

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
July 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services August 27, 2009

Monthly Report, July 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 43% with a denominator of 18,471 and a numerator of 7,925.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	70	24	34%	061	Fauquier	71	33	46%
003	Albemarle	74	28	38%	063	Floyd	32	15	47%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	18	25%	065	Fluvanna	18	4	22%
007	Amelia	40	17	43%	067	Franklin County	96	32	33%
009	Amherst	42	15	36%	069	Frederick	67	22	33%
011	Appomattox	35	18	51%	071	Giles	17	5	29%
013	Arlington	136	68	50%	073	Gloucester	57	16	28%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	309	156	50%	075	Goochland	17	1	6%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	21	9	43%
019	Bedford County/City	105	51	49%	079	Greene	39	1	3%
021	Bland	4	3	75%	081	Greensville/Emporia	72	23	32%
023	Botetourt	12	1	8%	083	Halifax	106	25	24%
025	Brunswick	59	27	46%	085	Hanover	100	29	29%
027	Buchanan	67	36	54%	087	Henrico	795	345	43%
029	Buckingham	35	17	49%	089	Henry/Martinsville	307	95	31%
031	Campbell	167	62	37%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	109	33	30%	093	Isle of Wight	75	38	51%
035	Carroll	59	33	56%	095	James City	76	31	41%
036	Charles City	11	1	9%	097	King and Queen	12	2	17%
037	Charlotte	39	18	46%	099	King George	15	10	67%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	488	221	45%	101	King William	23	8	35%
043	Clarke	14	2	14%	103	Lancaster	17	7	41%
045	Craig	5	2	40%	105	Lee	128	49	38%
047	Culpeper	81	35	43%	107	Loudoun	169	60	36%
049	Cumberland	40	17	43%	109	Louisa	54	31	57%
051	Dickensen	40	12	30%	111	Lunenburg	32	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	52	18	35%	113	Madison	13	6	46%
057	Essex	62	19	31%	115	Mathews	6	1	17%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	630	307	49%	117	Mecklenburg	83	32	39%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	30	6	20%	187	Warren	72	35	49%
121	Montgomery	221	112	51%	191	Washington	100	44	44%
125	Nelson	21	7	33%	193	Westmoreland	46	15	33%
127	New Kent	11	4	36%	195	Wise	220	88	40%
131	Northampton	41	13	32%	197	Wythe	69	29	42%
133	Northumberland	15	8	53%	199	York/Poquoson	67	32	48%
135	Nottoway	68	5	7%	510	Alexandria	264	123	47%
137	Orange	37	14	38%	520	Bristol	142	85	60%
139	Page	40	19	48%	540	Charlottesville	191	99	52%
141	Patrick	53	23	43%	550	Chesapeake	747	336	45%
143	Pittsylvania	88	26	30%	590	Danville	262	110	42%
145	Powhatan	24	13	54%	620	Franklin City	38	16	42%
147	Prince Edward	69	33	48%	630	Fredericksburg	126	56	44%
149	Prince George	46	16	35%	640	Galax	48	16	33%
153	Prince William	710	314	44%	650	Hampton	716	357	50%
155	Pulaski	75	27	36%	670	Hopewell	206	31	15%
157	Rappahannock	4	2	50%	680	Lynchburg	314	136	43%
159	Richmond County	18	2	11%	683	Manassas	88	32	36%
161	Roanoke County	153	75	49%	685	Manassas Park	23	11	48%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	40	12	30%	700	Newport News	993	445	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	139	78	56%	710	Norfolk	1,248	696	56%
167	Russell	127	67	53%	720	Norton	43	14	33%
169	Scott	94	27	29%	730	Petersburg	383	127	33%
171	Shenandoah	25	9	36%	740	Portsmouth	685	275	40%
173	Smyth	90	28	31%	750	Radford	39	21	54%
175	Southampton	68	14	21%	760	Richmond City	1,598	701	44%
177	Spotsylvania	222	68	31%	770	Roanoke City	569	182	32%
179	Stafford	188	90	48%	800	Suffolk	218	114	52%
181	Surry	28	12	43%	810	Virginia Beach	522	263	50%
183	Sussex	47	23	49%	830	Williamsburg	26	10	38%
185	Tazewell	161	63	39%	840	Winchester	75	25	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 38% with a denominator of 16,589 and a numerator of 6,264. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	63	17	27%	061	Fauquier	58	20	34%
003	Albemarle	55	11	20%	063	Floyd	28	11	39%
005	Alleghany/Covington	65	10	15%	065	Fluvanna	14	0	0%
007	Amelia	39	17	44%	067	Franklin County	90	27	30%
009	Amherst	39	12	31%	069	Frederick	57	14	25%
011	Appomattox	31	14	45%	071	Giles	15	3	20%
013	Arlington	118	51	43%	073	Gloucester	49	11	22%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	279	127	46%	075	Goochland	17	1	6%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	18	6	33%
019	Bedford County/City	92	38	41%	079	Greene	38	0	0%
021	Bland	3	2	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	66	18	27%
023	Botetourt	10	1	10%	083	Halifax	102	21	21%
025	Brunswick	55	23	42%	085	Hanover	92	23	25%
027	Buchanan	56	25	45%	087	Henrico	716	273	38%
029	Buckingham	31	13	42%	089	Henry/Martinsville	290	79	27%
031	Campbell	148	44	30%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	99	26	26%	093	Isle of Wight	65	29	45%
035	Carroll	51	26	51%	095	James City	66	23	35%
036	Charles City	9	1	11%	097	King and Queen	12	2	17%
037	Charlotte	33	13	39%	099	King George	10	5	50%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	436	172	39%	101	King William	22	7	32%
043	Clarke	14	2	14%	103	Lancaster	17	7	41%
045	Craig	5	2	40%	105	Lee	119	41	34%
047	Culpeper	74	30	41%	107	Loudoun	139	33	24%
049	Cumberland	38	16	42%	109	Louisa	45	22	49%
051	Dickensen	37	9	24%	111	Lunenburg	30	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	44	11	25%	113	Madison	13	6	46%
057	Essex	61	19	31%	115	Mathews	4	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	550	234	43%	117	Mecklenburg	73	22	30%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	26	2	8%	187	Warren	63	27	43%
121	Montgomery	182	74	41%	191	Washington	91	35	38%
125	Nelson	19	5	26%	193	Westmoreland	40	15	38%
127	New Kent	11	4	36%	195	Wise	194	67	35%
131	Northampton	36	8	22%	197	Wythe	59	20	34%
133	Northumberland	12	5	42%	199	York/Poquoson	61	26	43%
135	Nottoway	64	1	2%	510	Alexandria	236	96	41%
137	Orange	35	13	37%	520	Bristol	131	74	56%
139	Page	35	15	43%	540	Charlottesville	164	72	44%
141	Patrick	46	16	35%	550	Chesapeake	689	286	42%
143	Pittsylvania	84	22	26%	590	Danville	239	89	37%
145	Powhatan	21	10	48%	620	Franklin City	33	12	36%
147	Prince Edward	62	26	42%	630	Fredericksburg	112	46	41%
149	Prince George	43	13	30%	640	Galax	44	12	27%
153	Prince William	585	200	34%	650	Hampton	646	303	47%
155	Pulaski	65	18	28%	670	Hopewell	190	27	14%
157	Rappahannock	4	2	50%	680	Lynchburg	292	116	40%
159	Richmond County	18	2	11%	683	Manassas	76	25	33%
161	Roanoke County	133	55	41%	685	Manassas Park	18	8	44%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	34	7	21%	700	Newport News	879	345	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	116	55	47%	710	Norfolk	1,169	620	53%
167	Russell	120	60	50%	720	Norton	40	11	28%
169	Scott	81	17	21%	730	Petersburg	356	110	31%
171	Shenandoah	23	9	39%	740	Portsmouth	631	226	36%
173	Smyth	85	24	28%	750	Radford	37	19	51%
175	Southampton	62	9	15%	760	Richmond City	1,470	593	40%
177	Spotsylvania	202	57	28%	770	Roanoke City	515	132	26%
179	Stafford	166	72	43%	800	Suffolk	192	89	46%
181	Surry	25	9	36%	810	Virginia Beach	452	195	43%
183	Sussex	40	17	43%	830	Williamsburg	22	7	32%
185	Tazewell	141	43	30%	840	Winchester	69	20	29%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,882 and a numerator of 1,661. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	7	100%	061	Fauquier	13	13	100%
003	Albemarle	19	17	89%	063	Floyd	4	4	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	8	100%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	1	0	0%	067	Franklin County	6	5	83%
009	Amherst	3	3	100%	069	Frederick	10	8	80%
011	Appomattox	4	4	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	18	17	94%	073	Gloucester	8	5	63%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	29	97%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	13	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	5	83%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	4	4	100%
025	Brunswick	4	4	100%	085	Hanover	8	6	75%
027	Buchanan	11	11	100%	087	Henrico	79	72	91%
029	Buckingham	4	4	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	17	16	94%
031	Campbell	19	18	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	10	7	70%	093	Isle of Wight	10	9	90%
035	Carroll	8	7	88%	095	James City	10	8	80%
036	Charles City	2	0	0%	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	6	5	83%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	52	49	94%	101	King William	1	1	100%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	9	8	89%
047	Culpeper	7	5	71%	107	Loudoun	30	27	90%
049	Cumberland	2	1	50%	109	Louisa	9	9	100%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	8	7	88%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	1	0	0%	115	Mathews	2	1	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	80	73	91%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	9	8	89%
121	Montgomery	39	38	97%	191	Washington	9	9	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	6	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	26	21	81%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	10	9	90%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	6	6	100%
135	Nottoway	4	4	100%	510	Alexandria	28	27	96%
137	Orange	2	1	50%	520	Bristol	11	11	100%
139	Page	5	4	80%	540	Charlottesville	27	27	100%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	58	50	86%
143	Pittsylvania	4	4	100%	590	Danville	23	21	91%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	5	4	80%
147	Prince Edward	7	7	100%	630	Fredericksburg	14	10	71%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	125	114	91%	650	Hampton	70	54	77%
155	Pulaski	10	9	90%	670	Hopewell	16	4	25%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	22	20	91%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	12	7	58%
161	Roanoke County	20	20	100%	685	Manassas Park	5	3	60%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	5	83%	700	Newport News	114	100	88%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	23	23	100%	710	Norfolk	79	76	96%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	13	10	77%	730	Petersburg	27	17	63%
171	Shenandoah	2	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	54	49	91%
173	Smyth	5	4	80%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	6	5	83%	760	Richmond City	128	108	84%
177	Spotsylvania	20	11	55%	770	Roanoke City	54	50	93%
179	Stafford	22	18	82%	800	Suffolk	26	25	96%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	70	68	97%
183	Sussex	7	6	86%	830	Williamsburg	4	3	75%
185	Tazewell	20	20	100%	840	Winchester	6	5	83%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-09	Jul-08	Change from Jul-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,743	5,194	11%	4,807	19%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,036	2,535	20%	1,658	83%
Applications Approved	2,768	2,654	4%	2,820	-2%
Cases Closed *	2,539	2,419	5%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	32,256	27,533	17%	67,995	-53%
Cases Paid During Month ²	33,385	28,620	17%	70,403	-53%

