

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**February 2013**

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services March 26, 2013

# Monthly Report, February 2013

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for February

*These are estimated locality February 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,311 and a denominator of 17,120.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	67	25%	061	Fauquier	27	49	55%
003	Albemarle	31	76	41%	063	Floyd	6	20	30%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	55	38%	065	Fluvanna	9	28	32%
007	Amelia	14	32	44%	067	Franklin County	37	113	33%
009	Amherst	22	44	50%	069	Frederick	29	67	43%
011	Appomattox	32	57	56%	071	Giles	6	23	26%
013	Arlington	56	107	52%	073	Gloucester	18	47	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	139	242	57%	075	Goochland	13	24	54%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	22	27%
019	Bedford County/City	46	96	48%	079	Greene	5	11	45%
021	Bland	1	7	14%	081	Greensville/Emporia	46	98	47%
023	Botetourt	3	13	23%	083	Halifax	31	79	39%
025	Brunswick	46	73	63%	085	Hanover	24	84	29%
027	Buchanan	23	54	43%	087	Henrico	366	854	43%
029	Buckingham	16	32	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	69	221	31%
031	Campbell	62	161	39%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	3	110	3%	093	Isle of Wight	22	53	42%
035	Carroll	24	42	57%	095	James City	36	94	38%
036	Charles City	0	9	0%	097	King and Queen	2	8	25%
037	Charlotte	11	49	22%	099	King George	10	30	33%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	212	516	41%	101	King William	10	26	38%
043	Clarke	0	7	0%	103	Lancaster	9	23	39%
045	Craig	2	6	33%	105	Lee	61	125	49%
047	Culpeper	46	92	50%	107	Loudoun	56	147	38%
049	Cumberland	14	37	38%	109	Louisa	27	52	52%
051	Dickensen	7	22	32%	111	Lunenburg	13	34	38%
053	Dinwiddie	17	49	35%	113	Madison	4	8	50%
057	Essex	14	35	40%	115	Mathews	6	17	35%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	333	731	46%	117	Mecklenburg	22	66	33%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	39	56%	187	Warren	20	73	27%
121	Montgomery	54	118	46%	191	Washington	32	70	46%
125	Nelson	6	14	43%	193	Westmoreland	13	35	37%
127	New Kent	7	24	29%	195	Wise	75	168	45%
131	Northampton	13	50	26%	197	Wythe	15	45	33%
133	Northumberland	6	11	55%	199	York/Poquoson	35	93	38%
135	Nottoway	32	94	34%	510	Alexandria	123	230	53%
137	Orange	13	40	33%	520	Bristol	69	143	48%
139	Page	9	19	47%	540	Charlottesville	81	190	43%
141	Patrick	16	52	31%	550	Chesapeake	279	591	47%
143	Pittsylvania	22	73	30%	590	Danville	91	206	44%
145	Powhatan	9	25	36%	620	Franklin City	29	62	47%
147	Prince Edward	22	60	37%	630	Fredericksburg	48	104	46%
149	Prince George	9	46	20%	640	Galax	14	26	54%
153	Prince William	320	596	54%	650	Hampton	343	803	43%
155	Pulaski	33	71	46%	670	Hopewell	53	170	31%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	133	313	42%
159	Richmond County	5	18	28%	683	Manassas	32	65	49%
161	Roanoke County	59	127	46%	685	Manassas Park	6	16	38%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	41	24%	700	Newport News	417	936	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	63	137	46%	710	Norfolk	681	1,251	54%
167	Russell	30	93	32%	720	Norton	6	27	22%
169	Scott	36	68	53%	730	Petersburg	119	300	40%
171	Shenandoah	5	15	33%	740	Portsmouth	199	599	33%
173	Smyth	42	93	45%	750	Radford	10	35	29%
175	Southampton	15	64	23%	760	Richmond City	575	1,481	39%
177	Spotsylvania	106	259	41%	770	Roanoke City	176	515	34%
179	Stafford	60	161	37%	800	Suffolk	98	173	57%
181	Surry	6	26	23%	810	Virginia Beach	230	459	50%
183	Sussex	19	46	41%	830	Williamsburg	3	26	12%
185	Tazewell	46	121	38%	840	Winchester	29	63	46%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# *Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for February*

*These are estimated locality February 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 5,413 and a denominator of 15,042. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	60	17%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	16	38	42%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	16	61	26%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	5	19	26%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	19	53	36%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	8	25	32%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	9	27	33%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	29	104	28%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	14	35	40%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	25	61	41%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	24	47	51%	071	<i>Giles</i>	4	18	22%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	40	91	44%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	15	44	34%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	103	204	50%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	10	21	48%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	5	21	24%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	32	81	40%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	10	40%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	7	14%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	39	91	43%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	3	13	23%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	19	67	28%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	36	60	60%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	17	73	23%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	21	52	40%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	262	743	35%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	12	26	46%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	45	197	23%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	42	141	30%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	2	98	2%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	17	47	36%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	18	36	50%	095	<i>James City</i>	27	84	32%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	9	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	5	0%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	9	47	19%	099	<i>King George</i>	9	29	31%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	154	452	34%	101	<i>King William</i>	5	21	24%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	7	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	6	20	30%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	4	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	48	110	44%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	32	76	42%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	23	109	21%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	12	34	35%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	23	48	48%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	6	21	29%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	12	32	38%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	10	42	24%	113	<i>Madison</i>	4	8	50%
057	<i>Essex</i>	13	32	41%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	6	16	38%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	214	609	35%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	14	58	24%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	35	54%	187	Warren	12	65	18%
121	Montgomery	35	99	35%	191	Washington	26	64	41%
125	Nelson	5	13	38%	193	Westmoreland	11	31	35%
127	New Kent	5	21	24%	195	Wise	51	141	36%
131	Northampton	12	48	25%	197	Wythe	14	44	32%
133	Northumberland	5	9	56%	199	York/Poquoson	24	80	30%
135	Nottoway	15	77	19%	510	Alexandria	86	191	45%
137	Orange	11	35	31%	520	Bristol	57	131	44%
139	Page	4	14	29%	540	Charlottesville	53	159	33%
141	Patrick	11	47	23%	550	Chesapeake	214	523	41%
143	Pittsylvania	19	70	27%	590	Danville	70	183	38%
145	Powhatan	6	22	27%	620	Franklin City	23	56	41%
147	Prince Edward	14	51	27%	630	Fredericksburg	34	90	38%
149	Prince George	5	40	13%	640	Galax	10	22	45%
153	Prince William	202	469	43%	650	Hampton	250	700	36%
155	Pulaski	28	65	43%	670	Hopewell	40	155	26%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	104	281	37%
159	Richmond County	5	18	28%	683	Manassas	20	52	38%
161	Roanoke County	35	103	34%	685	Manassas Park	3	13	23%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	8	38	21%	700	Newport News	321	831	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	99	26%	710	Norfolk	580	1,142	51%
167	Russell	22	84	26%	720	Norton	4	24	17%
169	Scott	28	59	47%	730	Petersburg	88	262	34%
171	Shenandoah	4	14	29%	740	Portsmouth	159	557	29%
173	Smyth	30	81	37%	750	Radford	10	34	29%
175	Southampton	14	61	23%	760	Richmond City	475	1,367	35%
177	Spotsylvania	79	230	34%	770	Roanoke City	119	455	26%
179	Stafford	35	136	26%	800	Suffolk	83	156	53%
181	Surry	1	21	5%	810	Virginia Beach	170	397	43%
183	Sussex	15	41	37%	830	Williamsburg	3	24	13%
185	Tazewell	30	105	29%	840	Winchester	22	56	39%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for February*

*These are estimated locality February 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,898 and a denominator of 2,078. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	11	11	100%
003	Albemarle	15	15	100%	063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	2	100%	065	Fluvanna	1	3	33%
007	Amelia	5	5	100%	067	Franklin County	8	9	89%
009	Amherst	8	9	89%	069	Frederick	4	6	67%
011	Appomattox	8	10	80%	071	Giles	2	5	40%
013	Arlington	16	16	100%	073	Gloucester	3	3	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	36	38	95%	075	Goochland	3	3	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	1	1	100%
019	Bedford County/City	14	15	93%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	7	7	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	12	12	100%
025	Brunswick	10	13	77%	085	Hanover	7	11	64%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	104	111	94%
029	Buckingham	4	6	67%	089	Henry/Martinsville	24	24	100%
031	Campbell	20	20	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	1	12	8%	093	Isle of Wight	5	6	83%
035	Carroll	6	6	100%	095	James City	9	10	90%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	2	3	67%
037	Charlotte	2	2	100%	099	King George	1	1	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	58	64	91%	101	King William	5	5	100%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	3	3	100%
045	Craig	2	2	100%	105	Lee	13	15	87%
047	Culpeper	14	16	88%	107	Loudoun	33	38	87%
049	Cumberland	2	3	67%	109	Louisa	4	4	100%
051	Dickensen	1	1	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	2	50%
053	Dinwiddie	7	7	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	1	3	33%	115	Mathews	0	1	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	119	122	98%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	4	75%	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	19	19	100%	191	Washington	6	6	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	4	50%
127	New Kent	2	3	67%	195	Wise	24	27	89%
131	Northampton	1	2	50%	197	Wythe	1	1	100%
133	Northumberland	1	2	50%	199	York/Poquoson	11	13	85%
135	Nottoway	17	17	100%	510	Alexandria	37	39	95%
137	Orange	2	5	40%	520	Bristol	12	12	100%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	28	31	90%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	65	68	96%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	21	23	91%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	6	6	100%
147	Prince Edward	8	9	89%	630	Fredericksburg	14	14	100%
149	Prince George	4	6	67%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	118	127	93%	650	Hampton	93	103	90%
155	Pulaski	5	6	83%	670	Hopewell	13	15	87%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	29	32	91%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	12	13	92%
161	Roanoke County	24	24	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	3	67%	700	Newport News	96	105	91%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	37	38	97%	710	Norfolk	101	109	93%
167	Russell	8	9	89%	720	Norton	2	3	67%
169	Scott	8	9	89%	730	Petersburg	31	38	82%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	40	42	95%
173	Smyth	12	12	100%	750	Radford	0	1	0%
175	Southampton	1	3	33%	760	Richmond City	100	114	88%
177	Spotsylvania	27	29	93%	770	Roanoke City	57	60	95%
179	Stafford	25	25	100%	800	Suffolk	15	17	88%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	60	62	97%
183	Sussex	4	5	80%	830	Williamsburg	0	2	0%
185	Tazewell	16	16	100%	840	Winchester	7	7	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Feb-13	Feb-12	Change from Feb-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	3,962	3,358	18%	4,807	-18%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,286	2,228	47%	1,658	98%
Applications Approved	1,598	1,637	-2%	2,820	-43%
Cases Closed *	2,268	2,459	-8%	4,476	-49%
Cases at End of Month	30,216	32,420	-7%	67,995	-56%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	30,433	33,357	-9%	70,403	-57%

