

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
June 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services July 24, 2009

Monthly Report, June 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 18,049 and a numerator of 7,714.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	73	24	33%	061	Fauquier	69	34	49%
003	Albemarle	69	33	48%	063	Floyd	32	13	41%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	19	26%	065	Fluvanna	13	4	31%
007	Amelia	34	17	50%	067	Franklin County	93	26	28%
009	Amherst	39	18	46%	069	Frederick	72	22	31%
011	Appomattox	33	14	42%	071	Giles	17	4	24%
013	Arlington	126	51	40%	073	Gloucester	54	14	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	297	151	51%	075	Goochland	17	1	6%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	19	8	42%
019	Bedford County/City	107	44	41%	079	Greene	33	6	18%
021	Bland	7	4	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	67	19	28%
023	Botetourt	11	3	27%	083	Halifax	110	25	23%
025	Brunswick	57	32	56%	085	Hanover	99	29	29%
027	Buchanan	71	35	49%	087	Henrico	777	328	42%
029	Buckingham	34	12	35%	089	Henry/Martinsville	294	92	31%
031	Campbell	150	52	35%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	105	36	34%	093	Isle of Wight	81	41	51%
035	Carroll	58	28	48%	095	James City	71	28	39%
036	Charles City	13	2	15%	097	King and Queen	12	4	33%
037	Charlotte	36	15	42%	099	King George	18	9	50%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	493	212	43%	101	King William	25	11	44%
043	Clarke	10	1	10%	103	Lancaster	21	6	29%
045	Craig	4	2	50%	105	Lee	116	43	37%
047	Culpeper	82	35	43%	107	Loudoun	175	61	35%
049	Cumberland	37	15	41%	109	Louisa	49	23	47%
051	Dickensen	40	17	43%	111	Lunenburg	28	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	44	19	43%	113	Madison	12	3	25%
057	Essex	55	22	40%	115	Mathews	6	4	67%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	632	311	49%	117	Mecklenburg	85	31	36%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	29	11	38%	187	Warren	75	35	47%
121	Montgomery	218	116	53%	191	Washington	102	43	42%
125	Nelson	21	11	52%	193	Westmoreland	43	17	40%
127	New Kent	13	4	31%	195	Wise	217	95	44%
131	Northampton	39	7	18%	197	Wythe	73	32	44%
133	Northumberland	14	5	36%	199	York/Poquoson	67	31	46%
135	Nottoway	69	5	7%	510	Alexandria	258	120	47%
137	Orange	37	13	35%	520	Bristol	141	84	60%
139	Page	40	19	48%	540	Charlottesville	187	83	44%
141	Patrick	53	28	53%	550	Chesapeake	732	314	43%
143	Pittsylvania	105	25	24%	590	Danville	239	83	35%
145	Powhatan	22	6	27%	620	Franklin City	37	14	38%
147	Prince Edward	71	38	54%	630	Fredericksburg	119	59	50%
149	Prince George	41	16	39%	640	Galax	36	12	33%
153	Prince William	716	307	43%	650	Hampton	696	343	49%
155	Pulaski	83	31	37%	670	Hopewell	205	34	17%
157	Rappahannock	4	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	291	138	47%
159	Richmond County	16	4	25%	683	Manassas	82	26	32%
161	Roanoke County	149	84	56%	685	Manassas Park	23	10	43%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	41	10	24%	700	Newport News	974	427	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	153	85	56%	710	Norfolk	1,204	663	55%
167	Russell	127	67	53%	720	Norton	42	10	24%
169	Scott	98	34	35%	730	Petersburg	388	151	39%
171	Shenandoah	27	8	30%	740	Portsmouth	654	241	37%
173	Smyth	83	31	37%	750	Radford	42	17	40%
175	Southampton	64	18	28%	760	Richmond City	1,525	667	44%
177	Spotsylvania	213	82	38%	770	Roanoke City	544	175	32%
179	Stafford	183	92	50%	800	Suffolk	217	115	53%
181	Surry	29	7	24%	810	Virginia Beach	524	268	51%
183	Sussex	48	28	58%	830	Williamsburg	26	9	35%
185	Tazewell	146	66	45%	840	Winchester	75	25	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 38% with a denominator of 16,156 and a numerator of 6,060. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	66	17	26%	061	Fauquier	57	22	39%
003	Albemarle	50	15	30%	063	Floyd	28	9	32%
005	Alleghany/Covington	62	8	13%	065	Fluvanna	9	0	0%
007	Amelia	33	17	52%	067	Franklin County	84	19	23%
009	Amherst	36	16	44%	069	Frederick	60	14	23%
011	Appomattox	29	10	34%	071	Giles	15	2	13%
013	Arlington	109	35	32%	073	Gloucester	46	9	20%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	269	124	46%	075	Goochland	17	1	6%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	15	4	27%
019	Bedford County/City	99	36	36%	079	Greene	32	5	16%
021	Bland	4	3	75%	081	Greensville/Emporia	61	13	21%
023	Botetourt	10	3	30%	083	Halifax	105	20	19%
025	Brunswick	51	26	51%	085	Hanover	90	22	24%
027	Buchanan	63	27	43%	087	Henrico	698	255	37%
029	Buckingham	30	9	30%	089	Henry/Martinsville	278	77	28%
031	Campbell	135	37	27%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	97	30	31%	093	Isle of Wight	69	30	43%
035	Carroll	49	20	41%	095	James City	61	19	31%
036	Charles City	11	2	18%	097	King and Queen	11	3	27%
037	Charlotte	29	10	34%	099	King George	13	4	31%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	438	161	37%	101	King William	23	10	43%
043	Clarke	10	1	10%	103	Lancaster	21	6	29%
045	Craig	4	2	50%	105	Lee	108	36	33%
047	Culpeper	76	30	39%	107	Loudoun	142	35	25%
049	Cumberland	35	14	40%	109	Louisa	39	13	33%
051	Dickensen	36	13	36%	111	Lunenburg	26	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	35	11	31%	113	Madison	12	3	25%
057	Essex	54	22	41%	115	Mathews	4	2	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	560	248	44%	117	Mecklenburg	75	21	28%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	<i>Middlesex</i>	25	7	28%	187	<i>Warren</i>	63	24	38%
121	<i>Montgomery</i>	178	79	44%	191	<i>Washington</i>	94	35	37%
125	<i>Nelson</i>	19	9	47%	193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	39	17	44%
127	<i>New Kent</i>	13	4	31%	195	<i>Wise</i>	193	74	38%
131	<i>Northampton</i>	34	2	6%	197	<i>Wythe</i>	64	24	38%
133	<i>Northumberland</i>	11	2	18%	199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62	26	42%
135	<i>Nottoway</i>	64	0	0%	510	<i>Alexandria</i>	231	93	40%
137	<i>Orange</i>	35	12	34%	520	<i>Bristol</i>	130	73	56%
139	<i>Page</i>	33	13	39%	540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	164	61	37%
141	<i>Patrick</i>	42	19	45%	550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	672	267	40%
143	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	100	20	20%	590	<i>Danville</i>	215	62	29%
145	<i>Powhatan</i>	19	3	16%	620	<i>Franklin City</i>	32	10	31%
147	<i>Prince Edward</i>	64	32	50%	630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	106	50	47%
149	<i>Prince George</i>	39	14	36%	640	<i>Galax</i>	32	9	28%
153	<i>Prince William</i>	592	197	33%	650	<i>Hampton</i>	634	291	46%
155	<i>Pulaski</i>	70	19	27%	670	<i>Hopewell</i>	185	26	14%
157	<i>Rappahannock</i>	4	0	0%	680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	267	116	43%
159	<i>Richmond County</i>	16	4	25%	683	<i>Manassas</i>	72	22	31%
161	<i>Roanoke County</i>	129	65	50%	685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	19	6	32%
163	<i>Rockbridge/BV/Lex</i>	35	7	20%	700	<i>Newport News</i>	860	331	38%
165	<i>Rockingham/H'burg</i>	129	61	47%	710	<i>Norfolk</i>	1,127	592	53%
167	<i>Russell</i>	120	60	50%	720	<i>Norton</i>	40	8	20%
169	<i>Scott</i>	84	22	26%	730	<i>Petersburg</i>	356	128	36%
171	<i>Shenandoah</i>	25	8	32%	740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	604	193	32%
173	<i>Smyth</i>	78	26	33%	750	<i>Radford</i>	38	14	37%
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59	15	25%	760	<i>Richmond City</i>	1,400	561	40%
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	192	69	36%	770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	494	128	26%
179	<i>Stafford</i>	159	71	45%	800	<i>Suffolk</i>	189	89	47%
181	<i>Surry</i>	26	4	15%	810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	447	196	44%
183	<i>Sussex</i>	42	22	52%	830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	22	6	27%
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	123	45	37%	840	<i>Winchester</i>	64	15	23%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 87% with a denominator of 1,893 and a numerator of 1,654. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	12	12	100%
003	Albemarle	19	18	95%	063	Floyd	4	4	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	11	11	100%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	1	0	0%	067	Franklin County	9	7	78%
009	Amherst	3	2	67%	069	Frederick	12	8	67%
011	Appomattox	4	4	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	17	16	94%	073	Gloucester	8	5	63%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	28	27	96%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	8	8	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	3	1	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	5	5	100%
025	Brunswick	6	6	100%	085	Hanover	9	7	78%
027	Buchanan	8	8	100%	087	Henrico	79	73	92%
029	Buckingham	4	3	75%	089	Henry/Martinsville	16	15	94%
031	Campbell	15	15	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	8	6	75%	093	Isle of Wight	12	11	92%
035	Carroll	9	8	89%	095	James City	10	9	90%
036	Charles City	2	0	0%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	7	5	71%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	55	51	93%	101	King William	2	1	50%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	8	7	88%
047	Culpeper	6	5	83%	107	Loudoun	33	26	79%
049	Cumberland	2	1	50%	109	Louisa	10	10	100%
051	Dickensen	4	4	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	8	89%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	1	0	0%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	72	63	88%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	12	11	92%
121	Montgomery	40	37	93%	191	Washington	8	8	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	24	21	88%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	9	8	89%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	27	27	100%
137	Orange	2	1	50%	520	Bristol	11	11	100%
139	Page	7	6	86%	540	Charlottesville	23	22	96%
141	Patrick	11	9	82%	550	Chesapeake	60	47	78%
143	Pittsylvania	5	5	100%	590	Danville	24	21	88%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	5	4	80%
147	Prince Edward	7	6	86%	630	Fredericksburg	13	9	69%
149	Prince George	2	2	100%	640	Galax	4	3	75%
153	Prince William	124	110	89%	650	Hampton	62	52	84%
155	Pulaski	13	12	92%	670	Hopewell	20	8	40%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	24	22	92%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	10	4	40%
161	Roanoke County	20	19	95%	685	Manassas Park	4	4	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	3	50%	700	Newport News	114	96	84%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	24	24	100%	710	Norfolk	77	71	92%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	14	12	86%	730	Petersburg	32	23	72%
171	Shenandoah	2	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	50	48	96%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	4	3	75%
175	Southampton	5	3	60%	760	Richmond City	125	106	85%
177	Spotsylvania	21	13	62%	770	Roanoke City	50	47	94%
179	Stafford	24	21	88%	800	Suffolk	28	26	93%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	77	72	94%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	4	3	75%
185	Tazewell	23	21	91%	840	Winchester	11	10	91%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-09	Jun-08	Change from June-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,735	4,576	3%	4,807	-1%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,699	2,153	25%	1,658	63%
Applications Approved	2,570	2,200	17%	2,820	-9%
Cases Closed *	2,466	2,480	-1%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	31,712	27,485	15%	67,995	-53%
Cases Paid During Month ²	32,833	28,340	16%	70,403	-53%

