

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

May 2014

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services June 25, 2014

Monthly Report, May 2014

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2014 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 5,968 and a denominator of 13,777.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	46	33%	061	Fauquier	15	46	33%
003	Albemarle	34	62	55%	063	Floyd	6	14	43%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	48	38%	065	Fluvanna	6	13	46%
007	Amelia	8	19	42%	067	Franklin County	40	80	50%
009	Amherst	12	34	35%	069	Frederick	13	29	45%
011	Appomattox	21	58	36%	071	Giles	6	14	43%
013	Arlington	58	104	56%	073	Gloucester	18	45	40%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	85	176	48%	075	Goochland	3	10	30%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	5	10	50%
019	Bedford County/City	32	79	41%	079	Greene	2	14	14%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	36	69	52%
023	Botetourt	2	9	22%	083	Halifax	24	77	31%
025	Brunswick	6	50	12%	085	Hanover	30	64	47%
027	Buchanan	25	52	48%	087	Henrico	181	682	27%
029	Buckingham	12	31	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	69	197	35%
031	Campbell	51	116	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	29	74	39%	093	Isle of Wight	14	31	45%
035	Carroll	24	34	71%	095	James City	24	73	33%
036	Charles City	0	6	0%	097	King and Queen	4	15	27%
037	Charlotte	11	28	39%	099	King George	11	16	69%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	153	368	42%	101	King William	4	18	22%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	7	15	47%
045	Craig	2	8	25%	105	Lee	47	102	46%
047	Culpeper	38	67	57%	107	Loudoun	44	118	37%
049	Cumberland	13	31	42%	109	Louisa	27	47	57%
051	Dickensen	9	24	38%	111	Lunenburg	7	19	37%
053	Dinwiddie	13	51	25%	113	Madison	2	9	22%
057	Essex	18	37	49%	115	Mathews	6	10	60%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	212	524	40%	117	Mecklenburg	14	52	27%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	38	58%	187	Warren	34	71	48%
121	Montgomery	58	115	50%	191	Washington	35	86	41%
125	Nelson	3	10	30%	193	Westmoreland	11	30	37%
127	New Kent	3	26	12%	195	Wise	42	121	35%
131	Northampton	17	52	33%	197	Wythe	15	41	37%
133	Northumberland	5	6	83%	199	York/Poquoson	38	77	49%
135	Nottoway	30	64	47%	510	Alexandria	84	173	49%
137	Orange	20	53	38%	520	Bristol	56	119	47%
139	Page	15	23	65%	540	Charlottesville	65	139	47%
141	Patrick	8	43	19%	550	Chesapeake	242	511	47%
143	Pittsylvania	27	75	36%	590	Danville	70	178	39%
145	Powhatan	9	19	47%	620	Franklin City	23	39	59%
147	Prince Edward	32	59	54%	630	Fredericksburg	58	111	52%
149	Prince George	5	41	12%	640	Galax	16	23	70%
153	Prince William	232	487	48%	650	Hampton	282	623	45%
155	Pulaski	25	56	45%	670	Hopewell	43	159	27%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	84	249	34%
159	Richmond County	0	25	0%	683	Manassas	29	55	53%
161	Roanoke County	50	127	39%	685	Manassas Park	3	12	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	30	33%	700	Newport News	361	751	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	59	105	56%	710	Norfolk	518	955	54%
167	Russell	32	84	38%	720	Norton	11	28	39%
169	Scott	31	62	50%	730	Petersburg	115	279	41%
171	Shenandoah	7	18	39%	740	Portsmouth	169	480	35%
173	Smyth	46	93	49%	750	Radford	9	35	26%
175	Southampton	13	34	38%	760	Richmond City	441	1,115	40%
177	Spotsylvania	104	206	50%	770	Roanoke City	144	443	33%
179	Stafford	54	132	41%	800	Suffolk	83	163	51%
181	Surry	14	24	58%	810	Virginia Beach	195	382	51%
183	Sussex	16	37	43%	830	Williamsburg	16	22	73%
185	Tazewell	40	86	47%	840	Winchester	19	40	48%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 4,448 and a denominator of 12,262. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	11	42	26%	061	Fauquier	12	43	28%
003	Albemarle	22	49	45%	063	Floyd	4	12	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	14	44	32%	065	Fluvanna	6	13	46%
007	Amelia	4	14	29%	067	Franklin County	27	66	41%
009	Amherst	6	27	22%	069	Frederick	9	25	36%
011	Appomattox	18	54	33%	071	Giles	6	14	43%
013	Arlington	40	86	47%	073	Gloucester	16	43	37%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	54	144	38%	075	Goochland	2	8	25%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	3	8	38%
019	Bedford County/City	28	75	37%	079	Greene	0	12	0%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	26	59	44%
023	Botetourt	2	9	22%	083	Halifax	18	71	25%
025	Brunswick	3	45	7%	085	Hanover	21	54	39%
027	Buchanan	23	50	46%	087	Henrico	131	623	21%
029	Buckingham	5	23	22%	089	Henry/Martinsville	46	173	27%
031	Campbell	31	96	32%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	21	66	32%	093	Isle of Wight	9	25	36%
035	Carroll	21	31	68%	095	James City	20	68	29%
036	Charles City	0	5	0%	097	King and Queen	4	15	27%
037	Charlotte	9	26	35%	099	King George	5	9	56%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	120	333	36%	101	King William	2	15	13%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	7	14	50%
045	Craig	2	8	25%	105	Lee	42	97	43%
047	Culpeper	32	58	55%	107	Loudoun	23	97	24%
049	Cumberland	12	30	40%	109	Louisa	18	38	47%
051	Dickensen	7	22	32%	111	Lunenburg	7	19	37%
053	Dinwiddie	10	48	21%	113	Madison	2	9	22%
057	Essex	17	36	47%	115	Mathews	5	9	56%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	122	432	28%	117	Mecklenburg	10	47	21%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	35	54%	187	Warren	22	59	37%
121	Montgomery	39	96	41%	191	Washington	34	85	40%
125	Nelson	2	9	22%	193	Westmoreland	11	30	37%
127	New Kent	1	24	4%	195	Wise	30	107	28%
131	Northampton	13	48	27%	197	Wythe	11	37	30%
133	Northumberland	3	4	75%	199	York/Poquoson	31	69	45%
135	Nottoway	25	59	42%	510	Alexandria	64	151	42%
137	Orange	19	51	37%	520	Bristol	50	113	44%
139	Page	12	20	60%	540	Charlottesville	38	110	35%
141	Patrick	5	40	13%	550	Chesapeake	191	458	42%
143	Pittsylvania	24	72	33%	590	Danville	55	162	34%
145	Powhatan	6	16	38%	620	Franklin City	15	31	48%
147	Prince Edward	25	51	49%	630	Fredericksburg	47	99	47%
149	Prince George	3	37	8%	640	Galax	14	21	67%
153	Prince William	148	402	37%	650	Hampton	218	550	40%
155	Pulaski	19	50	38%	670	Hopewell	36	151	24%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	71	236	30%
159	Richmond County	0	21	0%	683	Manassas	19	44	43%
161	Roanoke County	29	105	28%	685	Manassas Park	1	10	10%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	7	27	26%	700	Newport News	280	665	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	41	87	47%	710	Norfolk	448	885	51%
167	Russell	28	80	35%	720	Norton	8	25	32%
169	Scott	24	55	44%	730	Petersburg	101	259	39%
171	Shenandoah	6	17	35%	740	Portsmouth	129	435	30%
173	Smyth	36	83	43%	750	Radford	6	32	19%
175	Southampton	7	27	26%	760	Richmond City	355	1,023	35%
177	Spotsylvania	88	190	46%	770	Roanoke City	99	398	25%
179	Stafford	38	116	33%	800	Suffolk	57	135	42%
181	Surry	13	23	57%	810	Virginia Beach	137	323	42%
183	Sussex	13	34	38%	830	Williamsburg	11	16	69%
185	Tazewell	36	82	44%	840	Winchester	13	34	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 94% with a numerator of 1,420 and a denominator of 1,515. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv. The combined estimates are on pages I and ii.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	4	100%	061	Fauquier	3	3	100%
003	Albemarle	12	13	92%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	4	4	100%	065	Fluvanna	0	0	N/A
007	Amelia	4	5	80%	067	Franklin County	13	14	93%
009	Amherst	6	7	86%	069	Frederick	4	4	100%
011	Appomattox	3	4	75%	071	Giles	0	0	N/A
013	Arlington	18	18	100%	073	Gloucester	2	2	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	31	32	97%	075	Goochland	1	2	50%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	2	2	100%
019	Bedford County/City	4	4	100%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	10	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	6	6	100%
025	Brunswick	3	5	60%	085	Hanover	9	10	90%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	50	59	85%
029	Buckingham	7	8	88%	089	Henry/Martinsville	23	24	96%
031	Campbell	20	20	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	8	8	100%	093	Isle of Wight	5	6	83%
035	Carroll	3	3	100%	095	James City	4	5	80%
036	Charles City	0	1	0%	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	2	2	100%	099	King George	6	7	86%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	33	35	94%	101	King William	2	3	67%
043	Clarke	1	2	50%	103	Lancaster	0	1	0%
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	5	5	100%
047	Culpeper	6	9	67%	107	Loudoun	21	21	100%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	9	9	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	1	1	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	90	92	98%	117	Mecklenburg	4	5	80%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	3	100%	187	Warren	12	12	100%
121	Montgomery	19	19	100%	191	Washington	1	1	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	2	2	100%	195	Wise	12	14	86%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	8	88%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	20	22	91%
137	Orange	1	2	50%	520	Bristol	6	6	100%
139	Page	3	3	100%	540	Charlottesville	27	29	93%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	51	53	96%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	15	16	94%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	8	8	100%
147	Prince Edward	7	8	88%	630	Fredericksburg	11	12	92%
149	Prince George	2	4	50%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	84	85	99%	650	Hampton	64	73	88%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	7	8	88%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	13	13	100%
159	Richmond County	0	4	0%	683	Manassas	10	11	91%
161	Roanoke County	21	22	95%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	81	86	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	18	18	100%	710	Norfolk	70	70	100%
167	Russell	4	4	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	7	7	100%	730	Petersburg	14	20	70%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	40	45	89%
173	Smyth	10	10	100%	750	Radford	3	3	100%
175	Southampton	6	7	86%	760	Richmond City	86	92	93%
177	Spotsylvania	16	16	100%	770	Roanoke City	45	45	100%
179	Stafford	16	16	100%	800	Suffolk	26	28	93%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	58	59	98%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	5	6	83%
185	Tazewell	4	4	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-14	May-13	Change from May-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,154	5,655	-8.9%	4,807	7%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,206	3,770	-15.0%	1,658	93%
Applications Approved	1,594	1,932	-17%	2,820	-43%
Cases Closed *	2,068	2,381	-13%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	25,835	29,176	-11%	67,995	-62%
Cases Paid During Month ²	26,503	29,387	-10%	70,403	-62%

