

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

March 2015

Monthly Report, March 2015

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 5,327 and a denominator of 12,457.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	22	46	48%	061	Fauquier	22	49	45%
003	Albemarle	39	64	61%	063	Floyd	1	9	11%
005	Alleghany/Covington	11	38	29%	065	Fluvanna	8	28	29%
007	Amelia	7	15	47%	067	Franklin County	42	82	51%
009	Amherst	17	31	55%	069	Frederick	17	34	50%
011	Appomattox	17	47	36%	071	Giles	1	9	11%
013	Arlington	40	82	49%	073	Gloucester	20	46	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	82	159	52%	075	Goochland	2	10	20%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	11	45%
019	Bedford County/City	27	69	39%	079	Greene	10	30	33%
021	Bland	1	6	17%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	73	42%
023	Botetourt	1	12	8%	083	Halifax	20	65	31%
025	Brunswick	23	51	45%	085	Hanover	21	44	48%
027	Buchanan	18	56	32%	087	Henrico	220	535	41%
029	Buckingham	12	31	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	63	191	33%
031	Campbell	47	119	39%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	31	78	40%	093	Isle of Wight	16	45	36%
035	Carroll	27	53	51%	095	James City	33	85	39%
036	Charles City	2	8	25%	097	King and Queen	2	13	15%
037	Charlotte	7	30	23%	099	King George	10	19	53%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	158	317	50%	101	King William	7	19	37%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	8	16	50%
045	Craig	0	10	0%	105	Lee	51	99	52%
047	Culpeper	28	52	54%	107	Loudoun	38	120	32%
049	Cumberland	11	28	39%	109	Louisa	23	45	51%
051	Dickensen	8	16	50%	111	Lunenburg	5	18	28%
053	Dinwiddie	15	42	36%	113	Madison	2	6	33%
057	Essex	19	35	54%	115	Mathews	1	8	13%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	194	432	45%	117	Mecklenburg	17	56	30%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	32	56%	187	Warren	37	58	64%
121	Montgomery	50	91	55%	191	Washington	34	80	43%
125	Nelson	4	15	27%	193	Westmoreland	15	45	33%
127	New Kent	9	21	43%	195	Wise	42	108	39%
131	Northampton	12	39	31%	197	Wythe	12	32	38%
133	Northumberland	5	14	36%	199	York/Poquoson	29	59	49%
135	Nottoway	24	57	42%	510	Alexandria	72	161	45%
137	Orange	19	52	37%	520	Bristol	45	87	52%
139	Page	8	15	53%	540	Charlottesville	64	133	48%
141	Patrick	5	38	13%	550	Chesapeake	175	394	44%
143	Pittsylvania	16	55	29%	590	Danville	70	180	39%
145	Powhatan	6	15	40%	620	Franklin City	10	29	34%
147	Prince Edward	36	66	55%	630	Fredericksburg	43	88	49%
149	Prince George	7	48	15%	640	Galax	11	18	61%
153	Prince William	170	424	40%	650	Hampton	237	525	45%
155	Pulaski	18	40	45%	670	Hopewell	60	144	42%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	90	216	42%
159	Richmond County	1	14	7%	683	Manassas	25	52	48%
161	Roanoke County	39	105	37%	685	Manassas Park	1	9	11%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	32	44%	700	Newport News	300	703	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70	118	59%	710	Norfolk	452	836	54%
167	Russell	36	73	49%	720	Norton	7	18	39%
169	Scott	27	70	39%	730	Petersburg	83	228	36%
171	Shenandoah	11	29	38%	740	Portsmouth	191	474	40%
173	Smyth	32	70	46%	750	Radford	15	33	45%
175	Southampton	14	36	39%	760	Richmond City	409	1,069	38%
177	Spotsylvania	70	174	40%	770	Roanoke City	130	377	34%
179	Stafford	62	160	39%	800	Suffolk	72	139	52%
181	Surry	7	15	47%	810	Virginia Beach	180	353	51%
183	Sussex	0	44	0%	830	Williamsburg	12	23	52%
185	Tazewell	39	83	47%	840	Winchester	14	40	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 3,935 and a denominator of 10,945. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	15	39	38%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	15	39	38%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	27	52	52%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	9	11%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	7	34	21%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	4	24	17%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	3	11	27%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	28	68	41%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	13	27	48%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	9	26	35%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	13	42	31%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	8	13%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	32	74	43%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	18	40	45%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	54	131	41%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	1	9	11%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	4	10	40%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	22	64	34%	079	<i>Greene</i>	10	28	36%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	5	0%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	22	63	35%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	12	8%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	16	60	27%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	19	47	40%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	13	35	37%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	15	52	29%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	134	443	30%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	9	28	32%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	45	172	26%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	26	98	27%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	2	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	20	65	31%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	14	40	35%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	21	46	46%	095	<i>James City</i>	27	79	34%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	7	14%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	13	15%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	26	12%	099	<i>King George</i>	6	15	40%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	123	280	44%	101	<i>King William</i>	5	17	29%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	2	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	7	15	47%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	9	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	42	89	47%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	18	42	43%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	27	106	25%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	7	24	29%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	14	35	40%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	15	47%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	2	15	13%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	5	32	16%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	5	20%
057	<i>Essex</i>	16	32	50%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	7	14%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	133	367	36%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	12	50	24%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	10	24	42%	187	Warren	29	50	58%
121	Montgomery	31	72	43%	191	Washington	32	78	41%
125	Nelson	3	14	21%	193	Westmoreland	15	45	33%
127	New Kent	5	16	31%	195	Wise	29	94	31%
131	Northampton	9	36	25%	197	Wythe	10	30	33%
133	Northumberland	4	13	31%	199	York/Poquoson	22	52	42%
135	Nottoway	17	50	34%	510	Alexandria	37	126	29%
137	Orange	17	49	35%	520	Bristol	36	78	46%
139	Page	5	12	42%	540	Charlottesville	44	113	39%
141	Patrick	3	36	8%	550	Chesapeake	132	344	38%
143	Pittsylvania	14	53	26%	590	Danville	50	158	32%
145	Powhatan	5	14	36%	620	Franklin City	6	24	25%
147	Prince Edward	21	51	41%	630	Fredericksburg	31	75	41%
149	Prince George	5	44	11%	640	Galax	7	14	50%
153	Prince William	104	354	29%	650	Hampton	174	450	39%
155	Pulaski	12	34	35%	670	Hopewell	39	123	32%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	76	202	38%
159	Richmond County	0	13	0%	683	Manassas	11	38	29%
161	Roanoke County	21	87	24%	685	Manassas Park	0	8	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	28	36%	700	Newport News	242	637	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	40	88	45%	710	Norfolk	402	783	51%
167	Russell	30	67	45%	720	Norton	5	16	31%
169	Scott	20	63	32%	730	Petersburg	59	202	29%
171	Shenandoah	5	23	22%	740	Portsmouth	154	433	36%
173	Smyth	27	65	42%	750	Radford	11	29	38%
175	Southampton	7	29	24%	760	Richmond City	334	982	34%
177	Spotsylvania	57	154	37%	770	Roanoke City	91	337	27%
179	Stafford	43	138	31%	800	Suffolk	54	119	45%
181	Surry	5	13	38%	810	Virginia Beach	132	305	43%
183	Sussex	0	41	0%	830	Williamsburg	11	22	50%
185	Tazewell	28	71	39%	840	Winchester	11	37	30%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,392 and a denominator of 1,512. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	7	7	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	7	10	70%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	12	12	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	0	0	N/A
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	4	4	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	4	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	14	14	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	4	4	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	8	8	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	4	5	80%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	1	0%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	8	8	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	2	6	33%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	28	28	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	1	1	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	5	5	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	2	0%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	9	10	90%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	4	5	80%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	4	4	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	8	9	89%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	3	4	75%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	86	92	93%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	3	3	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	18	19	95%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	21	21	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	11	13	85%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	2	5	40%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	6	7	86%	095	<i>James City</i>	6	6	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	4	4	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	4	4	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	35	37	95%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	2	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	9	10	90%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	10	10	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	11	14	79%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	4	4	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	9	10	90%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	1	1	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	3	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	10	10	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	3	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	61	65	94%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	6	83%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	8	100%	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	19	19	100%	191	Washington	2	2	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	4	5	80%	195	Wise	13	14	93%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	2	2	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	35	35	100%
137	Orange	2	3	67%	520	Bristol	9	9	100%
139	Page	3	3	100%	540	Charlottesville	20	20	100%
141	Patrick	2	2	100%	550	Chesapeake	43	50	86%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	20	22	91%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	4	5	80%
147	Prince Edward	15	15	100%	630	Fredericksburg	12	13	92%
149	Prince George	2	4	50%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	66	70	94%	650	Hampton	63	75	84%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	21	21	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	14	14	100%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	14	14	100%
161	Roanoke County	18	18	100%	685	Manassas Park	1	1	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	58	66	88%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	30	100%	710	Norfolk	50	53	94%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	7	7	100%	730	Petersburg	24	26	92%
171	Shenandoah	6	6	100%	740	Portsmouth	37	41	90%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	7	7	100%	760	Richmond City	75	87	86%
177	Spotsylvania	13	20	65%	770	Roanoke City	39	40	98%
179	Stafford	19	22	86%	800	Suffolk	18	20	90%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	48	48	100%
183	Sussex	0	3	0%	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	11	12	92%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Mar-15	Mar-14	Change from Mar-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,747	4,671	1.6%	4,807	-1%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,978	2,855	4.3%	1,658	80%
Applications Approved	1,234	1,307	-6%	2,820	-56%
Cases Closed *	2,068	2,104	-2%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	23,176	26,345	-12%	67,995	-66%
Cases Paid During Month ²	23,971	27,097	-12%	70,403	-66%

