

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

January 2014

Monthly Report, January 2014

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2014 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 6,202 and a denominator of 14,900.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	22	60	37%	061	Fauquier	20	45	44%
003	Albemarle	36	62	58%	063	Floyd	4	13	31%
005	Alleghany/Covington	17	35	49%	065	Fluvanna	7	13	54%
007	Amelia	10	22	45%	067	Franklin County	50	96	52%
009	Amherst	16	30	53%	069	Frederick	22	34	65%
011	Appomattox	20	54	37%	071	Giles	7	17	41%
013	Arlington	55	101	54%	073	Gloucester	17	40	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	97	214	45%	075	Goochland	4	11	36%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	10	40%
019	Bedford County/City	30	89	34%	079	Greene	1	16	6%
021	Bland	0	5	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	37	85	44%
023	Botetourt	1	13	8%	083	Halifax	21	92	23%
025	Brunswick	15	54	28%	085	Hanover	31	64	48%
027	Buchanan	23	52	44%	087	Henrico	205	719	29%
029	Buckingham	15	30	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	65	222	29%
031	Campbell	53	126	42%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	25	82	30%	093	Isle of Wight	15	49	31%
035	Carroll	16	34	47%	095	James City	31	86	36%
036	Charles City	0	5	0%	097	King and Queen	1	11	9%
037	Charlotte	15	35	43%	099	King George	15	24	63%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	169	429	39%	101	King William	6	16	38%
043	Clarke	1	6	17%	103	Lancaster	6	18	33%
045	Craig	1	4	25%	105	Lee	46	113	41%
047	Culpeper	40	75	53%	107	Loudoun	44	123	36%
049	Cumberland	11	32	34%	109	Louisa	27	53	51%
051	Dickensen	10	20	50%	111	Lunenburg	7	23	30%
053	Dinwiddie	14	44	32%	113	Madison	1	6	17%
057	Essex	21	40	53%	115	Mathews	8	12	67%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	248	569	44%	117	Mecklenburg	16	51	31%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	27	47	57%	187	Warren	35	63	56%
121	Montgomery	53	136	39%	191	Washington	35	77	45%
125	Nelson	4	8	50%	193	Westmoreland	15	31	48%
127	New Kent	12	24	50%	195	Wise	54	135	40%
131	Northampton	19	63	30%	197	Wythe	13	41	32%
133	Northumberland	5	14	36%	199	York/Poquoson	39	78	50%
135	Nottoway	25	70	36%	510	Alexandria	85	189	45%
137	Orange	14	41	34%	520	Bristol	70	131	53%
139	Page	8	17	47%	540	Charlottesville	87	158	55%
141	Patrick	22	50	44%	550	Chesapeake	256	554	46%
143	Pittsylvania	28	71	39%	590	Danville	66	184	36%
145	Powhatan	10	20	50%	620	Franklin City	10	59	17%
147	Prince Edward	36	73	49%	630	Fredericksburg	45	98	46%
149	Prince George	6	43	14%	640	Galax	16	23	70%
153	Prince William	259	505	51%	650	Hampton	343	698	49%
155	Pulaski	26	61	43%	670	Hopewell	36	156	23%
157	Rappahannock	4	8	50%	680	Lynchburg	98	272	36%
159	Richmond County	0	22	0%	683	Manassas	23	60	38%
161	Roanoke County	44	118	37%	685	Manassas Park	1	11	9%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	30	37%	700	Newport News	361	812	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	64	120	53%	710	Norfolk	519	1,054	49%
167	Russell	37	92	40%	720	Norton	12	35	34%
169	Scott	36	70	51%	730	Petersburg	110	291	38%
171	Shenandoah	9	21	43%	740	Portsmouth	173	519	33%
173	Smyth	41	94	44%	750	Radford	15	42	36%
175	Southampton	15	43	35%	760	Richmond City	475	1,218	39%
177	Spotsylvania	90	221	41%	770	Roanoke City	148	449	33%
179	Stafford	51	145	35%	800	Suffolk	88	182	48%
181	Surry	2	18	11%	810	Virginia Beach	231	453	51%
183	Sussex	6	35	17%	830	Williamsburg	15	22	68%
185	Tazewell	40	93	43%	840	Winchester	25	48	52%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 35% with a numerator of 4,622 and a denominator of 13,181. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	15	53	28%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	11	36	31%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	21	47	45%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	4	13	31%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	15	33	45%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	13	54%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	7	18	39%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	35	80	44%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	13	27	48%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	17	29	59%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	14	48	29%	071	<i>Giles</i>	7	17	41%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	39	85	46%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	10	33	30%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	67	181	37%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	9	22%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	4	10	40%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	24	83	29%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	13	0%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	5	0%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	26	74	35%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	11	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	15	85	18%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	13	49	27%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	21	54	39%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	21	50	42%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	136	631	22%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	7	21	33%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	39	195	20%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	36	107	34%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	20	77	26%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	10	43	23%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	13	31	42%	095	<i>James City</i>	23	78	29%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	4	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	11	9%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	11	31	35%	099	<i>King George</i>	8	17	47%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	137	390	35%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	13	31%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	5	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	4	16	25%
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	4	25%	105	<i>Lee</i>	43	109	39%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	27	60	45%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	17	94	18%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	10	31	32%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	13	39	33%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	8	18	44%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	7	21	33%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	10	40	25%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	6	17%
057	<i>Essex</i>	18	37	49%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	6	10	60%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	150	470	32%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	11	46	24%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	26	46	57%	187	Warren	28	56	50%
121	Montgomery	41	124	33%	191	Washington	31	73	42%
125	Nelson	3	7	43%	193	Westmoreland	14	30	47%
127	New Kent	9	21	43%	195	Wise	38	117	32%
131	Northampton	14	57	25%	197	Wythe	10	38	26%
133	Northumberland	3	11	27%	199	York/Poquoson	32	71	45%
135	Nottoway	19	64	30%	510	Alexandria	64	165	39%
137	Orange	13	39	33%	520	Bristol	62	123	50%
139	Page	7	16	44%	540	Charlottesville	61	131	47%
141	Patrick	16	44	36%	550	Chesapeake	195	487	40%
143	Pittsylvania	26	69	38%	590	Danville	48	165	29%
145	Powhatan	6	16	38%	620	Franklin City	4	52	8%
147	Prince Edward	23	60	38%	630	Fredericksburg	37	90	41%
149	Prince George	3	39	8%	640	Galax	13	20	65%
153	Prince William	175	419	42%	650	Hampton	271	614	44%
155	Pulaski	21	56	38%	670	Hopewell	31	147	21%
157	Rappahannock	2	5	40%	680	Lynchburg	78	250	31%
159	Richmond County	0	21	0%	683	Manassas	16	51	31%
161	Roanoke County	23	97	24%	685	Manassas Park	1	11	9%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	9	28	32%	700	Newport News	258	703	37%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	42	98	43%	710	Norfolk	439	970	45%
167	Russell	31	86	36%	720	Norton	10	33	30%
169	Scott	30	64	47%	730	Petersburg	95	268	35%
171	Shenandoah	5	17	29%	740	Portsmouth	135	477	28%
173	Smyth	31	84	37%	750	Radford	13	40	33%
175	Southampton	8	36	22%	760	Richmond City	390	1,121	35%
177	Spotsylvania	73	201	36%	770	Roanoke City	101	399	25%
179	Stafford	34	126	27%	800	Suffolk	63	156	40%
181	Surry	1	17	6%	810	Virginia Beach	146	367	40%
183	Sussex	3	32	9%	830	Williamsburg	8	15	53%
185	Tazewell	35	88	40%	840	Winchester	19	42	45%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,580 and a denominator of 1,719. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	9	9	100%
003	Albemarle	15	15	100%	063	Floyd	0	0	N/A
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	2	100%	065	Fluvanna	0	0	N/A
007	Amelia	3	4	75%	067	Franklin County	15	16	94%
009	Amherst	3	3	100%	069	Frederick	5	5	100%
011	Appomattox	6	6	100%	071	Giles	0	0	N/A
013	Arlington	16	16	100%	073	Gloucester	7	7	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	33	91%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	0	0	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	6	6	100%	079	Greene	1	3	33%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	11	11	100%
023	Botetourt	1	2	50%	083	Halifax	6	7	86%
025	Brunswick	2	5	40%	085	Hanover	10	10	100%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	69	88	78%
029	Buckingham	8	9	89%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	27	96%
031	Campbell	17	19	89%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	5	5	100%	093	Isle of Wight	5	6	83%
035	Carroll	3	3	100%	095	James City	8	8	100%
036	Charles City	0	1	0%	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	7	7	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	32	39	82%	101	King William	2	3	67%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	3	4	75%
047	Culpeper	13	15	87%	107	Loudoun	27	29	93%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	14	14	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	2	0%
053	Dinwiddie	4	4	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	3	100%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	98	99	99%	117	Mecklenburg	5	5	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	7	7	100%
121	Montgomery	12	12	100%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	3	3	100%	195	Wise	16	18	89%
131	Northampton	5	6	83%	197	Wythe	3	3	100%
133	Northumberland	2	3	67%	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	21	24	88%
137	Orange	1	2	50%	520	Bristol	8	8	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	26	27	96%
141	Patrick	6	6	100%	550	Chesapeake	61	67	91%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	18	19	95%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	6	7	86%
147	Prince Edward	13	13	100%	630	Fredericksburg	8	8	100%
149	Prince George	3	4	75%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	84	86	98%	650	Hampton	72	84	86%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	5	9	56%
157	Rappahannock	2	3	67%	680	Lynchburg	20	22	91%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	7	9	78%
161	Roanoke County	21	21	100%	685	Manassas Park	0	0	N/A
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	103	109	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	22	22	100%	710	Norfolk	80	84	95%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	6	6	100%	730	Petersburg	15	23	65%
171	Shenandoah	4	4	100%	740	Portsmouth	38	42	90%
173	Smyth	10	10	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	7	7	100%	760	Richmond City	85	97	88%
177	Spotsylvania	17	20	85%	770	Roanoke City	47	50	94%
179	Stafford	17	19	89%	800	Suffolk	25	26	96%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	85	86	99%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	7	7	100%
185	Tazewell	5	5	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-14	Jan-13	Change from Dec-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,377	6,034	-11%	4,807	12%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,581	3,631	-29%	1,658	56%
Applications Approved	1,488	1,482	0.4%	2,820	-47%
Cases Closed *	2,049	2,290	-11%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	27,583	30,686	-10%	67,995	-59%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,263	31,117	-9%	70,403	-60%

