

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
February 2009

Monthly Report, February 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 16,928 and a numerator of 7,235.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	71	32	45%	061	Fauquier	62	33	53%
003	Albemarle	64	32	50%	063	Floyd	23	9	39%
005	Alleghany/Covington	84	27	32%	065	Fluvanna	10	7	70%
007	Amelia	22	6	27%	067	Franklin County	93	25	27%
009	Amherst	47	14	30%	069	Frederick	75	20	27%
011	Appomattox	35	15	43%	071	Giles	20	8	40%
013	Arlington	129	56	43%	073	Gloucester	49	6	12%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	298	151	51%	075	Goochland	13	4	31%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	20	7	35%
019	Bedford County/City	95	51	54%	079	Greene	22	4	18%
021	Bland	11	4	36%	081	Greensville/Emporia	73	28	38%
023	Botetourt	10	1	10%	083	Halifax	110	22	20%
025	Brunswick	74	42	57%	085	Hanover	95	26	27%
027	Buchanan	74	18	24%	087	Henrico	719	293	41%
029	Buckingham	33	15	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	282	95	34%
031	Campbell	143	55	38%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	120	36	30%	093	Isle of Wight	71	30	42%
035	Carroll	48	29	60%	095	James City	59	19	32%
036	Charles City	12	3	25%	097	King and Queen	14	4	29%
037	Charlotte	24	9	38%	099	King George	16	9	56%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	460	211	46%	101	King William	24	8	33%
043	Clarke	13	3	23%	103	Lancaster	16	6	38%
045	Craig	3	0	0%	105	Lee	98	44	45%
047	Culpeper	62	20	32%	107	Loudoun	162	66	41%
049	Cumberland	39	20	51%	109	Louisa	36	16	44%
051	Dickensen	32	9	28%	111	Lunenburg	24	2	8%
053	Dinwiddie	51	19	37%	113	Madison	12	5	42%
057	Essex	54	20	37%	115	Mathews	11	4	36%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	619	316	51%	117	Mecklenburg	85	35	41%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	5	25%	187	Warren	82	36	44%
121	Montgomery	192	106	55%	191	Washington	101	37	37%
125	Nelson	25	9	36%	193	Westmoreland	43	15	35%
127	New Kent	17	2	12%	195	Wise	202	59	29%
131	Northampton	48	15	31%	197	Wythe	74	29	39%
133	Northumberland	13	4	31%	199	York/Poquoson	57	16	28%
135	Nottoway	81	9	11%	510	Alexandria	255	124	49%
137	Orange	36	13	36%	520	Bristol	129	61	47%
139	Page	31	13	42%	540	Charlottesville	185	104	56%
141	Patrick	60	31	52%	550	Chesapeake	615	265	43%
143	Pittsylvania	85	31	36%	590	Danville	227	84	37%
145	Powhatan	16	7	44%	620	Franklin City	42	17	40%
147	Prince Edward	76	31	41%	630	Fredericksburg	109	63	58%
149	Prince George	40	17	43%	640	Galax	43	13	30%
153	Prince William	694	305	44%	650	Hampton	673	313	47%
155	Pulaski	76	31	41%	670	Hopewell	196	75	38%
157	Rappahannock	9	1	11%	680	Lynchburg	262	118	45%
159	Richmond County	15	2	13%	683	Manassas	85	40	47%
161	Roanoke County	141	77	55%	685	Manassas Park	25	4	16%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	37	15	41%	700	Newport News	898	388	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	131	66	50%	710	Norfolk	1,083	569	53%
167	Russell	96	50	52%	720	Norton	41	13	32%
169	Scott	91	26	29%	730	Petersburg	342	104	30%
171	Shenandoah	19	8	42%	740	Portsmouth	626	261	42%
173	Smyth	93	35	38%	750	Radford	40	13	33%
175	Southampton	50	7	14%	760	Richmond City	1,448	659	46%
177	Spotsylvania	196	76	39%	770	Roanoke City	514	161	31%
179	Stafford	169	87	51%	800	Suffolk	171	94	55%
181	Surry	29	3	10%	810	Virginia Beach	487	241	49%
183	Sussex	38	20	53%	830	Williamsburg	30	12	40%
185	Tazewell	135	65	48%	840	Winchester	60	30	50%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 14,873 and a numerator of 5,402. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	58	19	33%	061	Fauquier	46	19	41%
003	Albemarle	46	15	33%	063	Floyd	20	6	30%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	16	22%	065	Fluvanna	8	5	63%
007	Amelia	22	6	27%	067	Franklin County	85	18	21%
009	Amherst	37	9	24%	069	Frederick	68	14	21%
011	Appomattox	30	11	37%	071	Giles	16	4	25%
013	Arlington	108	38	35%	073	Gloucester	41	4	10%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	253	107	42%	075	Goochland	13	4	31%
017	Bath	2	0	0%	077	Grayson	16	3	19%
019	Bedford County/City	85	41	48%	079	Greene	21	3	14%
021	Bland	10	3	30%	081	Greensville/Emporia	63	18	29%
023	Botetourt	10	1	10%	083	Halifax	99	12	12%
025	Brunswick	66	35	53%	085	Hanover	86	17	20%
027	Buchanan	66	11	17%	087	Henrico	638	218	34%
029	Buckingham	29	11	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	273	89	33%
031	Campbell	124	37	30%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	112	30	27%	093	Isle of Wight	58	17	29%
035	Carroll	42	23	55%	095	James City	48	12	25%
036	Charles City	11	3	27%	097	King and Queen	11	2	18%
037	Charlotte	22	7	32%	099	King George	12	5	42%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	391	142	36%	101	King William	21	5	24%
043	Clarke	11	2	18%	103	Lancaster	16	6	38%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	91	37	41%
047	Culpeper	55	15	27%	107	Loudoun	124	32	26%
049	Cumberland	32	14	44%	109	Louisa	31	11	35%
051	Dickensen	30	7	23%	111	Lunenburg	22	2	9%
053	Dinwiddie	44	13	30%	113	Madison	11	5	45%
057	Essex	50	16	32%	115	Mathews	10	3	30%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	533	236	44%	117	Mecklenburg	73	24	33%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	3	17%	187	Warren	76	32	42%
121	Montgomery	163	77	47%	191	Washington	91	28	31%
125	Nelson	23	7	30%	193	Westmoreland	40	15	38%
127	New Kent	16	2	13%	195	Wise	178	38	21%
131	Northampton	45	12	27%	197	Wythe	61	23	38%
133	Northumberland	12	3	25%	199	York/Poquoson	53	12	23%
135	Nottoway	76	4	5%	510	Alexandria	224	93	42%
137	Orange	35	13	37%	520	Bristol	116	48	41%
139	Page	24	6	25%	540	Charlottesville	151	71	47%
141	Patrick	50	21	42%	550	Chesapeake	557	214	38%
143	Pittsylvania	78	24	31%	590	Danville	205	68	33%
145	Powhatan	16	7	44%	620	Franklin City	34	10	29%
147	Prince Edward	64	19	30%	630	Fredericksburg	91	49	54%
149	Prince George	36	14	39%	640	Galax	34	5	15%
153	Prince William	561	183	33%	650	Hampton	606	259	43%
155	Pulaski	64	21	33%	670	Hopewell	169	57	34%
157	Rappahannock	9	1	11%	680	Lynchburg	229	87	38%
159	Richmond County	13	0	0%	683	Manassas	71	28	39%
161	Roanoke County	120	57	48%	685	Manassas Park	20	0	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	34	12	35%	700	Newport News	779	279	36%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	112	47	42%	710	Norfolk	1,003	499	50%
167	Russell	88	42	48%	720	Norton	37	9	24%
169	Scott	79	17	22%	730	Petersburg	312	86	28%
171	Shenandoah	19	8	42%	740	Portsmouth	566	205	36%
173	Smyth	88	32	36%	750	Radford	35	10	29%
175	Southampton	45	5	11%	760	Richmond City	1,295	522	40%
177	Spotsylvania	177	64	36%	770	Roanoke City	456	104	23%
179	Stafford	143	62	43%	800	Suffolk	150	74	49%
181	Surry	29	3	10%	810	Virginia Beach	396	155	39%
183	Sussex	33	16	48%	830	Williamsburg	25	9	36%
185	Tazewell	116	46	40%	840	Winchester	52	22	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 89% with a denominator of 2,055 and a numerator of 1,833 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	13	13	100%	061	Fauquier	16	14	88%
003	Albemarle	18	17	94%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	11	11	100%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	8	7	88%
009	Amherst	10	5	50%	069	Frederick	7	6	86%
011	Appomattox	5	4	80%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	21	18	86%	073	Gloucester	8	2	25%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	45	44	98%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	10	10	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	10	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	11	10	91%
025	Brunswick	8	7	88%	085	Hanover	9	9	100%
027	Buchanan	8	7	88%	087	Henrico	81	75	93%
029	Buckingham	4	4	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	9	6	67%
031	Campbell	19	18	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	8	6	75%	093	Isle of Wight	13	13	100%
035	Carroll	6	6	100%	095	James City	11	7	64%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	3	2	67%
037	Charlotte	2	2	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69	69	100%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	2	1	50%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	7	7	100%
047	Culpeper	7	5	71%	107	Loudoun	38	34	89%
049	Cumberland	7	6	86%	109	Louisa	5	5	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	7	6	86%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	4	4	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	86	80	93%	117	Mecklenburg	12	11	92%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	6	4	67%
121	Montgomery	29	29	100%	191	Washington	10	9	90%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	0	0%	195	Wise	24	21	88%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	13	6	46%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	31	31	100%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	13	13	100%
139	Page	7	7	100%	540	Charlottesville	34	33	97%
141	Patrick	10	10	100%	550	Chesapeake	58	51	88%
143	Pittsylvania	7	7	100%	590	Danville	22	16	73%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	8	7	88%
147	Prince Edward	12	12	100%	630	Fredericksburg	18	14	78%
149	Prince George	4	3	75%	640	Galax	9	8	89%
153	Prince William	133	122	92%	650	Hampton	67	54	81%
155	Pulaski	12	10	83%	670	Hopewell	27	18	67%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	33	31	94%
159	Richmond County	2	2	100%	683	Manassas	14	12	86%
161	Roanoke County	21	20	95%	685	Manassas Park	5	4	80%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	119	109	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	19	19	100%	710	Norfolk	80	70	88%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	12	9	75%	730	Petersburg	30	18	60%
171	Shenandoah	0	0	N/A	740	Portsmouth	60	56	93%
173	Smyth	5	3	60%	750	Radford	5	3	60%
175	Southampton	5	2	40%	760	Richmond City	153	137	90%
177	Spotsylvania	19	12	63%	770	Roanoke City	58	57	98%
179	Stafford	26	25	96%	800	Suffolk	21	20	95%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	91	86	95%
183	Sussex	5	4	80%	830	Williamsburg	5	3	60%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Feb-09	Feb-08	Change from Feb-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,983	3,138	27%	4,807	-17%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,254	1,969	14%	1,658	36%
Applications Approved	2,148	1,924	12%	2,820	-24%
Cases Closed *	2,237	2,249	-1%	4,476	-50%
Cases at End of Month	30,337	27,645	10%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,219	28,459	10%	70,403	-56%

