

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

January 2013

Monthly Report, January 2013

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 7,385 and a denominator of 17,473.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	22	69	32%	061	Fauquier	26	52	50%
003	Albemarle	32	75	43%	063	Floyd	7	20	35%
005	Alleghany/Covington	22	57	39%	065	Fluvanna	7	27	26%
007	Amelia	13	33	39%	067	Franklin County	36	112	32%
009	Amherst	20	42	48%	069	Frederick	27	71	38%
011	Appomattox	29	59	49%	071	Giles	7	22	32%
013	Arlington	57	105	54%	073	Gloucester	12	46	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	146	238	61%	075	Goochland	11	25	44%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	14	26	54%
019	Bedford County/City	42	102	41%	079	Greene	3	11	27%
021	Bland	0	9	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	38	94	40%
023	Botetourt	4	13	31%	083	Halifax	27	84	32%
025	Brunswick	41	77	53%	085	Hanover	21	85	25%
027	Buchanan	25	59	42%	087	Henrico	344	858	40%
029	Buckingham	15	34	44%	089	Henry/Martinsville	66	234	28%
031	Campbell	64	163	39%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	2	116	2%	093	Isle of Wight	19	52	37%
035	Carroll	27	44	61%	095	James City	34	90	38%
036	Charles City	3	10	30%	097	King and Queen	4	9	44%
037	Charlotte	19	57	33%	099	King George	9	29	31%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	204	503	41%	101	King William	7	24	29%
043	Clarke	0	8	0%	103	Lancaster	11	25	44%
045	Craig	2	6	33%	105	Lee	64	136	47%
047	Culpeper	46	90	51%	107	Loudoun	60	151	40%
049	Cumberland	14	37	38%	109	Louisa	28	54	52%
051	Dickensen	9	19	47%	111	Lunenburg	13	35	37%
053	Dinwiddie	15	53	28%	113	Madison	4	7	57%
057	Essex	13	36	36%	115	Mathews	8	18	44%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	324	733	44%	117	Mecklenburg	26	68	38%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	40	48%	187	Warren	25	74	34%
121	Montgomery	54	124	44%	191	Washington	38	79	48%
125	Nelson	4	15	27%	193	Westmoreland	13	39	33%
127	New Kent	8	29	28%	195	Wise	86	174	49%
131	Northampton	15	55	27%	197	Wythe	19	49	39%
133	Northumberland	6	10	60%	199	York/Poquoson	42	92	46%
135	Nottoway	36	86	42%	510	Alexandria	115	241	48%
137	Orange	13	41	32%	520	Bristol	71	144	49%
139	Page	8	18	44%	540	Charlottesville	83	197	42%
141	Patrick	18	56	32%	550	Chesapeake	271	608	45%
143	Pittsylvania	26	74	35%	590	Danville	86	210	41%
145	Powhatan	8	23	35%	620	Franklin City	28	63	44%
147	Prince Edward	32	61	52%	630	Fredericksburg	46	104	44%
149	Prince George	11	45	24%	640	Galax	13	27	48%
153	Prince William	306	625	49%	650	Hampton	369	824	45%
155	Pulaski	31	68	46%	670	Hopewell	58	174	33%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	133	311	43%
159	Richmond County	6	18	33%	683	Manassas	32	64	50%
161	Roanoke County	63	131	48%	685	Manassas Park	7	18	39%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	39	31%	700	Newport News	436	970	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	63	146	43%	710	Norfolk	699	1,285	54%
167	Russell	31	90	34%	720	Norton	7	24	29%
169	Scott	39	70	56%	730	Petersburg	132	298	44%
171	Shenandoah	5	14	36%	740	Portsmouth	198	614	32%
173	Smyth	41	96	43%	750	Radford	6	35	17%
175	Southampton	6	64	9%	760	Richmond City	565	1,500	38%
177	Spotsylvania	97	263	37%	770	Roanoke City	187	527	35%
179	Stafford	62	158	39%	800	Suffolk	101	194	52%
181	Surry	8	27	30%	810	Virginia Beach	244	474	51%
183	Sussex	16	52	31%	830	Williamsburg	6	30	20%
185	Tazewell	53	112	47%	840	Winchester	29	61	48%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 35% with a numerator of 5,410 and a denominator of 15,306. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	15	61	25%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	14	39	36%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	18	60	30%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	6	19	32%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	20	55	36%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	6	25	24%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	9	29	31%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	28	103	27%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	14	35	40%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	19	63	30%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	20	49	41%	071	<i>Giles</i>	3	18	17%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	38	84	45%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	8	42	19%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	105	196	54%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	9	23	39%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	10	21	48%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	29	88	33%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	10	20%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	9	0%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	31	87	36%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	4	13	31%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	15	71	21%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	29	63	46%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	13	73	18%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	23	57	40%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	238	746	32%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	10	27	37%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	38	206	18%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	45	144	31%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	1	104	1%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	13	45	29%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	22	38	58%	095	<i>James City</i>	25	81	31%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	3	10	30%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	6	33%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	14	52	27%	099	<i>King George</i>	8	28	29%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	145	439	33%	101	<i>King William</i>	3	19	16%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	8	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	9	23	39%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	4	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	50	120	42%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	32	75	43%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	25	113	22%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	12	35	34%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	22	48	46%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	8	18	44%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	11	32	34%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	8	46	17%	113	<i>Madison</i>	4	7	57%
057	<i>Essex</i>	11	33	33%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	8	17	47%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	210	615	34%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	20	62	32%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	17	36	47%	187	Warren	15	64	23%
121	Montgomery	35	105	33%	191	Washington	33	73	45%
125	Nelson	3	14	21%	193	Westmoreland	11	34	32%
127	New Kent	7	25	28%	195	Wise	61	148	41%
131	Northampton	13	53	25%	197	Wythe	16	46	35%
133	Northumberland	4	8	50%	199	York/Poquoson	30	77	39%
135	Nottoway	17	67	25%	510	Alexandria	79	202	39%
137	Orange	10	36	28%	520	Bristol	59	130	45%
139	Page	3	13	23%	540	Charlottesville	50	163	31%
141	Patrick	11	49	22%	550	Chesapeake	202	532	38%
143	Pittsylvania	23	71	32%	590	Danville	66	187	35%
145	Powhatan	4	18	22%	620	Franklin City	21	56	38%
147	Prince Edward	23	52	44%	630	Fredericksburg	32	89	36%
149	Prince George	6	39	15%	640	Galax	10	24	42%
153	Prince William	190	506	38%	650	Hampton	271	708	38%
155	Pulaski	25	62	40%	670	Hopewell	43	157	27%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	110	286	38%
159	Richmond County	5	17	29%	683	Manassas	21	52	40%
161	Roanoke County	36	104	35%	685	Manassas Park	3	14	21%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	8	34	24%	700	Newport News	332	854	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	108	24%	710	Norfolk	606	1,185	51%
167	Russell	20	79	25%	720	Norton	4	21	19%
169	Scott	29	60	48%	730	Petersburg	100	256	39%
171	Shenandoah	4	13	31%	740	Portsmouth	154	570	27%
173	Smyth	28	83	34%	750	Radford	6	34	18%
175	Southampton	6	62	10%	760	Richmond City	459	1,374	33%
177	Spotsylvania	75	233	32%	770	Roanoke City	115	454	25%
179	Stafford	37	133	28%	800	Suffolk	82	172	48%
181	Surry	3	22	14%	810	Virginia Beach	189	417	45%
183	Sussex	12	47	26%	830	Williamsburg	6	27	22%
185	Tazewell	36	95	38%	840	Winchester	23	55	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,975 and a denominator of 2,167. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	8	88%	061	Fauquier	12	13	92%
003	Albemarle	14	15	93%	063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	2	100%	065	Fluvanna	1	2	50%
007	Amelia	4	4	100%	067	Franklin County	8	9	89%
009	Amherst	6	7	86%	069	Frederick	8	8	100%
011	Appomattox	9	10	90%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	19	21	90%	073	Gloucester	4	4	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	41	42	98%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	5	80%
019	Bedford County/City	13	14	93%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	7	7	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	12	13	92%
025	Brunswick	12	14	86%	085	Hanover	8	12	67%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	106	112	95%
029	Buckingham	5	7	71%	089	Henry/Martinsville	28	28	100%
031	Campbell	19	19	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	1	12	8%	093	Isle of Wight	6	7	86%
035	Carroll	5	6	83%	095	James City	9	9	100%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	3	67%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	1	1	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59	64	92%	101	King William	4	5	80%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	2	2	100%	105	Lee	14	16	88%
047	Culpeper	14	15	93%	107	Loudoun	35	38	92%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	1	1	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	3	67%
053	Dinwiddie	7	7	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	2	3	67%	115	Mathews	0	1	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	114	118	97%	117	Mecklenburg	6	6	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	4	50%	187	Warren	10	10	100%
121	Montgomery	19	19	100%	191	Washington	5	6	83%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	5	40%
127	New Kent	1	4	25%	195	Wise	25	26	96%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	3	3	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	12	15	80%
135	Nottoway	19	19	100%	510	Alexandria	36	39	92%
137	Orange	3	5	60%	520	Bristol	12	14	86%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	33	34	97%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	69	76	91%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	20	23	87%
145	Powhatan	4	5	80%	620	Franklin City	7	7	100%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	14	15	93%
149	Prince George	5	6	83%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	116	119	97%	650	Hampton	98	116	84%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	15	17	88%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	23	25	92%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	11	12	92%
161	Roanoke County	27	27	100%	685	Manassas Park	4	4	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	5	80%	700	Newport News	104	116	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	37	38	97%	710	Norfolk	93	100	93%
167	Russell	11	11	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	10	10	100%	730	Petersburg	32	42	76%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	44	44	100%
173	Smyth	13	13	100%	750	Radford	0	1	0%
175	Southampton	0	2	0%	760	Richmond City	106	126	84%
177	Spotsylvania	22	30	73%	770	Roanoke City	72	73	99%
179	Stafford	25	25	100%	800	Suffolk	19	22	86%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	55	57	96%
183	Sussex	4	5	80%	830	Williamsburg	0	3	0%
185	Tazewell	17	17	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Jan-13	Jan-12	Change from Jan-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	6,034	4,553	33%	4,807	26%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,631	2,356	54%	1,658	119%
Applications Approved	1,482	1,800	-18%	2,820	-47%
Cases Closed *	2,290	2,459	-7%	4,476	-49%
Cases at End of Month	30,686	32,420	-5%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,117	33,357	-7%	70,403	-56%

