

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**May 2013**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services June 26, 2013

# Monthly Report, May 2013

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

*These are estimated locality May 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 7,194 and a denominator of 16,301.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	16	64	25%	061	Fauquier	30	57	53%
003	Albemarle	26	62	42%	063	Floyd	7	14	50%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	50	38%	065	Fluvanna	12	31	39%
007	Amelia	11	30	37%	067	Franklin County	46	124	37%
009	Amherst	13	28	46%	069	Frederick	26	60	43%
011	Appomattox	21	53	40%	071	Giles	8	22	36%
013	Arlington	55	107	51%	073	Gloucester	20	39	51%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	135	247	55%	075	Goochland	10	18	56%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	9	21	43%
019	Bedford County/City	58	98	59%	079	Greene	7	10	70%
021	Bland	4	7	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	61	103	59%
023	Botetourt	2	10	20%	083	Halifax	23	85	27%
025	Brunswick	40	79	51%	085	Hanover	25	86	29%
027	Buchanan	28	60	47%	087	Henrico	305	803	38%
029	Buckingham	9	24	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	61	212	29%
031	Campbell	70	153	46%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	27	101	27%	093	Isle of Wight	25	58	43%
035	Carroll	30	55	55%	095	James City	36	85	42%
036	Charles City	1	9	11%	097	King and Queen	2	7	29%
037	Charlotte	19	43	44%	099	King George	10	29	34%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	222	505	44%	101	King William	13	25	52%
043	Clarke	1	6	17%	103	Lancaster	6	21	29%
045	Craig	1	5	20%	105	Lee	64	123	52%
047	Culpeper	48	96	50%	107	Loudoun	67	137	49%
049	Cumberland	16	33	48%	109	Louisa	24	48	50%
051	Dickensen	11	20	55%	111	Lunenburg	12	32	38%
053	Dinwiddie	13	43	30%	113	Madison	4	10	40%
057	Essex	11	31	35%	115	Mathews	3	15	20%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	311	685	45%	117	Mecklenburg	24	66	36%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	38	61%	187	Warren	27	72	38%
121	Montgomery	64	115	56%	191	Washington	32	70	46%
125	Nelson	4	11	36%	193	Westmoreland	15	31	48%
127	New Kent	6	19	32%	195	Wise	76	174	44%
131	Northampton	17	56	30%	197	Wythe	19	45	42%
133	Northumberland	11	15	73%	199	York/Poquoson	47	96	49%
135	Nottoway	15	74	20%	510	Alexandria	111	220	50%
137	Orange	11	41	27%	520	Bristol	62	128	48%
139	Page	11	20	55%	540	Charlottesville	105	178	59%
141	Patrick	19	45	42%	550	Chesapeake	256	545	47%
143	Pittsylvania	30	68	44%	590	Danville	71	186	38%
145	Powhatan	6	17	35%	620	Franklin City	20	60	33%
147	Prince Edward	34	73	47%	630	Fredericksburg	55	101	54%
149	Prince George	13	47	28%	640	Galax	15	24	63%
153	Prince William	297	547	54%	650	Hampton	346	768	45%
155	Pulaski	28	65	43%	670	Hopewell	33	175	19%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	126	299	42%
159	Richmond County	4	19	21%	683	Manassas	27	69	39%
161	Roanoke County	54	141	38%	685	Manassas Park	4	14	29%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	9	35	26%	700	Newport News	428	890	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69	125	55%	710	Norfolk	680	1,192	57%
167	Russell	36	88	41%	720	Norton	5	22	23%
169	Scott	36	59	61%	730	Petersburg	105	280	38%
171	Shenandoah	4	16	25%	740	Portsmouth	205	548	37%
173	Smyth	36	89	40%	750	Radford	14	41	34%
175	Southampton	11	50	22%	760	Richmond City	576	1,400	41%
177	Spotsylvania	85	226	38%	770	Roanoke City	146	482	30%
179	Stafford	62	157	39%	800	Suffolk	90	184	49%
181	Surry	11	26	42%	810	Virginia Beach	234	443	53%
183	Sussex	19	44	43%	830	Williamsburg	10	25	40%
185	Tazewell	48	106	45%	840	Winchester	23	57	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

*These are estimated locality May 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 5,415 and a denominator of 14,339. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	59	20%	061	Fauquier	19	45	42%
003	Albemarle	18	54	33%	063	Floyd	4	11	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	47	34%	065	Fluvanna	9	28	32%
007	Amelia	8	26	31%	067	Franklin County	37	115	32%
009	Amherst	8	23	35%	069	Frederick	22	56	39%
011	Appomattox	17	48	35%	071	Giles	6	19	32%
013	Arlington	39	91	43%	073	Gloucester	13	32	41%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	103	213	48%	075	Goochland	8	15	53%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	9	21	43%
019	Bedford County/City	43	83	52%	079	Greene	6	9	67%
021	Bland	4	7	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	53	94	56%
023	Botetourt	2	10	20%	083	Halifax	14	73	19%
025	Brunswick	36	72	50%	085	Hanover	18	76	24%
027	Buchanan	27	57	47%	087	Henrico	214	698	31%
029	Buckingham	8	23	35%	089	Henry/Martinsville	42	191	22%
031	Campbell	47	130	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	19	89	21%	093	Isle of Wight	14	47	30%
035	Carroll	23	47	49%	095	James City	27	75	36%
036	Charles City	1	9	11%	097	King and Queen	2	6	33%
037	Charlotte	16	40	40%	099	King George	8	27	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	171	448	38%	101	King William	8	19	42%
043	Clarke	0	5	0%	103	Lancaster	6	21	29%
045	Craig	0	4	0%	105	Lee	56	114	49%
047	Culpeper	32	77	42%	107	Loudoun	34	100	34%
049	Cumberland	13	29	45%	109	Louisa	17	41	41%
051	Dickensen	9	18	50%	111	Lunenburg	9	28	32%
053	Dinwiddie	10	40	25%	113	Madison	4	10	40%
057	Essex	9	28	32%	115	Mathews	3	14	21%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	201	569	35%	117	Mecklenburg	16	58	28%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	37	59%	187	Warren	17	60	28%
121	Montgomery	44	93	47%	191	Washington	23	61	38%
125	Nelson	4	11	36%	193	Westmoreland	14	30	47%
127	New Kent	5	18	28%	195	Wise	55	153	36%
131	Northampton	16	54	30%	197	Wythe	16	42	38%
133	Northumberland	7	11	64%	199	York/Poquoson	33	82	40%
135	Nottoway	4	63	6%	510	Alexandria	81	187	43%
137	Orange	9	35	26%	520	Bristol	48	114	42%
139	Page	6	15	40%	540	Charlottesville	74	146	51%
141	Patrick	15	41	37%	550	Chesapeake	190	472	40%
143	Pittsylvania	26	64	41%	590	Danville	53	167	32%
145	Powhatan	3	14	21%	620	Franklin City	17	56	30%
147	Prince Edward	20	59	34%	630	Fredericksburg	40	86	47%
149	Prince George	9	43	21%	640	Galax	14	22	64%
153	Prince William	199	444	45%	650	Hampton	256	664	39%
155	Pulaski	23	60	38%	670	Hopewell	22	162	14%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	98	271	36%
159	Richmond County	4	18	22%	683	Manassas	20	58	34%
161	Roanoke County	35	122	29%	685	Manassas Park	2	12	17%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	31	19%	700	Newport News	319	773	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	88	39%	710	Norfolk	588	1,091	54%
167	Russell	26	78	33%	720	Norton	4	21	19%
169	Scott	26	49	53%	730	Petersburg	85	252	34%
171	Shenandoah	3	15	20%	740	Portsmouth	164	506	32%
173	Smyth	29	82	35%	750	Radford	14	40	35%
175	Southampton	7	45	16%	760	Richmond City	497	1,301	38%
177	Spotsylvania	69	207	33%	770	Roanoke City	89	424	21%
179	Stafford	36	129	28%	800	Suffolk	76	169	45%
181	Surry	7	20	35%	810	Virginia Beach	165	372	44%
183	Sussex	16	41	39%	830	Williamsburg	8	22	36%
185	Tazewell	37	94	39%	840	Winchester	16	50	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

# Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May

*These are estimated locality May 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,779 and a denominator of 1.962. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	5	80%	061	Fauquier	11	12	92%
003	Albemarle	8	8	100%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	3	3	100%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	3	4	75%	067	Franklin County	9	9	100%
009	Amherst	5	5	100%	069	Frederick	4	4	100%
011	Appomattox	4	5	80%	071	Giles	2	3	67%
013	Arlington	16	16	100%	073	Gloucester	7	7	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	32	34	94%	075	Goochland	2	3	67%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	0	0	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	15	15	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	9	89%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	9	12	75%
025	Brunswick	4	7	57%	085	Hanover	7	10	70%
027	Buchanan	1	3	33%	087	Henrico	91	105	87%
029	Buckingham	1	1	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	19	21	90%
031	Campbell	23	23	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	8	12	67%	093	Isle of Wight	11	11	100%
035	Carroll	7	8	88%	095	James City	9	10	90%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	0	1	0%
037	Charlotte	3	3	100%	099	King George	2	2	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51	57	89%	101	King William	5	6	83%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	8	9	89%
047	Culpeper	16	19	84%	107	Loudoun	33	37	89%
049	Cumberland	3	4	75%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	3	4	75%
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	2	3	67%	115	Mathews	0	1	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	110	116	95%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	10	12	83%
121	Montgomery	20	22	91%	191	Washington	9	9	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	1	1	100%	195	Wise	21	21	100%
131	Northampton	1	2	50%	197	Wythe	3	3	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	14	14	100%
135	Nottoway	11	11	100%	510	Alexandria	30	33	91%
137	Orange	2	6	33%	520	Bristol	14	14	100%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	31	32	97%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	66	73	90%
143	Pittsylvania	4	4	100%	590	Danville	18	19	95%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	3	4	75%
147	Prince Edward	14	14	100%	630	Fredericksburg	15	15	100%
149	Prince George	4	4	100%	640	Galax	1	2	50%
153	Prince William	98	103	95%	650	Hampton	90	104	87%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	11	13	85%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	28	28	100%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	7	11	64%
161	Roanoke County	19	19	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	4	75%	700	Newport News	109	117	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	35	37	95%	710	Norfolk	92	101	91%
167	Russell	10	10	100%	720	Norton	1	1	100%
169	Scott	10	10	100%	730	Petersburg	20	28	71%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	41	42	98%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	0	1	0%
175	Southampton	4	5	80%	760	Richmond City	79	99	80%
177	Spotsylvania	16	19	84%	770	Roanoke City	57	58	98%
179	Stafford	26	28	93%	800	Suffolk	14	15	93%
181	Surry	4	6	67%	810	Virginia Beach	69	71	97%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	3	67%
185	Tazewell	11	12	92%	840	Winchester	7	7	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>May-13</b>	<b>May-12</b>	<b>Change from May-12</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,651	5,108	11%	4,807	18%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,770	2,787	35%	1,658	127%
Applications Approved	1,932	1,820	6%	2,820	-31%
Cases Closed *	2,381	2,085	14%	4,476	-47%
Cases at End of Month	29,176	30,664	-5%	67,995	-57%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	29,387	31,661	-7%	70,403	-58%