•The database indicates that of the 2,268 closed cases, 937 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 518 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 182 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 131 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Feb-13	Feb-12	Change from Feb-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	383	396	-3%	338	13%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	335	244	37%	232	44%
Applications Approved	168	192	-13%	81	107%
Cases Closed *	219	235	-6.8%	107	105%
Cases at End of Month	1,694	1,867	-9%	321	428%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,667	1,972	-15%	394	323%

•The database indicates that of the 219 closed cases, 108 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 63 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 19 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	31,910	1,574	991	2,429	875	514	3,588	369	654	1,256	1,368	1,045	5,212	1,091	418	3,294	1,145	5,806	281
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,547	557	417	791	305	203	1,410	122	315	543	396	480	2,164	611	157	1,278	414	2,271	113
Part. in a Work Activity	9,733	467	353	571	261	150	1,162	92	260	429	301	382	1,589	406	127	1,018	295	1,807	63
% of Enrolled	78%	84%	85%	72%	86%	74%	82%	75%	83%	79%	76%	80%	73%	66%	81%	80%	71%	80%	56%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	117	4	3	11	0	10	14	4	4	1	2	3	24	6	2	12	6	11	0
Participants Employed	6,110	267	202	420	205	87	686	68	140	246	154	216	973	279	88	685	198	1,156	40
% of Enrolled	49%	48%	48%	53%	67%	43%	49%	56%	44%	45%	39%	45%	45%	46%	56%	54%	48%	51%	35%
Full Time	4,708	228	167	327	171	69	508	49	95	164	122	161	729	203	72	504	170	942	27
Part Time	1,402	39	35	93	34	18	178	19	45	82	32	55	244	76	16	181	28	214	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.72	\$7.88	\$8.09	\$8.17	\$8.33	\$9.45	\$8.53	\$8.61	\$8.00	\$7.94	\$7.70	\$8.46	\$8.43	\$8.07	\$8.15	\$7.96	\$8.06	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,041	\$1,063	\$1,100	\$1,123	\$1,108	\$1,228	\$1,098	\$1,088	\$1,001	\$1,090	\$988	\$1,109	\$1,105	\$1,102	\$1,059	\$1,114	\$1,049	\$982

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	20,192	980	701	1,676	607	347	2,370	246	460	728	844	709	2,912	767	252	2,180	633	3,596	184
Total Enrolled in VIEW	26,395	1,181	928	1,946	730	462	2,962	292	622	1,106	993	953	4,076	1,087	332	2,934	894	4,671	226
Part. in a Work Activity	23,465	1,116	858	1,705	691	397	2,699	258	588	976	897	859	3,545	907	305	2,579	770	4,123	192
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	92%	88%	95%	86%	91%	88%	95%	88%	90%	90%	87%	83%	92%	88%	86%	88%	85%
Participants Employed	13,534	571	434	1,060	483	227	1,550	159	328	585	449	462	2,019	575	181	1,502	491	2,368	90
% of Total Enrolled	51%	48%	47%	54%	66%	49%	52%	54%	53%	53%	45%	48%	50%	53%	55%	51%	55%	51%	40%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$7.91	\$7.94	\$8.15	\$8.29	\$8.47	\$9.90	\$8.67	\$8.59	\$8.06	\$7.98	\$7.87	\$8.52	\$8.68	\$8.08	\$8.33	\$8.26	\$8.20	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,069	\$1,069	\$1,098	\$1,110	\$1,114	\$1,295	\$1,149	\$1,035	\$987	\$1,063	\$976	\$1,104	\$1,079	\$1,041	\$1,065	\$1,133	\$1,056	\$1,032
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	69%	64%	63%	69%	64%	76%	80%	70%	67%	64%	70%	75%	77%	77%	75%	70%	74%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	284,877	17,467	10,720	21,255	8,378	5,521	35,505	4,040	6,204	10,720	13,102	8,257	38,003	9,051	3,776	27,223	9,578	53,487	2,590
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	219,609	13,470	9,017	16,381	6,481	4,138	27,472	3,052	4,807	8,921	10,510	6,431	29,850	6,718	2,834	20,646	7,514	39,438	1,929
Part. in a Work Activity	209,972	12,974	8,662	15,606	6,319	3,909	26,488	2,942	4,690	8,426	10,176	6,164	28,664	6,337	2,663	19,651	7,155	37,288	1,858
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	147,660	8,408	5,801	11,081	4,509	2,669	19,232	2,046	3,547	6,064	6,429	3,902	20,102	4,388	1,770	14,242	5,113	27,287	1,070
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	68%	70%	64%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	65%	62%	69%	68%	69%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.22	\$6.27	\$6.39	\$7.15	\$7.22	\$7.42	\$8.75	\$7.52	\$7.45	\$6.67	\$6.44	\$6.84	\$7.42	\$7.99	\$7.06	\$7.10	\$7.06	\$6.92	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$951	\$826	\$864	\$959	\$959	\$990	\$1,158	\$990	\$947	\$861	\$858	\$881	\$989	\$1,022	\$899	\$924	\$952	\$902	\$854
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,574	160	74	296	215	146	244	395	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	557	48	17	134	75	57	82	129	15
Participants in a Work Activity	467	44	11	124	67	52	68	90	11
% of Enrolled	84%	92%	65%	93%	89%	91%	83%	70%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	267	25	6	62	30	31	41	66	6
% of Enrolled	48%	52%	35%	46%	40%	54%	50%	51%	40%
Full Time	228	18	6	49	26	24	39	62	4
Part Time	39	7	0	13	4	7	2	4	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.72	\$7.65	\$7.60	\$7.66	\$7.85	\$8.08	\$7.72	\$7.63	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,032	\$1,178	\$1,016	\$1,008	\$1,035	\$1,050	\$1,082	\$885

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	980	87	34	180	133	93	178	252	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,181	89	37	247	166	132	179	301	30
Participants in a Work Activity	1,116	86	32	245	155	125	175	275	23
% of Total Enrolled	94%	97%	86%	99%	93%	95%	98%	91%	77%
Participants Employed	571	35	13	126	66	65	93	156	17
% of Total Enrolled	48%	39%	35%	51%	40%	49%	52%	52%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$7.70	\$7.58	\$7.70	\$8.04	\$8.05	\$8.16	\$7.94	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$1,029	\$1,142	\$1,007	\$1,066	\$1,077	\$1,122	\$1,095	\$1,022
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	96%	50%	72%	65%	76%	64%	65%	57%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,467	1,697	1,198	2,470	2,406	1,717	3,336	4,081	562
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,470	1,288	933	1,903	1,936	1,265	2,548	3,154	443
Participants in a Work Activity	12,974	1,207	879	1,892	1,841	1,245	2,459	3,045	406
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,408	685	560	1,237	1,176	733	1,706	1,982	329
% of Total Enrolled	62%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.27	\$6.42	\$6.30	\$6.05	\$6.45	\$6.58	\$6.18	\$6.28	\$6.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$826	\$840	\$835	\$795	\$855	\$888	\$816	\$825	\$751
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	991	17	114	46	193	196	104	258	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	417	5	40	17	70	66	31	164	24
Participants in a Work Activity	353	5	34	16	62	56	28	131	21
% of Enrolled	85%	N/A	85%	94%	89%	85%	90%	80%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	202	1	23	11	39	36	23	55	14
% of Enrolled	48%	N/A	58%	65%	56%	55%	74%	34%	58%
Full Time	167	0	18	10	36	27	20	46	10
Part Time	35	1	5	1	3	9	3	9	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	N/A	\$7.57	\$7.74	\$8.21	\$7.95	\$7.79	\$7.86	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	N/A	\$1,027	\$1,144	\$1,242	\$1,037	\$1,023	\$1,014	\$923

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	701	15	65	25	154	130	72	199	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	928	13	92	38	180	149	66	322	68
Participants in a Work Activity	858	10	86	37	166	138	65	293	63
% of Total Enrolled	92%	77%	93%	97%	92%	93%	98%	91%	93%
Participants Employed	434	5	49	20	83	71	42	129	35
% of Total Enrolled	47%	38%	53%	53%	46%	48%	64%	40%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$8.85	\$7.78	\$7.89	\$8.39	\$7.91	\$7.76	\$7.86	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$1,261	\$1,084	\$1,148	\$1,253	\$1,068	\$1,025	\$994	\$889
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	40%	74%	69%	57%	70%	57%	59%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,720	266	1,434	675	1,883	1,858	1,584	2,277	743
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,017	201	1,183	551	1,587	1,547	1,260	2,035	653
Participants in a Work Activity	8,662	188	1,095	514	1,536	1,507	1,238	1,961	623
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	93%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,801	119	787	363	923	977	845	1,376	411
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	66%	58%	63%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.39	\$6.30	\$6.19	\$6.42	\$6.25	\$6.62	\$6.37	\$6.45	\$6.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$864	\$865	\$832	\$859	\$861	\$896	\$844	\$873	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	56%	54%	61%	63%	58%	66%	62%	70%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,429	107	40	7	56	218	61	282	181	265	77	1,135
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	791	37	14	1	15	103	11	97	47	98	28	340
Participants in a Work Activity	571	28	11	1	13	72	8	71	43	78	22	224
% of Enrolled	72%	76%	79%	100%	87%	70%	73%	73%	91%	80%	79%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	6	2	0
Participants Employed	420	20	6	1	9	39	5	56	31	52	17	184
% of Enrolled	53%	54%	43%	100%	60%	38%	45%	58%	66%	53%	61%	54%
Full Time	327	16	5	1	7	27	5	51	29	40	12	134
Part Time	93	4	1	0	2	12	0	5	2	12	5	50
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$8.16	\$8.02	\$8.00	\$8.14	\$8.03	\$8.02	\$7.86	\$8.01	\$7.90	\$7.93	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,156	\$1,171	\$1,039	\$1,144	\$1,073	\$1,105	\$1,075	\$1,161	\$1,027	\$1,067	\$1,117

