

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
August 2009

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services September 25, 2009

Monthly Report, August 2009

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2009 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 42% with a denominator of 18,884 and a numerator of 7,904.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	69	23	33%	061	Fauquier	77	33	43%
003	Albemarle	70	31	44%	063	Floyd	32	17	53%
005	Alleghany/Covington	76	22	29%	065	Fluvanna	22	4	18%
007	Amelia	37	20	54%	067	Franklin County	103	32	31%
009	Amherst	40	19	48%	069	Frederick	75	13	17%
011	Appomattox	44	23	52%	071	Giles	21	6	29%
013	Arlington	144	46	32%	073	Gloucester	56	15	27%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	307	156	51%	075	Goochland	14	3	21%
017	Bath	5	2	40%	077	Grayson	17	7	41%
019	Bedford County/City	110	55	50%	079	Greene	36	10	28%
021	Bland	6	4	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	72	20	28%
023	Botetourt	11	1	9%	083	Halifax	109	22	20%
025	Brunswick	59	27	46%	085	Hanover	106	23	22%
027	Buchanan	72	31	43%	087	Henrico	836	316	38%
029	Buckingham	42	19	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	317	111	35%
031	Campbell	168	59	35%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	110	7	6%	093	Isle of Wight	84	39	46%
035	Carroll	58	31	53%	095	James City	78	28	36%
036	Charles City	12	2	17%	097	King and Queen	14	3	21%
037	Charlotte	37	18	49%	099	King George	22	9	41%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	499	221	44%	101	King William	20	9	45%
043	Clarke	12	1	8%	103	Lancaster	19	7	37%
045	Craig	3	2	67%	105	Lee	127	50	39%
047	Culpeper	83	39	47%	107	Loudoun	169	48	28%
049	Cumberland	39	16	41%	109	Louisa	52	33	63%
051	Dickensen	40	18	45%	111	Lunenburg	31	4	13%
053	Dinwiddie	48	15	31%	113	Madison	15	4	27%
057	Essex	61	0	0%	115	Mathews	5	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	641	324	51%	117	Mecklenburg	92	33	36%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	27	4	15%	187	Warren	69	33	48%
121	Montgomery	200	116	58%	191	Washington	105	44	42%
125	Nelson	26	6	23%	193	Westmoreland	49	15	31%
127	New Kent	13	5	38%	195	Wise	227	87	38%
131	Northampton	40	12	30%	197	Wythe	69	35	51%
133	Northumberland	10	3	30%	199	York/Poquoson	65	32	49%
135	Nottoway	74	20	27%	510	Alexandria	279	120	43%
137	Orange	40	17	43%	520	Bristol	139	84	60%
139	Page	40	15	38%	540	Charlottesville	196	101	52%
141	Patrick	55	22	40%	550	Chesapeake	777	371	48%
143	Pittsylvania	85	26	31%	590	Danville	261	114	44%
145	Powhatan	24	9	38%	620	Franklin City	36	14	39%
147	Prince Edward	69	26	38%	630	Fredericksburg	124	52	42%
149	Prince George	41	22	54%	640	Galax	48	22	46%
153	Prince William	719	302	42%	650	Hampton	709	358	50%
155	Pulaski	71	18	25%	670	Hopewell	214	35	16%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	322	143	44%
159	Richmond County	19	3	16%	683	Manassas	98	36	37%
161	Roanoke County	160	82	51%	685	Manassas Park	26	13	50%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45	15	33%	700	Newport News	1,011	423	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	150	73	49%	710	Norfolk	1,290	687	53%
167	Russell	129	70	54%	720	Norton	46	20	43%
169	Scott	93	38	41%	730	Petersburg	393	149	38%
171	Shenandoah	22	8	36%	740	Portsmouth	696	278	40%
173	Smyth	90	33	37%	750	Radford	36	18	50%
175	Southampton	66	14	21%	760	Richmond City	1,675	674	40%
177	Spotsylvania	229	88	38%	770	Roanoke City	601	189	31%
179	Stafford	178	68	38%	800	Suffolk	211	125	59%
181	Surry	28	9	32%	810	Virginia Beach	539	262	49%
183	Sussex	44	16	36%	830	Williamsburg	23	9	39%
185	Tazewell	168	68	40%	840	Winchester	68	22	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 37% with a denominator of 17,001 and a numerator of 6,248. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	63	17	27%	061	Fauquier	63	19	30%
003	Albemarle	53	17	32%	063	Floyd	29	14	48%
005	Alleghany/Covington	66	13	20%	065	Fluvanna	18	0	0%
007	Amelia	37	20	54%	067	Franklin County	97	26	27%
009	Amherst	37	16	43%	069	Frederick	66	4	6%
011	Appomattox	40	20	50%	071	Giles	18	3	17%
013	Arlington	124	29	23%	073	Gloucester	51	11	22%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	275	126	46%	075	Goochland	14	3	21%
017	Bath	4	1	25%	077	Grayson	14	4	29%
019	Bedford County/City	95	40	42%	079	Greene	35	9	26%
021	Bland	5	3	60%	081	Greensville/Emporia	66	15	23%
023	Botetourt	9	1	11%	083	Halifax	105	18	17%
025	Brunswick	53	21	40%	085	Hanover	99	18	18%
027	Buchanan	61	21	34%	087	Henrico	759	251	33%
029	Buckingham	37	14	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	296	91	31%
031	Campbell	151	42	28%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	99	2	2%	093	Isle of Wight	74	30	41%
035	Carroll	53	26	49%	095	James City	65	19	29%
036	Charles City	10	2	20%	097	King and Queen	12	1	8%
037	Charlotte	31	12	39%	099	King George	15	2	13%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	451	177	39%	101	King William	19	8	42%
043	Clarke	11	1	9%	103	Lancaster	19	7	37%
045	Craig	3	2	67%	105	Lee	117	42	36%
047	Culpeper	74	35	47%	107	Loudoun	141	22	16%
049	Cumberland	38	16	42%	109	Louisa	45	26	58%
051	Dickensen	37	15	41%	111	Lunenburg	28	4	14%
053	Dinwiddie	39	9	23%	113	Madison	14	3	21%
057	Essex	58	0	0%	115	Mathews	4	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	562	252	45%	117	Mecklenburg	81	22	27%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	1	4%	187	Warren	61	26	43%
121	Montgomery	168	85	51%	191	Washington	97	36	37%
125	Nelson	24	5	21%	193	Westmoreland	44	15	34%
127	New Kent	13	5	38%	195	Wise	203	63	31%
131	Northampton	35	7	20%	197	Wythe	58	24	41%
133	Northumberland	7	0	0%	199	York/Poquoson	58	25	43%
135	Nottoway	71	17	24%	510	Alexandria	249	91	37%
137	Orange	37	15	41%	520	Bristol	127	72	57%
139	Page	34	9	26%	540	Charlottesville	168	73	43%