•The database indicates that of the 2,381 closed cases, 980 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 732 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 197 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 134 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>May-13</b>	<b>May-12</b>	<b>Change from May-12</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	477	489	-2%	338	41%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	320	286	12%	232	38%
Applications Approved	197	216	-9%	81	143%
Cases Closed *	227	234	-3.0%	107	112%
Cases at End of Month	1,577	1,744	-10%	321	391%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,561	1,857	-16%	394	296%

•The database indicates that of the 227 closed cases, 120 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 72 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 17 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 14 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	30,753	1,594	951	2,392	842	484	3,488	369	624	1,182	1,312	1,024	4,951	1,023	410	3,126	1,148	5,545	288
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,944	590	361	796	323	179	1,333	139	297	526	373	462	1,994	518	150	1,245	426	2,114	118
Part. in a Work Activity	9,127	474	299	529	257	135	1,070	104	262	407	269	351	1,483	351	122	988	284	1,677	65
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	83%	66%	80%	75%	80%	75%	88%	77%	72%	76%	74%	68%	81%	79%	67%	79%	55%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	96	3	4	12	0	4	6	3	5	0	3	3	17	5	1	10	7	12	1
Participants Employed	6,296	297	200	427	215	96	695	79	165	276	147	219	986	276	94	696	209	1,163	56
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	55%	54%	67%	54%	52%	57%	56%	52%	39%	47%	49%	53%	63%	56%	49%	55%	47%
Full Time	4,871	246	168	353	178	82	526	61	112	182	123	150	739	194	77	505	171	968	36
Part Time	1,425	51	32	74	37	14	169	18	53	94	24	69	247	82	17	191	38	195	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.32	\$7.88	\$7.89	\$8.16	\$8.13	\$8.52	\$9.57	\$8.57	\$8.59	\$8.05	\$7.92	\$7.87	\$8.43	\$8.42	\$8.09	\$8.09	\$7.97	\$8.09	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,103	\$1,081	\$1,064	\$1,135	\$1,128	\$1,196	\$1,272	\$1,137	\$1,077	\$1,000	\$1,085	\$994	\$1,110	\$1,088	\$1,154	\$1,045	\$1,115	\$1,071	\$996

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	26,213	1,289	890	2,196	800	468	3,124	331	583	942	1,085	926	3,683	1,000	331	2,842	848	4,625	250
Total Enrolled in VIEW	30,732	1,424	1,072	2,352	904	548	3,443	358	707	1,259	1,177	1,122	4,624	1,251	390	3,404	1,032	5,395	270
Part. in a Work Activity	27,588	1,350	996	2,072	851	486	3,160	323	685	1,135	1,071	1,016	4,088	1,074	365	2,980	904	4,791	241
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	93%	88%	94%	89%	92%	90%	97%	90%	91%	91%	88%	86%	94%	88%	88%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	16,294	698	529	1,274	589	285	1,867	198	404	720	525	558	2,398	702	226	1,796	574	2,834	117
% of Total Enrolled	53%	49%	49%	54%	65%	52%	54%	55%	57%	57%	45%	50%	52%	56%	58%	53%	56%	53%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$7.95	\$7.96	\$8.18	\$8.29	\$8.54	\$10.04	\$8.71	\$8.59	\$8.09	\$7.97	\$7.89	\$8.55	\$8.69	\$8.10	\$8.31	\$8.28	\$8.20	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,103	\$1,073	\$1,068	\$1,101	\$1,109	\$1,146	\$1,318	\$1,160	\$1,041	\$985	\$1,057	\$973	\$1,110	\$1,085	\$1,050	\$1,059	\$1,139	\$1,061	\$1,027
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	72%	64%	62%	67%	60%	76%	85%	75%	68%	61%	70%	73%	72%	74%	74%	70%	75%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	288,438	17,615	10,830	21,539	8,478	5,610	36,017	4,097	6,285	10,848	13,223	8,388	38,422	9,220	3,829	27,622	9,696	54,097	2,622
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	222,526	13,613	9,113	16,635	6,586	4,202	27,824	3,096	4,869	9,024	10,610	6,545	30,231	6,855	2,881	20,964	7,598	39,925	1,955
Part. in a Work Activity	212,764	13,115	8,749	15,845	6,424	3,977	26,830	2,986	4,763	8,534	10,269	6,264	29,042	6,472	2,709	19,919	7,237	37,738	1,891
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	149,716	8,492	5,870	11,234	4,584	2,720	19,469	2,074	3,611	6,158	6,482	3,969	20,395	4,498	1,806	14,474	5,169	27,616	1,095
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	68%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$6.29	\$6.41	\$7.16	\$7.24	\$7.45	\$8.77	\$7.54	\$7.47	\$6.70	\$6.46	\$6.86	\$7.43	\$8.01	\$7.08	\$7.11	\$7.08	\$6.93	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$953	\$829	\$866	\$960	\$961	\$994	\$1,160	\$993	\$948	\$863	\$859	\$881	\$991	\$1,023	\$902	\$925	\$954	\$904	\$856
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,594	172	76	289	204	147	244	412	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	590	59	22	137	66	51	91	143	21
Participants in a Work Activity	474	58	16	123	57	38	79	92	11
% of Enrolled	80%	98%	73%	90%	86%	75%	87%	64%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	297	24	12	67	25	28	54	77	10
% of Enrolled	50%	41%	55%	49%	38%	55%	59%	54%	48%
Full Time	246	15	12	53	21	23	48	67	7
Part Time	51	9	0	14	4	5	6	10	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$8.34	\$7.73	\$7.75	\$8.46	\$7.85	\$7.77	\$7.80	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,080	\$1,155	\$1,043	\$1,149	\$1,064	\$1,062	\$1,121	\$935

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,289	116	50	227	172	113	232	345	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,424	112	49	283	201	147	217	373	42
Participants in a Work Activity	1,350	111	46	280	185	141	210	345	32
% of Total Enrolled	95%	99%	94%	99%	92%	96%	97%	92%	76%
Participants Employed	698	43	20	150	79	72	120	191	23
% of Total Enrolled	49%	38%	41%	53%	39%	49%	55%	51%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$7.92	\$7.65	\$7.75	\$8.12	\$8.02	\$8.05	\$8.00	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,073	\$1,049	\$1,140	\$1,011	\$1,073	\$1,081	\$1,093	\$1,111	\$1,019
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	92%	67%	73%	66%	84%	72%	65%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,615	1,705	1,207	2,486	2,429	1,733	3,360	4,128	567
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,613	1,298	941	1,920	1,957	1,278	2,568	3,200	451
Participants in a Work Activity	13,115	1,220	890	1,908	1,859	1,257	2,480	3,088	413
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,492	691	565	1,247	1,183	740	1,723	2,011	332
% of Total Enrolled	62%	53%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.29	\$6.44	\$6.31	\$6.08	\$6.46	\$6.59	\$6.19	\$6.30	\$6.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$829	\$842	\$837	\$798	\$857	\$890	\$818	\$829	\$753
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	67%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	951	17	107	41	177	193	110	246	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	361	7	45	12	64	54	30	127	22
Participants in a Work Activity	299	5	39	11	51	44	25	102	22
% of Enrolled	83%	71%	87%	92%	80%	81%	83%	80%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0
Participants Employed	200	3	28	6	37	32	21	60	13
% of Enrolled	55%	43%	62%	50%	58%	59%	70%	47%	59%
Full Time	168	3	25	6	35	25	18	46	10
Part Time	32	0	3	0	2	7	3	14	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.67	\$7.75	\$7.66	\$8.08	\$7.78	\$7.79	\$7.94	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$1,235	\$1,044	\$1,118	\$1,220	\$1,000	\$1,050	\$1,026	\$959

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	890	18	85	35	188	157	93	263	51
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,072	16	113	42	205	173	84	365	74
Participants in a Work Activity	996	12	106	41	187	165	83	332	70
% of Total Enrolled	93%	75%	94%	98%	91%	95%	99%	91%	95%
Participants Employed	529	7	64	22	96	87	50	165	38
% of Total Enrolled	49%	44%	57%	52%	47%	50%	60%	45%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.78	\$7.83	\$7.81	\$8.36	\$7.93	\$7.80	\$7.92	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$1,248	\$1,050	\$1,144	\$1,263	\$1,068	\$1,042	\$1,000	\$891
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	33%	78%	69%	57%	67%	67%	63%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,830	268	1,444	683	1,901	1,877	1,597	2,314	746
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,113	204	1,194	555	1,603	1,568	1,271	2,063	655
Participants in a Work Activity	8,749	190	1,105	518	1,548	1,530	1,249	1,984	625
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	93%	93%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,870	120	799	365	932	991	852	1,399	412
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	66%	58%	63%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.41	\$6.34	\$6.22	\$6.42	\$6.27	\$6.64	\$6.39	\$6.48	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$866	\$870	\$834	\$860	\$865	\$897	\$846	\$874	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	56%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,392	101	34	7	47	239	57	265	168	274	77	1,123
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	796	38	10	2	13	107	11	96	43	100	35	341
Participants in a Work Activity	529	30	6	1	10	68	10	81	38	69	23	193
% of Enrolled	66%	79%	60%	1	77%	64%	91%	84%	88%	69%	66%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	4	2	0
Participants Employed	427	26	5	0	7	44	7	78	26	55	18	161
% of Enrolled	54%	68%	50%	0	54%	41%	64%	81%	60%	55%	51%	47%
Full Time	353	23	5	0	6	33	7	75	25	40	12	127
Part Time	74	3	0	0	1	11	0	3	1	15	6	34
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.16	\$8.01	\$7.98	N/A	\$8.32	\$8.02	\$7.77	\$8.31	\$7.99	\$8.14	\$7.96	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,135	\$1,099	\$1,246	N/A	\$1,222	\$1,072	\$1,155	\$1,193	\$1,184	\$1,052	\$1,012	\$1,157

