

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

November 2013

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services December 20, 2013

Monthly Report, November 2013

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 6,723 and a denominator of 15,435.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	21	65	32%	061	Fauquier	24	40	60%
003	Albemarle	32	68	47%	063	Floyd	5	15	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	41	46%	065	Fluvanna	8	16	50%
007	Amelia	9	24	38%	067	Franklin County	43	96	45%
009	Amherst	15	37	41%	069	Frederick	26	42	62%
011	Appomattox	25	58	43%	071	Giles	11	25	44%
013	Arlington	59	104	57%	073	Gloucester	15	48	31%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	102	204	50%	075	Goochland	4	10	40%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	7	12	58%
019	Bedford County/City	33	96	34%	079	Greene	7	17	41%
021	Bland	1	7	14%	081	Greensville/Emporia	35	92	38%
023	Botetourt	2	10	20%	083	Halifax	22	91	24%
025	Brunswick	22	51	43%	085	Hanover	32	61	52%
027	Buchanan	29	59	49%	087	Henrico	212	715	30%
029	Buckingham	18	33	55%	089	Henry/Martinsville	84	217	39%
031	Campbell	64	128	50%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	31	92	34%	093	Isle of Wight	14	52	27%
035	Carroll	24	40	60%	095	James City	35	92	38%
036	Charles City	1	5	20%	097	King and Queen	0	11	0%
037	Charlotte	13	38	34%	099	King George	10	25	40%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	193	452	43%	101	King William	6	17	35%
043	Clarke	1	5	20%	103	Lancaster	8	20	40%
045	Craig	0	4	0%	105	Lee	51	113	45%
047	Culpeper	46	84	55%	107	Loudoun	49	129	38%
049	Cumberland	9	29	31%	109	Louisa	33	56	59%
051	Dickensen	10	20	50%	111	Lunenburg	6	26	23%
053	Dinwiddie	14	47	30%	113	Madison	3	7	43%
057	Essex	18	42	43%	115	Mathews	9	14	64%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	277	622	45%	117	Mecklenburg	23	64	36%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	34	49	69%	187	Warren	31	64	48%
121	Montgomery	63	126	50%	191	Washington	34	74	46%
125	Nelson	6	15	40%	193	Westmoreland	9	29	31%
127	New Kent	10	20	50%	195	Wise	57	141	40%
131	Northampton	19	65	29%	197	Wythe	9	39	23%
133	Northumberland	4	14	29%	199	York/Poquoson	43	87	49%
135	Nottoway	29	71	41%	510	Alexandria	85	183	46%
137	Orange	11	43	26%	520	Bristol	95	131	73%
139	Page	7	18	39%	540	Charlottesville	85	174	49%
141	Patrick	14	51	27%	550	Chesapeake	256	538	48%
143	Pittsylvania	32	73	44%	590	Danville	75	196	38%
145	Powhatan	9	20	45%	620	Franklin City	23	61	38%
147	Prince Edward	38	77	49%	630	Fredericksburg	47	102	46%
149	Prince George	4	37	11%	640	Galax	16	28	57%
153	Prince William	285	536	53%	650	Hampton	362	725	50%
155	Pulaski	22	61	36%	670	Hopewell	31	152	20%
157	Rappahannock	3	10	30%	680	Lynchburg	116	279	42%
159	Richmond County	4	22	18%	683	Manassas	25	57	44%
161	Roanoke County	53	120	44%	685	Manassas Park	4	13	31%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	37	32%	700	Newport News	390	828	47%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	61	122	50%	710	Norfolk	594	1,129	53%
167	Russell	44	100	44%	720	Norton	13	32	41%
169	Scott	37	75	49%	730	Petersburg	112	288	39%
171	Shenandoah	4	21	19%	740	Portsmouth	212	548	39%
173	Smyth	41	91	45%	750	Radford	17	49	35%
175	Southampton	22	49	45%	760	Richmond City	523	1,302	40%
177	Spotsylvania	86	207	42%	770	Roanoke City	154	483	32%
179	Stafford	59	147	40%	800	Suffolk	100	179	56%
181	Surry	4	17	24%	810	Virginia Beach	226	434	52%
183	Sussex	14	38	37%	830	Williamsburg	18	24	75%
185	Tazewell	50	101	50%	840	Winchester	20	45	44%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 5,194 and a denominator of 13,753. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	16	60	27%	061	Fauquier	16	32	50%
003	Albemarle	21	55	38%	063	Floyd	5	15	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	17	38	45%	065	Fluvanna	7	15	47%
007	Amelia	8	23	35%	067	Franklin County	34	87	39%
009	Amherst	14	36	39%	069	Frederick	20	36	56%
011	Appomattox	20	53	38%	071	Giles	9	23	39%
013	Arlington	42	87	48%	073	Gloucester	9	42	21%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	72	174	41%	075	Goochland	2	8	25%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	7	12	58%
019	Bedford County/City	28	91	31%	079	Greene	6	16	38%
021	Bland	0	6	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	26	81	32%
023	Botetourt	2	10	20%	083	Halifax	18	86	21%
025	Brunswick	16	45	36%	085	Hanover	21	49	43%
027	Buchanan	28	57	49%	087	Henrico	142	626	23%
029	Buckingham	11	26	42%	089	Henry/Martinsville	65	196	33%
031	Campbell	44	108	41%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	26	86	30%	093	Isle of Wight	9	45	20%
035	Carroll	18	33	55%	095	James City	27	82	33%
036	Charles City	0	4	0%	097	King and Queen	0	11	0%
037	Charlotte	11	36	31%	099	King George	5	20	25%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	155	406	38%	101	King William	4	15	27%
043	Clarke	0	4	0%	103	Lancaster	6	18	33%
045	Craig	0	4	0%	105	Lee	47	108	44%
047	Culpeper	32	69	46%	107	Loudoun	16	94	17%
049	Cumberland	9	28	32%	109	Louisa	20	42	48%
051	Dickensen	8	17	47%	111	Lunenburg	5	25	20%
053	Dinwiddie	10	43	23%	113	Madison	3	7	43%
057	Essex	16	40	40%	115	Mathews	8	13	62%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	188	528	36%	117	Mecklenburg	16	57	28%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	32	47	68%	187	Warren	23	55	42%
121	Montgomery	51	114	45%	191	Washington	28	68	41%
125	Nelson	5	14	36%	193	Westmoreland	8	26	31%
127	New Kent	9	18	50%	195	Wise	42	126	33%
131	Northampton	12	58	21%	197	Wythe	7	37	19%
133	Northumberland	2	11	18%	199	York/Poquoson	35	79	44%
135	Nottoway	22	64	34%	510	Alexandria	67	162	41%
137	Orange	9	39	23%	520	Bristol	86	121	71%
139	Page	7	18	39%	540	Charlottesville	61	148	41%
141	Patrick	9	46	20%	550	Chesapeake	200	480	42%
143	Pittsylvania	30	71	42%	590	Danville	58	174	33%
145	Powhatan	5	15	33%	620	Franklin City	17	53	32%
147	Prince Edward	27	66	41%	630	Fredericksburg	40	95	42%
149	Prince George	2	34	6%	640	Galax	13	25	52%
153	Prince William	208	457	46%	650	Hampton	288	641	45%
155	Pulaski	16	55	29%	670	Hopewell	27	143	19%
157	Rappahannock	0	7	0%	680	Lynchburg	89	252	35%
159	Richmond County	2	20	10%	683	Manassas	18	48	38%
161	Roanoke County	35	101	35%	685	Manassas Park	3	12	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	35	29%	700	Newport News	297	728	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	33	93	35%	710	Norfolk	503	1,035	49%
167	Russell	39	95	41%	720	Norton	10	29	34%
169	Scott	28	64	44%	730	Petersburg	97	265	37%
171	Shenandoah	4	19	21%	740	Portsmouth	175	510	34%
173	Smyth	33	83	40%	750	Radford	16	48	33%
175	Southampton	16	41	39%	760	Richmond City	448	1,217	37%
177	Spotsylvania	71	188	38%	770	Roanoke City	104	433	24%
179	Stafford	38	126	30%	800	Suffolk	78	155	50%
181	Surry	3	16	19%	810	Virginia Beach	152	360	42%
183	Sussex	13	36	36%	830	Williamsburg	11	17	65%
185	Tazewell	41	92	45%	840	Winchester	16	40	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,539 and a denominator of 1,682. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	5	5	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	8	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	11	13	85%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	0	0	N/A
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	2	3	67%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	1	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	1	1	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	9	9	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	1	1	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	6	6	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	5	5	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	2	2	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	17	17	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	6	6	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	30	30	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	2	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	0	0	N/A
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	5	5	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	1	1	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	9	11	82%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	4	5	80%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	6	6	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	11	12	92%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	2	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	70	89	79%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	7	7	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	19	21	90%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	20	20	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	5	6	83%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	5	7	71%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	6	7	86%	095	<i>James City</i>	8	10	80%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	2	2	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	5	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	38	46	83%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	2	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	2	2	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	4	5	80%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	14	15	93%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	33	35	94%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	0	1	0%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	13	14	93%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	3	67%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	1	1	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	4	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	2	2	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	89	94	95%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	7	7	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	8	9	89%
121	Montgomery	12	12	100%	191	Washington	6	6	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	3	33%
127	New Kent	1	2	50%	195	Wise	15	15	100%
131	Northampton	7	7	100%	197	Wythe	2	2	100%
133	Northumberland	2	3	67%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	18	21	86%
137	Orange	2	4	50%	520	Bristol	9	10	90%
139	Page	0	0	N/A	540	Charlottesville	24	26	92%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	56	58	97%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	17	22	77%
145	Powhatan	4	5	80%	620	Franklin City	6	8	75%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	7	7	100%
149	Prince George	2	3	67%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	77	79	97%	650	Hampton	74	84	88%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	4	9	44%
157	Rappahannock	3	3	100%	680	Lynchburg	27	27	100%
159	Richmond County	2	2	100%	683	Manassas	7	9	78%
161	Roanoke County	18	19	95%	685	Manassas Park	1	1	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	93	100	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	29	97%	710	Norfolk	91	94	97%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	9	11	82%	730	Petersburg	15	23	65%
171	Shenandoah	0	2	0%	740	Portsmouth	37	38	97%
173	Smyth	8	8	100%	750	Radford	1	1	100%
175	Southampton	6	8	75%	760	Richmond City	75	85	88%
177	Spotsylvania	15	19	79%	770	Roanoke City	50	50	100%
179	Stafford	21	21	100%	800	Suffolk	22	24	92%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	74	74	100%
183	Sussex	1	2	50%	830	Williamsburg	7	7	100%
185	Tazewell	9	9	100%	840	Winchester	4	5	80%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-13	Nov-12	Change from Nov-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,406	5,585	-21%	4,807	-8%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,200	3,841	-17%	1,658	93%
Applications Approved	1,540	2,087	-26%	2,820	-45%
Cases Closed *	2,075	2,260	-8%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	28,297	30,975	-9%	67,995	-58%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,847	31,867	-9%	70,403	-59%