141	Patrick	47	14	30%	550	Chesapeake	717	314	44%
143	Pittsylvania	81	24	30%	590	Danville	239	94	39%
145	Powhatan	21	6	29%	620	Franklin City	31	10	32%
147	Prince Edward	61	18	30%	630	Fredericksburg	110	41	37%
149	Prince George	38	20	53%	640	Galax	42	17	40%
153	Prince William	603	200	33%	650	Hampton	637	300	47%
155	Pulaski	64	11	17%	670	Hopewell	195	24	12%
157	Rappahannock	1	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	301	124	41%
159	Richmond County	19	3	16%	683	Manassas	85	23	27%
161	Roanoke County	137	60	44%	685	Manassas Park	22	10	45%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	39	9	23%	700	Newport News	901	328	36%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	125	48	38%	710	Norfolk	1,208	609	50%
167	Russell	123	64	52%	720	Norton	43	18	42%
169	Scott	80	27	34%	730	Petersburg	367	132	36%
171	Shenandoah	20	8	40%	740	Portsmouth	639	227	36%
173	Smyth	84	27	32%	750	Radford	34	16	47%
175	Southampton	60	9	15%	760	Richmond City	1,539	565	37%
177	Spotsylvania	212	78	37%	770	Roanoke City	545	135	25%
179	Stafford	160	50	31%	800	Suffolk	188	103	55%
181	Surry	26	7	27%	810	Virginia Beach	470	198	42%
183	Sussex	40	13	33%	830	Williamsburg	20	7	35%
185	Tazewell	147	49	33%	840	Winchester	63	17	27%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2009 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,883 and a numerator of 1,656. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	6	100%	061	Fauquier	14	14	100%
003	Albemarle	17	14	82%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	10	9	90%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	6	6	100%
009	Amherst	3	3	100%	069	Frederick	9	9	100%
011	Appomattox	4	3	75%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	20	17	85%	073	Gloucester	5	4	80%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	32	30	94%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	15	15	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	5	83%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	4	4	100%
025	Brunswick	6	6	100%	085	Hanover	7	5	71%
027	Buchanan	11	10	91%	087	Henrico	77	65	84%
029	Buckingham	5	5	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	21	20	95%
031	Campbell	17	17	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	11	5	45%	093	Isle of Wight	10	9	90%
035	Carroll	5	5	100%	095	James City	13	9	69%
036	Charles City	2	0	0%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	6	6	100%	099	King George	7	7	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	48	44	92%	101	King William	1	1	100%
043	Clarke	1	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	10	8	80%
047	Culpeper	9	4	44%	107	Loudoun	28	26	93%
049	Cumberland	1	0	0%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	3	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	6	67%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	3	0	0%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	79	72	91%	117	Mecklenburg	11	11	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	3	75%	187	Warren	8	7	88%
121	Montgomery	32	31	97%	191	Washington	8	8	100%
125	Nelson	2	1	50%	193	Westmoreland	5	0	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	24	24	100%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	11	11	100%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	3	3	100%	510	Alexandria	30	29	97%
137	Orange	3	2	67%	520	Bristol	12	12	100%
139	Page	6	6	100%	540	Charlottesville	28	28	100%
141	Patrick	8	8	100%	550	Chesapeake	60	57	95%
143	Pittsylvania	4	2	50%	590	Danville	22	20	91%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	5	4	80%
147	Prince Edward	8	8	100%	630	Fredericksburg	14	11	79%
149	Prince George	3	2	67%	640	Galax	6	5	83%
153	Prince William	116	102	88%	650	Hampton	72	58	81%
155	Pulaski	7	7	100%	670	Hopewell	19	11	58%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	21	19	90%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	13	13	100%
161	Roanoke County	23	22	96%	685	Manassas Park	4	3	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	6	100%	700	Newport News	110	95	86%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	25	25	100%	710	Norfolk	82	78	95%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	3	2	67%
169	Scott	13	11	85%	730	Petersburg	26	17	65%
171	Shenandoah	2	0	0%	740	Portsmouth	57	51	89%
173	Smyth	6	6	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	6	5	83%	760	Richmond City	136	109	80%
177	Spotsylvania	17	10	59%	770	Roanoke City	56	54	96%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	23	22	96%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	69	64	93%
183	Sussex	4	3	75%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	21	19	90%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Aug-09	Aug-08	Change from Aug-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,905	5,263	12%	4,807	23%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,886	2,573	12%	1,658	74%
Applications Approved	2,623	2,583	2%	2,820	-7%
Cases Closed *	2,570	2,490	3%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	32,631	27,668	18%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month ²	33,844	28,751	18%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,570 closed cases, 914 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 704 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 177 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 119 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Aug-09	Aug-08	Change from Aug-08	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	570	330	73%	338	69%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	317	225	41%	232	37%
Applications Approved	295	220	34%	81	264%
Cases Closed *	267	202	32%	107	150%
Cases at End of Month	1,939	1,022	90%	321	504%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,109	1,131	87%	394	435%

