

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
December 2007

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services January 24, 2008

Monthly Report, December 2007

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	separate
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	separate
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2007 work participation rates that include clients receiving both TANF and VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 48% with a denominator of 15,859 and a numerator of 7,680.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	60	18	30%	061	Fauquier	62	35	56%
003	Albemarle	56	33	59%	063	Floyd	16	8	50%
005	Alleghany/Covington	88	14	16%	065	Fluvanna	16	9	56%
007	Amelia	20	6	30%	067	Franklin County	90	37	41%
009	Amherst	48	13	27%	069	Frederick	59	31	53%
011	Appomattox	43	24	56%	071	Giles	18	7	39%
013	Arlington	99	59	60%	073	Gloucester	50	21	42%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	270	151	56%	075	Goochland	12	2	17%
017	Bath	4	3	75%	077	Grayson	19	11	58%
019	Bedford County/City	87	47	54%	079	Greene	24	10	42%
021	Bland	6	1	17%	081	Greensville/Emporia	105	55	52%
023	Botetourt	16	1	6%	083	Halifax	120	51	43%
025	Brunswick	77	44	57%	085	Hanover	71	26	37%
027	Buchanan	80	35	44%	087	Henrico	598	277	46%
029	Buckingham	37	6	16%	089	Henry/Martinsville	245	102	42%
031	Campbell	147	72	49%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	52	34	65%	093	Isle of Wight	59	33	56%
035	Carroll	63	28	44%	095	James City	51	18	35%
036	Charles City	16	3	19%	097	King and Queen	13	3	23%
037	Charlotte	23	12	52%	099	King George	23	9	39%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	405	198	49%	101	King William	16	6	38%
043	Clarke	8	5	63%	103	Lancaster	11	0	0%
045	Craig	5	1	20%	105	Lee	137	64	47%
047	Culpeper	63	33	52%	107	Loudoun	155	82	53%
049	Cumberland	30	12	40%	109	Louisa	35	21	60%
051	Dickensen	48	20	42%	111	Lunenburg	19	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	46	23	50%	113	Madison	5	4	80%
057	Essex	35	13	37%	115	Mathews	14	3	21%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	575	280	49%	117	Mecklenburg	90	46	51%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	15	5	33%	187	Warren	60	40	67%
121	Montgomery	187	119	64%	191	Washington	99	54	55%
125	Nelson	15	7	47%	193	Westmoreland	41	10	24%
127	New Kent	21	3	14%	195	Wise	222	96	43%
131	Northampton	53	20	38%	197	Wythe	78	28	36%
133	Northumberland	11	2	18%	199	York/Poquoson	44	25	57%
135	Nottoway	73	6	8%	510	Alexandria	234	105	45%
137	Orange	37	20	54%	520	Bristol	119	59	50%
139	Page	32	18	56%	540	Charlottesville	161	82	51%
141	Patrick	71	46	65%	550	Chesapeake	508	201	40%
143	Pittsylvania	95	43	45%	590	Danville	213	101	47%
145	Powhatan	22	5	23%	620	Franklin City	31	15	48%
147	Prince Edward	70	38	54%	630	Fredericksburg	83	49	59%
149	Prince George	47	10	21%	640	Galax	37	13	35%
153	Prince William	563	279	50%	650	Hampton	573	269	47%
155	Pulaski	96	49	51%	670	Hopewell	163	68	42%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	293	146	50%
159	Richmond County	18	4	22%	683	Manassas	65	36	55%
161	Roanoke County	142	79	56%	685	Manassas Park	21	8	38%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	28	12	43%	700	Newport News	834	406	49%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	171	103	60%	710	Norfolk	1,023	597	58%
167	Russell	115	54	47%	720	Norton	34	12	35%
169	Scott	96	47	49%	730	Petersburg	273	112	41%
171	Shenandoah	16	1	6%	740	Portsmouth	621	296	48%
173	Smyth	76	28	37%	750	Radford	44	18	41%
175	Southampton	56	29	52%	760	Richmond City	1,476	806	55%
177	Spotsylvania	144	68	47%	770	Roanoke City	494	212	43%
179	Stafford	135	44	33%	800	Suffolk	170	102	60%
181	Surry	14	4	29%	810	Virginia Beach	507	258	51%
183	Sussex	50	28	56%	830	Williamsburg	13	7	54%
185	Tazewell	167	85	51%	840	Winchester	46	32	70%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2007 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 13,420 and a numerator of 5,562. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	55	14	25%	061	Fauquier	45	19	42%
003	Albemarle	35	14	40%	063	Floyd	13	6	46%
005	Alleghany/Covington	80	11	14%	065	Fluvanna	11	4	36%
007	Amelia	18	4	22%	067	Franklin County	79	26	33%
009	Amherst	37	7	19%	069	Frederick	44	16	36%
011	Appomattox	32	13	41%	071	Giles	16	5	31%
013	Arlington	66	30	45%	073	Gloucester	44	16	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	220	103	47%	075	Goochland	12	2	17%
017	Bath	2	1	50%	077	Grayson	16	8	50%
019	Bedford County/City	76	38	50%	079	Greene	23	9	39%
021	Bland	5	1	20%	081	Greensville/Emporia	94	47	50%
023	Botetourt	13	1	8%	083	Halifax	111	42	38%
025	Brunswick	67	35	52%	085	Hanover	58	16	28%
027	Buchanan	70	28	40%	087	Henrico	500	193	39%
029	Buckingham	34	6	18%	089	Henry/Martinsville	217	75	35%
031	Campbell	118	49	42%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	41	24	59%	093	Isle of Wight	48	24	50%
035	Carroll	53	18	34%	095	James City	42	11	26%
036	Charles City	16	3	19%	097	King and Queen	13	3	23%
037	Charlotte	21	10	48%	099	King George	18	4	22%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	331	133	40%	101	King William	14	6	43%
043	Clarke	7	5	71%	103	Lancaster	11	0	0%
045	Craig	4	0	0%	105	Lee	121	48	40%
047	Culpeper	53	25	47%	107	Loudoun	117	45	38%
049	Cumberland	25	9	36%	109	Louisa	29	16	55%
051	Dickensen	45	17	38%	111	Lunenburg	18	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	35	13	37%	113	Madison	3	2	67%
057	Essex	33	11	33%	115	Mathews	14	3	21%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	466	178	38%	117	Mecklenburg	81	38	47%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	11	1	9%	187	Warren	48	29	60%
121	Montgomery	153	87	57%	191	Washington	92	47	51%
125	Nelson	12	4	33%	193	Westmoreland	38	9	24%
127	New Kent	20	2	10%	195	Wise	197	71	36%
131	Northampton	45	13	29%	197	Wythe	67	25	37%
133	Northumberland	10	1	10%	199	York/Poquoson	35	16	46%
135	Nottoway	69	2	3%	510	Alexandria	184	59	32%
137	Orange	32	16	50%	520	Bristol	105	47	45%
139	Page	27	13	48%	540	Charlottesville	135	59	44%
141	Patrick	59	34	58%	550	Chesapeake	442	145	33%
143	Pittsylvania	83	34	41%	590	Danville	189	83	44%
145	Powhatan	22	5	23%	620	Franklin City	28	12	43%
147	Prince Edward	50	18	36%	630	Fredericksburg	61	30	49%
149	Prince George	41	8	20%	640	Galax	31	11	35%
153	Prince William	447	181	40%	650	Hampton	467	184	39%
155	Pulaski	83	37	45%	670	Hopewell	145	54	37%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	264	124	47%
159	Richmond County	15	1	7%	683	Manassas	53	27	51%
161	Roanoke County	118	56	47%	685	Manassas Park	18	5	28%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	26	10	38%	700	Newport News	700	279	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	132	64	48%	710	Norfolk	902	482	53%
167	Russell	99	41	41%	720	Norton	29	7	24%
169	Scott	78	31	40%	730	Petersburg	248	98	40%
171	Shenandoah	16	1	6%	740	Portsmouth	539	226	42%
173	Smyth	70	23	33%	750	Radford	36	15	42%
175	Southampton	46	20	43%	760	Richmond City	1,259	628	50%
177	Spotsylvania	126	56	44%	770	Roanoke City	419	145	35%
179	Stafford	125	38	30%	800	Suffolk	134	69	51%
181	Surry	14	4	29%	810	Virginia Beach	413	171	41%
183	Sussex	46	24	52%	830	Williamsburg	10	5	50%
185	Tazewell	150	69	46%	840	Winchester	34	20	59%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2007 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 87% with a denominator of 2,439 and a numerator of 2,118. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	4	80%	061	Fauquier	17	16	94%
003	Albemarle	21	19	90%	063	Floyd	3	2	67%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	3	38%	065	Fluvanna	5	5	100%
007	Amelia	2	2	100%	067	Franklin County	11	11	100%
009	Amherst	11	6	55%	069	Frederick	15	15	100%
011	Appomattox	11	11	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	33	29	88%	073	Gloucester	6	5	83%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	50	48	96%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	11	9	82%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	1	0	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	11	8	73%
023	Botetourt	3	0	0%	083	Halifax	9	9	100%
025	Brunswick	10	9	90%	085	Hanover	13	10	77%
027	Buchanan	10	7	70%	087	Henrico	98	84	86%
029	Buckingham	3	0	0%	089	Henry/Martinsville	28	27	96%
031	Campbell	29	23	79%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	11	10	91%	093	Isle of Wight	11	9	82%
035	Carroll	10	10	100%	095	James City	9	7	78%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	2	2	100%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	74	65	88%	101	King William	2	0	0%
043	Clarke	1	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	16	16	100%
047	Culpeper	10	8	80%	107	Loudoun	38	37	97%
049	Cumberland	5	3	60%	109	Louisa	6	5	83%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	11	10	91%	113	Madison	2	2	100%
057	Essex	2	2	100%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	109	102	94%	117	Mecklenburg	9	8	89%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	12	11	92%
121	Montgomery	34	32	94%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	1	33%
127	New Kent	1	1	N/A	195	Wise	25	25	100%
131	Northampton	8	7	88%	197	Wythe	11	3	27%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	9	9	100%
135	Nottoway	4	4	100%	510	Alexandria	50	46	92%
137	Orange	5	4	80%	520	Bristol	14	12	86%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	26	23	88%
141	Patrick	12	12	100%	550	Chesapeake	66	56	85%
143	Pittsylvania	12	9	75%	590	Danville	24	18	75%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	3	3	100%
147	Prince Edward	20	20	100%	630	Fredericksburg	22	19	86%
149	Prince George	6	2	33%	640	Galax	6	2	33%
153	Prince William	116	98	84%	650	Hampton	106	85	80%
155	Pulaski	13	12	92%	670	Hopewell	18	14	78%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	29	22	76%
159	Richmond County	3	3	100%	683	Manassas	12	9	75%
161	Roanoke County	24	23	96%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	134	127	95%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	39	39	100%	710	Norfolk	121	115	95%
167	Russell	16	13	81%	720	Norton	5	5	100%
169	Scott	18	16	89%	730	Petersburg	25	14	56%
171	Shenandoah	0	0	N/A	740	Portsmouth	82	70	85%
173	Smyth	6	5	83%	750	Radford	8	3	38%
175	Southampton	10	9	90%	760	Richmond City	217	178	82%
177	Spotsylvania	18	12	67%	770	Roanoke City	75	67	89%
179	Stafford	10	6	60%	800	Suffolk	36	33	92%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	94	87	93%
183	Sussex	4	4	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	17	16	94%	840	Winchester	12	12	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Dec-07	Dec-06	Change from Dec-06	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,444	3,546	-2.9%	4,807	-28%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	1,961	1,781	10%	1,658	18%
Applications Approved	1,838	2,053	-10%	2,820	-35%
Cases Closed *	2,219	2,497	-11%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	27,823	30,077	-7%	67,995	-59%
Cases Paid During Month ²	28,668	30,875	-7%	70,403	-59%