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,196	94	21	8	47	212	52	270	136	210	77	1,069
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,352	105	33	5	55	246	55	301	152	239	79	1082
Participants in a Work Activity	2,072	98	24	4	49	218	54	274	140	209	67	935
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	73%	80%	89%	89%	98%	91%	92%	87%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	1,274	57	15	1	28	117	28	207	88	163	48	522
% of Total Enrolled	54%	54%	45%	20%	51%	48%	51%	69%	58%	68%	61%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.13	\$8.03	\$8.00	\$8.52	\$8.03	\$7.88	\$8.23	\$7.98	\$8.48	\$7.95	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,101	\$1,043	\$1,016	\$1,032	\$1,221	\$1,032	\$1,043	\$1,149	\$1,126	\$1,064	\$1,037	\$1,117
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	54%	50%	N/A	36%	64%	20%	67%	52%	66%	63%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,539	1,187	361	98	557	1,913	550	3,042	1,861	2,365	854	8,751
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	16,635	909	229	56	407	1,471	401	2,498	1,482	1,899	665	6,618
Participants in a Work Activity	15,845	874	203	54	393	1,324	394	2,460	1,423	1,784	635	6,301
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	89%	96%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	11,234	635	103	28	267	912	235	1,954	942	1,413	462	4,283
% of Total Enrolled	68%	70%	45%	50%	66%	62%	59%	78%	64%	74%	69%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$6.92	\$7.39	\$7.24	\$7.05	\$7.08	\$7.11	\$7.01	\$6.84	\$7.66	\$7.05	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$960	\$844	\$940	\$1,039	\$942	\$950	\$956	\$943	\$947	\$1,039	\$912	\$976
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	55%	63%	54%	58%	52%	64%	53%	58%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	842	518	6	1	69	248
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	323	203	1	0	19	100
Participants in a Work Activity	257	163	1	N/A	11	82
% of Enrolled	80%	80%	N/A	N/A	58%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	215	139	0	N/A	10	66
% of Enrolled	67%	68%	N/A	N/A	53%	66%
Full Time	178	114	0	N/A	7	57
Part Time	37	25	0	N/A	3	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	\$8.02	N/A	N/A	\$7.55	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,128	\$1,110	N/A	N/A	\$937	\$1,194

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	800	463	1	4	42	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	904	513	3	1	61	326
Participants in a Work Activity	851	486	3	1	46	315
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	100%	100%	75%	97%
Participants Employed	589	336	1	1	32	219
% of Total Enrolled	65%	65%	33%	100%	52%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$8.12	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$8.15	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,109	\$1,059	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$956	\$1,201
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	67%	N/A	N/A	64%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,478	4,554	80	23	736	3,085
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,586	3,589	51	8	551	2,387
Participants in a Work Activity	6,424	3,527	51	8	508	2,330
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,584	2,412	32	6	388	1,746
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.23	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.78	\$7.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$961	\$963	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$983
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	61%	83%	66%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	484	15	136	52	46	129	106	288	191	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	179	3	62	25	9	44	36	118	57	61
Participants in a Work Activity	135	0	40	24	9	34	28	65	35	30
% of Enrolled	75%	0%	65%	96%	100%	77%	78%	55%	61%	49%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	1
Participants Employed	96	0	33	18	6	23	16	56	31	25
% of Enrolled	54%	0%	53%	72%	67%	52%	44%	47%	54%	41%
Full Time	82	0	31	15	5	17	14	36	20	16
Part Time	14	0	2	3	1	6	2	20	11	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.52	N/A	\$8.90	\$8.53	\$8.54	\$7.96	\$8.51	\$7.94	\$7.99	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,196	N/A	\$1,312	\$1,167	\$1,251	\$1,026	\$1,213	\$996	\$1,008	\$982

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	468	11	143	58	38	105	113	250	168	82
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	548	11	150	75	41	134	137	270	175	95
Participants in a Work Activity	486	8	132	69	36	115	126	241	156	85
% of Total Enrolled	89%	73%	88%	92%	88%	86%	92%	89%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	285	4	74	50	16	63	78	117	74	43
% of Total Enrolled	52%	36%	49%	67%	39%	47%	57%	43%	42%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.54	\$9.55	\$8.80	\$8.12	\$8.64	\$8.27	\$8.71	\$8.24	\$8.36	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,146	\$1,367	\$1,194	\$1,087	\$1,319	\$1,049	\$1,173	\$1,027	\$1,057	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	0%	57%	44%	86%	71%	63%	75%	64%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,610	215	1,241	848	683	1,334	1,289	2,622	1,669	953
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,202	132	923	692	417	1,017	1,021	1,955	1,218	737
Participants in a Work Activity	3,977	123	864	676	405	940	969	1,891	1,189	702
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	98%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,720	76	528	484	247	686	699	1,095	626	469
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	59%	67%	68%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.45	\$7.53	\$7.82	\$7.37	\$6.82	\$7.50	\$7.38	\$6.82	\$6.89	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$994	\$999	\$1,019	\$996	\$940	\$1,010	\$975	\$856	\$861	\$849
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	61%	61%	59%	70%	69%	59%	71%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,488	235	1,395	214	1,081	389	141	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,333	69	486	133	429	162	46	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,070	53	418	99	329	127	38	6
% of Enrolled	80%	77%	86%	74%	77%	78%	83%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	2	0	1	1	2	0
Participants Employed	695	33	260	65	230	79	26	2
% of Enrolled	52%	48%	53%	49%	54%	49%	57%	25%
Full Time	526	16	197	44	180	64	23	2
Part Time	169	17	63	21	50	15	3	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.57	\$8.97	\$9.65	\$10.15	\$9.50	\$9.45	\$9.17	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,272	\$1,002	\$1,283	\$1,300	\$1,290	\$1,266	\$1,303	\$1,077

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,124	181	1,206	232	1,001	353	122	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,443	202	1,322	303	1,115	343	127	31
Participants in a Work Activity	3,160	190	1,243	270	1,000	311	118	28
% of Total Enrolled	92%	94%	94%	89%	90%	91%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	1,867	100	699	175	643	178	66	6
% of Total Enrolled	54%	50%	53%	58%	58%	52%	52%	19%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.04	\$9.77	\$10.35	\$10.63	\$9.73	\$9.64	\$9.38	\$11.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,318	\$1,192	\$1,373	\$1,386	\$1,283	\$1,257	\$1,184	\$1,688
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	69%	75%	80%	77%	83%	66%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	36,017	2,987	12,831	2,576	11,529	4,105	1,537	452
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	27,824	2,343	9,702	2,058	8,963	3,234	1,192	332
Participants in a Work Activity	26,830	2,321	9,351	1,966	8,616	3,089	1,159	328
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	19,469	1,674	6,508	1,577	6,453	2,260	766	231
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.77	\$8.34	\$8.84	\$9.35	\$8.83	\$8.44	\$8.29	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,160	\$1,071	\$1,165	\$1,209	\$1,195	\$1,081	\$1,108	\$1,099
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	77%	70%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	369	167	82	26	82	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	139	77	27	9	23	3
Participants in a Work Activity	104	54	21	7	19	3
% of Enrolled	75%	70%	78%	78%	83%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	1	1	0
Participants Employed	79	41	18	5	15	0
% of Enrolled	57%	53%	67%	56%	65%	0%
Full Time	61	34	14	1	12	0
Part Time	18	7	4	4	3	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.62	\$9.03	\$7.50	\$8.23	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,137	\$1,124	\$1,288	\$932	\$1,060	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	331	167	84	28	39	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	358	173	85	27	64	9
Participants in a Work Activity	323	163	75	23	54	8
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	88%	85%	84%	89%
Participants Employed	198	94	57	10	35	2
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	67%	37%	55%	22%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.71	\$8.65	\$9.03	\$8.58	\$8.33	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,160	\$1,107	\$1,298	\$1,230	\$1,065	\$774
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	85%	81%	95%	40%	92%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,097	1,590	1,206	379	814	108
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,096	1,166	920	281	658	71
Participants in a Work Activity	2,986	1,123	908	273	615	67
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	2,074	752	637	170	473	42
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	69%	60%	72%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.54	\$7.52	\$7.90	\$7.21	\$7.23	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$993	\$973	\$1,036	\$1,004	\$964	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	67%	69%	61%	60%	46%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	624	128	63	33	104	33	263
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	297	39	30	14	41	12	161
Participants in a Work Activity	262	38	23	13	36	11	141
% of Enrolled	88%	97%	77%	93%	88%	92%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	165	27	7	10	26	9	86
% of Enrolled	56%	69%	23%	71%	63%	75%	53%
Full Time	112	25	7	4	15	6	55
Part Time	53	2	0	6	11	3	31
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.59	\$9.18	\$9.93	\$8.06	\$8.15	\$8.14	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,355	\$1,433	\$878	\$962	\$998	\$1,026

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	583	131	41	38	102	38	233
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	707	118	51	36	119	34	349
Participants in a Work Activity	685	113	49	34	113	33	343
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	95%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	404	69	21	22	63	20	209
% of Total Enrolled	57%	58%	41%	61%	53%	59%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.59	\$9.02	\$8.68	\$8.26	\$8.49	\$8.94	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,188	\$1,118	\$905	\$982	\$1,129	\$1,011
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	81%	80%	71%	71%	75%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,285	1,469	294	485	997	326	2,714
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,869	1,063	210	347	742	230	2,277
Participants in a Work Activity	4,763	1,038	205	329	717	228	2,246
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,611	764	128	262	459	144	1,854
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	61%	76%	62%	63%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.47	\$7.87	\$7.84	\$7.39	\$7.68	\$7.86	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$948	\$1,014	\$1,063	\$912	\$981	\$1,023	\$921
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	62%	56%	63%	65%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,182	82	89	209	266	536
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	526	19	57	85	147	218
Participants in a Work Activity	407	14	51	78	118	146
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	89%	92%	80%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	276	13	29	49	71	114
% of Enrolled	52%	68%	51%	58%	48%	52%
Full Time	182	11	29	32	42	68
Part Time	94	2	0	17	29	46
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.05	\$8.30	\$8.11	\$8.15	\$8.05	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,000	\$1,095	\$1,198	\$1,000	\$956	\$968