•The database indicates that of the 2,075 closed cases, 826 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 469 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 175 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 125 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-13	Nov-12	Change from Nov-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	406	609	-33%	338	20%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	338	417	-19%	232	46%
Applications Approved	184	216	-15%	81	127%
Cases Closed *	184	233	-21%	107	72%
Cases at End of Month	1,578	1,647	-4%	321	392%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,579	1,759	-10%	394	301%

•The database indicates that of the 184 closed cases, 89 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 55 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 16 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	29,875	1,592	931	2,393	789	441	3,243	367	589	1,141	1,348	961	4,748	1,035	423	3,082	1,075	5,452	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,431	589	370	766	278	171	1,304	125	291	478	392	400	1,796	512	168	1,220	398	2,053	120
Part. in a Work Activity	9,101	511	323	532	214	137	1,096	95	251	360	310	337	1,398	370	129	1,029	278	1,656	75
% of Enrolled	80%	87%	87%	69%	77%	80%	84%	76%	86%	75%	79%	84%	78%	72%	77%	84%	70%	81%	63%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	93	4	0	7	2	5	8	2	5	3	1	5	6	8	8	14	4	11	0
Participants Employed	6,181	319	195	428	187	95	686	68	169	241	189	192	978	277	105	682	197	1,125	48
% of Enrolled	54%	54%	53%	56%	67%	56%	53%	54%	58%	50%	48%	48%	54%	54%	63%	56%	49%	55%	40%
Full Time	4,732	229	149	344	160	82	526	58	122	161	137	139	738	204	84	503	163	899	34
Part Time	1,449	90	46	84	27	13	160	10	47	80	52	53	240	73	21	179	34	226	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$7.94	\$7.70	\$8.20	\$8.34	\$8.48	\$9.47	\$8.57	\$8.48	\$8.19	\$7.85	\$8.10	\$8.59	\$8.70	\$8.05	\$8.22	\$8.72	\$8.08	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,045	\$1,004	\$1,118	\$1,168	\$1,145	\$1,232	\$1,170	\$1,105	\$1,021	\$1,045	\$1,067	\$1,144	\$1,125	\$1,104	\$1,072	\$1,233	\$1,067	\$1,019

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	12,595	623	400	1,105	372	189	1,402	146	292	451	594	457	1,730	478	167	1,421	462	2,201	105
Total Enrolled in VIEW	19,429	938	644	1,453	538	300	2,174	207	479	810	735	704	2,875	800	266	2,196	676	3,448	186
Part. in a Work Activity	17,089	893	600	1,230	496	253	1,933	184	446	721	653	637	2,456	674	228	1,935	554	3,038	158
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	93%	85%	92%	84%	89%	89%	93%	89%	89%	90%	85%	84%	86%	88%	82%	88%	85%
Participants Employed	10,582	489	344	790	360	172	1,209	123	302	455	336	345	1,564	450	162	1,165	344	1,878	94
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	53%	54%	67%	57%	56%	59%	63%	56%	46%	49%	54%	56%	61%	53%	51%	54%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$7.90	\$7.82	\$8.29	\$8.35	\$8.38	\$9.89	\$8.70	\$8.72	\$8.15	\$7.99	\$8.21	\$8.59	\$8.71	\$8.04	\$8.29	\$8.48	\$8.16	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,036	\$1,012	\$1,113	\$1,148	\$1,135	\$1,274	\$1,163	\$1,050	\$983	\$1,016	\$1,040	\$1,111	\$1,097	\$999	\$1,069	\$1,181	\$1,058	\$964
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	74%	63%	64%	61%	69%	76%	74%	73%	67%	56%	68%	72%	70%	69%	73%	65%	72%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	295,745	17,954	11,093	22,109	8,706	5,758	36,970	4,192	6,460	11,118	13,546	8,622	39,315	9,573	3,940	28,403	9,972	55,328	2,686
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	228,461	13,883	9,349	17,113	6,772	4,317	28,569	3,172	5,029	9,241	10,860	6,741	30,914	7,146	2,967	21,628	7,793	40,952	2,015
Part. in a Work Activity	218,513	13,392	8,983	16,284	6,610	4,086	27,544	3,061	4,911	8,740	10,515	6,470	29,699	6,743	2,784	20,581	7,424	38,737	1,949
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	97%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	153,609	8,652	5,999	11,516	4,726	2,792	19,957	2,124	3,739	6,321	6,622	4,103	20,909	4,691	1,865	14,896	5,288	28,282	1,127
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$6.33	\$6.44	\$7.20	\$7.29	\$7.47	\$8.81	\$7.59	\$7.51	\$6.74	\$6.50	\$6.91	\$7.47	\$8.05	\$7.12	\$7.15	\$7.12	\$6.96	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$832	\$869	\$963	\$967	\$996	\$1,164	\$997	\$952	\$866	\$862	\$887	\$994	\$1,027	\$905	\$930	\$961	\$907	\$858
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,592	172	66	282	208	161	262	383	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	589	55	22	139	80	70	90	118	15
Participants in a Work Activity	511	52	17	129	71	62	85	81	14
% of Enrolled	87%	95%	77%	93%	89%	89%	94%	69%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	319	30	15	69	28	37	65	61	14
% of Enrolled	54%	55%	68%	50%	35%	53%	72%	52%	93%
Full Time	229	15	13	51	18	21	51	50	10
Part Time	90	15	2	18	10	16	14	11	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.49	\$7.82	\$8.01	\$7.74	\$7.64	\$7.89	\$7.89	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,108	\$1,141	\$1,027	\$954	\$983	\$1,042	\$1,085	\$1,071

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	623	49	17	110	89	60	124	155	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	938	94	33	198	120	109	147	210	27
Participants in a Work Activity	893	91	29	193	109	105	146	197	23
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	88%	97%	91%	96%	99%	94%	85%
Participants Employed	489	45	22	98	46	55	91	115	17
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	67%	49%	38%	50%	62%	55%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$8.09	\$7.75	\$7.86	\$7.91	\$7.68	\$7.84	\$8.01	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,051	\$1,154	\$960	\$1,009	\$950	\$1,033	\$1,137	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	81%	75%	76%	64%	90%	81%	64%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,954	1,730	1,224	2,536	2,479	1,771	3,437	4,200	577
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,883	1,319	954	1,957	2,003	1,313	2,620	3,260	457
Participants in a Work Activity	13,392	1,241	900	1,946	1,907	1,293	2,532	3,153	420
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,652	708	575	1,273	1,205	760	1,754	2,041	336
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.33	\$6.48	\$6.34	\$6.12	\$6.49	\$6.62	\$6.22	\$6.34	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$832	\$847	\$843	\$799	\$859	\$889	\$820	\$835	\$756
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	54%	72%	67%	77%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	931	14	94	36	193	199	112	230	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	370	4	37	14	73	58	25	141	18
Participants in a Work Activity	323	1	36	9	66	51	19	123	18
% of Enrolled	87%	25%	97%	64%	90%	88%	76%	87%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	195	0	19	3	44	33	18	67	11
% of Enrolled	53%	0%	51%	21%	60%	57%	72%	48%	61%
Full Time	149	N/A	17	2	36	23	17	45	9
Part Time	46	N/A	2	1	8	10	1	22	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.70	N/A	\$7.63	\$7.83	\$7.68	\$7.81	\$7.75	\$7.71	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	N/A	\$1,077	\$1,020	\$1,080	\$875	\$1,192	\$958	\$926