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,676	73	14	6	40	161	46	205	112	154	53	812
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,946	85	29	4	50	208	52	245	130	191	58	894
Participants in a Work Activity	1,705	77	21	4	44	189	48	214	118	174	48	768
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	72%	100%	88%	91%	92%	87%	91%	91%	83%	86%
Participants Employed	1,060	44	13	1	24	97	23	155	74	131	38	460
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	45%	25%	48%	47%	44%	63%	57%	69%	66%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$8.11	\$8.20	\$8.00	\$8.39	\$8.02	\$7.97	\$8.06	\$8.01	\$8.48	\$7.96	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,098	\$1,033	\$1,061	\$1,032	\$1,175	\$1,036	\$1,053	\$1,098	\$1,116	\$1,116	\$1,008	\$1,120
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	45%	67%	50%	40%	65%	45%	66%	55%	62%	73%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,255	1,177	357	97	552	1,876	545	3,013	1,854	2,325	835	8,624
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	16,381	897	227	55	403	1,442	398	2,469	1,474	1,866	650	6,500
Participants in a Work Activity	15,606	861	201	54	389	1,300	389	2,424	1,416	1,760	621	6,191
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	89%	98%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,081	626	101	28	264	893	232	1,921	936	1,388	456	4,236
% of Total Enrolled	68%	70%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.15	\$6.91	\$7.39	\$7.24	\$7.03	\$7.06	\$7.11	\$6.98	\$6.83	\$7.65	\$7.04	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$959	\$842	\$944	\$1,039	\$936	\$950	\$956	\$938	\$945	\$1,043	\$909	\$975
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	57%	63%	54%	58%	52%	64%	53%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	875	519	3	1	74	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	305	183	0	1	23	98
Participants in a Work Activity	261	161	N/A	1	14	85
% of Enrolled	86%	88%	N/A	100%	61%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
Participants Employed	205	130	N/A	1	10	64
% of Enrolled	67%	71%	N/A	100%	43%	65%
Full Time	171	108	N/A	1	8	54
Part Time	34	22	N/A	0	2	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$7.95	N/A	\$8.00	\$8.65	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,123	\$1,089	N/A	\$1,386	\$1,094	\$1,193

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	607	340	0	3	32	232
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	730	412	2	1	52	263
Participants in a Work Activity	691	394	2	1	38	256
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	100%	100%	73%	97%
Participants Employed	483	278	1	1	27	176
% of Total Enrolled	66%	67%	50%	100%	52%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$8.10	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$8.21	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,050	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$977	\$1,218
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	72%	N/A	N/A	63%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,378	4,486	79	22	732	3,059
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,481	3,525	50	8	545	2,353
Participants in a Work Activity	6,319	3,466	50	8	500	2,295
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,509	2,372	32	6	384	1,715
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	64%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.22	\$7.21	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.77	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$959	\$961	\$717	\$784	\$867	\$980
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	514	13	145	58	48	138	112	281	189	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	203	3	58	26	10	52	54	113	54	59
Participants in a Work Activity	150	2	39	24	5	35	45	63	36	27
% of Enrolled	74%	67%	67%	92%	50%	67%	83%	56%	67%	46%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	1	0	8	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	87	1	28	13	4	14	27	40	20	20
% of Enrolled	43%	33%	48%	50%	40%	27%	50%	35%	37%	34%
Full Time	69	1	23	11	3	9	22	27	12	15
Part Time	18	0	5	2	1	5	5	13	8	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$15.00	\$8.49	\$8.31	\$8.35	\$7.96	\$8.12	\$7.86	\$8.09	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$2,598	\$1,164	\$1,137	\$1,140	\$1,016	\$1,025	\$982	\$988	\$975

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	347	9	107	40	27	73	91	184	124	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	462	10	127	63	36	106	120	226	145	81
Participants in a Work Activity	397	7	110	57	29	86	108	192	124	68
% of Total Enrolled	86%	70%	87%	90%	81%	81%	90%	85%	86%	84%
Participants Employed	227	4	59	40	13	45	66	90	56	34
% of Total Enrolled	49%	40%	46%	63%	36%	42%	55%	40%	39%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.47	\$9.55	\$8.66	\$7.98	\$8.50	\$8.17	\$8.71	\$8.23	\$8.39	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,114	\$1,367	\$1,127	\$1,034	\$1,274	\$1,000	\$1,183	\$1,032	\$1,069	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	50%	68%	54%	89%	71%	57%	71%	60%	88%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,521	213	1,212	836	674	1,315	1,271	2,590	1,648	942
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,138	131	904	684	413	998	1008	1,929	1,200	729
Participants in a Work Activity	3,909	122	845	667	399	921	955	1,858	1,167	691
% of Total Enrolled	94%	93%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,669	76	513	475	244	670	691	1,070	610	460
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	57%	69%	59%	67%	69%	55%	51%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.42	\$7.53	\$7.78	\$7.36	\$6.79	\$7.48	\$7.36	\$6.80	\$6.85	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$990	\$999	\$1,011	\$993	\$934	\$1,008	\$974	\$854	\$858	\$848
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	62%	62%	59%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,588	243	1,428	216	1,105	407	159	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,410	67	501	127	478	177	51	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,162	56	415	101	391	151	42	6
% of Enrolled	82%	84%	83%	80%	82%	85%	82%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	5	1	6	0	1	1
Participants Employed	686	36	239	56	253	77	23	2
% of Enrolled	49%	54%	48%	44%	53%	44%	45%	22%
Full Time	508	16	173	41	199	61	17	1
Part Time	178	20	66	15	54	16	6	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.45	\$9.06	\$9.44	\$9.63	\$9.46	\$9.62	\$8.68	\$14.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,228	\$997	\$1,206	\$1,240	\$1,280	\$1,246	\$1,071	\$2,059

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,370	137	920	166	760	270	93	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,962	175	1,135	249	976	295	107	25
Participants in a Work Activity	2,699	165	1,048	222	873	269	100	22
% of Total Enrolled	91%	94%	92%	89%	89%	91%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	1,550	79	576	138	556	148	49	4
% of Total Enrolled	52%	45%	51%	55%	57%	50%	46%	16%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.90	\$9.62	\$10.21	\$10.27	\$9.64	\$9.56	\$9.20	\$12.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,295	\$1,154	\$1,352	\$1,319	\$1,270	\$1,250	\$1,121	\$1,894
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	70%	76%	80%	75%	84%	67%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	35,505	2,960	12,625	2,526	11,373	4,052	1,520	449
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	27,472	2,323	9,560	2,018	8,868	3,199	1,178	326
Participants in a Work Activity	26,488	2,302	9,198	1,931	8,529	3,060	1,145	323
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	19,232	1,657	6,411	1,551	6,393	2,236	755	229
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.75	\$8.33	\$8.80	\$9.31	\$8.82	\$8.42	\$8.26	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,158	\$1,069	\$1,161	\$1,203	\$1,194	\$1,080	\$1,104	\$1,099
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	369	164	80	25	86	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	122	55	29	9	25	4
Participants in a Work Activity	92	45	21	3	19	4
% of Enrolled	75%	82%	72%	33%	76%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	3	1	0	0
Participants Employed	68	34	19	2	11	2
% of Enrolled	56%	62%	66%	22%	44%	50%
Full Time	49	24	13	1	10	1
Part Time	19	10	6	1	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.53	\$8.55	\$8.98	\$8.63	\$7.64	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,098	\$1,079	\$1,205	\$1,055	\$1,035	\$779

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	246	124	60	21	30	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	292	132	72	22	58	8
Participants in a Work Activity	258	122	64	17	48	7
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	89%	77%	83%	88%
Participants Employed	159	76	45	6	30	2
% of Total Enrolled	54%	58%	63%	27%	52%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.67	\$8.55	\$9.16	\$8.91	\$8.08	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,149	\$1,089	\$1,313	\$1,221	\$1,041	\$774
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	80%	80%	50%	92%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,040	1,566	1,188	374	806	106
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,052	1,144	910	276	652	70
Participants in a Work Activity	2,942	1,098	901	268	609	66
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	2,046	740	629	167	468	42
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	61%	72%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$7.49	\$7.90	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$990	\$970	\$1,034	\$1,000	\$962	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	67%	69%	61%	60%	44%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	654	139	64	33	106	40	272
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	315	43	32	18	47	12	163
Participants in a Work Activity	260	38	26	12	35	10	139
% of Enrolled	83%	88%	81%	67%	74%	83%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	3	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	140	22	5	10	21	10	72
% of Enrolled	44%	51%	16%	56%	45%	83%	44%
Full Time	95	16	4	3	19	6	47
Part Time	45	6	1	7	2	4	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.61	\$8.97	\$10.65	\$8.09	\$8.23	\$9.39	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,088	\$1,172	\$1,520	\$867	\$1,209	\$1,253	\$1,006

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	460	103	33	30	84	30	180
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	622	103	47	32	105	29	306
Participants in a Work Activity	588	99	43	30	92	26	298
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	91%	94%	88%	90%	97%
Participants Employed	328	58	15	20	49	14	172
% of Total Enrolled	53%	56%	32%	63%	47%	48%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.59	\$8.92	\$8.86	\$8.15	\$8.56	\$9.26	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,035	\$1,123	\$1,065	\$933	\$1,035	\$1,165	\$1,005
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	77%	71%	56%	59%	91%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,204	1,447	287	478	985	321	2,686
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,807	1,051	206	343	731	227	2,249
Participants in a Work Activity	4,690	1,025	200	325	699	223	2,218
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,547	756	122	260	447	140	1,822
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	59%	76%	61%	62%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.45	\$7.85	\$7.81	\$7.38	\$7.67	\$7.85	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$947	\$1,010	\$1,057	\$914	\$986	\$1,023	\$920
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	60%	56%	62%	65%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,256	93	96	213	291	563
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	543	29	51	84	159	220
Participants in a Work Activity	429	20	46	73	116	174
% of Enrolled	79%	69%	90%	87%	73%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	246	17	24	31	60	114
% of Enrolled	45%	59%	47%	37%	38%	52%
Full Time	164	14	24	16	41	69
Part Time	82	3	0	15	19	45
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.26	\$7.82	\$8.07	\$8.11	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,001	\$1,112	\$1,141	\$886	\$1,045	\$963

