

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

August 2013

Monthly Report, August 2013

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 39% with a numerator of 6,051 and a denominator of 15,384.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	60	28%	061	Fauquier	23	49	47%
003	Albemarle	27	58	47%	063	Floyd	6	15	40%
005	Alleghany/Covington	23	50	46%	065	Fluvanna	5	22	23%
007	Amelia	8	19	42%	067	Franklin County	36	108	33%
009	Amherst	13	27	48%	069	Frederick	26	57	46%
011	Appomattox	5	52	10%	071	Giles	7	19	37%
013	Arlington	52	106	49%	073	Gloucester	11	39	28%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	105	223	47%	075	Goochland	9	12	75%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	7	21	33%
019	Bedford County/City	34	97	35%	079	Greene	7	12	58%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	41	93	44%
023	Botetourt	1	12	8%	083	Halifax	25	80	31%
025	Brunswick	3	60	5%	085	Hanover	19	74	26%
027	Buchanan	27	64	42%	087	Henrico	213	692	31%
029	Buckingham	13	36	36%	089	Henry/Martinsville	62	223	28%
031	Campbell	45	126	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	29	92	32%	093	Isle of Wight	20	57	35%
035	Carroll	20	41	49%	095	James City	38	87	44%
036	Charles City	6	11	55%	097	King and Queen	0	6	0%
037	Charlotte	10	42	24%	099	King George	10	28	36%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	173	446	39%	101	King William	6	22	27%
043	Clarke	0	5	0%	103	Lancaster	8	21	38%
045	Craig	0	3	0%	105	Lee	54	118	46%
047	Culpeper	48	84	57%	107	Loudoun	60	138	43%
049	Cumberland	10	31	32%	109	Louisa	29	50	58%
051	Dickensen	14	24	58%	111	Lunenburg	11	27	41%
053	Dinwiddie	12	43	28%	113	Madison	2	8	25%
057	Essex	8	39	21%	115	Mathews	5	15	33%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	273	626	44%	117	Mecklenburg	16	55	29%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	21	43	49%	187	Warren	28	55	51%
121	Montgomery	55	111	50%	191	Washington	27	73	37%
125	Nelson	2	11	18%	193	Westmoreland	9	25	36%
127	New Kent	6	19	32%	195	Wise	50	137	36%
131	Northampton	26	56	46%	197	Wythe	15	49	31%
133	Northumberland	6	8	75%	199	York/Poquoson	31	83	37%
135	Nottoway	19	61	31%	510	Alexandria	96	194	49%
137	Orange	12	32	38%	520	Bristol	65	132	49%
139	Page	10	20	50%	540	Charlottesville	78	176	44%
141	Patrick	15	46	33%	550	Chesapeake	228	514	44%
143	Pittsylvania	19	71	27%	590	Danville	64	206	31%
145	Powhatan	6	18	33%	620	Franklin City	11	48	23%
147	Prince Edward	32	70	46%	630	Fredericksburg	38	92	41%
149	Prince George	4	40	10%	640	Galax	16	28	57%
153	Prince William	247	508	49%	650	Hampton	304	713	43%
155	Pulaski	31	68	46%	670	Hopewell	29	167	17%
157	Rappahannock	1	6	17%	680	Lynchburg	113	290	39%
159	Richmond County	1	25	4%	683	Manassas	27	62	44%
161	Roanoke County	40	128	31%	685	Manassas Park	5	18	28%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	37	38%	700	Newport News	357	830	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	50	119	42%	710	Norfolk	560	1,171	48%
167	Russell	31	88	35%	720	Norton	6	22	27%
169	Scott	43	72	60%	730	Petersburg	97	280	35%
171	Shenandoah	7	18	39%	740	Portsmouth	173	522	33%
173	Smyth	39	90	43%	750	Radford	11	36	31%
175	Southampton	24	49	49%	760	Richmond City	478	1,331	36%
177	Spotsylvania	73	207	35%	770	Roanoke City	129	477	27%
179	Stafford	62	134	46%	800	Suffolk	86	197	44%
181	Surry	9	27	33%	810	Virginia Beach	194	431	45%
183	Sussex	18	47	38%	830	Williamsburg	14	20	70%
185	Tazewell	41	104	39%	840	Winchester	14	42	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 34% with a numerator of 4,853 and a denominator of 14,094. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	14	57	25%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	16	42	38%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	18	49	37%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	12	25%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	20	47	43%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	4	21	19%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	7	17	41%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	27	98	28%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	12	26	46%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	24	55	44%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	2	49	4%	071	<i>Giles</i>	5	17	29%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	39	93	42%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	6	34	18%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	79	196	40%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	6	9	67%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	7	21	33%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	28	90	31%	079	<i>Greene</i>	5	10	50%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	4	25%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	32	84	38%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	12	8%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	21	76	28%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	3	57	5%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	17	71	24%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	26	63	41%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	143	619	23%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	12	35	34%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	47	204	23%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	34	115	30%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	25	86	29%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	12	49	24%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	18	38	47%	095	<i>James City</i>	29	78	37%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	4	9	44%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	6	0%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	10	42	24%	099	<i>King George</i>	9	27	33%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	139	409	34%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	19	21%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	5	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	7	20	35%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	3	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	52	116	45%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	35	70	50%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	30	107	28%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	9	29	31%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	21	41	51%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	13	23	57%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	10	26	38%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	8	39	21%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	7	14%
057	<i>Essex</i>	8	37	22%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	5	14	36%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	200	548	36%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	11	50	22%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	21	43	49%	187	Warren	20	47	43%
121	Montgomery	40	96	42%	191	Washington	26	72	36%
125	Nelson	2	11	18%	193	Westmoreland	8	24	33%
127	New Kent	6	19	32%	195	Wise	42	129	33%
131	Northampton	23	53	43%	197	Wythe	13	45	29%
133	Northumberland	3	5	60%	199	York/Poquoson	22	74	30%
135	Nottoway	13	55	24%	510	Alexandria	69	166	42%
137	Orange	9	29	31%	520	Bristol	59	126	47%
139	Page	9	19	47%	540	Charlottesville	54	152	36%
141	Patrick	13	44	30%	550	Chesapeake	180	465	39%
143	Pittsylvania	17	69	25%	590	Danville	52	193	27%
145	Powhatan	5	17	29%	620	Franklin City	10	47	21%
147	Prince Edward	23	61	38%	630	Fredericksburg	29	83	35%
149	Prince George	3	39	8%	640	Galax	15	27	56%
153	Prince William	176	433	41%	650	Hampton	250	650	38%
155	Pulaski	23	60	38%	670	Hopewell	26	161	16%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	89	266	33%
159	Richmond County	1	22	5%	683	Manassas	22	57	39%
161	Roanoke County	29	117	25%	685	Manassas Park	3	16	19%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	13	36	36%	700	Newport News	291	762	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	27	96	28%	710	Norfolk	509	1,118	46%
167	Russell	28	85	33%	720	Norton	6	22	27%
169	Scott	37	66	56%	730	Petersburg	83	259	32%
171	Shenandoah	6	17	35%	740	Portsmouth	149	498	30%
173	Smyth	30	81	37%	750	Radford	11	36	31%
175	Southampton	18	42	43%	760	Richmond City	427	1,270	34%
177	Spotsylvania	59	191	31%	770	Roanoke City	100	441	23%
179	Stafford	44	116	38%	800	Suffolk	63	173	36%
181	Surry	7	24	29%	810	Virginia Beach	148	384	39%
183	Sussex	16	45	36%	830	Williamsburg	11	17	65%
185	Tazewell	35	98	36%	840	Winchester	11	39	28%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,198 and a denominator of 1,290. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	3	3	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	7	7	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	9	9	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	3	3	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	1	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	1	2	50%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	9	10	90%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	1	1	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	2	2	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	3	3	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	2	2	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	13	13	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	5	5	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	26	27	96%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	3	3	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	0	0	N/A
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	6	7	86%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	2	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	9	9	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	4	4	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	0	3	0%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	2	3	67%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	1	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	70	73	96%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	1	1	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	15	19	79%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	11	11	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	4	6	67%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	8	8	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	2	3	67%	095	<i>James City</i>	9	9	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	0	0	N/A	099	<i>King George</i>	1	1	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	34	37	92%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	3	67%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	2	2	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	13	14	93%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	30	31	97%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	1	2	50%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	8	9	89%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	1	1	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	1	1	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	4	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	0	2	0%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	73	78	94%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	5	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	0	0	N/A	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	15	15	100%	191	Washington	1	1	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	8	8	100%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	2	4	50%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	9	9	100%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	27	28	96%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	6	6	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	24	24	100%
141	Patrick	2	2	100%	550	Chesapeake	48	49	98%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	12	13	92%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	1	1	100%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	9	9	100%
149	Prince George	1	1	100%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	71	75	95%	650	Hampton	54	63	86%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	3	6	50%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	24	24	100%
159	Richmond County	0	3	0%	683	Manassas	5	5	100%
161	Roanoke County	11	11	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	1	100%	700	Newport News	66	68	97%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	23	23	100%	710	Norfolk	51	53	96%
167	Russell	3	3	100%	720	Norton	0	0	N/A
169	Scott	6	6	100%	730	Petersburg	14	21	67%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	24	24	100%
173	Smyth	9	9	100%	750	Radford	0	0	N/A
175	Southampton	6	7	86%	760	Richmond City	51	61	84%
177	Spotsylvania	14	16	88%	770	Roanoke City	29	36	81%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	23	24	96%
181	Surry	2	3	67%	810	Virginia Beach	46	47	98%
183	Sussex	2	2	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	3	100%
185	Tazewell	6	6	100%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Aug-13	Aug-12	Change from Aug-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	6,562	6,886	-5%	4,807	37%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	4,364	3,930	11%	1,658	163%
Applications Approved	2,189	2,437	-10%	2,820	-22%
Cases Closed *	2,396	2,555	-6%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	28,592	30,397	-6%	67,995	-58%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,870	31,642	-9%	70,403	-59%