•The database indicates that of the 2,068 closed cases, 839 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 494 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 124 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 84 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Mar-15	Mar-14	Change from Mar-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	391	522	-25%	338	16%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	270	297	-9%	232	16%
Applications Approved	173	158	9%	81	114%
Cases Closed *	199	226	-12%	107	86%
Cases at End of Month	1,289	1,530	-16%	321	302%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,361	1,590	-14%	394	245%

•The database indicates that of the 199 closed cases, 123 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 76 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 20 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 18 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	24,465	1,334	805	1,898	654	329	2,607	312	576	961	1,177	767	3,667	929	360	2,540	982	4,382	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW	9,220	429	285	566	214	128	1,177	112	280	393	318	312	1,390	454	126	999	378	1,582	77
Part. in a Work Activity	7,236	346	227	411	172	99	907	84	236	302	234	246	1,099	367	99	784	286	1,286	51
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	80%	73%	80%	77%	77%	75%	84%	77%	74%	79%	79%	81%	79%	78%	76%	81%	66%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	75	2	3	5	0	4	8	3	2	4	0	3	10	6	4	7	2	12	0
Participants Employed	5,196	210	163	318	152	81	628	68	164	219	151	151	775	264	74	588	199	952	39
% of Enrolled	56%	49%	57%	56%	71%	63%	53%	61%	59%	56%	47%	48%	56%	58%	59%	59%	53%	60%	51%
Full Time	4,030	158	127	247	127	70	464	57	115	150	115	112	585	199	64	465	154	796	25
Part Time	1,166	52	36	71	25	11	164	11	49	69	36	39	190	65	10	123	45	156	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.02	\$7.74	\$8.32	\$8.49	\$8.20	\$10.17	\$8.76	\$8.76	\$8.12	\$7.91	\$8.08	\$8.80	\$8.82	\$7.98	\$8.40	\$8.49	\$8.40	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,151	\$1,069	\$1,017	\$1,111	\$1,185	\$1,100	\$1,353	\$1,186	\$1,141	\$1,035	\$1,036	\$1,085	\$1,191	\$1,147	\$1,084	\$1,120	\$1,146	\$1,123	\$1,056

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	17,094	801	549	1,344	477	229	2,118	207	426	673	755	548	2,346	737	240	1,945	620	2,933	146
Total Enrolled in VIEW	21,283	985	729	1,478	553	301	2,485	251	537	884	863	688	3,118	959	285	2,441	848	3,700	178
Part. in a Work Activity	19,159	938	667	1,299	522	274	2,195	214	511	793	782	632	2,732	862	263	2,197	763	3,360	155
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	91%	88%	94%	91%	88%	85%	95%	90%	91%	92%	88%	90%	92%	90%	90%	91%	87%
Participants Employed	12,194	513	412	828	383	201	1,409	160	324	512	404	381	1,799	566	169	1,402	502	2,136	93
% of Total Enrolled	57%	52%	57%	56%	69%	67%	57%	64%	60%	58%	47%	55%	58%	59%	59%	57%	59%	58%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$8.11	\$7.83	\$8.40	\$8.55	\$8.41	\$10.46	\$8.87	\$8.84	\$8.27	\$8.01	\$8.32	\$8.85	\$8.97	\$8.20	\$8.44	\$8.77	\$8.42	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,133	\$1,057	\$1,021	\$1,134	\$1,140	\$1,123	\$1,358	\$1,200	\$1,053	\$1,058	\$1,052	\$1,097	\$1,148	\$1,133	\$1,031	\$1,081	\$1,204	\$1,090	\$1,033
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	69%	61%	66%	71%	65%	76%	72%	78%	67%	58%	71%	74%	74%	76%	72%	68%	73%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	311,617	18,651	11,605	23,174	9,183	6,016	39,393	4,441	6,883	11,748	14,140	9,045	41,306	10,461	4,169	30,103	10,553	57,934	2,812
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	241,424	14,484	9,795	18,029	7,184	4,534	30,330	3,369	5,379	9,763	11,362	7,122	32,606	7,860	3,134	23,042	8,268	43,046	2,117
Part. in a Work Activity	230,810	13,987	9,416	17,160	7,012	4,291	29,154	3,239	5,260	9,222	11,017	6,838	31,246	7,419	2,955	21,914	7,873	40,770	2,037
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	162,196	8,989	6,289	12,119	5,007	2,962	21,077	2,250	3,965	6,665	6,901	4,359	22,092	5,163	1,978	15,892	5,620	29,680	1,188
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$6.40	\$6.52	\$7.27	\$7.37	\$7.53	\$8.92	\$7.67	\$7.59	\$6.83	\$6.57	\$7.02	\$7.56	\$8.15	\$7.19	\$7.24	\$7.23	\$7.05	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$966	\$840	\$875	\$970	\$976	\$1,001	\$1,175	\$1,006	\$957	\$875	\$869	\$901	\$1,000	\$1,034	\$910	\$937	\$974	\$915	\$865
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,334	145	64	241	173	151	208	311	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	429	29	13	114	56	51	63	91	12
Participants in a Work Activity	346	24	11	102	47	33	56	64	9
% of Enrolled	81%	83%	85%	89%	84%	65%	89%	70%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	210	13	8	46	17	25	36	57	8
% of Enrolled	49%	45%	62%	40%	30%	49%	57%	63%	67%
Full Time	158	8	7	32	11	16	31	47	6
Part Time	52	5	1	14	6	9	5	10	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.00	\$8.06	\$8.01	\$7.76	\$8.18	\$8.30	\$7.78	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$980	\$1,220	\$1,075	\$1,011	\$1,027	\$1,086	\$1,056	\$1,291

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	801	72	31	144	87	99	144	202	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	985	83	32	214	125	130	162	209	30
Participants in a Work Activity	938	83	30	210	115	122	156	193	29
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	94%	98%	92%	94%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	513	44	22	111	41	65	91	115	24
% of Total Enrolled	52%	53%	69%	52%	33%	50%	56%	55%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.39	\$7.73	\$8.25	\$8.68	\$7.92	\$8.16	\$7.84	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,118	\$1,050	\$1,075	\$1,161	\$971	\$1,049	\$1,056	\$919
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	76%	63%	73%	68%	62%	74%	60%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,651	1,799	1,256	2,641	2,573	1,853	3,547	4,376	606
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,484	1,372	980	2,059	2,084	1,390	2,710	3,408	481
Participants in a Work Activity	13,987	1,294	924	2,048	1,988	1,365	2,624	3,300	444
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,989	738	590	1,340	1,236	805	1,800	2,126	354
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	58%	66%	62%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.40	\$6.58	\$6.40	\$6.25	\$6.56	\$6.71	\$6.27	\$6.40	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$840	\$857	\$850	\$813	\$866	\$892	\$826	\$842	\$761
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	76%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	805	9	91	37	178	189	91	174	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	285	4	41	5	49	64	23	91	8
Participants in a Work Activity	227	3	36	5	42	50	13	71	7
% of Enrolled	80%	N/A	88%	100%	86%	78%	57%	78%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
Participants Employed	163	3	32	4	34	31	11	44	4
% of Enrolled	57%	N/A	78%	80%	69%	48%	48%	48%	50%
Full Time	127	3	29	3	28	16	10	36	2
Part Time	36	0	3	1	6	15	1	8	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$11.12	\$7.57	\$7.44	\$7.13	\$7.50	\$7.97	\$8.18	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$1,819	\$1,068	\$790	\$953	\$826	\$1,071	\$1,112	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	549	11	56	19	117	109	61	161	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	729	11	79	18	166	131	60	239	25
Participants in a Work Activity	667	11	75	18	156	116	59	213	19
% of Total Enrolled	91%	100%	95%	100%	94%	89%	98%	89%	76%
Participants Employed	412	5	56	13	97	65	36	127	13
% of Total Enrolled	57%	45%	71%	72%	58%	50%	60%	53%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$10.47	\$7.82	\$7.96	\$7.52	\$7.76	\$7.79	\$7.96	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,660	\$1,076	\$993	\$1,004	\$933	\$1,073	\$1,024	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	50%	82%	44%	62%	50%	63%	58%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,605	293	1,523	715	2,053	2,061	1,680	2,510	770
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,795	219	1,265	582	1,748	1,719	1,334	2,252	676
Participants in a Work Activity	9,416	207	1,173	546	1,696	1,675	1,311	2,169	639
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,289	128	851	377	1,029	1,066	887	1,529	422
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	65%	59%	62%	66%	68%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.52	\$6.55	\$6.31	\$6.47	\$6.42	\$6.74	\$6.46	\$6.61	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$905	\$845	\$863	\$881	\$901	\$856	\$882	\$887
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	55%	54%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,898	77	33	19	44	183	44	206	127	239	66	860
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	566	26	7	9	9	65	2	63	18	80	28	259
Participants in a Work Activity	411	22	4	4	7	57	2	49	18	55	24	169
% of Enrolled	73%	85%	57%	44%	78%	88%	100%	78%	100%	69%	86%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	318	14	1	2	4	23	2	47	14	40	21	150
% of Enrolled	56%	54%	14%	22%	44%	35%	100%	75%	78%	50%	75%	58%
Full Time	247	10	0	1	4	15	2	43	12	28	16	116
Part Time	71	4	1	1	0	8	0	4	2	12	5	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.05	\$7.25	\$9.50	\$7.94	\$8.52	\$13.75	\$8.14	\$8.14	\$8.66	\$8.45	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$991	\$785	\$996	\$1,248	\$1,086	\$1,870	\$1,171	\$1,133	\$1,022	\$1,143	\$1,115