•The database indicates that of the 2,049 closed cases, 840 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 477 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 186 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 140 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-14	Jan-13	Change from Dec-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	588	602	-2%	338	74%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	276	417	-34%	232	19%
Applications Approved	201	195	3%	81	148%
Cases Closed *	209	234	-11%	107	95%
Cases at End of Month	1,602	1,718	-7%	321	399%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,647	1,735	-5%	394	318%

•The database indicates that of the 209 closed cases, 119 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 73 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 15 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 13 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	29,185	1,573	877	2,297	790	412	3,159	344	583	1,158	1,320	948	4,611	1,031	411	3,020	1,086	5,301	264
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,143	580	367	712	279	156	1,241	118	277	462	369	398	1,750	514	171	1,204	433	2,006	106
Part. in a Work Activity	8,588	459	311	487	221	126	1,010	84	239	347	279	312	1,318	373	139	990	280	1,550	63
% of Enrolled	77%	79%	85%	68%	79%	81%	81%	71%	86%	75%	76%	78%	75%	73%	81%	82%	65%	77%	59%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	92	1	1	9	2	1	9	3	1	2	0	4	16	5	2	17	7	12	0
Participants Employed	5,965	296	185	392	187	96	670	56	168	246	160	177	935	265	113	669	198	1,105	47
% of Enrolled	54%	51%	50%	55%	67%	62%	54%	47%	61%	53%	43%	44%	53%	52%	66%	56%	46%	55%	44%
Full Time	4,531	224	137	301	163	83	496	47	109	159	126	129	701	190	90	493	171	885	27
Part Time	1,434	72	48	91	24	13	174	9	59	87	34	48	234	75	23	176	27	220	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.02	\$7.78	\$8.25	\$8.31	\$8.51	\$10.00	\$8.47	\$8.68	\$8.09	\$7.91	\$7.97	\$8.62	\$8.79	\$8.07	\$8.23	\$8.64	\$8.08	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,075	\$986	\$1,119	\$1,166	\$1,143	\$1,293	\$1,161	\$1,091	\$1,012	\$1,057	\$1,038	\$1,141	\$1,129	\$1,085	\$1,079	\$1,230	\$1,054	\$891

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	16,193	804	545	1,389	498	236	1,799	193	362	593	731	569	2,254	638	202	1,810	587	2,844	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW	22,176	1,089	741	1,685	641	343	2,480	243	542	902	843	810	3,237	910	304	2,505	783	3,907	211
Part. in a Work Activity	19,612	1,025	687	1,440	589	294	2,219	221	509	811	750	729	2,781	777	270	2,220	645	3,464	181
% of Total Enrolled	88%	94%	93%	85%	92%	86%	89%	91%	94%	90%	89%	90%	86%	85%	89%	89%	82%	89%	86%
Participants Employed	12,093	556	389	901	420	195	1,406	137	353	527	376	387	1,756	508	184	1,355	395	2,141	107
% of Total Enrolled	55%	51%	52%	53%	66%	57%	57%	56%	65%	58%	45%	48%	54%	56%	61%	54%	50%	55%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$7.94	\$7.85	\$8.32	\$8.37	\$8.46	\$10.12	\$8.81	\$8.76	\$8.18	\$8.06	\$8.24	\$8.62	\$8.80	\$8.06	\$8.29	\$8.55	\$8.17	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,041	\$1,011	\$1,114	\$1,145	\$1,139	\$1,309	\$1,180	\$1,051	\$993	\$1,026	\$1,040	\$1,109	\$1,115	\$997	\$1,067	\$1,200	\$1,055	\$939
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	75%	62%	65%	64%	67%	75%	69%	72%	67%	58%	68%	75%	69%	71%	73%	68%	73%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	297,802	18,051	11,168	22,246	8,785	5,784	37,236	4,222	6,508	11,199	13,614	8,695	39,592	9,704	3,966	28,626	10,027	55,673	2,706
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	230,194	13,969	9,413	17,246	6,837	4,343	28,789	3,203	5,070	9,304	10,921	6,810	31,140	7,241	2,995	21,813	7,849	41,218	2,033
Part. in a Work Activity	220,074	13,464	9,040	16,408	6,667	4,111	27,753	3,091	4,954	8,796	10,570	6,526	29,890	6,831	2,815	20,740	7,463	38,993	1,962
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	97%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	154,679	8,691	6,032	11,592	4,766	2,811	20,105	2,138	3,771	6,371	6,648	4,133	21,053	4,740	1,883	15,030	5,319	28,459	1,137
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	65%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$6.34	\$6.45	\$7.21	\$7.30	\$7.48	\$8.83	\$7.60	\$7.53	\$6.75	\$6.51	\$6.93	\$7.48	\$8.06	\$7.13	\$7.16	\$7.14	\$6.97	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$833	\$870	\$964	\$968	\$997	\$1,166	\$999	\$952	\$867	\$863	\$888	\$994	\$1,028	\$905	\$930	\$963	\$908	\$858
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,573	169	69	292	209	152	256	372	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	580	52	22	154	71	67	91	108	15
Participants in a Work Activity	459	46	16	131	61	48	77	67	13
% of Enrolled	79%	88%	73%	85%	86%	72%	85%	62%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	296	24	15	70	25	36	54	59	13
% of Enrolled	51%	46%	68%	45%	35%	54%	59%	55%	87%
Full Time	224	13	15	52	16	19	49	49	11
Part Time	72	11	0	18	9	17	5	10	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.44	\$8.22	\$7.97	\$7.81	\$8.23	\$8.03	\$7.74	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,118	\$1,220	\$1,056	\$929	\$1,042	\$1,152	\$1,041	\$1,129

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	804	60	27	153	112	67	154	209	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,089	102	44	229	133	123	173	256	29
Participants in a Work Activity	1,025	99	37	219	121	119	170	236	24
% of Total Enrolled	94%	97%	84%	96%	91%	97%	98%	92%	83%
Participants Employed	556	49	26	118	49	66	99	132	17
% of Total Enrolled	51%	48%	59%	52%	37%	54%	57%	52%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.10	\$8.02	\$7.85	\$7.99	\$7.91	\$7.90	\$7.95	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$1,050	\$1,178	\$971	\$1,017	\$993	\$1,046	\$1,116	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	76%	77%	74%	67%	97%	81%	63%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,051	1,738	1,231	2,557	2,494	1,781	3,448	4,224	578
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,969	1,324	961	1,976	2,012	1,323	2,634	3,282	457
Participants in a Work Activity	13,464	1,246	905	1,961	1,912	1,301	2,545	3,174	420
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,691	711	578	1,285	1,207	766	1,759	2,049	336
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	62%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.34	\$6.49	\$6.36	\$6.14	\$6.50	\$6.64	\$6.23	\$6.35	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$833	\$847	\$846	\$801	\$859	\$892	\$821	\$835	\$756
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	54%	72%	67%	77%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	877	12	92	32	189	196	99	213	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	367	4	37	5	72	61	23	146	19
Participants in a Work Activity	311	2	32	4	63	51	19	124	16
% of Enrolled	85%	50%	86%	80%	88%	84%	83%	85%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	185	1	18	3	45	25	19	62	12
% of Enrolled	50%	25%	49%	60%	63%	41%	83%	42%	63%
Full Time	137	1	17	3	32	17	16	42	9
Part Time	48	0	1	0	13	8	3	20	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.78	\$9.00	\$7.66	\$7.83	\$7.64	\$8.03	\$7.90	\$7.76	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$1,169	\$1,032	\$1,049	\$1,008	\$892	\$1,154	\$956	\$889

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	545	9	42	16	124	105	61	171	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	741	8	79	23	149	119	66	255	42
Participants in a Work Activity	687	7	72	22	137	110	65	238	36
% of Total Enrolled	93%	88%	91%	96%	92%	92%	98%	93%	86%
Participants Employed	389	2	39	11	82	67	40	124	24
% of Total Enrolled	52%	25%	49%	48%	55%	56%	61%	49%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.08	\$7.63	\$7.73	\$7.82	\$7.90	\$7.78	\$7.97	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$996	\$1,026	\$1,109	\$1,070	\$989	\$1,068	\$970	\$916
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	25%	50%	50%	54%	67%	71%	69%	53%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,168	279	1,474	695	1,965	1,956	1,631	2,409	759
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,413	209	1,223	565	1,666	1,628	1,298	2,157	667
Participants in a Work Activity	9,040	196	1,131	528	1,610	1,589	1,276	2,078	632
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,032	122	812	367	971	1,020	868	1,455	417
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	66%	65%	58%	63%	67%	67%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.45	\$6.38	\$6.24	\$6.43	\$6.33	\$6.68	\$6.42	\$6.54	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$874	\$836	\$861	\$872	\$899	\$851	\$877	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	55%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,297	92	38	11	46	209	47	283	169	245	79	1,078
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	712	31	8	2	17	77	9	107	34	83	32	312
Participants in a Work Activity	487	24	1	2	13	56	8	77	32	65	23	186
% of Enrolled	68%	77%	13%	100%	76%	73%	89%	72%	94%	78%	72%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	2	0
Participants Employed	392	17	1	2	8	36	5	73	27	43	19	161
% of Enrolled	55%	55%	13%	100%	47%	47%	56%	68%	79%	52%	59%	52%
Full Time	301	8	1	1	7	22	3	66	25	35	15	118
Part Time	91	9	0	1	1	14	2	7	2	8	4	43
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.79	\$8.24	\$8.38	\$8.03	\$8.41	\$7.52	\$8.74	\$7.98	\$8.20	\$8.23	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$896	\$1,213	\$1,249	\$1,182	\$1,092	\$939	\$1,237	\$1,185	\$1,091	\$1,129	\$1,091