* The database indicates that of the 2,237 closed cases, 944 were VIEW cases, of which 493 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 157 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 124 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Feb-09	Feb-08	Change from Feb-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	499	167	199%	338	48%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	337	154	119%	232	45%
Applications Approved	254	138	84%	81	214%
Cases Closed *	173	164	5%	107	62%
Cases at End of Month	1,556	961	62%	321	385%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,664	1,061	57%	394	322%

* The database indicates that of the 173 closed cases, 98 were VIEW cases, of which 45 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, five were closed after 24 months participation, and of those four closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	31,893	1,824	1,033	2,442	926	461	3,645	398	644	1,079	1,645	957	4,956	965	392	3,137	1,168	5,965	256
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,652	623	469	946	339	216	1,468	132	259	480	509	466	2,046	501	167	1,202	539	2,202	88
Part. in a Work Activity	9,493	484	337	653	277	167	1,171	97	212	360	387	317	1,513	362	113	927	346	1,714	56
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	72%	69%	82%	77%	80%	73%	82%	75%	76%	68%	74%	72%	68%	77%	64%	78%	64%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	121	6	8	8	1	3	16	2	3	2	0	5	30	6	5	4	3	19	0
Participants Employed	6,144	354	201	480	211	100	647	68	120	214	224	208	865	229	71	609	243	1,267	33
% of Enrolled	49%	57%	43%	51%	62%	46%	44%	52%	46%	45%	44%	45%	42%	46%	43%	51%	45%	58%	38%
Full Time	4,974	319	161	390	169	71	480	56	75	169	176	143	696	190	48	484	196	1,126	25
Part Time	1,170	35	40	90	42	29	167	12	45	45	48	65	169	39	23	125	47	141	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$6.86	\$7.12	\$7.45	\$7.56	\$8.14	\$9.08	\$7.62	\$8.30	\$7.46	\$7.15	\$7.22	\$7.97	\$8.26	\$7.84	\$7.58	\$7.36	\$7.43	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$943	\$953	\$1,013	\$985	\$1,065	\$1,202	\$1,041	\$998	\$1,019	\$936	\$919	\$1,092	\$1,134	\$1,002	\$1,012	\$996	\$1,022	\$1,031

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	21,417	1,125	812	1,933	704	441	2,511	297	474	723	1,106	680	2,978	753	296	2,099	674	3,611	200
Total Enrolled in VIEW	24,934	1,234	969	2,083	754	454	2,836	290	544	921	1,205	871	3,695	834	331	2,459	911	4,349	194
Part. in a Work Activity	22,201	1,107	866	1,848	694	400	2,589	264	498	827	1,091	761	3,303	734	287	2,180	777	3,807	168
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	89%	89%	92%	88%	91%	91%	92%	90%	91%	87%	89%	88%	87%	89%	85%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	13,769	742	491	1,175	455	239	1,504	153	298	529	572	428	1,861	464	168	1,405	509	2,686	90
% of Total Enrolled	55%	60%	51%	56%	60%	53%	53%	53%	55%	57%	47%	49%	50%	56%	51%	57%	56%	62%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$6.96	\$7.03	\$7.59	\$7.63	\$8.14	\$9.63	\$7.97	\$8.33	\$7.45	\$7.16	\$7.29	\$8.03	\$8.45	\$7.86	\$7.68	\$7.60	\$7.56	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$975	\$951	\$1,052	\$1,037	\$1,063	\$1,317	\$1,085	\$1,028	\$1,014	\$937	\$960	\$1,097	\$1,128	\$1,020	\$1,049	\$1,039	\$1,045	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	69%	61%	60%	72%	57%	75%	70%	71%	66%	64%	71%	70%	83%	62%	72%	77%	74%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	213,938	14,288	8,040	15,587	6,027	3,751	26,538	3,022	4,571	8,002	10,274	6,059	28,360	5,830	2,720	20,236	7,262	41,365	2,006
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	159,559	10,715	6,678	11,462	4,479	2,673	20,206	2,236	3,427	6,496	8,107	4,476	21,707	4,015	1,924	14,559	5,525	29,444	1,430
Part. in a Work Activity	153,069	10,295	6,350	11,011	4,345	2,568	19,576	2,157	3,330	6,148	7,825	4,257	20,998	3,839	1,815	13,940	5,270	27,967	1,378
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	112,973	6,872	4,526	8,212	3,227	1,863	14,838	1,553	2,695	4,613	5,279	2,829	15,433	2,804	1,262	10,655	3,937	21,548	827
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	68%	72%	72%	70%	73%	69%	79%	71%	65%	63%	71%	70%	66%	73%	71%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.84	\$5.93	\$6.00	\$6.80	\$6.85	\$6.99	\$8.31	\$7.14	\$7.13	\$6.23	\$6.12	\$6.37	\$7.08	\$7.55	\$6.64	\$6.70	\$6.71	\$6.58	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$916	\$783	\$824	\$925	\$919	\$956	\$1,115	\$951	\$935	\$818	\$824	\$845	\$965	\$1,002	\$864	\$887	\$917	\$870	\$800
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,824	209	111	266	252	178	303	447	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	623	52	31	116	102	81	82	138	21
Participants in a Work Activity	484	40	16	92	90	58	77	97	14
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	52%	79%	88%	72%	94%	70%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2
Participants Employed	354	28	15	77	39	47	62	73	13
% of Enrolled	57%	54%	48%	66%	38%	58%	76%	53%	62%
Full Time	319	25	14	72	33	42	56	65	12
Part Time	35	3	1	5	6	5	6	8	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$6.80	\$6.75	\$6.79	\$7.08	\$6.88	\$6.74	\$7.00	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$943	\$916	\$942	\$911	\$1,004	\$979	\$906	\$982	\$840

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,125	87	58	163	133	141	217	290	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,234	103	75	197	162	142	205	308	42
Participants in a Work Activity	1,107	92	60	186	154	127	190	262	36
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	80%	94%	95%	89%	93%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	742	54	38	129	92	78	132	190	29
% of Total Enrolled	60%	52%	51%	65%	57%	55%	64%	62%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.96	\$7.43	\$6.94	\$6.67	\$7.07	\$7.00	\$6.80	\$7.03	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$1,103	\$963	\$898	\$998	\$1,015	\$932	\$998	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	60%	53%	88%	63%	76%	66%	65%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,288	1,488	1,026	1,999	1,935	1,310	2,765	3,314	451
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,715	1,119	765	1,475	1,515	908	2,089	2,493	351
Participants in a Work Activity	10,295	1,039	721	1,460	1,441	885	2,014	2,404	331
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	6,872	587	460	981	962	550	1,456	1,602	274
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	67%	63%	61%	70%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.93	\$6.13	\$6.00	\$5.62	\$6.16	\$6.18	\$5.88	\$5.89	\$5.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$783	\$794	\$788	\$749	\$830	\$851	\$776	\$769	\$715
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,033	19	129	45	184	208	121	244	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	469	10	49	11	90	88	59	122	40
Participants in a Work Activity	337	9	40	10	60	63	47	88	20
% of Enrolled	72%	90%	82%	91%	67%	72%	80%	72%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	2
Participants Employed	201	6	30	4	33	34	33	46	15
% of Enrolled	43%	60%	61%	36%	37%	39%	56%	38%	38%
Full Time	161	3	23	1	28	27	28	38	13
Part Time	40	3	7	3	5	7	5	8	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$6.63	\$6.79	\$7.09	\$6.97	\$7.02	\$7.18	\$7.56	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$953	\$703	\$907	\$771	\$980	\$917	\$945	\$1,024	\$1,013

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	812	23	81	24	158	148	104	193	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	969	21	102	32	179	167	115	273	80
Participants in a Work Activity	866	19	91	31	160	150	106	239	70
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	89%	97%	89%	90%	92%	88%	88%
Participants Employed	491	8	64	18	75	89	74	128	35
% of Total Enrolled	51%	38%	63%	56%	42%	53%	64%	47%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.84	\$6.76	\$6.75	\$6.80	\$7.11	\$6.98	\$7.24	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$1,067	\$946	\$890	\$956	\$934	\$909	\$976	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	78%	61%	70%	74%	56%	64%	53%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,040	211	1,113	550	1,380	1,327	1,273	1,626	560
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,678	164	917	446	1,134	1,073	1,021	1,442	481
Participants in a Work Activity	6,350	153	827	412	1,080	1,043	998	1,383	454
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,526	104	619	297	716	732	702	1,037	319
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	68%	67%	63%	68%	69%	72%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.00	\$5.89	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$5.77	\$6.29	\$6.07	\$6.01	\$5.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$824	\$808	\$792	\$816	\$798	\$867	\$813	\$837	\$833
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,442	122	23	6	43	212	36	365	176	305	73	1,081
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	946	92	9	1	16	76	17	166	50	123	34	362
Participants in a Work Activity	653	53	6	1	11	35	14	138	41	103	20	231
% of Enrolled	69%	58%	67%	100%	69%	46%	82%	83%	82%	84%	59%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0
Participants Employed	480	41	4	1	10	23	10	118	32	70	14	157
% of Enrolled	51%	45%	44%	100%	63%	30%	59%	71%	64%	57%	41%	43%
Full Time	390	16	3	1	9	21	9	104	32	55	10	130
Part Time	90	25	1	0	1	2	1	14	0	15	4	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$6.72	\$8.01	\$7.00	\$7.76	\$7.56	\$7.69	\$7.44	\$6.95	\$7.75	\$7.27	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$705	\$1,123	\$1,212	\$1,174	\$1,039	\$1,071	\$1,027	\$970	\$1,047	\$928	\$1,061

