

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
December 2008

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services January 28, 2009

Monthly Report, December 2008

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 46% with a denominator of 17,154 and a numerator of 7,875.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	89	40	45%	061	Fauquier	75	41	55%
003	Albemarle	73	35	48%	063	Floyd	24	11	46%
005	Alleghany/Covington	84	25	30%	065	Fluvanna	11	5	45%
007	Amelia	22	5	23%	067	Franklin County	95	24	25%
009	Amherst	41	15	37%	069	Frederick	85	12	14%
011	Appomattox	34	20	59%	071	Giles	18	7	39%
013	Arlington	128	68	53%	073	Gloucester	44	15	34%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	293	149	51%	075	Goochland	13	3	23%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	20	7	35%
019	Bedford County/City	99	59	60%	079	Greene	26	9	35%
021	Bland	13	5	38%	081	Greensville/Emporia	77	25	32%
023	Botetourt	10	2	20%	083	Halifax	106	22	21%
025	Brunswick	72	51	71%	085	Hanover	90	33	37%
027	Buchanan	77	22	29%	087	Henrico	725	346	48%
029	Buckingham	38	12	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	282	114	40%
031	Campbell	150	71	47%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	113	33	29%	093	Isle of Wight	75	35	47%
035	Carroll	59	33	56%	095	James City	50	24	48%
036	Charles City	13	4	31%	097	King and Queen	13	5	38%
037	Charlotte	28	11	39%	099	King George	18	10	56%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	455	224	49%	101	King William	19	8	42%
043	Clarke	11	2	18%	103	Lancaster	19	6	32%
045	Craig	4	1	25%	105	Lee	111	46	41%
047	Culpeper	69	32	46%	107	Loudoun	173	69	40%
049	Cumberland	33	16	48%	109	Louisa	37	25	68%
051	Dickensen	42	13	31%	111	Lunenburg	21	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	49	21	43%	113	Madison	14	4	29%
057	Essex	50	22	44%	115	Mathews	12	1	8%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	619	311	50%	117	Mecklenburg	92	39	42%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	4	22%	187	Warren	82	35	43%
121	Montgomery	193	108	56%	191	Washington	97	40	41%
125	Nelson	23	9	39%	193	Westmoreland	42	16	38%
127	New Kent	20	3	15%	195	Wise	200	68	34%
131	Northampton	55	14	25%	197	Wythe	73	26	36%
133	Northumberland	11	2	18%	199	York/Poquoson	46	16	35%
135	Nottoway	79	7	9%	510	Alexandria	266	152	57%
137	Orange	32	12	38%	520	Bristol	133	63	47%
139	Page	24	11	46%	540	Charlottesville	180	112	62%
141	Patrick	62	30	48%	550	Chesapeake	643	306	48%
143	Pittsylvania	90	32	36%	590	Danville	247	115	47%
145	Powhatan	16	4	25%	620	Franklin City	48	23	48%
147	Prince Edward	83	46	55%	630	Fredericksburg	111	60	54%
149	Prince George	43	16	37%	640	Galax	50	16	32%
153	Prince William	687	340	49%	650	Hampton	652	312	48%
155	Pulaski	77	36	47%	670	Hopewell	192	64	33%
157	Rappahannock	11	3	27%	680	Lynchburg	292	140	48%
159	Richmond County	19	4	21%	683	Manassas	79	41	52%
161	Roanoke County	131	74	56%	685	Manassas Park	25	16	64%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	37	10	27%	700	Newport News	896	437	49%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	133	78	59%	710	Norfolk	1,125	561	50%
167	Russell	95	54	57%	720	Norton	39	15	38%
169	Scott	94	32	34%	730	Petersburg	330	112	34%
171	Shenandoah	22	10	45%	740	Portsmouth	622	290	47%
173	Smyth	92	40	43%	750	Radford	40	13	33%
175	Southampton	47	12	26%	760	Richmond City	1,459	724	50%
177	Spotsylvania	190	71	37%	770	Roanoke City	531	181	34%
179	Stafford	160	82	51%	800	Suffolk	191	119	62%
181	Surry	23	7	30%	810	Virginia Beach	513	268	52%
183	Sussex	43	24	56%	830	Williamsburg	34	19	56%
185	Tazewell	146	64	44%	840	Winchester	44	26	59%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 14,933 and a numerator of 5,874. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	72	23	32%	061	Fauquier	58	24	41%
003	Albemarle	55	18	33%	063	Floyd	21	8	38%
005	Alleghany/Covington	71	13	18%	065	Fluvanna	9	3	33%
007	Amelia	22	5	23%	067	Franklin County	86	18	21%
009	Amherst	31	11	35%	069	Frederick	77	5	6%
011	Appomattox	29	15	52%	071	Giles	12	3	25%
013	Arlington	105	45	43%	073	Gloucester	36	9	25%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	257	116	45%	075	Goochland	13	3	23%
017	Bath	1	0	0%	077	Grayson	18	5	28%
019	Bedford County/City	85	45	53%	079	Greene	23	6	26%
021	Bland	11	4	36%	081	Greensville/Emporia	67	15	22%
023	Botetourt	9	2	22%	083	Halifax	99	15	15%
025	Brunswick	65	44	68%	085	Hanover	79	25	32%
027	Buchanan	68	14	21%	087	Henrico	635	264	42%
029	Buckingham	34	9	26%	089	Henry/Martinsville	265	101	38%
031	Campbell	130	52	40%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	104	29	28%	093	Isle of Wight	60	21	35%
035	Carroll	51	25	49%	095	James City	39	17	44%
036	Charles City	13	4	31%	097	King and Queen	9	1	11%
037	Charlotte	26	9	35%	099	King George	15	7	47%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	378	148	39%	101	King William	16	5	31%
043	Clarke	8	1	13%	103	Lancaster	19	6	32%
045	Craig	3	1	33%	105	Lee	100	36	36%
047	Culpeper	62	25	40%	107	Loudoun	127	26	20%
049	Cumberland	27	11	41%	109	Louisa	31	19	61%
051	Dickensen	38	9	24%	111	Lunenburg	21	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	41	14	34%	113	Madison	13	4	31%
057	Essex	45	18	40%	115	Mathews	11	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	506	207	41%	117	Mecklenburg	84	31	37%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	2	13%	187	Warren	72	26	36%
121	Montgomery	162	79	49%	191	Washington	84	27	32%
125	Nelson	21	8	38%	193	Westmoreland	39	16	41%
127	New Kent	18	2	11%	195	Wise	175	45	26%
131	Northampton	51	10	20%	197	Wythe	57	17	30%
133	Northumberland	11	2	18%	199	York/Poquoson	41	11	27%
135	Nottoway	73	1	1%	510	Alexandria	228	114	50%
137	Orange	30	11	37%	520	Bristol	119	50	42%
139	Page	21	8	38%	540	Charlottesville	145	77	53%
141	Patrick	49	17	35%	550	Chesapeake	573	244	43%
143	Pittsylvania	79	22	28%	590	Danville	223	93	42%
145	Powhatan	16	4	25%	620	Franklin City	39	15	38%
147	Prince Edward	67	31	46%	630	Fredericksburg	92	42	46%
149	Prince George	38	12	32%	640	Galax	41	8	20%
153	Prince William	550	217	39%	650	Hampton	580	250	43%
155	Pulaski	63	23	37%	670	Hopewell	167	47	28%
157	Rappahannock	11	3	27%	680	Lynchburg	244	93	38%
159	Richmond County	15	2	13%	683	Manassas	68	31	46%
161	Roanoke County	107	51	48%	685	Manassas Park	19	11	58%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	34	9	26%	700	Newport News	775	320	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	111	56	50%	710	Norfolk	1,051	494	47%
167	Russell	86	45	52%	720	Norton	35	11	31%
169	Scott	84	23	27%	730	Petersburg	304	93	31%
171	Shenandoah	21	9	43%	740	Portsmouth	553	226	41%
173	Smyth	88	36	41%	750	Radford	34	9	26%
175	Southampton	42	8	19%	760	Richmond City	1,310	594	45%
177	Spotsylvania	165	56	34%	770	Roanoke City	476	127	27%
179	Stafford	136	61	45%	800	Suffolk	164	93	57%
181	Surry	23	7	30%	810	Virginia Beach	417	177	42%
183	Sussex	37	20	54%	830	Williamsburg	27	16	59%
185	Tazewell	131	51	39%	840	Winchester	35	17	49%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for December

These are estimated locality December 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 2,221 and a numerator of 2,001 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	17	100%	061	Fauquier	17	17	100%
003	Albemarle	18	17	94%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	13	12	92%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	9	6	67%
009	Amherst	10	4	40%	069	Frederick	8	7	88%
011	Appomattox	5	5	100%	071	Giles	6	4	67%
013	Arlington	23	23	100%	073	Gloucester	8	6	75%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	36	33	92%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	2	2	100%	077	Grayson	2	2	100%
019	Bedford County/City	14	14	100%	079	Greene	3	3	100%
021	Bland	2	1	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	10	100%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	7	7	100%	085	Hanover	11	8	73%
027	Buchanan	9	8	89%	087	Henrico	90	82	91%
029	Buckingham	4	3	75%	089	Henry/Martinsville	17	13	76%
031	Campbell	20	19	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	9	4	44%	093	Isle of Wight	15	14	93%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	11	7	64%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	4	4	100%
037	Charlotte	2	2	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	77	76	99%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	3	1	33%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	11	10	91%
047	Culpeper	7	7	100%	107	Loudoun	46	43	93%
049	Cumberland	6	5	83%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	4	4	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	8	7	88%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	5	4	80%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	113	104	92%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	10	9	90%
121	Montgomery	31	29	94%	191	Washington	13	13	100%
125	Nelson	2	1	50%	193	Westmoreland	3	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	1	50%	195	Wise	25	23	92%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	16	9	56%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	38	38	100%
137	Orange	2	1	50%	520	Bristol	14	13	93%
139	Page	3	3	100%	540	Charlottesville	35	35	100%
141	Patrick	13	13	100%	550	Chesapeake	70	62	89%
143	Pittsylvania	11	10	91%	590	Danville	24	22	92%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	9	8	89%
147	Prince Edward	16	15	94%	630	Fredericksburg	19	18	95%
149	Prince George	5	4	80%	640	Galax	9	8	89%
153	Prince William	137	123	90%	650	Hampton	72	62	86%
155	Pulaski	14	13	93%	670	Hopewell	25	17	68%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	48	47	98%
159	Richmond County	4	2	50%	683	Manassas	11	10	91%
161	Roanoke County	24	23	96%	685	Manassas Park	6	5	83%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	1	33%	700	Newport News	121	117	97%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	22	22	100%	710	Norfolk	74	67	91%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	10	9	90%	730	Petersburg	26	19	73%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	69	64	93%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	6	4	67%
175	Southampton	5	4	80%	760	Richmond City	149	130	87%
177	Spotsylvania	25	15	60%	770	Roanoke City	55	54	98%
179	Stafford	24	21	88%	800	Suffolk	27	26	96%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	96	91	95%
183	Sussex	6	4	67%	830	Williamsburg	7	3	43%
185	Tazewell	15	13	87%	840	Winchester	9	9	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Dec-08	Dec-07	Change from Dec-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,775	3,499	36%	4,807	-1%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,867	1,961	46%	1,658	73%
Applications Approved	2,945	1,838	60%	2,820	4%
Cases Closed *	2,216	2,219	-0.1%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	29,304	27,823	5%	67,995	-57%
Cases Paid During Month ²	30,741	28,668	7%	70,403	-56%