•The database indicates that of the 2,539 closed cases, 905 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 559 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 193 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 138 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-09	Jul-08	Change from Jul-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	583	353	65%	338	72%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	321	220	46%	232	38%
Applications Approved	304	199	53%	81	275%
Cases Closed *	240	178	35%	107	124%
Cases at End of Month	1,939	983	97%	321	504%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,056	1,090	89%	394	422%

•The database indicates that of the 240 closed cases, 108 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 69 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 12 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 9 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	34,195	1,953	1,066	2,637	958	533	3,918	420	691	1,202	1,652	1,021	5,335	1,074	436	3,309	1,311	6,412	267
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,349	768	534	1,091	401	250	1,586	168	260	587	572	504	2,240	585	188	1,326	651	2,553	85
Part. in a Work Activity	10,114	545	364	719	295	178	1,190	119	206	397	382	308	1,567	417	128	958	372	1,921	48
% of Enrolled	70%	71%	68%	66%	74%	71%	75%	71%	79%	68%	67%	61%	70%	71%	68%	72%	57%	75%	56%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	137	7	6	12	1	6	27	2	1	0	0	2	25	5	5	6	8	24	0
Participants Employed	6,927	423	245	543	230	115	690	96	129	282	233	212	889	288	88	704	278	1,445	37
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	46%	50%	57%	46%	44%	57%	50%	48%	41%	42%	40%	49%	47%	53%	43%	57%	44%
Full Time	5,778	396	204	443	207	92	531	85	90	231	198	170	717	231	74	584	218	1,277	30
Part Time	1,149	27	41	100	23	23	159	11	39	51	35	42	172	57	14	120	60	168	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.78	\$7.11	\$7.19	\$7.73	\$7.63	\$7.87	\$9.10	\$8.07	\$8.23	\$7.35	\$7.37	\$7.35	\$8.00	\$7.94	\$7.77	\$7.74	\$7.64	\$7.50	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$974	\$974	\$1,061	\$1,034	\$1,040	\$1,211	\$1,097	\$1,002	\$1,001	#####	\$962	\$1,089	\$1,052	\$1,072	\$1,047	\$1,006	\$1,026	\$1,096

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	3,173	195	121	272	132	61	341	37	88	118	176	91	429	138	43	293	94	524	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,479	822	567	1,200	412	287	1,747	174	293	620	655	550	2,381	615	200	1,465	674	2,720	97
Part. in a Work Activity	11,827	629	433	894	336	203	1,385	128	240	493	490	396	1,771	460	148	1,143	437	2,178	63
% of Total Enrolled	76%	77%	76%	75%	82%	71%	79%	74%	82%	80%	75%	72%	74%	75%	74%	78%	65%	80%	65%
Participants Employed	7,405	453	272	597	237	119	762	98	142	303	258	219	937	299	99	757	291	1,521	41
% of Total Enrolled	48%	55%	48%	50%	58%	41%	44%	56%	48%	49%	39%	40%	39%	49%	50%	52%	43%	56%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$7.15	\$7.18	\$7.76	\$7.63	\$7.96	\$9.25	\$8.07	\$8.24	\$7.39	\$7.37	\$7.33	\$7.99	\$7.98	\$7.88	\$7.70	\$7.62	\$7.52	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$965	\$934	\$1,050	\$1,014	\$1,037	\$1,203	\$1,059	\$956	\$969	\$958	\$920	\$1,077	\$1,028	\$1,046	\$1,015	\$997	\$1,019	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	72%	63%	64%	73%	61%	75%	68%	67%	63%	64%	72%	72%	78%	66%	73%	73%	74%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	221,609	14,698	8,351	16,250	6,293	3,978	27,417	3,139	4,766	8,301	10,585	6,320	29,418	6,159	2,840	20,914	7,535	42,617	2,028
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	166,436	11,075	6,955	12,037	4,712	2,869	21,005	2,350	3,582	6,775	8,415	4,722	22,592	4,312	2,028	15,189	5,785	30,555	1,478
Part. in a Work Activity	159,435	10,614	6,616	11,537	4,566	2,748	20,332	2,265	3,480	6,404	8,106	4,499	21,810	4,123	1,908	14,523	5,516	28,969	1,419
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	97%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	116,726	7,057	4,688	8,527	3,379	1,960	15,274	1,621	2,771	4,774	5,409	2,939	15,860	2,986	1,323	11,048	4,068	22,187	855
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	67%	71%	72%	68%	73%	69%	77%	70%	64%	62%	70%	69%	65%	73%	70%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$5.97	\$6.04	\$6.84	\$6.89	\$7.04	\$8.36	\$7.19	\$7.18	\$6.27	\$6.16	\$6.42	\$7.12	\$7.60	\$6.69	\$6.75	\$6.75	\$6.62	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$920	\$789	\$827	\$929	\$924	\$959	\$1,120	\$957	\$938	\$822	\$828	\$848	\$968	\$1,004	\$870	\$893	\$921	\$874	\$807
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	54%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	56%	55%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,953	210	117	288	278	197	322	480	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	768	60	41	135	139	83	93	190	27
Participants in a Work Activity	545	43	25	100	106	57	79	122	13
% of Enrolled	71%	72%	61%	74%	76%	69%	85%	64%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	423	23	23	86	63	46	62	107	13
% of Enrolled	55%	38%	56%	64%	45%	55%	67%	56%	48%
Full Time	396	23	22	81	55	44	59	100	12
Part Time	27	0	1	5	8	2	3	7	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$7.27	\$6.83	\$6.91	\$7.15	\$7.12	\$7.10	\$7.34	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$1,066	\$917	\$924	\$964	\$1,003	\$970	\$1,006	\$930

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	195	12	14	30	31	13	30	59	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	822	66	49	140	140	86	104	209	28
Participants in a Work Activity	629	48	32	106	111	69	94	154	15
% of Total Enrolled	77%	73%	65%	76%	79%	80%	90%	74%	54%
Participants Employed	453	28	26	89	65	47	67	117	14
% of Total Enrolled	55%	42%	53%	64%	46%	55%	64%	56%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.92	\$6.84	\$6.91	\$7.13	\$7.12	\$7.14	\$7.30	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$965	\$1,141	\$903	\$915	\$947	\$983	\$944	\$999	\$903
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	69%	62%	81%	64%	80%	73%	67%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,698	1,521	1,051	2,050	2,007	1,369	2,827	3,407	466
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,075	1,150	790	1,520	1,584	954	2,137	2,579	361
Participants in a Work Activity	10,614	1,064	744	1,499	1,494	932	2,061	2,484	336
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	94%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,057	598	472	1,005	997	567	1,481	1,660	277
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	59%	69%	64%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.97	\$6.18	\$6.04	\$5.66	\$6.20	\$6.22	\$5.91	\$5.95	\$5.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$789	\$803	\$793	\$754	\$834	\$856	\$780	\$778	\$717
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	48%	60%	59%	65%	57%	57%	61%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,066	12	129	43	197	217	116	266	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	534	3	55	16	89	106	60	161	44
Participants in a Work Activity	364	3	48	10	54	55	50	120	24
% of Enrolled	68%	100%	87%	63%	61%	52%	83%	75%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1
Participants Employed	245	3	35	5	37	45	35	66	19
% of Enrolled	46%	100%	64%	31%	42%	42%	58%	41%	43%
Full Time	204	2	30	2	29	40	31	52	18
Part Time	41	1	5	3	8	5	4	14	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$6.52	\$6.97	\$6.94	\$7.39	\$7.20	\$7.10	\$7.35	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$766	\$964	\$827	\$1,025	\$989	\$927	\$964	\$1,052