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,933	87	20	2	25	194	44	265	153	202	59	882
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,083	141	23	3	31	158	44	321	166	231	75	890
Participants in a Work Activity	1,848	134	18	2	28	111	41	301	154	210	67	782
% of Total Enrolled	89%	95%	78%	67%	90%	70%	93%	94%	93%	91%	89%	88%
Participants Employed	1,175	90	8	1	17	68	28	238	86	150	46	443
% of Total Enrolled	56%	64%	35%	33%	55%	43%	64%	74%	52%	65%	61%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$7.13	\$7.81	\$7.00	\$8.07	\$7.63	\$7.58	\$7.52	\$7.13	\$7.76	\$7.19	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$835	\$878	\$1,204	\$1,167	\$1,086	\$1,004	\$1,066	\$996	\$1,104	\$922	\$1,096
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	47%	33%	N/A	78%	62%	53%	63%	56%	61%	58%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	15,587	986	258	52	391	1,316	391	2,249	1,396	1,619	623	6,306
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,462	724	151	14	268	947	257	1,787	1,079	1,248	472	4,515
Participants in a Work Activity	11,011	695	138	14	262	862	250	1,769	1,045	1,188	460	4,328
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	91%	100%	98%	91%	97%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,212	528	77	10	192	635	158	1,464	717	958	345	3,128
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	51%	71%	72%	67%	61%	82%	66%	77%	73%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.80	\$6.60	\$7.13	\$6.13	\$6.67	\$6.64	\$6.70	\$6.66	\$6.57	\$7.27	\$6.75	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$925	\$811	\$908	\$978	\$902	\$924	\$914	\$909	\$925	\$1,015	\$880	\$937
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	44%	67%	45%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	926	593	5	1	71	256
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	339	204	2	0	21	112
Participants in a Work Activity	277	165	2	N/A	18	92
% of Enrolled	82%	81%	100%	N/A	86%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	211	130	2	N/A	14	65
% of Enrolled	62%	64%	100%	N/A	67%	58%
Full Time	169	106	0	N/A	9	54
Part Time	42	24	2	N/A	5	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$7.55	\$7.28	N/A	\$7.03	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$985	\$982	\$356	N/A	\$868	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	704	446	5	0	45	208
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	754	465	5	0	49	235
Participants in a Work Activity	694	429	5	N/A	41	219
% of Total Enrolled	92%	92%	100%	N/A	84%	93%
Participants Employed	455	262	3	N/A	27	163
% of Total Enrolled	60%	56%	60%	N/A	55%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.56	\$6.84	N/A	\$7.43	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$1,049	\$421	N/A	\$945	\$1,044
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	77%	100%	N/A	67%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,027	3,261	59	16	545	2,146
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,479	2,463	35	7	396	1,578
Participants in a Work Activity	4,345	2,406	34	7	378	1,520
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	3,227	1,706	23	5	305	1,188
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	66%	71%	77%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.85	\$6.60	\$7.75	\$6.52	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$934	\$709	\$694	\$848	\$924
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	57%	60%	55%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	461	17	138	53	40	119	94	256	166	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	216	7	51	20	16	75	47	88	41	47
Participants in a Work Activity	167	5	31	13	16	63	39	56	28	28
% of Enrolled	77%	71%	61%	65%	100%	84%	83%	64%	68%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	100	3	26	9	11	27	24	33	16	17
% of Enrolled	46%	43%	51%	45%	9	36%	51%	38%	39%	36%
Full Time	71	2	21	6	9	15	18	25	15	10
Part Time	29	1	5	3	2	12	6	8	1	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.65	\$8.39	\$9.26	\$7.67	\$7.77	\$8.15	\$7.74	\$8.25	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,007	\$1,170	\$1,280	\$923	\$905	\$1,121	\$1,031	\$1,219	\$853

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	441	11	112	61	47	115	95	200	126	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	454	10	114	65	35	137	93	194	113	81
Participants in a Work Activity	400	10	101	53	33	122	81	168	101	67
% of Total Enrolled	88%	100%	89%	82%	94%	89%	87%	87%	89%	83%
Participants Employed	239	7	62	34	21	68	47	90	52	38
% of Total Enrolled	53%	70%	54%	52%	60%	50%	51%	46%	46%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.49	\$8.57	\$8.20	\$7.43	\$8.18	\$7.90	\$7.30	\$7.43	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,063	\$941	\$1,100	\$1,040	\$952	\$1,091	\$1,061	\$969	\$1,006	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁸	57%	33%	63%	55%	59%	55%	59%	66%	64%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,751	151	696	579	489	941	895	2,006	1,244	762
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,673	89	487	457	278	682	680	1,430	863	567
Participants in a Work Activity	2,568	84	460	443	273	653	655	1,378	844	534
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	97%	98%	96%	96%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,863	55	304	343	194	480	487	827	468	359
% of Total Enrolled	70%	62%	62%	75%	70%	70%	72%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$7.07	\$6.41	\$7.03	\$6.97	\$6.34	\$6.42	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$955	\$958	\$968	\$895	\$964	\$958	\$800	\$811	\$787
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	55%	57%	53%	58%	51%	61%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,645	283	1,321	255	1,127	447	157	55
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,468	99	469	141	530	162	55	12
Participants in a Work Activity	1,171	77	416	93	403	134	39	9
% of Enrolled	80%	78%	89%	66%	76%	83%	71%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	2	9	0	1	2	2	0
Participants Employed	647	40	175	63	265	70	26	8
% of Enrolled	44%	40%	37%	45%	50%	43%	47%	67%
Full Time	480	20	135	45	196	53	25	6
Part Time	167	20	40	18	69	17	1	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.08	\$9.24	\$8.88	\$9.88	\$9.07	\$8.92	\$8.48	\$9.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,202	\$1,085	\$1,191	\$1,291	\$1,212	\$1,170	\$1,190	\$1,276

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,511	176	836	226	854	282	106	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,836	198	929	269	992	292	122	34
Participants in a Work Activity	2,589	179	863	238	906	266	105	32
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	93%	88%	91%	91%	86%	94%
Participants Employed	1,504	94	443	158	583	146	59	21
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	48%	59%	59%	50%	48%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.63	\$9.73	\$9.64	\$10.21	\$9.59	\$9.47	\$8.76	\$9.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,317	\$1,273	\$1,320	\$1,414	\$1,327	\$1,223	\$1,247	\$1,250
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	62%	76%	77%	75%	78%	72%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	26,538	2,425	9,261	1,787	8,437	3,186	1,106	336
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,206	1,851	6,853	1,408	6,479	2,528	834	253
Participants in a Work Activity	19,576	1,826	6,649	1,354	6,266	2,429	802	250
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	14,838	1,387	4,813	1,147	4,917	1,805	578	191
% of Total Enrolled	73%	75%	70%	81%	76%	71%	69%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$7.86	\$8.22	\$8.80	\$8.55	\$8.01	\$7.90	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,026	\$1,096	\$1,151	\$1,182	\$1,021	\$1,075	\$1,055
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	69%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	398	155	107	31	94	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	132	46	36	13	33	4
Participants in a Work Activity	97	30	29	11	23	4
% of Enrolled	73%	65%	81%	85%	70%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	68	16	21	11	18	2
% of Enrolled	52%	35%	58%	85%	55%	50%
Full Time	56	12	15	11	17	1
Part Time	12	4	6	0	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.65	\$7.19	\$7.81	\$8.04	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$994	\$890	\$1,166	\$1,198	\$909

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	297	120	88	30	48	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	290	113	86	31	54	6
Participants in a Work Activity	264	99	83	31	46	5
% of Total Enrolled	91%	88%	97%	100%	85%	83%
Participants Employed	153	46	57	19	28	3
% of Total Enrolled	53%	41%	66%	61%	52%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.28	\$7.93	\$7.80	\$7.81	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,111	\$1,049	\$1,157	\$1,095	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	69%	60%	77%	81%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,022	1,152	874	292	621	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,236	820	655	213	494	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,157	787	647	206	466	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,553	544	468	146	360	35
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	71%	69%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.08	\$7.45	\$6.97	\$6.89	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$934	\$973	\$977	\$940	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	46%	44%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	644	127	35	48	83	45	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	259	42	9	18	28	20	142
Participants in a Work Activity	212	37	7	9	18	17	124
% of Enrolled	82%	88%	78%	50%	64%	85%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	120	23	5	5	8	11	68
% of Enrolled	46%	55%	56%	28%	29%	55%	48%
Full Time	75	20	5	3	6	6	35
Part Time	45	3	0	2	2	5	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.89	\$7.92	\$7.22	\$8.88	\$7.79	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$1,246	\$1,084	\$787	\$1,192	\$817	\$930

