

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

June 2016

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services July 21, 2016

This is the last Virginia Independence Program (VIP) Monthly Report

Monthly Report, June 2016

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2016 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 4,724 and a denominator of 10,815.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	43	40%	061	Fauquier	19	36	53%
003	Albemarle	25	53	47%	063	Floyd	1	16	6%
005	Alleghany/Covington	22	41	54%	065	Fluvanna	2	19	11%
007	Amelia	5	9	56%	067	Franklin County	30	68	44%
009	Amherst	16	38	42%	069	Frederick	17	33	52%
011	Appomattox	17	40	43%	071	Giles	3	17	18%
013	Arlington	32	60	53%	073	Gloucester	17	38	45%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	60	135	44%	075	Goochland	2	8	25%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	11	36%
019	Bedford County/City	36	71	51%	079	Greene	13	32	41%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	20	57	35%
023	Botetourt	3	10	30%	083	Halifax	16	59	27%
025	Brunswick	15	28	54%	085	Hanover	24	48	50%
027	Buchanan	10	45	22%	087	Henrico	154	404	38%
029	Buckingham	18	26	69%	089	Henry/Martinsville	61	157	39%
031	Campbell	37	94	39%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	29	54	54%	093	Isle of Wight	18	43	42%
035	Carroll	29	39	74%	095	James City	26	71	37%
036	Charles City	2	7	29%	097	King and Queen	2	9	22%
037	Charlotte	9	24	38%	099	King George	13	25	52%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	127	270	47%	101	King William	4	10	40%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	9	18	50%
045	Craig	0	5	0%	105	Lee	44	93	47%
047	Culpeper	21	47	45%	107	Loudoun	42	102	41%
049	Cumberland	16	28	57%	109	Louisa	17	34	50%
051	Dickensen	8	20	40%	111	Lunenburg	7	14	50%
053	Dinwiddie	10	34	29%	113	Madison	0	3	0%
057	Essex	11	25	44%	115	Mathews	2	4	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	168	423	40%	117	Mecklenburg	13	29	45%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	20	70%	187	Warren	29	46	63%
121	Montgomery	38	90	42%	191	Washington	31	62	50%
125	Nelson	6	24	25%	193	Westmoreland	14	32	44%
127	New Kent	11	16	69%	195	Wise	41	110	37%
131	Northampton	13	29	45%	197	Wythe	16	35	46%
133	Northumberland	4	9	44%	199	York/Poquoson	29	68	43%
135	Nottoway	17	40	43%	510	Alexandria	70	124	56%
137	Orange	0	38	0%	520	Bristol	29	63	46%
139	Page	2	15	13%	540	Charlottesville	65	117	56%
141	Patrick	13	30	43%	550	Chesapeake	173	363	48%
143	Pittsylvania	26	69	38%	590	Danville	57	134	43%
145	Powhatan	3	18	17%	620	Franklin City	4	22	18%
147	Prince Edward	25	41	61%	630	Fredericksburg	34	75	45%
149	Prince George	3	20	15%	640	Galax	9	19	47%
153	Prince William	142	369	38%	650	Hampton	222	487	46%
155	Pulaski	9	27	33%	670	Hopewell	59	138	43%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	94	203	46%
159	Richmond County	5	12	42%	683	Manassas	19	31	61%
161	Roanoke County	27	81	33%	685	Manassas Park	0	19	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	27	41%	700	Newport News	374	727	51%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69	119	58%	710	Norfolk	372	725	51%
167	Russell	22	58	38%	720	Norton	9	25	36%
169	Scott	19	51	37%	730	Petersburg	102	217	47%
171	Shenandoah	10	20	50%	740	Portsmouth	176	394	45%
173	Smyth	19	50	38%	750	Radford	7	18	39%
175	Southampton	15	32	47%	760	Richmond City	294	916	32%
177	Spotsylvania	48	134	36%	770	Roanoke City	133	358	37%
179	Stafford	60	121	50%	800	Suffolk	79	132	60%
181	Surry	4	11	36%	810	Virginia Beach	162	290	56%
183	Sussex	13	32	41%	830	Williamsburg	7	13	54%
185	Tazewell	25	69	36%	840	Winchester	16	43	37%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 3,364 and a denominator of 9,317. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	33	21%	061	Fauquier	11	28	39%
003	Albemarle	14	40	35%	063	Floyd	0	14	0%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	32	50%	065	Fluvanna	0	16	0%
007	Amelia	3	6	50%	067	Franklin County	16	54	30%
009	Amherst	13	34	38%	069	Frederick	11	25	44%
011	Appomattox	9	32	28%	071	Giles	0	11	0%
013	Arlington	24	52	46%	073	Gloucester	11	32	34%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	35	109	32%	075	Goochland	2	8	25%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	2	8	25%
019	Bedford County/City	29	64	45%	079	Greene	11	29	38%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	16	50	32%
023	Botetourt	2	9	22%	083	Halifax	8	51	16%
025	Brunswick	9	20	45%	085	Hanover	14	37	38%
027	Buchanan	9	43	21%	087	Henrico	103	346	30%
029	Buckingham	9	17	53%	089	Henry/Martinsville	48	143	34%
031	Campbell	13	70	19%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	23	45	51%	093	Isle of Wight	15	40	38%
035	Carroll	20	30	67%	095	James City	16	61	26%
036	Charles City	1	6	17%	097	King and Queen	2	9	22%
037	Charlotte	9	24	38%	099	King George	8	20	40%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	90	231	39%	101	King William	2	8	25%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	8	17	47%
045	Craig	0	4	0%	105	Lee	39	87	45%
047	Culpeper	15	41	37%	107	Loudoun	16	74	22%
049	Cumberland	10	22	45%	109	Louisa	14	31	45%
051	Dickensen	6	17	35%	111	Lunenburg	4	11	36%
053	Dinwiddie	6	29	21%	113	Madison	0	3	0%
057	Essex	9	22	41%	115	Mathews	2	4	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	101	353	29%	117	Mecklenburg	6	22	27%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	10	16	63%	187	Warren	23	40	58%
121	Montgomery	29	81	36%	191	Washington	25	56	45%
125	Nelson	4	21	19%	193	Westmoreland	11	28	39%
127	New Kent	8	13	62%	195	Wise	31	100	31%
131	Northampton	11	26	42%	197	Wythe	11	30	37%
133	Northumberland	3	8	38%	199	York/Poquoson	25	64	39%
135	Nottoway	12	35	34%	510	Alexandria	50	102	49%
137	Orange	0	37	0%	520	Bristol	23	57	40%
139	Page	1	14	7%	540	Charlottesville	45	96	47%
141	Patrick	10	27	37%	550	Chesapeake	125	312	40%
143	Pittsylvania	19	60	32%	590	Danville	46	121	38%
145	Powhatan	1	16	6%	620	Franklin City	1	18	6%
147	Prince Edward	11	27	41%	630	Fredericksburg	22	63	35%
149	Prince George	1	16	6%	640	Galax	5	15	33%
153	Prince William	77	300	26%	650	Hampton	152	410	37%
155	Pulaski	6	24	25%	670	Hopewell	35	114	31%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	70	177	40%
159	Richmond County	5	11	45%	683	Manassas	10	21	48%
161	Roanoke County	18	72	25%	685	Manassas Park	0	18	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	26	38%	700	Newport News	292	632	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	35	84	42%	710	Norfolk	320	669	48%
167	Russell	20	56	36%	720	Norton	5	21	24%
169	Scott	16	48	33%	730	Petersburg	80	190	42%
171	Shenandoah	9	15	60%	740	Portsmouth	123	338	36%
173	Smyth	13	44	30%	750	Radford	6	16	38%
175	Southampton	14	31	45%	760	Richmond City	232	833	28%
177	Spotsylvania	33	119	28%	770	Roanoke City	99	321	31%
179	Stafford	38	99	38%	800	Suffolk	57	110	52%
181	Surry	4	11	36%	810	Virginia Beach	106	233	45%
183	Sussex	9	28	32%	830	Williamsburg	5	11	45%
185	Tazewell	19	62	31%	840	Winchester	14	40	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,360 and a denominator of 1,498. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	10	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	8	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	11	13	85%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	2	50%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	6	9	67%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	3	67%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	3	67%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	14	14	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	3	4	75%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	6	8	75%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	8	8	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	3	6	50%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	8	8	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	6	6	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	25	26	96%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	2	3	67%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	7	7	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	3	67%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	0	N/A	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	4	7	57%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	1	100%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	8	8	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	6	8	75%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	10	11	91%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	2	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	51	58	88%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	9	9	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	13	14	93%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	24	24	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	6	9	67%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	3	3	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	9	9	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	10	10	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	0	0	N/A	099	<i>King George</i>	5	5	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	37	39	95%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	2	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	5	6	83%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	6	6	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	26	28	93%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	6	6	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	3	3	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	3	67%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	3	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	5	80%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	2	3	67%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	0	N/A
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	67	70	96%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	7	7	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	6	6	100%
121	Montgomery	9	9	100%	191	Washington	6	6	100%
125	Nelson	2	3	67%	193	Westmoreland	3	4	75%
127	New Kent	3	3	100%	195	Wise	10	10	100%
131	Northampton	2	3	67%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	20	22	91%
137	Orange	0	1	0%	520	Bristol	6	6	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	20	21	95%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	48	51	94%
143	Pittsylvania	7	9	78%	590	Danville	11	13	85%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	3	4	75%
147	Prince Edward	14	14	100%	630	Fredericksburg	12	12	100%
149	Prince George	2	4	50%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	65	69	94%	650	Hampton	70	77	91%
155	Pulaski	3	3	100%	670	Hopewell	24	24	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	24	26	92%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	9	10	90%
161	Roanoke County	9	9	100%	685	Manassas Park	0	1	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	1	100%	700	Newport News	82	95	86%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	35	97%	710	Norfolk	52	56	93%
167	Russell	2	2	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	3	3	100%	730	Petersburg	22	27	81%
171	Shenandoah	1	5	20%	740	Portsmouth	53	56	95%
173	Smyth	6	6	100%	750	Radford	1	2	50%
175	Southampton	1	1	100%	760	Richmond City	62	83	75%
177	Spotsylvania	15	15	100%	770	Roanoke City	34	37	92%
179	Stafford	22	22	100%	800	Suffolk	22	22	100%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	56	57	98%
183	Sussex	4	4	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	6	7	86%	840	Winchester	2	3	67%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-16	Jun-15	Change from Jun-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,903	5,231	-6.3%	4,807	2%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,338	3,503	-4.7%	1,658	101%
Applications Approved	1,364	1,638	-17%	2,820	-52%
Cases Closed *	1,633	1,895	-14%	4,476	-64%
Cases at End of Month	20,847	22,862	-9%	67,995	-69%
Cases Paid During Month ²	21,109	23,395	-10%	70,403	-70%