•The database indicates that of the 2,290 closed cases, 833 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 501 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 214 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 141 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Jan-13	Jan-12	Change from Jan-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	602	500	20%	338	78%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	417	250	67%	232	80%
Applications Approved	195	200	-3%	81	141%
Cases Closed *	234	235	-0.4%	107	119%
Cases at End of Month	1,718	1,867	-8%	321	435%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,735	1,972	-12%	394	340%

•The database indicates that of the 234 closed cases, 105 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 71 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 28 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 22 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,404	1,612	999	2,453	858	513	3,633	377	671	1,285	1,401	1,044	5,269	1,107	421	3,399	1,133	5,950	279
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,794	583	429	801	323	193	1,409	125	306	576	407	489	2,193	577	165	1,377	422	2,313	106
Part. in a Work Activity	9,494	458	351	551	251	138	1,105	92	263	405	301	374	1,557	371	124	1,061	294	1,752	46
% of Enrolled	74%	79%	82%	69%	78%	72%	78%	74%	86%	70%	74%	76%	71%	64%	75%	77%	70%	76%	43%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	99	0	4	8	0	13	11	7	1	1	2	4	15	2	4	12	4	11	0
Participants Employed	6,227	275	192	420	221	91	686	70	147	274	165	209	1,016	269	88	692	212	1,164	36
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	45%	52%	68%	47%	49%	56%	48%	48%	41%	43%	46%	47%	53%	50%	50%	50%	34%
Full Time	4,839	241	156	332	183	72	507	55	103	191	126	154	754	196	70	530	178	965	26
Part Time	1,388	34	36	88	38	19	179	15	44	83	39	55	262	73	18	162	34	199	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.72	\$7.80	\$8.05	\$8.16	\$8.27	\$9.40	\$8.38	\$8.46	\$8.02	\$7.87	\$7.72	\$8.40	\$8.29	\$8.03	\$8.15	\$7.99	\$8.05	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,042	\$1,027	\$1,113	\$1,119	\$1,097	\$1,226	\$1,121	\$1,071	\$1,011	\$1,037	\$986	\$1,099	\$1,071	\$1,071	\$1,072	\$1,101	\$1,052	\$1,002

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	18,288	900	647	1,525	546	302	2,159	224	429	664	760	645	2,595	674	225	1,988	562	3,273	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW	24,955	1,126	886	1,834	698	418	2,772	278	587	1,044	937	902	3,881	1,011	319	2,786	850	4,420	206
Part. in a Work Activity	21,972	1,045	805	1,595	646	353	2,506	241	558	911	849	798	3,333	835	287	2,429	733	3,878	170
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	91%	87%	93%	84%	90%	87%	95%	87%	91%	88%	86%	83%	90%	87%	86%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	12,800	547	406	1,009	458	216	1,439	151	314	566	432	427	1,915	545	177	1,398	473	2,245	82
% of Total Enrolled	51%	49%	46%	55%	66%	52%	52%	54%	53%	54%	46%	47%	49%	54%	55%	50%	56%	51%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$7.93	\$7.90	\$8.15	\$8.25	\$8.47	\$9.86	\$8.62	\$8.56	\$8.05	\$7.97	\$7.88	\$8.48	\$8.63	\$8.07	\$8.32	\$8.25	\$8.18	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,073	\$1,059	\$1,105	\$1,115	\$1,116	\$1,292	\$1,164	\$1,026	\$984	\$1,058	\$975	\$1,095	\$1,064	\$1,037	\$1,064	\$1,134	\$1,055	\$1,045
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	69%	65%	63%	71%	66%	77%	79%	72%	67%	67%	71%	75%	78%	77%	75%	71%	74%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	283,783	17,427	10,689	21,168	8,344	5,491	35,370	4,031	6,181	10,683	13,068	8,217	37,822	8,994	3,753	27,111	9,533	53,319	2,582
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	218,628	13,434	8,995	16,304	6,461	4,104	27,332	3,041	4,783	8,875	10,483	6,397	29,720	6,658	2,823	20,531	7,488	39,286	1,913
Part. in a Work Activity	208,982	12,932	8,630	15,538	6,291	3,878	26,352	2,930	4,669	8,379	10,152	6,121	28,522	6,280	2,647	19,551	7,133	37,134	1,843
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	147,121	8,394	5,781	11,044	4,491	2,659	19,147	2,039	3,535	6,053	6,418	3,882	20,016	4,364	1,766	14,165	5,103	27,201	1,063
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	68%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$6.27	\$6.38	\$7.14	\$7.22	\$7.42	\$8.74	\$7.52	\$7.45	\$6.67	\$6.44	\$6.84	\$7.41	\$7.98	\$7.06	\$7.09	\$7.06	\$6.91	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$826	\$863	\$959	\$959	\$990	\$1,157	\$991	\$947	\$861	\$857	\$880	\$988	\$1,021	\$899	\$924	\$952	\$902	\$854
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	60%	68%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,612	164	68	298	217	162	249	405	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	583	53	15	141	73	74	81	131	15
Participants in a Work Activity	458	50	11	114	63	50	74	87	9
% of Enrolled	79%	94%	73%	81%	86%	68%	91%	66%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	275	25	6	64	28	33	45	67	7
% of Enrolled	47%	47%	40%	45%	38%	45%	56%	51%	47%
Full Time	241	18	6	53	25	27	42	63	7
Part Time	34	7	0	11	3	6	3	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.82	\$7.60	\$7.66	\$7.64	\$8.04	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,042	\$1,063	\$1,216	\$1,014	\$981	\$1,052	\$1,054	\$1,067	\$960

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	900	82	30	171	120	88	165	224	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,126	87	33	241	153	127	173	283	29
Participants in a Work Activity	1,045	85	30	232	137	116	169	256	20
% of Total Enrolled	93%	98%	91%	96%	90%	91%	98%	90%	69%
Participants Employed	547	33	12	124	61	63	92	146	16
% of Total Enrolled	49%	38%	36%	51%	40%	50%	53%	52%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$7.79	\$7.60	\$7.70	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$8.18	\$7.97	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$1,046	\$1,158	\$1,012	\$1,060	\$1,094	\$1,125	\$1,091	\$1,060
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	96%	50%	71%	68%	77%	65%	66%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,427	1,693	1,195	2,468	2,399	1,715	3,328	4,068	561
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,434	1,286	930	1,902	1,927	1,262	2,542	3,143	442
Participants in a Work Activity	12,932	1,206	879	1,888	1,830	1,239	2,453	3,034	403
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	91%
Participants Employed	8,394	683	559	1,236	1,173	732	1,705	1,978	328
% of Total Enrolled	62%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.27	\$6.42	\$6.30	\$6.05	\$6.44	\$6.58	\$6.18	\$6.28	\$6.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$826	\$840	\$835	\$795	\$855	\$889	\$816	\$824	\$751
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	999	17	113	49	198	190	104	267	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	429	6	42	18	80	66	31	162	24
Participants in a Work Activity	351	3	29	17	67	56	25	134	20
% of Enrolled	82%	N/A	69%	94%	84%	85%	81%	83%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	192	2	26	11	43	31	19	46	14
% of Enrolled	45%	N/A	62%	61%	54%	47%	61%	28%	58%
Full Time	156	1	22	10	39	25	16	35	8
Part Time	36	1	4	1	4	6	3	11	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	N/A	\$7.67	\$7.71	\$7.74	\$8.00	\$7.59	\$7.82	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	N/A	\$1,032	\$1,122	\$1,067	\$1,043	\$1,007	\$993	\$918

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	647	13	60	24	143	120	64	185	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	886	12	88	37	177	138	62	308	64
Participants in a Work Activity	805	7	79	36	155	130	60	281	57
% of Total Enrolled	91%	58%	90%	97%	88%	94%	97%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	406	5	47	18	80	67	38	118	33
% of Total Enrolled	46%	42%	53%	49%	45%	49%	61%	38%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$8.85	\$7.79	\$7.80	\$8.20	\$7.94	\$7.69	\$7.84	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,261	\$1,088	\$1,114	\$1,197	\$1,070	\$1,022	\$995	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	67%	74%	69%	61%	71%	53%	59%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,689	264	1,432	675	1,876	1,851	1,581	2,269	741
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,995	200	1,182	551	1,585	1,540	1,258	2,028	651
Participants in a Work Activity	8,630	186	1,090	514	1,530	1,501	1,235	1,954	620
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	92%	93%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,781	119	786	362	921	974	842	1,368	409
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	66%	66%	58%	63%	67%	67%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.38	\$6.30	\$6.19	\$6.41	\$6.23	\$6.62	\$6.37	\$6.44	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$863	\$865	\$832	\$857	\$857	\$895	\$843	\$872	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	63%	58%	66%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,453	107	42	7	61	222	59	287	183	256	75	1,154
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	801	34	14	1	14	113	9	90	51	102	30	343
Participants in a Work Activity	551	27	7	1	11	93	6	65	39	76	21	205
% of Enrolled	69%	79%	50%	100%	79%	82%	67%	72%	76%	75%	70%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	2	0
Participants Employed	420	20	7	1	10	44	5	53	29	53	18	180
% of Enrolled	52%	59%	50%	100%	71%	39%	56%	59%	57%	52%	60%	52%
Full Time	332	14	6	1	9	32	4	45	27	42	9	143
Part Time	88	6	1	0	1	12	1	8	2	11	9	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.99	\$7.83	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$8.05	\$8.02	\$7.84	\$8.02	\$7.84	\$8.01	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,113	\$1,058	\$1,143	\$1,039	\$1,131	\$1,093	\$1,099	\$1,068	\$1,191	\$1,023	\$917	\$1,168