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,389	54	25	2	28	116	24	180	94	126	58	682
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,685	79	26	3	33	181	25	223	100	188	76	751
Participants in a Work Activity	1,440	74	14	3	30	159	23	191	92	160	62	632
% of Total Enrolled	85%	94%	54%	100%	91%	88%	92%	86%	92%	85%	82%	84%
Participants Employed	901	46	9	2	16	83	14	150	54	109	41	377
% of Total Enrolled	53%	58%	35%	67%	48%	46%	56%	67%	54%	58%	54%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.13	\$9.06	\$8.38	\$8.47	\$8.43	\$7.58	\$8.51	\$8.00	\$8.44	\$7.95	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,001	\$1,279	\$1,241	\$1,154	\$1,134	\$969	\$1,197	\$1,125	\$1,070	\$1,038	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	63%	60%	100%	67%	78%	67%	72%	71%	60%	70%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,246	1,214	379	100	575	1,971	566	3,144	1,924	2,451	881	9,041
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,246	933	243	57	426	1,534	412	2,577	1,533	1,979	690	6,862
Participants in a Work Activity	16,408	899	211	56	410	1,384	404	2,529	1,471	1,860	661	6,523
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,592	653	108	28	276	945	239	2,006	971	1,461	478	4,427
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	44%	49%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	69%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$6.96	\$7.49	\$7.30	\$7.10	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$7.06	\$6.88	\$7.71	\$7.09	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$847	\$957	\$1,048	\$945	\$958	\$955	\$950	\$952	\$1,040	\$915	\$979
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	55%	59%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	790	461	3	3	72	251
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	279	156	0	0	21	102
Participants in a Work Activity	221	119	N/A	N/A	15	87
% of Enrolled	79%	76%	N/A	N/A	71%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	2
Participants Employed	187	102	N/A	N/A	11	74
% of Enrolled	67%	65%	N/A	N/A	52%	73%
Full Time	163	88	N/A	N/A	8	67
Part Time	24	14	N/A	N/A	3	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.25	N/A	N/A	\$8.44	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,166	\$1,150	N/A	N/A	\$1,025	\$1,209

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	498	285	1	0	26	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	641	385	1	0	42	213
Participants in a Work Activity	589	344	1	N/A	37	207
% of Total Enrolled	92%	89%	100%	N/A	88%	97%
Participants Employed	420	241	0	N/A	26	153
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	0%	N/A	62%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.18	N/A	N/A	\$8.34	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,145	\$1,104	N/A	N/A	\$1,037	\$1,226
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	60%	N/A	N/A	70%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,785	4,706	80	23	759	3,217
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,837	3,721	51	8	571	2,486
Participants in a Work Activity	6,667	3,652	51	8	527	2,429
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,766	2,502	32	6	401	1,825
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.28	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.83	\$7.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$968	\$717	\$784	\$871	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	412	13	96	49	43	116	95	264	169	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	156	2	45	21	12	42	34	106	46	60
Participants in a Work Activity	126	0	33	21	10	37	25	63	34	29
% of Enrolled	81%	0	73%	100%	83%	88%	74%	59%	74%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	96	0	29	14	6	26	21	47	25	22
% of Enrolled	62%	0	64%	67%	50%	62%	62%	44%	54%	37%
Full Time	83	N/A	23	13	6	25	16	27	16	11
Part Time	13	N/A	6	1	0	1	5	20	9	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.51	N/A	\$9.14	\$8.02	\$8.28	\$7.89	\$8.80	\$7.61	\$7.72	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,143	N/A	\$1,229	\$1,080	\$1,159	\$1,094	\$1,121	\$891	\$954	\$820

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	236	8	50	38	15	68	57	139	95	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	343	5	104	49	23	80	82	211	117	94
Participants in a Work Activity	294	1	86	48	20	71	68	181	101	80
% of Total Enrolled	86%	20%	83%	98%	87%	89%	83%	86%	86%	85%
Participants Employed	195	1	59	25	11	47	52	107	57	50
% of Total Enrolled	57%	20%	57%	51%	48%	59%	63%	51%	49%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$12.00	\$8.77	\$8.51	\$8.81	\$8.03	\$8.30	\$7.79	\$7.83	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,139	\$1,909	\$1,216	\$1,188	\$1,283	\$1,068	\$1,058	\$939	\$969	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	50%	69%	73%	60%	86%	51%	80%	73%	90%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,784	222	1,278	870	697	1,387	1,330	2,706	1,730	976
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,343	135	963	708	429	1,053	1,055	2,033	1,273	760
Participants in a Work Activity	4,111	124	903	692	416	976	1,000	1,962	1,238	724
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,811	77	556	489	252	711	726	1,137	649	488
% of Total Enrolled	65%	57%	58%	69%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.56	\$7.89	\$7.39	\$6.86	\$7.51	\$7.42	\$6.86	\$6.92	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$1,005	\$1,028	\$998	\$945	\$1,012	\$975	\$858	\$864	\$850
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	60%	69%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,159	197	1,187	185	993	406	152	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,241	71	462	129	379	163	26	11
Participants in a Work Activity	1,010	58	407	89	292	132	26	6
% of Enrolled	81%	82%	88%	69%	77%	81%	100%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	2	2	0	5	0	0	0
Participants Employed	670	38	237	66	220	85	19	5
% of Enrolled	54%	54%	51%	51%	58%	52%	73%	45%
Full Time	496	22	169	45	175	65	15	5
Part Time	174	16	68	21	45	20	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.00	\$9.55	\$10.24	\$10.85	\$9.74	\$9.80	\$8.54	\$11.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,293	\$1,084	\$1,335	\$1,344	\$1,292	\$1,251	\$1,120	\$1,655

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,799	111	671	118	571	238	66	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,480	143	946	218	800	277	72	24
Participants in a Work Activity	2,219	130	867	194	698	245	66	19
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	92%	89%	87%	88%	92%	79%
Participants Employed	1,406	87	523	131	472	139	44	10
% of Total Enrolled	57%	61%	55%	60%	59%	50%	61%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.12	\$9.69	\$10.24	\$11.03	\$9.87	\$10.08	\$9.55	\$9.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,309	\$1,161	\$1,320	\$1,433	\$1,300	\$1,275	\$1,296	\$1,407
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	71%	74%	81%	75%	87%	60%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	37,236	3,056	13,304	2,679	11,889	4,243	1,590	475
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,789	2,404	10,073	2,148	9,271	3,325	1,220	348
Participants in a Work Activity	27,753	2,386	9,708	2,049	8,907	3,172	1,189	342
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	20,105	1,721	6,749	1,644	6,653	2,316	784	238
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.83	\$8.39	\$8.91	\$9.43	\$8.88	\$8.49	\$8.32	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,166	\$1,075	\$1,172	\$1,218	\$1,199	\$1,087	\$1,112	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	344	142	74	22	93	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	118	54	25	6	31	2
Participants in a Work Activity	84	41	17	4	20	2
% of Enrolled	71%	76%	68%	67%	65%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	56	32	12	1	11	0
% of Enrolled	47%	59%	48%	17%	35%	0%
Full Time	47	28	9	1	9	N/A
Part Time	9	4	3	0	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.34	\$7.84	\$10.00	\$9.41	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,161	\$1,130	\$1,099	\$1,299	\$1,309	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	193	92	50	15	32	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	243	116	61	17	42	7
Participants in a Work Activity	221	109	53	17	35	7
% of Total Enrolled	91%	94%	87%	100%	83%	100%
Participants Employed	137	74	36	6	19	2
% of Total Enrolled	56%	64%	59%	35%	45%	29%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.65	\$9.30	\$7.96	\$8.72	\$9.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,180	\$1,145	\$1,288	\$1,067	\$1,128	\$1,371
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	71%	63%	14%	92%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,222	1,649	1,234	387	839	113
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,203	1,208	950	289	680	76
Participants in a Work Activity	3,091	1,168	934	282	635	72
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	98%	98%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,138	785	655	172	482	44
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	60%	71%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.60	\$7.95	\$7.22	\$7.27	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$983	\$1,041	\$1,006	\$966	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	66%	68%	59%	60%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	583	132	45	32	94	34	246
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	277	54	18	8	37	12	148
Participants in a Work Activity	239	51	15	6	27	9	131
% of Enrolled	86%	94%	83%	75%	73%	75%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	168	37	11	4	21	9	86
% of Enrolled	61%	69%	61%	50%	57%	75%	58%
Full Time	109	28	5	0	16	4	56
Part Time	59	9	6	4	5	5	30
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$8.57	\$8.30	\$8.03	\$9.22	\$8.50	\$8.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,146	\$911	\$798	\$1,278	\$918	\$1,076