* The database indicates that of the 2,219 closed cases, 1,002 were VIEW cases, of which 662 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 158 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 127 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Dec-07	Dec-06	Change from Dec-06	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	155	152	2%	338	-54%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	135	119	13%	232	-42%
Applications Approved	139	204	-32%	81	72%
Cases Closed *	141	194	-27%	107	32%
Cases at End of Month	946	1,167	-19%	321	195%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,012	1,240	-18%	394	157%

* The database indicates that of the 141 closed cases, 83 were VIEW cases, of which 55 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, nine were closed after 24 months participation, and of those six closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	28,769	1,858	982	2,235	829	340	3,023	340	530	1,031	1,503	926	4,553	716	312	2,645	1,068	5,621	257
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,028	658	402	887	302	142	1,125	108	189	489	467	449	1,853	322	105	973	421	2,057	79
Part. in a Work Activity	8,383	528	296	635	224	119	879	91	160	348	355	320	1,435	251	75	707	294	1,618	48
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	74%	72%	74%	84%	78%	84%	85%	71%	76%	71%	77%	78%	71%	73%	70%	79%	61%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	113	4	9	16	2	4	17	2	0	5	0	5	9	2	1	10	7	20	0
Participants Employed	6,844	450	267	546	206	92	626	75	120	288	302	252	1,002	196	63	621	250	1,445	43
% of Enrolled	62%	68%	66%	62%	68%	65%	56%	69%	63%	59%	65%	56%	54%	61%	60%	64%	59%	70%	54%
Full Time	6,006	430	246	483	177	86	514	67	97	230	263	192	858	168	55	541	221	1,336	42
Part Time	838	20	21	63	29	6	112	8	23	58	39	60	144	28	8	80	29	109	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$6.48	\$6.64	\$7.18	\$7.39	\$7.39	\$9.19	\$7.92	\$7.77	\$7.08	\$6.84	\$6.61	\$7.80	\$7.94	\$7.20	\$7.33	\$7.23	\$7.18	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$937	\$958	\$1,028	\$1,081	\$1,061	\$1,289	\$1,148	\$1,038	\$988	\$954	\$919	\$1,122	\$1,089	\$1,017	\$1,036	\$1,045	\$1,021	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	13,692	862	504	1,201	424	216	1,456	156	296	530	781	473	1,952	412	137	1,267	441	2,470	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW	20,484	1,180	779	1,681	620	290	2,084	204	396	850	1,040	755	3,252	550	205	1,814	732	3,895	157
Part. in a Work Activity	18,094	1,056	674	1,444	539	260	1,886	181	371	780	918	665	2,916	489	164	1,566	634	3,421	130
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	87%	86%	87%	90%	90%	89%	94%	92%	88%	88%	90%	89%	80%	86%	87%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	12,966	738	508	1,053	407	191	1,259	129	267	550	601	450	1,928	352	123	1,195	473	2,651	91
% of Total Enrolled	63%	63%	65%	63%	66%	66%	60%	63%	67%	65%	58%	60%	59%	64%	60%	66%	65%	68%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$6.40	\$6.76	\$7.39	\$7.62	\$7.82	\$9.54	\$8.19	\$7.99	\$7.13	\$6.89	\$6.69	\$7.91	\$8.01	\$7.20	\$7.42	\$7.30	\$7.31	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,023	\$868	\$935	\$1,011	\$1,061	\$1,062	\$1,302	\$1,091	\$1,019	\$945	\$926	\$880	\$1,075	\$1,044	\$972	\$998	\$991	\$990	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	73%	69%	68%	75%	75%	74%	75%	65%	68%	67%	70%	71%	71%	65%	71%	71%	73%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	194,997	13,402	7,308	14,048	5,410	3,300	24,047	2,699	4,150	7,386	9,410	5,468	25,942	4,996	2,429	18,374	6,604	38,182	1,842
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	143,898	9,985	6,047	10,129	3,952	2,309	18,240	2,002	3,071	5,974	7,356	3,950	19,720	3,376	1,671	12,977	4,978	26,856	1,305
Part. in a Work Activity	138,482	9,613	5,751	9,761	3,833	2,238	17,724	1,934	2,991	5,666	7,108	3,757	19,133	3,245	1,590	12,483	4,772	25,621	1,262
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	103,706	6,413	4,203	7,368	2,889	1,659	13,686	1,435	2,485	4,283	4,930	2,558	14,282	2,425	1,136	9,688	3,619	19,884	763
% of Total Enrolled	72%	64%	70%	73%	73%	72%	75%	72%	81%	72%	67%	65%	72%	72%	68%	75%	73%	74%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.73	\$5.84	\$5.90	\$6.69	\$6.74	\$6.87	\$8.16	\$7.05	\$7.03	\$6.11	\$6.04	\$6.23	\$6.98	\$7.40	\$6.50	\$6.59	\$6.61	\$6.48	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$903	\$770	\$813	\$913	\$909	\$947	\$1,095	\$942	\$929	\$801	\$817	\$831	\$954	\$985	\$850	\$874	\$908	\$855	\$788
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	55%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	55%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	55%	57%	62%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,858	233	111	268	247	159	333	451	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	658	50	38	122	91	64	111	157	25
Participants in a Work Activity	528	37	28	101	83	45	101	114	19
% of Enrolled	80%	74%	74%	83%	91%	70%	91%	73%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	450	26	26	96	54	43	78	109	18
% of Enrolled	68%	52%	68%	79%	59%	67%	70%	69%	72%
Full Time	430	23	26	91	51	41	74	106	18
Part Time	20	3	0	5	3	2	4	3	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.48	\$6.28	\$6.40	\$6.20	\$7.25	\$6.56	\$6.40	\$6.51	\$6.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$892	\$898	\$890	\$1,066	\$972	\$905	\$955	\$875

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	862	80	59	120	116	79	177	206	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,180	95	73	190	179	104	214	289	36
Participants in a Work Activity	1,056	82	60	178	167	99	194	244	32
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	82%	94%	93%	95%	91%	84%	89%
Participants Employed	738	47	42	143	97	69	129	184	27
% of Total Enrolled	63%	49%	58%	75%	54%	66%	60%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.40	\$6.19	\$6.33	\$6.11	\$6.92	\$6.50	\$6.58	\$6.32	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$868	\$822	\$866	\$834	\$937	\$908	\$878	\$859	\$796
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	58%	81%	78%	66%	90%	72%	72%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	13,402	1,410	974	1,889	1,828	1,187	2,603	3,101	410
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,985	1,052	719	1,376	1,423	810	1,965	2,320	320
Participants in a Work Activity	9,613	973	678	1,362	1,348	792	1,900	2,256	304
% of Total Enrolled	96%	92%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	6,413	548	435	919	897	493	1,378	1,490	253
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	67%	63%	61%	70%	64%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.84	\$6.03	\$5.92	\$5.52	\$6.08	\$6.07	\$5.81	\$5.79	\$5.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$770	\$773	\$774	\$739	\$819	\$834	\$768	\$752	\$698
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	60%	65%	57%	58%	62%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	982	21	111	51	143	216	132	241	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	402	5	39	14	54	75	72	111	32
Participants in a Work Activity	296	3	35	12	43	57	52	71	23
% of Enrolled	74%	60%	90%	86%	80%	76%	72%	64%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	0
Participants Employed	267	2	29	10	37	55	47	68	19
% of Enrolled	66%	40%	74%	71%	69%	73%	65%	61%	59%
Full Time	246	2	28	9	31	51	45	63	17
Part Time	21	0	1	1	6	4	2	5	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.88	\$6.16	\$6.46	\$6.54	\$6.83	\$6.86	\$6.71	\$6.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$1,013	\$959	\$917	\$985	\$916	\$1,004	\$963	\$901

