

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
September 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services October 28, 2009

Monthly Report, September 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 42% with a denominator of 19,213 and a numerator of 8,042.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	70	20	29%	061	Fauquier	79	33	42%
003	Albemarle	72	30	42%	063	Floyd	29	16	55%
005	Alleghany/Covington	71	18	25%	065	Fluvanna	23	4	17%
007	Amelia	37	19	51%	067	Franklin County	115	30	26%
009	Amherst	44	20	45%	069	Frederick	76	13	17%
011	Appomattox	47	21	45%	071	Giles	21	7	33%
013	Arlington	142	47	33%	073	Gloucester	60	19	32%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	305	163	53%	075	Goochland	16	4	25%
017	Bath	5	2	40%	077	Grayson	21	9	43%
019	Bedford County/City	116	55	47%	079	Greene	40	10	25%
021	Bland	7	4	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	75	18	24%
023	Botetourt	13	2	15%	083	Halifax	95	23	24%
025	Brunswick	66	37	56%	085	Hanover	108	30	28%
027	Buchanan	66	32	48%	087	Henrico	870	318	37%
029	Buckingham	44	17	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	331	101	31%
031	Campbell	164	59	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	118	32	27%	093	Isle of Wight	88	44	50%
035	Carroll	64	32	50%	095	James City	74	32	43%
036	Charles City	9	2	22%	097	King and Queen	13	2	15%
037	Charlotte	40	19	48%	099	King George	21	10	48%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	514	224	44%	101	King William	22	9	41%
043	Clarke	10	4	40%	103	Lancaster	19	6	32%
045	Craig	4	3	75%	105	Lee	127	52	41%
047	Culpeper	79	40	51%	107	Loudoun	172	43	25%
049	Cumberland	42	19	45%	109	Louisa	55	26	47%
051	Dickensen	40	21	53%	111	Lunenburg	28	3	11%
053	Dinwiddie	48	18	38%	113	Madison	17	5	29%
057	Essex	63	3	5%	115	Mathews	5	2	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	625	305	49%	117	Mecklenburg	92	41	45%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	3	13%	187	Warren	70	30	43%
121	Montgomery	211	113	54%	191	Washington	103	44	43%
125	Nelson	25	11	44%	193	Westmoreland	49	18	37%
127	New Kent	15	3	20%	195	Wise	234	98	42%
131	Northampton	38	13	34%	197	Wythe	73	31	42%
133	Northumberland	11	5	45%	199	York/Poquoson	66	32	48%
135	Nottoway	65	21	32%	510	Alexandria	279	138	49%
137	Orange	42	14	33%	520	Bristol	136	82	60%
139	Page	36	13	36%	540	Charlottesville	195	95	49%
141	Patrick	56	27	48%	550	Chesapeake	779	346	44%
143	Pittsylvania	92	29	32%	590	Danville	254	129	51%
145	Powhatan	26	4	15%	620	Franklin City	43	18	42%
147	Prince Edward	67	27	40%	630	Fredericksburg	126	54	43%
149	Prince George	45	21	47%	640	Galax	52	15	29%
153	Prince William	745	284	38%	650	Hampton	714	336	47%
155	Pulaski	71	27	38%	670	Hopewell	216	32	15%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	341	149	44%
159	Richmond County	17	2	12%	683	Manassas	105	41	39%
161	Roanoke County	166	85	51%	685	Manassas Park	29	14	48%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	46	19	41%	700	Newport News	1,016	464	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	164	67	41%	710	Norfolk	1,311	704	54%
167	Russell	122	58	48%	720	Norton	35	15	43%
169	Scott	99	41	41%	730	Petersburg	404	136	34%
171	Shenandoah	18	4	22%	740	Portsmouth	703	289	41%
173	Smyth	96	35	36%	750	Radford	37	22	59%
175	Southampton	66	16	24%	760	Richmond City	1,720	683	40%
177	Spotsylvania	240	100	42%	770	Roanoke City	628	191	30%
179	Stafford	176	79	45%	800	Suffolk	206	123	60%
181	Surry	31	10	32%	810	Virginia Beach	551	270	49%
183	Sussex	47	23	49%	830	Williamsburg	21	10	48%
185	Tazewell	174	75	43%	840	Winchester	67	25	37%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 37% with a denominator of 17,301 and a numerator of 6,354. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	63	13	21%	061	Fauquier	65	19	29%
003	Albemarle	58	17	29%	063	Floyd	27	14	52%
005	Alleghany/Covington	63	11	17%	065	Fluvanna	19	0	0%
007	Amelia	37	19	51%	067	Franklin County	108	24	22%
009	Amherst	42	18	43%	069	Frederick	66	4	6%
011	Appomattox	44	19	43%	071	Giles	18	4	22%
013	Arlington	125	32	26%	073	Gloucester	57	17	30%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	271	131	48%	075	Goochland	16	4	25%
017	Bath	4	1	25%	077	Grayson	17	5	29%
019	Bedford County/City	101	42	42%	079	Greene	38	8	21%
021	Bland	6	3	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	71	14	20%
023	Botetourt	11	2	18%	083	Halifax	90	18	20%
025	Brunswick	59	31	53%	085	Hanover	100	24	24%
027	Buchanan	53	20	38%	087	Henrico	799	262	33%
029	Buckingham	38	12	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	308	80	26%
031	Campbell	145	40	28%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	104	24	23%	093	Isle of Wight	75	31	41%
035	Carroll	60	29	48%	095	James City	61	21	34%
036	Charles City	8	2	25%	097	King and Queen	11	0	0%
037	Charlotte	34	13	38%	099	King George	16	6	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	460	175	38%	101	King William	20	8	40%
043	Clarke	9	3	33%	103	Lancaster	19	6	32%
045	Craig	3	2	67%	105	Lee	113	40	35%
047	Culpeper	72	37	51%	107	Loudoun	143	17	12%
049	Cumberland	40	18	45%	109	Louisa	49	20	41%
051	Dickensen	36	17	47%	111	Lunenburg	24	3	13%
053	Dinwiddie	39	11	28%	113	Madison	16	5	31%
057	Essex	60	3	5%	115	Mathews	4	1	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	541	225	42%	117	Mecklenburg	82	31	38%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	0	0%	187	Warren	61	22	36%
121	Montgomery	177	81	46%	191	Washington	94	35	37%
125	Nelson	23	9	39%	193	Westmoreland	44	18	41%
127	New Kent	15	3	20%	195	Wise	210	74	35%
131	Northampton	32	7	22%	197	Wythe	64	22	34%
133	Northumberland	8	2	25%	199	York/Poquoson	61	27	44%
135	Nottoway	59	16	27%	510	Alexandria	244	104	43%
137	Orange	37	12	32%	520	Bristol	124	70	56%
139	Page	30	8	27%	540	Charlottesville	172	72	42%
141	Patrick	48	20	42%	550	Chesapeake	719	291	40%
143	Pittsylvania	87	26	30%	590	Danville	234	110	47%
145	Powhatan	24	2	8%	620	Franklin City	37	13	35%
147	Prince Edward	58	18	31%	630	Fredericksburg	113	43	38%
149	Prince George	41	18	44%	640	Galax	47	11	23%
153	Prince William	637	192	30%	650	Hampton	644	280	43%
155	Pulaski	66	22	33%	670	Hopewell	198	22	11%
157	Rappahannock	1	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	313	123	39%
159	Richmond County	17	2	12%	683	Manassas	90	27	30%
161	Roanoke County	141	61	43%	685	Manassas Park	24	9	38%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	41	14	34%	700	Newport News	914	376	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	138	43	31%	710	Norfolk	1,231	629	51%
167	Russell	113	50	44%	720	Norton	31	12	39%
169	Scott	87	30	34%	730	Petersburg	379	118	31%
171	Shenandoah	16	4	25%	740	Portsmouth	644	233	36%
173	Smyth	91	30	33%	750	Radford	33	18	55%
175	Southampton	60	11	18%	760	Richmond City	1,576	560	36%
177	Spotsylvania	223	91	41%	770	Roanoke City	558	127	23%
179	Stafford	160	63	39%	800	Suffolk	186	104	56%
181	Surry	28	7	25%	810	Virginia Beach	488	207	42%
183	Sussex	40	17	43%	830	Williamsburg	19	9	47%
185	Tazewell	152	53	35%	840	Winchester	62	20	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,912 and a numerator of 1,688. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	14	14	100%
003	Albemarle	14	13	93%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	7	88%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	7	6	86%
009	Amherst	2	2	100%	069	Frederick	10	9	90%
011	Appomattox	3	2	67%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	17	15	88%	073	Gloucester	3	2	67%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	34	32	94%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	15	13	87%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	4	4	100%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	5	5	100%
025	Brunswick	7	6	86%	085	Hanover	8	6	75%
027	Buchanan	13	12	92%	087	Henrico	71	56	79%
029	Buckingham	6	5	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	23	21	91%
031	Campbell	19	19	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	14	8	57%	093	Isle of Wight	13	13	100%
035	Carroll	4	3	75%	095	James City	13	11	85%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	6	6	100%	099	King George	5	4	80%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	54	49	91%	101	King William	2	1	50%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	14	12	86%
047	Culpeper	7	3	43%	107	Loudoun	29	26	90%
049	Cumberland	2	1	50%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	4	4	100%	111	Lunenburg	4	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	7	78%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	3	0	0%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	84	80	95%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	3	75%	187	Warren	9	8	89%
121	Montgomery	34	32	94%	191	Washington	9	9	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	5	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	24	24	100%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	9	9	100%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	6	5	83%	510	Alexandria	35	34	97%
137	Orange	5	2	40%	520	Bristol	12	12	100%
139	Page	6	5	83%	540	Charlottesville	23	23	100%
141	Patrick	8	7	88%	550	Chesapeake	60	55	92%
143	Pittsylvania	5	3	60%	590	Danville	20	19	95%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	6	5	83%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	13	11	85%
149	Prince George	4	3	75%	640	Galax	5	4	80%
153	Prince William	108	92	85%	650	Hampton	70	56	80%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	18	10	56%
157	Rappahannock	2	1	50%	680	Lynchburg	28	26	93%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	15	14	93%
161	Roanoke County	25	24	96%	685	Manassas Park	5	5	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	102	88	86%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	24	92%	710	Norfolk	80	75	94%
167	Russell	9	8	89%	720	Norton	4	3	75%
169	Scott	12	11	92%	730	Petersburg	25	18	72%
171	Shenandoah	2	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	59	56	95%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	6	5	83%	760	Richmond City	144	123	85%
177	Spotsylvania	17	9	53%	770	Roanoke City	70	64	91%
179	Stafford	16	16	100%	800	Suffolk	20	19	95%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	63	63	100%
183	Sussex	7	6	86%	830	Williamsburg	2	1	50%
185	Tazewell	22	22	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Sep-09	Sep-08	Change from Sep-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,977	6,021	-1%	4,807	24%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,137	2,915	8%	1,658	89%
Applications Approved	2,856	2,813	2%	2,820	1%
Cases Closed *	2,539	2,522	1%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	33,219	27,856	19%	67,995	-51%
Cases Paid During Month ²	34,435	29,074	18%	70,403	-51%