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	400	6	33	14	94	70	49	120	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	644	7	69	23	132	98	61	216	38
Participants in a Work Activity	600	7	66	20	122	91	58	201	35
% of Total Enrolled	93%	100%	96%	87%	92%	93%	95%	93%	92%
Participants Employed	344	2	35	11	72	60	34	108	22
% of Total Enrolled	53%	29%	51%	48%	55%	61%	56%	50%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.63	\$7.64	\$7.73	\$7.78	\$7.89	\$7.74	\$7.93	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$914	\$1,032	\$1,109	\$1,088	\$985	\$1,054	\$966	\$931
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	50%	55%	40%	49%	72%	76%	68%	45%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,093	276	1,471	693	1,954	1,934	1,624	2,384	757
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,349	208	1,217	564	1,653	1,613	1,295	2,134	665
Participants in a Work Activity	8,983	196	1,127	527	1,599	1,576	1,271	2,055	632
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	93%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,999	122	810	367	962	1,014	863	1,445	416
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	65%	58%	63%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.44	\$6.36	\$6.24	\$6.43	\$6.32	\$6.68	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$6.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$869	\$873	\$836	\$861	\$871	\$899	\$850	\$876	\$886
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	55%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,393	96	35	9	52	218	55	290	173	259	88	1,118
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	766	31	13	4	18	82	14	95	39	99	35	336
Participants in a Work Activity	532	27	4	3	15	67	14	78	31	76	26	191
% of Enrolled	69%	87%	31%	75%	83%	82%	100%	82%	79%	77%	74%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0
Participants Employed	428	18	4	2	11	43	10	71	23	54	21	171
% of Enrolled	56%	58%	31%	50%	61%	52%	71%	75%	59%	55%	60%	51%
Full Time	344	12	4	1	9	30	10	59	20	47	21	131
Part Time	84	6	0	1	2	13	0	12	3	7	0	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$8.03	\$8.62	\$8.38	\$8.52	\$8.55	\$7.54	\$8.18	\$7.70	\$8.16	\$7.74	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,118	\$1,062	\$1,265	\$1,249	\$1,151	\$1,151	\$1,011	\$1,121	\$1,054	\$1,117	\$1,082	\$1,126

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,105	41	20	1	23	96	21	132	74	103	48	546
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,453	68	21	4	28	163	19	186	86	169	65	644
Participants in a Work Activity	1,230	64	13	3	24	145	18	162	77	140	52	532
% of Total Enrolled	85%	94%	62%	75%	86%	89%	95%	87%	90%	83%	80%	83%
Participants Employed	790	41	8	2	15	77	13	135	46	91	36	326
% of Total Enrolled	54%	60%	38%	50%	54%	47%	68%	73%	53%	54%	55%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.27	\$8.90	\$8.38	\$8.50	\$8.40	\$7.55	\$8.36	\$7.95	\$8.47	\$7.78	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,113	\$1,068	\$1,251	\$1,241	\$1,140	\$1,117	\$984	\$1,174	\$1,109	\$1,093	\$990	\$1,112
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	63%	43%	100%	82%	74%	57%	69%	67%	63%	65%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,109	1,208	374	99	572	1,962	562	3,119	1,911	2,438	874	8,990
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,113	926	238	57	422	1,524	408	2,556	1,524	1,964	683	6,811
Participants in a Work Activity	16,284	894	210	56	405	1,374	400	2,514	1,461	1,843	655	6,472
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	88%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,516	648	107	28	275	940	238	1,995	964	1,450	475	4,396
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	45%	49%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$6.95	\$7.47	\$7.30	\$7.09	\$7.12	\$7.13	\$7.04	\$6.87	\$7.70	\$7.07	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$963	\$848	\$953	\$1,048	\$944	\$956	\$955	\$948	\$951	\$1,041	\$913	\$978
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	53%	59%	54%	58%	53%	64%	54%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	789	461	4	3	72	249
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	278	166	0	0	25	87
Participants in a Work Activity	214	118	0	0	20	76
% of Enrolled	77%	71%	N/A	0	80%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	187	102	0	0	19	66
% of Enrolled	67%	61%	N/A	0	76%	76%
Full Time	160	89	0	0	15	56
Part Time	27	13	0	0	4	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.25	N/A	\$0.00	\$8.16	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,168	\$1,176	N/A	\$0	\$941	\$1,221

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	372	207	1	0	22	142
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	538	327	1	0	37	173
Participants in a Work Activity	496	295	1	N/A	32	168
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	100%	N/A	86%	97%
Participants Employed	360	209	0	N/A	26	125
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	0%	N/A	70%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.16	N/A	N/A	\$8.34	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,111	N/A	N/A	\$1,018	\$1,234
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	56%	N/A	N/A	68%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,706	4,659	80	23	756	3,188
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,772	3,688	51	8	567	2,458
Participants in a Work Activity	6,610	3,627	51	8	523	2,401
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,726	2,483	32	6	401	1,804
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$7.27	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.83	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$968	\$717	\$784	\$871	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	441	12	116	56	46	119	92	265	168	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	171	0	59	33	10	32	37	120	56	64
Participants in a Work Activity	137	0	41	28	8	28	32	75	41	34
% of Enrolled	80%	0	69%	85%	80%	88%	86%	63%	73%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	95	0	31	16	6	20	22	48	29	19
% of Enrolled	56%	0	53%	48%	60%	63%	59%	40%	52%	30%
Full Time	82	0	27	16	5	18	16	34	20	14
Part Time	13	0	4	0	1	2	6	14	9	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$0.00	\$8.90	\$8.17	\$8.97	\$7.77	\$8.64	\$7.79	\$7.76	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,145	\$0	\$1,227	\$1,113	\$1,251	\$1,021	\$1,136	\$1,019	\$993	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	189	6	44	28	9	56	46	105	76	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	300	3	100	45	19	63	70	186	103	83
Participants in a Work Activity	253	1	77	44	16	57	58	158	89	69
% of Total Enrolled	84%	33%	77%	98%	84%	90%	83%	85%	86%	83%
Participants Employed	172	1	54	25	11	37	44	94	50	44
% of Total Enrolled	57%	33%	54%	56%	58%	59%	63%	51%	49%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$12.00	\$8.53	\$8.54	\$8.86	\$7.94	\$8.26	\$7.79	\$7.78	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,135	\$1,909	\$1,199	\$1,190	\$1,302	\$1,019	\$1,066	\$964	\$991	\$933
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	50%	70%	64%	60%	88%	56%	80%	71%	93%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,758	221	1,275	863	693	1,380	1,326	2,686	1,716	970
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,317	134	958	707	426	1,044	1,048	2,015	1,262	753
Participants in a Work Activity	4,086	124	895	691	413	967	996	1,949	1,229	720
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	2,792	77	550	489	252	703	721	1,127	643	484
% of Total Enrolled	65%	57%	57%	69%	59%	67%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.56	\$7.86	\$7.39	\$6.86	\$7.51	\$7.41	\$6.85	\$6.91	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$996	\$1,005	\$1,026	\$998	\$945	\$1,011	\$975	\$858	\$865	\$851
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	60%	69%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,243	196	1,267	207	1,007	384	144	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,304	73	484	127	419	159	34	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,096	64	437	85	350	126	27	7
% of Enrolled	84%	88%	90%	67%	84%	79%	79%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	3	0	2	2	0	0
Participants Employed	686	36	244	67	232	85	20	2
% of Enrolled	53%	49%	50%	53%	55%	53%	59%	25%
Full Time	526	18	190	45	188	67	16	2
Part Time	160	18	54	22	44	18	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.47	\$9.59	\$9.55	\$10.54	\$9.12	\$9.58	\$8.65	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,232	\$1,100	\$1,244	\$1,356	\$1,221	\$1,209	\$1,167	\$947

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,402	92	539	84	440	184	48	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,174	123	831	184	712	239	67	18
Participants in a Work Activity	1,933	108	757	157	625	213	56	17
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	91%	85%	88%	89%	84%	94%
Participants Employed	1,209	71	445	108	418	123	37	7
% of Total Enrolled	56%	58%	54%	59%	59%	51%	55%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.89	\$9.68	\$9.95	\$10.90	\$9.64	\$9.90	\$9.67	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,274	\$1,174	\$1,270	\$1,410	\$1,268	\$1,235	\$1,344	\$1,105
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	62%	76%	81%	75%	85%	59%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	36,970	3,045	13,202	2,661	11,815	4,203	1,577	467
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,569	2,394	9,988	2,123	9,212	3,294	1,215	343
Participants in a Work Activity	27,544	2,372	9,624	2,025	8,855	3,148	1,179	341
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	19,957	1,710	6,684	1,627	6,618	2,304	778	236
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.38	\$8.89	\$9.41	\$8.86	\$8.48	\$8.31	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,164	\$1,075	\$1,169	\$1,216	\$1,198	\$1,085	\$1,112	\$1,098
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	77%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	367	162	71	25	93	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	125	66	25	10	20	4
Participants in a Work Activity	95	49	21	8	13	4
% of Enrolled	76%	74%	84%	80%	65%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	68	38	17	2	11	0
% of Enrolled	54%	58%	68%	20%	55%	0%
Full Time	58	33	13	2	10	N/A
Part Time	10	5	4	0	1	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$8.20	\$9.14	\$9.75	\$8.77	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,170	\$1,091	\$1,271	\$1,472	\$1,234	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	146	72	35	14	22	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	207	112	46	16	27	6
Participants in a Work Activity	184	98	42	15	23	6
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	91%	94%	85%	100%
Participants Employed	123	69	31	6	16	1
% of Total Enrolled	59%	62%	67%	38%	59%	17%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.70	\$8.56	\$9.21	\$7.96	\$8.48	\$10.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,163	\$1,125	\$1,281	\$1,067	\$1,113	\$1,580
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	76%	76%	14%	89%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,192	1,637	1,224	387	832	112
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,172	1,201	939	288	669	75
Participants in a Work Activity	3,061	1,158	926	280	626	71
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,124	779	650	172	480	43
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	60%	72%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$7.58	\$7.94	\$7.22	\$7.25	\$7.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$981	\$1,039	\$1,006	\$965	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	69%	60%	60%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	589	121	42	31	98	35	262
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	291	49	19	15	41	13	154
Participants in a Work Activity	251	47	17	10	35	9	133
% of Enrolled	86%	96%	89%	67%	85%	69%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	1	0	3
Participants Employed	169	36	11	8	29	8	77
% of Enrolled	58%	73%	58%	53%	71%	62%	50%
Full Time	122	30	9	3	24	6	50
Part Time	47	6	2	5	5	2	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.78	\$8.27	\$7.49	\$8.42	\$7.95	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,272	\$1,062	\$818	\$1,186	\$1,059	\$1,037