•The database indicates that of the 267 closed cases, 113 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 69 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 14 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 11 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	34,588	1,989	1,059	2,650	963	528	3,886	416	712	1,250	1,666	1,044	5,471	1,071	429	3,330	1,314	6,550	260
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,628	815	511	1,101	408	248	1,617	163	295	611	584	517	2,295	603	186	1,347	675	2,571	81
Part. in a Work Activity	10,394	586	369	737	294	165	1,205	106	231	472	411	327	1,566	420	130	952	379	1,991	53
% of Enrolled	71%	72%	72%	67%	72%	67%	75%	65%	78%	77%	70%	63%	68%	70%	70%	71%	56%	77%	65%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	129	8	4	7	0	10	22	6	1	4	0	5	30	8	1	3	7	13	0
Participants Employed	7,089	441	258	570	234	120	693	83	134	293	244	220	916	298	84	704	283	1,472	42
% of Enrolled	48%	54%	50%	52%	57%	48%	43%	51%	45%	48%	42%	43%	40%	49%	45%	52%	42%	57%	52%
Full Time	5,884	410	206	472	212	91	536	77	91	234	212	161	737	250	70	582	223	1,287	33
Part Time	1,205	31	52	98	22	29	157	6	43	59	32	59	179	48	14	122	60	185	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.20	\$7.33	\$7.72	\$7.69	\$7.90	\$9.27	\$8.02	\$8.22	\$7.49	\$7.35	\$7.45	\$8.04	\$8.06	\$7.57	\$7.75	\$7.64	\$7.57	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$978	\$982	\$1,065	\$1,046	\$1,032	\$1,244	\$1,102	\$995	\$1,008	\$1,010	\$944	\$1,088	\$1,080	\$1,026	\$1,053	\$1,013	\$1,021	\$1,092