•The database indicates that of the 2,396 closed cases, 967 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 723 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 217 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 150 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Aug-13	Aug-12	Change from Aug-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	579	664	-13%	338	71%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	404	365	11%	232	74%
Applications Approved	221	263	-16%	81	173%
Cases Closed *	227	261	-13.0%	107	112%
Cases at End of Month	1,546	1,650	-6%	321	382%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,535	1,789	-14%	394	290%

•The database indicates that of the 227 closed cases, 138 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 107 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 23 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 19 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	30,138	1,571	942	2,327	827	451	3,342	365	605	1,173	1,338	1,014	4,858	990	411	3,102	1,090	5,452	280
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,563	591	399	777	304	162	1,320	131	279	486	396	435	1,833	465	156	1,248	403	2,066	112
Part. in a Work Activity	8,782	460	330	533	240	124	1,073	98	255	339	279	328	1,389	327	121	979	277	1,557	73
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	83%	69%	79%	77%	81%	75%	91%	70%	70%	75%	76%	70%	78%	78%	69%	75%	65%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	101	3	0	12	0	6	12	4	5	3	2	6	13	6	4	12	3	10	0
Participants Employed	6,126	299	202	446	208	93	690	75	175	248	171	191	952	246	107	645	202	1,111	65
% of Enrolled	53%	51%	51%	57%	68%	57%	52%	57%	63%	51%	43%	44%	52%	53%	69%	52%	50%	54%	58%
Full Time	4,795	239	159	367	183	80	531	64	120	175	132	128	707	181	87	491	167	938	46
Part Time	1,331	60	43	79	25	13	159	11	55	73	39	63	245	65	20	154	35	173	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$7.90	\$7.80	\$8.28	\$8.16	\$8.25	\$9.65	\$8.65	\$8.68	\$8.07	\$7.96	\$8.06	\$8.44	\$8.47	\$8.16	\$8.21	\$8.12	\$8.06	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,065	\$1,025	\$1,146	\$1,164	\$1,136	\$1,255	\$1,189	\$1,115	\$1,029	\$1,068	\$1,029	\$1,116	\$1,095	\$1,116	\$1,089	\$1,138	\$1,074	\$1,016

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	5,463	272	205	471	155	76	612	63	118	191	272	213	740	216	65	596	184	969	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,347	696	486	1,012	385	217	1,653	155	339	598	510	567	2,222	591	186	1,594	495	2,505	136
Part. in a Work Activity	11,794	628	431	804	339	170	1,383	125	317	505	412	445	1,769	457	157	1,319	356	2,075	102
% of Total Enrolled	82%	90%	89%	79%	88%	78%	84%	81%	94%	84%	81%	78%	80%	77%	84%	83%	72%	83%	75%
Participants Employed	7,596	367	255	570	260	121	862	90	217	324	217	255	1,127	321	124	812	242	1,355	77
% of Total Enrolled	53%	53%	52%	56%	68%	56%	52%	58%	64%	54%	43%	45%	51%	54%	67%	51%	49%	54%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$7.88	\$7.80	\$8.22	\$8.21	\$8.32	\$9.72	\$8.55	\$8.79	\$8.07	\$7.97	\$8.04	\$8.43	\$8.53	\$8.09	\$8.20	\$8.12	\$8.07	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,039	\$1,008	\$1,114	\$1,134	\$1,136	\$1,246	\$1,152	\$1,043	\$973	\$1,038	\$1,007	\$1,084	\$1,081	\$1,023	\$1,047	\$1,105	\$1,039	\$948
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	72%	65%	63%	65%	64%	76%	79%	73%	66%	55%	71%	73%	66%	70%	73%	66%	72%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	292,160	17,803	10,980	21,823	8,594	5,682	36,482	4,143	6,377	10,983	13,401	8,513	38,856	9,396	3,878	28,015	9,833	54,747	2,654
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	225,476	13,755	9,243	16,860	6,675	4,260	28,207	3,138	4,945	9,122	10,743	6,658	30,558	6,994	2,917	21,288	7,691	40,436	1,986
Part. in a Work Activity	215,477	13,253	8,871	16,039	6,510	4,031	27,193	3,029	4,838	8,620	10,381	6,364	29,346	6,599	2,742	20,233	7,317	38,195	1,916
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	97%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	94%	96%
Participants Employed	151,618	8,568	5,941	11,378	4,654	2,752	19,714	2,103	3,685	6,228	6,547	4,039	20,629	4,592	1,838	14,668	5,225	27,943	1,114
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	75%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$6.31	\$6.43	\$7.18	\$7.26	\$7.45	\$8.79	\$7.57	\$7.50	\$6.72	\$6.48	\$6.89	\$7.45	\$8.03	\$7.11	\$7.13	\$7.10	\$6.95	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$831	\$868	\$962	\$964	\$994	\$1,162	\$995	\$950	\$864	\$861	\$884	\$992	\$1,025	\$905	\$927	\$956	\$905	\$857
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,571	171	68	283	208	157	253	378	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	591	57	21	132	63	72	96	128	22
Participants in a Work Activity	460	53	20	114	51	53	74	80	15
% of Enrolled	78%	93%	95%	86%	81%	74%	77%	63%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	299	30	15	63	18	39	51	73	10
% of Enrolled	51%	53%	71%	48%	29%	54%	53%	57%	45%
Full Time	239	15	12	49	18	31	42	65	7
Part Time	60	15	3	14	0	8	9	8	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.91	\$7.77	\$7.99	\$7.87	\$7.27	\$7.98	\$8.05	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,001	\$1,022	\$1,053	\$1,030	\$1,007	\$1,037	\$1,173	\$1,038

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	272	23	5	47	30	24	67	65	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	696	69	25	156	77	79	107	158	25
Participants in a Work Activity	628	66	24	147	64	72	100	136	19
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	96%	94%	83%	91%	93%	86%	76%
Participants Employed	367	33	18	75	26	42	62	97	14
% of Total Enrolled	53%	48%	72%	48%	34%	53%	58%	61%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.78	\$7.70	\$7.88	\$7.81	\$7.36	\$7.93	\$8.09	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$985	\$1,093	\$1,006	\$1,002	\$929	\$1,017	\$1,168	\$870
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	91%	79%	70%	65%	85%	75%	64%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,803	1,716	1,214	2,509	2,459	1,755	3,404	4,173	573
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,755	1,306	949	1,938	1,983	1,296	2,595	3,233	455
Participants in a Work Activity	13,253	1,228	897	1,925	1,882	1,274	2,502	3,126	419
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,568	697	573	1,258	1,188	751	1,735	2,031	335
% of Total Enrolled	62%	53%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.31	\$6.46	\$6.33	\$6.10	\$6.47	\$6.59	\$6.21	\$6.33	\$6.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$831	\$843	\$840	\$800	\$857	\$888	\$818	\$834	\$754
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	54%	72%	67%	77%	65%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	942	14	99	39	191	184	113	243	59
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	399	3	43	15	80	60	29	147	22
Participants in a Work Activity	330	2	30	9	64	52	26	128	19
% of Enrolled	83%	67%	70%	60%	80%	87%	90%	87%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	202	0	21	6	42	40	19	63	11
% of Enrolled	51%	0%	49%	40%	53%	67%	66%	43%	50%
Full Time	159	N/A	20	5	36	27	17	45	9
Part Time	43	N/A	1	1	6	13	2	18	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	N/A	\$7.61	\$8.03	\$8.02	\$7.72	\$7.71	\$7.83	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	N/A	\$1,033	\$1,088	\$1,119	\$955	\$1,097	\$987	\$955

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	205	4	21	6	50	25	27	66	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	486	5	55	16	100	66	39	173	32
Participants in a Work Activity	431	4	46	14	89	60	38	152	28
% of Total Enrolled	89%	80%	84%	88%	89%	91%	97%	88%	88%
Participants Employed	255	1	27	10	51	44	26	77	19
% of Total Enrolled	52%	20%	49%	63%	51%	67%	67%	45%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$8.00	\$7.60	\$7.79	\$7.86	\$7.67	\$7.72	\$7.95	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$1,204	\$1,024	\$1,121	\$1,091	\$954	\$1,020	\$979	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	50%	67%	63%	62%	71%	73%	64%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,980	274	1,461	690	1,932	1,902	1,611	2,357	753
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,243	206	1,206	559	1,634	1,589	1,280	2,107	662
Participants in a Work Activity	8,871	193	1,115	522	1,579	1,552	1,258	2,023	629
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,941	121	804	367	949	1,002	858	1,425	415
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	66%	58%	63%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.43	\$6.36	\$6.23	\$6.43	\$6.30	\$6.66	\$6.40	\$6.51	\$6.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$868	\$874	\$835	\$861	\$868	\$897	\$848	\$876	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,327	93	37	8	47	216	48	276	161	273	77	1,091
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	777	42	10	3	14	89	6	104	43	105	27	334
Participants in a Work Activity	533	37	4	2	12	58	6	81	33	73	20	207
% of Enrolled	69%	88%	40%	67%	86%	65%	100%	78%	77%	70%	74%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	0	1
Participants Employed	446	29	4	1	10	44	6	72	27	53	16	184
% of Enrolled	57%	69%	40%	33%	71%	49%	100%	69%	63%	50%	59%	55%
Full Time	367	24	3	1	8	30	5	65	27	42	13	149
Part Time	79	5	1	0	2	14	1	7	0	11	3	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$8.55	\$8.12	\$9.00	\$8.75	\$8.20	\$7.25	\$8.63	\$7.78	\$7.98	\$7.67	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,226	\$1,075	\$1,559	\$1,144	\$1,045	\$969	\$1,232	\$1,108	\$1,054	\$975	\$1,176

