638
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,717	71	26	4	44	188	48	207	114	174	50	791
Participants in a Work Activity	1,475	64	16	4	37	170	45	177	105	151	45	661
% of Total Enrolled	86%	90%	62%	100%	84%	90%	94%	86%	92%	87%	90%	84%
Participants Employed	949	38	11	1	23	85	20	139	70	113	33	416
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	42%	25%	52%	45%	42%	67%	61%	65%	66%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.16	\$8.26	\$8.00	\$8.37	\$8.05	\$7.94	\$8.08	\$8.03	\$8.56	\$7.83	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,021	\$1,013	\$1,032	\$1,192	\$1,048	\$1,035	\$1,107	\$1,138	\$1,140	\$985	\$1,134
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	41%	100%	60%	50%	65%	59%	68%	61%	63%	72%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,086	1,170	355	97	548	1,864	539	2,990	1,843	2,308	824	8,548
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,241	886	225	55	401	1,431	395	2,443	1,465	1,852	644	6,444
Participants in a Work Activity	15,469	851	197	54	386	1,288	386	2,397	1,409	1,744	619	6,138
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,004	623	100	28	263	883	229	1,912	933	1,373	453	4,207
% of Total Enrolled	68%	70%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$6.90	\$7.38	\$7.24	\$7.02	\$7.05	\$7.09	\$6.97	\$6.83	\$7.65	\$7.02	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$840	\$938	\$1,039	\$936	\$950	\$954	\$937	\$946	\$1,044	\$908	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	58%	63%	55%	58%	53%	64%	54%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	868	523	3	1	76	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	324	191	0	1	23	109
Participants in a Work Activity	252	148	0	1	14	89
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	N/A	100%	61%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	219	134	0	1	12	72
% of Enrolled	68%	70%	N/A	100%	52%	66%
Full Time	176	108	0	1	9	58
Part Time	43	26	0	0	3	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.94	N/A	\$8.00	\$7.82	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,093	\$1,062	N/A	\$1,386	\$921	\$1,174

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	477	267	0	3	24	183
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	646	364	2	1	49	230
Participants in a Work Activity	603	344	2	1	34	222
% of Total Enrolled	93%	95%	100%	100%	69%	97%
Participants Employed	427	241	1	1	25	159
% of Total Enrolled	66%	66%	50%	100%	51%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$8.08	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.88	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,055	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$975	\$1,209
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	100%	N/A	67%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,315	4,454	79	22	726	3,034
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,429	3,499	50	8	542	2,330
Participants in a Work Activity	6,267	3,442	50	8	496	2,271
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,468	2,347	32	6	382	1,701
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	64%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$7.20	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.75	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$961	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$978
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	511	19	161	58	36	129	108	284	188	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	194	6	62	24	11	47	44	105	55	50
Participants in a Work Activity	136	3	34	22	11	29	37	49	27	22
% of Enrolled	70%	50%	55%	92%	100%	62%	84%	47%	49%	44%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	2	0	2	2	1	0	1
Participants Employed	103	3	29	16	7	22	26	43	24	19
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	47%	67%	64%	47%	59%	41%	44%	38%
Full Time	76	2	21	13	6	13	21	32	18	14
Part Time	27	1	8	3	1	9	5	11	6	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.00	\$8.60	\$8.27	\$8.38	\$7.67	\$9.24	\$7.70	\$7.85	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$924	\$1,139	\$1,147	\$1,297	\$918	\$1,284	\$997	\$1,043	\$939

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	243	6	83	26	20	45	63	143	93	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	383	8	101	53	32	86	103	184	115	69
Participants in a Work Activity	317	6	81	47	27	65	91	160	102	58
% of Total Enrolled	83%	75%	80%	89%	84%	76%	88%	87%	89%	84%
Participants Employed	198	3	48	35	13	42	57	80	49	31
% of Total Enrolled	52%	38%	48%	66%	41%	49%	55%	43%	43%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.19	\$8.73	\$7.92	\$8.50	\$8.13	\$8.80	\$8.21	\$8.37	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,064	\$1,139	\$1,013	\$1,274	\$985	\$1,208	\$1,044	\$1,090	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	100%	64%	68%	78%	71%	61%	73%	61%	90%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,462	210	1,201	829	668	1,294	1,260	2,566	1,629	937
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,075	129	885	674	409	981	997	1,901	1,181	720
Participants in a Work Activity	3,852	121	825	658	397	907	944	1,837	1,153	684
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,645	75	505	470	244	667	684	1,063	605	458
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$7.47	\$7.77	\$7.35	\$6.79	\$7.47	\$7.36	\$6.79	\$6.84	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$989	\$986	\$1,011	\$992	\$934	\$1,008	\$974	\$853	\$858	\$847
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,618	250	1,459	220	1,101	397	154	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,425	74	514	126	501	155	49	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,122	55	419	94	385	124	41	4
% of Enrolled	79%	74%	82%	75%	77%	80%	84%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	7	3	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	697	35	241	62	260	76	23	0
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	47%	49%	52%	49%	47%	0%
Full Time	527	21	180	38	203	66	19	N/A
Part Time	170	14	61	24	57	10	4	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.57	\$9.38	\$9.96	\$9.55	\$9.30	\$9.50	\$9.07	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,263	\$1,149	\$1,325	\$1,182	\$1,236	\$1,297	\$1,197	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,840	106	708	124	601	209	73	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,591	154	995	221	870	241	89	21
Participants in a Work Activity	2,328	138	921	191	760	219	80	19
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	93%	86%	87%	91%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	1,334	62	497	126	485	123	39	2
% of Total Enrolled	51%	40%	50%	57%	56%	51%	44%	10%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.86	\$9.90	\$10.17	\$10.23	\$9.55	\$9.52	\$9.20	\$11.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,293	\$1,211	\$1,350	\$1,306	\$1,256	\$1,257	\$1,169	\$1,818
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	69%	75%	84%	77%	83%	66%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	35,217	2,944	12,502	2,505	11,290	4,019	1,510	447
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	27,195	2,308	9,459	1,995	8,786	3,158	1,166	323
Participants in a Work Activity	26,222	2,282	9,113	1,907	8,447	3,021	1,132	320
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	19,066	1,645	6,349	1,543	6,338	2,217	747	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.73	\$8.32	\$8.79	\$9.30	\$8.81	\$8.41	\$8.25	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,157	\$1,070	\$1,159	\$1,202	\$1,194	\$1,079	\$1,106	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	355	152	84	28	80	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	130	55	29	10	27	9
Participants in a Work Activity	99	45	23	9	20	2
% of Enrolled	76%	82%	79%	90%	74%	22%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	1	2	0
Participants Employed	84	40	21	5	18	0
% of Enrolled	65%	73%	72%	50%	67%	0%
Full Time	70	34	18	2	16	N/A
Part Time	14	6	3	3	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.55	\$8.62	\$8.75	\$9.20	\$7.96	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,178	\$1,189	\$1,255	\$1,012	\$1,108	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	184	94	46	18	19	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	258	113	64	20	52	9
Participants in a Work Activity	227	104	60	16	42	5
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	94%	80%	81%	56%
Participants Employed	147	70	41	6	30	0
% of Total Enrolled	57%	62%	64%	30%	58%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.58	\$9.03	\$8.91	\$8.08	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,164	\$1,132	\$1,303	\$1,120	\$1,041	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	76%	70%	67%	93%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,013	1,551	1,185	373	801	103
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,028	1,132	907	274	647	68
Participants in a Work Activity	2,919	1,086	899	267	603	64
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	2,036	734	627	167	468	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	61%	72%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.49	\$7.88	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$990	\$972	\$1,032	\$998	\$962	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	60%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	654	135	65	35	94	41	284
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	290	42	28	15	39	11	155
Participants in a Work Activity	257	41	26	13	34	11	132
% of Enrolled	89%	98%	93%	87%	87%	100%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	153	28	6	10	21	8	80
% of Enrolled	53%	67%	21%	67%	54%	73%	52%
Full Time	100	21	5	3	15	7	49
Part Time	53	7	1	7	6	1	31
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.31	\$8.33	\$8.53	\$8.27	\$8.16	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,143	\$1,056	\$894	\$1,100	\$1,234	\$986

