

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**March 2016**

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services April 21, 2016

The last monthly report is scheduled to be June 2016

# Monthly Report, March 2016

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

*These are estimated locality March 2016 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 4,881 and a denominator of 11,023.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	46	37%	061	Fauquier	17	36	47%
003	Albemarle	27	45	60%	063	Floyd	5	16	31%
005	Alleghany/Covington	23	41	56%	065	Fluvanna	4	18	22%
007	Amelia	4	6	67%	067	Franklin County	27	68	40%
009	Amherst	16	32	50%	069	Frederick	22	33	67%
011	Appomattox	14	41	34%	071	Giles	5	17	29%
013	Arlington	31	65	48%	073	Gloucester	18	35	51%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69	145	48%	075	Goochland	4	9	44%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	8	14	57%
019	Bedford County/City	29	65	45%	079	Greene	18	34	53%
021	Bland	1	5	20%	081	Greensville/Emporia	20	60	33%
023	Botetourt	5	15	33%	083	Halifax	17	53	32%
025	Brunswick	22	40	55%	085	Hanover	19	46	41%
027	Buchanan	15	44	34%	087	Henrico	180	417	43%
029	Buckingham	20	35	57%	089	Henry/Martinsville	52	157	33%
031	Campbell	46	94	49%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	30	59	51%	093	Isle of Wight	7	45	16%
035	Carroll	21	33	64%	095	James City	35	88	40%
036	Charles City	4	8	50%	097	King and Queen	2	11	18%
037	Charlotte	7	25	28%	099	King George	9	21	43%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	141	283	50%	101	King William	6	14	43%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	5	16	31%
045	Craig	2	5	40%	105	Lee	45	89	51%
047	Culpeper	21	44	48%	107	Loudoun	38	107	36%
049	Cumberland	14	31	45%	109	Louisa	18	39	46%
051	Dickensen	7	16	44%	111	Lunenburg	6	15	40%
053	Dinwiddie	8	25	32%	113	Madison	2	3	67%
057	Essex	11	29	38%	115	Mathews	2	6	33%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	191	437	44%	117	Mecklenburg	14	38	37%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	11	22	50%	187	Warren	31	50	62%
121	Montgomery	48	85	56%	191	Washington	33	63	52%
125	Nelson	12	22	55%	193	Westmoreland	15	29	52%
127	New Kent	7	18	39%	195	Wise	48	120	40%
131	Northampton	8	32	25%	197	Wythe	11	27	41%
133	Northumberland	2	13	15%	199	York/Poquoson	36	75	48%
135	Nottoway	15	35	43%	510	Alexandria	68	157	43%
137	Orange	19	48	40%	520	Bristol	29	69	42%
139	Page	2	11	18%	540	Charlottesville	56	122	46%
141	Patrick	16	41	39%	550	Chesapeake	166	365	45%
143	Pittsylvania	28	65	43%	590	Danville	57	143	40%
145	Powhatan	4	10	40%	620	Franklin City	6	23	26%
147	Prince Edward	29	53	55%	630	Fredericksburg	41	87	47%
149	Prince George	13	32	41%	640	Galax	10	19	53%
153	Prince William	152	389	39%	650	Hampton	214	503	43%
155	Pulaski	11	35	31%	670	Hopewell	64	137	47%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	101	191	53%
159	Richmond County	7	12	58%	683	Manassas	17	26	65%
161	Roanoke County	29	81	36%	685	Manassas Park	0	16	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	23	52%	700	Newport News	351	714	49%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70	102	69%	710	Norfolk	431	776	56%
167	Russell	13	54	24%	720	Norton	9	22	41%
169	Scott	19	57	33%	730	Petersburg	89	197	45%
171	Shenandoah	5	20	25%	740	Portsmouth	172	392	44%
173	Smyth	22	57	39%	750	Radford	12	25	48%
175	Southampton	13	33	39%	760	Richmond City	338	921	37%
177	Spotsylvania	49	147	33%	770	Roanoke City	120	337	36%
179	Stafford	58	133	44%	800	Suffolk	58	111	52%
181	Surry	6	11	55%	810	Virginia Beach	159	292	54%
183	Sussex	13	29	45%	830	Williamsburg	5	10	50%
185	Tazewell	27	72	38%	840	Winchester	13	38	34%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# ***Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March***

*These are estimated locality March 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 3,455 and a denominator of 9,464. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	7	36	19%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	9	28	32%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	17	34	50%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	14	21%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	17	34	50%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	15	7%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	3	5	60%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	15	55	27%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	12	27	44%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	12	23	52%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	34	21%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	12	0%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	26	60	43%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	13	28	46%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	38	114	33%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	3	8	38%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	6	12	50%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	25	61	41%	079	<i>Greene</i>	14	30	47%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	5	20%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	14	52	27%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	5	14	36%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	13	49	27%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	12	30	40%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	13	39	33%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	14	43	33%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	110	340	32%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	14	28	50%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	40	143	28%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	26	74	35%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	15	44	34%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	6	42	14%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	12	24	50%	095	<i>James City</i>	24	77	31%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	6	33%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	11	18%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	5	23	22%	099	<i>King George</i>	6	18	33%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	95	236	40%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	12	33%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	4	15	27%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	5	40%	105	<i>Lee</i>	40	82	49%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	15	37	41%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	14	83	17%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	11	28	39%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	15	34	44%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	6	15	40%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	11	27%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	6	22	27%	113	<i>Madison</i>	2	3	67%
057	<i>Essex</i>	9	24	38%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	2	6	33%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	110	353	31%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	6	30	20%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	18	39%	187	Warren	23	42	55%
121	Montgomery	36	72	50%	191	Washington	26	56	46%
125	Nelson	8	18	44%	193	Westmoreland	12	24	50%
127	New Kent	7	18	39%	195	Wise	38	108	35%
131	Northampton	6	30	20%	197	Wythe	7	23	30%
133	Northumberland	0	11	0%	199	York/Poquoson	27	65	42%
135	Nottoway	11	31	35%	510	Alexandria	41	126	33%
137	Orange	19	47	40%	520	Bristol	23	63	37%
139	Page	1	10	10%	540	Charlottesville	34	99	34%
141	Patrick	10	35	29%	550	Chesapeake	123	319	39%
143	Pittsylvania	22	59	37%	590	Danville	42	125	34%
145	Powhatan	2	8	25%	620	Franklin City	5	21	24%
147	Prince Edward	12	36	33%	630	Fredericksburg	29	75	39%
149	Prince George	7	23	30%	640	Galax	7	16	44%
153	Prince William	90	322	28%	650	Hampton	139	417	33%
155	Pulaski	6	30	20%	670	Hopewell	38	111	34%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	73	163	45%
159	Richmond County	6	11	55%	683	Manassas	11	20	55%
161	Roanoke County	20	72	28%	685	Manassas Park	0	14	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	21	48%	700	Newport News	272	629	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	37	69	54%	710	Norfolk	382	724	53%
167	Russell	12	53	23%	720	Norton	5	18	28%
169	Scott	16	54	30%	730	Petersburg	69	175	39%
171	Shenandoah	4	14	29%	740	Portsmouth	126	344	37%
173	Smyth	17	52	33%	750	Radford	7	19	37%
175	Southampton	12	32	38%	760	Richmond City	269	826	33%
177	Spotsylvania	38	135	28%	770	Roanoke City	80	293	27%
179	Stafford	43	117	37%	800	Suffolk	37	89	42%
181	Surry	6	11	55%	810	Virginia Beach	97	227	43%
183	Sussex	10	25	40%	830	Williamsburg	4	9	44%
185	Tazewell	18	63	29%	840	Winchester	10	34	29%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March*

*These are estimated locality March 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,426 and a denominator of 1,559. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	10	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	8	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	10	11	91%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	2	2	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	6	7	86%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	3	3	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	1	1	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	12	13	92%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	4	5	80%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	10	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	7	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	5	5	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	5	5	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	5	7	71%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	31	31	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	1	1	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	2	2	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	4	4	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	4	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	0	N/A	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	6	8	75%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	1	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	4	4	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	10	10	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	6	7	86%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	1	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	70	77	91%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	6	7	86%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	12	14	86%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	20	20	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	15	15	100%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	1	3	33%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	9	9	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	11	11	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	2	2	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	3	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	46	47	98%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	2	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	5	7	71%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	6	7	86%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	24	24	100%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	3	3	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	3	5	60%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	1	1	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	4	75%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	2	3	67%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	2	5	40%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	0	N/A
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	81	84	96%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	8	8	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	12	13	92%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	5	60%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	10	12	83%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	9	10	90%
135	Nottoway	4	4	100%	510	Alexandria	27	31	87%
137	Orange	0	1	0%	520	Bristol	6	6	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	23	96%
141	Patrick	6	6	100%	550	Chesapeake	43	46	93%
143	Pittsylvania	6	6	100%	590	Danville	15	18	83%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	1	2	50%
147	Prince Edward	17	17	100%	630	Fredericksburg	12	12	100%
149	Prince George	6	9	67%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	62	67	93%	650	Hampton	75	86	87%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	26	26	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	28	28	100%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	6	6	100%
161	Roanoke County	9	9	100%	685	Manassas Park	0	2	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	79	85	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	33	33	100%	710	Norfolk	49	52	94%
167	Russell	1	1	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	3	3	100%	730	Petersburg	20	22	91%
171	Shenandoah	1	6	17%	740	Portsmouth	46	48	96%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	5	6	83%
175	Southampton	1	1	100%	760	Richmond City	69	95	73%
177	Spotsylvania	11	12	92%	770	Roanoke City	40	44	91%
179	Stafford	15	16	94%	800	Suffolk	21	22	95%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	62	65	95%
183	Sussex	3	4	75%	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	9	9	100%	840	Winchester	3	4	75%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Mar-16	Mar-15	Change from Mar-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,440	4,787	-7.2%	4,807	-8%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,044	2,921	4.2%	1,658	84%
Applications Approved	1,304	1,298	0%	2,820	-54%
Cases Closed *	1,648	2,068	-20%	4,476	-63%
Cases at End of Month	21,314	23,176	-8%	67,995	-69%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	21,480	23,971	-10%	70,403	-69%

