

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

September 2015

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services October 26, 2015

Monthly Report, September 2015

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 5,445 and a denominator of 12,349.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	24	58	41%	061	Fauquier	21	42	50%
003	Albemarle	36	63	57%	063	Floyd	5	9	56%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	41	49%	065	Fluvanna	4	19	21%
007	Amelia	3	9	33%	067	Franklin County	30	77	39%
009	Amherst	19	33	58%	069	Frederick	18	38	47%
011	Appomattox	19	37	51%	071	Giles	0	13	0%
013	Arlington	39	79	49%	073	Gloucester	24	50	48%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	72	157	46%	075	Goochland	3	10	30%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	7	15	47%
019	Bedford County/City	33	69	48%	079	Greene	12	36	33%
021	Bland	1	6	17%	081	Greensville/Emporia	26	52	50%
023	Botetourt	2	10	20%	083	Halifax	16	70	23%
025	Brunswick	21	48	44%	085	Hanover	18	36	50%
027	Buchanan	19	50	38%	087	Henrico	200	463	43%
029	Buckingham	21	34	62%	089	Henry/Martinsville	74	184	40%
031	Campbell	48	118	41%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	36	70	51%	093	Isle of Wight	16	46	35%
035	Carroll	28	38	74%	095	James City	32	89	36%
036	Charles City	2	8	25%	097	King and Queen	0	14	0%
037	Charlotte	6	23	26%	099	King George	9	24	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	146	310	47%	101	King William	7	20	35%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	7	18	39%
045	Craig	2	6	33%	105	Lee	42	92	46%
047	Culpeper	24	58	41%	107	Loudoun	31	100	31%
049	Cumberland	13	27	48%	109	Louisa	17	42	40%
051	Dickensen	8	16	50%	111	Lunenburg	4	17	24%
053	Dinwiddie	12	36	33%	113	Madison	1	7	14%
057	Essex	11	29	38%	115	Mathews	2	6	33%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	200	489	41%	117	Mecklenburg	17	51	33%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	13	23	57%	187	Warren	33	54	61%
121	Montgomery	52	97	54%	191	Washington	38	78	49%
125	Nelson	9	20	45%	193	Westmoreland	11	32	34%
127	New Kent	9	21	43%	195	Wise	52	114	46%
131	Northampton	12	30	40%	197	Wythe	9	30	30%
133	Northumberland	4	10	40%	199	York/Poquoson	34	72	47%
135	Nottoway	22	55	40%	510	Alexandria	71	158	45%
137	Orange	17	42	40%	520	Bristol	44	85	52%
139	Page	2	11	18%	540	Charlottesville	74	139	53%
141	Patrick	15	46	33%	550	Chesapeake	179	391	46%
143	Pittsylvania	24	73	33%	590	Danville	78	192	41%
145	Powhatan	3	10	30%	620	Franklin City	15	36	42%
147	Prince Edward	37	65	57%	630	Fredericksburg	45	88	51%
149	Prince George	17	51	33%	640	Galax	11	18	61%
153	Prince William	154	430	36%	650	Hampton	259	548	47%
155	Pulaski	13	34	38%	670	Hopewell	81	142	57%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	121	229	53%
159	Richmond County	2	14	14%	683	Manassas	27	45	60%
161	Roanoke County	49	104	47%	685	Manassas Park	2	7	29%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	25	44%	700	Newport News	381	783	49%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70	116	60%	710	Norfolk	432	858	50%
167	Russell	22	64	34%	720	Norton	10	24	42%
169	Scott	25	65	38%	730	Petersburg	106	233	45%
171	Shenandoah	12	20	60%	740	Portsmouth	197	468	42%
173	Smyth	23	73	32%	750	Radford	16	31	52%
175	Southampton	21	41	51%	760	Richmond City	411	1,044	39%
177	Spotsylvania	29	170	17%	770	Roanoke City	134	364	37%
179	Stafford	56	126	44%	800	Suffolk	77	151	51%
181	Surry	8	16	50%	810	Virginia Beach	173	341	51%
183	Sussex	13	37	35%	830	Williamsburg	14	19	74%
185	Tazewell	42	83	51%	840	Winchester	16	34	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 4,043 and a denominator of 10,830. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	13	47	28%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	10	30	33%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	23	49	47%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	4	8	50%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	15	36	42%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	3	16	19%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	1	6	17%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	20	67	30%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	10	23	43%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	11	31	35%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	13	31	42%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	11	0%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	31	71	44%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	13	39	33%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	47	132	36%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	1	8	13%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	6	14	43%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	28	64	44%	079	<i>Greene</i>	8	32	25%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	5	20%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	18	41	44%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	2	10	20%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	13	67	19%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	15	41	37%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	14	32	44%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	14	45	31%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	136	393	35%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	16	27	59%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	60	169	36%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	33	101	33%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	2	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	23	56	41%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	13	43	30%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	17	27	63%	095	<i>James City</i>	20	77	26%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	6	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	14	0%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	19	16%	099	<i>King George</i>	6	21	29%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	110	273	40%	101	<i>King William</i>	6	19	32%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	1	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	5	16	31%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	6	33%	105	<i>Lee</i>	35	85	41%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	16	49	33%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	17	86	20%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	10	23	43%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	10	35	29%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	6	13	46%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	4	15	27%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	8	32	25%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	6	17%
057	<i>Essex</i>	7	25	28%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	2	5	40%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	129	418	31%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	12	44	27%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	16	44%	187	Warren	26	47	55%
121	Montgomery	38	83	46%	191	Washington	33	72	46%
125	Nelson	5	16	31%	193	Westmoreland	11	32	34%
127	New Kent	7	19	37%	195	Wise	36	98	37%
131	Northampton	8	26	31%	197	Wythe	6	26	23%
133	Northumberland	2	8	25%	199	York/Poquoson	26	64	41%
135	Nottoway	16	48	33%	510	Alexandria	41	128	32%
137	Orange	16	41	39%	520	Bristol	40	81	49%
139	Page	1	10	10%	540	Charlottesville	48	112	43%
141	Patrick	11	42	26%	550	Chesapeake	148	357	41%
143	Pittsylvania	20	69	29%	590	Danville	64	174	37%
145	Powhatan	2	8	25%	620	Franklin City	10	31	32%
147	Prince Edward	18	46	39%	630	Fredericksburg	33	75	44%
149	Prince George	10	44	23%	640	Galax	10	17	59%
153	Prince William	93	364	26%	650	Hampton	183	464	39%
155	Pulaski	8	29	28%	670	Hopewell	58	119	49%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	103	211	49%
159	Richmond County	2	14	14%	683	Manassas	17	35	49%
161	Roanoke County	34	89	38%	685	Manassas Park	0	5	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	7	21	33%	700	Newport News	299	695	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	36	81	44%	710	Norfolk	402	821	49%
167	Russell	17	58	29%	720	Norton	6	20	30%
169	Scott	20	60	33%	730	Petersburg	92	210	44%
171	Shenandoah	6	12	50%	740	Portsmouth	154	417	37%
173	Smyth	17	66	26%	750	Radford	9	24	38%
175	Southampton	18	38	47%	760	Richmond City	330	952	35%
177	Spotsylvania	18	156	12%	770	Roanoke City	95	324	29%
179	Stafford	39	109	36%	800	Suffolk	62	135	46%
181	Surry	6	14	43%	810	Virginia Beach	118	285	41%
183	Sussex	11	34	32%	830	Williamsburg	11	16	69%
185	Tazewell	31	72	43%	840	Winchester	7	25	28%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,402 and a denominator of 1,519. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	11	11	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	11	12	92%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	13	14	93%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	1	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	5	5	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	3	33%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	3	67%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	10	10	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	9	10	90%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	7	7	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	6	6	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	2	0%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	8	8	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	11	11	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	25	25	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	2	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	5	5	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	4	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	1	0%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	8	11	73%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	3	3	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	6	7	86%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	4	4	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	5	5	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	64	70	91%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	5	7	71%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	14	15	93%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	15	17	88%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	13	14	93%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	3	3	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	11	11	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	12	12	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	4	75%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	3	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	36	37	97%	101	<i>King William</i>	1	1	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	2	2	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	7	7	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	8	9	89%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	14	14	100%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	3	4	75%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	7	7	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	3	67%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	0	2	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	4	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	1	0%
057	<i>Essex</i>	4	4	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	71	71	100%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	7	71%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	7	86%	187	Warren	7	7	100%
121	Montgomery	14	14	100%	191	Washington	5	6	83%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	2	2	100%	195	Wise	16	16	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	3	4	75%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	6	7	86%	510	Alexandria	30	30	100%
137	Orange	1	1	100%	520	Bristol	4	4	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	26	27	96%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	31	34	91%
143	Pittsylvania	4	4	100%	590	Danville	14	18	78%
145	Powhatan	1	2	50%	620	Franklin City	5	5	100%
147	Prince Edward	19	19	100%	630	Fredericksburg	12	13	92%
149	Prince George	7	7	100%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	61	66	92%	650	Hampton	76	84	90%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	23	23	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	18	18	100%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	10	10	100%
161	Roanoke County	15	15	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	82	88	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	35	97%	710	Norfolk	30	37	81%
167	Russell	5	6	83%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	5	5	100%	730	Petersburg	14	23	61%
171	Shenandoah	6	8	75%	740	Portsmouth	43	51	84%
173	Smyth	6	7	86%	750	Radford	7	7	100%
175	Southampton	3	3	100%	760	Richmond City	81	92	88%
177	Spotsylvania	11	14	79%	770	Roanoke City	39	40	98%
179	Stafford	17	17	100%	800	Suffolk	15	16	94%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	55	56	98%
183	Sussex	2	3	67%	830	Williamsburg	3	3	100%
185	Tazewell	11	11	100%	840	Winchester	9	9	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Sep-15	Sep-14	Change from Sep-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,500	6,064	-9.3%	4,807	14%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,820	3,922	-2.6%	1,658	130%
Applications Approved	1,629	1,811	-10%	2,820	-42%
Cases Closed *	1,840	2,012	-9%	4,476	-59%
Cases at End of Month	22,703	25,069	-9%	67,995	-67%
Cases Paid During Month ²	23,301	25,863	-10%	70,403	-67%