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	942	84	85	179	183	411
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,259	81	108	197	330	543
Participants in a Work Activity	1,135	73	106	169	304	483
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	98%	86%	92%	89%
Participants Employed	720	50	64	110	188	308
% of Total Enrolled	57%	62%	59%	56%	57%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$8.02	\$8.02	\$8.23	\$8.20	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$985	\$1,002	\$1,137	\$946	\$986	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	76%	73%	69%	64%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,848	1009	851	2,200	2,521	4,267
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,024	812	750	1,767	2,167	3,528
Participants in a Work Activity	8,534	773	738	1,643	2,046	3,334
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,158	541	523	1,144	1,491	2,459
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.70	\$6.90	\$6.36	\$6.77	\$6.90	\$6.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$863	\$878	\$879	\$860	\$902	\$836
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	59%	57%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,312	193	401	88	193	437
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	373	46	117	62	48	100
Participants in a Work Activity	269	18	86	56	28	81
% of Enrolled	72%	39%	74%	90%	58%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	147	13	49	25	22	38
% of Enrolled	39%	28%	42%	40%	46%	38%
Full Time	123	13	40	15	20	35
Part Time	24	0	9	10	2	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$7.93	\$7.86	\$7.78	\$8.27	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,085	\$1,164	\$1,044	\$1,022	\$1,166	\$1,107

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,085	145	338	93	166	343
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,177	141	395	137	159	345
Participants in a Work Activity	1,071	120	355	120	146	330
% of Total Enrolled	91%	85%	90%	88%	92%	96%
Participants Employed	525	52	202	80	64	127
% of Total Enrolled	45%	37%	51%	58%	40%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.10	\$7.96	\$7.65	\$7.94	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,057	\$1,121	\$1,043	\$985	\$1,093	\$1,081
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	61%	62%	69%	46%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,223	2,041	3,573	1,295	2,177	4,137
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,610	1,691	2,742	1,123	1,664	3,390
Participants in a Work Activity	10,269	1,629	2,629	1,060	1,637	3,314
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,482	946	1,735	758	896	2,147
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	63%	67%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.46	\$6.42	\$6.87	\$6.35	\$6.46	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$859	\$847	\$941	\$823	\$874	\$808
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	64%	75%	60%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,024	62	119	66	76	59	153	63	160	125	141
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	462	24	61	19	40	25	75	27	47	72	72
Participants in a Work Activity	351	18	37	17	34	23	64	18	37	46	57
% of Enrolled	76%	75%	61%	89%	85%	92%	85%	67%	79%	64%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	219	13	29	12	12	13	36	9	24	37	34
% of Enrolled	47%	54%	48%	63%	30%	52%	48%	33%	51%	51%	47%
Full Time	150	9	23	12	7	10	19	6	13	26	25
Part Time	69	4	6	0	5	3	17	3	11	11	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$8.56	\$7.50	\$8.16	\$7.90	\$8.33	\$7.78	\$7.77	\$8.26	\$7.44	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$994	\$1,026	\$961	\$1,158	\$957	\$1,133	\$914	\$1,014	\$1,000	\$901	\$1,089

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	926	56	93	71	81	53	120	66	124	131	131
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,122	70	124	81	104	70	144	78	127	164	160
Participants in a Work Activity	1,016	62	113	78	97	69	131	70	116	135	145
% of Total Enrolled	91%	89%	91%	96%	93%	99%	91%	90%	91%	82%	91%
Participants Employed	558	41	60	39	39	37	77	32	65	91	77
% of Total Enrolled	50%	59%	48%	48%	38%	53%	53%	41%	51%	55%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.33	\$7.68	\$8.09	\$7.84	\$8.59	\$7.77	\$7.77	\$7.84	\$7.68	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$973	\$984	\$922	\$1,161	\$908	\$1,083	\$897	\$1,000	\$932	\$969	\$1,001
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	63%	87%	71%	61%	57%	81%	55%	64%	75%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,388	541	1,029	808	729	562	979	400	1,213	1,022	1,105
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,545	397	827	615	545	416	780	317	954	790	904
Participants in a Work Activity	6,264	377	823	582	507	398	743	312	912	729	881
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	93%	96%	95%	98%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,969	241	467	349	278	241	458	152	629	527	627
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	56%	57%	51%	58%	59%	48%	66%	67%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.86	\$7.51	\$6.32	\$7.08	\$7.29	\$7.40	\$6.83	\$6.58	\$6.65	\$6.86	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$881	\$959	\$829	\$951	\$923	\$958	\$826	\$815	\$842	\$902	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	63%	68%	61%	57%	58%	65%	60%	67%	69%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,951	985	25	152	1,308	47	2,434
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,994	366	15	73	655	14	871
Participants in a Work Activity	1,483	273	11	47	469	9	674
% of Enrolled	74%	75%	73%	64%	72%	64%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	5	0	0	4	0	8
Participants Employed	986	188	7	40	339	5	407
% of Enrolled	49%	51%	47%	55%	52%	36%	47%
Full Time	739	147	5	21	251	2	313
Part Time	247	41	2	19	88	3	94
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.76	\$10.10	\$8.16	\$8.57	\$8.06	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,195	\$1,285	\$954	\$1,131	\$813	\$1,069

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,683	794	27	133	930	40	1,759
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,624	874	47	134	1,427	44	2,098
Participants in a Work Activity	4,088	783	44	118	1,224	41	1,878
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	94%	88%	86%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	2,398	463	22	71	821	19	1,002
% of Total Enrolled	52%	53%	47%	53%	58%	43%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.55	\$8.99	\$8.55	\$8.76	\$8.68	\$9.03	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,203	\$1,153	\$1,102	\$1,115	\$1,209	\$1,065
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	79%	83%	74%	73%	60%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	38,422	8,028	294	1,359	9,612	379	18,750
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	30,231	6,125	226	968	7,857	279	14,776
Participants in a Work Activity	29,042	5,912	215	900	7,439	261	14,315
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	20,395	3,869	150	620	5,295	152	10,309
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	66%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.43	\$7.72	\$7.24	\$8.25	\$7.74	\$7.72	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$991	\$1,033	\$921	\$1,053	\$1,025	\$968	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	69%	66%	66%	66%	63%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,023	162	60	369	286	146
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	518	99	28	177	130	84
Participants in a Work Activity	351	43	25	120	99	64
% of Enrolled	68%	43%	89%	68%	76%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	1	1	0	2
Participants Employed	276	41	20	96	60	59
% of Enrolled	53%	41%	71%	54%	46%	70%
Full Time	194	33	14	70	43	34
Part Time	82	8	6	26	17	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.42	\$7.93	\$8.73	\$7.99	\$9.34	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,088	\$1,059	\$1,179	\$1,023	\$1,185	\$1,084

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	1,000	133	66	315	297	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,251	188	61	411	351	240
Participants in a Work Activity	1,074	133	56	366	311	208
% of Total Enrolled	86%	71%	92%	89%	89%	87%
Participants Employed	702	85	29	260	181	147
% of Total Enrolled	56%	45%	48%	63%	52%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.69	\$8.09	\$8.71	\$8.23	\$9.62	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,085	\$1,066	\$1,020	\$1,004	\$1,240	\$1,057
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	85%	59%	70%	78%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	9,220	1,391	560	2,808	2,675	1,786
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,855	982	387	2,194	1,775	1,517
Participants in a Work Activity	6,472	936	366	2,021	1,699	1,450
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,498	593	230	1,501	1,040	1,134
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	59%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.01	\$7.61	\$7.50	\$8.01	\$8.64	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,023	\$962	\$924	\$1,022	\$1,134	\$992
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	58%	59%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	410	74	16	47	41	26	62	37	37	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	150	27	2	18	9	7	44	11	11	21
Participants in a Work Activity	122	20	1	16	7	6	42	9	5	16
% of Enrolled	81%	74%	50%	89%	78%	86%	95%	82%	45%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	94	15	1	10	5	6	34	5	4	14
% of Enrolled	63%	56%	50%	56%	56%	86%	77%	45%	36%	67%
Full Time	77	12	1	8	4	6	29	2	2	13
Part Time	17	3	0	2	1	0	5	3	2	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$9.03	\$9.50	\$8.93	\$8.20	\$8.07	\$7.57	\$7.48	\$7.75	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,154	\$1,320	\$1,645	\$1,337	\$1,211	\$1,270	\$1,086	\$1,047	\$754	\$1,057

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	331	61	15	39	24	13	65	30	30	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	390	72	14	51	31	23	72	31	31	65
Participants in a Work Activity	365	68	13	49	30	21	71	30	27	56
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	93%	96%	97%	91%	99%	97%	87%	86%
Participants Employed	226	39	7	29	17	15	52	14	17	36
% of Total Enrolled	58%	54%	50%	57%	55%	65%	72%	45%	55%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$8.41	\$8.28	\$8.60	\$8.35	\$7.85	\$7.66	\$8.07	\$7.77	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,031	\$1,223	\$1,163	\$1,088	\$1,103	\$1,006	\$993	\$906	\$1,072
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	60%	40%	67%	75%	100%	100%	50%	63%	57%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,829	587	280	349	420	213	462	337	349	832
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,881	466	190	289	332	147	347	277	216	617
Participants in a Work Activity	2,709	446	180	276	313	141	326	269	202	556
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	96%	94%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,806	310	97	172	218	97	235	155	131	391
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	60%	66%	66%	68%	56%	61%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.08	\$7.12	\$7.07	\$7.52	\$6.86	\$7.07	\$7.12	\$6.75	\$7.01	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$902	\$873	\$882	\$989	\$862	\$907	\$912	\$863	\$916	\$925
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	47%	60%	52%	69%	73%	53%	40%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,126	18	97	145	44	121	1,180	1,492	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,245	6	29	51	15	77	484	568	15
Participants in a Work Activity	988	3	24	40	10	59	367	473	12
% of Enrolled	79%	50%	83%	78%	67%	77%	76%	83%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	3	0	0	3	4	0
Participants Employed	696	3	19	34	5	38	237	350	10
% of Enrolled	56%	50%	66%	67%	33%	49%	49%	62%	67%
Full Time	505	3	16	21	4	15	164	280	2
Part Time	191	0	3	13	1	23	73	70	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$8.50	\$7.46	\$8.41	\$6.40	\$8.31	\$8.02	\$8.15	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,045	\$1,194	\$926	\$970	\$890	\$939	\$1,017	\$1,100	\$681