•The database indicates that of the 2,466 closed cases, 895 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 549 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 179 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 124 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-09	Jun-08	Change from June-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	414	299	38%	338	22%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	310	158	96%	232	34%
Applications Approved	269	149	81%	81	232%
Cases Closed *	288	174	66%	107	169%
Cases at End of Month	1,822	980	86%	321	468%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,959	1,064	84%	394	397%

•The database indicates that of the 288 closed cases, 116 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 69 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 12 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 7 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	33,534	1,909	1,056	2,550	936	525	3,819	437	663	1,200	1,653	1,012	5,200	1,057	410	3,276	1,269	6,295	267
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,056	756	511	1,077	374	244	1,604	143	247	571	535	537	2,187	560	176	1,310	608	2,528	88
Part. in a Work Activity	10,110	565	363	705	287	171	1,213	111	212	394	369	332	1,512	397	123	976	383	1,949	48
% of Enrolled	72%	75%	71%	65%	77%	70%	76%	78%	86%	69%	69%	62%	69%	71%	70%	75%	63%	77%	55%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	150	11	5	19	1	9	23	3	3	3	1	3	25	10	7	3	7	17	0
Participants Employed	6,858	433	248	526	233	110	704	82	131	274	228	216	869	262	92	712	256	1,445	37
% of Enrolled	49%	57%	49%	49%	62%	45%	44%	57%	53%	48%	43%	40%	40%	47%	52%	54%	42%	57%	42%
Full Time	5,662	407	207	433	203	87	536	71	89	215	183	168	698	203	79	577	207	1,270	29
Part Time	1,196	26	41	93	30	23	168	11	42	59	45	48	171	59	13	135	49	175	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.09	\$7.08	\$7.67	\$7.68	\$8.21	\$9.17	\$7.87	\$8.18	\$7.34	\$7.27	\$7.49	\$7.97	\$7.97	\$7.79	\$7.70	\$7.58	\$7.51	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$974	\$940	\$1,058	\$1,037	\$1,094	\$1,218	\$1,058	\$1,003	\$980	\$962	\$986	\$1,090	\$1,056	\$1,083	\$1,033	\$1,011	\$1,030	\$959

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	31,205	1,691	1,187	2,787	1,031	691	3,579	422	696	1,086	1,570	1,001	4,318	1,109	427	3,005	1,024	5,319	262
Total Enrolled in VIEW	32,404	1,680	1,265	2,738	1,002	637	3,637	390	710	1,235	1,548	1,135	4,674	1,128	432	3,128	1,173	5,639	253
Part. in a Work Activity	29,192	1,524	1,156	2,444	932	566	3,363	363	664	1,111	1,406	1,029	4,211	1,005	383	2,819	1,032	4,959	225
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	91%	89%	93%	89%	92%	93%	94%	90%	91%	91%	90%	89%	89%	90%	88%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	17,766	968	654	1,481	614	329	1,942	210	385	699	708	550	2,348	634	238	1,833	648	3,410	115
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	52%	54%	61%	52%	53%	54%	54%	57%	46%	48%	50%	56%	55%	59%	55%	60%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$7.66	\$7.68	\$8.18	\$9.71	\$8.14	\$8.40	\$7.46	\$7.20	\$7.41	\$8.08	\$8.39	\$7.79	\$7.76	\$7.72	\$7.62	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$981	\$952	\$1,054	\$1,046	\$1,068	\$1,320	\$1,098	\$1,042	\$999	\$940	\$971	\$1,104	\$1,114	\$1,022	\$1,053	\$1,054	\$1,049	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	71%	62%	64%	75%	59%	75%	65%	67%	62%	64%	72%	72%	78%	63%	72%	74%	74%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	220,303	14,601	8,294	16,132	6,247	3,949	27,314	3,124	4,716	8,241	10,550	6,270	29,198	6,090	2,815	20,801	7,489	42,429	2,043
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	164,905	10,994	6,893	11,922	4,658	2,827	20,836	2,320	3,545	6,723	8,333	4,676	22,391	4,250	1,998	15,026	5,720	30,322	1,471
Part. in a Work Activity	158,105	10,566	6,558	11,437	4,520	2,709	20,192	2,242	3,449	6,352	8,025	4,462	21,608	4,064	1,887	14,401	5,456	28,764	1,413
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	97%	97%	94%	96%	95%	97%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	115,955	7,018	4,651	8,455	3,357	1,942	15,180	1,603	2,754	4,742	5,384	2,923	15,776	2,943	1,314	10,967	4,035	22,062	849
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	67%	71%	72%	69%	73%	69%	78%	71%	65%	63%	70%	69%	66%	73%	71%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$5.96	\$6.03	\$6.83	\$6.88	\$7.04	\$8.35	\$7.18	\$7.17	\$6.26	\$6.15	\$6.42	\$7.11	\$7.59	\$6.68	\$6.74	\$6.74	\$6.61	\$6.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$787	\$826	\$928	\$923	\$959	\$1,119	\$955	\$938	\$821	\$827	\$849	\$968	\$1,005	\$869	\$892	\$921	\$873	\$804
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,909	211	109	287	271	189	305	475	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	756	53	45	130	128	85	102	190	23
Participants in a Work Activity	565	44	25	98	104	51	92	137	14
% of Enrolled	75%	83%	56%	75%	81%	60%	90%	72%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	2	4	0	1	4	0
Participants Employed	433	26	22	86	59	47	66	113	14
% of Enrolled	57%	49%	49%	66%	46%	55%	65%	59%	61%
Full Time	407	25	22	81	54	44	64	103	14
Part Time	26	1	0	5	5	3	2	10	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.97	\$6.73	\$6.94	\$7.10	\$7.00	\$7.04	\$7.20	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$1,146	\$926	\$931	\$966	\$983	\$970	\$985	\$924

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,691	136	85	226	219	217	318	437	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,680	137	101	257	236	187	282	429	51
Participants in a Work Activity	1,524	125	87	242	221	174	263	369	43
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	86%	94%	94%	93%	93%	86%	84%
Participants Employed	968	68	54	165	131	91	168	258	33
% of Total Enrolled	58%	50%	53%	64%	56%	49%	60%	60%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.73	\$7.04	\$6.76	\$7.07	\$7.00	\$6.95	\$7.12	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$981	\$1,147	\$985	\$912	\$981	\$1,007	\$946	\$1,000	\$941
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	64%	62%	83%	62%	74%	71%	68%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,601	1,520	1,042	2,034	1,985	1,362	2,815	3,383	460
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,994	1,144	785	1,507	1,563	941	2,132	2,565	357
Participants in a Work Activity	10,566	1,063	742	1,489	1,484	921	2,056	2,476	335
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	7,018	598	470	997	986	563	1,477	1,650	277
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	60%	69%	64%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.96	\$6.18	\$6.03	\$5.65	\$6.19	\$6.20	\$5.90	\$5.94	\$5.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$787	\$802	\$793	\$753	\$833	\$853	\$779	\$776	\$717
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	48%	60%	59%	64%	57%	57%	61%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,056	12	121	40	191	216	123	268	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	511	3	51	13	86	91	69	157	41
Participants in a Work Activity	363	3	41	8	58	59	43	125	26
% of Enrolled	71%	100%	80%	62%	67%	65%	62%	80%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
Participants Employed	248	3	31	4	37	53	38	65	17
% of Enrolled	49%	100%	61%	31%	43%	58%	55%	41%	41%
Full Time	207	2	28	1	30	43	34	54	15
Part Time	41	1	3	3	7	10	4	11	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$6.52	\$6.89	\$7.09	\$6.97	\$7.07	\$7.01	\$7.35	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$786	\$1,001	\$833	\$932	\$919	\$901	\$955	\$990