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	471	22	11	1	8	38	4	53	33	45	16	240
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,012	52	14	3	18	127	12	132	56	121	41	436
Participants in a Work Activity	804	47	8	2	14	101	12	113	50	92	31	334
% of Total Enrolled	79%	90%	57%	67%	78%	80%	100%	86%	89%	76%	76%	77%
Participants Employed	570	34	6	1	12	55	10	94	37	60	23	238
% of Total Enrolled	56%	65%	43%	33%	67%	43%	83%	71%	66%	50%	56%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$8.39	\$8.11	\$9.00	\$8.79	\$8.16	\$7.44	\$8.45	\$7.87	\$7.97	\$7.85	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,115	\$1,053	\$1,548	\$1,170	\$1,052	\$976	\$1,195	\$1,100	\$1,021	\$957	\$1,138
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	59%	40%	N/A	50%	66%	47%	68%	61%	58%	67%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,823	1,200	367	99	566	1,939	555	3,082	1,886	2,403	862	8,864
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,860	919	232	56	415	1,498	403	2,530	1,503	1,933	670	6,701
Participants in a Work Activity	16,039	886	205	55	398	1,344	396	2,489	1,441	1,811	642	6,372
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,378	643	105	28	273	926	237	1,971	956	1,427	467	4,345
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	45%	50%	66%	62%	59%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$6.95	\$7.40	\$7.29	\$7.09	\$7.10	\$7.12	\$7.03	\$6.86	\$7.67	\$7.06	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$848	\$938	\$1,053	\$944	\$952	\$955	\$946	\$949	\$1,039	\$911	\$978
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	55%	59%	53%	58%	52%	64%	54%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	827	504	5	2	74	242
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	304	179	1	0	24	100
Participants in a Work Activity	240	133	0	N/A	20	87
% of Enrolled	79%	74%	0%	N/A	83%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	208	117	0	N/A	14	77
% of Enrolled	68%	65%	N/A	N/A	58%	77%
Full Time	183	98	N/A	N/A	12	73
Part Time	25	19	N/A	N/A	2	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.96	N/A	N/A	\$8.27	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,164	\$1,118	N/A	N/A	\$1,087	\$1,250

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	155	86	1	0	11	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	385	225	1	0	27	132
Participants in a Work Activity	339	194	1	N/A	23	121
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	100%	N/A	85%	92%
Participants Employed	260	151	0	N/A	16	93
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	0%	N/A	59%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$7.99	N/A	N/A	\$8.42	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,067	N/A	N/A	\$1,100	\$1,248
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	62%	N/A	N/A	66%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,594	4,608	80	23	747	3,136
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,675	3,639	51	8	558	2,419
Participants in a Work Activity	6,510	3,574	51	8	515	2,362
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,654	2,451	32	6	392	1,773
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$7.25	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.81	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$965	\$717	\$784	\$871	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	451	12	145	50	44	113	87	280	187	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	162	1	64	25	10	33	29	112	50	62
Participants in a Work Activity	124	0	41	24	10	29	20	73	30	43
% of Enrolled	77%	0	64%	96%	100%	88%	69%	65%	60%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	93	0	35	17	7	21	13	65	27	38
% of Enrolled	57%	0	55%	68%	70%	64%	45%	58%	54%	61%
Full Time	80	N/A	30	16	6	17	11	46	22	24
Part Time	13	N/A	5	1	1	4	2	19	5	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	N/A	\$8.62	\$8.15	\$8.50	\$7.55	\$8.36	\$7.77	\$7.86	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,136	N/A	\$1,218	\$1,108	\$1,274	\$983	\$1,127	\$1,016	\$1,091	\$963

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	76	4	17	12	4	19	20	45	35	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	217	3	76	28	17	43	50	136	68	68
Participants in a Work Activity	170	1	50	27	15	38	39	102	49	53
% of Total Enrolled	78%	33%	66%	96%	88%	88%	78%	75%	72%	78%
Participants Employed	121	1	38	19	10	28	25	77	36	41
% of Total Enrolled	56%	33%	50%	68%	59%	65%	50%	57%	53%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$12.00	\$8.58	\$8.49	\$8.18	\$7.87	\$8.22	\$7.77	\$7.75	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,136	\$1,909	\$1,215	\$1,178	\$1,192	\$1,002	\$1,079	\$948	\$999	\$902
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	0%	66%	48%	75%	84%	59%	78%	67%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,682	220	1,256	855	689	1,354	1,308	2,654	1,694	960
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,260	134	942	699	425	1,027	1,033	1,986	1,241	745
Participants in a Work Activity	4,031	124	878	683	413	951	982	1,916	1,203	713
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	96%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	2,752	77	536	486	251	694	708	1,114	632	482
% of Total Enrolled	65%	57%	57%	70%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.56	\$7.84	\$7.38	\$6.83	\$7.50	\$7.39	\$6.84	\$6.90	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$1,005	\$1,022	\$997	\$941	\$1,011	\$974	\$857	\$864	\$849
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	60%	69%	69%	58%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,342	212	1,341	207	1,019	372	146	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,320	69	485	133	413	164	45	11
Participants in a Work Activity	1,073	61	424	99	317	134	30	8
% of Enrolled	81%	88%	87%	74%	77%	82%	67%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	1	3	0	2	4	2	0
Participants Employed	690	33	263	72	221	77	21	3
% of Enrolled	52%	48%	54%	54%	54%	47%	47%	27%
Full Time	531	18	212	47	169	63	19	3
Part Time	159	15	51	25	52	14	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.65	\$9.27	\$9.63	\$10.62	\$9.42	\$9.70	\$9.60	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,255	\$1,075	\$1,263	\$1,312	\$1,248	\$1,273	\$1,301	\$1,021

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	612	43	219	37	187	94	23	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,653	91	609	152	536	193	56	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,383	75	534	126	432	162	41	13
% of Total Enrolled	84%	82%	88%	83%	81%	84%	73%	81%
Participants Employed	862	43	322	79	295	94	25	4
% of Total Enrolled	52%	47%	53%	52%	55%	49%	45%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.72	\$9.17	\$9.74	\$11.02	\$9.45	\$9.82	\$9.48	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,246	\$1,095	\$1,238	\$1,410	\$1,230	\$1,253	\$1,304	\$994
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	65%	74%	86%	75%	86%	55%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	36,482	3,020	13,000	2,623	11,666	4,146	1,562	465
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,207	2,372	9,828	2,097	9,094	3,266	1,209	341
Participants in a Work Activity	27,193	2,352	9,470	2,003	8,736	3,120	1,173	339
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	19,714	1,688	6,600	1,601	6,536	2,284	771	234
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	70%	64%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.79	\$8.36	\$8.86	\$9.40	\$8.85	\$8.46	\$8.30	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,162	\$1,073	\$1,167	\$1,214	\$1,197	\$1,084	\$1,109	\$1,097
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	77%	70%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	365	169	72	24	85	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	131	65	31	6	24	5
Participants in a Work Activity	98	50	22	4	18	4
% of Enrolled	75%	77%	71%	67%	75%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	2	0	2	0
Participants Employed	75	44	17	2	11	1
% of Enrolled	57%	68%	55%	33%	46%	20%
Full Time	64	37	15	1	10	1
Part Time	11	7	2	1	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.65	\$8.46	\$9.04	\$9.25	\$8.55	\$10.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,189	\$1,143	\$1,293	\$1,110	\$1,187	\$1,591

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	63	31	16	5	11	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	155	81	35	9	25	5
Participants in a Work Activity	125	65	28	7	21	4
% of Total Enrolled	81%	80%	80%	78%	84%	80%
Participants Employed	90	53	20	4	12	1
% of Total Enrolled	58%	65%	57%	44%	48%	20%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.55	\$8.48	\$8.89	\$7.69	\$8.44	\$10.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,152	\$1,129	\$1,253	\$869	\$1,136	\$1,580
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	81%	86%	13%	92%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,143	1,613	1,216	381	824	109
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,138	1,180	935	282	668	73
Participants in a Work Activity	3,029	1,140	921	274	625	69
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,103	769	645	170	476	43
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	60%	71%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.56	\$7.92	\$7.21	\$7.25	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$995	\$979	\$1,037	\$1,003	\$965	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	66%	68%	60%	60%	46%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	605	119	50	29	106	33	268
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	279	38	25	11	44	8	153
Participants in a Work Activity	255	38	22	10	40	8	137
% of Enrolled	91%	100%	88%	91%	91%	100%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	2	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	175	29	12	8	34	6	86
% of Enrolled	63%	76%	48%	73%	77%	75%	56%
Full Time	120	23	10	4	22	3	58
Part Time	55	6	2	4	12	3	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$8.99	\$9.92	\$7.85	\$8.49	\$7.79	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,248	\$1,443	\$978	\$1,150	\$847	\$1,043