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	474	109	18	41	66	25	215
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	544	103	19	40	74	30	278
Participants in a Work Activity	498	100	16	27	60	28	267
% of Total Enrolled	92%	97%	84%	68%	81%	93%	96%
Participants Employed	298	59	11	18	31	19	160
% of Total Enrolled	55%	57%	58%	45%	42%	63%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.71	\$8.19	\$8.07	\$8.85	\$7.66	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,131	\$1,094	\$1,031	\$1,169	\$908	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	65%	100%	53%	78%	73%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,571	1047	169	352	672	210	2,121
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,427	725	110	250	458	137	1,747
Participants in a Work Activity	3,330	708	104	234	430	135	1,719
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	94%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,695	558	83	193	296	94	1,471
% of Total Enrolled	79%	77%	75%	77%	65%	69%	84%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.53	\$7.31	\$7.10	\$7.12	\$7.35	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$983	\$1,077	\$919	\$956	\$993	\$916
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	51%	50%	54%	54%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,079	87	72	174	255	491
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	480	26	21	91	154	188
Participants in a Work Activity	360	24	17	83	99	137
% of Enrolled	75%	92%	81%	91%	64%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	214	11	12	46	58	87
% of Enrolled	45%	42%	57%	51%	38%	46%
Full Time	169	6	12	33	50	68
Part Time	45	5	0	13	8	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.46	\$7.43	\$6.83	\$7.56	\$7.99	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$855	\$1,052	\$974	\$1,138	\$981

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	723	47	49	152	164	311
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	921	59	66	178	242	376
Participants in a Work Activity	827	57	65	162	206	337
% of Total Enrolled	90%	97%	98%	91%	85%	90%
Participants Employed	529	39	40	109	122	219
% of Total Enrolled	57%	66%	61%	61%	50%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.31	\$7.07	\$7.55	\$7.82	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$903	\$1,040	\$990	\$1,113	\$987
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	48%	64%	60%	67%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,002	729	652	1,593	1,851	3,177
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,496	579	568	1,239	1,542	2,568
Participants in a Work Activity	6,148	557	557	1,168	1,440	2,426
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	4,613	403	409	841	1,060	1,900
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	72%	68%	69%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.23	\$6.44	\$6.00	\$6.24	\$6.40	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$818	\$823	\$822	\$811	\$857	\$798
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,645	253	523	124	229	516
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	509	55	218	59	42	135
Participants in a Work Activity	387	45	169	50	32	91
% of Enrolled	76%	82%	78%	85%	76%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	224	20	98	30	17	59
% of Enrolled	44%	36%	45%	51%	40%	44%
Full Time	176	14	72	25	17	48
Part Time	48	6	26	5	0	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.39	\$7.27	\$6.66	\$6.89	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$936	\$1,025	\$942	\$904	\$933	\$915

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,106	157	368	108	131	342
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,205	180	407	132	127	359
Participants in a Work Activity	1,091	166	361	119	121	324
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	89%	90%	95%	90%
Participants Employed	572	73	216	70	59	154
% of Total Enrolled	47%	41%	53%	53%	46%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.16	\$7.14	\$7.28	\$6.51	\$7.17	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$924	\$962	\$858	\$934	\$945
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	55%	68%	66%	48%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,274	1,591	2,701	1,035	1,638	3,309
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,107	1,318	1,949	890	1,243	2,707
Participants in a Work Activity	7,825	1,264	1,864	837	1,224	2,636
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,279	791	1,283	603	722	1,880
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	66%	68%	58%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.12	\$6.10	\$6.43	\$5.96	\$6.14	\$5.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$824	\$815	\$910	\$782	\$843	\$782
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	957	59	117	65	71	53	122	39	166	129	136
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	466	18	64	25	19	27	65	35	70	82	61
Participants in a Work Activity	317	15	46	22	18	20	48	11	60	30	47
% of Enrolled	68%	83%	72%	88%	95%	74%	74%	31%	86%	37%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	208	8	45	11	13	13	15	7	37	29	30
% of Enrolled	45%	44%	70%	44%	68%	48%	23%	20%	53%	35%	49%
Full Time	143	8	25	10	11	10	10	4	16	28	21
Part Time	65	0	20	1	2	3	5	3	21	1	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$9.38	\$6.70	\$7.98	\$8.09	\$7.74	\$6.62	\$6.34	\$7.28	\$7.00	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$1,292	\$805	\$1,059	\$1,247	\$1,022	\$801	\$713	\$836	\$995	\$890

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	680	45	79	77	60	37	73	23	114	87	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	871	39	111	82	53	51	132	39	139	108	117
Participants in a Work Activity	761	36	97	75	47	47	114	25	136	74	110
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	87%	91%	89%	92%	86%	64%	98%	69%	94%
Participants Employed	428	16	57	33	24	27	61	10	83	54	63
% of Total Enrolled	49%	41%	51%	40%	45%	53%	46%	26%	60%	50%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$7.98	\$6.55	\$7.86	\$8.53	\$8.17	\$7.26	\$5.89	\$7.20	\$7.06	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$960	\$983	\$811	\$1,123	\$1,134	\$1,078	\$894	\$690	\$978	\$1,032	\$940
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	69%	80%	58%	65%	67%	60%	67%	71%	83%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,059	353	821	612	508	416	723	239	869	741	777
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,476	244	637	441	340	287	543	169	657	544	614
Participants in a Work Activity	4,257	224	628	407	315	271	522	167	621	500	602
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	99%	92%	93%	94%	96%	99%	95%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	2,829	149	369	259	182	168	320	85	461	374	462
% of Total Enrolled	63%	61%	58%	59%	54%	59%	59%	50%	70%	69%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.37	\$7.07	\$5.95	\$6.71	\$6.76	\$6.91	\$6.32	\$5.57	\$6.09	\$6.37	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$845	\$941	\$802	\$910	\$885	\$931	\$797	\$731	\$802	\$860	\$850
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	57%	51%	46%	45%	57%	59%	58%	56%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,956	880	36	151	1,298	37	2,554
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,046	329	12	93	551	15	1,046
Participants in a Work Activity	1,513	249	8	55	387	12	802
% of Enrolled	74%	76%	67%	59%	70%	80%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	30	0	3	0	25	0	2
Participants Employed	865	155	6	36	262	9	397
% of Enrolled	42%	47%	50%	39%	48%	60%	38%
Full Time	696	117	5	28	215	6	325
Part Time	169	38	1	8	47	3	72
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.85	\$7.79	\$8.31	\$8.40	\$8.76	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,092	\$1,031	\$1,048	\$1,077	\$1,185	\$1,155	\$1,055

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,978	643	22	111	711	22	1,469
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,695	653	21	125	918	29	1,949
Participants in a Work Activity	3,303	603	18	110	800	22	1,750
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	86%	88%	87%	76%	90%
Participants Employed	1,861	334	10	64	499	18	936
% of Total Enrolled	50%	51%	48%	51%	54%	62%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.07	\$7.96	\$8.52	\$8.38	\$9.72	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,084	\$1,078	\$1,121	\$1,165	\$1,217	\$1,061
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	77%	75%	86%	75%	67%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	28,360	5,514	188	878	6,473	249	15,058
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,707	4,090	132	600	5,097	168	11,620
Participants in a Work Activity	20,998	3,993	125	552	4,852	157	11,319
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	15,433	2,794	91	400	3,602	102	8,444
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	69%	67%	71%	61%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.29	\$6.55	\$7.94	\$7.35	\$6.96	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$965	\$991	\$857	\$1,049	\$1,001	\$890	\$942
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	51%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	965	181	31	330	266	157
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	501	86	8	178	129	100
Participants in a Work Activity	362	72	7	103	93	87
% of Enrolled	72%	84%	88%	58%	72%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	4	0	2
Participants Employed	229	28	5	77	57	62
% of Enrolled	46%	33%	63%	43%	44%	62%
Full Time	190	28	4	63	53	42
Part Time	39	0	1	14	4	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.64	\$9.69	\$7.97	\$8.17	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,323	\$1,198	\$1,073	\$1,128	\$1,125

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	753	147	32	212	234	128
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	834	128	28	273	234	171
Participants in a Work Activity	734	118	26	223	207	160
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	93%	82%	88%	94%
Participants Employed	464	50	17	166	120	111
% of Total Enrolled	56%	39%	61%	61%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.51	\$8.64	\$8.21	\$8.68	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,249	\$1,049	\$1,057	\$1,199	\$1,110
Three Months Employment ⁸	83%	96%	55%	84%	91%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,830	940	377	1,632	1,719	1,162
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,015	625	243	1,236	960	951
Participants in a Work Activity	3,839	605	232	1,133	930	939
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	2,804	382	173	891	597	761
% of Total Enrolled	70%	61%	71%	72%	62%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.28	\$7.14	\$7.61	\$8.01	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$948	\$905	\$1,010	\$1,116	\$974
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	42%	50%	57%	58%	53%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	392	85	20	48	43	19	31	25	31	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	167	41	9	16	19	10	16	9	7	40
Participants in a Work Activity	113	31	5	14	11	4	8	4	6	30
% of Enrolled	68%	76%	56%	88%	58%	40%	50%	44%	86%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	71	21	2	7	6	3	4	4	3	21
% of Enrolled	43%	51%	22%	44%	32%	30%	25%	44%	43%	53%
Full Time	48	15	2	3	5	2	2	1	1	17
Part Time	23	6	0	4	1	1	2	3	2	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.60	\$9.50	\$7.85	\$7.81	\$7.83	\$7.85	\$7.94	\$8.34	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,064	\$1,524	\$723	\$1,172	\$1,025	\$934	\$571	\$979	\$1,029