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,344	46	24	11	20	139	15	147	94	147	49	652
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,478	64	13	12	22	147	15	180	86	174	63	702
Participants in a Work Activity	1,299	59	10	7	20	134	15	156	77	143	59	619
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	77%	58%	91%	91%	100%	87%	90%	82%	94%	88%
Participants Employed	828	29	3	4	11	68	9	129	45	103	49	378
% of Total Enrolled	56%	45%	23%	33%	50%	46%	60%	72%	52%	59%	78%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.32	\$7.50	\$8.63	\$8.02	\$8.28	\$9.36	\$8.23	\$8.13	\$8.82	\$8.40	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,086	\$913	\$1,003	\$1,066	\$1,112	\$1,356	\$1,169	\$1,093	\$1,165	\$1,128	\$1,128
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	71%	25%	50%	40%	69%	67%	68%	53%	68%	61%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,174	1,254	403	111	594	2,076	583	3,246	1,992	2,597	920	9,398
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,029	970	253	66	441	1,619	426	2,672	1,588	2,104	724	7,166
Participants in a Work Activity	17,160	938	221	64	423	1,467	418	2,622	1,523	1,964	693	6,827
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	97%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	12,119	671	112	31	286	999	246	2,077	1,001	1,544	510	4,642
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	44%	47%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.02	\$7.54	\$7.41	\$7.16	\$7.20	\$7.19	\$7.11	\$6.92	\$7.77	\$7.17	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$970	\$856	\$960	\$1,025	\$956	\$966	\$964	\$958	\$956	\$1,041	\$926	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	53%	62%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	654	363	5	5	63	218
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	214	100	0	0	20	94
Participants in a Work Activity	172	80	N/A	N/A	14	78
% of Enrolled	80%	80%	N/A	N/A	70%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	152	72	N/A	N/A	12	68
% of Enrolled	71%	72%	N/A	N/A	60%	72%
Full Time	127	55	N/A	N/A	11	61
Part Time	25	17	N/A	N/A	1	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.41	N/A	N/A	\$8.45	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,185	\$1,096	N/A	N/A	\$1,268	\$1,265

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	477	272	0	2	28	175
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	553	292	0	0	43	218
Participants in a Work Activity	522	277	N/A	N/A	39	206
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	N/A	N/A	91%	94%
Participants Employed	383	182	N/A	N/A	29	172
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	N/A	N/A	67%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.55	\$8.45	N/A	N/A	\$8.89	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,140	\$1,076	N/A	N/A	\$1,100	\$1,216
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	61%	N/A	N/A	61%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,183	4,894	80	25	792	3,392
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,184	3,892	52	8	595	2,637
Participants in a Work Activity	7,012	3,825	52	8	552	2,575
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,007	2,610	32	6	419	1,940
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.35	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.91	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$974	\$717	\$784	\$877	\$1,003
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	329	11	66	32	40	105	75	185	114	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	128	3	19	13	19	40	34	77	35	42
Participants in a Work Activity	99	2	13	11	11	38	24	51	27	24
% of Enrolled	77%	2	68%	85%	58%	95%	71%	66%	77%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	81	2	12	9	8	33	17	39	21	18
% of Enrolled	63%	2	63%	69%	42%	83%	50%	51%	60%	43%
Full Time	70	2	10	7	8	32	11	25	15	10
Part Time	11	0	2	2	0	1	6	14	6	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$8.13	\$8.40	\$7.89	\$9.16	\$7.75	\$8.67	\$8.24	\$8.09	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$1,055	\$1,053	\$1,022	\$1,344	\$1,070	\$1,121	\$1,056	\$1,063	\$1,048

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	229	7	36	34	39	57	56	146	107	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	301	6	45	45	44	94	67	178	98	80
Participants in a Work Activity	274	5	42	42	39	91	55	155	89	66
% of Total Enrolled	91%	83%	93%	93%	89%	97%	82%	87%	91%	83%
Participants Employed	201	4	31	29	28	75	34	93	52	41
% of Total Enrolled	67%	67%	69%	64%	64%	80%	51%	52%	53%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$11.15	\$8.47	\$8.29	\$8.78	\$7.99	\$8.63	\$8.28	\$8.26	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,123	\$1,567	\$1,074	\$1,137	\$1,171	\$1,090	\$1,118	\$1,033	\$1,023	\$1,046
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	67%	50%	40%	83%	77%	69%	74%	65%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,016	232	1,318	897	747	1,444	1,378	2,812	1,810	1,002
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,534	141	990	734	467	1,105	1,097	2,117	1,331	786
Participants in a Work Activity	4,291	130	929	718	451	1,029	1,034	2,037	1,293	744
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,962	82	582	510	276	759	753	1,188	679	509
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	59%	69%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.74	\$7.91	\$7.43	\$7.01	\$7.54	\$7.47	\$6.93	\$7.00	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,030	\$1,030	\$1,003	\$958	\$1,017	\$979	\$865	\$870	\$859
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	59%	70%	70%	59%	71%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,607	165	918	195	821	366	110	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,177	52	444	148	307	186	38	2
Participants in a Work Activity	907	40	382	101	235	130	18	1
% of Enrolled	77%	77%	86%	68%	77%	70%	47%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	1	2	2	3	0
Participants Employed	628	29	222	77	190	95	14	1
% of Enrolled	53%	56%	50%	52%	62%	51%	37%	50%
Full Time	464	19	165	45	146	76	12	1
Part Time	164	10	57	32	44	19	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.17	\$9.95	\$10.05	\$11.67	\$9.52	\$10.64	\$9.91	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,353	\$1,197	\$1,335	\$1,531	\$1,261	\$1,473	\$1,466	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,118	116	745	212	575	363	88	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,485	148	928	239	699	372	88	11
Participants in a Work Activity	2,195	136	847	215	606	310	73	8
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	91%	90%	87%	83%	83%	73%
Participants Employed	1,409	83	494	146	446	185	50	5
% of Total Enrolled	57%	56%	53%	61%	64%	50%	57%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.46	\$9.94	\$10.43	\$11.31	\$9.99	\$11.32	\$10.19	\$9.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,358	\$1,082	\$1,340	\$1,454	\$1,314	\$1,535	\$1,471	\$1,177
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	64%	73%	77%	77%	85%	82%	40%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	39,393	3,174	14,048	2,913	12,473	4,588	1,696	501
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,330	2,500	10,635	2,300	9,659	3,573	1,300	363
Participants in a Work Activity	29,154	2,478	10,226	2,188	9,260	3,382	1,260	360
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,077	1,780	7,092	1,748	6,927	2,452	834	244
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.92	\$8.45	\$9.01	\$9.53	\$8.94	\$8.66	\$8.45	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,175	\$1,076	\$1,181	\$1,229	\$1,205	\$1,111	\$1,132	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	312	106	68	26	103	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	112	38	29	6	36	3
Participants in a Work Activity	84	27	24	2	28	3
% of Enrolled	75%	71%	83%	33%	78%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	68	23	21	1	21	2
% of Enrolled	61%	61%	72%	17%	58%	67%
Full Time	57	21	17	0	18	1
Part Time	11	2	4	1	3	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.76	\$8.30	\$9.12	\$10.28	\$8.75	\$9.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,186	\$1,162	\$1,206	\$1,202	\$1,198	\$1,137

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	207	71	58	20	52	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	251	95	69	21	61	5
Participants in a Work Activity	214	79	60	18	53	4
% of Total Enrolled	85%	83%	87%	86%	87%	80%
Participants Employed	160	63	47	9	39	2
% of Total Enrolled	64%	66%	68%	43%	64%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.87	\$8.56	\$9.18	\$8.48	\$9.01	\$9.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,200	\$1,180	\$1,215	\$1,015	\$1,253	\$1,129
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	76%	71%	33%	81%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,441	1,728	1,287	409	898	119
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,369	1,271	992	306	722	78
Participants in a Work Activity	3,239	1,221	973	297	674	74
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,250	821	690	179	514	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	58%	71%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.66	\$8.03	\$7.27	\$7.36	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$991	\$1,048	\$1,003	\$978	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	58%	61%	50%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	576	107	50	51	96	43	229
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	280	65	21	19	31	16	128
Participants in a Work Activity	236	63	20	13	24	12	104
% of Enrolled	84%	97%	95%	68%	77%	75%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	164	42	7	12	21	12	70
% of Enrolled	59%	65%	33%	63%	68%	75%	55%
Full Time	115	31	4	7	17	8	48
Part Time	49	11	3	5	4	4	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.76	\$8.48	\$7.92	\$8.34	\$8.39	\$8.62	\$9.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,141	\$1,060	\$933	\$1,163	\$1,244	\$1,110	\$1,182

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	426	101	23	38	74	20	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	537	123	35	34	78	26	241
Participants in a Work Activity	511	123	33	30	73	24	228
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	94%	88%	94%	92%	95%
Participants Employed	324	81	12	21	44	16	150
% of Total Enrolled	60%	66%	34%	62%	56%	62%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.84	\$9.09	\$8.07	\$8.57	\$8.40	\$9.25	\$8.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,092	\$943	\$1,014	\$960	\$1,131	\$1,065
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	85%	88%	80%	80%	91%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,883	1,664	327	533	1,093	365	2,901
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,379	1,231	242	380	823	265	2,438
Participants in a Work Activity	5,260	1,205	236	360	798	262	2,399
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,965	876	150	281	521	160	1,977
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	62%	74%	63%	60%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$8.02	\$8.02	\$7.47	\$7.80	\$7.97	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$1,024	\$1,063	\$921	\$989	\$1,019	\$928
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	56%	64%	65%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	961	81	69	153	212	446
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	393	32	25	56	117	163
Participants in a Work Activity	302	26	22	48	89	117
% of Enrolled	77%	81%	88%	86%	76%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	219	21	20	36	48	94
% of Enrolled	56%	66%	80%	64%	41%	58%
Full Time	150	17	15	23	28	67
Part Time	69	4	5	13	20	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.49	\$7.92	\$8.22	\$8.15	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$1,167	\$1,026	\$1,021	\$1,037	\$1,012