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	344	73	29	24	61	22	135
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	527	82	40	27	85	26	267
Participants in a Work Activity	508	80	37	25	80	24	262
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	93%	93%	94%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	289	49	13	16	44	11	156
% of Total Enrolled	55%	60%	33%	59%	52%	42%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.52	\$8.72	\$8.13	\$8.41	\$8.60	\$8.63	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$1,111	\$889	\$987	\$1,035	\$1,059	\$997
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	82%	73%	50%	59%	91%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,141	1,425	285	474	974	317	2,666
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,739	1,033	199	339	715	225	2,228
Participants in a Work Activity	4,634	1,009	194	321	690	222	2,198
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,518	748	120	256	442	138	1,814
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	60%	76%	62%	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$7.84	\$7.73	\$7.38	\$7.67	\$7.78	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,008	\$1,044	\$916	\$986	\$1,016	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	56%	62%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,293	97	95	214	287	600
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	595	39	50	77	166	263
Participants in a Work Activity	424	24	44	61	118	177
% of Enrolled	71%	62%	88%	79%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	304	22	24	38	72	148
% of Enrolled	51%	56%	48%	49%	43%	56%
Full Time	208	21	24	21	49	93
Part Time	96	1	0	17	23	55
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.19	\$7.86	\$8.00	\$8.04	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$1,128	\$1,142	\$953	\$1,032	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	557	64	50	103	94	246
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	990	68	78	140	267	437
Participants in a Work Activity	845	53	76	111	243	362
% of Total Enrolled	85%	78%	97%	79%	91%	83%
Participants Employed	532	39	43	73	139	238
% of Total Enrolled	54%	57%	55%	52%	52%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.98	\$7.90	\$8.06	\$8.12	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$979	\$1,005	\$1,123	\$925	\$984	\$964
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	62%	79%	62%	67%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,641	997	832	2,152	2,476	4,184
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,843	802	730	1,724	2,127	3,460
Participants in a Work Activity	8,343	760	718	1,597	2,007	3,261
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,030	534	512	1,113	1,457	2,414
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.66	\$6.86	\$6.32	\$6.73	\$6.86	\$6.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$860	\$876	\$874	\$858	\$900	\$834
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,416	200	449	102	217	448
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	438	61	162	60	40	115
Participants in a Work Activity	318	23	131	44	19	101
% of Enrolled	73%	38%	81%	73%	48%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	204	16	91	27	14	56
% of Enrolled	47%	26%	56%	45%	35%	49%
Full Time	163	16	70	19	14	44
Part Time	41	0	21	8	0	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.63	\$7.99	\$7.97	\$7.81	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$1,178	\$1,106	\$1,056	\$1,076	\$1,058

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	650	85	198	53	106	208
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	879	102	297	106	117	257
Participants in a Work Activity	784	79	265	89	107	244
% of Total Enrolled	89%	77%	89%	84%	91%	95%
Participants Employed	410	38	166	60	45	101
% of Total Enrolled	47%	37%	56%	57%	38%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.93	\$7.98	\$7.74	\$7.81	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,076	\$1,073	\$1,019	\$1,061	\$1,078
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	66%	73%	69%	45%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,026	2,009	3,515	1,278	2,143	4,081
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,454	1,669	2,692	1,110	1,638	3,345
Participants in a Work Activity	10,114	1,606	2,584	1,045	1,610	3,269
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,400	936	1,713	747	878	2,126
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.44	\$6.39	\$6.85	\$6.33	\$6.43	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$857	\$842	\$942	\$823	\$870	\$807
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,039	63	122	68	83	73	143	65	160	122	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	457	32	53	21	52	36	65	24	45	73	56
Participants in a Work Activity	359	26	41	21	44	27	61	18	37	42	42
% of Enrolled	79%	81%	77%	100%	85%	75%	94%	75%	82%	58%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
Participants Employed	204	18	33	10	15	17	33	7	20	29	22
% of Enrolled	45%	56%	62%	48%	29%	47%	51%	29%	44%	40%	39%
Full Time	142	14	24	9	10	10	20	4	14	21	16
Part Time	62	4	9	1	5	7	13	3	6	8	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$8.11	\$7.49	\$8.13	\$7.68	\$8.38	\$6.88	\$7.79	\$7.86	\$7.52	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$1,013	\$944	\$1,115	\$938	\$891	\$827	\$955	\$1,083	\$1,001	\$1,038

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	541	35	61	40	50	32	67	40	69	75	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	835	59	101	58	78	58	100	54	88	126	113
Participants in a Work Activity	741	50	94	56	72	54	92	46	80	100	97
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	93%	97%	92%	93%	92%	85%	91%	79%	86%
Participants Employed	393	31	50	25	27	29	51	23	43	66	48
% of Total Enrolled	47%	53%	50%	43%	35%	50%	51%	43%	49%	52%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$8.36	\$7.72	\$8.20	\$7.72	\$8.66	\$7.53	\$7.71	\$7.69	\$7.74	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$977	\$991	\$970	\$1,189	\$929	\$1,030	\$855	\$1,030	\$926	\$973	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	80%	87%	71%	64%	56%	81%	36%	65%	77%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,171	529	1,013	788	713	550	953	380	1,187	986	1,072
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,355	392	813	599	527	407	758	296	932	762	869
Participants in a Work Activity	6,088	371	811	566	489	387	726	291	892	708	847
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	94%	93%	95%	96%	98%	96%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,861	233	461	337	269	236	444	147	615	513	606
% of Total Enrolled	61%	59%	57%	56%	51%	58%	59%	50%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$7.49	\$6.31	\$7.06	\$7.26	\$7.37	\$6.77	\$6.50	\$6.62	\$6.84	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$960	\$831	\$947	\$926	\$951	\$821	\$810	\$840	\$901	\$883
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	64%	67%	61%	57%	58%	64%	60%	68%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,298	1,028	41	146	1,388	53	2,642
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,169	361	24	73	724	11	976
Participants in a Work Activity	1,575	269	20	44	483	5	754
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	83%	60%	67%	45%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	5	0	1	6	0	4
Participants Employed	1,052	181	11	34	386	3	437
% of Enrolled	49%	50%	46%	47%	53%	27%	45%
Full Time	790	150	5	20	280	3	332
Part Time	262	31	6	14	106	0	105
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.52	\$7.83	\$8.38	\$8.46	\$5.68	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,188	\$909	\$1,051	\$1,110	\$744	\$1,073