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,187	27	118	43	222	222	148	297	110
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,265	23	128	46	225	224	151	359	109
Participants in a Work Activity	1,156	21	118	40	209	205	146	323	94
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	92%	87%	93%	92%	97%	90%	86%
Participants Employed	654	10	82	24	95	127	95	177	44
% of Total Enrolled	52%	43%	64%	52%	42%	57%	63%	49%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$7.97	\$6.86	\$6.93	\$6.83	\$7.07	\$7.00	\$7.36	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$1,116	\$942	\$944	\$936	\$923	\$906	\$985	\$1,027
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	89%	65%	55%	65%	50%	62%	61%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,294	215	1,141	565	1,421	1,385	1,301	1,685	581
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,893	166	935	458	1,165	1,122	1,043	1,502	502
Participants in a Work Activity	6,558	155	846	420	1,116	1,088	1,021	1,440	472
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	96%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,651	106	633	300	729	765	716	1,076	326
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	66%	63%	68%	69%	72%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.03	\$5.93	\$5.84	\$6.14	\$5.79	\$6.32	\$6.10	\$6.07	\$5.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$826	\$815	\$794	\$820	\$798	\$867	\$814	\$841	\$838
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,550	118	27	9	49	237	40	363	188	310	78	1,131
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1077	101	12	6	21	79	27	175	57	133	41	425
Participants in a Work Activity	705	61	7	4	16	40	21	141	46	101	28	240
% of Enrolled	65%	60%	58%	67%	76%	51%	78%	81%	81%	76%	68%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	7	1	1
Participants Employed	526	46	6	3	15	27	11	118	30	70	20	180
% of Enrolled	49%	46%	50%	50%	71%	34%	41%	67%	53%	53%	49%	42%
Full Time	433	18	5	1	13	23	10	110	29	57	16	151
Part Time	93	28	1	2	2	4	1	8	1	13	4	29
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.08	\$8.14	\$7.27	\$7.35	\$7.86	\$8.29	\$7.92	\$7.03	\$7.77	\$7.82	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$804	\$1,130	\$896	\$1,050	\$1,114	\$1,176	\$1,098	\$987	\$1,075	\$1,170	\$1,074

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,787	134	29	10	48	273	67	395	224	292	89	1,226
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,738	178	31	11	48	211	67	419	217	308	98	1150
Participants in a Work Activity	2,444	169	25	9	42	150	63	394	192	275	89	1036
% of Total Enrolled	89%	95%	81%	82%	88%	71%	94%	94%	88%	89%	91%	90%
Participants Employed	1,481	108	13	4	29	89	35	297	105	189	60	552
% of Total Enrolled	54%	61%	42%	36%	60%	42%	52%	71%	48%	61%	61%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$7.34	\$7.99	\$7.20	\$7.84	\$7.80	\$7.73	\$7.67	\$7.11	\$7.79	\$7.44	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$831	\$986	\$969	\$1,085	\$1,102	\$1,031	\$1,075	\$976	\$1,104	\$1,005	\$1,086
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	56%	43%	N/A	67%	64%	60%	69%	62%	71%	43%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,132	1,010	267	59	411	1,373	406	2,326	1,438	1,687	647	6,508
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,922	742	159	21	282	990	276	1,855	1112	1311	489	4,685
Participants in a Work Activity	11,437	712	145	20	273	895	270	1,836	1071	1243	476	4,496
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	91%	95%	97%	90%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,455	539	82	13	202	655	164	1,508	731	992	356	3,213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	52%	62%	72%	66%	59%	81%	66%	76%	73%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$6.64	\$7.19	\$6.39	\$6.71	\$6.68	\$6.76	\$6.70	\$6.58	\$7.29	\$6.79	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$928	\$810	\$919	\$950	\$905	\$928	\$921	\$913	\$923	\$1,017	\$889	\$939
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	44%	67%	45%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	936	617	7	0	65	247
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	374	233	2	0	22	117
Participants in a Work Activity	287	177	2	N/A	11	97
% of Enrolled	77%	76%	100%	N/A	50%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	233	147	2	N/A	11	73
% of Enrolled	62%	63%	100%	N/A	50%	62%
Full Time	203	132	0	N/A	10	61
Part Time	30	15	2	N/A	1	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.62	\$7.28	N/A	\$7.26	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$1,019	\$494	N/A	\$982	\$1,097

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	1,031	643	7	0	64	317
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,002	617	6	0	65	314
Participants in a Work Activity	932	575	6	N/A	52	299
% of Total Enrolled	93%	93%	100%	N/A	80%	95%
Participants Employed	614	350	4	N/A	34	226
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	67%	N/A	52%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.59	\$7.07	N/A	\$7.40	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,048	\$419	N/A	\$944	\$1,066
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	81%	100%	N/A	64%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,247	3,391	61	16	561	2,218
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,658	2,569	36	7	410	1,636
Participants in a Work Activity	4,520	2,511	35	7	388	1,579
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,357	1,778	24	5	311	1,239
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	67%	71%	76%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$6.88	\$6.64	\$7.75	\$6.53	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$923	\$936	\$701	\$694	\$849	\$930
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	57%	60%	54%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	525	18	160	62	46	123	116	267	181	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	244	10	59	45	15	59	56	88	50	38
Participants in a Work Activity	171	6	28	37	14	42	44	48	25	23
% of Enrolled	70%	60%	47%	82%	93%	71%	79%	55%	50%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	2	1	3	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	110	1	27	25	9	29	19	37	22	15
% of Enrolled	45%	10%	46%	56%	9	49%	34%	42%	44%	39%
Full Time	87	1	21	22	9	23	11	29	18	11
Part Time	23	0	6	3	0	6	8	8	4	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$7.50	\$8.52	\$9.05	\$7.56	\$7.78	\$7.69	\$7.48	\$7.66	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,094	\$1,039	\$1,114	\$1,304	\$1,083	\$981	\$970	\$959	\$1,004	\$893

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	691	21	172	107	72	165	154	262	166	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	637	17	155	97	55	178	135	253	149	104
Participants in a Work Activity	566	15	138	87	52	155	119	225	134	91
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	89%	90%	95%	87%	88%	89%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	329	7	84	53	27	94	64	115	67	48
% of Total Enrolled	52%	41%	54%	55%	49%	53%	47%	45%	45%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$7.41	\$8.54	\$8.42	\$7.65	\$8.24	\$7.79	\$7.33	\$7.40	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$942	\$1,090	\$1,118	\$1,013	\$1,087	\$1,017	\$971	\$984	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	50%	62%	59%	65%	58%	55%	72%	67%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,949	160	747	616	507	978	941	2,043	1267	776
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,827	95	523	484	294	715	716	1,471	886	585
Participants in a Work Activity	2,709	88	492	473	288	679	689	1413	864	549
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	98%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	1,942	55	325	359	198	503	502	849	481	368
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	62%	74%	67%	70%	70%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.12	\$7.24	\$7.13	\$6.46	\$7.08	\$6.98	\$6.37	\$6.45	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$954	\$962	\$975	\$901	\$967	\$956	\$804	\$813	\$793
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	46%	53%	57%	53%	58%	51%	61%	58%	65%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,819	287	1,371	256	1,195	484	168	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,604	97	495	157	590	191	63	11
Participants in a Work Activity	1,213	83	433	100	396	161	35	5
% of Enrolled	76%	86%	87%	64%	67%	84%	56%	45%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	23	0	11	0	6	4	2	0
Participants Employed	704	43	204	72	270	82	28	5
% of Enrolled	44%	44%	41%	46%	46%	43%	44%	45%
Full Time	536	24	161	50	212	62	23	4
Part Time	168	19	43	22	58	20	5	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.17	\$9.24	\$9.31	\$9.64	\$8.91	\$9.41	\$8.97	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,218	\$1,085	\$1,206	\$1,288	\$1,231	\$1,229	\$1,201	\$1,023

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,579	230	1,210	319	1,218	393	160	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,637	240	1,202	344	1,270	383	158	40
Participants in a Work Activity	3,363	231	1,132	309	1,155	354	143	39
% of Total Enrolled	92%	96%	94%	90%	91%	92%	91%	98%
Participants Employed	1,942	121	586	205	743	191	72	24
% of Total Enrolled	53%	50%	49%	60%	59%	50%	46%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.71	\$9.78	\$9.86	\$10.25	\$9.53	\$9.82	\$8.92	\$9.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,320	\$1,255	\$1,334	\$1,399	\$1,314	\$1,299	\$1,242	\$1,236
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	68%	72%	76%	77%	75%	89%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	27,314	2,466	9,541	1,853	8,683	3,267	1,154	350
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,836	1,882	7,077	1,469	6,695	2,587	869	257
Participants in a Work Activity	20,192	1,866	6,877	1,412	6,460	2,485	837	255
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,180	1,410	4,931	1,183	5,034	1,839	590	193
% of Total Enrolled	73%	75%	70%	81%	75%	71%	68%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$7.89	\$8.27	\$8.85	\$8.57	\$8.06	\$7.93	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,028	\$1,101	\$1,156	\$1,183	\$1,030	\$1,076	\$1,057
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	68%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	437	195	114	33	89	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	143	64	32	11	31	5
Participants in a Work Activity	111	49	27	8	23	4
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	84%	73%	74%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	82	30	22	8	20	2
% of Enrolled	57%	47%	69%	73%	65%	40%
Full Time	71	24	20	8	18	1
Part Time	11	6	2	0	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$8.35	\$7.69	\$7.78	\$7.50	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,063	\$1,080	\$1,173	\$1,002	\$819

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	422	183	119	35	72	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	390	163	115	35	68	9
Participants in a Work Activity	363	150	113	35	58	7
% of Total Enrolled	93%	92%	98%	100%	85%	78%
Participants Employed	210	71	72	22	41	4
% of Total Enrolled	54%	44%	63%	63%	60%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$8.41	\$8.24	\$7.85	\$7.69	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,098	\$1,101	\$1,115	\$1,160	\$1,051	\$851
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	61%	63%	58%	78%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,124	1,202	899	296	642	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,320	860	681	216	506	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,242	829	674	209	477	53
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	1,603	566	481	148	372	36
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	71%	69%	74%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.14	\$7.50	\$6.98	\$6.91	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$939	\$982	\$979	\$940	\$932
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	45%	43%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	663	129	34	46	101	44	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	247	33	9	24	33	17	131
Participants in a Work Activity	212	27	8	17	28	13	119
% of Enrolled	86%	82%	89%	71%	85%	76%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	131	17	5	11	19	11	68
% of Enrolled	53%	52%	56%	46%	58%	65%	52%
Full Time	89	13	5	3	13	7	48
Part Time	42	4	0	8	6	4	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.81	\$7.76	\$7.29	\$7.84	\$7.10	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$1,209	\$1,102	\$657	\$948	\$913	\$1,030