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	673	53	43	97	161	319
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	884	59	72	138	232	383
Participants in a Work Activity	793	52	68	120	205	348
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	94%	87%	88%	91%
Participants Employed	512	39	48	82	119	224
% of Total Enrolled	58%	66%	67%	59%	51%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.50	\$8.21	\$8.60	\$8.47	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,242	\$1,120	\$1,092	\$1,113	\$964
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	76%	61%	86%	61%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,748	1,088	917	2,362	2,746	4,635
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,763	868	806	1,901	2,362	3,826
Participants in a Work Activity	9,222	824	793	1,761	2,225	3,619
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,665	573	570	1,235	1,613	2,674
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$7.04	\$6.54	\$6.91	\$7.03	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$903	\$895	\$878	\$915	\$843
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,177	168	386	82	172	369
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	318	42	121	32	22	101
Participants in a Work Activity	234	26	97	19	15	77
% of Enrolled	74%	62%	80%	59%	68%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	151	22	59	16	12	42
% of Enrolled	47%	52%	49%	50%	55%	42%
Full Time	115	16	44	13	11	31
Part Time	36	6	15	3	1	11
Average Wage⁶	\$7.91	\$7.96	\$8.10	\$7.70	\$7.58	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,036	\$1,022	\$1,058	\$1,135	\$992	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	755	89	232	76	105	253
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	863	102	294	91	108	268
Participants in a Work Activity	782	93	263	82	105	239
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	89%	90%	97%	89%
Participants Employed	404	45	153	45	42	119
% of Total Enrolled	47%	44%	52%	49%	39%	44%
Average Wage⁶	\$8.01	\$8.45	\$8.15	\$7.69	\$7.90	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,052	\$1,048	\$1,082	\$1,018	\$1,000	\$1,042
Three Months Employment⁸	58%	67%	61%	50%	50%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,140	2,160	3,818	1,381	2,350	4,431
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	11,362	1,784	2,967	1,190	1,797	3,624
Participants in a Work Activity	11,017	1,725	2,854	1,124	1,773	3,541
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,901	987	1,899	797	950	2,268
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.57	\$6.54	\$6.99	\$6.47	\$6.56	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$869	\$859	\$944	\$838	\$878	\$819
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	58%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	767	30	87	51	50	55	129	26	142	89	108
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	312	11	38	19	25	25	54	14	34	46	46
Participants in a Work Activity	246	8	29	17	24	23	39	5	30	35	36
% of Enrolled	79%	73%	76%	89%	96%	92%	72%	36%	88%	76%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	151	7	15	9	6	12	26	2	15	31	28
% of Enrolled	48%	64%	39%	47%	24%	48%	48%	14%	44%	67%	61%
Full Time	112	6	8	8	3	7	21	2	14	22	21
Part Time	39	1	7	1	3	5	5	0	1	9	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.23	\$8.02	\$8.05	\$9.46	\$8.26	\$8.23	\$7.62	\$7.52	\$8.01	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,200	\$973	\$1,025	\$1,116	\$1,016	\$1,108	\$1,319	\$1,054	\$1,114	\$1,107

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	548	24	78	42	47	26	90	29	66	74	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	688	33	87	49	66	45	102	36	73	88	109
Participants in a Work Activity	632	30	85	46	65	40	89	28	68	80	101
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	98%	94%	98%	89%	87%	78%	93%	91%	93%
Participants Employed	381	23	46	23	34	24	55	13	36	57	70
% of Total Enrolled	55%	70%	53%	47%	52%	53%	54%	36%	49%	65%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$9.28	\$8.19	\$7.99	\$8.43	\$8.59	\$8.22	\$8.50	\$7.93	\$8.51	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,242	\$1,032	\$1,080	\$1,110	\$1,083	\$1,070	\$1,134	\$1,014	\$1,156	\$1,099
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	50%	64%	69%	81%	65%	67%	67%	77%	79%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,045	574	1,093	856	796	610	1,069	432	1,301	1,109	1,205
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,122	426	879	663	608	457	854	341	1,030	864	1,000
Participants in a Work Activity	6,838	404	877	630	572	433	818	336	989	803	976
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,359	262	507	377	317	264	515	168	670	577	702
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	58%	57%	52%	58%	60%	49%	65%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$7.66	\$6.48	\$7.19	\$7.46	\$7.56	\$7.02	\$6.77	\$6.75	\$7.07	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$901	\$980	\$844	\$962	\$940	\$972	\$852	\$841	\$855	\$928	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	67%	59%	59%	58%	64%	60%	66%	70%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,667	665	22	101	951	40	1,888
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,390	238	7	53	427	15	650
Participants in a Work Activity	1,099	198	7	42	317	12	523
% of Enrolled	79%	83%	100%	79%	74%	80%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	2	0	0	0	0	8
Participants Employed	775	158	5	34	225	9	344
% of Enrolled	56%	66%	71%	64%	53%	60%	53%
Full Time	585	129	2	29	157	7	261
Part Time	190	29	3	5	68	2	83
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.80	\$9.49	\$9.36	\$9.69	\$8.83	\$8.80	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,191	\$1,349	\$1,219	\$1,405	\$1,170	\$1,282	\$1,108

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,346	462	20	67	665	22	1,110
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,118	561	18	100	1,005	31	1,403
Participants in a Work Activity	2,732	518	18	88	853	29	1,226
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	100%	88%	85%	94%	87%
Participants Employed	1,799	330	10	70	603	19	767
% of Total Enrolled	58%	59%	56%	70%	60%	61%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.85	\$9.42	\$9.03	\$9.25	\$8.98	\$8.93	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,275	\$1,150	\$1,235	\$1,124	\$1,214	\$1,105
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	80%	43%	86%	72%	77%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,306	8,711	318	1,489	10,522	415	19,851
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	32,606	6,646	245	1,064	8,660	311	15,680
Participants in a Work Activity	31,246	6,428	235	987	8,141	294	15,161
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,092	4,200	163	697	5,874	176	10,982
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	66%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$7.88	\$7.45	\$8.39	\$7.88	\$7.92	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,000	\$1,052	\$950	\$1,066	\$1,028	\$999	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	64%	67%	66%	63%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	929	128	51	333	268	149
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	454	71	20	146	123	94
Participants in a Work Activity	367	50	19	118	105	75
% of Enrolled	81%	70%	95%	81%	85%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	264	44	11	85	66	58
% of Enrolled	58%	62%	55%	58%	54%	62%
Full Time	199	36	10	69	43	41
Part Time	65	8	1	16	23	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.82	\$9.26	\$8.30	\$8.58	\$9.09	\$8.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,147	\$1,217	\$1,287	\$1,120	\$1,119	\$1,138

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	737	79	41	271	203	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	959	134	43	335	249	198
Participants in a Work Activity	862	114	42	309	220	177
% of Total Enrolled	90%	85%	98%	92%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	566	84	26	202	137	117
% of Total Enrolled	59%	63%	60%	60%	55%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.97	\$8.88	\$9.47	\$8.68	\$9.55	\$8.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,133	\$1,126	\$1,154	\$1,090	\$1,227	\$1,094
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	73%	74%	72%	87%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,461	1,535	625	3,234	3,034	2,033
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,860	1,114	442	2,514	2,051	1,739
Participants in a Work Activity	7,419	1,058	420	2,337	1,953	1,651
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,163	689	260	1,716	1,217	1,281
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.81	\$7.70	\$8.12	\$8.81	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$985	\$941	\$1,023	\$1,147	\$1,001
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	65%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	360	71	24	27	38	22	45	34	30	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	126	24	8	15	10	6	17	9	14	23
Participants in a Work Activity	99	18	7	12	6	4	15	8	6	23
% of Enrolled	79%	75%	88%	80%	60%	67%	88%	89%	43%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	74	15	3	5	5	4	15	4	6	17
% of Enrolled	59%	63%	38%	33%	50%	67%	88%	44%	43%	74%
Full Time	64	14	3	5	5	4	13	2	6	12
Part Time	10	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.69	\$7.25	\$8.10	\$8.74	\$8.13	\$8.17	\$8.01	\$8.38	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,080	\$942	\$1,173	\$1,217	\$1,191	\$1,070	\$1,185	\$1,180	\$977

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	240	35	23	18	18	10	39	23	30	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	285	53	34	27	18	14	45	23	21	50
Participants in a Work Activity	263	46	31	26	17	14	45	19	16	49
% of Total Enrolled	92%	87%	91%	96%	94%	100%	100%	83%	76%	98%
Participants Employed	169	34	17	12	10	12	36	10	8	30
% of Total Enrolled	59%	64%	50%	44%	56%	86%	80%	43%	38%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$8.64	\$8.61	\$8.93	\$8.39	\$8.13	\$7.88	\$7.74	\$8.34	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,040	\$984	\$1,310	\$1,157	\$881	\$1,048	\$1,012	\$1,099	\$907
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	86%	58%	88%	67%	71%	78%	67%	67%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,169	632	319	374	443	229	517	373	391	891
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,134	508	220	307	349	162	386	305	234	663
Participants in a Work Activity	2,955	486	209	293	331	155	364	294	218	605
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,978	339	115	182	234	109	269	170	139	421
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	52%	59%	67%	67%	70%	56%	59%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.25	\$7.64	\$6.97	\$7.15	\$7.22	\$6.90	\$7.18	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$886	\$884	\$1,005	\$873	\$908	\$917	\$866	\$943	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	46%	59%	50%	72%	73%	50%	44%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,540	16	105	135	40	93	866	1,264	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	999	5	42	57	17	60	330	475	13
Participants in a Work Activity	784	2	32	46	7	45	267	372	13
% of Enrolled	78%	40%	76%	81%	41%	75%	81%	78%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	0
Participants Employed	588	2	29	32	5	26	211	273	10
% of Enrolled	59%	40%	69%	56%	29%	43%	64%	57%	77%
Full Time	465	2	28	17	5	15	156	234	8
Part Time	123	0	1	15	0	11	55	39	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.13	\$7.35	\$8.93	\$9.50	\$9.08	\$8.26	\$8.46	\$9.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,120	\$1,408	\$934	\$1,009	\$1,360	\$1,144	\$1,083	\$1,170	\$1,186