•The database indicates that of the 1,648 closed cases, 658 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 403 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 133 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 100 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Mar-16	Mar-15	Change from Mar-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	231	489	-53%	338	-32%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	280	253	11%	232	21%
Applications Approved	149	192	-22%	81	84%
Cases Closed *	175	199	-12%	107	64%
Cases at End of Month	1,259	1,289	-2%	321	292%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,268	1,361	-7%	394	222%

•The database indicates that of the 175 closed cases, 92 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 69 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 22 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 20 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	22,573	1,231	743	1,786	579	313	2,509	280	546	837	1,076	644	3,373	887	336	2,504	845	3,913	171
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,058	374	240	473	171	100	1,090	113	270	354	283	251	1,126	382	98	1,010	301	1,367	55
Part. in a Work Activity	6,396	299	211	334	131	78	836	79	213	266	226	207	919	312	82	821	235	1,114	33
% of Enrolled	79%	80%	88%	71%	77%	78%	77%	70%	79%	75%	80%	82%	82%	82%	84%	81%	78%	81%	60%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	60	3	2	5	1	3	5	3	5	4	1	1	5	5	2	7	3	5	0
Participants Employed	4,733	178	143	277	120	63	574	68	156	197	173	135	682	243	70	614	173	838	29
% of Enrolled	59%	48%	60%	59%	70%	63%	53%	60%	58%	56%	61%	54%	61%	64%	71%	61%	57%	61%	53%
Full Time	3,778	143	110	236	106	53	440	55	107	125	144	107	544	191	53	487	142	722	13
Part Time	955	35	33	41	14	10	134	13	49	72	29	28	138	52	17	127	31	116	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$7.95	\$7.85	\$8.30	\$8.92	\$8.07	\$10.46	\$9.44	\$8.95	\$8.30	\$8.38	\$8.24	\$8.95	\$9.32	\$8.26	\$8.48	\$8.70	\$8.49	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,193	\$1,076	\$1,084	\$1,166	\$1,277	\$1,131	\$1,401	\$1,245	\$1,147	\$1,056	\$1,182	\$1,118	\$1,225	\$1,254	\$1,078	\$1,149	\$1,178	\$1,152	\$1,025

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	14,600	622	460	1,181	443	211	1,846	192	364	550	611	406	1,886	594	209	1,803	527	2,569	126
Total Enrolled in VIEW	18,326	785	562	1,272	489	280	2,317	237	512	775	741	558	2,464	814	255	2,280	735	3,102	148
Part. in a Work Activity	16,543	752	529	1,123	457	240	2,050	203	491	698	662	523	2,199	722	227	2,054	660	2,822	131
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	94%	88%	93%	86%	88%	86%	96%	90%	89%	94%	89%	89%	89%	90%	90%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	11,061	393	340	732	342	171	1,315	148	346	468	411	325	1,548	496	168	1,377	459	1,934	88
% of Total Enrolled	60%	50%	60%	58%	70%	61%	57%	62%	68%	60%	55%	58%	63%	61%	66%	60%	62%	62%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.95	\$7.97	\$7.94	\$8.56	\$8.95	\$8.60	\$10.97	\$9.26	\$9.05	\$8.35	\$8.33	\$8.28	\$9.04	\$9.25	\$8.41	\$8.67	\$8.90	\$8.61	\$8.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,181	\$1,044	\$1,056	\$1,171	\$1,261	\$1,178	\$1,445	\$1,184	\$1,080	\$1,024	\$1,131	\$1,072	\$1,205	\$1,167	\$1,095	\$1,139	\$1,230	\$1,137	\$1,140
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	70%	69%	64%	69%	64%	78%	73%	78%	70%	67%	75%	72%	78%	60%	77%	64%	73%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	321,900	19,056	11,915	23,961	9,507	6,205	40,982	4,605	7,154	12,121	14,550	9,300	42,587	10,981	4,328	31,274	10,919	59,564	2,891
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	249,690	14,812	10,046	18,671	7,456	4,700	31,555	3,508	5,614	10,075	11,685	7,352	33,614	8,266	3,270	24,012	8,564	44,305	2,185
Part. in a Work Activity	238,667	14,317	9,665	17,750	7,279	4,437	30,284	3,364	5,486	9,513	11,315	7,071	32,224	7,806	3,085	22,838	8,150	41,974	2,109
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	167,958	9,199	6,460	12,515	5,214	3,066	21,837	2,351	4,159	6,890	7,115	4,543	22,885	5,426	2,082	16,578	5,828	30,572	1,238
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	62%	68%	66%	64%	69%	68%	69%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.44	\$6.44	\$6.56	\$7.31	\$7.43	\$7.57	\$9.01	\$7.75	\$7.66	\$6.89	\$6.63	\$7.09	\$7.62	\$8.22	\$7.25	\$7.31	\$7.32	\$7.10	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$973	\$844	\$881	\$975	\$987	\$1,007	\$1,185	\$1,013	\$961	\$881	\$877	\$909	\$1,007	\$1,041	\$918	\$946	\$985	\$921	\$886
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	57%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,231	115	53	237	146	117	196	318	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	374	31	12	113	27	39	45	89	18
Participants in a Work Activity	299	26	8	101	24	24	44	61	11
% of Enrolled	80%	84%	67%	89%	89%	62%	98%	69%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	178	11	7	48	7	18	26	55	6
% of Enrolled	48%	35%	58%	42%	26%	46%	58%	62%	33%
Full Time	143	8	6	38	5	12	22	46	6
Part Time	35	3	1	10	2	6	4	9	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.27	\$8.06	\$7.97	\$7.61	\$7.94	\$7.91	\$7.93	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,241	\$1,179	\$1,104	\$914	\$990	\$1,027	\$1,076	\$1,099

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	622	48	18	133	72	62	93	167	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	785	53	23	191	83	76	119	205	35
Participants in a Work Activity	752	48	21	189	73	75	117	199	30
% of Total Enrolled	96%	91%	91%	99%	88%	99%	98%	97%	86%
Participants Employed	393	25	10	89	27	38	66	117	21
% of Total Enrolled	50%	47%	43%	47%	33%	50%	55%	57%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.05	\$7.96	\$8.07	\$7.76	\$7.99	\$7.89	\$8.01	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,025	\$1,087	\$1,053	\$957	\$964	\$1,003	\$1,104	\$1,083
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	80%	67%	79%	61%	60%	69%	63%	93%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	19,056	1,840	1,270	2,714	2,616	1,891	3,613	4,486	626
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,812	1,400	994	2,125	2,110	1,418	2,761	3,504	500
Participants in a Work Activity	14,317	1,321	939	2,112	2,013	1,395	2,675	3,402	460
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,199	751	595	1,384	1,250	829	1,831	2,191	368
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	58%	66%	63%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.44	\$6.60	\$6.42	\$6.31	\$6.57	\$6.77	\$6.30	\$6.46	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$844	\$859	\$853	\$819	\$866	\$897	\$828	\$851	\$769
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	75%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	743	14	81	31	150	170	87	176	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	240	4	28	7	40	46	20	87	8
Participants in a Work Activity	211	4	27	7	35	38	18	75	7
% of Enrolled	88%	100%	96%	100%	88%	83%	90%	86%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	143	3	25	5	24	25	15	43	3
% of Enrolled	60%	75%	89%	71%	60%	54%	75%	49%	38%
Full Time	110	1	25	5	18	21	14	23	3
Part Time	33	2	0	0	6	4	1	20	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.85	\$7.29	\$7.80	\$8.05	\$7.31	\$8.17	\$7.98	\$7.96	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,084	\$647	\$1,106	\$1,165	\$1,059	\$1,156	\$1,231	\$1,008	\$1,184

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	460	7	45	11	97	87	44	156	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	562	7	68	11	105	117	42	191	21
Participants in a Work Activity	529	7	66	11	100	111	42	175	17
% of Total Enrolled	94%	100%	97%	100%	95%	95%	100%	92%	81%
Participants Employed	340	4	50	6	55	73	34	107	11
% of Total Enrolled	60%	57%	74%	55%	52%	62%	81%	56%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.60	\$7.67	\$7.78	\$7.68	\$8.11	\$8.10	\$8.02	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$847	\$1,043	\$1,022	\$1,045	\$1,066	\$1,148	\$1,029	\$1,153
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	100%	74%	71%	63%	69%	77%	65%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,915	301	1,551	728	2,100	2,137	1,710	2,611	777
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,046	226	1,293	592	1,793	1,782	1,348	2,329	683
Participants in a Work Activity	9,665	214	1,202	556	1,739	1,738	1,325	2,246	645
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	6,460	132	873	382	1,051	1,105	904	1,586	427
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	68%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.56	\$6.58	\$6.36	\$6.50	\$6.47	\$6.80	\$6.50	\$6.67	\$6.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$881	\$903	\$851	\$868	\$887	\$908	\$862	\$887	\$890
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	56%	55%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,786	83	38	13	46	156	41	218	113	216	49	813
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	473	27	8	6	6	43	8	64	19	55	17	220
Participants in a Work Activity	334	24	7	2	6	39	2	57	14	44	12	127
% of Enrolled	71%	89%	88%	33%	100%	91%	25%	89%	74%	80%	71%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	277	19	5	2	4	19	0	55	11	33	10	119
% of Enrolled	59%	70%	63%	33%	67%	44%	0%	86%	58%	60%	59%	54%
Full Time	236	10	5	2	4	18	N/A	53	9	22	9	104
Part Time	41	9	0	0	0	1	N/A	2	2	11	1	15
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.04	\$7.90	\$9.00	\$7.69	\$8.90	N/A	\$8.46	\$7.70	\$8.02	\$8.08	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,166	\$964	\$1,214	\$1,204	\$1,095	\$1,344	N/A	\$1,254	\$875	\$1,055	\$1,096	\$1,193