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	296	64	18	34	34	15	31	24	20	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	331	80	16	35	28	16	30	22	24	80
Participants in a Work Activity	287	73	14	33	24	12	22	21	22	66
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	88%	94%	86%	75%	73%	95%	92%	83%
Participants Employed	168	48	7	17	13	8	11	9	12	43
% of Total Enrolled	51%	60%	44%	49%	46%	50%	37%	41%	50%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$7.53	\$7.65	\$8.01	\$7.71	\$6.93	\$8.55	\$7.33	\$7.62	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,020	\$983	\$976	\$1,046	\$1,110	\$878	\$1,054	\$795	\$1,001	\$1,095
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	57%	29%	67%	56%	100%	73%	40%	43%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,720	397	207	212	327	155	303	251	253	615
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,924	302	131	168	244	98	217	199	132	433
Participants in a Work Activity	1,815	289	123	161	229	88	200	194	127	404
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	94%	90%	92%	97%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	1,262	214	76	100	167	59	151	121	89	285
% of Total Enrolled	66%	71%	58%	60%	68%	60%	70%	61%	67%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.63	\$6.87	\$7.02	\$6.42	\$6.56	\$6.67	\$6.31	\$6.72	\$6.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$864	\$838	\$874	\$967	\$818	\$835	\$861	\$826	\$930	\$885
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	35%	47%	48%	49%	55%	41%	33%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,137	29	109	106	39	88	1,168	1,562	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,202	10	41	49	22	35	419	608	18
Participants in a Work Activity	927	6	25	27	11	23	347	473	15
% of Enrolled	77%	60%	61%	55%	50%	66%	83%	78%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	609	5	22	21	5	15	196	336	9
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	54%	43%	23%	43%	47%	55%	50%
Full Time	484	4	16	13	5	8	145	285	8
Part Time	125	1	6	8	0	7	51	51	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$6.63	\$8.03	\$7.62	\$8.55	\$7.58	\$7.51	\$7.57	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$819	\$1,052	\$886	\$1,208	\$847	\$964	\$1,047	\$1,203

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,099	10	81	72	25	70	729	1,072	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,459	15	79	95	33	69	803	1,326	39
Participants in a Work Activity	2,180	12	63	83	31	54	744	1,154	39
% of Total Enrolled	89%	80%	80%	87%	94%	78%	93%	87%	100%
Participants Employed	1,405	8	45	61	14	31	459	763	24
% of Total Enrolled	57%	53%	57%	64%	42%	45%	57%	58%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.21	\$7.81	\$8.12	\$8.71	\$7.64	\$7.62	\$7.65	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$987	\$993	\$1,031	\$1,185	\$949	\$1,015	\$1,074	\$1,124
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	83%	76%	77%	86%	71%	74%	71%	53%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,236	121	828	784	230	758	7,155	10,087	273
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,559	85	557	587	165	521	5,047	7,411	186
Participants in a Work Activity	13,940	82	514	547	159	498	4,933	7,028	179
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	92%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,655	43	391	426	107	335	3,656	5,556	141
% of Total Enrolled	73%	51%	70%	73%	65%	64%	72%	75%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.70	\$6.66	\$6.54	\$7.02	\$7.29	\$6.74	\$6.69	\$6.67	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$887	\$884	\$827	\$860	\$1,008	\$855	\$884	\$895	\$888
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	59%	56%	51%	54%	46%	52%	58%	50%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,168	128	83	57	67	292	541
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	539	31	28	25	31	159	265
Participants in a Work Activity	346	23	23	19	26	112	143
% of Enrolled	64%	74%	82%	76%	84%	70%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	243	17	16	9	18	68	115
% of Enrolled	45%	55%	57%	36%	58%	43%	43%
Full Time	196	17	12	4	17	49	97
Part Time	47	0	4	5	1	19	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.07	\$7.16	\$7.51	\$7.07	\$7.35	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$996	\$1,118	\$919	\$943	\$1,021	\$920	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	674	83	60	24	46	182	279
Total Enrolled in VIEW	911	72	77	34	66	260	402
Participants in a Work Activity	777	69	63	31	61	234	319
% of Total Enrolled	85%	96%	82%	91%	92%	90%	79%
Participants Employed	509	38	40	22	43	147	219
% of Total Enrolled	56%	53%	52%	65%	65%	57%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.84	\$8.31	\$7.82	\$7.14	\$7.52	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,230	\$1,258	\$792	\$1,037	\$970	\$1,039
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	84%	64%	71%	75%	79%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,262	923	626	263	592	1,807	3,051
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,525	646	453	200	452	1,431	2,343
Participants in a Work Activity	5,270	643	394	187	437	1,372	2,237
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	3,937	450	286	148	315	1,023	1,715
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	63%	74%	70%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.71	\$6.70	\$7.17	\$6.73	\$6.73	\$6.61	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$917	\$926	\$976	\$836	\$934	\$901	\$921
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	51%	51%	57%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through February 28, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/09 and Work Activity as of 2/27/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,965	131	118	1,106	81	1,949	1,178	423	979
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,202	34	38	452	23	789	404	127	335
Participants in a Work Activity	1,714	25	20	357	14	642	324	96	236
% of Enrolled	78%	74%	53%	79%	61%	81%	80%	76%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	1	5	0	1	5	3	4
Participants Employed	1,267	19	9	251	9	544	206	65	164
% of Enrolled	58%	56%	24%	56%	39%	69%	51%	51%	49%
Full Time	1,126	12	5	225	4	511	173	54	142
Part Time	141	7	4	26	5	33	33	11	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.48	\$7.64	\$7.36	\$6.92	\$7.28	\$7.47	\$7.71	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$930	\$857	\$1,010	\$814	\$1,016	\$1,051	\$1,013	\$1,062

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,611	79	72	653	61	1,143	602	251	750
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,349	92	85	809	61	1,416	821	289	776
Participants in a Work Activity	3,807	81	78	724	56	1,227	731	265	645
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	92%	89%	92%	87%	89%	92%	83%
Participants Employed	2,686	60	36	510	33	967	504	160	416
% of Total Enrolled	62%	65%	42%	63%	54%	68%	61%	55%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$7.45	\$7.44	\$7.72	\$7.13	\$7.30	\$7.60	\$7.56	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,028	\$957	\$1,069	\$940	\$1,008	\$1,077	\$1,032	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	70%	57%	76%	59%	81%	66%	67%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	41,365	901	778	6,540	650	13,391	6,783	2,667	9,655
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,444	628	601	4,638	509	9,409	5,224	2,033	6,402
Participants in a Work Activity	27,967	602	573	4,412	495	8,962	4,943	2,005	5,975
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	95%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	21,548	461	369	3,472	358	6,892	3,978	1,558	4,460
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	61%	75%	70%	73%	76%	77%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.58	\$6.62	\$6.31	\$6.71	\$6.21	\$6.47	\$6.50	\$6.42	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$887	\$802	\$892	\$779	\$835	\$880	\$839	\$930
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	60%	56%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	46.0%	4.20	97	\$7.43	3.56	75	64.3%	5.00	74	4.26	97
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	57.3%	6.52	43	\$8.71	6.53	10	65.4%	5.15	71	6.07	24
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	63.8%	7.87	17	\$7.13	2.87	96	47.4%	2.64	113	4.46	93
007	<i>Amelia</i>	41.0%	3.17	109	\$7.98	4.84	36	69.2%	5.69	55	4.57	90
009	<i>Amherst</i>	66.1%	8.34	7	\$7.31	3.29	80	48.3%	2.76	111	4.80	77
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	60.6%	7.21	32	\$7.07	2.73	100	64.0%	4.96	76	4.97	71
013	<i>Arlington</i>	47.5%	4.50	91	\$9.73	8.89	2	61.5%	4.62	83	6.00	26
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	56.3%	6.33	48	\$7.56	3.87	65	76.6%	6.72	30	5.64	45
017	<i>Bath</i>	60.0%	7.08	33	\$6.84	2.20	111	100.0%	10.00	1	6.43	14
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	61.2%	7.34	29	\$7.55	3.84	67	59.5%	4.33	90	5.17	60
021	<i>Bland</i>	38.1%	2.57	115	\$7.84	4.51	42	77.8%	6.89	23	4.66	85
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	34.8%	1.88	117	\$7.81	4.44	46	33.3%	0.67	116	2.33	117
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	51.4%	5.30	72	\$6.55	1.53	117	80.0%	7.20	16	4.68	83
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	52.4%	5.52	67	\$7.43	3.56	76	60.5%	4.47	87	4.52	91
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	40.2%	3.01	113	\$7.86	4.56	41	57.7%	4.08	94	3.88	107
031	<i>Campbell</i>	50.4%	5.11	78	\$7.82	4.47	44	66.7%	5.33	61	4.97	70
033	<i>Caroline</i>	39.1%	2.77	114	\$8.51	6.06	18	96.3%	9.48	5	6.10	21
035	<i>Carroll</i>	62.7%	7.65	21	\$6.76	2.01	114	60.9%	4.52	85	4.73	80
036	<i>Charles City</i>	53.3%	5.71	61	\$7.21	3.06	88	83.3%	7.67	12	5.48	52
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	45.3%	4.05	98	\$8.53	6.11	16	65.0%	5.10	73	5.09	66
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	51.1%	5.26	75	\$8.07	5.05	32	77.2%	6.81	26	5.70	43
043	<i>Clarke</i>	70.0%	9.15	2	\$7.49	3.70	72	33.3%	0.67	117	4.51	92
045	<i>Craig</i>	33.3%	1.59	118	\$7.00	2.57	104	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.08	118
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	40.7%	3.11	111	\$8.28	5.53	23	69.4%	5.72	54	4.79	79
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	52.9%	5.63	65	\$8.17	5.28	30	66.7%	5.33	62	5.41	54
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	50.7%	5.16	76	\$6.94	2.43	109	53.3%	3.47	105	3.69	109
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	52.8%	5.59	66	\$7.84	4.51	43	83.9%	7.74	11	5.95	30
057	<i>Essex</i>	60.0%	7.08	34	\$7.53	3.80	69	57.1%	4.00	96	4.96	73
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	47.7%	4.55	89	\$9.64	8.68	4	76.1%	6.65	34	6.62	10
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	66.3%	8.38	6	\$7.93	4.72	39	60.0%	4.40	89	5.83	36
063	<i>Floyd</i>	54.8%	6.02	53	\$8.07	5.05	33	77.8%	6.89	24	5.99	28
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	57.9%	6.65	40	\$8.19	5.32	28	100.0%	10.00	2	7.32	2
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	43.0%	3.59	103	\$7.63	4.03	60	61.9%	4.67	82	4.09	102
069	<i>Frederick</i>	54.4%	5.93	55	\$8.57	6.20	14	62.8%	4.79	81	5.64	44
071	<i>Giles</i>	63.6%	7.83	18	\$7.58	3.91	64	52.9%	3.41	108	5.05	68
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	57.0%	6.46	45	\$7.81	4.44	47	76.3%	6.68	32	5.86	32
075	<i>Goochland</i>	47.6%	4.53	90	\$7.96	4.79	37	75.0%	6.50	37	5.27	59
077	<i>Grayson</i>	56.3%	6.31	49	\$6.75	1.99	115	70.0%	5.80	52	4.70	81