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,153	446	13	79	522	25	1,068
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,625	645	36	108	1,123	30	1,683
Participants in a Work Activity	3,124	564	34	94	935	27	1,470
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	94%	87%	83%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	1,804	334	17	53	624	12	764
% of Total Enrolled	50%	52%	47%	49%	56%	40%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.78	\$7.71	\$8.74	\$8.61	\$9.30	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,174	\$889	\$1,106	\$1,105	\$1,294	\$1,058
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	79%	80%	68%	79%	82%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	37,647	7,824	283	1323	9,384	370	18,463
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,550	5,944	215	949	7,637	268	14,537
Participants in a Work Activity	28,389	5,737	205	882	7,230	251	14,084
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	19,942	3,759	145	604	5,142	145	10,147
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.68	\$7.12	\$8.23	\$7.71	\$7.69	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$1,028	\$893	\$1,053	\$1,023	\$965	\$959
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	66%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,094	182	56	395	306	155
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	565	101	18	208	146	92
Participants in a Work Activity	361	41	12	130	107	71
% of Enrolled	64%	41%	67%	63%	73%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	2	0	0	2
Participants Employed	279	39	10	105	70	55
% of Enrolled	49%	39%	56%	50%	48%	60%
Full Time	196	27	9	75	49	36
Part Time	83	12	1	30	21	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.25	\$8.53	\$8.01	\$8.78	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,073	\$1,198	\$1,019	\$1,104	\$1,016

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	565	81	31	194	166	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	937	140	34	323	267	173
Participants in a Work Activity	777	83	31	285	231	147
% of Total Enrolled	83%	59%	91%	88%	87%	85%
Participants Employed	505	58	14	194	136	103
% of Total Enrolled	54%	41%	41%	60%	51%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.19	\$8.62	\$8.24	\$9.34	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,049	\$1,042	\$1,010	\$1,177	\$1,007
Three Months Employment ⁸	80%	91%	71%	86%	79%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,938	1,362	532	2,730	2,589	1,725
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,599	945	362	2,127	1,703	1,462
Participants in a Work Activity	6,234	900	344	1,961	1,629	1,400
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,333	571	217	1,448	1,002	1,095
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	60%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.60	\$7.43	\$8.00	\$8.56	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$958	\$922	\$1,025	\$1,125	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	69%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	418	78	19	50	44	28	58	36	36	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	154	31	9	20	12	9	37	6	9	21
Participants in a Work Activity	117	22	3	16	9	7	34	3	7	16
% of Enrolled	76%	71%	33%	80%	75%	78%	92%	50%	78%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	86	16	3	7	9	5	27	0	5	14
% of Enrolled	56%	52%	33%	35%	75%	56%	73%	0%	56%	67%
Full Time	73	13	3	5	6	4	24	N/A	5	13
Part Time	13	3	0	2	3	1	3	N/A	0	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.97	\$7.88	\$8.18	\$7.89	\$8.38	\$7.68	N/A	\$7.76	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,267	\$1,141	\$1,057	\$980	\$1,171	\$1,042	N/A	\$1,008	\$997

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	194	35	9	25	13	9	43	14	18	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	290	59	12	39	22	19	58	17	20	44
Participants in a Work Activity	262	53	11	36	21	17	55	15	16	38
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	92%	92%	95%	89%	95%	88%	80%	86%
Participants Employed	160	32	6	19	14	11	34	6	12	26
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	50%	49%	64%	58%	59%	35%	60%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.58	\$8.21	\$8.49	\$8.14	\$7.90	\$7.68	\$7.62	\$7.54	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$991	\$1,164	\$1,172	\$1,063	\$1,084	\$1,015	\$951	\$917	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	79%	80%	80%	43%	100%	89%	44%	67%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,739	576	278	341	411	211	446	324	339	813
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,799	458	188	282	324	145	334	265	204	599
Participants in a Work Activity	2,626	437	178	267	305	139	310	257	191	542
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	94%	96%	93%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,754	308	96	163	216	94	218	149	126	384
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	58%	67%	65%	65%	56%	62%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$7.05	\$7.46	\$6.84	\$7.04	\$7.09	\$6.68	\$6.96	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$898	\$869	\$875	\$983	\$859	\$900	\$909	\$858	\$919	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	48%	61%	51%	69%	71%	53%	39%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,405	19	91	159	44	129	1,243	1,684	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,384	7	29	72	20	76	510	654	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,070	5	21	50	11	60	408	507	8
% of Enrolled	77%	71%	72%	69%	55%	79%	80%	78%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	5	0	0	3	3	0
Participants Employed	728	3	17	40	9	35	257	359	8
% of Enrolled	53%	43%	59%	56%	45%	46%	50%	55%	50%
Full Time	560	2	16	28	7	12	190	299	6
Part Time	168	1	1	12	2	23	67	60	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$6.35	\$7.81	\$8.34	\$9.81	\$8.16	\$8.21	\$8.09	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$912	\$1,027	\$1,056	\$1,357	\$855	\$1,063	\$1,094	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,674	4	49	85	12	58	554	885	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,616	10	61	130	30	126	869	1,363	27
Participants in a Work Activity	2,251	8	51	102	19	112	779	1,163	17
% of Total Enrolled	86%	80%	84%	78%	63%	89%	90%	85%	63%
Participants Employed	1,313	6	28	72	12	59	462	661	13
% of Total Enrolled	50%	60%	46%	55%	40%	47%	53%	48%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$7.39	\$7.80	\$8.77	\$9.90	\$8.37	\$8.27	\$8.28	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,128	\$962	\$1,089	\$1,373	\$891	\$1,030	\$1,098	\$1,023
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	67%	54%	67%	82%	72%	79%	75%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,994	165	1,086	1,162	348	1,130	9,397	13,311	395
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,424	120	771	926	248	865	6,958	10,250	286
Participants in a Work Activity	19,435	113	716	857	235	831	6,768	9,650	265
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	14,099	68	501	638	139	534	4,835	7,185	199
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	56%	62%	69%	70%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.12	\$6.88	\$7.51	\$7.59	\$7.33	\$7.09	\$7.03	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$923	\$944	\$851	\$918	\$1,033	\$895	\$918	\$932	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	59%	61%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,119	113	88	52	70	306	490
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	424	18	33	25	36	143	169
Participants in a Work Activity	319	14	21	19	27	108	130
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	64%	76%	75%	76%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	2	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	239	10	15	10	19	74	111
% of Enrolled	56%	56%	45%	40%	53%	52%	66%
Full Time	195	8	8	8	17	52	102
Part Time	44	2	7	2	2	22	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$8.43	\$8.45	\$7.90	\$8.20	\$8.06	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,130	\$1,272	\$1,050	\$995	\$1,217	\$1,053	\$1,177