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	118	32	5	4	12	9	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	339	50	28	16	56	12	177
Participants in a Work Activity	317	47	25	14	49	12	170
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	89%	88%	88%	100%	96%
Participants Employed	217	37	15	12	42	8	103
% of Total Enrolled	64%	74%	54%	75%	75%	67%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.79	\$9.26	\$9.66	\$8.17	\$8.57	\$7.66	\$8.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$1,248	\$1,342	\$887	\$965	\$832	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	82%	64%	82%	79%	47%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,377	1,494	298	491	1010	335	2,749
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,945	1,083	216	350	756	235	2,305
Participants in a Work Activity	4,838	1,057	210	332	732	233	2,274
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,685	782	136	265	477	145	1,880
% of Total Enrolled	75%	72%	63%	76%	63%	62%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.91	\$7.95	\$7.40	\$7.72	\$7.85	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$950	\$1,019	\$1,073	\$913	\$983	\$1,019	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	56%	63%	63%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,173	89	100	201	240	543
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	486	21	45	78	128	214
Participants in a Work Activity	339	19	29	61	89	141
% of Enrolled	70%	90%	64%	78%	70%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	248	15	24	38	61	110
% of Enrolled	51%	71%	53%	49%	48%	51%
Full Time	175	13	21	28	41	72
Part Time	73	2	3	10	20	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.23	\$8.11	\$8.00	\$8.27	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,235	\$1,126	\$990	\$1,028	\$994

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	191	9	17	22	27	116
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	598	23	49	95	161	270
Participants in a Work Activity	505	19	37	82	142	225
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	76%	86%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	324	16	27	52	83	146
% of Total Enrolled	54%	70%	55%	55%	52%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.21	\$8.08	\$7.86	\$8.28	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$973	\$1,136	\$1,131	\$906	\$974	\$945
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	64%	74%	61%	66%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,983	1013	866	2,224	2,548	4,332
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,122	815	754	1,783	2,193	3,577
Participants in a Work Activity	8,620	777	742	1,657	2,066	3,378
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,228	545	527	1,154	1,511	2,491
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.72	\$6.92	\$6.38	\$6.79	\$6.92	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$864	\$882	\$881	\$862	\$902	\$838
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	59%	56%	60%	64%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,338	200	413	87	207	431
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	396	49	159	48	43	97
Participants in a Work Activity	279	26	109	45	23	76
% of Enrolled	70%	53%	69%	94%	53%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	171	18	67	27	16	43
% of Enrolled	43%	37%	42%	56%	37%	44%
Full Time	132	15	49	17	15	36
Part Time	39	3	18	10	1	7
Average Wage⁶	\$7.96	\$8.17	\$7.85	\$8.20	\$7.71	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,068	\$1,135	\$1,014	\$1,111	\$1,036	\$1,110

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	272	25	90	19	50	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	510	62	182	62	64	140
Participants in a Work Activity	412	43	144	57	43	125
% of Total Enrolled	81%	69%	79%	92%	67%	89%
Participants Employed	217	20	80	36	25	56
% of Total Enrolled	43%	32%	44%	58%	39%	40%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.97	\$8.06	\$7.87	\$7.88	\$8.00	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,038	\$1,070	\$986	\$973	\$1,089	\$1,119
Three Months Employment⁸	55%	58%	57%	64%	41%	53%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,401	2,061	3,622	1,311	2,213	4,194
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,743	1,710	2,784	1,133	1,686	3,430
Participants in a Work Activity	10,381	1,645	2,666	1,069	1,652	3,349
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,547	952	1,764	766	903	2,162
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	63%	68%	54%	63%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.48	\$6.43	\$6.89	\$6.37	\$6.48	\$6.22
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$861	\$848	\$941	\$825	\$876	\$811
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,014	64	106	66	75	61	149	63	170	126	134
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	435	25	48	22	46	28	80	20	41	59	66
Participants in a Work Activity	328	20	27	16	39	23	66	15	32	39	51
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	56%	73%	85%	82%	83%	75%	78%	66%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	191	12	16	8	17	13	32	10	17	33	33
% of Enrolled	44%	48%	33%	36%	37%	46%	40%	50%	41%	56%	50%
Full Time	128	9	10	7	11	8	18	9	8	22	26
Part Time	63	3	6	1	6	5	14	1	9	11	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.68	\$7.73	\$9.00	\$8.21	\$8.57	\$7.86	\$7.87	\$7.80	\$7.73	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,060	\$994	\$1,277	\$993	\$1,099	\$914	\$1,083	\$864	\$996	\$1,182

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	213	9	23	25	8	9	34	12	35	34	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	567	28	75	37	50	32	94	27	58	88	78
Participants in a Work Activity	445	24	52	29	45	30	78	19	46	54	68
% of Total Enrolled	78%	86%	69%	78%	90%	94%	83%	70%	79%	61%	87%
Participants Employed	255	14	28	14	18	16	42	12	25	46	40
% of Total Enrolled	45%	50%	37%	38%	36%	50%	45%	44%	43%	52%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.74	\$7.54	\$8.71	\$8.17	\$8.43	\$7.90	\$8.04	\$8.02	\$7.82	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,107	\$974	\$1,290	\$900	\$1,050	\$831	\$1,051	\$956	\$1,002	\$1,138
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	55%	85%	53%	79%	67%	79%	55%	60%	81%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,513	550	1,042	818	737	571	991	406	1,230	1,048	1,120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,658	404	839	626	552	422	791	322	970	811	921
Participants in a Work Activity	6,364	384	828	591	515	404	754	316	929	745	898
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	93%	96%	95%	98%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,039	244	471	353	285	244	466	155	636	540	645
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	56%	52%	58%	59%	48%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$7.52	\$6.33	\$7.12	\$7.30	\$7.42	\$6.86	\$6.62	\$6.67	\$6.90	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$884	\$962	\$831	\$958	\$921	\$958	\$825	\$823	\$842	\$907	\$890
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	63%	67%	60%	58%	58%	66%	61%	67%	69%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,858	963	24	143	1,243	50	2,435
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,833	338	10	73	576	18	818
Participants in a Work Activity	1,389	269	9	50	399	14	648
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	90%	68%	69%	78%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	4	0	0	3	1	5
Participants Employed	952	185	6	47	298	13	403
% of Enrolled	52%	55%	60%	64%	52%	72%	49%
Full Time	707	149	5	25	208	10	310
Part Time	245	36	1	22	90	3	93
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$8.67	\$8.05	\$8.61	\$8.60	\$9.40	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,177	\$1,152	\$1,019	\$1,133	\$1,332	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	740	138	2	18	195	13	374
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,222	418	12	79	689	20	1,004
Participants in a Work Activity	1,769	332	9	54	528	15	831
% of Total Enrolled	80%	79%	75%	68%	77%	75%	83%
Participants Employed	1,127	217	6	50	360	13	481
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	50%	63%	52%	65%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.82	\$8.05	\$8.62	\$8.54	\$9.28	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,179	\$1,197	\$997	\$1,066	\$1,198	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	78%	82%	84%	74%	64%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	38,856	8,131	295	1,374	9,740	388	18,928
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,558	6,203	227	981	7,948	285	14,914
Participants in a Work Activity	29,346	5,993	217	912	7,517	266	14,441
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	20,629	3,915	151	631	5,368	158	10,406
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	64%	68%	55%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.75	\$7.27	\$8.27	\$7.76	\$7.83	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$992	\$1,036	\$929	\$1,053	\$1,025	\$986	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	67%	67%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	990	156	57	356	266	155
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	465	91	25	162	104	83
Participants in a Work Activity	327	51	22	105	87	62
% of Enrolled	70%	56%	88%	65%	84%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	5	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	246	41	15	89	50	51
% of Enrolled	53%	45%	60%	55%	48%	61%
Full Time	181	36	14	60	41	30
Part Time	65	5	1	29	9	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.36	\$8.46	\$8.10	\$9.22	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,140	\$1,304	\$1,005	\$1,254	\$997