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	362	108	15	20	48	20	151
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	542	113	32	30	84	24	259
Participants in a Work Activity	509	110	31	28	73	23	244
% of Total Enrolled	94%	97%	97%	93%	87%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	353	78	22	16	54	15	168
% of Total Enrolled	65%	69%	69%	53%	64%	63%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.76	\$8.83	\$9.52	\$8.27	\$8.68	\$8.11	\$8.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,167	\$1,240	\$937	\$1,021	\$933	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	73%	71%	77%	79%	47%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,508	1,547	304	499	1,033	342	2,783
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,070	1,132	219	360	774	245	2,340
Participants in a Work Activity	4,954	1,107	214	342	745	242	2,304
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	96%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,771	813	143	269	485	150	1,911
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	65%	75%	63%	61%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.93	\$8.01	\$7.41	\$7.75	\$7.88	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$1,020	\$1,071	\$914	\$986	\$1,018	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	62%	56%	63%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,158	81	103	198	245	531
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	462	17	45	85	119	196
Participants in a Work Activity	347	15	45	76	82	129
% of Enrolled	75%	88%	100%	89%	69%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	246	14	27	46	53	106
% of Enrolled	53%	82%	60%	54%	45%	54%
Full Time	159	9	16	30	41	63
Part Time	87	5	11	16	12	43
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.11	\$8.24	\$8.37	\$8.12	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$1,146	\$931	\$977	\$1,064	\$1,004

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	593	40	47	108	120	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	902	41	82	148	234	397
Participants in a Work Activity	811	36	77	131	216	351
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	94%	89%	92%	88%
Participants Employed	527	26	55	86	130	230
% of Total Enrolled	58%	63%	67%	58%	56%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.24	\$8.28	\$8.35	\$8.30	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$1,112	\$1,029	\$1,029	\$1,022	\$938
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	70%	79%	59%	70%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,199	1,034	883	2,268	2,606	4,408
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,304	827	774	1,818	2,245	3,640
Participants in a Work Activity	8,796	788	762	1,689	2,118	3,439
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,371	551	544	1,182	1,546	2,548
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.95	\$6.45	\$6.83	\$6.94	\$6.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$867	\$885	\$883	\$868	\$905	\$839
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	59%	56%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,320	198	409	89	205	419
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	369	55	134	49	37	94
Participants in a Work Activity	279	28	102	46	27	76
% of Enrolled	76%	51%	76%	94%	73%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	160	21	69	20	17	33
% of Enrolled	43%	38%	51%	41%	46%	35%
Full Time	126	14	56	12	14	30
Part Time	34	7	13	8	3	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.81	\$8.03	\$7.96	\$7.53	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,016	\$1,075	\$999	\$1,021	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	731	90	238	62	114	227
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	843	108	290	94	108	243
Participants in a Work Activity	750	91	248	85	98	228
% of Total Enrolled	89%	84%	86%	90%	91%	94%
Participants Employed	376	41	154	51	39	91
% of Total Enrolled	45%	38%	53%	54%	36%	37%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.52	\$7.95	\$7.92	\$7.82	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,139	\$953	\$995	\$1,013	\$1,127
Three Months Employment ⁸	58%	58%	60%	63%	51%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,614	2,085	3,679	1,336	2,250	4,264
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,921	1,729	2,839	1,153	1,713	3,487
Participants in a Work Activity	10,570	1,672	2,721	1,086	1,686	3,405
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,648	964	1,809	777	914	2,184
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.51	\$6.47	\$6.92	\$6.39	\$6.50	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$863	\$853	\$940	\$828	\$876	\$813
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	948	53	92	62	77	59	146	51	153	128	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	398	23	40	15	38	33	59	22	34	71	63
Participants in a Work Activity	312	22	27	11	34	29	54	14	28	40	53
% of Enrolled	78%	96%	68%	73%	89%	88%	92%	64%	82%	56%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	177	15	22	9	16	10	24	4	20	27	30
% of Enrolled	44%	65%	55%	60%	42%	30%	41%	18%	59%	38%	48%
Full Time	129	10	16	7	12	8	13	3	15	20	25
Part Time	48	5	6	2	4	2	11	1	5	7	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.05	\$8.26	\$8.19	\$8.03	\$8.14	\$7.60	\$8.19	\$8.17	\$7.76	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$961	#####	\$1,074	\$1,043	\$1,024	\$943	\$1,071	\$1,065	\$976	\$1,108

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	569	26	56	40	40	34	77	33	86	98	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	810	41	89	50	69	51	122	42	94	130	122
Participants in a Work Activity	729	35	79	44	62	48	116	37	91	100	117
% of Total Enrolled	90%	85%	89%	88%	90%	94%	95%	88%	97%	77%	96%
Participants Employed	387	28	40	23	29	23	59	14	46	61	64
% of Total Enrolled	48%	68%	45%	46%	42%	45%	48%	33%	49%	47%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.44	\$8.04	\$8.44	\$8.38	\$9.14	\$8.04	\$8.14	\$8.26	\$8.06	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,035	#####	\$1,161	\$932	\$1,189	\$932	\$976	\$1,074	\$989	\$1,138
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	40%	86%	50%	88%	67%	69%	73%	47%	80%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,695	556	1,057	828	754	586	1,014	417	1,252	1,076	1,155
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,810	410	846	636	564	434	805	331	995	838	951
Participants in a Work Activity	6,526	390	844	600	526	414	772	326	956	771	927
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	94%	93%	95%	96%	98%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,133	253	480	361	292	247	478	157	653	552	660
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	57%	57%	52%	57%	59%	47%	66%	66%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.93	\$7.54	\$6.39	\$7.15	\$7.34	\$7.49	\$6.90	\$6.65	\$6.71	\$6.93	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$888	\$961	\$836	\$958	\$922	\$967	\$833	\$821	\$848	\$907	\$894
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	67%	60%	59%	57%	65%	60%	67%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,611	897	26	120	1,270	48	2,250
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,750	316	9	51	578	18	778
Participants in a Work Activity	1,318	247	7	35	411	12	606
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	78%	69%	71%	67%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	1	0	2	2	0	11
Participants Employed	935	192	5	32	312	8	386
% of Enrolled	53%	61%	56%	63%	54%	44%	50%
Full Time	701	159	4	26	209	6	297
Part Time	234	33	1	6	103	2	89
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$8.97	\$10.35	\$8.38	\$8.72	\$8.36	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,141	\$1,250	\$1,465	\$1,111	\$1,111	\$1,131	\$1,110

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,254	460	10	57	629	34	1,064
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,237	611	18	105	975	35	1,493
Participants in a Work Activity	2,781	530	14	80	825	30	1,302
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	78%	76%	85%	86%	87%
Participants Employed	1,756	335	9	65	552	20	775
% of Total Enrolled	54%	55%	50%	62%	57%	57%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$9.04	\$9.17	\$8.81	\$8.71	\$8.63	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,109	\$1,222	\$1,348	\$1,076	\$1,066	\$1,125	\$1,091
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	80%	89%	81%	75%	53%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	39,592	8,321	302	1,397	9,981	401	19,190
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	31,140	6,333	232	1,000	8,132	299	15,144
Participants in a Work Activity	29,890	6,124	220	925	7,687	279	14,655
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	21,053	4,002	154	643	5,504	164	10,586
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	64%	68%	55%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.79	\$7.34	\$8.29	\$7.79	\$7.83	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$1,040	\$941	\$1,056	\$1,025	\$986	\$963
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	66%	66%	66%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,031	164	48	373	287	159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	514	94	24	167	132	97
Participants in a Work Activity	373	64	20	109	109	71
% of Enrolled	73%	68%	83%	65%	83%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	265	55	16	84	53	57
% of Enrolled	52%	59%	67%	50%	40%	59%
Full Time	190	46	10	58	42	34
Part Time	75	9	6	26	11	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.79	\$8.78	\$8.84	\$8.50	\$9.45	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$1,213	\$1,011	\$1,041	\$1,268	\$1,084

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	638	100	32	220	162	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	910	177	48	285	226	174
Participants in a Work Activity	777	139	46	240	198	154
% of Total Enrolled	85%	79%	96%	84%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	508	95	29	163	110	111
% of Total Enrolled	56%	54%	60%	57%	49%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.80	\$8.60	\$8.93	\$8.32	\$9.64	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,156	\$1,058	\$1,002	\$1,301	\$1,066
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	84%	50%	67%	68%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	9,704	1,471	586	2,978	2,796	1,873
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,241	1,049	411	2,307	1,880	1,594
Participants in a Work Activity	6,831	998	390	2,127	1,796	1,520
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,740	640	242	1,570	1,102	1,186
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.70	\$7.56	\$8.03	\$8.72	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$975	\$927	\$1,019	\$1,144	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	67%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	411	80	27	24	37	22	70	37	38	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	171	27	12	12	9	9	50	10	18	24
Participants in a Work Activity	139	20	10	12	8	9	44	6	11	19
% of Enrolled	81%	74%	83%	100%	89%	100%	88%	60%	61%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	113	18	3	9	7	9	41	3	11	12
% of Enrolled	66%	67%	25%	75%	78%	100%	82%	30%	61%	50%
Full Time	90	17	1	6	5	7	33	2	7	12
Part Time	23	1	2	3	2	2	8	1	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.75	\$10.17	\$9.33	\$7.74	\$7.01	\$7.50	\$8.42	\$8.18	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,272	\$1,209	\$1,361	\$974	\$936	\$1,025	\$805	\$1,002	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	202	39	13	17	19	8	32	21	17	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	304	53	14	29	24	19	73	22	26	44
Participants in a Work Activity	270	51	14	24	21	18	68	18	19	37
% of Total Enrolled	89%	96%	100%	83%	88%	95%	93%	82%	73%	84%
Participants Employed	184	30	4	15	19	16	54	10	13	23
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	29%	52%	79%	84%	74%	45%	50%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.20	\$10.25	\$8.98	\$7.84	\$7.57	\$7.54	\$8.67	\$8.20	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$998	\$1,296	\$1,244	\$917	\$992	\$947	\$730	\$1,104	\$1,013
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	62%	50%	71%	50%	78%	93%	50%	64%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,966	609	291	355	432	218	490	353	360	858
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,995	486	200	294	340	155	365	289	226	640
Participants in a Work Activity	2,815	466	190	280	322	148	342	278	209	580
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%	94%	96%	92%	91%
Participants Employed	1,883	324	100	175	229	104	250	161	136	404
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	50%	60%	67%	67%	68%	56%	60%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.14	\$7.14	\$7.58	\$6.92	\$7.09	\$7.14	\$6.84	\$7.13	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$878	\$887	\$996	\$863	\$909	\$911	\$856	\$937	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	45%	59%	51%	71%	73%	51%	42%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,020	14	103	141	49	125	1,105	1,457	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,204	2	27	57	28	78	482	512	18
Participants in a Work Activity	990	1	25	43	22	61	406	421	11
% of Enrolled	82%	50%	93%	75%	79%	78%	84%	82%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	3	0	1	7	4	2
Participants Employed	669	1	20	30	18	38	244	311	7
% of Enrolled	56%	50%	74%	53%	64%	49%	51%	61%	39%
Full Time	493	1	17	20	14	11	178	249	3
Part Time	176	0	3	10	4	27	66	62	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$10.00	\$7.19	\$8.51	\$8.85	\$7.97	\$8.05	\$8.38	\$9.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,732	\$889	\$1,104	\$1,109	\$831	\$1,046	\$1,144	\$1,005