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	292	79	13	16	38	19	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	479	87	31	27	73	22	239
Participants in a Work Activity	446	84	29	25	64	19	225
% of Total Enrolled	93%	97%	94%	93%	88%	86%	94%
Participants Employed	302	61	20	15	51	12	143
% of Total Enrolled	63%	70%	65%	56%	70%	55%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$8.94	\$9.64	\$8.16	\$8.52	\$7.74	\$8.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$1,219	\$1,277	\$889	\$999	\$971	\$986
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	83%	72%	91%	78%	40%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,460	1,522	303	496	1023	340	2,776
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,029	1,109	218	358	766	244	2,334
Participants in a Work Activity	4,911	1,084	212	340	741	239	2,295
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	3,739	799	141	268	484	148	1,899
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	65%	75%	63%	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.51	\$7.92	\$8.01	\$7.40	\$7.73	\$7.85	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$1,021	\$1,074	\$913	\$984	\$1,022	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	56%	63%	63%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,141	87	99	202	233	520
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	478	27	49	81	121	200
Participants in a Work Activity	360	20	43	70	97	130
% of Enrolled	75%	74%	88%	86%	80%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	241	13	23	40	62	103
% of Enrolled	50%	48%	47%	49%	51%	52%
Full Time	161	10	18	28	44	61
Part Time	80	3	5	12	18	42
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.33	\$8.84	\$8.53	\$8.29	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,160	\$1,178	\$1,054	\$1,045	\$941

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	451	35	34	80	91	211
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	810	39	70	130	212	359
Participants in a Work Activity	721	33	64	113	200	311
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	91%	87%	94%	87%
Participants Employed	455	22	41	69	120	203
% of Total Enrolled	56%	56%	59%	53%	57%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$8.29	\$8.47	\$8.26	\$8.21	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,144	\$1,100	\$989	\$996	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	68%	75%	59%	68%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,118	1,030	875	2,252	2,587	4,374
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,241	825	765	1,806	2,228	3,617
Participants in a Work Activity	8,740	785	753	1,677	2,105	3,420
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,321	547	535	1,169	1,537	2,533
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.94	\$6.43	\$6.82	\$6.93	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$866	\$885	\$884	\$865	\$904	\$838
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	59%	56%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,348	200	415	86	212	435
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	392	48	154	48	39	103
Participants in a Work Activity	310	28	126	43	30	83
% of Enrolled	79%	58%	82%	90%	77%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	189	19	82	24	19	45
% of Enrolled	48%	40%	53%	50%	49%	44%
Full Time	137	11	61	12	14	39
Part Time	52	8	21	12	5	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.21	\$7.90	\$7.60	\$7.49	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,045	\$1,079	\$966	\$879	\$1,094

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	594	71	189	45	97	192
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	735	89	252	84	96	214
Participants in a Work Activity	653	74	220	76	88	195
% of Total Enrolled	89%	83%	87%	90%	92%	91%
Participants Employed	336	34	136	45	37	84
% of Total Enrolled	46%	38%	54%	54%	39%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.56	\$7.86	\$7.74	\$7.81	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$1,121	\$950	\$980	\$989	\$1,116
Three Months Employment ⁸	56%	53%	56%	61%	48%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,546	2,078	3,660	1,328	2,241	4,239
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,860	1,721	2,818	1,147	1,706	3,468
Participants in a Work Activity	10,515	1,662	2,704	1,081	1,680	3,388
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,622	960	1,797	773	913	2,179
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.50	\$6.46	\$6.91	\$6.37	\$6.49	\$6.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$862	\$851	\$940	\$826	\$875	\$813
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	961	61	97	67	74	55	150	49	162	122	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	400	29	40	19	38	26	57	22	44	64	61
Participants in a Work Activity	337	24	36	16	34	18	55	19	33	48	54
% of Enrolled	84%	83%	90%	84%	89%	69%	96%	86%	75%	75%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	192	18	21	10	16	10	21	5	20	37	34
% of Enrolled	48%	62%	53%	53%	42%	38%	37%	23%	45%	58%	56%
Full Time	139	13	17	9	12	6	12	4	13	27	26
Part Time	53	5	4	1	4	4	9	1	7	10	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$7.95	\$8.10	\$8.13	\$8.73	\$9.15	\$7.56	\$8.30	\$8.08	\$7.94	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$935	#####	\$1,078	\$1,078	\$1,223	\$954	\$1,117	\$1,123	\$1,016	\$1,105

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	457	24	53	34	26	18	62	23	77	79	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	704	40	86	43	58	40	106	35	82	108	106
Participants in a Work Activity	637	34	73	40	52	39	104	31	76	88	100
% of Total Enrolled	90%	85%	85%	93%	90%	98%	98%	89%	93%	81%	94%
Participants Employed	345	27	36	20	24	19	51	14	39	59	56
% of Total Enrolled	49%	68%	42%	47%	41%	48%	48%	40%	48%	55%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$8.46	\$8.03	\$8.59	\$8.31	\$9.06	\$8.07	\$8.03	\$8.23	\$8.05	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,031	#####	\$1,198	\$874	\$1,212	\$925	\$979	\$1,076	\$1,017	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	47%	89%	48%	79%	70%	70%	63%	54%	79%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,622	552	1,055	824	743	576	1,007	410	1,249	1,066	1,140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,741	409	844	630	558	427	795	326	988	825	939
Participants in a Work Activity	6,470	389	840	597	521	409	766	322	947	765	914
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	93%	96%	96%	99%	96%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,103	253	476	358	290	245	472	157	648	550	654
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	56%	57%	52%	57%	59%	48%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$7.54	\$6.38	\$7.14	\$7.33	\$7.46	\$6.89	\$6.63	\$6.69	\$6.93	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$887	\$960	\$837	\$959	\$919	\$965	\$831	\$821	\$847	\$909	\$892
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	62%	67%	59%	58%	58%	65%	61%	67%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,748	942	25	123	1,254	50	2,354
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,796	331	7	57	563	19	819
Participants in a Work Activity	1,398	253	4	39	398	17	687
% of Enrolled	78%	76%	57%	68%	71%	89%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	0	2	0	3
Participants Employed	978	191	4	34	296	14	439
% of Enrolled	54%	58%	57%	60%	53%	74%	54%
Full Time	738	156	4	27	206	9	336
Part Time	240	35	0	7	90	5	103
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.59	\$8.81	\$9.36	\$8.46	\$8.70	\$8.03	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,144	\$1,213	\$1,347	\$1,078	\$1,132	\$1,065	\$1,128

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,730	350	8	43	483	26	820
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,875	549	16	95	876	28	1,311
Participants in a Work Activity	2,456	468	13	73	720	26	1,156
% of Total Enrolled	85%	85%	81%	77%	82%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	1,564	298	8	60	482	20	696
% of Total Enrolled	54%	54%	50%	63%	55%	71%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.59	\$8.90	\$8.90	\$8.68	\$8.70	\$8.69	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,195	\$1,327	\$1,029	\$1,086	\$1,148	\$1,096
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	77%	80%	78%	74%	59%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	39,315	8,258	300	1,387	9,889	396	19,085
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,914	6,292	230	991	8,055	293	15,053
Participants in a Work Activity	29,699	6,081	220	920	7,619	276	14,583
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	20,909	3,971	153	639	5,448	164	10,534
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	64%	68%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.77	\$7.32	\$8.28	\$7.78	\$7.83	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$1,038	\$937	\$1,054	\$1,026	\$988	\$963
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,035	171	55	374	278	157
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	512	98	28	178	111	97
Participants in a Work Activity	370	62	24	116	89	79
% of Enrolled	72%	63%	86%	65%	80%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	2	0	1	0	5
Participants Employed	277	54	19	92	53	59
% of Enrolled	54%	55%	68%	52%	48%	61%
Full Time	204	45	15	66	42	36
Part Time	73	9	4	26	11	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.70	\$8.66	\$8.49	\$8.39	\$9.32	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,125	\$1,172	\$1,203	\$1,061	\$1,244	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	478	79	28	158	113	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	800	163	43	261	185	148
Participants in a Work Activity	674	124	41	219	159	131
% of Total Enrolled	84%	76%	95%	84%	86%	89%
Participants Employed	450	85	26	152	94	93
% of Total Enrolled	56%	52%	60%	58%	51%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.71	\$8.38	\$8.81	\$8.21	\$9.66	\$8.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,119	\$1,039	\$986	\$1,290	\$1,075
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	84%	52%	62%	76%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	9,573	1,456	581	2,925	2,759	1,852
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,146	1038	406	2,283	1,846	1,573
Participants in a Work Activity	6,743	986	385	2,108	1,763	1,501
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,691	631	239	1,560	1,090	1,171
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.67	\$7.54	\$8.02	\$8.70	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$970	\$925	\$1,019	\$1,142	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	67%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	423	85	27	34	36	25	71	36	37	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	168	33	5	13	12	8	51	8	20	18
Participants in a Work Activity	129	20	2	12	9	7	50	3	14	12
% of Enrolled	77%	61%	40%	92%	75%	88%	98%	38%	70%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
Participants Employed	105	17	2	7	8	7	40	2	12	10
% of Enrolled	63%	52%	40%	54%	67%	88%	78%	25%	60%	56%
Full Time	84	16	2	5	5	6	33	1	9	7
Part Time	21	1	0	2	3	1	7	1	3	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.72	\$10.01	\$8.86	\$8.44	\$7.54	\$7.50	\$7.63	\$8.33	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,235	\$1,619	\$1,296	\$1,103	\$1,124	\$1,038	\$868	\$1,124	\$922