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	6,942	447	256	618	253	128	716	94	201	286	368	195	967	260	88	633	204	1,189	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW	17,800	985	657	1,397	495	325	1,998	199	378	725	785	620	2,676	688	227	1,693	757	3,085	110
Part. in a Work Activity	14,406	801	558	1,096	422	253	1,653	157	324	624	619	475	2,128	549	176	1,364	529	2,597	81
% of Total Enrolled	81%	81%	85%	78%	85%	78%	83%	79%	86%	86%	79%	77%	80%	80%	78%	81%	70%	84%	74%
Participants Employed	8,572	529	326	705	275	152	873	107	171	364	305	251	1,083	342	113	863	321	1,741	51
% of Total Enrolled	48%	54%	50%	50%	56%	47%	44%	54%	45%	50%	39%	40%	40%	50%	50%	51%	42%	56%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.22	\$7.28	\$7.82	\$7.68	\$8.08	\$9.41	\$8.08	\$8.26	\$7.52	\$7.44	\$7.39	\$8.06	\$8.07	\$7.76	\$7.78	\$7.67	\$7.62	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$971	\$949	\$1,065	\$1,034	\$1,047	\$1,248	\$1,064	\$931	\$983	\$969	\$910	\$1,086	\$1,048	\$1,040	\$1,033	\$1,002	\$1,024	\$1,086
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	71%	66%	65%	73%	58%	75%	63%	67%	66%	61%	74%	72%	78%	68%	73%	71%	74%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	223,905	14,825	8,429	16,407	6,362	4,025	27,738	3,180	4,821	8,400	10,714	6,363	29,697	6,250	2,865	21,120	7,600	43,048	2,061
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	167,867	11,180	7,005	12,164	4,757	2,894	21,175	2,369	3,623	6,840	8,485	4,761	22,776	4,371	2,043	15,333	5,836	30,769	1,486
Part. in a Work Activity	160,840	10,722	6,683	11,649	4,605	2,779	20,487	2,284	3,523	6,472	8,172	4,544	21,968	4,176	1,924	14,654	5,567	29,205	1,426
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	96%	95%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	117,484	7,109	4,720	8,597	3,397	1,985	15,346	1,628	2,787	4,809	5,438	2,962	15,949	3,015	1,331	11,125	4,096	22,327	863
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	67%	71%	71%	69%	72%	69%	77%	70%	64%	62%	70%	69%	65%	73%	70%	73%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$5.98	\$6.06	\$6.85	\$6.90	\$7.06	\$8.37	\$7.20	\$7.19	\$6.28	\$6.17	\$6.43	\$7.12	\$7.61	\$6.69	\$6.76	\$6.76	\$6.63	\$6.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$790	\$828	\$930	\$925	\$960	\$1,122	\$958	\$937	\$823	\$829	\$848	\$969	\$1,005	\$870	\$894	\$922	\$875	\$809
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	54%	63%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	55%	55%	57%	61%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,989	209	120	295	279	203	324	497	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	815	59	49	141	145	89	113	191	28
Participants in a Work Activity	586	40	31	109	122	59	96	113	16
% of Enrolled	72%	68%	63%	77%	84%	66%	85%	59%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	1	0	4	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	441	26	31	89	61	48	68	103	15
% of Enrolled	54%	44%	63%	63%	42%	54%	60%	54%	54%
Full Time	410	26	29	81	55	46	65	94	14
Part Time	31	0	2	8	6	2	3	9	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.51	\$7.11	\$6.94	\$7.12	\$7.09	\$7.27	\$7.46	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$1,042	\$962	\$927	\$956	\$992	\$990	\$1,008	\$972