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	696	164	21	64	103	38	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	710	137	24	55	103	40	351
Participants in a Work Activity	664	137	21	40	88	36	342
% of Total Enrolled	94%	100%	88%	73%	85%	90%	97%
Participants Employed	385	77	13	25	47	25	198
% of Total Enrolled	54%	56%	54%	45%	46%	63%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.94	\$8.29	\$7.85	\$8.49	\$7.94	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,042	\$1,195	\$1,142	\$959	\$1,101	\$968	\$981
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	62%	100%	63%	78%	74%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,716	1088	170	367	703	220	2,168
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,545	752	114	260	484	146	1,789
Participants in a Work Activity	3,449	738	108	243	456	142	1,762
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	93%	94%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,754	572	85	198	310	99	1,490
% of Total Enrolled	78%	76%	75%	76%	64%	68%	83%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.59	\$7.35	\$7.10	\$7.16	\$7.43	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$992	\$1,082	\$915	\$956	\$997	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	54%	49%	55%	55%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,200	95	81	190	269	565
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	571	23	32	108	174	234
Participants in a Work Activity	394	23	25	81	109	156
% of Enrolled	69%	100%	78%	75%	63%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	2	0
Participants Employed	274	15	14	56	73	116
% of Enrolled	48%	65%	44%	52%	42%	50%
Full Time	215	14	14	37	62	88
Part Time	59	1	0	19	11	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.34	\$7.36	\$7.11	\$7.55	\$7.48	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$1,066	\$1,100	\$945	\$1,033	\$939

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,086	77	78	226	244	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,235	87	90	241	312	505
Participants in a Work Activity	1,111	80	87	218	271	455
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	97%	90%	87%	90%
Participants Employed	699	51	52	145	173	278
% of Total Enrolled	57%	59%	58%	60%	55%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.46	\$7.35	\$7.13	\$7.65	\$7.70	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$938	\$1,051	\$990	\$1,066	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	41%	52%	64%	64%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,241	755	674	1,645	1,911	3,256
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,723	602	586	1,290	1,595	2,650
Participants in a Work Activity	6,352	576	573	1,214	1,491	2,498
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	98%	94%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	4,742	414	419	871	1,102	1,936
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	72%	68%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.26	\$6.47	\$6.02	\$6.30	\$6.44	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$821	\$828	\$826	\$816	\$859	\$800
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,653	254	534	107	228	530
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	535	71	230	59	43	132
Participants in a Work Activity	369	40	176	49	17	87
% of Enrolled	69%	56%	77%	83%	40%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	228	32	90	33	16	57
% of Enrolled	43%	45%	39%	56%	37%	43%
Full Time	183	18	76	29	14	46
Part Time	45	14	14	4	2	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.62	\$7.27	\$7.06	\$6.75	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$946	\$976	\$985	\$865	\$963

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,570	218	525	134	208	485
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,548	225	524	163	181	455
Participants in a Work Activity	1,406	207	476	149	168	406
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	91%	91%	93%	89%
Participants Employed	708	92	263	89	71	193
% of Total Enrolled	46%	41%	50%	55%	39%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.32	\$7.27	\$6.67	\$7.14	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$911	\$959	\$883	\$924	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	63%	69%	76%	47%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,550	1,621	2,785	1,051	1,694	3,399
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,333	1,343	2,021	906	1,284	2,779
Participants in a Work Activity	8,025	1,290	1,932	852	1,259	2,692
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,384	806	1,321	613	734	1,910
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	65%	68%	57%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.15	\$6.13	\$6.46	\$6.06	\$6.15	\$6.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$827	\$815	\$910	\$795	\$843	\$786
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,012	72	102	74	79	67	129	38	182	127	142
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	537	33	48	42	37	37	60	42	88	77	73
Participants in a Work Activity	332	30	32	30	31	25	46	13	30	35	60
% of Enrolled	62%	91%	67%	71%	84%	68%	77%	31%	34%	45%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	216	18	27	21	16	11	12	10	25	34	42
% of Enrolled	40%	55%	56%	50%	43%	30%	20%	24%	28%	44%	58%
Full Time	168	14	22	21	13	9	6	5	16	34	28
Part Time	48	4	5	0	3	2	6	5	9	0	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$8.40	\$6.85	\$7.73	\$7.94	\$7.63	\$6.88	\$6.58	\$7.26	\$6.96	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$987	\$908	\$1,096	\$1,138	\$1,047	\$808	\$714	\$979	\$953	\$1,053

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	1,001	74	99	114	91	63	110	37	165	114	134
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,135	69	127	110	82	69	165	51	185	126	151
Participants in a Work Activity	1,029	63	117	101	73	66	143	37	177	106	146
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	92%	92%	89%	96%	87%	73%	96%	84%	97%
Participants Employed	550	34	63	47	34	31	69	13	97	72	90
% of Total Enrolled	48%	49%	50%	43%	41%	45%	42%	25%	52%	57%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$7.80	\$6.61	\$7.94	\$8.45	\$8.05	\$7.30	\$6.18	\$7.21	\$7.16	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$966	\$835	\$1,097	\$1,161	\$1,076	\$889	\$695	\$945	\$1,019	\$997
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	62%	81%	70%	79%	64%	60%	100%	70%	76%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,270	368	833	639	529	431	745	249	903	760	813
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,676	262	647	464	363	301	571	179	691	558	640
Participants in a Work Activity	4,462	242	643	430	335	286	547	177	653	520	629
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	99%	93%	92%	95%	96%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,923	163	375	269	192	171	326	86	473	386	482
% of Total Enrolled	63%	62%	58%	58%	53%	57%	57%	48%	68%	69%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.42	\$7.11	\$5.96	\$6.78	\$6.82	\$6.92	\$6.35	\$5.60	\$6.12	\$6.40	\$6.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$849	\$939	\$804	\$915	\$896	\$933	\$798	\$730	\$800	\$863	\$859
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	58%	51%	46%	46%	57%	60%	58%	56%	61%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,200	942	34	156	1,375	40	2,653
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,187	361	11	98	582	19	1,116
Participants in a Work Activity	1,512	240	4	58	373	8	829
% of Enrolled	69%	66%	36%	59%	64%	42%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	1	3	2	14	1	4
Participants Employed	869	167	3	42	251	7	399
% of Enrolled	40%	46%	27%	43%	43%	37%	36%
Full Time	698	134	2	32	209	4	317
Part Time	171	33	1	10	42	3	82
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.95	\$7.17	\$8.31	\$8.27	\$7.29	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$1,084	\$930	\$1,055	\$1,158	\$971	\$1,056

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	4,318	932	28	156	1,076	35	2,091
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,674	876	27	161	1,184	39	2,387
Participants in a Work Activity	4,211	809	21	143	1,041	28	2,169
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	78%	89%	88%	72%	91%
Participants Employed	2,348	439	12	82	629	20	1,166
% of Total Enrolled	50%	50%	44%	51%	53%	51%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.19	\$7.86	\$8.44	\$8.45	\$9.60	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,106	\$1,053	\$1,112	\$1,175	\$1,256	\$1,061
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	77%	75%	91%	78%	79%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	29,198	5,730	192	921	6,745	260	15,350
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,391	4,265	137	632	5,313	177	11,867
Participants in a Work Activity	21,608	4,152	128	581	5,047	163	11,537
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	93%	92%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	15,776	2,876	93	417	3,703	104	8,583
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	68%	66%	70%	59%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$7.33	\$6.56	\$7.95	\$7.38	\$7.00	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$995	\$857	\$1,049	\$1,004	\$901	\$944
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	53%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,057	174	34	365	299	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	560	102	5	204	142	107
Participants in a Work Activity	397	80	5	121	105	86
% of Enrolled	71%	78%	100%	59%	74%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	6	0	4	0	0
Participants Employed	262	41	4	87	62	68
% of Enrolled	47%	40%	80%	43%	44%	64%
Full Time	203	39	2	69	50	43
Part Time	59	2	2	18	12	25
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.59	\$7.88	\$7.98	\$8.21	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,080	\$960	\$1,080	\$1,037	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,109	198	46	327	335	203
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,128	177	34	378	311	228
Participants in a Work Activity	1,005	163	31	309	292	210
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	91%	82%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	634	81	20	216	168	149
% of Total Enrolled	56%	46%	59%	57%	54%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$7.90	\$8.39	\$8.29	\$8.77	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,124	\$1,029	\$1,082	\$1,183	\$1,092
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	89%	47%	78%	80%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,090	974	386	1,719	1,798	1,213
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,250	663	245	1322	1027	993
Participants in a Work Activity	4,064	640	234	1206	1007	977
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	91%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,943	408	174	930	643	788
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	71%	70%	63%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$7.25	\$7.15	\$7.66	\$8.11	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$943	\$906	\$1,015	\$1,122	\$975
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	42%	50%	57%	57%	54%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	410	94	24	54	42	17	44	24	30	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	176	49	8	19	18	9	23	6	4	40
Participants in a Work Activity	123	36	4	17	7	7	12	4	4	32
% of Enrolled	70%	73%	50%	89%	39%	78%	52%	67%	100%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	4	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	92	19	4	12	5	7	10	2	4	29
% of Enrolled	52%	39%	50%	63%	28%	78%	43%	33%	100%	73%
Full Time	79	15	3	10	5	7	6	1	4	28
Part Time	13	4	1	2	0	0	4	1	0	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$7.18	\$7.34	\$8.40	\$7.66	\$8.67	\$7.01	\$8.50	\$7.82	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,083	\$1,042	\$833	\$1,114	\$1,280	\$1,308	\$883	\$953	\$1,146	\$1,111