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	504	8	55	19	75	88	98	130	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	779	7	92	32	114	126	124	219	65
Participants in a Work Activity	674	6	85	31	90	112	119	177	54
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	92%	97%	79%	89%	96%	81%	83%
Participants Employed	508	4	66	24	66	90	87	134	37
% of Total Enrolled	65%	57%	72%	75%	58%	71%	70%	61%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.50	\$6.66	\$6.81	\$6.61	\$6.79	\$6.96	\$6.73	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$887	\$935	\$935	\$924	\$902	\$973	\$945	\$932
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	50%	71%	84%	60%	65%	80%	63%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,308	181	1,032	527	1,237	1,202	1,180	1,455	494
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,047	144	837	426	1,021	965	949	1,275	430
Participants in a Work Activity	5,751	132	751	392	973	940	928	1,226	409
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	90%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,203	96	573	287	675	670	653	952	297
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	68%	67%	66%	69%	69%	75%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.90	\$5.76	\$5.73	\$6.09	\$5.69	\$6.18	\$5.99	\$5.89	\$5.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$813	\$794	\$780	\$814	\$786	\$859	\$806	\$824	\$821
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	45%	45%	58%	53%	51%	56%	49%	62%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,235	142	25	4	38	188	33	323	192	257	71	962
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	887	131	13	1	15	57	17	141	68	100	35	309
Participants in a Work Activity	635	66	7	1	10	34	9	129	52	85	28	214
% of Enrolled	72%	50%	54%	100%	67%	60%	53%	91%	76%	85%	80%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	2	1	2
Participants Employed	546	63	7	1	8	28	9	117	42	64	22	185
% of Enrolled	62%	48%	54%	100%	53%	49%	53%	83%	62%	64%	63%	60%
Full Time	483	47	7	1	7	23	8	114	38	55	16	167
Part Time	63	16	0	0	1	5	1	3	4	9	6	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.54	\$7.66	\$7.00	\$7.16	\$6.92	\$6.75	\$6.96	\$7.12	\$6.93	\$7.50	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,041	\$1,116	\$1,212	\$1,079	\$985	\$954	\$1,007	\$1,039	\$984	\$931	\$1,064

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,201	63	13	3	17	105	27	131	109	124	56	553
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,681	145	18	5	28	117	38	254	142	174	77	683
Participants in a Work Activity	1,444	98	12	5	23	88	36	235	118	160	66	603
% of Total Enrolled	86%	68%	67%	100%	82%	75%	95%	93%	83%	92%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	1,053	72	7	5	18	66	24	210	84	121	48	398
% of Total Enrolled	63%	50%	39%	100%	64%	56%	63%	83%	59%	70%	62%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.56	\$7.66	\$7.82	\$7.04	\$7.50	\$6.80	\$7.15	\$6.95	\$7.61	\$7.47	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$946	\$976	\$1,256	\$916	\$1,081	\$953	\$961	\$948	\$1,050	\$931	\$1,055
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	81%	83%	50%	69%	77%	63%	71%	66%	69%	65%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	14,048	908	231	44	367	1,154	349	2,032	1,277	1,420	564	5,702
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,129	644	131	12	247	831	224	1,596	965	1,067	419	3,993
Participants in a Work Activity	9,761	616	118	12	241	769	219	1,582	928	1,025	410	3,841
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	98%	93%	98%	99%	96%	96%	98%	96%
Participants Employed	7,368	455	68	10	178	581	132	1,315	657	831	309	2,832
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	52%	83%	72%	70%	59%	82%	68%	78%	74%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.69	\$6.47	\$7.09	\$6.13	\$6.56	\$6.54	\$6.51	\$6.56	\$6.49	\$7.16	\$6.70	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$913	\$808	\$928	\$978	\$883	\$911	\$899	\$895	\$921	\$1,001	\$877	\$922
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	48%	43%	63%	46%	50%	48%	55%	45%	53%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	829	503	5	0	71	250
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	302	159	2	0	21	120
Participants in a Work Activity	224	114	2	N/A	16	92
% of Enrolled	74%	72%	100%	N/A	76%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	N/A	0	2
Participants Employed	206	109	0	N/A	13	84
% of Enrolled	68%	69%	0%	N/A	62%	70%
Full Time	177	94	N/A	N/A	10	73
Part Time	29	15	N/A	N/A	3	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.25	N/A	N/A	\$7.33	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,070	N/A	N/A	\$994	\$1,110

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	424	269	3	0	22	130
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	620	352	8	0	43	217
Participants in a Work Activity	539	306	7	N/A	36	190
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	88%	N/A	84%	88%
Participants Employed	407	225	5	N/A	27	150
% of Total Enrolled	66%	64%	63%	N/A	63%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.58	\$7.36	N/A	\$7.83	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,067	\$904	N/A	\$1,061	\$1,057
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	70%	100%	N/A	70%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,410	2,880	53	15	505	1,957
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,952	2,127	31	7	368	1,419
Participants in a Work Activity	3,833	2,079	30	7	354	1,363
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	2,889	1,513	21	5	288	1,062
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	68%	71%	78%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.74	\$6.70	\$7.75	\$6.47	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$909	\$924	\$763	\$694	\$842	\$912
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	52%	50%	60%	54%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDDS	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	340	9	89	42	28	92	80	257	155	102
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	142	7	31	24	6	40	34	79	34	45
Participants in a Work Activity	119	5	22	21	5	38	28	48	21	27
% of Enrolled	84%	71%	71%	88%	0	95%	82%	61%	62%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	92	5	18	16	4	26	23	43	20	23
% of Enrolled	65%	71%	58%	67%	4	65%	68%	54%	59%	51%
Full Time	86	5	15	15	4	26	21	42	19	23
Part Time	6	0	3	1	0	0	2	1	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.93	\$6.97	\$7.31	\$9.19	\$7.54	\$7.17	\$7.31	\$7.83	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,226	\$907	\$1,068	\$1,485	\$1,088	\$1,039	\$1,100	\$1,143	\$1,063

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDS	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	216	7	46	33	23	57	50	114	74	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	290	10	72	52	9	72	75	157	76	81
Participants in a Work Activity	260	10	65	41	8	66	70	130	64	66
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	90%	79%	89%	92%	93%	83%	84%	81%
Participants Employed	191	10	45	31	6	48	51	91	43	48
% of Total Enrolled	66%	100%	63%	60%	67%	67%	68%	58%	57%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.85	\$7.49	\$7.90	\$8.50	\$8.40	\$7.43	\$7.22	\$7.38	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$981	\$959	\$1,006	\$1,292	\$1,206	\$1,032	\$988	\$993	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	70%	75%	79%	50%	83%	67%	79%	68%	92%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDDS	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,300	142	562	524	437	831	804	1,842	1141	701
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,309	82	389	412	241	579	606	1,305	779	526
Participants in a Work Activity	2,238	78	372	403	236	561	588	1262	765	497
% of Total Enrolled	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	97%	97%	97%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,659	52	251	318	172	421	445	763	426	337
% of Total Enrolled	72%	63%	65%	77%	71%	73%	73%	58%	55%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$7.11	\$6.88	\$7.00	\$6.33	\$6.88	\$6.88	\$6.24	\$6.31	\$6.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$947	\$968	\$934	\$964	\$895	\$950	\$950	\$788	\$795	\$779
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	56%	56%	54%	60%	50%	62%	59%	65%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,023	193	1,124	195	935	399	138	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,125	50	354	121	402	133	44	21
Participants in a Work Activity	879	42	302	91	301	108	24	11
% of Enrolled	78%	84%	85%	75%	75%	81%	55%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	10	1	2	3	0	1
Participants Employed	626	27	195	80	226	70	21	7
% of Enrolled	56%	54%	55%	66%	56%	53%	48%	33%
Full Time	514	22	155	64	196	54	17	6
Part Time	112	5	40	16	30	16	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.19	\$8.84	\$9.55	\$9.49	\$9.01	\$8.77	\$8.60	\$8.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,289	\$1,259	\$1,359	\$1,303	\$1,269	\$1,164	\$1,209	\$1,382

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,456	79	480	117	527	165	63	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,084	107	712	197	705	253	78	32
Participants in a Work Activity	1,886	96	660	173	635	234	71	17
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	93%	88%	90%	92%	91%	53%
Participants Employed	1,259	62	425	137	440	142	41	12
% of Total Enrolled	60%	58%	60%	70%	62%	56%	53%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.54	\$10.87	\$9.79	\$9.87	\$9.21	\$9.16	\$8.76	\$9.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,302	\$1,592	\$1,327	\$1,320	\$1,285	\$1,164	\$1,202	\$1,369
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	64%	72%	71%	77%	71%	80%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	24,047	2,263	8,384	1,545	7,604	2,963	987	301
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,240	1,710	6,179	1,228	5,823	2,346	733	221
Participants in a Work Activity	17,724	1,693	6,003	1,186	5,663	2,256	710	213
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	97%	97%	96%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	13,686	1,311	4,454	1,034	4,484	1,705	530	168
% of Total Enrolled	75%	77%	72%	84%	77%	73%	72%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.73	\$8.06	\$8.61	\$8.41	\$7.89	\$7.80	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,011	\$1,073	\$1,122	\$1,166	\$1,005	\$1,062	\$1,019
Five Months Employment ⁹	64%	65%	62%	67%	62%	70%	62%	68%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	340	126	98	30	80	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	108	48	29	3	24	4
Participants in a Work Activity	91	41	24	3	20	3
% of Enrolled	84%	85%	83%	100%	83%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	75	33	21	3	18	0
% of Enrolled	69%	69%	72%	100%	75%	0%
Full Time	67	30	20	3	14	N/A
Part Time	8	3	1	0	4	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$8.42	\$7.68	\$7.83	\$7.30	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,260	\$1,120	\$1,189	\$968	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	156	60	49	9	32	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	204	82	61	12	43	6
Participants in a Work Activity	181	75	55	12	33	6
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	90%	100%	77%	100%
Participants Employed	129	47	40	10	30	2
% of Total Enrolled	63%	57%	66%	83%	70%	33%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.40	\$8.31	\$8.23	\$7.69	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,163	\$1,079	\$1,243	\$950	\$1,084
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	78%	73%	80%	79%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,699	1022	786	258	563	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,002	722	591	190	449	50
Participants in a Work Activity	1,934	696	584	183	424	47
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	96%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,435	506	427	132	337	33
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	72%	69%	75%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.01	\$7.32	\$6.89	\$6.84	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$928	\$958	\$964	\$934	\$939
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	64%	44%	44%	46%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	530	78	30	49	84	24	265
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	189	28	5	22	22	6	106
Participants in a Work Activity	160	24	2	15	20	6	93
% of Enrolled	85%	86%	40%	68%	91%	100%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	120	20	1	12	14	4	69
% of Enrolled	63%	71%	20%	55%	64%	67%	65%
Full Time	97	19	1	5	13	2	57
Part Time	23	1	0	7	1	2	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.06	\$7.50	\$7.27	\$7.60	\$7.56	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$1,196	\$974	\$834	\$999	\$949	\$1,041