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	216	33	10	78	49	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	591	124	32	199	134	102
Participants in a Work Activity	457	80	30	150	110	87
% of Total Enrolled	77%	65%	94%	75%	82%	85%
Participants Employed	321	59	17	117	66	62
% of Total Enrolled	54%	48%	53%	59%	49%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.21	\$8.69	\$8.02	\$9.59	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,110	\$1,088	\$964	\$1,288	\$1,047
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	80%	48%	61%	78%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	9,396	1,423	571	2,865	2,714	1,823
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,994	1010	398	2,235	1,808	1,543
Participants in a Work Activity	6,599	956	378	2,061	1,729	1,475
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,592	612	233	1,531	1,065	1,151
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	59%	69%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.64	\$7.50	\$8.01	\$8.68	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$967	\$925	\$1,019	\$1,140	\$994
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	67%	69%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	411	82	15	45	38	24	71	35	34	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	156	28	4	15	13	13	48	5	12	18
Participants in a Work Activity	121	19	2	14	9	12	40	5	8	12
% of Enrolled	78%	68%	50%	93%	69%	92%	83%	100%	67%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	107	18	2	8	9	9	38	5	8	10
% of Enrolled	69%	64%	50%	53%	69%	69%	79%	100%	67%	56%
Full Time	87	16	2	6	8	8	32	2	5	8
Part Time	20	2	0	2	1	1	6	3	3	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.56	\$10.01	\$9.28	\$8.19	\$8.11	\$7.58	\$9.50	\$8.14	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,264	\$1,619	\$1,290	\$1,092	\$1,168	\$1,081	\$785	\$1,039	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	65	10	3	9	8	3	10	4	4	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	186	32	4	22	14	13	53	10	17	21
Participants in a Work Activity	157	30	4	19	12	12	46	9	11	14
% of Total Enrolled	84%	94%	100%	86%	86%	92%	87%	90%	65%	67%
Participants Employed	124	21	2	12	10	9	40	8	10	12
% of Total Enrolled	67%	66%	50%	55%	71%	69%	75%	80%	59%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.37	\$10.01	\$8.84	\$8.09	\$8.11	\$7.56	\$8.77	\$8.14	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,023	\$1,004	\$1,608	\$1,199	\$1,032	\$1,087	\$965	\$794	\$1,094	\$1,016
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	64%	33%	56%	64%	100%	94%	44%	55%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,878	596	283	351	424	216	472	340	350	846
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,917	476	192	290	334	152	351	279	217	626
Participants in a Work Activity	2,742	456	182	277	315	145	329	271	203	564
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	95%	94%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,838	318	98	173	221	99	240	160	134	395
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	60%	66%	65%	68%	57%	62%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$7.13	\$7.09	\$7.54	\$6.90	\$7.10	\$7.13	\$6.82	\$7.09	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$876	\$887	\$989	\$866	\$912	\$913	\$860	\$931	\$926
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	46%	60%	52%	69%	73%	52%	41%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,102	18	85	140	45	115	1,145	1,528	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,248	5	24	52	14	69	481	583	20
Participants in a Work Activity	979	3	20	41	11	48	387	453	16
% of Enrolled	78%	60%	83%	79%	79%	70%	80%	78%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	3	0	2	7	0	0
Participants Employed	645	1	12	30	8	28	230	323	13
% of Enrolled	52%	20%	50%	58%	57%	41%	48%	55%	65%
Full Time	491	1	11	21	7	13	162	266	10
Part Time	154	0	1	9	1	15	68	57	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$10.00	\$7.40	\$8.48	\$7.45	\$8.40	\$8.03	\$8.33	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,732	\$973	\$1,069	\$994	\$973	\$1,055	\$1,133	\$1,036

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	596	1	13	25	7	29	211	302	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,594	8	41	70	19	81	590	758	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,319	7	35	57	14	69	495	618	24
% of Total Enrolled	83%	88%	85%	81%	74%	85%	84%	82%	89%
Participants Employed	812	4	26	43	9	36	279	395	20
% of Total Enrolled	51%	50%	63%	61%	47%	44%	47%	52%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$8.76	\$7.46	\$8.43	\$6.63	\$8.26	\$8.14	\$8.29	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,348	\$921	\$1,070	\$843	\$863	\$1,017	\$1,100	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	100%	45%	58%	75%	67%	77%	74%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	28,015	170	1,133	1,226	365	1,188	9,738	13,777	418
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,288	124	813	973	263	914	7,255	10,630	316
Participants in a Work Activity	20,233	118	754	904	252	876	7,048	9,988	293
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	14,668	71	526	674	145	568	5,032	7,432	220
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	55%	62%	69%	70%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.19	\$6.92	\$7.57	\$7.51	\$7.39	\$7.13	\$7.08	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$927	\$960	\$854	\$921	\$1,019	\$898	\$922	\$937	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	57%	60%	72%	59%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,090	126	79	44	75	283	483
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	403	16	18	26	28	128	187
Participants in a Work Activity	277	14	11	16	24	87	125
% of Enrolled	69%	88%	61%	62%	86%	68%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	202	10	11	8	14	64	95
% of Enrolled	50%	63%	61%	31%	50%	50%	51%
Full Time	167	9	9	6	13	44	86
Part Time	35	1	2	2	1	20	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.65	\$8.16	\$7.69	\$7.62	\$8.04	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,138	\$1,313	\$1,110	\$1,003	\$1,070	\$1,088	\$1,179

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	184	13	17	12	14	42	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW	495	24	28	30	44	150	219
Participants in a Work Activity	356	21	19	18	30	108	160
% of Total Enrolled	72%	88%	68%	60%	68%	72%	73%
Participants Employed	242	13	17	10	19	73	110
% of Total Enrolled	49%	54%	61%	33%	43%	49%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.44	\$8.09	\$7.68	\$7.69	\$7.93	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,371	\$1,103	\$835	\$1,012	\$1,034	\$1,161
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	74%	54%	58%	67%	59%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,833	1,259	896	399	779	2,448	4,052
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,691	836	672	324	628	1,982	3,249
Participants in a Work Activity	7,317	833	583	307	600	1,891	3,103
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,225	549	404	225	410	1,356	2,281
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	69%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$6.98	\$7.59	\$7.30	\$7.05	\$6.98	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$974	\$1,003	\$881	\$960	\$934	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	57%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/13 and Work Activity as of 8/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,452	112	91	893	101	1,962	1,037	394	862
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,066	22	32	351	44	843	368	112	294
Participants in a Work Activity	1,557	19	27	258	36	623	277	86	231
% of Enrolled	75%	86%	84%	74%	82%	74%	75%	77%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	1	0	0	1	4	1	3
Participants Employed	1,111	13	17	201	25	458	165	64	168
% of Enrolled	54%	59%	53%	57%	57%	54%	45%	57%	57%
Full Time	938	10	11	169	18	408	134	53	135
Part Time	173	3	6	32	7	50	31	11	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.96	\$7.78	\$8.25	\$7.73	\$7.95	\$7.93	\$8.16	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,023	\$981	\$1,142	\$1,106	\$1,040	\$1,059	\$1,129	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	969	10	10	153	21	343	180	68	184
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,505	37	41	449	60	967	445	151	355
Participants in a Work Activity	2,075	30	37	363	47	789	375	129	305
% of Total Enrolled	83%	81%	90%	81%	78%	82%	84%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	1,355	17	20	251	34	529	208	87	209
% of Total Enrolled	54%	46%	49%	56%	57%	55%	47%	58%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$7.83	\$7.89	\$8.23	\$8.07	\$7.97	\$7.96	\$8.19	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$996	\$957	\$1,080	\$1,107	\$1,018	\$1,014	\$1,064	\$1,061
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	47%	75%	70%	66%	77%	71%	77%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	54,747	1,250	1,027	8,832	884	17,588	8,850	3,452	12,864
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	40,436	905	827	6,537	711	12,885	7,029	2,714	8,828
Participants in a Work Activity	38,195	873	793	6,230	699	12,112	6,612	2,682	8,194
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,943	615	479	4,692	456	8,982	4,957	1,983	5,779
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$6.95	\$6.70	\$7.14	\$6.68	\$6.84	\$6.81	\$6.78	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$916	\$835	\$939	\$843	\$871	\$908	\$882	\$959
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.9%	6.35	61	\$7.75	2.09	102	67.4%	6.74	55	5.06	83
003	Albemarle	74.0%	8.88	7	\$9.26	4.90	12	82.1%	8.21	18	7.33	2
005	Alleghany/Covington	65.4%	7.85	23	\$8.39	3.28	39	58.5%	5.85	87	5.66	39
007	Amelia	50.0%	6.00	72	\$8.74	3.93	21	54.6%	5.46	97	5.13	80
009	Amherst	69.6%	8.35	12	\$8.21	2.94	50	63.6%	6.36	74	5.88	29
011	Appomattox	55.1%	6.61	50	\$8.08	2.70	67	74.2%	7.42	38	5.58	47
013	Arlington	47.3%	5.67	92	\$9.17	4.73	13	64.8%	6.48	68	5.63	41
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	8.05	15	\$7.99	2.53	78	62.3%	6.23	79	5.61	45
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	54.7%	6.57	52	\$7.86	2.29	92	60.9%	6.09	81	4.98	90
021	Bland	20.0%	2.40	117	\$8.00	2.55	75	50.0%	5.00	104	3.32	117
023	Botetourt	42.9%	5.14	105	\$8.11	2.76	61	40.0%	4.00	114	3.97	114
025	Brunswick	37.3%	4.48	109	\$7.54	1.69	114	85.2%	8.52	13	4.90	93
027	Buchanan	47.8%	5.74	88	\$7.78	2.14	100	90.9%	9.09	8	5.66	40
029	Buckingham	37.8%	4.54	108	\$8.71	3.87	23	52.9%	5.29	103	4.57	104
031	Campbell	51.6%	6.19	68	\$8.28	3.07	43	65.6%	6.56	65	5.27	69
033	Caroline	47.6%	5.71	89	\$8.21	2.94	51	80.4%	8.04	22	5.56	50
035	Carroll	49.1%	5.89	81	\$7.60	1.81	112	66.7%	6.67	58	4.79	97
036	Charles City	50.0%	6.00	73	\$8.76	3.97	20	100.0%	10.00	1	6.66	8
037	Charlotte	36.0%	4.32	110	\$8.17	2.87	54	79.2%	7.92	25	5.03	85
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51.9%	6.23	67	\$8.82	4.08	17	78.0%	7.80	28	6.04	25
043	Clarke	33.3%	4.00	112	\$12.00	10.00	1	0.0%	0.00	117	4.67	101
045	Craig	33.3%	4.00	113	\$9.00	4.41	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.21	111
047	Culpeper	65.4%	7.85	22	\$8.48	3.45	32	80.7%	8.07	21	6.46	15
049	Cumberland	50.0%	6.00	74	\$8.43	3.35	36	66.7%	6.67	59	5.34	66
051	Dickensen	72.0%	8.64	8	\$7.70	1.99	106	78.6%	7.86	26	6.16	23
053	Dinwiddie	54.2%	6.50	56	\$8.44	3.37	34	73.7%	7.37	40	5.75	35
057	Essex	65.6%	7.88	21	\$8.37	3.24	40	64.0%	6.40	73	5.84	32
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	52.9%	6.34	62	\$9.74	5.79	6	74.4%	7.44	37	6.53	13
061	Fauquier	57.1%	6.86	44	\$8.89	4.21	15	85.7%	8.57	10	6.55	11
063	Floyd	66.7%	8.00	16	\$8.79	4.02	18	50.0%	5.00	105	5.67	38
065	Fluvanna	53.6%	6.43	58	\$9.66	5.64	7	64.3%	6.43	69	6.17	22
067	Franklin County	43.3%	5.20	102	\$8.16	2.85	56	65.6%	6.56	66	4.87	95
069	Frederick	50.0%	6.00	75	\$8.58	3.63	26	65.8%	6.58	63	5.40	62
071	Giles	83.3%	10.00	1	\$7.44	1.51	116	47.1%	4.71	108	5.40	61
073	Gloucester	63.4%	7.61	26	\$7.46	1.55	115	45.2%	4.52	111	4.56	105
075	Goochland	50.0%	6.00	76	\$8.05	2.64	70	81.8%	8.18	19	5.61	43
077	Grayson	62.5%	7.50	28	\$7.79	2.16	98	62.5%	6.25	78	5.30	68