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,945	12	81	99	17	74	611	1,022	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,441	9	92	122	35	121	833	1,198	31
Participants in a Work Activity	2,197	9	83	104	28	109	759	1,074	31
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	90%	85%	80%	90%	91%	90%	100%
Participants Employed	1,402	5	60	70	15	63	511	657	21
% of Total Enrolled	57%	56%	65%	57%	43%	52%	61%	55%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$8.95	\$7.49	\$8.74	\$9.89	\$9.17	\$8.35	\$8.43	\$9.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,290	\$872	\$1,025	\$1,314	\$1,068	\$1,055	\$1,124	\$1,028
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	100%	75%	69%	70%	90%	71%	70%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	30,103	181	1,237	1,364	408	1,291	10,381	14,779	462
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,042	131	899	1,086	300	1006	7,807	11,462	351
Participants in a Work Activity	21,914	125	833	1,006	287	960	7,595	10,780	328
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,892	76	585	747	168	619	5,465	7,990	242
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.24	\$7.26	\$6.97	\$7.70	\$7.75	\$7.56	\$7.24	\$7.18	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$973	\$853	\$931	\$1,044	\$907	\$932	\$948	\$919
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	58%	58%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	982	102	84	31	69	265	431
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	378	15	36	11	38	131	147
Participants in a Work Activity	286	15	26	8	11	112	114
% of Enrolled	76%	100%	72%	73%	29%	85%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	199	10	22	5	10	62	90
% of Enrolled	53%	67%	61%	45%	26%	47%	61%
Full Time	154	9	18	4	5	39	79
Part Time	45	1	4	1	5	23	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.33	\$9.19	\$9.00	\$8.03	\$8.41	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,230	\$1,309	\$1,229	\$886	\$1,019	\$1,209

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	620	60	72	17	47	166	258
Total Enrolled in VIEW	848	43	73	32	69	262	369
Participants in a Work Activity	763	42	67	30	60	233	331
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	92%	94%	87%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	502	32	39	20	29	149	233
% of Total Enrolled	59%	74%	53%	63%	42%	57%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$9.26	\$9.31	\$9.28	\$8.34	\$8.80	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,204	\$1,386	\$1,269	\$1,162	\$1,078	\$1,175	\$1,210
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	74%	81%	55%	68%	66%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,553	1,363	979	423	827	2,643	4,318
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,268	886	736	351	668	2,161	3,466
Participants in a Work Activity	7,873	883	641	334	639	2,054	3,322
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,620	585	439	245	432	1,473	2,446
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.12	\$7.73	\$7.50	\$7.13	\$7.15	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$997	\$1,024	\$903	\$968	\$954	\$982
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/15 and Work Activity as of 3/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,382	112	54	715	63	1,467	905	319	747
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,582	30	24	234	19	623	308	87	257
Participants in a Work Activity	1,286	24	21	205	14	500	255	71	196
% of Enrolled	81%	80%	88%	88%	74%	80%	83%	82%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	6
Participants Employed	952	18	17	163	10	365	174	57	148
% of Enrolled	60%	60%	71%	70%	53%	59%	56%	66%	58%
Full Time	796	15	13	134	7	320	135	46	126
Part Time	156	3	4	29	3	45	39	11	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$7.97	\$8.73	\$8.75	\$7.59	\$8.26	\$8.37	\$8.22	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,123	\$938	\$1,180	\$1,182	\$980	\$1,092	\$1,124	\$1,125	\$1,158

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,933	60	39	528	58	907	545	232	564
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,700	60	57	683	71	1,264	717	247	601
Participants in a Work Activity	3,360	58	50	617	70	1,161	645	232	527
% of Total Enrolled	91%	97%	88%	90%	99%	92%	90%	94%	88%
Participants Employed	2,136	33	34	423	38	751	391	133	333
% of Total Enrolled	58%	55%	60%	62%	54%	59%	55%	54%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.23	\$8.63	\$8.64	\$8.10	\$8.30	\$8.37	\$8.34	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$1,069	\$1,155	\$1,131	\$930	\$1,070	\$1,080	\$1,129	\$1,098
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	83%	95%	72%	41%	77%	67%	65%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	57,934	1,326	1,081	9,432	925	18,532	9,337	3,675	13,626
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	43,046	961	873	7,016	752	13,690	7,454	2,890	9,410
Participants in a Work Activity	40,770	926	835	6,708	741	12,935	7,031	2,859	8,735
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	96%	99%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,680	644	511	5,011	487	9,555	5,252	2,102	6,118
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	59%	71%	65%	70%	70%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.01	\$6.80	\$7.24	\$6.77	\$6.94	\$6.91	\$6.88	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$915	\$920	\$851	\$950	\$843	\$883	\$916	\$894	\$967
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	63%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.1%	4.79	80	\$8.26	2.01	81	64.5%	5.27	83	4.02	84
003	Albemarle	65.9%	6.83	22	\$9.09	4.18	24	85.4%	8.06	13	6.35	9
005	Alleghany/Covington	45.3%	3.55	103	\$8.32	2.17	76	71.4%	6.19	53	3.97	86
007	Amelia	69.7%	7.44	12	\$9.28	4.67	17	50.0%	3.33	103	5.15	45
009	Amherst	66.1%	6.87	21	\$8.50	2.64	56	75.9%	6.78	39	5.43	37
011	Appomattox	66.7%	6.96	18	\$8.21	1.88	86	61.1%	4.81	94	4.55	66
013	Arlington	56.1%	5.27	61	\$9.94	6.40	8	64.1%	5.21	84	5.62	28
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	62.3%	6.27	34	\$8.45	2.51	62	61.2%	4.82	93	4.53	68
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	59.4%	5.80	49	\$8.60	2.90	49	86.0%	8.13	12	5.61	30
021	Bland	45.5%	3.57	101	\$10.47	7.78	4	50.0%	3.33	104	4.90	56
023	Botetourt	23.1%	0.00	118	\$7.50	0.03	117	25.0%	0.00	118	0.01	118
025	Brunswick	52.9%	4.76	82	\$8.19	1.83	87	64.0%	5.20	85	3.93	88
027	Buchanan	53.0%	4.78	81	\$8.39	2.35	68	76.5%	6.86	36	4.66	64
029	Buckingham	46.9%	3.81	99	\$7.99	1.31	98	68.8%	5.83	61	3.65	97
031	Campbell	51.3%	4.50	89	\$8.47	2.56	59	61.5%	4.86	92	3.97	85
033	Caroline	62.7%	6.32	32	\$8.88	3.63	33	72.6%	6.34	49	5.43	36
035	Carroll	70.9%	7.63	10	\$7.82	0.86	107	82.1%	7.61	19	5.37	40
036	Charles City	55.6%	5.19	62	\$8.95	3.81	29	100.0%	10.00	1	6.33	11
037	Charlotte	51.5%	4.54	88	\$8.43	2.45	64	81.0%	7.46	20	4.82	61
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	58.8%	5.71	53	\$9.42	5.04	14	80.4%	7.38	23	6.04	15
043	Clarke	66.7%	6.96	19	\$11.15	9.56	3	66.7%	5.56	70	7.36	3
045	Craig	33.3%	1.64	116	\$8.63	2.98	44	50.0%	3.33	105	2.65	114
047	Culpeper	66.3%	6.90	20	\$8.56	2.79	54	76.2%	6.83	37	5.51	32
049	Cumberland	53.3%	4.83	77	\$8.59	2.87	51	64.7%	5.29	82	4.33	76
051	Dickensen	68.8%	7.29	14	\$7.73	0.63	114	62.5%	5.00	88	4.31	78
053	Dinwiddie	74.4%	8.20	7	\$9.26	4.62	19	73.9%	6.52	44	6.45	8
057	Essex	64.2%	6.56	27	\$8.64	3.00	42	86.4%	8.18	10	5.91	20
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.2%	4.81	78	\$10.43	7.68	5	73.2%	6.42	47	6.30	12
061	Fauquier	68.1%	7.19	15	\$9.18	4.41	22	70.6%	6.08	57	5.89	21
063	Floyd	50.0%	4.30	92	\$8.02	1.38	96	40.0%	2.00	114	2.56	115
065	Fluvanna	34.3%	1.79	115	\$8.07	1.51	94	87.5%	8.33	6	3.88	92
067	Franklin County	46.3%	3.70	100	\$8.28	2.06	80	69.2%	5.90	60	3.89	91
069	Frederick	68.9%	7.31	13	\$8.47	2.56	60	50.0%	3.33	106	4.40	72
071	Giles	60.0%	5.89	44	\$9.36	4.88	15	66.7%	5.56	71	5.44	34
073	Gloucester	65.2%	6.73	23	\$7.49	0.00	118	75.0%	6.67	40	4.46	70
075	Goochland	55.6%	5.19	63	\$9.03	4.02	26	42.9%	2.38	112	3.86	93
077	Grayson	72.2%	7.85	8	\$7.96	1.23	100	44.4%	2.59	111	3.89	90