* The database indicates that of the 2,216 closed cases, 827 were VIEW cases, of which 541 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 175 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 125 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Dec-08	Dec-07	Change from Dec-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	482	157	207%	338	43%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	344	135	155%	232	48%
Applications Approved	311	139	124%	81	284%
Cases Closed *	175	141	24%	107	64%
Cases at End of Month	1,202	946	27%	321	274%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,443	1,012	43%	394	266%

* The database indicates that of the 175 closed cases, 80 were VIEW cases, of which 50 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, nine were closed after 24 months participation, and of those eight closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	31,124	1,844	1,015	2,371	890	427	3,433	390	615	1,068	1,642	986	4,849	911	363	3,006	1,168	5,883	263
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,308	625	468	915	352	177	1,386	138	244	470	527	486	1,996	446	137	1,144	517	2,187	93
Part. in a Work Activity	8,796	453	313	601	259	125	1,055	98	191	316	359	284	1,456	306	93	841	342	1,657	47
% of Enrolled	71%	72%	67%	66%	74%	71%	76%	71%	78%	67%	68%	58%	73%	69%	68%	74%	66%	76%	51%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	112	8	6	10	0	5	26	0	1	0	0	1	21	2	7	6	6	13	0
Participants Employed	6,502	342	213	503	216	97	660	84	130	236	273	231	901	224	63	655	274	1,366	34
% of Enrolled	53%	55%	46%	55%	61%	55%	48%	61%	53%	50%	52%	48%	45%	50%	46%	57%	53%	62%	37%
Full Time	5,407	310	173	412	190	77	512	74	91	194	218	167	721	187	48	535	231	1,237	30
Part Time	1,095	32	40	91	26	20	148	10	39	42	55	64	180	37	15	120	43	129	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$6.84	\$7.02	\$7.43	\$7.53	\$8.31	\$9.10	\$7.99	\$8.26	\$7.32	\$7.17	\$7.06	\$7.96	\$8.38	\$7.71	\$7.53	\$7.55	\$7.50	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$956	\$976	\$1,021	\$1,032	\$1,151	\$1,227	\$1,116	\$1,026	\$1,018	\$954	\$928	\$1,098	\$1,138	\$1,056	\$1,015	\$1,035	\$1,056	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	16,497	868	622	1,482	545	329	1,885	223	366	561	875	546	2,304	563	214	1,592	515	2,844	163
Total Enrolled in VIEW	21,441	1,077	819	1,778	644	371	2,410	256	472	807	1,034	758	3,231	705	263	2,085	792	3,770	169
Part. in a Work Activity	18,857	959	724	1,550	580	322	2,181	231	428	712	914	655	2,842	608	227	1,825	673	3,283	143
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	88%	87%	90%	87%	90%	90%	91%	88%	88%	86%	88%	86%	86%	88%	85%	87%	85%
Participants Employed	12,208	647	435	1,034	395	208	1,313	144	266	472	520	392	1,652	403	137	1,242	461	2,405	82
% of Total Enrolled	57%	60%	53%	58%	61%	56%	54%	56%	56%	58%	50%	52%	51%	57%	52%	60%	58%	64%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$6.94	\$6.99	\$7.57	\$7.61	\$8.18	\$9.63	\$7.99	\$8.29	\$7.39	\$7.15	\$7.34	\$8.00	\$8.45	\$7.84	\$7.65	\$7.65	\$7.54	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$980	\$954	\$1,053	\$1,051	\$1,082	\$1,325	\$1,086	\$1,022	\$1,009	\$944	\$964	\$1,097	\$1,124	\$1,037	\$1,049	\$1,056	\$1,048	\$957
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	69%	63%	62%	74%	59%	75%	75%	70%	68%	67%	69%	70%	80%	61%	72%	77%	74%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	211,196	14,162	7,933	15,378	5,941	3,672	26,155	2,977	4,513	7,901	10,183	5,969	28,028	5,708	2,670	19,930	7,164	40,927	1,985
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	157,155	10,618	6,573	11,262	4,402	2,600	19,888	2,206	3,375	6,418	7,996	4,395	21,425	3,906	1,870	14,288	5,438	29,083	1,412
Part. in a Work Activity	150,813	10,206	6,254	10,822	4,267	2,504	19,272	2,128	3,285	6,076	7,704	4,181	20,707	3,741	1,767	13,692	5,201	27,648	1,358
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	97%	96%	94%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	111,868	6,808	4,486	8,120	3,183	1,836	14,688	1,546	2,673	4,575	5,248	2,804	15,303	2,755	1,236	10,534	3,902	21,351	820
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	68%	72%	72%	71%	74%	70%	79%	71%	66%	64%	71%	71%	66%	74%	72%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$5.92	\$5.99	\$6.79	\$6.83	\$6.98	\$8.30	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$6.21	\$6.12	\$6.37	\$7.07	\$7.54	\$6.62	\$6.69	\$6.70	\$6.57	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$915	\$782	\$823	\$924	\$919	\$957	\$1,114	\$951	\$935	\$816	\$825	\$845	\$964	\$1,001	\$863	\$887	\$918	\$868	\$798
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	46%	55%	55%	57%	62%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,844	220	111	261	249	198	300	445	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	625	50	26	114	90	84	105	138	18
Participants in a Work Activity	453	32	15	82	82	46	89	95	12
% of Enrolled	72%	64%	58%	72%	91%	55%	85%	69%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0
Participants Employed	342	22	11	65	41	40	66	85	12
% of Enrolled	55%	44%	42%	57%	46%	48%	63%	62%	67%
Full Time	310	20	10	64	33	38	60	74	11
Part Time	32	2	1	1	8	2	6	11	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.84	\$6.79	\$6.59	\$6.64	\$7.03	\$6.80	\$6.80	\$6.98	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$956	\$960	\$905	\$1,000	\$1,019	\$929	\$963	\$963

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	868	66	42	124	102	113	177	218	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,077	89	65	178	137	123	187	263	35
Participants in a Work Activity	959	81	53	160	132	110	172	221	30
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	82%	90%	96%	89%	92%	84%	86%
Participants Employed	647	45	34	114	80	67	116	169	22
% of Total Enrolled	60%	51%	52%	64%	58%	54%	62%	64%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$7.55	\$6.92	\$6.57	\$7.00	\$6.98	\$6.79	\$7.04	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$1,140	\$981	\$892	\$980	\$1,045	\$932	\$998	\$1,021
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	56%	54%	87%	63%	81%	64%	67%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,162	1,481	1,014	1,986	1,921	1,296	2,742	3,277	445
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,618	1,111	757	1,464	1,499	893	2,079	2,468	347
Participants in a Work Activity	10,206	1,033	715	1,444	1,426	872	2,006	2,383	327
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,808	582	458	971	952	541	1,445	1,589	270
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	66%	64%	61%	70%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.92	\$6.12	\$5.99	\$5.59	\$6.15	\$6.17	\$5.87	\$5.88	\$5.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$782	\$793	\$788	\$747	\$828	\$851	\$775	\$767	\$714
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,015	19	127	45	185	206	119	245	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	468	11	53	11	101	63	55	143	31
Participants in a Work Activity	313	7	32	11	74	49	41	84	15
% of Enrolled	67%	64%	60%	100%	73%	78%	75%	59%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0
Participants Employed	213	7	26	7	40	35	31	56	11
% of Enrolled	46%	64%	49%	64%	40%	56%	56%	39%	35%
Full Time	173	4	23	5	33	27	23	48	10
Part Time	40	3	3	2	7	8	8	8	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$6.86	\$6.64	\$6.63	\$6.91	\$7.03	\$6.93	\$7.37	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$823	\$981	\$881	\$1,031	\$926	\$873	\$1,048	\$1,006