•The database indicates that of the 2,539 closed cases, 935 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 568 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 203 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 131 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Sep-09	Sep-08	Change from Sep-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	593	416	43%	338	75%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	333	235	42%	232	44%
Applications Approved	270	244	11%	81	233%
Cases Closed *	282	208	36%	107	164%
Cases at End of Month	1,981	1,054	88%	321	517%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,132	1,184	80%	394	441%

•The database indicates that of the 282 closed cases, 110 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 77 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 14 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 12 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	35,200	1,998	1,102	2,678	974	534	3,979	425	735	1,283	1,711	1,068	5,613	1,065	437	3,399	1,337	6,593	269
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,998	800	530	1,151	425	238	1,702	170	313	635	619	511	2,331	610	188	1,345	685	2,669	76
Part. in a Work Activity	10,798	601	359	765	304	168	1,315	120	254	436	449	355	1,614	405	108	980	423	2,093	49
% of Enrolled	72%	75%	68%	66%	72%	71%	77%	71%	81%	69%	73%	69%	69%	66%	57%	73%	62%	78%	64%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	119	7	5	3	1	12	21	6	0	5	0	6	19	4	4	5	7	14	0
Participants Employed	7,186	451	256	576	238	115	712	90	138	308	248	226	914	298	84	716	296	1,479	41
% of Enrolled	48%	56%	48%	50%	56%	48%	42%	53%	44%	49%	40%	44%	39%	49%	45%	53%	43%	55%	54%
Full Time	5,922	415	207	477	208	87	559	77	86	246	209	161	739	249	66	569	233	1,300	34
Part Time	1,264	36	49	99	30	28	153	13	52	62	39	65	175	49	18	147	63	179	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.28	\$7.42	\$7.74	\$7.74	\$8.29	\$9.31	\$8.18	\$8.31	\$7.63	\$7.43	\$7.59	\$8.13	\$8.14	\$7.67	\$7.77	\$7.71	\$7.65	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$993	\$1,010	\$1,055	\$1,025	\$1,077	\$1,269	\$1,085	\$1,002	\$1,033	\$1,018	\$968	\$1,102	\$1,084	\$1,022	\$1,039	\$1,025	\$1,038	\$1,054

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	10,291	624	406	931	351	188	1,101	149	285	415	542	301	1,454	351	122	960	312	1,727	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW	20,194	1,119	758	1,639	575	358	2,266	235	444	822	925	689	2,981	756	251	1,927	829	3,499	121
Part. in a Work Activity	16,879	961	642	1,321	516	283	1,949	196	396	704	772	556	2,447	623	203	1,615	620	2,980	95
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	85%	81%	90%	79%	86%	83%	89%	86%	83%	81%	82%	82%	81%	84%	75%	85%	79%
Participants Employed	9,832	609	368	822	316	174	1,010	131	204	425	357	290	1,238	380	123	989	373	1,962	61
% of Total Enrolled	49%	54%	49%	50%	55%	49%	45%	56%	46%	52%	39%	42%	42%	50%	49%	51%	45%	56%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.29	\$7.34	\$7.85	\$7.72	\$8.25	\$9.50	\$8.16	\$8.29	\$7.65	\$7.49	\$7.53	\$8.18	\$8.17	\$7.85	\$7.84	\$7.79	\$7.71	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$982	\$964	\$1,068	\$1,031	\$1,070	\$1,277	\$1,062	\$942	\$998	\$982	\$928	\$1,104	\$1,064	\$1,046	\$1,041	\$1,027	\$1,037	\$1,087
Three Months Employment	71%	71%	65%	66%	73%	61%	75%	62%	67%	66%	59%	73%	73%	79%	68%	72%	72%	73%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	225,694	14,897	8,522	16,554	6,423	4,064	27,966	3,218	4,874	8,469	10,794	6,425	29,959	6,302	2,891	21,282	7,662	43,314	2,078
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	169,349	11,258	7,067	12,294	4,807	2,916	21,367	2,399	3,669	6,895	8,569	4,806	22,962	4,419	2,060	15,472	5,887	31,014	1,488
Part. in a Work Activity	162,264	10,809	6,731	11,765	4,659	2,800	20,678	2,312	3,566	6,520	8,257	4,585	22,143	4,218	1,941	14,808	5,627	29,412	1,433
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	96%	95%	94%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	118,333	7,158	4,747	8,674	3,423	2,001	15,440	1,647	2,809	4,852	5,469	2,987	16,062	3,044	1,337	11,208	4,143	22,461	871
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	67%	71%	71%	69%	72%	69%	77%	70%	64%	62%	70%	69%	65%	72%	70%	72%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$5.99	\$6.06	\$6.86	\$6.90	\$7.07	\$8.38	\$7.22	\$7.19	\$6.30	\$6.17	\$6.45	\$7.14	\$7.62	\$6.70	\$6.76	\$6.77	\$6.64	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$922	\$791	\$829	\$931	\$925	\$962	\$1,124	\$959	\$936	\$825	\$829	\$850	\$970	\$1,006	\$871	\$895	\$924	\$876	\$811
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	54%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	56%	55%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,998	205	120	299	278	202	343	491	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	800	51	49	138	127	91	116	202	26
Participants in a Work Activity	601	31	37	114	101	64	102	133	19
% of Enrolled	75%	61%	76%	83%	80%	70%	88%	66%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	451	18	34	89	59	50	71	115	15
% of Enrolled	56%	35%	69%	64%	46%	55%	61%	57%	58%
Full Time	415	18	32	77	53	48	65	107	15
Part Time	36	0	2	12	6	2	6	8	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.57	\$7.41	\$6.97	\$7.07	\$7.31	\$7.37	\$7.51	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$1,090	\$1,024	\$904	\$945	\$1,037	\$989	\$1,050	\$949

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	624	44	41	89	80	59	117	172	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,119	88	68	177	172	117	164	293	40
Participants in a Work Activity	961	72	53	149	160	103	153	242	29
% of Total Enrolled	86%	82%	78%	84%	93%	88%	93%	83%	73%
Participants Employed	609	38	41	105	82	62	96	164	21
% of Total Enrolled	54%	43%	60%	59%	48%	53%	59%	56%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.29	\$8.03	\$7.31	\$7.00	\$7.18	\$7.23	\$7.34	\$7.39	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$982	\$1,144	\$1,000	\$907	\$954	\$1,003	\$960	\$1,016	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	66%	60%	81%	68%	85%	73%	65%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,897	1,538	1,064	2,075	2,037	1,390	2,864	3,456	473
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,258	1,162	802	1,542	1,608	974	2,172	2,627	371
Participants in a Work Activity	10,809	1,077	757	1,521	1,529	953	2,095	2,531	346
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,158	604	481	1,015	1,008	581	1,499	1,688	282
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	60%	69%	64%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.99	\$6.20	\$6.08	\$5.68	\$6.21	\$6.24	\$5.93	\$5.98	\$5.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$791	\$806	\$800	\$756	\$835	\$859	\$783	\$782	\$719
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	49%	60%	59%	65%	57%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,102	13	131	48	189	218	126	281	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	530	5	58	18	88	104	56	155	46
Participants in a Work Activity	359	3	50	17	55	64	38	107	25
% of Enrolled	68%	60%	86%	94%	63%	62%	68%	69%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0
Participants Employed	256	3	38	7	40	56	31	61	20
% of Enrolled	48%	60%	66%	39%	45%	54%	55%	39%	43%
Full Time	207	3	27	7	35	46	28	43	18
Part Time	49	0	11	0	5	10	3	18	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$6.52	\$7.24	\$8.13	\$7.53	\$7.47	\$7.55	\$7.44	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$847	\$948	\$1,330	\$1,084	\$1,027	\$1,018	\$942	\$1,042