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	121	0	15	9	22	15	15	27	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	567	3	57	16	100	108	65	164	54
Participants in a Work Activity	433	3	50	10	66	80	57	129	38
% of Total Enrolled	76%	100%	88%	63%	66%	74%	88%	79%	70%
Participants Employed	272	3	35	5	38	56	37	75	23
% of Total Enrolled	48%	100%	61%	31%	38%	52%	57%	46%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$6.52	\$6.97	\$6.94	\$7.38	\$7.10	\$7.07	\$7.34	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$934	\$770	\$870	\$908	\$1,000	\$948	\$900	\$912	\$1,045
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	100%	70%	55%	63%	59%	62%	59%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,351	215	1,147	570	1,432	1,394	1,306	1,699	588
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,955	166	943	462	1,174	1,139	1,049	1,513	509
Participants in a Work Activity	6,616	155	855	423	1,119	1,097	1,028	1,458	481
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	91%	92%	95%	96%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,688	106	639	302	733	769	720	1,087	332
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	65%	62%	68%	69%	72%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.04	\$5.93	\$5.84	\$6.15	\$5.81	\$6.32	\$6.10	\$6.08	\$5.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$827	\$815	\$794	\$821	\$801	\$868	\$815	\$841	\$843
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,637	119	33	7	45	241	42	376	188	321	80	1,185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1091	99	11	6	18	88	25	174	65	129	41	435
Participants in a Work Activity	719	49	6	5	15	52	16	134	51	95	34	262
% of Enrolled	66%	49%	55%	83%	83%	59%	64%	77%	78%	74%	83%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	6	0	0
Participants Employed	543	46	5	3	15	31	13	114	34	65	27	190
% of Enrolled	50%	46%	45%	50%	83%	35%	52%	66%	52%	50%	66%	44%
Full Time	443	20	3	2	13	29	12	108	31	51	20	154
Part Time	100	26	2	1	2	2	1	6	3	14	7	36
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.73	\$7.44	\$7.27	\$7.45	\$7.79	\$7.69	\$7.90	\$7.36	\$7.73	\$7.62	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$915	\$845	\$983	\$1,065	\$1,122	\$1,095	\$1,100	\$1,025	\$1,037	\$1,067	\$1,082

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	272	7	3	1	0	24	3	34	20	28	12	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,200	100	11	7	21	89	30	183	76	142	51	490
Participants in a Work Activity	894	64	8	6	17	60	20	143	63	119	38	356
% of Total Enrolled	75%	64%	73%	86%	81%	67%	67%	78%	83%	84%	75%	73%
Participants Employed	597	48	5	4	16	32	15	119	39	75	29	215
% of Total Enrolled	50%	48%	45%	57%	76%	36%	50%	65%	51%	53%	57%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.70	\$7.44	\$7.58	\$7.51	\$7.77	\$7.76	\$7.89	\$7.31	\$7.81	\$7.72	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$862	\$917	\$1,052	\$1,057	\$1,066	\$1,084	\$1,079	\$998	\$1,076	\$1,058	\$1,072
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	58%	38%	100%	82%	63%	65%	71%	63%	68%	38%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,250	1,014	269	61	411	1,379	410	2,345	1,445	1,704	654	6,558
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,037	747	160	22	283	1,005	278	1,867	1,120	1,325	496	4,734
Participants in a Work Activity	11,537	714	147	22	276	909	271	1,847	1,079	1,259	481	4,532
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	92%	100%	98%	90%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,527	543	83	14	205	660	167	1,514	739	999	362	3,241
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	52%	64%	72%	66%	60%	81%	66%	75%	73%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.84	\$6.66	\$7.18	\$6.54	\$6.73	\$6.68	\$6.76	\$6.70	\$6.59	\$7.30	\$6.81	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$929	\$813	\$921	\$987	\$908	\$928	\$921	\$913	\$924	\$1,017	\$891	\$940
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	44%	67%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	958	626	7	0	73	252
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	401	263	2	N/A	23	113
Participants in a Work Activity	295	181	2	N/A	11	101
% of Enrolled	74%	69%	100%	N/A	48%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	230	152	2	N/A	11	65
% of Enrolled	57%	58%	100%	N/A	48%	58%
Full Time	207	140	1	N/A	9	57
Part Time	23	12	1	N/A	2	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.62	\$7.63	N/A	\$7.26	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,017	\$852	N/A	\$941	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	132	91	0	0	5	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	412	271	3	0	23	115
Participants in a Work Activity	336	213	3	N/A	13	107
% of Total Enrolled	82%	79%	100%	N/A	57%	93%
Participants Employed	237	153	3	N/A	11	70
% of Total Enrolled	58%	56%	100%	N/A	48%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.63	\$7.62	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.26	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$1,007	\$547	N/A	\$891	\$1,069
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	81%	100%	N/A	66%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,293	3,416	61	16	566	2,234
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,712	2,604	37	7	411	1,653
Participants in a Work Activity	4,566	2,533	36	7	390	1,600
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,379	1,788	25	5	311	1,250
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	68%	71%	76%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$6.89	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.53	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$924	\$937	\$706	\$694	\$848	\$931
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	59%	60%	55%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	533	19	153	65	43	123	130	267	178	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	250	10	62	50	17	58	53	85	48	37
Participants in a Work Activity	178	7	32	34	14	47	44	48	25	23
% of Enrolled	71%	70%	52%	68%	82%	81%	83%	56%	52%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	115	3	25	24	10	31	22	37	22	15
% of Enrolled	46%	30%	40%	48%	10	53%	42%	44%	46%	41%
Full Time	92	2	17	20	10	28	15	30	19	11
Part Time	23	1	8	4	0	3	7	7	3	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$7.35	\$8.16	\$8.20	\$7.53	\$7.89	\$7.39	\$8.21	\$7.83	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$969	\$986	\$1,156	\$1,069	\$1,066	\$937	\$1,096	\$1,052	\$1,161

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	61	4	15	8	4	16	14	20	15	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	287	10	63	55	19	77	63	97	59	38
Participants in a Work Activity	203	7	36	40	14	53	53	63	35	28
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	57%	73%	74%	69%	84%	65%	59%	74%
Participants Employed	119	3	25	25	10	32	24	41	25	16
% of Total Enrolled	41%	30%	40%	45%	53%	42%	38%	42%	42%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.35	\$8.16	\$8.51	\$7.53	\$7.98	\$7.45	\$8.10	\$7.74	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$995	\$955	\$1,207	\$908	\$1,093	\$934	\$1,067	\$992	\$1,185
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	40%	66%	63%	65%	57%	58%	73%	67%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,978	165	754	620	510	989	940	2,028	1253	775
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,869	96	534	491	298	728	722	1,478	891	587
Participants in a Work Activity	2,748	90	502	477	291	690	698	1419	867	552
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	97%	98%	95%	97%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	1,960	56	329	360	199	509	507	855	484	371
% of Total Enrolled	68%	58%	62%	73%	67%	70%	70%	58%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.12	\$7.24	\$7.13	\$6.46	\$7.09	\$6.99	\$6.39	\$6.46	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$959	\$952	\$960	\$975	\$901	\$969	\$955	\$807	\$814	\$799
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	47%	53%	57%	53%	58%	51%	61%	58%	65%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,918	288	1,408	260	1,221	505	178	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,586	94	497	148	568	197	69	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,190	74	423	98	385	159	40	11
% of Enrolled	75%	79%	85%	66%	68%	81%	58%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	27	0	13	1	6	2	5	0
Participants Employed	690	42	203	76	258	74	29	8
% of Enrolled	44%	45%	41%	51%	45%	38%	42%	62%
Full Time	531	25	154	56	204	59	26	7
Part Time	159	17	49	20	54	15	3	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.10	\$8.89	\$9.32	\$9.76	\$8.75	\$9.42	\$8.71	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,211	\$1,074	\$1,219	\$1,320	\$1,182	\$1,276	\$1,185	\$1,075

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	341	25	105	23	129	40	11	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,747	112	561	156	627	206	71	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,385	94	480	108	470	177	44	12
% of Total Enrolled	79%	84%	86%	69%	75%	86%	62%	86%
Participants Employed	762	50	225	79	287	82	31	8
% of Total Enrolled	44%	45%	40%	51%	46%	40%	44%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.25	\$9.16	\$9.49	\$9.75	\$8.91	\$9.76	\$8.62	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,203	\$1,087	\$1,192	\$1,252	\$1,196	\$1,323	\$1,121	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	70%	73%	77%	76%	75%	87%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	27,417	2,442	9,625	1,866	8,711	3,265	1,154	354
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,005	1,891	7,137	1,480	6,758	2,601	877	261
Participants in a Work Activity	20,332	1,868	6,918	1,423	6,518	2,499	848	258
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	15,274	1,418	4,955	1,194	5,067	1,849	596	195
% of Total Enrolled	73%	75%	69%	81%	75%	71%	68%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$7.90	\$8.28	\$8.86	\$8.57	\$8.08	\$7.93	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,120	\$1,028	\$1,102	\$1,157	\$1,184	\$1,033	\$1,076	\$1,058
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	68%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	420	183	116	31	88	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	168	69	42	13	40	4
Participants in a Work Activity	119	42	34	11	28	4
% of Enrolled	71%	61%	81%	85%	70%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	96	37	26	8	21	4
% of Enrolled	57%	54%	62%	62%	53%	100%
Full Time	85	30	24	8	19	4
Part Time	11	7	2	0	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.75	\$7.63	\$7.78	\$7.53	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,146	\$1,051	\$1,173	\$1,021	\$1,184