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	296	59	7	28	40	12	150
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	396	63	11	35	58	12	217
Participants in a Work Activity	371	56	9	29	53	12	212
% of Total Enrolled	94%	89%	82%	83%	91%	100%	98%
Participants Employed	267	44	4	25	42	8	144
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	36%	71%	72%	67%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.58	\$8.85	\$7.16	\$7.89	\$8.11	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$1,153	\$1,266	\$876	\$1,038	\$1,034	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	81%	50%	72%	64%	60%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,150	925	149	318	602	181	1,975
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,071	627	95	226	395	112	1,616
Participants in a Work Activity	2,991	610	90	215	375	111	1,590
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	95%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,485	501	69	180	267	77	1,391
% of Total Enrolled	81%	80%	73%	80%	68%	69%	86%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.37	\$6.95	\$7.02	\$6.95	\$7.20	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$929	\$962	\$1,032	\$915	\$940	\$1,011	\$915
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	51%	53%	53%	51%	57%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,031	81	68	160	235	487
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	489	32	27	74	135	221
Participants in a Work Activity	348	20	23	54	94	157
% of Enrolled	71%	63%	85%	73%	70%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	2	0	1	2
Participants Employed	288	18	16	46	69	139
% of Enrolled	59%	56%	59%	62%	51%	63%
Full Time	230	12	16	38	57	107
Part Time	58	6	0	8	12	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.34	\$7.02	\$6.87	\$7.33	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$867	\$1,119	\$945	\$1,061	\$967

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	530	45	39	91	114	241
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	850	56	60	141	217	376
Participants in a Work Activity	780	51	59	131	195	344
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	98%	93%	90%	91%
Participants Employed	550	35	40	93	137	245
% of Total Enrolled	65%	63%	67%	66%	63%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.73	\$6.84	\$7.04	\$7.38	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$923	\$982	\$919	\$1,050	\$892
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	85%	58%	65%	70%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,386	678	614	1,444	1,704	2,946
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,974	537	536	1,113	1,405	2,383
Participants in a Work Activity	5,666	516	525	1,055	1,319	2,251
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	95%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,283	369	387	764	980	1,783
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	72%	69%	70%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.11	\$6.37	\$5.93	\$6.07	\$6.26	\$6.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$801	\$818	\$809	\$791	\$835	\$783
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	42%	56%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,503	258	427	113	228	477
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	467	80	145	65	44	133
Participants in a Work Activity	355	59	106	58	34	98
% of Enrolled	76%	74%	73%	89%	77%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	302	55	82	51	32	82
% of Enrolled	65%	69%	57%	78%	73%	62%
Full Time	263	49	67	44	28	75
Part Time	39	6	15	7	4	7
Average Wage⁶	\$6.84	\$7.20	\$6.99	\$6.27	\$6.72	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$954	\$1,073	\$966	\$864	\$893	\$944

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	781	116	222	98	110	235
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	1,040	192	306	130	110	302
Participants in a Work Activity	918	168	256	117	98	279
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	84%	90%	89%	92%
Participants Employed	601	106	180	98	55	162
% of Total Enrolled	58%	55%	59%	75%	50%	54%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.89	\$6.87	\$7.14	\$6.19	\$6.99	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$926	\$916	\$997	\$815	\$922	\$916
Three Months Employment⁸	67%	68%	62%	77%	75%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	9,410	1,450	2,409	961	1,509	3,081
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	7,356	1,203	1,694	816	1,127	2,516
Participants in a Work Activity	7,108	1,148	1,626	770	1,113	2,451
% of Total Enrolled	97%	95%	96%	94%	99%	97%
Participants Employed	4,930	759	1,142	568	666	1,795
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	70%	59%	71%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.04	\$6.02	\$6.30	\$5.97	\$6.06	\$5.92
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$817	\$808	\$904	\$784	\$839	\$776
Five Months Employment⁹	55%	48%	55%	67%	53%	54%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	926	48	118	67	51	48	144	40	165	128	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	449	15	60	41	16	19	82	23	65	66	62
Participants in a Work Activity	320	9	44	19	12	15	68	7	51	47	48
% of Enrolled	71%	60%	73%	46%	75%	79%	83%	30%	78%	71%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	252	5	41	10	11	11	44	6	40	45	39
% of Enrolled	56%	33%	68%	24%	69%	58%	54%	26%	62%	68%	63%
Full Time	192	5	22	10	9	7	31	5	28	42	33
Part Time	60	0	19	0	2	4	13	1	12	3	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$8.63	\$6.00	\$6.81	\$8.17	\$6.77	\$6.57	\$6.00	\$6.31	\$6.58	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$1,330	\$716	\$1,035	\$1,116	\$957	\$897	\$731	\$865	\$976	\$1,025

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	473	23	63	48	30	29	63	10	80	56	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	755	27	98	67	33	35	124	24	113	113	121
Participants in a Work Activity	665	25	87	51	25	31	117	8	105	103	113
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	89%	76%	76%	89%	94%	33%	93%	91%	93%
Participants Employed	450	16	47	21	18	23	80	6	72	82	85
% of Total Enrolled	60%	59%	48%	31%	55%	66%	65%	25%	64%	73%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.69	\$7.56	\$6.00	\$6.45	\$7.95	\$7.46	\$6.62	\$6.00	\$6.22	\$6.75	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$1,055	\$796	\$820	\$1,019	\$1,001	\$809	\$697	\$780	\$968	\$948
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	50%	75%	47%	61%	76%	69%	N/A	60%	89%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,468	310	760	551	457	375	665	214	771	662	703
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,950	209	581	381	300	247	482	145	575	485	545
Participants in a Work Activity	3,757	191	577	346	278	231	462	143	539	455	535
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	99%	91%	93%	94%	96%	99%	94%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	2,558	136	337	231	163	144	288	78	411	347	423
% of Total Enrolled	65%	65%	58%	61%	54%	58%	60%	54%	71%	72%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.23	\$6.93	\$5.86	\$6.56	\$6.48	\$6.69	\$6.17	\$5.55	\$5.92	\$6.28	\$6.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$831	\$938	\$792	\$889	\$852	\$912	\$791	\$735	\$781	\$843	\$838
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	53%	46%	42%	57%	59%	59%	54%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,553	790	28	130	1,092	36	2,477
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,853	285	16	46	446	12	1,048
Participants in a Work Activity	1,435	200	10	37	334	7	847
% of Enrolled	77%	70%	63%	80%	75%	58%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	8	0	1
Participants Employed	1,002	171	5	35	285	5	501
% of Enrolled	54%	60%	31%	76%	64%	42%	48%
Full Time	858	148	2	25	243	4	436
Part Time	144	23	3	10	42	1	65
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.65	\$7.20	\$8.20	\$8.13	\$8.50	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,122	\$1,099	\$880	\$1,106	\$1,188	\$1,169	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,952	398	17	44	439	15	1,039
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,252	514	16	73	778	41	1,830
Participants in a Work Activity	2,916	475	15	58	668	22	1,678
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	94%	79%	86%	54%	92%
Participants Employed	1,928	329	5	47	506	14	1,027
% of Total Enrolled	59%	64%	31%	64%	65%	34%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.94	\$7.20	\$8.21	\$8.15	\$7.15	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,073	\$983	\$1,039	\$1,117	\$742	\$1,061
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	77%	100%	84%	79%	63%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	25,942	4,887	171	760	5,787	224	14,113
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,720	3,605	121	512	4,523	145	10,814
Participants in a Work Activity	19,133	3,531	116	469	4,320	139	10,558
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	92%	96%	96%	98%
Participants Employed	14,282	2,533	83	354	3,275	86	7,951
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	69%	69%	72%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$7.19	\$6.45	\$7.83	\$7.22	\$6.57	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$980	\$851	\$1,041	\$984	\$850	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	57%	67%	56%	53%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	716	99	29	228	240	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	322	38	10	125	100	49
Participants in a Work Activity	251	38	8	90	71	44
% of Enrolled	78%	100%	80%	72%	71%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	196	31	6	76	52	31
% of Enrolled	61%	82%	60%	61%	52%	63%
Full Time	168	29	6	63	45	25
Part Time	28	2	0	13	7	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.18	\$8.21	\$7.67	\$8.06	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,170	\$1,136	\$1,032	\$1,092	\$1,134

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	412	70	19	119	124	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	550	69	22	198	134	127
Participants in a Work Activity	489	67	20	172	107	123
% of Total Enrolled	89%	97%	91%	87%	80%	97%
Participants Employed	352	50	15	132	73	82
% of Total Enrolled	64%	72%	68%	67%	54%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$8.00	\$7.91	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,071	\$1,127	\$1,036	\$1,012	\$1,053
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	58%	60%	77%	82%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	4,996	809	342	1,383	1,442	1,020
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,376	541	218	1032	760	825
Participants in a Work Activity	3,245	523	209	961	735	817
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	2,425	349	159	759	487	671
% of Total Enrolled	72%	65%	73%	74%	64%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.15	\$6.99	\$7.46	\$7.86	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$985	\$921	\$893	\$1,001	\$1,106	\$962
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	40%	52%	57%	56%	52%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	312	58	25	28	37	18	20	24	25	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	105	25	4	10	3	8	8	4	3	40
Participants in a Work Activity	75	19	3	9	3	7	4	4	3	23
% of Enrolled	71%	76%	75%	90%	100%	88%	50%	100%	100%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	63	15	3	8	3	4	3	4	2	21
% of Enrolled	60%	60%	75%	80%	100%	50%	38%	100%	67%	53%
Full Time	55	10	3	8	2	3	3	3	2	21
Part Time	8	5	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.45	\$7.83	\$7.55	\$6.98	\$6.89	\$7.62	\$7.14	\$6.63	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$890	\$1,147	\$1,119	\$784	\$1,056	\$1,268	\$1,138	\$908	\$1,027