•The database indicates that of the 1,633 closed cases, 630 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 432 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 117 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 92 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-16	Jun-15	Change from Jun-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	229	502	-54%	338	-32%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	230	298	-23%	232	-1%
Applications Approved	131	155	-15%	81	62%
Cases Closed *	151	168	-10%	107	41%
Cases at End of Month	1,144	1,242	-8%	321	256%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,189	1,285	-7%	394	202%

•The database indicates that of the 151 closed cases, 78 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 53 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 14 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 12 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	21,991	1,220	738	1,755	587	329	2,354	280	530	795	984	590	3,342	890	329	2,385	866	3,864	153
Total Enrolled in VIEW	7,790	380	239	456	169	117	1,042	101	281	332	258	212	1,091	347	99	1,017	310	1,290	49
Part. in a Work Activity	6,302	311	210	342	132	101	857	80	233	250	202	164	878	273	90	831	248	1,065	35
% of Enrolled	81%	82%	88%	75%	78%	86%	82%	79%	83%	75%	78%	77%	80%	79%	91%	82%	80%	83%	71%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	59	2	0	0	0	3	5	2	1	3	0	1	5	8	4	4	8	12	1
Participants Employed	4,741	200	159	275	120	83	564	69	183	191	156	119	681	211	72	619	166	845	28
% of Enrolled	61%	53%	67%	60%	71%	71%	54%	68%	65%	58%	60%	56%	62%	61%	73%	61%	54%	66%	57%
Full Time	3,828	159	136	249	108	76	427	58	123	131	130	90	535	169	63	497	135	727	15
Part Time	913	41	23	26	12	7	137	11	60	60	26	29	146	42	9	122	31	118	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.86	\$8.06	\$8.15	\$8.46	\$8.83	\$8.49	\$10.44	\$9.33	\$8.84	\$8.42	\$8.43	\$8.20	\$9.07	\$9.26	\$8.50	\$8.61	\$8.81	\$8.51	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,210	\$1,102	\$1,146	\$1,229	\$1,301	\$1,224	\$1,415	\$1,265	\$1,124	\$1,075	\$1,181	\$1,122	\$1,233	\$1,256	\$1,162	\$1,185	\$1,218	\$1,148	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	18,635	828	581	1,513	565	281	2,372	249	450	698	761	498	2,455	782	266	2,290	705	3,189	152
Total Enrolled in VIEW	21,041	923	645	1,502	571	333	2,676	273	582	885	840	616	2,762	955	301	2,617	857	3,540	163
Part. in a Work Activity	19,084	887	598	1,337	539	287	2,390	239	561	800	761	577	2,501	853	271	2,359	765	3,215	144
% of Total Enrolled	91%	96%	93%	89%	94%	86%	89%	88%	96%	90%	91%	94%	91%	89%	90%	90%	89%	91%	88%
Participants Employed	12,939	472	394	857	406	208	1,561	176	418	546	475	380	1,784	582	191	1,623	527	2,243	96
% of Total Enrolled	61%	51%	61%	57%	71%	62%	58%	64%	72%	62%	57%	62%	65%	61%	63%	62%	61%	63%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.01	\$8.02	\$8.01	\$8.60	\$8.99	\$8.66	\$11.11	\$9.28	\$9.00	\$8.42	\$8.40	\$8.38	\$9.08	\$9.30	\$8.49	\$8.72	\$8.94	\$8.65	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,192	\$1,054	\$1,073	\$1,185	\$1,270	\$1,197	\$1,473	\$1,198	\$1,079	\$1,038	\$1,147	\$1,094	\$1,209	\$1,184	\$1,118	\$1,151	\$1,232	\$1,142	\$1,126
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	70%	69%	68%	67%	73%	80%	69%	80%	68%	65%	70%	73%	74%	60%	75%	64%	73%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	324,166	19,155	11,983	24,140	9,580	6,251	41,305	4,638	7,198	12,203	14,620	9,345	42,892	11,112	4,367	31,554	11,008	59,904	2,911
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	251,499	14,890	10,098	18,808	7,512	4,736	31,820	3,538	5,660	10,148	11,741	7,386	33,818	8,380	3,311	24,239	8,635	44,583	2,196
Part. in a Work Activity	240,356	14,393	9,706	17,880	7,334	4,468	30,536	3,394	5,535	9,579	11,374	7,104	32,429	7,910	3,123	23,032	8,215	42,227	2,117
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	169,366	9,255	6,494	12,606	5,262	3,097	22,042	2,378	4,222	6,940	7,155	4,585	23,063	5,502	2,102	16,757	5,875	30,787	1,244
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	75%	68%	61%	62%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$6.45	\$6.58	\$7.32	\$7.45	\$7.58	\$9.03	\$7.76	\$7.67	\$6.91	\$6.65	\$7.11	\$7.63	\$8.24	\$7.27	\$7.33	\$7.33	\$7.12	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$846	\$883	\$977	\$989	\$1,009	\$1,188	\$1,015	\$962	\$883	\$879	\$912	\$1,008	\$1,043	\$921	\$948	\$987	\$923	\$887
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	57%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,220	125	56	239	135	117	194	309	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	380	27	13	127	36	30	46	84	17
Participants in a Work Activity	311	24	10	105	30	27	43	62	10
% of Enrolled	82%	89%	77%	83%	83%	90%	93%	74%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	200	7	7	66	13	18	30	49	10
% of Enrolled	53%	26%	54%	52%	36%	60%	65%	58%	59%
Full Time	159	5	6	56	7	10	25	46	4
Part Time	41	2	1	10	6	8	5	3	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.25	\$8.36	\$8.03	\$7.90	\$7.91	\$7.99	\$8.06	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,183	\$1,306	\$1,130	\$927	\$915	\$1,090	\$1,185	\$909

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	828	72	29	179	101	72	125	213	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	923	64	30	225	100	84	137	243	40
Participants in a Work Activity	887	61	26	224	88	82	134	237	35
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	87%	100%	88%	98%	98%	98%	88%
Participants Employed	472	26	14	116	35	42	74	138	27
% of Total Enrolled	51%	41%	47%	52%	35%	50%	54%	57%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.08	\$8.13	\$8.07	\$7.86	\$8.04	\$7.89	\$8.05	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,042	\$1,168	\$1,062	\$932	\$996	\$1,011	\$1,126	\$997
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	73%	57%	85%	65%	71%	65%	58%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	19,155	1,858	1,276	2,722	2,627	1,896	3,626	4,516	634
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,890	1,409	998	2,135	2,118	1,422	2,772	3,532	504
Participants in a Work Activity	14,393	1,331	942	2,124	2,019	1,400	2,685	3,428	464
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,255	751	598	1,403	1,255	832	1,838	2,206	372
% of Total Enrolled	62%	53%	60%	66%	59%	59%	66%	62%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.45	\$6.61	\$6.43	\$6.33	\$6.58	\$6.78	\$6.31	\$6.47	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$846	\$860	\$854	\$822	\$866	\$899	\$829	\$853	\$768
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	75%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	738	19	85	25	154	170	81	169	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	239	5	35	3	41	41	21	87	6
Participants in a Work Activity	210	4	32	3	35	36	18	77	5
% of Enrolled	88%	80%	91%	100%	85%	88%	86%	89%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	159	3	27	3	26	29	17	50	4
% of Enrolled	67%	60%	77%	100%	63%	71%	81%	57%	67%
Full Time	136	1	26	3	21	26	16	40	3
Part Time	23	2	1	0	5	3	1	10	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.67	\$7.77	\$8.40	\$7.60	\$8.38	\$8.38	\$8.45	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$607	\$1,107	\$1,233	\$1,095	\$1,179	\$1,244	\$1,165	\$1,189

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	581	10	58	12	122	115	57	190	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	645	9	80	11	128	131	49	213	24
Participants in a Work Activity	598	9	78	11	119	122	47	192	20
% of Total Enrolled	93%	100%	98%	100%	93%	93%	96%	90%	83%
Participants Employed	394	5	57	7	68	81	39	122	15
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	71%	64%	53%	62%	80%	57%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.69	\$7.68	\$7.83	\$7.72	\$8.17	\$8.15	\$8.15	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$840	\$1,050	\$1,026	\$1,059	\$1,075	\$1,168	\$1,057	\$1,160
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	100%	76%	75%	65%	74%	74%	65%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,983	303	1,559	729	2,116	2,149	1,717	2,631	779
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,098	227	1,301	592	1,808	1,790	1,351	2,345	684
Participants in a Work Activity	9,706	215	1,210	556	1,750	1,745	1,327	2,257	646
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	6,494	133	878	382	1,060	1,110	907	1,596	428
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.58	\$6.59	\$6.37	\$6.50	\$6.48	\$6.81	\$6.51	\$6.69	\$6.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$883	\$902	\$852	\$868	\$888	\$910	\$864	\$889	\$891
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	57%	55%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,755	76	34	11	46	151	41	218	113	211	48	806
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	456	25	6	5	15	28	11	65	15	51	13	222
Participants in a Work Activity	342	24	6	2	11	27	0	56	11	40	10	155
% of Enrolled	75%	96%	100%	40%	73%	96%	0%	86%	73%	78%	77%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	275	16	4	1	8	13	0	46	9	29	9	140
% of Enrolled	60%	64%	67%	20%	53%	46%	0%	71%	60%	57%	69%	63%
Full Time	249	12	4	1	7	11	N/A	44	9	24	9	128
Part Time	26	4	0	0	1	2	N/A	2	0	5	0	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.01	\$8.06	\$10.00	\$8.16	\$8.10	N/A	\$8.42	\$7.97	\$7.97	\$8.03	\$8.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,229	\$1,048	\$1,247	\$1,299	\$1,152	\$1,137	N/A	\$1,235	\$1,094	\$1,094	\$1,114	\$1,303