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	37	12	14	2	9	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	174	71	44	13	41	5
Participants in a Work Activity	128	49	35	12	28	4
% of Total Enrolled	74%	69%	80%	92%	68%	80%
Participants Employed	98	38	27	8	21	4
% of Total Enrolled	56%	54%	61%	62%	51%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.71	\$7.69	\$7.78	\$7.53	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,102	\$1,041	\$1,199	\$943	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	63%	64%	58%	86%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,139	1,204	909	297	646	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,350	867	691	219	516	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,265	835	682	212	482	54
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,621	572	487	148	376	38
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	70%	68%	73%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.17	\$7.51	\$6.98	\$6.91	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$942	\$983	\$979	\$939	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	55%	63%	46%	43%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	691	124	40	59	101	48	319
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	260	36	9	27	39	14	135
Participants in a Work Activity	206	24	5	14	26	12	125
% of Enrolled	79%	67%	56%	52%	67%	86%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	129	16	5	11	21	9	67
% of Enrolled	50%	44%	56%	41%	54%	64%	50%
Full Time	90	13	5	3	14	5	50
Part Time	39	3	0	8	7	4	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$9.00	\$7.76	\$7.40	\$8.08	\$7.20	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,258	\$1,102	\$667	\$943	\$824	\$1,031

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	88	19	4	9	17	1	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	293	40	9	27	48	17	152
Participants in a Work Activity	240	30	5	14	34	14	143
% of Total Enrolled	82%	75%	56%	52%	71%	82%	94%
Participants Employed	142	17	5	11	24	11	74
% of Total Enrolled	48%	43%	56%	41%	50%	65%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.94	\$7.76	\$7.40	\$8.24	\$7.12	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$1,271	\$1,094	\$763	\$967	\$805	\$922
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	65%	100%	67%	78%	71%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,766	1106	173	374	712	221	2,180
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,582	763	114	264	494	147	1,800
Participants in a Work Activity	3,480	743	108	247	464	146	1,772
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	94%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,771	574	85	201	314	101	1,496
% of Total Enrolled	77%	75%	75%	76%	64%	69%	83%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.60	\$7.35	\$7.11	\$7.18	\$7.43	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$993	\$1,082	\$911	\$956	\$991	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	54%	50%	55%	55%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,202	90	87	175	281	569
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	587	27	37	101	186	236
Participants in a Work Activity	397	21	25	71	119	161
% of Enrolled	68%	78%	68%	70%	64%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	282	20	19	55	66	122
% of Enrolled	48%	74%	51%	54%	35%	52%
Full Time	231	17	19	42	57	96
Part Time	51	3	0	13	9	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.56	\$7.17	\$7.44	\$7.51	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,058	\$1,108	\$988	\$1,032	\$965

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	118	13	10	17	33	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	620	32	38	108	192	250
Participants in a Work Activity	493	24	30	90	144	205
% of Total Enrolled	80%	75%	79%	83%	75%	82%
Participants Employed	303	21	19	58	74	131
% of Total Enrolled	49%	66%	50%	54%	39%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.63	\$7.17	\$7.60	\$7.49	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$1,030	\$1,108	\$967	\$980	\$935
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	48%	50%	60%	66%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,301	764	671	1,657	1,929	3,280
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	6,775	608	592	1,303	1,609	2,663
Participants in a Work Activity	6,404	579	575	1,225	1,503	2,522
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	4,774	420	423	876	1,104	1,951
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	71%	67%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.27	\$6.49	\$6.03	\$6.31	\$6.44	\$6.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$822	\$830	\$827	\$818	\$860	\$800
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	43%	55%	60%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,652	256	538	106	222	530
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	572	71	247	59	41	154
Participants in a Work Activity	382	32	180	50	20	100
% of Enrolled	67%	45%	73%	85%	49%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	233	28	95	29	16	65
% of Enrolled	41%	39%	38%	49%	39%	42%
Full Time	198	20	82	26	15	55
Part Time	35	8	13	3	1	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.77	\$7.34	\$7.13	\$6.90	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$1,074	\$1,027	\$1,001	\$904	\$1,003

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	176	17	56	13	24	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	655	83	263	68	55	186
Participants in a Work Activity	490	49	202	56	36	147
% of Total Enrolled	75%	59%	77%	82%	65%	79%
Participants Employed	258	33	98	35	21	71
% of Total Enrolled	39%	40%	37%	51%	38%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.59	\$7.35	\$7.01	\$7.39	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$855	\$989	\$909	\$957	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	63%	68%	73%	48%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,585	1,626	2,794	1,058	1,695	3,412
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,415	1,353	2,050	911	1,297	2,804
Participants in a Work Activity	8,106	1,296	1,957	856	1,273	2,724
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,409	810	1,329	615	740	1,915
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	65%	68%	57%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.16	\$6.14	\$6.47	\$6.06	\$6.16	\$6.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$828	\$815	\$911	\$795	\$846	\$787
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,021	69	105	72	78	67	129	47	178	132	144
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	504	34	42	40	39	39	57	30	76	83	64
Participants in a Work Activity	308	28	27	32	29	27	46	13	29	31	46
% of Enrolled	61%	82%	64%	80%	74%	69%	81%	43%	38%	37%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	212	16	25	24	16	14	15	9	26	31	36
% of Enrolled	42%	47%	60%	60%	41%	36%	26%	30%	34%	37%	56%
Full Time	170	12	19	24	14	12	7	5	19	31	27
Part Time	42	4	6	0	2	2	8	4	7	0	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$8.39	\$6.80	\$7.68	\$7.50	\$7.94	\$6.95	\$6.79	\$7.34	\$6.89	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$953	\$883	\$1,082	\$1,058	\$1,105	\$761	\$786	\$965	\$927	\$996

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	91	7	15	10	4	7	10	8	9	10	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	550	35	47	41	45	39	74	32	79	84	74
Participants in a Work Activity	396	31	33	34	37	28	60	18	69	32	54
% of Total Enrolled	72%	89%	70%	83%	82%	72%	81%	56%	87%	38%	73%
Participants Employed	219	18	22	24	17	14	16	10	27	32	39
% of Total Enrolled	40%	51%	47%	59%	38%	36%	22%	31%	34%	38%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$8.32	\$6.83	\$7.67	\$7.46	\$7.94	\$6.93	\$6.70	\$7.33	\$6.91	\$7.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$920	\$1,043	\$842	\$1,038	\$1,061	\$1,065	\$700	\$778	\$867	\$890	\$917
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	63%	80%	69%	80%	71%	52%	100%	72%	79%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,320	373	838	646	531	437	754	251	908	762	820
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,722	266	648	471	367	305	577	183	695	566	644
Participants in a Work Activity	4,499	247	646	434	339	290	552	181	656	523	631
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	100%	92%	92%	95%	96%	99%	94%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	2,939	164	376	272	193	173	329	87	476	386	483
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	53%	57%	57%	48%	68%	68%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.42	\$7.11	\$5.97	\$6.79	\$6.83	\$6.94	\$6.36	\$5.62	\$6.12	\$6.40	\$6.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$848	\$938	\$804	\$916	\$898	\$936	\$796	\$734	\$801	\$863	\$852
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	57%	52%	46%	46%	57%	60%	58%	56%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,335	949	32	165	1,439	41	2,709
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,240	362	12	106	606	20	1,134
Participants in a Work Activity	1,567	246	6	63	391	11	850
% of Enrolled	70%	68%	50%	59%	65%	55%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	1	1	0	16	0	7
Participants Employed	889	174	4	42	259	6	404
% of Enrolled	40%	48%	33%	40%	43%	30%	36%
Full Time	717	143	3	34	213	4	320
Part Time	172	31	1	8	46	2	84
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.84	\$7.13	\$8.87	\$8.28	\$7.38	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,050	\$917	\$1,189	\$1,140	\$906	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	429	73	2	18	116	2	218
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,381	378	13	106	648	20	1,216
Participants in a Work Activity	1,771	304	6	63	445	15	938
% of Total Enrolled	74%	80%	46%	59%	69%	75%	77%
Participants Employed	937	179	4	42	273	6	433
% of Total Enrolled	39%	47%	31%	40%	42%	30%	36%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.85	\$7.13	\$8.87	\$8.29	\$7.38	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,035	\$918	\$1,175	\$1,116	\$936	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	77%	86%	86%	79%	80%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	29,418	5,772	193	932	6,816	262	15,443
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,592	4,311	138	645	5,380	178	11,940
Participants in a Work Activity	21,810	4,199	129	595	5,108	168	11,611
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	93%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	15,860	2,891	93	423	3,735	104	8,614
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	67%	66%	69%	58%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.33	\$6.56	\$7.98	\$7.39	\$6.99	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$995	\$858	\$1,055	\$1,005	\$897	\$944
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	53%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,074	173	36	382	291	192
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	585	96	11	207	150	121
Participants in a Work Activity	417	80	11	125	111	90
% of Enrolled	71%	83%	100%	60%	74%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	3	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	288	46	7	96	66	73
% of Enrolled	49%	48%	64%	46%	44%	60%
Full Time	231	43	5	75	56	52
Part Time	57	3	2	21	10	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.74	\$7.75	\$8.02	\$8.05	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,088	\$1,041	\$1,066	\$1,053	\$1,011