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	137	34	15	15	4	10	10	10	10	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	205	39	12	16	11	11	20	13	12	71
Participants in a Work Activity	164	37	11	14	8	10	18	13	9	44
% of Total Enrolled	80%	95%	92%	88%	73%	91%	90%	100%	75%	62%
Participants Employed	123	25	7	12	7	8	13	8	8	35
% of Total Enrolled	60%	64%	58%	75%	64%	73%	65%	62%	67%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.28	\$7.60	\$7.61	\$6.76	\$7.78	\$7.14	\$6.91	\$7.59	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$901	\$1,033	\$1,074	\$773	\$1,117	\$985	\$957	\$989	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	62%	40%	86%	57%	75%	85%	60%	43%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,429	344	189	177	295	141	278	219	226	560
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,671	255	118	137	222	86	194	171	109	379
Participants in a Work Activity	1,590	246	112	131	210	80	183	166	104	358
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	1,136	184	69	86	158	54	143	111	76	255
% of Total Enrolled	68%	72%	58%	63%	71%	63%	74%	65%	70%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.50	\$6.46	\$6.73	\$6.83	\$6.34	\$6.53	\$6.57	\$6.27	\$6.59	\$6.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$850	\$813	\$861	\$958	\$803	\$835	\$853	\$832	\$921	\$866
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	35%	44%	47%	49%	55%	43%	34%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,645	33	99	77	38	63	986	1,332	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	973	16	25	35	15	24	313	536	9
Participants in a Work Activity	707	9	17	23	7	17	230	398	6
% of Enrolled	73%	56%	68%	66%	47%	71%	73%	74%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	0
Participants Employed	621	6	14	18	7	16	195	361	4
% of Enrolled	64%	38%	56%	51%	47%	67%	62%	67%	44%
Full Time	541	4	11	12	6	15	161	329	3
Part Time	80	2	3	6	1	1	34	32	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$7.88	\$6.82	\$7.07	\$8.87	\$7.17	\$7.26	\$7.36	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,078	\$964	\$863	\$1,206	\$1,056	\$998	\$1,063	\$1,023

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,267	14	50	43	18	44	428	658	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,814	26	63	59	16	57	576	1,000	17
Participants in a Work Activity	1,566	24	53	48	12	51	518	845	15
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	84%	81%	75%	89%	90%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	1,195	12	35	40	8	40	373	677	10
% of Total Enrolled	66%	46%	56%	68%	50%	70%	65%	68%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$7.54	\$7.69	\$7.51	\$8.89	\$7.35	\$7.45	\$7.36	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$981	\$1,000	\$912	\$1,193	\$952	\$976	\$1,016	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	63%	69%	74%	100%	68%	73%	69%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	18,374	107	726	690	201	687	6,554	9,179	230
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,977	75	483	504	137	459	4,553	6,615	151
Participants in a Work Activity	12,483	73	461	468	133	448	4,469	6,288	143
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	97%	98%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	9,688	34	354	366	96	311	3,359	5,050	118
% of Total Enrolled	75%	45%	73%	73%	70%	68%	74%	76%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.59	\$6.59	\$6.42	\$6.84	\$7.12	\$6.64	\$6.60	\$6.56	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$874	\$871	\$813	\$838	\$988	\$841	\$875	\$879	\$862
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	63%	56%	52%	54%	47%	52%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,068	94	86	32	87	245	524
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	421	23	24	16	44	122	192
Participants in a Work Activity	294	21	14	13	36	79	131
% of Enrolled	70%	91%	58%	81%	82%	65%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	0	0	5	1
Participants Employed	250	17	13	6	31	71	112
% of Enrolled	59%	74%	54%	38%	70%	58%	58%
Full Time	221	17	12	4	30	60	98
Part Time	29	0	1	2	1	11	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$6.68	\$7.25	\$7.13	\$6.84	\$7.46	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,064	\$1,054	\$939	\$1,034	\$1,076	\$1,030

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	441	46	44	13	36	119	183
Total Enrolled in VIEW	732	55	53	21	81	202	320
Participants in a Work Activity	634	52	43	20	74	172	273
% of Total Enrolled	87%	95%	81%	95%	91%	85%	85%
Participants Employed	473	40	32	9	58	131	203
% of Total Enrolled	65%	73%	60%	43%	72%	65%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.21	\$7.44	\$6.81	\$7.05	\$7.45	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$991	\$1,078	\$978	\$810	\$945	\$1,025	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	66%	73%	86%	72%	66%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	6,604	843	554	239	543	1,630	2,795
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,978	596	378	180	414	1,263	2,147
Participants in a Work Activity	4,772	594	328	169	397	1,216	2,068
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,619	423	246	130	291	933	1,596
% of Total Enrolled	73%	71%	65%	72%	70%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.61	\$6.96	\$6.62	\$6.65	\$6.50	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$908	\$908	\$946	\$842	\$922	\$895	\$913
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	52%	52%	50%	58%	52%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through December 31, 2007

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/07 and Work Activity as of 12/31/07

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,621	127	116	945	69	1,828	1,189	386	961
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,057	43	43	342	17	783	432	104	293
Participants in a Work Activity	1,618	30	34	249	16	634	341	79	235
% of Enrolled	79%	70%	79%	73%	94%	81%	79%	76%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	1	2	0	0	5	5	3	4
Participants Employed	1,445	28	26	230	12	574	292	74	209
% of Enrolled	70%	65%	60%	67%	71%	73%	68%	71%	71%
Full Time	1,336	26	24	217	9	532	274	65	189
Part Time	109	2	2	13	3	42	18	9	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$6.72	\$6.83	\$7.33	\$6.72	\$7.12	\$7.20	\$7.10	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$992	\$999	\$1,053	\$1,021	\$991	\$1,046	\$997	\$1,045

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,470	56	60	399	33	835	400	167	520
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,895	73	95	613	54	1,441	763	240	616
Participants in a Work Activity	3,421	67	88	543	51	1,256	676	219	521
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	93%	89%	94%	87%	89%	91%	85%
Participants Employed	2,651	48	59	423	28	1,024	536	155	378
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	62%	69%	52%	71%	70%	65%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.31	\$7.14	\$6.71	\$7.46	\$6.82	\$7.26	\$7.15	\$7.02	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$990	\$1,018	\$856	\$1,009	\$874	\$970	\$983	\$936	\$1,086
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	80%	57%	72%	61%	76%	78%	58%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	38,182	813	712	5,908	604	12,471	6,314	2,469	8,891
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	26,856	560	544	4,125	464	8,728	4,804	1,855	5,776
Participants in a Work Activity	25,621	539	519	3,929	453	8,373	4,544	1,836	5,428
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	96%	95%	99%	94%
Participants Employed	19,884	409	343	3,134	333	6,406	3,696	1,456	4,107
% of Total Enrolled	74%	73%	63%	76%	72%	73%	77%	78%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.48	\$6.47	\$6.21	\$6.57	\$6.12	\$6.39	\$6.39	\$6.33	\$6.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$855	\$866	\$791	\$872	\$766	\$823	\$865	\$826	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	56%	54%	62%	60%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em-ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	56.6%	4.21	92	\$7.38	2.83	61	67.6%	6.76	72	4.60	81
003	Albemarle	69.8%	5.98	24	\$8.58	5.30	10	81.4%	8.14	18	6.47	6
005	Alleghany/Covington	49.7%	3.29	106	\$7.56	3.20	47	80.7%	8.07	20	4.85	69
007	Amelia	59.3%	4.57	79	\$7.56	3.20	48	50.0%	5.00	110	4.26	96
009	Amherst	62.5%	5.00	66	\$7.73	3.55	37	84.6%	8.46	10	5.67	25
011	Appomattox	66.7%	5.56	34	\$6.84	1.72	92	58.3%	5.83	102	4.37	88
013	Arlington	57.9%	4.39	86	\$10.87	10.00	1	63.9%	6.39	85	6.93	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	63.9%	5.19	58	\$7.58	3.24	46	69.8%	6.98	63	5.14	57
017	Bath	62.5%	5.00	67	\$7.36	2.79	63	100.0%	10.00	1	5.93	14
019	Bedford County/City	66.0%	5.46	42	\$7.04	2.14	80	64.8%	6.48	83	4.69	76
021	Bland	57.1%	4.29	90	\$6.50	1.03	108	50.0%	5.00	111	3.44	114
023	Botetourt	38.9%	1.85	112	\$7.66	3.41	40	83.3%	8.33	15	4.53	82
025	Brunswick	48.0%	3.06	109	\$6.00	0.00	118	75.0%	7.50	39	3.52	113
027	Buchanan	49.5%	3.26	107	\$6.19	0.39	114	57.9%	5.79	105	3.15	116
029	Buckingham	31.3%	0.85	117	\$6.45	0.92	110	46.7%	4.67	115	2.15	117
031	Campbell	63.1%	5.08	64	\$7.38	2.83	62	70.0%	7.00	61	4.97	64
033	Caroline	72.5%	6.33	13	\$8.00	4.11	22	57.5%	5.75	106	5.40	35
035	Carroll	71.7%	6.23	15	\$6.66	1.36	103	71.0%	7.10	57	4.89	68
036	Charles City	46.2%	2.82	110	\$7.54	3.16	49	62.5%	6.25	89	4.08	105
037	Charlotte	54.5%	3.94	98	\$7.95	4.00	25	61.1%	6.11	94	4.68	77
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.0%	5.20	57	\$7.94	3.98	26	77.2%	7.72	32	5.63	26
043	Clarke	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.85	3.80	31	70.0%	7.00	62	6.93	2
045	Craig	100.0%	10.00	2	\$7.82	3.74	33	50.0%	5.00	112	6.25	10
047	Culpeper	57.3%	4.31	89	\$8.40	4.93	12	78.4%	7.84	28	5.69	24
049	Cumberland	65.7%	5.43	44	\$7.46	3.00	55	76.5%	7.65	37	5.36	39
051	Dickensen	57.5%	4.34	88	\$6.33	0.68	111	81.3%	8.13	19	4.38	86
053	Dimwiddie	72.7%	6.36	10	\$7.21	2.48	69	65.6%	6.56	78	5.14	58
057	Essex	64.1%	5.21	56	\$7.28	2.63	67	61.9%	6.19	92	4.68	78
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	59.7%	4.63	77	\$9.79	7.78	3	71.8%	7.18	52	6.53	5
061	Fauquier	65.6%	5.41	45	\$8.31	4.74	14	72.5%	7.25	49	5.80	19
063	Floyd	64.3%	5.24	55	\$7.04	2.14	81	69.2%	6.92	66	4.77	74
065	Fluvanna	36.4%	1.52	114	\$8.85	5.85	8	50.0%	5.00	113	4.12	104
067	Franklin County	56.4%	4.19	93	\$7.50	3.08	52	76.9%	7.69	34	4.99	63
069	Frederick	62.5%	5.00	68	\$7.49	3.06	53	75.0%	7.50	40	5.19	54
071	Giles	63.2%	5.09	63	\$6.80	1.64	97	62.5%	6.25	90	4.33	93
073	Gloucester	55.6%	4.07	96	\$7.69	3.47	38	68.8%	6.88	68	4.81	71
075	Goochland	31.3%	0.83	118	\$7.20	2.46	70	100.0%	10.00	2	4.43	84
077	Grayson	75.0%	6.67	7	\$6.81	1.66	94	84.2%	8.42	13	5.58	28