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,513	70	30	2	31	137	27	176	83	129	48	780
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,502	78	25	10	30	141	20	197	74	168	59	700
Participants in a Work Activity	1,337	76	25	5	29	134	17	179	63	143	51	615
% of Total Enrolled	89%	97%	100%	50%	97%	95%	85%	91%	85%	85%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	857	49	9	2	17	67	3	135	44	100	42	389
% of Total Enrolled	57%	63%	36%	20%	57%	48%	15%	69%	59%	60%	71%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.60	\$8.34	\$8.32	\$9.00	\$8.41	\$8.72	\$12.17	\$8.66	\$8.68	\$8.30	\$8.47	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,185	\$1,051	\$1,234	\$765	\$1,225	\$1,170	\$1,982	\$1,268	\$1,161	\$1,074	\$1,155	\$1,208
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	58%	56%	100%	90%	76%	100%	76%	48%	68%	48%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	24,140	1,299	430	112	621	2,177	608	3,370	2,042	2,688	960	9,833
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,808	1,004	275	68	461	1,696	443	2,776	1,625	2,192	761	7,507
Participants in a Work Activity	17,880	971	243	65	444	1,543	432	2,718	1,558	2,046	725	7,135
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	88%	96%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,606	699	121	31	297	1,043	247	2,151	1,025	1,608	535	4,849
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	44%	46%	64%	61%	56%	77%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$7.10	\$7.59	\$7.42	\$7.21	\$7.26	\$7.19	\$7.17	\$6.97	\$7.80	\$7.22	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$977	\$864	\$974	\$1,026	\$966	\$970	\$966	\$967	\$961	\$1,040	\$933	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	55%	60%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	587	336	5	3	64	179
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	169	74	0	3	21	71
Participants in a Work Activity	132	59	N/A	0	14	59
% of Enrolled	78%	80%	N/A	0%	67%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
Participants Employed	120	56	N/A	0	13	51
% of Enrolled	71%	76%	N/A	0	62%	72%
Full Time	108	50	N/A	N/A	10	48
Part Time	12	6	N/A	N/A	3	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.83	\$8.66	N/A	N/A	\$8.78	\$9.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,301	\$1,260	N/A	N/A	\$1,199	\$1,372

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	565	296	0	3	44	222
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	571	295	0	3	44	229
Participants in a Work Activity	539	278	N/A	3	35	223
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	N/A	100%	80%	97%
Participants Employed	406	201	N/A	0	26	179
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	N/A	0%	59%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.99	\$8.83	N/A	N/A	\$8.85	\$9.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,270	\$1,217	N/A	N/A	\$1,106	\$1,348
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	58%	N/A	N/A	70%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,580	5,083	82	27	827	3,561
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,512	4,047	53	11	622	2,779
Participants in a Work Activity	7,334	3,976	52	11	577	2,718
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,262	2,730	32	6	438	2,056
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	55%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.42	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.97	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$989	\$985	\$717	\$784	\$883	\$1,021
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	69%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	329	8	74	30	45	92	80	153	110	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	117	0	18	14	16	37	32	49	20	29
Participants in a Work Activity	101	N/A	13	13	16	35	24	35	16	19
% of Enrolled	86%	N/A	72%	93%	100%	95%	75%	71%	80%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	N/A	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Participants Employed	83	N/A	9	7	14	31	22	28	9	19
% of Enrolled	71%	N/A	50%	50%	88%	84%	69%	57%	45%	66%
Full Time	76	N/A	9	6	13	29	19	15	6	9
Part Time	7	N/A	0	1	1	2	3	13	3	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	N/A	\$8.22	\$8.04	\$8.79	\$8.23	\$8.93	\$8.46	\$8.80	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,224	N/A	\$1,192	\$1,130	\$1,222	\$1,182	\$1,326	\$1,035	\$1,195	\$960

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	281	2	68	36	35	67	73	152	114	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	333	1	70	39	38	102	83	163	106	57
Participants in a Work Activity	287	1	59	35	32	96	64	144	93	51
% of Total Enrolled	86%	1	84%	90%	84%	94%	77%	88%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	208	0	36	21	26	78	47	96	54	42
% of Total Enrolled	62%	0%	51%	54%	68%	76%	57%	59%	51%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.66	N/A	\$9.34	\$8.51	\$9.09	\$8.00	\$8.89	\$8.72	\$8.97	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,197	N/A	\$1,257	\$1,118	\$1,301	\$1,103	\$1,262	\$1,126	\$1,227	\$999
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	N/A	59%	78%	80%	88%	61%	70%	62%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,251	235	1,385	922	779	1,496	1,434	2,911	1,890	1,021
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,736	142	1,046	758	496	1,152	1,142	2,196	1,396	800
Participants in a Work Activity	4,468	131	974	741	478	1,072	1,072	2,117	1,357	760
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	93%	98%	96%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,097	82	611	527	298	798	781	1,244	716	528
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	70%	60%	69%	68%	57%	51%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$7.74	\$8.00	\$7.46	\$7.15	\$7.58	\$7.53	\$7.07	\$7.19	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$1,030	\$1,042	\$1,005	\$979	\$1,021	\$989	\$887	\$908	\$862
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	59%	69%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,354	158	864	159	724	341	82	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,042	47	400	100	276	194	21	4
Participants in a Work Activity	857	38	357	81	208	154	18	1
% of Enrolled	82%	81%	89%	81%	75%	79%	86%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	2	1	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	564	23	203	49	161	111	16	1
% of Enrolled	54%	49%	51%	49%	58%	57%	76%	25%
Full Time	427	13	142	32	140	88	11	1
Part Time	137	10	61	17	21	23	5	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.44	\$10.78	\$10.88	\$10.45	\$9.95	\$10.34	\$10.28	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,415	\$1,279	\$1,441	\$1,416	\$1,421	\$1,399	\$1,355	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,372	127	875	225	647	423	63	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,676	160	1,039	258	709	429	77	4
Participants in a Work Activity	2,390	151	956	232	622	361	65	3
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	92%	90%	88%	84%	84%	75%
Participants Employed	1,561	89	581	164	431	244	51	1
% of Total Enrolled	58%	56%	56%	64%	61%	57%	66%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$11.11	\$10.83	\$11.52	\$11.51	\$10.50	\$11.11	\$10.93	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,473	\$1,322	\$1,521	\$1,493	\$1,433	\$1,457	\$1,538	\$946
Three Months Employment ⁸	80%	65%	80%	88%	78%	84%	89%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	41,305	3,272	14,754	3,114	12,988	4,910	1,754	513
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	31,820	2,590	11,182	2,467	10,059	3,811	1,343	368
Participants in a Work Activity	30,536	2,569	10,744	2,341	9,619	3,593	1,306	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	94%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	22,042	1,834	7,456	1,854	7,177	2,606	870	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	75%	71%	68%	65%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.03	\$8.54	\$9.17	\$9.66	\$9.00	\$8.82	\$8.56	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,188	\$1,083	\$1,200	\$1,243	\$1,212	\$1,132	\$1,147	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	280	103	70	14	88	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	101	33	25	0	40	3
Participants in a Work Activity	80	27	20	N/A	32	1
% of Enrolled	79%	82%	80%	N/A	80%	1
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	2	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	69	26	15	N/A	28	0
% of Enrolled	68%	79%	60%	N/A	70%	1
Full Time	58	23	9	N/A	26	N/A
Part Time	11	3	6	N/A	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.33	\$9.30	\$9.13	N/A	\$9.46	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,265	\$1,215	\$1,158	N/A	\$1,370	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	249	107	61	7	65	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	273	109	68	12	76	8
Participants in a Work Activity	239	95	62	12	62	8
% of Total Enrolled	88%	87%	91%	100%	82%	100%
Participants Employed	176	70	45	8	52	1
% of Total Enrolled	64%	64%	66%	67%	68%	13%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.28	\$9.41	\$9.07	\$8.94	\$9.37	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,198	\$1,194	\$1,160	\$1,238	\$1,238	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	70%	54%	67%	79%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,638	1,809	1,341	415	946	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,538	1,335	1,040	311	768	84
Participants in a Work Activity	3,394	1,280	1,020	303	711	80
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,378	872	726	184	550	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	72%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.75	\$8.10	\$7.31	\$7.50	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$999	\$1,054	\$1,009	\$993	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	59%	62%	49%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	530	107	52	40	85	51	195
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	281	75	5	29	34	19	119
Participants in a Work Activity	233	70	4	23	23	12	101
% of Enrolled	83%	93%	80%	79%	68%	63%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	183	48	4	20	21	11	79
% of Enrolled	65%	64%	80%	69%	62%	58%	66%
Full Time	123	33	3	5	19	5	58
Part Time	60	15	1	15	2	6	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.84	\$9.22	\$8.19	\$8.34	\$8.24	\$8.68	\$8.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,134	\$1,215	\$867	\$1,147	\$1,022	\$1,187