•The database indicates that of the 1,840 closed cases, 740 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 462 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 144 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 106 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Sep-15	Sep-14	Change from Sep-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	382	590	-35%	338	13%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	371	330	12%	232	60%
Applications Approved	161	200	-20%	81	99%
Cases Closed *	159	190	-16%	107	49%
Cases at End of Month	1,237	1,409	-12%	321	285%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,280	1,481	-14%	394	225%

•The database indicates that of the 159 closed cases, 94 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 65 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 20 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 16 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	23,940	1,276	740	1,842	615	327	2,670	289	555	916	1,188	698	3,635	902	348	2,617	913	4,254	155
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,867	381	259	529	178	110	1,130	96	263	407	361	284	1,315	354	117	1,084	356	1,580	63
Part. in a Work Activity	7,123	313	222	408	149	80	914	80	210	329	287	232	1,035	281	91	889	309	1,249	45
% of Enrolled	80%	82%	86%	77%	84%	73%	81%	83%	80%	81%	80%	82%	79%	79%	78%	82%	87%	79%	71%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	64	2	1	3	0	3	11	2	3	3	0	2	7	3	2	11	0	11	0
Participants Employed	5,276	196	170	347	137	70	601	67	157	241	171	153	763	231	73	646	228	984	41
% of Enrolled	60%	51%	66%	66%	77%	64%	53%	70%	60%	59%	47%	54%	58%	65%	62%	60%	64%	62%	65%
Full Time	4,276	159	147	287	124	59	475	51	111	168	145	120	584	181	61	538	192	839	35
Part Time	1,000	37	23	60	13	11	126	16	46	73	26	33	179	50	12	108	36	145	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.70	\$7.97	\$7.74	\$8.42	\$8.57	\$8.30	\$10.34	\$9.02	\$8.79	\$8.10	\$8.03	\$8.04	\$8.88	\$8.87	\$8.63	\$8.41	\$8.61	\$8.48	\$9.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,192	\$1,095	\$1,075	\$1,182	\$1,259	\$1,135	\$1,420	\$1,161	\$1,150	\$1,049	\$1,155	\$1,086	\$1,219	\$1,171	\$1,151	\$1,155	\$1,230	\$1,155	\$1,261

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	5,881	252	163	489	159	82	739	70	145	209	272	173	794	215	86	758	210	1,019	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,930	518	351	778	266	177	1,478	135	352	520	499	386	1,724	489	168	1,472	510	2,016	91
Part. in a Work Activity	10,359	474	323	655	245	147	1,275	118	324	464	426	333	1,456	408	130	1,279	456	1,768	78
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	92%	84%	92%	83%	86%	87%	92%	89%	85%	86%	84%	83%	77%	87%	89%	88%	86%
Participants Employed	7,126	274	224	492	197	117	810	94	217	322	236	218	999	305	102	885	320	1,255	59
% of Total Enrolled	60%	53%	64%	63%	74%	66%	55%	70%	62%	62%	47%	56%	58%	62%	61%	60%	63%	62%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.78	\$7.95	\$7.81	\$8.54	\$8.77	\$8.25	\$10.62	\$8.85	\$8.93	\$8.30	\$8.03	\$8.11	\$8.89	\$8.98	\$8.54	\$8.56	\$8.64	\$8.53	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,154	\$1,044	\$1,033	\$1,166	\$1,209	\$1,090	\$1,374	\$1,118	\$1,066	\$1,012	\$1,072	\$1,034	\$1,178	\$1,130	\$1,124	\$1,137	\$1,193	\$1,134	\$1,122
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	67%	63%	64%	67%	63%	76%	78%	77%	73%	64%	70%	71%	77%	72%	72%	67%	73%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	316,955	18,870	11,765	23,576	9,338	6,115	40,200	4,507	7,018	11,934	14,372	9,165	41,997	10,734	4,254	30,720	10,749	58,792	2,849
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	245,608	14,668	9,922	18,348	7,310	4,626	30,912	3,425	5,504	9,918	11,543	7,239	33,129	8,036	3,209	23,550	8,430	43,690	2,149
Part. in a Work Activity	234,785	14,165	9,545	17,442	7,136	4,370	29,708	3,295	5,377	9,371	11,183	6,951	31,749	7,589	3,024	22,400	8,031	41,376	2,073
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	165,233	9,115	6,381	12,340	5,113	3,023	21,453	2,305	4,069	6,796	6,992	4,468	22,492	5,280	2,029	16,265	5,745	30,149	1,218
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	69%	61%	62%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$6.43	\$6.54	\$7.29	\$7.40	\$7.55	\$8.96	\$7.71	\$7.62	\$6.87	\$6.60	\$7.06	\$7.59	\$8.18	\$7.23	\$7.28	\$7.28	\$7.08	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$843	\$879	\$973	\$981	\$1,004	\$1,180	\$1,009	\$959	\$879	\$872	\$906	\$1,003	\$1,037	\$915	\$942	\$980	\$919	\$881
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,276	121	55	240	158	135	194	325	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	381	23	12	120	35	43	52	81	15
Participants in a Work Activity	313	22	9	99	32	35	43	61	12
% of Enrolled	82%	96%	75%	83%	91%	81%	83%	75%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	196	13	7	52	12	22	24	56	10
% of Enrolled	51%	57%	58%	43%	34%	51%	46%	69%	67%
Full Time	159	11	7	41	9	15	19	48	9
Part Time	37	2	0	11	3	7	5	8	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.04	\$7.67	\$8.10	\$7.73	\$8.09	\$7.96	\$7.93	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,165	\$1,058	\$1,099	\$1,031	\$1,049	\$1,065	\$1,141	\$999

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	252	15	7	57	31	26	40	64	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	518	30	13	134	53	57	83	127	21
Participants in a Work Activity	474	27	11	124	47	50	80	117	18
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	85%	93%	89%	88%	96%	92%	86%
Participants Employed	274	17	8	60	18	33	42	82	14
% of Total Enrolled	53%	57%	62%	45%	34%	58%	51%	65%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.94	\$7.63	\$8.13	\$7.90	\$7.94	\$7.92	\$7.90	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,048	\$1,013	\$1,078	\$977	\$919	\$1,013	\$1,096	\$1,077
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	80%	75%	74%	67%	55%	68%	63%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,870	1,820	1,263	2,679	2,592	1,875	3,584	4,437	620
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,668	1,389	987	2,097	2,096	1,409	2,740	3,458	492
Participants in a Work Activity	14,165	1,310	932	2,081	1,999	1,381	2,654	3,355	453
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,115	744	594	1,368	1,243	825	1,815	2,164	362
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	59%	66%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.43	\$6.59	\$6.42	\$6.29	\$6.56	\$6.75	\$6.29	\$6.44	\$6.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$843	\$858	\$852	\$817	\$866	\$896	\$827	\$848	\$765
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	75%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	740	11	75	30	162	176	82	169	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	259	2	32	7	39	60	24	85	10
Participants in a Work Activity	222	2	31	7	32	53	16	71	10
% of Enrolled	86%	100%	97%	100%	82%	88%	67%	84%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	170	1	28	5	25	41	15	47	8
% of Enrolled	66%	50%	88%	71%	64%	68%	63%	55%	80%
Full Time	147	1	24	5	22	38	14	38	5
Part Time	23	0	4	0	3	3	1	9	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$8.50	\$7.74	\$7.60	\$7.06	\$7.87	\$7.98	\$7.86	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,472	\$1,042	\$1,053	\$1,054	\$1,060	\$1,177	\$1,076	\$1,091