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	460	53	39	24	29	122	193
Total Enrolled in VIEW	799	44	64	44	59	248	340
Participants in a Work Activity	698	43	51	37	50	212	305
% of Total Enrolled	87%	98%	80%	84%	85%	85%	90%
Participants Employed	454	30	30	19	31	136	208
% of Total Enrolled	57%	68%	47%	43%	53%	55%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.27	\$8.78	\$8.05	\$8.40	\$7.98	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$1,246	\$1,127	\$867	\$1,214	\$1,058	\$1,169
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	71%	63%	75%	74%	68%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,493	1,215	859	379	754	2,374	3,912
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,457	811	650	311	611	1,929	3,145
Participants in a Work Activity	7,117	807	562	297	587	1,844	3,020
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	5,090	537	391	218	399	1,317	2,228
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$6.94	\$7.54	\$7.26	\$7.03	\$6.93	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$968	\$999	\$873	\$959	\$927	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	58%	58%	58%	64%	64%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through December 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/12 and Work Activity as of 12/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,970	115	119	1,000	121	2,129	1,123	407	956
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,340	35	38	371	48	999	390	124	335
Participants in a Work Activity	1,792	21	21	294	39	769	291	99	258
% of Enrolled	77%	60%	55%	79%	81%	77%	75%	80%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	0	0	1	0	10	5	0	2
Participants Employed	1,226	17	21	204	25	523	171	79	186
% of Enrolled	52%	49%	55%	55%	52%	52%	44%	64%	56%
Full Time	1,030	16	12	168	18	471	136	62	147
Part Time	196	1	9	36	7	52	35	17	39
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.33	\$7.21	\$8.16	\$8.60	\$7.97	\$8.07	\$7.80	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,189	\$894	\$1,107	\$1,211	\$1,030	\$1,089	\$1,005	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,834	52	29	448	76	1,015	476	174	564
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,125	71	48	719	91	1,601	701	226	668
Participants in a Work Activity	3,626	61	46	659	85	1,375	608	206	586
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	96%	92%	93%	86%	87%	91%	88%
Participants Employed	2,121	37	27	393	41	834	320	129	340
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	56%	55%	45%	52%	46%	57%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$8.12	\$7.85	\$8.23	\$8.84	\$8.05	\$8.17	\$7.99	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,046	\$944	\$1,085	\$1,235	\$1,030	\$1,075	\$1,018	\$1,060
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	52%	67%	77%	59%	75%	69%	78%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	53,151	1,208	997	8,555	850	17,085	8,593	3,348	12,515
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	39,104	872	796	6,295	678	12,471	6,808	2,620	8,564
Participants in a Work Activity	36,978	838	762	6,000	667	11,766	6,412	2,590	7,943
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,118	599	462	4,532	438	8,715	4,829	1,926	5,617
% of Total Enrolled	69%	69%	58%	72%	65%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.92	\$6.66	\$7.08	\$6.62	\$6.80	\$6.79	\$6.73	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$901	\$914	\$829	\$933	\$834	\$867	\$905	\$875	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	55%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	42.6%	4.26	95	\$8.37	2.37	39	61.0%	3.87	98	3.50	101
003	Albemarle	59.8%	5.98	18	\$8.72	3.11	21	82.2%	7.21	18	5.43	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	53.5%	5.35	46	\$8.16	1.93	60	40.6%	0.67	117	2.65	114
007	Amelia	52.5%	5.25	49	\$8.36	2.35	42	80.0%	6.86	23	4.82	29
009	Amherst	57.4%	5.74	27	\$7.98	1.55	79	62.2%	4.05	95	3.78	89
011	Appomattox	55.1%	5.51	38	\$7.90	1.38	90	78.6%	6.63	33	4.51	44
013	Arlington	40.3%	4.03	104	\$9.90	5.61	4	69.5%	5.21	65	4.95	26
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.2%	6.62	5	\$8.08	1.76	67	70.5%	5.36	59	4.58	40
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	66	\$7.25	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	1	5.00	24
019	Bedford County/City	52.1%	5.21	53	\$8.06	1.72	70	61.9%	4.01	96	3.65	95
021	Bland	50.0%	5.00	67	\$9.06	3.83	11	50.0%	2.14	111	3.66	94
023	Botetourt	42.3%	4.23	98	\$8.26	2.14	47	100.0%	10.00	2	5.46	11
025	Brunswick	49.5%	4.95	72	\$7.72	1.00	104	87.2%	7.99	13	4.64	36
027	Buchanan	38.0%	3.80	110	\$7.66	0.87	113	89.5%	8.35	10	4.34	55
029	Buckingham	43.1%	4.31	93	\$8.20	2.01	55	71.4%	5.51	54	3.94	79
031	Campbell	52.1%	5.21	56	\$8.12	1.84	65	67.3%	4.86	74	3.97	75
033	Caroline	41.4%	4.14	101	\$8.19	1.99	56	90.6%	8.53	8	4.89	28
035	Carroll	54.3%	5.43	44	\$7.81	1.19	98	76.3%	6.28	41	4.30	59
036	Charles City	60.0%	6.00	16	\$7.39	0.30	118	66.7%	4.76	77	3.69	92
037	Charlotte	34.6%	3.46	115	\$7.72	1.00	105	63.6%	4.29	90	2.91	112
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51.8%	5.18	57	\$8.78	3.24	16	79.5%	6.78	27	5.07	20
043	Clarke	37.5%	3.75	111	\$8.19	1.99	57	100.0%	10.00	3	5.25	15
045	Craig	25.0%	2.50	118	\$8.00	1.59	75	60.0%	3.71	100	2.60	116
047	Culpeper	61.9%	6.19	11	\$8.58	2.82	28	76.5%	6.30	40	5.11	19
049	Cumberland	50.0%	5.00	68	\$8.66	2.99	22	55.6%	3.02	106	3.67	93
051	Dickensen	42.9%	4.29	94	\$7.60	0.74	115	54.6%	2.86	108	2.63	115
053	Dinwiddie	68.2%	6.82	3	\$8.27	2.16	45	70.6%	5.38	58	4.79	32
057	Essex	54.2%	5.42	45	\$8.58	2.82	29	79.2%	6.73	28	4.99	25
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	49.9%	4.99	71	\$10.17	6.19	3	75.5%	6.14	43	5.78	5
061	Fauquier	64.1%	6.41	9	\$9.03	3.77	12	70.2%	5.32	60	5.17	17
063	Floyd	52.3%	5.23	52	\$8.37	2.37	40	50.0%	2.14	112	3.25	107
065	Fluvanna	32.5%	3.25	116	\$8.13	1.86	62	73.3%	5.81	49	3.64	96
067	Franklin County	45.2%	4.52	88	\$8.05	1.69	71	65.3%	4.54	84	3.59	98
069	Frederick	47.5%	4.75	79	\$8.73	3.14	20	63.6%	4.29	91	4.06	69
071	Giles	41.7%	4.17	100	\$7.94	1.46	86	58.8%	3.53	102	3.05	111
073	Gloucester	45.9%	4.59	85	\$7.80	1.17	100	54.2%	2.80	109	2.85	113
075	Goochland	47.2%	4.72	80	\$7.71	0.97	106	80.0%	6.86	24	4.18	65
077	Grayson	54.8%	5.48	39	\$7.76	1.08	101	70.0%	5.29	63	3.95	78