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	728	70	70	135	134	319
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,106	72	94	166	293	481
Participants in a Work Activity	976	64	89	139	270	414
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	95%	84%	92%	86%
Participants Employed	585	44	49	83	148	261
% of Total Enrolled	53%	61%	52%	50%	51%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$8.03	\$7.90	\$8.18	\$8.22	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$987	\$1,017	\$1,119	\$927	\$1,008	\$965
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	70%	78%	61%	63%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,720	1000	842	2,167	2,496	4,215
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,921	805	739	1,743	2,145	3,489
Participants in a Work Activity	8,426	767	724	1,620	2,027	3,288
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,064	536	515	1,121	1,464	2,428
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	64%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.67	\$6.89	\$6.33	\$6.74	\$6.87	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$861	\$878	\$875	\$859	\$902	\$834
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	59%	56%	60%	63%	69%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,368	198	416	100	217	437
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	396	51	141	68	33	103
Participants in a Work Activity	301	31	106	55	24	85
% of Enrolled	76%	61%	75%	81%	73%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	154	23	50	24	16	41
% of Enrolled	39%	45%	35%	35%	48%	40%
Full Time	122	20	37	15	16	34
Part Time	32	3	13	9	0	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.68	\$7.80	\$8.07	\$8.31	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,062	\$1,076	\$1,046	\$1,240	\$1,089

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	844	108	260	69	132	275
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	993	115	332	120	129	297
Participants in a Work Activity	897	102	292	105	120	278
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	88%	88%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	449	45	177	67	49	111
% of Total Enrolled	45%	39%	53%	56%	38%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$7.93	\$7.95	\$7.78	\$7.98	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,063	\$1,054	\$1,017	\$1,108	\$1,082
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	68%	66%	67%	46%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,102	2,024	3,532	1,287	2,152	4,107
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,510	1,679	2,707	1,116	1,646	3,362
Participants in a Work Activity	10,176	1,621	2,596	1,054	1,620	3,285
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,429	942	1,720	751	882	2,134
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.44	\$6.40	\$6.85	\$6.34	\$6.44	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$858	\$843	\$941	\$824	\$872	\$807
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,045	68	115	68	83	69	147	67	161	126	141
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	480	34	56	21	48	35	71	33	44	72	66
Participants in a Work Activity	382	27	50	21	41	31	62	20	37	43	50
% of Enrolled	80%	79%	89%	100%	85%	89%	87%	61%	84%	60%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	216	16	29	13	14	18	36	7	24	34	25
% of Enrolled	45%	47%	52%	62%	29%	51%	51%	21%	55%	47%	38%
Full Time	161	14	23	12	9	12	25	4	14	30	18
Part Time	55	2	6	1	5	6	11	3	10	4	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$7.94	\$7.50	\$8.24	\$7.91	\$8.59	\$7.32	\$7.89	\$7.86	\$7.30	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$988	\$1,011	\$964	\$1,127	\$989	\$1,039	\$905	\$1,040	\$1,019	\$986	\$970

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	709	45	76	54	65	39	85	55	87	100	103
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	953	65	113	68	88	63	112	66	102	141	135
Participants in a Work Activity	859	56	102	65	83	61	106	58	93	115	120
% of Total Enrolled	90%	86%	90%	96%	94%	97%	95%	88%	91%	82%	89%
Participants Employed	462	36	55	30	31	33	61	27	53	77	59
% of Total Enrolled	48%	55%	49%	44%	35%	52%	54%	41%	52%	55%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$8.26	\$7.73	\$8.22	\$7.86	\$8.64	\$7.64	\$7.82	\$7.75	\$7.64	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$976	\$973	\$929	\$1,175	\$940	\$1,068	\$899	\$998	\$949	\$983	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	71%	83%	86%	73%	60%	80%	38%	65%	76%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,257	534	1,021	796	721	553	958	392	1,195	999	1,088
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,431	396	822	605	533	411	761	307	940	773	883
Participants in a Work Activity	6,164	375	818	572	496	393	730	302	900	716	862
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	93%	96%	96%	98%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,902	238	464	340	272	239	448	149	620	519	613
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	56%	51%	58%	59%	49%	66%	67%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.84	\$7.49	\$6.31	\$7.08	\$7.28	\$7.39	\$6.79	\$6.54	\$6.63	\$6.85	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$881	\$958	\$830	\$949	\$926	\$955	\$825	\$809	\$842	\$903	\$882
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	64%	68%	62%	58%	58%	65%	60%	68%	69%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,212	1,013	34	147	1,367	58	2,593
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,164	373	25	76	707	19	964
Participants in a Work Activity	1,589	279	20	38	488	13	751
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	80%	50%	69%	68%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	3	0	0	11	1	9
Participants Employed	973	174	9	36	336	5	413
% of Enrolled	45%	47%	36%	47%	48%	26%	43%
Full Time	729	144	5	22	241	4	313
Part Time	244	30	4	14	95	1	100
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.46	\$8.87	\$8.06	\$8.35	\$8.60	\$8.41	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,109	\$1,212	\$969	\$1,056	\$1,117	\$1,183	\$1,066

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,912	620	22	102	720	38	1,410
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,076	743	42	116	1,252	41	1,882
Participants in a Work Activity	3,545	669	38	102	1,049	38	1,649
% of Total Enrolled	87%	90%	90%	88%	84%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	2,019	385	17	58	688	16	855
% of Total Enrolled	50%	52%	40%	50%	55%	39%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.52	\$8.91	\$7.77	\$8.78	\$8.66	\$9.13	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$1,188	\$937	\$1,122	\$1,111	\$1,252	\$1,063
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	81%	77%	73%	76%	90%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	38,003	7,926	291	1337	9,476	377	18,596
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	29,850	6,021	221	956	7,722	277	14,653
Participants in a Work Activity	28,664	5,817	209	890	7,304	259	14,185
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	20,102	3,804	145	608	5,187	149	10,209
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	66%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.42	\$7.70	\$7.13	\$8.24	\$7.72	\$7.71	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$989	\$1,030	\$897	\$1,054	\$1,023	\$967	\$959
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	69%	66%	66%	66%	64%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,091	165	64	395	306	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	611	120	25	226	142	98
Participants in a Work Activity	406	58	17	145	111	75
% of Enrolled	66%	48%	68%	64%	78%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	2	3	0	1
Participants Employed	279	44	11	105	73	46
% of Enrolled	46%	37%	44%	46%	51%	47%
Full Time	203	32	10	78	51	32
Part Time	76	12	1	27	22	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.20	\$8.46	\$7.82	\$9.62	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,105	\$1,127	\$1,229	\$984	\$1,257	\$1,088

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	767	99	51	253	221	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,087	161	51	371	301	203
Participants in a Work Activity	907	105	44	322	262	174
% of Total Enrolled	83%	65%	86%	87%	87%	86%
Participants Employed	575	64	20	219	157	115
% of Total Enrolled	53%	40%	39%	59%	52%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.68	\$8.15	\$8.65	\$8.17	\$9.62	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,079	\$1,083	\$1,075	\$992	\$1,229	\$1,022
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	91%	58%	84%	77%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	9,051	1,369	547	2,764	2,620	1,751
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,718	962	377	2,162	1,731	1,486
Participants in a Work Activity	6,337	918	354	1,989	1,654	1,422
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	94%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,388	577	221	1,468	1,016	1,106
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$7.60	\$7.45	\$7.99	\$8.61	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,022	\$961	\$925	\$1,022	\$1,131	\$990
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	58%	59%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	418	73	19	49	46	24	54	34	39	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	157	28	4	22	13	10	43	6	10	21
Participants in a Work Activity	127	21	3	20	11	8	39	5	7	13
% of Enrolled	81%	75%	75%	91%	85%	80%	91%	83%	70%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	88	17	1	9	8	7	28	3	4	11
% of Enrolled	56%	61%	25%	41%	62%	70%	65%	50%	40%	52%
Full Time	72	14	1	7	6	6	23	2	4	9
Part Time	16	3	0	2	2	1	5	1	0	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$9.02	\$7.50	\$8.14	\$7.91	\$7.56	\$7.71	\$8.74	\$7.35	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,102	\$1,324	\$1,169	\$1,095	\$1,007	\$1,170	\$1,033	\$1,185	\$955	\$990

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	252	42	12	33	21	11	52	16	26	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	332	62	14	43	27	23	65	20	25	53
Participants in a Work Activity	305	58	13	41	25	21	63	19	20	45
% of Total Enrolled	92%	94%	93%	95%	93%	91%	97%	95%	80%	85%
Participants Employed	181	35	7	21	15	14	38	9	13	29
% of Total Enrolled	55%	56%	50%	49%	56%	61%	58%	45%	52%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$8.58	\$8.11	\$8.37	\$8.09	\$7.81	\$7.67	\$8.00	\$7.50	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,037	\$1,164	\$1,097	\$1,055	\$1,087	\$990	\$1,069	\$942	\$1,066
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	79%	60%	77%	64%	100%	97%	50%	67%	60%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,776	580	278	346	417	211	454	325	347	818
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,834	461	190	284	328	147	341	268	209	606
Participants in a Work Activity	2,663	442	180	271	308	141	318	260	195	548
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	94%	96%	93%	97%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	1,770	310	97	165	217	96	222	150	127	386
% of Total Enrolled	62%	67%	51%	58%	66%	65%	65%	56%	61%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.06	\$7.11	\$7.05	\$7.46	\$6.84	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$6.71	\$6.97	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$899	\$872	\$877	\$979	\$859	\$903	\$907	\$863	\$920	\$923
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	50%	47%	60%	53%	70%	72%	53%	40%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,294	17	89	152	41	130	1,191	1,638	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,278	4	28	55	19	76	471	605	20
Participants in a Work Activity	1,018	2	25	41	13	59	371	501	6
% of Enrolled	80%	50%	89%	75%	68%	78%	79%	83%	30%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	3	1	0	7	1	0
Participants Employed	685	1	19	32	7	32	238	350	6
% of Enrolled	54%	25%	68%	58%	37%	42%	51%	58%	30%
Full Time	504	1	16	19	4	8	176	277	3
Part Time	181	0	3	13	3	24	62	73	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$8.00	\$7.71	\$8.42	\$6.73	\$8.43	\$8.07	\$8.21	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,039	\$955	\$1,050	\$878	\$852	\$1,035	\$1,108	\$814