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	163	3	18	4	36	36	15	45	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	351	4	48	8	58	80	28	110	15
Participants in a Work Activity	323	4	46	8	55	73	27	97	13
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	96%	100%	95%	91%	96%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	224	1	38	5	32	53	21	63	11
% of Total Enrolled	64%	25%	79%	63%	55%	66%	75%	57%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$8.50	\$7.75	\$7.58	\$7.37	\$7.77	\$8.18	\$7.91	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$1,462	\$1,059	\$909	\$991	\$976	\$1,146	\$1,029	\$1,132
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	75%	81%	27%	58%	65%	64%	61%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,765	297	1,536	724	2,075	2,103	1,701	2,553	776
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,922	223	1,281	589	1,765	1,755	1,346	2,282	681
Participants in a Work Activity	9,545	211	1,190	553	1,713	1,710	1,322	2,202	644
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,381	129	866	381	1,037	1,091	897	1,553	427
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	68%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.54	\$6.56	\$6.35	\$6.49	\$6.44	\$6.77	\$6.48	\$6.64	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$879	\$908	\$850	\$866	\$884	\$904	\$860	\$885	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	56%	55%	60%	62%	57%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,842	77	30	12	42	172	46	219	131	227	59	827
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	529	31	3	8	8	54	7	84	22	68	17	227
Participants in a Work Activity	408	24	2	3	8	48	2	72	19	54	12	164
% of Enrolled	77%	77%	67%	38%	100%	89%	29%	86%	86%	79%	71%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	347	22	2	2	6	31	2	67	16	35	8	156
% of Enrolled	66%	71%	67%	25%	75%	57%	29%	80%	73%	51%	47%	69%
Full Time	287	13	2	1	6	26	2	64	14	29	8	122
Part Time	60	9	0	1	0	5	0	3	2	6	0	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.30	\$8.37	\$8.88	\$8.84	\$8.80	\$13.75	\$8.40	\$8.95	\$8.30	\$8.26	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,182	\$971	\$1,396	\$985	\$1,315	\$1,271	\$1,820	\$1,252	\$1,236	\$1,128	\$1,183	\$1,157

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	489	21	9	0	6	40	12	60	27	48	18	248
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	778	44	6	9	13	76	7	110	40	98	32	343
Participants in a Work Activity	655	37	6	4	13	69	5	97	33	86	24	281
% of Total Enrolled	84%	84%	100%	44%	100%	91%	71%	88%	83%	88%	75%	82%
Participants Employed	492	30	2	2	8	41	2	86	25	62	19	215
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	33%	22%	62%	54%	29%	78%	63%	63%	59%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.29	\$8.37	\$8.88	\$8.66	\$8.96	\$13.75	\$8.61	\$8.54	\$8.46	\$8.42	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,166	\$1,053	\$1,386	\$715	\$1,314	\$1,188	\$2,198	\$1,263	\$1,153	\$1,093	\$1,141	\$1,153
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	58%	0%	100%	80%	65%	100%	78%	60%	71%	65%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,576	1,270	412	111	603	2,120	599	3,295	2,011	2,641	940	9,574
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,348	981	258	67	449	1,658	432	2,716	1,601	2,144	739	7,303
Participants in a Work Activity	17,442	945	226	64	432	1,504	422	2,662	1,536	2,002	702	6,947
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	96%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,340	685	114	31	290	1,025	246	2,110	1,010	1,577	516	4,736
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	44%	46%	65%	62%	57%	78%	63%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$7.08	\$7.55	\$7.41	\$7.19	\$7.24	\$7.19	\$7.14	\$6.95	\$7.79	\$7.18	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$973	\$862	\$965	\$1,025	\$963	\$967	\$964	\$963	\$959	\$1,040	\$927	\$986
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	53%	63%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	615	372	4	4	50	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	178	96	0	2	14	66
Participants in a Work Activity	149	78	N/A	0	11	60
% of Enrolled	84%	81%	N/A	0	79%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
Participants Employed	137	75	N/A	0	10	52
% of Enrolled	77%	78%	N/A	0	71%	79%
Full Time	124	67	N/A	N/A	9	48
Part Time	13	8	N/A	N/A	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$8.74	N/A	N/A	\$8.46	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,259	\$1,285	N/A	N/A	\$1,180	\$1,237

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	159	85	0	2	9	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	266	138	0	2	18	108
Participants in a Work Activity	245	127	N/A	2	13	103
% of Total Enrolled	92%	92%	N/A	100%	72%	95%
Participants Employed	197	99	N/A	0	12	86
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	N/A	0%	67%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$8.92	N/A	N/A	\$8.43	\$8.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,209	\$1,176	N/A	N/A	\$1,062	\$1,263
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	66%	N/A	N/A	69%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,338	4,975	81	26	798	3,458
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,310	3,955	53	10	602	2,690
Participants in a Work Activity	7,136	3,886	52	10	558	2,630
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,113	2,661	32	6	425	1,989
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	60%	71%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.38	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.92	\$7.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$981	\$979	\$717	\$784	\$879	\$1,010
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	327	7	76	28	45	101	70	155	108	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	110	0	29	6	13	37	25	63	35	28
Participants in a Work Activity	80	N/A	19	5	11	35	10	45	26	19
% of Enrolled	73%	N/A	66%	83%	85%	95%	40%	71%	74%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	N/A	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	70	N/A	17	5	9	31	8	41	22	19
% of Enrolled	64%	N/A	59%	83%	69%	84%	32%	65%	63%	68%
Full Time	59	N/A	13	4	8	30	4	35	20	15
Part Time	11	N/A	4	1	1	1	4	6	2	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	N/A	\$8.94	\$8.80	\$8.71	\$7.77	\$8.21	\$9.01	\$9.55	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,135	N/A	\$1,180	\$1,191	\$1,286	\$1,099	\$975	\$1,261	\$1,451	\$1,042

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	82	0	28	8	9	21	16	46	35	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	177	0	39	15	19	64	40	91	53	38
Participants in a Work Activity	147	N/A	33	12	16	61	25	78	44	34
% of Total Enrolled	83%	N/A	85%	80%	84%	95%	63%	86%	83%	89%
Participants Employed	117	N/A	23	9	14	49	22	59	31	28
% of Total Enrolled	66%	N/A	59%	60%	74%	77%	55%	65%	58%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	N/A	\$8.59	\$8.42	\$8.88	\$7.81	\$8.32	\$8.76	\$9.19	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	N/A	\$1,093	\$1,025	\$1,261	\$1,067	\$1,050	\$1,122	\$1,277	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	67%	61%	37%	61%	76%	65%	71%	72%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,115	233	1,351	908	757	1,467	1,399	2,849	1,840	1,009
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,626	141	1,017	746	479	1,129	1,114	2,149	1,357	792
Participants in a Work Activity	4,370	130	950	730	464	1,050	1,046	2,073	1,320	753
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	93%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,023	82	597	517	286	778	763	1,218	697	521
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	60%	69%	68%	57%	51%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.74	\$7.94	\$7.44	\$7.07	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.03	\$7.14	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	\$1,030	\$1,033	\$1,003	\$967	\$1,019	\$980	\$881	\$901	\$859
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	59%	69%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,670	185	1,003	197	787	367	104	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,130	53	469	112	277	175	40	4
Participants in a Work Activity	914	44	419	79	211	135	25	1
% of Enrolled	81%	83%	89%	71%	76%	77%	63%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	2	3	1	1	1	3	0
Participants Employed	601	34	232	62	153	95	24	1
% of Enrolled	53%	64%	49%	55%	55%	54%	60%	25%
Full Time	475	22	175	43	134	78	22	1
Part Time	126	12	57	19	19	17	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.34	\$9.58	\$10.57	\$10.94	\$9.95	\$10.45	\$9.90	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,420	\$1,173	\$1,438	\$1,543	\$1,379	\$1,451	\$1,438	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	739	36	286	72	176	140	28	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,478	86	619	141	351	222	55	4
Participants in a Work Activity	1,275	79	564	120	292	181	36	3
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	91%	85%	83%	82%	65%	75%
Participants Employed	810	53	322	83	206	114	31	1
% of Total Enrolled	55%	62%	52%	59%	59%	51%	56%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.62	\$9.74	\$11.10	\$10.80	\$10.14	\$10.51	\$10.29	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,374	\$1,155	\$1,418	\$1,353	\$1,347	\$1,397	\$1,448	\$946
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	68%	79%	68%	74%	84%	71%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	40,200	3,215	14,370	3,004	12,683	4,700	1,724	504
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,912	2,535	10,868	2,372	9,798	3,645	1,326	368
Participants in a Work Activity	29,708	2,514	10,456	2,250	9,382	3,455	1,287	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,453	1,806	7,250	1,791	7,008	2,498	855	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.96	\$8.48	\$9.08	\$9.58	\$8.96	\$8.72	\$8.50	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,180	\$1,077	\$1,189	\$1,233	\$1,207	\$1,120	\$1,139	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	289	113	57	21	92	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	96	39	21	5	30	1
Participants in a Work Activity	80	32	16	4	27	1
% of Enrolled	83%	82%	76%	80%	90%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	67	26	14	4	23	0
% of Enrolled	70%	67%	67%	80%	77%	0%
Full Time	51	19	8	3	21	N/A
Part Time	16	7	6	1	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.02	\$9.29	\$8.42	\$8.70	\$9.15	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,161	\$1,182	\$1,072	\$1,043	\$1,213	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	70	36	14	3	16	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	135	54	34	8	36	3
Participants in a Work Activity	118	47	29	8	31	3
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	85%	100%	86%	100%
Participants Employed	94	36	23	7	27	1
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	68%	88%	75%	33%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.85	\$9.09	\$8.18	\$8.79	\$9.11	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,118	\$1,167	\$996	\$1,157	\$1,153	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	79%	86%	57%	77%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,507	1,758	1,305	413	911	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,425	1,292	1,011	309	734	79
Participants in a Work Activity	3,295	1,241	991	301	687	75
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,305	842	705	183	529	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	72%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.70	\$8.05	\$7.30	\$7.41	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$994	\$1,049	\$1,006	\$982	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	69%	59%	61%	49%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	555	115	50	51	89	41	209
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	263	61	12	26	32	14	118
Participants in a Work Activity	210	55	5	19	24	11	96
% of Enrolled	80%	90%	42%	73%	75%	79%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	157	44	5	16	17	11	64
% of Enrolled	60%	72%	42%	62%	53%	79%	54%
Full Time	111	31	5	6	15	9	45
Part Time	46	13	0	10	2	2	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.79	\$8.82	\$7.90	\$8.09	\$8.66	\$8.42	\$9.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,150	\$1,106	\$1,163	\$966	\$1,202	\$1,138	\$1,215