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	622	19	67	16	123	103	77	158	59
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	819	17	89	27	156	131	98	239	62
Participants in a Work Activity	724	15	74	26	136	119	93	204	57
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	83%	96%	87%	91%	95%	85%	92%
Participants Employed	435	8	53	17	66	80	68	115	28
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	60%	63%	42%	61%	69%	48%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$7.84	\$6.73	\$6.65	\$6.78	\$7.13	\$6.90	\$7.16	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$1,067	\$968	\$889	\$977	\$940	\$890	\$973	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	71%	63%	73%	75%	61%	74%	56%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,933	207	1,106	548	1,366	1,295	1,257	1,606	548
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,573	160	909	444	1,120	1,046	1,009	1,417	468
Participants in a Work Activity	6,254	149	815	410	1,068	1,020	987	1,360	445
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,486	104	610	296	709	724	697	1,030	316
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	67%	67%	63%	69%	69%	73%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.99	\$5.89	\$5.80	\$6.12	\$5.76	\$6.28	\$6.06	\$6.00	\$5.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$823	\$808	\$792	\$816	\$798	\$867	\$811	\$836	\$831
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	49%	57%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,371	117	25	9	38	221	37	346	177	283	78	1,040
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	915	88	8	2	15	76	19	148	57	108	37	357
Participants in a Work Activity	601	51	6	1	10	42	9	119	48	84	21	210
% of Enrolled	66%	58%	75%	50%	67%	55%	47%	80%	84%	78%	57%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	1	1
Participants Employed	503	44	4	1	6	32	9	108	29	69	15	186
% of Enrolled	55%	50%	50%	50%	40%	42%	47%	73%	51%	64%	41%	52%
Full Time	412	18	3	1	4	27	7	100	28	51	14	159
Part Time	91	26	1	0	2	5	2	8	1	18	1	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$6.74	\$7.63	\$7.00	\$6.39	\$7.52	\$7.42	\$7.42	\$6.93	\$7.65	\$7.17	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$740	\$1,004	\$1,212	\$765	\$1,030	\$982	\$1,054	\$949	\$1,044	\$952	\$1,085

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,482	59	14	1	20	156	33	190	126	149	48	686
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,778	125	21	3	27	130	39	271	149	196	67	750
Participants in a Work Activity	1,550	117	15	2	24	96	33	247	137	174	58	647
% of Total Enrolled	87%	94%	71%	67%	89%	74%	85%	91%	92%	89%	87%	86%
Participants Employed	1,034	80	7	1	12	62	25	196	79	133	43	396
% of Total Enrolled	58%	64%	33%	33%	44%	48%	64%	72%	53%	68%	64%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.13	\$7.56	\$7.00	\$7.62	\$7.65	\$7.47	\$7.52	\$7.14	\$7.65	\$7.21	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$839	\$768	\$1,204	\$996	\$1,092	\$982	\$1,074	\$1,001	\$1,094	\$930	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	56%	33%	N/A	75%	69%	53%	61%	58%	62%	59%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	15,378	977	254	52	389	1,298	382	2,210	1,389	1,585	619	6,223
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,262	713	149	14	265	928	253	1,757	1,069	1,219	466	4,429
Participants in a Work Activity	10,822	684	135	14	259	851	245	1,737	1,036	1,159	453	4,249
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	91%	100%	98%	92%	97%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,120	522	76	10	188	630	155	1,437	713	946	342	3,101
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	51%	71%	71%	68%	61%	82%	67%	78%	73%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.79	\$6.59	\$7.10	\$6.13	\$6.62	\$6.64	\$6.66	\$6.65	\$6.57	\$7.25	\$6.75	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$924	\$811	\$900	\$978	\$891	\$924	\$911	\$907	\$925	\$1,014	\$881	\$936
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	45%	67%	44%	51%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	890	585	4	1	68	232
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	352	219	0	0	27	106
Participants in a Work Activity	259	155	N/A	N/A	11	93
% of Enrolled	74%	71%	N/A	N/A	41%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	216	133	N/A	N/A	10	73
% of Enrolled	61%	61%	N/A	N/A	37%	69%
Full Time	190	119	N/A	N/A	7	64
Part Time	26	14	N/A	N/A	3	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.46	N/A	N/A	\$7.24	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,020	N/A	N/A	\$960	\$1,065

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	545	345	3	0	36	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	644	400	4	0	44	196
Participants in a Work Activity	580	358	4	N/A	33	185
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	100%	N/A	75%	94%
Participants Employed	395	225	2	N/A	20	148
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	50%	N/A	45%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.47	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.59	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,056	\$488	N/A	\$976	\$1,058
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	79%	100%	N/A	68%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,941	3,210	58	16	539	2,118
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,402	2,417	34	7	393	1,551
Participants in a Work Activity	4,267	2,356	33	7	375	1,496
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	3,183	1,678	22	5	301	1,177
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	65%	71%	77%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$6.83	\$6.56	\$7.75	\$6.52	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$933	\$732	\$694	\$849	\$924
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	57%	60%	55%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	427	14	129	47	28	115	94	263	166	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	177	4	48	21	14	57	33	93	46	47
Participants in a Work Activity	125	2	29	13	13	39	29	47	22	25
% of Enrolled	71%	50%	60%	62%	93%	68%	88%	51%	48%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	97	2	29	10	10	25	21	34	18	16
% of Enrolled	55%	50%	60%	48%	9	44%	64%	37%	39%	34%
Full Time	77	2	21	9	9	19	17	30	16	14
Part Time	20	0	8	1	1	6	4	4	2	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.73	\$8.84	\$8.31	\$7.21	\$8.17	\$8.25	\$7.22	\$7.35	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,151	\$1,264	\$1,164	\$1,254	\$937	\$1,134	\$1,195	\$1,050	\$1,073	\$1,025

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	329	9	85	44	32	91	68	163	106	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	371	7	95	56	28	114	71	169	102	67
Participants in a Work Activity	322	7	86	48	26	90	65	143	87	56
% of Total Enrolled	87%	100%	91%	86%	93%	79%	92%	85%	85%	84%
Participants Employed	208	6	55	31	18	59	39	82	48	34
% of Total Enrolled	56%	86%	58%	55%	64%	52%	55%	49%	47%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$7.54	\$8.66	\$8.07	\$7.16	\$8.26	\$8.04	\$7.12	\$7.18	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$914	\$1,109	\$1,032	\$943	\$1,139	\$1,090	\$957	\$968	\$945
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	40%	73%	55%	45%	54%	59%	68%	63%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,672	150	674	567	479	926	876	1,985	1,235	750
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,600	86	470	449	273	662	660	1,412	853	559
Participants in a Work Activity	2,504	81	447	439	268	627	642	1,358	833	525
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	98%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,836	54	298	340	191	472	481	820	464	356
% of Total Enrolled	71%	63%	63%	76%	70%	71%	73%	58%	54%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$7.12	\$7.15	\$7.06	\$6.38	\$7.02	\$6.96	\$6.32	\$6.40	\$6.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$953	\$957	\$968	\$894	\$967	\$959	\$798	\$808	\$788
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	55%	57%	53%	58%	51%	62%	59%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,433	267	1,212	234	1,085	431	147	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,386	89	423	141	505	162	53	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,055	64	346	93	371	131	38	12
% of Enrolled	76%	72%	82%	66%	73%	81%	72%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	0	20	1	4	1	0	0
Participants Employed	660	39	192	72	249	72	29	7
% of Enrolled	48%	44%	45%	51%	49%	44%	55%	54%
Full Time	512	24	159	52	193	49	28	7
Part Time	148	15	33	20	56	23	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.10	\$9.18	\$9.04	\$10.04	\$8.90	\$9.23	\$8.62	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,227	\$1,180	\$1,242	\$1,310	\$1,197	\$1,223	\$1,253	\$1,226

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,885	130	573	169	679	233	75	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,410	160	778	232	858	250	103	29
Participants in a Work Activity	2,181	148	717	201	773	225	89	28
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	92%	87%	90%	90%	86%	97%
Participants Employed	1,313	79	391	143	500	129	56	15
% of Total Enrolled	54%	49%	50%	62%	58%	52%	54%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.63	\$9.68	\$9.71	\$10.16	\$9.60	\$9.46	\$8.76	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,325	\$1,292	\$1,347	\$1,385	\$1,334	\$1,216	\$1,252	\$1,205
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	53%	76%	79%	76%	78%	72%	93%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	26,155	2,397	9,107	1,750	8,333	3,154	1,082	332
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,888	1,826	6,731	1,381	6,389	2,493	820	248
Participants in a Work Activity	19,272	1,806	6,527	1,327	6,183	2,393	790	246
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	14,688	1,377	4,765	1,135	4,854	1,794	577	186
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	71%	82%	76%	72%	70%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$7.84	\$8.21	\$8.78	\$8.53	\$7.99	\$7.90	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,024	\$1,096	\$1,147	\$1,181	\$1,020	\$1,074	\$1,049
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	69%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	390	155	102	35	85	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	138	54	38	12	29	5
Participants in a Work Activity	98	34	29	10	21	4
% of Enrolled	71%	63%	76%	83%	72%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	84	27	26	10	19	2
% of Enrolled	61%	50%	68%	83%	66%	40%
Full Time	74	23	22	10	18	1
Part Time	10	4	4	0	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.50	\$7.59	\$8.05	\$7.87	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,209	\$994	\$1,200	\$1,130	\$909

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	223	83	67	28	38	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	256	98	76	30	46	6
Participants in a Work Activity	231	85	73	30	38	5
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	96%	100%	83%	83%
Participants Employed	144	43	54	18	26	3
% of Total Enrolled	56%	44%	71%	60%	57%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.33	\$7.98	\$7.85	\$7.68	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,127	\$1,058	\$1,163	\$1,055	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	79%	66%	82%	75%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,977	1,131	862	292	613	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,206	807	646	212	487	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,128	774	638	205	460	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,546	541	466	145	359	35
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	72%	68%	74%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.08	\$7.45	\$6.96	\$6.88	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$935	\$973	\$976	\$938	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	45%	44%	45%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	615	115	31	46	81	41	301
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	244	39	6	25	27	15	132
Participants in a Work Activity	191	26	2	11	22	13	117
% of Enrolled	78%	67%	33%	44%	81%	87%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	130	26	2	8	11	12	71
% of Enrolled	53%	67%	33%	32%	41%	80%	54%
Full Time	91	21	2	5	9	10	44
Part Time	39	5	0	3	2	2	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.69	\$8.52	\$8.55	\$7.89	\$7.76	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,185	\$1,246	\$1,013	\$1,118	\$1,017	\$950