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,810	1	59	85	31	70	628	906	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,505	8	67	112	37	122	909	1,207	43
Participants in a Work Activity	2,220	8	60	93	32	105	818	1,065	39
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	90%	83%	86%	86%	90%	88%	91%
Participants Employed	1,355	5	42	70	23	60	474	656	25
% of Total Enrolled	54%	63%	63%	63%	62%	49%	52%	54%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$9.32	\$7.46	\$8.36	\$8.14	\$8.22	\$8.24	\$8.38	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,425	\$920	\$1,054	\$1,012	\$854	\$1,041	\$1,119	\$1,016
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	100%	68%	54%	82%	73%	77%	73%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	28,626	170	1,162	1,265	381	1,212	9,944	14,056	436
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,813	124	834	1000	279	942	7,438	10,866	330
Participants in a Work Activity	20,740	118	774	924	266	900	7,233	10,219	306
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	92%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,030	72	538	689	159	582	5,170	7,595	225
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	69%	57%	62%	70%	70%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.16	\$7.23	\$6.93	\$7.59	\$7.62	\$7.42	\$7.16	\$7.11	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$966	\$855	\$924	\$1,022	\$895	\$925	\$941	\$915
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	57%	58%	73%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,086	134	78	37	66	285	486
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	433	17	22	22	28	148	196
Participants in a Work Activity	280	15	12	10	17	89	137
% of Enrolled	65%	88%	55%	45%	61%	60%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	0	5	1
Participants Employed	198	10	11	5	15	56	101
% of Enrolled	46%	59%	50%	23%	54%	38%	52%
Full Time	171	9	11	4	12	40	95
Part Time	27	1	0	1	3	16	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.82	\$9.16	\$8.30	\$7.88	\$9.12	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,230	\$1,387	\$1,370	\$1,085	\$1,003	\$1,261	\$1,223

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	587	65	43	25	39	160	255
Total Enrolled in VIEW	783	42	52	40	64	240	345
Participants in a Work Activity	645	39	39	32	51	195	289
% of Total Enrolled	82%	93%	75%	80%	80%	81%	84%
Participants Employed	395	27	27	14	31	108	188
% of Total Enrolled	50%	64%	52%	35%	48%	45%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.55	\$9.32	\$8.58	\$7.98	\$8.11	\$8.63	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,200	\$1,484	\$1,199	\$895	\$1,095	\$1,187	\$1,210
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	62%	52%	60%	80%	63%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,027	1,286	917	406	787	2,507	4,124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,849	846	688	332	636	2,041	3,306
Participants in a Work Activity	7,463	842	594	316	608	1,940	3,163
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,319	558	411	228	415	1,382	2,325
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	69%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.03	\$7.62	\$7.32	\$7.08	\$7.03	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$963	\$983	\$1,008	\$882	\$964	\$942	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	56%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/14 and Work Activity as of 1/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,301	117	78	881	97	1,872	990	389	877
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,006	24	29	376	35	792	355	113	282
Participants in a Work Activity	1,550	16	22	299	35	591	286	86	215
% of Enrolled	77%	67%	76%	80%	100%	75%	81%	76%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	1	0	1	0	3	4	0	3
Participants Employed	1,105	13	17	218	20	453	184	60	140
% of Enrolled	55%	54%	59%	58%	57%	57%	52%	53%	50%
Full Time	885	10	10	177	13	386	147	44	98
Part Time	220	3	7	41	7	67	37	16	42
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$7.91	\$7.82	\$8.27	\$7.48	\$8.00	\$8.05	\$7.97	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$977	\$1,016	\$1,108	\$976	\$1,030	\$1,090	\$1,055	\$1,023

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,844	54	33	466	63	956	495	201	576
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,907	63	59	696	84	1,463	660	264	618
Participants in a Work Activity	3,464	54	55	611	80	1,283	599	246	536
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	93%	88%	95%	88%	91%	93%	87%
Participants Employed	2,141	28	31	402	49	813	341	150	327
% of Total Enrolled	55%	44%	53%	58%	58%	56%	52%	57%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.78	\$7.85	\$8.35	\$7.77	\$8.07	\$8.11	\$8.16	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$941	\$998	\$1,104	\$1,020	\$1,029	\$1,062	\$1,053	\$1,073
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	63%	65%	72%	54%	78%	72%	70%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	55,673	1,276	1,043	8,995	901	17,872	8,974	3,509	13,103
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	41,218	924	838	6,678	726	13,145	7,137	2,763	9,007
Participants in a Work Activity	38,993	889	803	6,372	715	12,395	6,723	2,737	8,359
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	28,459	624	486	4,785	468	9,160	5,038	2,023	5,875
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.97	\$6.96	\$6.72	\$7.17	\$6.71	\$6.87	\$6.84	\$6.81	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$908	\$915	\$838	\$942	\$844	\$874	\$910	\$884	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	48.7%	5.79	87	\$7.83	0.81	105	73.3%	6.89	40	4.50	88
003	Albemarle	69.0%	8.20	5	\$8.83	3.02	22	72.6%	6.80	43	6.00	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	58.2%	6.91	37	\$8.13	1.48	76	63.4%	5.73	78	4.71	74
007	Amelia	68.3%	8.11	7	\$8.44	2.16	44	40.0%	3.00	116	4.42	92
009	Amherst	63.4%	7.53	16	\$8.24	1.72	64	70.4%	6.54	57	5.26	39
011	Appomattox	67.1%	7.96	9	\$8.28	1.81	61	79.3%	7.59	23	5.79	17
013	Arlington	60.8%	7.22	27	\$9.69	4.91	9	70.8%	6.60	55	6.24	8
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	62.6%	7.43	19	\$8.18	1.59	70	60.3%	5.37	86	4.80	68
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	58.1%	6.90	39	\$8.35	1.96	54	58.7%	5.18	94	4.68	76
021	Bland	25.0%	2.97	117	\$8.08	1.37	82	25.0%	1.25	117	1.86	117
023	Botetourt	34.6%	4.11	113	\$9.06	3.52	18	60.0%	5.33	88	4.32	98
025	Brunswick	44.9%	5.34	103	\$8.04	1.28	85	86.4%	8.41	11	5.01	54
027	Buchanan	48.0%	5.70	93	\$8.10	1.41	80	76.5%	7.25	30	4.79	69
029	Buckingham	46.0%	5.46	97	\$8.44	2.16	45	50.0%	4.17	106	3.93	108
031	Campbell	55.6%	6.60	54	\$8.30	1.85	58	69.7%	6.46	58	4.97	57
033	Caroline	53.7%	6.37	63	\$8.60	2.51	36	84.4%	8.18	13	5.69	24
035	Carroll	49.4%	5.86	84	\$7.63	0.37	114	50.0%	4.17	107	3.47	115
036	Charles City	62.5%	7.42	20	\$9.32	4.10	13	100.0%	10.00	1	7.17	2
037	Charlotte	42.0%	4.99	105	\$8.38	2.03	49	88.0%	8.60	9	5.21	41
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	54.8%	6.51	57	\$9.04	3.48	19	79.9%	7.66	21	5.88	16
043	Clarke	20.0%	2.38	118	\$12.00	10.00	1	50.0%	4.17	108	5.51	33
045	Craig	66.7%	7.92	10	\$8.38	2.03	50	100.0%	10.00	2	6.65	4
047	Culpeper	63.8%	7.58	14	\$8.65	2.62	33	71.0%	6.61	54	5.60	27
049	Cumberland	45.1%	5.36	101	\$9.14	3.70	17	66.7%	6.11	70	5.06	51
051	Dickensen	59.1%	7.02	31	\$8.02	1.23	89	77.3%	7.35	27	5.20	44
053	Dinwiddie	64.3%	7.63	12	\$9.32	4.10	14	61.9%	5.55	84	5.76	19
057	Essex	56.6%	6.72	50	\$8.20	1.63	68	61.9%	5.55	85	4.64	81
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.3%	6.57	55	\$10.24	6.12	4	73.7%	6.94	36	6.54	5
061	Fauquier	59.0%	7.01	32	\$9.30	4.05	15	63.3%	5.72	79	5.59	28
063	Floyd	48.5%	5.76	90	\$8.47	2.22	43	66.7%	6.11	71	4.70	75
065	Fluvanna	68.8%	8.16	6	\$9.52	4.54	12	71.4%	6.67	49	6.46	6
067	Franklin County	45.9%	5.45	98	\$8.43	2.14	47	78.3%	7.46	24	5.02	53
069	Frederick	56.7%	6.74	48	\$8.77	2.89	25	69.1%	6.39	63	5.34	38
071	Giles	56.0%	6.65	52	\$7.58	0.26	115	66.7%	6.11	72	4.34	96
073	Gloucester	62.7%	7.44	18	\$7.46	0.00	118	67.9%	6.25	66	4.56	85
075	Goochland	50.0%	5.94	82	\$9.17	3.77	16	88.9%	8.70	8	6.14	10
077	Grayson	47.8%	5.68	94	\$7.73	0.59	113	50.0%	4.17	109	3.48	114