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	145	29	3	17	19	9	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	352	92	13	31	43	22	151
Participants in a Work Activity	324	89	11	28	37	21	138
% of Total Enrolled	92%	97%	85%	90%	86%	95%	91%
Participants Employed	217	61	5	19	23	18	91
% of Total Enrolled	62%	66%	38%	61%	53%	82%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.93	\$8.75	\$7.90	\$8.11	\$8.33	\$8.71	\$9.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,028	\$1,026	\$988	\$1,044	\$991	\$1,130
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	78%	100%	87%	85%	72%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	7,018	1,702	332	548	1,114	378	2,944
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,504	1,267	246	396	842	276	2,477
Participants in a Work Activity	5,377	1,241	240	375	815	273	2,433
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	4,069	904	155	293	529	171	2,017
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	74%	63%	62%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$8.07	\$8.02	\$7.49	\$7.81	\$7.99	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$1,027	\$1,061	\$921	\$992	\$1,008	\$931
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	58%	64%	66%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	916	78	64	159	203	412
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	407	22	28	57	117	183
Participants in a Work Activity	329	18	27	47	88	149
% of Enrolled	81%	82%	96%	82%	75%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	241	14	17	35	48	127
% of Enrolled	59%	64%	61%	61%	41%	69%
Full Time	168	11	10	29	29	89
Part Time	73	3	7	6	19	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$8.16	\$7.72	\$8.26	\$8.32	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,137	\$889	\$1,144	\$1,031	\$1,041

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	209	18	14	31	57	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	520	27	38	77	146	232
Participants in a Work Activity	464	23	38	65	128	210
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	100%	84%	88%	91%
Participants Employed	322	18	27	52	68	157
% of Total Enrolled	62%	67%	71%	68%	47%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.38	\$8.03	\$8.67	\$8.50	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$1,131	\$1,012	\$1,110	\$961	\$983
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	72%	85%	81%	69%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,934	1,102	929	2,398	2,789	4,716
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,918	878	818	1,930	2,400	3,892
Participants in a Work Activity	9,371	833	806	1,789	2,259	3,684
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,796	581	580	1,261	1,641	2,733
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$7.09	\$6.57	\$6.95	\$7.06	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$879	\$912	\$897	\$883	\$919	\$846
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,188	170	395	72	167	384
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	361	45	121	45	40	110
Participants in a Work Activity	287	22	102	39	33	91
% of Enrolled	80%	49%	84%	87%	83%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	171	19	69	19	23	41
% of Enrolled	47%	42%	57%	42%	58%	37%
Full Time	145	18	53	15	22	37
Part Time	26	1	16	4	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.23	\$8.30	\$7.64	\$7.84	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,248	\$1,195	\$1,157	\$1,078	\$1,086

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	272	36	81	28	50	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	499	61	182	53	56	147
Participants in a Work Activity	426	46	153	49	52	126
% of Total Enrolled	85%	75%	84%	92%	93%	86%
Participants Employed	236	25	100	25	31	55
% of Total Enrolled	47%	41%	55%	47%	55%	37%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.31	\$8.15	\$7.97	\$7.79	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,138	\$1,087	\$1,137	\$1,044	\$1,000
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	69%	66%	62%	64%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,372	2,193	3,883	1,401	2,396	4,499
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,543	1,808	3,019	1,209	1,831	3,676
Participants in a Work Activity	11,183	1,747	2,897	1,142	1,807	3,590
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,992	996	1,934	805	963	2,294
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.60	\$6.56	\$7.02	\$6.49	\$6.58	\$6.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$872	\$863	\$948	\$842	\$879	\$821
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	74%	58%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	698	31	76	60	53	50	101	25	130	85	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	284	3	35	29	23	24	38	12	37	41	42
Participants in a Work Activity	232	2	27	26	15	21	38	5	29	32	37
% of Enrolled	82%	67%	77%	90%	65%	88%	100%	42%	78%	78%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	153	2	15	15	8	13	24	5	16	24	31
% of Enrolled	54%	67%	43%	52%	35%	54%	63%	42%	43%	59%	74%
Full Time	120	1	13	13	6	10	22	5	12	15	23
Part Time	33	1	2	2	2	3	2	0	4	9	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.68	\$7.78	\$8.16	\$7.91	\$8.71	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$7.87	\$8.31	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$877	\$1,080	\$1,054	\$993	\$1,170	\$1,111	\$1,165	\$1,035	\$1,083	\$1,105

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	173	2	22	23	15	11	22	8	23	20	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	386	3	50	34	29	28	58	17	49	52	66
Participants in a Work Activity	333	3	38	30	21	25	55	8	43	46	64
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	76%	88%	72%	89%	95%	47%	88%	88%	97%
Participants Employed	218	2	21	19	11	17	34	6	27	34	47
% of Total Enrolled	56%	67%	42%	56%	38%	61%	59%	35%	55%	65%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.68	\$7.97	\$8.21	\$7.73	\$8.76	\$7.93	\$8.46	\$7.92	\$8.28	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$902	\$995	\$1,084	\$907	\$1,053	\$999	\$1,294	\$1,043	\$1,075	\$1,014
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	44%	58%	68%	71%	67%	77%	40%	68%	85%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,165	574	1,101	871	808	621	1,083	438	1,320	1,118	1,231
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,239	427	888	672	618	467	872	348	1,047	874	1,026
Participants in a Work Activity	6,951	405	884	637	578	443	842	342	1,005	814	1,001
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	97%	98%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,468	263	515	389	322	272	536	174	683	587	727
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	58%	61%	50%	65%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.66	\$6.52	\$7.36	\$7.46	\$7.59	\$7.06	\$6.84	\$6.78	\$7.10	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$906	\$979	\$849	\$986	\$940	\$974	\$858	\$855	\$861	\$928	\$911
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	58%	65%	59%	66%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,635	638	22	99	940	34	1,902
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,315	215	9	49	402	3	637
Participants in a Work Activity	1,035	171	5	36	300	2	521
% of Enrolled	79%	80%	56%	73%	75%	67%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	4	0	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	763	138	2	28	233	2	360
% of Enrolled	58%	64%	22%	57%	58%	67%	57%
Full Time	584	110	2	24	174	1	273
Part Time	179	28	0	4	59	1	87
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.88	\$9.14	\$9.25	\$9.59	\$9.07	\$9.25	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,219	\$1,298	\$1,602	\$1,312	\$1,249	\$1,152	\$1,161

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	794	148	8	21	241	8	368
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,724	276	12	57	554	13	812
Participants in a Work Activity	1,456	236	7	45	450	12	706
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	58%	79%	81%	92%	87%
Participants Employed	999	170	3	35	317	7	467
% of Total Enrolled	58%	62%	25%	61%	57%	54%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.89	\$9.20	\$9.17	\$9.57	\$9.04	\$8.92	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,178	\$1,261	\$1,094	\$1,263	\$1,180	\$1,217	\$1,140
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	72%	60%	71%	69%	67%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,997	8,859	332	1,509	10,736	425	20,136
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	33,129	6,757	257	1,081	8,844	318	15,872
Participants in a Work Activity	31,749	6,535	240	1,001	8,317	301	15,355
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,492	4,285	164	705	6,015	180	11,143
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	64%	65%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$7.91	\$7.46	\$8.43	\$7.91	\$7.94	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$1,056	\$951	\$1,072	\$1,031	\$1,006	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	63%	66%	66%	62%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	902	117	55	334	259	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	354	63	14	86	109	82
Participants in a Work Activity	281	50	12	60	97	62
% of Enrolled	79%	79%	86%	70%	89%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	231	45	7	56	70	53
% of Enrolled	65%	71%	50%	65%	64%	65%
Full Time	181	32	7	46	51	45
Part Time	50	13	0	10	19	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.87	\$9.42	\$8.75	\$8.43	\$8.99	\$8.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,171	\$1,148	\$1,390	\$1,110	\$1,159	\$1,241

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	215	23	13	67	61	51
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	489	82	24	117	157	109
Participants in a Work Activity	408	66	21	86	142	93
% of Total Enrolled	83%	80%	88%	74%	90%	85%
Participants Employed	305	54	11	75	93	72
% of Total Enrolled	62%	66%	46%	64%	59%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.98	\$9.42	\$8.90	\$8.50	\$9.12	\$8.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,130	\$1,149	\$1,337	\$1,059	\$1,091	\$1,212
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	79%	79%	73%	75%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,734	1,559	641	3,317	3,129	2,088
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,036	1,136	455	2,529	2,133	1,783
Participants in a Work Activity	7,589	1,080	432	2,356	2,033	1,688
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,280	706	267	1,729	1,265	1,313
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$7.85	\$7.73	\$8.13	\$8.84	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$988	\$950	\$1,024	\$1,144	\$1,008
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	348	57	30	34	44	21	37	24	29	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	117	18	5	10	11	5	13	5	25	25
Participants in a Work Activity	91	15	2	8	8	5	13	5	15	20
% of Enrolled	78%	83%	40%	80%	73%	100%	100%	100%	60%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	73	12	1	6	8	5	13	3	8	17
% of Enrolled	62%	67%	20%	60%	73%	100%	100%	60%	32%	68%
Full Time	61	11	0	4	5	5	11	3	8	14
Part Time	12	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	0	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.54	\$7.50	\$10.04	\$8.38	\$8.00	\$8.51	\$9.30	\$8.73	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,151	\$1,216	\$650	\$1,336	\$1,011	\$1,154	\$1,035	\$1,420	\$1,277	\$1,118