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	138	27	7	56	25	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	615	113	11	208	156	127
Participants in a Work Activity	460	88	11	135	117	109
% of Total Enrolled	75%	78%	100%	65%	75%	86%
Participants Employed	299	49	7	96	68	79
% of Total Enrolled	49%	43%	64%	46%	44%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.75	\$7.75	\$8.02	\$8.14	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,076	\$1,055	\$996	\$1,054	\$1,012
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	90%	50%	76%	78%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,159	984	391	1,758	1,798	1,228
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,312	670	250	1,338	1,045	1,009
Participants in a Work Activity	4,123	649	239	1,224	1,021	990
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	91%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,986	417	177	942	651	799
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	71%	70%	62%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.26	\$7.16	\$7.67	\$8.12	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	\$943	\$908	\$1,014	\$1,121	\$975
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	42%	50%	57%	57%	54%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	436	95	29	50	48	18	43	26	36	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	188	50	9	20	13	9	25	8	8	46
Participants in a Work Activity	128	36	6	17	8	7	15	5	5	29
% of Enrolled	68%	72%	67%	85%	62%	78%	60%	63%	63%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Participants Employed	88	19	5	10	7	7	9	3	3	25
% of Enrolled	47%	38%	56%	50%	54%	78%	36%	38%	38%	54%
Full Time	74	15	3	7	6	7	7	3	3	23
Part Time	14	4	2	3	1	0	2	0	0	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.09	\$7.67	\$8.13	\$7.61	\$8.67	\$7.70	\$8.58	\$8.06	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,010	\$890	\$934	\$1,102	\$1,308	\$1,118	\$1,283	\$1,189	\$1,082

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	43	6	3	2	3	1	7	1	6	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	200	51	9	22	14	9	29	8	10	48
Participants in a Work Activity	148	41	6	20	11	7	18	6	7	32
% of Total Enrolled	74%	80%	67%	91%	79%	78%	62%	75%	70%	67%
Participants Employed	99	20	5	13	7	7	11	5	4	27
% of Total Enrolled	50%	39%	56%	59%	50%	78%	38%	63%	40%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.09	\$7.67	\$8.33	\$7.61	\$8.67	\$7.70	\$9.55	\$7.82	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,029	\$809	\$993	\$996	\$1,299	\$951	\$1,091	\$1,138	\$1,090
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	54%	43%	56%	53%	100%	93%	56%	75%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,840	419	216	226	336	157	325	258	266	637
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,028	320	138	182	253	99	234	207	142	453
Participants in a Work Activity	1,908	307	129	175	237	91	213	200	135	421
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	96%	94%	92%	91%	97%	95%	93%
Participants Employed	1,323	221	78	110	173	63	157	126	93	302
% of Total Enrolled	65%	69%	57%	60%	68%	64%	67%	61%	65%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.69	\$6.65	\$6.91	\$7.13	\$6.45	\$6.67	\$6.70	\$6.41	\$6.74	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$835	\$869	\$976	\$823	\$860	\$862	\$839	\$932	\$894
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	34%	47%	47%	52%	55%	40%	34%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,309	23	115	117	36	92	1,258	1,635	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,326	9	32	47	10	46	473	693	16
Participants in a Work Activity	958	3	20	37	6	34	334	512	12
% of Enrolled	72%	33%	63%	79%	60%	74%	71%	74%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0
Participants Employed	704	3	16	28	6	30	236	373	12
% of Enrolled	53%	33%	50%	60%	60%	65%	50%	54%	75%
Full Time	584	3	9	22	6	22	192	319	11
Part Time	120	0	7	6	0	8	44	54	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$7.17	\$8.00	\$7.74	\$8.97	\$7.35	\$7.87	\$7.66	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$931	\$957	\$1,006	\$1,365	\$900	\$1,056	\$1,057	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	293	0	11	10	2	5	91	164	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,465	10	43	56	10	53	506	769	18
Participants in a Work Activity	1,143	4	26	47	7	45	419	582	13
% of Total Enrolled	78%	40%	60%	84%	70%	85%	83%	76%	72%
Participants Employed	757	4	21	31	6	34	253	395	13
% of Total Enrolled	52%	40%	49%	55%	60%	64%	50%	51%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.70	\$5.92	\$7.95	\$7.72	\$8.97	\$7.29	\$7.84	\$7.65	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$740	\$963	\$979	\$1,046	\$886	\$1,035	\$1,024	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	100%	61%	71%	73%	62%	76%	73%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	20,914	123	864	814	237	805	7,368	10,418	285
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,189	87	586	617	168	566	5,257	7,711	197
Participants in a Work Activity	14,523	84	541	576	161	546	5,130	7,298	187
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	11,048	44	404	447	113	366	3,789	5,734	151
% of Total Enrolled	73%	51%	69%	72%	67%	65%	72%	74%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.67	\$6.59	\$7.07	\$7.35	\$6.79	\$6.75	\$6.72	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$893	\$884	\$832	\$868	\$1,004	\$859	\$891	\$900	\$881
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	61%	56%	51%	52%	46%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,311	132	88	62	70	333	626
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	651	23	45	32	41	187	323
Participants in a Work Activity	372	16	38	23	28	92	175
% of Enrolled	57%	70%	84%	72%	68%	49%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	1	4	3
Participants Employed	278	14	19	16	22	74	133
% of Enrolled	43%	61%	42%	50%	54%	40%	41%
Full Time	218	14	15	11	14	49	115
Part Time	60	0	4	5	8	25	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	\$7.08	\$8.07	\$8.08	\$7.18	\$7.14	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$1,093	\$1,109	\$948	\$854	\$856	\$1,099