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,181	54	26	2	24	106	20	128	63	99	41	618
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,272	65	22	10	21	128	17	166	61	142	51	589
Participants in a Work Activity	1,123	64	22	4	21	121	13	152	55	123	45	503
% of Total Enrolled	88%	98%	100%	40%	100%	95%	76%	92%	90%	87%	88%	85%
Participants Employed	732	43	9	2	14	59	3	121	39	90	33	319
% of Total Enrolled	58%	66%	41%	20%	67%	46%	18%	73%	64%	63%	65%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.56	\$8.32	\$8.32	\$9.00	\$8.34	\$8.85	\$12.17	\$8.64	\$8.72	\$8.28	\$8.53	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,171	\$1,032	\$1,234	\$765	\$1,198	\$1,187	\$1,982	\$1,263	\$1,158	\$1,047	\$1,153	\$1,186
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	61%	75%	100%	90%	65%	100%	77%	52%	66%	63%	60%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,961	1,289	427	112	618	2,156	604	3,342	2,030	2,675	956	9,752
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,671	997	273	68	456	1,687	440	2,755	1,616	2,178	755	7,446
Participants in a Work Activity	17,750	965	241	65	439	1,534	428	2,700	1,552	2,032	720	7,074
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	88%	96%	96%	91%	97%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,515	695	121	31	296	1,036	247	2,139	1,020	1,599	529	4,802
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	44%	46%	65%	61%	56%	78%	63%	73%	70%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.31	\$7.09	\$7.59	\$7.42	\$7.20	\$7.26	\$7.19	\$7.16	\$6.96	\$7.79	\$7.21	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$975	\$863	\$974	\$1,026	\$963	\$970	\$966	\$966	\$960	\$1,039	\$931	\$989
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	55%	60%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	579	334	3	4	59	179
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	171	80	0	2	19	70
Participants in a Work Activity	131	59	N/A	0	16	56
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	N/A	0%	84%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	N/A	0	0	1
Participants Employed	120	57	N/A	0	14	49
% of Enrolled	70%	71%	N/A	0	74%	70%
Full Time	106	50	N/A	N/A	11	45
Part Time	14	7	N/A	N/A	3	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.92	\$8.74	N/A	N/A	\$8.89	\$9.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,277	\$1,267	N/A	N/A	\$1,138	\$1,328

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	443	233	0	2	32	176
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	489	253	0	2	36	198
Participants in a Work Activity	457	234	N/A	2	30	191
% of Total Enrolled	93%	92%	N/A	100%	83%	96%
Participants Employed	342	167	N/A	0	23	152
% of Total Enrolled	70%	66%	N/A	0%	64%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.95	\$8.84	N/A	N/A	\$8.82	\$9.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,261	\$1,208	N/A	N/A	\$1,196	\$1,324
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	64%	N/A	N/A	68%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,507	5,050	81	26	820	3,530
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,456	4,022	53	10	616	2,755
Participants in a Work Activity	7,279	3,950	52	10	572	2,695
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,214	2,705	32	6	435	2,036
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	60%	71%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.43	\$7.40	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.95	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$987	\$982	\$717	\$784	\$884	\$1,017
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	313	9	77	23	37	91	76	171	120	51
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	100	0	17	4	11	40	28	55	27	28
Participants in a Work Activity	78	N/A	14	2	10	36	16	33	15	18
% of Enrolled	78%	N/A	82%	50%	91%	90%	57%	60%	56%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	N/A	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	63	N/A	9	1	10	31	12	29	12	17
% of Enrolled	63%	N/A	53%	25%	91%	78%	43%	53%	44%	61%
Full Time	53	N/A	7	0	8	27	11	13	7	6
Part Time	10	N/A	2	1	2	4	1	16	5	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	N/A	\$8.33	\$7.25	\$8.18	\$7.99	\$8.08	\$8.42	\$8.79	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,131	N/A	\$1,165	\$785	\$1,153	\$1,099	\$1,199	\$1,025	\$1,179	\$916

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	211	2	56	21	26	55	51	126	94	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	280	1	61	25	31	95	67	148	96	52
Participants in a Work Activity	240	1	54	22	25	89	49	131	85	46
% of Total Enrolled	86%	1	89%	88%	81%	94%	73%	89%	89%	88%
Participants Employed	171	0	31	14	21	69	36	88	51	37
% of Total Enrolled	61%	1	51%	56%	68%	73%	54%	59%	53%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.60	N/A	\$9.53	\$8.71	\$9.07	\$7.87	\$8.69	\$8.78	\$9.07	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,178	N/A	\$1,289	\$1,074	\$1,314	\$1,072	\$1,219	\$1,140	\$1,255	\$987
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	N/A	56%	54%	54%	79%	62%	69%	65%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,205	235	1,374	916	770	1,490	1,420	2,891	1,874	1,017
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,700	142	1,038	753	489	1,148	1,130	2,185	1,388	797
Participants in a Work Activity	4,437	131	970	737	471	1,069	1,059	2,109	1,351	758
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	93%	98%	96%	93%	94%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,066	82	606	521	293	792	772	1,238	713	525
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	69%	60%	69%	68%	57%	51%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.57	\$7.74	\$7.99	\$7.45	\$7.12	\$7.57	\$7.51	\$7.06	\$7.18	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,007	\$1,030	\$1,042	\$1,004	\$975	\$1,019	\$985	\$886	\$907	\$861
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	60%	59%	68%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,509	167	901	198	768	363	87	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,090	49	408	114	305	190	20	4
Participants in a Work Activity	836	41	343	92	215	125	19	1
% of Enrolled	77%	84%	84%	81%	70%	66%	95%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	3	0	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	574	26	195	62	176	96	18	1
% of Enrolled	53%	53%	48%	54%	58%	51%	90%	25%
Full Time	440	17	134	41	156	77	14	1
Part Time	134	9	61	21	20	19	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.46	\$10.87	\$10.30	\$10.48	\$10.57	\$10.21	\$12.13	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,401	\$1,326	\$1,334	\$1,395	\$1,496	\$1,322	\$1,777	\$952

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,846	99	666	186	502	335	50	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,317	138	921	222	608	354	70	4
Participants in a Work Activity	2,050	133	836	202	527	290	59	3
% of Total Enrolled	88%	96%	91%	91%	87%	82%	84%	75%
Participants Employed	1,315	80	503	136	362	186	47	1
% of Total Enrolled	57%	58%	55%	61%	60%	53%	67%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.97	\$10.64	\$11.39	\$11.29	\$10.44	\$10.81	\$10.83	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,445	\$1,306	\$1,486	\$1,447	\$1,423	\$1,412	\$1,529	\$946
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	66%	79%	75%	78%	86%	86%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	40,982	3,257	14,638	3,085	12,899	4,849	1,744	510
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,555	2,576	11,097	2,438	9,986	3,753	1,337	368
Participants in a Work Activity	30,284	2,557	10,658	2,318	9,549	3,538	1,300	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	94%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,837	1,829	7,386	1,834	7,120	2,557	866	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	75%	71%	68%	65%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.01	\$8.52	\$9.14	\$9.63	\$8.99	\$8.77	\$8.54	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,185	\$1,082	\$1,196	\$1,239	\$1,211	\$1,125	\$1,145	\$1,108
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	280	107	59	16	93	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	113	42	22	2	45	2
Participants in a Work Activity	79	31	16	2	30	0
% of Enrolled	70%	74%	73%	100%	67%	0
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	68	27	14	1	26	0
% of Enrolled	60%	64%	64%	50%	58%	0
Full Time	55	20	10	1	24	N/A
Part Time	13	7	4	0	2	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.44	\$9.60	\$8.55	\$10.00	\$9.74	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,245	\$1,172	\$1,152	\$1,299	\$1,369	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	192	87	42	7	48	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	237	98	56	12	64	7
Participants in a Work Activity	203	83	48	12	53	7
% of Total Enrolled	86%	85%	86%	100%	83%	100%
Participants Employed	148	62	36	8	41	1
% of Total Enrolled	62%	63%	64%	67%	64%	14%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.26	\$9.42	\$8.91	\$8.94	\$9.43	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,184	\$1,182	\$1,143	\$1,238	\$1,220	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	73%	68%	100%	72%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,605	1,797	1,332	415	935	126
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,508	1,326	1,030	311	758	83
Participants in a Work Activity	3,364	1,270	1,008	303	704	79
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,351	865	717	184	539	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	71%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.75	\$7.74	\$8.08	\$7.31	\$7.47	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,013	\$997	\$1,053	\$1,009	\$989	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	66%	68%	59%	61%	49%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	546	110	55	48	89	46	198
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	270	71	5	28	36	17	113
Participants in a Work Activity	213	66	4	17	25	13	88
% of Enrolled	79%	93%	80%	61%	69%	76%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	156	37	4	13	24	12	66
% of Enrolled	58%	52%	80%	46%	67%	71%	58%
Full Time	107	24	3	5	20	10	45
Part Time	49	13	1	8	4	2	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.95	\$9.45	\$8.19	\$8.51	\$8.99	\$8.46	\$8.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,147	\$1,170	\$1,215	\$991	\$1,238	\$1,105	\$1,134

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	364	95	8	40	37	28	156
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	512	133	12	49	54	36	228
Participants in a Work Activity	491	131	12	45	50	33	220
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	100%	92%	93%	92%	96%
Participants Employed	346	87	6	32	35	24	162
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	50%	65%	65%	67%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.05	\$9.42	\$8.00	\$8.32	\$8.72	\$8.71	\$9.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,094	\$1,098	\$1,041	\$1,090	\$1,029	\$1,085
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	76%	100%	88%	91%	63%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	7,154	1,748	336	560	1,124	390	2,996
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,614	1,300	247	402	849	286	2,530
Participants in a Work Activity	5,486	1,274	241	380	823	281	2,487
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	4,159	925	156	301	536	176	2,065
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	75%	63%	62%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.66	\$8.13	\$8.02	\$7.52	\$7.85	\$8.02	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$961	\$1,030	\$1,063	\$925	\$994	\$1,009	\$932
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	58%	63%	58%	64%	66%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	837	84	63	150	173	367
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	354	26	23	63	89	153
Participants in a Work Activity	266	20	20	49	55	122
% of Enrolled	75%	77%	87%	78%	62%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	197	9	15	35	37	101
% of Enrolled	56%	35%	65%	56%	42%	66%
Full Time	125	7	11	32	22	53
Part Time	72	2	4	3	15	48
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.11	\$7.22	\$8.70	\$8.57	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$1,148	\$919	\$1,273	\$1,058	\$993