•The database indicates that of the 2,068 closed cases, 825 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 480 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 188 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 118 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-14	May-13	Change from May-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	411	512	-20%	338	22%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	322	320	1%	232	39%
Applications Approved	174	197	-12%	81	115%
Cases Closed *	199	227	-12%	107	86%
Cases at End of Month	1,508	1,577	-4%	321	370%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,542	1,561	-1%	394	291%

•The database indicates that of the 199 closed cases, 122 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 73 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 19 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	27,343	1,452	872	2,140	724	367	3,031	354	551	1,063	1,230	862	4,284	1,000	378	2,852	1,060	4,894	229
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,479	503	349	678	238	159	1,215	137	245	425	350	363	1,714	479	152	1,125	446	1,808	93
Part. in a Work Activity	8,190	407	304	491	196	124	984	105	205	322	276	301	1,261	374	120	938	302	1,423	57
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	87%	72%	82%	78%	81%	77%	84%	76%	79%	83%	74%	78%	79%	83%	68%	79%	61%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	97	2	5	6	0	5	5	4	3	6	0	3	9	5	8	12	7	17	0
Participants Employed	5,862	260	211	397	178	98	650	84	152	224	188	181	879	283	100	655	222	1,049	51
% of Enrolled	56%	52%	60%	59%	75%	62%	53%	61%	62%	53%	54%	50%	51%	59%	66%	58%	50%	58%	55%
Full Time	4,548	203	168	329	157	86	476	66	110	159	146	132	630	215	74	513	187	865	32
Part Time	1,314	57	43	68	21	12	174	18	42	65	42	49	249	68	26	142	35	184	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.05	\$7.82	\$8.24	\$8.35	\$8.42	\$9.88	\$8.96	\$8.80	\$8.17	\$7.82	\$7.86	\$8.59	\$8.81	\$8.14	\$8.22	\$8.56	\$8.32	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,107	\$1,045	\$1,134	\$1,179	\$1,105	\$1,287	\$1,174	\$1,137	\$1,078	\$1,068	\$1,049	\$1,096	\$1,140	\$1,066	\$1,108	\$1,214	\$1,105	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	23,018	1,139	756	1,933	707	350	2,724	292	496	868	1,006	755	3,206	909	276	2,566	869	3,981	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW	27,076	1,329	912	2,070	787	430	3,096	313	637	1,114	1,048	953	3,922	1,127	353	3,045	980	4,713	247
Part. in a Work Activity	24,295	1,277	868	1,802	742	372	2,780	285	608	993	955	880	3,404	997	315	2,736	847	4,214	220
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	95%	87%	94%	87%	90%	91%	95%	89%	91%	92%	87%	88%	89%	90%	86%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	15,101	673	500	1,132	519	251	1,778	192	426	641	490	475	2,157	665	221	1,684	524	2,645	128
% of Total Enrolled	56%	51%	55%	55%	66%	58%	57%	61%	67%	58%	47%	50%	55%	59%	63%	55%	53%	56%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.59	\$8.00	\$7.89	\$8.35	\$8.41	\$8.45	\$10.20	\$8.91	\$8.83	\$8.18	\$8.07	\$8.26	\$8.63	\$8.87	\$8.07	\$8.35	\$8.58	\$8.28	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,037	\$1,023	\$1,115	\$1,156	\$1,137	\$1,325	\$1,178	\$1,067	\$1,008	\$1,029	\$1,064	\$1,100	\$1,116	\$990	\$1,081	\$1,199	\$1,068	\$959
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	62%	64%	64%	69%	73%	69%	72%	66%	60%	68%	71%	74%	69%	72%	63%	72%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	301,661	18,209	11,288	22,500	8,909	5,860	37,863	4,288	6,587	11,356	13,766	8,781	40,086	9,897	4,008	29,032	10,201	56,296	2,734
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	233,486	14,115	9,526	17,481	6,937	4,405	29,240	3,262	5,145	9,445	11,051	6,898	31,599	7,419	3,028	22,169	7,986	41,724	2,056
Part. in a Work Activity	223,194	13,614	9,164	16,626	6,766	4,167	28,157	3,144	5,029	8,922	10,702	6,619	30,300	7,008	2,845	21,085	7,596	39,463	1,987
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	156,898	8,775	6,120	11,755	4,834	2,856	20,397	2,183	3,829	6,450	6,726	4,199	21,353	4,874	1,911	15,268	5,407	28,809	1,152
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$6.36	\$6.48	\$7.23	\$7.32	\$7.50	\$8.85	\$7.63	\$7.55	\$6.77	\$6.53	\$6.95	\$7.50	\$8.09	\$7.14	\$7.18	\$7.16	\$7.00	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$960	\$835	\$872	\$966	\$970	\$998	\$1,168	\$1,001	\$954	\$869	\$864	\$892	\$995	\$1,030	\$905	\$933	\$966	\$910	\$860
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,452	146	67	274	203	145	232	328	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	503	41	19	134	71	49	82	88	19
Participants in a Work Activity	407	37	14	117	59	39	71	56	14
% of Enrolled	81%	90%	74%	87%	83%	80%	87%	64%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	260	26	12	67	17	27	55	45	11
% of Enrolled	52%	63%	63%	50%	24%	55%	67%	51%	58%
Full Time	203	10	11	55	14	18	50	36	9
Part Time	57	16	1	12	3	9	5	9	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.22	\$7.54	\$8.12	\$8.82	\$8.23	\$7.89	\$7.75	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,107	\$985	\$1,012	\$1,179	\$1,207	\$1,082	\$1,127	\$1,060	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,139	90	44	215	172	94	203	284	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,329	125	57	276	175	144	202	308	42
Participants in a Work Activity	1,277	122	48	274	162	139	199	296	37
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	84%	99%	93%	97%	99%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	673	65	32	144	55	82	114	157	24
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	56%	52%	31%	57%	56%	51%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.19	\$7.94	\$7.95	\$8.19	\$7.83	\$7.89	\$8.08	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$1,040	\$1,150	\$970	\$1,035	\$970	\$1,054	\$1,121	\$905
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	56%	68%	71%	72%	72%	71%	68%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,209	1,755	1,238	2,584	2,523	1,792	3,468	4,261	588
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,115	1,340	968	2,005	2,037	1,337	2,650	3,311	467
Participants in a Work Activity	13,614	1,262	909	1,994	1,940	1,315	2,561	3,204	429
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,775	722	581	1,303	1,213	777	1,772	2,066	341
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	62%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.36	\$6.53	\$6.37	\$6.17	\$6.51	\$6.66	\$6.24	\$6.36	\$6.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$835	\$850	\$847	\$803	\$861	\$891	\$822	\$838	\$757
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	67%	76%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	872	10	93	35	191	193	92	218	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	349	3	32	3	71	65	25	131	19
Participants in a Work Activity	304	3	29	3	66	52	18	115	18
% of Enrolled	87%	100%	91%	100%	93%	80%	72%	88%	95%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0
Participants Employed	211	2	24	3	54	36	15	66	11
% of Enrolled	60%	67%	75%	100%	76%	55%	60%	50%	58%
Full Time	168	2	24	2	43	21	15	52	9
Part Time	43	0	0	1	11	15	0	14	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$14.50	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$7.88	\$8.05	\$7.74	\$7.75	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$2,317	\$1,025	\$858	\$1,072	\$978	\$1,131	\$1,033	\$939

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	756	11	61	22	175	154	85	223	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	912	9	91	30	187	164	84	299	48
Participants in a Work Activity	868	9	87	29	178	155	82	284	44
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	96%	97%	95%	95%	98%	95%	92%
Participants Employed	500	4	54	14	107	89	49	156	27
% of Total Enrolled	55%	44%	59%	47%	57%	54%	58%	52%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$10.45	\$7.60	\$7.62	\$7.95	\$7.94	\$7.80	\$7.94	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,023	\$1,492	\$1,035	\$1,048	\$1,085	\$985	\$1,066	\$984	\$921
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	50%	55%	60%	58%	70%	60%	64%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,288	280	1,488	700	1,993	1,993	1,643	2,430	761
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,526	210	1,230	572	1,693	1,664	1,310	2,178	669
Participants in a Work Activity	9,164	198	1,140	535	1,641	1,624	1,288	2,102	636
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	94%	97%	98%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	6,120	124	825	370	990	1,038	874	1,481	418
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	65%	58%	62%	67%	68%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.48	\$6.46	\$6.26	\$6.44	\$6.37	\$6.71	\$6.44	\$6.56	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$872	\$888	\$839	\$861	\$876	\$900	\$853	\$879	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	55%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,140	90	29	15	52	188	43	254	162	235	66	1,006
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	678	27	6	6	13	60	11	89	33	73	23	337
Participants in a Work Activity	491	23	3	2	10	53	9	71	31	57	18	214
% of Enrolled	72%	85%	50%	33%	77%	88%	82%	80%	94%	78%	78%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	397	17	2	2	7	36	8	62	22	39	15	187
% of Enrolled	59%	63%	33%	33%	54%	60%	73%	70%	67%	53%	65%	55%
Full Time	329	13	1	2	6	28	7	55	20	35	13	149
Part Time	68	4	1	0	1	8	1	7	2	4	2	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.88	\$10.63	\$8.38	\$8.61	\$8.53	\$7.46	\$7.90	\$8.00	\$8.53	\$8.03	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,170	\$1,302	\$1,283	\$1,205	\$1,154	\$1,048	\$1,143	\$1,163	\$1,173	\$1,067	\$1,114