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	45.0%	3.99	99	\$8.07	5.05	34	52.9%	3.41	109	4.15	98
081	Greensville/Emporia	46.2%	4.24	96	\$7.26	3.17	86	60.4%	4.46	88	3.96	105
083	Halifax	40.6%	3.08	112	\$7.14	2.89	93	55.3%	3.74	101	3.24	114
085	Hanover	51.2%	5.27	74	\$8.52	6.09	17	86.3%	8.08	8	6.48	12
087	Henrico	54.4%	5.92	56	\$8.38	5.76	21	75.3%	6.54	35	6.07	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	53.1%	5.66	63	\$7.28	3.22	84	67.9%	5.50	59	4.79	78
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	65.2%	8.16	9	\$7.45	3.61	73	69.8%	5.77	53	5.85	34
095	James City	64.2%	7.95	16	\$8.12	5.16	31	76.8%	6.75	28	6.62	11
097	King and Queen	43.8%	3.73	101	\$7.65	4.07	57	28.6%	0.00	118	2.60	116
099	King George	60.7%	7.23	31	\$8.64	6.37	13	54.6%	3.64	103	5.74	39
101	King William	48.6%	4.73	86	\$8.01	4.91	35	66.7%	5.33	63	4.99	69
103	Lancaster	46.4%	4.29	95	\$7.71	4.21	55	55.6%	3.78	100	4.09	103
105	Lee	65.5%	8.21	8	\$6.67	1.81	116	87.8%	8.29	7	6.10	22
107	Loudoun	58.7%	6.82	38	\$10.21	10.00	1	76.7%	6.74	29	7.85	1
109	Louisa	41.9%	3.35	108	\$8.85	6.85	8	78.3%	6.96	20	5.72	41
111	Lunenburg	25.6%	0.00	119	\$5.89	0.00	119	66.7%	5.33	64	1.78	119
113	Madison	61.3%	7.35	28	\$7.80	4.42	49	76.9%	6.77	27	6.18	19
115	Mathews	50.0%	5.02	79	\$6.93	2.41	110	100.0%	10.00	3	5.81	37
117	Mecklenburg	59.7%	7.02	36	\$7.20	3.03	89	71.0%	5.94	50	5.33	55
119	Middlesex	36.7%	2.27	116	\$8.55	6.16	15	72.7%	6.18	42	4.87	74
121	Montgomery	74.1%	10.00	1	\$7.52	3.77	70	63.1%	4.84	80	6.20	18
125	Nelson	63.3%	7.77	19	\$7.66	4.10	56	73.3%	6.27	41	6.04	25
127	New Kent	42.4%	3.46	105	\$8.71	6.53	11	85.7%	8.00	9	6.00	27
131	Northampton	46.9%	4.39	92	\$7.14	2.89	94	69.0%	5.66	57	4.31	96
133	Northumberland	40.9%	3.15	110	\$7.33	3.33	79	40.0%	1.60	115	2.69	115
135	Nottoway	50.0%	5.02	80	\$7.06	2.71	102	83.3%	7.67	13	5.13	62
137	Orange	51.9%	5.40	70	\$7.81	4.44	48	81.0%	7.33	15	5.73	40
139	Page	52.3%	5.50	68	\$8.20	5.35	26	54.6%	3.64	104	4.83	75
141	Patrick	53.0%	5.65	64	\$6.51	1.44	118	66.0%	5.25	68	4.11	101
143	Pittsylvania	46.5%	4.29	94	\$7.17	2.96	92	48.1%	2.73	112	3.33	113
145	Powhatan	62.1%	7.51	22	\$9.72	8.87	3	66.7%	5.33	65	7.24	5
147	Prince Edward	53.8%	5.82	58	\$7.30	3.26	81	77.6%	6.86	25	5.31	57
149	Prince George	51.9%	5.42	69	\$8.31	5.60	22	63.6%	4.91	77	5.31	58
153	Prince William	58.8%	6.83	37	\$9.59	8.56	5	75.2%	6.52	36	7.31	3
155	Pulaski	51.8%	5.39	71	\$7.13	2.87	97	56.4%	3.90	98	4.05	104
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	5.02	81	\$7.00	2.57	105	100.0%	10.00	4	5.86	31
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.02	82	\$7.62	4.00	61	42.9%	2.00	114	3.68	110
161	Roanoke County	64.9%	8.10	11	\$7.76	4.33	52	60.5%	4.47	86	5.63	46
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	55.1%	6.07	51	\$7.43	3.56	77	52.9%	3.41	110	4.35	94
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69.4%	9.01	3	\$7.77	4.35	50	66.4%	5.30	67	6.22	16
167	Russell	56.8%	6.42	46	\$7.07	2.73	101	63.2%	4.85	79	4.67	84
169	Scott	54.9%	6.04	52	\$7.00	2.57	106	76.4%	6.69	31	5.10	65
171	Shenandoah	60.0%	7.08	35	\$7.43	3.56	78	58.8%	4.23	92	4.96	72

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	41.9%	3.35	107	\$6.80	2.11	112	74.0%	6.36	39	3.94	106
175	<i>Southampton</i>	42.4%	3.45	106	\$7.44	3.59	74	56.7%	3.93	97	3.66	111
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.8%	7.25	30	\$8.21	5.37	25	84.2%	7.79	10	6.80	7
179	<i>Stafford</i>	51.3%	5.29	73	\$8.68	6.46	12	90.5%	8.67	6	6.81	6
181	<i>Surry</i>	64.7%	8.05	13	\$7.82	4.47	45	71.4%	6.00	46	6.17	20
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.2%	8.15	10	\$7.14	2.89	95	75.0%	6.50	38	5.85	33
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	64.4%	7.99	14	\$6.80	2.11	113	65.9%	5.23	69	5.11	64
187	<i>Warren</i>	49.6%	4.95	85	\$8.18	5.30	29	55.1%	3.71	102	4.65	86
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.3%	5.70	62	\$7.11	2.82	99	56.3%	3.89	99	4.14	100
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	53.8%	5.80	59	\$8.41	5.83	20	80.0%	7.20	17	6.28	15
195	<i>Wise</i>	61.7%	7.43	24	\$7.03	2.64	103	65.2%	5.13	72	5.07	67
197	<i>Wythe</i>	64.3%	7.98	15	\$6.98	2.52	107	64.3%	5.00	75	5.17	61
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	44.9%	3.98	100	\$7.64	4.05	59	70.6%	5.88	51	4.64	88
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.0%	5.02	83	\$9.47	8.29	6	78.0%	6.92	22	6.74	8
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.9%	4.38	93	\$7.24	3.13	87	53.0%	3.43	107	3.64	112
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.6%	6.58	41	\$8.20	5.35	27	71.4%	6.00	47	5.98	29
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.0%	7.71	20	\$7.72	4.24	54	76.2%	6.67	33	6.21	17
590	<i>Danville</i>	42.9%	3.56	104	\$7.28	3.22	85	69.0%	5.66	56	4.15	99
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	54.1%	5.87	57	\$7.13	2.87	98	58.6%	4.21	93	4.31	95
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	64.9%	8.10	12	\$8.48	6.00	19	72.1%	6.09	43	6.73	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.8%	3.73	102	\$6.95	2.45	108	66.7%	5.33	66	3.84	108
650	<i>Hampton</i>	57.2%	6.50	44	\$7.62	4.00	62	73.7%	6.31	40	5.60	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	56.5%	6.37	47	\$7.52	3.77	71	78.6%	7.01	18	5.72	42
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	58.2%	6.72	39	\$7.30	3.26	82	71.5%	6.01	45	5.33	56
683	<i>Manassas</i>	48.4%	4.68	87	\$8.76	6.64	9	71.7%	6.04	44	5.79	38
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	61.8%	7.45	23	\$9.08	7.38	7	78.6%	7.00	19	7.28	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	57.5%	6.58	42	\$7.65	4.07	58	71.1%	5.95	49	5.54	49
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	68.3%	8.79	5	\$7.30	3.26	83	81.2%	7.37	14	6.47	13
720	<i>Norton</i>	69.0%	8.95	4	\$7.19	3.01	90	68.4%	5.58	58	5.85	35
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.5%	5.95	54	\$7.55	3.84	68	78.2%	6.95	21	5.58	48
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	61.4%	7.37	26	\$7.60	3.96	63	65.9%	5.23	70	5.52	50
750	<i>Radford</i>	61.3%	7.36	27	\$7.19	3.01	91	57.6%	4.06	95	4.81	76
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.0%	4.61	88	\$7.76	4.33	53	63.3%	4.86	78	4.60	89
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	49.8%	4.98	84	\$7.77	4.35	51	61.5%	4.61	84	4.65	87
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.4%	6.13	50	\$7.56	3.87	66	66.9%	5.37	60	5.12	63
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.6%	5.77	60	\$7.94	4.75	38	71.2%	5.97	48	5.49	51
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	61.5%	7.40	25	\$8.23	5.42	24	53.3%	3.47	106	5.43	53
840	<i>Winchester</i>	50.5%	5.13	77	\$7.90	4.65	40	59.0%	4.26	91	4.68	82