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	550	46	36	108	129	231
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	775	55	53	130	199	338
Participants in a Work Activity	698	52	53	111	178	304
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	100%	85%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	468	28	37	77	107	219
% of Total Enrolled	60%	51%	70%	59%	54%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$8.43	\$7.85	\$8.76	\$8.41	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$1,186	\$994	\$1,153	\$1,001	\$971
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	67%	65%	71%	68%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	12,121	1,123	938	2,448	2,830	4,782
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,075	898	827	1,965	2,432	3,953
Participants in a Work Activity	9,513	852	815	1,818	2,291	3,737
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,890	589	587	1,279	1,665	2,770
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.89	\$7.11	\$6.59	\$6.98	\$7.08	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$881	\$917	\$897	\$887	\$920	\$848
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,076	147	375	70	154	330
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	283	38	103	33	39	70
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	226	21	83	31	31	60
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	80%	55%	81%	94%	79%	86%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0
<b>Participants Employed</b>	173	18	71	17	26	41
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	61%	47%	69%	52%	67%	59%
Full Time	144	15	61	10	20	38
Part Time	29	3	10	7	6	3
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$8.38	\$8.12	\$8.47	\$8.58	\$8.88	\$7.95
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,182	\$1,137	\$1,224	\$1,097	\$1,240	\$1,125

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	611	73	194	61	113	170
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	741	95	261	76	101	208
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	662	82	229	71	95	185
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	89%	86%	88%	93%	94%	89%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	411	46	161	49	57	98
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	55%	48%	62%	64%	56%	47%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$8.33	\$8.73	\$8.34	\$8.23	\$8.42	\$8.11
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,131	\$1,214	\$1,132	\$1,092	\$1,152	\$1,099
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	67%	75%	69%	55%	68%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	14,550	2,215	3,930	1,419	2,438	4,548
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	11,685	1,828	3,060	1,222	1,866	3,709
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	11,315	1,764	2,936	1,154	1,839	3,622
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	7,115	1,013	1,973	821	987	2,321
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	63%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.63	\$6.59	\$7.06	\$6.53	\$6.62	\$6.33
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$877	\$868	\$952	\$846	\$886	\$825
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	644	25	57	56	58	53	101	25	112	77	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	251	5	27	23	25	24	38	15	21	33	40
Participants in a Work Activity	207	4	15	22	21	20	37	8	19	23	38
% of Enrolled	82%	80%	56%	96%	84%	83%	97%	53%	90%	70%	95%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	135	3	12	18	9	17	17	5	7	19	28
% of Enrolled	54%	60%	44%	78%	36%	71%	45%	33%	33%	58%	70%
Full Time	107	3	9	17	7	11	17	5	7	11	20
Part Time	28	0	3	1	2	6	0	0	0	8	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.24	\$8.21	\$8.38	\$8.57	\$7.72	\$8.23	\$8.51	\$7.90	\$8.12	\$8.24	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,118	\$1,261	\$1,182	\$1,150	\$1,103	\$996	\$1,267	\$1,171	\$1,273	\$1,057	\$1,034

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	406	9	43	41	35	34	67	18	50	49	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	558	9	63	50	45	47	86	22	70	74	92
Participants in a Work Activity	523	7	58	48	41	41	83	14	69	72	90
% of Total Enrolled	94%	78%	92%	96%	91%	87%	97%	64%	99%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	325	6	31	32	17	29	45	9	38	50	68
% of Total Enrolled	58%	67%	49%	64%	38%	62%	52%	41%	54%	68%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.28	\$8.25	\$8.16	\$8.63	\$7.65	\$8.84	\$8.10	\$8.94	\$7.98	\$8.53	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$1,086	\$1,088	\$1,114	\$930	\$1,123	\$1,060	\$1,353	\$1,086	\$1,103	\$995
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	75%	62%	76%	80%	82%	79%	63%	67%	67%	85%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,300	580	1,112	884	821	634	1,104	447	1,332	1,133	1,253
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,352	432	897	685	630	478	886	352	1,060	888	1,044
Participants in a Work Activity	7,071	408	894	651	592	454	857	347	1,020	829	1,019
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	100%	95%	94%	95%	97%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,543	266	523	400	326	280	541	176	693	596	742
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	59%	61%	50%	65%	67%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$7.67	\$6.55	\$7.41	\$7.46	\$7.65	\$7.09	\$6.87	\$6.80	\$7.13	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$909	\$980	\$854	\$989	\$940	\$980	\$863	\$861	\$864	\$931	\$911
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	58%	65%	59%	66%	69%	70%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,373	615	28	104	845	32	1,749
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,126	192	9	42	375	5	503
Participants in a Work Activity	919	166	7	33	294	5	414
% of Enrolled	82%	86%	78%	79%	78%	100%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	682	122	5	28	228	2	297
% of Enrolled	61%	64%	56%	67%	61%	40%	59%
Full Time	544	95	3	20	178	2	246
Part Time	138	27	2	8	50	0	51
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.95	\$9.03	\$9.55	\$9.74	\$9.17	\$8.13	\$8.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,225	\$1,201	\$1,213	\$1,259	\$1,260	\$1,333	\$1,205

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,886	365	13	56	623	17	812
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,464	432	16	74	839	18	1,085
Participants in a Work Activity	2,199	395	14	64	721	18	987
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	88%	86%	86%	100%	91%
Participants Employed	1,548	276	8	48	512	9	695
% of Total Enrolled	63%	64%	50%	65%	61%	50%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.04	\$9.29	\$9.03	\$9.82	\$9.23	\$8.74	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,205	\$1,254	\$1,043	\$1,286	\$1,221	\$1,314	\$1,169
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	75%	83%	84%	70%	75%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	42,587	8,990	334	1,531	10,966	432	20,334
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	33,614	6,877	259	1,097	9,040	322	16,019
Participants in a Work Activity	32,224	6,652	246	1,014	8,503	305	15,504
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,885	4,369	169	716	6,161	181	11,289
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	65%	65%	68%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$7.94	\$7.49	\$8.46	\$7.95	\$7.94	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,007	\$1,058	\$952	\$1,075	\$1,035	\$1,010	\$974
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	69%	69%	64%	66%	66%	62%	71%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	887	116	51	303	279	138
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	382	59	14	125	106	78
Participants in a Work Activity	312	44	9	103	98	58
% of Enrolled	82%	75%	64%	82%	92%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	4	1
Participants Employed	243	37	9	79	68	50
% of Enrolled	64%	63%	64%	63%	64%	64%
Full Time	191	26	9	66	51	39
Part Time	52	11	0	13	17	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.32	\$9.49	\$8.48	\$8.91	\$9.88	\$9.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,254	\$1,211	\$1,337	\$1,204	\$1,322	\$1,259

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	594	62	28	207	169	128
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	814	114	38	239	249	174
Participants in a Work Activity	722	98	35	212	227	150
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	92%	89%	91%	86%
Participants Employed	496	71	20	142	150	113
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	53%	59%	60%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.25	\$9.61	\$9.09	\$8.88	\$9.51	\$9.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,167	\$1,173	\$1,284	\$1,160	\$1,149	\$1,177
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	85%	71%	76%	74%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,981	1,581	651	3,397	3,217	2,135
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,266	1,158	465	2,609	2,208	1,826
Participants in a Work Activity	7,806	1,101	442	2,435	2,101	1,727
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,426	718	276	1,773	1,314	1,345
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	60%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$7.91	\$7.76	\$8.16	\$8.88	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$993	\$955	\$1,029	\$1,145	\$1,010
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	336	57	24	24	37	20	49	29	23	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	98	23	3	12	9	4	16	4	9	18
Participants in a Work Activity	82	21	3	8	6	4	15	0	9	16
% of Enrolled	84%	91%	100%	67%	67%	100%	94%	0%	100%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	70	16	3	8	5	4	13	0	8	13
% of Enrolled	71%	70%	100%	67%	56%	100%	81%	0%	89%	72%
Full Time	53	14	1	6	1	4	12	N/A	6	9
Part Time	17	2	2	2	4	0	1	N/A	2	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$7.85	\$7.67	\$9.66	\$8.00	\$7.50	\$8.52	N/A	\$7.69	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,078	\$1,106	\$921	\$1,367	\$624	\$1,055	\$1,151	N/A	\$1,027	\$1,043

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	209	36	8	22	23	8	29	11	22	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	255	42	8	24	21	10	45	13	26	66
Participants in a Work Activity	227	39	8	21	19	10	41	10	22	57
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	100%	88%	90%	100%	91%	77%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	168	30	5	16	12	7	32	7	14	45
% of Total Enrolled	66%	71%	63%	67%	57%	70%	71%	54%	54%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.41	\$8.15	\$7.63	\$9.11	\$7.67	\$7.86	\$8.33	\$8.52	\$8.80	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,127	\$791	\$1,279	\$878	\$915	\$1,005	\$1,292	\$1,279	\$1,103
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	48%	33%	69%	80%	100%	75%	75%	55%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,328	650	328	393	461	236	537	380	409	934
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,270	526	226	324	361	166	408	310	252	697
Participants in a Work Activity	3,085	503	215	308	343	159	385	299	236	637
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	2,082	357	121	194	244	111	284	174	149	448
% of Total Enrolled	64%	68%	54%	60%	68%	67%	70%	56%	59%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.25	\$7.27	\$7.26	\$7.72	\$7.00	\$7.16	\$7.27	\$6.94	\$7.28	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$918	\$899	\$875	\$1,017	\$871	\$908	\$917	\$873	\$962	\$935
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	49%	44%	59%	51%	71%	72%	50%	45%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,504	15	79	128	41	114	824	1,290	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,010	4	23	49	13	60	311	542	8
Participants in a Work Activity	821	2	17	42	11	48	233	462	6
% of Enrolled	81%	50%	74%	86%	85%	80%	75%	85%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	0	1	5	0	0
Participants Employed	614	2	14	30	11	29	170	353	5
% of Enrolled	61%	50%	61%	61%	85%	48%	55%	65%	63%
Full Time	487	2	13	23	6	19	122	298	4
Part Time	127	0	1	7	5	10	48	55	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$7.25	\$7.34	\$8.79	\$7.95	\$8.67	\$8.29	\$8.59	\$8.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,149	\$1,570	\$946	\$1,111	\$945	\$1,126	\$1,058	\$1,208	\$1,255