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	167	36	11	15	15	6	28	13	16	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	266	49	5	28	21	16	71	17	26	33
Participants in a Work Activity	228	45	5	24	17	15	67	13	18	24
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	100%	86%	81%	94%	94%	76%	69%	73%
Participants Employed	162	26	2	14	15	13	51	9	13	19
% of Total Enrolled	61%	53%	40%	50%	71%	81%	72%	53%	50%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.34	\$10.01	\$8.72	\$7.93	\$7.91	\$7.53	\$8.60	\$8.20	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$986	\$1,608	\$1,214	\$938	\$1,059	\$956	\$734	\$1,092	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	59%	67%	67%	50%	71%	93%	46%	62%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,940	606	290	354	429	218	486	346	359	852
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,967	484	192	293	337	155	363	284	226	633
Participants in a Work Activity	2,784	462	182	280	318	148	341	273	208	572
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	96%	94%	95%	94%	96%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	1,865	322	98	175	225	102	247	160	136	400
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	60%	67%	66%	68%	56%	60%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.14	\$7.09	\$7.55	\$6.92	\$7.11	\$7.14	\$6.82	\$7.13	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$876	\$887	\$992	\$864	\$914	\$912	\$857	\$936	\$925
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	47%	59%	52%	70%	73%	51%	42%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,082	15	105	143	47	126	1,131	1,493	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,220	2	31	51	19	78	485	540	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,029	1	27	43	18	67	413	446	14
% of Enrolled	84%	50%	87%	84%	95%	86%	85%	83%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	0	4	0	1	7	2	0
Participants Employed	682	1	16	36	10	42	249	324	4
% of Enrolled	56%	50%	52%	71%	53%	54%	51%	60%	29%
Full Time	503	1	14	26	8	17	169	265	3
Part Time	179	0	2	10	2	25	80	59	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$10.00	\$7.40	\$8.36	\$8.30	\$8.00	\$7.96	\$8.45	\$9.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,732	\$962	\$1,066	\$964	\$856	\$997	\$1,163	\$1,226

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,421	2	48	67	23	56	484	722	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,196	8	59	94	29	109	798	1,063	36
Participants in a Work Activity	1,935	8	51	82	25	96	722	917	34
% of Total Enrolled	88%	100%	86%	87%	86%	88%	90%	86%	94%
Participants Employed	1,165	5	34	66	14	56	407	562	21
% of Total Enrolled	53%	63%	58%	70%	48%	51%	51%	53%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$9.32	\$7.52	\$8.43	\$7.50	\$8.18	\$8.21	\$8.40	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,425	\$927	\$1,063	\$910	\$865	\$1,024	\$1,133	\$1,025
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	100%	52%	60%	75%	71%	76%	74%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	28,403	170	1,155	1,250	373	1,202	9,864	13,963	426
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,628	124	827	988	271	931	7,371	10,793	323
Participants in a Work Activity	20,581	118	766	919	260	893	7,178	10,146	301
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	14,896	72	533	687	150	580	5,116	7,537	221
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	64%	70%	55%	62%	69%	70%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.23	\$6.92	\$7.59	\$7.55	\$7.41	\$7.15	\$7.10	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$966	\$855	\$924	\$1,018	\$896	\$923	\$940	\$915
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	57%	59%	73%	59%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,075	130	72	31	69	282	491
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	398	20	25	19	30	135	169
Participants in a Work Activity	278	19	17	12	23	79	128
% of Enrolled	70%	95%	68%	63%	77%	59%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	1	3	0
Participants Employed	197	13	10	5	18	50	101
% of Enrolled	49%	65%	40%	26%	60%	37%	60%
Full Time	163	12	9	4	15	36	87
Part Time	34	1	1	1	3	14	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$9.82	\$9.08	\$8.30	\$8.40	\$9.03	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,233	\$1,599	\$1,307	\$1,085	\$1,207	\$1,227	\$1,194

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	462	52	36	19	33	125	197
Total Enrolled in VIEW	676	36	50	37	58	202	293
Participants in a Work Activity	554	34	39	29	43	161	248
% of Total Enrolled	82%	94%	78%	78%	74%	80%	85%
Participants Employed	344	23	25	14	29	89	164
% of Total Enrolled	51%	64%	50%	38%	50%	44%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$9.33	\$8.42	\$7.98	\$8.19	\$8.50	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,181	\$1,528	\$1,151	\$895	\$1,134	\$1,140	\$1,193
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	69%	48%	63%	78%	53%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,972	1,280	909	404	785	2,494	4,100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,793	844	687	330	634	2,016	3,282
Participants in a Work Activity	7,424	841	595	314	605	1,922	3,147
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,288	556	409	228	413	1,368	2,314
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	69%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.02	\$7.61	\$7.32	\$7.08	\$7.01	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$961	\$982	\$1,006	\$882	\$965	\$938	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	56%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through November 30, 2013

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/13 and Work Activity as of 11/30/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,452	115	89	894	99	1,962	1,030	386	877
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,053	22	30	351	43	844	380	110	273
Participants in a Work Activity	1,656	16	23	284	34	672	324	80	223
% of Enrolled	81%	73%	77%	81%	79%	80%	85%	73%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	2	6	0	3
Participants Employed	1,125	11	14	207	19	461	202	64	147
% of Enrolled	55%	50%	47%	59%	44%	55%	53%	58%	54%
Full Time	899	8	13	173	12	393	155	45	100
Part Time	226	3	1	34	7	68	47	19	47
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.17	\$7.70	\$8.12	\$7.66	\$8.02	\$8.19	\$7.92	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,123	\$1,126	\$1,130	\$1,107	\$1,038	\$1,101	\$1,045	\$1,019

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,201	35	30	368	48	762	379	151	428
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,448	52	54	622	76	1,302	605	220	517
Participants in a Work Activity	3,038	43	51	540	68	1,137	542	204	453
% of Total Enrolled	88%	83%	94%	87%	89%	87%	90%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	1,878	23	26	349	44	716	304	128	288
% of Total Enrolled	54%	44%	48%	56%	58%	55%	50%	58%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.80	\$7.77	\$8.30	\$7.92	\$8.06	\$8.14	\$8.19	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$986	\$1,005	\$1,103	\$1,078	\$1,030	\$1,060	\$1,060	\$1,078
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	56%	64%	74%	51%	77%	72%	71%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	55,328	1,263	1,039	8,939	896	17,777	8,930	3,484	13,000
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	40,952	914	835	6,635	721	13,067	7,107	2,742	8,931
Participants in a Work Activity	38,737	880	801	6,331	710	12,312	6,692	2,716	8,295
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	28,282	619	484	4,747	464	9,101	5,017	2,008	5,842
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.96	\$6.96	\$6.71	\$7.15	\$6.70	\$6.86	\$6.83	\$6.80	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$907	\$916	\$837	\$941	\$844	\$873	\$910	\$884	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	48.5%	5.97	92	\$7.78	0.62	102	70.7%	6.58	51	4.39	91
003	Albemarle	70.1%	8.63	9	\$8.94	3.20	16	82.9%	8.01	13	6.61	4
005	Alleghany/Covington	60.3%	7.42	27	\$8.27	1.71	59	62.8%	5.66	78	4.93	66
007	Amelia	67.5%	8.31	12	\$8.46	2.13	41	46.7%	3.78	112	4.74	78
009	Amherst	56.4%	6.94	45	\$8.29	1.76	57	67.9%	6.25	64	4.98	61
011	Appomattox	58.6%	7.21	33	\$8.47	2.16	39	75.0%	7.08	35	5.48	35
013	Arlington	57.7%	7.10	40	\$9.68	4.84	7	62.3%	5.60	81	5.85	20
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	63.9%	7.87	16	\$8.16	1.47	71	56.3%	4.90	94	4.74	76
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	53.1%	6.53	69	\$8.26	1.69	60	58.6%	5.17	90	4.46	87
021	Bland	28.6%	3.52	117	\$7.63	0.29	114	50.0%	4.17	105	2.66	117
023	Botetourt	38.1%	4.69	113	\$8.90	3.11	17	42.9%	3.33	115	3.71	111
025	Brunswick	41.9%	5.15	104	\$8.03	1.18	80	89.5%	8.77	8	5.03	54
027	Buchanan	47.9%	5.89	96	\$8.09	1.31	75	81.5%	7.84	15	5.01	58
029	Buckingham	46.5%	5.72	101	\$8.59	2.42	30	47.6%	3.89	111	4.01	101
031	Campbell	56.6%	6.97	43	\$8.21	1.58	63	68.1%	6.28	63	4.94	64
033	Caroline	52.1%	6.42	75	\$8.38	1.96	48	83.9%	8.13	12	5.50	34
035	Carroll	50.7%	6.24	80	\$7.64	0.31	113	54.6%	4.70	98	3.75	110
036	Charles City	62.5%	7.69	22	\$9.32	4.04	13	100.0%	10.00	1	7.25	2
037	Charlotte	41.4%	5.09	105	\$8.31	1.80	55	79.2%	7.57	19	4.82	70
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	54.3%	6.68	60	\$8.90	3.11	18	76.6%	7.27	26	5.69	25
043	Clarke	33.3%	4.10	116	\$12.00	10.00	1	50.0%	4.17	106	6.09	11
045	Craig	50.0%	6.15	84	\$8.38	1.96	49	100.0%	10.00	2	6.04	16
047	Culpeper	61.6%	7.58	24	\$8.56	2.36	31	75.8%	7.18	32	5.71	24
049	Cumberland	47.5%	5.85	99	\$9.06	3.47	15	70.0%	6.50	56	5.27	44
051	Dickensen	66.7%	8.21	14	\$7.75	0.56	106	75.0%	7.08	36	5.28	42
053	Dinwiddie	63.9%	7.86	17	\$9.33	4.07	12	68.8%	6.35	60	6.09	10
057	Essex	53.1%	6.53	70	\$8.34	1.87	53	59.1%	5.23	88	4.54	84
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.5%	6.59	66	\$9.95	5.44	5	76.2%	7.22	28	6.42	7
061	Fauquier	67.4%	8.29	13	\$9.21	3.80	14	75.9%	7.18	31	6.43	6
063	Floyd	53.6%	6.59	64	\$8.50	2.22	36	81.8%	7.88	14	5.56	30
065	Fluvanna	64.5%	7.94	15	\$9.64	4.76	10	72.2%	6.76	46	6.48	5
067	Franklin County	47.2%	5.81	100	\$8.40	2.00	46	73.5%	6.91	42	4.91	67
069	Frederick	54.0%	6.65	61	\$8.53	2.29	34	70.5%	6.55	54	5.16	47
071	Giles	68.4%	8.42	11	\$7.55	0.11	115	57.1%	5.00	92	4.51	85
073	Gloucester	57.6%	7.09	41	\$7.52	0.04	117	51.6%	4.35	103	3.83	105
075	Goochland	50.0%	6.15	85	\$8.90	3.11	19	80.0%	7.67	18	5.64	27
077	Grayson	47.8%	5.89	97	\$7.73	0.51	110	40.0%	3.00	116	3.13	116