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	59.3%	5.93	20	\$8.41	2.46	36	50.0%	2.14	113	3.51	100
081	Greensville/Emporia	51.0%	5.10	63	\$7.53	0.59	117	80.6%	6.95	22	4.21	64
083	Halifax	37.3%	3.73	112	\$7.93	1.44	87	65.7%	4.61	83	3.26	106
085	Hanover	49.1%	4.91	73	\$8.74	3.16	19	68.2%	5.00	69	4.35	53
087	Henrico	55.6%	5.56	35	\$8.61	2.88	25	78.6%	6.64	32	5.03	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	55.9%	5.59	33	\$7.98	1.55	80	72.5%	5.69	50	4.27	60
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.59	76	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.79	4
093	Isle of Wight	52.1%	5.21	54	\$8.12	1.84	66	51.9%	2.43	110	3.16	108
095	James City	55.4%	5.54	36	\$8.77	3.22	18	67.2%	4.85	75	4.54	41
097	King and Queen	50.0%	5.00	69	\$8.21	2.03	54	80.0%	6.86	25	4.63	39
099	King George	41.2%	4.12	102	\$8.62	2.90	24	71.4%	5.51	55	4.18	66
101	King William	48.7%	4.87	76	\$8.49	2.63	34	80.0%	6.86	26	4.79	33
103	Lancaster	63.6%	6.36	10	\$8.14	1.89	61	42.9%	1.02	116	3.09	109
105	Lee	51.3%	5.13	60	\$7.68	0.91	111	70.2%	5.32	61	3.79	87
107	Loudoun	57.0%	5.70	29	\$10.23	6.31	2	83.8%	7.45	15	6.49	1
109	Louisa	51.8%	5.18	58	\$8.60	2.86	26	58.8%	3.53	103	3.86	85
111	Lunenburg	42.6%	4.26	96	\$7.71	0.97	107	36.4%	0.00	118	1.74	119
113	Madison	30.0%	3.00	117	\$8.91	3.52	13	66.7%	4.76	78	3.76	90
115	Mathews	57.9%	5.79	25	\$7.90	1.38	91	100.0%	10.00	4	5.72	7
117	Mecklenburg	48.9%	4.89	74	\$7.69	0.93	109	64.7%	4.45	86	3.42	103
119	Middlesex	58.6%	5.86	23	\$7.68	0.91	112	88.9%	8.25	11	5.01	23
121	Montgomery	67.1%	6.71	4	\$8.08	1.76	68	67.5%	4.89	73	4.46	47
125	Nelson	42.3%	4.23	99	\$8.63	2.92	23	90.9%	8.57	7	5.24	16
127	New Kent	40.0%	4.00	105	\$9.90	5.61	5	81.8%	7.14	19	5.59	9
131	Northampton	44.9%	4.49	90	\$7.97	1.53	82	90.0%	8.43	9	4.82	30
133	Northumberland	35.3%	3.53	114	\$7.62	0.78	114	44.4%	1.27	115	1.86	118
135	Nottoway	52.4%	5.24	51	\$7.74	1.04	102	77.1%	6.40	38	4.22	63
137	Orange	57.7%	5.77	26	\$8.08	1.76	69	93.3%	8.95	6	5.49	10
139	Page	66.0%	6.60	6	\$7.92	1.42	88	67.9%	4.95	72	4.32	56
141	Patrick	56.6%	5.66	31	\$7.74	1.04	103	69.2%	5.16	67	3.95	77
143	Pittsylvania	38.5%	3.85	109	\$7.81	1.19	99	44.7%	1.32	114	2.12	117
145	Powhatan	40.0%	4.00	106	\$9.30	4.34	9	81.8%	7.14	20	5.16	18
147	Prince Edward	42.5%	4.25	97	\$7.87	1.31	93	64.4%	4.41	88	3.32	105
149	Prince George	46.9%	4.69	81	\$8.78	3.24	17	63.2%	4.21	92	4.05	70
153	Prince William	55.7%	5.57	34	\$9.55	4.87	6	77.3%	6.43	37	5.63	8
155	Pulaski	61.4%	6.14	13	\$8.03	1.65	74	61.1%	3.89	97	3.89	81
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	60.0%	6.00	17	\$7.54	0.61	116	66.7%	4.76	79	3.79	86
161	Roanoke County	64.9%	6.49	8	\$8.56	2.78	30	63.0%	4.19	93	4.49	45
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51.0%	5.10	62	\$7.88	1.33	92	88.9%	8.25	12	4.90	27
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69.1%	6.91	2	\$8.51	2.67	31	67.1%	4.83	76	4.80	31
167	Russell	39.9%	3.99	107	\$7.99	1.57	77	70.0%	5.29	64	3.61	97
169	Scott	50.0%	5.00	70	\$7.95	1.48	83	78.3%	6.58	35	4.36	52
171	Shenandoah	40.6%	4.06	103	\$8.50	2.65	33	77.8%	6.51	36	4.41	49

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	46.3%	4.63	84	\$8.24	2.10	48	59.4%	3.62	101	3.45	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.3%	5.63	32	\$7.85	1.27	94	66.7%	4.76	80	3.89	82
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.1%	6.01	15	\$8.24	2.10	49	85.9%	7.79	14	5.30	14
179	<i>Stafford</i>	50.9%	5.09	64	\$9.34	4.43	8	78.9%	6.68	31	5.40	13
181	<i>Surry</i>	43.2%	4.32	92	\$8.05	1.69	72	75.0%	6.07	45	4.03	71
183	<i>Sussex</i>	52.5%	5.25	50	\$8.40	2.44	37	73.5%	5.84	47	4.51	43
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	54.7%	5.47	41	\$8.22	2.06	51	64.3%	4.39	89	3.97	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	48.8%	4.88	75	\$8.13	1.86	63	71.4%	5.51	56	4.09	67
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.6%	4.66	83	\$7.91	1.40	89	74.0%	5.91	46	3.99	73
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	59.1%	5.91	22	\$8.22	2.06	52	68.0%	4.97	70	4.31	57
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.9%	5.69	30	\$7.95	1.48	84	64.5%	4.42	87	3.86	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	61.8%	6.18	12	\$7.69	0.93	110	55.0%	2.93	107	3.35	104
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	46.8%	4.68	82	\$8.37	2.37	41	72.3%	5.65	51	4.24	61
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.0%	5.10	61	\$9.52	4.81	7	83.3%	7.38	16	5.76	6
520	<i>Bristol</i>	37.2%	3.72	113	\$7.85	1.27	95	62.9%	4.16	94	3.05	110
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	58.4%	5.84	24	\$8.47	2.58	35	73.5%	5.84	48	4.76	34
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	54.7%	5.47	42	\$8.23	2.08	50	76.9%	6.37	39	4.64	37
590	<i>Danville</i>	39.3%	3.93	108	\$8.18	1.97	58	70.1%	5.31	62	3.74	91
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	45.1%	4.51	89	\$8.84	3.37	14	58.5%	3.49	104	3.79	88
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	59.5%	5.95	19	\$8.60	2.86	27	66.7%	4.76	81	4.53	42
640	<i>Galax</i>	51.6%	5.16	59	\$7.71	0.97	108	81.8%	7.14	21	4.43	48
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.2%	5.32	47	\$8.27	2.16	46	79.0%	6.70	30	4.73	35
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	54.8%	5.48	40	\$7.98	1.55	81	68.2%	5.01	68	4.01	72
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	54.5%	5.45	43	\$7.95	1.48	85	68.0%	4.97	71	3.97	76
683	<i>Manassas</i>	43.8%	4.38	91	\$9.20	4.13	10	66.0%	4.65	82	4.39	51
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	9.5%	0.95	119	\$11.97	10.00	1	83.3%	7.38	17	6.11	2
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.5%	4.85	77	\$8.28	2.18	44	75.5%	6.15	42	4.39	50
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.1%	5.21	55	\$8.05	1.69	73	75.3%	6.12	44	4.34	54
720	<i>Norton</i>	59.3%	5.93	21	\$7.82	1.21	97	58.3%	3.45	105	3.53	99
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	61.2%	6.12	14	\$8.32	2.27	43	79.1%	6.72	29	5.04	21
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.6%	4.56	86	\$8.17	1.95	59	69.4%	5.19	66	3.90	80
750	<i>Radford</i>	66.0%	6.60	7	\$7.83	1.23	96	72.0%	5.60	52	4.48	46
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	45.4%	4.54	87	\$8.22	2.06	53	71.9%	5.59	53	4.06	68
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	52.6%	5.26	48	\$8.13	1.86	64	64.8%	4.46	85	3.86	84
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.1%	5.71	28	\$7.99	1.57	78	78.5%	6.62	34	4.63	38
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.9%	5.09	65	\$8.39	2.42	38	70.9%	5.43	57	4.31	58
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	48.1%	4.81	78	\$8.51	2.67	32	100.0%	10.00	5	5.83	3
840	<i>Winchester</i>	55.3%	5.53	37	\$8.80	3.28	15	60.9%	3.86	99	4.23	62