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,803	7	50	94	18	79	577	958	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,280	11	73	117	33	107	746	1,163	30
Participants in a Work Activity	2,054	10	65	110	26	96	667	1,053	27
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	89%	94%	79%	90%	89%	91%	90%
Participants Employed	1,377	6	43	84	22	51	437	715	19
% of Total Enrolled	60%	55%	59%	72%	67%	48%	59%	61%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.67	\$8.42	\$7.53	\$8.91	\$8.47	\$8.82	\$8.69	\$8.70	\$8.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,139	\$1,294	\$906	\$1,072	\$1,076	\$1,065	\$1,091	\$1,195	\$1,132
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	60%	81%	56%	85%	81%	77%	78%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	31,274	187	1,286	1,447	427	1,365	10,740	15,339	483
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	24,012	136	935	1,150	318	1,060	8,103	11,938	372
Participants in a Work Activity	22,838	130	862	1,070	303	1,011	7,875	11,240	347
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,578	80	599	800	185	650	5,660	8,348	256
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	64%	70%	58%	61%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.31	\$7.32	\$6.99	\$7.80	\$7.79	\$7.63	\$7.31	\$7.26	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$946	\$986	\$855	\$942	\$1,041	\$915	\$938	\$958	\$924
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	57%	57%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	845	81	64	32	56	232	380
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	301	12	20	12	27	109	121
Participants in a Work Activity	235	11	16	10	23	86	89
% of Enrolled	78%	92%	80%	83%	85%	79%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	173	9	12	7	15	53	77
% of Enrolled	57%	75%	60%	58%	56%	49%	64%
Full Time	142	9	9	6	12	32	74
Part Time	31	0	3	1	3	21	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$8.22	\$8.10	\$9.71	\$9.39	\$8.46	\$8.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,178	\$1,311	\$1,150	\$1,345	\$1,233	\$1,013	\$1,255

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	527	31	58	17	41	156	224
Total Enrolled in VIEW	735	34	70	29	59	237	306
Participants in a Work Activity	660	34	65	26	54	222	259
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	93%	90%	92%	94%	85%
Participants Employed	459	23	37	18	39	148	194
% of Total Enrolled	62%	68%	53%	62%	66%	62%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.90	\$8.34	\$8.92	\$8.96	\$9.27	\$8.95	\$8.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,230	\$1,260	\$1,183	\$992	\$1,301	\$1,184	\$1,277
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	75%	60%	67%	59%	61%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,919	1,396	1,026	432	861	2,734	4,470
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,564	903	771	361	694	2,239	3,596
Participants in a Work Activity	8,150	900	677	343	665	2,132	3,433
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	96%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,828	596	459	253	452	1,536	2,532
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.14	\$7.79	\$7.56	\$7.24	\$7.27	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$985	\$1,002	\$1,031	\$907	\$983	\$967	\$995
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	58%	55%	62%	62%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/16 and Work Activity as of 3/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,913	103	72	664	60	1,337	769	275	633
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,367	25	25	219	31	585	244	59	179
Participants in a Work Activity	1,114	20	20	182	24	479	205	45	139
% of Enrolled	81%	80%	80%	83%	77%	82%	84%	76%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Participants Employed	838	12	15	138	10	360	147	40	116
% of Enrolled	61%	48%	60%	63%	32%	62%	60%	68%	65%
Full Time	722	10	13	120	6	325	113	36	99
Part Time	116	2	2	18	4	35	34	4	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.49	\$8.10	\$8.03	\$8.75	\$7.53	\$8.56	\$8.18	\$8.54	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,152	\$1,178	\$1,037	\$1,244	\$923	\$1,150	\$1,115	\$1,164	\$1,122

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,569	48	42	442	45	854	521	187	430
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,102	62	59	517	58	1,088	619	220	479
Participants in a Work Activity	2,822	54	52	488	54	994	558	206	416
% of Total Enrolled	91%	87%	88%	94%	93%	91%	90%	94%	87%
Participants Employed	1,934	39	36	337	28	714	358	133	289
% of Total Enrolled	62%	63%	61%	65%	48%	66%	58%	60%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.61	\$8.38	\$8.41	\$8.73	\$8.61	\$8.62	\$8.46	\$8.52	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,137	\$1,144	\$1,133	\$1,199	\$1,181	\$1,134	\$1,084	\$1,139	\$1,133
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	58%	74%	75%	45%	79%	67%	67%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	59,564	1,368	1,116	9,739	953	19,015	9,602	3,800	13,971
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	44,305	992	907	7,233	776	14,070	7,668	2,983	9,676
Participants in a Work Activity	41,974	955	863	6,920	765	13,306	7,234	2,950	8,981
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	30,572	668	527	5,174	501	9,849	5,411	2,160	6,282
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	71%	72%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.05	\$6.85	\$7.29	\$6.84	\$7.01	\$6.96	\$6.93	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$921	\$926	\$858	\$957	\$852	\$891	\$921	\$901	\$971
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	58%	55%	64%	63%	68%	69%	67%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.1%	6.56	88	\$9.07	3.60	23	64.7%	4.71	87	4.95	72
003	Albemarle	65.4%	8.08	29	\$9.42	4.32	13	76.5%	6.47	37	6.29	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	66.2%	8.17	25	\$8.32	2.05	80	60.6%	4.09	98	4.77	82
007	Amelia	66.7%	8.24	19	\$8.25	1.90	85	75.0%	6.25	42	5.46	47
009	Amherst	50.9%	6.29	95	\$8.43	2.27	69	66.7%	5.00	72	4.52	91
011	Appomattox	69.8%	8.62	13	\$7.85	1.07	106	65.4%	4.81	84	4.84	78
013	Arlington	58.0%	7.16	70	\$10.64	6.84	6	66.2%	4.92	82	6.31	15
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.0%	8.15	27	\$8.84	3.12	37	63.6%	4.55	88	5.27	59
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	59.2%	7.32	67	\$8.76	2.95	43	70.8%	5.62	62	5.30	55
021	Bland	57.1%	7.06	72	\$7.60	0.56	115	100.0%	10.00	1	5.87	30
023	Botetourt	40.9%	5.05	110	\$8.32	2.05	81	75.0%	6.25	43	4.45	95
025	Brunswick	49.2%	6.08	101	\$8.16	1.71	87	61.9%	4.29	96	4.03	110
027	Buchanan	47.2%	5.83	105	\$8.05	1.49	96	80.0%	7.00	24	4.77	81
029	Buckingham	64.0%	7.91	41	\$8.63	2.69	58	76.5%	6.47	38	5.69	39
031	Campbell	53.8%	6.64	86	\$8.41	2.23	72	67.7%	5.15	71	4.68	85
033	Caroline	62.3%	7.69	53	\$9.61	4.71	9	85.1%	7.77	15	6.72	9
035	Carroll	73.5%	9.08	4	\$7.67	0.70	111	74.1%	6.11	51	5.30	54
036	Charles City	54.5%	6.74	80	\$8.42	2.25	70	60.0%	4.00	101	4.33	102
037	Charlotte	37.8%	4.67	112	\$7.65	0.66	113	80.0%	7.00	25	4.11	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.9%	7.89	43	\$9.29	4.05	15	75.4%	6.31	41	6.08	22
043	Clarke	0.0%	0.00	118	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	118
045	Craig	20.0%	2.47	115	\$9.00	3.45	26	100.0%	10.00	2	5.31	52
047	Culpeper	63.3%	7.82	48	\$9.42	4.32	14	73.0%	5.95	55	6.03	26
049	Cumberland	61.7%	7.62	55	\$8.84	3.12	38	82.4%	7.35	20	6.03	25
051	Dickensen	43.5%	5.37	109	\$7.96	1.30	102	66.7%	5.00	73	3.89	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.6%	8.36	16	\$8.34	2.09	76	75.0%	6.25	44	5.56	43
057	Essex	71.4%	8.82	8	\$8.15	1.69	88	48.2%	2.22	114	4.25	107
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	54.6%	6.75	79	\$11.39	8.39	2	78.9%	6.83	30	7.32	3
061	Fauquier	64.3%	7.94	38	\$8.91	3.26	33	68.0%	5.20	69	5.47	46
063	Floyd	66.7%	8.24	20	\$8.34	2.09	77	90.0%	8.50	11	6.27	17
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	6.18	97	\$8.00	1.38	99	100.0%	10.00	3	5.85	31
067	Franklin County	46.1%	5.69	108	\$8.85	3.14	36	65.2%	4.78	86	4.54	89
069	Frederick	50.8%	6.28	96	\$9.53	4.55	10	56.0%	3.40	106	4.74	83
071	Giles	17.6%	2.18	116	\$12.17	10.00	1	100.0%	10.00	4	7.39	2
073	Gloucester	58.9%	7.28	68	\$7.53	0.41	116	81.0%	7.14	22	4.94	74
075	Goochland	50.0%	6.18	98	\$9.03	3.51	25	83.3%	7.50	19	5.73	36
077	Grayson	54.5%	6.74	81	\$7.78	0.93	108	71.4%	5.71	60	4.46	94