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.2%	1.16	115	\$811	2.40	98	58.0%	6.78	29	3.45	108
003	Albemarle	77.0%	7.87	8	\$983	5.92	17	54.4%	5.79	61	6.53	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.9%	6.68	28	\$811	2.40	99	45.5%	3.36	108	4.15	87
007	Amelia	61.1%	3.18	101	\$941	5.07	32	44.0%	2.94	113	3.73	100
009	Amherst	69.6%	5.69	56	\$823	2.65	91	53.7%	5.60	68	4.65	72
011	Appomattox	72.0%	6.40	34	\$822	2.63	92	43.3%	2.75	115	3.93	95
013	Arlington	74.9%	7.27	20	\$1,026	6.80	9	63.9%	8.41	9	7.49	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.3%	5.59	61	\$934	4.91	38	53.9%	5.66	66	5.39	41
017	Bath	65.7%	4.55	84	\$709	0.32	119	57.1%	6.55	37	3.81	97
019	Bedford County/City	67.9%	5.19	71	\$811	2.41	97	55.3%	6.04	53	4.54	77
021	Bland	63.4%	3.87	91	\$808	2.35	100	47.8%	3.98	98	3.40	109
023	Botetourt	51.0%	0.21	118	\$908	4.38	53	44.1%	2.95	112	2.51	119
025	Brunswick	57.9%	2.25	114	\$802	2.21	103	57.1%	6.53	39	3.66	102
027	Buchanan	52.5%	0.64	117	\$794	2.05	107	54.1%	5.72	64	2.80	115
029	Buckingham	58.7%	2.49	110	\$910	4.43	51	51.3%	4.94	78	3.95	92
031	Campbell	68.7%	5.44	65	\$857	3.34	75	59.8%	7.27	23	5.35	44
033	Caroline	61.1%	3.19	100	\$948	5.20	30	42.0%	2.39	117	3.59	104
035	Carroll	67.5%	5.07	73	\$792	2.01	108	45.8%	3.42	104	3.50	105
036	Charles City	50.6%	0.09	119	\$884	3.90	66	58.8%	7.01	28	3.67	101
037	Charlotte	53.5%	0.95	116	\$885	3.91	64	45.7%	3.40	105	2.75	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	68.3%	5.31	69	\$991	6.09	16	61.0%	7.61	15	6.34	22
043	Clarke	61.8%	3.39	97	\$955	5.34	29	46.2%	3.53	102	4.09	88
045	Craig	71.4%	6.23	40	\$978	5.82	18	66.7%	9.17	3	7.08	7
047	Culpeper	66.3%	4.73	80	\$934	4.93	36	55.7%	6.14	52	5.27	46
049	Cumberland	58.5%	2.43	111	\$931	4.87	39	44.8%	3.16	110	3.49	106
051	Dickensen	60.1%	2.90	106	\$788	1.94	109	48.6%	4.20	94	3.01	114
053	Dinwiddie	69.7%	5.71	55	\$926	4.76	42	53.7%	5.60	69	5.36	42
057	Essex	70.9%	6.07	44	\$838	2.94	82	42.4%	2.49	116	3.83	96
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	70.2%	5.88	48	\$1,096	8.25	4	61.6%	7.78	13	7.30	6
061	Fauquier	71.5%	6.24	39	\$973	5.72	22	62.9%	8.15	10	6.70	11
063	Floyd	71.6%	6.30	36	\$902	4.27	55	44.7%	3.13	111	4.57	76
065	Fluvanna	75.5%	7.42	15	\$1,077	7.86	5	50.8%	4.81	82	6.70	12
067	Franklin County	67.1%	4.94	75	\$924	4.72	45	50.3%	4.68	86	4.78	69
069	Frederick	62.4%	3.58	95	\$958	5.41	27	55.0%	5.96	55	4.98	56
071	Giles	61.5%	3.30	98	\$914	4.52	49	48.1%	4.07	97	3.96	91
073	Gloucester	70.2%	5.87	49	\$827	2.73	89	56.2%	6.29	46	4.96	60
075	Goochland	68.9%	5.50	62	\$857	3.35	74	54.9%	5.93	56	4.93	62
077	Grayson	66.6%	4.81	77	\$816	2.51	94	57.1%	6.54	38	4.62	74

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	77.2%	7.94	6	\$919	4.60	47	49.7%	4.51	88	5.68	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.9%	2.55	109	\$797	2.11	106	57.2%	6.56	36	3.74	99
083	Halifax	60.0%	2.87	107	\$815	2.48	95	48.4%	4.14	95	3.16	113
085	Hanover	66.7%	4.83	76	\$1,049	7.29	8	65.4%	8.83	7	6.98	8
087	Henrico	70.7%	6.01	45	\$1,001	6.29	14	56.0%	6.24	50	6.18	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	65.8%	4.58	82	\$910	4.44	50	54.8%	5.91	58	4.98	58
091	Highland	71.4%	6.23	41	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.34	21	4.52	78
093	Isle of Wight	73.4%	6.82	24	\$887	3.95	62	56.0%	6.24	48	5.67	35
095	James City	72.6%	6.57	31	\$860	3.40	72	51.4%	4.97	77	4.98	57
097	King and Queen	58.0%	2.28	113	\$874	3.69	69	34.8%	0.40	119	2.12	120
099	King George	71.2%	6.16	43	\$905	4.32	54	50.0%	4.59	87	5.02	52
101	King William	59.5%	2.72	108	\$967	5.60	24	47.2%	3.81	100	4.04	90
103	Lancaster	68.4%	5.35	68	\$818	2.54	93	47.7%	3.96	99	3.95	93
105	Lee	66.5%	4.78	78	\$749	1.14	116	59.9%	7.30	22	4.40	82
107	Loudoun	81.5%	9.19	3	\$1,151	9.37	2	65.8%	8.93	5	9.17	1
109	Louisa	64.6%	4.23	87	\$956	5.37	28	54.4%	5.81	60	5.14	50
111	Lunenburg	50.3%	0.00	120	\$731	0.77	117	59.2%	7.12	25	2.63	117
113	Madison	68.5%	5.38	67	\$977	5.80	19	45.7%	3.39	106	4.86	67
115	Mathews	60.2%	2.92	105	\$835	2.89	86	49.1%	4.33	91	3.38	110
117	Mecklenburg	70.2%	5.86	50	\$802	2.21	101	57.8%	6.72	32	4.93	61
119	Middlesex	69.6%	5.69	57	\$861	3.43	71	54.9%	5.92	57	5.01	53
121	Montgomery	81.9%	9.33	2	\$909	4.41	52	54.1%	5.72	63	6.49	16
125	Nelson	68.6%	5.40	66	\$993	6.14	15	54.3%	5.77	62	5.77	31
127	New Kent	64.8%	4.29	85	\$1,008	6.43	13	53.9%	5.66	65	5.46	39
131	Northampton	63.3%	3.84	92	\$787	1.91	110	65.5%	8.86	6	4.87	66
133	Northumberland	60.8%	3.10	102	\$826	2.70	90	40.7%	2.04	118	2.61	118
135	Nottoway	68.8%	5.44	64	\$860	3.40	73	55.7%	6.17	51	5.00	54
137	Orange	72.9%	6.66	29	\$940	5.04	33	43.9%	2.92	114	4.87	65
139	Page	75.1%	7.30	18	\$968	5.62	23	56.5%	6.38	43	6.43	18
141	Patrick	67.8%	5.15	72	\$782	1.81	112	66.1%	9.02	4	5.33	45
143	Pittsylvania	58.1%	2.30	112	\$843	3.07	80	51.6%	5.02	76	3.46	107
145	Powhatan	60.7%	3.07	103	\$890	4.02	60	50.6%	4.74	84	3.94	94
147	Prince Edward	75.2%	7.36	17	\$850	3.21	78	60.9%	7.57	16	6.05	27
149	Prince George	63.1%	3.79	94	\$976	5.78	20	51.1%	4.87	81	4.81	68
153	Prince William	75.9%	7.55	12	\$1,182	10.00	1	62.0%	7.90	12	8.48	2
155	Pulaski	66.5%	4.76	79	\$925	4.73	43	45.2%	3.27	109	4.25	86
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.28	86	\$935	4.94	35	46.7%	3.67	101	4.30	84
159	Richmond County	67.4%	5.05	74	\$930	4.84	40	33.3%	0.00	120	3.30	111
161	Roanoke County	76.8%	7.81	9	\$1,015	6.59	11	52.0%	5.13	75	6.51	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.0%	7.88	7	\$848	3.16	79	54.7%	5.89	59	5.64	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.3%	7.37	16	\$924	4.73	44	58.9%	7.02	27	6.37	21
167	Russell	63.5%	3.89	90	\$830	2.80	88	58.9%	7.03	26	4.57	75
169	Scott	60.6%	3.03	104	\$851	3.22	77	64.0%	8.43	8	4.89	64
171	Shenandoah	69.8%	5.75	51	\$895	4.12	58	52.8%	5.35	73	5.07	51