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	406	2	51	21	80	65	52	88	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	758	5	81	26	129	149	83	210	75
Participants in a Work Activity	642	5	73	23	104	129	75	174	59
% of Total Enrolled	85%	100%	90%	88%	81%	87%	90%	83%	79%
Participants Employed	368	3	50	11	48	84	46	95	31
% of Total Enrolled	49%	60%	62%	42%	37%	56%	55%	45%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$6.52	\$7.22	\$7.71	\$7.43	\$7.29	\$7.43	\$7.40	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$770	\$925	\$1,173	\$989	\$978	\$953	\$913	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	100%	70%	58%	67%	60%	68%	59%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,522	217	1,179	577	1,462	1,423	1,334	1,724	606
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,067	168	962	468	1,190	1,164	1,061	1,536	518
Participants in a Work Activity	6,731	157	870	432	1,136	1,130	1,040	1,477	489
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,747	106	649	307	739	792	725	1,093	336
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	67%	66%	62%	68%	68%	71%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.06	\$5.93	\$5.87	\$6.17	\$5.82	\$6.36	\$6.12	\$6.10	\$5.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$829	\$815	\$797	\$826	\$802	\$871	\$817	\$842	\$846
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	49%	46%	57%	53%	49%	56%	49%	61%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,678	117	41	5	40	244	48	382	186	319	77	1,219
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1151	94	10	2	18	82	32	179	71	139	36	488
Participants in a Work Activity	765	40	3	2	16	52	18	145	61	105	33	290
% of Enrolled	66%	43%	30%	100%	89%	63%	56%	81%	86%	76%	92%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	576	33	3	1	13	34	17	125	37	69	27	217
% of Enrolled	50%	35%	30%	50%	72%	41%	53%	70%	52%	50%	75%	44%
Full Time	477	16	3	1	12	29	16	113	32	57	20	178
Part Time	99	17	0	0	1	5	1	12	5	12	7	39
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$7.42	\$7.25	\$7.50	\$7.63	\$7.74	\$8.02	\$7.80	\$7.44	\$7.93	\$7.71	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$874	\$1,023	\$1,137	\$1,092	\$1,091	\$1,160	\$1,064	\$978	\$1,080	\$1,028	\$1,071

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	931	37	6	4	6	77	17	133	77	91	25	458
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,639	114	15	8	27	117	39	245	122	186	59	707
Participants in a Work Activity	1,321	81	9	8	24	84	31	211	104	156	51	562
% of Total Enrolled	81%	71%	60%	100%	89%	72%	79%	86%	85%	84%	86%	79%
Participants Employed	822	50	5	5	17	43	21	158	57	107	39	320
% of Total Enrolled	50%	44%	33%	63%	63%	37%	54%	64%	47%	58%	66%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$7.73	\$7.44	\$7.56	\$7.60	\$7.69	\$8.00	\$7.92	\$7.51	\$7.93	\$7.92	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$873	\$917	\$1,067	\$1,064	\$1,048	\$1,142	\$1,080	\$989	\$1,102	\$1,082	\$1,095
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	58%	50%	100%	73%	68%	71%	74%	62%	63%	58%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,554	1,027	272	62	417	1,407	419	2,379	1,472	1,754	659	6,686
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,294	757	164	22	289	1,021	285	1,902	1,145	1,356	501	4,852
Participants in a Work Activity	11,765	724	148	22	282	923	276	1,883	1,103	1,283	488	4,633
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	98%	90%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	8,674	550	83	14	206	667	172	1,538	753	1,020	368	3,303
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	51%	64%	71%	65%	60%	81%	66%	75%	73%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$6.68	\$7.18	\$6.60	\$6.74	\$6.69	\$6.80	\$6.72	\$6.61	\$7.32	\$6.83	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$931	\$813	\$921	\$994	\$909	\$929	\$928	\$915	\$924	\$1,019	\$894	\$943
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	42%	73%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	974	608	8	0	78	280
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	425	263	2	N/A	33	127
Participants in a Work Activity	304	188	2	N/A	18	96
% of Enrolled	72%	71%	100%	N/A	55%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	238	152	2	N/A	16	68
% of Enrolled	56%	58%	100%	N/A	48%	54%
Full Time	208	134	1	N/A	15	58
Part Time	30	18	1	N/A	1	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$7.73	\$7.63	N/A	\$7.28	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,008	\$817	N/A	\$930	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	351	216	2	0	19	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	575	359	3	0	38	175
Participants in a Work Activity	516	328	3	N/A	27	158
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	100%	N/A	71%	90%
Participants Employed	316	194	3	N/A	17	102
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	100%	N/A	45%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.69	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.24	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,016	\$547	N/A	\$917	\$1,089
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	80%	67%	N/A	68%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,423	3,488	62	16	573	2,284
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,807	2,656	37	7	420	1,687
Participants in a Work Activity	4,659	2,593	36	7	398	1,625
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	3,423	1,812	25	5	314	1,267
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	71%	75%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.91	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.54	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$925	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$849	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	59%	60%	54%	58%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	534	15	167	76	32	111	133	269	185	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	238	5	63	39	12	61	58	76	38	38
Participants in a Work Activity	168	5	31	31	12	46	43	49	25	24
% of Enrolled	71%	100%	49%	79%	100%	75%	74%	64%	66%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	1	2	0	3	6	0	0	0
Participants Employed	115	4	29	23	9	28	22	41	25	16
% of Enrolled	48%	80%	46%	59%	9	46%	38%	54%	66%	42%
Full Time	87	3	19	19	9	23	14	34	22	12
Part Time	28	1	10	4	0	5	8	7	3	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$7.44	\$9.02	\$8.05	\$7.31	\$8.68	\$7.67	\$7.63	\$7.57	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$954	\$1,120	\$1,069	\$1,097	\$1,155	\$943	\$1,054	\$1,085	\$1,006

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	188	10	43	34	12	46	43	72	53	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	358	12	74	72	22	98	80	121	75	46
Participants in a Work Activity	283	12	52	58	19	75	67	95	55	40
% of Total Enrolled	79%	100%	70%	81%	86%	77%	84%	79%	73%	87%
Participants Employed	174	8	32	40	13	45	36	61	39	22
% of Total Enrolled	49%	67%	43%	56%	59%	46%	45%	50%	52%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.06	\$8.90	\$8.52	\$7.68	\$8.31	\$7.56	\$7.98	\$7.72	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,061	\$1,086	\$1,154	\$975	\$1,131	\$930	\$1,087	\$1,040	\$1,175
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	50%	73%	65%	72%	48%	56%	81%	75%	92%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,064	168	772	634	517	1011	962	2,078	1293	785
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,916	98	542	504	299	741	732	1,488	898	590
Participants in a Work Activity	2,800	93	511	492	293	704	707	1433	877	556
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	94%	98%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	2,001	60	335	374	202	515	515	871	496	375
% of Total Enrolled	69%	61%	62%	74%	68%	70%	70%	59%	55%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$7.19	\$7.31	\$7.16	\$6.48	\$7.13	\$7.00	\$6.41	\$6.48	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$958	\$967	\$976	\$904	\$973	\$954	\$811	\$819	\$802
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	47%	54%	57%	53%	57%	51%	61%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,979	299	1,397	278	1,255	502	190	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,702	104	536	153	613	207	73	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,315	89	443	98	457	175	40	13
% of Enrolled	77%	86%	83%	64%	75%	85%	55%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	14	1	1	1	4	0
Participants Employed	712	39	224	75	263	75	26	10
% of Enrolled	42%	38%	42%	49%	43%	36%	36%	63%
Full Time	559	27	175	51	206	68	22	10
Part Time	153	12	49	24	57	7	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.31	\$8.95	\$9.63	\$9.41	\$9.16	\$9.34	\$8.90	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,269	\$1,165	\$1,314	\$1,241	\$1,260	\$1,310	\$1,170	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,101	81	335	69	412	129	56	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,266	156	736	189	804	260	98	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,949	140	665	147	673	238	65	21
% of Total Enrolled	86%	90%	90%	78%	84%	92%	66%	91%
Participants Employed	1,010	64	313	93	379	110	38	13
% of Total Enrolled	45%	41%	43%	49%	47%	42%	39%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.50	\$9.44	\$9.76	\$9.63	\$9.37	\$9.62	\$8.59	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,277	\$1,208	\$1,283	\$1,215	\$1,296	\$1,354	\$1,119	\$1,147
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	76%	75%	81%	74%	74%	81%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	27,966	2,504	9,749	1,902	8,920	3,332	1,198	361
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,367	1,922	7,256	1,510	6,885	2,628	897	269
Participants in a Work Activity	20,678	1,898	7,042	1,451	6,636	2,524	862	265
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,440	1,425	5,014	1,204	5,128	1,868	602	199
% of Total Enrolled	72%	74%	69%	80%	74%	71%	67%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$7.91	\$8.31	\$8.86	\$8.60	\$8.09	\$7.94	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,031	\$1,107	\$1,156	\$1,187	\$1,036	\$1,076	\$1,061
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	67%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	425	182	116	28	96	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	170	66	51	8	43	2
Participants in a Work Activity	120	47	41	6	25	1
% of Enrolled	71%	71%	80%	75%	58%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	1	0	3	0
Participants Employed	90	35	31	4	19	1
% of Enrolled	53%	53%	61%	50%	44%	50%
Full Time	77	28	28	3	17	1
Part Time	13	7	3	1	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.52	\$8.23	\$7.94	\$7.61	\$6.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,090	\$1,110	\$1,149	\$1,033	\$851

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	149	57	55	15	22	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	235	88	75	16	51	5
Participants in a Work Activity	196	73	67	16	36	4
% of Total Enrolled	83%	83%	89%	100%	71%	80%
Participants Employed	131	48	43	9	27	4
% of Total Enrolled	56%	55%	57%	56%	53%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.51	\$8.30	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,075	\$1,107	\$1,146	\$939	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	60%	59%	50%	76%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,218	1,233	935	306	659	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,399	880	715	222	525	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,312	848	705	215	490	54
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,647	579	499	149	382	38
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	70%	67%	73%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.19	\$7.55	\$6.99	\$6.93	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$944	\$987	\$978	\$940	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	46%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	735	145	43	58	111	49	329
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	313	50	8	33	46	17	159
Participants in a Work Activity	254	38	5	17	35	13	146
% of Enrolled	81%	76%	63%	52%	76%	76%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	138	24	5	14	19	8	68
% of Enrolled	44%	48%	63%	42%	41%	47%	43%
Full Time	86	17	5	6	10	4	44
Part Time	52	7	0	8	9	4	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.60	\$7.76	\$7.61	\$8.59	\$7.71	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,099	\$1,102	\$829	\$971	\$842	\$1,024