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	450	114	12	51	48	38	187
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	582	147	12	56	64	44	259
Participants in a Work Activity	561	145	12	53	62	39	250
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	100%	95%	97%	89%	97%
Participants Employed	418	107	6	43	43	30	189
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	50%	77%	67%	68%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.00	\$9.38	\$8.00	\$8.35	\$8.67	\$8.67	\$9.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,008	\$1,096	\$1,035	\$1,087
Three Months Employment ⁸	80%	81%	100%	81%	86%	79%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	7,198	1,759	338	564	1,131	395	3,011
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,660	1,312	247	405	857	291	2,548
Participants in a Work Activity	5,535	1,286	241	384	833	286	2,505
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	4,222	943	156	309	544	182	2,088
% of Total Enrolled	75%	72%	63%	76%	63%	63%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$8.15	\$8.02	\$7.55	\$7.85	\$8.03	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$1,031	\$1,063	\$925	\$995	\$1,010	\$933
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	58%	64%	66%	67%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	795	84	55	143	177	336
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	332	22	21	64	84	141
Participants in a Work Activity	250	16	16	58	51	109
% of Enrolled	75%	73%	76%	91%	61%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	191	13	14	41	33	90
% of Enrolled	58%	59%	67%	64%	39%	64%
Full Time	131	12	8	30	21	60
Part Time	60	1	6	11	12	30
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.41	\$7.17	\$8.48	\$8.76	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,262	\$864	\$1,059	\$1,119	\$1,072

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	698	62	49	133	160	294
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	885	67	61	151	222	384
Participants in a Work Activity	800	63	61	133	194	349
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	100%	88%	87%	91%
Participants Employed	546	35	42	98	119	252
% of Total Enrolled	62%	52%	69%	65%	54%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.45	\$7.86	\$8.81	\$8.48	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$1,198	\$985	\$1,137	\$1,028	\$987
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	52%	68%	64%	70%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	12,203	1,133	945	2,468	2,846	4,811
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,148	907	832	1,983	2,449	3,977
Participants in a Work Activity	9,579	859	820	1,837	2,303	3,760
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,940	591	590	1,294	1,674	2,791
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$7.13	\$6.60	\$7.00	\$7.09	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$883	\$920	\$897	\$889	\$922	\$849
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	59%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	984	132	347	63	138	304
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	258	32	104	25	27	70
Participants in a Work Activity	202	16	83	23	22	58
% of Enrolled	78%	50%	80%	92%	81%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	156	15	67	18	20	36
% of Enrolled	60%	47%	64%	72%	74%	51%
Full Time	130	12	58	12	15	33
Part Time	26	3	9	6	5	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.72	\$8.47	\$8.60	\$8.34	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,181	\$1,156	\$1,271	\$1,046	\$1,134	\$1,118

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	761	93	247	72	136	213
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	840	107	296	85	112	240
Participants in a Work Activity	761	93	264	81	108	215
% of Total Enrolled	91%	87%	89%	95%	96%	90%
Participants Employed	475	55	187	56	66	111
% of Total Enrolled	57%	51%	63%	66%	59%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$9.00	\$8.35	\$8.36	\$8.39	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,147	\$1,263	\$1,146	\$1,100	\$1,156	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	71%	68%	56%	61%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,620	2,227	3,951	1,422	2,455	4,565
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,741	1,836	3,076	1,225	1,876	3,728
Participants in a Work Activity	11,374	1,773	2,954	1,158	1,851	3,638
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	7,155	1,022	1,987	823	994	2,329
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	65%	67%	53%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.65	\$6.62	\$7.08	\$6.55	\$6.64	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$879	\$872	\$954	\$848	\$888	\$826
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	590	24	52	48	49	46	102	28	100	74	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	212	5	17	15	27	17	36	15	16	33	31
Participants in a Work Activity	164	3	14	13	22	15	29	8	14	20	26
% of Enrolled	77%	60%	82%	87%	81%	88%	81%	53%	88%	61%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	119	2	9	11	14	12	18	6	8	18	21
% of Enrolled	56%	40%	53%	73%	52%	71%	50%	40%	50%	55%	68%
Full Time	90	1	9	10	10	10	11	6	6	13	14
Part Time	29	1	0	1	4	2	7	0	2	5	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$7.38	\$8.94	\$8.08	\$8.45	\$8.11	\$8.39	\$7.79	\$7.62	\$8.42	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,122	\$1,042	\$1,393	\$1,051	\$1,153	\$1,135	\$1,032	\$1,133	\$1,165	\$1,142	\$1,062

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	498	16	55	48	45	40	91	25	52	58	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	616	12	71	53	54	52	104	23	72	78	97
Participants in a Work Activity	577	11	67	49	52	46	99	15	71	73	94
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	94%	92%	96%	88%	95%	65%	99%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	380	9	38	34	25	34	61	11	42	56	70
% of Total Enrolled	62%	75%	54%	64%	46%	65%	59%	48%	58%	72%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$9.15	\$8.42	\$8.48	\$8.15	\$8.88	\$8.31	\$9.25	\$7.99	\$8.53	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,094	\$1,113	\$1,177	\$1,076	\$1,024	\$1,146	\$1,095	\$1,384	\$1,120	\$1,115	\$985
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	60%	67%	70%	79%	77%	69%	80%	55%	68%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,345	585	1,118	889	826	637	1,112	452	1,335	1,135	1,256
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,386	434	901	688	636	482	896	353	1,062	889	1,045
Participants in a Work Activity	7,104	411	899	652	600	457	866	348	1,022	829	1,020
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	97%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,585	268	527	402	334	284	552	178	697	599	744
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	53%	59%	62%	50%	66%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$7.69	\$6.58	\$7.41	\$7.49	\$7.67	\$7.13	\$6.90	\$6.81	\$7.14	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$912	\$981	\$860	\$988	\$944	\$984	\$870	\$866	\$867	\$933	\$911
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	59%	65%	59%	66%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,342	595	22	106	832	31	1,756
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,091	203	7	37	370	6	468
Participants in a Work Activity	878	163	5	27	283	5	395
% of Enrolled	80%	80%	71%	73%	76%	83%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	681	119	4	25	228	4	301
% of Enrolled	62%	59%	57%	68%	62%	67%	64%
Full Time	535	94	2	21	181	2	235
Part Time	146	25	2	4	47	2	66
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.07	\$9.42	\$10.94	\$9.96	\$9.23	\$9.19	\$8.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,233	\$1,308	\$1,072	\$1,393	\$1,265	\$847	\$1,172

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,455	447	16	81	805	26	1,080
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,762	495	17	81	956	23	1,190
Participants in a Work Activity	2,501	449	15	70	843	23	1,101
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	88%	86%	88%	100%	93%
Participants Employed	1,784	314	9	54	604	14	789
% of Total Enrolled	65%	63%	53%	67%	63%	61%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.08	\$9.38	\$9.84	\$9.95	\$9.26	\$8.88	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,209	\$1,265	\$1,037	\$1,292	\$1,235	\$1,137	\$1,165
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	80%	83%	84%	70%	100%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	42,892	9,043	337	1,547	11,065	440	20,460
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	33,818	6,925	260	1,101	9,127	327	16,078
Participants in a Work Activity	32,429	6,695	246	1,018	8,595	310	15,565
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	23,063	4,402	169	719	6,234	185	11,354
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	65%	65%	68%	57%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.96	\$7.54	\$8.48	\$7.97	\$7.97	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$1,060	\$952	\$1,076	\$1,038	\$1,007	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	64%	67%	66%	62%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	890	115	52	321	268	134
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	347	54	15	105	102	71
Participants in a Work Activity	273	42	11	77	95	48
% of Enrolled	79%	78%	73%	73%	93%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	0	1	4	2
Participants Employed	211	39	7	58	69	38
% of Enrolled	61%	72%	47%	55%	68%	54%
Full Time	169	29	7	50	54	29
Part Time	42	10	0	8	15	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.26	\$9.12	\$8.72	\$9.19	\$9.55	\$9.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,256	\$1,145	\$1,303	\$1,269	\$1,301	\$1,258

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	782	77	41	276	229	159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	955	126	46	284	303	196
Participants in a Work Activity	853	110	40	252	278	173
% of Total Enrolled	89%	87%	87%	89%	92%	88%
Participants Employed	582	83	22	165	189	123
% of Total Enrolled	61%	66%	48%	58%	62%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.30	\$9.45	\$9.09	\$9.01	\$9.63	\$9.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,184	\$1,175	\$1,254	\$1,182	\$1,184	\$1,180
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	77%	62%	73%	69%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	11,112	1,591	658	3,441	3,263	2,159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,380	1,169	472	2,644	2,254	1,841
Participants in a Work Activity	7,910	1,112	447	2,466	2,143	1,742
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,502	730	278	1,790	1,350	1,354
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	60%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.92	\$7.77	\$8.18	\$8.91	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$995	\$955	\$1,031	\$1,148	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	329	55	26	18	37	17	47	29	27	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	99	20	1	6	11	4	20	6	9	22
Participants in a Work Activity	90	19	1	5	9	4	20	4	8	20
% of Enrolled	91%	95%	100%	83%	82%	100%	100%	67%	89%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	72	13	1	5	7	4	18	2	6	16
% of Enrolled	73%	65%	100%	83%	64%	100%	90%	33%	67%	73%
Full Time	63	11	0	4	5	4	17	2	6	14
Part Time	9	2	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.54	\$7.25	\$10.40	\$7.93	\$8.63	\$8.22	\$7.38	\$7.02	\$9.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,162	\$1,251	\$879	\$1,282	\$956	\$1,331	\$1,110	\$1,167	\$1,107	\$1,196