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	427	96	29	48	48	17	52	31	30	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	432	105	24	48	42	17	45	28	28	95
Participants in a Work Activity	383	94	21	47	33	15	36	26	27	84
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	88%	98%	79%	88%	80%	93%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	238	60	9	27	18	12	22	12	16	62
% of Total Enrolled	55%	57%	38%	56%	43%	71%	49%	43%	57%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$7.39	\$7.60	\$8.17	\$7.61	\$7.72	\$7.85	\$7.42	\$7.51	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$937	\$932	\$1,101	\$1,106	\$1,089	\$958	\$938	\$1,007	\$1,097
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	51%	33%	67%	47%	100%	92%	50%	80%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,815	416	214	225	333	157	321	257	262	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	1,998	318	137	179	252	99	229	204	136	444
Participants in a Work Activity	1,887	303	129	173	234	91	209	198	132	418
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	94%	97%	93%	92%	91%	97%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	1,314	220	77	109	171	63	157	124	93	300
% of Total Enrolled	66%	69%	56%	61%	68%	64%	69%	61%	68%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.68	\$6.64	\$6.89	\$7.12	\$6.44	\$6.67	\$6.69	\$6.34	\$6.74	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$869	\$835	\$871	\$980	\$823	\$860	\$860	\$834	\$932	\$892
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	34%	47%	47%	50%	55%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,276	25	120	128	34	107	1,220	1,613	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,310	11	41	46	12	49	464	673	14
Participants in a Work Activity	976	4	27	35	8	34	335	521	12
% of Enrolled	75%	36%	66%	76%	67%	69%	72%	77%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
Participants Employed	712	3	20	26	5	26	237	383	12
% of Enrolled	54%	27%	49%	57%	42%	53%	51%	57%	86%
Full Time	577	3	13	20	4	14	189	324	10
Part Time	135	0	7	6	1	12	48	59	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.70	\$5.56	\$7.91	\$7.66	\$9.45	\$7.21	\$7.81	\$7.64	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$722	\$989	\$977	\$1,312	\$821	\$1,028	\$1,053	\$1,094

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	3,005	12	123	109	31	114	1,024	1,544	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,128	17	109	119	37	107	1,038	1,654	47
Participants in a Work Activity	2,819	13	91	106	33	92	965	1,472	47
% of Total Enrolled	90%	76%	83%	89%	89%	86%	93%	89%	100%
Participants Employed	1,833	8	56	81	20	56	599	982	31
% of Total Enrolled	59%	47%	51%	68%	54%	52%	58%	59%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.21	\$7.99	\$8.07	\$8.70	\$7.57	\$7.76	\$7.73	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$987	\$1,017	\$1,040	\$1,118	\$944	\$1,036	\$1,073	\$1,002
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	100%	63%	71%	73%	52%	75%	71%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,801	123	857	809	235	798	7,343	10,357	279
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,026	87	581	604	168	558	5,213	7,622	193
Participants in a Work Activity	14,401	83	537	565	160	535	5,096	7,239	186
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	92%	94%	95%	96%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,967	43	403	441	113	356	3,762	5,701	148
% of Total Enrolled	73%	49%	69%	73%	67%	64%	72%	75%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.66	\$6.58	\$7.06	\$7.36	\$6.78	\$6.74	\$6.71	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$892	\$884	\$832	\$866	\$1,006	\$857	\$890	\$899	\$880
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	61%	56%	52%	52%	45%	52%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,269	136	88	60	66	314	605
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	608	25	32	24	41	170	316
Participants in a Work Activity	383	21	27	16	30	89	200
% of Enrolled	63%	84%	84%	67%	73%	52%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	0	1	3	1
Participants Employed	256	14	14	8	24	67	129
% of Enrolled	42%	56%	44%	33%	59%	39%	41%
Full Time	207	14	9	5	19	49	111
Part Time	49	0	5	3	5	18	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$7.08	\$7.94	\$9.13	\$7.03	\$7.12	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$1,094	\$1,068	\$1,136	\$880	\$882	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	1,024	113	91	37	69	277	437
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,173	96	100	43	92	305	537
Participants in a Work Activity	1,032	92	86	40	87	279	448
% of Total Enrolled	88%	96%	86%	93%	95%	91%	83%
Participants Employed	648	52	53	29	55	173	286
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	53%	67%	60%	57%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.84	\$8.34	\$8.33	\$7.16	\$7.50	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,221	\$1,216	\$948	\$998	\$964	\$1,075
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	71%	64%	65%	83%	70%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,489	948	647	276	607	1,868	3,143
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,720	664	471	209	471	1,467	2,438
Participants in a Work Activity	5,456	660	414	196	456	1,407	2,323
% of Total Enrolled	95%	99%	88%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,035	457	297	153	326	1,041	1,761
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	63%	73%	69%	71%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.74	\$6.72	\$7.22	\$6.85	\$6.74	\$6.62	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$931	\$979	\$853	\$931	\$901	\$926
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	51%	50%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through June 30, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/09 and Work Activity as of 6/30/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,295	141	126	1,170	84	2,055	1,230	445	1,044
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,528	49	39	559	19	932	434	148	348
Participants in a Work Activity	1,949	37	25	419	14	745	329	112	268
% of Enrolled	77%	76%	64%	75%	74%	80%	76%	76%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	4
Participants Employed	1,445	32	16	312	9	579	220	85	192
% of Enrolled	57%	65%	41%	56%	47%	62%	51%	57%	55%
Full Time	1,270	24	12	275	6	532	184	76	161
Part Time	175	8	4	37	3	47	36	9	31
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.51	\$7.23	\$7.43	\$7.50	\$7.01	\$7.36	\$7.62	\$7.59	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,030	\$911	\$1,020	\$1,040	\$819	\$1,006	\$1,057	\$1,056	\$1,078

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	5,319	123	101	976	77	1,694	877	371	1,100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,639	126	105	1,040	72	1,870	1,037	372	1,017
Participants in a Work Activity	4,959	112	97	926	70	1,635	902	349	868
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	92%	89%	97%	87%	87%	94%	85%
Participants Employed	3,410	79	49	639	38	1,223	619	218	545
% of Total Enrolled	60%	63%	47%	61%	53%	65%	60%	59%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.45	\$7.63	\$7.75	\$7.09	\$7.38	\$7.65	\$7.63	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,013	\$1,023	\$1,071	\$913	\$1,012	\$1,079	\$1,049	\$1,084
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	73%	71%	78%	58%	78%	71%	68%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	42,429	928	800	6,747	659	13,710	6,941	2,737	9,907
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,322	653	618	4,798	516	9,686	5,369	2,093	6,589
Participants in a Work Activity	28,764	625	589	4,551	505	9,219	5,060	2,066	6,149
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	22,062	476	377	3,564	362	7,057	4,061	1,599	4,566
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	61%	74%	70%	73%	76%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.64	\$6.35	\$6.74	\$6.22	\$6.50	\$6.52	\$6.45	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$873	\$888	\$810	\$896	\$779	\$839	\$883	\$844	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	56%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	45.0%	4.19	98	\$7.40	3.00	80	66.7%	5.00	63	4.06	97
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	56.2%	6.61	49	\$8.94	6.78	8	62.3%	4.34	87	5.91	28
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	60.7%	7.57	22	\$7.34	2.85	86	55.6%	3.33	103	4.58	76
007	<i>Amelia</i>	49.3%	5.12	82	\$7.80	3.98	47	61.5%	4.23	92	4.44	87
009	<i>Amherst</i>	58.6%	7.13	35	\$7.35	2.87	85	40.7%	1.11	117	3.70	106
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	57.8%	6.95	38	\$7.13	2.33	99	52.4%	2.86	106	4.05	99
013	<i>Arlington</i>	50.4%	5.36	75	\$9.78	8.85	4	67.5%	5.13	62	6.44	16
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	56.7%	6.72	44	\$7.59	3.46	71	81.0%	7.15	15	5.78	30
017	<i>Bath</i>	66.7%	8.86	6	\$7.07	2.19	105	100.0%	10.00	1	7.01	5
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	60.2%	7.46	25	\$7.65	3.61	63	63.9%	4.58	77	5.22	60
021	<i>Bland</i>	43.5%	3.87	103	\$7.97	4.40	36	88.9%	8.33	11	5.53	46
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	41.9%	3.54	110	\$7.99	4.45	33	42.9%	1.43	115	3.14	113
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	49.6%	5.19	80	\$6.61	1.06	118	81.0%	7.14	16	4.46	85
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	49.6%	5.19	79	\$7.73	3.81	56	63.6%	4.55	79	4.52	80
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	42.7%	3.71	106	\$7.94	4.32	37	70.0%	5.50	54	4.51	82
031	<i>Campbell</i>	55.4%	6.44	51	\$7.70	3.73	60	64.4%	4.67	74	4.95	65
033	<i>Caroline</i>	45.8%	4.36	94	\$7.90	4.23	39	89.1%	8.37	10	5.65	41
035	<i>Carroll</i>	64.1%	8.30	13	\$6.86	1.67	114	65.1%	4.77	70	4.91	66
036	<i>Charles City</i>	47.1%	4.64	91	\$7.21	2.53	93	100.0%	10.00	2	5.72	34
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	41.5%	3.44	112	\$8.45	5.58	14	79.2%	6.88	19	5.30	56
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	50.1%	5.30	77	\$8.19	4.94	29	77.1%	6.57	30	5.60	42
043	<i>Clarke</i>	41.2%	3.37	113	\$7.41	3.02	79	50.0%	2.50	109	2.97	116
045	<i>Craig</i>	36.4%	2.34	118	\$7.20	2.51	95	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.42	118
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	43.6%	3.89	102	\$8.41	5.48	18	61.1%	4.17	94	4.51	81
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	44.9%	4.18	99	\$8.05	4.59	32	63.6%	4.55	80	4.44	88
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	53.5%	6.02	61	\$7.04	2.11	108	61.9%	4.29	90	4.14	94
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	54.2%	6.17	56	\$7.84	4.08	44	71.4%	5.71	46	5.32	53
057	<i>Essex</i>	57.1%	6.81	40	\$7.39	2.97	82	51.3%	2.69	108	4.16	93
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	48.8%	5.00	86	\$9.86	9.04	2	72.5%	5.87	45	6.64	10
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	62.6%	7.99	17	\$8.24	5.06	27	63.0%	4.46	85	5.83	29
063	<i>Floyd</i>	60.4%	7.51	23	\$7.84	4.08	45	66.7%	5.00	64	5.53	47
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	54.2%	6.17	57	\$8.29	5.18	24	100.0%	10.00	3	7.12	4
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	42.2%	3.59	109	\$7.80	3.98	48	63.8%	4.57	78	4.05	98
069	<i>Frederick</i>	54.2%	6.17	55	\$8.54	5.80	12	62.2%	4.33	89	5.44	51
071	<i>Giles</i>	52.2%	5.75	70	\$7.73	3.81	57	60.0%	4.00	97	4.52	79
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	51.4%	5.57	72	\$7.99	4.45	34	63.3%	4.50	82	4.84	70
075	<i>Goochland</i>	44.4%	4.08	100	\$7.86	4.13	40	75.0%	6.25	36	4.82	71
077	<i>Grayson</i>	52.2%	5.74	71	\$6.93	1.84	112	54.6%	3.18	105	3.59	107