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,933	85	27	8	38	165	35	250	129	181	77	938
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,070	102	27	9	41	211	35	272	123	226	86	938
Participants in a Work Activity	1,802	98	16	5	35	189	31	246	114	189	70	809
% of Total Enrolled	87%	96%	59%	56%	85%	90%	89%	90%	93%	84%	81%	86%
Participants Employed	1,132	56	11	3	21	105	18	192	69	127	47	483
% of Total Enrolled	55%	55%	41%	33%	51%	50%	51%	71%	56%	56%	55%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.37	\$9.32	\$8.17	\$8.86	\$8.52	\$7.48	\$8.45	\$8.07	\$8.47	\$7.95	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,035	\$1,297	\$1,160	\$1,266	\$1,152	\$974	\$1,202	\$1,145	\$1,042	\$1,030	\$1,100
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	65%	67%	100%	67%	75%	58%	68%	60%	70%	68%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,500	1,230	381	105	583	2,003	574	3,171	1,938	2,486	894	9,135
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,481	949	244	62	433	1,556	419	2,607	1,546	2,006	697	6,962
Participants in a Work Activity	16,626	915	213	58	415	1,404	410	2,561	1,484	1,881	667	6,618
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	94%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,755	660	110	29	281	964	242	2,030	981	1,476	483	4,499
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	45%	47%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	69%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$6.99	\$7.54	\$7.32	\$7.14	\$7.17	\$7.13	\$7.08	\$6.89	\$7.72	\$7.10	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$966	\$850	\$962	\$1,045	\$954	\$962	\$954	\$953	\$954	\$1,038	\$916	\$980
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	54%	59%	54%	58%	52%	63%	54%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	724	408	3	3	68	242
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	238	118	0	0	17	103
Participants in a Work Activity	196	95	N/A	N/A	14	87
% of Enrolled	82%	81%	N/A	N/A	82%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	178	86	N/A	N/A	11	81
% of Enrolled	75%	73%	N/A	N/A	65%	79%
Full Time	157	77	N/A	N/A	6	74
Part Time	21	9	N/A	N/A	5	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.29	N/A	N/A	\$7.84	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,179	\$1,186	N/A	N/A	\$900	\$1,209

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	707	399	2	0	43	263
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	787	467	2	0	53	265
Participants in a Work Activity	742	436	2	N/A	48	256
% of Total Enrolled	94%	93%	100%	N/A	91%	97%
Participants Employed	519	294	0	N/A	33	192
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	0%	N/A	62%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.27	N/A	N/A	\$8.22	\$8.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,156	\$1,127	N/A	N/A	\$1,016	\$1,222
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	61%	N/A	N/A	68%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,909	4,761	80	23	772	3,273
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,937	3,770	52	8	578	2,529
Participants in a Work Activity	6,766	3,702	52	8	534	2,470
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,834	2,532	32	6	407	1,857
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$7.30	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.84	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$970	\$972	\$717	\$784	\$872	\$994
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	67%	67%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	367	7	76	46	51	108	79	229	148	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	159	1	46	24	20	42	26	93	37	56
Participants in a Work Activity	124	1	28	20	17	36	22	57	26	31
% of Enrolled	78%	1	61%	83%	85%	86%	85%	61%	70%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	98	1	23	14	13	28	19	51	24	27
% of Enrolled	62%	1	50%	58%	65%	67%	73%	55%	65%	48%
Full Time	86	1	18	12	12	27	16	32	16	16
Part Time	12	0	5	2	1	1	3	19	8	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$7.75	\$8.67	\$8.64	\$8.70	\$7.75	\$8.80	\$7.93	\$7.95	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,007	\$1,095	\$1,123	\$1,125	\$1,059	\$1,163	\$1,017	\$1,019	\$1,015

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	350	11	70	57	29	100	83	185	127	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	430	7	120	63	39	101	100	247	140	107
Participants in a Work Activity	372	4	99	62	34	91	82	220	126	94
% of Total Enrolled	87%	57%	83%	98%	87%	90%	82%	89%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	251	4	67	34	21	61	64	128	65	63
% of Total Enrolled	58%	57%	56%	54%	54%	60%	64%	52%	46%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$10.00	\$8.79	\$8.53	\$8.72	\$8.01	\$8.31	\$7.88	\$7.90	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,137	\$1,447	\$1,214	\$1,171	\$1,200	\$1,102	\$1,061	\$959	\$976	\$941
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	33%	67%	93%	63%	87%	53%	79%	74%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,860	224	1,292	879	708	1,409	1,348	2,734	1,750	984
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,405	136	974	716	442	1,069	1,068	2,056	1,290	766
Participants in a Work Activity	4,167	126	913	700	428	991	1,009	1,987	1,255	732
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	97%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	2,856	79	563	495	261	722	736	1,152	655	497
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	69%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.61	\$7.90	\$7.40	\$6.91	\$7.52	\$7.44	\$6.88	\$6.94	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$1,011	\$1,030	\$999	\$948	\$1,014	\$977	\$860	\$865	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	62%	60%	69%	70%	58%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,031	191	1,132	188	957	384	138	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,215	65	422	116	393	174	38	7
Participants in a Work Activity	984	55	364	80	304	142	34	5
% of Enrolled	81%	85%	86%	69%	77%	82%	89%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	2	1	1	1
Participants Employed	650	36	215	54	222	93	26	4
% of Enrolled	53%	55%	51%	47%	56%	53%	68%	57%
Full Time	476	21	153	32	176	70	22	2
Part Time	174	15	62	22	46	23	4	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.88	\$9.95	\$9.74	\$10.81	\$9.87	\$9.57	\$10.50	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,287	\$1,240	\$1,283	\$1,295	\$1,306	\$1,236	\$1,455	\$864

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,724	168	1,007	209	842	354	111	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,096	182	1,156	262	995	361	109	31
Participants in a Work Activity	2,780	168	1,060	233	875	316	100	28
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	92%	89%	88%	88%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	1,778	108	658	156	587	189	67	13
% of Total Enrolled	57%	59%	57%	60%	59%	52%	61%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.20	\$9.82	\$10.28	\$10.93	\$9.97	\$10.33	\$9.93	\$9.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,325	\$1,199	\$1,328	\$1,408	\$1,317	\$1,331	\$1,353	\$1,309
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	62%	71%	72%	76%	87%	68%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	37,863	3,096	13,519	2,744	12,068	4,328	1,624	484
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,240	2,435	10,228	2,180	9,396	3,394	1,252	355
Participants in a Work Activity	28,157	2,414	9,845	2,075	9,021	3,233	1,218	351
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	20,397	1,740	6,851	1,663	6,740	2,358	804	241
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.85	\$8.41	\$8.94	\$9.45	\$8.90	\$8.53	\$8.37	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,168	\$1,077	\$1,174	\$1,219	\$1,201	\$1,092	\$1,118	\$1,106
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	354	138	71	25	107	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	137	60	27	9	39	2
Participants in a Work Activity	105	48	21	7	28	1
% of Enrolled	77%	80%	78%	78%	72%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	2	1	0	0
Participants Employed	84	40	17	4	22	1
% of Enrolled	61%	67%	63%	44%	56%	50%
Full Time	66	31	14	2	19	0
Part Time	18	9	3	2	3	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.96	\$8.77	\$9.01	\$8.38	\$9.36	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,174	\$1,085	\$1,204	\$978	\$1,358	\$974

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	292	133	72	23	59	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	313	146	76	24	59	8
Participants in a Work Activity	285	135	69	22	51	8
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	91%	92%	86%	100%
Participants Employed	192	93	51	10	35	3
% of Total Enrolled	61%	64%	67%	42%	59%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.91	\$8.75	\$9.17	\$8.13	\$9.18	\$9.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,178	\$1,134	\$1,235	\$1,029	\$1,263	\$1,236
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	69%	64%	0%	86%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,288	1,673	1,250	392	859	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,262	1,234	963	293	695	77
Participants in a Work Activity	3,144	1,191	947	284	649	73
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,183	799	669	174	496	45
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	59%	71%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.63	\$7.97	\$7.24	\$7.32	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$985	\$1,041	\$1,005	\$975	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	66%	68%	59%	60%	49%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	551	136	44	36	90	30	215
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	245	50	13	10	36	10	126
Participants in a Work Activity	205	49	11	6	31	5	103
% of Enrolled	84%	98%	85%	60%	86%	50%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	152	42	9	5	23	5	68
% of Enrolled	62%	84%	69%	50%	64%	50%	54%
Full Time	110	33	7	2	19	2	47
Part Time	42	9	2	3	4	3	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.80	\$9.11	\$7.85	\$9.77	\$8.95	\$8.25	\$8.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,137	\$1,172	\$1,001	\$1,114	\$1,370	\$935	\$1,071