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	65.3%	8.07	30	\$8.32	2.05	82	88.5%	8.27	12	6.13	20
081	Greensville/Emporia	52.3%	6.46	94	\$8.10	1.59	92	79.5%	6.92	27	4.99	70
083	Halifax	48.4%	5.98	102	\$8.73	2.89	47	75.0%	6.25	45	5.04	66
085	Hanover	64.9%	8.01	33	\$9.82	5.14	8	84.0%	7.60	18	6.92	7
087	Henrico	61.0%	7.54	59	\$9.23	3.93	17	70.3%	5.54	63	5.67	40
089	Henry/Martinsville	61.7%	7.62	56	\$8.34	2.09	78	68.9%	5.34	67	5.01	68
091	Highland	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
093	Isle of Wight	62.9%	7.77	49	\$8.38	2.17	74	58.3%	3.75	105	4.56	88
095	James City	71.8%	8.87	7	\$8.91	3.26	34	55.9%	3.39	107	5.17	61
097	King and Queen	62.5%	7.72	50	\$7.63	0.62	114	33.3%	0.00	116	2.78	116
099	King George	52.6%	6.50	90	\$9.09	3.64	21	71.4%	5.71	61	5.28	56
101	King William	66.7%	8.24	21	\$9.11	3.68	19	69.2%	5.38	66	5.77	34
103	Lancaster	57.1%	7.06	73	\$7.67	0.70	112	80.0%	7.00	26	4.92	75
105	Lee	46.6%	5.76	107	\$8.07	1.53	95	78.8%	6.82	31	4.70	84
107	Loudoun	61.3%	7.57	58	\$11.29	8.18	3	74.8%	6.21	50	7.32	4
109	Louisa	64.8%	8.01	34	\$8.72	2.87	49	90.9%	8.64	10	6.51	12
111	Lunenburg	40.9%	5.05	111	\$8.94	3.33	30	62.5%	4.38	92	4.25	106
113	Madison	66.7%	8.24	22	\$8.94	3.33	31	100.0%	10.00	5	7.19	6
115	Mathews	70.0%	8.65	12	\$7.86	1.10	105	100.0%	10.00	6	6.58	11
117	Mecklenburg	54.3%	6.71	82	\$7.98	1.34	101	66.7%	5.00	74	4.35	99
119	Middlesex	71.1%	8.78	10	\$8.33	2.07	79	75.0%	6.25	46	5.70	38
121	Montgomery	72.9%	9.00	5	\$8.64	2.71	57	76.5%	6.48	36	6.06	23
125	Nelson	66.7%	8.24	23	\$8.71	2.85	51	62.5%	4.38	93	5.15	62
127	New Kent	66.7%	8.24	24	\$8.47	2.36	67	84.6%	7.69	17	6.09	21
131	Northampton	71.2%	8.79	9	\$8.38	2.17	75	76.2%	6.43	40	5.80	32
133	Northumberland	53.8%	6.65	84	\$8.52	2.46	65	75.0%	6.25	47	5.12	63
135	Nottoway	67.6%	8.35	17	\$8.53	2.48	63	66.7%	5.00	75	5.28	57
137	Orange	64.1%	7.91	39	\$9.43	4.34	12	72.0%	5.80	57	6.02	27
139	Page	56.0%	6.92	77	\$8.71	2.85	52	53.9%	3.08	110	4.28	105
141	Patrick	64.5%	7.96	37	\$8.23	1.86	86	54.6%	3.18	108	4.34	101
143	Pittsylvania	56.4%	6.97	75	\$8.42	2.25	71	67.7%	5.16	70	4.79	80
145	Powhatan	50.0%	6.18	99	\$8.74	2.91	46	75.0%	6.25	48	5.11	64
147	Prince Edward	73.9%	9.13	3	\$8.11	1.61	89	84.9%	7.74	16	6.16	19
149	Prince George	52.9%	6.53	89	\$8.92	3.29	32	60.0%	4.00	102	4.60	86
153	Prince William	59.5%	7.35	65	\$10.44	6.43	7	77.7%	6.66	33	6.81	8
155	Pulaski	63.9%	7.90	42	\$8.72	2.87	50	51.6%	2.74	112	4.50	92
157	Rappahannock	14.3%	1.76	117	\$9.00	3.45	27	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.61	117
159	Richmond County	53.8%	6.65	85	\$8.80	3.04	42	54.6%	3.18	109	4.29	103
161	Roanoke County	63.4%	7.83	46	\$8.28	1.96	83	66.1%	4.92	83	4.90	76
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	63.9%	7.89	44	\$8.82	3.08	40	92.9%	8.93	8	6.63	10
165	Rockingham/H'burg	76.8%	9.48	2	\$9.09	3.64	22	72.7%	5.91	56	6.34	14
167	Russell	32.5%	4.02	113	\$7.76	0.89	109	61.1%	4.17	97	3.02	115
169	Scott	50.0%	6.18	100	\$7.99	1.36	100	60.0%	4.00	103	3.85	113
171	Shenandoah	67.7%	8.37	15	\$9.07	3.60	24	53.9%	3.08	111	5.01	69

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	52.4%	6.47	<b>92</b>	\$7.68	0.72	<b>110</b>	63.0%	4.44	<b>90</b>	3.88	<b>112</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	61.0%	7.54	<b>60</b>	\$8.41	2.23	<b>73</b>	73.7%	6.05	<b>54</b>	5.27	<b>58</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	59.4%	7.34	<b>66</b>	\$8.88	3.20	<b>35</b>	76.3%	6.44	<b>39</b>	5.66	<b>42</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	60.2%	7.44	<b>63</b>	\$9.51	4.50	<b>11</b>	73.8%	6.07	<b>52</b>	6.00	<b>28</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	62.1%	7.67	<b>54</b>	\$8.96	3.37	<b>28</b>	66.7%	5.00	<b>76</b>	5.35	<b>49</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.1%	8.17	<b>26</b>	\$9.27	4.01	<b>16</b>	58.6%	3.79	<b>104</b>	5.32	<b>50</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.5%	6.85	<b>78</b>	\$7.89	1.16	<b>103</b>	69.4%	5.42	<b>65</b>	4.47	<b>93</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.6%	8.97	<b>6</b>	\$7.87	1.12	<b>104</b>	79.4%	6.91	<b>29</b>	5.67	<b>41</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.4%	7.71	<b>52</b>	\$8.11	1.61	<b>90</b>	68.5%	5.28	<b>68</b>	4.87	<b>77</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	68.2%	8.42	<b>14</b>	\$8.63	2.69	<b>59</b>	50.0%	2.50	<b>113</b>	4.54	<b>90</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	57.1%	7.05	<b>74</b>	\$8.01	1.40	<b>98</b>	62.7%	4.40	<b>91</b>	4.28	<b>104</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	81.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$8.10	1.59	<b>93</b>	76.9%	6.54	<b>35</b>	6.04	<b>24</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.7%	5.89	<b>104</b>	\$8.82	3.08	<b>41</b>	80.7%	7.10	<b>23</b>	5.35	<b>48</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	52.5%	6.49	<b>91</b>	\$10.81	7.19	<b>5</b>	86.3%	7.95	<b>13</b>	7.21	<b>5</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	56.0%	6.92	<b>76</b>	\$8.02	1.43	<b>97</b>	65.3%	4.79	<b>85</b>	4.38	<b>97</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	71.1%	8.78	<b>11</b>	\$9.15	3.76	<b>18</b>	73.8%	6.07	<b>53</b>	6.20	<b>18</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	65.2%	8.05	<b>31</b>	\$8.73	2.89	<b>48</b>	74.9%	6.23	<b>49</b>	5.73	<b>37</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	47.1%	5.82	<b>106</b>	\$8.11	1.61	<b>91</b>	66.2%	4.93	<b>81</b>	4.12	<b>108</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	48.3%	5.96	<b>103</b>	\$8.61	2.64	<b>61</b>	45.0%	1.75	<b>115</b>	3.45	<b>114</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	64.9%	8.02	<b>32</b>	\$9.11	3.68	<b>20</b>	82.4%	7.35	<b>21</b>	6.35	<b>13</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.4%	6.47	<b>93</b>	\$8.09	1.57	<b>94</b>	66.7%	5.00	<b>77</b>	4.35	<b>100</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.6%	7.24	<b>69</b>	\$8.69	2.81	<b>54</b>	77.2%	6.57	<b>34</b>	5.54	<b>44</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	62.4%	7.71	<b>51</b>	\$8.95	3.35	<b>29</b>	60.5%	4.08	<b>99</b>	5.05	<b>65</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	64.8%	8.00	<b>35</b>	\$8.26	1.92	<b>84</b>	71.8%	5.77	<b>58</b>	5.23	<b>60</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.1%	8.29	<b>18</b>	\$10.83	7.23	<b>4</b>	86.2%	7.93	<b>14</b>	7.82	<b>1</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	3.09	<b>114</b>	\$7.33	0.00	<b>117</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>7</b>	4.36	<b>98</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	61.5%	7.59	<b>57</b>	\$8.70	2.83	<b>53</b>	78.4%	6.77	<b>32</b>	5.73	<b>35</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	65.6%	8.11	<b>28</b>	\$8.62	2.67	<b>60</b>	79.5%	6.92	<b>28</b>	5.90	<b>29</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	60.0%	7.41	<b>64</b>	\$7.81	0.99	<b>107</b>	92.9%	8.93	<b>9</b>	5.78	<b>33</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	63.4%	7.83	<b>45</b>	\$8.84	3.12	<b>39</b>	66.4%	4.96	<b>80</b>	5.31	<b>53</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	57.8%	7.14	<b>71</b>	\$8.46	2.33	<b>68</b>	66.5%	4.98	<b>79</b>	4.82	<b>79</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	64.7%	7.99	<b>36</b>	\$8.53	2.48	<b>64</b>	62.5%	4.38	<b>94</b>	4.95	<b>73</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	64.1%	7.91	<b>40</b>	\$8.75	2.93	<b>45</b>	71.6%	5.73	<b>59</b>	5.53	<b>45</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	54.2%	6.69	<b>83</b>	\$8.57	2.56	<b>62</b>	60.3%	4.04	<b>100</b>	4.43	<b>96</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	60.5%	7.47	<b>61</b>	\$8.52	2.46	<b>66</b>	66.7%	5.00	<b>78</b>	4.98	<b>71</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	60.3%	7.45	<b>62</b>	\$8.76	2.95	<b>44</b>	70.2%	5.52	<b>64</b>	5.31	<b>51</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	63.3%	7.82	<b>47</b>	\$8.65	2.73	<b>56</b>	63.6%	4.55	<b>89</b>	5.03	<b>67</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	53.7%	6.64	<b>87</b>	\$8.69	2.81	<b>55</b>	62.1%	4.31	<b>95</b>	4.59	<b>87</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	1.89	117	\$907	3.65	79	65.3%	5.38	49	3.64	103
003	Albemarle	71.2%	7.19	12	\$1,030	6.00	18	58.3%	3.60	94	5.60	31
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.7%	6.81	27	\$863	2.80	101	58.3%	3.59	96	4.40	80
007	Amelia	61.6%	4.63	81	\$980	5.05	42	61.1%	4.30	73	4.66	68
009	Amherst	65.6%	5.70	55	\$917	3.83	74	59.8%	3.97	79	4.50	74
011	Appomattox	71.0%	7.15	16	\$897	3.46	84	55.2%	2.82	105	4.47	76
013	Arlington	71.0%	7.15	15	\$1,082	6.99	8	68.8%	6.27	25	6.81	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.15	45	\$982	5.09	41	65.8%	5.53	44	5.59	32
017	Bath	60.4%	4.30	86	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.36	72	2.89	116
019	Bedford County/City	65.1%	5.57	62	\$887	3.27	88	60.1%	4.05	77	4.29	84
021	Bland	58.4%	3.78	101	\$903	3.57	81	56.4%	3.12	104	3.49	106
023	Botetourt	44.3%	0.00	120	\$974	4.92	46	54.7%	2.69	109	2.54	119
025	Brunswick	58.3%	3.75	104	\$854	2.63	108	65.9%	5.54	42	3.98	95
027	Buchanan	53.6%	2.50	113	\$859	2.72	105	64.8%	5.25	50	3.49	105
029	Buckingham	58.4%	3.77	102	\$989	5.22	35	59.0%	3.79	84	4.26	85
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.47	35	\$920	3.89	72	62.6%	4.70	62	5.02	58
033	Caroline	62.0%	4.74	80	\$993	5.29	34	59.1%	3.81	82	4.61	70
035	Carroll	67.5%	6.22	43	\$851	2.57	111	54.8%	2.71	107	3.83	98
036	Charles City	58.8%	3.89	97	\$986	5.16	38	51.6%	1.87	114	3.64	102
037	Charlotte	51.7%	1.99	116	\$940	4.27	62	58.7%	3.71	86	3.32	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.5%	5.15	72	\$1,058	6.54	11	68.7%	6.26	26	5.98	19
043	Clarke	57.7%	3.60	107	\$1,030	6.00	19	57.5%	3.40	101	4.33	82
045	Craig	45.6%	0.34	119	\$1,026	5.92	21	60.0%	4.03	78	3.43	107
047	Culpeper	65.2%	5.61	59	\$997	5.38	31	66.4%	5.67	36	5.55	34
049	Cumberland	58.6%	3.82	99	\$980	5.04	43	58.3%	3.61	93	4.16	89
051	Dickenson	59.9%	4.17	90	\$853	2.60	109	54.7%	2.68	110	3.15	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.0%	5.81	53	\$1,002	5.45	30	58.3%	3.60	95	4.96	60
057	Essex	67.9%	6.31	41	\$899	3.49	83	49.4%	1.31	117	3.70	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.6%	5.96	51	\$1,196	9.17	3	69.8%	6.55	17	7.23	5
061	Fauquier	69.6%	6.78	28	\$1,053	6.44	12	68.0%	6.07	30	6.43	10
063	Floyd	64.9%	5.52	64	\$963	4.72	53	54.0%	2.51	111	4.25	86
065	Fluvanna	63.2%	5.05	73	\$1,063	6.63	10	63.4%	4.91	59	5.53	36
067	Franklin County	61.4%	4.58	83	\$970	4.84	49	58.0%	3.52	97	4.31	83
069	Frederick	58.4%	3.77	103	\$1,042	6.22	13	60.4%	4.13	74	4.71	66
071	Giles	56.1%	3.17	109	\$966	4.77	52	51.8%	1.94	113	3.29	109
073	Gloucester	64.1%	5.29	71	\$855	2.64	107	57.3%	3.35	102	3.76	100
075	Goochland	65.3%	5.61	58	\$952	4.50	60	63.6%	4.96	54	5.02	57
077	Grayson	64.5%	5.42	67	\$868	2.89	96	60.3%	4.11	75	4.14	92