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	86	13	5	13	10	5	4	3	11	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	168	26	5	16	15	6	23	9	27	41
Participants in a Work Activity	130	20	2	12	12	6	18	8	18	34
% of Total Enrolled	77%	77%	40%	75%	80%	100%	78%	89%	67%	83%
Participants Employed	102	16	1	8	8	6	18	6	10	29
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	20%	50%	53%	100%	78%	67%	37%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.28	\$7.50	\$9.31	\$8.23	\$7.92	\$8.38	\$8.44	\$8.91	\$8.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,125	\$645	\$1,262	\$1,093	\$880	\$1,051	\$1,314	\$1,359	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	72%	33%	50%	63%	100%	85%	67%	40%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,254	640	327	386	454	233	521	376	402	915
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,209	519	223	316	359	162	393	308	247	682
Participants in a Work Activity	3,024	495	212	300	340	155	371	297	231	623
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	2,029	349	118	186	242	110	273	173	144	434
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	53%	59%	67%	68%	69%	56%	58%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.25	\$7.24	\$7.68	\$7.01	\$7.16	\$7.25	\$6.93	\$7.24	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$915	\$894	\$877	\$1,010	\$877	\$907	\$917	\$872	\$959	\$931
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	45%	59%	50%	72%	72%	50%	43%	64%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,617	18	93	137	41	107	884	1,318	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,084	2	36	46	17	56	370	544	13
Participants in a Work Activity	889	1	28	41	13	44	294	457	11
% of Enrolled	82%	50%	78%	89%	76%	79%	79%	84%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	0
Participants Employed	646	0	24	31	11	22	198	351	9
% of Enrolled	60%	0%	67%	67%	65%	39%	54%	65%	69%
Full Time	538	N/A	19	21	11	10	155	314	8
Part Time	108	N/A	5	10	0	12	43	37	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	N/A	\$7.42	\$8.44	\$8.09	\$8.65	\$8.38	\$8.48	\$9.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	N/A	\$854	\$1,056	\$1,277	\$958	\$1,109	\$1,216	\$1,258

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	758	3	27	30	10	36	261	383	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,472	7	55	71	26	70	486	735	22
Participants in a Work Activity	1,279	6	48	66	18	63	415	643	20
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	87%	93%	69%	90%	85%	87%	91%
Participants Employed	885	2	38	51	16	28	272	463	15
% of Total Enrolled	60%	29%	69%	72%	62%	40%	56%	63%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.00	\$7.51	\$8.73	\$8.19	\$9.21	\$8.63	\$8.54	\$8.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,137	\$1,032	\$900	\$1,048	\$1,178	\$1,059	\$1,100	\$1,192	\$1,169
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	100%	75%	62%	75%	79%	71%	72%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	30,720	184	1,267	1,404	418	1,327	10,577	15,066	477
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,550	134	920	1,117	311	1,036	7,960	11,707	365
Participants in a Work Activity	22,400	128	851	1,039	296	990	7,737	11,018	341
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,265	77	596	778	179	640	5,552	8,191	252
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	70%	58%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.28	\$6.99	\$7.76	\$7.75	\$7.61	\$7.28	\$7.22	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$973	\$854	\$938	\$1,047	\$911	\$936	\$953	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	58%	57%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	913	87	80	33	60	242	411
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	356	21	30	15	28	115	147
Participants in a Work Activity	309	20	24	13	19	106	127
% of Enrolled	87%	95%	80%	87%	68%	92%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	228	15	20	11	13	64	105
% of Enrolled	64%	71%	67%	73%	46%	56%	71%
Full Time	192	14	13	9	11	46	99
Part Time	36	1	7	2	2	18	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.61	\$8.22	\$8.92	\$8.86	\$8.76	\$8.68	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,230	\$1,305	\$1,179	\$1,269	\$1,207	\$1,167	\$1,265

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	210	10	32	7	16	52	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW	510	26	48	19	43	162	212
Participants in a Work Activity	456	26	40	16	38	154	182
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	83%	84%	88%	95%	86%
Participants Employed	320	20	25	12	26	94	143
% of Total Enrolled	63%	77%	52%	63%	60%	58%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.17	\$8.78	\$8.96	\$8.80	\$8.80	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,193	\$1,215	\$1,090	\$1,113	\$1,183	\$1,168	\$1,237
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	75%	74%	57%	77%	59%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,749	1,378	1007	426	849	2,688	4,401
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,430	897	754	355	685	2,200	3,539
Participants in a Work Activity	8,031	894	658	337	656	2,095	3,391
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,745	595	450	249	444	1,506	2,501
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.13	\$7.76	\$7.54	\$7.18	\$7.22	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$1,000	\$1,025	\$909	\$974	\$961	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	59%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through September 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/15 and Work Activity as of 9/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,254	93	73	676	64	1,478	869	307	694
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,580	30	34	248	28	613	324	96	207
Participants in a Work Activity	1,249	22	29	212	22	466	246	85	167
% of Enrolled	79%	73%	85%	85%	79%	76%	76%	89%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	1	1	0	0	8	0	1
Participants Employed	984	20	16	171	18	387	177	70	125
% of Enrolled	62%	67%	47%	69%	64%	63%	55%	73%	60%
Full Time	839	16	10	147	12	349	139	58	108
Part Time	145	4	6	24	6	38	38	12	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.31	\$8.67	\$8.53	\$8.65	\$8.46	\$8.36	\$8.38	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,086	\$1,130	\$1,190	\$1,184	\$1,139	\$1,161	\$1,151	\$1,163

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,019	16	24	177	14	299	227	87	175
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,016	42	42	344	37	693	412	141	305
Participants in a Work Activity	1,768	35	36	314	33	617	347	128	258
% of Total Enrolled	88%	83%	86%	91%	89%	89%	84%	91%	85%
Participants Employed	1,255	31	21	229	23	441	241	91	178
% of Total Enrolled	62%	74%	50%	67%	62%	64%	58%	65%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.48	\$8.39	\$8.60	\$9.03	\$8.43	\$8.43	\$8.55	\$8.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,151	\$1,099	\$1,175	\$1,307	\$1,115	\$1,098	\$1,170	\$1,141
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	80%	90%	71%	42%	75%	68%	71%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	58,792	1,348	1,105	9,592	940	18,778	9,481	3,743	13,805
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	43,690	978	895	7,131	764	13,869	7,570	2,938	9,545
Participants in a Work Activity	41,376	942	852	6,816	753	13,108	7,131	2,908	8,866
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	30,149	661	518	5,097	497	9,690	5,350	2,135	6,201
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	71%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.04	\$6.83	\$7.27	\$6.83	\$6.97	\$6.94	\$6.91	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$925	\$853	\$953	\$853	\$886	\$919	\$899	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	55%	64%	63%	68%	69%	67%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	58.5%	5.85	70	\$9.19	2.90	14	71.8%	7.18	53	5.31	41
003	Albemarle	66.3%	6.63	35	\$8.75	2.21	38	78.0%	7.80	29	5.55	26
005	Alleghany/Covington	68.2%	6.82	22	\$8.29	1.50	76	58.3%	5.83	102	4.72	78
007	Amelia	66.7%	6.67	28	\$8.68	2.10	42	43.8%	4.38	110	4.38	93
009	Amherst	66.7%	6.67	29	\$8.38	1.64	69	72.4%	7.24	48	5.18	50
011	Appomattox	71.1%	7.11	19	\$8.03	1.09	91	84.6%	8.46	12	5.55	25
013	Arlington	61.6%	6.16	51	\$9.74	3.75	7	67.8%	6.78	74	5.57	23
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	71.7%	7.17	17	\$8.92	2.48	25	65.9%	6.59	84	5.41	33
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	67.5%	6.75	26	\$8.67	2.09	43	81.4%	8.14	18	5.66	18
021	Bland	25.0%	2.50	113	\$8.50	1.82	55	75.0%	7.50	37	3.94	104
023	Botetourt	33.3%	3.33	109	\$8.37	1.62	71	0.0%	0.00	117	1.65	118
025	Brunswick	42.0%	4.20	100	\$7.97	1.00	93	58.1%	5.81	104	3.67	109
027	Buchanan	56.7%	5.67	77	\$7.94	0.95	95	80.0%	8.00	20	4.87	71
029	Buckingham	55.9%	5.59	80	\$8.21	1.37	80	68.2%	6.82	70	4.59	87
031	Campbell	46.6%	4.66	97	\$8.50	1.82	56	69.4%	6.94	65	4.47	90
033	Caroline	65.9%	6.59	38	\$9.42	3.26	10	79.0%	7.90	25	5.91	9
035	Carroll	79.2%	7.92	5	\$7.75	0.65	110	81.5%	8.15	17	5.57	22
036	Charles City	28.6%	2.86	111	\$8.00	1.04	92	100.0%	10.00	1	4.63	84
037	Charlotte	37.9%	3.79	104	\$7.73	0.62	111	71.4%	7.14	55	3.85	105
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	61.6%	6.16	52	\$9.20	2.91	13	71.7%	7.17	54	5.41	34
043	Clarke	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.7%	6.67	75	6.67	3
045	Craig	22.2%	2.22	116	\$8.88	2.41	29	100.0%	10.00	2	4.88	70
047	Culpeper	66.7%	6.67	30	\$9.09	2.74	18	79.2%	7.92	23	5.78	15
049	Cumberland	60.7%	6.07	59	\$8.76	2.23	37	66.7%	6.67	76	4.99	62
051	Dickensen	61.5%	6.15	53	\$7.63	0.47	112	75.0%	7.50	38	4.71	80
053	Dinwiddie	76.9%	7.69	8	\$8.17	1.31	84	75.0%	7.50	39	5.50	30
057	Essex	61.5%	6.15	54	\$8.28	1.48	77	72.4%	7.24	49	4.96	64
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	52.0%	5.20	91	\$11.10	5.87	2	79.0%	7.90	24	6.32	4
061	Fauquier	67.6%	6.76	25	\$8.18	1.32	82	85.7%	8.57	8	5.55	24
063	Floyd	61.5%	6.15	55	\$8.66	2.07	44	80.0%	8.00	21	5.41	35
065	Fluvanna	38.5%	3.85	103	\$7.90	0.89	102	100.0%	10.00	3	4.91	68
067	Franklin County	53.9%	5.39	86	\$8.96	2.54	23	65.3%	6.53	86	4.82	75
069	Frederick	59.0%	5.90	65	\$8.59	1.96	50	61.1%	6.11	95	4.66	83
071	Giles	28.6%	2.86	112	\$13.75	10.00	1	100.0%	10.00	4	7.62	1
073	Gloucester	69.1%	6.91	21	\$7.51	0.28	114	75.0%	7.50	40	4.90	69
075	Goochland	25.0%	2.50	114	\$9.17	2.87	15	60.0%	6.00	99	3.79	108
077	Grayson	62.5%	6.25	48	\$7.58	0.39	113	27.3%	2.73	116	3.12	113