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,180	4	68	115	17	84	715	1,142	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,934	10	75	150	37	143	967	1,518	34
Participants in a Work Activity	2,579	8	69	124	27	126	877	1,324	24
% of Total Enrolled	88%	80%	92%	83%	73%	88%	91%	87%	71%
Participants Employed	1,502	6	37	84	14	66	519	760	16
% of Total Enrolled	51%	60%	49%	56%	38%	46%	54%	50%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$7.39	\$7.83	\$8.82	\$8.54	\$8.40	\$8.28	\$8.33	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,065	\$1,128	\$965	\$1,092	\$1,154	\$906	\$1,035	\$1,101	\$988
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	60%	50%	63%	82%	78%	80%	74%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	27,223	165	1,099	1,180	351	1,147	9,466	13,415	400
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	20,646	120	786	940	254	881	7,023	10,349	293
Participants in a Work Activity	19,651	113	733	872	241	844	6,831	9,746	271
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	14,242	68	509	646	140	541	4,884	7,252	202
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	55%	61%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.12	\$6.89	\$7.53	\$7.52	\$7.34	\$7.10	\$7.05	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$924	\$944	\$852	\$920	\$1,022	\$896	\$919	\$933	\$909
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	58%	60%	71%	59%	64%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,145	128	90	45	67	306	509
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	414	15	35	22	29	135	178
Participants in a Work Activity	295	15	19	7	24	107	123
% of Enrolled	71%	100%	54%	32%	83%	79%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	2	0	1	3	0
Participants Employed	198	13	13	4	14	60	94
% of Enrolled	48%	87%	37%	18%	48%	44%	53%
Full Time	170	11	11	3	11	43	91
Part Time	28	2	2	1	3	17	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.60	\$7.96	\$7.31	\$7.84	\$7.72	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,114	\$1,201	\$1,101	\$871	\$1,110	\$1,012	\$1,179

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	633	75	58	31	43	161	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW	894	50	76	49	66	271	382
Participants in a Work Activity	770	50	55	41	56	232	336
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	72%	84%	85%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	491	34	34	20	33	149	221
% of Total Enrolled	55%	68%	45%	41%	50%	55%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$8.29	\$8.80	\$8.02	\$8.35	\$7.97	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,133	\$1,224	\$1,169	\$871	\$1,190	\$1,056	\$1,179
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	67%	64%	76%	74%	65%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,578	1,230	869	381	759	2,394	3,945
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,514	815	659	313	614	1,942	3,171
Participants in a Work Activity	7,155	812	566	298	589	1,855	3,035
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	5,113	540	394	218	401	1,326	2,234
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.06	\$6.95	\$7.55	\$7.26	\$7.04	\$6.94	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$952	\$969	\$1,002	\$874	\$959	\$928	\$961
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	58%	57%	63%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through February 28, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/13 and Work Activity as of 2/28/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,806	118	118	968	109	2,087	1,085	396	925
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,271	38	44	369	43	943	398	118	318
Participants in a Work Activity	1,807	27	33	306	38	728	318	102	255
% of Enrolled	80%	71%	75%	83%	88%	77%	80%	86%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	3
Participants Employed	1,156	16	25	207	24	463	177	75	169
% of Enrolled	51%	42%	57%	56%	56%	49%	44%	64%	53%
Full Time	942	14	17	162	12	406	136	62	133
Part Time	214	2	8	45	12	57	41	13	36
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$8.66	\$7.91	\$8.30	\$8.07	\$7.97	\$7.98	\$7.80	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,251	\$1,054	\$1,108	\$1,010	\$1,020	\$1,071	\$1,025	\$1,028

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,596	74	41	577	95	1,249	627	215	718
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,671	86	62	806	105	1,790	812	256	754
Participants in a Work Activity	4,123	74	59	745	100	1,527	715	237	666
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	95%	92%	95%	85%	88%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	2,368	41	35	449	48	913	366	146	370
% of Total Enrolled	51%	48%	56%	56%	46%	51%	45%	57%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$8.23	\$8.07	\$8.33	\$8.65	\$8.09	\$8.11	\$8.03	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$1,094	\$1,013	\$1,089	\$1,189	\$1,028	\$1,060	\$1,044	\$1,067
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	50%	70%	76%	68%	77%	71%	76%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	53,487	1,218	1,004	8,614	855	17,184	8,652	3,363	12,597
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	39,438	883	805	6,351	688	12,581	6,870	2,640	8,620
Participants in a Work Activity	37,288	849	771	6,063	677	11,856	6,466	2,607	7,999
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,287	603	468	4,570	442	8,764	4,858	1,940	5,642
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$6.93	\$6.68	\$7.10	\$6.63	\$6.81	\$6.79	\$6.74	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$902	\$916	\$833	\$934	\$835	\$868	\$906	\$877	\$957
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	38.6%	2.69	108	\$8.39	2.05	39	60.0%	3.54	90	2.76	105
003	Albemarle	56.3%	4.80	26	\$8.92	3.00	13	76.7%	6.24	31	4.68	18
005	Alleghany/Covington	51.8%	4.26	54	\$8.11	1.55	66	45.5%	1.19	113	2.33	109
007	Amelia	55.4%	4.69	33	\$8.26	1.82	50	70.8%	5.29	55	3.93	51
009	Amherst	61.1%	5.37	12	\$8.03	1.40	77	69.8%	5.12	60	3.96	47
011	Appomattox	52.1%	4.30	47	\$7.90	1.17	96	77.8%	6.41	25	3.96	48
013	Arlington	45.1%	3.47	87	\$9.62	4.26	5	69.6%	5.10	61	4.28	28
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.5%	6.13	4	\$8.10	1.53	69	71.9%	5.46	51	4.37	23
017	Bath	50.0%	4.05	64	\$7.25	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.05	40
019	Bedford County/City	50.0%	4.05	65	\$8.18	1.67	58	60.8%	3.67	89	3.13	92
021	Bland	38.5%	2.67	109	\$8.85	2.88	17	40.0%	0.31	115	1.95	114
023	Botetourt	44.8%	3.43	90	\$8.20	1.71	57	66.7%	4.62	68	3.25	86
025	Brunswick	48.7%	3.89	73	\$7.73	0.86	110	83.3%	7.31	15	4.02	41
027	Buchanan	39.3%	2.78	104	\$7.70	0.81	111	96.3%	9.40	4	4.33	24
029	Buckingham	44.1%	3.35	93	\$8.22	1.74	53	85.7%	7.69	12	4.26	29
031	Campbell	50.5%	4.11	61	\$8.22	1.74	54	62.6%	3.96	86	3.27	85
033	Caroline	39.8%	2.83	103	\$8.15	1.62	63	90.9%	8.53	6	4.33	25
035	Carroll	53.3%	4.44	43	\$7.78	0.95	103	73.8%	5.77	43	3.72	60
036	Charles City	60.0%	5.24	14	\$7.39	0.25	119	60.0%	3.54	91	3.01	96
037	Charlotte	35.2%	2.29	114	\$7.86	1.10	98	73.1%	5.65	45	3.01	95
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51.8%	4.26	53	\$8.91	2.99	14	80.6%	6.86	18	4.70	16
043	Clarke	40.0%	2.86	101	\$9.55	4.14	8	50.0%	1.92	105	2.97	98
045	Craig	25.0%	1.07	118	\$8.00	1.35	82	50.0%	1.92	106	1.45	119
047	Culpeper	57.6%	4.95	18	\$8.55	2.34	31	80.0%	6.77	21	4.69	17
049	Cumberland	52.4%	4.33	45	\$8.64	2.50	27	60.0%	3.54	92	3.46	70
051	Dickensen	35.1%	2.28	115	\$7.58	0.59	117	50.0%	1.92	107	1.60	118
053	Dinwiddie	68.0%	6.19	3	\$8.29	1.87	48	66.7%	4.62	69	4.23	33
057	Essex	56.5%	4.82	24	\$8.58	2.39	28	78.6%	6.54	24	4.58	20
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	50.7%	4.14	60	\$10.21	5.32	3	75.9%	6.11	35	5.19	9
061	Fauquier	62.5%	5.54	10	\$9.16	3.44	11	79.6%	6.70	23	5.22	7
063	Floyd	48.0%	3.81	75	\$8.39	2.05	40	40.0%	0.31	116	2.06	113
065	Fluvanna	31.9%	1.89	116	\$8.86	2.90	16	71.4%	5.38	52	3.39	78
067	Franklin County	46.6%	3.65	80	\$8.02	1.38	79	65.3%	4.40	75	3.14	91
069	Frederick	46.5%	3.63	81	\$8.66	2.54	23	68.3%	4.88	63	3.68	62
071	Giles	44.2%	3.36	92	\$7.97	1.29	88	45.5%	1.19	114	1.95	115
073	Gloucester	49.3%	3.97	69	\$7.83	1.04	100	50.0%	1.92	108	2.31	110
075	Goochland	40.5%	2.91	99	\$7.77	0.94	106	76.9%	6.27	29	3.37	79
077	Grayson	52.6%	4.36	44	\$7.89	1.15	97	69.2%	5.03	62	3.51	65