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.0%	9.00	4	\$8.17	2.87	55	82.4%	8.24	16	6.70	7
081	Greensville/Emporia	44.7%	5.36	95	\$7.90	2.36	85	79.4%	7.94	24	5.22	75
083	Halifax	32.3%	3.87	115	\$8.06	2.66	69	58.1%	5.81	90	4.11	113
085	Hanover	63.3%	7.59	27	\$8.62	3.71	25	83.9%	8.39	14	6.56	10
087	Henrico	52.2%	6.27	64	\$8.54	3.56	29	73.9%	7.39	39	5.74	36
089	Henry/Martinsville	44.0%	5.27	101	\$7.87	2.31	89	56.8%	5.68	94	4.42	107
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	45.9%	5.51	94	\$7.83	2.23	95	46.7%	4.67	109	4.14	112
095	James City	61.4%	7.37	29	\$8.43	3.35	37	58.2%	5.82	89	5.51	53
097	King and Queen	50.0%	6.00	77	\$10.01	6.29	4	33.3%	3.33	115	5.21	77
099	King George	53.1%	6.38	60	\$8.69	3.84	24	47.6%	4.76	107	4.99	89
101	King William	54.5%	6.55	55	\$8.84	4.12	16	56.3%	5.63	95	5.43	59
103	Lancaster	71.4%	8.57	9	\$8.09	2.72	64	63.6%	6.36	75	5.88	28
105	Lee	48.1%	5.77	85	\$7.88	2.33	87	69.9%	6.99	50	5.03	87
107	Loudoun	52.0%	6.24	66	\$11.02	8.18	2	86.2%	8.62	9	7.68	1
109	Louisa	75.0%	9.00	5	\$8.57	3.61	28	79.5%	7.95	23	6.85	4
111	Lunenburg	44.4%	5.33	98	\$8.04	2.63	71	54.6%	5.46	98	4.47	106
113	Madison	44.4%	5.33	99	\$7.69	1.97	107	12.5%	1.25	116	2.85	118
115	Mathews	69.2%	8.31	13	\$8.11	2.76	62	100.0%	10.00	2	7.02	3
117	Mecklenburg	43.1%	5.17	104	\$8.02	2.59	73	60.0%	6.00	84	4.59	103
119	Middlesex	75.5%	9.06	3	\$7.56	1.73	113	93.9%	9.39	6	6.73	6
121	Montgomery	71.2%	8.55	10	\$8.45	3.39	33	67.9%	6.79	52	6.24	20
125	Nelson	66.7%	8.00	17	\$7.66	1.92	111	46.7%	4.67	110	4.86	96
127	New Kent	47.4%	5.68	90	\$6.63	0.00	118	75.0%	7.50	32	4.39	108
131	Northampton	60.3%	7.24	33	\$7.79	2.16	99	100.0%	10.00	3	6.47	14
133	Northumberland	80.0%	9.60	2	\$8.77	3.99	19	44.4%	4.44	112	6.01	27
135	Nottoway	52.3%	6.27	63	\$7.82	2.22	96	81.1%	8.11	20	5.53	52
137	Orange	48.0%	5.76	86	\$8.44	3.37	35	91.7%	9.17	7	6.10	24
139	Page	67.9%	8.14	14	\$8.49	3.46	31	48.3%	4.83	106	5.48	55
141	Patrick	58.1%	6.97	41	\$7.88	2.33	88	64.1%	6.41	71	5.24	72
143	Pittsylvania	39.1%	4.69	107	\$8.00	2.55	76	41.0%	4.10	113	3.78	116
145	Powhatan	65.0%	7.80	25	\$9.28	4.93	11	63.6%	6.36	76	6.37	18
147	Prince Edward	51.3%	6.15	69	\$8.11	2.76	63	67.4%	6.74	56	5.22	76
149	Prince George	60.7%	7.29	32	\$8.09	2.72	65	53.6%	5.36	101	5.12	81
153	Prince William	55.0%	6.60	51	\$9.45	5.25	10	75.0%	7.50	33	6.45	16
155	Pulaski	66.1%	7.93	20	\$7.87	2.31	90	60.8%	6.08	82	5.44	57
157	Rappahannock	20.0%	2.40	118	\$10.50	7.21	3	100.0%	10.00	4	6.54	12
159	Richmond County	58.8%	7.06	37	\$8.14	2.81	58	54.6%	5.46	99	5.11	82
161	Roanoke County	49.6%	5.95	79	\$7.97	2.50	79	57.6%	5.76	92	4.74	99
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	59.3%	7.11	35	\$8.42	3.33	38	71.4%	7.14	46	5.86	30
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.5%	8.45	11	\$8.53	3.54	30	67.8%	6.78	53	6.26	19
167	Russell	33.8%	4.05	111	\$7.81	2.20	97	65.1%	6.51	67	4.25	110
169	Scott	53.2%	6.38	59	\$7.36	1.36	117	85.3%	8.53	12	5.42	60
171	Shenandoah	58.8%	7.06	38	\$8.18	2.89	53	75.0%	7.50	34	5.82	33

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	51.0%	6.12	70	\$7.86	2.29	93	62.3%	6.23	80	4.88	94
175	<i>Southampton</i>	48.8%	5.85	82	\$7.89	2.35	86	75.0%	7.50	35	5.23	73
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	58.8%	7.06	39	\$8.02	2.59	74	60.7%	6.07	83	5.24	70
179	<i>Stafford</i>	49.3%	5.91	80	\$9.59	5.51	8	78.0%	7.80	27	6.41	17
181	<i>Surry</i>	33.3%	4.00	114	\$7.68	1.96	109	58.3%	5.83	88	3.93	115
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.2%	5.18	103	\$7.69	1.97	108	66.7%	6.67	60	4.61	102
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	57.9%	6.95	42	\$7.93	2.42	83	74.7%	7.47	36	5.61	42
187	<i>Warren</i>	65.1%	7.81	24	\$7.87	2.31	91	83.9%	8.39	15	6.17	21
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.7%	8.00	18	\$7.67	1.94	110	71.1%	7.11	47	5.68	37
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	57.1%	6.86	45	\$7.76	2.10	101	73.3%	7.33	42	5.43	58
195	<i>Wise</i>	61.4%	7.37	30	\$8.09	2.72	66	64.1%	6.41	72	5.50	54
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.7%	8.00	19	\$7.72	2.03	104	73.0%	7.30	43	5.78	34
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	44.4%	5.33	100	\$8.26	3.04	46	67.3%	6.73	57	5.03	86
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.7%	5.84	83	\$9.82	5.94	5	85.6%	8.56	11	6.78	5
520	<i>Bristol</i>	44.5%	5.34	97	\$7.95	2.46	82	64.2%	6.42	70	4.74	98
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	58.2%	6.98	40	\$8.74	3.93	22	71.7%	7.17	45	6.03	26
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	55.9%	6.71	49	\$8.23	2.98	47	70.2%	7.02	49	5.57	48
590	<i>Danville</i>	40.0%	4.80	106	\$8.12	2.77	60	53.1%	5.31	102	4.30	109
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	56.7%	6.80	46	\$8.07	2.68	68	65.7%	6.57	64	5.35	65
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	60.8%	7.29	31	\$8.58	3.63	27	57.7%	5.77	91	5.56	51
640	<i>Galax</i>	59.4%	7.13	34	\$7.73	2.05	103	55.6%	5.56	96	4.91	92
650	<i>Hampton</i>	47.3%	5.67	91	\$8.14	2.81	59	77.1%	7.71	30	5.40	63
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	48.7%	5.84	84	\$7.93	2.42	84	59.3%	5.93	85	4.73	100
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	54.1%	6.49	57	\$8.00	2.55	77	66.7%	6.67	61	5.24	71
683	<i>Manassas</i>	44.6%	5.36	96	\$9.48	5.31	9	54.6%	5.46	100	5.37	64
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	3.00	116	\$7.71	2.01	105	100.0%	10.00	5	5.00	88
700	<i>Newport News</i>	52.1%	6.25	65	\$8.29	3.09	42	73.6%	7.36	41	5.57	49
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	54.7%	6.56	53	\$7.97	2.50	80	77.4%	7.74	29	5.60	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	56.0%	6.72	48	\$8.28	3.07	44	57.1%	5.71	93	5.17	79
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	50.2%	6.03	71	\$8.32	3.15	41	71.9%	7.19	44	5.46	56
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	46.7%	5.61	93	\$7.96	2.48	81	70.8%	7.08	48	5.06	84
750	<i>Radford</i>	56.1%	6.73	47	\$7.85	2.27	94	66.7%	6.67	62	5.22	74
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	47.9%	5.75	87	\$8.15	2.83	57	69.5%	6.95	51	5.18	78
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	54.6%	6.55	54	\$8.28	3.07	45	63.5%	6.35	77	5.32	67
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.6%	6.91	43	\$8.19	2.91	52	77.0%	7.70	31	5.84	31
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	58.9%	7.06	36	\$8.23	2.98	48	67.8%	6.78	54	5.61	44
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.1%	8.89	6	\$8.03	2.61	72	82.4%	8.24	17	6.58	9
840	<i>Winchester</i>	50.0%	6.00	78	\$8.22	2.96	49	59.1%	5.91	86	4.96	91