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,525	69	14	6	34	148	43	184	102	141	45	739
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,834	78	28	4	46	196	48	225	122	184	54	849
Participants in a Work Activity	1,595	72	18	4	39	179	47	199	111	166	46	714
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	64%	100%	85%	91%	98%	88%	91%	90%	85%	84%
Participants Employed	1,009	42	12	1	23	91	21	146	72	126	37	438
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	43%	25%	50%	46%	44%	65%	59%	68%	69%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.06	\$8.20	\$8.00	\$8.37	\$8.04	\$7.98	\$8.06	\$8.03	\$8.49	\$7.98	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,005	\$1,047	\$1,032	\$1,192	\$1,050	\$1,039	\$1,096	\$1,124	\$1,127	\$992	\$1,133
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	41%	67%	60%	44%	67%	45%	68%	58%	63%	73%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,168	1,173	357	97	549	1,869	543	3,002	1,847	2,315	828	8,588
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,304	892	226	55	401	1,435	395	2,455	1,468	1,860	647	6,470
Participants in a Work Activity	15,538	856	198	54	387	1,294	388	2,413	1,411	1,755	619	6,163
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,044	625	100	28	263	889	230	1,915	935	1,384	455	4,220
% of Total Enrolled	68%	70%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$6.90	\$7.38	\$7.24	\$7.02	\$7.06	\$7.10	\$6.97	\$6.83	\$7.65	\$7.04	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$840	\$942	\$1,039	\$936	\$951	\$955	\$937	\$945	\$1,043	\$908	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	57%	63%	54%	58%	53%	64%	54%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	858	512	3	1	75	267
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	323	189	0	1	23	110
Participants in a Work Activity	251	155	0	1	11	84
% of Enrolled	78%	82%	N/A	100%	48%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	221	139	0	1	11	70
% of Enrolled	68%	74%	N/A	100%	48%	64%
Full Time	183	117	0	1	8	57
Part Time	38	22	0	0	3	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.92	N/A	\$8.00	\$7.72	\$8.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,082	N/A	\$1,386	\$910	\$1,222

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	546	307	0	3	26	210
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	698	394	2	1	51	250
Participants in a Work Activity	646	372	2	1	35	236
% of Total Enrolled	93%	94%	100%	100%	69%	94%
Participants Employed	458	262	1	1	26	168
% of Total Enrolled	66%	66%	50%	100%	51%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.07	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.84	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,057	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$960	\$1,222
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	73%	N/A	N/A	63%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,344	4,468	79	22	727	3,048
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,461	3,515	50	8	544	2,344
Participants in a Work Activity	6,291	3,456	50	8	497	2,280
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	91%	97%
Participants Employed	4,491	2,362	32	6	383	1,708
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	64%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.21	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.76	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$961	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$980
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	513	16	154	58	46	127	112	279	185	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	193	4	63	20	7	48	51	106	51	55
Participants in a Work Activity	138	1	38	20	6	32	41	46	26	20
% of Enrolled	72%	25%	60%	100%	86%	67%	80%	43%	51%	36%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	1	0	1	9	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	91	1	27	15	4	16	28	36	19	17
% of Enrolled	47%	25%	43%	75%	57%	33%	55%	34%	37%	31%
Full Time	72	1	22	11	3	11	24	26	13	13
Part Time	19	0	5	4	1	5	4	10	6	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.00	\$8.37	\$8.30	\$8.35	\$8.25	\$8.16	\$7.72	\$7.86	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,039	\$1,137	\$1,114	\$1,200	\$1,073	\$1,051	\$1,002	\$1,026	\$975

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	302	8	98	32	22	59	83	170	114	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	418	9	112	58	32	96	111	206	130	76
Participants in a Work Activity	353	6	94	52	27	75	99	170	107	63
% of Total Enrolled	84%	67%	84%	90%	84%	78%	89%	83%	82%	83%
Participants Employed	216	3	53	38	13	45	64	82	50	32
% of Total Enrolled	52%	33%	47%	66%	41%	47%	58%	40%	38%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.19	\$8.69	\$7.96	\$8.50	\$8.28	\$8.73	\$8.20	\$8.34	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,064	\$1,144	\$1,021	\$1,274	\$1,016	\$1,190	\$1,045	\$1,095	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	100%	68%	66%	80%	71%	60%	71%	60%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,491	213	1,207	831	669	1,303	1,268	2,582	1,641	941
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,104	130	892	679	409	990	1004	1,913	1,188	725
Participants in a Work Activity	3,878	121	832	663	397	914	951	1,843	1,156	687
% of Total Enrolled	94%	93%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,659	75	508	473	244	670	689	1,063	605	458
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$7.47	\$7.78	\$7.35	\$6.79	\$7.48	\$7.36	\$6.79	\$6.84	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$990	\$986	\$1,012	\$992	\$934	\$1,009	\$974	\$854	\$859	\$847
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	71%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,633	245	1,463	217	1,116	399	157	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,409	74	500	126	484	168	49	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,105	60	420	93	358	132	38	4
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	84%	74%	74%	79%	78%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	4	1	2	0	3	1
Participants Employed	686	41	233	60	250	81	20	1
% of Enrolled	49%	55%	47%	48%	52%	48%	41%	13%
Full Time	507	21	168	39	192	68	19	0
Part Time	179	20	65	21	58	13	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.40	\$9.13	\$9.67	\$9.60	\$9.21	\$9.26	\$9.08	\$11.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,226	\$1,058	\$1,254	\$1,226	\$1,213	\$1,262	\$1,259	\$1,000

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,159	129	841	147	690	249	82	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,772	164	1,068	233	924	265	95	23
Participants in a Work Activity	2,506	153	986	204	817	236	90	20
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	92%	88%	88%	89%	95%	87%
Participants Employed	1,439	73	535	130	523	134	41	3
% of Total Enrolled	52%	45%	50%	56%	57%	51%	43%	13%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.86	\$9.67	\$10.24	\$10.25	\$9.54	\$9.39	\$9.29	\$11.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,292	\$1,170	\$1,360	\$1,317	\$1,251	\$1,238	\$1,169	\$1,653
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	69%	75%	83%	76%	85%	67%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	35,370	2,954	12,574	2,516	11,327	4,037	1,515	447
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	27,332	2,314	9,513	2,005	8,829	3,175	1,171	325
Participants in a Work Activity	26,352	2,295	9,159	1,918	8,487	3,031	1,141	321
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	19,147	1,654	6,380	1,546	6,367	2,223	749	228
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.74	\$8.32	\$8.80	\$9.30	\$8.81	\$8.41	\$8.25	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,157	\$1,069	\$1,161	\$1,203	\$1,193	\$1,079	\$1,106	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	377	169	81	26	89	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	125	58	31	8	25	3
Participants in a Work Activity	92	47	21	6	18	0
% of Enrolled	74%	81%	68%	75%	72%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	3	1	2	0
Participants Employed	70	36	18	4	12	0
% of Enrolled	56%	62%	58%	50%	48%	0%
Full Time	55	27	15	3	10	N/A
Part Time	15	9	3	1	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.37	\$8.54	\$9.69	\$7.72	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,121	\$1,081	\$1,213	\$1,350	\$1,025	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	224	111	56	20	27	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	278	125	70	21	55	7
Participants in a Work Activity	241	113	63	16	44	5
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	90%	76%	80%	71%
Participants Employed	151	72	43	6	30	0
% of Total Enrolled	54%	58%	61%	29%	55%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$8.55	\$9.02	\$8.91	\$8.08	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,164	\$1,114	\$1,307	\$1,221	\$1,041	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	81%	73%	75%	93%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,031	1,560	1,186	374	806	105
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,041	1,138	910	275	649	69
Participants in a Work Activity	2,930	1,093	901	267	605	64
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	93%
Participants Employed	2,039	736	628	167	468	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	61%	72%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.49	\$7.89	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$991	\$971	\$1,033	\$1,000	\$962	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	60%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	671	139	64	38	101	44	285
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	306	47	29	18	39	12	161
Participants in a Work Activity	263	43	25	11	31	8	145
% of Enrolled	86%	91%	86%	61%	79%	67%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	147	29	6	6	20	7	79
% of Enrolled	48%	62%	21%	33%	51%	58%	49%
Full Time	103	21	5	2	17	6	52
Part Time	44	8	1	4	3	1	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.65	\$8.33	\$8.75	\$8.28	\$8.11	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,136	\$1,068	\$990	\$1,168	\$1,081	\$1,029