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	496	145	22	34	75	27	193
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	637	144	36	38	99	30	290
Participants in a Work Activity	608	140	35	36	92	26	279
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	97%	95%	93%	87%	96%
Participants Employed	426	97	25	20	67	16	201
% of Total Enrolled	67%	67%	69%	53%	68%	53%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.83	\$9.04	\$9.36	\$8.62	\$8.77	\$7.99	\$8.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,152	\$1,234	\$1,059	\$1,075	\$900	\$1,020
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	71%	80%	43%	81%	70%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,587	1,579	309	507	1,044	348	2,800
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,145	1,161	223	366	784	251	2,360
Participants in a Work Activity	5,029	1,135	218	347	759	245	2,325
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,829	831	145	272	498	151	1,932
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	65%	74%	64%	60%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.97	\$8.02	\$7.44	\$7.78	\$7.87	\$7.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$1,021	\$1,072	\$920	\$991	\$1,013	\$925
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	62%	55%	64%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,063	82	92	178	225	486
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	425	26	43	66	113	177
Participants in a Work Activity	322	12	34	57	83	136
% of Enrolled	76%	46%	79%	86%	73%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	3	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	224	10	26	37	51	100
% of Enrolled	53%	38%	60%	56%	45%	56%
Full Time	159	5	22	29	35	68
Part Time	65	5	4	8	16	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.41	\$8.29	\$8.77	\$8.33	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$922	\$1,164	\$1,181	\$1,120	\$1,013

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	868	64	72	161	172	399
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,114	60	98	180	280	496
Participants in a Work Activity	993	45	93	155	258	442
% of Total Enrolled	89%	75%	95%	86%	92%	89%
Participants Employed	641	30	65	106	159	281
% of Total Enrolled	58%	50%	66%	59%	57%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.02	\$8.22	\$8.43	\$8.35	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$1,065	\$1,069	\$1,077	\$1,050	\$935
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	81%	56%	64%	68%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,356	1,052	896	2,293	2,642	4,473
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,445	840	784	1,840	2,276	3,705
Participants in a Work Activity	8,922	794	772	1,706	2,148	3,502
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,450	553	551	1,196	1,568	2,582
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.77	\$6.96	\$6.48	\$6.86	\$6.97	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$869	\$885	\$888	\$872	\$908	\$839
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	59%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,230	182	377	88	185	398
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	350	43	125	53	29	100
Participants in a Work Activity	276	21	99	47	24	85
% of Enrolled	79%	49%	79%	89%	83%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	188	18	75	24	21	50
% of Enrolled	54%	42%	60%	45%	72%	50%
Full Time	146	13	61	11	17	44
Part Time	42	5	14	13	4	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$8.17	\$8.01	\$7.43	\$7.69	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,061	\$1,138	\$873	\$993	\$1,089

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,006	119	322	90	159	316
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,048	129	358	113	137	311
Participants in a Work Activity	955	116	309	106	127	297
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	86%	94%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	490	51	188	63	54	134
% of Total Enrolled	47%	40%	53%	56%	39%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.48	\$7.96	\$8.31	\$7.84	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,120	\$960	\$1,065	\$995	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	58%	63%	68%	59%	54%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,766	2,107	3,720	1,348	2,282	4,309
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,051	1,743	2,876	1,165	1,735	3,532
Participants in a Work Activity	10,702	1,684	2,758	1,099	1,708	3,453
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,726	969	1,835	782	926	2,214
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.53	\$6.49	\$6.94	\$6.43	\$6.52	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$864	\$854	\$940	\$833	\$876	\$816
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	862	42	89	62	65	62	125	42	149	110	116
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	363	18	33	19	42	28	46	22	36	59	60
Participants in a Work Activity	301	15	27	12	37	27	45	14	29	40	55
% of Enrolled	83%	83%	82%	63%	88%	96%	98%	64%	81%	68%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	181	12	17	8	17	11	27	10	14	30	35
% of Enrolled	50%	67%	52%	42%	40%	39%	59%	45%	39%	51%	58%
Full Time	132	9	14	7	14	8	20	7	12	18	23
Part Time	49	3	3	1	3	3	7	3	2	12	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$7.73	\$8.01	\$8.47	\$8.52	\$7.77	\$7.68	\$7.96	\$7.74	\$7.80	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$980	\$1,090	\$1,100	\$1,241	\$965	\$992	\$1,032	\$1,062	\$994	\$1,066

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	755	34	77	54	57	46	105	38	116	123	105
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	953	47	102	62	85	62	141	50	111	149	144
Participants in a Work Activity	880	41	89	54	81	57	140	46	106	126	140
% of Total Enrolled	92%	87%	87%	87%	95%	92%	99%	92%	95%	85%	97%
Participants Employed	475	31	45	30	35	28	78	21	50	77	80
% of Total Enrolled	50%	66%	44%	48%	41%	45%	55%	42%	45%	52%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.49	\$8.01	\$8.52	\$8.52	\$8.82	\$8.13	\$8.03	\$8.19	\$8.30	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,070	\$1,025	\$1,164	\$995	\$1,135	\$991	\$981	\$1,102	\$1,050	\$1,130
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	53%	83%	67%	86%	62%	56%	67%	51%	79%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,781	560	1,067	837	761	594	1,025	417	1,268	1,086	1,166
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,898	415	855	645	574	444	815	334	1,004	848	964
Participants in a Work Activity	6,619	395	851	609	537	422	786	330	964	784	941
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	94%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,199	255	484	367	297	252	493	162	655	559	675
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	57%	57%	52%	57%	60%	49%	65%	66%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$7.56	\$6.40	\$7.17	\$7.37	\$7.49	\$6.95	\$6.69	\$6.72	\$6.99	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$892	\$965	\$837	\$960	\$926	\$966	\$841	\$826	\$851	\$914	\$897
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	67%	59%	59%	57%	64%	60%	66%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,284	814	24	120	1,188	44	2,094
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,714	313	7	67	607	17	703
Participants in a Work Activity	1,261	239	5	49	393	13	562
% of Enrolled	74%	76%	71%	73%	65%	76%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	0	0	1	7
Participants Employed	879	181	2	42	291	11	352
% of Enrolled	51%	58%	29%	63%	48%	65%	50%
Full Time	630	151	1	30	181	7	260
Part Time	249	30	1	12	110	4	92
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.59	\$8.98	\$10.50	\$9.08	\$8.60	\$7.96	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,237	\$994	\$1,073	\$1,053	\$981	\$1,065

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,206	643	15	108	891	36	1,513
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,922	753	21	133	1,202	39	1,774
Participants in a Work Activity	3,404	659	18	108	1,011	36	1,572
% of Total Enrolled	87%	88%	86%	81%	84%	92%	89%
Participants Employed	2,157	412	11	84	683	24	943
% of Total Enrolled	55%	55%	52%	63%	57%	62%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$9.07	\$9.36	\$9.11	\$8.70	\$8.44	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$1,231	\$1,296	\$1,074	\$1,048	\$1,073	\$1,083
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	78%	100%	86%	71%	53%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	40,086	8,440	306	1,436	10,131	402	19,371
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	31,599	6,443	235	1,023	8,303	301	15,294
Participants in a Work Activity	30,300	6,222	224	948	7,821	283	14,802
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	21,353	4,068	155	660	5,608	168	10,694
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	65%	68%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.81	\$7.37	\$8.33	\$7.81	\$7.82	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$995	\$1,043	\$941	\$1,056	\$1,024	\$983	\$965
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	65%	66%	66%	63%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,000	152	36	366	285	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	479	83	12	168	120	96
Participants in a Work Activity	374	62	11	141	90	70
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	92%	84%	75%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	3	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	283	57	10	96	60	60
% of Enrolled	59%	69%	83%	57%	50%	63%
Full Time	215	42	7	78	45	43
Part Time	68	15	3	18	15	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.52	\$9.78	\$8.62	\$9.50	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,140	\$1,117	\$1,125	\$1,126	\$1,197	\$1,130

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	909	119	38	320	247	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,127	197	54	368	289	219
Participants in a Work Activity	997	160	52	334	254	197
% of Total Enrolled	88%	81%	96%	91%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	665	116	34	222	151	142
% of Total Enrolled	59%	59%	63%	60%	52%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.87	\$8.57	\$9.10	\$8.56	\$9.63	\$8.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,135	\$1,063	\$1,044	\$1,259	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	81%	74%	70%	72%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	9,897	1,481	590	3,046	2,865	1,915
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,419	1,066	417	2,373	1,932	1,631
Participants in a Work Activity	7,008	1,014	396	2,201	1,841	1,556
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,874	657	247	1,621	1,137	1,212
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.73	\$7.59	\$8.07	\$8.75	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,030	\$977	\$928	\$1,022	\$1,143	\$996
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	59%	67%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	378	73	32	31	40	18	57	31	29	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	152	29	13	12	10	7	35	4	17	25
Participants in a Work Activity	120	21	10	10	7	6	34	4	10	18
% of Enrolled	79%	72%	77%	83%	70%	86%	97%	100%	59%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	100	16	7	4	7	6	33	1	10	16
% of Enrolled	66%	55%	54%	33%	70%	86%	94%	25%	59%	64%
Full Time	74	14	3	2	6	4	29	1	7	8
Part Time	26	2	4	2	1	2	4	0	3	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$9.35	\$8.88	\$8.31	\$8.27	\$7.58	\$7.60	\$7.25	\$8.10	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,290	\$965	\$1,119	\$1,214	\$1,045	\$1,056	\$942	\$1,025	\$871

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	276	53	19	32	26	11	38	25	25	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	353	64	17	37	28	21	82	25	27	52
Participants in a Work Activity	315	60	16	30	25	19	78	22	20	45
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	94%	81%	89%	90%	95%	88%	74%	87%
Participants Employed	221	34	9	17	22	17	64	12	13	33
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	53%	46%	79%	81%	78%	48%	48%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.37	\$8.96	\$8.90	\$7.92	\$7.60	\$7.58	\$8.83	\$8.20	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$990	\$1,045	\$1,033	\$1,166	\$969	\$982	\$935	\$874	\$1,104	\$950
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	63%	50%	64%	40%	78%	88%	71%	80%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	4,008	615	294	364	436	220	494	356	366	863
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,028	493	202	299	343	156	374	291	226	644
Participants in a Work Activity	2,845	471	191	283	325	148	352	281	210	584
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%	94%	97%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,911	326	104	177	231	104	260	162	136	411
% of Total Enrolled	63%	66%	51%	59%	67%	67%	70%	56%	60%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.16	\$7.17	\$7.58	\$6.94	\$7.10	\$7.16	\$6.87	\$7.13	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$881	\$884	\$991	\$867	\$908	\$910	\$862	\$937	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	46%	59%	51%	71%	73%	50%	43%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,852	15	102	128	41	117	1,041	1,386	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,125	2	32	49	26	68	422	507	19
Participants in a Work Activity	938	2	32	39	15	54	354	427	15
% of Enrolled	83%	100%	100%	80%	58%	79%	84%	84%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	2	0	0	4	3	3
Participants Employed	655	2	26	33	10	32	225	314	13
% of Enrolled	58%	100%	81%	67%	38%	47%	53%	62%	68%
Full Time	513	1	23	21	9	16	171	264	8
Part Time	142	1	3	12	1	16	54	50	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$6.08	\$7.31	\$8.33	\$9.80	\$8.55	\$8.02	\$8.34	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,108	\$690	\$906	\$1,027	\$1,415	\$1,022	\$1,077	\$1,159	\$1,085