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.9%	1.56	117	\$864	2.95	93	65.1%	5.71	50	3.41	108
003	Albemarle	72.2%	7.42	11	\$1,019	6.07	20	58.1%	4.07	93	5.85	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.0%	6.81	20	\$848	2.63	101	57.8%	4.01	100	4.48	79
007	Amelia	60.4%	4.17	87	\$962	4.93	39	62.8%	5.17	65	4.76	70
009	Amherst	66.9%	5.95	48	\$882	3.32	82	59.4%	4.39	83	4.56	77
011	Appomattox	69.9%	6.79	23	\$881	3.31	83	56.0%	3.60	104	4.56	75
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.14	15	\$1,073	7.16	9	69.1%	6.66	21	6.99	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.4%	6.09	45	\$965	4.98	38	66.9%	6.15	37	5.74	30
017	Bath	62.7%	4.82	80	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.83	71	3.22	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.7%	5.36	65	\$862	2.92	94	60.1%	4.54	77	4.27	88
021	Bland	58.7%	3.71	98	\$874	3.16	88	56.0%	3.58	106	3.48	106
023	Botetourt	45.3%	0.00	120	\$938	4.44	55	54.8%	3.30	107	2.58	118
025	Brunswick	56.1%	3.00	109	\$831	2.30	111	67.4%	6.27	34	3.85	100
027	Buchanan	53.4%	2.23	114	\$843	2.54	103	64.7%	5.62	51	3.46	107
029	Buckingham	56.4%	3.07	108	\$958	4.84	45	60.1%	4.54	78	4.15	92
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.51	34	\$902	3.73	74	63.5%	5.35	59	5.20	54
033	Caroline	60.6%	4.22	86	\$967	5.03	35	58.1%	4.07	92	4.44	80
035	Carroll	66.7%	5.90	51	\$835	2.37	109	54.2%	3.17	109	3.81	102
036	Charles City	57.3%	3.31	106	\$960	4.89	43	50.9%	2.39	116	3.53	105
037	Charlotte	51.6%	1.76	115	\$921	4.11	64	57.8%	4.00	101	3.29	110
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	4.92	73	\$1,036	6.42	14	69.1%	6.65	24	6.00	22
043	Clarke	57.5%	3.36	104	\$1,005	5.81	22	58.0%	4.05	96	4.41	84
045	Craig	50.0%	1.31	118	\$1,053	6.75	11	59.3%	4.35	85	4.14	93
047	Culpeper	65.2%	5.48	61	\$979	5.28	31	66.2%	5.99	44	5.58	32
049	Cumberland	57.8%	3.46	103	\$958	4.84	46	58.1%	4.08	91	4.13	94
051	Dickenson	60.4%	4.17	88	\$840	2.49	106	54.4%	3.22	108	3.29	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.7%	5.62	56	\$974	5.17	34	57.9%	4.02	98	4.94	62
057	Essex	66.8%	5.94	50	\$876	3.21	85	48.7%	1.88	117	3.67	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.2%	6.03	46	\$1,167	9.06	3	69.2%	6.69	18	7.26	5
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.54	33	\$1,037	6.43	13	68.5%	6.51	28	6.49	11
063	Floyd	65.8%	5.65	55	\$944	4.56	51	53.5%	3.00	112	4.40	85
065	Fluvanna	63.0%	4.88	78	\$1,073	7.17	8	60.2%	4.57	75	5.54	36
067	Franklin County	61.8%	4.56	83	\$952	4.73	48	57.9%	4.02	99	4.44	81
069	Frederick	56.9%	3.21	107	\$1,022	6.13	16	60.9%	4.74	73	4.69	71
071	Giles	58.8%	3.73	97	\$955	4.79	47	52.4%	2.74	113	3.76	103
073	Gloucester	64.7%	5.35	66	\$854	2.76	98	57.3%	3.88	102	4.00	95
075	Goochland	66.5%	5.86	53	\$929	4.26	59	65.4%	5.80	49	5.31	47
077	Grayson	65.7%	5.62	57	\$861	2.89	95	60.9%	4.75	72	4.42	82

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.7%	8.39	4	\$913	3.95	67	56.0%	3.59	105	5.31	46
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.9%	3.76	94	\$825	2.18	112	65.8%	5.88	46	3.94	97
083	Halifax	55.7%	2.87	110	\$848	2.64	100	58.8%	4.24	88	3.25	113
085	Hanover	64.3%	5.25	68	\$1,053	6.76	10	66.9%	6.15	38	6.05	21
087	Henrico	67.5%	6.14	44	\$1,025	6.20	15	66.5%	6.05	42	6.13	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.4%	4.99	72	\$941	4.51	52	64.2%	5.50	55	5.00	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.19	5	\$784	1.34	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.51	9
093	Isle of Wight	68.0%	6.25	40	\$916	4.01	66	59.0%	4.29	87	4.85	65
095	James City	69.3%	6.61	32	\$921	4.11	65	59.8%	4.47	79	5.07	58
097	King and Queen	51.0%	1.59	116	\$887	3.41	79	45.9%	1.21	119	2.07	120
099	King George	58.5%	3.66	99	\$925	4.19	61	58.1%	4.07	94	3.97	96
101	King William	59.7%	3.97	92	\$989	5.47	27	59.8%	4.47	80	4.64	72
103	Lancaster	66.2%	5.76	54	\$866	3.00	92	52.3%	2.71	114	3.82	101
105	Lee	64.9%	5.41	63	\$800	1.66	117	72.2%	7.39	8	4.82	66
107	Loudoun	76.3%	8.56	3	\$1,214	10.00	1	72.2%	7.39	9	8.65	1
109	Louisa	63.1%	4.91	75	\$983	5.35	30	63.3%	5.31	60	5.19	55
111	Lunenburg	48.1%	0.79	119	\$823	2.14	114	60.8%	4.71	74	2.55	119
113	Madison	60.3%	4.14	89	\$1,003	5.77	23	59.5%	4.41	82	4.77	68
115	Mathews	65.1%	5.47	62	\$912	3.93	69	69.2%	6.69	19	5.37	43
117	Mecklenburg	65.6%	5.59	58	\$842	2.52	105	67.1%	6.19	36	4.77	69
119	Middlesex	68.4%	6.37	39	\$913	3.95	68	72.8%	7.52	7	5.95	24
121	Montgomery	77.9%	8.99	2	\$946	4.60	50	63.6%	5.38	57	6.32	13
125	Nelson	61.7%	4.53	85	\$1,019	6.08	19	62.7%	5.16	66	5.25	49
127	New Kent	55.1%	2.72	112	\$1,019	6.08	17	71.9%	7.31	10	5.37	41
131	Northampton	64.7%	5.35	67	\$849	2.67	99	80.1%	9.25	2	5.76	29
133	Northumberland	57.3%	3.33	105	\$860	2.88	96	51.8%	2.60	115	2.94	117
135	Nottoway	66.6%	5.87	52	\$907	3.82	73	69.5%	6.75	16	5.48	37
137	Orange	71.3%	7.16	14	\$965	5.00	37	60.1%	4.55	76	5.57	35
139	Page	69.5%	6.69	29	\$997	5.62	25	59.5%	4.41	81	5.57	33
141	Patrick	67.6%	6.16	42	\$825	2.18	113	74.4%	7.90	6	5.41	38
143	Pittsylvania	53.6%	2.29	113	\$876	3.20	87	59.4%	4.39	84	3.29	112
145	Powhatan	55.4%	2.80	111	\$986	5.42	29	63.1%	5.25	61	4.49	78
147	Prince Edward	70.0%	6.82	19	\$890	3.48	77	68.0%	6.41	31	5.57	34
149	Prince George	60.1%	4.09	90	\$1,003	5.76	24	58.0%	4.05	97	4.63	73
153	Prince William	71.9%	7.33	12	\$1,197	9.65	2	70.4%	6.97	13	7.98	2
155	Pulaski	63.6%	5.05	71	\$949	4.68	49	53.7%	3.04	111	4.26	89
157	Rappahannock	58.9%	3.76	96	\$960	4.90	41	46.3%	1.32	118	3.33	109
159	Richmond County	61.8%	4.54	84	\$931	4.30	58	40.7%	0.00	120	2.95	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.87	7	\$1,039	6.47	12	58.0%	4.05	95	6.13	19
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.3%	6.88	17	\$871	3.09	90	66.8%	6.11	41	5.36	44
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.72	9	\$988	5.44	28	67.6%	6.31	32	6.49	12
167	Russell	59.9%	4.04	91	\$857	2.83	97	66.8%	6.11	40	4.32	87
169	Scott	57.9%	3.50	101	\$888	3.45	78	77.1%	8.53	5	5.16	57
171	Shenandoah	59.1%	3.80	93	\$941	4.50	53	69.1%	6.65	25	4.98	60