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	366	85	13	31	49	17	171
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	472	86	16	40	60	24	246
Participants in a Work Activity	428	85	13	27	51	20	232
% of Total Enrolled	91%	99%	81%	68%	85%	83%	94%
Participants Employed	266	49	8	17	27	16	149
% of Total Enrolled	56%	57%	50%	43%	45%	67%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.56	\$8.44	\$8.18	\$8.79	\$7.73	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$1,097	\$1,141	\$1,045	\$1,192	\$967	\$964
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	67%	100%	47%	74%	87%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,513	1030	165	349	660	206	2,103
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,375	708	107	250	446	134	1,730
Participants in a Work Activity	3,285	693	101	234	425	132	1,700
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	94%	94%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,673	551	80	193	293	92	1,464
% of Total Enrolled	79%	78%	75%	77%	66%	69%	85%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.31	\$7.10	\$7.10	\$7.35	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$979	\$1,081	\$919	\$956	\$1,004	\$917
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	51%	50%	54%	53%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,068	78	64	170	254	502
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	470	22	22	89	143	194
Participants in a Work Activity	316	14	18	60	92	132
% of Enrolled	67%	64%	82%	67%	64%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	236	8	16	51	57	104
% of Enrolled	50%	36%	73%	57%	40%	54%
Full Time	194	7	16	40	49	82
Part Time	42	1	0	11	8	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$7.87	\$6.87	\$7.27	\$7.70	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,101	\$1,011	\$979	\$1,109	\$981

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	561	29	40	118	122	252
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	807	46	62	158	209	332
Participants in a Work Activity	712	44	60	141	172	295
% of Total Enrolled	88%	96%	97%	89%	82%	89%
Participants Employed	472	32	39	98	104	199
% of Total Enrolled	58%	70%	63%	62%	50%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.40	\$7.10	\$7.38	\$7.66	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$942	\$1,036	\$980	\$1,076	\$993
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	60%	67%	65%	68%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,901	717	648	1,567	1,824	3,145
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,418	569	566	1,222	1,516	2,545
Participants in a Work Activity	6,076	547	554	1,151	1,415	2,409
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	4,575	397	408	831	1,048	1,891
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	72%	68%	69%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.21	\$6.43	\$6.00	\$6.21	\$6.38	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$816	\$825	\$821	\$808	\$852	\$797
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,642	246	509	121	218	548
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	527	59	213	63	38	154
Participants in a Work Activity	359	26	171	48	23	91
% of Enrolled	68%	44%	80%	76%	61%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	273	19	122	34	21	77
% of Enrolled	52%	32%	57%	54%	55%	50%
Full Time	218	13	95	30	20	60
Part Time	55	6	27	4	1	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.28	\$6.67	\$7.39	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$978	\$965	\$960	\$1,003	\$916

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	875	125	284	84	107	275
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,034	149	349	114	108	314
Participants in a Work Activity	914	129	308	102	101	274
% of Total Enrolled	88%	87%	88%	89%	94%	87%
Participants Employed	520	61	199	67	54	139
% of Total Enrolled	50%	41%	57%	59%	50%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.03	\$7.31	\$6.49	\$7.24	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$898	\$979	\$856	\$956	\$952
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	60%	70%	71%	49%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,183	1,574	2,675	1,026	1,629	3,279
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,996	1,296	1,912	880	1,227	2,681
Participants in a Work Activity	7,704	1,237	1,827	828	1,208	2,604
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,248	787	1,271	602	718	1,870
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	66%	68%	59%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.12	\$6.08	\$6.42	\$6.02	\$6.13	\$5.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$825	\$813	\$912	\$791	\$844	\$783
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	986	62	120	60	73	57	137	39	174	133	131
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	486	21	66	41	29	25	54	29	83	68	70
Participants in a Work Activity	284	19	53	31	23	18	25	4	39	34	38
% of Enrolled	58%	90%	80%	76%	79%	72%	46%	14%	47%	50%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	231	9	49	16	15	12	25	4	39	30	32
% of Enrolled	48%	43%	74%	39%	52%	48%	46%	14%	47%	44%	46%
Full Time	167	9	29	15	10	9	13	3	23	29	27
Part Time	64	0	20	1	5	3	12	1	16	1	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$8.01	\$6.63	\$7.60	\$7.80	\$7.43	\$6.61	\$5.96	\$7.18	\$6.99	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$928	\$1,074	\$794	\$1,059	\$982	\$1,014	\$756	\$701	\$933	\$1,010	\$1,045

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	546	37	64	62	48	29	55	14	97	71	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	758	32	102	71	46	44	103	32	132	93	103
Participants in a Work Activity	655	29	88	60	41	41	92	17	120	72	95
% of Total Enrolled	86%	91%	86%	85%	89%	93%	89%	53%	91%	77%	92%
Participants Employed	392	16	52	30	20	26	58	6	75	53	56
% of Total Enrolled	52%	50%	51%	42%	43%	59%	56%	19%	57%	57%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$7.42	\$6.52	\$7.69	\$8.00	\$8.02	\$7.29	\$5.31	\$7.11	\$7.06	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$918	\$797	\$1,118	\$975	\$1,101	\$905	\$647	\$986	\$1,034	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	67%	79%	68%	53%	64%	56%	50%	66%	78%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,969	347	811	603	497	409	710	233	859	734	766
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,395	237	629	434	333	280	530	163	652	535	602
Participants in a Work Activity	4,181	217	624	396	309	265	510	161	612	498	589
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	99%	91%	93%	95%	96%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,804	149	366	256	180	167	317	81	457	373	458
% of Total Enrolled	64%	63%	58%	59%	54%	60%	60%	50%	70%	70%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.37	\$7.02	\$5.94	\$6.68	\$6.68	\$6.88	\$6.31	\$5.52	\$6.06	\$6.37	\$6.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$845	\$937	\$800	\$908	\$869	\$932	\$798	\$732	\$801	\$860	\$852
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	43%	57%	52%	46%	44%	58%	59%	58%	55%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,849	832	37	144	1,270	40	2,526
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,996	326	9	81	512	15	1,053
Participants in a Work Activity	1,456	225	7	50	345	7	822
% of Enrolled	73%	69%	78%	62%	67%	47%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	1	1	0	9	1	9
Participants Employed	901	171	6	37	251	6	430
% of Enrolled	45%	52%	67%	46%	49%	40%	41%
Full Time	721	129	4	25	196	4	363
Part Time	180	42	2	12	55	2	67
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$8.00	\$7.83	\$8.48	\$8.41	\$6.84	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,098	\$1,052	\$986	\$1,056	\$1,174	\$830	\$1,081

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,304	508	15	88	552	19	1,122
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,231	550	19	107	785	26	1,744
Participants in a Work Activity	2,842	496	16	91	678	15	1,546
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	84%	85%	86%	58%	89%
Participants Employed	1,652	296	10	56	435	13	842
% of Total Enrolled	51%	54%	53%	52%	55%	50%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.10	\$8.05	\$8.53	\$8.36	\$8.52	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,102	\$1,085	\$1,116	\$1,159	\$965	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	78%	40%	87%	76%	60%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	28,028	5,417	184	862	6,375	246	14,944
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,425	4,016	130	587	5,011	165	11,516
Participants in a Work Activity	20,707	3,920	124	540	4,764	150	11,209
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	92%	95%	91%	97%
Participants Employed	15,303	2,766	91	394	3,561	97	8,394
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	70%	67%	71%	59%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$7.29	\$6.55	\$7.93	\$7.33	\$6.75	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$992	\$857	\$1,049	\$998	\$858	\$942
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	66%	56%	51%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	911	172	34	304	245	156
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	446	71	10	156	117	92
Participants in a Work Activity	306	55	9	90	88	64
% of Enrolled	69%	77%	90%	58%	75%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	224	27	8	78	60	51
% of Enrolled	50%	38%	80%	50%	51%	55%
Full Time	187	27	6	64	53	37
Part Time	37	0	2	14	7	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.90	\$9.34	\$8.01	\$8.46	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,138	\$1,350	\$1,245	\$1,084	\$1,107	\$1,128

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	563	112	25	155	174	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	705	98	24	233	199	151
Participants in a Work Activity	608	87	21	193	176	131
% of Total Enrolled	86%	89%	88%	83%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	403	39	13	150	110	91
% of Total Enrolled	57%	40%	54%	64%	55%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.46	\$8.55	\$8.30	\$8.57	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,256	\$1,060	\$1,069	\$1,167	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	80%	100%	55%	80%	86%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,708	921	370	1,593	1,679	1,145
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,906	602	239	1,202	928	935
Participants in a Work Activity	3,741	582	227	1,110	906	916
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,755	374	169	877	590	745
% of Total Enrolled	71%	62%	71%	73%	64%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$7.25	\$7.11	\$7.61	\$8.02	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$942	\$904	\$1,012	\$1,118	\$972
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	42%	50%	57%	57%	53%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	363	81	20	43	42	16	28	25	27	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	137	38	6	14	18	3	14	9	3	32
Participants in a Work Activity	93	27	3	11	12	2	8	5	2	23
% of Enrolled	68%	71%	50%	79%	67%	67%	57%	56%	67%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	1
Participants Employed	63	17	2	6	7	2	5	3	2	19
% of Enrolled	46%	45%	33%	43%	39%	67%	36%	33%	67%	59%
Full Time	48	13	2	3	7	1	3	3	1	15
Part Time	15	4	0	3	0	1	2	0	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.92	\$7.08	\$8.22	\$7.93	\$7.00	\$7.76	\$7.77	\$7.50	\$7.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,171	\$1,027	\$929	\$1,310	\$898	\$931	\$1,189	\$907	\$947