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,566	3	84	119	40	103	871	1,307	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,045	9	89	143	45	142	1,080	1,486	51
Participants in a Work Activity	2,736	9	79	127	41	122	987	1,324	47
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	89%	89%	91%	86%	91%	89%	92%
Participants Employed	1,684	7	58	95	25	75	575	816	33
% of Total Enrolled	55%	78%	65%	66%	56%	53%	53%	55%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.39	\$7.48	\$8.53	\$8.42	\$8.42	\$8.31	\$8.41	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,214	\$900	\$1,057	\$1,087	\$892	\$1,066	\$1,128	\$1,002
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	100%	69%	63%	71%	73%	76%	70%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	29,032	171	1,180	1,293	389	1,238	10,065	14,256	440
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,169	125	852	1,026	287	957	7,548	11,040	334
Participants in a Work Activity	21,085	119	789	954	275	912	7,344	10,381	311
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,268	74	551	711	161	593	5,243	7,705	230
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	65%	69%	56%	62%	69%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.21	\$6.94	\$7.63	\$7.66	\$7.45	\$7.18	\$7.13	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$933	\$961	\$854	\$927	\$1,030	\$897	\$927	\$943	\$915
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	57%	58%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,060	122	81	39	62	286	470
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	446	26	24	22	24	153	197
Participants in a Work Activity	302	23	20	16	14	90	139
% of Enrolled	68%	88%	83%	73%	58%	59%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	0	5	1
Participants Employed	222	13	18	13	11	58	109
% of Enrolled	50%	50%	75%	59%	46%	38%	55%
Full Time	187	11	14	7	9	42	104
Part Time	35	2	4	6	2	16	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.77	\$8.88	\$8.85	\$8.46	\$8.48	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,214	\$1,322	\$1,236	\$1,023	\$1,214	\$1,169	\$1,243

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	869	110	66	37	55	224	377
Total Enrolled in VIEW	980	63	63	54	75	293	432
Participants in a Work Activity	847	60	54	43	56	249	385
% of Total Enrolled	86%	95%	86%	80%	75%	85%	89%
Participants Employed	524	36	38	25	36	147	242
% of Total Enrolled	53%	57%	60%	46%	48%	50%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$9.13	\$8.72	\$8.40	\$8.23	\$8.58	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,199	\$1,411	\$1,206	\$968	\$1,145	\$1,160	\$1,222
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	56%	56%	63%	70%	59%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,201	1,318	934	413	798	2,546	4,192
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,986	863	698	341	644	2,076	3,364
Participants in a Work Activity	7,596	860	607	323	612	1,973	3,221
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,407	564	420	236	418	1,407	2,362
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	60%	69%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.16	\$7.05	\$7.65	\$7.36	\$7.10	\$7.06	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$966	\$985	\$1,011	\$886	\$967	\$944	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	56%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/14 and Work Activity as of 5/30/14

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,894	98	58	853	82	1,715	937	360	791
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,808	21	21	350	27	705	316	92	276
Participants in a Work Activity	1,423	14	15	282	26	566	239	70	211
% of Enrolled	79%	67%	71%	81%	96%	80%	76%	76%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	1	0	1	13	0	2
Participants Employed	1,049	11	12	215	20	432	164	53	142
% of Enrolled	58%	52%	57%	61%	74%	61%	52%	58%	51%
Full Time	865	11	11	179	9	376	123	41	115
Part Time	184	0	1	36	11	56	41	12	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.00	\$8.42	\$8.30	\$7.24	\$8.31	\$8.27	\$8.38	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,124	\$1,163	\$1,133	\$771	\$1,091	\$1,102	\$1,137	\$1,135

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,981	72	44	685	76	1,354	658	272	820
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,713	77	70	837	94	1,717	803	310	805
Participants in a Work Activity	4,214	69	64	745	90	1,523	725	295	703
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	91%	89%	96%	89%	90%	95%	87%
Participants Employed	2,645	37	40	489	57	991	420	182	429
% of Total Enrolled	56%	48%	57%	58%	61%	58%	52%	59%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$7.99	\$8.03	\$8.37	\$7.66	\$8.17	\$8.35	\$8.25	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,014	\$1,050	\$1,107	\$953	\$1,043	\$1,078	\$1,074	\$1,096
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	75%	70%	77%	62%	76%	70%	56%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	56,296	1,284	1,051	9,124	902	18,092	9,054	3,543	13,246
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	41,724	931	846	6,781	730	13,301	7,211	2,787	9,137
Participants in a Work Activity	39,463	898	809	6,465	719	12,547	6,794	2,758	8,473
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	28,809	631	492	4,847	474	9,286	5,091	2,038	5,950
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	71%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$6.98	\$6.74	\$7.19	\$6.72	\$6.89	\$6.86	\$6.83	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$917	\$842	\$944	\$840	\$877	\$912	\$887	\$964
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	46.4%	5.74	101	\$7.90	1.22	104	73.7%	7.37	33	4.77	100
003	Albemarle	67.4%	8.32	10	\$9.04	4.52	21	70.7%	7.07	46	6.64	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	54.9%	6.78	65	\$8.37	2.58	59	64.7%	6.47	76	5.28	72
007	Amelia	66.0%	8.15	14	\$8.49	2.93	47	52.6%	5.26	111	5.45	60
009	Amherst	50.0%	6.18	93	\$8.02	1.57	90	81.0%	8.10	16	5.28	71
011	Appomattox	66.3%	8.19	13	\$8.22	2.14	73	56.4%	5.64	101	5.33	69
013	Arlington	59.3%	7.33	32	\$9.82	6.78	9	62.3%	6.23	86	6.78	12
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	63.0%	7.78	23	\$8.27	2.29	70	60.7%	6.07	89	5.38	64
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	58.9%	7.27	36	\$8.43	2.75	53	63.9%	6.39	78	5.47	58
021	Bland	44.4%	5.49	106	\$10.45	8.61	2	50.0%	5.00	113	6.37	20
023	Botetourt	40.7%	5.03	113	\$9.32	5.33	14	66.7%	6.67	68	5.68	41
025	Brunswick	44.1%	5.45	107	\$8.01	1.54	91	83.3%	8.33	14	5.11	80
027	Buchanan	52.0%	6.42	86	\$8.19	2.06	76	55.9%	5.59	106	4.69	107
029	Buckingham	48.4%	5.98	95	\$8.52	3.01	44	66.7%	6.67	69	5.22	75
031	Campbell	56.8%	7.01	51	\$8.35	2.52	63	67.9%	6.79	61	5.44	61
033	Caroline	58.9%	7.27	37	\$8.57	3.16	38	80.7%	8.07	17	6.17	25
035	Carroll	59.3%	7.33	33	\$7.60	0.35	114	55.2%	5.52	107	4.40	111
036	Charles City	77.8%	9.61	4	\$8.39	2.64	58	100.0%	10.00	1	7.42	3
037	Charlotte	41.2%	5.09	112	\$8.52	3.01	45	85.7%	8.57	12	5.56	49
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	54.7%	6.76	66	\$9.07	4.61	20	78.5%	7.85	21	6.41	19
043	Clarke	57.1%	7.06	44	\$10.00	7.30	5	33.3%	3.33	117	5.90	32
045	Craig	33.3%	4.12	117	\$8.17	2.00	79	100.0%	10.00	2	5.37	65
047	Culpeper	63.7%	7.87	19	\$8.75	3.68	30	68.9%	6.89	58	6.14	26
049	Cumberland	45.2%	5.58	104	\$8.82	3.88	26	61.5%	6.15	87	5.21	77
051	Dickensen	56.1%	6.93	56	\$7.94	1.33	100	68.4%	6.84	60	5.04	84
053	Dinwiddie	57.1%	7.06	45	\$9.13	4.78	17	56.0%	5.60	104	5.81	39
057	Essex	53.1%	6.56	75	\$8.37	2.58	60	63.2%	6.32	82	5.15	79
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	56.9%	7.03	49	\$10.28	8.12	4	70.8%	7.08	44	7.41	4
061	Fauquier	67.1%	8.29	11	\$9.17	4.90	16	64.3%	6.43	77	6.54	17
063	Floyd	51.2%	6.33	90	\$8.86	4.00	24	66.7%	6.67	70	5.66	42
065	Fluvanna	69.4%	8.58	7	\$9.36	5.45	12	80.0%	8.00	18	7.34	6
067	Franklin County	49.8%	6.15	94	\$8.52	3.01	46	75.4%	7.54	30	5.57	47
069	Frederick	55.8%	6.90	59	\$8.79	3.80	27	66.7%	6.67	71	5.79	40
071	Giles	51.4%	6.35	89	\$7.48	0.00	117	58.3%	5.83	98	4.06	115
073	Gloucester	65.2%	8.05	15	\$7.48	0.00	118	69.0%	6.90	57	4.98	91
075	Goochland	52.4%	6.47	80	\$9.36	5.45	13	100.0%	10.00	3	7.31	7
077	Grayson	46.7%	5.76	100	\$7.62	0.41	113	60.0%	6.00	90	4.06	116