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.3%	6.13	58	\$8.11	1.21	88	86.7%	8.67	7	5.34	40
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.6%	5.86	68	\$7.93	0.93	97	76.9%	7.69	31	4.83	74
083	Halifax	41.0%	4.10	101	\$8.31	1.53	74	69.2%	6.92	66	4.18	101
085	Hanover	61.4%	6.14	57	\$9.57	3.49	8	71.0%	7.10	59	5.58	21
087	Henrico	57.2%	5.72	76	\$9.04	2.66	19	69.2%	6.92	67	5.10	55
089	Henry/Martinsville	54.9%	5.49	85	\$8.15	1.28	85	66.1%	6.61	83	4.46	91
091	Highland	0.0%	N/A	118	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	73.8%	7.38	12	\$8.48	1.79	58	80.0%	8.00	22	5.72	17
095	James City	71.8%	7.18	16	\$8.73	2.18	39	61.9%	6.19	93	5.18	48
097	King and Queen	20.0%	2.00	117	\$7.50	0.26	115	33.3%	3.33	115	1.87	117
099	King George	45.8%	4.58	98	\$8.90	2.45	28	79.0%	7.90	26	4.97	63
101	King William	50.0%	5.00	94	\$9.31	3.08	11	50.0%	5.00	109	4.36	95
103	Lancaster	53.3%	5.33	89	\$8.23	1.40	79	62.5%	6.25	92	4.33	97
105	Lee	44.8%	4.48	99	\$8.13	1.25	86	73.7%	7.37	45	4.36	94
107	Loudoun	58.9%	5.89	66	\$10.80	5.40	3	67.9%	6.79	73	6.03	6
109	Louisa	53.5%	5.35	88	\$8.33	1.56	72	85.2%	8.52	9	5.14	51
111	Lunenburg	35.3%	3.53	107	\$8.46	1.76	59	40.0%	4.00	112	3.10	114
113	Madison	87.5%	8.75	2	\$8.79	2.27	35	57.1%	5.71	106	5.58	20
115	Mathews	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.92	0.92	98	100.0%	10.00	5	6.97	2
117	Mecklenburg	55.1%	5.51	83	\$7.92	0.92	99	68.2%	6.82	71	4.42	92
119	Middlesex	78.3%	7.83	6	\$8.38	1.64	70	85.0%	8.50	10	5.99	8
121	Montgomery	78.2%	7.82	7	\$8.61	1.99	48	78.1%	7.81	28	5.87	11
125	Nelson	81.8%	8.18	3	\$8.71	2.15	40	72.2%	7.22	50	5.85	12
127	New Kent	61.5%	6.15	56	\$8.19	1.34	81	75.0%	7.50	41	5.00	61
131	Northampton	73.7%	7.37	13	\$8.31	1.53	75	70.0%	7.00	64	5.30	42
133	Northumberland	66.7%	6.67	31	\$8.44	1.73	62	66.7%	6.67	77	5.02	60
135	Nottoway	65.4%	6.54	39	\$8.28	1.48	78	84.9%	8.49	11	5.50	29
137	Orange	75.0%	7.50	10	\$9.11	2.77	17	76.9%	7.69	32	5.99	7
139	Page	60.0%	6.00	62	\$8.42	1.70	66	36.8%	3.68	114	3.79	106
141	Patrick	47.2%	4.72	96	\$7.97	1.00	94	61.5%	6.15	94	3.96	103
143	Pittsylvania	55.4%	5.54	81	\$7.79	0.72	108	63.6%	6.36	89	4.21	100
145	Powhatan	53.8%	5.38	87	\$8.92	2.48	26	66.7%	6.67	78	4.84	73
147	Prince Edward	71.2%	7.12	18	\$8.06	1.14	90	81.0%	8.10	19	5.45	31
149	Prince George	52.1%	5.21	90	\$8.78	2.26	36	73.9%	7.39	43	4.95	65
153	Prince William	58.7%	5.87	67	\$10.14	4.38	6	73.8%	7.38	44	5.87	10
155	Pulaski	62.5%	6.25	49	\$8.54	1.88	52	60.0%	6.00	100	4.71	79
157	Rappahannock	33.3%	3.33	110	\$9.00	2.60	21	0.0%	0.00	118	1.98	116
159	Richmond County	37.0%	3.70	106	\$8.91	2.46	27	40.0%	4.00	113	3.39	112
161	Roanoke County	63.3%	6.33	44	\$8.46	1.76	60	71.0%	7.10	58	5.06	57
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	66.7%	6.67	32	\$8.43	1.71	63	76.9%	7.69	33	5.36	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	79.6%	7.96	4	\$8.64	2.04	45	66.3%	6.63	82	5.55	27
167	Russell	34.0%	3.40	108	\$7.90	0.89	103	66.7%	6.67	79	3.65	110
169	Scott	57.9%	5.79	73	\$7.94	0.95	96	55.3%	5.53	108	4.09	102
171	Shenandoah	73.7%	7.37	14	\$8.88	2.41	30	61.1%	6.11	96	5.30	43

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	55.2%	5.52	82	\$7.37	0.06	116	57.9%	5.79	105	3.79	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	50.0%	5.00	95	\$8.39	1.65	68	90.0%	9.00	6	5.22	46
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	64.1%	6.41	42	\$8.50	1.82	57	73.1%	7.31	46	5.18	49
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.2%	5.92	64	\$9.12	2.79	16	74.8%	7.48	42	5.40	36
181	<i>Surry</i>	63.2%	6.32	45	\$8.96	2.54	24	57.1%	5.71	107	4.86	72
183	<i>Sussex</i>	60.5%	6.05	60	\$8.80	2.29	33	77.3%	7.73	30	5.35	38
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	50.6%	5.06	93	\$7.92	0.92	100	68.3%	6.83	69	4.27	98
187	<i>Warren</i>	76.6%	7.66	9	\$7.81	0.75	107	76.3%	7.63	34	5.35	39
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.3%	6.63	36	\$7.77	0.69	109	65.3%	6.53	87	4.61	85
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	70.7%	7.07	20	\$8.70	2.13	41	82.4%	8.24	16	5.81	14
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.6%	6.46	40	\$7.90	0.89	104	62.6%	6.26	91	4.54	88
197	<i>Wythe</i>	75.0%	7.50	11	\$8.18	1.32	83	63.6%	6.36	90	5.06	56
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	40.0%	4.00	102	\$9.21	2.93	12	78.8%	7.88	27	4.94	66
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.4%	5.14	92	\$10.51	4.95	4	84.5%	8.45	13	6.18	5
520	<i>Bristol</i>	57.3%	5.73	75	\$7.91	0.90	101	61.1%	6.11	97	4.25	99
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.3%	6.03	61	\$9.47	3.33	9	71.9%	7.19	52	5.52	28
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	66.6%	6.66	34	\$8.60	1.98	49	71.0%	7.10	57	5.25	44
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.4%	3.74	105	\$7.85	0.81	106	60.6%	6.06	98	3.54	111
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	62.2%	6.22	50	\$9.03	2.65	20	42.1%	4.21	111	4.36	96
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	66.1%	6.61	37	\$8.98	2.57	22	83.1%	8.31	15	5.83	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	73.3%	7.33	15	\$8.11	1.21	89	83.3%	8.33	14	5.63	19
650	<i>Hampton</i>	56.0%	5.60	79	\$8.63	2.02	46	71.4%	7.14	56	4.92	67
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	58.0%	5.80	72	\$8.80	2.29	34	58.8%	5.88	101	4.66	82
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	67.7%	6.77	24	\$8.13	1.25	87	71.0%	7.10	60	5.04	58
683	<i>Manassas</i>	56.4%	5.64	78	\$10.29	4.61	5	70.6%	7.06	62	5.77	16
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	2.50	115	\$7.33	0.00	117	66.7%	6.67	80	3.06	115
700	<i>Newport News</i>	63.0%	6.30	46	\$8.54	1.88	53	72.2%	7.22	51	5.13	53
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	63.6%	6.36	43	\$8.43	1.71	64	75.1%	7.51	36	5.19	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	66.7%	6.67	33	\$7.89	0.87	105	66.7%	6.67	81	4.74	77
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	67.5%	6.75	27	\$8.51	1.84	54	67.9%	6.79	72	5.13	54
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	58.5%	5.85	69	\$8.43	1.71	65	68.5%	6.85	68	4.80	76
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.4%	5.94	63	\$8.42	1.70	67	64.5%	6.45	88	4.70	81
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	57.5%	5.75	74	\$8.63	2.02	47	72.9%	7.29	47	5.02	59
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	62.7%	6.27	47	\$8.45	1.74	61	58.3%	5.83	103	4.61	86
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	64.5%	6.45	41	\$8.55	1.90	51	70.7%	7.07	61	5.14	52
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	58.4%	5.84	71	\$8.81	2.31	32	75.4%	7.54	35	5.23	45
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.2%	6.82	23	\$8.86	2.38	31	70.6%	7.06	63	5.42	32
840	<i>Winchester</i>	55.0%	5.50	84	\$8.32	1.54	73	65.4%	6.54	85	4.53	89