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	62.5%	5.54	11	\$8.15	1.62	64	56.3%	2.93	102	3.36	81
081	Greensville/Emporia	54.5%	4.58	39	\$7.64	0.70	115	80.0%	6.77	22	4.02	42
083	Halifax	39.1%	2.75	106	\$7.93	1.22	94	67.6%	4.76	64	2.91	102
085	Hanover	50.0%	4.05	66	\$8.78	2.75	20	72.9%	5.63	46	4.14	36
087	Henrico	55.0%	4.64	36	\$8.66	2.54	24	75.9%	6.11	36	4.43	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	53.3%	4.44	42	\$7.95	1.26	92	66.2%	4.54	72	3.42	76
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.35	83	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.67	1
093	Isle of Wight	47.7%	3.77	76	\$8.23	1.76	52	50.0%	1.92	109	2.49	107
095	James City	56.0%	4.76	28	\$8.82	2.82	18	63.1%	4.04	85	3.87	54
097	King and Queen	50.0%	4.05	67	\$8.11	1.55	67	60.0%	3.54	93	3.04	94
099	King George	39.2%	2.76	105	\$8.65	2.52	25	58.3%	3.27	97	2.85	104
101	King William	48.8%	3.91	72	\$8.37	2.01	42	76.9%	6.27	30	4.06	39
103	Lancaster	55.6%	4.71	31	\$8.09	1.51	70	64.3%	4.23	82	3.48	68
105	Lee	51.0%	4.17	58	\$7.70	0.81	112	72.5%	5.55	50	3.51	67
107	Loudoun	55.4%	4.69	32	\$10.27	5.43	2	80.3%	6.82	20	5.65	2
109	Louisa	46.7%	3.65	79	\$8.56	2.36	30	59.5%	3.45	95	3.15	90
111	Lunenburg	40.9%	2.97	97	\$7.82	1.03	101	38.1%	0.00	117	1.33	120
113	Madison	27.3%	1.34	117	\$8.91	2.99	15	50.0%	1.92	110	2.08	112
115	Mathews	60.9%	5.34	13	\$7.81	1.01	102	100.0%	10.00	1	5.45	3
117	Mecklenburg	52.0%	4.28	49	\$7.75	0.90	109	65.1%	4.37	76	3.18	88
119	Middlesex	58.5%	5.05	16	\$7.67	0.76	113	96.7%	9.46	3	5.09	10
121	Montgomery	63.3%	5.63	9	\$8.06	1.46	74	65.6%	4.44	74	3.84	56
125	Nelson	48.3%	3.84	74	\$9.26	3.62	9	90.9%	8.53	7	5.33	4
127	New Kent	37.8%	2.60	111	\$8.54	2.32	33	81.8%	7.06	16	3.99	46
131	Northampton	42.0%	3.09	96	\$7.97	1.29	89	88.0%	8.06	10	4.15	35
133	Northumberland	45.0%	3.45	89	\$8.00	1.35	84	50.0%	1.92	111	2.24	111
135	Nottoway	54.6%	4.60	38	\$7.64	0.70	116	75.5%	6.04	38	3.78	59
137	Orange	51.7%	4.25	55	\$8.08	1.49	72	92.3%	8.76	5	4.83	14
139	Page	63.5%	5.65	8	\$7.98	1.31	85	53.9%	2.54	104	3.17	89
141	Patrick	55.8%	4.74	29	\$7.78	0.95	104	67.4%	4.73	67	3.48	69
143	Pittsylvania	38.0%	2.62	110	\$7.98	1.31	86	46.2%	1.30	112	1.74	117
145	Powhatan	39.0%	2.74	107	\$9.13	3.38	12	90.0%	8.38	8	4.84	13
147	Prince Edward	43.7%	3.30	94	\$7.78	0.95	105	61.5%	3.79	88	2.68	106
149	Prince George	44.7%	3.42	91	\$8.80	2.79	19	63.6%	4.13	84	3.44	73
153	Prince William	57.0%	4.88	20	\$9.64	4.30	4	75.3%	6.01	39	5.06	11
155	Pulaski	56.9%	4.87	21	\$8.01	1.37	81	54.7%	2.68	103	2.97	97
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	1.07	119	\$8.75	2.70	21	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.88	116
159	Richmond County	52.0%	4.29	48	\$7.50	0.45	118	66.7%	4.62	70	3.12	93
161	Roanoke County	68.6%	6.26	2	\$8.48	2.21	35	61.7%	3.81	87	4.10	37
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51.9%	4.28	51	\$8.21	1.73	56	87.5%	7.98	11	4.66	19
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.9%	6.06	5	\$8.58	2.39	29	64.5%	4.26	81	4.24	32
167	Russell	39.8%	2.83	102	\$8.04	1.42	76	64.9%	4.32	79	2.86	103
169	Scott	49.2%	3.96	70	\$8.05	1.44	75	76.2%	6.15	34	3.85	55
171	Shenandoah	36.1%	2.39	113	\$8.50	2.25	34	88.9%	8.21	9	4.28	27

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	46.1%	3.58	<b>83</b>	\$8.39	2.05	<b>41</b>	57.4%	3.11	<b>98</b>	2.91	<b>101</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.5%	4.82	<b>25</b>	\$8.07	1.47	<b>73</b>	70.0%	5.15	<b>58</b>	3.81	<b>58</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	59.0%	5.12	<b>15</b>	\$8.17	1.65	<b>60</b>	83.8%	7.39	<b>14</b>	4.72	<b>15</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.2%	4.30	<b>46</b>	\$9.62	4.26	<b>6</b>	77.0%	6.28	<b>28</b>	4.95	<b>12</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	40.8%	2.95	<b>98</b>	\$8.02	1.38	<b>80</b>	76.5%	6.20	<b>32</b>	3.51	<b>66</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	50.0%	4.05	<b>68</b>	\$8.35	1.98	<b>45</b>	74.2%	5.83	<b>42</b>	3.95	<b>49</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	52.0%	4.28	<b>50</b>	\$8.16	1.64	<b>62</b>	63.9%	4.17	<b>83</b>	3.36	<b>82</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	42.5%	3.15	<b>95</b>	\$8.17	1.65	<b>61</b>	71.4%	5.38	<b>53</b>	3.40	<b>77</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	47.7%	3.77	<b>77</b>	\$7.91	1.19	<b>95</b>	70.0%	5.15	<b>59</b>	3.37	<b>80</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	54.7%	4.61	<b>37</b>	\$8.22	1.74	<b>55</b>	60.0%	3.54	<b>94</b>	3.30	<b>83</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.8%	4.27	<b>52</b>	\$7.94	1.24	<b>93</b>	65.0%	4.35	<b>78</b>	3.28	<b>84</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	63.6%	5.67	<b>7</b>	\$7.76	0.92	<b>108</b>	57.1%	3.08	<b>99</b>	3.22	<b>87</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	46.2%	3.59	<b>82</b>	\$8.40	2.07	<b>38</b>	77.6%	6.37	<b>26</b>	4.01	<b>43</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.2%	4.07	<b>62</b>	\$9.56	4.15	<b>7</b>	84.0%	7.42	<b>13</b>	5.21	<b>8</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	40.1%	2.86	<b>100</b>	\$7.86	1.10	<b>99</b>	58.8%	3.35	<b>96</b>	2.44	<b>108</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	56.2%	4.79	<b>27</b>	\$8.47	2.19	<b>36</b>	70.5%	5.24	<b>56</b>	4.07	<b>38</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	55.7%	4.73	<b>30</b>	\$8.33	1.94	<b>46</b>	75.6%	6.06	<b>37</b>	4.24	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.4%	2.54	<b>112</b>	\$8.18	1.67	<b>59</b>	66.7%	4.62	<b>71</b>	2.94	<b>100</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	45.7%	3.54	<b>85</b>	\$8.65	2.52	<b>26</b>	67.6%	4.76	<b>65</b>	3.61	<b>64</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	56.7%	4.84	<b>23</b>	\$8.55	2.34	<b>32</b>	64.7%	4.30	<b>80</b>	3.83	<b>57</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	51.5%	4.22	<b>56</b>	\$7.66	0.74	<b>114</b>	81.8%	7.06	<b>17</b>	4.01	<b>44</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.7%	4.48	<b>41</b>	\$8.28	1.85	<b>49</b>	80.4%	6.84	<b>19</b>	4.39	<b>22</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	55.0%	4.64	<b>35</b>	\$7.97	1.29	<b>90</b>	65.1%	4.36	<b>77</b>	3.43	<b>75</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	54.3%	4.55	<b>40</b>	\$7.98	1.31	<b>87</b>	70.5%	5.23	<b>57</b>	3.70	<b>61</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	45.8%	3.55	<b>84</b>	\$9.20	3.51	<b>10</b>	67.4%	4.74	<b>66</b>	3.93	<b>50</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	16.0%	0.00	<b>120</b>	\$12.81	10.00	<b>1</b>	75.0%	5.96	<b>40</b>	5.32	<b>5</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.1%	4.06	<b>63</b>	\$8.33	1.94	<b>47</b>	73.7%	5.76	<b>44</b>	3.92	<b>52</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.0%	4.17	<b>59</b>	\$8.09	1.51	<b>71</b>	77.4%	6.34	<b>27</b>	4.01	<b>45</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	56.7%	4.84	<b>22</b>	\$7.77	0.94	<b>107</b>	57.1%	3.08	<b>100</b>	2.95	<b>99</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	57.9%	4.98	<b>17</b>	\$8.37	2.01	<b>43</b>	74.3%	5.85	<b>41</b>	4.28	<b>26</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.1%	3.46	<b>88</b>	\$8.11	1.55	<b>68</b>	70.9%	5.29	<b>54</b>	3.43	<b>74</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	65.5%	5.89	<b>6</b>	\$7.96	1.28	<b>91</b>	72.7%	5.59	<b>48</b>	4.26	<b>30</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	45.4%	3.50	<b>86</b>	\$8.24	1.78	<b>51</b>	72.7%	5.58	<b>49</b>	3.62	<b>63</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.5%	4.22	<b>57</b>	\$8.15	1.62	<b>65</b>	66.0%	4.50	<b>73</b>	3.45	<b>72</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.0%	4.88	<b>19</b>	\$8.03	1.40	<b>78</b>	76.2%	6.16	<b>33</b>	4.15	<b>34</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	49.1%	3.94	<b>71</b>	\$8.42	2.10	<b>37</b>	72.9%	5.62	<b>47</b>	3.89	<b>53</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	47.1%	3.70	<b>78</b>	\$8.37	2.01	<b>44</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	5.24	<b>6</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	55.0%	4.64	<b>34</b>	\$8.71	2.63	<b>22</b>	57.1%	3.08	<b>101</b>	3.45	<b>71</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.8%	1.74	118	\$858	2.91	96	65.2%	5.83	49	3.49	108
003	Albemarle	71.9%	7.51	13	\$1,010	6.02	20	57.8%	4.14	100	5.89	26
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.8%	6.93	23	\$842	2.56	103	58.0%	4.19	97	4.56	82
007	Amelia	60.1%	4.27	87	\$958	4.97	41	64.0%	5.55	59	4.93	68
009	Amherst	66.6%	6.05	50	\$878	3.31	80	59.4%	4.51	87	4.62	77
011	Appomattox	69.7%	6.90	24	\$875	3.25	83	56.5%	3.83	105	4.66	76
013	Arlington	71.3%	7.35	15	\$1,069	7.24	8	69.6%	6.84	19	7.14	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.24	42	\$961	5.02	36	67.5%	6.36	37	5.87	28
017	Bath	64.0%	5.34	69	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.94	76	3.43	109
019	Bedford County/City	64.3%	5.43	66	\$859	2.91	95	60.3%	4.70	80	4.35	90
021	Bland	59.2%	4.03	93	\$865	3.04	90	56.0%	3.71	106	3.59	105
023	Botetourt	44.5%	0.00	120	\$944	4.67	49	57.0%	3.94	104	2.87	118
025	Brunswick	56.4%	3.27	107	\$830	2.32	110	67.5%	6.36	35	3.98	99
027	Buchanan	53.2%	2.38	114	\$840	2.53	104	64.2%	5.60	56	3.50	107
029	Buckingham	56.2%	3.21	108	\$949	4.77	47	61.6%	5.00	74	4.33	92
031	Campbell	68.3%	6.51	38	\$902	3.80	73	63.5%	5.44	62	5.25	54
033	Caroline	60.0%	4.24	90	\$961	5.02	37	57.8%	4.12	101	4.46	86
035	Carroll	66.5%	6.03	51	\$832	2.37	109	54.2%	3.30	110	3.90	102
036	Charles City	56.7%	3.33	106	\$944	4.66	50	51.8%	2.75	116	3.58	106
037	Charlotte	51.0%	1.79	116	\$926	4.30	58	57.7%	4.11	102	3.40	110
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.12	75	\$1,030	6.43	14	69.1%	6.74	24	6.09	21
043	Clarke	58.0%	3.70	103	\$999	5.79	24	61.8%	5.04	71	4.85	71
045	Craig	50.9%	1.76	117	\$1,039	6.62	12	63.0%	5.32	66	4.57	81
047	Culpeper	64.7%	5.53	65	\$970	5.20	32	66.6%	6.16	40	5.63	33
049	Cumberland	58.2%	3.74	100	\$955	4.89	44	58.3%	4.26	95	4.30	93
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.25	88	\$835	2.42	106	54.7%	3.41	108	3.36	113
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	5.96	52	\$969	5.18	33	58.0%	4.18	98	5.11	61
057	Essex	67.2%	6.23	43	\$872	3.19	86	49.6%	2.26	117	3.89	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.1%	6.18	48	\$1,161	9.13	3	69.2%	6.76	22	7.36	5
061	Fauquier	69.1%	6.74	32	\$1,034	6.52	13	68.9%	6.69	26	6.65	9
063	Floyd	65.5%	5.75	57	\$936	4.50	53	53.8%	3.21	111	4.49	85
065	Fluvanna	59.2%	4.03	92	\$1,057	6.99	9	60.4%	4.74	78	5.26	53
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.77	80	\$950	4.80	46	57.9%	4.16	99	4.58	80
069	Frederick	56.7%	3.36	105	\$1,011	6.05	19	61.7%	5.04	72	4.81	72
071	Giles	58.3%	3.78	98	\$956	4.92	43	52.5%	2.90	115	3.87	104
073	Gloucester	64.8%	5.55	64	\$852	2.78	98	58.4%	4.27	93	4.20	95
075	Goochland	65.6%	5.78	56	\$897	3.70	74	65.7%	5.94	47	5.14	58
077	Grayson	65.9%	5.86	55	\$859	2.92	94	61.4%	4.96	75	4.58	79