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	<i>Greene</i>	53.3%	6.33	65	\$8.27	1.78	62	76.9%	7.31	28	5.14	46
081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	48.4%	5.74	92	\$8.04	1.28	86	69.4%	6.43	61	4.48	89
083	<i>Halifax</i>	38.0%	4.51	107	\$8.52	2.33	38	58.1%	5.11	95	3.98	106
085	<i>Hanover</i>	61.9%	7.35	24	\$8.81	2.97	23	80.9%	7.77	17	6.03	12
087	<i>Henrico</i>	56.6%	6.72	49	\$8.71	2.75	29	75.3%	7.12	32	5.53	32
089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	53.1%	6.31	67	\$7.95	1.08	95	60.3%	5.37	87	4.25	100
091	<i>Highland</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	44.4%	5.28	104	\$7.78	0.70	109	63.0%	5.68	81	3.89	109
095	<i>James City</i>	62.5%	7.42	21	\$8.36	1.98	53	53.9%	4.62	98	4.67	77
097	<i>King and Queen</i>	28.6%	3.39	115	\$10.25	6.15	3	50.0%	4.17	110	4.57	84
099	<i>King George</i>	60.4%	7.17	29	\$8.93	3.24	21	50.0%	4.17	111	4.86	63
101	<i>King William</i>	51.7%	6.14	75	\$8.98	3.35	20	70.6%	6.57	56	5.35	37
103	<i>Lancaster</i>	79.2%	9.40	2	\$7.84	0.84	104	50.0%	4.17	112	4.80	67
105	<i>Lee</i>	51.5%	6.12	78	\$7.85	0.86	102	74.1%	6.97	35	4.65	79
107	<i>Loudoun</i>	60.1%	7.14	30	\$11.03	7.86	2	80.5%	7.73	18	7.58	1
109	<i>Louisa</i>	64.3%	7.63	13	\$8.68	2.69	30	79.5%	7.61	22	5.98	14
111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	33.3%	3.96	114	\$8.14	1.50	74	72.7%	6.82	41	4.09	102
113	<i>Madison</i>	35.3%	4.19	111	\$7.96	1.10	94	14.3%	0.00	118	1.76	118
115	<i>Mathews</i>	84.2%	10.00	1	\$7.57	0.24	116	77.8%	7.41	25	5.88	15
117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	48.9%	5.81	86	\$8.26	1.76	63	46.7%	3.78	114	3.78	111
119	<i>Middlesex</i>	74.0%	8.78	3	\$7.54	0.18	117	93.3%	9.22	5	6.06	11
121	<i>Montgomery</i>	67.3%	7.99	8	\$8.51	2.31	40	72.5%	6.79	46	5.70	23
125	<i>Nelson</i>	62.5%	7.42	22	\$8.11	1.43	77	46.7%	3.78	115	4.21	101
127	<i>New Kent</i>	62.2%	7.38	23	\$8.14	1.50	75	81.8%	7.88	15	5.59	29
131	<i>Northampton</i>	53.2%	6.32	66	\$7.74	0.62	112	90.0%	8.83	7	5.26	40
133	<i>Northumberland</i>	45.5%	5.40	99	\$8.67	2.67	31	50.0%	4.17	113	4.08	103
135	<i>Nottoway</i>	46.9%	5.57	96	\$8.06	1.32	84	80.4%	7.72	19	4.87	62
137	<i>Orange</i>	45.2%	5.37	100	\$8.72	2.78	28	91.7%	9.03	6	5.73	21
139	<i>Page</i>	51.0%	6.06	79	\$8.51	2.31	41	72.7%	6.82	42	5.06	50
141	<i>Patrick</i>	54.3%	6.44	60	\$7.92	1.01	98	62.5%	5.62	83	4.36	93
143	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	36.1%	4.29	110	\$7.82	0.79	106	51.4%	4.33	104	3.14	116
145	<i>Powhatan</i>	57.1%	6.79	45	\$8.63	2.58	34	52.6%	4.47	101	4.61	82
147	<i>Prince Edward</i>	52.5%	6.23	70	\$8.18	1.59	71	71.4%	6.67	50	4.83	65
149	<i>Prince George</i>	51.9%	6.17	73	\$8.58	2.47	37	52.2%	4.42	103	4.35	94
153	<i>Prince William</i>	59.0%	7.01	33	\$9.87	5.31	7	74.7%	7.04	34	6.45	7
155	<i>Pulaski</i>	54.0%	6.41	61	\$8.00	1.19	90	71.1%	6.63	53	4.74	72
157	<i>Rappahannock</i>	28.6%	3.39	116	\$9.75	5.04	8	100.0%	10.00	3	6.15	9
159	<i>Richmond County</i>	50.0%	5.94	83	\$8.20	1.63	69	64.3%	5.83	77	4.47	90
161	<i>Roanoke County</i>	58.0%	6.88	40	\$8.44	2.16	46	59.8%	5.31	92	4.78	70
163	<i>Rockbridge/BV/Lex</i>	61.9%	7.35	25	\$8.34	1.94	56	75.0%	7.08	33	5.46	34
165	<i>Rockingham/H'burg</i>	71.8%	8.53	4	\$8.67	2.67	32	66.9%	6.14	69	5.78	18
167	<i>Russell</i>	36.8%	4.38	109	\$7.99	1.17	91	66.7%	6.11	73	3.88	110
169	<i>Scott</i>	53.7%	6.37	64	\$7.91	0.99	99	97.4%	9.69	4	5.69	25
171	<i>Shenandoah</i>	47.8%	5.68	95	\$8.81	2.97	24	60.0%	5.33	89	4.66	78

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	55.0%	6.54	56	\$7.82	0.79	107	53.6%	4.58	100	3.97	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	52.5%	6.24	69	\$7.85	0.86	103	65.2%	5.94	76	4.35	95
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.2%	6.79	44	\$8.32	1.89	57	67.4%	6.19	68	4.96	58
179	<i>Stafford</i>	48.7%	5.78	88	\$9.64	4.80	10	68.1%	6.28	65	5.62	26
181	<i>Surry</i>	35.0%	4.16	112	\$7.98	1.15	92	60.0%	5.33	90	3.54	113
183	<i>Sussex</i>	48.4%	5.75	91	\$8.11	1.43	78	80.0%	7.67	20	4.95	59
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	57.2%	6.80	43	\$7.90	0.97	100	81.3%	7.81	16	5.19	45
187	<i>Warren</i>	58.8%	6.98	34	\$8.03	1.26	87	86.1%	8.38	12	5.54	31
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.3%	6.69	51	\$7.90	0.97	101	66.7%	6.11	74	4.59	83
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	52.3%	6.21	71	\$8.17	1.56	72	76.2%	7.22	31	5.00	55
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.6%	6.12	77	\$7.95	1.08	96	63.3%	5.72	80	4.31	99
197	<i>Wythe</i>	60.6%	7.20	28	\$7.78	0.70	110	71.4%	6.67	51	4.86	64
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	49.2%	5.84	85	\$8.22	1.67	67	73.5%	6.90	39	4.81	66
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.2%	5.96	81	\$10.08	5.77	5	87.3%	8.51	10	6.75	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.6%	5.77	89	\$7.97	1.12	93	68.9%	6.37	64	4.42	91
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	64.9%	7.70	11	\$8.74	2.82	27	71.3%	6.65	52	5.72	22
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.8%	6.86	42	\$8.35	1.96	55	72.5%	6.79	45	5.20	42
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.4%	4.45	108	\$8.24	1.72	65	56.3%	4.91	96	3.69	112
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	58.3%	6.93	36	\$7.77	0.68	111	53.7%	4.59	99	4.07	104
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	63.8%	7.58	15	\$8.75	2.84	26	67.7%	6.24	67	5.55	30
640	<i>Galax</i>	57.1%	6.79	46	\$7.80	0.75	108	52.6%	4.47	102	4.00	105
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.1%	6.19	72	\$8.24	1.72	66	76.7%	7.28	29	5.06	49
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	45.0%	5.34	102	\$8.63	2.58	35	62.8%	5.66	82	4.53	87
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	57.9%	6.88	41	\$8.03	1.26	88	66.3%	6.07	75	4.73	73
683	<i>Manassas</i>	61.1%	7.26	26	\$9.55	4.60	11	60.0%	5.33	91	5.73	20
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	41.7%	4.95	106	\$9.88	5.33	6	55.6%	4.82	97	5.03	52
700	<i>Newport News</i>	54.3%	6.45	59	\$8.38	2.03	51	73.5%	6.91	38	5.13	47
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.6%	6.60	53	\$8.07	1.34	83	77.5%	7.38	26	5.11	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	58.6%	6.96	35	\$8.10	1.41	81	82.4%	7.94	14	5.44	35
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.5%	6.47	58	\$8.52	2.33	39	72.6%	6.80	44	5.20	43
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	51.7%	6.14	76	\$8.11	1.43	79	72.2%	6.76	48	4.78	71
750	<i>Radford</i>	53.9%	6.41	62	\$7.95	1.08	97	69.7%	6.46	59	4.65	80
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	51.9%	6.16	74	\$8.37	2.00	52	72.4%	6.78	47	4.98	56
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	50.2%	5.96	80	\$8.29	1.83	60	58.8%	5.19	93	4.33	97
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	56.8%	6.75	47	\$8.16	1.54	73	69.6%	6.46	60	4.92	61
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	52.9%	6.28	68	\$8.41	2.09	48	69.2%	6.40	62	4.93	60
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	58.1%	6.90	38	\$8.48	2.25	42	73.7%	6.93	37	5.36	36
840	<i>Winchester</i>	63.4%	7.53	17	\$8.30	1.85	59	51.0%	4.29	105	4.56	86