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	285	74	11	19	48	16	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	444	72	9	39	72	28	224
Participants in a Work Activity	396	61	5	30	60	21	219
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	56%	77%	83%	75%	98%
Participants Employed	204	30	5	17	33	14	105
% of Total Enrolled	46%	42%	56%	44%	46%	50%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.64	\$7.76	\$7.68	\$8.35	\$7.61	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$1,146	\$1,094	\$793	\$971	\$848	\$904
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	67%	100%	61%	68%	67%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,874	1134	179	380	731	232	2,218
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,669	784	114	270	512	156	1,833
Participants in a Work Activity	3,566	766	108	251	485	152	1,804
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	93%	95%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,809	585	85	204	321	104	1,510
% of Total Enrolled	77%	75%	75%	76%	63%	67%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.61	\$7.35	\$7.12	\$7.21	\$7.47	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$936	\$992	\$1,082	\$907	\$956	\$990	\$916
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	54%	50%	56%	56%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,283	90	96	197	280	620
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	635	34	48	107	183	263
Participants in a Work Activity	436	23	34	70	121	188
% of Enrolled	69%	68%	71%	65%	66%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	5	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	308	21	27	53	74	133
% of Enrolled	49%	62%	56%	50%	40%	51%
Full Time	246	17	27	40	59	103
Part Time	62	4	0	13	15	30
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.69	\$7.57	\$7.57	\$8.11	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$994	\$1,181	\$993	\$1,107	\$984

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	415	38	35	76	80	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	822	49	55	146	235	337
Participants in a Work Activity	704	39	53	122	204	286
% of Total Enrolled	86%	80%	96%	84%	87%	85%
Participants Employed	425	29	30	76	112	178
% of Total Enrolled	52%	59%	55%	52%	48%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$7.67	\$7.51	\$7.78	\$7.99	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$1,003	\$1,154	\$968	\$1,047	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	52%	55%	65%	68%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,469	782	691	1,691	1,962	3,343
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,895	618	600	1,329	1,638	2,710
Participants in a Work Activity	6,520	588	588	1,248	1,536	2,560
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,852	425	430	891	1,128	1,978
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	72%	67%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.30	\$6.50	\$6.06	\$6.34	\$6.49	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$825	\$831	\$832	\$821	\$865	\$803
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,711	245	568	103	243	552
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	619	64	262	72	43	178
Participants in a Work Activity	449	31	211	52	25	130
% of Enrolled	73%	48%	81%	72%	58%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	248	28	103	33	17	67
% of Enrolled	40%	44%	39%	46%	40%	38%
Full Time	209	22	90	27	15	55
Part Time	39	6	13	6	2	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.88	\$7.31	\$7.15	\$6.99	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,108	\$1,008	\$992	\$891	\$1,040

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	542	55	185	47	72	183
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	925	108	357	98	82	280
Participants in a Work Activity	772	75	298	78	67	254
% of Total Enrolled	83%	69%	83%	80%	82%	91%
Participants Employed	357	40	139	48	31	99
% of Total Enrolled	39%	37%	39%	49%	38%	35%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$7.60	\$7.47	\$7.16	\$7.45	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$982	\$872	\$1,024	\$955	\$943	\$992
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	62%	58%	69%	56%	55%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,794	1,655	2,855	1,072	1,732	3,480
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,569	1,371	2,101	927	1,315	2,855
Participants in a Work Activity	8,257	1,314	2,006	866	1,290	2,781
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	93%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,469	815	1,352	620	747	1,935
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	64%	67%	57%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.17	\$6.15	\$6.49	\$6.02	\$6.18	\$6.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$829	\$816	\$915	\$790	\$846	\$787
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	54%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,068	81	109	78	82	69	138	44	195	127	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	511	29	45	39	39	41	65	27	83	70	73
Participants in a Work Activity	355	27	32	29	29	34	54	12	66	28	44
% of Enrolled	69%	93%	71%	74%	74%	83%	83%	44%	80%	40%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
Participants Employed	226	19	26	23	19	17	21	11	34	24	32
% of Enrolled	44%	66%	58%	59%	49%	41%	32%	41%	41%	34%	44%
Full Time	161	16	21	22	13	11	8	7	19	23	21
Part Time	65	3	5	1	6	6	13	4	15	1	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$8.24	\$7.14	\$7.75	\$7.97	\$8.33	\$7.38	\$6.81	\$7.48	\$7.15	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$1,089	\$921	\$1,110	\$1,060	\$1,028	\$815	\$806	\$951	\$927	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	301	25	45	25	29	16	29	15	45	29	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	689	42	63	57	60	43	88	35	107	96	98
Participants in a Work Activity	556	38	53	49	51	39	76	24	98	46	82
% of Total Enrolled	81%	90%	84%	86%	85%	91%	86%	69%	92%	48%	84%
Participants Employed	290	26	29	31	23	18	29	12	44	32	46
% of Total Enrolled	42%	62%	46%	54%	38%	42%	33%	34%	41%	33%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$8.13	\$6.96	\$7.81	\$7.85	\$8.40	\$7.30	\$6.73	\$7.37	\$7.44	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$928	\$1,054	\$881	\$1,054	\$1,018	\$1,044	\$739	\$750	\$906	\$943	\$893
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	68%	80%	72%	69%	87%	59%	100%	73%	75%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,425	385	850	653	546	443	762	255	922	770	839
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,806	270	657	480	377	310	584	186	708	574	660
Participants in a Work Activity	4,585	251	651	444	348	296	559	184	672	535	645
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	99%	93%	92%	95%	96%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,987	168	378	278	197	176	339	89	484	392	486
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	57%	58%	48%	68%	68%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.45	\$7.13	\$5.99	\$6.83	\$6.87	\$6.99	\$6.39	\$5.64	\$6.16	\$6.45	\$6.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$850	\$941	\$807	\$920	\$897	\$936	\$796	\$732	\$804	\$866	\$852
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	52%	47%	46%	57%	61%	58%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,613	977	35	180	1,525	46	2,850
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,331	385	11	117	661	24	1,133
Participants in a Work Activity	1,614	259	8	69	420	17	841
% of Enrolled	69%	67%	73%	59%	64%	71%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	2	1	2	12	0	2
Participants Employed	914	183	4	49	267	8	403
% of Enrolled	39%	48%	36%	42%	40%	33%	36%
Full Time	739	151	4	40	215	6	323
Part Time	175	32	0	9	52	2	80
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$8.22	\$7.75	\$8.79	\$8.34	\$8.30	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,106	\$1,123	\$1,174	\$1,131	\$977	\$1,075

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,454	266	6	53	402	9	718
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,981	508	14	128	808	26	1,497
Participants in a Work Activity	2,447	425	9	85	640	24	1,264
% of Total Enrolled	82%	84%	64%	66%	79%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	1,238	240	5	56	359	9	569
% of Total Enrolled	42%	47%	36%	44%	44%	35%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.17	\$7.70	\$9.47	\$8.46	\$8.18	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,088	\$1,078	\$1,286	\$1,146	\$1,065	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	77%	83%	85%	79%	86%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	29,959	5,904	195	962	6,997	266	15,635
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,962	4,403	138	668	5,491	183	12,079
Participants in a Work Activity	22,143	4,282	131	613	5,206	173	11,738
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	16,062	2,943	94	438	3,793	106	8,688
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	68%	66%	69%	58%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.36	\$6.58	\$8.06	\$7.41	\$7.05	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$970	\$997	\$862	\$1,065	\$1,008	\$904	\$945
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	54%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,065	183	42	368	287	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	610	111	8	222	149	120
Participants in a Work Activity	405	66	5	142	107	85
% of Enrolled	66%	59%	63%	64%	72%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	3
Participants Employed	298	53	5	103	66	71
% of Enrolled	49%	48%	63%	46%	44%	59%
Full Time	249	48	4	88	57	52
Part Time	49	5	1	15	9	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.86	\$8.25	\$8.26	\$8.32	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,093	\$1,008	\$1,112	\$1,061	\$1,064

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	351	72	18	123	80	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	756	128	17	262	192	157
Participants in a Work Activity	623	105	15	201	165	137
% of Total Enrolled	82%	82%	88%	77%	86%	87%
Participants Employed	380	57	8	134	85	96
% of Total Enrolled	50%	45%	47%	51%	44%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.90	\$7.91	\$8.32	\$8.35	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,085	\$1,095	\$1,078	\$1,066	\$1,028
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	88%	60%	76%	77%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,302	1,010	400	1,793	1,848	1,251
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,419	679	256	1,379	1,072	1,033
Participants in a Work Activity	4,218	658	244	1,260	1,049	1,007
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	95%	91%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	3,044	421	179	970	661	813
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	70%	70%	62%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.29	\$7.17	\$7.71	\$8.10	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$946	\$909	\$1,020	\$1,114	\$976
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	49%	57%	57%	54%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	437	102	27	56	47	15	45	25	35	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	188	59	11	18	15	9	26	3	9	38
Participants in a Work Activity	108	24	3	17	8	7	12	2	7	28
% of Enrolled	57%	41%	27%	94%	53%	78%	46%	67%	78%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	84	20	3	11	7	7	11	1	2	22
% of Enrolled	45%	34%	27%	61%	47%	78%	42%	33%	22%	58%
Full Time	66	16	1	9	4	7	8	0	1	20
Part Time	18	4	2	2	3	0	3	1	1	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.18	\$8.58	\$8.30	\$8.69	\$8.67	\$6.76	\$9.00	\$7.25	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$983	\$867	\$1,127	\$1,008	\$1,338	\$977	\$896	\$706	\$988