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.8%	8.57	4	\$914	4.05	66	55.6%	3.62	107	5.41	45
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.9%	3.94	95	\$825	2.23	111	64.7%	5.71	50	3.96	100
083	Halifax	56.1%	3.18	109	\$843	2.60	101	58.7%	4.34	90	3.37	112
085	Hanover	63.6%	5.23	71	\$1,054	6.93	10	66.2%	6.06	44	6.07	22
087	Henrico	67.2%	6.21	44	\$1,023	6.30	15	66.3%	6.10	42	6.20	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.5%	5.22	72	\$941	4.61	51	64.2%	5.60	55	5.14	57
091	Highland	75.0%	8.35	5	\$784	1.37	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.57	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.3%	6.52	36	\$916	4.09	65	59.5%	4.52	85	5.04	64
095	James City	68.7%	6.63	34	\$920	4.17	63	60.4%	4.72	79	5.18	56
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.80	115	\$877	3.29	81	47.1%	1.67	118	2.25	120
099	King George	58.6%	3.87	97	\$925	4.27	59	58.8%	4.35	89	4.16	96
101	King William	58.1%	3.73	102	\$979	5.39	29	60.3%	4.70	81	4.60	78
103	Lancaster	66.2%	5.93	53	\$859	2.93	93	52.6%	2.93	114	3.93	101
105	Lee	65.0%	5.62	63	\$795	1.61	117	72.2%	7.43	7	4.89	69
107	Loudoun	76.9%	8.86	3	\$1,203	10.00	1	72.0%	7.39	8	8.75	1
109	Louisa	61.1%	4.56	83	\$986	5.53	27	62.4%	5.20	67	5.10	62
111	Lunenburg	48.5%	1.11	119	\$809	1.90	115	60.0%	4.64	83	2.55	119
113	Madison	60.5%	4.38	86	\$1,000	5.83	23	61.0%	4.87	77	5.03	65
115	Mathews	65.3%	5.70	60	\$903	3.83	71	70.0%	6.94	16	5.49	39
117	Mecklenburg	66.0%	5.88	54	\$842	2.57	102	67.6%	6.39	33	4.95	67
119	Middlesex	65.1%	5.64	62	\$907	3.91	69	71.6%	7.30	10	5.62	34
121	Montgomery	77.8%	9.12	2	\$938	4.54	52	63.7%	5.49	61	6.38	15
125	Nelson	61.7%	4.70	81	\$1,023	6.30	16	64.6%	5.69	51	5.56	36
127	New Kent	55.1%	2.91	111	\$1,022	6.27	18	71.4%	7.27	11	5.48	40
131	Northampton	63.1%	5.09	77	\$848	2.69	99	79.8%	9.18	2	5.66	32
133	Northumberland	56.0%	3.14	110	\$863	3.00	91	52.6%	2.94	113	3.03	116
135	Nottoway	67.1%	6.20	45	\$903	3.82	72	69.3%	6.78	21	5.60	35
137	Orange	71.8%	7.47	14	\$962	5.05	35	60.1%	4.66	82	5.73	30
139	Page	69.4%	6.83	30	\$993	5.67	25	59.5%	4.52	84	5.67	31
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.24	41	\$824	2.19	113	74.5%	7.97	6	5.47	42
143	Pittsylvania	53.6%	2.49	113	\$872	3.19	87	59.5%	4.52	86	3.40	111
145	Powhatan	53.8%	2.55	112	\$967	5.15	34	64.1%	5.58	57	4.42	88
147	Prince Edward	69.4%	6.83	31	\$882	3.40	79	67.9%	6.46	31	5.56	37
149	Prince George	59.8%	4.19	91	\$1,002	5.86	22	58.4%	4.27	94	4.77	73
153	Prince William	72.1%	7.56	11	\$1,194	9.82	2	70.5%	7.06	14	8.14	2
155	Pulaski	63.5%	5.20	73	\$945	4.69	48	53.4%	3.13	112	4.34	91
157	Rappahannock	60.0%	4.25	89	\$950	4.80	45	43.6%	0.87	119	3.30	114
159	Richmond County	60.8%	4.46	84	\$920	4.18	62	39.8%	0.00	120	2.88	117
161	Roanoke County	74.4%	8.18	7	\$1,043	6.70	11	58.1%	4.19	96	6.36	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.5%	7.11	17	\$867	3.08	89	67.0%	6.25	38	5.48	41
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.9%	7.77	10	\$980	5.41	28	67.6%	6.39	34	6.52	12
167	Russell	60.7%	4.45	85	\$855	2.84	97	66.9%	6.22	39	4.50	83
169	Scott	57.9%	3.68	104	\$888	3.52	77	76.7%	8.47	5	5.23	55
171	Shenandoah	59.1%	3.99	94	\$934	4.47	55	69.8%	6.90	17	5.12	60