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	1.93	117	\$901	3.56	81	65.3%	5.48	49	3.66	104
003	Albemarle	71.3%	7.29	13	\$1,027	6.00	18	58.1%	3.68	95	5.66	32
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.8%	6.88	25	\$862	2.81	99	58.5%	3.77	91	4.49	79
007	Amelia	61.6%	4.67	84	\$979	5.08	39	60.9%	4.37	74	4.71	69
009	Amherst	66.2%	5.90	54	\$912	3.77	74	59.6%	4.05	79	4.57	73
011	Appomattox	70.9%	7.17	15	\$897	3.49	83	55.1%	2.93	106	4.53	77
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.26	14	\$1,077	6.98	8	68.9%	6.39	22	6.88	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.20	45	\$979	5.07	40	66.2%	5.71	37	5.66	31
017	Bath	60.4%	4.35	86	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.47	72	2.94	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.3%	5.68	56	\$883	3.22	90	60.3%	4.22	76	4.37	85
021	Bland	57.8%	3.67	106	\$908	3.70	78	56.0%	3.16	104	3.51	106
023	Botetourt	44.2%	0.00	120	\$965	4.81	49	53.3%	2.46	111	2.43	119
025	Brunswick	58.0%	3.71	103	\$849	2.56	111	66.1%	5.68	40	3.98	96
027	Buchanan	53.6%	2.52	113	\$858	2.74	103	64.8%	5.36	51	3.54	105
029	Buckingham	57.9%	3.68	104	\$986	5.22	35	59.2%	3.95	82	4.28	86
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.49	37	\$919	3.91	72	62.9%	4.88	63	5.09	56
033	Caroline	62.1%	4.82	80	\$988	5.26	34	59.2%	3.94	83	4.68	71
035	Carroll	67.6%	6.29	42	\$850	2.58	110	54.8%	2.84	109	3.90	99
036	Charles City	57.5%	3.56	108	\$973	4.96	44	50.8%	1.85	114	3.46	108
037	Charlotte	52.1%	2.13	116	\$940	4.32	61	58.8%	3.85	87	3.43	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.4%	5.16	72	\$1,056	6.56	11	68.8%	6.36	25	6.03	19
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.75	102	\$1,030	6.07	17	57.5%	3.53	101	4.45	81
045	Craig	46.3%	0.56	119	\$1,025	5.97	20	63.3%	4.99	59	3.84	100
047	Culpeper	65.2%	5.63	60	\$994	5.38	31	66.1%	5.69	39	5.57	37
049	Cumberland	58.2%	3.77	100	\$974	4.98	42	57.9%	3.62	98	4.12	92
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.30	87	\$852	2.61	109	54.8%	2.85	108	3.25	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	5.95	52	\$1,000	5.49	30	58.2%	3.71	93	5.05	58
057	Essex	67.2%	6.19	46	\$894	3.43	85	49.2%	1.44	117	3.69	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.7%	6.05	48	\$1,189	9.14	3	69.8%	6.60	17	7.26	5
061	Fauquier	69.7%	6.86	28	\$1,049	6.44	12	68.7%	6.33	28	6.54	10
063	Floyd	64.6%	5.48	67	\$963	4.76	52	53.7%	2.58	110	4.27	87
065	Fluvanna	63.0%	5.05	74	\$1,061	6.68	10	63.4%	5.01	58	5.58	36
067	Franklin County	61.8%	4.74	82	\$967	4.85	47	57.9%	3.63	97	4.41	82
069	Frederick	58.7%	3.90	96	\$1,033	6.13	15	60.6%	4.30	75	4.78	66
071	Giles	56.9%	3.43	109	\$964	4.80	50	52.1%	2.16	113	3.46	107
073	Gloucester	64.8%	5.53	65	\$854	2.66	106	57.7%	3.57	99	3.92	98
075	Goochland	63.8%	5.27	70	\$951	4.54	58	63.1%	4.93	62	4.91	61
077	Grayson	64.7%	5.50	66	\$866	2.90	96	59.9%	4.14	78	4.18	91

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.0%	8.00	4	\$921	3.96	70	57.6%	3.56	100	5.17	49
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.5%	4.64	85	\$858	2.73	104	64.6%	5.29	52	4.22	90
083	Halifax	55.1%	2.93	112	\$863	2.83	98	58.5%	3.78	90	3.18	112
085	Hanover	65.2%	5.65	59	\$1,072	6.88	9	66.1%	5.68	42	6.07	17
087	Henrico	68.0%	6.40	40	\$1,031	6.08	16	65.8%	5.61	47	6.03	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.1%	5.34	69	\$948	4.47	60	63.8%	5.11	55	4.97	59
091	Highland	60.0%	4.25	88	\$784	1.29	118	83.3%	10.00	1	5.18	48
093	Isle of Wight	67.6%	6.28	43	\$925	4.02	68	59.2%	3.95	81	4.75	68
095	James City	69.7%	6.84	29	\$938	4.29	62	57.2%	3.44	103	4.86	65
097	King and Queen	52.9%	2.34	114	\$877	3.11	93	44.7%	0.31	119	1.92	120
099	King George	58.7%	3.89	97	\$950	4.52	59	57.9%	3.63	96	4.01	94
101	King William	58.9%	3.94	94	\$1,010	5.67	23	59.4%	4.01	80	4.54	75
103	Lancaster	67.4%	6.24	44	\$877	3.09	94	50.2%	1.70	116	3.68	103
105	Lee	65.2%	5.65	57	\$817	1.94	117	71.9%	7.14	8	4.91	60
107	Loudoun	75.5%	8.41	3	\$1,233	10.00	1	71.8%	7.10	10	8.50	1
109	Louisa	62.8%	5.01	75	\$992	5.32	32	63.9%	5.12	54	5.15	52
111	Lunenburg	50.0%	1.56	118	\$855	2.68	105	58.9%	3.88	85	2.71	117
113	Madison	59.2%	4.04	93	\$1,006	5.61	27	58.6%	3.81	89	4.48	80
115	Mathews	67.9%	6.37	41	\$907	3.67	79	71.8%	7.12	9	5.72	28
117	Mecklenburg	65.2%	5.65	58	\$861	2.79	100	66.0%	5.66	43	4.70	70
119	Middlesex	69.5%	6.79	30	\$917	3.89	73	72.4%	7.25	7	5.98	21
121	Montgomery	77.7%	9.00	2	\$963	4.77	51	63.2%	4.95	61	6.24	15
125	Nelson	62.0%	4.77	81	\$1,008	5.63	26	65.8%	5.61	46	5.34	45
127	New Kent	57.6%	3.59	107	\$1,047	6.39	13	71.0%	6.91	13	5.63	34
131	Northampton	65.8%	5.80	55	\$859	2.75	102	80.0%	9.16	2	5.90	24
133	Northumberland	56.2%	3.22	111	\$872	3.00	95	50.3%	1.73	115	2.65	118
135	Nottoway	67.2%	6.17	47	\$928	4.09	66	69.3%	6.49	19	5.58	35
137	Orange	72.1%	7.49	10	\$982	5.14	37	61.2%	4.44	73	5.69	29
139	Page	69.3%	6.74	31	\$1,003	5.55	29	59.1%	3.93	84	5.41	40
141	Patrick	66.6%	6.01	50	\$842	2.43	114	74.1%	7.68	6	5.37	42
143	Pittsylvania	52.6%	2.26	115	\$879	3.15	91	58.5%	3.77	92	3.06	114
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.33	110	\$1,006	5.61	28	61.9%	4.62	69	4.52	78
147	Prince Edward	70.9%	7.16	16	\$911	3.76	76	68.8%	6.35	27	5.76	26
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.16	90	\$1,025	5.97	19	58.7%	3.82	88	4.65	72
153	Prince William	71.5%	7.34	11	\$1,207	9.50	2	70.3%	6.73	14	7.85	2
155	Pulaski	63.1%	5.07	73	\$959	4.69	54	53.3%	2.46	112	4.07	93
157	Rappahannock	58.2%	3.77	101	\$977	5.04	41	48.9%	1.37	118	3.39	110
159	Richmond County	58.3%	3.79	99	\$959	4.68	55	43.4%	0.00	120	2.82	116
161	Roanoke County	73.6%	7.89	8	\$1,040	6.27	14	58.2%	3.70	94	5.95	23
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.6%	7.09	19	\$879	3.14	92	67.9%	6.14	30	5.46	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.9%	7.99	5	\$1,010	5.67	24	67.8%	6.10	32	6.59	9
167	Russell	59.3%	4.06	92	\$866	2.88	97	66.3%	5.73	36	4.22	89
169	Scott	58.6%	3.86	98	\$896	3.47	84	75.4%	8.01	5	5.11	55
171	Shenandoah	59.7%	4.17	89	\$967	4.85	48	68.9%	6.39	23	5.13	54