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	429	93	32	30	79	28	167
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	587	98	43	32	92	27	295
Participants in a Work Activity	558	94	39	29	86	24	286
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	91%	91%	93%	89%	97%
Participants Employed	314	56	13	16	49	12	168
% of Total Enrolled	53%	57%	30%	50%	53%	44%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.93	\$8.12	\$8.41	\$8.58	\$8.54	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,129	\$905	\$987	\$1,040	\$1,002	\$1,003
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	82%	80%	56%	60%	90%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,181	1,439	286	478	980	320	2,678
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,783	1,047	202	343	722	226	2,243
Participants in a Work Activity	4,669	1,022	196	324	694	222	2,211
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,535	754	120	256	447	139	1,819
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	59%	75%	62%	62%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.85	\$7.74	\$7.38	\$7.67	\$7.78	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$947	\$1,010	\$1,044	\$916	\$986	\$1,013	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	56%	62%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,285	101	98	208	299	579
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	576	32	55	82	161	246
Participants in a Work Activity	405	20	32	60	107	186
% of Enrolled	70%	63%	58%	73%	66%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	274	15	26	40	64	129
% of Enrolled	48%	47%	47%	49%	40%	52%
Full Time	191	11	25	22	43	90
Part Time	83	4	1	18	21	39
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.23	\$7.89	\$8.19	\$8.10	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$1,024	\$1,124	\$932	\$1,038	\$997

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	664	66	65	126	120	287
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,044	69	88	152	282	453
Participants in a Work Activity	911	62	82	119	256	392
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	93%	78%	91%	87%
Participants Employed	566	42	48	79	144	253
% of Total Enrolled	54%	61%	55%	52%	51%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.98	\$7.91	\$8.19	\$8.16	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$1,002	\$1,118	\$925	\$997	\$967
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	66%	77%	64%	63%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,683	999	838	2,161	2,486	4,199
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,875	803	735	1,731	2,136	3,470
Participants in a Work Activity	8,379	765	720	1,602	2,016	3,276
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,053	535	515	1,118	1,462	2,423
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.67	\$6.87	\$6.33	\$6.74	\$6.87	\$6.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$861	\$876	\$875	\$858	\$901	\$834
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	63%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,401	197	437	105	211	451
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	407	57	139	67	34	110
Participants in a Work Activity	301	27	99	62	22	91
% of Enrolled	74%	47%	71%	93%	65%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	165	22	58	26	13	46
% of Enrolled	41%	39%	42%	39%	38%	42%
Full Time	126	20	39	18	13	36
Part Time	39	2	19	8	0	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$7.67	\$7.83	\$8.00	\$7.69	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$1,074	\$991	\$1,052	\$1,126	\$1,045

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	760	99	233	64	121	243
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	937	109	313	117	122	276
Participants in a Work Activity	849	95	278	101	116	259
% of Total Enrolled	91%	87%	89%	86%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	432	44	171	62	47	108
% of Total Enrolled	46%	40%	55%	53%	39%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.96	\$7.97	\$7.73	\$7.81	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,055	\$1,060	\$1,013	\$1,070	\$1,078
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	71%	68%	70%	46%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,068	2,019	3,523	1,283	2,147	4,096
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,483	1,675	2,700	1,113	1,640	3,355
Participants in a Work Activity	10,152	1,615	2,591	1,050	1,618	3,278
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,418	941	1,717	749	880	2,131
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.44	\$6.40	\$6.85	\$6.33	\$6.43	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$857	\$843	\$941	\$823	\$870	\$807
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,044	65	116	63	79	73	146	68	160	125	149
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	489	35	57	29	56	33	68	30	46	75	60
Participants in a Work Activity	374	30	37	27	44	29	59	23	40	37	48
% of Enrolled	76%	86%	65%	93%	79%	88%	87%	77%	87%	49%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	209	20	29	13	15	16	32	8	25	29	22
% of Enrolled	43%	57%	51%	45%	27%	48%	47%	27%	54%	39%	37%
Full Time	154	17	24	12	9	10	20	4	18	24	16
Part Time	55	3	5	1	6	6	12	4	7	5	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$8.08	\$7.50	\$8.24	\$7.62	\$8.48	\$7.07	\$7.72	\$7.91	\$7.57	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$1,030	\$993	\$1,127	\$902	\$957	\$853	\$848	\$1,086	\$1,017	\$1,018

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	645	44	69	50	56	38	77	51	81	85	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	902	62	108	67	83	61	107	60	95	135	124
Participants in a Work Activity	798	54	96	63	77	58	97	52	88	106	107
% of Total Enrolled	88%	87%	89%	94%	93%	95%	91%	87%	93%	79%	86%
Participants Employed	427	36	52	28	29	29	55	26	49	72	51
% of Total Enrolled	47%	58%	48%	42%	35%	48%	51%	43%	52%	53%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.26	\$7.75	\$8.23	\$7.75	\$8.64	\$7.58	\$7.81	\$7.72	\$7.72	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$973	\$945	\$1,184	\$922	\$1,049	\$866	\$985	\$944	\$984	\$1,009
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	74%	85%	78%	70%	58%	84%	39%	65%	79%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,217	533	1,017	792	716	552	954	388	1,192	990	1,083
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,397	393	819	604	530	410	759	302	936	768	876
Participants in a Work Activity	6,121	373	813	570	493	390	727	297	896	710	852
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	93%	95%	96%	98%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,882	238	462	339	270	236	446	148	619	517	607
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	56%	56%	51%	58%	59%	49%	66%	67%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.84	\$7.49	\$6.31	\$7.07	\$7.26	\$7.37	\$6.78	\$6.54	\$6.62	\$6.84	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$958	\$830	\$949	\$925	\$952	\$822	\$807	\$841	\$903	\$884
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	64%	67%	62%	58%	58%	64%	60%	68%	69%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,269	1,014	36	145	1,388	59	2,627
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,193	369	25	76	720	15	988
Participants in a Work Activity	1,557	270	18	40	466	10	753
% of Enrolled	71%	73%	72%	53%	65%	67%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	1	1	0	7	0	6
Participants Employed	1,016	174	10	35	348	5	444
% of Enrolled	46%	47%	40%	46%	48%	33%	45%
Full Time	754	148	6	21	239	4	336
Part Time	262	26	4	14	109	1	108
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.63	\$7.98	\$8.33	\$8.54	\$6.71	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,099	\$1,198	\$1,000	\$1,029	\$1,094	\$797	\$1,075

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,595	547	19	92	640	34	1,263
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,881	705	39	112	1,199	37	1,789
Participants in a Work Activity	3,333	617	34	99	987	33	1,563
% of Total Enrolled	86%	88%	87%	88%	82%	89%	87%
Participants Employed	1,915	356	17	55	658	14	815
% of Total Enrolled	49%	50%	44%	49%	55%	38%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.82	\$7.77	\$8.76	\$8.62	\$9.15	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,177	\$937	\$1,107	\$1,101	\$1,233	\$1,058
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	81%	79%	72%	77%	82%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	37,822	7,868	288	1330	9,432	375	18,529
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,720	5,989	218	953	7,689	273	14,598
Participants in a Work Activity	28,522	5,777	205	887	7,265	256	14,132
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	94%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	20,016	3,779	145	606	5,164	147	10,175
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$7.68	\$7.13	\$8.24	\$7.72	\$7.70	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$1,028	\$897	\$1,053	\$1,022	\$964	\$959
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	66%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,107	179	63	399	298	168
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	577	103	25	218	137	94
Participants in a Work Activity	371	45	17	137	103	69
% of Enrolled	64%	44%	68%	63%	75%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	269	39	11	104	68	47
% of Enrolled	47%	38%	44%	48%	50%	50%
Full Time	196	28	8	79	48	33
Part Time	73	11	3	25	20	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.25	\$8.73	\$7.74	\$9.13	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,081	\$1,185	\$989	\$1,147	\$1,107

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	674	94	43	222	196	119
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,011	143	44	353	284	187
Participants in a Work Activity	835	88	37	303	246	161
% of Total Enrolled	83%	62%	84%	86%	87%	86%
Participants Employed	545	59	17	212	149	108
% of Total Enrolled	54%	41%	39%	60%	52%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.18	\$8.65	\$8.15	\$9.48	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,051	\$1,058	\$997	\$1,193	\$1,018
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	89%	67%	84%	77%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,994	1,366	541	2,746	2,603	1,738
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,658	948	371	2,150	1,716	1,473
Participants in a Work Activity	6,280	903	348	1,976	1,641	1,412
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	94%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,364	572	219	1,463	1,011	1,099
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	59%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.60	\$7.44	\$7.99	\$8.59	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$959	\$923	\$1,023	\$1,127	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	58%	59%	69%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	421	72	19	50	46	26	59	34	39	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	165	30	9	19	15	12	40	8	11	21
Participants in a Work Activity	124	24	3	17	12	8	37	5	5	13
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	33%	89%	80%	67%	93%	63%	45%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	88	16	3	9	9	8	28	3	3	9
% of Enrolled	53%	53%	33%	47%	60%	67%	70%	38%	27%	43%
Full Time	70	12	3	5	7	7	22	3	3	8
Part Time	18	4	0	4	2	1	6	0	0	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.97	\$7.38	\$8.06	\$7.89	\$7.86	\$7.71	\$8.74	\$7.38	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,272	\$1,076	\$927	\$1,024	\$1,186	\$990	\$1,313	\$959	\$1,006