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,842	9	94	145	27	115	948	1,457	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,404	12	96	171	42	164	1,149	1,720	50
Participants in a Work Activity	2,980	9	86	144	32	147	1,021	1,504	37
% of Total Enrolled	88%	75%	90%	84%	76%	90%	89%	87%	74%
Participants Employed	1,796	8	49	100	16	89	614	892	28
% of Total Enrolled	53%	67%	51%	58%	38%	54%	53%	52%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	\$7.73	\$7.90	\$8.65	\$8.43	\$8.44	\$8.25	\$8.33	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,161	\$977	\$1,050	\$1,173	\$939	\$1,024	\$1,103	\$923
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	100%	33%	65%	67%	75%	78%	74%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	27,622	169	1,122	1,203	360	1,169	9,601	13,591	407
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	20,964	122	802	958	259	898	7,144	10,475	306
Participants in a Work Activity	19,919	114	745	889	246	862	6,927	9,855	281
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	14,474	70	519	662	142	562	4,957	7,350	212
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	55%	63%	69%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.11	\$7.15	\$6.91	\$7.55	\$7.52	\$7.38	\$7.11	\$7.06	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$925	\$949	\$854	\$919	\$1,024	\$899	\$920	\$935	\$905
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	50%	57%	60%	72%	59%	64%	69%	63%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,148	135	82	45	80	310	496
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	426	21	35	27	28	130	185
Participants in a Work Activity	284	18	18	13	21	91	123
% of Enrolled	67%	86%	51%	48%	75%	70%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	0	3	3	0
Participants Employed	209	13	18	6	14	61	97
% of Enrolled	49%	62%	51%	22%	50%	47%	52%
Full Time	171	11	13	5	12	39	91
Part Time	38	2	5	1	2	22	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.53	\$7.80	\$7.96	\$7.59	\$7.58	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,115	\$1,228	\$1,011	\$1,062	\$1,071	\$966	\$1,222

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	848	102	73	39	59	214	361
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,032	65	89	58	72	303	445
Participants in a Work Activity	904	65	70	51	58	265	395
% of Total Enrolled	88%	100%	79%	88%	81%	87%	89%
Participants Employed	574	40	46	22	37	165	264
% of Total Enrolled	56%	62%	52%	38%	51%	54%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.28	\$8.30	\$8.72	\$8.12	\$8.23	\$8.02	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,139	\$1,221	\$1,103	\$918	\$1,173	\$1,080	\$1,181
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	65%	64%	83%	77%	63%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,696	1,249	879	388	771	2,417	3,992
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,598	829	667	319	618	1,960	3,205
Participants in a Work Activity	7,237	826	575	305	591	1,872	3,068
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	96%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	5,169	546	402	220	404	1,338	2,259
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	69%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.08	\$6.96	\$7.58	\$7.27	\$7.04	\$6.96	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$954	\$970	\$1,000	\$876	\$960	\$931	\$963
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	59%	57%	63%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/13 and Work Activity as of 5/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,545	115	97	905	113	1,979	1,061	408	867
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,114	34	29	354	38	878	356	121	304
Participants in a Work Activity	1,677	24	20	281	33	698	289	96	236
% of Enrolled	79%	71%	69%	79%	87%	79%	81%	79%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	1	0	4	4	0	3
Participants Employed	1,163	15	15	209	17	478	178	71	180
% of Enrolled	55%	44%	52%	59%	45%	54%	50%	59%	59%
Full Time	968	12	11	168	10	426	143	56	142
Part Time	195	3	4	41	7	52	35	15	38
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$8.19	\$8.77	\$8.16	\$8.15	\$7.98	\$7.95	\$8.15	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,071	\$1,091	\$1,132	\$1,111	\$1,018	\$1,038	\$1,074	\$1,065	\$1,112

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,625	102	54	743	122	1,590	812	285	917
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	5,395	102	74	942	121	2,027	926	306	897
Participants in a Work Activity	4,791	90	72	876	119	1,727	822	291	794
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	97%	93%	98%	85%	89%	95%	89%
Participants Employed	2,834	55	39	538	57	1,071	434	179	461
% of Total Enrolled	53%	54%	53%	57%	47%	53%	47%	58%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$8.28	\$8.10	\$8.33	\$8.53	\$8.07	\$8.08	\$8.18	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,061	\$1,064	\$1,010	\$1,096	\$1,153	\$1,030	\$1,061	\$1,072	\$1,080
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	54%	73%	76%	68%	78%	72%	77%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	54,097	1,237	1,014	8,721	872	17,372	8,744	3,406	12,731
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	39,925	897	814	6,442	699	12,725	6,942	2,676	8,730
Participants in a Work Activity	37,738	862	780	6,151	688	11,977	6,534	2,646	8,100
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,616	610	472	4,637	447	8,869	4,902	1,964	5,715
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.93	\$6.95	\$6.69	\$7.12	\$6.65	\$6.82	\$6.80	\$6.77	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$904	\$916	\$833	\$936	\$837	\$869	\$907	\$880	\$958
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	42.3%	2.84	102	\$8.36	2.60	43	63.6%	6.36	82	3.94	92
003	Albemarle	58.5%	4.85	25	\$9.02	4.15	12	81.3%	8.13	17	5.71	10
005	Alleghany/Covington	54.3%	4.33	48	\$8.13	2.06	68	53.9%	5.39	104	3.93	93
007	Amelia	58.6%	4.86	22	\$8.33	2.53	46	62.5%	6.25	87	4.55	57
009	Amherst	61.7%	5.25	12	\$8.02	1.80	84	75.7%	7.57	30	4.88	34
011	Appomattox	59.3%	4.95	20	\$8.02	1.80	85	73.1%	7.31	44	4.69	45
013	Arlington	49.5%	3.74	80	\$9.77	5.90	4	68.6%	6.86	58	5.50	13
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	65.5%	5.72	9	\$8.12	2.04	69	67.0%	6.70	60	4.82	38
017	Bath	33.3%	1.73	117	\$7.25	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.87	120
019	Bedford County/City	55.8%	4.52	38	\$8.23	2.30	57	69.4%	6.94	54	4.58	55
021	Bland	43.8%	3.03	100	\$8.78	3.58	16	33.3%	3.33	114	3.31	111
023	Botetourt	45.5%	3.24	95	\$8.03	1.83	81	50.0%	5.00	107	3.35	110
025	Brunswick	48.4%	3.60	83	\$7.68	1.01	114	86.7%	8.67	10	4.42	62
027	Buchanan	38.4%	2.36	109	\$7.92	1.57	97	92.3%	9.23	8	4.39	64
029	Buckingham	48.1%	3.57	85	\$8.09	1.97	75	71.4%	7.14	48	4.23	77
031	Campbell	57.0%	4.66	32	\$8.20	2.22	62	64.1%	6.41	78	4.43	61
033	Caroline	45.2%	3.21	97	\$8.09	1.97	76	85.2%	8.52	12	4.56	56
035	Carroll	56.6%	4.62	36	\$7.83	1.36	105	77.8%	7.78	25	4.59	54
036	Charles City	66.7%	5.87	7	\$7.73	1.12	113	100.0%	10.00	1	5.66	12
037	Charlotte	37.5%	2.25	112	\$7.84	1.38	102	60.9%	6.09	95	3.24	112
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	53.0%	4.17	56	\$8.99	4.07	13	79.5%	7.95	22	5.40	15
043	Clarke	36.4%	2.11	116	\$9.55	5.39	8	0.0%	0.00	117	2.50	117
045	Craig	20.0%	0.08	119	\$8.00	1.76	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.92	119
047	Culpeper	54.3%	4.34	47	\$8.65	3.28	24	80.8%	8.08	19	5.23	17
049	Cumberland	52.9%	4.15	59	\$8.59	3.14	29	57.1%	5.71	99	4.34	71
051	Dickensen	40.8%	2.66	105	\$7.65	0.94	117	66.7%	6.67	61	3.42	107
053	Dinwiddie	61.5%	5.23	13	\$8.30	2.46	50	65.0%	6.50	73	4.73	43
057	Essex	54.2%	4.32	50	\$8.41	2.72	41	60.0%	6.00	96	4.34	68
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	52.9%	4.16	58	\$10.35	7.26	3	75.5%	7.55	32	6.32	4
061	Fauquier	67.1%	5.92	6	\$9.03	4.17	10	94.7%	9.47	7	6.52	3
063	Floyd	50.9%	3.91	76	\$8.52	2.97	34	35.7%	3.57	113	3.49	104
065	Fluvanna	41.2%	2.71	103	\$8.68	3.35	22	80.0%	8.00	21	4.68	46
067	Franklin County	47.6%	3.50	88	\$8.03	1.83	82	64.4%	6.44	76	3.92	94
069	Frederick	49.3%	3.72	81	\$8.80	3.63	15	57.1%	5.71	100	4.35	66
071	Giles	50.9%	3.91	77	\$7.88	1.48	100	20.0%	2.00	116	2.46	118
073	Gloucester	51.0%	3.93	75	\$7.90	1.52	99	33.3%	3.33	115	2.93	115
075	Goochland	46.8%	3.40	94	\$8.55	3.04	31	83.3%	8.33	14	4.93	27
077	Grayson	52.4%	4.10	63	\$7.81	1.31	106	69.2%	6.92	55	4.11	84