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.2%	1.83	115	\$858	2.91	92	65.0%	5.85	49	3.53	107
003	Albemarle	72.4%	7.56	11	\$1,008	6.01	20	58.2%	4.29	98	5.95	24
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.3%	7.00	20	\$840	2.53	103	58.1%	4.27	101	4.60	80
007	Amelia	59.4%	4.06	94	\$960	5.01	37	63.7%	5.55	61	4.87	66
009	Amherst	66.6%	5.99	53	\$876	3.27	80	59.7%	4.65	85	4.63	78
011	Appomattox	70.1%	6.95	23	\$874	3.23	83	56.2%	3.85	106	4.68	77
013	Arlington	71.3%	7.26	15	\$1,070	7.28	8	69.5%	6.87	18	7.13	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.12	49	\$961	5.03	36	67.3%	6.37	37	5.84	28
017	Bath	64.0%	5.29	70	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	5.00	75	3.43	110
019	Bedford County/City	64.6%	5.44	67	\$858	2.91	93	60.5%	4.82	81	4.39	88
021	Bland	60.1%	4.23	91	\$867	3.08	89	56.5%	3.91	105	3.74	105
023	Botetourt	44.4%	0.00	120	\$938	4.55	51	58.4%	4.35	95	2.97	117
025	Brunswick	56.7%	3.32	106	\$831	2.34	109	67.4%	6.40	36	4.02	99
027	Buchanan	53.1%	2.35	114	\$838	2.50	104	63.8%	5.56	60	3.47	109
029	Buckingham	56.3%	3.20	108	\$947	4.75	47	61.5%	5.04	74	4.33	90
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.51	37	\$900	3.77	72	63.6%	5.52	62	5.27	54
033	Caroline	60.4%	4.32	87	\$958	4.98	41	57.3%	4.08	103	4.46	89
035	Carroll	66.7%	6.02	51	\$832	2.37	108	54.4%	3.43	111	3.94	100
036	Charles City	56.7%	3.31	107	\$944	4.67	49	51.8%	2.84	115	3.61	106
037	Charlotte	51.0%	1.78	117	\$926	4.30	58	57.0%	4.02	104	3.37	112
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.08	78	\$1,028	6.40	15	69.1%	6.78	25	6.09	21
043	Clarke	58.1%	3.70	98	\$986	5.55	26	62.7%	5.32	67	4.86	72
045	Craig	50.9%	1.75	118	\$1,039	6.64	12	63.0%	5.38	66	4.59	81
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.52	64	\$972	5.26	32	66.5%	6.18	40	5.65	34
049	Cumberland	58.0%	3.66	102	\$951	4.81	45	58.1%	4.28	99	4.25	94
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.27	89	\$835	2.43	105	54.8%	3.53	108	3.41	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	5.89	54	\$968	5.18	33	58.5%	4.36	93	5.15	60
057	Essex	67.2%	6.17	46	\$869	3.13	87	50.2%	2.48	117	3.93	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.1%	6.13	48	\$1,159	9.12	3	69.2%	6.80	23	7.35	5
061	Fauquier	69.1%	6.68	33	\$1,032	6.50	14	69.0%	6.75	26	6.64	10
063	Floyd	65.6%	5.72	58	\$936	4.52	53	54.5%	3.46	110	4.57	79
065	Fluvanna	60.3%	4.29	88	\$1,044	6.74	10	61.1%	4.96	77	5.33	47
067	Franklin County	61.7%	4.67	83	\$950	4.81	46	58.1%	4.27	100	4.58	82
069	Frederick	57.1%	3.41	105	\$1,011	6.05	19	61.9%	5.13	71	4.86	69
071	Giles	58.0%	3.66	103	\$954	4.89	44	53.0%	3.12	113	3.89	101
073	Gloucester	65.0%	5.55	63	\$851	2.77	98	58.6%	4.38	92	4.23	96
075	Goochland	67.4%	6.22	42	\$893	3.63	76	65.7%	5.99	47	5.28	55
077	Grayson	66.0%	5.83	55	\$856	2.86	95	61.3%	5.00	76	4.56	83