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	52.6%	6.50	78	\$8.62	3.30	36	42.9%	4.29	115	4.70	106
081	Greensville/Emporia	55.3%	6.83	63	\$8.13	1.88	81	56.4%	5.64	102	4.79	99
083	Halifax	39.5%	4.88	114	\$8.48	2.90	48	58.3%	5.83	99	4.54	109
085	Hanover	63.2%	7.80	21	\$9.11	4.72	18	86.2%	8.62	10	7.05	9
087	Henrico	56.8%	7.02	50	\$8.70	3.54	33	70.6%	7.06	47	5.87	34
089	Henry/Martinsville	52.5%	6.49	79	\$7.96	1.39	96	63.2%	6.32	83	4.73	102
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	48.1%	5.94	97	\$7.99	1.48	94	75.0%	7.50	31	4.97	92
095	James City	66.4%	8.21	12	\$8.53	3.04	42	63.3%	6.33	81	5.86	36
097	King and Queen	52.9%	6.54	76	\$8.96	4.29	22	50.0%	5.00	114	5.28	73
099	King George	63.0%	7.78	22	\$9.10	4.70	19	73.7%	7.37	34	6.61	16
101	King William	45.9%	5.68	103	\$8.90	4.12	23	63.6%	6.36	79	5.39	63
103	Lancaster	78.6%	9.71	2	\$7.92	1.28	103	40.0%	4.00	116	4.99	90
105	Lee	52.2%	6.45	84	\$7.95	1.36	97	70.7%	7.07	45	4.96	94
107	Loudoun	59.5%	7.36	31	\$10.93	10.00	1	72.0%	7.20	39	8.19	1
109	Louisa	67.7%	8.36	9	\$8.77	3.74	29	81.1%	8.11	15	6.74	13
111	Lunenburg	42.0%	5.19	109	\$8.03	1.59	88	66.7%	6.67	72	4.48	110
113	Madison	41.7%	5.15	111	\$8.13	1.88	82	0.0%	0.00	118	2.34	118
115	Mathews	81.0%	10.00	1	\$7.60	0.35	115	77.8%	7.78	22	6.04	30
117	Mecklenburg	45.0%	5.56	105	\$8.19	2.06	77	51.4%	5.14	112	4.25	113
119	Middlesex	78.0%	9.64	3	\$7.58	0.29	116	87.5%	8.75	7	6.23	24
121	Montgomery	70.6%	8.72	6	\$8.45	2.81	51	67.8%	6.78	63	6.10	28
125	Nelson	53.3%	6.59	71	\$7.99	1.48	95	70.0%	7.00	53	5.02	89
127	New Kent	55.6%	6.86	61	\$8.42	2.72	54	70.6%	7.06	48	5.55	53
131	Northampton	58.9%	7.27	38	\$7.85	1.07	107	86.2%	8.62	11	5.66	44
133	Northumberland	48.0%	5.93	98	\$8.83	3.91	25	71.4%	7.14	41	5.66	43
135	Nottoway	51.7%	6.38	87	\$8.30	2.38	68	79.0%	7.90	20	5.55	52
137	Orange	59.3%	7.33	34	\$9.18	4.93	15	85.7%	8.57	13	6.94	10
139	Page	54.0%	6.67	69	\$8.53	3.04	43	93.3%	9.33	6	6.35	22
141	Patrick	55.8%	6.89	60	\$8.31	2.41	65	67.7%	6.77	65	5.35	66
143	Pittsylvania	39.4%	4.87	115	\$7.84	1.04	108	58.6%	5.86	97	3.92	117
145	Powhatan	61.5%	7.60	25	\$8.44	2.78	52	52.9%	5.29	110	5.23	74
147	Prince Edward	55.6%	6.86	62	\$8.10	1.80	83	76.6%	7.66	24	5.44	62
149	Prince George	60.3%	7.45	30	\$8.72	3.59	31	56.3%	5.63	103	5.56	50
153	Prince William	59.0%	7.29	35	\$9.97	7.22	6	75.9%	7.59	27	7.36	5
155	Pulaski	56.1%	6.93	57	\$8.07	1.71	86	59.5%	5.95	94	4.86	98
157	Rappahannock	37.5%	4.63	116	\$9.50	5.86	11	100.0%	10.00	4	6.83	11
159	Richmond County	48.1%	5.95	96	\$8.20	2.09	75	80.0%	8.00	19	5.34	67
161	Roanoke County	56.2%	6.94	55	\$8.47	2.87	49	70.2%	7.02	51	5.61	45
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	62.3%	7.69	24	\$8.22	2.14	74	76.5%	7.65	25	5.83	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.5%	8.95	5	\$8.66	3.42	35	65.7%	6.57	74	6.31	23
167	Russell	31.4%	3.88	118	\$8.19	2.06	78	72.2%	7.22	37	4.39	112
169	Scott	56.9%	7.03	48	\$7.83	1.01	109	71.7%	7.17	40	5.07	83
171	Shenandoah	53.8%	6.65	70	\$8.72	3.59	32	62.5%	6.25	84	5.50	56

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.2%	7.07	43	\$7.95	1.36	98	58.3%	5.83	100	4.75	101
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.1%	7.06	46	\$8.03	1.59	89	69.6%	6.96	56	5.20	78
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.3%	7.45	29	\$8.56	3.13	39	70.3%	7.03	50	5.87	35
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.2%	6.45	83	\$9.63	6.23	10	72.4%	7.24	36	6.64	14
181	<i>Surry</i>	46.3%	5.72	102	\$8.40	2.67	57	62.5%	6.25	85	4.88	97
183	<i>Sussex</i>	48.0%	5.93	99	\$8.23	2.17	72	70.0%	7.00	54	5.03	85
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	56.4%	6.97	53	\$7.89	1.19	105	71.4%	7.14	42	5.10	81
187	<i>Warren</i>	60.4%	7.46	28	\$8.01	1.54	92	86.7%	8.67	8	5.89	33
191	<i>Washington</i>	54.3%	6.70	68	\$7.94	1.33	101	70.5%	7.05	49	5.03	88
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.5%	7.84	20	\$8.06	1.68	87	72.2%	7.22	38	5.58	46
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.0%	6.30	91	\$8.08	1.74	84	67.8%	6.78	64	4.94	95
197	<i>Wythe</i>	58.3%	7.21	41	\$7.80	0.93	110	60.0%	6.00	91	4.71	105
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	52.8%	6.52	77	\$8.42	2.72	55	73.0%	7.30	35	5.52	55
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	52.4%	6.47	81	\$10.33	8.26	3	86.7%	8.67	9	7.80	2
520	<i>Bristol</i>	52.2%	6.45	85	\$7.94	1.33	102	63.6%	6.36	80	4.71	104
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	69.3%	8.56	8	\$8.78	3.77	28	70.9%	7.09	43	6.47	18
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	58.4%	7.22	40	\$8.37	2.58	61	77.2%	7.72	23	5.84	37
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.1%	5.32	108	\$8.08	1.74	85	54.4%	5.44	108	4.17	114
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	60.6%	7.49	27	\$7.66	0.52	112	61.5%	6.15	88	4.72	103
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	64.8%	8.01	16	\$8.68	3.48	34	75.6%	7.56	28	6.35	21
640	<i>Galax</i>	56.3%	6.95	54	\$7.77	0.84	111	60.0%	6.00	92	4.60	108
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.2%	6.58	73	\$8.31	2.41	66	76.3%	7.63	26	5.54	54
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	50.2%	6.20	92	\$8.58	3.19	37	58.9%	5.89	96	5.09	82
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	56.7%	7.00	52	\$8.01	1.54	93	65.5%	6.55	75	5.03	87
683	<i>Manassas</i>	61.5%	7.59	26	\$9.93	7.10	7	67.7%	6.77	66	7.15	8
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	41.9%	5.18	110	\$9.84	6.84	8	60.0%	6.00	93	6.01	31
700	<i>Newport News</i>	54.9%	6.78	64	\$8.41	2.70	56	70.2%	7.02	52	5.50	57
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	57.7%	7.13	42	\$8.17	2.00	80	75.6%	7.56	29	5.56	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	57.1%	7.06	47	\$7.86	1.10	106	100.0%	10.00	5	6.05	29
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	56.0%	6.92	58	\$8.55	3.10	40	66.4%	6.64	73	5.56	51
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.3%	6.46	82	\$8.35	2.52	64	69.8%	6.98	55	5.32	70
750	<i>Radford</i>	54.7%	6.75	67	\$7.95	1.36	99	67.9%	6.79	62	4.97	93
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	53.2%	6.57	74	\$8.36	2.55	62	68.7%	6.87	59	5.33	68
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.5%	6.36	88	\$8.30	2.38	69	59.2%	5.92	95	4.89	96
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.7%	7.25	39	\$8.25	2.23	71	56.0%	5.60	105	5.03	86
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.3%	6.58	72	\$8.55	3.10	41	67.2%	6.72	67	5.47	59
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	64.7%	7.99	17	\$8.47	2.87	50	75.0%	7.50	32	6.12	27
840	<i>Winchester</i>	64.0%	7.91	18	\$8.31	2.41	67	53.3%	5.33	109	5.21	76