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	94	8	10	6	5	25	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW	674	24	48	32	46	190	334
Participants in a Work Activity	437	21	40	29	37	97	213
% of Total Enrolled	65%	88%	83%	91%	80%	51%	64%
Participants Employed	291	14	20	16	24	77	140
% of Total Enrolled	43%	58%	42%	50%	52%	41%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.09	\$7.96	\$8.08	\$7.13	\$7.12	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$1,092	\$1,078	\$920	\$868	\$871	\$1,075
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	73%	67%	61%	69%	70%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,535	957	649	280	610	1,881	3,158
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,785	667	479	217	475	1,488	2,459
Participants in a Work Activity	5,516	663	421	205	460	1,425	2,342
% of Total Enrolled	95%	99%	88%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,068	458	300	159	330	1,051	1,770
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	63%	73%	69%	71%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.72	\$7.24	\$6.86	\$6.75	\$6.63	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$931	\$981	\$850	\$930	\$901	\$927
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	50%	50%	57%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/09 and Work Activity as of 7/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,412	144	126	1,190	87	2,091	1,256	466	1,052
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,553	48	37	555	20	937	451	159	346
Participants in a Work Activity	1,921	34	21	401	15	740	332	107	271
% of Enrolled	75%	71%	57%	72%	75%	79%	74%	67%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	0	2	0	0	3	12	2	5
Participants Employed	1,445	31	12	319	10	569	231	85	188
% of Enrolled	57%	65%	32%	57%	50%	61%	51%	53%	54%
Full Time	1,277	26	7	278	7	526	195	78	160
Part Time	168	5	5	41	3	43	36	7	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.22	\$7.48	\$7.44	\$7.11	\$7.39	\$7.65	\$7.47	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$965	\$900	\$1,016	\$951	\$1,013	\$1,049	\$1,055	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	524	11	16	70	11	168	118	45	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,720	51	45	595	31	947	492	185	374
Participants in a Work Activity	2,178	42	41	491	25	771	387	130	291
% of Total Enrolled	80%	82%	91%	83%	81%	81%	79%	70%	78%
Participants Employed	1,521	34	16	342	10	578	251	95	195
% of Total Enrolled	56%	67%	36%	57%	32%	61%	51%	51%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.26	\$7.31	\$7.49	\$7.11	\$7.40	\$7.66	\$7.61	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$962	\$905	\$1,014	\$889	\$1,004	\$1,050	\$1,061	\$1,041
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	75%	71%	78%	58%	77%	70%	67%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	42,617	935	808	6,781	662	13,743	6,992	2,760	9,936
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	30,555	658	624	4,843	522	9,751	5,410	2,117	6,630
Participants in a Work Activity	28,969	630	595	4,598	509	9,273	5,092	2,082	6,190
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	98%	93%
Participants Employed	22,187	481	379	3,591	362	7,087	4,085	1,610	4,592
% of Total Enrolled	73%	73%	61%	74%	69%	73%	76%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.62	\$6.65	\$6.36	\$6.75	\$6.22	\$6.51	\$6.53	\$6.46	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$874	\$889	\$809	\$898	\$780	\$840	\$884	\$846	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	48%	56%	53%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	42.4%	2.65	83	\$7.74	4.74	47	67.4%	4.79	67	4.06	78
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	42.5%	2.66	81	\$8.94	7.86	7	65.4%	4.46	74	5.00	33
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	48.0%	3.37	65	\$7.70	4.64	51	58.3%	3.33	101	3.78	90
007	<i>Amelia</i>	51.4%	3.80	49	\$8.32	6.25	17	63.2%	4.11	85	4.72	42
009	<i>Amherst</i>	65.6%	5.61	8	\$7.63	4.45	58	48.2%	1.70	114	3.92	84
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	50.0%	3.62	56	\$7.17	3.26	94	50.0%	2.00	112	2.96	112
013	<i>Arlington</i>	44.6%	2.94	75	\$9.16	8.44	5	70.2%	5.24	57	5.54	18
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	56.5%	4.44	31	\$7.62	4.43	59	80.8%	6.93	17	5.27	23
017	<i>Bath</i>	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.27	3.52	89	100.0%	10.00	1	7.84	2
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	53.7%	4.09	38	\$7.60	4.38	62	60.0%	3.60	98	4.02	81
021	<i>Bland</i>	100.0%	10.00	2	\$6.52	1.56	118	100.0%	10.00	2	7.19	4
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	45.5%	3.04	73	\$7.44	3.96	74	37.5%	0.00	119	2.33	116
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	46.8%	3.21	68	\$6.83	2.37	115	80.0%	6.80	19	4.13	73
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	42.4%	2.65	82	\$7.92	5.21	32	69.2%	5.08	60	4.31	62
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	58.5%	4.71	24	\$7.67	4.56	54	68.8%	5.00	62	4.76	41
031	<i>Campbell</i>	38.5%	2.16	99	\$7.49	4.09	69	66.3%	4.61	72	3.62	95
033	<i>Caroline</i>	43.4%	2.77	79	\$7.75	4.77	45	90.0%	8.40	10	5.31	22
035	<i>Carroll</i>	61.4%	5.08	18	\$6.97	2.73	109	69.8%	5.16	59	4.32	60
036	<i>Charles City</i>	40.0%	2.34	92	\$5.92	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	3	4.11	75
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	37.8%	2.06	106	\$7.46	4.01	71	80.0%	6.80	20	4.29	64
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	47.4%	3.28	67	\$7.85	5.03	34	76.9%	6.31	34	4.87	37
043	<i>Clarke</i>	30.0%	1.07	117	\$7.35	3.72	81	40.0%	0.40	117	1.73	118
045	<i>Craig</i>	57.1%	4.53	27	\$7.58	4.32	64	100.0%	10.00	4	6.28	7
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	53.5%	4.07	39	\$8.71	7.27	10	63.4%	4.15	82	5.16	26
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	35.9%	1.82	109	\$7.94	5.26	28	70.8%	5.33	51	4.14	72
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	53.1%	4.01	40	\$6.84	2.40	114	62.1%	3.93	92	3.45	105
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	58.3%	4.68	25	\$7.09	3.05	105	73.3%	5.73	43	4.49	55
057	<i>Essex</i>	39.2%	2.24	98	\$7.09	3.05	106	53.9%	2.62	109	2.64	113
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	40.1%	2.36	91	\$9.49	9.30	4	73.2%	5.71	45	5.79	14
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	61.4%	5.07	19	\$7.69	4.61	53	63.6%	4.18	81	4.62	50
063	<i>Floyd</i>	76.2%	6.96	5	\$7.51	4.14	67	81.8%	7.09	16	6.06	10
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	55.6%	4.33	34	\$7.76	4.79	43	100.0%	10.00	5	6.37	6
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	36.0%	1.83	108	\$7.77	4.82	42	62.8%	4.05	87	3.56	99
069	<i>Frederick</i>	39.7%	2.30	96	\$8.16	5.83	20	66.0%	4.56	73	4.23	67
071	<i>Giles</i>	50.0%	3.62	57	\$7.76	4.79	44	65.0%	4.40	76	4.27	66
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	48.8%	3.47	63	\$7.95	5.29	27	60.6%	3.70	97	4.15	71
075	<i>Goochland</i>	30.8%	1.17	116	\$7.13	3.15	96	85.7%	7.71	13	4.01	83
077	<i>Grayson</i>	31.3%	1.23	114	\$6.94	2.66	110	54.6%	2.73	108	2.20	117

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	40.7%	2.44	89	\$7.40	3.85	76	66.7%	4.67	70	3.65	94
081	Greensville/Emporia	21.6%	0.00	119	\$6.93	2.63	111	51.6%	2.26	111	1.63	119
083	Halifax	39.8%	2.31	95	\$7.59	4.35	63	62.5%	4.00	91	3.55	101
085	Hanover	39.6%	2.30	97	\$8.87	7.68	9	86.3%	7.80	12	5.93	11
087	Henrico	42.1%	2.62	84	\$8.29	6.17	18	79.2%	6.67	24	5.15	27
089	Henry/Martinsville	37.3%	2.00	107	\$7.35	3.72	82	68.5%	4.95	65	3.56	100
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	66.7%	5.75	7	\$7.26	3.49	91	75.0%	6.00	41	5.08	30
095	James City	55.4%	4.30	36	\$7.72	4.69	48	71.4%	5.43	50	4.81	38
097	King and Queen	55.6%	4.33	35	\$7.67	4.56	55	42.9%	0.86	116	3.25	109
099	King George	63.6%	5.36	13	\$7.75	4.77	46	50.0%	2.00	113	4.04	79
101	King William	59.1%	4.78	23	\$8.33	6.28	16	56.3%	3.00	106	4.69	44
103	Lancaster	50.0%	3.62	58	\$7.61	4.40	60	53.3%	2.53	110	3.52	102
105	Lee	63.6%	5.35	14	\$6.91	2.58	112	80.8%	6.93	18	4.95	34
107	Loudoun	50.6%	3.70	55	\$9.75	9.97	2	77.0%	6.32	33	6.66	5
109	Louisa	50.0%	3.62	59	\$8.24	6.04	19	78.3%	6.52	26	5.39	20
111	Lunenburg	31.3%	1.23	115	\$6.70	2.03	117	100.0%	10.00	6	4.42	58
113	Madison	61.5%	5.09	17	\$7.78	4.84	41	58.3%	3.33	102	4.42	57
115	Mathews	77.8%	7.16	4	\$8.67	7.16	11	100.0%	10.00	7	8.11	1
117	Mecklenburg	34.2%	1.60	112	\$7.33	3.67	84	71.9%	5.51	49	3.59	96
119	Middlesex	37.9%	2.08	105	\$7.70	4.64	52	92.9%	8.86	9	5.19	25
121	Montgomery	65.0%	5.54	9	\$7.89	5.13	33	70.7%	5.32	53	5.33	21
125	Nelson	64.7%	5.50	10	\$7.12	3.13	99	70.6%	5.29	54	4.64	49
127	New Kent	60.0%	4.90	22	\$8.97	7.94	6	72.7%	5.64	48	6.16	8
131	Northampton	42.1%	2.61	85	\$8.67	7.16	12	84.2%	7.47	15	5.75	15
133	Northumberland	62.5%	5.22	15	\$9.55	9.45	3	55.6%	2.89	107	5.85	13
135	Nottoway	38.1%	2.10	102	\$6.91	2.58	113	79.5%	6.72	23	3.80	88
137	Orange	51.2%	3.78	53	\$7.53	4.19	65	85.7%	7.71	14	5.23	24
139	Page	45.5%	3.04	74	\$8.51	6.74	14	63.3%	4.13	83	4.64	48
141	Patrick	51.5%	3.81	48	\$7.01	2.84	108	73.3%	5.73	44	4.13	74
143	Pittsylvania	38.2%	2.11	100	\$7.39	3.83	78	47.5%	1.60	115	2.51	115
145	Powhatan	30.0%	1.07	118	\$7.38	3.80	79	80.0%	6.80	21	3.89	86
147	Prince Edward	52.7%	3.97	42	\$7.42	3.91	75	76.0%	6.16	37	4.68	46
149	Prince George	41.7%	2.56	87	\$7.96	5.31	26	66.7%	4.67	71	4.18	69
153	Prince William	45.8%	3.08	71	\$8.91	7.79	8	75.7%	6.11	39	5.66	16
155	Pulaski	51.3%	3.79	52	\$7.31	3.62	85	62.7%	4.03	89	3.81	87
157	Rappahannock	80.0%	7.45	3	\$7.98	5.36	24	100.0%	10.00	8	7.60	3
159	Richmond County	40.0%	2.34	93	\$7.82	4.95	37	75.0%	6.00	42	4.43	56
161	Roanoke County	52.8%	3.98	41	\$7.81	4.92	38	68.5%	4.96	64	4.62	51
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	47.8%	3.34	66	\$7.26	3.49	92	65.0%	4.40	77	3.74	91
165	Rockingham/H'burg	60.9%	5.01	21	\$7.71	4.66	50	62.7%	4.03	90	4.57	53
167	Russell	46.4%	3.17	69	\$7.13	3.15	97	63.8%	4.20	80	3.51	103
169	Scott	54.7%	4.21	37	\$7.12	3.13	100	80.0%	6.80	22	4.71	43
171	Shenandoah	52.6%	3.96	43	\$7.53	4.19	66	64.7%	4.35	78	4.17	70