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.8%	3.91	95	\$884	3.24	89	62.1%	4.68	67	3.94	97
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.9%	3.68	105	\$853	2.64	107	54.9%	2.88	107	3.06	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.49	38	\$1,024	5.94	21	66.0%	5.65	44	6.03	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.3%	4.06	91	\$1,144	8.27	4	68.0%	6.16	29	6.16	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	6.97	22	\$909	3.73	77	55.5%	3.01	105	4.57	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.8%	5.54	64	\$974	4.98	43	62.3%	4.73	65	5.08	57
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.2%	5.92	53	\$827	2.13	115	65.6%	5.54	48	4.53	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.9%	6.64	33	\$1,019	5.85	22	69.8%	6.60	18	6.36	12
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.2%	4.83	79	\$904	3.63	80	57.5%	3.51	102	3.99	95
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.6%	5.22	71	\$931	4.15	64	64.4%	5.26	53	4.88	64
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.6%	4.94	77	\$848	2.54	112	66.2%	5.70	38	4.39	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	6.03	49	\$860	2.77	101	66.8%	5.85	35	4.88	63
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.8%	4.72	83	\$911	3.77	75	60.3%	4.22	77	4.24	88
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.5%	6.54	34	\$1,120	7.81	6	78.0%	8.67	3	7.67	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.1%	6.41	39	\$885	3.25	88	61.9%	4.63	68	4.76	67
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$931	4.15	65	66.1%	5.68	41	6.61	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.33	12	\$953	4.58	57	63.7%	5.07	56	5.66	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.4%	4.89	78	\$821	2.02	116	61.4%	4.49	71	3.80	101
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	65.1%	5.60	61	\$853	2.63	108	63.3%	4.97	60	4.40	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.6%	7.91	6	\$1,008	5.64	25	65.8%	5.62	45	6.39	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.7%	4.97	76	\$889	3.33	86	68.8%	6.35	26	4.89	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.7%	6.86	27	\$936	4.25	63	63.6%	5.04	57	5.38	41
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.5%	6.52	36	\$961	4.73	53	62.8%	4.84	64	5.36	44
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.2%	6.99	20	\$846	2.51	113	67.9%	6.14	31	5.21	47
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.5%	5.45	68	\$1,139	8.18	5	69.8%	6.62	15	6.75	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.6%	6.01	51	\$1,108	7.58	7	76.6%	8.32	4	7.30	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.0%	6.92	23	\$953	4.58	56	68.9%	6.37	24	5.96	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.9%	6.90	24	\$886	3.28	87	67.7%	6.08	33	5.42	39
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.6%	7.89	7	\$765	0.94	119	71.2%	6.96	12	5.26	46
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.7%	7.11	18	\$989	5.27	33	69.8%	6.61	16	6.33	13
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.7%	7.11	17	\$919	3.92	71	69.0%	6.40	21	5.81	25
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.8%	6.88	26	\$927	4.07	67	61.6%	4.56	70	5.17	50
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.2%	6.99	21	\$971	4.92	45	71.4%	7.02	11	6.31	14
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.9%	5.55	63	\$986	5.21	36	62.2%	4.69	66	5.15	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.7%	7.65	9	\$899	3.53	82	67.4%	5.99	34	5.72	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.58	62	\$969	4.89	46	69.1%	6.42	20	5.63	33
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.67	32	\$924	4.01	69	65.1%	5.42	50	5.37	43
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.5%	6.53	35	\$980	5.10	38	58.8%	3.86	86	5.16	51

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	2,842	127	91	208	74	38	316	37	80	116	132	91	387	113	42	401	99	465	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	91%	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	76%	95%	98%	98%	98%	99%	88%	66%	86%	96%	96%	91%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	91%	94%	100%	96%	96%	94%	76%	95%	100%	98%	97%	98%	90%	68%	81%	95%	92%	90%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	178	2	0	0	1	1	63	3	1	0	1	0	11	70	8	2	1	14	0
Average # of Days Over 30	56	5	0	0	33	5	105	45	48	0	11	0	38	62	48	6	10	27	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015		127	6	4	26	17	15	21	30	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015		98%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%
% Timely in September 2015		94%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	33%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days		2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	91	0	13	1	21	19	7	26	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	86%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	208	13	2	0	3	19	1	31	13	25	6	95
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	95%
% Timely in September 2015	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	74	38	0	1	4	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	95%	97%	N/A	0%	75%	97%
% Timely in September 2015	96%	91%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	33	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	38	0	13	4	5	12	4	25	20	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	N/A	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	94%	N/A	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	316	15	120	33	84	50	14	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	76%	100%	95%	94%	32%	90%	64%	N/A
% Timely in September 2015	76%	100%	94%	94%	36%	84%	17%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	63	0	0	0	60	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	105	0	0	0	110	10	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	37	17	11	1	8	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	95%	100%	100%	100%	75%	N/A
% Timely in September 2015	95%	100%	100%	100%	75%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	0	0	0	45	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	80	20	1	9	10	6	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	95%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	48	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	116	8	11	18	35	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
% Timely in September 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	132	18	47	13	18	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	97%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	11	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	91	1	12	10	8	7	10	3	14	8	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	99%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	98%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	387	74	5	12	125	5	166
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	88%	86%	100%	100%	90%	100%	85%
% Timely in September 2015	90%	87%	100%	100%	92%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	11	2	0	0	1	0	8
Average # of Days Over 30	38	19	0	0	4	0	48

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	113	9	6	36	37	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	66%	89%	100%	0%	100%	96%
% Timely in September 2015	68%	83%	100%	0%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	70	1	0	69	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	62	282	0	58	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	42	9	1	7	4	2	1	2	6	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	86%	100%	0%	86%	100%	0%	0%	100%	83%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	81%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	8	0	4	1	0	2	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	79	31	0	5	28	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	401	1	17	14	4	17	123	221	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	82%	100%	50%	94%	100%	96%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	95%	N/A	91%	100%	33%	91%	100%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	99	2	14	2	7	27	47
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	50%	93%	100%	100%	93%	100%
% Timely in September 2015	92%	0%	90%	N/A	100%	86%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	10	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	465	8	16	87	5	128	105	45	71
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	91%	75%	100%	83%	100%	93%	87%	100%	97%
% Timely in September 2015	90%	33%	100%	83%	100%	95%	85%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	14	1	0	4	0	5	4	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	4	0	6	0	66	4	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Sep-14</i>	<i>Sep-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,347	18,436	28.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	131,991	131,174	-0.6%
Total Cases Collected	146,338	149,610	2.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,359,947	\$2,713,257	15.0%
NTANF Collections	\$51,302,109	\$50,169,432	-2.2%
Total Collections	\$53,662,056	\$52,882,689	-1.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$157,882,090	\$157,990,811	0.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$360.12	\$351.61	-2.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Sep-15</i>
TANF Paternities	197
NTANF Paternities	311
Combined Paternities	508

Diversionsary Assistance

September Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Alleghany / Covington	1		Roanoke County	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	1		Shenandoah	5
Buchanan	1		Spotsylvania	1
Buckingham	1		Stafford	1
Caroline	1		Warren	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	4		York / Poquoson	1
Craig	1		Charlottesville	2
Culpeper	5		Chesapeake	4
Fauquier	3		Franklin City	1
Fluvanna	1		Fredericksburg	1
Frederick	1		Hopewell	1
Greene	1		Lynchburg	1
Greensville / Emporia	1		Newport News	7
Henrico	4		Norfolk	7
Henry / Martinsville	1		Petersburg	4
Lunenburg	2		Richmond City	1
Middlesex	1		Roanoke City	10
Nelson	1		Suffolk	1
Orange	2		Virginia Beach	2
Pittsylvania	1		Winchester	3
Prince William	1		Statewide	91

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of October 1, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through September 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through September 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month.



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


September 30, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of October and represent end of September data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of September it was \$8.84 rather than the \$8.70 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.