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.8%	6.18	37	\$8.57	2.82	52	80.0%	7.33	24	5.44	35
081	Greensville/Emporia	53.9%	4.92	72	\$8.22	1.91	85	66.7%	5.56	72	4.13	81
083	Halifax	44.1%	3.36	106	\$8.45	2.51	63	66.7%	5.56	73	3.81	94
085	Hanover	70.0%	7.49	11	\$9.25	4.60	20	86.1%	8.14	11	6.74	4
087	Henrico	60.0%	5.89	45	\$8.98	3.89	28	72.4%	6.33	50	5.37	38
089	Henry/Martinsville	52.0%	4.62	85	\$8.15	1.72	89	60.7%	4.75	95	3.70	95
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	55.0%	5.10	68	\$8.23	1.93	83	82.6%	7.68	17	4.90	55
095	James City	57.4%	5.48	56	\$8.74	3.26	38	68.6%	5.82	62	4.85	58
097	King and Queen	50.0%	4.30	93	\$8.61	2.92	47	58.3%	4.44	98	3.89	89
099	King George	60.5%	5.97	42	\$9.47	5.17	13	73.7%	6.49	45	5.88	23
101	King William	44.4%	3.41	104	\$8.93	3.76	30	87.5%	8.33	7	5.17	43
103	Lancaster	55.6%	5.19	64	\$8.39	2.35	69	66.7%	5.56	74	4.36	74
105	Lee	51.9%	4.60	87	\$8.25	1.98	82	73.3%	6.44	46	4.34	75
107	Loudoun	61.1%	6.07	41	\$11.31	9.97	2	77.1%	6.94	31	7.66	1
109	Louisa	56.4%	5.32	59	\$8.40	2.38	66	80.0%	7.33	25	5.01	49
111	Lunenburg	36.1%	2.08	114	\$8.50	2.64	57	66.7%	5.56	75	3.42	105
113	Madison	42.9%	3.16	108	\$8.48	2.58	58	33.3%	1.11	117	2.28	117
115	Mathews	85.7%	10.00	1	\$8.13	1.67	90	71.4%	6.19	54	5.95	19
117	Mecklenburg	49.3%	4.19	98	\$7.93	1.15	102	76.9%	6.92	33	4.09	82
119	Middlesex	80.0%	9.09	2	\$7.88	1.02	105	78.3%	7.10	27	5.74	26
121	Montgomery	71.7%	7.76	9	\$8.23	1.93	84	68.1%	5.75	64	5.14	46
125	Nelson	61.5%	6.14	38	\$9.25	4.60	21	90.9%	8.79	4	6.51	7
127	New Kent	42.9%	3.16	109	\$9.89	6.27	9	70.0%	6.00	59	5.14	47
131	Northampton	51.3%	4.50	90	\$8.31	2.14	77	83.9%	7.85	15	4.83	60
133	Northumberland	43.5%	3.26	107	\$7.74	0.65	112	66.7%	5.56	76	3.16	108
135	Nottoway	64.8%	6.66	24	\$8.51	2.66	55	78.7%	7.16	26	5.49	33
137	Orange	63.9%	6.52	28	\$9.01	3.97	27	80.8%	7.44	21	5.98	18
139	Page	64.4%	6.60	25	\$8.29	2.09	79	40.0%	2.00	115	3.56	100
141	Patrick	49.5%	4.21	97	\$7.69	0.52	115	50.0%	3.33	107	2.69	113
143	Pittsylvania	38.9%	2.52	112	\$7.90	1.07	104	50.0%	3.33	108	2.31	116
145	Powhatan	61.3%	6.10	40	\$8.93	3.76	31	76.9%	6.92	34	5.59	31
147	Prince Edward	64.2%	6.57	26	\$8.09	1.57	93	75.9%	6.79	38	4.98	52
149	Prince George	53.4%	4.84	76	\$9.31	4.75	16	80.8%	7.44	22	5.68	27
153	Prince William	63.8%	6.50	29	\$9.99	6.53	7	77.0%	6.93	32	6.65	6
155	Pulaski	52.3%	4.67	83	\$8.13	1.67	91	53.3%	3.78	102	3.37	107
157	Rappahannock	40.0%	2.70	111	\$9.50	5.25	12	100.0%	10.00	2	5.98	17
159	Richmond County	38.1%	2.40	113	\$8.34	2.22	73	66.7%	5.56	77	3.39	106
161	Roanoke County	59.2%	5.77	51	\$8.82	3.47	35	67.6%	5.68	67	4.97	53
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	67.4%	7.08	17	\$8.89	3.66	32	87.0%	8.26	9	6.33	10
165	Rockingham/H'burg	78.9%	8.91	5	\$8.61	2.92	48	78.1%	7.07	28	6.30	13
167	Russell	32.8%	1.55	117	\$8.68	3.11	40	67.9%	5.71	66	3.46	104
169	Scott	50.0%	4.30	94	\$7.92	1.12	103	62.2%	4.96	89	3.46	103
171	Shenandoah	63.6%	6.48	30	\$8.78	3.37	37	83.3%	7.78	16	5.87	24

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.4%	5.64	55	\$7.52	0.08	116	62.1%	4.95	90	3.56	101
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.6%	5.84	48	\$8.63	2.98	45	94.7%	9.30	3	6.04	16
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.3%	5.94	43	\$8.68	3.11	41	71.8%	6.23	52	5.09	48
179	<i>Stafford</i>	55.0%	5.10	67	\$9.55	5.38	10	87.3%	8.31	8	6.26	14
181	<i>Surry</i>	62.5%	6.29	33	\$9.28	4.67	18	55.0%	4.00	101	4.99	50
183	<i>Sussex</i>	42.0%	3.03	110	\$8.34	2.22	74	68.0%	5.73	65	3.66	96
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	56.2%	5.28	60	\$8.16	1.75	88	74.5%	6.60	43	4.54	67
187	<i>Warren</i>	79.8%	9.05	4	\$7.99	1.31	99	77.1%	6.94	30	5.77	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	49.6%	4.24	96	\$7.76	0.70	111	50.0%	3.33	109	2.76	112
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	60.0%	5.89	46	\$7.74	0.65	113	75.0%	6.67	41	4.40	71
195	<i>Wise</i>	55.0%	5.10	66	\$7.84	0.91	106	60.3%	4.70	97	3.57	99
197	<i>Wythe</i>	60.0%	5.89	47	\$7.79	0.78	110	63.3%	5.11	87	3.93	87
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	52.1%	4.63	84	\$9.17	4.39	23	90.0%	8.67	5	5.89	22
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.7%	4.26	95	\$11.32	10.00	1	85.1%	8.02	14	7.42	2
520	<i>Bristol</i>	53.1%	4.80	79	\$7.96	1.23	101	58.1%	4.41	99	3.48	102
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	62.2%	6.25	35	\$8.88	3.63	34	71.3%	6.17	55	5.35	41
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	61.9%	6.20	36	\$8.64	3.00	43	72.2%	6.29	51	5.17	44
590	<i>Danville</i>	44.4%	3.40	105	\$7.82	0.86	108	57.8%	4.37	100	2.88	110
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	53.5%	4.86	75	\$8.10	1.59	92	40.7%	2.10	113	2.85	111
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	59.1%	5.75	52	\$8.71	3.19	39	64.9%	5.33	81	4.75	63
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.0%	4.62	86	\$8.06	1.49	95	50.0%	3.33	110	3.15	109
650	<i>Hampton</i>	61.3%	6.11	39	\$8.35	2.25	72	71.3%	6.17	56	4.84	59
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	56.9%	5.40	57	\$8.80	3.42	36	66.1%	5.47	79	4.76	62
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	58.5%	5.65	54	\$8.02	1.38	97	63.4%	5.12	86	4.05	83
683	<i>Manassas</i>	56.8%	5.39	58	\$10.19	7.05	6	82.1%	7.62	18	6.69	5
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	45.5%	3.57	102	\$9.52	5.30	11	40.0%	2.00	116	3.62	98
700	<i>Newport News</i>	54.8%	5.07	69	\$8.43	2.45	65	70.4%	6.06	58	4.53	69
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	59.4%	5.80	50	\$8.30	2.11	78	77.3%	6.98	29	4.96	54
720	<i>Norton</i>	80.0%	9.09	3	\$7.82	0.86	109	76.9%	6.92	35	5.62	29
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	63.1%	6.40	31	\$8.60	2.90	50	67.3%	5.64	68	4.98	51
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	54.5%	5.02	71	\$8.37	2.30	71	67.2%	5.62	69	4.31	77
750	<i>Radford</i>	77.8%	8.73	6	\$8.40	2.38	67	60.6%	4.75	96	5.29	42
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	54.7%	5.04	70	\$8.46	2.53	61	72.7%	6.36	48	4.64	65
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	53.8%	4.91	73	\$8.39	2.35	70	66.7%	5.56	78	4.27	79
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.8%	4.91	74	\$8.34	2.22	75	65.3%	5.37	80	4.17	80
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	55.4%	5.16	65	\$8.57	2.82	53	74.6%	6.61	42	4.86	57
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	67.7%	7.13	16	\$9.06	4.10	25	61.5%	4.87	91	5.37	39
840	<i>Winchester</i>	50.7%	4.42	91	\$8.63	2.98	46	68.6%	5.81	63	4.40	73