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	71.4%	6.19	17	\$7.16	2.38	71	72.0%	7.20	51	5.26	46
081	Greensville/Emporia	64.5%	5.27	53	\$6.62	1.27	105	69.5%	6.95	64	4.50	83
083	Halifax	55.2%	4.03	97	\$6.87	1.79	91	67.9%	6.79	70	4.20	101
085	Hanover	64.4%	5.25	54	\$8.21	4.54	17	84.2%	8.42	14	6.07	13
087	Henrico	65.0%	5.34	47	\$8.15	4.41	18	79.2%	7.92	26	5.89	17
089	Henry/Martinsville	58.8%	4.51	82	\$7.14	2.34	75	61.8%	6.18	93	4.34	92
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	65.8%	5.43	43	\$7.14	2.34	76	79.6%	7.96	24	5.24	47
095	James City	67.8%	5.71	32	\$7.51	3.10	51	74.1%	7.41	44	5.40	33
097	King and Queen	58.3%	4.44	84	\$7.60	3.29	44	40.0%	4.00	117	3.91	108
099	King George	68.2%	5.76	30	\$8.08	4.27	21	60.0%	6.00	97	5.34	41
101	King William	75.0%	6.67	8	\$7.61	3.31	42	85.7%	8.57	8	6.18	11
103	Lancaster	63.6%	5.15	61	\$6.76	1.56	99	57.1%	5.71	107	4.14	102
105	Lee	75.3%	6.70	6	\$6.11	0.23	116	77.7%	7.77	29	4.90	67
107	Loudoun	69.5%	5.94	26	\$9.87	7.95	2	71.1%	7.11	56	7.00	1
109	Louisa	72.4%	6.32	14	\$7.89	3.88	29	64.1%	6.41	84	5.54	29
111	Lunenburg	25.0%	0.00	119	\$6.00	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
113	Madison	83.3%	7.78	3	\$8.23	4.58	16	80.0%	8.00	21	6.79	4
115	Mathews	72.7%	6.36	11	\$7.78	3.66	34	75.0%	7.50	41	5.84	18
117	Mecklenburg	63.7%	5.16	59	\$6.22	0.45	113	59.7%	5.97	100	3.86	110
119	Middlesex	65.0%	5.33	48	\$7.14	2.34	77	84.6%	8.46	11	5.38	37
121	Montgomery	82.7%	7.69	4	\$7.15	2.36	72	70.9%	7.09	59	5.71	23
125	Nelson	66.7%	5.56	35	\$8.11	4.33	20	60.0%	6.00	98	5.30	45
127	New Kent	50.0%	3.33	104	\$8.89	5.93	7	100.0%	10.00	3	6.42	8
131	Northampton	59.3%	4.57	80	\$7.07	2.20	78	91.7%	9.17	5	5.31	44
133	Northumberland	61.5%	4.87	72	\$6.91	1.87	90	60.0%	6.00	99	4.25	97
135	Nottoway	72.6%	6.34	12	\$6.75	1.54	100	89.1%	8.91	7	5.60	27
137	Orange	69.8%	5.97	25	\$7.69	3.47	39	79.0%	7.90	27	5.78	22
139	Page	59.6%	4.62	78	\$7.90	3.90	28	79.5%	7.95	25	5.49	30
141	Patrick	75.4%	6.72	5	\$6.19	0.39	115	77.1%	7.71	33	4.94	66
143	Pittsylvania	50.0%	3.33	105	\$6.99	2.03	84	75.0%	7.50	42	4.29	95
145	Powhatan	34.1%	1.22	115	\$7.15	2.36	73	62.5%	6.25	91	3.28	115
147	Prince Edward	70.2%	6.03	21	\$7.01	2.07	83	76.1%	7.61	38	5.24	48
149	Prince George	60.4%	4.72	75	\$7.44	2.96	59	73.3%	7.33	46	5.00	62
153	Prince William	62.4%	4.99	69	\$9.21	6.59	5	77.3%	7.73	31	6.44	7
155	Pulaski	59.2%	4.55	81	\$6.95	1.95	88	66.2%	6.62	75	4.38	87
157	Rappahannock	33.3%	1.11	116	\$8.25	4.62	15	0.0%	0.00	118	1.91	118
159	Richmond County	66.7%	5.56	36	\$7.59	3.26	45	42.9%	4.29	116	4.37	90
161	Roanoke County	69.5%	5.94	27	\$7.61	3.31	43	68.8%	6.88	67	5.38	38
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	62.8%	5.04	65	\$7.83	3.76	32	73.9%	7.39	45	5.40	34
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69.1%	5.88	28	\$7.65	3.39	41	84.5%	8.45	12	5.91	16
167	Russell	54.2%	3.89	100	\$6.92	1.89	89	66.3%	6.63	74	4.14	103
169	Scott	66.3%	5.51	41	\$6.50	1.03	109	90.3%	9.03	6	5.19	52
171	Shenandoah	66.7%	5.56	37	\$8.50	5.13	11	50.0%	5.00	114	5.23	49

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.9%	4.39	87	\$6.61	1.25	106	59.6%	5.96	101	3.87	109
175	<i>Southampton</i>	62.1%	4.95	71	\$6.71	1.46	102	56.8%	5.68	108	4.03	107
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	66.7%	5.56	38	\$8.00	4.11	23	76.8%	7.68	35	5.78	21
179	<i>Stafford</i>	54.5%	3.93	99	\$7.91	3.92	27	81.6%	8.16	17	5.34	42
181	<i>Surry</i>	42.9%	2.38	111	\$6.81	1.66	95	85.7%	8.57	9	4.21	99
183	<i>Sussex</i>	71.6%	6.21	16	\$7.05	2.16	79	71.7%	7.17	53	5.18	55
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	60.3%	4.70	76	\$6.58	1.19	107	72.1%	7.21	50	4.37	89
187	<i>Warren</i>	66.7%	5.56	39	\$8.40	4.93	13	82.6%	8.26	16	6.25	9
191	<i>Washington</i>	71.4%	6.19	18	\$6.79	1.62	98	65.1%	6.51	82	4.77	73
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	49.3%	3.24	108	\$6.81	1.66	96	65.6%	6.56	79	3.82	111
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.7%	5.16	60	\$6.32	0.66	112	71.5%	7.15	55	4.32	94
197	<i>Wythe</i>	70.2%	6.02	23	\$6.96	1.97	87	79.7%	7.97	23	5.32	43
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	70.2%	6.02	22	\$7.35	2.77	65	68.4%	6.84	69	5.21	50
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	56.1%	4.15	94	\$9.16	6.49	6	71.0%	7.10	58	5.91	15
520	<i>Bristol</i>	61.2%	4.82	74	\$6.73	1.50	101	63.4%	6.34	87	4.22	98
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	66.4%	5.51	40	\$7.96	4.02	24	60.3%	6.03	96	5.19	53
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	69.0%	5.87	29	\$7.46	3.00	56	71.7%	7.17	54	5.34	40
590	<i>Danville</i>	53.6%	3.82	101	\$6.99	2.03	85	63.3%	6.33	88	4.06	106
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	51.9%	3.58	103	\$6.82	1.68	93	60.7%	6.07	95	3.78	112
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	64.6%	5.28	52	\$8.12	4.35	19	66.2%	6.62	76	5.42	32
640	<i>Galax</i>	56.9%	4.26	91	\$6.63	1.29	104	75.0%	7.50	43	4.35	91
650	<i>Hampton</i>	64.8%	5.30	50	\$7.45	2.98	57	73.2%	7.32	47	5.20	51
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	64.9%	5.31	49	\$7.45	2.98	58	66.0%	6.60	77	4.96	65
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	65.2%	5.35	46	\$6.98	2.01	86	67.9%	6.79	71	4.72	75
683	<i>Manassas</i>	52.6%	3.68	102	\$8.76	5.67	9	80.0%	8.00	22	5.78	20
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	37.5%	1.67	113	\$9.30	6.78	4	100.0%	10.00	4	6.15	12
700	<i>Newport News</i>	67.7%	5.69	33	\$7.36	2.79	64	69.2%	6.92	65	5.14	59
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.1%	6.14	19	\$7.26	2.59	68	76.5%	7.65	36	5.46	31
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.0%	6.67	9	\$6.05	0.10	117	58.3%	5.83	103	4.20	100
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	63.4%	5.13	62	\$7.29	2.65	66	72.9%	7.29	48	5.02	61
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.2%	6.03	20	\$7.15	2.36	74	77.6%	7.76	30	5.39	36
750	<i>Radford</i>	62.3%	4.98	70	\$7.47	3.02	54	65.5%	6.55	80	4.85	70
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	56.1%	4.15	95	\$7.78	3.66	35	65.4%	6.54	81	4.78	72
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	58.3%	4.44	85	\$7.52	3.12	50	63.5%	6.35	86	4.64	80
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	64.6%	5.28	51	\$7.02	2.09	82	57.9%	5.79	104	4.39	85
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	61.4%	4.85	73	\$7.78	3.66	36	70.3%	7.03	60	5.18	56
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	58.8%	4.51	83	\$7.89	3.88	30	55.6%	5.56	109	4.65	79
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.0%	5.73	31	\$7.43	2.94	60	67.4%	6.74	73	5.13	60