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.1%	5.18	15	\$8.26	2.37	54	71.4%	7.14	49	4.90	32
081	Greensville/Emporia	53.5%	4.23	52	\$7.77	1.22	108	81.3%	8.13	18	4.52	58
083	Halifax	36.9%	2.17	114	\$8.10	1.99	73	61.1%	6.11	94	3.42	106
085	Hanover	53.0%	4.17	55	\$8.76	3.54	17	74.0%	7.40	41	5.04	24
087	Henrico	57.5%	4.73	30	\$8.68	3.35	23	73.3%	7.33	42	5.14	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	51.1%	3.94	74	\$7.96	1.66	93	62.1%	6.21	90	3.94	91
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.76	89	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.88	7
093	Isle of Wight	53.9%	4.29	51	\$8.28	2.41	51	53.9%	5.39	105	4.03	89
095	James City	58.5%	4.85	24	\$8.65	3.28	25	65.0%	6.50	74	4.88	33
097	King and Queen	50.0%	3.80	79	\$8.28	2.41	52	40.0%	4.00	111	3.40	108
099	King George	47.5%	3.50	89	\$8.71	3.42	20	58.8%	5.88	98	4.27	75
101	King William	56.9%	4.65	34	\$8.60	3.16	28	66.7%	6.67	62	4.83	36
103	Lancaster	54.8%	4.40	42	\$8.35	2.58	45	75.0%	7.50	35	4.83	37
105	Lee	53.0%	4.17	54	\$7.75	1.17	111	73.2%	7.32	43	4.22	80
107	Loudoun	57.8%	4.76	28	\$10.63	7.92	2	80.2%	8.02	20	6.90	1
109	Louisa	52.9%	4.16	57	\$8.49	2.90	35	70.6%	7.06	52	4.71	44
111	Lunenburg	41.0%	2.69	104	\$7.77	1.22	109	55.0%	5.50	103	3.13	113
113	Madison	37.0%	2.19	113	\$8.58	3.11	30	40.0%	4.00	112	3.10	114
115	Mathews	65.2%	5.69	10	\$7.85	1.41	101	100.0%	10.00	2	5.70	11
117	Mecklenburg	51.2%	3.95	73	\$7.84	1.38	103	64.1%	6.41	79	3.91	96
119	Middlesex	72.2%	6.56	2	\$7.66	0.96	116	100.0%	10.00	3	5.84	8
121	Montgomery	68.8%	6.13	3	\$8.23	2.30	58	66.7%	6.67	63	5.03	25
125	Nelson	58.8%	4.89	21	\$8.94	3.96	14	75.0%	7.50	36	5.45	14
127	New Kent	38.1%	2.32	110	\$8.43	2.76	40	66.7%	6.67	64	3.92	95
131	Northampton	45.3%	3.21	96	\$8.03	1.83	83	100.0%	10.00	4	5.01	26
133	Northumberland	45.2%	3.20	99	\$8.07	1.92	78	50.0%	5.00	108	3.37	109
135	Nottoway	55.5%	4.48	39	\$7.68	1.01	115	75.4%	7.54	33	4.34	69
137	Orange	54.7%	4.38	45	\$8.33	2.53	47	91.7%	9.17	9	5.36	16
139	Page	66.7%	5.87	8	\$8.12	2.04	70	44.0%	4.40	110	4.10	85
141	Patrick	58.4%	4.84	26	\$7.65	0.94	118	68.9%	6.89	57	4.22	79
143	Pittsylvania	40.3%	2.59	106	\$7.94	1.62	95	45.7%	4.57	109	2.93	116
145	Powhatan	43.2%	2.95	101	\$9.03	4.17	11	60.0%	6.00	97	4.37	65
147	Prince Edward	48.1%	3.57	86	\$7.84	1.38	104	63.9%	6.39	81	3.78	100
149	Prince George	51.7%	4.01	67	\$8.72	3.44	19	64.0%	6.40	80	4.62	53
153	Prince William	57.7%	4.75	29	\$9.73	5.81	5	76.8%	7.68	29	6.08	5
155	Pulaski	57.9%	4.78	27	\$7.98	1.71	92	51.9%	5.19	106	3.89	99
157	Rappahannock	22.2%	0.36	118	\$8.75	3.51	18	100.0%	10.00	5	4.62	51
159	Richmond County	54.8%	4.40	43	\$7.77	1.22	110	62.5%	6.25	88	3.96	90
161	Roanoke County	68.2%	6.06	4	\$8.48	2.88	36	66.3%	6.63	70	5.19	20
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	52.5%	4.10	62	\$8.15	2.11	66	66.7%	6.67	65	4.29	74
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67.2%	5.93	5	\$8.55	3.04	32	66.5%	6.65	69	5.21	19
167	Russell	39.3%	2.47	107	\$8.12	2.04	71	65.9%	6.59	72	3.70	102
169	Scott	49.0%	3.67	82	\$8.02	1.80	86	83.8%	8.38	13	4.62	52
171	Shenandoah	39.0%	2.44	108	\$8.64	3.26	26	85.7%	8.57	11	4.76	42

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	46.8%	3.41	<b>93</b>	\$8.36	2.60	<b>44</b>	56.9%	5.69	<b>102</b>	3.90	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	52.7%	4.14	<b>61</b>	\$8.10	1.99	<b>74</b>	72.7%	7.27	<b>45</b>	4.47	<b>60</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	63.3%	5.44	<b>11</b>	\$8.23	2.30	<b>59</b>	69.8%	6.98	<b>53</b>	4.90	<b>31</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	51.6%	3.99	<b>68</b>	\$9.62	5.55	<b>7</b>	78.1%	7.81	<b>24</b>	5.78	<b>9</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	37.9%	2.30	<b>111</b>	\$8.12	2.04	<b>72</b>	83.3%	8.33	<b>15</b>	4.22	<b>78</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	51.4%	3.97	<b>70</b>	\$8.23	2.30	<b>60</b>	77.3%	7.73	<b>28</b>	4.66	<b>47</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.3%	4.46	<b>41</b>	\$8.05	1.87	<b>80</b>	72.4%	7.24	<b>46</b>	4.52	<b>59</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	47.0%	3.43	<b>91</b>	\$8.27	2.39	<b>53</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>50</b>	4.32	<b>72</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	50.3%	3.84	<b>78</b>	\$7.93	1.59	<b>96</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>66</b>	4.03	<b>88</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	55.4%	4.47	<b>40</b>	\$8.18	2.18	<b>63</b>	57.1%	5.71	<b>101</b>	4.12	<b>83</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.2%	3.95	<b>72</b>	\$8.00	1.76	<b>90</b>	64.9%	6.49	<b>75</b>	4.07	<b>86</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	59.5%	4.98	<b>18</b>	\$7.80	1.29	<b>107</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>67</b>	4.31	<b>73</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.3%	4.33	<b>49</b>	\$8.44	2.79	<b>38</b>	75.0%	7.50	<b>37</b>	4.87	<b>35</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.9%	4.03	<b>65</b>	\$9.64	5.60	<b>6</b>	83.0%	8.30	<b>16</b>	5.98	<b>6</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	45.2%	3.21	<b>98</b>	\$7.92	1.57	<b>98</b>	63.4%	6.34	<b>84</b>	3.70	<b>101</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	59.9%	5.03	<b>17</b>	\$8.48	2.88	<b>37</b>	74.6%	7.46	<b>39</b>	5.12	<b>22</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.1%	4.68	<b>31</b>	\$8.33	2.53	<b>48</b>	75.7%	7.57	<b>31</b>	4.93	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.8%	2.16	<b>115</b>	\$8.15	2.11	<b>67</b>	61.8%	6.18	<b>92</b>	3.49	<b>105</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	47.1%	3.44	<b>90</b>	\$8.53	3.00	<b>33</b>	67.6%	6.76	<b>59</b>	4.40	<b>63</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	61.3%	5.20	<b>14</b>	\$8.63	3.23	<b>27</b>	63.5%	6.35	<b>83</b>	4.93	<b>29</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	51.4%	3.97	<b>71</b>	\$7.62	0.87	<b>119</b>	61.9%	6.19	<b>91</b>	3.67	<b>103</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.4%	4.23	<b>53</b>	\$8.25	2.34	<b>55</b>	77.5%	7.75	<b>26</b>	4.77	<b>40</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	54.5%	4.35	<b>46</b>	\$8.02	1.80	<b>87</b>	62.8%	6.28	<b>85</b>	4.14	<b>82</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	56.7%	4.63	<b>35</b>	\$8.00	1.76	<b>91</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>68</b>	4.35	<b>67</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	52.0%	4.04	<b>64</b>	\$9.38	4.99	<b>9</b>	66.0%	6.60	<b>71</b>	5.21	<b>18</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	19.4%	0.00	<b>120</b>	\$11.52	10.00	<b>1</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>6</b>	6.67	<b>2</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	51.9%	4.03	<b>66</b>	\$8.33	2.53	<b>49</b>	74.1%	7.41	<b>40</b>	4.66	<b>48</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.8%	4.15	<b>60</b>	\$8.07	1.92	<b>79</b>	78.4%	7.84	<b>23</b>	4.64	<b>49</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	54.8%	4.39	<b>44</b>	\$7.74	1.15	<b>112</b>	61.5%	6.15	<b>93</b>	3.90	<b>98</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	59.3%	4.96	<b>19</b>	\$8.38	2.65	<b>42</b>	75.4%	7.54	<b>34</b>	5.05	<b>23</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	46.9%	3.41	<b>92</b>	\$8.08	1.94	<b>77</b>	72.4%	7.24	<b>47</b>	4.20	<b>81</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.8%	5.13	<b>16</b>	\$7.95	1.64	<b>94</b>	62.5%	6.25	<b>89</b>	4.34	<b>70</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	47.8%	3.52	<b>87</b>	\$8.25	2.34	<b>56</b>	69.2%	6.92	<b>56</b>	4.26	<b>76</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	48.2%	3.58	<b>84</b>	\$8.16	2.13	<b>65</b>	64.3%	6.43	<b>77</b>	4.05	<b>87</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.5%	4.85	<b>23</b>	\$8.18	2.18	<b>64</b>	77.5%	7.75	<b>27</b>	4.93	<b>30</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.4%	3.97	<b>69</b>	\$8.44	2.79	<b>39</b>	71.2%	7.12	<b>51</b>	4.63	<b>50</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	56.0%	4.54	<b>37</b>	\$8.22	2.27	<b>61</b>	75.0%	7.50	<b>38</b>	4.77	<b>41</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	56.9%	4.66	<b>33</b>	\$8.71	3.42	<b>21</b>	62.8%	6.28	<b>86</b>	4.78	<b>39</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	1.76	115	\$861	2.93	94	64.9%	5.75	50	3.48	106
003	Albemarle	71.9%	7.38	14	\$1,014	6.04	20	57.7%	4.09	97	5.84	27
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.9%	6.83	22	\$844	2.59	102	57.9%	4.13	95	4.52	79
007	Amelia	60.7%	4.32	85	\$959	4.92	41	63.4%	5.39	60	4.88	67
009	Amherst	66.6%	5.94	51	\$878	3.27	83	59.3%	4.45	87	4.55	78
011	Appomattox	69.7%	6.79	24	\$879	3.30	82	56.6%	3.84	104	4.64	76
013	Arlington	71.4%	7.26	15	\$1,071	7.19	8	69.4%	6.78	18	7.08	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.10	45	\$963	5.00	37	67.3%	6.29	37	5.80	29
017	Bath	62.7%	4.88	79	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.91	72	3.26	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.7%	5.42	63	\$860	2.91	95	60.4%	4.71	80	4.35	88
021	Bland	58.8%	3.80	96	\$870	3.11	88	56.0%	3.68	106	3.53	105
023	Botetourt	45.0%	0.00	120	\$940	4.53	53	55.4%	3.56	107	2.70	118
025	Brunswick	56.5%	3.15	108	\$829	2.28	110	67.5%	6.35	34	3.93	99
027	Buchanan	53.2%	2.27	114	\$842	2.54	103	64.4%	5.62	54	3.48	107
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.23	107	\$951	4.75	45	61.0%	4.84	75	4.27	93
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.54	35	\$902	3.75	74	63.5%	5.41	59	5.23	54
033	Caroline	60.4%	4.23	89	\$962	4.97	38	57.7%	4.08	98	4.42	85
035	Carroll	66.9%	6.02	49	\$834	2.38	108	54.0%	3.23	110	3.88	101
036	Charles City	57.4%	3.40	105	\$949	4.71	48	50.0%	2.31	116	3.47	108
037	Charlotte	51.0%	1.65	117	\$923	4.18	60	57.3%	4.00	102	3.28	113
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	4.99	76	\$1,033	6.42	14	68.9%	6.67	25	6.02	22
043	Clarke	57.6%	3.46	104	\$999	5.72	24	60.9%	4.82	76	4.67	74
045	Craig	50.0%	1.38	118	\$1,039	6.54	12	63.0%	5.30	66	4.41	86
047	Culpeper	64.5%	5.35	66	\$973	5.20	32	66.7%	6.17	39	5.57	35
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.55	102	\$958	4.89	43	57.9%	4.13	96	4.19	94
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.13	91	\$837	2.44	105	54.8%	3.40	108	3.33	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.9%	5.73	56	\$970	5.15	33	57.6%	4.07	99	4.98	62
057	Essex	66.5%	5.91	52	\$873	3.18	87	48.9%	2.05	117	3.72	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.1%	6.06	47	\$1,165	9.10	3	69.3%	6.77	19	7.31	5
061	Fauquier	69.2%	6.66	32	\$1,036	6.49	13	69.0%	6.69	24	6.61	9
063	Floyd	65.6%	5.66	59	\$942	4.56	51	53.5%	3.12	111	4.45	82
065	Fluvanna	61.0%	4.38	84	\$1,063	7.02	9	61.7%	5.01	70	5.47	38
067	Franklin County	62.0%	4.67	82	\$950	4.74	46	57.6%	4.06	100	4.49	80
069	Frederick	57.2%	3.35	106	\$1,019	6.13	19	60.8%	4.80	77	4.76	71
071	Giles	58.6%	3.74	98	\$956	4.87	44	51.9%	2.76	115	3.79	103
073	Gloucester	64.7%	5.42	65	\$854	2.78	98	57.5%	4.03	101	4.08	96
075	Goochland	66.4%	5.87	53	\$921	4.14	62	65.9%	5.98	46	5.33	48
077	Grayson	65.8%	5.70	57	\$860	2.90	96	61.0%	4.85	74	4.48	81