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.9%	8.19	4	\$925	3.99	69	58.5%	3.64	92	5.27	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.1%	4.49	85	\$863	2.81	100	64.6%	5.20	51	4.17	88
083	Halifax	55.4%	2.97	112	\$868	2.89	97	58.7%	3.69	87	3.19	110
085	Hanover	65.3%	5.62	57	\$1,075	6.86	9	66.4%	5.66	37	6.04	17
087	Henrico	68.2%	6.39	37	\$1,035	6.10	16	65.6%	5.48	46	5.99	18
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.5%	5.40	69	\$952	4.50	59	63.6%	4.96	55	4.96	59
091	Highland	60.0%	4.20	87	\$784	1.28	118	83.3%	10.00	1	5.16	48
093	Isle of Wight	67.3%	6.17	44	\$926	4.01	68	58.5%	3.65	90	4.61	71
095	James City	69.6%	6.77	30	\$942	4.32	61	57.1%	3.29	103	4.79	65
097	King and Queen	53.5%	2.47	114	\$875	3.02	93	44.2%	0.00	120	1.83	120
099	King George	59.4%	4.03	93	\$955	4.55	58	57.6%	3.41	100	4.00	94
101	King William	59.9%	4.17	89	\$1,017	5.74	24	59.3%	3.86	80	4.59	72
103	Lancaster	67.6%	6.24	42	\$871	2.95	95	50.7%	1.65	115	3.61	104
105	Lee	65.1%	5.58	61	\$819	1.96	117	71.9%	7.09	9	4.87	62
107	Loudoun	75.2%	8.29	3	\$1,239	10.00	1	72.0%	7.10	7	8.46	1
109	Louisa	63.1%	5.04	74	\$994	5.31	33	63.9%	5.04	53	5.13	51
111	Lunenburg	50.0%	1.52	118	\$861	2.77	103	59.1%	3.79	83	2.69	117
113	Madison	59.2%	3.98	95	\$1,009	5.59	28	58.9%	3.74	85	4.44	79
115	Mathews	66.9%	6.04	49	\$908	3.65	78	71.2%	6.88	13	5.53	37
117	Mecklenburg	65.4%	5.65	56	\$864	2.82	99	65.6%	5.47	47	4.64	69
119	Middlesex	69.6%	6.78	29	\$917	3.84	73	72.0%	7.09	8	5.90	22
121	Montgomery	77.6%	8.93	2	\$966	4.77	51	63.2%	4.84	60	6.18	15
125	Nelson	61.5%	4.62	82	\$1,009	5.60	27	65.8%	5.53	45	5.25	46
127	New Kent	58.2%	3.71	105	\$1,041	6.20	14	71.4%	6.96	10	5.62	28
131	Northampton	65.9%	5.78	54	\$861	2.76	104	80.0%	9.16	2	5.90	23
133	Northumberland	56.1%	3.17	110	\$873	2.99	94	50.0%	1.48	116	2.54	118
135	Nottoway	67.1%	6.11	47	\$931	4.11	66	69.1%	6.37	20	5.53	35
137	Orange	71.1%	7.18	13	\$989	5.22	36	61.4%	4.40	71	5.60	30
139	Page	69.2%	6.67	31	\$1,004	5.50	29	59.2%	3.84	81	5.33	42
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.13	46	\$846	2.47	114	73.6%	7.51	6	5.37	40
143	Pittsylvania	52.9%	2.30	115	\$886	3.24	91	58.6%	3.68	88	3.07	112
145	Powhatan	56.2%	3.19	108	\$1,010	5.62	25	61.9%	4.53	65	4.45	78
147	Prince Edward	71.1%	7.17	14	\$911	3.72	76	69.6%	6.50	19	5.80	25
149	Prince George	59.5%	4.08	91	\$1,031	6.02	17	58.5%	3.65	91	4.58	73
153	Prince William	71.3%	7.23	11	\$1,211	9.46	2	70.3%	6.67	14	7.79	2
155	Pulaski	63.1%	5.04	75	\$960	4.66	55	53.2%	2.30	112	4.00	93
157	Rappahannock	55.4%	2.98	111	\$977	4.98	44	48.9%	1.19	118	3.05	113
159	Richmond County	59.1%	3.97	96	\$962	4.70	54	44.5%	0.08	119	2.92	115
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.80	8	\$1,039	6.16	15	57.8%	3.48	98	5.81	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.6%	7.05	17	\$884	3.21	92	68.4%	6.18	27	5.48	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.9%	7.93	5	\$1,017	5.75	23	67.6%	5.98	33	6.55	9
167	Russell	59.2%	4.00	94	\$866	2.85	98	66.2%	5.61	40	4.15	90
169	Scott	58.5%	3.79	100	\$897	3.46	85	75.3%	7.96	5	5.07	55
171	Shenandoah	59.9%	4.18	88	\$975	4.94	45	68.4%	6.18	28	5.10	52