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	214	46	12	21	29	8	21	18	15	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	263	66	11	25	24	7	23	21	20	66
Participants in a Work Activity	227	60	9	24	21	6	18	18	19	52
% of Total Enrolled	86%	91%	82%	96%	88%	86%	78%	86%	95%	79%
Participants Employed	137	39	6	12	10	6	10	7	10	37
% of Total Enrolled	52%	59%	55%	48%	42%	86%	43%	33%	50%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.59	\$7.16	\$7.96	\$8.07	\$6.41	\$8.70	\$7.10	\$7.44	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$987	\$856	\$1,069	\$1,209	\$825	\$1,110	\$933	\$979	\$1,114
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	64%	25%	67%	57%	100%	64%	33%	38%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	2,670	387	202	203	326	151	299	247	249	606
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,870	293	127	158	242	89	214	198	128	421
Participants in a Work Activity	1,767	281	119	152	227	82	198	191	124	393
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	93%
Participants Employed	1,236	206	75	95	165	57	151	119	87	281
% of Total Enrolled	66%	70%	59%	60%	68%	64%	71%	60%	68%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.62	\$6.62	\$6.83	\$6.98	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$6.66	\$6.29	\$6.69	\$6.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$863	\$835	\$864	\$968	\$818	\$831	\$861	\$832	\$928	\$884
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	35%	47%	47%	49%	55%	41%	32%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,006	29	95	96	35	79	1,138	1,502	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,144	9	37	32	17	28	399	599	23
Participants in a Work Activity	841	6	25	27	9	17	283	456	18
% of Enrolled	74%	67%	68%	84%	53%	61%	71%	76%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0
Participants Employed	655	5	19	21	4	12	225	353	16
% of Enrolled	57%	56%	51%	66%	24%	43%	56%	59%	70%
Full Time	535	5	16	13	4	9	169	305	14
Part Time	120	0	3	8	0	3	56	48	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$6.43	\$8.13	\$8.17	\$7.94	\$6.99	\$7.50	\$7.48	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$925	\$1,050	\$978	\$1,080	\$905	\$972	\$1,043	\$1,083

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,592	8	51	48	19	44	580	811	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,085	13	62	74	26	52	679	1,143	36
Participants in a Work Activity	1,825	11	52	67	24	41	618	976	36
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	84%	91%	92%	79%	91%	85%	100%
Participants Employed	1,242	7	36	55	11	24	405	681	23
% of Total Enrolled	60%	54%	58%	74%	42%	46%	60%	60%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$6.95	\$7.74	\$8.29	\$8.66	\$7.38	\$7.59	\$7.62	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,006	\$978	\$1,053	\$1,173	\$929	\$1,016	\$1,072	\$1,112
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	50%	69%	77%	88%	71%	75%	70%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	19,930	119	801	768	226	737	7,069	9,944	266
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,288	83	543	571	159	505	4,962	7,282	183
Participants in a Work Activity	13,692	81	504	536	153	487	4,850	6,905	176
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	93%	94%	96%	96%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,534	42	384	422	105	328	3,617	5,496	140
% of Total Enrolled	74%	51%	71%	74%	66%	65%	73%	75%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.69	\$6.62	\$6.52	\$7.02	\$7.26	\$6.71	\$6.69	\$6.66	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$887	\$885	\$825	\$860	\$1,005	\$853	\$885	\$894	\$887
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	59%	57%	52%	54%	46%	52%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,168	115	92	54	66	286	555
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	517	20	28	17	29	166	257
Participants in a Work Activity	342	17	22	14	24	114	151
% of Enrolled	66%	85%	79%	82%	83%	69%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	5	1
Participants Employed	274	15	16	12	19	83	129
% of Enrolled	53%	75%	57%	71%	66%	50%	50%
Full Time	231	15	13	6	19	68	110
Part Time	43	0	3	6	0	15	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.08	\$7.70	\$7.96	\$7.31	\$7.66	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$1,129	\$1,036	\$937	\$1,126	\$1,005	\$1,038

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	515	51	54	16	32	147	215
Total Enrolled in VIEW	792	56	69	26	60	225	356
Participants in a Work Activity	673	54	55	23	55	202	284
% of Total Enrolled	85%	96%	80%	88%	92%	90%	80%
Participants Employed	461	35	36	18	38	134	200
% of Total Enrolled	58%	63%	52%	69%	63%	60%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$7.89	\$8.55	\$7.70	\$7.16	\$7.60	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,242	\$1,353	\$814	\$1,047	\$986	\$1,043
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	81%	63%	93%	75%	79%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,164	906	621	257	582	1,784	3,014
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,438	632	448	194	447	1,404	2,313
Participants in a Work Activity	5,201	630	389	182	432	1,351	2,217
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,902	448	284	145	311	1,015	1,699
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	63%	75%	70%	72%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.70	\$6.69	\$7.17	\$6.71	\$6.73	\$6.60	\$6.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$918	\$926	\$980	\$839	\$934	\$902	\$920
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	51%	51%	57%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through December 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/08 and Work Activity as of 12/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,883	126	106	1,071	95	1,943	1,181	406	955
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,187	39	37	434	25	775	412	137	328
Participants in a Work Activity	1,657	31	15	310	20	644	318	84	235
% of Enrolled	76%	79%	41%	71%	80%	83%	77%	61%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	2	1	0	0	4	1	5
Participants Employed	1,366	27	12	269	12	560	235	71	180
% of Enrolled	62%	69%	32%	62%	48%	72%	57%	52%	55%
Full Time	1,237	22	10	250	9	531	199	64	152
Part Time	129	5	2	19	3	29	36	7	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$6.98	\$7.15	\$7.64	\$7.33	\$7.32	\$7.59	\$7.40	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$963	\$1,058	\$1,076	\$1,084	\$1,035	\$1,079	\$1,023	\$1,084

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,844	55	57	511	42	920	482	204	573
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,770	80	74	717	48	1,209	734	252	656
Participants in a Work Activity	3,283	71	65	634	47	1,064	632	228	542
% of Total Enrolled	87%	89%	88%	88%	98%	88%	86%	90%	83%
Participants Employed	2,405	52	33	455	28	865	455	146	371
% of Total Enrolled	64%	65%	45%	63%	58%	72%	62%	58%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$7.31	\$7.17	\$7.75	\$7.22	\$7.29	\$7.59	\$7.43	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,021	\$961	\$1,081	\$971	\$1,006	\$1,078	\$1,030	\$1,087
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	71%	53%	78%	63%	80%	69%	62%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	40,927	884	768	6,463	640	13,272	6,723	2,640	9,537
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,083	618	594	4,580	500	9,296	5,173	2,008	6,314
Participants in a Work Activity	27,648	593	565	4,352	489	8,875	4,892	1,979	5,903
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	95%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	21,351	453	367	3,432	354	6,826	3,949	1,549	4,421
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	62%	75%	71%	73%	76%	77%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.57	\$6.60	\$6.29	\$6.70	\$6.21	\$6.46	\$6.49	\$6.40	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$868	\$885	\$801	\$891	\$780	\$834	\$879	\$837	\$929
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	48%	56%	54%	55%	62%	60%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	47.1%	4.23	97	\$7.18	3.86	86	62.9%	5.05	80	4.38	103
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	57.0%	5.71	52	\$8.56	6.70	12	66.7%	5.56	64	5.99	32
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	64.0%	6.76	20	\$7.13	3.75	93	55.6%	4.07	98	4.86	86
007	<i>Amelia</i>	50.0%	4.67	84	\$7.42	4.35	71	66.7%	5.56	65	4.86	87
009	<i>Amherst</i>	69.6%	7.59	8	\$7.40	4.31	72	60.0%	4.67	88	5.52	55
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	62.9%	6.59	25	\$7.10	3.69	96	66.7%	5.56	66	5.28	66
013	<i>Arlington</i>	49.4%	4.57	92	\$9.68	9.01	3	53.0%	3.74	105	5.77	39
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	56.3%	5.60	57	\$7.47	4.45	67	78.9%	7.19	22	5.75	40
017	<i>Bath</i>	50.0%	4.67	85	\$6.40	2.25	118	100.0%	10.00	1	5.64	49
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	62.0%	6.46	29	\$7.38	4.27	73	65.1%	5.34	70	5.36	60
021	<i>Bland</i>	47.1%	4.23	98	\$7.84	5.22	42	71.4%	6.19	49	5.21	69
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	33.3%	2.18	116	\$7.56	4.64	63	33.3%	1.11	116	2.64	117
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	51.0%	4.81	80	\$6.52	2.49	115	78.8%	7.17	23	4.83	92
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	50.6%	4.75	82	\$7.55	4.62	64	55.8%	4.11	97	4.49	98
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	42.3%	3.51	112	\$7.69	4.91	50	68.0%	5.73	62	4.72	94
031	<i>Campbell</i>	49.8%	4.63	91	\$7.66	4.85	52	68.4%	5.79	61	5.09	74
033	<i>Caroline</i>	39.8%	3.14	115	\$8.46	6.49	18	100.0%	10.00	2	6.55	14
035	<i>Carroll</i>	59.6%	6.09	40	\$6.73	2.93	112	62.8%	5.04	82	4.69	95
036	<i>Charles City</i>	53.8%	5.24	69	\$6.95	3.38	107	50.0%	3.33	108	3.99	109
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	43.5%	3.69	107	\$8.00	5.55	36	52.9%	3.73	106	4.32	104
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	53.8%	5.24	70	\$8.10	5.75	30	77.7%	7.03	27	6.01	31
043	<i>Clarke</i>	85.7%	10.00	1	\$7.54	4.60	65	40.0%	2.00	113	5.53	54
045	<i>Craig</i>	33.3%	2.18	117	\$7.00	3.48	102	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.83	116
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	43.9%	3.75	106	\$8.33	6.23	23	79.0%	7.19	20	5.72	42
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	59.1%	6.02	41	\$8.02	5.59	35	64.0%	5.20	74	5.60	51
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	52.3%	5.01	75	\$6.92	3.32	108	53.6%	3.81	103	4.05	108
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	62.5%	6.53	27	\$7.89	5.32	40	80.7%	7.42	16	6.42	17
057	<i>Essex</i>	59.1%	6.02	42	\$7.59	4.70	58	63.6%	5.15	76	5.29	64
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	50.3%	4.71	83	\$9.71	9.07	2	76.0%	6.80	30	6.86	7
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	71.1%	7.81	7	\$7.98	5.51	37	65.8%	5.44	68	6.25	24
063	<i>Floyd</i>	44.4%	3.84	104	\$7.62	4.76	55	75.0%	6.67	33	5.09	73
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	50.0%	4.67	86	\$8.44	6.45	19	100.0%	10.00	3	7.04	6
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	47.7%	4.32	96	\$7.65	4.82	53	69.2%	5.90	58	5.01	78
069	<i>Frederick</i>	57.9%	5.85	49	\$8.66	6.91	9	73.0%	6.40	42	6.38	19
071	<i>Giles</i>	64.1%	6.77	18	\$7.47	4.45	68	52.9%	3.73	107	4.98	79
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	58.1%	5.87	47	\$7.74	5.01	46	69.4%	5.93	56	5.60	52
075	<i>Goochland</i>	52.6%	5.06	73	\$8.05	5.65	33	40.0%	2.00	114	4.24	105
077	<i>Grayson</i>	63.0%	6.60	24	\$6.65	2.76	113	72.7%	6.36	43	5.24	68