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.5%	8.40	4	\$916	4.11	65	56.0%	3.80	107	5.43	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.6%	3.82	97	\$821	2.15	113	64.1%	5.63	55	3.87	104
083	Halifax	56.1%	3.15	110	\$842	2.58	101	58.6%	4.40	90	3.38	113
085	Hanover	63.6%	5.19	72	\$1,053	6.92	9	65.9%	6.05	45	6.05	23
087	Henrico	67.3%	6.19	43	\$1,023	6.30	17	66.5%	6.18	41	6.22	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.6%	5.19	73	\$942	4.63	50	64.5%	5.72	52	5.18	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.26	5	\$784	1.37	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.55	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.7%	6.56	35	\$914	4.05	66	59.8%	4.66	84	5.09	63
095	James City	68.9%	6.61	34	\$918	4.14	64	60.7%	4.87	79	5.21	58
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.79	116	\$875	3.27	81	47.6%	1.90	118	2.32	120
099	King George	59.9%	4.19	92	\$922	4.22	60	58.3%	4.33	96	4.25	95
101	King William	57.8%	3.61	104	\$983	5.49	28	60.7%	4.85	80	4.65	75
103	Lancaster	66.7%	6.01	52	\$859	2.93	91	51.3%	2.74	116	3.89	103
105	Lee	65.0%	5.56	62	\$794	1.59	117	72.4%	7.53	7	4.89	71
107	Loudoun	77.3%	8.90	3	\$1,202	10.00	1	71.9%	7.40	9	8.77	1
109	Louisa	61.8%	4.70	80	\$986	5.54	27	62.2%	5.20	69	5.15	61
111	Lunenburg	49.7%	1.41	119	\$810	1.91	115	59.7%	4.63	86	2.65	119
113	Madison	60.9%	4.46	85	\$998	5.78	23	60.8%	4.88	78	5.04	65
115	Mathews	64.8%	5.51	65	\$900	3.77	73	69.3%	6.82	21	5.37	45
117	Mecklenburg	66.0%	5.83	56	\$840	2.54	102	67.6%	6.44	34	4.94	67
119	Middlesex	65.3%	5.63	61	\$909	3.97	68	71.0%	7.20	12	5.60	37
121	Montgomery	78.3%	9.15	2	\$937	4.54	52	63.9%	5.58	58	6.42	14
125	Nelson	61.3%	4.57	84	\$1,016	6.16	18	64.3%	5.68	54	5.47	44
127	New Kent	56.0%	3.14	111	\$1,033	6.50	13	72.0%	7.42	8	5.69	33
131	Northampton	63.6%	5.18	74	\$847	2.69	99	79.7%	9.18	2	5.69	32
133	Northumberland	56.2%	3.19	109	\$858	2.90	94	52.6%	3.03	114	3.04	116
135	Nottoway	67.3%	6.19	44	\$901	3.80	71	69.5%	6.86	19	5.62	36
137	Orange	72.3%	7.54	12	\$962	5.06	35	59.8%	4.66	83	5.75	30
139	Page	69.7%	6.84	30	\$992	5.67	24	60.1%	4.72	82	5.74	31
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.18	45	\$823	2.18	112	74.7%	8.03	6	5.46	43
143	Pittsylvania	53.6%	2.48	113	\$870	3.15	86	59.6%	4.62	87	3.41	108
145	Powhatan	54.1%	2.61	112	\$965	5.12	34	64.1%	5.63	56	4.45	87
147	Prince Edward	69.7%	6.84	29	\$883	3.43	79	68.0%	6.53	31	5.60	35
149	Prince George	60.2%	4.25	90	\$999	5.81	22	58.5%	4.36	94	4.81	73
153	Prince William	72.1%	7.49	13	\$1,194	9.82	2	70.6%	7.10	14	8.14	2
155	Pulaski	63.7%	5.20	71	\$946	4.71	48	53.7%	3.27	112	4.39	92
157	Rappahannock	58.8%	3.89	96	\$958	4.97	42	43.6%	0.98	119	3.28	114
159	Richmond County	61.8%	4.68	81	\$919	4.16	62	39.3%	0.00	120	2.95	118
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	8.03	8	\$1,044	6.73	11	58.2%	4.30	97	6.36	16
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.5%	7.04	18	\$866	3.07	90	67.1%	6.32	38	5.48	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.0%	7.72	10	\$978	5.38	29	67.7%	6.45	33	6.52	12
167	Russell	60.9%	4.44	86	\$854	2.82	97	67.1%	6.32	39	4.53	85
169	Scott	58.1%	3.69	100	\$888	3.52	77	77.0%	8.55	5	5.25	56
171	Shenandoah	59.7%	4.11	93	\$934	4.48	54	70.2%	7.02	16	5.20	57

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.70	99	\$856	2.86	96	63.4%	5.49	65	4.02	97
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.68	101	\$829	2.31	110	54.6%	3.47	109	3.15	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.1%	6.39	39	\$1,025	6.35	16	68.7%	6.69	27	6.48	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.8%	3.89	95	\$1,125	8.40	4	68.0%	6.53	32	6.27	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	6.94	25	\$873	3.22	84	57.5%	4.14	102	4.77	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.3%	5.64	59	\$959	4.99	39	63.9%	5.59	57	5.41	50
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.2%	6.16	47	\$816	2.03	114	65.4%	5.93	48	4.71	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.0%	6.37	40	\$1,008	5.99	21	68.7%	6.69	28	6.35	18
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.3%	5.11	76	\$895	3.67	74	58.6%	4.39	91	4.39	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.1%	5.32	68	\$923	4.24	59	64.8%	5.80	50	5.12	64
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.3%	5.09	77	\$824	2.20	111	66.5%	6.18	42	4.49	86
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.11	50	\$843	2.59	100	66.4%	6.16	43	4.96	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.7%	4.68	82	\$895	3.66	75	59.6%	4.61	88	4.32	93
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	6.97	22	\$1,079	7.46	7	77.2%	8.62	4	7.68	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.5%	6.23	41	\$872	3.20	85	62.1%	5.17	70	4.87	70
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$920	4.18	61	65.7%	6.00	46	6.73	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.0%	7.45	14	\$933	4.45	55	64.6%	5.76	51	5.89	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.6%	5.17	75	\$807	1.85	116	61.8%	5.11	73	4.04	98
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.6%	5.45	66	\$834	2.42	106	64.3%	5.68	53	4.52	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.9%	8.24	6	\$989	5.60	25	66.4%	6.15	44	6.66	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.9%	5.00	79	\$885	3.46	78	69.6%	6.87	17	5.11	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	6.77	32	\$918	4.15	63	63.6%	5.52	63	5.48	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.3%	6.44	38	\$927	4.33	57	63.5%	5.51	64	5.43	49
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.8%	6.85	28	\$834	2.40	107	68.6%	6.66	29	5.30	53
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.31	69	\$1,106	8.02	5	70.8%	7.15	13	6.83	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	70.3%	6.99	21	\$1,093	7.75	6	77.5%	8.68	3	7.81	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.1%	6.94	24	\$932	4.43	56	69.2%	6.79	24	6.05	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.9%	6.88	26	\$867	3.09	88	67.5%	6.40	35	5.46	41
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.5%	8.14	7	\$751	0.71	119	71.1%	7.22	11	5.36	51
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.8%	7.14	17	\$960	5.00	38	70.3%	7.05	15	6.40	17
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.9%	7.16	16	\$905	3.88	70	69.5%	6.86	20	5.97	25
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	7.00	19	\$908	3.93	69	61.8%	5.12	72	5.35	52
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.8%	6.86	27	\$959	4.98	40	71.8%	7.37	10	6.40	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.3%	5.64	60	\$975	5.31	30	62.3%	5.24	68	5.40	46
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.5%	7.86	9	\$875	3.25	82	68.1%	6.55	30	5.89	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.6%	5.72	57	\$956	4.92	43	69.3%	6.81	22	5.82	29
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.6%	6.80	31	\$910	3.98	67	63.8%	5.57	59	5.45	42
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.53	36	\$974	5.29	31	58.8%	4.43	89	5.42	48