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	266	47	12	24	31	8	38	15	24	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	301	51	9	24	26	11	55	18	29	78
Participants in a Work Activity	271	48	9	21	23	11	52	14	25	68
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	100%	88%	88%	100%	95%	78%	86%	87%
Participants Employed	191	34	5	16	13	8	41	8	15	51
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	56%	67%	50%	73%	75%	44%	52%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.22	\$7.63	\$9.04	\$7.65	\$8.38	\$8.34	\$8.27	\$8.72	\$8.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,118	\$1,140	\$791	\$1,264	\$925	\$1,059	\$1,015	\$1,262	\$1,269	\$1,171
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	52%	50%	64%	82%	0%	72%	50%	67%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,367	660	330	394	465	236	542	385	411	944
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,311	535	226	324	364	167	418	315	255	707
Participants in a Work Activity	3,123	512	215	308	346	160	396	301	239	646
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	95%	96%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	2,102	360	121	194	245	112	292	175	150	453
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	54%	60%	67%	67%	70%	56%	59%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.28	\$7.26	\$7.72	\$7.01	\$7.19	\$7.31	\$6.94	\$7.28	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$902	\$875	\$1,016	\$873	\$914	\$919	\$875	\$963	\$943
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	44%	60%	50%	70%	72%	50%	44%	63%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,385	13	72	116	33	111	779	1,245	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,017	6	20	48	11	60	320	542	10
Participants in a Work Activity	831	4	15	38	11	49	260	445	9
% of Enrolled	82%	67%	75%	79%	100%	82%	81%	82%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	619	2	13	30	10	30	180	350	4
% of Enrolled	61%	33%	65%	63%	91%	50%	56%	65%	40%
Full Time	497	1	13	16	8	21	131	303	4
Part Time	122	1	0	14	2	9	49	47	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.61	\$7.25	\$8.14	\$8.74	\$8.20	\$8.69	\$8.56	\$8.65	\$8.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,185	\$973	\$1,125	\$1,013	\$1,057	\$1,169	\$1,122	\$1,239	\$1,392

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,290	9	61	121	26	107	736	1,202	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,617	14	80	138	37	123	847	1,339	39
Participants in a Work Activity	2,359	13	74	128	30	112	764	1,203	35
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	93%	93%	81%	91%	90%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	1,623	6	47	96	26	65	510	849	24
% of Total Enrolled	62%	43%	59%	70%	70%	53%	60%	63%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$8.42	\$7.71	\$8.99	\$8.52	\$8.80	\$8.73	\$8.73	\$9.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,151	\$1,294	\$945	\$1,076	\$1,100	\$1,058	\$1,096	\$1,207	\$1,249
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	60%	78%	59%	69%	82%	78%	76%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	31,554	189	1,294	1,470	431	1,381	10,828	15,471	490
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,239	139	941	1,171	321	1,075	8,164	12,047	381
Participants in a Work Activity	23,032	133	870	1,088	306	1,023	7,927	11,330	355
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,757	80	603	812	189	659	5,712	8,441	261
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	64%	69%	59%	61%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$7.32	\$7.01	\$7.83	\$7.81	\$7.65	\$7.32	\$7.28	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$948	\$986	\$857	\$944	\$1,043	\$916	\$939	\$961	\$934
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	57%	57%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	866	84	59	24	55	241	403
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	310	10	15	10	23	110	142
Participants in a Work Activity	248	9	14	7	19	87	112
% of Enrolled	80%	90%	93%	70%	83%	79%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	2	0	4	2
Participants Employed	166	7	8	6	10	49	86
% of Enrolled	54%	70%	53%	60%	43%	45%	61%
Full Time	135	7	6	4	8	27	83
Part Time	31	0	2	2	2	22	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.82	\$8.68	\$8.44	\$8.67	\$8.60	\$8.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,218	\$1,443	\$1,231	\$1,087	\$1,165	\$1,035	\$1,318

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	705	49	68	21	54	202	311
Total Enrolled in VIEW	857	41	74	30	68	277	367
Participants in a Work Activity	765	41	69	27	62	258	308
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	93%	90%	91%	93%	84%
Participants Employed	527	27	40	19	44	172	225
% of Total Enrolled	61%	66%	54%	63%	65%	62%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.94	\$8.85	\$8.95	\$9.01	\$9.28	\$8.95	\$8.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,232	\$1,352	\$1,197	\$1,082	\$1,309	\$1,160	\$1,278
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	67%	61%	62%	67%	57%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	11,008	1,406	1,032	434	868	2,757	4,511
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,635	909	774	361	698	2,262	3,631
Participants in a Work Activity	8,215	906	680	343	668	2,155	3,463
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	96%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,875	600	461	253	456	1,555	2,550
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$7.16	\$7.80	\$7.58	\$7.26	\$7.30	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$1,005	\$1,032	\$911	\$985	\$967	\$997
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	56%	62%	62%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through June 30, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/16 and Work Activity as of 6/30/16

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,864	98	71	646	55	1,326	761	281	626
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,290	30	25	203	17	512	249	78	176
Participants in a Work Activity	1,065	27	21	166	10	446	197	63	135
% of Enrolled	83%	90%	84%	82%	59%	87%	79%	81%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	7
Participants Employed	845	23	18	127	8	365	143	50	111
% of Enrolled	66%	77%	72%	63%	47%	71%	57%	64%	63%
Full Time	727	19	15	113	6	317	113	45	99
Part Time	118	4	3	14	2	48	30	5	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.51	\$8.09	\$8.44	\$8.47	\$8.13	\$8.55	\$8.49	\$8.64	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,106	\$1,115	\$1,201	\$1,091	\$1,128	\$1,152	\$1,200	\$1,142

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,189	66	50	568	52	1,062	631	246	514
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,540	76	66	598	63	1,225	706	262	544
Participants in a Work Activity	3,215	68	58	559	59	1,119	624	251	477
% of Total Enrolled	91%	89%	88%	93%	94%	91%	88%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	2,243	50	40	393	31	828	413	162	326
% of Total Enrolled	63%	66%	61%	66%	49%	68%	58%	62%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.65	\$8.36	\$8.48	\$8.72	\$8.62	\$8.66	\$8.55	\$8.57	\$8.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,142	\$1,122	\$1,154	\$1,199	\$1,154	\$1,133	\$1,098	\$1,156	\$1,149
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	62%	76%	72%	38%	79%	65%	68%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	59,904	1,380	1,122	9,809	955	19,118	9,656	3,835	14,029
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	44,583	1,004	911	7,286	776	14,151	7,717	3,010	9,728
Participants in a Work Activity	42,227	967	866	6,969	765	13,384	7,267	2,979	9,030
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	30,787	675	531	5,214	502	9,928	5,448	2,179	6,310
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	71%	72%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.07	\$6.87	\$7.30	\$6.85	\$7.03	\$6.98	\$6.94	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$923	\$927	\$861	\$958	\$853	\$893	\$922	\$903	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	55%	63%	62%	68%	69%	67%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.9%	6.40	98	\$8.97	3.39	35	61.8%	6.18	86	5.32	88
003	Albemarle	72.8%	9.15	9	\$9.38	4.24	13	81.1%	8.11	21	7.16	8
005	Alleghany/Covington	62.8%	7.89	52	\$8.34	2.09	83	58.1%	5.81	99	5.26	92
007	Amelia	75.0%	9.42	5	\$9.15	3.76	21	60.0%	6.00	93	6.39	27
009	Amherst	52.2%	6.56	93	\$8.45	2.31	72	52.4%	5.24	107	4.71	104
011	Appomattox	68.9%	8.65	17	\$7.86	1.10	108	68.2%	6.82	67	5.52	71
013	Arlington	55.6%	6.99	81	\$10.83	7.23	6	64.7%	6.47	80	6.90	12
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.1%	8.56	22	\$8.83	3.10	46	58.3%	5.83	97	5.83	59
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	8.15	41	\$8.81	3.06	47	64.4%	6.44	83	5.89	55
021	Bland	55.6%	6.98	83	\$7.69	0.74	113	100.0%	10.00	1	5.91	53
023	Botetourt	36.0%	4.52	112	\$8.32	2.05	86	55.6%	5.56	104	4.04	113
025	Brunswick	53.5%	6.72	89	\$8.42	2.25	73	66.7%	6.67	71	5.21	94
027	Buchanan	40.6%	5.10	111	\$8.08	1.55	99	72.7%	7.27	50	4.64	106
029	Buckingham	64.2%	8.06	44	\$8.48	2.38	68	70.0%	7.00	61	5.81	60
031	Campbell	53.6%	6.73	88	\$8.48	2.38	69	70.5%	7.05	58	5.39	83
033	Caroline	65.9%	8.28	34	\$9.45	4.38	11	77.3%	7.73	36	6.79	14
035	Carroll	71.3%	8.95	13	\$7.68	0.72	114	76.0%	7.60	42	5.76	62
036	Charles City	42.9%	5.38	110	\$8.42	2.25	74	60.0%	6.00	94	4.55	108
037	Charlotte	46.3%	5.82	107	\$8.15	1.69	93	78.6%	7.86	29	5.12	96
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.4%	7.97	47	\$9.38	4.24	14	79.8%	7.98	26	6.73	16
043	Clarke	0.0%	0.00	118	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	118
045	Craig	20.0%	2.51	115	\$9.00	3.45	31	100.0%	10.00	2	5.32	89
047	Culpeper	64.2%	8.07	43	\$9.41	4.30	12	70.3%	7.03	59	6.46	25
049	Cumberland	65.4%	8.21	39	\$8.88	3.20	41	77.3%	7.73	37	6.38	28
051	Dickensen	46.7%	5.86	106	\$8.13	1.65	96	57.1%	5.71	100	4.41	109
053	Dinwiddie	65.9%	8.27	35	\$8.85	3.14	44	66.7%	6.67	72	6.03	49
057	Essex	66.7%	8.38	26	\$8.22	1.84	90	52.2%	5.22	108	5.14	95
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.9%	7.03	80	\$11.52	8.66	2	80.0%	8.00	23	7.89	3
061	Fauquier	66.2%	8.31	32	\$9.07	3.60	27	54.2%	5.42	106	5.78	61
063	Floyd	56.7%	7.12	78	\$8.41	2.23	75	90.0%	9.00	7	6.12	40
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	6.28	99	\$8.00	1.38	104	100.0%	10.00	3	5.89	54
067	Franklin County	47.5%	5.97	105	\$8.72	2.87	53	76.3%	7.63	39	5.49	76
069	Frederick	51.4%	6.46	96	\$9.34	4.15	16	59.1%	5.91	96	5.51	75
071	Giles	15.0%	1.88	116	\$12.17	10.00	1	100.0%	10.00	4	7.29	7
073	Gloucester	58.8%	7.38	70	\$7.71	0.79	112	77.8%	7.78	34	5.31	90
075	Goochland	52.9%	6.65	91	\$9.84	5.19	9	83.3%	8.33	16	6.72	17
077	Grayson	63.6%	8.00	45	\$7.83	1.03	110	75.0%	7.50	44	5.51	74