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	55.6%	6.84	50	\$8.16	1.47	72	90.9%	8.94	6	5.75	22
081	Greensville/Emporia	48.1%	5.92	95	\$8.07	1.27	76	69.8%	6.48	57	4.56	82
083	Halifax	38.2%	4.70	112	\$8.56	2.36	32	53.1%	4.53	101	3.86	104
085	Hanover	63.2%	7.77	18	\$8.68	2.62	27	77.8%	7.41	21	5.93	17
087	Henrico	55.0%	6.77	54	\$8.70	2.67	24	73.6%	6.92	41	5.45	38
089	Henry/Martinsville	54.0%	6.64	62	\$7.86	0.80	95	55.7%	4.83	96	4.09	97
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	44.2%	5.44	102	\$7.80	0.67	100	55.6%	4.82	97	3.64	113
095	James City	70.2%	8.64	8	\$8.43	2.07	44	60.4%	5.38	85	5.36	40
097	King and Queen	40.0%	4.92	106	\$10.01	5.58	4	66.7%	6.11	67	5.54	32
099	King George	60.5%	7.44	26	\$8.81	2.91	21	52.4%	4.44	102	4.93	65
101	King William	50.0%	6.15	86	\$8.72	2.71	23	66.7%	6.11	68	4.99	59
103	Lancaster	71.4%	8.79	5	\$7.93	0.96	89	50.0%	4.17	107	4.64	80
105	Lee	49.5%	6.09	91	\$7.86	0.80	96	76.0%	7.20	29	4.70	79
107	Loudoun	58.7%	7.22	32	\$10.90	7.56	2	81.4%	7.83	16	7.53	1
109	Louisa	69.9%	8.60	10	\$8.52	2.27	35	77.5%	7.37	24	6.08	12
111	Lunenburg	40.0%	4.92	107	\$8.03	1.18	81	62.5%	5.62	79	3.91	102
113	Madison	37.5%	4.62	115	\$7.96	1.02	86	14.3%	0.00	118	1.88	118
115	Mathews	81.3%	10.00	1	\$7.91	0.91	92	71.4%	6.67	49	5.86	19
117	Mecklenburg	47.6%	5.85	98	\$8.23	1.62	62	53.7%	4.59	99	4.02	100
119	Middlesex	71.8%	8.84	4	\$7.53	0.07	116	93.3%	9.22	4	6.04	15
121	Montgomery	72.6%	8.93	2	\$8.36	1.91	51	69.1%	6.40	58	5.75	23
125	Nelson	54.5%	6.71	58	\$7.74	0.53	107	40.0%	3.00	117	3.42	114
127	New Kent	48.3%	5.94	93	\$7.50	0.00	118	75.0%	7.08	37	4.34	92
131	Northampton	53.0%	6.52	71	\$7.79	0.64	101	93.3%	9.22	5	5.46	36
133	Northumberland	52.9%	6.52	72	\$8.60	2.44	29	46.2%	3.72	113	4.23	93
135	Nottoway	54.6%	6.72	57	\$8.05	1.22	79	78.9%	7.53	20	5.16	49
137	Orange	59.3%	7.29	29	\$8.48	2.18	38	88.9%	8.70	9	6.06	14
139	Page	55.6%	6.84	51	\$8.54	2.31	33	64.0%	5.80	74	4.98	60
141	Patrick	53.6%	6.59	65	\$7.74	0.53	108	61.0%	5.45	84	4.19	94
143	Pittsylvania	38.5%	4.74	110	\$7.81	0.69	99	48.4%	3.98	109	3.14	115
145	Powhatan	71.4%	8.79	6	\$8.69	2.64	26	58.8%	5.20	89	5.54	31
147	Prince Edward	52.8%	6.50	74	\$8.07	1.27	77	70.6%	6.57	53	4.78	72
149	Prince George	50.0%	6.15	87	\$8.42	2.04	45	47.8%	3.91	110	4.04	99
153	Prince William	58.7%	7.23	31	\$9.64	4.76	11	75.5%	7.14	34	6.37	8
155	Pulaski	53.5%	6.58	67	\$7.95	1.00	87	66.7%	6.11	69	4.56	81
157	Rappahannock	16.7%	2.05	118	\$10.50	6.67	3	100.0%	10.00	3	6.24	9
159	Richmond County	50.0%	6.15	88	\$8.20	1.56	66	61.5%	5.51	83	4.41	90
161	Roanoke County	53.8%	6.63	63	\$8.47	2.16	40	63.0%	5.68	77	4.82	71
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.3%	8.65	7	\$8.34	1.87	54	72.7%	6.82	44	5.78	21
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.3%	8.89	3	\$8.67	2.60	28	66.9%	6.14	66	5.88	18
167	Russell	38.3%	4.72	111	\$7.91	0.91	93	64.3%	5.83	73	3.82	106
169	Scott	50.5%	6.21	82	\$7.68	0.40	112	89.7%	8.80	7	5.14	51
171	Shenandoah	57.9%	7.13	37	\$8.86	3.02	20	60.0%	5.33	86	5.16	48

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	54.5%	6.71	59	\$7.78	0.62	103	48.9%	4.04	108	3.79	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	48.1%	5.93	94	\$7.77	0.60	105	64.0%	5.80	75	4.11	96
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	58.2%	7.17	35	\$8.21	1.58	64	61.7%	5.53	82	4.76	75
179	<i>Stafford</i>	50.8%	6.25	79	\$9.66	4.80	9	75.8%	7.18	33	6.08	13
181	<i>Surry</i>	37.8%	4.66	114	\$7.98	1.07	85	62.5%	5.62	80	3.78	108
183	<i>Sussex</i>	50.0%	6.15	89	\$8.19	1.53	67	77.8%	7.41	22	5.03	56
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	61.9%	7.62	23	\$7.84	0.76	97	81.3%	7.81	17	5.40	39
187	<i>Warren</i>	58.7%	7.23	30	\$7.94	0.98	88	88.2%	8.63	10	5.61	28
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.2%	7.54	25	\$7.89	0.87	94	71.7%	6.70	48	5.03	55
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	57.6%	7.09	42	\$8.00	1.11	83	77.8%	7.41	23	5.20	46
195	<i>Wise</i>	54.8%	6.74	56	\$8.01	1.13	82	63.8%	5.78	76	4.55	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	55.7%	6.86	48	\$7.74	0.53	109	76.5%	7.25	27	4.88	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	51.4%	6.32	77	\$8.18	1.51	69	71.1%	6.63	50	4.82	69
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.5%	6.33	76	\$9.90	5.33	6	85.2%	8.27	11	6.65	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	50.0%	6.15	90	\$7.93	0.96	90	68.5%	6.32	61	4.48	86
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	59.8%	7.36	28	\$8.70	2.67	25	70.3%	6.54	55	5.52	33
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.1%	6.91	46	\$8.30	1.78	56	74.3%	7.00	39	5.23	45
590	<i>Danville</i>	39.3%	4.83	108	\$8.18	1.51	70	56.9%	4.97	93	3.77	109
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	57.9%	7.13	38	\$7.92	0.93	91	51.4%	4.33	104	4.13	95
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	62.8%	7.73	21	\$8.81	2.91	22	68.3%	6.30	62	5.65	26
640	<i>Galax</i>	57.9%	7.13	39	\$7.69	0.42	111	45.0%	3.58	114	3.71	112
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.0%	6.28	78	\$8.21	1.58	65	75.9%	7.19	30	5.02	57
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	44.1%	5.42	103	\$8.50	2.22	37	53.3%	4.55	100	4.06	98
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	56.5%	6.96	44	\$8.00	1.11	84	67.1%	6.16	65	4.74	77
683	<i>Manassas</i>	55.2%	6.80	53	\$9.67	4.82	8	58.5%	5.16	91	5.59	29
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	38.9%	4.79	109	\$7.83	0.73	98	66.7%	6.11	70	3.88	103
700	<i>Newport News</i>	52.9%	6.51	73	\$8.40	2.00	47	74.0%	6.97	40	5.16	50
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.0%	6.77	55	\$8.06	1.24	78	77.1%	7.32	25	5.11	52
720	<i>Norton</i>	63.0%	7.75	19	\$8.10	1.33	74	73.3%	6.89	43	5.32	41
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	56.0%	6.89	47	\$8.45	2.11	42	72.7%	6.82	45	5.27	43
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	50.2%	6.18	83	\$8.14	1.42	73	72.0%	6.73	47	4.78	73
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.4%	6.82	52	\$7.78	0.62	104	64.5%	5.86	72	4.43	89
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	53.1%	6.53	68	\$8.38	1.96	50	69.0%	6.38	59	4.96	62
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	50.6%	6.23	81	\$8.29	1.76	58	60.0%	5.33	87	4.44	88
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.2%	7.16	36	\$8.19	1.53	68	70.7%	6.58	52	5.09	53
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	55.7%	6.86	49	\$8.36	1.91	52	66.4%	6.08	71	4.95	63
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	58.3%	7.18	34	\$8.45	2.11	43	75.0%	7.08	38	5.46	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	62.9%	7.74	20	\$8.26	1.69	61	56.1%	4.88	95	4.77	74