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.8%	1.55	117	\$865	2.96	94	65.2%	5.54	50	3.35	109
003	Albemarle	71.6%	7.20	12	\$1,021	6.06	19	57.3%	3.58	100	5.61	31
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.5%	6.65	25	\$850	2.65	103	58.1%	3.79	95	4.37	81
007	Amelia	61.4%	4.45	85	\$965	4.94	43	60.8%	4.46	74	4.62	70
009	Amherst	65.8%	5.64	55	\$885	3.35	83	59.4%	4.11	80	4.37	80
011	Appomattox	70.3%	6.85	18	\$888	3.40	80	55.3%	3.10	106	4.45	75
013	Arlington	71.5%	7.17	14	\$1,077	7.17	8	69.0%	6.46	22	6.93	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.00	45	\$972	5.07	39	66.4%	5.83	39	5.63	30
017	Bath	61.5%	4.47	84	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.57	71	3.01	114
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.42	60	\$872	3.10	91	59.7%	4.19	77	4.23	86
021	Bland	59.0%	3.80	97	\$888	3.40	79	55.4%	3.11	105	3.44	107
023	Botetourt	45.1%	0.00	120	\$962	4.89	45	54.4%	2.88	108	2.59	117
025	Brunswick	56.6%	3.13	108	\$837	2.40	112	67.0%	5.99	35	3.84	98
027	Buchanan	53.9%	2.39	113	\$850	2.64	104	64.6%	5.37	52	3.47	106
029	Buckingham	56.9%	3.21	106	\$960	4.85	48	59.1%	4.03	85	4.03	92
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.47	35	\$908	3.81	73	63.1%	5.02	61	5.10	52
033	Caroline	61.6%	4.50	83	\$977	5.17	35	59.1%	4.02	86	4.57	72
035	Carroll	67.1%	5.98	47	\$839	2.43	110	53.8%	2.72	111	3.71	100
036	Charles City	59.2%	3.84	94	\$961	4.86	47	50.9%	2.00	114	3.57	103
037	Charlotte	51.7%	1.81	115	\$926	4.18	63	58.9%	3.97	87	3.32	110
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	4.91	76	\$1,043	6.50	12	68.9%	6.45	24	5.95	22
043	Clarke	58.1%	3.54	103	\$1,011	5.86	23	57.1%	3.55	101	4.31	84
045	Craig	46.8%	0.46	119	\$1,045	6.55	11	59.3%	4.07	81	3.69	101
047	Culpeper	64.7%	5.35	66	\$985	5.33	31	65.9%	5.71	45	5.46	36
049	Cumberland	56.8%	3.17	107	\$966	4.96	41	57.1%	3.55	102	3.90	96
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.06	90	\$847	2.58	105	54.8%	2.97	107	3.20	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.4%	5.51	56	\$985	5.34	30	57.8%	3.71	98	4.85	62
057	Essex	66.1%	5.72	52	\$881	3.27	86	48.6%	1.44	118	3.48	105
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.0%	5.95	48	\$1,174	9.11	3	69.3%	6.54	19	7.20	5
061	Fauquier	69.5%	6.63	28	\$1,041	6.46	13	68.5%	6.34	27	6.48	10
063	Floyd	64.9%	5.39	64	\$954	4.73	50	54.2%	2.83	109	4.32	83
065	Fluvanna	65.0%	5.42	59	\$1,072	7.08	9	61.7%	4.68	68	5.73	27
067	Franklin County	62.0%	4.59	82	\$962	4.87	46	58.4%	3.86	92	4.44	76
069	Frederick	57.8%	3.46	104	\$1,030	6.23	16	61.7%	4.66	70	4.78	66
071	Giles	57.8%	3.45	105	\$954	4.73	49	52.1%	2.30	113	3.49	104
073	Gloucester	64.7%	5.33	68	\$854	2.73	99	57.4%	3.60	99	3.89	97
075	Goochland	66.0%	5.68	53	\$941	4.46	57	65.3%	5.55	49	5.23	45
077	Grayson	64.7%	5.33	67	\$861	2.87	97	60.9%	4.47	73	4.22	88

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.3%	7.95	5	\$920	4.05	66	55.5%	3.14	104	5.04	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.5%	4.19	86	\$841	2.47	107	63.8%	5.19	56	3.95	94
083	Halifax	55.6%	2.86	112	\$854	2.74	98	58.5%	3.87	90	3.16	113
085	Hanover	64.5%	5.28	70	\$1,056	6.75	10	66.1%	5.75	41	5.93	23
087	Henrico	67.5%	6.11	42	\$1,024	6.11	17	66.0%	5.74	43	5.99	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.8%	5.09	73	\$940	4.44	58	63.8%	5.18	57	4.90	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.13	4	\$784	1.33	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.49	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.8%	6.17	40	\$917	4.00	67	59.1%	4.04	84	4.73	67
095	James City	69.3%	6.58	30	\$927	4.18	62	58.2%	3.81	94	4.86	61
097	King and Queen	51.5%	1.74	116	\$884	3.33	85	46.0%	0.80	119	1.96	120
099	King George	59.2%	3.85	93	\$928	4.21	60	58.6%	3.91	89	3.99	93
101	King William	59.2%	3.84	95	\$991	5.47	28	58.7%	3.93	88	4.41	77
103	Lancaster	67.3%	6.05	44	\$867	2.99	93	50.7%	1.97	115	3.67	102
105	Lee	65.0%	5.41	61	\$803	1.72	117	72.0%	7.22	8	4.78	65
107	Loudoun	76.3%	8.48	3	\$1,219	10.00	1	71.9%	7.18	9	8.55	1
109	Louisa	63.5%	5.01	74	\$991	5.46	29	63.5%	5.12	59	5.20	47
111	Lunenburg	48.5%	0.93	118	\$826	2.17	114	59.7%	4.18	79	2.42	119
113	Madison	59.4%	3.89	92	\$1,005	5.75	24	59.2%	4.04	83	4.56	73
115	Mathews	66.7%	5.87	51	\$908	3.81	74	71.1%	6.99	12	5.56	33
117	Mecklenburg	65.2%	5.48	57	\$851	2.68	102	66.1%	5.75	42	4.64	69
119	Middlesex	69.5%	6.64	26	\$910	3.84	72	73.4%	7.56	7	6.01	19
121	Montgomery	77.9%	8.91	2	\$953	4.70	52	63.3%	5.07	60	6.23	15
125	Nelson	60.2%	4.10	89	\$1,013	5.89	21	63.8%	5.18	58	5.06	56
127	New Kent	56.1%	2.99	109	\$1,030	6.24	15	71.2%	7.02	11	5.42	38
131	Northampton	64.9%	5.38	65	\$853	2.72	100	80.1%	9.21	2	5.77	26
133	Northumberland	55.7%	2.88	111	\$862	2.89	95	49.7%	1.70	116	2.49	118
135	Nottoway	65.9%	5.67	54	\$914	3.93	70	69.6%	6.62	17	5.40	39
137	Orange	71.4%	7.15	15	\$975	5.14	37	60.2%	4.30	75	5.53	35
139	Page	69.1%	6.54	33	\$999	5.62	25	59.7%	4.19	78	5.45	37
141	Patrick	67.1%	5.99	46	\$833	2.31	113	74.2%	7.75	6	5.35	40
143	Pittsylvania	53.4%	2.25	114	\$876	3.18	89	59.2%	4.05	82	3.16	112
145	Powhatan	55.8%	2.92	110	\$983	5.29	32	62.7%	4.92	64	4.38	79
147	Prince Edward	70.0%	6.78	20	\$897	3.60	76	68.0%	6.23	29	5.53	34
149	Prince George	60.2%	4.10	88	\$1,011	5.87	22	58.0%	3.75	97	4.57	71
153	Prince William	71.7%	7.25	11	\$1,201	9.64	2	70.4%	6.80	14	7.90	2
155	Pulaski	63.5%	4.99	75	\$954	4.72	51	53.6%	2.67	112	4.13	90
157	Rappahannock	58.4%	3.63	100	\$973	5.09	38	48.8%	1.50	117	3.41	108
159	Richmond County	60.2%	4.10	87	\$937	4.38	59	42.7%	0.00	120	2.83	116
161	Roanoke County	73.6%	7.75	7	\$1,038	6.39	14	58.3%	3.84	93	5.99	20
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	6.89	17	\$872	3.08	92	67.3%	6.04	34	5.34	43
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	7.71	8	\$994	5.52	27	67.5%	6.09	33	6.44	11
167	Russell	59.5%	3.93	91	\$861	2.87	96	66.7%	5.90	37	4.23	87
169	Scott	58.1%	3.54	102	\$891	3.48	78	76.4%	8.29	5	5.10	53
171	Shenandoah	59.0%	3.80	96	\$948	4.61	53	68.9%	6.44	25	4.95	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	58.5%	3.64	99	\$876	3.16	90	62.8%	4.94	63	3.91	95
175	Southampton	58.2%	3.55	101	\$842	2.48	106	53.9%	2.74	110	2.93	115
177	Spotsylvania	68.3%	6.32	37	\$1,022	6.08	18	66.8%	5.93	36	6.11	18
179	Stafford	58.9%	3.74	98	\$1,143	8.49	4	67.9%	6.20	30	6.15	17
181	Surry	69.2%	6.56	32	\$886	3.36	82	56.1%	3.30	103	4.41	78
183	Sussex	64.9%	5.39	63	\$967	4.98	40	62.8%	4.95	62	5.11	51
185	Tazewell	66.9%	5.92	49	\$822	2.10	115	65.6%	5.63	46	4.55	74
187	Warren	67.5%	6.11	43	\$1,014	5.93	20	69.7%	6.65	16	6.23	16
191	Washington	62.4%	4.70	80	\$900	3.64	75	58.0%	3.75	96	4.03	91
193	Westmoreland	63.8%	5.09	72	\$924	4.13	65	64.8%	5.44	51	4.89	60
195	Wise	62.4%	4.71	79	\$838	2.40	111	66.4%	5.83	40	4.31	85
197	Wythe	66.7%	5.88	50	\$853	2.72	101	66.6%	5.89	38	4.83	64
199	York/Poquoson	62.0%	4.59	81	\$897	3.59	77	59.9%	4.23	76	4.14	89
510	Alexandria	69.5%	6.63	27	\$1,092	7.47	7	77.5%	8.57	3	7.56	3
520	Bristol	68.0%	6.23	38	\$879	3.22	87	62.0%	4.73	67	4.73	68
540	Charlottesville	81.9%	10.00	1	\$925	4.15	64	66.0%	5.73	44	6.62	7
550	Chesapeake	71.5%	7.18	13	\$944	4.53	54	64.1%	5.27	53	5.66	29
590	Danville	62.7%	4.79	77	\$816	1.96	116	61.2%	4.56	72	3.77	99
620	Franklin City	64.9%	5.40	62	\$840	2.46	108	64.0%	5.23	54	4.36	82
630	Fredericksburg	74.3%	7.95	6	\$996	5.56	26	65.6%	5.63	47	6.38	12
640	Galax	62.5%	4.73	78	\$885	3.35	84	69.0%	6.47	21	4.85	63
650	Hampton	69.5%	6.63	29	\$927	4.19	61	63.8%	5.20	55	5.34	41
670	Hopewell	67.8%	6.17	41	\$944	4.52	55	62.6%	4.90	65	5.20	46
680	Lynchburg	69.7%	6.69	24	\$839	2.44	109	68.3%	6.30	28	5.14	49
683	Manassas	64.2%	5.20	71	\$1,118	8.00	5	69.6%	6.61	18	6.60	8
685	Manassas Park	67.9%	6.20	39	\$1,106	7.74	6	77.3%	8.52	4	7.49	4
700	Newport News	69.8%	6.72	23	\$943	4.51	56	68.9%	6.45	23	5.89	24
710	Norfolk	69.8%	6.72	22	\$877	3.19	88	67.5%	6.10	32	5.34	42
720	Norton	73.0%	7.60	10	\$757	0.80	119	71.1%	6.99	13	5.13	50
730	Petersburg	70.2%	6.83	19	\$975	5.15	36	70.1%	6.75	15	6.24	14
740	Portsmouth	70.6%	6.94	16	\$912	3.89	71	69.2%	6.53	20	5.78	25
750	Radford	69.3%	6.58	31	\$916	3.97	68	61.7%	4.67	69	5.07	55
760	Richmond City	69.9%	6.75	21	\$965	4.94	42	71.4%	7.06	10	6.25	13
770	Roanoke City	64.6%	5.31	69	\$980	5.23	33	62.0%	4.75	66	5.10	54
800	Suffolk	73.1%	7.62	9	\$887	3.39	81	67.7%	6.16	31	5.72	28
810	Virginia Beach	65.1%	5.45	58	\$964	4.92	44	68.9%	6.44	26	5.60	32
830	Williamsburg	68.9%	6.47	36	\$915	3.95	69	65.4%	5.57	48	5.33	44
840	Winchester	68.9%	6.48	34	\$977	5.18	34	58.4%	3.87	91	5.17	48