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	42.5%	3.55	109	\$8.18	5.92	28	47.4%	2.98	111	4.15	106
081	Greensville/Emporia	56.3%	5.61	56	\$7.29	4.08	79	55.6%	4.07	99	4.59	97
083	Halifax	40.9%	3.31	114	\$7.03	3.55	101	60.0%	4.67	89	3.84	112
085	Hanover	52.3%	5.02	74	\$8.53	6.64	15	87.0%	8.26	9	6.64	13
087	Henrico	55.4%	5.48	60	\$8.36	6.29	21	75.8%	6.78	31	6.18	26
089	Henry/Martinsville	57.0%	5.71	50	\$7.31	4.12	76	70.1%	6.02	54	5.28	65
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	65.0%	6.91	13	\$7.31	4.12	77	70.6%	6.08	52	5.70	45
095	James City	74.3%	8.30	4	\$8.29	6.14	25	76.8%	6.91	28	7.12	5
097	King and Queen	54.5%	5.35	64	\$7.16	3.81	88	25.0%	0.00	118	3.05	115
099	King George	54.2%	5.29	68	\$8.55	6.68	13	54.6%	3.94	100	5.30	63
101	King William	48.0%	4.37	95	\$7.96	5.46	38	66.7%	5.56	67	5.13	71
103	Lancaster	41.7%	3.42	113	\$8.07	5.69	31	57.1%	4.29	95	4.47	99
105	Lee	64.0%	6.76	19	\$6.57	2.60	114	86.8%	8.25	10	5.87	34
107	Loudoun	61.6%	6.40	31	\$10.16	10.00	1	79.0%	7.19	21	7.87	1
109	Louisa	45.0%	3.92	102	\$8.79	7.18	6	74.1%	6.54	38	5.88	33
111	Lunenburg	18.8%	0.00	119	\$5.31	0.00	119	50.0%	3.33	109	1.11	119
113	Madison	60.0%	6.16	35	\$7.85	5.24	41	81.8%	7.58	14	6.32	20
115	Mathews	85.7%	10.00	2	\$6.41	2.27	117	100.0%	10.00	4	7.42	2
117	Mecklenburg	56.8%	5.68	53	\$7.11	3.71	95	65.6%	5.42	69	4.94	83
119	Middlesex	43.5%	3.69	108	\$8.70	6.99	8	63.6%	5.15	77	5.28	67
121	Montgomery	72.3%	8.00	5	\$7.52	4.56	66	61.4%	4.86	86	5.81	36
125	Nelson	66.7%	7.16	12	\$7.73	4.99	47	86.7%	8.22	11	6.79	9
127	New Kent	42.3%	3.52	110	\$8.66	6.91	10	87.5%	8.33	8	6.25	23
131	Northampton	50.7%	4.78	81	\$7.05	3.59	99	73.3%	6.44	40	4.94	84
133	Northumberland	33.3%	2.18	118	\$7.10	3.69	97	33.3%	1.11	117	2.33	118
135	Nottoway	57.0%	5.71	51	\$7.06	3.61	98	77.8%	7.04	26	5.45	57
137	Orange	56.5%	5.64	55	\$7.68	4.89	51	75.0%	6.67	34	5.73	41
139	Page	55.4%	5.47	61	\$8.07	5.69	32	54.6%	3.94	101	5.03	76
141	Patrick	58.8%	5.98	43	\$6.49	2.43	116	70.9%	6.12	51	4.84	90
143	Pittsylvania	50.0%	4.67	87	\$7.24	3.98	83	49.1%	3.21	110	3.95	111
145	Powhatan	50.0%	4.67	88	\$8.52	6.62	16	60.0%	4.67	90	5.32	61
147	Prince Edward	54.4%	5.32	66	\$7.27	4.04	81	85.4%	8.06	13	5.81	35
149	Prince George	52.2%	4.99	76	\$8.55	6.68	14	62.9%	5.05	81	5.57	53
153	Prince William	58.3%	5.90	46	\$9.60	8.85	4	75.8%	6.77	32	7.17	4
155	Pulaski	53.0%	5.12	71	\$7.14	3.77	92	57.5%	4.34	94	4.41	101
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	4.67	89	\$7.00	3.48	103	100.0%	10.00	5	6.05	29
159	Richmond County	50.0%	4.67	90	\$7.44	4.39	69	37.5%	1.67	115	3.58	114
161	Roanoke County	67.9%	7.33	11	\$7.65	4.82	54	61.7%	4.89	84	5.68	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45.5%	3.99	100	\$7.59	4.70	59	64.7%	5.29	71	4.66	96
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.5%	8.48	3	\$7.80	5.13	43	68.5%	5.80	60	6.47	15
167	Russell	58.4%	5.92	44	\$7.00	3.48	104	63.1%	5.08	79	4.83	91
169	Scott	54.5%	5.33	65	\$6.98	3.44	105	81.5%	7.53	15	5.44	58
171	Shenandoah	64.3%	6.80	15	\$7.16	3.81	89	45.5%	2.73	112	4.45	100

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	42.3%	3.52	111	\$6.78	3.03	111	75.0%	6.67	35	4.41	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	44.6%	3.86	103	\$7.17	3.84	87	53.3%	3.78	104	3.82	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	64.4%	6.81	14	\$8.30	6.16	24	80.2%	7.36	17	6.78	11
179	<i>Stafford</i>	55.3%	5.45	62	\$8.57	6.72	11	85.7%	8.09	12	6.76	12
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.2%	7.54	10	\$7.70	4.93	49	93.3%	9.11	6	7.19	3
183	<i>Sussex</i>	63.3%	6.66	23	\$7.16	3.81	90	75.0%	6.67	36	5.71	44
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	62.0%	6.46	28	\$6.79	3.05	110	64.2%	5.23	73	4.91	85
187	<i>Warren</i>	51.8%	4.93	77	\$8.26	6.08	26	54.4%	3.91	102	4.97	80
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.1%	6.32	32	\$7.13	3.75	94	61.1%	4.81	87	4.96	81
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	56.1%	5.57	59	\$8.35	6.27	22	72.7%	6.36	44	6.07	28
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	6.80	16	\$7.04	3.57	100	66.9%	5.59	63	5.32	62
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.4%	7.56	9	\$6.90	3.28	109	74.0%	6.53	39	5.79	38
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	46.2%	4.09	99	\$7.38	4.27	74	71.4%	6.19	50	4.85	88
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.6%	4.91	79	\$9.46	8.56	5	77.9%	7.05	25	6.84	8
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.1%	4.39	94	\$7.16	3.81	91	55.8%	4.11	96	4.10	107
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.6%	6.24	33	\$8.19	5.94	27	70.2%	6.02	53	6.07	27
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.5%	6.68	22	\$7.75	5.03	45	78.0%	7.07	24	6.26	22
590	<i>Danville</i>	44.3%	3.81	105	\$7.25	4.00	82	73.1%	6.42	41	4.74	93
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	58.3%	5.91	45	\$7.22	3.94	84	62.5%	5.00	83	4.95	82
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	60.3%	6.20	34	\$8.52	6.62	17	72.7%	6.36	45	6.39	18
640	<i>Galax</i>	45.2%	3.94	101	\$6.97	3.42	106	59.3%	4.57	92	3.98	110
650	<i>Hampton</i>	59.6%	6.11	37	\$7.59	4.70	60	74.5%	6.60	37	5.80	37
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	59.6%	6.09	39	\$7.60	4.72	57	79.5%	7.27	19	6.03	30
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	59.9%	6.15	36	\$7.31	4.12	78	71.8%	6.24	48	5.50	56
683	<i>Manassas</i>	54.4%	5.32	67	\$8.76	7.11	7	72.3%	6.31	46	6.25	25
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	51.7%	4.92	78	\$8.37	6.31	20	93.3%	9.11	7	6.78	10
700	<i>Newport News</i>	59.6%	6.10	38	\$7.62	4.76	56	69.7%	5.96	55	5.61	50
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.5%	7.88	6	\$7.29	4.08	80	79.9%	7.31	18	6.43	16
720	<i>Norton</i>	62.9%	6.59	26	\$7.36	4.23	75	64.7%	5.29	72	5.37	59
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	56.2%	5.59	58	\$7.57	4.66	62	76.7%	6.90	29	5.72	43
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	62.0%	6.46	30	\$7.59	4.70	61	69.4%	5.91	57	5.69	46
750	<i>Radford</i>	64.2%	6.78	17	\$7.21	3.92	85	59.0%	4.53	93	5.08	75
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.3%	4.41	93	\$7.73	4.99	48	63.5%	5.14	78	4.85	89
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	52.8%	5.08	72	\$7.78	5.09	44	64.0%	5.20	75	5.13	72
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.9%	5.85	48	\$7.43	4.37	70	61.5%	4.87	85	5.03	77
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	56.6%	5.65	54	\$7.95	5.44	39	69.1%	5.88	59	5.66	48
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	63.9%	6.74	21	\$8.12	5.79	29	72.2%	6.30	47	6.28	21
840	<i>Winchester</i>	54.9%	5.40	63	\$8.04	5.63	34	59.5%	4.59	91	5.21	70