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	447	36	24	68	59	43	84	112	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	985	77	61	162	158	105	138	251	33
Participants in a Work Activity	801	61	45	126	146	80	127	191	25
% of Total Enrolled	81%	79%	74%	78%	92%	76%	92%	76%	76%
Participants Employed	529	37	34	98	73	54	82	134	17
% of Total Enrolled	54%	48%	56%	60%	46%	51%	59%	53%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$8.01	\$7.10	\$6.98	\$7.15	\$7.11	\$7.26	\$7.33	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$1,133	\$959	\$924	\$950	\$972	\$946	\$998	\$902
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	68%	59%	79%	71%	83%	72%	64%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,825	1,536	1,058	2,064	2,022	1,383	2,853	3,436	473
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,180	1,154	799	1,532	1,596	967	2,164	2,602	366
Participants in a Work Activity	10,722	1,070	753	1,510	1,517	941	2,087	2,501	343
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	7,109	604	477	1,011	1,001	575	1,493	1,670	278
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	60%	66%	63%	59%	69%	64%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.98	\$6.20	\$6.06	\$5.67	\$6.20	\$6.23	\$5.92	\$5.96	\$5.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$790	\$805	\$797	\$756	\$834	\$856	\$781	\$779	\$718
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	49%	60%	59%	65%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,059	13	122	48	186	210	116	271	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	511	3	53	12	82	107	59	150	45
Participants in a Work Activity	369	3	47	9	66	58	46	116	24
% of Enrolled	72%	100%	89%	75%	80%	54%	78%	77%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0
Participants Employed	258	3	35	4	39	52	36	69	20
% of Enrolled	50%	100%	66%	33%	48%	49%	61%	46%	44%
Full Time	206	3	24	3	30	46	32	50	18
Part Time	52	0	11	1	9	6	4	19	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.33	\$6.52	\$7.06	\$7.16	\$7.41	\$7.34	\$7.44	\$7.50	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$982	\$847	\$905	\$1,025	\$1,015	\$1,024	\$982	\$965	\$1,018

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	256	2	32	11	49	41	32	55	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	657	3	69	16	114	130	78	183	64
Participants in a Work Activity	558	3	61	13	94	114	68	154	51
% of Total Enrolled	85%	100%	88%	81%	82%	88%	87%	84%	80%
Participants Employed	326	3	43	6	43	70	44	89	28
% of Total Enrolled	50%	100%	62%	38%	38%	54%	56%	49%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$6.52	\$7.06	\$6.99	\$7.36	\$7.26	\$7.38	\$7.41	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$949	\$770	\$868	\$964	\$984	\$984	\$937	\$917	\$1,057
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	100%	70%	55%	69%	63%	65%	61%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,429	217	1,164	571	1,444	1,408	1,321	1,707	597
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,005	166	953	462	1180	1151	1058	1,521	514
Participants in a Work Activity	6,683	155	863	426	1130	1120	1035	1,467	487
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	91%	92%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,720	106	644	303	735	781	724	1,091	336
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	66%	62%	68%	68%	72%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.06	\$5.93	\$5.86	\$6.15	\$5.81	\$6.34	\$6.12	\$6.09	\$5.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$828	\$815	\$794	\$821	\$801	\$870	\$816	\$842	\$844
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	46%	57%	53%	50%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,650	110	33	5	47	240	47	392	189	321	76	1,190
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1101	90	9	4	20	79	28	185	60	126	43	457
Participants in a Work Activity	737	50	4	2	17	47	18	137	45	105	36	276
% of Enrolled	67%	56%	44%	50%	85%	59%	64%	74%	75%	83%	84%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0
Participants Employed	570	40	4	1	14	30	16	118	33	74	28	212
% of Enrolled	52%	44%	44%	25%	70%	38%	57%	64%	55%	59%	65%	46%
Full Time	472	17	3	1	12	25	15	108	31	59	24	177
Part Time	98	23	1	0	2	5	1	10	2	15	4	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.35	\$7.37	\$6.55	\$7.51	\$7.81	\$8.11	\$7.85	\$7.24	\$7.86	\$7.63	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$833	\$931	\$993	\$1,080	\$1,082	\$1,189	\$1,076	\$1,003	\$1,062	\$1,091	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	618	21	3	2	6	52	13	91	45	54	19	312
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,397	104	12	7	23	102	33	221	90	158	56	591
Participants in a Work Activity	1,096	73	9	7	19	72	26	177	75	140	45	453
% of Total Enrolled	78%	70%	75%	100%	83%	71%	79%	80%	83%	89%	80%	77%
Participants Employed	705	50	5	4	16	37	18	139	44	93	33	266
% of Total Enrolled	50%	48%	42%	57%	70%	36%	55%	63%	49%	59%	59%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.77	\$7.44	\$7.58	\$7.51	\$7.75	\$8.12	\$7.98	\$7.38	\$7.89	\$7.67	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$874	\$917	\$1,052	\$1,057	\$1,039	\$1,168	\$1,084	\$1,011	\$1,102	\$1,035	\$1,092
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	57%	50%	100%	85%	63%	67%	71%	65%	65%	39%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	16,407	1,018	269	61	417	1,394	417	2,366	1,458	1,722	657	6,628
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,164	753	161	22	285	1014	280	1,891	1129	1336	500	4,793
Participants in a Work Activity	11,649	720	148	22	277	916	273	1,866	1087	1271	485	4,584
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	92%	100%	97%	90%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,597	550	83	14	205	663	169	1,526	742	1,011	364	3,270
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	52%	64%	72%	65%	60%	81%	66%	76%	73%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.68	\$7.18	\$6.54	\$6.73	\$6.69	\$6.79	\$6.72	\$6.60	\$7.31	\$6.81	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$813	\$921	\$987	\$908	\$928	\$928	\$914	\$925	\$1,019	\$891	\$942
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	43%	67%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	963	618	9	0	77	259
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	408	263	2	0	29	114
Participants in a Work Activity	294	177	2	N/A	18	97
% of Enrolled	72%	67%	100%	N/A	62%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	234	143	2	N/A	16	73
% of Enrolled	57%	54%	100%	N/A	55%	64%
Full Time	212	130	1	N/A	15	66
Part Time	22	13	1	N/A	1	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$7.67	\$7.63	N/A	\$7.28	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,022	\$904	N/A	\$930	\$1,121