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.0%	1.65	117	\$865	2.96	93	65.2%	5.58	49	3.40	107
003	Albemarle	72.0%	7.44	11	\$1,021	6.10	18	58.0%	3.84	97	5.79	26
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.0%	6.87	21	\$848	2.63	102	58.4%	3.92	91	4.47	77
007	Amelia	61.9%	4.64	83	\$960	4.88	44	62.0%	4.81	68	4.78	66
009	Amherst	66.3%	5.86	54	\$885	3.37	81	59.3%	4.16	83	4.47	79
011	Appomattox	69.9%	6.86	23	\$884	3.34	83	55.7%	3.27	105	4.49	76
013	Arlington	71.4%	7.27	15	\$1,075	7.18	8	69.0%	6.51	24	6.99	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.14	45	\$968	5.03	38	66.7%	5.96	39	5.71	30
017	Bath	62.7%	4.89	79	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.64	72	3.17	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.7%	5.43	64	\$865	2.97	92	59.9%	4.30	77	4.23	88
021	Bland	58.7%	3.76	97	\$873	3.12	89	55.0%	3.09	106	3.33	108
023	Botetourt	45.0%	0.00	120	\$953	4.73	49	53.5%	2.74	112	2.49	119
025	Brunswick	56.4%	3.14	108	\$837	2.40	109	67.3%	6.11	34	3.88	99
027	Buchanan	53.7%	2.40	113	\$847	2.60	104	64.8%	5.49	51	3.50	106
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.26	107	\$959	4.85	46	59.4%	4.17	82	4.09	92
031	Campbell	69.0%	6.60	33	\$904	3.75	74	63.5%	5.17	60	5.17	53
033	Caroline	60.8%	4.35	85	\$970	5.08	36	58.4%	3.94	90	4.46	80
035	Carroll	66.6%	5.93	51	\$836	2.38	110	53.9%	2.83	110	3.72	102
036	Charles City	58.1%	3.60	100	\$966	5.00	39	50.9%	2.10	116	3.57	105
037	Charlotte	52.0%	1.93	115	\$919	4.05	65	58.2%	3.88	92	3.29	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	4.99	76	\$1,038	6.44	14	69.1%	6.53	23	5.99	21
043	Clarke	57.5%	3.43	103	\$1,005	5.79	24	58.0%	3.83	98	4.35	84
045	Craig	49.1%	1.14	118	\$1,048	6.64	11	59.3%	4.14	84	3.97	94
047	Culpeper	64.9%	5.47	63	\$981	5.29	32	66.1%	5.82	44	5.53	34
049	Cumberland	57.4%	3.41	105	\$965	4.98	41	57.8%	3.77	100	4.06	93
051	Dickenson	60.3%	4.21	87	\$843	2.54	106	54.5%	2.97	108	3.24	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.9%	5.75	55	\$982	5.32	31	57.9%	3.82	99	4.96	61
057	Essex	66.5%	5.93	52	\$876	3.20	86	48.7%	1.58	117	3.57	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.9%	6.03	47	\$1,169	9.06	3	69.4%	6.61	18	7.23	5
061	Fauquier	69.2%	6.67	30	\$1,039	6.47	13	68.7%	6.43	27	6.52	10
063	Floyd	65.2%	5.55	59	\$944	4.56	53	54.5%	2.98	107	4.36	83
065	Fluvanna	64.7%	5.42	65	\$1,074	7.16	9	61.5%	4.68	70	5.75	28
067	Franklin County	61.7%	4.59	84	\$956	4.80	47	58.1%	3.85	95	4.41	81
069	Frederick	57.4%	3.42	104	\$1,026	6.19	16	61.2%	4.62	73	4.74	70
071	Giles	58.3%	3.67	98	\$955	4.78	48	52.6%	2.52	113	3.66	103
073	Gloucester	64.4%	5.35	68	\$855	2.77	98	57.2%	3.65	102	3.92	96
075	Goochland	66.5%	5.92	53	\$937	4.42	58	65.2%	5.59	48	5.31	44
077	Grayson	65.1%	5.52	61	\$861	2.89	95	60.9%	4.55	74	4.32	86

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.9%	8.21	5	\$913	3.93	69	55.8%	3.30	104	5.15	56
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.4%	3.96	93	\$831	2.29	112	64.8%	5.49	52	3.91	97
083	Halifax	55.8%	2.97	111	\$851	2.69	100	58.6%	3.97	88	3.21	113
085	Hanover	64.5%	5.36	67	\$1,054	6.75	10	66.2%	5.83	43	5.98	22
087	Henrico	67.6%	6.23	42	\$1,026	6.20	15	66.4%	5.89	41	6.10	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.8%	5.17	72	\$940	4.47	56	64.1%	5.33	54	4.99	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.25	4	\$784	1.33	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.53	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.7%	6.25	40	\$916	3.99	66	59.0%	4.08	86	4.77	67
095	James City	69.5%	6.75	28	\$924	4.15	62	59.0%	4.07	87	4.99	58
097	King and Queen	51.0%	1.67	116	\$887	3.40	79	46.5%	1.04	119	2.04	120
099	King George	58.9%	3.82	96	\$925	4.17	61	58.2%	3.88	93	3.96	95
101	King William	59.7%	4.06	90	\$992	5.52	27	59.4%	4.17	81	4.58	73
103	Lancaster	66.8%	5.99	48	\$864	2.95	94	52.0%	2.38	114	3.77	101
105	Lee	65.0%	5.52	62	\$799	1.65	117	72.2%	7.28	9	4.82	65
107	Loudoun	76.6%	8.70	3	\$1,216	10.00	1	72.1%	7.27	10	8.66	1
109	Louisa	63.2%	5.01	75	\$984	5.36	30	63.4%	5.15	61	5.17	54
111	Lunenburg	48.2%	0.88	119	\$821	2.08	114	60.8%	4.51	75	2.49	118
113	Madison	59.7%	4.06	91	\$1,006	5.80	22	59.5%	4.20	79	4.69	71
115	Mathews	65.8%	5.73	56	\$914	3.94	68	70.2%	6.81	14	5.49	38
117	Mecklenburg	65.6%	5.67	57	\$847	2.60	103	66.8%	5.98	38	4.75	69
119	Middlesex	68.0%	6.34	38	\$912	3.92	71	72.9%	7.47	7	5.91	24
121	Montgomery	78.1%	9.09	2	\$948	4.63	51	63.5%	5.17	59	6.30	14
125	Nelson	60.7%	4.31	86	\$1,022	6.12	17	63.2%	5.11	62	5.18	51
127	New Kent	55.4%	2.85	112	\$1,018	6.04	20	72.7%	7.40	8	5.43	39
131	Northampton	64.3%	5.31	70	\$851	2.69	99	80.0%	9.18	2	5.73	29
133	Northumberland	56.3%	3.13	109	\$857	2.82	97	51.4%	2.23	115	2.73	117
135	Nottoway	66.7%	5.96	49	\$909	3.85	73	69.8%	6.70	17	5.50	37
137	Orange	71.7%	7.36	13	\$965	4.98	40	60.0%	4.32	76	5.55	33
139	Page	69.2%	6.65	31	\$998	5.63	25	59.7%	4.25	78	5.51	35
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.16	43	\$826	2.20	113	74.2%	7.77	6	5.38	41
143	Pittsylvania	53.5%	2.35	114	\$875	3.16	87	59.4%	4.18	80	3.23	112
145	Powhatan	56.0%	3.03	110	\$988	5.44	29	63.9%	5.26	56	4.58	74
147	Prince Edward	69.6%	6.78	26	\$892	3.50	77	67.9%	6.24	31	5.51	36
149	Prince George	59.5%	4.00	92	\$1,006	5.79	23	57.6%	3.75	101	4.51	75
153	Prince William	71.8%	7.38	12	\$1,198	9.64	2	70.3%	6.83	13	7.95	2
155	Pulaski	63.3%	5.03	73	\$951	4.69	50	53.6%	2.75	111	4.16	90
157	Rappahannock	57.3%	3.40	106	\$971	5.09	35	47.6%	1.31	118	3.27	110
159	Richmond County	60.2%	4.18	88	\$936	4.39	59	42.2%	0.00	120	2.86	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.93	7	\$1,041	6.49	12	58.1%	3.85	96	6.09	20
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.7%	7.08	16	\$871	3.08	91	67.3%	6.09	35	5.42	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	7.81	9	\$990	5.47	28	67.6%	6.16	32	6.48	11
167	Russell	60.2%	4.18	89	\$859	2.84	96	66.6%	5.92	40	4.31	87
169	Scott	57.9%	3.55	102	\$889	3.45	78	77.3%	8.52	4	5.18	52
171	Shenandoah	59.2%	3.90	94	\$945	4.58	52	68.9%	6.49	26	4.99	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.2%	3.64	99	\$871	3.09	90	62.6%	4.95	65	3.89	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.57	101	\$837	2.41	108	54.0%	2.87	109	2.95	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.3%	6.42	37	\$1,019	6.05	19	67.0%	6.02	36	6.17	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.0%	3.87	95	\$1,142	8.53	4	68.4%	6.38	28	6.26	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.1%	6.63	32	\$882	3.31	84	56.5%	3.46	103	4.47	78
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.1%	5.54	60	\$965	4.97	42	63.0%	5.06	63	5.19	50
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.9%	6.04	46	\$820	2.07	115	65.7%	5.71	46	4.61	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.3%	6.15	44	\$1,011	5.90	21	69.3%	6.59	19	6.21	17
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.9%	4.92	77	\$899	3.64	75	58.1%	3.86	94	4.14	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.2%	5.01	74	\$925	4.17	60	65.0%	5.53	50	4.90	63
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.6%	4.85	80	\$835	2.36	111	66.2%	5.83	42	4.35	85
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	5.96	50	\$850	2.67	101	66.9%	5.99	37	4.87	64
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.3%	4.76	82	\$896	3.60	76	59.2%	4.12	85	4.16	89
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.9%	6.86	22	\$1,085	7.37	7	77.4%	8.56	3	7.60	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.7%	6.25	41	\$876	3.20	85	62.1%	4.83	67	4.76	68
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$923	4.13	64	65.9%	5.75	45	6.63	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.30	14	\$941	4.50	54	64.0%	5.29	55	5.70	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.8%	4.91	78	\$813	1.92	116	61.4%	4.67	71	3.83	100
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.4%	5.33	69	\$844	2.56	105	63.7%	5.23	57	4.37	82
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.4%	8.10	6	\$995	5.59	26	65.6%	5.69	47	6.46	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.6%	4.83	81	\$886	3.38	80	69.1%	6.53	22	4.92	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.4%	6.72	29	\$923	4.14	63	63.7%	5.23	58	5.36	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.9%	6.29	39	\$938	4.43	57	62.9%	5.03	64	5.25	46
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.0%	6.89	19	\$838	2.42	107	68.4%	6.36	29	5.22	48
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.0%	5.24	71	\$1,112	7.93	5	70.0%	6.76	16	6.64	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	68.8%	6.55	34	\$1,098	7.65	6	77.0%	8.46	5	7.55	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.8%	6.83	24	\$940	4.47	55	69.1%	6.54	21	5.95	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.6%	6.78	25	\$873	3.14	88	67.5%	6.15	33	5.36	43
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.5%	7.85	8	\$756	0.79	119	71.0%	7.01	12	5.21	49
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.02	18	\$969	5.05	37	70.2%	6.80	15	6.29	15
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.6%	7.04	17	\$910	3.86	72	69.3%	6.58	20	5.83	25
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.5%	6.75	27	\$913	3.92	70	61.5%	4.69	69	5.12	57
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.87	20	\$963	4.93	43	71.5%	7.13	11	6.31	13
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.5%	5.38	66	\$978	5.24	33	62.2%	4.86	66	5.16	55
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.2%	7.77	10	\$884	3.34	82	68.0%	6.26	30	5.79	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.4%	5.62	58	\$960	4.88	45	69.0%	6.51	25	5.67	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.4%	6.45	36	\$915	3.97	67	64.6%	5.43	53	5.28	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.55	35	\$975	5.18	34	58.5%	3.96	89	5.23	47