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	225	39	12	27	17	11	48	16	20	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	319	61	13	41	26	23	63	20	22	50
Participants in a Work Activity	287	57	11	38	23	20	61	19	17	41
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	85%	93%	88%	87%	97%	95%	77%	82%
Participants Employed	177	34	7	21	15	14	38	9	12	27
% of Total Enrolled	55%	56%	54%	51%	58%	61%	60%	45%	55%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.58	\$8.11	\$8.41	\$8.09	\$7.81	\$7.67	\$8.00	\$7.52	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$1,014	\$1,164	\$1,104	\$1,055	\$1,087	\$990	\$1,069	\$942	\$1,062
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	82%	80%	77%	67%	100%	96%	44%	57%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,753	577	278	341	413	211	451	325	341	816
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,823	460	189	283	327	147	339	268	206	604
Participants in a Work Activity	2,647	441	178	269	307	140	316	260	192	544
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	94%	95%	93%	97%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	1,766	309	97	165	217	96	222	150	126	384
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	58%	66%	65%	65%	56%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.11	\$7.05	\$7.46	\$6.84	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$6.71	\$6.96	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$899	\$871	\$877	\$979	\$859	\$903	\$907	\$863	\$920	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	47%	61%	53%	70%	71%	53%	39%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,399	18	91	151	44	131	1,231	1,695	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,377	6	33	67	17	78	509	644	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,061	4	28	49	13	55	403	498	11
% of Enrolled	77%	67%	85%	73%	76%	71%	79%	77%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	4	0	2	5	1	0
Participants Employed	692	2	20	37	7	31	251	333	11
% of Enrolled	50%	33%	61%	55%	41%	40%	49%	52%	48%
Full Time	530	2	18	24	4	12	184	279	7
Part Time	162	0	2	13	3	19	67	54	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.27	\$7.75	\$8.58	\$9.27	\$8.36	\$8.07	\$8.15	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,259	\$991	\$1,101	\$1,207	\$910	\$1,036	\$1,115	\$1,009

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,988	4	60	104	15	75	659	1,039	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,786	10	69	138	32	136	917	1,450	34
Participants in a Work Activity	2,429	8	62	114	22	120	834	1,245	24
% of Total Enrolled	87%	80%	90%	83%	69%	88%	91%	86%	71%
Participants Employed	1,398	6	34	76	13	62	487	704	16
% of Total Enrolled	50%	60%	49%	55%	41%	46%	53%	49%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$7.39	\$7.89	\$8.84	\$9.15	\$8.42	\$8.26	\$8.31	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,128	\$969	\$1,103	\$1,236	\$908	\$1,028	\$1,103	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	67%	54%	67%	73%	73%	80%	74%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	27,111	165	1,094	1,170	349	1,138	9,431	13,366	398
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,531	120	779	930	249	874	6,986	10,300	293
Participants in a Work Activity	19,551	113	726	865	237	838	6,801	9,700	271
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	14,165	68	506	641	139	537	4,855	7,217	202
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	56%	61%	69%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.12	\$6.89	\$7.52	\$7.56	\$7.34	\$7.09	\$7.04	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$924	\$944	\$852	\$920	\$1,027	\$896	\$919	\$933	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	59%	61%	71%	59%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,133	125	85	49	71	301	502
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	422	19	32	23	32	138	178
Participants in a Work Activity	294	14	20	12	23	102	123
% of Enrolled	70%	74%	63%	52%	72%	74%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	3	1
Participants Employed	212	12	14	7	13	66	100
% of Enrolled	50%	63%	44%	30%	41%	48%	56%
Full Time	178	9	12	5	12	47	93
Part Time	34	3	2	2	1	19	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.54	\$8.09	\$8.07	\$7.73	\$7.80	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,264	\$1,120	\$1,004	\$1,074	\$1,026	\$1,139

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	562	69	47	29	41	144	232
Total Enrolled in VIEW	850	48	70	46	64	260	362
Participants in a Work Activity	733	47	52	39	54	220	321
% of Total Enrolled	86%	98%	74%	85%	84%	85%	89%
Participants Employed	473	32	33	19	32	143	214
% of Total Enrolled	56%	67%	47%	41%	50%	55%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.32	\$8.81	\$8.05	\$8.34	\$7.96	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,275	\$1,161	\$867	\$1,204	\$1,057	\$1,172
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	65%	65%	72%	69%	68%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,533	1,227	861	380	758	2,382	3,925
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,488	814	654	311	613	1,937	3,159
Participants in a Work Activity	7,133	810	563	297	588	1,848	3,027
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,103	539	393	218	400	1,322	2,231
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$6.95	\$7.55	\$7.26	\$7.03	\$6.94	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$970	\$1,001	\$873	\$959	\$928	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	59%	57%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/13 and Work Activity as of 1/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,950	118	120	998	118	2,112	1,122	409	953
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,313	36	44	379	49	960	395	126	324
Participants in a Work Activity	1,752	19	28	278	41	730	303	101	252
% of Enrolled	76%	53%	64%	73%	84%	76%	77%	80%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	1	1	4	4	0	1
Participants Employed	1,164	16	25	198	22	484	165	75	179
% of Enrolled	50%	44%	57%	52%	45%	50%	42%	60%	55%
Full Time	965	14	16	160	13	424	128	63	147
Part Time	199	2	9	38	9	60	37	12	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.55	\$7.74	\$8.28	\$8.63	\$7.95	\$7.88	\$7.88	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,188	\$1,016	\$1,115	\$1,148	\$1,017	\$1,038	\$1,066	\$1,065

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,273	67	36	532	88	1,146	560	200	644
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,420	80	57	772	98	1,699	766	240	708
Participants in a Work Activity	3,878	66	51	698	92	1,457	662	225	627
% of Total Enrolled	88%	83%	89%	90%	94%	86%	86%	94%	89%
Participants Employed	2,245	40	33	419	43	877	340	139	354
% of Total Enrolled	51%	50%	58%	54%	44%	52%	44%	58%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.17	\$8.16	\$8.28	\$8.73	\$8.09	\$8.07	\$8.04	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,076	\$1,011	\$1,089	\$1,207	\$1,032	\$1,059	\$1,040	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	52%	65%	76%	63%	76%	73%	76%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	53,319	1,214	999	8,587	851	17,139	8,621	3,356	12,552
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	39,286	880	803	6,330	683	12,528	6,841	2,629	8,592
Participants in a Work Activity	37,134	843	767	6,028	671	11,812	6,438	2,602	7,973
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,201	602	467	4,547	438	8,740	4,842	1,936	5,629
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.93	\$6.68	\$7.09	\$6.62	\$6.81	\$6.79	\$6.74	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$902	\$915	\$832	\$934	\$834	\$868	\$905	\$876	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	55%	65%	65%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	38.5%	3.85	109	\$8.34	2.41	41	60.0%	3.43	98	3.23	105
003	Albemarle	57.1%	5.71	25	\$8.93	3.71	12	81.8%	7.01	19	5.48	9
005	Alleghany/Covington	53.8%	5.38	41	\$8.06	1.79	72	40.6%	0.25	116	2.47	114
007	Amelia	58.1%	5.81	18	\$8.26	2.23	47	73.9%	5.71	44	4.58	39
009	Amherst	60.9%	6.09	11	\$7.98	1.61	82	65.9%	4.39	83	4.03	71
011	Appomattox	54.5%	5.45	36	\$7.91	1.46	93	76.9%	6.21	32	4.37	51
013	Arlington	44.5%	4.45	87	\$9.67	5.34	4	69.5%	4.99	64	4.93	23
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.5%	6.65	6	\$8.07	1.81	70	72.6%	5.50	50	4.65	32
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	64	\$7.25	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	20
019	Bedford County/City	52.0%	5.20	50	\$8.19	2.08	53	64.4%	4.15	92	3.81	85
021	Bland	41.7%	4.17	98	\$8.85	3.53	14	66.7%	4.52	75	4.07	67
023	Botetourt	42.9%	4.29	95	\$8.20	2.10	51	66.7%	4.52	76	3.64	94
025	Brunswick	48.1%	4.81	76	\$7.75	1.10	106	85.4%	7.60	12	4.51	44
027	Buchanan	37.9%	3.79	111	\$7.79	1.19	103	96.2%	9.37	5	4.78	27
029	Buckingham	41.8%	4.18	97	\$8.23	2.16	49	77.8%	6.35	29	4.23	58
031	Campbell	51.1%	5.11	59	\$8.16	2.01	60	63.0%	3.91	94	3.68	91
033	Caroline	41.3%	4.13	100	\$8.18	2.05	55	88.6%	8.12	8	4.77	28
035	Carroll	53.4%	5.34	43	\$7.79	1.19	104	74.4%	5.79	42	4.11	64
036	Charles City	60.0%	6.00	15	\$7.39	0.31	118	66.7%	4.52	77	3.61	97
037	Charlotte	34.9%	3.49	114	\$7.75	1.10	107	70.4%	5.13	61	3.24	104
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	50.5%	5.05	62	\$8.82	3.47	16	80.5%	6.80	22	5.10	19
043	Clarke	33.3%	3.33	115	\$8.19	2.08	54	100.0%	10.00	1	5.14	18
045	Craig	25.0%	2.50	118	\$8.00	1.66	78	60.0%	3.43	99	2.53	113
047	Culpeper	57.6%	5.76	24	\$8.55	2.87	29	80.7%	6.83	21	5.15	16
049	Cumberland	47.5%	4.75	77	\$8.64	3.07	23	57.9%	3.08	103	3.63	95
051	Dickensen	36.4%	3.64	113	\$7.60	0.77	115	50.0%	1.79	111	2.06	118
053	Dinwiddie	66.7%	6.67	5	\$8.32	2.36	43	65.0%	4.25	90	4.43	47
057	Essex	55.7%	5.57	30	\$8.58	2.94	25	82.1%	7.07	18	5.19	15
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	50.1%	5.01	63	\$10.24	6.60	3	75.3%	5.94	40	5.85	3
061	Fauquier	61.4%	6.14	9	\$9.02	3.91	11	73.5%	5.64	45	5.23	13
063	Floyd	50.0%	5.00	65	\$8.37	2.47	38	44.0%	0.80	115	2.76	112
065	Fluvanna	30.2%	3.02	116	\$8.12	1.92	64	80.0%	6.71	24	3.89	79
067	Franklin County	46.4%	4.64	82	\$8.04	1.74	75	67.1%	4.60	74	3.66	93
069	Frederick	47.3%	4.73	78	\$8.69	3.18	21	67.5%	4.66	72	4.19	59
071	Giles	43.8%	4.38	91	\$7.98	1.61	83	45.0%	0.96	113	2.32	116
073	Gloucester	49.3%	4.93	71	\$7.89	1.41	94	54.2%	2.47	108	2.94	110
075	Goochland	43.6%	4.36	92	\$7.77	1.15	105	78.6%	6.48	28	4.00	73
077	Grayson	48.6%	4.86	73	\$7.80	1.21	102	69.2%	4.94	65	3.67	92