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.5%	8.38	4	\$912	3.97	67	56.5%	3.81	105	5.38	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.7%	3.77	97	\$826	2.22	112	64.9%	5.75	49	3.91	100
083	Halifax	55.9%	3.01	110	\$847	2.64	100	58.7%	4.32	89	3.32	112
085	Hanover	64.0%	5.23	68	\$1,053	6.82	10	66.2%	6.04	44	6.03	21
087	Henrico	67.4%	6.15	44	\$1,025	6.25	15	66.4%	6.10	43	6.17	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.3%	5.02	74	\$941	4.56	52	64.2%	5.58	55	5.05	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.24	5	\$784	1.35	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.53	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.0%	6.32	39	\$916	4.04	66	59.2%	4.44	88	4.93	65
095	James City	69.1%	6.62	33	\$919	4.10	64	60.3%	4.68	81	5.13	57
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.67	116	\$882	3.36	80	47.1%	1.63	118	2.22	120
099	King George	59.4%	3.97	93	\$924	4.20	59	58.5%	4.27	92	4.14	95
101	King William	59.5%	3.99	92	\$989	5.52	27	60.0%	4.62	83	4.71	73
103	Lancaster	65.7%	5.68	58	\$862	2.95	93	52.3%	2.83	114	3.82	102
105	Lee	64.9%	5.48	62	\$798	1.64	117	72.2%	7.44	8	4.85	69
107	Loudoun	76.6%	8.68	3	\$1,209	10.00	1	72.0%	7.37	9	8.69	1
109	Louisa	61.9%	4.63	83	\$981	5.37	29	62.5%	5.20	67	5.07	59
111	Lunenburg	47.9%	0.82	119	\$815	1.99	115	60.5%	4.72	79	2.51	119
113	Madison	60.5%	4.26	87	\$1,004	5.83	22	60.6%	4.76	78	4.95	64
115	Mathews	66.0%	5.76	54	\$907	3.86	70	68.9%	6.67	26	5.43	40
117	Mecklenburg	65.9%	5.75	55	\$842	2.54	104	67.3%	6.30	36	4.86	68
119	Middlesex	67.7%	6.24	41	\$912	3.96	69	72.6%	7.51	7	5.90	24
121	Montgomery	78.2%	9.12	2	\$943	4.59	50	63.6%	5.44	58	6.38	13
125	Nelson	62.6%	4.84	80	\$1,023	6.21	17	64.9%	5.74	51	5.60	34
127	New Kent	54.8%	2.70	111	\$1,024	6.24	16	71.6%	7.30	10	5.41	42
131	Northampton	63.6%	5.12	70	\$849	2.69	99	79.9%	9.21	2	5.67	32
133	Northumberland	56.0%	3.01	109	\$863	2.96	92	52.9%	2.99	113	2.99	116
135	Nottoway	66.7%	5.96	50	\$902	3.76	73	69.1%	6.73	22	5.48	37
137	Orange	71.9%	7.38	13	\$964	5.01	35	60.1%	4.64	82	5.68	31
139	Page	69.9%	6.85	20	\$996	5.67	25	59.5%	4.49	85	5.67	33
141	Patrick	67.5%	6.18	42	\$823	2.16	113	74.5%	7.96	6	5.43	39
143	Pittsylvania	53.8%	2.43	113	\$874	3.19	86	59.5%	4.50	84	3.38	109
145	Powhatan	54.5%	2.61	112	\$968	5.10	34	63.0%	5.31	65	4.34	89
147	Prince Edward	69.4%	6.69	30	\$885	3.42	78	68.1%	6.49	31	5.53	36
149	Prince George	60.3%	4.20	90	\$1,000	5.74	23	58.7%	4.32	90	4.75	72
153	Prince William	72.0%	7.41	11	\$1,195	9.72	2	70.5%	7.03	13	8.06	2
155	Pulaski	63.6%	5.10	71	\$947	4.67	49	53.4%	3.08	112	4.29	92
157	Rappahannock	59.2%	3.89	95	\$950	4.74	47	46.3%	1.46	119	3.37	110
159	Richmond County	60.6%	4.30	86	\$916	4.05	65	40.0%	0.00	120	2.78	117
161	Roanoke County	74.4%	8.08	7	\$1,039	6.55	11	58.0%	4.16	94	6.26	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	6.98	18	\$866	3.03	90	66.5%	6.11	42	5.38	45
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.1%	7.73	10	\$983	5.40	28	67.7%	6.39	33	6.51	12
167	Russell	60.4%	4.25	88	\$857	2.84	97	66.9%	6.22	38	4.43	84
169	Scott	57.9%	3.55	103	\$890	3.51	77	76.8%	8.50	5	5.18	56
171	Shenandoah	59.2%	3.91	94	\$940	4.53	54	69.5%	6.82	16	5.08	58