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	58.1%	3.53	100	\$868	3.05	91	63.0%	5.22	64	3.93	98
175	Southampton	57.9%	3.49	102	\$835	2.37	108	54.1%	3.13	110	3.00	115
177	Spotsylvania	68.5%	6.40	37	\$1,019	6.08	18	67.4%	6.26	35	6.24	17
179	Stafford	58.9%	3.76	95	\$1,140	8.51	4	68.5%	6.53	27	6.27	15
181	Surry	69.4%	6.66	30	\$881	3.30	84	56.5%	3.71	103	4.56	76
183	Sussex	65.3%	5.52	60	\$960	4.89	42	63.1%	5.24	62	5.22	51
185	Tazewell	66.9%	5.95	49	\$818	2.04	115	65.5%	5.81	48	4.60	74
187	Warren	67.6%	6.15	43	\$1,011	5.91	21	69.0%	6.64	26	6.23	18
191	Washington	63.1%	4.90	76	\$897	3.63	76	58.2%	4.11	90	4.21	91
193	Westmoreland	63.1%	4.91	74	\$926	4.20	60	64.7%	5.62	52	4.91	64
195	Wise	62.8%	4.84	79	\$834	2.35	110	66.5%	6.04	43	4.41	83
197	Wythe	67.0%	6.00	47	\$848	2.63	102	66.8%	6.13	39	4.92	63
199	York/Poquoson	62.1%	4.65	82	\$898	3.65	75	59.3%	4.35	86	4.22	90
510	Alexandria	69.9%	6.80	21	\$1,084	7.38	7	77.4%	8.62	4	7.60	4
520	Bristol	67.6%	6.16	41	\$876	3.20	86	61.9%	4.97	68	4.78	67
540	Charlottesville	81.6%	10.00	1	\$923	4.14	62	65.9%	5.91	45	6.68	7
550	Chesapeake	71.8%	7.30	13	\$939	4.46	54	64.2%	5.50	54	5.76	28
590	Danville	63.0%	4.90	77	\$811	1.89	116	61.5%	4.87	70	3.88	99
620	Franklin City	64.1%	5.20	69	\$843	2.53	104	64.0%	5.46	56	4.40	86
630	Fredericksburg	74.6%	8.08	6	\$994	5.57	26	65.6%	5.83	47	6.50	10
640	Galax	62.7%	4.80	81	\$885	3.39	80	69.1%	6.66	22	4.95	61
650	Hampton	69.4%	6.64	31	\$922	4.13	63	63.6%	5.38	58	5.38	39
670	Hopewell	68.4%	6.38	38	\$934	4.36	57	63.1%	5.24	63	5.33	45
680	Lynchburg	69.6%	6.72	27	\$838	2.43	107	68.4%	6.50	29	5.21	52
683	Manassas	63.8%	5.10	70	\$1,109	7.90	5	70.1%	6.88	15	6.63	8
685	Manassas Park	68.6%	6.44	35	\$1,097	7.65	6	77.8%	8.71	3	7.60	3
700	Newport News	69.9%	6.79	22	\$937	4.43	56	69.2%	6.68	20	5.97	23
710	Norfolk	69.7%	6.74	25	\$871	3.11	89	67.5%	6.28	33	5.37	40
720	Norton	73.6%	7.81	8	\$754	0.74	119	70.9%	7.08	12	5.21	53
730	Petersburg	70.2%	6.87	18	\$966	5.00	36	70.2%	6.92	14	6.26	16
740	Portsmouth	70.5%	6.96	16	\$908	3.84	72	69.3%	6.71	17	5.84	26
750	Radford	69.7%	6.73	26	\$911	3.91	70	61.5%	4.88	69	5.17	56
760	Richmond City	69.8%	6.75	24	\$961	4.91	40	71.6%	7.25	11	6.30	14
770	Roanoke City	64.8%	5.39	64	\$978	5.25	32	62.3%	5.05	67	5.23	50
800	Suffolk	73.1%	7.66	10	\$882	3.32	81	68.1%	6.43	30	5.80	27
810	Virginia Beach	65.5%	5.57	59	\$959	4.87	44	69.1%	6.66	23	5.70	31
830	Williamsburg	69.6%	6.71	28	\$909	3.86	71	64.3%	5.54	53	5.37	42
840	Winchester	68.5%	6.41	36	\$974	5.18	33	58.5%	4.17	89	5.26	48

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	2,117	100	87	190	66	24	241	28	48	78	106	96	249	79	29	249	70	364	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	94%	98%	98%	96%	100%	96%	94%	86%	100%	95%	99%	89%	92%	89%	90%	99%	77%	94%	92%
% Timely in August 2013	94%	98%	98%	96%	100%	96%	94%	86%	100%	95%	99%	89%	92%	89%	90%	99%	77%	94%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	60	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	4	1	2	20	3	6	1	13	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	50	0	6	31	0	0	10	14	0	24	3	17	90	56	79	1	18	24	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	100	6	3	21	9	11	17	27	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
% Timely in August 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	87	1	6	0	23	11	12	30	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	0%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	98%	0%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	190	7	1	0	3	20	1	22	16	17	6	97
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	83%	95%
% Timely in August 2013	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	83%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	66	38	1	0	4	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	24	0	5	4	3	4	8	13	8	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	88%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	96%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	88%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	241	22	80	18	76	30	10	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	99%	94%	86%	100%	90%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	94%	100%	99%	94%	86%	100%	90%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	6	0	13	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	28	12	9	2	5	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	86%	100%	78%	100%	60%	N/A
% Timely in August 2013	86%	100%	78%	100%	60%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	14	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	48	16	3	0	5	4	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	78	3	5	13	14	43
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	60%	92%	100%	98%
% Timely in August 2013	95%	100%	60%	92%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	3	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	27	14	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	106	7	41	9	15	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	3	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	96	4	5	9	7	2	18	5	22	11	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	89%	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	249	48	1	1	64	5	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	100%	100%	100%	83%	60%	95%
% Timely in August 2013	92%	100%	100%	100%	83%	60%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	20	3	0	0	9	2	6
Average # of Days Over 30	90	101	0	0	149	13	22

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	79	8	5	27	17	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	88%	100%	70%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	89%	88%	100%	70%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	2	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	56	75	0	20	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	29	6	1	4	3	0	4	3	1	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	90%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	50%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	90%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	6	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	79	0	2	0	0	0	37.75	0	318	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	249	1	3	10	1	12	78	139	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	99%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	99%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	70	2	8	6	6	13	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	77%	100%	0%	100%	100%	69%	89%
% Timely in August 2013	77%	100%	0%	100%	100%	69%	89%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	13	0	8	0	0	1	4
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	14	0	0	48	16

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - August 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	364	3	4	67	13	120	76	27	54
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	100%	93%	100%	93%	91%	100%	98%
% Timely in August 2013	94%	100%	100%	93%	100%	93%	91%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	40

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Aug-12</i>	<i>Aug-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,729	15,090	9.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,240	130,304	0.8%
Total Cases Collected	142,969	145,394	1.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,661,648	\$2,599,369	-2.3%
NTANF Collections	\$49,209,936	\$50,451,478	2.5%
Total Collections	\$51,871,584	\$53,050,847	2.3%
SFY YTD Collections	\$105,343,450	\$108,363,627	2.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$365.08	\$369.97	1.3%

Paternities Established

	<i>Aug-13</i>
TANF Paternities	196
NTANF Paternities	378
Combined Paternities	574

Diversionsary Assistance

August Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Shenandoah	5
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Smyth	1
Bedford City	3		Spotsylvania	3
Buckingham	1		Stafford	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	8		Warren	6
Culpeper	4		Westmoreland	1
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	3
Fairfax/ Falls Church	3		Charlottesville	3
Fauquier	1		Chesapeake	4
Fluvanna	1		Franklin City	1
Franklin County	1		Fredericksburg	2
Gloucester	1		Hampton	8
Hanover	5		Hopewell	2
Henrico	6		Lynchburg	3
Henry / Martinsville	2		Newport News	27
King and Queen	1		Norfolk	4
King William	1		Portsmouth	2
Loudoun	3		Richmond City	5
Louisa	1		Roanoke City	8
Nelson	2		Suffolk	5
Orange	1		Virginia Beach	3
Patrick	3		Winchester	4
Roanoke County	4		Statewide	159

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of Sep 4, 2013. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, June 1995 through August 2013, except last month




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


August 31, 2013



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of August data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August it was \$8.45 rather than the \$8.36 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.