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2013 – May 31, 2014

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	17,764	888	611	1,533	569	273	1,959	218	420	691	771	656	2,373	692	218	2,085	623	3,030	154
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	98%	98%	96%	98%	92%	91%	89%	98%	96%	96%	90%	89%	89%	92%	98%	81%	91%	92%
% Timely in May 2014	93%	100%	98%	100%	98%	89%	87%	79%	100%	100%	97%	92%	88%	91%	92%	99%	79%	93%	####
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	12,973	690	461	1,071	446	188	1,422	149	336	496	538	508	1,742	505	164	1,454	445	2,231	127
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	99%	99%	96%	99%	95%	95%	96%	98%	94%	97%	97%	92%	94%	95%	96%	90%	94%	98%
Percent in May 2014	95%	99%	100%	97%	100%	97%	96%	100%	97%	92%	100%	96%	89%	91%	100%	95%	90%	96%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	94	0	1	1	0	2	27	8	0	0	2	3	27	5	2	1	13	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	1	122	0	3	14	42	0	0	4	11	44	35	26	25	33	15	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	888	72	33	180	126	78	148	227	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	99%	100%	98%	99%	99%	99%	98%	83%
% Timely in May 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	690	54	19	147	90	67	117	179	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	96%	100%	100%	100%	99%	98%	99%	76%
Percent in May 2014	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	611	7	42	19	141	116	66	199	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%	95%	95%	99%	100%
% Timely in May 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	461	6	33	14	107	84	51	154	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%
Percent in May 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,533	88	21	6	33	132	28	176	104	145	60	740
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	98%	100%	67%	97%	98%	100%	98%	100%	99%	85%	95%
% Timely in May 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,071	63	14	2	22	96	20	129	73	93	39	520
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	50%	100%	95%	95%	100%	98%	100%	99%	97%	95%
Percent in May 2014	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	122	0	0	122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	569	345	2	0	32	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	99%	100%	N/A	94%	97%
% Timely in May 2014	98%	100%	100%	N/A	88%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	446	273	1	0	19	153
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	99%	100%	N/A	95%	100%
Percent in May 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	273	5	54	46	25	71	72	154	105	49
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	100%	91%	100%	88%	82%	100%	92%	91%	94%
% Timely in May 2014	89%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	25%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	188	2	38	36	13	53	46	127	87	40
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	95%	100%	100%	94%	91%	98%	99%	95%
Percent in May 2014	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,959	144	693	140	637	243	72	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	97%	96%	90%	84%	98%	85%	90%
% Timely in May 2014	87%	94%	100%	100%	76%	86%	89%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,422	108	528	99	456	157	52	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	99%	97%	94%	91%	94%	96%	95%
Percent in May 2014	96%	100%	97%	100%	94%	95%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	27	0	0	0	19	5	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	16	6	0	14

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	218	95	57	16	46	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	95%	95%	100%	70%	75%
% Timely in May 2014	79%	93%	100%	100%	40%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	149	67	39	14	25	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	97%	93%	84%	100%
Percent in May 2014	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	0	0	42	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	420	125	18	26	53	23	175
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	94%	92%	96%	100%	99%
% Timely in May 2014	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	336	92	14	20	46	22	142
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	98%	96%	100%	95%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in May 2014	97%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	691	40	57	117	150	327
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	93%	88%	93%	100%	97%
% Timely in May 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	496	24	50	76	108	238
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	100%	91%	96%	92%
Percent in May 2014	92%	100%	100%	91%	85%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	771	91	264	71	107	238
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	90%	94%	100%	98%	97%
% Timely in May 2014	97%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	538	72	183	50	76	157
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	99%	95%	100%	100%	97%
Percent in May 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	4	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	656	29	63	48	51	36	84	38	100	109	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	90%	100%	90%	98%	100%	97%	38%	87%	100%	99%	99%
% Timely in May 2014	92%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	508	21	47	40	37	26	70	32	81	78	76
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	94%	100%	99%	94%	97%
Percent in May 2014	96%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	2,373	443	12	64	707	34	1,113
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	90%	92%	91%	77%	100%	96%
% Timely in May 2014	88%	95%	67%	100%	73%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,742	341	7	41	507	33	813
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	92%	93%	100%	88%	86%	94%	95%
Percent in May 2014	89%	92%	N/A	92%	79%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	27	3	1	2	16	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	44	167	9	34	30	0	26

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	692	96	33	223	174	166
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	80%	100%	78%	98%	99%
% Timely in May 2014	91%	60%	100%	83%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	505	73	31	151	134	116
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	93%	100%	95%	90%	98%
Percent in May 2014	91%	100%	100%	100%	74%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	5	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	0	35	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	218	47	14	26	19	7	31	19	15	40
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	94%	14%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in May 2014	92%	75%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	164	34	13	17	11	6	27	16	11	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	97%	77%	100%	91%	100%	96%	100%	91%	93%
Percent in May 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	3	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	2,085	3	66	94	33	81	693	1,083	32
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	67%	91%	93%	79%	98%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in May 2014	99%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,454	1	42	61	27	60	496	742	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	95%	93%	88%	96%	95%	100%
Percent in May 2014	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	50%	92%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	623	44	48	32	38	174	287
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	81%	98%	52%	100%	97%	61%	91%
% Timely in May 2014	79%	86%	50%	100%	100%	61%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	445	34	32	21	26	119	213
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	90%	94%	78%	95%	88%	87%	93%
Percent in May 2014	90%	88%	100%	100%	100%	88%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	13	0	9	0	0	1	3
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	35	0	0	6	36

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	3,030	54	33	537	87	1,016	509	227	567
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	94%	94%	92%	100%	88%	88%	96%	95%
% Timely in May 2014	93%	100%	100%	94%	100%	88%	90%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	2,231	42	25	412	70	720	375	179	408
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	95%	100%	93%	100%	92%	93%	97%	95%
Percent in May 2014	96%	100%	100%	90%	100%	97%	96%	94%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	1

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>May-13</i>	<i>May-14</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,398	15,722	-4.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	134,742	135,077	0.2%
Total Cases Collected	151,140	150,799	-0.2%
TANF Collections	\$4,660,173	\$4,199,598	-9.9%
NTANF Collections	\$56,361,292.00	\$54,946,435	-2.5%
Total Collections	\$61,021,465	\$59,146,033	-3.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$604,335,578	\$609,663,491	0.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$377.90	\$377.01	-0.2%

Paternities Established

	<i>May-14</i>
TANF Paternities	171
NTANF Paternities	327
Combined Paternities	498

Diversionsary Assistance

May Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Roanoke County	5
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Rockingham / H'burg	1
Bedford City	1		Shenandoah	9
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	2		Smyth	1
Culpeper	2		Spotsylvania	7
Dinwiddie	3		Warren	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		York / Poquoson	1
Floyd	1		Bristol	7
Greene	3		Charlottesville	4
Hanover	1		Chesapeake	1
Henrico	2		Danville	1
Henry / Martinsville	2		Franklin City	2
King George	2		Hampton	4
King William	1		Hopewell	7
Loudoun	1		Lynchburg	3
Louisa	1		Newport News	15
Madison	1		Norfolk	2
Nottoway	1		Petersburg	3
Orange	1		Richmond City	4
Pittsylvania	2		Roanoke City	2
Prince George	1		Suffolk	11
Prince William	1		Virginia Beach	1
			Statewide	129

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of June 2, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2014




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2014



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the more recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


May 31, 2014



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May it was \$8.59 rather than the \$8.50 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.