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	45.5%	4.29	97	\$7.85	4.10	41	63.2%	4.47	83	4.29	92
081	Greensville/Emporia	41.8%	3.51	111	\$7.30	2.75	89	60.0%	4.00	98	3.42	110
083	Halifax	40.9%	3.31	114	\$7.32	2.80	87	62.8%	4.42	86	3.51	108
085	Hanover	50.9%	5.47	74	\$8.44	5.55	16	90.9%	8.64	8	6.55	12
087	Henrico	53.1%	5.94	63	\$8.45	5.58	15	77.5%	6.63	27	6.05	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	50.2%	5.31	76	\$7.27	2.68	91	69.2%	5.38	58	4.46	86
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	62.7%	8.00	16	\$7.45	3.12	76	73.5%	6.02	43	5.72	36
095	James City	68.1%	9.16	4	\$8.07	4.64	31	71.1%	5.67	50	6.49	15
097	King and Queen	37.5%	2.58	117	\$7.60	3.49	70	33.3%	0.00	118	2.02	119
099	King George	58.8%	7.17	34	\$8.39	5.43	19	46.7%	2.00	112	4.87	69
101	King William	56.3%	6.62	48	\$8.17	4.89	30	66.7%	5.00	65	5.50	48
103	Lancaster	42.9%	3.74	104	\$7.61	3.51	69	46.7%	2.00	113	3.08	115
105	Lee	64.2%	8.33	12	\$6.76	1.43	116	82.6%	7.39	14	5.71	37
107	Loudoun	59.6%	7.34	31	\$10.25	10.00	1	75.8%	6.36	33	7.90	1
109	Louisa	45.6%	4.33	95	\$8.49	5.68	13	78.3%	6.74	21	5.58	44
111	Lunenburg	25.5%	0.00	119	\$6.18	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	4	3.33	112
113	Madison	62.9%	8.04	15	\$7.85	4.10	42	58.3%	3.75	100	5.30	55
115	Mathews	70.6%	9.70	3	\$7.72	3.78	59	100.0%	10.00	5	7.83	2
117	Mecklenburg	52.4%	5.80	67	\$7.21	2.53	94	70.0%	5.50	55	4.61	74
119	Middlesex	48.9%	5.03	84	\$7.85	4.10	43	91.7%	8.75	7	5.96	26
121	Montgomery	70.9%	9.77	2	\$7.67	3.66	62	68.9%	5.34	59	6.25	19
125	Nelson	62.5%	7.96	18	\$7.94	4.32	38	73.7%	6.05	42	6.11	21
127	New Kent	54.1%	6.14	58	\$8.70	6.19	11	72.7%	5.91	44	6.08	24
131	Northampton	46.2%	4.45	93	\$7.25	2.63	92	84.2%	7.63	12	4.90	67
133	Northumberland	42.9%	3.74	105	\$7.42	3.05	78	50.0%	2.50	110	3.09	114
135	Nottoway	57.1%	6.81	41	\$7.16	2.41	96	75.7%	6.35	34	5.19	61
137	Orange	60.3%	7.49	24	\$7.69	3.71	61	77.8%	6.67	25	5.95	27
139	Page	54.6%	6.27	53	\$8.42	5.50	17	59.3%	3.89	99	5.22	59
141	Patrick	54.6%	6.26	54	\$6.67	1.20	117	75.6%	6.34	35	4.60	75
143	Pittsylvania	39.2%	2.96	116	\$7.14	2.36	98	46.7%	2.00	114	2.44	117
145	Powhatan	51.3%	5.55	73	\$9.60	8.40	5	78.6%	6.79	20	6.91	6
147	Prince Edward	59.6%	7.34	30	\$7.65	3.61	64	74.4%	6.16	39	5.70	38
149	Prince George	53.0%	5.92	64	\$8.34	5.31	21	64.0%	4.60	76	5.28	57
153	Prince William	58.5%	7.10	37	\$9.53	8.23	6	77.2%	6.58	29	7.31	3
155	Pulaski	48.4%	4.93	88	\$7.11	2.29	101	61.9%	4.29	91	3.83	104
157	Rappahannock	44.4%	4.08	101	\$6.89	1.74	113	100.0%	10.00	6	5.27	58
159	Richmond County	57.1%	6.81	42	\$7.51	3.27	74	80.0%	7.00	18	5.69	39
161	Roanoke County	61.4%	7.72	20	\$7.79	3.96	49	70.8%	5.62	53	5.76	32
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	52.3%	5.77	69	\$7.40	3.00	81	65.0%	4.75	71	4.51	83
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.0%	10.00	1	\$7.84	4.08	46	66.1%	4.92	67	6.33	18
167	Russell	55.5%	6.46	50	\$7.07	2.19	106	61.5%	4.23	93	4.29	91
169	Scott	48.7%	4.99	87	\$7.00	2.01	109	74.0%	6.10	41	4.37	90
171	Shenandoah	49.1%	5.08	83	\$7.65	3.61	65	64.7%	4.71	72	4.47	84

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	42.2%	3.60	108	\$6.83	1.60	115	65.4%	4.81	68	3.33	111
175	<i>Southampton</i>	46.7%	4.56	92	\$7.63	3.56	67	70.8%	5.62	52	4.58	77
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.1%	6.81	43	\$8.29	5.18	25	77.9%	6.68	24	6.23	20
179	<i>Stafford</i>	54.0%	6.14	59	\$8.77	6.36	10	80.2%	7.03	17	6.51	13
181	<i>Surry</i>	67.4%	9.02	5	\$8.33	5.28	22	64.7%	4.71	73	6.34	17
183	<i>Sussex</i>	59.8%	7.38	28	\$7.16	2.41	97	82.8%	7.41	13	5.73	33
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	59.6%	7.33	32	\$6.95	1.89	111	71.4%	5.71	47	4.98	64
187	<i>Warren</i>	52.8%	5.88	65	\$8.24	5.06	28	58.2%	3.73	101	4.89	68
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.7%	6.71	46	\$7.07	2.19	107	50.0%	2.50	111	3.80	105
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.3%	8.56	10	\$8.25	5.09	26	74.2%	6.13	40	6.59	11
195	<i>Wise</i>	60.1%	7.45	26	\$7.12	2.31	100	68.4%	5.26	60	5.01	63
197	<i>Wythe</i>	62.9%	8.05	14	\$7.00	2.01	110	62.3%	4.34	88	4.80	72
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	52.3%	5.78	68	\$7.57	3.42	73	52.2%	2.83	107	4.01	100
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.9%	5.24	78	\$9.82	8.94	3	74.8%	6.22	38	6.80	7
520	<i>Bristol</i>	49.3%	5.12	81	\$7.36	2.90	84	61.1%	4.17	95	4.06	96
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	56.4%	6.65	47	\$8.31	5.23	23	63.5%	4.52	81	5.47	50
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	61.4%	7.73	19	\$7.75	3.86	54	78.2%	6.73	22	6.11	22
590	<i>Danville</i>	42.4%	3.64	107	\$7.31	2.78	88	60.8%	4.11	96	3.51	109
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	52.8%	5.87	66	\$7.09	2.24	103	57.7%	3.65	102	3.92	103
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	65.4%	8.58	9	\$8.36	5.36	20	76.1%	6.41	32	6.78	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	40.4%	3.20	115	\$7.09	2.24	104	76.9%	6.54	31	3.99	101
650	<i>Hampton</i>	57.7%	6.93	39	\$7.76	3.88	52	74.9%	6.23	37	5.68	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	56.7%	6.72	45	\$7.50	3.24	75	69.7%	5.46	57	5.14	62
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	55.0%	6.36	52	\$7.30	2.75	90	65.3%	4.80	69	4.64	73
683	<i>Manassas</i>	45.6%	4.32	96	\$8.92	6.73	9	89.5%	8.42	9	6.49	14
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	60.0%	7.42	27	\$9.02	6.98	7	71.4%	5.71	48	6.71	9
700	<i>Newport News</i>	59.4%	7.29	33	\$7.73	3.81	58	71.0%	5.65	51	5.58	43
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	65.4%	8.59	8	\$7.38	2.95	83	78.1%	6.71	23	6.08	23
720	<i>Norton</i>	64.7%	8.44	11	\$7.11	2.29	102	77.3%	6.59	28	5.77	31
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	53.3%	5.97	62	\$7.76	3.88	53	77.6%	6.63	26	5.50	49
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	59.7%	7.36	29	\$7.65	3.61	66	71.2%	5.68	49	5.55	45
750	<i>Radford</i>	61.2%	7.69	21	\$7.44	3.10	77	42.9%	1.43	116	4.07	95
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.8%	5.02	85	\$7.79	3.96	50	64.1%	4.62	75	4.53	78
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	48.0%	4.84	89	\$7.75	3.86	55	63.2%	4.47	84	4.39	89
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.6%	7.12	36	\$7.63	3.56	68	68.3%	5.25	61	5.31	54
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.6%	6.04	60	\$7.99	4.45	35	69.9%	5.48	56	5.32	52
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.0%	8.71	7	\$7.58	3.44	72	66.7%	5.00	66	5.72	35
840	<i>Winchester</i>	47.4%	4.71	90	\$7.79	3.96	51	54.8%	3.21	104	3.96	102