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	122	24	9	12	12	3	19	4	12	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	251	61	14	28	19	9	34	10	15	61
Participants in a Work Activity	203	51	11	26	13	7	24	9	14	48
% of Total Enrolled	81%	84%	79%	93%	68%	78%	71%	90%	93%	79%
Participants Employed	123	22	6	16	10	7	15	6	5	36
% of Total Enrolled	49%	36%	43%	57%	53%	78%	44%	60%	33%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$7.17	\$7.85	\$8.32	\$8.31	\$8.67	\$7.06	\$9.18	\$7.70	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,030	\$925	\$1,073	\$1,088	\$1,299	\$927	\$1,045	\$1,004	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	59%	75%	47%	63%	100%	100%	64%	43%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,891	431	219	231	344	159	330	260	270	647
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,060	327	143	185	258	99	234	208	145	461
Participants in a Work Activity	1,941	313	134	179	239	91	215	202	140	428
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	97%	93%	92%	92%	97%	97%	93%
Participants Employed	1,337	223	79	112	175	63	158	127	94	306
% of Total Enrolled	65%	68%	55%	61%	68%	64%	68%	61%	65%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.70	\$6.65	\$6.92	\$7.15	\$6.49	\$6.67	\$6.68	\$6.42	\$6.74	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$871	\$835	\$874	\$982	\$827	\$860	\$862	\$839	\$928	\$895
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	40%	35%	45%	48%	54%	55%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,399	18	112	121	40	108	1,267	1,698	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,345	6	32	50	12	50	471	706	18
Participants in a Work Activity	980	4	22	36	8	35	326	534	15
% of Enrolled	73%	67%	69%	72%	67%	70%	69%	76%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
Participants Employed	716	4	19	30	3	31	238	376	15
% of Enrolled	53%	67%	59%	60%	25%	62%	51%	53%	83%
Full Time	569	4	11	21	3	22	185	310	13
Part Time	147	0	8	9	0	9	53	66	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.13	\$7.63	\$7.84	\$9.33	\$7.44	\$7.87	\$7.74	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$926	\$892	\$996	\$1,436	\$916	\$1,045	\$1,050	\$1,147

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	960	1	39	37	10	30	315	507	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,927	8	61	76	16	67	635	1,036	28
Participants in a Work Activity	1,615	5	44	64	13	59	568	840	22
% of Total Enrolled	84%	63%	72%	84%	81%	88%	89%	81%	79%
Participants Employed	989	5	29	48	7	44	332	506	18
% of Total Enrolled	51%	63%	48%	63%	44%	66%	52%	49%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$6.13	\$7.99	\$7.88	\$9.11	\$7.42	\$8.03	\$7.75	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$773	\$965	\$987	\$1,112	\$919	\$1,069	\$1,045	\$1,033
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	80%	63%	70%	71%	66%	73%	72%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	21,282	124	882	834	242	821	7,492	10,593	294
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,472	87	599	631	173	578	5,334	7,863	207
Participants in a Work Activity	14,808	85	552	588	165	557	5,221	7,444	196
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	92%	93%	95%	96%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	11,208	47	409	459	114	374	3,835	5,814	156
% of Total Enrolled	72%	54%	68%	73%	66%	65%	72%	74%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.70	\$6.61	\$7.10	\$7.37	\$6.81	\$6.77	\$6.73	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$895	\$871	\$833	\$870	\$1,007	\$862	\$893	\$902	\$889
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	61%	56%	51%	52%	46%	53%	58%	53%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,337	143	83	64	72	345	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	685	30	40	29	40	200	346
Participants in a Work Activity	423	23	31	22	30	112	205
% of Enrolled	62%	77%	78%	76%	75%	56%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	0	2	1	3
Participants Employed	296	17	19	15	18	75	152
% of Enrolled	43%	57%	48%	52%	45%	38%	44%
Full Time	233	14	16	7	14	48	134
Part Time	63	3	3	8	4	27	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.68	\$8.00	\$7.66	\$7.12	\$7.29	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,124	\$1,138	\$798	\$929	\$886	\$1,103

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	312	49	26	15	19	80	123
Total Enrolled in VIEW	829	47	56	38	53	229	406
Participants in a Work Activity	620	44	48	37	47	148	296
% of Total Enrolled	75%	94%	86%	97%	89%	65%	73%
Participants Employed	373	25	27	20	28	89	184
% of Total Enrolled	45%	53%	48%	53%	53%	39%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$7.65	\$8.02	\$8.05	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$1,063	\$1,079	\$945	\$919	\$907	\$1,096
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	68%	59%	57%	63%	72%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,662	980	656	286	619	1,916	3,205
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,887	686	484	221	481	1,518	2,497
Participants in a Work Activity	5,627	683	427	209	466	1,455	2,387
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,143	469	307	160	331	1,069	1,807
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	63%	72%	69%	70%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.77	\$6.75	\$7.25	\$6.87	\$6.75	\$6.65	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$924	\$932	\$982	\$852	\$932	\$903	\$930
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	50%	51%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through September 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/09 and Work Activity as of 9/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,593	153	130	1,212	96	2,193	1,284	438	1,087
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,669	51	46	569	18	942	479	167	397
Participants in a Work Activity	2,093	36	26	445	18	771	375	130	292
% of Enrolled	78%	71%	57%	78%	100%	82%	78%	78%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	4
Participants Employed	1,479	27	19	324	13	569	231	96	200
% of Enrolled	55%	53%	41%	57%	72%	60%	48%	57%	50%
Full Time	1,300	25	10	287	11	521	187	93	166
Part Time	179	2	9	37	2	48	44	3	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$7.28	\$8.89	\$7.59	\$8.33	\$7.46	\$7.69	\$7.57	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$954	\$1,029	\$1,034	\$1,242	\$1,012	\$1,042	\$1,097	\$1,085

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,727	43	34	270	36	546	331	121	346
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,499	70	63	716	39	1,176	657	234	544
Participants in a Work Activity	2,980	64	58	618	38	1,013	557	193	439
% of Total Enrolled	85%	91%	92%	86%	97%	86%	85%	82%	81%
Participants Employed	1,962	44	26	426	19	707	339	130	271
% of Total Enrolled	56%	63%	41%	59%	49%	60%	52%	56%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.44	\$8.27	\$7.62	\$8.04	\$7.48	\$7.85	\$7.80	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$980	\$1,001	\$1,030	\$1,067	\$1,008	\$1,073	\$1,085	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	72%	63%	78%	59%	78%	70%	67%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	43,314	954	823	6,905	677	13,989	7,089	2,783	10,094
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	31,014	670	640	4,926	530	9,873	5,498	2,139	6,738
Participants in a Work Activity	29,412	643	609	4,674	519	9,395	5,178	2,111	6,283
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	22,461	486	387	3,649	369	7,166	4,130	1,628	4,646
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	60%	74%	70%	73%	75%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.67	\$6.41	\$6.77	\$6.27	\$6.52	\$6.55	\$6.48	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$876	\$891	\$814	\$900	\$787	\$841	\$887	\$849	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.0%	2.84	54	\$7.72	4.38	64	75.0%	5.62	33	4.28	44
003	Albemarle	41.7%	1.30	97	\$8.64	6.91	11	66.7%	4.17	70	4.13	53
005	Alleghany/Covington	43.9%	1.63	86	\$7.73	4.41	63	58.2%	2.68	105	2.91	107
007	Amelia	61.9%	4.32	14	\$8.13	5.51	30	68.2%	4.43	61	4.75	25
009	Amherst	59.2%	3.91	23	\$7.67	4.24	72	51.6%	1.53	113	3.23	95
011	Appomattox	54.5%	3.22	39	\$7.51	3.80	82	54.6%	2.05	112	3.02	104
013	Arlington	41.0%	1.20	101	\$9.44	9.12	5	76.1%	5.82	29	5.38	12
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	54.0%	3.14	42	\$7.69	4.30	68	80.4%	6.56	18	4.67	29
017	Bath	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.27	3.14	104	66.7%	4.17	71	5.77	7
019	Bedford County/City	52.1%	2.85	53	\$7.78	4.55	59	65.1%	3.90	78	3.76	71
021	Bland	60.0%	4.03	19	\$6.52	1.07	118	100.0%	10.00	1	5.04	16
023	Botetourt	33.3%	0.06	116	\$7.44	3.61	89	50.0%	1.25	114	1.64	118
025	Brunswick	46.0%	1.95	74	\$6.96	2.29	115	80.0%	6.50	19	3.58	79
027	Buchanan	43.2%	1.53	91	\$8.03	5.23	35	65.8%	4.01	77	3.59	77
029	Buckingham	54.4%	3.20	41	\$7.81	4.63	57	71.9%	5.08	47	4.30	43
031	Campbell	47.7%	2.19	66	\$7.99	5.12	39	67.7%	4.34	67	3.89	66
033	Caroline	44.5%	1.73	82	\$7.90	4.88	47	88.3%	7.96	9	4.85	19
035	Carroll	61.7%	4.29	15	\$7.22	3.00	108	70.5%	4.83	54	4.04	59
036	Charles City	62.5%	4.41	12	\$6.13	0.00	119	80.0%	6.50	20	3.64	73
037	Charlotte	38.3%	0.80	105	\$7.85	4.74	52	69.2%	4.61	57	3.39	88
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.2%	2.13	68	\$8.17	5.62	29	77.0%	5.97	28	4.57	34
043	Clarke	66.7%	5.03	4	\$8.06	5.32	31	50.0%	1.25	115	3.86	68
045	Craig	62.5%	4.41	13	\$7.56	3.94	79	100.0%	10.00	2	6.12	4
047	Culpeper	54.5%	3.22	40	\$8.51	6.56	14	60.4%	3.07	93	4.28	45
049	Cumberland	41.9%	1.33	96	\$8.40	6.25	17	86.7%	7.67	10	5.08	15
051	Dickensen	60.3%	4.08	17	\$7.31	3.25	101	60.0%	3.00	95	3.44	86
053	Dimwiddie	53.2%	3.02	44	\$7.65	4.19	73	68.0%	4.40	63	3.87	67
057	Essex	36.1%	0.46	111	\$7.17	2.87	111	58.8%	2.79	101	2.04	116
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	42.5%	1.43	93	\$9.76	10.00	1	75.0%	5.62	34	5.68	9
061	Fauquier	57.3%	3.64	29	\$8.30	5.98	25	58.7%	2.77	102	4.13	52
063	Floyd	63.0%	4.48	10	\$7.60	4.05	77	73.3%	5.33	42	4.62	31
065	Fluvanna	55.6%	3.37	35	\$7.76	4.49	60	100.0%	10.00	3	5.95	6
067	Franklin County	36.8%	0.57	110	\$7.69	4.30	69	68.1%	4.42	62	3.09	100
069	Frederick	43.2%	1.53	90	\$8.90	7.63	9	73.2%	5.31	44	4.83	21
071	Giles	53.8%	3.12	43	\$8.00	5.15	38	71.4%	5.00	50	4.42	40
073	Gloucester	47.5%	2.18	67	\$7.99	5.12	40	63.3%	3.58	84	3.63	74
075	Goochland	35.7%	0.41	112	\$7.70	4.33	66	83.3%	7.08	14	3.94	65
077	Grayson	42.3%	1.40	94	\$7.71	4.35	65	58.3%	2.71	104	2.82	108