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.4%	1.35	115	\$808	2.33	100	58.7%	7.07	29	3.58	109
003	Albemarle	77.8%	8.05	5	\$979	5.85	18	54.7%	6.01	59	6.64	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.2%	6.73	30	\$811	2.41	96	45.8%	3.66	104	4.27	87
007	Amelia	62.9%	3.77	98	\$937	4.99	33	43.1%	2.95	115	3.90	97
009	Amherst	69.8%	5.75	58	\$825	2.68	91	53.6%	5.71	67	4.72	72
011	Appomattox	72.1%	6.41	38	\$821	2.61	92	43.3%	3.01	114	4.01	92
013	Arlington	75.4%	7.36	18	\$1,024	6.79	9	64.0%	8.46	9	7.54	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.4%	5.65	63	\$933	4.91	38	53.7%	5.74	66	5.43	43
017	Bath	64.7%	4.30	89	\$732	0.78	117	57.1%	6.66	36	3.91	96
019	Bedford County/City	68.0%	5.24	72	\$808	2.35	99	55.5%	6.22	52	4.60	77
021	Bland	65.0%	4.38	86	\$808	2.35	98	47.8%	4.18	98	3.64	106
023	Botetourt	51.0%	0.38	118	\$900	4.23	55	44.8%	3.41	109	2.67	118
025	Brunswick	58.2%	2.43	114	\$800	2.18	103	56.9%	6.58	41	3.73	104
027	Buchanan	52.4%	0.77	117	\$793	2.03	107	53.8%	5.77	65	2.86	115
029	Buckingham	59.0%	2.66	111	\$908	4.39	51	51.5%	5.18	79	4.08	91
031	Campbell	69.1%	5.56	65	\$852	3.25	76	59.9%	7.39	22	5.40	44
033	Caroline	62.1%	3.56	100	\$942	5.09	30	41.6%	2.56	117	3.74	103
035	Carroll	67.1%	4.99	77	\$792	2.01	108	45.6%	3.60	106	3.53	110
036	Charles City	50.6%	0.26	119	\$885	3.93	61	58.8%	7.10	28	3.76	102
037	Charlotte	54.1%	1.25	116	\$869	3.59	67	45.6%	3.62	105	2.82	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	68.9%	5.49	67	\$992	6.12	16	60.9%	7.64	16	6.42	23
043	Clarke	62.8%	3.75	99	\$953	5.33	29	46.2%	3.75	102	4.28	86
045	Craig	71.4%	6.22	40	\$978	5.83	19	66.7%	9.18	3	7.08	7
047	Culpeper	67.0%	4.97	78	\$935	4.94	36	55.7%	6.28	50	5.40	45
049	Cumberland	59.6%	2.85	109	\$932	4.89	39	43.9%	3.15	113	3.63	108
051	Dickensen	60.5%	3.09	105	\$788	1.94	110	48.8%	4.46	94	3.17	114
053	Dinwiddie	70.9%	6.07	45	\$926	4.76	42	53.4%	5.66	68	5.50	41
057	Essex	70.3%	5.90	52	\$835	2.90	84	41.9%	2.62	116	3.81	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	70.8%	6.04	47	\$1,096	8.26	4	61.8%	7.89	13	7.40	6
061	Fauquier	72.1%	6.42	37	\$973	5.74	21	63.3%	8.28	10	6.81	11
063	Floyd	70.9%	6.08	44	\$891	4.04	59	44.4%	3.29	111	4.47	81
065	Fluvanna	74.8%	7.18	21	\$1,081	7.96	5	50.8%	4.99	84	6.71	12
067	Franklin County	67.9%	5.21	74	\$924	4.72	45	50.6%	4.93	86	4.95	65
069	Frederick	63.4%	3.93	95	\$957	5.40	27	54.9%	6.06	56	5.13	54
071	Giles	61.3%	3.31	102	\$911	4.45	50	48.1%	4.26	96	4.01	93
073	Gloucester	70.7%	6.02	48	\$825	2.69	90	56.6%	6.50	45	5.07	60
075	Goochland	70.0%	5.81	56	\$857	3.34	74	55.0%	6.09	55	5.08	59
077	Grayson	66.7%	4.86	81	\$816	2.51	94	57.1%	6.64	38	4.67	73

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	77.2%	7.87	8	\$919	4.62	47	50.0%	4.77	88	5.76	32
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.8%	2.90	108	\$798	2.13	105	57.5%	6.76	33	3.93	95
083	Halifax	60.7%	3.16	103	\$813	2.44	95	48.5%	4.36	95	3.32	112
085	Hanover	67.1%	4.99	76	\$1,049	7.29	7	65.7%	8.91	7	7.07	8
087	Henrico	71.1%	6.12	43	\$998	6.24	15	56.2%	6.41	47	6.26	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	66.5%	4.80	82	\$912	4.47	49	54.8%	6.05	58	5.11	56
091	Highland	71.4%	6.22	41	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.41	21	4.55	80
093	Isle of Wight	73.3%	6.76	29	\$885	3.93	62	55.5%	6.22	51	5.64	38
095	James City	73.9%	6.93	24	\$860	3.41	71	51.6%	5.19	78	5.18	52
097	King and Queen	59.1%	2.68	110	\$864	3.49	69	34.9%	0.77	119	2.31	120
099	King George	70.7%	6.02	49	\$904	4.31	53	50.3%	4.86	87	5.06	61
101	King William	60.1%	2.99	106	\$968	5.62	23	46.6%	3.87	100	4.16	89
103	Lancaster	68.2%	5.29	71	\$818	2.54	93	47.4%	4.08	99	3.97	94
105	Lee	66.3%	4.76	83	\$747	1.09	116	59.8%	7.36	23	4.40	83
107	Loudoun	82.2%	9.30	2	\$1,147	9.30	2	66.3%	9.07	4	9.22	1
109	Louisa	65.7%	4.58	85	\$956	5.38	28	54.3%	5.91	61	5.29	47
111	Lunenburg	49.7%	0.00	120	\$732	0.78	118	59.2%	7.20	25	2.66	119
113	Madison	68.4%	5.35	70	\$976	5.80	20	45.1%	3.48	108	4.88	69
115	Mathews	64.0%	4.11	91	\$831	2.82	88	49.1%	4.52	91	3.82	99
117	Mecklenburg	70.1%	5.84	53	\$801	2.19	102	57.7%	6.80	32	4.94	66
119	Middlesex	70.6%	5.97	50	\$861	3.43	70	55.2%	6.16	53	5.19	51
121	Montgomery	81.8%	9.19	3	\$907	4.38	52	54.2%	5.88	63	6.49	18
125	Nelson	68.7%	5.43	68	\$1,004	6.37	14	52.6%	5.45	73	5.75	33
127	New Kent	66.0%	4.68	84	\$1,005	6.38	13	54.0%	5.83	64	5.63	39
131	Northampton	63.7%	4.01	92	\$788	1.93	111	65.9%	8.97	6	4.97	64
133	Northumberland	60.1%	2.98	107	\$832	2.83	86	41.1%	2.43	118	2.74	117
135	Nottoway	69.7%	5.73	60	\$860	3.40	72	55.1%	6.13	54	5.09	58
137	Orange	73.7%	6.88	25	\$938	5.00	32	43.9%	3.16	112	5.01	63
139	Page	75.7%	7.45	16	\$968	5.62	24	56.7%	6.55	42	6.54	17
141	Patrick	68.4%	5.36	69	\$791	2.00	109	66.2%	9.04	5	5.46	42
143	Pittsylvania	58.5%	2.53	113	\$844	3.09	80	52.0%	5.30	76	3.64	107
145	Powhatan	58.8%	2.60	112	\$858	3.37	73	51.2%	5.08	82	3.69	105
147	Prince Edward	76.1%	7.55	13	\$852	3.24	77	60.6%	7.58	17	6.13	26
149	Prince George	63.4%	3.92	96	\$980	5.87	17	50.9%	5.00	83	4.93	68
153	Prince William	76.0%	7.52	14	\$1,181	10.00	1	62.0%	7.94	12	8.49	2
155	Pulaski	66.7%	4.87	80	\$925	4.74	43	45.1%	3.48	107	4.36	85
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.33	88	\$935	4.95	35	44.8%	3.41	110	4.23	88
159	Richmond County	68.0%	5.23	73	\$928	4.80	41	31.9%	0.00	120	3.34	111
161	Roanoke County	77.6%	7.99	7	\$1,014	6.56	11	52.1%	5.34	75	6.63	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	76.6%	7.70	10	\$849	3.18	79	54.6%	5.98	60	5.62	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.9%	7.50	15	\$924	4.73	44	58.9%	7.13	27	6.45	20
167	Russell	63.5%	3.96	94	\$828	2.76	89	59.1%	7.19	26	4.63	76
169	Scott	60.6%	3.12	104	\$851	3.23	78	64.1%	8.48	8	4.94	67
171	Shenandoah	70.0%	5.80	57	\$894	4.11	56	53.1%	5.58	71	5.17	53