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	10,834	534	388	893	356	160	1,220	120	237	423	458	422	1,453	374	156	1,228	329	1,983	100
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	98%	95%	96%	94%	88%	92%	90%	97%	97%	97%	95%	95%	76%	96%	97%	92%	92%	85%
% Timely in December 2012	95%	95%	96%	99%	97%	87%	94%	89%	95%	99%	94%	96%	95%	85%	94%	97%	96%	94%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	5,870	283	209	462	189	85	659	66	145	208	253	223	829	194	81	649	193	1,092	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	98%	99%	97%	98%	92%	96%	91%	99%	93%	98%	97%	94%	92%	98%	94%	93%	92%	98%
Percent in December 2012	95%	97%	100%	97%	98%	96%	94%	95%	97%	92%	98%	98%	94%	94%	97%	93%	95%	92%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	96	2	2	1	0	5	8	6	1	0	1	0	21	30	3	5	1	10	0
Average # of Days Over 30	56	13	1	108	0	43	44	82	25	0	2	0	89	60	26	17	2	29	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012		534	50	18	120	73	46	87	124	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012		98%	100%	100%	100%	97%	91%	97%	98%	88%
% Timely in December 2012		95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	96%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012		283	25	9	55	41	31	47	66	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012		98%	100%	100%	98%	98%	97%	100%	98%	89%
Percent in December 2012		97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	96%	75%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30		13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	388	4	29	16	84	64	35	127	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	97%	88%	96%	97%	94%	96%	86%
% Timely in December 2012	96%	100%	100%	33%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	209	0	19	10	47	23	21	71	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	N/A	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in December 2012	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	893	51	6	3	22	88	26	103	68	90	26	410
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	96%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	96%	92%
% Timely in December 2012	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	462	29	3	3	12	43	13	48	25	46	17	223
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in December 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	108	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	356	203	0	0	16	137
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	98%	N/A	N/A	56%	92%
% Timely in December 2012	97%	98%	N/A	N/A	83%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	189	109	0	0	8	72
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	100%	97%
Percent in December 2012	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	160	5	39	23	13	32	48	100	74	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	88%	100%	67%	96%	92%	88%	100%	85%	86%	81%
% Timely in December 2012	87%	100%	67%	100%	100%	60%	100%	92%	100%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	85	3	19	11	6	18	28	50	43	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	89%	100%	100%	78%	96%	98%	100%	86%
Percent in December 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	95%	100%	67%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,220	80	452	94	414	121	46	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	99%	97%	90%	84%	98%	89%	85%
% Timely in December 2012	94%	100%	98%	88%	88%	97%	88%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	659	50	247	43	224	62	27	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	96%	98%	92%	98%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2012	94%	100%	93%	100%	93%	96%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	5	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	44	0	0	59	19	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	120	65	31	6	13	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	98%	97%	83%	62%	20%
% Timely in December 2012	89%	92%	100%	N/A	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	66	38	18	3	5	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	91%	92%	100%	100%	60%	50%
Percent in December 2012	95%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	82	0	0	0	82	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	237	47	20	16	42	17	95
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	85%	94%	95%	100%	98%
% Timely in December 2012	95%	100%	83%	0%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	145	27	11	9	24	9	65
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2012	97%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	25	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	423	43	36	74	77	193
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%	97%
% Timely in December 2012	99%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	208	23	18	30	42	95
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	100%	87%	93%	92%
Percent in December 2012	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	458	59	145	39	66	149
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	93%	95%	92%	100%	99%
% Timely in December 2012	94%	94%	94%	70%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	253	23	92	20	32	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	99%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in December 2012	98%	100%	97%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	2	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	422	27	52	41	37	24	51	30	48	57	55
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	96%	96%	98%	97%	100%	76%	97%	100%	100%	95%
% Timely in December 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	78%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	223	12	32	23	17	10	32	17	24	25	31
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	92%	100%	96%	100%	90%	97%	100%	100%	96%	97%
Percent in December 2012	98%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,453	294	11	56	360	17	715
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	94%	82%	95%	92%	100%	97%
% Timely in December 2012	95%	96%	100%	100%	89%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	829	170	9	30	211	11	398
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	96%	89%	97%	89%	100%	95%
Percent in December 2012	94%	96%	100%	86%	90%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	21	3	0	1	14	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	89	90	0	31	108	0	19

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	374	59	26	106	111	72
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	76%	47%	100%	55%	94%	96%
% Timely in December 2012	85%	13%	100%	92%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	194	14	16	73	58	33
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	86%	100%	92%	88%	100%
Percent in December 2012	94%	100%	100%	92%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	30	27	0	2	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	60	64	0	38	2	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	156	34	6	22	12	5	33	12	13	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	97%	83%	91%	100%	100%	100%	92%	92%	100%
% Timely in December 2012	94%	88%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	81	18	1	8	5	2	25	5	5	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	94%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2012	97%	83%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	75	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,228	4	39	63	10	48	386	663	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	97%	92%	30%	92%	100%	98%	87%
% Timely in December 2012	97%	N/A	100%	89%	0%	100%	100%	97%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	649	2	16	36	6	22	201	357	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	50%	100%	100%	0%	95%	98%	92%	89%
Percent in December 2012	93%	50%	100%	100%	0%	92%	97%	91%	0%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	46

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	329	27	31	14	21	90	146
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	97%	100%	95%	80%	95%
% Timely in December 2012	96%	100%	75%	100%	100%	92%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	193	17	19	8	11	50	88
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	84%	100%	100%	94%	92%
Percent in December 2012	95%	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	2	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,983	40	17	315	67	692	339	139	374
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	53%	95%	100%	86%	91%	97%	98%
% Timely in December 2012	94%	100%	0%	93%	100%	94%	91%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,092	20	10	165	51	366	195	80	205
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	95%	100%	94%	100%	86%	92%	99%	98%
Percent in December 2012	92%	90%	100%	92%	100%	88%	90%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	7	0	0	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	34	0	0	0	19	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Dec-11</i>	<i>Dec-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,572	14,071	-3.4%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,051	126,762	-1.0%
Total Cases Collected	142,623	140,833	-1.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,501,765	\$2,271,625	-9%
NTANF Collections	\$48,176,892	\$46,027,611	-4.5%
Total Collections	\$50,678,657	\$48,299,236	-4.7%
SFY YTD Collections	\$306,722,473	\$307,093,665	0.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$356.54	\$357.13	0.2%

Paternities Established

	<i>Dec-12</i>
TANF Paternities	185
NTANF Paternities	306
Combined Paternities	491

Diversionsary Assistance

December Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Alleghany / Covington	2		Prince George	1
Amelia	2		Prince William	1
Amherst	1		Pulaski	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Roanoke County	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	5		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Culpeper	8		Shenandoah	13
Cumberland	4		Spotsylvania	1
Dinwiddie	1		Stafford	4
Fauquier	2		Warren	4
Franklin County	1		York / Poquoson	1
Frederick	5		Bristol	4
Greene	2		Charlottesville	2
Greensville / Emporia	2		Chesapeake	2
Hanover	1		Danville	1
Henrico	10		Franklin City	1
Henry / Martinsville	3		Fredericksburg	1
Isle of Wight	2		Hampton	3
King and Queen	1		Hopewell	3
King George	1		Newport News	24
Loudoun	2		Norfolk	10
Lunenburg	3		Petersburg	2
Mecklenburg	1		Richmond City	1
Montgomery	2		Roanoke City	7
Nelson	1		Suffolk	6
Orange	6		Virginia Beach	3
Pittsylvania	3		Winchester	2
			Statewide	180

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients. **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of January 11, 2012, later than scheduled. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through December 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth, declined and now seems level. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through December 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent increase is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Dec 31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of January and represent end of December data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of December it was \$8.36 rather than the \$8.26 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. While not easy to see, December has historically had an increase in part time jobs. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.