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	43.6%	1.59	89	\$7.68	4.27	70	61.1%	3.19	92	3.02	105
081	Greensville/Emporia	33.0%	0.00	119	\$7.30	3.22	102	58.6%	2.76	103	1.99	117
083	Halifax	37.0%	0.61	109	\$7.60	4.05	78	61.7%	3.30	91	2.65	112
085	Hanover	43.8%	1.61	87	\$9.47	9.20	4	85.1%	7.39	13	6.07	5
087	Henrico	44.4%	1.71	83	\$8.46	6.42	16	78.8%	6.28	23	4.80	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	38.9%	0.89	102	\$7.47	3.69	87	57.5%	2.56	107	2.38	113
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	62.9%	4.46	11	\$7.44	3.61	90	71.7%	5.05	48	4.37	41
095	James City	63.2%	4.50	9	\$7.88	4.82	51	70.0%	4.75	56	4.69	28
097	King and Queen	42.9%	1.48	92	\$7.85	4.74	53	75.0%	5.62	35	3.95	64
099	King George	47.1%	2.10	70	\$7.91	4.90	46	60.0%	3.00	96	3.34	90
101	King William	57.1%	3.61	30	\$8.32	6.03	21	46.7%	0.67	118	3.44	87
103	Lancaster	52.6%	2.93	49	\$8.31	6.01	23	62.5%	3.44	87	4.13	54
105	Lee	59.3%	3.93	22	\$7.00	2.40	114	81.1%	6.68	15	4.34	42
107	Loudoun	49.2%	2.42	59	\$9.63	9.64	2	80.7%	6.62	16	6.23	3
109	Louisa	45.8%	1.92	76	\$8.35	6.12	19	67.7%	4.35	66	4.13	51
111	Lunenburg	34.3%	0.20	115	\$6.73	1.65	117	100.0%	10.00	4	3.95	63
113	Madison	56.3%	3.47	33	\$7.75	4.46	61	50.0%	1.25	116	3.06	103
115	Mathews	77.8%	6.69	3	\$8.67	7.00	10	100.0%	10.00	5	7.89	1
117	Mecklenburg	41.1%	1.22	100	\$7.37	3.42	98	73.5%	5.36	40	3.33	91
119	Middlesex	44.1%	1.67	85	\$7.06	2.56	113	100.0%	10.00	6	4.74	27
121	Montgomery	64.5%	4.70	7	\$7.92	4.93	44	74.0%	5.45	37	5.03	17
125	Nelson	50.0%	2.54	57	\$7.61	4.08	76	66.7%	4.17	72	3.60	76
127	New Kent	43.8%	1.61	88	\$9.11	8.21	8	71.4%	5.00	51	4.94	18
131	Northampton	47.8%	2.22	64	\$8.47	6.45	15	91.7%	8.54	8	5.74	8
133	Northumberland	60.0%	4.03	20	\$9.18	8.40	7	63.6%	3.64	83	5.36	13
135	Nottoway	33.3%	0.06	117	\$7.44	3.61	91	75.0%	5.62	36	3.10	99
137	Orange	52.9%	2.98	46	\$7.50	3.77	84	76.0%	5.80	30	4.18	46
139	Page	55.6%	3.37	36	\$8.52	6.58	13	64.5%	3.79	81	4.58	33
141	Patrick	49.0%	2.39	60	\$7.16	2.84	112	68.9%	4.56	58	3.26	93
143	Pittsylvania	37.8%	0.72	107	\$7.45	3.64	88	55.9%	2.28	109	2.21	115
145	Powhatan	34.6%	0.25	114	\$8.18	5.65	27	85.7%	7.50	11	4.46	37
147	Prince Edward	46.9%	2.09	71	\$7.48	3.72	85	71.2%	4.95	53	3.59	78
149	Prince George	48.2%	2.28	63	\$8.02	5.21	37	59.3%	2.87	98	3.45	85
153	Prince William	47.1%	2.12	69	\$9.37	8.93	6	73.8%	5.41	38	5.48	10
155	Pulaski	46.7%	2.05	73	\$7.51	3.80	83	62.1%	3.36	89	3.07	102
157	Rappahannock	80.0%	7.02	2	\$7.98	5.10	41	100.0%	10.00	7	7.37	2
159	Richmond County	33.3%	0.06	118	\$7.70	4.33	67	42.9%	0.00	119	1.46	119
161	Roanoke County	57.5%	3.67	28	\$7.93	4.96	43	62.5%	3.44	88	4.02	60
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	44.7%	1.76	81	\$7.24	3.06	106	68.8%	4.53	59	3.12	98
165	Rockingham/H'burg	58.3%	3.78	27	\$7.85	4.74	54	62.0%	3.35	90	3.96	62
167	Russell	47.7%	2.20	65	\$7.18	2.89	110	67.8%	4.37	64	3.15	97
169	Scott	53.0%	2.99	45	\$7.23	3.03	107	85.2%	7.41	12	4.48	36
171	Shenandoah	59.1%	3.90	24	\$7.68	4.27	71	72.2%	5.14	45	4.44	39

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	37.2%	0.63	108	\$7.43	3.58	92	66.7%	4.17	73	2.79	109
175	<i>Southampton</i>	41.3%	1.24	99	\$8.27	5.90	26	63.0%	3.52	85	3.55	81
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	51.1%	2.71	56	\$8.32	6.03	22	76.0%	5.80	31	4.85	20
179	<i>Stafford</i>	44.3%	1.69	84	\$8.35	6.12	20	77.0%	5.97	27	4.59	32
181	<i>Surry</i>	52.6%	2.93	50	\$8.05	5.29	32	57.1%	2.50	108	3.57	80
183	<i>Sussex</i>	52.8%	2.96	47	\$7.25	3.09	105	63.0%	3.52	86	3.19	96
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.5%	3.82	26	\$7.34	3.33	99	73.3%	5.32	43	4.16	48
187	<i>Warren</i>	45.9%	1.93	75	\$8.31	6.01	24	48.3%	0.96	117	2.97	106
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.4%	3.49	32	\$7.29	3.20	103	60.3%	3.05	94	3.25	94
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	59.0%	3.89	25	\$7.85	4.74	55	75.9%	5.78	32	4.80	23
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.0%	3.43	34	\$7.39	3.47	97	65.1%	3.89	79	3.60	75
197	<i>Wythe</i>	55.4%	3.35	38	\$7.43	3.58	93	67.8%	4.36	65	3.77	70
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	65.7%	4.88	6	\$7.42	3.55	94	65.9%	4.02	76	4.15	49
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	42.3%	1.40	95	\$9.62	9.61	3	73.6%	5.37	39	5.46	11
520	<i>Bristol</i>	45.2%	1.83	79	\$7.40	3.50	96	58.9%	2.80	100	2.71	110
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	46.9%	2.08	72	\$8.39	6.23	18	66.3%	4.11	74	4.14	50
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	59.5%	3.96	21	\$7.62	4.10	75	78.4%	6.21	24	4.76	24
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.4%	0.36	113	\$7.63	4.13	74	55.2%	2.17	111	2.22	114
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	48.7%	2.35	62	\$8.04	5.26	34	59.1%	2.84	99	3.48	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	61.1%	4.20	16	\$7.96	5.04	42	79.6%	6.42	21	5.22	14
640	<i>Galax</i>	41.3%	1.25	98	\$7.19	2.92	109	79.0%	6.32	22	3.50	83
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.3%	2.88	52	\$8.03	5.23	36	73.4%	5.34	41	4.49	35
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	38.9%	0.88	103	\$7.33	3.31	100	71.6%	5.03	49	3.07	101
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.8%	2.96	48	\$7.41	3.53	95	68.8%	4.53	60	3.67	72
683	<i>Manassas</i>	38.8%	0.87	104	\$8.59	6.78	12	80.6%	6.60	17	4.75	26
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	56.5%	3.52	31	\$7.89	4.85	49	60.0%	3.00	97	3.79	69
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.8%	2.37	61	\$7.75	4.46	62	72.2%	5.13	46	3.99	61
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	60.1%	4.05	18	\$7.48	3.72	86	78.1%	6.17	26	4.65	30
720	<i>Norton</i>	52.5%	2.92	51	\$6.91	2.15	116	71.4%	5.00	52	3.35	89
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	45.3%	1.84	77	\$8.05	5.29	33	78.2%	6.19	25	4.44	38
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	51.6%	2.78	55	\$7.85	4.74	56	70.2%	4.78	55	4.10	56
750	<i>Radford</i>	66.1%	4.94	5	\$7.92	4.93	45	57.9%	2.63	106	4.17	47
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	38.0%	0.75	106	\$7.89	4.85	50	67.1%	4.25	69	3.28	92
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.3%	1.84	78	\$7.90	4.88	48	64.5%	3.79	80	3.50	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.6%	3.37	37	\$7.80	4.60	58	67.2%	4.25	68	4.07	58
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	49.8%	2.51	58	\$8.18	5.65	28	66.1%	4.07	75	4.08	57
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	64.3%	4.67	8	\$7.54	3.88	81	64.3%	3.75	82	4.10	55
840	<i>Winchester</i>	45.0%	1.80	80	\$7.56	3.94	80	55.6%	2.22	110	2.65	111