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	253	166	2	0	16	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	495	316	3	0	32	144
Participants in a Work Activity	422	270	3	N/A	21	128
% of Total Enrolled	85%	85%	100%	N/A	66%	89%
Participants Employed	275	168	3	N/A	16	88
% of Total Enrolled	56%	53%	100%	N/A	50%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.66	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.28	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,018	\$547	N/A	\$922	\$1,100
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	81%	67%	N/A	67%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,362	3,461	62	16	571	2,252
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	4,757	2,630	37	7	417	1,666
Participants in a Work Activity	4,605	2,564	36	7	393	1,605
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	94%	96%
Participants Employed	3,397	1,796	25	5	314	1,257
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	68%	71%	75%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.90	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.54	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$925	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$849	\$933
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	59%	60%	54%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	528	15	157	71	34	123	128	260	177	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	248	7	59	41	12	71	58	81	43	38
Participants in a Work Activity	165	5	26	32	11	50	41	53	29	24
% of Enrolled	67%	71%	44%	78%	92%	70%	71%	65%	67%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	5	1	1	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	120	4	26	25	10	34	21	42	27	15
% of Enrolled	48%	57%	44%	61%	9	48%	36%	52%	63%	39%
Full Time	91	2	17	20	9	29	14	33	22	11
Part Time	29	2	9	5	1	5	7	9	5	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.56	\$8.17	\$7.84	\$7.31	\$8.25	\$7.43	\$8.06	\$7.63	\$8.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$838	\$1,008	\$1,029	\$1,050	\$1,117	\$955	\$1,092	\$1,041	\$1,185

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	128	9	28	24	9	34	24	39	28	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	325	12	68	62	20	91	72	110	69	41
Participants in a Work Activity	253	10	44	51	17	69	62	81	45	36
% of Total Enrolled	78%	83%	65%	82%	85%	76%	86%	74%	65%	88%
Participants Employed	152	6	27	35	13	40	31	51	32	19
% of Total Enrolled	47%	50%	40%	56%	65%	44%	43%	46%	46%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.12	\$8.23	\$8.48	\$7.68	\$8.23	\$7.45	\$8.06	\$7.80	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$993	\$999	\$1,163	\$975	\$1,108	\$917	\$1,086	\$1,025	\$1,192
Three Months Employment ⁸	58%	60%	67%	61%	67%	49%	56%	76%	71%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,025	167	761	628	515	1003	951	2,061	1280	781
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,894	98	538	497	299	735	727	1,486	897	589
Participants in a Work Activity	2,779	92	506	485	293	699	704	1426	873	553
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	98%	98%	95%	97%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	1,985	58	331	370	202	512	512	863	491	372
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	62%	74%	68%	70%	70%	58%	55%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.18	\$7.25	\$7.15	\$6.48	\$7.11	\$6.99	\$6.40	\$6.47	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$960	\$952	\$962	\$976	\$904	\$971	\$954	\$809	\$816	\$801
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	47%	53%	57%	53%	58%	51%	61%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,886	279	1,410	257	1,218	482	182	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,617	98	501	147	585	200	70	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,205	76	415	106	392	169	35	12
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	83%	72%	67%	85%	50%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	0	10	0	7	3	2	0
Participants Employed	693	41	206	72	261	78	25	10
% of Enrolled	43%	42%	41%	49%	45%	39%	36%	63%
Full Time	536	28	158	49	205	65	22	9
Part Time	157	13	48	23	56	13	3	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.27	\$9.26	\$9.43	\$9.41	\$9.16	\$9.36	\$8.90	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,244	\$1,193	\$1,241	\$1,208	\$1,256	\$1,302	\$1,174	\$1,162