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	5.00	66	\$8.41	2.56	35	56.3%	2.81	107	3.46	101
081	Greensville/Emporia	51.4%	5.14	57	\$7.58	0.73	116	83.7%	7.33	15	4.40	49
083	Halifax	40.4%	4.04	104	\$7.96	1.57	89	71.1%	5.24	56	3.62	96
085	Hanover	49.1%	4.91	72	\$8.76	3.33	18	72.3%	5.46	52	4.57	40
087	Henrico	54.9%	5.49	34	\$8.62	3.02	24	77.4%	6.28	30	4.93	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	54.6%	5.46	35	\$7.97	1.59	86	67.6%	4.67	71	3.91	77
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.66	79	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.83	4
093	Isle of Wight	50.0%	5.00	67	\$8.17	2.03	59	51.9%	2.09	110	3.04	108
095	James City	55.1%	5.51	32	\$8.84	3.51	15	66.7%	4.52	78	4.51	42
097	King and Queen	53.8%	5.38	42	\$8.11	1.90	65	80.0%	6.71	25	4.67	30
099	King George	38.6%	3.86	107	\$8.65	3.09	22	66.7%	4.52	79	3.83	83
101	King William	51.2%	5.12	58	\$8.41	2.56	36	76.9%	6.21	33	4.63	34
103	Lancaster	57.7%	5.77	22	\$8.09	1.85	66	66.7%	4.52	80	4.05	69
105	Lee	51.5%	5.15	56	\$7.70	0.99	111	70.5%	5.16	60	3.77	88
107	Loudoun	55.8%	5.58	29	\$10.25	6.62	2	83.5%	7.29	16	6.50	1
109	Louisa	53.3%	5.33	45	\$8.58	2.94	26	60.0%	3.43	100	3.90	78
111	Lunenburg	43.3%	4.33	93	\$7.81	1.24	99	39.1%	0.00	117	1.86	119
113	Madison	28.6%	2.86	117	\$8.91	3.66	13	75.0%	5.89	41	4.14	62
115	Mathews	60.9%	6.09	12	\$7.81	1.24	100	100.0%	10.00	2	5.77	5
117	Mecklenburg	51.6%	5.16	54	\$7.72	1.04	109	65.2%	4.29	87	3.49	99
119	Middlesex	60.3%	6.03	13	\$7.67	0.93	114	96.4%	9.41	4	5.46	11
121	Montgomery	64.9%	6.49	8	\$8.06	1.79	73	68.0%	4.75	68	4.34	52
125	Nelson	44.4%	4.44	88	\$8.54	2.85	30	90.0%	8.36	7	5.22	14
127	New Kent	40.6%	4.06	102	\$9.15	4.19	9	72.7%	5.52	48	4.59	38
131	Northampton	42.1%	4.21	96	\$7.97	1.59	87	88.0%	8.03	10	4.61	36
133	Northumberland	45.0%	4.50	86	\$8.00	1.66	80	44.4%	0.87	114	2.34	115
135	Nottoway	53.3%	5.33	44	\$7.72	1.04	110	78.9%	6.53	27	4.30	56
137	Orange	54.5%	5.45	37	\$8.08	1.83	68	93.3%	8.90	6	5.40	12
139	Page	65.5%	6.55	7	\$7.96	1.57	90	65.5%	4.34	85	4.15	60
141	Patrick	53.0%	5.30	48	\$7.73	1.06	108	70.0%	5.07	63	3.81	84
143	Pittsylvania	38.5%	3.85	108	\$7.81	1.24	101	46.0%	1.12	112	2.07	117
145	Powhatan	37.8%	3.78	112	\$9.15	4.19	10	81.8%	7.01	20	5.00	21
147	Prince Edward	41.1%	4.11	101	\$7.84	1.30	95	62.2%	3.79	96	3.07	107
149	Prince George	47.1%	4.71	79	\$8.81	3.44	17	65.2%	4.29	88	4.15	61
153	Prince William	56.6%	5.66	27	\$9.54	5.06	5	76.2%	6.08	37	5.60	8
155	Pulaski	59.0%	5.90	17	\$8.03	1.72	77	57.9%	3.08	104	3.57	98
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	54.5%	5.45	38	\$7.52	0.60	117	57.1%	2.96	105	3.00	109
161	Roanoke County	68.5%	6.85	3	\$8.49	2.74	32	62.9%	3.90	95	4.50	45
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51.0%	5.10	60	\$7.84	1.30	96	88.2%	8.07	9	4.82	25
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67.2%	6.72	4	\$8.58	2.94	27	67.7%	4.70	69	4.78	26
167	Russell	39.9%	3.99	105	\$8.00	1.66	81	68.4%	4.81	67	3.48	100
169	Scott	49.6%	4.96	70	\$8.08	1.83	69	76.6%	6.16	34	4.32	55
171	Shenandoah	40.6%	4.06	103	\$8.50	2.76	31	80.0%	6.71	26	4.51	43

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	45.2%	4.52	85	\$8.20	2.10	52	60.9%	3.58	97	3.40	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.9%	5.79	20	\$8.16	2.01	61	65.4%	4.31	86	4.04	70
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.1%	6.01	14	\$8.15	1.99	62	84.4%	7.44	14	5.14	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.5%	5.25	49	\$9.48	4.92	6	77.2%	6.25	31	5.47	10
181	<i>Surry</i>	41.3%	4.13	99	\$8.05	1.77	74	72.2%	5.44	54	3.78	87
183	<i>Sussex</i>	50.0%	5.00	68	\$8.34	2.41	42	68.8%	4.87	66	4.09	66
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	53.2%	5.32	46	\$8.18	2.05	56	64.8%	4.22	91	3.86	81
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.9%	4.69	81	\$8.28	2.27	45	70.7%	5.19	59	4.05	68
191	<i>Washington</i>	48.6%	4.86	75	\$7.94	1.52	92	70.8%	5.21	58	3.86	82
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	54.0%	5.40	40	\$8.18	2.05	57	66.7%	4.52	81	3.99	74
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.6%	5.16	52	\$7.97	1.59	88	65.6%	4.35	84	3.70	90
197	<i>Wythe</i>	61.3%	6.13	10	\$7.69	0.97	113	52.8%	2.24	109	3.11	106
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	45.6%	4.56	83	\$8.42	2.58	34	72.9%	5.55	47	4.23	57
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.6%	5.06	61	\$9.39	4.72	7	84.8%	7.50	13	5.76	6
520	<i>Bristol</i>	38.3%	3.83	110	\$7.84	1.30	97	59.4%	3.33	102	2.82	111
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	56.9%	5.69	26	\$8.48	2.72	33	72.3%	5.45	53	4.62	35
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	54.3%	5.43	39	\$8.28	2.27	46	76.4%	6.11	36	4.61	37
590	<i>Danville</i>	39.1%	3.91	106	\$8.18	2.05	58	70.9%	5.22	57	3.73	89
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	43.9%	4.39	90	\$8.73	3.27	19	63.4%	3.99	93	3.88	80
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	57.8%	5.78	21	\$8.58	2.94	28	66.7%	4.52	82	4.41	48
640	<i>Galax</i>	51.6%	5.16	55	\$7.70	0.99	112	86.4%	7.76	11	4.64	33
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.1%	5.31	47	\$8.26	2.23	48	80.2%	6.74	23	4.76	29
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	55.0%	5.50	33	\$7.96	1.57	91	67.6%	4.68	70	3.91	75
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	55.8%	5.58	28	\$7.98	1.61	84	70.2%	5.11	62	4.10	65
683	<i>Manassas</i>	43.2%	4.32	94	\$9.29	4.50	8	67.4%	4.64	73	4.49	46
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	13.0%	1.30	119	\$11.78	10.00	1	83.3%	7.26	17	6.19	2
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.6%	4.86	74	\$8.31	2.34	44	74.3%	5.77	43	4.32	54
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.6%	5.16	51	\$8.09	1.85	67	75.7%	6.00	39	4.34	53
720	<i>Norton</i>	55.2%	5.52	31	\$7.82	1.26	98	57.1%	2.96	106	3.24	103
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	59.1%	5.91	16	\$8.35	2.43	40	76.6%	6.16	35	4.83	24
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	44.4%	4.44	89	\$8.07	1.81	71	72.5%	5.48	51	3.91	76
750	<i>Radford</i>	68.5%	6.85	2	\$7.98	1.61	85	72.7%	5.52	49	4.66	31
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	45.6%	4.56	84	\$8.21	2.12	50	72.0%	5.40	55	4.03	72
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.6%	5.16	53	\$8.15	1.99	63	65.2%	4.27	89	3.81	86
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.9%	5.79	19	\$8.04	1.74	76	76.1%	6.07	38	4.54	41
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.0%	5.00	69	\$8.38	2.49	37	73.4%	5.63	46	4.37	50
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	47.1%	4.71	80	\$8.37	2.47	39	100.0%	10.00	3	5.73	7
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.7%	5.77	23	\$8.73	3.27	20	59.7%	3.38	101	4.14	63