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	38.0%	2.09	104	\$7.38	3.80	80	62.8%	4.04	88	3.31	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	35.6%	1.78	111	\$7.31	3.62	86	70.8%	5.33	52	3.58	97
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	46.2%	3.13	70	\$8.02	5.47	23	76.1%	6.17	36	4.92	35
179	<i>Stafford</i>	43.6%	2.80	78	\$8.14	5.78	21	78.0%	6.48	29	5.02	32
181	<i>Surry</i>	50.0%	3.62	60	\$8.08	5.63	22	61.1%	3.78	95	4.34	59
183	<i>Sussex</i>	52.2%	3.90	45	\$7.13	3.15	98	69.0%	5.04	61	4.03	80
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	64.4%	5.46	11	\$7.14	3.18	95	72.9%	5.67	47	4.77	40
187	<i>Warren</i>	41.6%	2.54	88	\$7.98	5.36	25	57.1%	3.14	105	3.68	93
191	<i>Washington</i>	51.9%	3.86	47	\$7.10	3.07	104	59.1%	3.45	100	3.46	104
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	56.3%	4.42	32	\$7.93	5.23	31	79.0%	6.63	25	5.43	19
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.0%	4.38	33	\$7.30	3.59	87	66.9%	4.71	69	4.23	68
197	<i>Wythe</i>	56.9%	4.50	29	\$7.07	2.99	107	61.8%	3.89	93	3.80	89
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.2%	5.43	12	\$7.29	3.57	88	61.5%	3.85	94	4.28	65
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	39.8%	2.32	94	\$9.76	10.00	1	75.4%	6.06	40	6.13	9
520	<i>Bristol</i>	45.7%	3.08	72	\$7.34	3.70	83	59.1%	3.46	99	3.41	106
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	48.7%	3.45	64	\$8.41	6.48	15	63.2%	4.11	84	4.68	45
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.5%	4.57	26	\$7.49	4.09	70	77.7%	6.44	30	5.03	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	38.2%	2.11	101	\$7.46	4.01	72	60.8%	3.72	96	3.28	108
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	32.3%	1.36	113	\$7.11	3.10	103	58.3%	3.33	103	2.60	114
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	62.2%	5.18	16	\$7.94	5.26	29	76.3%	6.21	35	5.55	17
640	<i>Galax</i>	42.6%	2.68	80	\$7.12	3.13	101	78.3%	6.52	27	4.11	76
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.0%	3.62	61	\$7.84	5.00	35	75.9%	6.15	38	4.92	36
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	40.5%	2.41	90	\$7.12	3.13	102	69.9%	5.18	58	3.57	98
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.4%	3.93	44	\$7.23	3.41	93	67.8%	4.85	66	4.06	77
683	<i>Manassas</i>	43.7%	2.81	77	\$8.62	7.03	13	86.8%	7.89	11	5.91	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	57.1%	4.53	28	\$7.51	4.14	68	64.3%	4.29	79	4.32	61
700	<i>Newport News</i>	51.4%	3.79	50	\$7.65	4.51	57	73.2%	5.71	46	4.67	47
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	61.0%	5.03	20	\$7.40	3.85	77	77.4%	6.38	31	5.09	29
720	<i>Norton</i>	50.0%	3.62	62	\$6.72	2.08	116	77.3%	6.36	32	4.02	82
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	41.9%	2.59	86	\$7.94	5.26	30	78.2%	6.51	28	4.79	39
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	51.0%	3.75	54	\$7.66	4.53	56	70.3%	5.25	56	4.51	54
750	<i>Radford</i>	56.9%	4.50	30	\$7.72	4.69	49	38.5%	0.15	118	3.11	110
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	35.6%	1.78	110	\$7.79	4.87	39	65.1%	4.41	75	3.69	92
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	43.9%	2.84	76	\$7.79	4.87	40	62.8%	4.05	86	3.92	85
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	51.4%	3.79	51	\$7.61	4.40	61	67.0%	4.71	68	4.30	63
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	52.1%	3.89	46	\$7.83	4.97	36	68.6%	4.97	63	4.61	52
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	72.2%	6.46	6	\$7.27	3.52	90	70.6%	5.29	55	5.09	28
840	<i>Winchester</i>	38.1%	2.10	103	\$7.45	3.98	73	57.8%	3.24	104	3.11	111

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.3%	1.91	115	\$814	2.45	99	57.9%	6.67	32	3.67	106
003	Albemarle	75.2%	7.78	13	\$993	6.10	16	54.9%	5.83	58	6.57	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.7%	7.07	27	\$813	2.44	100	46.1%	3.34	105	4.28	91
007	Amelia	61.7%	3.97	98	\$938	4.98	36	44.1%	2.78	112	3.91	99
009	Amherst	69.1%	6.06	50	\$830	2.78	91	52.1%	5.04	77	4.63	80
011	Appomattox	71.5%	6.72	35	\$827	2.73	92	42.5%	2.34	115	3.93	98
013	Arlington	75.0%	7.72	15	\$1,028	6.82	10	64.0%	8.38	9	7.64	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.7%	5.94	55	\$937	4.96	37	54.2%	5.62	64	5.50	42
017	Bath	67.6%	5.63	66	\$706	0.25	119	59.1%	7.00	27	4.29	89
019	Bedford County/City	67.2%	5.54	71	\$818	2.54	95	55.2%	5.89	56	4.66	77
021	Bland	63.9%	4.59	88	\$815	2.47	98	47.8%	3.83	97	3.63	109
023	Botetourt	51.9%	1.22	118	\$921	4.64	47	43.6%	2.63	113	2.83	117
025	Brunswick	58.0%	2.95	109	\$804	2.26	102	57.4%	6.52	36	3.91	100
027	Buchanan	52.0%	1.25	117	\$803	2.22	103	54.6%	5.72	61	3.07	115
029	Buckingham	57.7%	2.87	110	\$916	4.54	50	52.1%	5.03	78	4.15	93
031	Campbell	68.6%	5.92	57	\$860	3.38	75	59.8%	7.21	24	5.51	41
033	Caroline	62.2%	4.13	97	\$943	5.09	32	42.0%	2.20	116	3.81	102
035	Carroll	67.8%	5.68	64	\$794	2.05	110	45.9%	3.28	107	3.67	107
036	Charles City	50.6%	0.85	119	\$884	3.88	67	61.1%	7.56	15	4.10	94
037	Charlotte	52.6%	1.42	116	\$898	4.17	59	46.1%	3.35	104	2.98	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	67.1%	5.49	73	\$995	6.14	15	60.6%	7.42	16	6.35	23
043	Clarke	58.3%	3.03	108	\$952	5.28	29	47.2%	3.65	101	3.99	97
045	Craig	63.6%	4.52	89	\$987	5.99	18	66.7%	9.13	3	6.55	14
047	Culpeper	66.0%	5.18	79	\$942	5.07	33	55.4%	5.97	53	5.41	44
049	Cumberland	56.7%	2.58	113	\$936	4.95	38	46.3%	3.41	103	3.65	108
051	Dickensen	59.7%	3.43	105	\$793	2.03	111	48.4%	3.99	94	3.15	114
053	Dinwiddie	68.7%	5.94	54	\$931	4.84	41	53.1%	5.32	70	5.37	45
057	Essex	69.1%	6.05	51	\$835	2.88	88	41.8%	2.14	117	3.69	105
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.4%	6.15	45	\$1,102	8.34	4	61.7%	7.73	13	7.41	6
061	Fauquier	70.5%	6.45	40	\$983	5.89	19	62.9%	8.08	10	6.81	11
063	Floyd	72.4%	7.00	30	\$908	4.37	54	45.5%	3.18	109	4.85	70
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	7.60	18	\$1,082	7.93	5	53.9%	5.53	65	7.02	8
067	Franklin County	65.7%	5.10	80	\$928	4.79	44	50.3%	4.51	87	4.80	72
069	Frederick	61.6%	3.96	99	\$960	5.43	26	53.2%	5.33	69	4.90	65
071	Giles	60.1%	3.52	103	\$921	4.64	48	47.9%	3.85	96	4.00	96
073	Gloucester	68.9%	6.02	52	\$832	2.82	90	56.3%	6.21	45	5.01	59
075	Goochland	67.4%	5.58	69	\$858	3.34	77	54.8%	5.78	59	4.90	66
077	Grayson	65.4%	5.01	83	\$821	2.59	94	57.1%	6.45	40	4.68	75