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.0%	1.83	117	\$870	2.99	94	64.9%	5.35	49	8.59	110
003	Albemarle	71.2%	7.30	15	\$1,024	5.99	19	58.4%	3.70	93	7.90	31
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.2%	6.76	31	\$856	2.72	101	58.5%	3.73	88	7.65	81
007	Amelia	61.5%	4.68	85	\$980	5.13	36	60.8%	4.32	74	7.36	68
009	Amherst	66.0%	5.91	56	\$903	3.62	79	59.7%	4.03	80	7.23	76
011	Appomattox	70.7%	7.18	16	\$895	3.47	81	54.7%	2.79	106	6.89	78
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.31	13	\$1,076	7.02	8	68.9%	6.35	24	6.69	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.19	45	\$974	5.01	40	66.3%	5.69	41	6.60	33
017	Bath	61.5%	4.69	83	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.44	72	6.55	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.62	62	\$878	3.13	91	60.1%	4.14	78	6.53	85
021	Bland	58.4%	3.85	101	\$905	3.67	77	55.3%	2.92	104	6.51	108
023	Botetourt	44.3%	0.00	120	\$960	4.74	49	53.3%	2.41	111	6.34	119
025	Brunswick	57.7%	3.64	107	\$844	2.48	109	66.5%	5.76	37	6.32	96
027	Buchanan	53.8%	2.59	113	\$857	2.73	100	64.5%	5.25	52	6.31	107
029	Buckingham	56.9%	3.42	108	\$962	4.78	48	59.1%	3.89	83	6.31	93
031	Campbell	68.3%	6.52	37	\$915	3.87	73	62.7%	4.79	61	6.24	57
033	Caroline	61.8%	4.77	81	\$985	5.23	33	59.0%	3.86	84	6.24	72
035	Carroll	67.3%	6.25	43	\$845	2.51	108	54.5%	2.72	108	6.15	99
036	Charles City	58.0%	3.73	103	\$973	4.99	41	51.7%	2.01	114	6.07	104
037	Charlotte	52.1%	2.14	116	\$940	4.35	61	58.9%	3.84	86	6.04	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.14	74	\$1,052	6.54	11	68.9%	6.36	23	6.02	22
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.77	102	\$1,030	6.11	15	58.3%	3.69	94	6.01	75
045	Craig	47.0%	0.73	119	\$1,025	6.01	18	62.1%	4.64	68	5.95	101
047	Culpeper	64.6%	5.52	69	\$991	5.35	31	66.2%	5.67	43	5.92	36
049	Cumberland	57.8%	3.67	105	\$972	4.98	42	57.6%	3.52	101	5.82	91
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.33	88	\$850	2.60	107	54.7%	2.79	107	5.80	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.0%	5.91	55	\$997	5.46	30	58.1%	3.63	98	5.73	59
057	Essex	66.7%	6.10	50	\$886	3.30	85	50.0%	1.59	116	5.69	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.7%	6.09	51	\$1,181	9.05	3	69.6%	6.54	18	5.67	5
061	Fauquier	69.6%	6.87	29	\$1,048	6.46	12	68.2%	6.19	29	5.66	11
063	Floyd	64.9%	5.59	63	\$956	4.67	53	53.7%	2.53	110	5.66	86
065	Fluvanna	62.0%	4.81	80	\$1,063	6.76	10	62.5%	4.74	63	5.64	39
067	Franklin County	61.7%	4.74	82	\$966	4.86	46	58.2%	3.66	95	5.63	80
069	Frederick	58.8%	3.94	98	\$1,030	6.10	16	60.5%	4.23	76	5.62	66
071	Giles	57.7%	3.66	106	\$964	4.83	47	52.1%	2.11	113	5.59	106
073	Gloucester	65.1%	5.65	59	\$853	2.66	104	57.7%	3.54	99	5.51	97
075	Goochland	66.5%	6.05	52	\$950	4.56	55	64.2%	5.17	54	5.47	47
077	Grayson	64.8%	5.57	65	\$863	2.84	97	60.4%	4.21	77	5.46	89

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	73.9%	8.06	5	\$921	3.99	68	56.3%	3.18	103	5.44	55
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.3%	4.35	87	\$852	2.64	105	64.4%	5.22	53	5.44	90
083	Halifax	55.3%	3.00	112	\$859	2.77	98	58.4%	3.71	92	5.41	112
085	Hanover	65.5%	5.77	57	\$1,066	6.82	9	66.9%	5.85	35	5.40	18
087	Henrico	67.8%	6.40	41	\$1,028	6.06	17	65.9%	5.60	44	5.37	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.36	71	\$944	4.44	58	63.8%	5.07	57	5.35	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.35	4	\$784	1.30	118	83.3%	10.00	1	5.30	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.0%	6.18	47	\$920	3.97	69	59.2%	3.91	82	5.26	69
095	James City	68.8%	6.66	33	\$931	4.17	63	58.1%	3.63	96	5.26	65
097	King and Queen	52.3%	2.17	115	\$884	3.25	86	46.0%	0.58	119	5.23	120
099	King George	58.8%	3.95	97	\$941	4.38	60	58.4%	3.71	91	5.20	94
101	King William	59.3%	4.08	93	\$1,005	5.62	24	59.0%	3.85	85	5.19	77
103	Lancaster	67.0%	6.19	46	\$873	3.04	93	50.5%	1.71	115	5.17	103
105	Lee	65.1%	5.65	58	\$813	1.87	117	71.8%	7.10	9	5.17	61
107	Loudoun	76.0%	8.62	3	\$1,229	10.00	1	72.0%	7.15	8	5.15	1
109	Louisa	63.3%	5.17	73	\$989	5.32	32	63.9%	5.09	55	5.10	50
111	Lunenburg	49.3%	1.36	118	\$841	2.43	113	59.9%	4.08	79	5.07	117
113	Madison	58.5%	3.86	100	\$1,003	5.59	26	57.7%	3.52	100	5.06	84
115	Mathews	67.3%	6.25	42	\$908	3.74	75	71.6%	7.03	10	5.06	29
117	Mecklenburg	65.0%	5.64	60	\$855	2.69	103	65.9%	5.60	45	5.04	70
119	Middlesex	69.7%	6.90	28	\$917	3.91	71	72.6%	7.30	7	5.00	20
121	Montgomery	77.7%	9.09	2	\$958	4.71	50	63.2%	4.92	60	4.96	16
125	Nelson	60.4%	4.37	86	\$1,019	5.90	22	64.8%	5.33	50	4.87	49
127	New Kent	56.0%	3.19	110	\$1,044	6.38	13	70.8%	6.84	13	4.86	37
131	Northampton	64.8%	5.56	67	\$859	2.77	99	79.8%	9.12	2	4.85	25
133	Northumberland	55.7%	3.11	111	\$866	2.91	95	49.7%	1.50	118	4.84	118
135	Nottoway	66.8%	6.11	49	\$928	4.12	65	69.6%	6.53	20	4.82	35
137	Orange	71.2%	7.31	14	\$978	5.09	38	61.3%	4.45	71	4.76	34
139	Page	69.5%	6.85	30	\$1,003	5.59	25	59.3%	3.94	81	4.75	38
141	Patrick	67.0%	6.17	48	\$838	2.36	114	73.7%	7.58	6	4.71	43
143	Pittsylvania	52.9%	2.33	114	\$878	3.15	90	58.5%	3.73	89	4.69	113
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.35	109	\$999	5.51	29	62.5%	4.74	64	4.64	74
147	Prince Edward	70.2%	7.04	21	\$908	3.74	74	68.6%	6.29	26	4.63	28
149	Prince George	59.6%	4.18	89	\$1,024	5.98	20	58.4%	3.71	90	4.62	71
153	Prince William	71.7%	7.45	11	\$1,205	9.52	2	70.3%	6.71	14	4.55	2
155	Pulaski	63.0%	5.10	75	\$956	4.67	52	53.2%	2.39	112	4.53	92
157	Rappahannock	59.0%	3.99	95	\$977	5.08	39	50.0%	1.59	117	4.52	105
159	Richmond County	59.4%	4.11	90	\$943	4.41	59	43.7%	0.00	120	4.52	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.91	9	\$1,041	6.32	14	58.1%	3.63	97	4.52	23
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	7.10	20	\$877	3.13	92	67.8%	6.08	30	4.48	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.6%	7.96	8	\$1,003	5.58	27	67.7%	6.06	32	4.48	10
167	Russell	59.3%	4.08	92	\$866	2.90	96	66.4%	5.73	38	4.42	87
169	Scott	57.9%	3.71	104	\$892	3.42	83	75.7%	8.07	5	4.40	56
171	Shenandoah	59.1%	4.03	94	\$958	4.71	51	69.7%	6.56	17	4.36	54