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.0%	1.76	116	\$864	2.93	93	65.0%	5.55	49	3.41	107
003	Albemarle	71.8%	7.35	11	\$1,020	6.05	18	57.4%	3.70	101	5.70	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.0%	6.86	21	\$847	2.59	104	58.4%	3.93	91	4.46	78
007	Amelia	61.7%	4.64	83	\$961	4.88	44	60.6%	4.46	74	4.66	70
009	Amherst	66.6%	5.96	52	\$885	3.36	80	59.3%	4.15	82	4.49	77
011	Appomattox	70.3%	6.94	18	\$883	3.30	83	55.8%	3.31	104	4.52	76
013	Arlington	71.6%	7.29	14	\$1,075	7.14	8	69.1%	6.53	22	6.99	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.12	46	\$968	5.01	38	66.7%	5.95	38	5.70	30
017	Bath	62.7%	4.92	76	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.64	72	3.18	114
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.53	62	\$868	3.00	92	59.9%	4.30	77	4.28	88
021	Bland	58.4%	3.74	97	\$874	3.13	89	55.0%	3.09	106	3.32	110
023	Botetourt	44.4%	0.00	120	\$957	4.78	48	54.6%	3.00	107	2.59	118
025	Brunswick	56.7%	3.30	109	\$836	2.38	109	67.1%	6.05	35	3.91	99
027	Buchanan	53.7%	2.49	113	\$847	2.60	103	64.9%	5.52	51	3.54	106
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.31	108	\$958	4.81	46	59.6%	4.23	80	4.12	92
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.56	32	\$905	3.76	74	63.4%	5.15	60	5.16	53
033	Caroline	61.0%	4.45	86	\$975	5.15	35	58.9%	4.05	86	4.55	74
035	Carroll	66.4%	5.90	53	\$836	2.38	110	53.9%	2.83	111	3.70	102
036	Charles City	58.1%	3.66	99	\$966	4.98	40	50.9%	2.10	115	3.58	104
037	Charlotte	51.8%	1.97	115	\$922	4.09	65	58.5%	3.96	88	3.34	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.04	74	\$1,040	6.45	13	69.0%	6.52	23	6.00	21
043	Clarke	57.0%	3.38	105	\$1,005	5.76	24	58.0%	3.83	97	4.32	86
045	Craig	49.1%	1.26	118	\$1,048	6.60	11	59.3%	4.14	83	4.00	94
047	Culpeper	65.0%	5.52	63	\$983	5.32	31	66.2%	5.82	43	5.55	35
049	Cumberland	56.9%	3.35	107	\$967	4.98	39	57.5%	3.71	100	4.01	93
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.22	88	\$846	2.57	105	54.5%	2.97	109	3.25	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.0%	5.78	55	\$983	5.31	32	57.9%	3.82	98	4.97	58
057	Essex	66.7%	5.97	51	\$878	3.21	85	48.6%	1.54	117	3.57	105
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.0%	6.06	48	\$1,172	9.07	3	69.3%	6.59	19	7.24	5
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.58	30	\$1,041	6.46	12	68.5%	6.39	27	6.48	10
063	Floyd	64.8%	5.47	65	\$945	4.55	53	54.5%	2.98	108	4.33	84
065	Fluvanna	65.3%	5.60	58	\$1,071	7.06	9	62.0%	4.80	68	5.82	25
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.61	84	\$958	4.80	47	58.4%	3.92	92	4.44	79
069	Frederick	57.7%	3.57	104	\$1,028	6.20	15	61.3%	4.65	70	4.81	66
071	Giles	58.0%	3.64	100	\$955	4.74	49	52.4%	2.46	113	3.62	103
073	Gloucester	64.5%	5.39	67	\$855	2.75	98	57.1%	3.62	102	3.92	98
075	Goochland	66.4%	5.89	54	\$941	4.47	56	65.7%	5.71	47	5.36	43
077	Grayson	65.0%	5.51	64	\$861	2.87	95	60.9%	4.55	73	4.31	87

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.7%	8.13	5	\$914	3.94	69	55.5%	3.23	105	5.10	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.4%	4.01	93	\$833	2.31	112	64.7%	5.47	52	3.93	97
083	Halifax	55.8%	3.04	110	\$853	2.71	99	58.4%	3.94	90	3.23	113
085	Hanover	64.3%	5.33	69	\$1,056	6.77	10	66.1%	5.82	44	5.97	22
087	Henrico	67.7%	6.24	40	\$1,025	6.14	16	66.5%	5.89	41	6.09	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.18	72	\$940	4.45	58	63.8%	5.25	57	4.96	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.21	4	\$784	1.33	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.51	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.5%	6.20	41	\$915	3.95	67	59.1%	4.11	85	4.76	67
095	James City	68.9%	6.57	31	\$924	4.12	64	58.2%	3.87	95	4.86	64
097	King and Queen	50.0%	1.49	117	\$887	3.40	79	45.4%	0.76	119	1.88	120
099	King George	58.9%	3.88	94	\$927	4.19	60	58.1%	3.86	96	3.98	95
101	King William	59.5%	4.05	91	\$996	5.56	26	58.7%	4.00	87	4.54	75
103	Lancaster	67.4%	6.15	45	\$863	2.92	94	51.5%	2.25	114	3.77	101
105	Lee	65.0%	5.53	61	\$801	1.68	117	72.1%	7.27	10	4.83	65
107	Loudoun	76.5%	8.62	3	\$1,218	10.00	1	72.2%	7.29	9	8.64	1
109	Louisa	62.7%	4.89	77	\$986	5.36	30	63.4%	5.15	61	5.14	55
111	Lunenburg	47.4%	0.80	119	\$821	2.08	114	60.3%	4.40	75	2.43	119
113	Madison	59.5%	4.05	92	\$1,006	5.77	23	59.2%	4.12	84	4.65	71
115	Mathews	67.1%	6.09	47	\$909	3.83	72	70.8%	6.96	13	5.62	34
117	Mecklenburg	65.6%	5.69	57	\$848	2.62	102	66.6%	5.92	40	4.74	68
119	Middlesex	68.5%	6.46	35	\$911	3.88	70	73.1%	7.51	7	5.95	24
121	Montgomery	77.8%	8.97	2	\$950	4.65	51	63.5%	5.17	59	6.26	15
125	Nelson	61.2%	4.51	85	\$1,018	6.01	20	63.5%	5.17	58	5.23	47
127	New Kent	57.0%	3.37	106	\$1,022	6.09	17	72.7%	7.42	8	5.63	33
131	Northampton	64.2%	5.31	71	\$850	2.65	101	79.8%	9.15	2	5.70	28
133	Northumberland	55.7%	3.03	111	\$856	2.77	97	50.7%	2.06	116	2.62	117
135	Nottoway	65.9%	5.76	56	\$907	3.80	73	69.9%	6.73	17	5.43	39
137	Orange	70.9%	7.10	15	\$966	4.97	41	60.1%	4.33	76	5.47	38
139	Page	69.1%	6.62	29	\$998	5.61	25	59.7%	4.26	78	5.49	37
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.16	44	\$828	2.21	113	74.1%	7.74	6	5.37	41
143	Pittsylvania	53.4%	2.39	114	\$876	3.17	87	59.5%	4.19	81	3.25	112
145	Powhatan	54.8%	2.80	112	\$986	5.37	29	62.7%	4.97	65	4.38	82
147	Prince Edward	69.4%	6.70	27	\$894	3.54	77	68.1%	6.29	29	5.51	36
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.11	90	\$1,008	5.81	22	57.9%	3.81	99	4.58	73
153	Prince William	71.8%	7.34	12	\$1,199	9.62	2	70.3%	6.82	14	7.93	2
155	Pulaski	63.3%	5.08	73	\$952	4.69	50	53.4%	2.73	112	4.16	89
157	Rappahannock	57.9%	3.61	103	\$973	5.11	36	47.6%	1.31	118	3.35	108
159	Richmond County	60.2%	4.23	87	\$937	4.38	59	42.2%	0.00	120	2.87	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.89	7	\$1,040	6.44	14	58.3%	3.90	93	6.08	20
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.2%	6.93	19	\$871	3.08	91	67.2%	6.07	34	5.36	42
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	7.78	9	\$991	5.47	28	67.6%	6.17	32	6.48	11
167	Russell	60.0%	4.18	89	\$859	2.84	96	66.7%	5.95	39	4.32	85
169	Scott	57.9%	3.61	102	\$892	3.49	78	77.5%	8.57	4	5.22	48
171	Shenandoah	58.7%	3.84	95	\$945	4.56	52	68.9%	6.49	26	4.96	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.3%	3.72	98	\$872	3.09	90	62.7%	4.98	64	3.93	96
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.64	101	\$838	2.41	108	54.0%	2.86	110	2.97	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.1%	6.34	38	\$1,019	6.03	19	67.0%	6.03	36	6.13	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.6%	3.81	96	\$1,144	8.52	4	67.8%	6.23	31	6.19	17
181	<i>Surry</i>	68.7%	6.51	34	\$882	3.29	84	56.4%	3.45	103	4.42	80
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.3%	5.59	59	\$964	4.93	42	63.1%	5.06	62	5.19	52
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.8%	6.00	50	\$821	2.08	115	65.7%	5.72	46	4.60	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.5%	6.20	42	\$1,012	5.90	21	69.3%	6.59	18	6.23	16
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.7%	4.89	78	\$899	3.63	75	58.2%	3.88	94	4.14	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.1%	5.02	75	\$927	4.18	61	65.0%	5.53	50	4.91	61
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.4%	4.83	81	\$835	2.36	111	66.3%	5.85	42	4.35	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.9%	6.03	49	\$851	2.68	100	66.8%	5.96	37	4.89	63
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.8%	4.66	82	\$895	3.55	76	59.7%	4.25	79	4.16	90
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.7%	6.77	25	\$1,087	7.37	7	77.5%	8.58	3	7.58	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.5%	6.18	43	\$877	3.19	86	62.1%	4.84	66	4.74	69
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.7%	10.00	1	\$924	4.13	63	65.9%	5.77	45	6.63	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.7%	7.31	13	\$942	4.49	54	63.9%	5.28	54	5.70	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.6%	4.89	79	\$813	1.93	116	61.3%	4.64	71	3.82	100
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.5%	5.38	68	\$844	2.53	106	63.8%	5.26	55	4.39	81
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.4%	8.05	6	\$995	5.55	27	65.5%	5.66	48	6.42	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.5%	4.86	80	\$885	3.35	81	68.9%	6.49	25	4.90	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	6.73	26	\$925	4.14	62	63.8%	5.25	56	5.38	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.7%	6.25	39	\$942	4.48	55	62.7%	4.99	63	5.24	46
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.0%	6.87	20	\$839	2.43	107	68.2%	6.33	28	5.21	51
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.3%	5.32	70	\$1,112	7.88	5	70.0%	6.76	16	6.65	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	68.4%	6.43	36	\$1,107	7.78	6	77.0%	8.46	5	7.56	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.84	23	\$941	4.46	57	69.2%	6.55	21	5.95	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.7%	6.78	24	\$874	3.13	88	67.5%	6.15	33	5.36	44
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.5%	7.81	8	\$756	0.78	119	71.1%	7.03	12	5.21	50
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.3%	6.95	17	\$971	5.07	37	70.2%	6.80	15	6.27	14
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.6%	7.02	16	\$910	3.85	71	69.2%	6.57	20	5.81	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.3%	6.67	28	\$915	3.96	66	61.8%	4.76	69	5.13	56
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.9%	6.84	22	\$963	4.91	43	71.6%	7.14	11	6.30	13
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.5%	5.39	66	\$979	5.22	33	62.1%	4.82	67	5.15	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.2%	7.73	10	\$884	3.34	82	68.0%	6.27	30	5.78	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.2%	5.58	60	\$960	4.86	45	68.9%	6.50	24	5.65	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.2%	6.38	37	\$915	3.95	68	64.7%	5.47	53	5.27	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.55	33	\$975	5.16	34	58.5%	3.96	89	5.22	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2013 – January 31, 2014