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.3%	1.77	115	\$813	2.44	99	57.9%	6.74	32	3.65	108
003	Albemarle	76.1%	7.95	9	\$992	6.11	17	54.8%	5.91	57	6.65	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.6%	6.98	29	\$810	2.38	100	45.6%	3.38	106	4.25	89
007	Amelia	62.2%	4.02	96	\$939	5.02	33	44.1%	2.96	113	4.00	94
009	Amherst	68.8%	5.88	56	\$828	2.74	91	52.7%	5.33	73	4.65	79
011	Appomattox	71.5%	6.66	35	\$826	2.70	92	42.7%	2.59	115	3.98	97
013	Arlington	74.9%	7.63	17	\$1,028	6.82	10	63.9%	8.41	9	7.62	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.2%	6.01	51	\$936	4.95	36	54.0%	5.66	64	5.54	41
017	Bath	66.7%	5.28	76	\$701	0.14	119	57.1%	6.54	38	3.99	96
019	Bedford County/City	67.5%	5.53	72	\$816	2.50	95	55.3%	6.03	53	4.68	76
021	Bland	63.9%	4.49	87	\$815	2.47	97	47.8%	3.98	97	3.65	109
023	Botetourt	51.6%	1.00	118	\$919	4.59	48	44.3%	3.00	112	2.87	117
025	Brunswick	58.0%	2.81	109	\$804	2.26	102	57.7%	6.70	33	3.92	99
027	Buchanan	52.3%	1.20	117	\$802	2.21	103	54.5%	5.82	61	3.08	115
029	Buckingham	58.0%	2.82	108	\$915	4.53	50	51.5%	4.99	80	4.11	92
031	Campbell	69.1%	5.97	54	\$859	3.38	76	59.9%	7.29	23	5.55	40
033	Caroline	61.5%	3.83	99	\$943	5.10	31	42.3%	2.47	116	3.80	102
035	Carroll	67.7%	5.58	69	\$794	2.04	109	45.6%	3.36	107	3.66	105
036	Charles City	49.4%	0.39	119	\$884	3.89	66	61.1%	7.63	15	3.97	98
037	Charlotte	52.9%	1.38	116	\$896	4.14	60	46.3%	3.57	102	3.03	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	67.4%	5.50	73	\$995	6.15	16	60.7%	7.51	17	6.39	22
043	Clarke	57.9%	2.79	110	\$954	5.31	28	46.2%	3.52	104	3.88	100
045	Craig	61.9%	3.93	98	\$950	5.23	29	66.7%	9.16	3	6.11	27
047	Culpeper	65.8%	5.04	80	\$939	5.00	35	55.6%	6.11	50	5.38	46
049	Cumberland	56.8%	2.49	113	\$933	4.89	37	46.3%	3.57	103	3.65	107
051	Dickensen	59.9%	3.36	104	\$793	2.03	110	48.4%	4.14	94	3.17	114
053	Dinwiddie	68.8%	5.90	55	\$931	4.84	42	53.3%	5.48	69	5.41	45
057	Essex	69.2%	6.00	52	\$835	2.88	87	42.2%	2.43	117	3.77	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.7%	6.14	46	\$1,101	8.34	4	61.6%	7.78	13	7.42	6
061	Fauquier	70.6%	6.41	40	\$982	5.89	18	62.8%	8.11	10	6.80	11
063	Floyd	71.6%	6.69	34	\$905	4.31	55	45.2%	3.26	110	4.75	73
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	7.52	19	\$1,082	7.95	5	53.9%	5.64	65	7.04	7
067	Franklin County	66.2%	5.14	77	\$928	4.79	44	50.1%	4.60	86	4.85	70
069	Frederick	62.1%	4.00	97	\$962	5.47	25	53.4%	5.51	68	4.99	64
071	Giles	59.4%	3.23	106	\$921	4.65	47	48.2%	4.09	95	3.99	95
073	Gloucester	69.4%	6.05	47	\$832	2.83	90	55.9%	6.20	49	5.03	60
075	Goochland	67.9%	5.63	66	\$857	3.35	77	54.8%	5.89	58	4.95	67
077	Grayson	65.5%	4.95	82	\$820	2.57	94	57.0%	6.50	41	4.67	77

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.2%	7.98	8	\$915	4.51	51	49.4%	4.42	91	5.64	38
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.1%	2.57	112	\$798	2.13	107	56.9%	6.46	42	3.72	104
083	Halifax	60.0%	3.40	103	\$815	2.48	96	48.2%	4.09	96	3.32	112
085	Hanover	66.0%	5.09	79	\$1,049	7.27	8	65.2%	8.75	7	7.04	8
087	Henrico	69.7%	6.14	45	\$1,004	6.35	14	56.1%	6.24	47	6.25	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	65.4%	4.91	83	\$910	4.43	53	54.7%	5.86	59	5.07	56
091	Highland	71.4%	6.64	36	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.33	22	4.65	78
093	Isle of Wight	72.9%	7.05	26	\$888	3.97	65	55.4%	6.05	52	5.69	35
095	James City	73.0%	7.08	25	\$866	3.52	71	51.7%	5.06	79	5.22	50
097	King and Queen	56.2%	2.32	114	\$871	3.61	69	34.3%	0.26	119	2.06	120
099	King George	71.0%	6.52	38	\$906	4.33	54	50.0%	4.58	87	5.14	53
101	King William	60.9%	3.65	101	\$980	5.84	19	47.4%	3.86	99	4.45	84
103	Lancaster	67.9%	5.62	67	\$823	2.64	93	47.1%	3.79	100	4.02	93
105	Lee	66.2%	5.14	78	\$753	1.22	116	60.2%	7.37	21	4.57	82
107	Loudoun	80.5%	9.22	3	\$1,156	9.44	2	65.9%	8.94	4	9.20	1
109	Louisa	64.0%	4.54	86	\$956	5.37	26	54.9%	5.93	56	5.28	49
111	Lunenburg	48.0%	0.00	120	\$730	0.75	117	59.7%	7.26	24	2.67	119
113	Madison	68.5%	5.81	61	\$979	5.83	21	45.4%	3.31	108	4.98	65
115	Mathews	63.6%	4.42	89	\$860	3.39	74	50.0%	4.58	88	4.13	91
117	Mecklenburg	68.5%	5.79	62	\$800	2.18	104	58.3%	6.86	29	4.94	68
119	Middlesex	68.6%	5.82	60	\$860	3.41	73	55.2%	6.00	54	5.08	55
121	Montgomery	81.3%	9.43	2	\$913	4.48	52	53.8%	5.61	66	6.51	17
125	Nelson	67.8%	5.61	68	\$997	6.21	15	54.7%	5.86	60	5.89	31
127	New Kent	67.3%	5.45	75	\$1,006	6.37	13	52.4%	5.25	75	5.69	36
131	Northampton	62.9%	4.22	93	\$793	2.02	111	65.3%	8.77	6	5.00	63
133	Northumberland	60.8%	3.61	102	\$834	2.87	88	39.6%	1.73	118	2.74	118
135	Nottoway	69.2%	6.00	53	\$863	3.46	72	55.6%	6.11	51	5.19	52
137	Orange	73.5%	7.23	22	\$940	5.02	32	43.4%	2.76	114	5.00	62
139	Page	74.2%	7.41	21	\$975	5.75	22	57.3%	6.59	35	6.59	14
141	Patrick	67.7%	5.57	70	\$795	2.06	108	65.7%	8.88	5	5.50	43
143	Pittsylvania	57.2%	2.59	111	\$843	3.06	83	51.3%	4.92	82	3.52	111
145	Powhatan	58.8%	3.04	107	\$901	4.23	58	53.2%	5.46	70	4.24	90
147	Prince Edward	75.3%	7.74	14	\$859	3.38	75	60.6%	7.50	18	6.20	26
149	Prince George	63.1%	4.26	92	\$979	5.84	20	50.8%	4.80	84	4.97	66
153	Prince William	75.2%	7.70	15	\$1,183	10.00	1	62.1%	7.90	12	8.53	2
155	Pulaski	65.7%	5.02	81	\$923	4.70	46	45.4%	3.30	109	4.34	86
157	Rappahannock	63.2%	4.29	90	\$932	4.88	39	46.7%	3.66	101	4.28	88
159	Richmond County	68.4%	5.77	63	\$932	4.86	40	33.3%	0.00	120	3.54	110
161	Roanoke County	75.7%	7.84	12	\$1,017	6.61	11	52.2%	5.20	77	6.55	16
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	75.9%	7.89	10	\$849	3.17	81	54.5%	5.81	62	5.63	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.7%	7.86	11	\$930	4.83	43	59.0%	7.04	27	6.58	15
167	Russell	63.1%	4.27	91	\$833	2.84	89	58.7%	6.97	28	4.69	75
169	Scott	59.8%	3.34	105	\$853	3.25	80	64.3%	8.52	8	5.04	58
171	Shenandoah	67.3%	5.48	74	\$901	4.25	56	52.9%	5.38	71	5.03	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.6%	4.12	95	\$798	2.14	106	52.7%	5.33	74	3.86	101
175	<i>Southampton</i>	61.0%	3.68	100	\$810	2.38	101	47.6%	3.91	98	3.32	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.3%	6.33	42	\$1,015	6.57	12	56.8%	6.43	43	6.44	21
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.6%	4.13	94	\$1,122	8.75	3	57.1%	6.53	39	6.47	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.2%	7.14	23	\$853	3.26	79	50.4%	4.68	85	5.02	61
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.2%	6.01	50	\$931	4.85	41	57.2%	6.55	37	5.80	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.3%	6.02	49	\$779	1.75	113	57.0%	6.50	40	4.76	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.3%	6.33	41	\$967	5.59	24	57.9%	6.75	31	6.22	24
191	<i>Washington</i>	68.2%	5.71	64	\$867	3.54	70	49.9%	4.54	89	4.60	81
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	67.6%	5.54	71	\$892	4.06	62	49.7%	4.48	90	4.69	74
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	4.62	85	\$776	1.67	115	57.3%	6.57	36	4.29	87
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.6%	5.85	58	\$814	2.46	98	56.2%	6.27	46	4.86	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.8%	4.47	88	\$857	3.34	78	44.8%	3.15	111	3.65	106
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.1%	6.54	37	\$1,030	6.87	9	69.7%	10.00	1	7.80	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.6%	6.69	33	\$841	3.01	84	48.8%	4.24	93	4.65	80
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	83.3%	10.00	1	\$918	4.59	49	56.0%	6.23	48	6.94	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.3%	7.44	20	\$896	4.14	61	56.2%	6.27	45	5.95	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	68.7%	5.87	57	\$786	1.88	112	52.8%	5.35	72	4.37	85
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	70.2%	6.27	43	\$779	1.74	114	53.6%	5.57	67	4.53	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.4%	8.88	4	\$975	5.75	23	54.0%	5.69	63	6.77	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	64.9%	4.79	84	\$838	2.95	86	60.4%	7.43	20	5.06	57
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.2%	6.84	32	\$890	4.01	63	52.4%	5.25	76	5.37	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	71.0%	6.50	39	\$901	4.24	57	51.2%	4.91	83	5.22	51
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.1%	7.10	24	\$800	2.16	105	62.6%	8.04	11	5.77	33
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.9%	5.63	65	\$1,076	7.81	6	59.6%	7.23	25	6.89	10
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.1%	7.68	16	\$1,057	7.42	7	67.6%	9.41	2	8.17	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.8%	7.59	18	\$899	4.20	59	58.2%	6.82	30	6.21	25
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.9%	7.04	27	\$839	2.96	85	55.1%	5.99	55	5.33	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.6%	8.38	5	\$717	0.46	118	60.8%	7.55	16	5.47	44
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.2%	6.86	31	\$926	4.75	45	57.5%	6.65	34	6.09	29
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.6%	7.83	13	\$883	3.87	67	61.3%	7.69	14	6.46	20
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.8%	7.02	28	\$889	3.99	64	45.8%	3.41	105	4.81	71
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.3%	6.89	30	\$944	5.11	30	60.5%	7.45	19	6.48	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	68.6%	5.83	59	\$939	5.02	34	49.4%	4.42	92	5.09	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.4%	8.05	7	\$844	3.06	82	59.5%	7.18	26	6.10	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.3%	6.03	48	\$933	4.89	38	56.5%	6.36	44	5.76	34
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	76.7%	8.13	6	\$880	3.81	68	51.8%	5.07	78	5.67	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	70.1%	6.26	44	\$956	5.37	27	51.5%	4.99	81	5.54	42