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.8%	9.65	3	\$8.35	2.11	81	80.8%	8.08	22	6.61	19
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.7%	7.37	71	\$8.31	2.02	87	68.8%	6.88	65	5.42	81
083	Halifax	51.4%	6.46	97	\$9.00	3.45	32	71.4%	7.14	56	5.68	65
085	Hanover	66.7%	8.38	27	\$9.95	5.41	8	84.0%	8.40	13	7.40	5
087	Henrico	63.2%	7.94	50	\$9.26	3.99	18	70.1%	7.01	60	6.31	32
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.2%	7.94	51	\$8.35	2.11	82	68.3%	6.83	66	5.63	68
091	Highland	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
093	Isle of Wight	65.8%	8.27	36	\$8.36	2.13	79	61.5%	6.15	87	5.52	73
095	James City	69.6%	8.74	16	\$8.99	3.43	34	59.3%	5.93	95	6.03	47
097	King and Queen	55.6%	6.98	84	\$7.63	0.62	116	50.0%	5.00	109	4.20	110
099	King George	47.8%	6.01	103	\$9.09	3.64	23	61.5%	6.15	88	5.27	91
101	King William	66.7%	8.38	28	\$9.04	3.53	28	63.6%	6.36	84	6.09	44
103	Lancaster	50.0%	6.28	100	\$7.65	0.66	115	81.8%	8.18	18	5.04	98
105	Lee	51.6%	6.48	95	\$8.07	1.53	100	84.6%	8.46	12	5.49	77
107	Loudoun	63.6%	7.99	46	\$11.51	8.64	3	87.8%	8.78	9	8.47	1
109	Louisa	67.2%	8.44	25	\$8.67	2.77	57	85.7%	8.57	11	6.59	21
111	Lunenburg	47.8%	6.01	104	\$9.25	3.97	19	80.0%	8.00	24	5.99	50
113	Madison	66.7%	8.38	29	\$8.94	3.33	39	66.7%	6.67	73	6.12	39
115	Mathews	72.7%	9.14	10	\$8.38	2.17	78	0.0%	0.00	115	3.77	115
117	Mecklenburg	58.3%	7.33	73	\$7.99	1.36	106	55.2%	5.52	105	4.74	103
119	Middlesex	74.5%	9.37	6	\$8.34	2.09	84	72.2%	7.22	53	6.22	34
121	Montgomery	68.5%	8.61	18	\$8.66	2.75	60	76.2%	7.62	40	6.33	31
125	Nelson	68.2%	8.57	21	\$8.67	2.77	58	78.6%	7.86	30	6.40	26
127	New Kent	70.3%	8.83	15	\$8.52	2.46	66	69.2%	6.92	62	6.07	45
131	Northampton	73.7%	9.26	7	\$8.39	2.19	76	81.8%	8.18	19	6.54	23
133	Northumberland	44.4%	5.58	109	\$8.27	1.94	89	50.0%	5.00	110	4.18	112
135	Nottoway	71.8%	9.02	12	\$8.53	2.48	65	67.7%	6.77	69	6.09	43
137	Orange	68.4%	8.60	19	\$9.37	4.21	15	79.3%	7.93	28	6.91	11
139	Page	53.8%	6.77	87	\$8.51	2.44	67	77.8%	7.78	35	5.66	66
141	Patrick	65.9%	8.28	33	\$8.36	2.13	80	55.9%	5.59	103	5.33	87
143	Pittsylvania	58.9%	7.40	69	\$8.39	2.19	77	61.1%	6.11	90	5.23	93
145	Powhatan	60.9%	7.65	61	\$8.88	3.20	42	100.0%	10.00	5	6.95	9
147	Prince Edward	72.2%	9.07	11	\$8.09	1.57	98	79.6%	7.96	27	6.20	35
149	Prince George	54.1%	6.79	85	\$8.95	3.35	36	60.9%	6.09	91	5.41	82
153	Prince William	60.8%	7.64	62	\$10.50	6.55	7	78.5%	7.85	32	7.34	6
155	Pulaski	59.5%	7.47	67	\$8.68	2.79	56	48.3%	4.83	112	5.03	99
157	Rappahannock	12.5%	1.57	117	\$9.00	3.45	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.51	116
159	Richmond County	51.7%	6.50	94	\$8.72	2.87	54	66.7%	6.67	74	5.35	86
161	Roanoke County	59.5%	7.48	66	\$8.30	2.00	88	67.9%	6.79	68	5.42	80
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	59.1%	7.42	68	\$8.85	3.14	45	92.3%	9.23	6	6.60	20
165	Rockingham/H'burg	78.2%	9.82	2	\$9.17	3.80	20	72.3%	7.23	52	6.95	10
167	Russell	35.0%	4.40	113	\$7.86	1.10	109	64.7%	6.47	81	3.99	114
169	Scott	50.0%	6.28	101	\$8.04	1.47	103	71.4%	7.14	57	4.96	100
171	Shenandoah	68.4%	8.60	20	\$9.09	3.64	24	80.0%	8.00	25	6.74	15

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	53.1%	6.67	90	\$7.72	0.81	111	64.9%	6.49	77	4.66	105
175	Southampton	60.6%	7.61	63	\$8.48	2.38	70	76.2%	7.62	41	5.87	56
177	Spotsylvania	58.1%	7.30	74	\$9.01	3.47	29	73.2%	7.32	47	6.03	48
179	Stafford	62.4%	7.84	55	\$9.63	4.75	10	69.0%	6.90	63	6.50	24
181	Surry	63.3%	7.96	49	\$9.01	3.47	30	61.5%	6.15	89	5.86	57
183	Sussex	64.7%	8.13	42	\$9.28	4.03	17	66.7%	6.67	75	6.28	33
185	Tazewell	54.0%	6.79	86	\$7.89	1.16	107	64.7%	6.47	82	4.80	102
187	Warren	76.5%	9.61	4	\$8.00	1.38	105	87.5%	8.75	10	6.58	22
191	Washington	61.8%	7.77	57	\$8.17	1.74	92	73.8%	7.38	46	5.63	67
193	Westmoreland	65.4%	8.21	40	\$8.95	3.35	37	50.0%	5.00	111	5.52	72
195	Wise	56.8%	7.14	77	\$8.05	1.49	102	58.1%	5.81	98	4.81	101
197	Wythe	79.6%	10.00	1	\$8.15	1.69	94	73.9%	7.39	45	6.36	30
199	York/Poquoson	52.8%	6.64	92	\$8.80	3.04	48	81.8%	8.18	20	5.95	51
510	Alexandria	56.9%	7.15	76	\$11.11	7.81	4	83.9%	8.39	15	7.78	4
520	Bristol	57.3%	7.20	75	\$8.15	1.69	95	64.8%	6.48	78	5.12	97
540	Charlottesville	73.0%	9.17	8	\$9.09	3.64	25	76.8%	7.68	38	6.83	13
550	Chesapeake	65.7%	8.26	37	\$8.72	2.87	55	72.2%	7.22	54	6.12	41
590	Danville	46.3%	5.81	108	\$8.19	1.78	91	62.1%	6.21	85	4.60	107
620	Franklin City	49.2%	6.18	102	\$8.62	2.67	62	37.5%	3.75	114	4.20	111
630	Fredericksburg	62.8%	7.88	53	\$9.08	3.62	26	83.9%	8.39	14	6.63	18
640	Galax	62.5%	7.85	54	\$8.07	1.53	101	66.7%	6.67	76	5.35	85
650	Hampton	60.2%	7.57	64	\$8.73	2.89	51	78.2%	7.82	33	6.09	42
670	Hopewell	62.1%	7.80	56	\$8.95	3.35	38	57.1%	5.71	101	5.62	69
680	Lynchburg	65.6%	8.25	38	\$8.34	2.09	85	71.7%	7.17	55	5.83	58
683	Manassas	66.2%	8.32	31	\$10.93	7.44	5	88.9%	8.89	8	8.22	2
685	Manassas Park	25.0%	3.14	114	\$7.33	0.00	117	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.57	117
700	Newport News	63.4%	7.97	48	\$8.73	2.89	52	75.6%	7.56	43	6.14	37
710	Norfolk	67.6%	8.49	23	\$8.66	2.75	61	78.6%	7.86	31	6.37	29
720	Norton	67.5%	8.48	24	\$8.10	1.59	97	83.3%	8.33	17	6.13	38
730	Petersburg	61.3%	7.70	60	\$8.87	3.18	43	72.3%	7.23	51	6.04	46
740	Portsmouth	58.5%	7.35	72	\$8.55	2.52	64	64.8%	6.48	79	5.45	79
750	Radford	71.2%	8.94	14	\$8.47	2.36	71	47.6%	4.76	113	5.35	84
760	Richmond City	66.3%	8.33	30	\$8.76	2.95	50	72.8%	7.28	49	6.19	36
770	Roanoke City	55.6%	6.98	82	\$8.67	2.77	59	69.0%	6.90	64	5.55	70
800	Suffolk	61.8%	7.77	58	\$8.57	2.56	63	67.5%	6.75	70	5.69	64
810	Virginia Beach	59.9%	7.53	65	\$8.80	3.04	49	72.9%	7.29	48	5.95	52
830	Williamsburg	61.5%	7.73	59	\$9.13	3.72	22	57.1%	5.71	102	5.72	63
840	Winchester	56.6%	7.11	79	\$8.89	3.22	40	60.9%	6.09	92	5.47	78