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.7%	2.30	115	\$795	2.15	98	59.3%	7.08	28	3.84	102
003	Albemarle	79.9%	8.49	6	\$962	5.69	21	54.6%	5.77	58	6.65	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.7%	6.21	60	\$808	2.42	95	47.8%	3.89	98	4.17	92
007	Amelia	65.1%	4.84	92	\$938	5.17	30	45.1%	3.14	107	4.38	89
009	Amherst	68.7%	5.74	76	\$818	2.63	89	52.0%	5.03	80	4.47	87
011	Appomattox	72.2%	6.59	45	\$809	2.44	93	42.3%	2.35	115	3.80	106
013	Arlington	76.7%	7.69	17	\$1,011	6.73	9	64.9%	8.63	7	7.69	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	71.1%	6.33	56	\$924	4.88	36	52.4%	5.16	75	5.46	43
017	Bath	67.7%	5.50	82	\$763	1.48	115	50.0%	4.49	88	3.82	104
019	Bedford County/City	68.6%	5.72	77	\$791	2.05	103	56.2%	6.21	46	4.66	78
021	Bland	66.7%	5.24	88	\$794	2.13	99	45.1%	3.13	108	3.50	111
023	Botetourt	51.9%	1.61	119	\$928	4.96	35	43.4%	2.65	113	3.07	117
025	Brunswick	58.0%	3.11	114	\$792	2.09	100	56.7%	6.35	41	3.85	101
027	Buchanan	52.1%	1.66	118	\$773	1.68	112	54.5%	5.74	59	3.02	118
029	Buckingham	60.6%	3.75	106	\$889	4.15	56	53.4%	5.45	66	4.45	88
031	Campbell	69.8%	5.99	67	\$835	2.99	81	60.0%	7.28	23	5.42	48
033	Caroline	64.5%	4.71	95	\$921	4.82	41	40.0%	1.71	118	3.75	107
035	Carroll	68.5%	5.68	79	\$780	1.83	108	45.2%	3.16	106	3.56	110
036	Charles City	45.3%	0.00	120	\$871	3.75	62	63.3%	8.20	10	3.99	98
037	Charlotte	54.3%	2.21	116	\$852	3.35	70	45.9%	3.35	104	2.97	119
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	70.3%	6.12	62	\$980	6.07	16	60.8%	7.49	20	6.56	16
043	Clarke	63.4%	4.44	99	\$968	5.81	18	46.7%	3.56	100	4.61	80
045	Craig	83.3%	9.33	3	\$978	6.02	17	62.5%	7.97	12	7.77	4
047	Culpeper	70.1%	6.07	64	\$928	4.96	34	56.0%	6.16	49	5.73	36
049	Cumberland	58.3%	3.18	113	\$912	4.62	46	41.9%	2.23	117	3.35	114
051	Dickensen	60.5%	3.72	107	\$774	1.69	111	49.3%	4.29	91	3.23	116
053	Dinwiddie	71.0%	6.29	58	\$908	4.55	49	52.2%	5.10	78	5.31	53
057	Essex	72.2%	6.58	46	\$813	2.53	92	42.1%	2.30	116	3.81	105
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	72.1%	6.57	47	\$1,073	8.04	4	61.8%	7.76	16	7.46	7
061	Fauquier	72.3%	6.61	43	\$958	5.60	23	63.9%	8.35	9	6.86	11
063	Floyd	72.1%	6.56	48	\$883	4.01	57	45.9%	3.35	105	4.64	79
065	Fluvanna	72.6%	6.70	39	\$1,032	7.17	7	50.9%	4.75	83	6.21	25
067	Franklin County	69.9%	6.03	66	\$911	4.59	48	49.5%	4.36	90	5.00	66
069	Frederick	64.5%	4.71	94	\$934	5.09	31	56.0%	6.16	48	5.32	52
071	Giles	58.9%	3.34	111	\$899	4.35	51	47.9%	3.91	97	3.87	100
073	Gloucester	73.3%	6.86	34	\$813	2.53	91	55.8%	6.11	52	5.17	56
075	Goochland	68.6%	5.71	78	\$851	3.32	71	56.6%	6.32	42	5.12	58
077	Grayson	67.4%	5.41	85	\$814	2.54	90	57.9%	6.69	34	4.88	68

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	79.6%	8.42	7	\$915	4.69	43	52.5%	5.20	71	6.10	27
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.8%	3.54	108	\$791	2.06	102	57.4%	6.56	37	4.05	95
083	Halifax	63.1%	4.36	100	\$808	2.42	94	48.5%	4.06	95	3.61	108
085	Hanover	69.1%	5.84	72	\$1,041	7.36	6	66.7%	9.13	4	7.45	8
087	Henrico	72.4%	6.65	41	\$984	6.16	15	56.0%	6.17	47	6.32	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	67.4%	5.42	84	\$904	4.46	50	55.1%	5.91	56	5.26	54
091	Highland	71.4%	6.40	52	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.28	24	4.56	82
093	Isle of Wight	73.0%	6.80	35	\$866	3.66	63	55.5%	6.02	54	5.49	42
095	James City	72.6%	6.70	40	\$838	3.06	78	52.3%	5.12	76	4.96	67
097	King and Queen	58.5%	3.23	112	\$861	3.55	67	35.5%	0.45	119	2.41	120
099	King George	72.9%	6.77	36	\$893	4.22	55	52.5%	5.17	73	5.39	50
101	King William	62.8%	4.28	104	\$958	5.60	24	44.3%	2.90	111	4.26	90
103	Lancaster	71.2%	6.34	55	\$803	2.30	97	47.0%	3.65	99	4.10	94
105	Lee	66.8%	5.27	87	\$739	0.95	117	59.9%	7.24	26	4.49	85
107	Loudoun	84.2%	9.54	2	\$1,122	9.08	2	66.6%	9.10	5	9.24	1
109	Louisa	67.6%	5.46	83	\$940	5.22	28	53.0%	5.34	67	5.34	51
111	Lunenburg	53.8%	2.08	117	\$735	0.88	118	58.9%	6.97	30	3.31	115
113	Madison	69.5%	5.92	70	\$964	5.72	20	44.4%	2.92	110	4.85	69
115	Mathews	62.8%	4.28	103	\$835	2.99	80	49.0%	4.21	92	3.83	103
117	Mecklenburg	71.5%	6.42	51	\$781	1.85	107	58.6%	6.89	31	5.05	65
119	Middlesex	73.7%	6.97	29	\$853	3.37	69	55.2%	5.93	55	5.42	49
121	Montgomery	82.4%	9.10	4	\$895	4.27	52	54.8%	5.81	57	6.39	22
125	Nelson	68.8%	5.75	75	\$1,011	6.73	10	50.8%	4.70	84	5.73	37
127	New Kent	70.1%	6.07	65	\$988	6.24	14	53.9%	5.56	64	5.96	31
131	Northampton	64.1%	4.60	98	\$779	1.80	109	65.3%	8.76	6	5.05	64
133	Northumberland	64.9%	4.81	93	\$832	2.92	83	43.1%	2.58	114	3.44	113
135	Nottoway	71.5%	6.43	50	\$843	3.16	73	54.0%	5.61	62	5.07	62
137	Orange	75.1%	7.30	21	\$934	5.09	32	43.9%	2.78	112	5.06	63
139	Page	77.2%	7.82	14	\$964	5.73	19	56.4%	6.27	44	6.61	14
141	Patrick	69.6%	5.96	69	\$784	1.91	105	67.5%	9.36	3	5.74	35
143	Pittsylvania	59.1%	3.38	110	\$839	3.07	77	52.8%	5.27	68	3.90	99
145	Powhatan	59.3%	3.43	109	\$850	3.32	72	52.6%	5.22	70	3.99	97
147	Prince Edward	77.6%	7.92	13	\$838	3.05	79	59.9%	7.25	25	6.07	29
149	Prince George	65.1%	4.85	91	\$946	5.34	27	52.4%	5.17	74	5.12	57
153	Prince William	77.0%	7.77	15	\$1,166	10.00	1	61.9%	7.81	15	8.53	2
155	Pulaski	68.1%	5.58	80	\$921	4.83	39	44.8%	3.03	109	4.48	86
157	Rappahannock	66.0%	5.07	90	\$939	5.19	29	46.2%	3.42	103	4.56	81
159	Richmond County	69.7%	5.99	68	\$921	4.82	40	33.9%	0.00	120	3.60	109
161	Roanoke County	77.9%	7.99	12	\$1,001	6.51	12	52.5%	5.20	72	6.56	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	78.3%	8.08	10	\$842	3.15	74	54.0%	5.59	63	5.61	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.8%	7.24	22	\$912	4.62	47	59.2%	7.04	29	6.30	24
167	Russell	63.0%	4.34	102	\$819	2.65	88	59.6%	7.16	27	4.72	72
169	Scott	60.9%	3.81	105	\$834	2.98	82	64.6%	8.55	8	5.11	59
171	Shenandoah	71.4%	6.39	53	\$895	4.26	54	54.3%	5.68	61	5.44	45