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	24,071	1,246	935	2,224	812	498	2,728	306	589	881	1,157	802	3,354	824	335	2,384	761	4,033	202
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	94%	93%	94%	96%	87%	93%	97%	96%	95%	94%	92%	91%	90%	95%	97%	84%	90%	88%
% Timely in June 2009	89%	85%	95%	93%	100%	86%	80%	100%	100%	93%	93%	76%	86%	79%	93%	93%	88%	93%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	17,707	867	722	1,568	627	357	2,069	224	457	652	895	591	2,527	610	248	1,692	564	2,876	161
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	98%	98%	97%	98%	97%	95%	96%	98%	96%	97%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	94%	96%
Percent in June 2009	96%	99%	98%	98%	99%	95%	97%	100%	98%	97%	97%	99%	95%	98%	94%	94%	98%	93%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	122	10	1	7	0	0	23	0	0	1	1	13	39	12	1	8	4	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	27	61	7	0	0	14	0	0	23	24	20	44	18	2	21	7	8	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1246	99	72	181	183	145	203	330	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	94%	97%	97%	99%	94%	91%	92%	88%
% Timely in June 2009	85%	89%	100%	78%	94%	82%	85%	82%	0%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	867	68	45	144	127	102	144	212	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	97%	93%	99%	98%	98%	98%	97%	96%
Percent in June 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	27	0	0	28	0	21	0	0	33

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	935	16	85	27	173	168	103	266	97
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	96%	100%	91%	96%	80%	96%	94%
% Timely in June 2009	95%	N/A	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	722	14	66	18	138	129	84	201	72
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	93%	100%	100%	98%	98%	99%	97%	97%
Percent in June 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	61	0	0	0	61	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2224	111	24	8	37	176	62	291	201	234	72	1008
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	77%	100%	100%	97%	77%	89%	97%	100%	96%	86%	96%
% Timely in June 2009	93%	78%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	96%	100%	100%	80%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1568	78	18	3	22	100	41	231	143	168	49	715
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	98%	100%	99%	99%	98%	98%
Percent in June 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Average # of Days Over 30	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	812	515	5	0	47	245
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	N/A	98%	88%
% Timely in June 2009	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	627	397	4	N/A	30	196
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	87%	97%
Percent in June 2009	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	498	14	108	77	49	142	108	202	127	75
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	87%	100%	73%	97%	65%	97%	87%	88%	94%	77%
% Timely in June 2009	86%	100%	100%	100%	71%	89%	79%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	357	9	80	53	39	101	75	161	107	54
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	98%	100%	98%	95%	96%	99%	91%
Percent in June 2009	95%	100%	89%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,728	203	867	246	957	301	124	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	93%	100%	98%	92%	87%	96%	92%	93%
% Timely in June 2009	80%	100%	94%	100%	65%	77%	86%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	2069	169	643	196	709	230	100	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	93%	91%	96%	95%	100%	95%
Percent in June 2009	97%	100%	97%	91%	97%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	23	0	0	0	19	1	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	15	2	12	12

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	306	134	94	23	50	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	99%	99%	91%	98%	20%
% Timely in June 2009	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	224	85	76	21	37	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	93%	100%	100%	95%	60%
Percent in June 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	589	124	20	45	88	30	282
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	99%	95%	82%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in June 2009	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	457	98	15	28	64	23	229
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	96%	100%	79%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in June 2009	98%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	881	57	70	187	206	361
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	99%	95%	91%
% Timely in June 2009	93%	100%	100%	100%	82%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	652	41	51	135	152	273
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	96%	95%	96%
Percent in June 2009	97%	100%	100%	94%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	0	23	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1157	177	374	116	147	343
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	95%	89%	97%	99%	94%
% Timely in June 2009	93%	85%	97%	100%	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	895	136	295	89	106	269
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	95%	96%	98%	99%
Percent in June 2009	97%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	24	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	802	53	92	100	79	53	87	25	131	76	106
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	98%	93%	94%	99%	94%	71%	92%	97%	84%	95%
% Timely in June 2009	76%	100%	80%	50%	90%	100%	54%	100%	92%	14%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	591	33	79	71	55	38	58	17	104	62	74
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	92%	99%
Percent in June 2009	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	13	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	2	16	65	8	0	0	16	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	3,354	703	27	119	861	28	1,616
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	91%	95%	74%	99%	84%	96%	93%
% Timely in June 2009	86%	94%	80%	100%	70%	75%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	2527	522	17	95	615	20	1,258
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	98%	100%	92%	95%	95%	97%
Percent in June 2009	95%	98%	100%	90%	95%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	39	7	1	0	30	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	44	52	20	0	43	0	33

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	824	153	32	253	215	171
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	90%	93%	100%	85%	87%	95%
% Timely in June 2009	79%	46%	N/A	82%	90%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	610	112	25	170	170	133
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	99%	100%	91%	96%	99%
Percent in June 2009	98%	100%	100%	96%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	12	10	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	19	0	24	3	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	335	76	22	41	36	9	45	23	23	60
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	99%	91%	95%	94%	78%	100%	96%	91%	93%
% Timely in June 2009	93%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	248	55	15	38	22	8	29	17	18	46
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	95%	88%	90%	100%	100%	91%
Percent in June 2009	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	80%	N/A	100%	80%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	2,384	9	80	94	26	88	775	1273	39
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	89%	89%	100%	100%	98%	99%	96%	95%
% Timely in June 2009	93%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	89%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1692	7	57	71	25	60	542	895	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	86%	95%	99%	100%	93%	96%	95%	100%
Percent in June 2009	94%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	88%	97%	92%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1
Average # of Days Over 30	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	30

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	761	75	79	26	51	189	341
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	84%	97%	99%	73%	100%	71%	82%
% Timely in June 2009	88%	100%	100%	67%	100%	88%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	564	61	55	18	39	152	239
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	96%	100%	97%	98%	87%
Percent in June 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	7

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	4,033	95	83	732	63	1203	720	305	832
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	90%	94%	95%	92%	98%	78%	95%	98%	96%
% Timely in June 2009	93%	83%	100%	92%	100%	90%	93%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	2876	68	61	539	54	832	529	244	549
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	99%	100%	95%	96%	88%	96%	98%	97%
Percent in June 2009	93%	92%	100%	93%	100%	90%	95%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	4	0	0	0	0	11	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jun-08</i>	<i>Jun-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,736	15,413	-7.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,546	129,752	-2.1%
Total Cases Collected	149,282	145,165	-2.8%
TANF Collections	\$5,339,512	\$3,720,508	-30.3%
NTANF Collections	\$54,000,497	\$50,874,077	-5.8%
Total Collections	\$59,340,009	\$54,594,585	-8.0%
SFY YTD Collections	\$627,979,996	\$637,578,863	1.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$365	\$372	1.9%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jun-09</i>
TANF Paternities	244
NTANF Paternities	394
Combined Paternities	638

Diversionsary Assistance

June Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	4		Prince William	7
Amelia	4		Pulaski	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	15		Roanoke County	13
Bedford City / County	2		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	2
Brunswick	1		Rockingham / H'burg	11
Buckingham	7		Shenandoah	5
Campbell	3		Smyth	4
Caroline	5		Spotsylvania	9
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	15		Stafford	8
Clarke	1		Warren	7
Cumberland	2		Washington	1
Dinwiddie	2		Wythe	4
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		York / Poquoson	1
Fauquier	2		Alexandria	2
Floyd	3		Charlottesville	3
Franklin County	8		Chesapeake	5
Frederick	3		Danville	2
Greene	4		Franklin City	3
Halifax	1		Fredricksburg	5
Henrico	14		Hampton	6
Henry / Martinsville	4		Hopewell	2
Isle of Wight	3		Mannassas	2
Loudoun	3		Manassas Park	1
Louisa	1		Newport News	30
Lunenburg	2		Norfolk	26
Madison	2		Portsmouth	1
Mecklenburg	1		Richmond City	3
Montgomery	3		Roanoke City	21
Nelson	1		Suffolk	14
Nottoway	2		Virginia Beach	6
Orange	2		Winchester	5
Page	2		Statewide	335

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of July 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through June 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through June 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

June 30, 2009




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of July and represent end of June data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June, it was \$7.85 rather than the \$7.77 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.