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.61	<b>100</b>	\$865	3.01	<b>91</b>	63.3%	5.38	<b>61</b>	4.00	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.57	<b>101</b>	\$833	2.36	<b>109</b>	54.4%	3.31	<b>109</b>	3.08	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.43	<b>37</b>	\$1,022	6.19	<b>18</b>	67.7%	6.40	<b>32</b>	6.34	<b>15</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.6%	3.74	<b>99</b>	\$1,134	8.47	<b>4</b>	68.4%	6.55	<b>29</b>	6.25	<b>18</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.0%	6.58	<b>34</b>	\$876	3.23	<b>84</b>	57.1%	3.96	<b>103</b>	4.59	<b>77</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.4%	5.60	<b>61</b>	\$960	4.94	<b>40</b>	63.3%	5.38	<b>62</b>	5.30	<b>49</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.1%	6.07	<b>46</b>	\$818	2.05	<b>114</b>	65.3%	5.84	<b>48</b>	4.65	<b>75</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.5%	6.17	<b>43</b>	\$1,010	5.96	<b>21</b>	68.5%	6.58	<b>27</b>	6.24	<b>19</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.2%	5.00	<b>75</b>	\$897	3.66	<b>76</b>	58.3%	4.22	<b>93</b>	4.29	<b>91</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.4%	5.05	<b>72</b>	\$925	4.23	<b>58</b>	64.5%	5.65	<b>52</b>	4.97	<b>63</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.8%	4.90	<b>78</b>	\$829	2.27	<b>111</b>	66.6%	6.13	<b>40</b>	4.43	<b>83</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.05	<b>48</b>	\$846	2.61	<b>101</b>	66.6%	6.13	<b>41</b>	4.93	<b>66</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.6%	4.83	<b>81</b>	\$899	3.69	<b>75</b>	59.4%	4.48	<b>86</b>	4.33	<b>90</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.9%	6.83	<b>21</b>	\$1,081	7.40	<b>7</b>	77.3%	8.60	<b>4</b>	7.61	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.8%	6.27	<b>40</b>	\$874	3.20	<b>85</b>	62.0%	5.07	<b>69</b>	4.84	<b>70</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$921	4.15	<b>61</b>	65.7%	5.94	<b>47</b>	6.70	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.0%	7.41	<b>12</b>	\$936	4.46	<b>55</b>	64.4%	5.62	<b>53</b>	5.83	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.3%	5.04	<b>73</b>	\$808	1.86	<b>116</b>	61.6%	4.99	<b>71</b>	3.96	<b>98</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.9%	5.21	<b>69</b>	\$837	2.43	<b>106</b>	64.1%	5.56	<b>56</b>	4.40	<b>87</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.8%	8.17	<b>6</b>	\$992	5.59	<b>26</b>	65.9%	5.98	<b>45</b>	6.58	<b>10</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.9%	4.92	<b>77</b>	\$885	3.41	<b>79</b>	69.0%	6.70	<b>23</b>	5.01	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.4%	6.70	<b>29</b>	\$920	4.12	<b>63</b>	63.6%	5.45	<b>57</b>	5.42	<b>41</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.3%	6.39	<b>38</b>	\$931	4.34	<b>57</b>	63.0%	5.31	<b>64</b>	5.35	<b>46</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.7%	6.78	<b>25</b>	\$836	2.42	<b>107</b>	68.4%	6.56	<b>28</b>	5.25	<b>52</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.3%	5.29	<b>67</b>	\$1,108	7.94	<b>5</b>	70.1%	6.96	<b>15</b>	6.73	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	69.6%	6.75	<b>27</b>	\$1,099	7.75	<b>6</b>	77.7%	8.71	<b>3</b>	7.74	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.2%	6.91	<b>19</b>	\$935	4.43	<b>56</b>	69.2%	6.73	<b>21</b>	6.02	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.7%	6.78	<b>26</b>	\$869	3.10	<b>89</b>	67.5%	6.34	<b>35</b>	5.41	<b>43</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.6%	7.86	<b>8</b>	\$753	0.74	<b>119</b>	70.8%	7.11	<b>12</b>	5.24	<b>53</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.00	<b>17</b>	\$963	5.00	<b>36</b>	70.2%	6.97	<b>14</b>	6.33	<b>16</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.6%	7.03	<b>16</b>	\$907	3.86	<b>71</b>	69.4%	6.79	<b>17</b>	5.89	<b>25</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.5%	6.72	<b>28</b>	\$912	3.96	<b>68</b>	61.3%	4.91	<b>73</b>	5.20	<b>55</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.8%	6.80	<b>23</b>	\$960	4.94	<b>39</b>	71.6%	7.29	<b>11</b>	6.34	<b>14</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.7%	5.42	<b>64</b>	\$976	5.26	<b>30</b>	62.3%	5.15	<b>68</b>	5.28	<b>51</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.4%	7.80	<b>9</b>	\$880	3.30	<b>81</b>	68.2%	6.50	<b>30</b>	5.87	<b>26</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.5%	5.62	<b>60</b>	\$958	4.90	<b>42</b>	69.2%	6.74	<b>20</b>	5.75	<b>30</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.3%	6.67	<b>31</b>	\$905	3.82	<b>72</b>	63.2%	5.36	<b>63</b>	5.29	<b>50</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.5%	6.44	<b>36</b>	\$975	5.25	<b>31</b>	58.6%	4.29	<b>91</b>	5.33	<b>47</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2012 – May 31, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	19,964	1,007	677	1,694	669	349	2,218	230	454	769	820	782	2,700	702	285	2,223	618	3,568	199
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	97%	95%	96%	95%	90%	93%	93%	97%	96%	96%	92%	95%	83%	96%	98%	88%	92%	80%
% Timely in May 2013	96%	97%	100%	99%	99%	94%	98%	90%	98%	94%	100%	95%	96%	82%	94%	99%	80%	98%	83%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	14,573	731	524	1,175	499	253	1,676	162	351	557	604	588	2,007	523	204	1,561	442	2,566	150
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	99%	99%	97%	98%	92%	96%	91%	98%	94%	97%	97%	94%	89%	98%	94%	92%	92%	95%
Percent in May 2013	95%	99%	100%	99%	96%	95%	94%	81%	97%	97%	99%	95%	94%	92%	100%	94%	87%	93%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	49	0	0	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	13	9	4	2	8	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	61	0	0	8	0	26	4	23	12	8	0	0	147	37	72	3	25	28	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,007	86	38	189	143	85	169	268	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	98%	100%	100%	96%	95%	98%	97%	83%
% Timely in May 2013	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	78%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	731	66	27	153	92	72	125	180	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	100%	99%	99%	99%	99%	98%	81%
Percent in May 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	677	10	53	25	137	120	67	221	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	91%	100%	96%	97%	91%	96%	91%
% Timely in May 2013	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	524	8	39	21	118	93	52	156	37
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	92%	95%	99%	100%	100%	99%	97%
Percent in May 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,694	97	13	4	36	169	41	211	115	169	59	780
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	92%	93%
% Timely in May 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,175	75	8	3	30	116	34	146	78	109	34	542
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	88%	100%	93%	99%	100%	98%	97%	97%	97%	96%
Percent in May 2013	99%	100%	100%	N/A	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	9

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	669	388	0	0	31	250
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	98%	N/A	N/A	71%	93%
% Timely in May 2013	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	75%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	499	277	0	0	23	199
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	83%	98%
Percent in May 2013	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	349	8	94	47	23	84	93	199	143	56
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	100%	80%	98%	87%	86%	99%	80%	80%	80%
% Timely in May 2013	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	100%	83%	81%	88%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	253	6	69	35	21	52	70	150	108	42
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	96%	100%	95%	83%	91%	95%	97%	88%
Percent in May 2013	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	100%	89%	86%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	2,218	155	826	176	700	240	97	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	97%	97%	96%	86%	98%	91%	92%
% Timely in May 2013	98%	93%	99%	100%	97%	100%	86%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,676	120	626	124	539	176	74	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	97%	98%	93%	94%	100%	100%
Percent in May 2013	94%	100%	95%	100%	89%	88%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	2	0	0	0	0	5	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	230	126	60	14	22	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	97%	97%	86%	86%	38%
% Timely in May 2013	90%	95%	86%	100%	50%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	162	89	40	10	16	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	91%	97%	100%	80%	50%	86%
Percent in May 2013	81%	100%	100%	100%	25%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	6	0	0	40	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	454	91	31	28	77	26	201
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	84%	93%	97%	100%	98%
% Timely in May 2013	98%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	351	68	26	19	66	19	153
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in May 2013	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	12	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	769	61	76	139	159	334
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	88%	99%	97%
% Timely in May 2013	94%	N/A	100%	83%	95%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	557	49	55	94	117	242
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	100%	100%	87%	95%	93%
Percent in May 2013	97%	100%	100%	100%	93%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	8	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	820	104	276	81	113	246
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	92%	95%	96%	100%	98%
% Timely in May 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	604	78	198	55	81	192
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	99%	97%	100%	99%	94%
Percent in May 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	782	40	86	69	67	40	100	63	93	106	118
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	98%	92%	99%	97%	98%	68%	89%	95%	98%	95%
% Timely in May 2013	95%	N/A	91%	100%	100%	100%	77%	100%	94%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	588	33	68	55	55	32	70	50	67	78	80
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	97%	94%	99%	98%	100%	94%	99%	100%	99%	92%	99%
Percent in May 2013	95%	50%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	2,700	554	23	89	721	35	1,278
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	94%	87%	94%	91%	100%	97%
% Timely in May 2013	96%	93%	100%	92%	95%	100%	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	2,007	433	20	60	504	29	961
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	96%	95%	95%	88%	100%	95%
Percent in May 2013	94%	93%	100%	100%	92%	100%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	13	2	0	2	8	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	147	124	0	42	196	0	21

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	702	82	58	205	203	154
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	83%	63%	100%	63%	95%	97%
% Timely in May 2013	82%	75%	100%	68%	86%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	523	62	40	159	156	106
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	89%	74%	100%	87%	88%	99%
Percent in May 2013	92%	100%	100%	100%	76%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	9	3	0	4	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	78	0	24	4	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	285	52	8	38	22	10	59	27	28	41
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	92%	100%	90%	98%	93%	82%	100%
% Timely in May 2013	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	88%	100%	0%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	204	37	8	27	17	9	48	14	16	28
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	95%	100%	96%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in May 2013	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	72	0	0	0	0	40	15	0	116	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	2,223	4	82	113	16	99	703	1,169	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	96%	96%	63%	94%	99%	98%	84%
% Timely in May 2013	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	92%	99%	99%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,561	4	55	77	13	63	504	822	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	75%	96%	99%	62%	94%	97%	94%	83%
Percent in May 2013	94%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	57%	96%	95%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	618	50	58	28	36	167	279
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	88%	100%	98%	89%	97%	73%	92%
% Timely in May 2013	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	59%	79%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	442	37	40	19	28	119	199
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	83%	100%	100%	93%	89%
Percent in May 2013	87%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	86%	81%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	6	2
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	0	0	32	6

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - May 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	3,568	76	36	583	117	1,238	635	236	647
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	97%	91%	100%	86%	91%	98%	98%
% Timely in May 2013	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	96%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	2,566	60	27	422	92	853	466	184	462
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	95%	96%	94%	100%	86%	94%	97%	97%
Percent in May 2013	93%	82%	100%	93%	100%	89%	95%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	5

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>May-12</i>	<i>May-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,788	16,398	3.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	134,573	134,742	0.1%
Total Cases Collected	150,361	151,140	0.5%
TANF Collections	\$4,345,442	\$4,660,173	7.2%
NTANF Collections	\$56,009,387	\$56,361,292	0.6%
Total Collections	\$60,354,829	\$61,021,465	1.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$602,865,717	\$604,335,578	0.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$376.89	\$377.90	0.3%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>May-13</i>
TANF Paternities	197
NTANF Paternities	354
Combined Paternities	551

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *May Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	1		Roanoke County	5
Alleghany / Covington	1		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Amherst	1		Rockingham / H'burg	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Shenandoah	10
Bedford City	3		Spotsylvania	7
Buckingham	1		Stafford	3
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	8		Warren	1
Clarke	1		Bristol	4
Culpeper	4		Charlottesville	1
Dickenson	3		Chesapeake	3
Dinwiddie	2		Franklin City	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Fredericksburg	1
Fauquier	3		Galax	1
Fluvanna	1		Hampton	9
Frederick	5		Hopewell	9
Greene	1		Lynchburg	1
Halifax	1		Manassas	1
Henrico	7		Newport News	15
James City	1		Norfolk	15
King George	1		Petersburg	1
Lunenburg	2		Portsmouth	1
Montgomery	2		Richmond City	6
Orange	4		Roanoke City	7
Patrick	1		Suffolk	9
Pittsylvania	2		Virginia Beach	2
Prince William	2		Winchester	1
Pulaski	1		Statewide	181

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of June 3, 2013. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2013




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

May 31, 2013




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May it was \$8.40 rather than the \$8.32 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.