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	55.2%	2.14	115	\$819	2.54	96	57.8%	6.24	32	3.64	106
003	Albemarle	74.6%	7.75	13	\$992	6.05	17	54.9%	5.53	58	6.44	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.7%	7.18	26	\$813	2.42	101	45.9%	3.27	107	4.29	87
007	Amelia	62.2%	4.16	95	\$941	5.00	35	45.1%	3.06	111	4.08	97
009	Amherst	68.8%	6.06	51	\$831	2.78	92	51.8%	4.75	80	4.53	81
011	Appomattox	71.7%	6.90	33	\$832	2.80	91	42.6%	2.43	115	4.04	99
013	Arlington	74.1%	7.61	17	\$1,031	6.84	10	64.0%	7.80	9	7.42	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.2%	5.90	57	\$938	4.94	37	54.4%	5.39	63	5.41	42
017	Bath	67.6%	5.71	64	\$706	0.25	119	59.1%	6.57	27	4.18	92
019	Bedford County/City	67.0%	5.56	70	\$821	2.57	95	55.3%	5.63	55	4.59	77
021	Bland	63.1%	4.42	92	\$815	2.45	99	48.9%	4.03	92	3.63	107
023	Botetourt	50.6%	0.80	119	\$921	4.61	48	42.2%	2.33	116	2.58	119
025	Brunswick	57.5%	2.80	111	\$807	2.30	102	57.3%	6.14	34	3.75	103
027	Buchanan	52.0%	1.20	118	\$806	2.27	103	54.7%	5.47	60	2.98	115
029	Buckingham	57.9%	2.92	110	\$920	4.58	49	52.1%	4.81	79	4.10	96
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.09	49	\$865	3.46	73	59.7%	6.74	25	5.43	41
033	Caroline	62.0%	4.10	97	\$946	5.12	31	43.0%	2.53	114	3.91	100
035	Carroll	67.5%	5.68	67	\$797	2.09	109	46.0%	3.29	106	3.69	104
036	Charles City	54.0%	1.79	116	\$871	3.59	70	60.5%	6.94	17	4.10	95
037	Charlotte	52.3%	1.28	117	\$897	4.13	61	46.8%	3.48	102	2.96	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66.8%	5.50	72	\$997	6.15	15	60.5%	6.94	16	6.20	23
043	Clarke	61.2%	3.87	100	\$958	5.35	27	47.2%	3.58	101	4.27	88
045	Craig	63.6%	4.57	88	\$994	6.08	16	72.7%	10.00	1	6.88	9
047	Culpeper	65.8%	5.20	78	\$944	5.07	33	55.7%	5.71	50	5.33	43
049	Cumberland	56.8%	2.58	113	\$936	4.92	38	46.1%	3.30	105	3.60	108
051	Dickensen	60.0%	3.51	105	\$800	2.15	108	48.7%	3.95	95	3.20	114
053	Dinwiddie	68.4%	5.94	52	\$932	4.83	41	53.4%	5.13	72	5.30	45
057	Essex	68.2%	5.89	58	\$835	2.87	88	40.4%	1.88	117	3.55	109
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.1%	6.15	45	\$1,107	8.37	4	61.7%	7.22	13	7.25	6
061	Fauquier	69.8%	6.35	42	\$987	5.94	19	62.5%	7.43	11	6.57	13
063	Floyd	71.3%	6.79	35	\$909	4.36	54	45.8%	3.24	108	4.80	71
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	7.74	14	\$1,082	7.88	5	53.9%	5.26	67	6.96	7
067	Franklin County	65.3%	5.06	82	\$929	4.76	44	50.1%	4.31	88	4.71	73
069	Frederick	61.8%	4.04	98	\$967	5.53	26	54.3%	5.38	64	4.98	55
071	Giles	60.4%	3.62	104	\$928	4.74	45	47.9%	3.77	99	4.04	98
073	Gloucester	68.3%	5.92	56	\$833	2.83	90	55.9%	5.78	48	4.84	68
075	Goochland	68.1%	5.87	59	\$862	3.42	74	55.3%	5.62	56	4.97	58
077	Grayson	65.6%	5.14	80	\$826	2.69	94	56.9%	6.03	41	4.62	75

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.6%	8.02	7	\$907	4.33	55	50.0%	4.29	89	5.55	37
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.0%	2.95	108	\$796	2.06	110	56.8%	6.00	43	3.67	105
083	Halifax	59.4%	3.36	107	\$816	2.47	98	48.0%	3.78	98	3.20	113
085	Hanover	65.6%	5.13	81	\$1,065	7.52	7	65.3%	8.14	7	6.93	8
087	Henrico	69.1%	6.15	46	\$1,008	6.36	13	56.1%	5.82	46	6.11	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.4%	4.78	86	\$915	4.48	52	54.5%	5.41	61	4.89	66
091	Highland	71.4%	6.83	34	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	6.80	23	4.54	79
093	Isle of Wight	72.5%	7.15	28	\$891	3.99	65	55.6%	5.71	51	5.61	36
095	James City	72.7%	7.21	25	\$870	3.58	71	51.4%	4.65	81	5.15	49
097	King and Queen	55.2%	2.14	114	\$874	3.65	68	35.2%	0.58	119	2.12	120
099	King George	69.9%	6.39	41	\$909	4.37	53	48.8%	3.98	94	4.91	63
101	King William	60.5%	3.68	102	\$982	5.85	20	45.1%	3.06	112	4.20	91
103	Lancaster	67.8%	5.79	62	\$827	2.71	93	48.5%	3.90	96	4.13	93
105	Lee	65.8%	5.21	77	\$756	1.25	116	60.1%	6.82	22	4.43	85
107	Loudoun	79.7%	9.23	3	\$1,156	9.37	2	65.6%	8.21	6	8.94	1
109	Louisa	62.7%	4.30	93	\$956	5.31	28	55.5%	5.68	53	5.10	51
111	Lunenburg	47.8%	0.00	120	\$732	0.77	117	61.3%	7.12	14	2.63	118
113	Madison	67.1%	5.58	68	\$978	5.77	22	45.8%	3.23	109	4.86	67
115	Mathews	63.6%	4.57	89	\$860	3.36	77	54.2%	5.36	66	4.43	84
117	Mecklenburg	68.4%	5.94	53	\$804	2.22	104	58.1%	6.32	31	4.83	70
119	Middlesex	67.5%	5.70	66	\$862	3.40	75	55.5%	5.67	54	4.92	62
121	Montgomery	80.9%	9.56	2	\$915	4.48	51	53.9%	5.26	68	6.43	17
125	Nelson	66.7%	5.45	73	\$990	5.99	18	55.6%	5.69	52	5.71	31
127	New Kent	65.9%	5.23	76	\$1,007	6.35	14	52.3%	4.87	78	5.48	38
131	Northampton	63.6%	4.55	90	\$802	2.19	106	65.6%	8.22	5	4.99	54
133	Northumberland	61.1%	3.83	101	\$839	2.95	87	40.4%	1.87	118	2.88	117
135	Nottoway	68.3%	5.92	55	\$866	3.50	72	54.8%	5.49	59	4.97	57
137	Orange	72.8%	7.21	24	\$940	5.00	36	43.5%	2.66	113	4.96	59
139	Page	74.2%	7.63	16	\$976	5.73	23	57.1%	6.07	38	6.48	14
141	Patrick	66.9%	5.51	71	\$790	1.95	111	65.8%	8.27	4	5.24	47
143	Pittsylvania	56.8%	2.59	112	\$846	3.09	83	51.1%	4.56	84	3.42	110
145	Powhatan	57.9%	2.92	109	\$904	4.26	57	53.6%	5.20	69	4.12	94
147	Prince Edward	73.6%	7.47	21	\$852	3.20	80	60.1%	6.83	21	5.83	30
149	Prince George	63.4%	4.51	91	\$982	5.84	21	50.2%	4.34	86	4.90	65
153	Prince William	74.5%	7.71	15	\$1,187	10.00	1	61.9%	7.28	12	8.33	2
155	Pulaski	65.8%	5.19	79	\$924	4.67	47	45.3%	3.10	110	4.32	86
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	5.45	74	\$948	5.15	30	48.4%	3.89	97	4.83	69
159	Richmond County	64.8%	4.92	84	\$928	4.74	46	32.9%	0.00	120	3.22	112
161	Roanoke County	75.2%	7.93	9	\$1,019	6.60	12	52.4%	4.90	77	6.48	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	74.8%	7.79	12	\$849	3.15	81	54.4%	5.40	62	5.45	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.1%	7.89	11	\$933	4.85	40	58.5%	6.42	29	6.39	18
167	Russell	62.7%	4.30	94	\$835	2.86	89	58.6%	6.44	28	4.53	82
169	Scott	59.7%	3.42	106	\$859	3.35	78	64.6%	7.95	8	4.91	64
171	Shenandoah	67.6%	5.71	65	\$904	4.26	56	53.4%	5.15	71	5.04	52