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.1%	8.04	7	\$911	4.43	53	49.7%	4.36	90	5.61	38
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.0%	2.66	112	\$796	2.08	108	56.9%	6.37	43	3.71	104
083	Halifax	59.9%	3.47	104	\$815	2.48	96	48.2%	3.94	95	3.30	112
085	Hanover	65.6%	5.07	81	\$1,055	7.37	8	65.4%	8.76	6	7.07	7
087	Henrico	69.4%	6.15	46	\$1,005	6.35	13	56.0%	6.13	48	6.21	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.8%	4.86	85	\$911	4.44	52	54.6%	5.72	62	5.01	60
091	Highland	71.4%	6.72	36	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.25	23	4.66	78
093	Isle of Wight	73.1%	7.19	24	\$889	3.99	65	55.6%	6.03	51	5.73	35
095	James City	72.4%	7.00	29	\$868	3.55	71	51.5%	4.86	81	5.14	51
097	King and Queen	56.5%	2.52	114	\$869	3.58	69	34.3%	0.03	119	2.04	120
099	King George	70.8%	6.54	38	\$908	4.36	55	50.0%	4.44	88	5.12	53
101	King William	60.4%	3.63	102	\$976	5.75	22	47.4%	3.72	100	4.37	85
103	Lancaster	68.4%	5.86	60	\$823	2.63	93	47.5%	3.73	99	4.07	95
105	Lee	66.1%	5.22	77	\$754	1.23	116	60.1%	7.27	22	4.57	82
107	Loudoun	80.7%	9.32	3	\$1,157	9.46	2	65.7%	8.87	4	9.22	1
109	Louisa	63.6%	4.50	91	\$956	5.36	27	55.4%	5.97	54	5.28	49
111	Lunenburg	47.5%	0.00	120	\$734	0.82	117	60.3%	7.33	21	2.72	119
113	Madison	67.6%	5.63	65	\$979	5.82	21	45.8%	3.26	108	4.90	64
115	Mathews	63.6%	4.52	90	\$860	3.38	74	51.8%	4.95	79	4.29	90
117	Mecklenburg	68.5%	5.89	58	\$801	2.18	104	58.3%	6.78	30	4.95	63
119	Middlesex	67.1%	5.50	72	\$862	3.44	73	55.5%	5.98	52	4.97	62
121	Montgomery	81.1%	9.43	2	\$913	4.48	51	53.8%	5.51	66	6.47	18
125	Nelson	68.7%	5.95	53	\$991	6.07	17	55.2%	5.90	55	5.97	30
127	New Kent	67.3%	5.54	70	\$1,004	6.34	14	52.4%	5.11	75	5.67	36
131	Northampton	63.2%	4.40	92	\$799	2.14	107	65.4%	8.76	7	5.10	54
133	Northumberland	60.9%	3.75	100	\$839	2.96	87	39.8%	1.58	118	2.76	118
135	Nottoway	68.2%	5.81	62	\$863	3.45	72	55.7%	6.03	50	5.10	55
137	Orange	72.9%	7.12	26	\$939	5.01	35	43.3%	2.55	114	4.89	67
139	Page	73.3%	7.25	21	\$975	5.75	24	57.4%	6.52	35	6.51	16
141	Patrick	67.5%	5.61	68	\$795	2.07	109	65.7%	8.84	5	5.51	40
143	Pittsylvania	57.1%	2.67	111	\$846	3.11	82	51.3%	4.79	82	3.53	110
145	Powhatan	58.4%	3.06	107	\$897	4.14	61	53.1%	5.32	71	4.17	92
147	Prince Edward	75.0%	7.72	14	\$852	3.24	79	60.3%	7.34	20	6.10	27
149	Prince George	62.6%	4.24	94	\$981	5.86	20	50.4%	4.56	85	4.89	68
153	Prince William	75.0%	7.71	16	\$1,184	10.00	1	62.1%	7.84	12	8.52	2
155	Pulaski	66.0%	5.18	78	\$924	4.70	46	45.4%	3.14	111	4.34	86
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	5.38	75	\$948	5.19	30	46.7%	3.51	102	4.69	74
159	Richmond County	65.5%	5.05	82	\$932	4.86	40	34.2%	0.00	120	3.30	111
161	Roanoke County	75.4%	7.83	12	\$1,017	6.61	11	52.2%	5.07	76	6.50	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	75.7%	7.91	9	\$848	3.15	81	54.6%	5.75	60	5.60	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.6%	7.89	10	\$931	4.84	42	58.8%	6.90	28	6.55	15
167	Russell	62.9%	4.33	93	\$834	2.86	89	58.6%	6.86	29	4.68	76
169	Scott	59.4%	3.34	106	\$856	3.30	78	64.6%	8.53	8	5.06	57
171	Shenandoah	66.8%	5.41	74	\$901	4.24	56	53.4%	5.40	68	5.02	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.4%	4.19	95	\$801	2.18	105	52.7%	5.21	73	3.86	101
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.7%	3.71	101	\$809	2.36	101	47.6%	3.76	98	3.27	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.4%	6.43	41	\$1,014	6.54	12	56.9%	6.37	42	6.45	22
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.3%	4.15	96	\$1,121	8.72	3	57.3%	6.49	39	6.45	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.3%	7.23	22	\$850	3.18	80	50.4%	4.54	86	4.99	61
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.5%	6.17	44	\$930	4.82	43	57.3%	6.49	38	5.83	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.3%	6.12	48	\$780	1.77	114	57.1%	6.44	41	4.78	73
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.9%	6.29	43	\$969	5.62	25	57.6%	6.57	33	6.16	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.5%	5.62	67	\$868	3.55	70	49.8%	4.38	89	4.52	83
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.7%	5.38	76	\$894	4.09	62	49.7%	4.35	91	4.61	81
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.4%	4.73	87	\$778	1.71	115	57.3%	6.50	37	4.31	88
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.6%	5.93	56	\$815	2.47	97	56.2%	6.19	46	4.86	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.7%	4.81	86	\$859	3.38	76	45.5%	3.18	110	3.79	103
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.1%	6.62	37	\$1,033	6.92	9	69.8%	10.00	1	7.85	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.8%	6.83	34	\$841	3.01	85	48.8%	4.10	93	4.65	79
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	83.1%	10.00	1	\$918	4.58	49	55.9%	6.10	49	6.89	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.1%	7.48	20	\$898	4.16	60	56.2%	6.18	47	5.94	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	68.3%	5.83	61	\$787	1.90	112	52.8%	5.22	72	4.32	87
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	69.3%	6.13	47	\$780	1.77	113	53.5%	5.42	67	4.44	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	79.2%	8.90	4	\$975	5.75	23	54.3%	5.66	63	6.77	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	65.2%	4.97	84	\$843	3.04	84	60.5%	7.38	19	5.13	52
650	<i>Hampton</i>	72.1%	6.90	32	\$891	4.03	63	52.5%	5.15	74	5.36	47
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	70.6%	6.49	39	\$901	4.22	57	51.2%	4.79	83	5.17	50
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.3%	7.23	23	\$800	2.18	106	62.5%	7.94	11	5.78	33
683	<i>Manassas</i>	68.0%	5.74	63	\$1,076	7.79	6	59.6%	7.14	25	6.89	10
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.7%	7.64	17	\$1,058	7.43	7	67.7%	9.43	2	8.16	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.4%	7.54	19	\$900	4.21	58	58.3%	6.76	31	6.17	25
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.7%	7.07	28	\$840	2.98	86	55.0%	5.85	57	5.30	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	76.7%	8.21	5	\$717	0.47	118	60.6%	7.42	17	5.36	46
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.0%	6.87	33	\$927	4.77	45	57.5%	6.54	34	6.06	28
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.5%	7.86	11	\$884	3.88	66	61.3%	7.62	14	6.46	20
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.0%	7.15	25	\$891	4.02	64	46.1%	3.34	106	4.84	71
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.1%	6.92	31	\$944	5.11	31	60.5%	7.39	18	6.47	19
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	68.5%	5.88	59	\$940	5.03	34	49.5%	4.30	92	5.07	56
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.1%	8.02	8	\$846	3.10	83	59.3%	7.05	26	6.06	29
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.3%	6.11	49	\$933	4.89	39	56.5%	6.28	44	5.76	34
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	76.6%	8.18	6	\$881	3.82	68	51.8%	4.94	80	5.65	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	70.2%	6.38	42	\$955	5.33	28	51.1%	4.74	84	5.48	43

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – July 31, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	103	10	3	0	3	3	12	1	2	0	3	1	38	9	2	10	5	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	29	7	0	6	3	13	20	9	0	22	96	45	24	2	26	10	8	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	2	1	5	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	0	28	6	19	0	0	64

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	2	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	5	0	0	9	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	12	0	0	1	7	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	6	15	0	10	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	20	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	9	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	22	55	0	0	0	5

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	96	0	0	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	38	3	0	1	32	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	45	77	0	6	45	0	11

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	9	6	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	32	0	8	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	61

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	1	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	7	0	0	11

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - July 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in June 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jul-08</i>	<i>Jul-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	17,162	14,687	-14.4%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,084	128,954	-2.4%
Total Cases Collected	149,246	143,641	-3.8%
TANF Collections	\$4,993,184	\$2,674,446	-46.4%
NTANF Collections	\$52,778,404	\$48,572,819	-8.0%
Total Collections	\$57,771,588	\$51,247,265	-11.3%
SFY YTD Collections	\$57,771,588	\$51,247,265	-11.3%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$387	\$357	-7.8%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jul-09</i>
TANF Paternities	264
NTANF Paternities	442
Combined Paternities	706

Diversionsary Assistance

July Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	9		Prince William	4
Amelia	5		Pulaski	2
Appomattox	2		Roanoke County	7
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Rockingham / H'burg	9
Bedford City	6		Shenandoah	8
Buckingham	8		Spotsylvania	12
Campbell	2		Stafford	12
Caroline	2		Surry	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	17		Tazewell	1
Culpeper	7		Warren	7
Cumberland	3		Wythe	1
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	4		Alexandria	3
Fauquier	5		Bristol	4
Floyd	2		Charlottesville	3
Fluvanna	1		Chesapeake	9
Franklin County	10		Danville	1
Frederick	8		Franklin City	4
Gloucester	2		Fredricksburg	3
Goochland	1		Galax	2
Greene	2		Hampton	16
Halifax	2		Hopewell	7
Henrico	13		Lynchburg	1
Henry / Martinsville	3		Manassas Park	2
Isle of Wight	4		Newport News	28
James City	2		Norfolk	30
Louisa	1		Norton	1
Montgomery	3		Portsmouth	3
Nottoway	1		Richmond City	4
Orange	4		Roanoke City	21
Page	1		Suffolk	10
Patrick	2		Virginia Beach	10
Pittsylvania	4		Winchester	7
			Statewide	382

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of August 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

July 31, 2009




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July, it was \$7.94 rather than the \$7.78 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.