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.3%	1.92	117	\$908	3.63	80	65.2%	5.41	49	3.65	102
003	Albemarle	71.9%	7.35	10	\$1,031	5.96	19	58.4%	3.68	92	5.66	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.6%	6.75	29	\$864	2.80	102	58.4%	3.67	93	4.41	80
007	Amelia	61.8%	4.68	82	\$981	5.02	43	61.1%	4.38	73	4.69	68
009	Amherst	65.2%	5.58	61	\$920	3.86	73	59.5%	3.96	80	4.47	77
011	Appomattox	70.9%	7.09	15	\$897	3.43	85	55.4%	2.92	106	4.48	76
013	Arlington	70.8%	7.07	16	\$1,083	6.96	8	68.8%	6.31	27	6.78	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.5%	6.18	42	\$985	5.09	41	65.7%	5.53	45	5.60	32
017	Bath	60.4%	4.32	86	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.42	71	2.91	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.3%	5.60	60	\$889	3.28	88	60.1%	4.11	76	4.33	84
021	Bland	58.6%	3.84	99	\$902	3.52	82	56.8%	3.28	104	3.55	105
023	Botetourt	44.0%	0.00	120	\$974	4.88	47	54.6%	2.71	110	2.53	119
025	Brunswick	58.5%	3.82	101	\$860	2.73	106	65.7%	5.54	44	4.03	93
027	Buchanan	53.3%	2.45	114	\$860	2.71	107	64.9%	5.32	50	3.50	106
029	Buckingham	58.4%	3.80	102	\$988	5.14	38	59.0%	3.83	85	4.26	87
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.42	37	\$922	3.89	72	62.5%	4.73	61	5.01	57
033	Caroline	62.4%	4.86	80	\$995	5.28	34	59.4%	3.95	81	4.70	67
035	Carroll	67.5%	6.19	41	\$852	2.57	112	54.9%	2.79	108	3.85	98
036	Charles City	57.6%	3.57	106	\$986	5.11	39	51.6%	1.95	114	3.55	104
037	Charlotte	52.5%	2.24	116	\$944	4.31	62	59.1%	3.87	84	3.47	107
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.6%	5.16	72	\$1,060	6.52	11	68.8%	6.32	25	6.00	19
043	Clarke	57.7%	3.62	105	\$1,030	5.95	20	57.5%	3.47	100	4.35	82
045	Craig	45.6%	0.42	119	\$1,026	5.87	21	60.0%	4.09	77	3.46	108
047	Culpeper	65.3%	5.62	58	\$999	5.36	31	66.2%	5.65	39	5.54	35
049	Cumberland	58.9%	3.93	94	\$984	5.08	42	58.5%	3.72	89	4.24	88
051	Dickenson	59.9%	4.20	88	\$854	2.61	109	54.8%	2.77	109	3.19	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.0%	5.80	53	\$1,005	5.47	30	58.3%	3.66	94	4.98	59
057	Essex	67.3%	6.14	45	\$902	3.51	83	49.5%	1.44	117	3.69	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.7%	5.98	50	\$1,200	9.17	3	69.9%	6.60	18	7.25	4
061	Fauquier	69.8%	6.80	28	\$1,054	6.41	12	67.8%	6.06	30	6.43	10
063	Floyd	64.4%	5.38	69	\$966	4.73	52	54.4%	2.67	111	4.26	85
065	Fluvanna	63.2%	5.05	74	\$1,063	6.58	10	63.4%	4.95	59	5.53	36
067	Franklin County	61.5%	4.61	84	\$970	4.80	49	58.1%	3.61	97	4.34	83
069	Frederick	58.4%	3.80	103	\$1,042	6.17	14	60.5%	4.22	74	4.73	65
071	Giles	55.8%	3.10	108	\$966	4.73	53	51.8%	2.02	113	3.28	109
073	Gloucester	64.1%	5.29	70	\$857	2.65	108	57.3%	3.41	102	3.78	100
075	Goochland	65.0%	5.53	62	\$952	4.46	60	63.9%	5.07	53	5.02	56
077	Grayson	64.5%	5.41	68	\$868	2.87	98	60.3%	4.17	75	4.15	91

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.3%	8.51	3	\$925	3.94	70	58.3%	3.65	96	5.37	42
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.6%	4.64	83	\$870	2.90	97	64.6%	5.24	51	4.26	86
083	Halifax	55.7%	3.07	109	\$872	2.95	96	58.8%	3.80	86	3.27	110
085	Hanover	65.3%	5.61	59	\$1,076	6.83	9	66.6%	5.76	36	6.07	17
087	Henrico	68.3%	6.40	38	\$1,038	6.10	16	65.6%	5.51	46	6.01	18
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.6%	5.43	66	\$954	4.51	59	63.6%	5.01	55	4.98	58
091	Highland	54.5%	2.78	112	\$784	1.26	118	83.3%	10.00	1	4.68	69
093	Isle of Wight	67.2%	6.12	46	\$927	4.00	69	58.6%	3.74	88	4.62	71
095	James City	69.3%	6.68	31	\$944	4.32	61	57.1%	3.36	103	4.78	64
097	King and Queen	53.5%	2.51	113	\$875	3.00	94	44.3%	0.12	119	1.88	120
099	King George	58.9%	3.93	95	\$955	4.52	58	57.5%	3.46	101	3.97	95
101	King William	59.9%	4.18	90	\$1,016	5.69	24	59.6%	3.98	79	4.62	72
103	Lancaster	67.3%	6.14	44	\$873	2.96	95	50.5%	1.67	115	3.59	103
105	Lee	65.7%	5.72	55	\$822	2.00	117	72.1%	7.15	8	4.96	60
107	Loudoun	75.2%	8.21	4	\$1,243	10.00	1	72.1%	7.15	7	8.45	1
109	Louisa	63.5%	5.13	73	\$995	5.29	33	63.7%	5.02	54	5.15	50
111	Lunenburg	50.4%	1.69	118	\$866	2.83	100	59.3%	3.92	83	2.81	117
113	Madison	59.2%	4.00	93	\$1,009	5.55	27	58.5%	3.72	91	4.42	79
115	Mathews	67.1%	6.08	49	\$914	3.75	76	70.5%	6.75	13	5.52	37
117	Mecklenburg	65.6%	5.70	56	\$867	2.84	99	65.5%	5.49	48	4.68	70
119	Middlesex	69.9%	6.81	27	\$919	3.85	74	71.9%	7.11	9	5.92	22
121	Montgomery	77.5%	8.82	2	\$967	4.76	50	63.3%	4.91	60	6.17	15
125	Nelson	62.5%	4.89	77	\$1,010	5.56	26	66.1%	5.63	41	5.36	43
127	New Kent	58.9%	3.92	96	\$1,043	6.20	13	71.5%	7.01	10	5.71	27
131	Northampton	66.0%	5.80	54	\$862	2.76	104	79.9%	9.13	2	5.90	23
133	Northumberland	55.6%	3.05	110	\$875	3.00	93	50.0%	1.56	116	2.53	118
135	Nottoway	67.4%	6.16	43	\$933	4.11	66	69.3%	6.44	20	5.57	34
137	Orange	71.6%	7.28	11	\$993	5.25	35	61.9%	4.57	67	5.70	28
139	Page	69.5%	6.73	30	\$1,005	5.48	29	59.4%	3.94	82	5.38	41
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.11	47	\$848	2.48	114	73.8%	7.59	6	5.39	40
143	Pittsylvania	53.0%	2.37	115	\$888	3.25	91	58.5%	3.72	90	3.11	113
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.31	107	\$1,007	5.51	28	61.9%	4.58	66	4.47	78
147	Prince Edward	71.2%	7.17	14	\$911	3.68	78	69.4%	6.47	19	5.77	25
149	Prince George	59.6%	4.10	91	\$1,032	5.99	17	58.3%	3.66	95	4.58	73
153	Prince William	71.3%	7.21	13	\$1,212	9.41	2	70.4%	6.71	14	7.78	2
155	Pulaski	63.1%	5.03	75	\$961	4.64	56	53.0%	2.32	112	3.99	94
157	Rappahannock	54.8%	2.84	111	\$977	4.94	45	48.9%	1.28	118	3.02	114
159	Richmond County	58.8%	3.91	97	\$963	4.67	54	43.9%	0.00	120	2.86	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.74	8	\$1,040	6.13	15	57.8%	3.54	98	5.80	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	6.96	19	\$883	3.16	92	68.5%	6.25	28	5.46	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.0%	7.90	5	\$1,021	5.77	23	67.8%	6.06	31	6.58	9
167	Russell	59.3%	4.02	92	\$866	2.83	101	66.1%	5.63	40	4.16	90
169	Scott	58.5%	3.82	100	\$899	3.45	84	75.4%	7.98	5	5.09	55
171	Shenandoah	60.1%	4.24	87	\$979	4.98	44	69.1%	6.39	21	5.20	48