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	63.3%	3.90	97	\$798	2.14	104	53.3%	5.64	69	3.89	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	61.8%	3.46	101	\$801	2.21	101	48.0%	4.23	97	3.30	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	73.0%	6.66	31	\$1,012	6.53	12	56.7%	6.53	43	6.57	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	63.6%	3.97	93	\$1,118	8.70	3	56.9%	6.59	40	6.42	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	74.7%	7.17	22	\$839	2.99	81	50.8%	4.97	85	5.04	62
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.6%	5.69	61	\$934	4.92	37	56.9%	6.61	39	5.74	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.5%	5.67	62	\$775	1.67	114	57.1%	6.66	37	4.67	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	71.3%	6.19	42	\$967	5.61	25	57.9%	6.85	30	6.21	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	69.2%	5.59	64	\$867	3.56	68	49.4%	4.61	89	4.58	78
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.7%	4.88	79	\$884	3.91	64	48.9%	4.48	93	4.42	82
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.21	90	\$767	1.51	115	57.3%	6.71	34	4.14	90
197	<i>Wythe</i>	69.1%	5.55	66	\$811	2.40	97	56.6%	6.52	44	4.82	70
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	65.0%	4.37	87	\$853	3.27	75	46.2%	3.77	101	3.80	101
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	72.0%	6.37	39	\$1,020	6.70	10	69.8%	10.00	1	7.69	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	72.7%	6.58	35	\$836	2.91	83	48.9%	4.49	92	4.66	75
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	84.6%	10.00	1	\$917	4.58	48	55.9%	6.33	49	6.97	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.9%	7.23	20	\$891	4.05	58	56.0%	6.36	48	5.88	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.8%	5.74	59	\$783	1.83	112	53.1%	5.58	72	4.38	84
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.8%	6.04	46	\$780	1.77	113	54.3%	5.91	62	4.57	79
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.7%	8.58	4	\$972	5.71	22	53.2%	5.60	70	6.63	14
640	<i>Galax</i>	67.5%	5.10	75	\$831	2.82	87	60.1%	7.43	19	5.12	55
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.9%	6.64	32	\$885	3.92	63	51.8%	5.24	77	5.27	49
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	72.3%	6.47	36	\$902	4.27	54	51.2%	5.09	81	5.28	48
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.3%	7.05	23	\$797	2.12	106	62.6%	8.11	11	5.76	31
683	<i>Manassas</i>	70.4%	5.92	51	\$1,074	7.81	6	60.0%	7.42	20	7.05	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.0%	7.24	19	\$1,049	7.29	8	69.1%	9.83	2	8.12	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	75.5%	7.38	17	\$894	4.11	57	57.8%	6.84	31	6.11	27
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.4%	6.80	27	\$834	2.87	85	54.9%	6.06	57	5.24	50
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.8%	8.05	6	\$714	0.41	119	60.5%	7.54	18	5.33	46
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	73.5%	6.80	26	\$920	4.65	46	57.2%	6.67	35	6.04	28
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.3%	7.63	12	\$879	3.80	66	61.6%	7.82	14	6.42	22
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.4%	6.78	28	\$881	3.83	65	45.9%	3.69	103	4.77	71
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.9%	6.64	33	\$942	5.09	31	60.9%	7.65	15	6.46	19
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	70.0%	5.82	55	\$936	4.98	34	49.1%	4.53	90	5.11	57
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	77.1%	7.86	9	\$837	2.95	82	59.6%	7.30	24	6.04	29
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	70.0%	5.82	54	\$929	4.83	40	56.6%	6.50	46	5.72	36
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	76.5%	7.67	11	\$887	3.96	60	52.3%	5.39	74	5.67	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	72.9%	6.64	34	\$959	5.44	26	51.3%	5.12	80	5.73	35

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	10,941	545	426	991	358	211	1,264	145	266	400	555	371	1,539	367	144	1,094	355	1,811	99
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	94%	94%	95%	98%	96%	95%	95%	97%	94%	95%	95%	93%	85%	92%	97%	87%	93%	93%
% Timely in December 2008	91%	81%	96%	94%	98%	98%	89%	89%	100%	93%	99%	89%	91%	61%	73%	96%	83%	94%	95%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	5,584	278	223	491	163	93	700	88	137	205	308	198	782	184	76	495	180	928	55
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	96%	99%	99%	99%	97%	97%	97%	99%	96%	97%	98%	97%	93%	99%	97%	93%	95%	96%
Percent in December 2008	96%	95%	99%	99%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	94%	98%	99%	99%	92%	100%	97%	89%	92%	94%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	107	9	1	5	2	0	11	4	0	1	0	8	23	22	8	6	5	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	19	18	12	91	0	15	30	0	7	0	14	28	36	148	15	19	11	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
Timely Enrollment¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008		545	42	32	90	64	70	91	137	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008		94%	98%	94%	96%	100%	94%	90%	93%	79%
% Timely in December 2008		81%	100%	71%	85%	100%	77%	88%	71%	50%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008		278	22	16	42	30	35	53	68	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008		96%	95%	81%	98%	100%	97%	98%	99%	83%
Percent in December 2008		95%	100%	89%	94%	100%	91%	95%	100%	83%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days		9	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	3
Average # of Days Over 30		19	0	0	18	0	13	0	21	22

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	426	10	43	9	86	70	46	118	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	95%	100%	92%	97%	83%	97%	91%
% Timely in December 2008	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	91%	92%	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	223	4	17	0	42	43	24	65	28
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%
Percent in December 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	991	44	11	1	13	78	20	126	102	109	33	454
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	82%	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%	98%	100%	99%	88%	96%
% Timely in December 2008	94%	78%	100%	100%	N/A	93%	100%	95%	100%	100%	60%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	491	18	6	0	3	32	12	62	50	58	17	233
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	88%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in December 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	12	7	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	15

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	358	234	2	0	23	99
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	96%	94%
% Timely in December 2008	98%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	163	108	2	0	7	46
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	86%	98%
Percent in December 2008	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	91	14	0	0	0	168

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	211	3	44	37	19	64	44	99	65	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	98%	100%	68%	100%	95%	93%	92%	94%
% Timely in December 2008	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	95%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	93	2	24	14	10	20	23	55	38	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	92%	93%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	88%
Percent in December 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	80%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,264	98	389	111	452	135	62	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	98%	94%	90%	99%	95%	94%
% Timely in December 2008	89%	100%	96%	81%	82%	100%	100%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	700	63	216	65	250	65	35	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	97%	94%	96%	97%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2008	98%	100%	98%	95%	97%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	1	9	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	5	17	0	0	10

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	145	55	46	18	20	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	98%	98%	100%	100%	17%
% Timely in December 2008	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	88	31	28	14	13	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	94%	100%	100%	92%	100%
Percent in December 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	0	30

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	266	58	11	23	37	10	127
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	98%	100%	87%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in December 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	137	33	3	10	17	5	69
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2008	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	400	21	35	86	91	167
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	100%	95%	89%
% Timely in December 2008	93%	100%	100%	100%	88%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	205	9	18	45	42	91
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	96%	93%	96%
Percent in December 2008	94%	100%	100%	90%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	555	81	175	57	67	175
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	96%	93%	96%	100%	95%
% Timely in December 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	308	37	102	34	39	96
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	94%	97%	97%	99%
Percent in December 2008	98%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	371	13	54	49	40	23	35	7	67	38	45
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	92%	91%	98%	100%	100%	83%	86%	99%	95%	93%
% Timely in December 2008	89%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	29%	100%	100%	67%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	198	6	32	26	18	16	23	3	29	21	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	86%	100%
Percent in December 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	0	13	21	0	14	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,539	308	11	61	397	12	750
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	96%	55%	98%	87%	100%	95%
% Timely in December 2008	91%	100%	N/A	100%	76%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	782	169	8	29	180	2	394
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	98%	100%	93%	96%	100%	98%
Percent in December 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	N/A	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	23	1	0	0	21	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	28	82	0	0	26	0	12

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	367	64	21	102	104	76
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	85%	80%	100%	81%	81%	95%
% Timely in December 2008	61%	50%	100%	47%	58%	89%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	184	21	7	51	55	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	100%	82%	96%	98%
Percent in December 2008	92%	100%	100%	72%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	22	13	0	3	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	36	32	0	15	55	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	144	32	8	15	16	4	18	13	11	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	97%	88%	87%	94%	25%	100%	92%	91%	96%
% Timely in December 2008	73%	100%	50%	33%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	76	21	6	9	5	1	9	6	7	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	2	0	6	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	148	0	0	6	0	196	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,094	5	32	36	12	29	365	590	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	88%	100%	100%	93%	99%	96%	96%
% Timely in December 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	92%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	495	3	15	18	6	16	152	269	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	93%	100%	100%	88%	97%	97%	100%
Percent in December 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	96%	98%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	0	19	14	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	355	35	41	11	18	96	154
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	87%	97%	98%	82%	100%	84%	82%
% Timely in December 2008	83%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	89%	79%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	180	21	23	5	11	52	68
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	91%	100%	91%	100%	87%
Percent in December 2008	89%	100%	85%	100%	100%	100%	75%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	0	0	0	0	19

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,811	34	44	353	30	491	330	152	377
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	94%	95%	94%	100%	85%	96%	99%	97%
% Timely in December 2008	94%	100%	90%	98%	100%	82%	96%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	928	19	17	186	15	268	182	77	164
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	96%	93%	92%	97%	99%	98%
Percent in December 2008	92%	100%	100%	92%	88%	88%	93%	96%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	21	0	0	0	1	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Dec-07</i>	<i>Dec-08</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,788	13,794	0.0%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,474	127,579	0.9%
Total Cases Collected	140,262	141,373	0.8%
TANF Collections	\$2,239,525	\$2,536,129	13.2%
NTANF Collections	\$43,716,862	\$48,633,857	11.2%
Total Collections	\$45,956,387	\$51,169,986	11.3%
SFY YTD Collections	\$290,978,737	\$305,834,460	5.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$344	\$357	3.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Dec-08</i>
TANF Paternities	261
NTANF Paternities	560
Combined Paternities	821

Diversionsary Assistance

December Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	6		Page	2
Alleghany / Covington	4		Patrick	3
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	6
Amherst	1		Prince Edward	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Prince William	3
Bedford City	3		Pulaski	1
Buckingham	7		Roanoke County	6
Caroline	9		Rockingham / H'burg	10
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	17		Shenandoah	12
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	18
Culpeper	4		Stafford	4
Dickensen	2		Tazewell	1
Dinwiddie	2		Warren	10
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Wise	1
Fauquier	5		Wythe	4
Floyd	3		York / Poquoson	3
Franklin County	8		Alexandria	3
Frederick	9		Bristol	6
Greene	1		Charlottesville	3
Halifax	2		Chesapeake	3
Henrico	8		Danville	1
Henry / Martinsville	5		Fredricksburg	1
Isle of Wight	3		Hampton	11
James City	2		Hopewell	5
Lancaster	1		Newport News	31
Loudoun	1		Norfolk	28
Louisa	4		Richmond City	5
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	21
Madison	3		Suffolk	16
Mathews	2		Virginia Beach	10
Mecklenburg	1		Winchester	5
Northampton	2		Statewide	367

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of January 6, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..


This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:

http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through December 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through December 2008



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the very recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Dec 31, 2008



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of January and represent end of December data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of November, it was \$7.86 rather than the \$7.71 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the recent apparent reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.