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.9%	3.96	96	\$881	3.20	89	62.2%	4.68	65	4.33	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.5%	3.87	99	\$851	2.61	106	54.4%	2.71	109	4.33	114
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.3%	6.51	38	\$1,023	5.97	21	66.4%	5.73	39	4.30	19
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.3%	4.09	91	\$1,147	8.39	4	68.4%	6.22	28	4.26	17
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.8%	6.93	25	\$903	3.63	78	55.1%	2.87	105	4.24	79
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.7%	5.54	68	\$968	4.90	44	62.2%	4.67	66	4.21	58
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.4%	6.02	54	\$826	2.13	115	65.5%	5.50	46	4.21	73
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.7%	6.63	34	\$1,017	5.86	23	69.6%	6.54	19	4.07	12
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.0%	4.82	79	\$901	3.58	80	57.6%	3.51	102	4.05	95
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.5%	5.22	72	\$924	4.05	67	64.7%	5.30	51	4.05	62
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.4%	4.92	78	\$842	2.45	112	66.3%	5.71	40	4.03	82
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.5%	6.04	53	\$856	2.71	102	66.7%	5.80	36	4.01	63
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.5%	4.69	84	\$907	3.70	76	60.5%	4.23	75	3.97	88
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.6%	6.61	36	\$1,111	7.70	6	78.0%	8.64	3	3.96	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.9%	6.42	40	\$882	3.23	88	61.9%	4.60	69	3.95	67
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.1%	10.00	1	\$928	4.13	64	66.2%	5.68	42	3.95	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.4%	7.37	12	\$950	4.54	56	63.8%	5.07	56	3.82	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.6%	4.97	76	\$819	2.00	116	61.2%	4.42	73	3.80	100
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.8%	5.57	66	\$843	2.46	111	63.4%	4.97	59	3.79	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.7%	7.98	6	\$1,001	5.54	28	65.3%	5.45	47	3.66	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.4%	4.93	77	\$887	3.31	84	68.6%	6.28	27	3.65	64
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.0%	6.99	23	\$932	4.19	62	63.7%	5.04	58	3.58	41
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.49	39	\$954	4.63	54	62.7%	4.79	62	3.55	45
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.9%	6.96	24	\$843	2.47	110	67.8%	6.08	31	3.53	52
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.2%	5.40	70	\$1,132	8.10	5	69.7%	6.56	16	3.52	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	67.2%	6.23	44	\$1,104	7.56	7	76.5%	8.29	4	3.48	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.7%	6.91	27	\$948	4.51	57	68.8%	6.34	25	3.44	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.8%	6.93	26	\$883	3.23	87	67.7%	6.04	33	3.39	42
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.6%	7.96	7	\$761	0.86	119	71.2%	6.95	12	3.24	46
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.14	17	\$982	5.18	35	69.9%	6.61	15	3.16	14
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	7.11	18	\$916	3.89	72	69.0%	6.39	22	3.07	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.4%	7.11	19	\$926	4.08	66	61.6%	4.50	70	3.06	48
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	7.00	22	\$968	4.91	43	71.5%	7.01	11	3.04	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.8%	5.57	64	\$984	5.21	34	62.2%	4.67	67	2.84	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.7%	7.73	10	\$894	3.46	82	67.6%	6.02	34	2.62	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.63	61	\$967	4.88	45	69.0%	6.39	21	2.51	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.9%	6.70	32	\$919	3.94	70	65.1%	5.41	48	2.39	44
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.62	35	\$979	5.11	37	58.7%	3.80	87	2.00	51

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	12,302	590	425	959	366	177	1,355	154	319	516	549	441	1,691	516	164	1,451	434	2,090	105
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	91%	97%	96%	95%	96%	92%	82%	85%	95%	98%	95%	89%	89%	83%	86%	97%	89%	91%	91%
% Timely in March 2015	89%	94%	100%	96%	100%	96%	78%	86%	96%	100%	93%	78%	90%	74%	81%	96%	58%	90%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	8,847	450	326	646	268	127	946	90	223	365	381	320	1,219	361	112	1,058	320	1,559	76
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	98%	96%	98%	98%	92%	92%	98%	95%	97%	98%	92%	96%	94%	94%	94%	95%	89%
Percent in March 2015	95%	100%	100%	93%	98%	100%	93%	90%	100%	95%	98%	94%	92%	96%	93%	94%	97%	94%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	132	0	0	1	0	0	71	11	3	0	0	5	17	4	4	1	8	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	59	0	0	17	0	0	78	70	35	0	0	18	36	41	15	184	13	34	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014		590	50	20	115	72	77	94	147	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014		97%	94%	100%	99%	97%	99%	94%	98%	87%
% Timely in March 2015		94%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	92%	93%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014		450	40	11	92	49	62	75	109	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014		100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	92%
Percent in March 2015		100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	425	9	49	15	94	74	37	136	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	93%	84%	98%	91%
% Timely in March 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	326	6	38	11	75	57	29	105	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	97%	100%	97%	100%	100%	99%	80%
Percent in March 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	959	44	10	6	11	107	8	105	62	118	42	446
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	90%	100%	100%	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	88%	93%
% Timely in March 2015	96%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	89%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	646	30	5	4	9	78	8	71	40	66	29	306
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	100%	0%	100%	95%	100%	99%	98%	92%	97%	97%
Percent in March 2015	93%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	93%	N/A	100%	83%	81%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	366	216	0	0	17	133
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	88%
% Timely in March 2015	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	268	159	0	0	14	95
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	86%	99%
Percent in March 2015	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	177	5	23	33	25	40	51	105	73	32
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	96%	100%	84%	85%	94%	91%	95%	84%
% Timely in March 2015	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	90%	100%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	127	4	17	24	16	36	30	76	55	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	89%	96%	71%
Percent in March 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,355	81	494	135	372	215	46	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	82%	95%	97%	89%	48%	96%	83%	50%
% Timely in March 2015	78%	100%	98%	92%	51%	89%	70%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	946	72	357	105	238	141	27	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	96%	94%	82%	89%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2015	93%	100%	96%	94%	87%	83%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	71	0	0	1	60	4	0	6
Average # of Days Over 30	78	0	0	26	89	3	0	26

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	154	48	51	15	40	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	85%	96%	92%	87%	63%	N/A
% Timely in March 2015	86%	100%	100%	50%	83%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	90	28	32	11	19	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	100%	100%	63%	N/A
Percent in March 2015	90%	N/A	100%	100%	67%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	2	9	0
Average # of Days Over 30	70	0	0	10	83	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	319	81	19	21	49	19	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	98%	63%	86%	98%	100%	98%
% Timely in March 2015	96%	100%	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	223	53	16	14	36	15	89
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	98%	94%	93%	100%	93%	99%
Percent in March 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	18	44	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	516	40	37	68	129	242
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	98%	98%	89%	97%	100%	98%
% Timely in March 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	365	26	28	50	95	166
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	96%	91%	96%
Percent in March 2015	95%	100%	N/A	88%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	549	65	184	52	79	169
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	91%	90%	96%	99%	100%
% Timely in March 2015	93%	100%	87%	67%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	381	45	131	38	51	116
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	97%	97%	100%	93%
Percent in March 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	441	22	71	31	45	17	67	21	53	52	62
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	100%	94%	100%	96%	100%	39%	95%	96%	100%	100%
% Timely in March 2015	78%	100%	93%	100%	67%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	320	16	47	23	34	11	48	16	34	42	49
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	90%	100%	100%	98%	98%
Percent in March 2015	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	100%	100%	80%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,691	305	18	46	526	21	775
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	94%	100%	89%	83%	100%	91%
% Timely in March 2015	90%	96%	100%	100%	87%	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,219	228	13	34	388	15	541
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	95%	100%	88%	88%	93%	94%
Percent in March 2015	92%	98%	100%	100%	82%	67%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	17	2	0	0	3	0	12
Average # of Days Over 30	36	43	0	0	18	0	39

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	516	61	31	167	130	127
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	83%	80%	100%	84%	70%	94%
% Timely in March 2015	74%	71%	100%	61%	65%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	361	44	25	123	89	80
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	98%	96%	97%	96%	94%
Percent in March 2015	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	4	1	0	2	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	99	0	18	31	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	164	36	18	15	11	6	26	16	9	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	86%	100%	61%	93%	91%	100%	92%	94%	78%	67%
% Timely in March 2015	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	112	26	15	12	5	4	19	9	7	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	73%
Percent in March 2015	93%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	19	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,451	7	60	77	11	50	454	770	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	71%	92%	99%	73%	96%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in March 2015	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,058	5	43	50	9	38	331	567	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	98%	82%	56%	97%	97%	93%	100%
Percent in March 2015	94%	N/A	100%	83%	100%	100%	98%	93%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	184	0	0	0	184	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	434	24	51	15	30	124	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	96%	88%	100%	93%	88%	87%
% Timely in March 2015	58%	100%	0%	100%	N/A	67%	62%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	320	20	42	12	25	87	134
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	92%	95%	90%
Percent in March 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	8	0	5	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	18	0	0	0	5

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - March 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	2,090	44	26	367	55	648	420	162	368
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	91%	93%	100%	91%	100%	86%	95%	92%	96%
% Timely in March 2015	90%	91%	N/A	90%	100%	83%	89%	92%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,559	25	24	278	44	493	319	127	249
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	96%	100%	97%	100%	91%	97%	98%	96%
Percent in March 2015	94%	89%	100%	100%	100%	88%	98%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	7	2	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	42	0	0	0	36	10	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Mar-14</i>	<i>Mar-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,474	15,935	-3.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	133,731	134,314	0.4%
Total Cases Collected	150,205	150,249	0.03%
TANF Collections	\$6,645,795	\$6,149,496	-7.5%
NTANF Collections	\$58,656,437	\$60,403,144	3.0%
Total Collections	\$65,302,232	\$66,552,640	1.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$489,002,819	\$488,896,673	-0.02%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$372.00	\$373.47	0.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Mar-15</i>
TANF Paternities	151
NTANF Paternities	292
Combined Paternities	443

Diversionsary Assistance

March Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Shenandoah	6
Amelia	1		Spotsylvania	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Warren	2
Bedford City	1		Westmoreland	1
Brunswick	1		York / Poquoson	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	2		Bristol	3
Clarke	2		Charlottesville	1
Culpeper	1		Chesapeake	1
Fairfax/ Falls Church	2		Franklin City	2
Fauquier	1		Hampton	5
Frederick	1		Hopewell	2
Greene	2		Newport News	4
Henrico	2		Norfolk	1
Henry / Martinsville	2		Petersburg	1
Isle of Wight	1		Richmond City	1
King and Queen	1		Roanoke City	5
Montgomery	1		Suffolk	3
Nelson	1		Virginia Beach	4
Roanoke County	2		Winchester	1
			Statewide	74

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of April 1, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


March 31, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March it was \$8.75 rather than the \$8.63 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.