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	63.1%	3.79	93	\$798	2.13	105	53.1%	5.44	71	3.79	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	61.4%	3.27	99	\$802	2.21	102	48.3%	4.11	96	3.20	112
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	72.1%	6.43	33	\$1,010	6.49	12	56.7%	6.42	41	6.44	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.2%	3.51	96	\$1,116	8.66	3	57.7%	6.71	33	6.29	23
181	<i>Surry</i>	74.0%	6.99	22	\$836	2.92	84	50.8%	4.80	83	4.90	63
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.7%	5.72	53	\$934	4.91	37	56.5%	6.39	42	5.67	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.7%	5.72	52	\$776	1.69	114	57.0%	6.51	40	4.64	73
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.4%	5.92	46	\$964	5.55	25	58.0%	6.77	30	6.08	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	68.2%	5.29	70	\$867	3.55	70	49.5%	4.46	89	4.43	81
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.8%	4.58	83	\$885	3.93	63	48.9%	4.29	92	4.26	85
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.12	89	\$769	1.54	115	57.3%	6.59	35	4.08	89
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.8%	5.44	63	\$813	2.43	96	56.5%	6.37	44	4.75	70
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.3%	4.13	88	\$855	3.30	76	45.6%	3.36	107	3.60	103
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.4%	6.22	42	\$1,021	6.71	10	69.7%	10.00	1	7.65	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.9%	6.38	35	\$837	2.93	83	48.7%	4.21	93	4.51	79
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	84.2%	10.00	1	\$916	4.56	48	56.0%	6.24	49	6.93	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.9%	7.24	21	\$892	4.06	59	56.1%	6.26	47	5.85	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	69.4%	5.65	58	\$782	1.82	111	53.1%	5.44	72	4.30	83
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.3%	5.91	47	\$779	1.75	113	53.9%	5.65	67	4.44	80
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	80.0%	8.77	4	\$974	5.74	21	53.4%	5.52	70	6.67	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	66.3%	4.73	81	\$833	2.86	87	60.1%	7.37	20	4.99	55
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.4%	6.53	32	\$884	3.90	65	52.2%	5.18	74	5.20	48
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	71.5%	6.25	38	\$901	4.25	56	51.3%	4.94	79	5.15	49
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	74.0%	6.99	23	\$798	2.13	104	62.7%	8.08	11	5.73	32
683	<i>Manassas</i>	69.3%	5.61	59	\$1,075	7.80	6	60.2%	7.39	19	6.93	10
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.5%	7.43	14	\$1,055	7.41	7	69.1%	9.84	2	8.23	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	75.0%	7.28	19	\$895	4.13	57	57.9%	6.76	31	6.06	26
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	73.2%	6.77	25	\$835	2.89	85	55.0%	5.97	54	5.21	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	78.1%	8.19	5	\$715	0.44	118	60.4%	7.44	18	5.36	43
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	73.2%	6.75	26	\$921	4.65	46	57.3%	6.59	34	6.00	28
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	76.1%	7.62	11	\$880	3.82	67	61.4%	7.71	14	6.39	20
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.1%	6.72	27	\$880	3.82	68	45.8%	3.43	103	4.66	71
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.7%	6.60	30	\$942	5.09	31	60.7%	7.53	17	6.41	19
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	69.3%	5.60	60	\$937	4.98	34	49.1%	4.34	90	4.98	59
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.6%	7.77	10	\$839	2.96	81	59.5%	7.21	24	5.98	29
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.7%	5.71	54	\$930	4.84	41	56.4%	6.36	45	5.64	37
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	75.8%	7.52	13	\$888	3.99	61	50.4%	4.69	85	5.40	40
840	<i>Winchester</i>	71.6%	6.29	37	\$958	5.42	26	51.3%	4.94	80	5.55	38

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – February 28, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	14,951	730	585	1,382	508	309	1,729	181	367	515	747	479	2,108	507	204	1,507	478	2,485	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	94%	93%	93%	95%	87%	94%	96%	97%	94%	95%	92%	92%	84%	94%	97%	82%	91%	91%
% Timely in February 2009	91%	95%	95%	89%	89%	65%	97%	94%	97%	93%	97%	84%	88%	83%	96%	92%	75%	93%	82%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	9,907	475	395	879	334	192	1,176	128	240	364	540	349	1,433	327	122	935	327	1,600	91
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	96%	98%	98%	97%	98%	95%	97%	99%	96%	97%	98%	97%	94%	96%	96%	91%	95%	98%
Percent in February 2009	96%	98%	98%	98%	99%	100%	93%	100%	98%	97%	98%	99%	98%	92%	91%	97%	89%	93%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	96	5	0	7	1	7	2	3	0	1	2	0	30	22	0	3	9	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	31	0	15	9	12	6	68	0	8	2	0	39	42	0	8	16	7	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	730	60	39	113	98	85	117	194	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	95%	95%	96%	100%	95%	90%	93%	92%
% Timely in February 2009	95%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	475	41	23	86	56	66	80	107	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	93%	83%	99%	98%	97%	99%	96%	94%
Percent in February 2009	98%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	22	0	0	0	12	87

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	585	14	60	15	115	101	60	158	62
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	95%	100%	91%	96%	87%	94%	92%
% Timely in February 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	92%	92%	100%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	395	9	39	9	83	62	40	110	43
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	89%	100%	100%	99%	98%	100%	97%	100%
Percent in February 2009	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1382	67	15	1	18	114	32	183	141	143	45	623
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	78%	100%	100%	94%	76%	88%	98%	100%	97%	84%	95%
% Timely in February 2009	89%	60%	100%	N/A	100%	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	879	40	10	1	13	60	17	119	88	98	28	405
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in February 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	7	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	15	11	0	0	0	58	8	0	0	0	0	2

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	508	324	2	0	31	151
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	N/A	97%	85%
% Timely in February 2009	89%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	74%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	334	219	2	0	19	94
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	N/A	79%	96%
Percent in February 2009	99%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	9

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	309	7	73	45	24	92	68	130	81	49
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	87%	100%	71%	100%	67%	98%	88%	91%	94%	86%
% Timely in February 2009	65%	100%	15%	100%	N/A	88%	83%	82%	100%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	192	3	45	30	19	52	43	91	61	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	96%	97%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	93%
Percent in February 2009	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,729	140	539	160	605	188	77	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	98%	93%	89%	96%	94%	100%
% Timely in February 2009	97%	100%	98%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1176	97	352	106	416	128	62	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	92%	94%	95%	96%	100%	93%
Percent in February 2009	93%	100%	84%	100%	95%	96%	100%	67%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	8	0	3	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	181	70	58	19	29	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	99%	98%	95%	97%	20%
% Timely in February 2009	94%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	128	45	44	18	19	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	93%	100%	100%	95%	100%
Percent in February 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	68	0	0	0	0	68

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	367	76	11	26	51	18	185
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	99%	100%	88%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in February 2009	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	240	55	9	17	31	10	118
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	82%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in February 2009	98%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	515	31	43	108	125	208
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	100%	94%	88%
% Timely in February 2009	93%	100%	100%	100%	91%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	364	20	32	75	81	156
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	96%	96%	96%
Percent in February 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	8

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	747	119	237	74	88	229
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	96%	92%	97%	100%	95%
% Timely in February 2009	97%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	540	79	176	53	62	170
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	96%	97%	98%
Percent in February 2009	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	2	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	479	22	63	63	48	29	49	15	85	46	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	95%	92%	97%	100%	100%	69%	93%	98%	87%	93%
% Timely in February 2009	84%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	14%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	349	12	53	44	33	22	32	9	65	35	44
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	83%	100%
Percent in February 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,108	432	15	79	539	18	1,025
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	95%	60%	96%	85%	100%	94%
% Timely in February 2009	88%	96%	100%	67%	70%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1433	290	9	57	349	8	720
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	98%	100%	89%	95%	100%	98%
Percent in February 2009	98%	98%	100%	92%	97%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	30	2	0	2	26	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	91	0	15	37	0	0

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	507	95	23	145	136	108
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	84%	84%	100%	77%	82%	95%
% Timely in February 2009	83%	80%	100%	68%	87%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	327	50	17	94	94	72
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	84%	97%	99%
Percent in February 2009	92%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	22	15	0	3	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	48	0	29	29.75	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	204	43	13	24	22	6	25	16	12	43
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	98%	85%	96%	95%	67%	100%	94%	92%	91%
% Timely in February 2009	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	75%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	122	30	8	14	13	2	14	12	10	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	92%	50%	93%	100%	100%	89%
Percent in February 2009	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	33%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,507	7	49	53	20	41	494	813	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	86%	88%	100%	100%	95%	99%	96%	97%
% Timely in February 2009	92%	0%	85%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	935	5	27	34	12	27	310	495	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	96%	100%	100%	93%	97%	96%	100%
Percent in February 2009	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	478	45	54	18	27	129	205
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	82%	96%	98%	67%	100%	77%	78%
% Timely in February 2009	75%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	77%	64%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	327	32	33	9	18	92	143
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	91%	100%	94%	100%	94%	98%	84%
Percent in February 2009	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	0	0	16

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,485	50	55	451	45	711	459	195	519
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	91%	92%	98%	92%	100%	80%	95%	98%	96%
% Timely in February 2009	93%	86%	100%	97%	100%	90%	90%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1600	31	38	322	29	444	301	142	293
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	96%	93%	90%	96%	99%	97%
Percent in February 2009	93%	100%	100%	95%	88%	85%	92%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Feb-08</i>	<i>Feb-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,327	13,688	-10.7%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,529	123,209	-4.9%
Total Cases Collected	144,856	136,897	-5.5%
TANF Collections	\$4,660,320	\$2,637,047	-43.4%
NTANF Collections	\$50,921,633	\$43,583,839	-14.4%
Total Collections	\$55,581,953	\$46,220,886	-16.8%
SFY YTD Collections	\$396,754,447	\$401,777,628	1.3%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$350	\$354	1.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>Feb-09</i>
TANF Paternities	201
NTANF Paternities	403
Combined Paternities	604

Diversionsary Assistance

February Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Prince William	3
Alleghany / Covington	1		Pulaski	3
Amelia	1		Roanoke County	10
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	10		Rockingham/ H'burg	8
Bedford City	1		Shenandoah	7
Buckingham	2		Smyth	2
Campbell	1		Spotsylvania	7
Caroline	2		Stafford	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Tazewell	1
Culpeper	3		Warren	11
Cumberland	3		Westmoreland	1
Dinwiddie	1		Wythe	4
Floyd	1		York / Poquoson	1
Franklin County	7		Alexandria	2
Frederick	8		Bristol	3
Giles	1		Charlottesville	3
Greene	1		Chesapeake	1
Hanover	1		Fredricksburg	2
Henrico	5		Hampton	9
Henry / Martinsville	3		Hopewell	5
Loudoun	2		Newport News	13
Louisa	2		Norfolk	9
Lunenburg	2		Richmond City	4
Madison	1		Roanoke City	13
Mathews	2		Suffolk	7
Montgomery	1		Virginia Beach	15
Patrick	3		Winchester	9
Pittsylvania	1		Statewide	233

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of March 3, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..


This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:

http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through February 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through February 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Feb 28, 2009



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of March and represent end of February data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of February, it was \$7.85 rather than the \$7.71 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.