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	716	57	220	48	256	82	39	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,998	136	644	172	712	233	82	19
Participants in a Work Activity	1,653	113	565	131	562	215	51	16
% of Total Enrolled	83%	83%	88%	76%	79%	92%	62%	84%
Participants Employed	873	57	263	85	327	97	34	10
% of Total Enrolled	44%	42%	41%	49%	46%	42%	41%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.41	\$9.42	\$9.61	\$9.65	\$9.24	\$9.67	\$8.61	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,248	\$1,183	\$1,228	\$1,213	\$1,271	\$1,345	\$1,119	\$1,153
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	72%	73%	80%	75%	77%	84%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	27,738	2,491	9,680	1,890	8,830	3,305	1,183	359
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,175	1,907	7,189	1,497	6,816	2,615	886	265
Participants in a Work Activity	20,487	1,881	6,975	1,440	6,565	2,513	852	261
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	98%
Participants Employed	15,346	1,422	4,980	1,199	5,093	1,857	599	196
% of Total Enrolled	72%	75%	69%	80%	75%	71%	68%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$7.91	\$8.29	\$8.86	\$8.59	\$8.08	\$7.94	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,122	\$1,030	\$1,104	\$1,156	\$1,186	\$1,035	\$1,076	\$1,061
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	68%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	416	185	112	28	88	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	163	65	44	11	40	3
Participants in a Work Activity	106	40	33	6	24	3
% of Enrolled	65%	62%	75%	55%	60%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	3	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	83	33	23	6	18	3
% of Enrolled	51%	51%	52%	55%	45%	100%
Full Time	77	29	23	6	16	3
Part Time	6	4	0	0	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.37	\$7.93	\$7.89	\$7.50	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,100	\$1,144	\$1,153	\$1,016	\$1,215

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	94	34	38	7	15	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	199	79	58	13	44	5
Participants in a Work Activity	157	60	49	13	31	4
% of Total Enrolled	79%	76%	84%	100%	70%	80%
Participants Employed	107	41	32	8	22	4
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	55%	62%	50%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.48	\$8.04	\$7.72	\$7.52	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,070	\$1,106	\$1,170	\$943	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	63%	57%	50%	78%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,180	1,218	924	302	651	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,369	873	703	219	517	57
Participants in a Work Activity	2,284	841	692	212	485	54
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,628	573	492	148	377	38
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	70%	68%	73%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.18	\$7.52	\$6.98	\$6.91	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$943	\$985	\$979	\$939	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	46%	43%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	712	132	42	60	108	50	320
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	295	46	9	34	45	13	148
Participants in a Work Activity	231	37	5	15	31	8	135
% of Enrolled	78%	80%	56%	44%	69%	62%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	134	24	5	11	18	8	68
% of Enrolled	45%	52%	56%	32%	40%	62%	46%
Full Time	91	21	5	4	11	3	47
Part Time	43	3	0	7	7	5	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$8.79	\$7.76	\$7.58	\$8.26	\$7.68	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$995	\$1,178	\$1,102	\$695	\$977	\$807	\$999

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	201	54	8	15	35	5	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	378	59	9	34	61	20	195
Participants in a Work Activity	324	49	5	20	47	15	188
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	56%	59%	77%	75%	96%
Participants Employed	171	25	5	12	26	12	91
% of Total Enrolled	45%	42%	56%	35%	43%	60%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.88	\$7.76	\$7.45	\$8.16	\$7.51	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$931	\$1,229	\$1,094	\$757	\$947	\$845	\$873
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	64%	100%	67%	81%	69%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,821	1121	176	378	724	223	2,199
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,623	774	114	268	503	149	1,815
Participants in a Work Activity	3,523	757	108	250	475	146	1,787
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	93%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,787	581	85	202	315	102	1,502
% of Total Enrolled	77%	75%	75%	75%	63%	68%	83%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.61	\$7.35	\$7.11	\$7.18	\$7.46	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$994	\$1,082	\$910	\$955	\$992	\$917
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	54%	50%	55%	56%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,250	100	93	183	286	588
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	611	35	47	98	187	244
Participants in a Work Activity	472	23	38	75	129	207
% of Enrolled	77%	66%	81%	77%	69%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	4	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	293	20	19	53	73	128
% of Enrolled	48%	57%	40%	54%	39%	52%
Full Time	234	16	19	41	64	94
Part Time	59	4	0	12	9	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$7.60	\$7.25	\$7.45	\$7.79	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$987	\$1,115	\$989	\$1,105	\$949