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.9%	1.81	117	\$859	2.92	93	65.1%	5.85	49	3.53	107
003	Albemarle	72.0%	7.54	13	\$1,010	6.02	20	58.2%	4.30	95	5.95	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.1%	7.01	24	\$840	2.54	103	58.0%	4.24	99	4.60	81
007	Amelia	60.6%	4.43	87	\$958	4.97	41	63.8%	5.56	60	4.99	66
009	Amherst	66.6%	6.07	50	\$876	3.28	81	59.6%	4.63	85	4.66	78
011	Appomattox	70.1%	7.01	22	\$875	3.25	83	56.4%	3.89	106	4.72	76
013	Arlington	71.5%	7.39	15	\$1,069	7.25	8	69.5%	6.86	19	7.17	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.23	44	\$961	5.03	36	67.5%	6.40	35	5.89	28
017	Bath	64.0%	5.36	68	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	5.00	76	3.45	109
019	Bedford County/City	64.6%	5.52	66	\$858	2.91	94	60.7%	4.85	79	4.43	89
021	Bland	59.5%	4.14	92	\$865	3.04	90	56.5%	3.91	105	3.70	105
023	Botetourt	44.2%	0.00	120	\$942	4.63	50	57.0%	4.02	104	2.88	118
025	Brunswick	56.4%	3.30	107	\$830	2.32	110	67.4%	6.40	37	4.01	99
027	Buchanan	53.1%	2.41	114	\$840	2.53	104	64.1%	5.64	56	3.53	108
029	Buckingham	56.1%	3.22	109	\$949	4.77	47	61.6%	5.07	74	4.35	92
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.57	36	\$901	3.78	73	63.5%	5.49	62	5.28	53
033	Caroline	60.3%	4.37	88	\$959	4.97	40	57.5%	4.14	102	4.49	86
035	Carroll	66.5%	6.04	52	\$832	2.37	109	54.2%	3.39	110	3.93	102
036	Charles City	56.7%	3.37	106	\$944	4.66	49	51.8%	2.84	116	3.63	106
037	Charlotte	50.9%	1.82	116	\$925	4.28	58	57.7%	4.18	101	3.43	111
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	5.12	77	\$1,028	6.41	14	69.1%	6.78	24	6.10	21
043	Clarke	57.7%	3.65	103	\$986	5.54	26	62.7%	5.32	67	4.84	73
045	Craig	50.9%	1.81	118	\$1,039	6.62	12	63.0%	5.38	66	4.60	80
047	Culpeper	64.7%	5.54	65	\$971	5.23	32	66.6%	6.20	40	5.66	34
049	Cumberland	57.6%	3.61	104	\$952	4.85	45	58.1%	4.28	96	4.25	95
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.30	89	\$835	2.42	105	54.8%	3.52	108	3.42	112
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	5.96	54	\$970	5.21	33	57.9%	4.23	100	5.13	61
057	Essex	67.2%	6.22	45	\$871	3.16	86	49.6%	2.35	117	3.91	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.1%	6.19	48	\$1,161	9.13	3	69.2%	6.80	23	7.37	5
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.72	32	\$1,033	6.51	13	69.1%	6.77	26	6.67	9
063	Floyd	65.6%	5.79	57	\$936	4.51	53	54.0%	3.35	111	4.55	83
065	Fluvanna	59.4%	4.11	93	\$1,044	6.73	10	61.1%	4.96	77	5.27	54
067	Franklin County	62.0%	4.80	80	\$951	4.81	46	58.0%	4.26	98	4.63	79
069	Frederick	57.0%	3.45	105	\$1,012	6.07	19	61.8%	5.11	72	4.88	70
071	Giles	58.2%	3.79	98	\$955	4.89	44	52.7%	3.05	113	3.91	103
073	Gloucester	65.0%	5.62	64	\$852	2.78	98	58.6%	4.38	92	4.26	94
075	Goochland	66.5%	6.04	51	\$897	3.70	74	65.7%	5.99	46	5.25	56
077	Grayson	65.7%	5.82	56	\$857	2.87	96	61.4%	5.02	75	4.57	82

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.6%	8.25	5	\$916	4.10	65	55.6%	3.70	107	5.35	50
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.8%	3.94	96	\$822	2.16	113	64.4%	5.70	53	3.93	101
083	Halifax	56.2%	3.24	108	\$843	2.59	101	58.7%	4.42	91	3.41	113
085	Hanover	63.6%	5.25	72	\$1,053	6.91	9	66.3%	6.13	43	6.10	22
087	Henrico	67.2%	6.22	46	\$1,022	6.28	17	66.4%	6.15	42	6.22	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.6%	5.25	71	\$941	4.61	51	64.2%	5.67	55	5.18	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.35	4	\$784	1.37	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.57	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.4%	6.56	37	\$915	4.07	66	59.5%	4.58	87	5.07	65
095	James City	68.9%	6.70	34	\$920	4.17	63	60.6%	4.85	81	5.24	57
097	King and Queen	51.3%	1.92	115	\$877	3.30	80	47.1%	1.77	118	2.33	120
099	King George	59.0%	4.01	94	\$923	4.24	59	58.8%	4.43	89	4.23	96
101	King William	58.3%	3.81	97	\$979	5.39	29	60.7%	4.85	80	4.69	77
103	Lancaster	66.4%	6.00	53	\$859	2.93	92	52.6%	3.02	115	3.98	100
105	Lee	65.0%	5.63	63	\$795	1.61	117	72.3%	7.50	7	4.91	69
107	Loudoun	77.1%	8.92	3	\$1,203	10.00	1	71.9%	7.42	8	8.78	1
109	Louisa	61.9%	4.79	81	\$986	5.54	27	62.3%	5.24	69	5.19	59
111	Lunenburg	49.0%	1.29	119	\$807	1.85	116	59.7%	4.63	84	2.59	119
113	Madison	60.7%	4.47	86	\$1,000	5.83	23	61.0%	4.94	78	5.08	64
115	Mathews	65.3%	5.71	60	\$903	3.83	71	69.7%	6.90	17	5.48	41
117	Mecklenburg	66.1%	5.94	55	\$841	2.56	102	67.5%	6.41	34	4.97	67
119	Middlesex	65.5%	5.76	59	\$907	3.91	69	71.4%	7.30	10	5.66	33
121	Montgomery	78.0%	9.16	2	\$937	4.53	52	63.9%	5.60	58	6.43	14
125	Nelson	61.5%	4.68	82	\$1,013	6.08	18	64.3%	5.68	54	5.48	42
127	New Kent	55.8%	3.14	111	\$1,027	6.37	15	71.4%	7.30	11	5.60	36
131	Northampton	63.2%	5.14	76	\$847	2.68	99	79.7%	9.18	2	5.67	32
133	Northumberland	56.0%	3.18	110	\$863	3.01	91	52.6%	3.03	114	3.07	116
135	Nottoway	67.3%	6.26	42	\$903	3.82	72	69.3%	6.82	21	5.63	35
137	Orange	72.1%	7.56	12	\$962	5.05	35	60.1%	4.73	82	5.78	30
139	Page	69.7%	6.90	29	\$992	5.67	24	60.0%	4.70	83	5.75	31
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.25	43	\$823	2.17	112	74.7%	8.03	6	5.49	40
143	Pittsylvania	53.7%	2.55	113	\$870	3.15	87	59.5%	4.60	86	3.43	110
145	Powhatan	53.8%	2.60	112	\$964	5.08	34	64.1%	5.63	57	4.44	88
147	Prince Edward	69.3%	6.80	31	\$884	3.43	79	68.0%	6.53	31	5.58	37
149	Prince George	60.1%	4.30	90	\$1,001	5.85	22	58.6%	4.38	93	4.84	72
153	Prince William	72.1%	7.56	11	\$1,193	9.80	2	70.6%	7.11	14	8.16	2
155	Pulaski	63.7%	5.28	70	\$945	4.69	48	53.6%	3.26	112	4.41	90
157	Rappahannock	58.0%	3.72	102	\$958	4.96	42	43.6%	0.98	119	3.22	114
159	Richmond County	61.2%	4.59	84	\$920	4.19	61	39.3%	0.00	120	2.93	117
161	Roanoke County	74.4%	8.18	7	\$1,043	6.72	11	58.1%	4.28	97	6.39	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	7.10	18	\$866	3.06	89	67.2%	6.34	38	5.50	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.9%	7.77	10	\$980	5.41	28	67.7%	6.45	33	6.54	12
167	Russell	60.9%	4.51	85	\$855	2.83	97	67.0%	6.30	39	4.55	84
169	Scott	58.0%	3.73	101	\$889	3.54	77	76.7%	8.49	5	5.25	55
171	Shenandoah	59.7%	4.18	91	\$934	4.47	54	70.1%	7.01	16	5.22	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.76	100	\$857	2.88	95	63.4%	5.47	65	4.04	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.2%	3.77	99	\$832	2.37	108	54.6%	3.47	109	3.21	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.0%	6.46	39	\$1,023	6.30	16	68.5%	6.64	29	6.47	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.9%	3.98	95	\$1,127	8.43	4	68.0%	6.52	32	6.31	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	7.01	21	\$873	3.22	84	57.1%	4.06	103	4.76	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.3%	5.70	61	\$959	4.98	38	63.4%	5.49	63	5.39	48
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.1%	6.19	47	\$816	2.04	114	65.5%	5.96	48	4.73	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.7%	6.36	40	\$1,009	6.01	21	68.7%	6.69	27	6.35	18
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.2%	5.16	75	\$895	3.66	76	58.5%	4.36	94	4.40	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.6%	5.25	73	\$923	4.23	60	64.8%	5.80	50	5.09	63
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.9%	5.07	78	\$824	2.21	111	66.5%	6.18	41	4.48	87
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.9%	6.16	49	\$843	2.60	100	66.3%	6.13	44	4.96	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.4%	4.67	83	\$896	3.68	75	59.4%	4.58	88	4.31	93
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.0%	6.99	25	\$1,079	7.44	7	77.3%	8.63	4	7.69	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.5%	6.30	41	\$872	3.19	85	61.9%	5.14	70	4.88	71
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.1%	10.00	1	\$920	4.18	62	65.6%	5.99	47	6.72	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.8%	7.49	14	\$934	4.46	55	64.6%	5.74	52	5.90	27
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.5%	5.23	74	\$807	1.85	115	61.7%	5.10	73	4.06	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.1%	5.40	67	\$834	2.42	106	64.6%	5.74	51	4.52	85
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.6%	8.24	6	\$989	5.60	25	66.3%	6.13	45	6.66	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.8%	5.04	79	\$885	3.46	78	69.6%	6.89	18	5.13	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	6.85	30	\$919	4.15	64	63.7%	5.54	61	5.51	38
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.51	38	\$928	4.34	57	63.4%	5.49	64	5.45	44
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.8%	6.94	26	\$834	2.41	107	68.7%	6.67	28	5.34	51
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.0%	5.35	69	\$1,106	8.01	5	70.8%	7.15	12	6.84	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	70.2%	7.03	20	\$1,093	7.73	6	77.5%	8.68	3	7.82	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.1%	7.01	23	\$933	4.44	56	69.1%	6.78	25	6.08	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.8%	6.92	27	\$868	3.10	88	67.5%	6.40	36	5.48	43
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.2%	8.13	8	\$751	0.71	119	70.7%	7.14	13	5.33	52
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.16	17	\$960	5.00	37	70.3%	7.05	15	6.40	16
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.8%	7.20	16	\$905	3.87	70	69.4%	6.85	20	5.97	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	7.08	19	\$908	3.93	68	61.9%	5.13	71	5.38	49
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.7%	6.91	28	\$959	4.98	39	71.7%	7.37	9	6.42	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.2%	5.69	62	\$975	5.31	30	62.4%	5.25	68	5.42	46
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.6%	7.98	9	\$876	3.27	82	68.2%	6.56	30	5.94	26
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.5%	5.77	58	\$956	4.92	43	69.3%	6.81	22	5.83	29
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.9%	6.70	33	\$909	3.95	67	63.8%	5.57	59	5.41	47
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.62	35	\$974	5.28	31	58.8%	4.43	90	5.44	45