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.1%	4.13	96	\$802	2.19	107	52.8%	5.00	74	3.77	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.5%	3.65	103	\$814	2.43	100	47.6%	3.68	100	3.26	111
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.3%	6.51	40	\$1,020	6.61	11	56.8%	6.00	42	6.38	19
179	<i>Stafford</i>	61.7%	4.00	99	\$1,114	8.51	3	57.3%	6.12	36	6.21	22
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.4%	7.11	29	\$852	3.20	79	50.7%	4.47	85	4.93	61
183	<i>Sussex</i>	68.8%	6.07	50	\$932	4.82	42	57.1%	6.06	40	5.65	33
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.0%	6.13	47	\$783	1.80	114	57.1%	6.06	39	4.66	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.5%	6.27	44	\$973	5.66	25	57.2%	6.09	37	6.01	27
191	<i>Washington</i>	68.0%	5.85	61	\$871	3.59	69	49.5%	4.16	91	4.53	80
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.4%	5.37	75	\$895	4.08	62	50.2%	4.33	87	4.59	76
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.75	87	\$782	1.78	115	57.3%	6.12	35	4.22	89
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.3%	5.93	54	\$817	2.49	97	56.1%	5.81	47	4.75	72
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.7%	4.88	85	\$862	3.40	76	46.2%	3.32	103	3.87	101
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.1%	6.73	37	\$1,036	6.94	9	69.7%	9.23	2	7.63	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.2%	6.75	36	\$842	3.00	85	48.9%	4.00	93	4.58	78
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	82.4%	10.00	1	\$916	4.50	50	55.9%	5.76	49	6.76	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.1%	7.60	18	\$900	4.18	60	56.3%	5.88	45	5.88	29
590	<i>Danville</i>	67.8%	5.77	63	\$787	1.89	113	52.8%	4.99	75	4.22	90
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	69.6%	6.31	43	\$787	1.90	112	53.6%	5.19	70	4.46	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	78.7%	8.94	4	\$976	5.72	24	54.3%	5.37	65	6.68	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	64.9%	4.93	83	\$846	3.09	84	60.5%	6.93	18	4.98	56
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.9%	6.96	32	\$893	4.04	64	52.6%	4.94	76	5.31	44
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	70.4%	6.54	38	\$903	4.24	58	51.3%	4.62	83	5.13	50
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.0%	7.28	23	\$803	2.21	105	62.6%	7.45	10	5.65	34
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.1%	5.58	69	\$1,076	7.74	6	59.8%	6.74	24	6.68	11
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.0%	7.57	19	\$1,061	7.44	8	67.4%	8.66	3	7.89	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.9%	7.56	20	\$902	4.22	59	58.3%	6.36	30	6.05	25
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.6%	7.16	27	\$841	2.99	86	55.2%	5.60	57	5.25	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	76.0%	8.16	6	\$719	0.52	118	60.3%	6.88	20	5.18	48
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.4%	7.10	30	\$930	4.80	43	57.7%	6.22	33	6.04	26
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.1%	7.90	10	\$887	3.91	67	61.2%	7.11	15	6.30	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.5%	7.42	22	\$894	4.05	63	46.1%	3.31	104	4.93	60
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.9%	6.97	31	\$945	5.09	32	60.4%	6.91	19	6.33	20
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	68.1%	5.86	60	\$943	5.05	34	49.6%	4.18	90	5.03	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.1%	8.18	5	\$849	3.14	82	59.2%	6.61	26	5.98	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.0%	6.11	48	\$935	4.88	39	56.5%	5.93	44	5.64	35
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	75.4%	7.97	8	\$889	3.95	66	53.1%	5.06	73	5.66	32
840	<i>Winchester</i>	70.4%	6.52	39	\$954	5.27	29	51.3%	4.63	82	5.47	39

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	4,121	245	148	352	126	68	443	53	113	181	238	125	606	174	49	355	150	674	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	96%	96%	94%	94%	90%	86%	100%	94%	94%	95%	95%	84%	79%	84%	96%	76%	93%	81%
% Timely in September 2009	91%	95%	95%	95%	97%	81%	86%	100%	93%	94%	98%	94%	83%	67%	84%	97%	81%	94%	92%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	163	8	2	7	2	5	11	0	5	0	5	3	69	26	3	5	9	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	25	4	10	21	12	11	0	29	0	18	22	44	26	7	41	23	22	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009		245	21	16	43	33	26	38	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009		96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%	97%	75%
% Timely in September 2009		95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	97%	71%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		8	0	0	1	0	4	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30		25	0	0	112	0	10	0	15

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	148	0	17	10	28	18	17	37	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	N/A	100%	100%	96%	100%	82%	97%	95%
% Timely in September 2009	95%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	100%	82%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botet- ourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	352	17	2	1	5	27	12	56	15	31	6	180
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	94%	100%	100%	100%	78%	92%	100%	100%	94%	100%	93%
% Timely in September 2009	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	90%	100%	100%	85%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	22

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	126	73	1	0	12	40
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	99%	0%	N/A	100%	85%
% Timely in September 2009	97%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	1	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	35	7	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	68	2	12	16	4	21	13	21	15	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	85%	81%	93%	50%
% Timely in September 2009	81%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	67%	92%	100%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	443	44	121	37	160	52	21	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	86%	98%	89%	86%	77%	100%	76%	63%
% Timely in September 2009	86%	96%	94%	63%	78%	100%	80%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	11	1	0	2	7	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	10	0	15	11	0	4	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	53	22	19	2	10	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
% Timely in September 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	113	31	4	12	16	2	48
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	0%	75%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2009	93%	100%	0%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	4	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	27	34	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	181	16	20	36	44	65
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	100%	92%	100%	89%
% Timely in September 2009	94%	100%	100%	91%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	238	22	81	22	21	92
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	98%	95%	100%	91%
% Timely in September 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	41	0	0	12

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	125	8	18	9	10	11	14	6	22	10	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	88%	100%	100%	100%	82%	86%	100%	95%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2009	94%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>											
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	7	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	606	105	2	25	186	7	281
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	94%	100%	92%	68%	100%	90%
% Timely in September 2009	83%	97%	100%	92%	66%	100%	87%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	69	3	0	0	53	0	13
Average # of Days Over 30	44	59	0	0	49	0	20

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	174	40	5	57	41	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	79%	63%	100%	75%	85%	94%
% Timely in September 2009	67%	38%	100%	65%	75%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	26	17	0	4	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	29	0	26	16	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	49	13	6	3	5	0	6	1	3	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	69%	67%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%
% Timely in September 2009	84%	63%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	5	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	355	0	6	16	5	11	105	205	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	95%	86%
% Timely in September 2009	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	96%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	0	0	0	0	7	54	68

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	150	11	9	7	10	39	74
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	76%	100%	100%	86%	70%	59%	78%
% Timely in September 2009	81%	100%	100%	67%	50%	62%	89%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	0	1	3	5
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	0	21	14	29

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	674	14	17	99	7	186	161	56	134
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	88%	96%	86%	88%	94%	88%	99%
% Timely in September 2009	94%	100%	88%	96%	83%	92%	95%	83%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Sep-08</i>	<i>Sep-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,619	14,448	6.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,592	126,545	-1.6%
Total Cases Collected	142,211	140,993	-0.9%
TANF Collections	\$2,452,322	\$2,595,420	5.8%
NTANF Collections	\$48,364,461	\$47,466,828	-1.9%
Total Collections	\$50,816,783	\$50,062,248	-1.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$160,041,746	\$149,424,732	-6.6%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$368	\$352	-4.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>Sep-09</i>
TANF Paternities	281
NTANF Paternities	470
Combined Paternities	751

Diversionsary Assistance

September Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	5		Patrick	5
Alleghany / Covington	2		Pittsylvania	4
Amherst	2		Prince William	2
Appomattox	1		Pulaski	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Roanoke County	12
Bedford City	5		Rockingham/ H'burg	13
Buckingham	6		Shenandoah	15
Caroline	2		Smyth	3
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	40		Southampton	2
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	23
Cumberland	1		Stafford	18
Dinwiddie	4		Warren	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	7		Wythe	3
Fauquier	3		York / Poquoson	1
Floyd	5		Alexandria	1
Fluvanna	1		Bristol	5
Franklin County	9		Charlottesville	5
Frederick	8		Chesapeake	5
Gloucester	2		Franklin City	2
Grayson	2		Fredricksburg	6
Greene	1		Hampton	10
Hanover	1		Hopewell	9
Henrico	11		Lynchburg	1
Henry / Martinsville	5		Mannassas	2
James City	2		Newport News	26
Loudoun	4		Norfolk	22
Louisa	3		Portsmouth	2
Lunenburg	1		Richmond City	6
Madison	1		Roanoke City	7
Mathews	1		Suffolk	25
Montgomery	1		Virginia Beach	11
Orange	1		Winchester	3
Page	4		Statewide	409

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of October 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through September 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through September 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


September 30 2009



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of October and represent end of September data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of September, it was \$8.12 rather than the \$7.91 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.