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	66.1%	5.10	89	\$786	1.96	104	52.7%	5.25	69	4.10	93
175	<i>Southampton</i>	63.1%	4.35	101	\$791	2.06	101	48.1%	3.97	96	3.46	112
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	73.5%	6.92	30	\$1,001	6.51	13	57.3%	6.52	38	6.65	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	64.1%	4.60	97	\$1,106	8.73	3	55.8%	6.11	51	6.48	20
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.2%	6.60	44	\$842	3.14	75	49.6%	4.37	89	4.70	75
183	<i>Sussex</i>	70.3%	6.13	61	\$922	4.83	38	58.2%	6.78	33	5.91	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	70.1%	6.09	63	\$768	1.57	113	57.1%	6.47	39	4.71	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.7%	6.72	37	\$950	5.44	25	60.3%	7.36	22	6.51	19
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.4%	5.91	71	\$859	3.50	68	50.5%	4.63	85	4.68	77
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	67.3%	5.39	86	\$866	3.65	64	50.4%	4.61	87	4.55	83
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.2%	4.64	96	\$752	1.23	116	58.2%	6.78	32	4.22	91
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.8%	5.76	74	\$806	2.39	96	55.6%	6.04	53	4.73	71
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	67.8%	5.50	81	\$841	3.12	76	46.5%	3.52	101	4.05	96
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.7%	6.71	38	\$1,005	6.60	11	69.8%	10.00	1	7.77	5
520	<i>Bristol</i>	74.7%	7.20	24	\$824	2.75	85	48.6%	4.09	94	4.68	76
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	86.1%	10.00	1	\$915	4.69	44	56.8%	6.39	40	7.03	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	76.0%	7.52	20	\$872	3.79	61	56.3%	6.24	45	5.85	33
590	<i>Danville</i>	71.3%	6.38	54	\$776	1.75	110	53.6%	5.49	65	4.54	84
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	71.8%	6.49	49	\$766	1.52	114	55.9%	6.13	50	4.71	73
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	81.3%	8.84	5	\$962	5.68	22	52.3%	5.12	77	6.54	17
640	<i>Galax</i>	69.1%	5.83	73	\$821	2.70	87	61.7%	7.76	17	5.43	46
650	<i>Hampton</i>	73.8%	6.98	27	\$875	3.84	60	51.7%	4.95	82	5.26	55
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	73.9%	7.00	26	\$895	4.27	53	52.1%	5.09	79	5.45	44
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.8%	7.24	23	\$783	1.89	106	62.8%	8.04	11	5.72	38
683	<i>Manassas</i>	72.3%	6.62	42	\$1,062	7.80	5	61.7%	7.76	18	7.39	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	76.0%	7.53	19	\$1,019	6.89	8	68.2%	9.55	2	7.99	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	76.3%	7.61	18	\$879	3.94	58	57.7%	6.63	35	6.06	30
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.4%	6.89	33	\$823	2.74	86	54.3%	5.69	60	5.11	60
720	<i>Norton</i>	79.1%	8.28	8	\$698	0.09	119	62.3%	7.92	13	5.43	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	74.3%	7.12	25	\$913	4.64	45	57.5%	6.59	36	6.12	26
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.9%	7.76	16	\$865	3.63	65	62.1%	7.86	14	6.42	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.7%	6.97	28	\$877	3.89	59	46.2%	3.44	102	4.77	70
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	73.5%	6.92	31	\$933	5.08	33	61.3%	7.63	19	6.54	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.9%	6.28	59	\$922	4.84	37	48.7%	4.12	93	5.08	61
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	78.5%	8.14	9	\$826	2.79	84	60.4%	7.37	21	6.10	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	71.1%	6.33	57	\$918	4.75	42	56.5%	6.30	43	5.79	34
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	78.1%	8.05	11	\$862	3.56	66	51.7%	4.97	81	5.53	41
840	<i>Winchester</i>	73.4%	6.90	32	\$950	5.43	26	50.5%	4.63	86	5.65	39

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	9,242	573	312	846	299	141	949	117	215	383	526	319	1,315	242	91	893	292	1,661	68
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	96%	89%	97%	97%	99%	93%	90%	98%	97%	96%	91%	94%	85%	92%	96%	89%	93%	82%
% Timely in December 2007	94%	96%	91%	97%	100%	100%	91%	71%	100%	97%	96%	88%	93%	96%	90%	97%	90%	94%	78%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	5,080	326	174	470	159	85	545	61	130	211	317	167	726	130	50	458	154	879	38
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	99%	95%	99%	98%	98%	97%	93%	98%	98%	99%	98%	98%	95%	92%	95%	95%	96%	97%
Percent in December 2007	98%	99%	95%	99%	100%	100%	97%	92%	100%	98%	98%	98%	99%	95%	100%	97%	93%	96%	93%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	55	0	1	8	1	0	7	3	0	0	0	9	6	1	8	6	4	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	32	20	16	0	10	7	0	0	0	45	28	61	129	38	19	59	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	573	45	40	79	85	50	113	142	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	96%	100%	97%	100%	92%	95%	93%	95%
% Timely in December 2007	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	84%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	326	28	24	47	52	31	62	73	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	96%	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	89%
Percent in December 2007	99%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	312	5	26	13	44	53	55	86	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	89%	100%	92%	100%	77%	100%	82%	90%	87%
% Timely in December 2007	91%	N/A	100%	100%	80%	100%	91%	86%	1
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	174	4	19	8	23	23	36	47	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	75%	100%	100%	87%	96%	94%	98%	100%
Percent in December 2007	95%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	846	50	5	2	14	74	23	94	80	86	40	378
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	66%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	90%	98%
% Timely in December 2007	97%	38%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	470	27	2	1	5	37	12	51	35	45	26	229
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	98%	100%	99%
Percent in December 2007	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	299	192	2	0	17	88
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	97%	100%	N/A	94%	97%
% Timely in December 2007	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	159	103	2	0	7	47
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in December 2007	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	16	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	141	5	26	25	7	43	35	68	38	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	99%	100%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%	82%	89%	73%
% Timely in December 2007	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	100%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	85	4	18	16	3	26	18	38	23	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	93%
Percent in December 2007	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	949	58	310	81	333	109	42	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	93%	100%	96%	91%	91%	98%	69%	56%
% Timely in December 2007	91%	100%	98%	100%	89%	100%	45%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	545	36	172	51	188	67	26	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	97%	100%	98%	96%	96%	99%	100%	0%
Percent in December 2007	97%	100%	99%	94%	95%	100%	100%	0%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	1	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	1	0	11	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	117	45	37	5	25	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	90%	87%	100%	100%	92%	20%
% Timely in December 2007	71%	78%	100%	100%	75%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	61	22	23	4	10	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	93%	100%	96%	75%	80%	100%
Percent in December 2007	92%	100%	89%	100%	75%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	19	0	0	1	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	215	37	6	16	25	7	124
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in December 2007	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	130	22	3	10	10	6	79
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	95%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2007	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	383	31	29	72	84	167
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	97%	100%	100%	100%	98%	95%
% Timely in December 2007	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	211	16	12	44	56	83
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	100%	98%	96%	98%
Percent in December 2007	98%	100%	100%	92%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	526	88	141	71	71	155
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	91%	96%	99%	100%	95%
% Timely in December 2007	96%	95%	95%	88%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	317	48	85	48	40	96
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	99%	98%	99%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in December 2007	98%	93%	97%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	319	10	46	27	22	20	45	6	55	33	55
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	91%	70%	98%	89%	100%	90%	91%	33%	95%	76%	96%
% Timely in December 2007	88%	100%	75%	100%	100%	75%	70%	33%	100%	88%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	167	4	25	10	14	12	24	1	30	16	31
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	100%	100%	90%	93%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2007	98%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	0	0	0	0	48	5	59	0	10	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	1315	275	10	27	302	12	689
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	94%	93%	90%	100%	91%	100%	95%
% Timely in December 2007	93%	89%	75%	100%	90%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	726	148	0	19	170	6	383
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	98%	98%	N/A	100%	98%	83%	98%
Percent in December 2007	99%	100%	N/A	100%	98%	83%	99%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	6	3	1	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	33	3	0	33	0	0

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	242	40	10	69	60	63
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	85%	95%	100%	96%	48%	100%
% Timely in December 2007	96%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	130	25	4	38	29	34
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	96%	100%	92%	90%	100%
Percent in December 2007	95%	92%	N/A	100%	93%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	61	0	0	0	61	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	91	24	6	7	1	10	6	9	8	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	75%	100%
% Timely in December 2007	90%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	50	19	2	3	0	3	1	7	3	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	92%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	33%	100%	100%	100%	83%
Percent in December 2007	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	129	0	0	0	0	147	0	0	1	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	893	14	34	30	12	35	284	477	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	96%	93%	100%	100%	50%	94%	98%	96%	100%
% Timely in December 2007	97%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	98%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	458	11	20	13	3	20	141	248	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	93%	100%
Percent in December 2007	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	97%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	6	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	47	0	0	36	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	292	26	27	10	30	67	132
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	89%	96%	78%	100%	100%	79%	92%
% Timely in December 2007	90%	100%	50%	100%	100%	90%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	154	15	13	2	17	36	71
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%
Percent in December 2007	93%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	84%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	2	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	11	0	0	0	27

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2006	1661	42	43	267	29	561	281	127	311
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2007	93%	95%	98%	96%	100%	89%	97%	92%	95%
% Timely in December 2007	94%	100%	100%	94%	100%	94%	96%	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2007	879	24	26	137	19	297	153	66	157
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2007	96%	100%	100%	93%	100%	95%	96%	98%	100%
Percent in December 2007	96%	100%	100%	90%	100%	95%	96%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	59	0	0	0	0	0	59	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Dec-06</i>	<i>Dec-07</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,765	13,788	-6.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	125,838	126,474	0.5%
Total Cases Collected	140,603	140,262	-0.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,521,759	\$2,239,525	-11.2%
NTANF Collections	\$45,793,706	\$43,716,862	-4.5%
Total Collections	\$48,315,465	\$45,956,387	-4.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$285,816,539	\$290,978,737	1.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$340	\$344	1.2%

Paternities Established

	<i>Dec-07</i>
TANF Paternities	165
NTANF Paternities	397
Combined Paternities	562

Diversionsary Assistance

December Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Patrick	4
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	6
Amherst	2		Prince William	6
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	12		Roanoke County	7
Bedford County / City	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	10
Buckingham	3		Shenandoah	4
Campbell	1		Smyth	2
Caroline	2		Spotsylvania	15
Chesterfield / Colonial Hgts	12		Stafford	2
Cumberland	2		Warren	5
Dinwiddie	4		Wythe	2
Fairfax/ Falls Church	5		York / Poquoson	1
Fauquier	8		Alexandria	1
Franklin County	7		Bristol	2
Frederick	1		Charlottesville	4
Halifax	1		Chesapeake	4
Henrico	3		Franklin City	1
Henry / Martinsville	5		Fredricksburg	2
King William	1		Hampton	4
Loudoun	3		Hopewell	2
Lunenburg	1		Newport News	26
Mathews	1		Norfolk	16
Mecklenburg	1		Portsmouth	1
Montgomery	5		Richmond City	2
Northampton	1		Roanoke City	14
Nottoway	1		Suffolk	11
Orange	1		Virginia Beach	6
Page	1		Winchester	1
			Statewide	248

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments were introduced

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.15 to \$5.85 an hour on 7/24/07. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark


¹⁴ As of Monday morning January 14, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report may be available on the Department of Social Services web site: www.dss.virginia.gov. On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for deletions from or additions to the mailing list may be sent by e-mail to william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through December 2007



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through December 2007



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. This is generally consistent with the caseload trends and the slight case decline after December 2004 seems to be reflected in applications received. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


December 31, 2007



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of January and represent end of December data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of December, it was \$7.58 rather than the \$7.41 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with a more recent increase in the percent full time which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.