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – January 31, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	12,608	616	458	1,035	419	194	1,410	139	287	480	511	490	1,696	464	180	1,423	385	2,297	124
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	97%	95%	96%	94%	87%	92%	89%	96%	97%	96%	92%	94%	76%	94%	97%	90%	90%	79%
% Timely in January 2013	90%	95%	94%	96%	98%	84%	92%	75%	94%	98%	96%	73%	91%	82%	76%	99%	77%	86%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	7,840	385	285	609	268	115	890	92	185	284	335	306	1,104	269	111	838	246	1,448	70
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	98%	99%	97%	98%	93%	96%	92%	99%	93%	98%	98%	94%	92%	98%	94%	94%	92%	99%
Percent in January 2013	95%	98%	99%	96%	97%	93%	97%	96%	98%	92%	98%	100%	95%	93%	100%	94%	96%	91%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	114	1	1	1	0	4	7	8	1	0	0	3	27	38	4	4	5	10	0
Average # of Days Over 30	65	15	11	139	0	82	53	67	4	0	0	12	93	73	30	42	14	37	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012		616	56	21	132	84	58	104	145	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012		97%	98%	100%	100%	96%	93%	96%	97%	88%
% Timely in January 2013		95%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	94%	90%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012		385	38	14	79	54	39	67	83	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012		98%	100%	100%	99%	98%	97%	100%	96%	82%
Percent in January 2013		98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	50%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		15	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	458	5	36	20	103	80	41	139	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	80%	92%	100%	96%	98%	93%	95%	88%
% Timely in January 2013	94%	0%	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	285	0	24	13	64	42	27	90	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	N/A	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	96%
Percent in January 2013	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,035	54	8	3	25	105	30	124	77	102	30	477
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	96%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	97%	92%
% Timely in January 2013	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	609	39	3	3	19	56	17	62	41	59	18	292
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	100%	95%
Percent in January 2013	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	139	0	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	419	239	0	0	19	161
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	97%	N/A	N/A	63%	92%
% Timely in January 2013	98%	97%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	268	154	0	0	10	104
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	80%	98%
Percent in January 2013	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	194	5	50	24	13	42	60	124	90	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	87%	100%	66%	96%	92%	86%	98%	79%	80%	76%
% Timely in January 2013	84%	N/A	50%	100%	N/A	80%	92%	50%	42%	63%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	115	3	26	18	8	24	36	70	57	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	96%	100%	100%	79%	94%	99%	100%	92%
Percent in January 2013	93%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%	88%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	82	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,410	99	530	101	473	135	57	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	99%	97%	92%	84%	96%	89%	87%
% Timely in January 2013	92%	100%	96%	89%	85%	86%	90%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	890	69	326	60	311	79	37	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	96%	97%	93%	99%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2013	97%	100%	96%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	3	3	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	0	0	74	44	19	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	139	72	37	7	17	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	89%	97%	97%	86%	59%	33%
% Timely in January 2013	75%	83%	100%	100%	33%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	92	51	26	6	6	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	94%	100%	83%	67%	67%
Percent in January 2013	96%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	67	0	0	0	67	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	287	60	23	19	47	17	121
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	83%	95%	96%	100%	97%
% Timely in January 2013	94%	100%	50%	100%	100%	N/A	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	185	37	12	12	32	13	79
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2013	98%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	4	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	480	49	45	84	90	212
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	100%	90%	100%	96%
% Timely in January 2013	98%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	284	31	24	42	59	128
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	100%	86%	95%	91%
Percent in January 2013	92%	100%	100%	83%	100%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	511	65	165	42	79	160
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	92%	95%	93%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2013	96%	83%	95%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	335	36	114	26	43	116
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	99%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in January 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	490	29	61	43	41	27	59	43	55	66	66
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	90%	98%	98%	100%	69%	84%	96%	100%	92%
% Timely in January 2013	73%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	14%	54%	71%	100%	82%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	306	18	42	30	28	16	40	23	35	36	38
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	94%	100%	97%	100%	94%	98%	100%	100%	97%	97%
Percent in January 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,696	340	14	62	433	20	827
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	93%	86%	95%	89%	100%	97%
% Timely in January 2013	91%	91%	100%	100%	79%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,104	225	10	41	269	14	545
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	96%	90%	98%	88%	100%	95%
Percent in January 2013	95%	95%	100%	100%	93%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	27	4	0	1	20	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	93	90	0	62	99	0	52

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	464	67	34	138	133	92
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	76%	43%	100%	54%	93%	97%
% Timely in January 2013	82%	0%	100%	84%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	269	27	21	92	83	46
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	89%	100%	89%	90%	100%
Percent in January 2013	93%	92%	100%	88%	93%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	38	34	0	3	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	73	78	0	25	33	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	180	36	6	24	14	8	38	15	17	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	87%	76%	95%
% Timely in January 2013	76%	100%	100%	50%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	25%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	111	24	2	12	9	5	29	6	8	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	96%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	5

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,423	4	43	75	10	55	439	776	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	98%	93%	30%	93%	100%	98%	76%
% Timely in January 2013	99%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	838	4	23	41	7	30	261	461	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	75%	100%	98%	29%	97%	97%	92%	82%
Percent in January 2013	94%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	93%	93%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	48

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	385	31	34	18	28	105	169
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	100%	97%	94%	96%	77%	92%
% Timely in January 2013	77%	100%	100%	67%	100%	62%	73%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	246	21	22	12	15	63	113
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	100%	86%	100%	100%	94%	93%
Percent in January 2013	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	1	0	2	2
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	19	0	21	4

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	2,297	47	14	364	75	804	405	163	425
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	100%	64%	90%	100%	85%	89%	98%	98%
% Timely in January 2013	86%	100%	0%	72%	100%	88%	74%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,448	30	10	231	57	491	254	109	266
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	100%	95%	100%	85%	93%	96%	97%
Percent in January 2013	91%	100%	N/A	97%	100%	82%	98%	90%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	4	2	0	0	4	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	60	5	0	0	31	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jan-12</i>	<i>Jan-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,658	14,639	-0.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,737	129,717	0.8%
Total Cases Collected	143,395	144,356	0.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,560,783	\$2,536,251	-1%
NTANF Collections	\$49,022,816	\$51,694,698	5.5%
Total Collections	\$51,583,599	\$54,230,949	5.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$358,306,072	\$361,324,614	0.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$356.99	\$359.79	0.8%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jan-13</i>
TANF Paternities	209
NTANF Paternities	340
Combined Paternities	549

Diversionsary Assistance

January Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Accomack	1		Prince William	2
Amelia	1		Pulaski	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Roanoke County	2
Bedford City	4		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Buckingham	3		Shenandoah	17
Campbell	1		Spotsylvania	8
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	8		Stafford	2
Culpeper	5		Warren	4
Cumberland	2		Westmoreland	3
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	2
Fairfax/ Falls Church	4		Bristol	6
Fauquier	2		Charlottesville	2
Floyd	1		Chesapeake	2
Frederick	2		Danville	1
Gloucester	2		Franklin City	1
Greene	5		Fredericksburg	1
Greensville / Emporia	3		Hampton	5
Halifax	1		Hopewell	2
Hanover	1		Lynchburg	3
Henrico	7		Newport News	14
Henry / Martinsville	6		Norfolk	9
Isle of Wight	1		Petersburg	2
Loudoun	3		Richmond City	1
Mathews	1		Roanoke City	7
Mecklenburg	3		Suffolk	10
Orange	2		Virginia Beach	3
Patrick	2		Winchester	4
			Statewide	192

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of February 1, 2013. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2013



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth, declined and now seems level. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent increase is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Jan 31, 2013



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January it was \$8.34 rather than the \$8.25 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.