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	11,262	566	372	977	364	169	1,184	135	284	417	507	429	1,492	433	159	1,325	373	1,970	106
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	97%	99%	95%	98%	92%	91%	92%	98%	94%	96%	88%	89%	88%	92%	98%	81%	92%	92%
% Timely in January 2014	89%	94%	100%	93%	97%	81%	90%	92%	95%	92%	90%	77%	79%	77%	100%	98%	75%	91%	82%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	7,166	373	267	595	239	91	777	80	189	280	311	300	938	274	98	799	241	1,244	70
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	99%	99%	97%	100%	96%	94%	98%	99%	95%	97%	99%	94%	95%	95%	96%	91%	94%	97%
Percent in January 2014	95%	100%	100%	96%	98%	#####	95%	95%	98%	99%	97%	97%	93%	94%	100%	96%	88%	92%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	110	4	0	1	0	4	11	4	0	1	0	12	58	8	2	0	4	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	30	0	1	0	18	40	47	0	5	0	25	42	38	208	0	30	1	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013		566	48	19	109	75	57	97	146	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013		97%	98%	100%	96%	99%	100%	99%	98%	67%
% Timely in January 2014		94%	83%	100%	86%	100%	100%	92%	100%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013		373	36	7	74	57	39	65	86	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013		99%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%
Percent in January 2014		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days		4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30		30	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	34

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	372	4	27	11	84	63	45	127	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	93%	100%	100%
% Timely in January 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	267	2	21	9	61	47	32	87	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	100%
Percent in January 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	977	49	18	2	21	91	16	112	72	98	45	453
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	95%	96%	100%	0%	95%	98%	100%	99%	100%	99%	84%	93%
% Timely in January 2014	93%	91%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	89%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	595	29	9	1	15	58	9	69	38	51	26	290
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	67%	100%	93%	95%	100%	99%	100%	100%	96%	96%
Percent in January 2014	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton /W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge/ BV/Lex	Rockingham/ HBurg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	364	222	1	0	20	121
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	99%	100%	N/A	95%	97%
% Timely in January 2014	97%	95%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	239	143	1	0	12	83
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	92%	100%
Percent in January 2014	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	169	3	38	27	12	44	45	106	70	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	100%	89%	96%	83%	86%	100%	92%	91%	94%
% Timely in January 2014	81%	100%	100%	67%	50%	80%	100%	82%	63%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	91	0	22	19	4	23	23	70	49	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	N/A	95%	100%	100%	100%	87%	97%	98%	95%
Percent in January 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	93%	94%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	13	7	43	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,184	93	436	88	398	124	30	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	97%	95%	88%	83%	100%	90%	100%
% Timely in January 2014	90%	95%	96%	100%	73%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	777	59	286	57	265	79	21	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	96%	91%	93%	90%	95%	100%
Percent in January 2014	95%	100%	100%	100%	94%	76%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	0	0	40	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	135	58	37	14	24	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	97%	95%	100%	75%	50%
% Timely in January 2014	92%	100%	100%	100%	78%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	80	42	21	10	5	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	95%	90%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2014	95%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	47	0	0	0	47	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	284	79	10	15	37	17	126
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	100%	93%	95%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2014	95%	100%	100%	100%	75%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	189	49	7	10	25	12	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in January 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	417	23	38	68	87	201
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	94%	96%	82%	87%	100%	96%
% Timely in January 2014	92%	100%	100%	80%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	280	16	21	41	65	137
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	100%	90%	98%	93%
Percent in January 2014	99%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	5	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	507	64	172	44	74	153
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	88%	97%	100%	97%	97%
% Timely in January 2014	90%	67%	100%	100%	78%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	311	39	109	27	47	89
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	97%	95%	100%	100%	96%
Percent in January 2014	97%	94%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	429	20	45	31	27	17	61	26	72	66	64
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	88%	100%	87%	97%	100%	94%	34%	85%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in January 2014	77%	N/A	0%	100%	100%	100%	14%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	300	15	33	24	16	10	43	16	56	42	45
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	95%	98%
Percent in January 2014	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	12	0	2	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	21	0	0	0	27	13	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,492	274	6	34	449	26	703
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	92%	100%	97%	76%	100%	94%
% Timely in January 2014	79%	88%	100%	100%	52%	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	938	194	5	19	272	20	428
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	96%	100%	84%	89%	95%	96%
Percent in January 2014	93%	98%	100%	80%	84%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	58	3	0	0	39	0	16
Average # of Days Over 30	42	102	0	0	38	0	39

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	433	69	25	132	106	101
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	88%	84%	100%	73%	97%	98%
% Timely in January 2014	77%	0%	100%	61%	92%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	274	45	18	93	58	60
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	98%	100%	94%	88%	100%
Percent in January 2014	94%	89%	100%	90%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	2	0	5	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	131	0	7	12	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	159	34	11	18	13	7	20	14	13	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	94%	18%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in January 2014	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	98	23	7	14	4	4	18	7	7	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	71%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	86%
Percent in January 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	208	0	0	0	0	0	207.5	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,325	1	40	57	21	56	447	682	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	95%	96%	81%	96%	99%	98%	100%
% Timely in January 2014	98%	N/A	100%	100%	80%	100%	99%	99%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	799	1	19	33	13	40	268	411	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	97%	100%	93%	97%	95%	100%
Percent in January 2014	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	373	22	28	17	27	111	168
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	81%	100%	57%	100%	100%	60%	91%
% Timely in January 2014	75%	100%	0%	100%	100%	50%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	241	17	20	12	15	62	115
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	91%	94%	75%	100%	100%	87%	93%
Percent in January 2014	88%	100%	50%	100%	100%	92%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	3	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	33	0	0	20	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - January 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,970	31	22	356	57	671	330	145	358
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	90%	95%	94%	98%	88%	92%	96%	96%
% Timely in January 2014	91%	100%	100%	88%	94%	91%	88%	95%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,244	19	17	237	30	418	224	102	197
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	89%	94%	94%	100%	92%	94%	98%	93%
Percent in January 2014	92%	75%	88%	91%	100%	94%	92%	96%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jan-13</i>	<i>Jan-14</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,639	14,551	-0.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,717	129,386	-0.3%
Total Cases Collected	144,356	143,937	-0.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,536,251	\$2,271,613	-10.4%
NTANF Collections	\$51,694,698	\$48,700,349	-5.8%
Total Collections	\$54,230,949	\$50,971,962	-6.0%
SFY YTD Collections	\$361,324,614	\$365,436,929	1.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$359.79	\$359.08	-0.2%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jan-14</i>
TANF Paternities	168
NTANF Paternities	322
Combined Paternities	490

Diversionsary Assistance

January Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Orange	1
Appomattox	1		Roanoke County	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Rockingham/ H'burg	3
Bedford City	2		Shenandoah	7
Buckingham	4		Spotsylvania	4
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Stafford	1
Culpeper	2		Warren	5
Dinwiddie	1		Washington	1
Fairfax/ Falls Church	2		Bristol	3
Fauquier	1		Chesapeake	3
Franklin County	1		Hampton	9
Frederick	1		Hopewell	6
Gloucester	2		Newport News	17
Greene	1		Norfolk	7
Henrico	2		Petersburg	2
Henry / Martinsville	3		Ports mouth	2
Isle of Wight	1		Richmond City	2
Loudoun	1		Roanoke City	5
Lunenburg	1		Suffolk	10
Madison	1		Virginia Beach	3
Nelson	1		Winchester	1
			Statewide	128

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of Feb 3, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2014




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2014



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


January 31, 2014



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January it was \$8.58 rather than the \$8.47 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.