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.2%	3.74	<b>99</b>	\$861	2.96	<b>92</b>	63.4%	5.42	<b>64</b>	4.04	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.1%	3.74	<b>101</b>	\$833	2.38	<b>108</b>	54.3%	3.33	<b>109</b>	3.15	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.9%	6.41	<b>39</b>	\$1,022	6.28	<b>17</b>	68.5%	6.58	<b>29</b>	6.42	<b>13</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.7%	3.89	<b>96</b>	\$1,131	8.52	<b>4</b>	67.9%	6.45	<b>32</b>	6.29	<b>18</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.6%	6.89	<b>27</b>	\$874	3.22	<b>84</b>	57.1%	3.98	<b>103</b>	4.70	<b>74</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.3%	5.70	<b>59</b>	\$959	4.98	<b>40</b>	63.4%	5.43	<b>63</b>	5.37	<b>49</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.0%	6.15	<b>49</b>	\$816	2.04	<b>114</b>	65.4%	5.88	<b>48</b>	4.69	<b>75</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.1%	6.20	<b>46</b>	\$1,008	5.99	<b>21</b>	68.7%	6.65	<b>27</b>	6.28	<b>19</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.2%	5.11	<b>76</b>	\$896	3.67	<b>76</b>	58.5%	4.29	<b>92</b>	4.36	<b>89</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.7%	5.26	<b>70</b>	\$923	4.24	<b>60</b>	64.5%	5.68	<b>52</b>	5.06	<b>63</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.8%	5.02	<b>79</b>	\$825	2.22	<b>112</b>	66.5%	6.13	<b>41</b>	4.46	<b>87</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.1%	6.18	<b>47</b>	\$844	2.61	<b>100</b>	66.3%	6.10	<b>43</b>	4.96	<b>66</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.4%	4.63	<b>82</b>	\$896	3.67	<b>75</b>	59.3%	4.48	<b>88</b>	4.26	<b>94</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.9%	6.96	<b>22</b>	\$1,080	7.46	<b>7</b>	77.2%	8.59	<b>4</b>	7.67	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.6%	6.33	<b>40</b>	\$873	3.20	<b>85</b>	61.9%	5.08	<b>69</b>	4.87	<b>70</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$920	4.18	<b>61</b>	65.7%	5.95	<b>46</b>	6.71	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.0%	7.52	<b>12</b>	\$934	4.47	<b>54</b>	64.4%	5.65	<b>54</b>	5.88	<b>27</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.5%	5.20	<b>74</b>	\$807	1.86	<b>116</b>	61.7%	5.03	<b>73</b>	4.03	<b>98</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.2%	5.41	<b>67</b>	\$835	2.43	<b>105</b>	64.5%	5.67	<b>53</b>	4.50	<b>84</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.4%	8.20	<b>6</b>	\$990	5.61	<b>26</b>	66.1%	6.03	<b>45</b>	6.61	<b>10</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.9%	5.05	<b>78</b>	\$885	3.46	<b>78</b>	69.7%	6.87	<b>18</b>	5.13	<b>59</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	6.86	<b>29</b>	\$919	4.16	<b>64</b>	63.7%	5.50	<b>60</b>	5.51	<b>38</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.3%	6.51	<b>37</b>	\$928	4.34	<b>57</b>	63.3%	5.39	<b>65</b>	5.42	<b>44</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.6%	6.87	<b>28</b>	\$834	2.41	<b>107</b>	68.7%	6.63	<b>28</b>	5.30	<b>52</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.37	<b>68</b>	\$1,104	7.96	<b>5</b>	70.8%	7.12	<b>12</b>	6.82	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	70.2%	7.05	<b>19</b>	\$1,099	7.85	<b>6</b>	77.5%	8.67	<b>3</b>	7.86	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.1%	7.00	<b>21</b>	\$933	4.45	<b>56</b>	69.1%	6.74	<b>25</b>	6.06	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.7%	6.89	<b>26</b>	\$868	3.10	<b>88</b>	67.5%	6.36	<b>36</b>	5.45	<b>43</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.3%	8.15	<b>8</b>	\$751	0.70	<b>119</b>	70.7%	7.10	<b>13</b>	5.32	<b>51</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.11	<b>18</b>	\$961	5.02	<b>38</b>	70.3%	7.01	<b>15</b>	6.38	<b>16</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.7%	7.18	<b>16</b>	\$906	3.88	<b>70</b>	69.4%	6.80	<b>20</b>	5.95	<b>24</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.2%	7.03	<b>20</b>	\$909	3.95	<b>67</b>	61.8%	5.06	<b>70</b>	5.35	<b>50</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.7%	6.89	<b>25</b>	\$959	4.98	<b>39</b>	71.8%	7.34	<b>9</b>	6.41	<b>14</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.2%	5.66	<b>61</b>	\$975	5.30	<b>30</b>	62.4%	5.18	<b>68</b>	5.38	<b>48</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.5%	7.94	<b>9</b>	\$877	3.28	<b>82</b>	68.2%	6.51	<b>30</b>	5.91	<b>25</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.5%	5.74	<b>58</b>	\$957	4.93	<b>42</b>	69.2%	6.76	<b>23</b>	5.81	<b>29</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.9%	6.69	<b>33</b>	\$909	3.95	<b>68</b>	64.0%	5.56	<b>58</b>	5.40	<b>46</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.59	<b>35</b>	\$974	5.28	<b>31</b>	58.7%	4.33	<b>91</b>	5.40	<b>47</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2012 – February 28, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	14,268	703	505	1,160	457	235	1,611	157	334	558	586	558	1,935	513	202	1,605	437	2,572	140
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	97%	95%	96%	94%	88%	92%	92%	97%	96%	96%	92%	95%	79%	95%	98%	89%	90%	80%
% Timely in February 2013	96%	95%	96%	99%	94%	93%	96%	100%	98%	93%	96%	93%	97%	90%	100%	98%	90%	93%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	9,481	483	350	756	332	148	1,088	106	224	363	406	374	1,282	327	138	1,017	278	1,717	92
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	98%	99%	97%	98%	93%	96%	93%	100%	93%	98%	98%	93%	90%	98%	94%	93%	92%	98%
Percent in February 2013	95%	100%	100%	98%	98%	90%	98%	100%	100%	94%	96%	96%	90%	89%	96%	97%	88%	92%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	63	1	0	1	0	0	1	6	0	2	0	1	17	17	3	4	3	6	1
Average # of Days Over 30	67	4	0	167	0	0	25	82	0	7	0	5	97	72	66	43	9	39	18

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	703	60	24	147	93	69	119	174	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	98%	100%	100%	96%	94%	97%	97%	82%
% Timely in February 2013	95%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	97%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	483	51	15	113	62	44	81	104	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	100%	99%	98%	98%	100%	97%	85%
Percent in February 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	505	8	37	21	114	86	46	158	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	92%	100%	96%	98%	89%	96%	89%
% Timely in February 2013	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	60%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	350	3	27	14	77	58	33	110	28
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	96%	100%	99%	100%	100%	99%	96%
Percent in February 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,160	63	9	3	27	119	34	138	85	113	33	536
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	97%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	97%	92%
% Timely in February 2013	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	756	48	3	3	19	78	23	82	52	72	23	353
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	97%	100%	95%
Percent in February 2013	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	167	0	167	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	457	260	0	0	20	177
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	98%	N/A	N/A	60%	92%
% Timely in February 2013	94%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	332	186	0	0	13	133
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	85%	99%
Percent in February 2013	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	67%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	235	6	65	29	13	52	70	140	100	40
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	88%	100%	71%	97%	92%	87%	99%	80%	81%	78%
% Timely in February 2013	93%	100%	80%	100%	N/A	89%	100%	93%	100%	83%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	148	4	39	20	10	29	46	92	70	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	95%	100%	100%	76%	96%	98%	100%	91%
Percent in February 2013	90%	100%	90%	100%	100%	50%	100%	95%	100%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	18

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,611	111	606	115	527	170	65	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	99%	96%	96%	84%	98%	91%	88%
% Timely in February 2013	96%	100%	96%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,088	76	401	82	375	99	44	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	97%	98%	94%	97%	100%	100%
Percent in February 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	90%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	0	25	0	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	157	83	41	7	19	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	98%	98%	86%	74%	43%
% Timely in February 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	106	59	31	6	6	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	95%	100%	83%	67%	75%
Percent in February 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	82	0	0	0	82	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	334	69	25	21	59	18	142
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	84%	95%	97%	100%	97%
% Timely in February 2013	98%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	224	44	19	14	40	16	91
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in February 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	558	52	54	104	109	239
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	87%	100%	97%
% Timely in February 2013	93%	100%	100%	74%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	363	37	33	56	68	169
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	100%	86%	96%	91%
Percent in February 2013	94%	100%	100%	86%	100%	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	7	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	586	72	189	55	83	187
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	92%	95%	95%	100%	97%
% Timely in February 2013	96%	100%	95%	100%	100%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	406	51	130	32	55	138
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	98%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in February 2013	96%	100%	94%	100%	100%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	558	34	64	53	46	32	64	48	60	78	79
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	89%	98%	98%	97%	70%	85%	97%	100%	92%
% Timely in February 2013	93%	100%	67%	100%	100%	80%	67%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	374	24	49	37	36	21	47	27	42	47	44
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	96%	100%	97%	100%	90%	98%	100%	100%	96%	95%
Percent in February 2013	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	91%	83%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,935	391	15	65	492	26	946
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	94%	87%	95%	91%	100%	97%
% Timely in February 2013	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	100%	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,282	265	11	47	312	15	632
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	96%	91%	96%	88%	100%	94%
Percent in February 2013	90%	98%	100%	83%	83%	100%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	17	3	0	0	12	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	97	52	0	0	116	0	45

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	513	66	42	148	146	111
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	79%	50%	100%	58%	95%	96%
% Timely in February 2013	90%	50%	100%	92%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	327	34	24	108	99	62
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	90%	76%	100%	88%	89%	98%
Percent in February 2013	89%	100%	100%	82%	88%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	17	17	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	72	72	0	0	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	202	37	8	26	17	9	45	16	19	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	88%	74%	100%
% Timely in February 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	138	31	6	19	9	6	32	9	9	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	94%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in February 2013	96%	86%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,605	4	55	85	13	64	495	864	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	98%	94%	54%	94%	100%	98%	76%
% Timely in February 2013	98%	N/A	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	99%	75%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,017	4	35	49	7	38	330	542	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	75%	100%	98%	29%	97%	96%	93%	83%
Percent in February 2013	97%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	96%	98%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	56

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	437	35	37	21	30	123	191
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	89%	100%	97%	90%	97%	79%	92%
% Timely in February 2013	90%	100%	100%	50%	100%	84%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	278	24	23	13	16	73	129
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	93%	96%	87%	100%	100%	95%	91%
Percent in February 2013	88%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	81%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	5	0	11	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - February 28, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	2,572	53	23	416	85	896	452	178	469
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	98%	83%	90%	100%	85%	89%	97%	97%
% Timely in February 2013	93%	83%	91%	92%	100%	91%	94%	93%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,717	35	10	285	64	587	287	130	319
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	90%	95%	100%	85%	94%	95%	97%
Percent in February 2013	92%	100%	N/A	94%	100%	86%	97%	90%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	6	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	7	58	26	0	0	0	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Feb-12</i>	<i>Feb-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,810	14,594	-13.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	131,853	129,485	-1.8%
Total Cases Collected	148,663	144,079	-3.1%
TANF Collections	\$6,978,605	\$4,442,846	-36%
NTANF Collections	\$55,719,444	\$51,295,560	-7.9%
Total Collections	\$62,698,049	\$55,738,406	-11.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$421,004,121	\$417,063,020	-0.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$365.35	\$363.19	-0.6%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Feb-13</i>
TANF Paternities	198
NTANF Paternities	311
Combined Paternities	509

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *February Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	1		Prince William	1
Amelia	1		Roanoke County	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Bedford City	3		Shenandoah	7
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Smyth	1
Culpeper	2		Spotsylvania	5
Cumberland	2		Stafford	4
Dickenson	1		Warren	1
Fairfax/ Falls Church	3		Wythe	1
Franklin County	1		Bristol	1
Frederick	4		Chesapeake	2
Gloucester	1		Danville	1
Greene	3		Hampton	3
Halifax	1		Hopewell	6
Hanover	2		Newport News	17
Henrico	5		Norfolk	6
Henry / Martinsville	2		Petersburg	1
Isle of Wight	1		Richmond City	2
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	2
Montgomery	1		Suffolk	3
Orange	1		Virginia Beach	2
Patrick	1		Winchester	3
Pittsylvania	1		Statewide	119

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of March 1, 2013. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through February 2013




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through February 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Feb 28, 2013



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January it was \$8.34 rather than the \$8.25 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.