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	3.83	<b>98</b>	\$887	3.25	<b>89</b>	61.8%	4.48	<b>67</b>	3.86	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.1%	3.69	<b>106</b>	\$858	2.71	<b>106</b>	54.8%	2.70	<b>108</b>	3.03	<b>114</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.0%	6.34	<b>40</b>	\$1,029	5.98	<b>20</b>	65.9%	5.53	<b>43</b>	5.95	<b>20</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.5%	4.07	<b>92</b>	\$1,145	8.20	<b>4</b>	68.0%	6.07	<b>29</b>	6.12	<b>16</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	6.91	<b>21</b>	\$907	3.63	<b>80</b>	55.2%	2.81	<b>106</b>	4.45	<b>77</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.1%	5.58	<b>60</b>	\$983	5.09	<b>40</b>	61.9%	4.51	<b>66</b>	5.06	<b>56</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.3%	5.90	<b>52</b>	\$828	2.13	<b>115</b>	65.5%	5.45	<b>48</b>	4.49	<b>75</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.0%	6.61	<b>32</b>	\$1,019	5.79	<b>22</b>	69.7%	6.52	<b>18</b>	6.31	<b>12</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.0%	4.74	<b>79</b>	\$908	3.67	<b>77</b>	57.6%	3.42	<b>99</b>	3.94	<b>96</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.3%	5.35	<b>70</b>	\$935	4.19	<b>64</b>	63.6%	4.96	<b>56</b>	4.83	<b>63</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.5%	4.88	<b>77</b>	\$851	2.56	<b>112</b>	66.1%	5.59	<b>41</b>	4.34	<b>81</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.1%	6.10	<b>48</b>	\$862	2.78	<b>102</b>	66.9%	5.79	<b>35</b>	4.89	<b>61</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.3%	4.56	<b>84</b>	\$915	3.79	<b>75</b>	60.3%	4.10	<b>76</b>	4.15	<b>91</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.1%	6.38	<b>38</b>	\$1,125	7.82	<b>6</b>	78.2%	8.69	<b>3</b>	7.63	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.1%	6.37	<b>39</b>	\$887	3.25	<b>90</b>	61.8%	4.48	<b>68</b>	4.70	<b>67</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$932	4.12	<b>65</b>	66.3%	5.63	<b>38</b>	6.58	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.30	<b>10</b>	\$957	4.59	<b>57</b>	63.5%	4.93	<b>58</b>	5.61	<b>29</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.6%	4.89	<b>76</b>	\$825	2.07	<b>116</b>	61.4%	4.40	<b>70</b>	3.79	<b>99</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.6%	5.43	<b>66</b>	\$852	2.60	<b>110</b>	62.7%	4.72	<b>61</b>	4.25	<b>87</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.7%	7.87	<b>6</b>	\$1,010	5.61	<b>26</b>	66.2%	5.61	<b>39</b>	6.36	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.5%	4.88	<b>78</b>	\$890	3.31	<b>87</b>	68.8%	6.28	<b>24</b>	4.82	<b>64</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.9%	6.84	<b>26</b>	\$938	4.24	<b>63</b>	63.5%	4.94	<b>57</b>	5.34	<b>41</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.6%	6.51	<b>34</b>	\$967	4.79	<b>50</b>	62.5%	4.67	<b>63</b>	5.32	<b>43</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.1%	6.90	<b>22</b>	\$848	2.50	<b>113</b>	67.8%	6.03	<b>31</b>	5.14	<b>49</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.8%	5.48	<b>65</b>	\$1,145	8.20	<b>5</b>	70.0%	6.59	<b>15</b>	6.76	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.6%	5.97	<b>50</b>	\$1,108	7.49	<b>7</b>	76.6%	8.29	<b>4</b>	7.25	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.87	<b>25</b>	\$958	4.62	<b>56</b>	69.0%	6.34	<b>21</b>	5.94	<b>21</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.0%	6.88	<b>24</b>	\$891	3.33	<b>86</b>	67.8%	6.02	<b>32</b>	5.41	<b>39</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.6%	7.85	<b>7</b>	\$769	1.00	<b>119</b>	71.3%	6.93	<b>12</b>	5.26	<b>45</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.4%	6.99	<b>20</b>	\$995	5.32	<b>32</b>	69.9%	6.55	<b>16</b>	6.29	<b>14</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.6%	7.04	<b>18</b>	\$921	3.90	<b>71</b>	68.9%	6.30	<b>23</b>	5.75	<b>26</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.1%	6.90	<b>23</b>	\$931	4.10	<b>67</b>	61.5%	4.41	<b>69</b>	5.14	<b>50</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.5%	7.01	<b>19</b>	\$974	4.92	<b>47</b>	71.4%	6.94	<b>11</b>	6.29	<b>13</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.5%	5.41	<b>68</b>	\$989	5.21	<b>37</b>	62.2%	4.60	<b>64</b>	5.07	<b>54</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.4%	7.53	<b>9</b>	\$901	3.52	<b>82</b>	67.2%	5.87	<b>34</b>	5.64	<b>27</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	64.9%	5.52	<b>63</b>	\$971	4.87	<b>48</b>	69.0%	6.34	<b>22</b>	5.58	<b>33</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.8%	6.57	<b>33</b>	\$924	3.97	<b>70</b>	64.6%	5.20	<b>52</b>	5.25	<b>47</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.3%	6.43	<b>36</b>	\$985	5.14	<b>39</b>	58.6%	3.68	<b>89</b>	5.09	<b>53</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	10,459	470	339	828	343	154	1,242	141	266	417	451	337	1,337	412	147	1,353	387	1,739	96
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	92%	96%	97%	96%	97%	92%	81%	93%	96%	96%	97%	99%	89%	88%	97%	96%	96%	89%	97%
% Timely in March 2016	94%	100%	98%	99%	100%	####	80%	93%	94%	####	98%	98%	91%	93%	100%	99%	95%	97%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	7,406	353	242	586	237	98	836	95	200	289	300	248	959	284	109	955	272	1,274	69
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	98%	99%	96%	98%	94%	93%	85%	99%	93%	97%	98%	93%	94%	97%	94%	96%	94%	97%
Percent in March 2016	95%	100%	98%	100%	97%	92%	97%	81%	100%	92%	92%	100%	90%	98%	100%	90%	####	98%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	90	0	1	1	0	0	51	2	3	0	1	1	24	1	0	1	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	54	0	71	70	0	0	55	49	53	0	27	5	64	1	0	11	0	22	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	470	28	14	112	49	40	66	136	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	93%	100%	100%	100%	75%	98%	98%	88%
% Timely in March 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	353	15	9	86	34	35	55	99	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	65%
Percent in March 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	339	4	39	9	72	61	22	120	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	100%	89%	99%	93%	95%	98%	100%
% Timely in March 2016	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	242	3	29	6	52	50	17	79	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	67%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2016	98%	N/A	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	71	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	828	47	17	3	14	84	10	102	43	77	31	400
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	98%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	94%	94%
% Timely in March 2016	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	586	35	11	2	11	63	8	74	29	59	24	270
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	50%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	95%	92%	93%
Percent in March 2016	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	70	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	343	193	0	0	22	128
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	99%	N/A	N/A	86%	96%
% Timely in March 2016	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	237	132	0	0	14	91
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	79%	99%
Percent in March 2016	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	154	1	42	16	20	40	35	96	72	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	92%	0%	93%	100%	95%	88%	94%	97%	99%	92%
% Timely in March 2016	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	98	1	28	11	8	32	18	69	48	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	78%	97%	98%	95%
Percent in March 2016	92%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,242	79	477	126	345	176	35	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	81%	97%	94%	93%	46%	98%	80%	0%
% Timely in March 2016	80%	100%	94%	100%	41%	100%	67%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	836	58	322	96	215	119	26	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	98%	93%	86%	91%	100%	N/A
Percent in March 2016	97%	100%	98%	100%	96%	89%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	51	0	0	0	46	0	1	4
Average # of Days Over 30	55	0	0	0	53	0	1	95

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	141	63	32	5	37	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	97%	100%	76%	100%
% Timely in March 2016	93%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	95	41	20	5	26	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	85%	90%	95%	100%	65%	100%
Percent in March 2016	81%	100%	100%	100%	40%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	0	0	0	49	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	266	70	3	27	19	21	126
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	99%	0%	96%	100%	86%	98%
% Timely in March 2016	94%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	200	56	1	20	18	13	92
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in March 2016	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	2	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	0	52	57	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	417	36	31	77	101	172
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	92%	84%	97%	99%	98%
% Timely in March 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	289	25	21	52	70	121
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	100%	88%	96%	92%
Percent in March 2016	92%	100%	100%	86%	100%	86%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	451	54	144	55	73	125
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	94%	94%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in March 2016	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	300	41	94	34	49	82
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	97%	95%	97%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in March 2016	92%	0%	89%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	0	27	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	337	7	37	32	30	29	52	8	52	39	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	97%	98%	88%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in March 2016	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	248	4	29	22	23	16	36	5	42	30	41
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	75%	100%	91%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Percent in March 2016	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,337	252	8	39	509	12	517
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	89%	91%	88%	95%	90%	100%	86%
% Timely in March 2016	91%	96%	N/A	83%	98%	100%	81%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	959	187	7	27	360	7	371
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	97%	86%	93%	91%	100%	92%
Percent in March 2016	90%	93%	100%	100%	87%	100%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	24	3	0	1	1	0	19
Average # of Days Over 30	64	48	0	5	1	0	73

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	412	49	26	109	130	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	88%	84%	100%	65%	98%	98%
% Timely in March 2016	93%	100%	100%	76%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	284	33	19	77	91	64
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	100%	78%	99%	100%
Percent in March 2016	98%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	1	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	147	28	4	17	15	4	21	6	14	38
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	75%	94%	93%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
% Timely in March 2016	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	109	21	2	15	12	4	14	4	12	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	50%	100%	92%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2016	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,353	6	34	64	12	58	422	742	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	91%	95%	100%	91%	96%	96%	93%
% Timely in March 2016	99%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	89%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	955	4	26	41	8	40	302	527	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	96%	95%	100%	90%	92%	96%	100%
Percent in March 2016	90%	100%	100%	89%	100%	83%	84%	94%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	387	12	39	15	31	119	171
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	92%	100%	93%	97%	95%	96%
% Timely in March 2016	95%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	94%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	272	8	34	10	24	90	106
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	90%	96%	99%	92%
Percent in March 2016	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,739	31	37	296	41	553	371	136	274
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	89%	77%	100%	85%	98%	83%	92%	96%	96%
% Timely in March 2016	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%	97%	97%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,274	20	28	225	24	401	276	111	189
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	90%	96%	96%	100%	89%	97%	98%	95%
Percent in March 2016	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	53	0	13	0	0	7	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Mar-15</i>	<i>Mar-16</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,935	19,261	20.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	134,314	136,152	1.4%
Total Cases Collected	150,249	155,413	3.4%
TANF Collections	\$6,149,496	\$6,462,970	5.1%
NTANF Collections	\$60,403,144	\$61,868,696	2.4%
Total Collections	\$66,552,640	\$68,331,666	2.7%
SFY YTD Collections	\$488,896,673	\$484,212,729	-1.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$373.47	\$368.44	-1.3%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Mar-16</i>
TANF Paternities	159
NTANF Paternities	299
Combined Paternities	458

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *March Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Spotsylvania	2
Appomattox	1		Stafford	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Warren	3
Bedford City	1		York / Poquoson	1
Buckingham	1		Charlottesville	1
Campbell	1		Chesapeake	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Fredericksburg	1
Culpeper	2		Hampton	1
Dinwiddie	1		Hopewell	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Lynchburg	2
Henrico	3		Newport News	11
Henry / Martinsville	1		Norfolk	3
Mecklenburg	1		Petersburg	3
Montgomery	1		Roanoke City	3
Nottoway	1		Suffolk	1
Patrick	1		Virginia Beach	1
Shenandoah	5		Winchester	1
Smyth	1		Statewide	68

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

<sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

<sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

<sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

<sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

<sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

<sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.

<sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

<sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

<sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

<sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

<sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


<sup>14</sup> As of the morning of April 1, 2016. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2016




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2016



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month.



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


March 31, 2016



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March it was \$8.95 rather than the \$8.81 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.