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	8,068	399	278	695	252	111	859	97	204	310	372	318	1,043	312	117	957	260	1,407	77
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	98%	99%	96%	99%	95%	92%	90%	100%	93%	96%	90%	89%	89%	89%	98%	82%	92%	94%
% Timely in November 2013	91%	96%	100%	96%	100%	####	88%	83%	100%	97%	91%	83%	85%	79%	77%	98%	80%	93%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	3,428	174	142	296	111	43	356	40	85	135	167	146	421	134	37	395	111	605	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	99%	97%	100%	93%	96%	98%	100%	93%	98%	99%	95%	96%	95%	95%	93%	95%	####
Percent in November 2013	96%	100%	99%	94%	100%	96%	95%	100%	100%	94%	96%	99%	93%	96%	94%	95%	91%	96%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	44	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	25	3	5	0	2	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	71	56	0	0	0	14	29	68	0	0	0	0	81	76	78	0	57	5	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013		399	37	10	77	61	41	69	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013		98%	100%	100%	99%	98%	98%	100%	80%
% Timely in November 2013		96%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013		174	16	3	36	21	17	33	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2013		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		56	0	0	0	0	56	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	278	2	22	9	62	50	34	90	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	91%	100%	100%
% Timely in November 2013	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	142	2	10	1	36	19	18	53	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	98%	100%
Percent in November 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	695	31	13	1	16	67	10	78	52	64	32	331
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	97%	100%	0%	100%	97%	100%	99%	100%	98%	94%	94%
% Timely in November 2013	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	296	15	7	1	5	30	2	31	21	28	12	144
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	57%	100%	80%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Percent in November 2013	94%	100%	57%	100%	67%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	88%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	252	155	1	0	15	81
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	N/A	93%	99%
% Timely in November 2013	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	111	62	1	0	8	40
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in November 2013	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	111	1	27	21	6	27	29	77	55	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	85%	100%	100%	96%	100%	94%	95%	91%
% Timely in November 2013	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	88%	93%	82%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	43	0	12	10	2	9	10	30	23	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	93%	N/A	92%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2013	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	859	60	316	56	297	94	24	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	100%	97%	86%	86%	98%	79%	100%
% Timely in November 2013	88%	100%	92%	69%	84%	100%	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	356	32	131	25	116	37	9	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	97%	92%	92%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2013	95%	100%	94%	91%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	0	0	0	38	12	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	97	45	25	12	13	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	90%	98%	92%	100%	54%	50%
% Timely in November 2013	83%	100%	100%	100%	0%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	40	20	13	3	4	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	92%	100%	100%	N/A
Percent in November 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	68	0	0	0	68	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	204	55	8	10	26	12	93
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in November 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	85	22	5	4	9	5	40
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	310	20	21	46	70	153
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	95%	67%	85%	100%	96%
% Timely in November 2013	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	135	7	12	19	23	74
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	93%	100%	100%	84%	96%	92%
Percent in November 2013	94%	100%	100%	100%	94%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	372	42	127	31	59	113
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	88%	95%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in November 2013	91%	81%	91%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	167	17	62	11	28	49
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	95%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in November 2013	96%	100%	90%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	318	17	33	25	16	11	46	16	58	48	48
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	90%	100%	91%	96%	100%	91%	41%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in November 2013	83%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	15%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	146	6	14	19	7	5	22	9	27	18	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in November 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,043	199	6	25	314	22	477
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	93%	83%	88%	78%	95%	95%
% Timely in November 2013	85%	85%	67%	57%	77%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	421	77	2	7	111	10	214
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	96%	100%	86%	92%	100%	95%
Percent in November 2013	93%	100%	100%	80%	88%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	25	2	0	0	16	1	6
Average # of Days Over 30	81	107	0	0	107	5	16

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	312	57	19	101	63	72
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	88%	100%	75%	100%	97%
% Timely in November 2013	79%	86%	100%	58%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	134	21	12	42	30	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	95%	90%	100%
Percent in November 2013	96%	100%	100%	95%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	2	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	76	105	0	18	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	117	26	8	16	7	5	21	8	9	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	88%	13%	100%	100%	60%	95%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in November 2013	77%	60%	0%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	37	8	2	6	3	1	5	3	2	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%
Percent in November 2013	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	75%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	78	0	28	0	0	57	101.67	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	957	2	24	42	14	46	319	495	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	50%	100%	95%	86%	96%	99%	98%	100%
% Timely in November 2013	98%	0%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	97%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	395	1	9	15	3	21	129	210	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	98%	93%	100%
Percent in November 2013	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	92%	99%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	260	17	22	14	19	70	118
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	82%	100%	55%	100%	100%	63%	92%
% Timely in November 2013	80%	100%	60%	100%	100%	67%	84%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	111	6	11	8	7	24	55
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	93%	83%	82%	100%	100%	88%	96%
Percent in November 2013	91%	75%	83%	100%	100%	83%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	57	0	0	0	0	30	83

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,407	19	19	265	34	481	251	104	234
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	95%	89%	95%	100%	89%	90%	96%	95%
% Timely in November 2013	93%	80%	75%	92%	100%	93%	93%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	605	5	6	110	17	206	116	50	95
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	100%	97%	100%	92%	94%	100%	97%
Percent in November 2013	96%	100%	100%	98%	100%	92%	97%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Nov-12</i>	<i>Nov-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,714	14,652	-0.4%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,191	128,893	-0.2%
Total Cases Collected	143,905	143,545	-0.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,504,833	\$2,559,166	2.2%
NTANF Collections	\$48,205,876	\$46,814,913	-2.9%
Total Collections	\$50,710,709	\$49,374,079	-2.6%
SFY YTD Collections	\$258,794,429	\$261,179,263	0.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$359.90	\$358.94	-0.3%

Paternities Established

	<i>Nov-13</i>
TANF Paternities	150
NTANF Paternities	287
Combined Paternities	437

Diversionsary Assistance

November Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Roanoke County	2
Alleghany / Covington	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Amherst	1		Shenandoah	12
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Spotsylvania	9
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Surry	1
Culpeper	3		Warren	2
Dickenson	2		Westmoreland	1
Fairfax/ Falls Church	2		Bristol	3
Fauquier	1		Charlottesville	2
Frederick	4		Chesapeake	2
Gloucester	3		Franklin City	3
Greene	3		Hampton	6
Hanover	3		Hopewell	2
Henrico	2		Lynchburg	1
Henry / Martinsville	3		Newport News	10
James City	1		Norfolk	5
King and Queen	1		Portsmouth	2
King George	1		Richmond City	4
King William	1		Roanoke City	8
Louisa	1		Suffolk	5
Nottoway	1		Virginia Beach	3
Orange	1		Winchester	1
Prince William	2		Statewide	129

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of Dec 2, 2013. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, June 1995 through November 2013, except last month




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through November 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


November 30, 2013



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of December and represent end of November data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of November it was \$8.50 rather than the \$8.39 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.