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	58.6%	3.85	98	\$888	3.26	90	61.7%	4.51	69	3.88	97
175	Southampton	58.3%	3.77	104	\$861	2.75	105	55.2%	2.87	107	3.13	112
177	Spotsylvania	67.7%	6.25	40	\$1,031	5.97	18	66.1%	5.62	43	5.95	21
179	Stafford	59.9%	4.19	89	\$1,148	8.19	4	67.8%	6.06	32	6.15	16
181	Surry	70.1%	6.87	24	\$911	3.69	77	55.6%	2.98	105	4.51	74
183	Sussex	65.3%	5.62	57	\$985	5.10	40	62.1%	4.63	65	5.12	53
185	Tazewell	66.3%	5.88	52	\$829	2.12	115	65.6%	5.50	47	4.50	75
187	Warren	69.3%	6.66	32	\$1,021	5.78	22	69.9%	6.61	17	6.35	11
191	Washington	62.0%	4.75	81	\$910	3.66	79	57.6%	3.48	99	3.96	96
193	Westmoreland	64.1%	5.29	71	\$943	4.30	63	63.5%	4.96	58	4.85	62
195	Wise	62.5%	4.86	79	\$853	2.58	111	66.1%	5.63	42	4.36	81
197	Wythe	67.1%	6.10	48	\$864	2.80	103	66.8%	5.81	35	4.90	61
199	York/Poquoson	61.3%	4.56	85	\$916	3.78	75	59.9%	4.06	78	4.13	92
510	Alexandria	68.4%	6.43	36	\$1,132	7.88	6	78.2%	8.71	3	7.67	3
520	Bristol	68.1%	6.34	39	\$889	3.27	89	61.9%	4.57	68	4.73	66
540	Charlottesville	81.9%	10.00	1	\$933	4.10	67	66.5%	5.74	37	6.62	8
550	Chesapeake	71.6%	7.26	12	\$958	4.59	57	63.5%	4.97	57	5.61	31
590	Danville	62.5%	4.87	78	\$826	2.07	116	61.4%	4.45	70	3.80	99
620	Franklin City	64.7%	5.45	65	\$853	2.59	110	62.3%	4.67	64	4.24	89
630	Fredericksburg	73.5%	7.79	7	\$1,011	5.58	25	66.3%	5.68	38	6.35	12
640	Galax	62.6%	4.89	76	\$891	3.31	87	68.8%	6.31	26	4.84	63
650	Hampton	70.0%	6.84	26	\$939	4.23	64	63.6%	4.99	56	5.35	44
670	Hopewell	68.7%	6.52	33	\$967	4.75	51	62.4%	4.71	62	5.33	45
680	Lynchburg	70.2%	6.90	22	\$849	2.51	113	67.9%	6.08	29	5.16	49
683	Manassas	64.8%	5.48	64	\$1,147	8.17	5	70.2%	6.67	15	6.77	7
685	Manassas Park	66.6%	5.95	51	\$1,108	7.43	7	76.6%	8.31	4	7.23	5
700	Newport News	70.1%	6.87	25	\$961	4.64	55	69.0%	6.37	23	5.96	20
710	Norfolk	70.2%	6.89	23	\$893	3.34	86	67.8%	6.05	33	5.43	39
720	Norton	73.8%	7.86	6	\$768	0.98	119	71.2%	6.93	12	5.25	46
730	Petersburg	70.2%	6.91	21	\$997	5.31	32	69.9%	6.61	16	6.28	14
740	Portsmouth	70.6%	7.01	18	\$922	3.90	71	68.8%	6.33	24	5.75	26
750	Radford	70.3%	6.93	20	\$933	4.10	68	61.2%	4.40	72	5.14	51
760	Richmond City	70.6%	7.01	17	\$975	4.90	46	71.4%	6.98	11	6.30	13
770	Roanoke City	64.6%	5.43	67	\$991	5.21	36	62.4%	4.69	63	5.11	54
800	Suffolk	72.4%	7.48	9	\$903	3.54	81	67.1%	5.89	34	5.64	30
810	Virginia Beach	64.9%	5.50	63	\$972	4.85	48	69.1%	6.38	22	5.58	33
830	Williamsburg	68.5%	6.46	34	\$934	4.12	65	64.2%	5.15	52	5.25	47
840	Winchester	68.4%	6.43	35	\$989	5.17	37	58.7%	3.76	87	5.12	52

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2016

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	13,917	656	432	1,102	446	218	1,670	184	361	539	583	429	1,751	579	205	1,807	537	2,299	119
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	92%	96%	97%	96%	97%	94%	81%	94%	96%	96%	97%	98%	89%	88%	96%	96%	94%	90%	95%
% Timely in June 2016	90%	94%	86%	95%	100%	95%	79%	100%	97%	100%	96%	95%	76%	90%	93%	97%	87%	93%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	10,393	490	331	822	347	144	1,228	134	283	415	419	350	1,336	437	154	1,307	377	1,729	90
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	98%	99%	96%	99%	95%	92%	89%	99%	94%	96%	98%	92%	95%	97%	95%	96%	94%	98%
Percent in June 2016	95%	100%	100%	93%	100%	94%	91%	100%	100%	97%	97%	96%	91%	95%	94%	96%	97%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	128	3	1	2	0	0	63	0	8	1	2	0	38	5	1	2	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	37	14	83	0	0	62	0	73	41	9	0	49	16	14	6	29	7	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015		656	46	24	164	69	47	94	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015		96%	91%	100%	99%	100%	79%	99%	85%
% Timely in June 2016		94%	75%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015		490	32	14	126	45	40	71	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015		98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	61%
Percent in June 2016		100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30		37	7	0	0	0	0	0	52

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	432	7	55	8	90	78	30	149	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	99%	90%	93%	98%	100%
% Timely in June 2016	86%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	33%	100%	89%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	331	5	40	8	70	62	24	113	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	80%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	89%
Percent in June 2016	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,102	64	20	3	18	102	14	133	55	104	43	546
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	97%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	98%	95%	94%
% Timely in June 2016	95%	83%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	822	49	18	2	14	87	9	96	43	67	32	405
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	50%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	96%	91%	93%
Percent in June 2016	93%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	83	4	0	161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	446	249	0	0	29	168
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	98%	N/A	N/A	90%	95%
% Timely in June 2016	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	347	190	0	0	22	135
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	99%	N/A	N/A	86%	99%
Percent in June 2016	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	218	1	54	25	24	50	64	119	91	28
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	0%	94%	100%	96%	88%	97%	95%	97%	89%
% Timely in June 2016	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	88%	86%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	144	1	37	18	14	42	32	90	67	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	100%	92%	100%	100%	98%	91%	98%	99%	96%
Percent in June 2016	94%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	83%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,670	104	615	172	463	266	42	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	81%	97%	94%	94%	47%	99%	76%	0%
% Timely in June 2016	79%	100%	100%	100%	33%	100%	33%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,228	86	464	122	337	186	33	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	92%	100%	96%	93%	85%	87%	100%	N/A
Percent in June 2016	91%	100%	91%	100%	100%	76%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	63	0	0	0	54	0	1	8
Average # of Days Over 30	62	0	0	0	58	0	4	95

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	184	76	47	7	49	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	91%	100%	86%	100%
% Timely in June 2016	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	134	59	33	7	31	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	89%	93%	97%	100%	68%	100%
Percent in June 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	361	93	8	37	30	31	162
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	99%	0%	97%	100%	90%	98%
% Timely in June 2016	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	283	81	1	27	24	22	128
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in June 2016	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	8	0	7	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	73	0	75	60	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	539	55	39	100	121	224
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	95%	87%	94%	99%	97%
% Timely in June 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	415	40	32	79	94	170
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	98%	100%	92%	95%	94%
Percent in June 2016	97%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	0	41	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	583	70	198	63	92	160
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	91%	95%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in June 2016	96%	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	419	53	141	50	68	107
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	94%	96%	98%	99%	95%
Percent in June 2016	97%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	9	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	429	11	48	39	43	39	71	10	59	50	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%	90%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in June 2016	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	350	7	37	33	36	28	57	8	51	41	52
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	71%	100%	94%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%
Percent in June 2016	96%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,751	323	10	49	686	16	667
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	89%	92%	90%	88%	89%	100%	87%
% Timely in June 2016	76%	96%	100%	25%	76%	100%	68%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,336	255	9	36	520	12	504
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	92%	96%	89%	92%	89%	100%	93%
Percent in June 2016	91%	100%	100%	50%	92%	N/A	89%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	38	2	0	4	7	0	25
Average # of Days Over 30	49	133	0	25	15	0	55

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	579	66	32	169	181	131
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	88%	80%	100%	72%	98%	98%
% Timely in June 2016	90%	60%	100%	80%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	437	52	27	127	130	101
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	98%	100%	85%	98%	98%
Percent in June 2016	95%	100%	100%	92%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	2	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	13	0	18	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	205	37	6	18	21	5	39	14	15	50
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	97%	83%	89%	90%	100%	100%	100%	93%	98%
% Timely in June 2016	93%	N/A	N/A	0%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	154	32	5	15	14	5	26	7	15	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	97%	97%	80%	100%	93%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in June 2016	94%	86%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,807	8	46	88	16	73	567	984	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	93%	94%	100%	93%	97%	96%	96%
% Timely in June 2016	97%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	98%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,307	5	38	63	12	56	400	721	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	100%	97%	95%	92%	91%	93%	96%	100%
Percent in June 2016	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	537	19	45	19	39	173	242
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	95%	98%	95%	97%	92%	94%
% Timely in June 2016	87%	100%	67%	100%	100%	88%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	377	13	40	13	32	126	153
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	92%	97%	98%	93%
Percent in June 2016	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	29	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2016

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	2,299	49	42	390	53	731	488	184	362
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	90%	86%	100%	85%	98%	85%	93%	97%	95%
% Timely in June 2016	93%	80%	100%	84%	100%	94%	96%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,729	33	34	308	40	562	354	137	261
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	94%	94%	95%	100%	91%	97%	99%	95%
Percent in June 2016	95%	100%	0%	93%	100%	98%	97%	93%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

Omitted

Paternities Established

Omitted

Diversionsary Assistance

June Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	6		Spotsylvania	1
Bedford	1		Surry	1
Botetourt	1		Warren	1
Buchanan	1		Wythe	1
Buckingham	1		Bristol	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	1		Charlottesville	2
Craig	1		Chesapeake	2
Culpeper	2		Hampton	6
Dickenson	1		Hopewell	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Manassas	1
Greene	2		Newport News	18
Henry / Martinsville	2		Norfolk	3
Loudoun	1		Petersburg	4
Montgomery	2		Portsmouth	1
Prince George	1		Richmond City	1
Roanoke County	1		Roanoke City	3
Rockingham / H'burg	1		Suffolk	2
Shenandoah	3		Virginia Beach	2
Smyth	1		Statewide	83

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of July 1, 2016. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, June 1995 through June 2016




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through June 2016



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month.



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

June 30, 2016




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of July and represent end of June data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June it was \$9.01 rather than the \$8.86 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours and economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.