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	286	28	27	49	62	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	725	42	51	122	217	293
Participants in a Work Activity	624	32	46	106	177	263
% of Total Enrolled	86%	76%	90%	87%	82%	90%
Participants Employed	364	25	22	63	99	155
% of Total Enrolled	50%	60%	43%	52%	46%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.69	\$7.21	\$7.64	\$7.75	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,022	\$1,101	\$978	\$1,037	\$929
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	57%	55%	63%	67%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,400	776	686	1,673	1,951	3,314
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,840	615	598	1,312	1,627	2,688
Participants in a Work Activity	6,472	584	583	1,237	1,518	2,550
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	4,809	423	425	879	1,120	1,962
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	71%	67%	69%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.28	\$6.50	\$6.04	\$6.32	\$6.47	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$823	\$831	\$828	\$819	\$863	\$801
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	42%	56%	60%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,666	253	549	104	230	530
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	584	70	251	61	40	162
Participants in a Work Activity	411	32	193	52	25	109
% of Enrolled	70%	46%	77%	85%	63%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	244	31	93	31	22	67
% of Enrolled	42%	44%	37%	51%	55%	41%
Full Time	212	26	85	28	20	53
Part Time	32	5	8	3	2	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.79	\$7.20	\$7.03	\$7.04	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$1,133	\$1,021	\$972	\$895	\$993

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	368	32	120	33	48	135
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	785	97	310	78	68	232
Participants in a Work Activity	619	61	244	66	54	194
% of Total Enrolled	79%	63%	79%	85%	79%	84%
Participants Employed	305	37	110	42	30	86
% of Total Enrolled	39%	38%	35%	54%	44%	37%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$7.58	\$7.39	\$7.14	\$7.46	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$885	\$1,006	\$926	\$956	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	63%	61%	73%	53%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,714	1,644	2,830	1,062	1,716	3,462
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,485	1,363	2,073	916	1,303	2,830
Participants in a Work Activity	8,172	1,304	1,976	861	1,284	2,747
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	94%	99%	97%
Participants Employed	5,438	813	1,333	618	747	1,927
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	64%	67%	57%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.17	\$6.14	\$6.47	\$6.07	\$6.18	\$6.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$829	\$816	\$913	\$797	\$847	\$787
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	51%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,044	76	99	83	80	72	138	41	185	130	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	517	33	45	38	38	41	61	30	78	89	64
Participants in a Work Activity	327	30	29	33	27	25	49	13	33	40	48
% of Enrolled	63%	91%	64%	87%	71%	61%	80%	43%	42%	45%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	220	18	27	25	17	14	19	9	28	30	33
% of Enrolled	43%	55%	60%	66%	45%	34%	31%	30%	36%	34%	52%
Full Time	161	15	20	25	11	12	6	5	18	28	21
Part Time	59	3	7	0	6	2	13	4	10	2	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$8.33	\$6.98	\$7.85	\$7.44	\$7.94	\$7.02	\$6.79	\$7.44	\$7.02	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$1,031	\$885	\$1,124	\$931	\$1,105	\$667	\$786	\$1,020	\$894	\$931

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	195	15	30	17	15	15	19	9	29	21	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	620	39	57	47	52	41	80	34	92	94	84
Participants in a Work Activity	475	34	46	42	44	31	66	20	82	42	68
% of Total Enrolled	77%	87%	81%	89%	85%	76%	83%	59%	89%	45%	81%
Participants Employed	251	21	28	27	20	14	24	10	34	31	42
% of Total Enrolled	40%	54%	49%	57%	38%	34%	30%	29%	37%	33%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$8.24	\$6.94	\$7.78	\$7.43	\$7.94	\$7.00	\$6.70	\$7.39	\$7.04	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$1,081	\$876	\$1,056	\$949	\$1,065	\$644	\$778	\$922	\$890	\$867
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	65%	79%	75%	76%	76%	57%	100%	75%	76%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,363	378	845	649	537	441	757	251	914	766	825
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,761	268	653	474	371	307	580	185	699	573	651
Participants in a Work Activity	4,544	249	648	441	342	292	555	183	663	533	638
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	99%	93%	92%	95%	96%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,962	165	378	276	195	173	336	87	479	389	484
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	53%	56%	58%	47%	69%	68%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.43	\$7.12	\$5.99	\$6.81	\$6.83	\$6.94	\$6.37	\$5.62	\$6.14	\$6.42	\$6.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$848	\$941	\$807	\$919	\$892	\$936	\$792	\$734	\$803	\$863	\$850
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	52%	46%	46%	57%	60%	58%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,471	968	32	172	1,481	42	2,776
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,295	375	11	118	618	21	1,152
Participants in a Work Activity	1,566	255	5	65	393	12	836
% of Enrolled	68%	68%	45%	55%	64%	57%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	30	1	2	0	24	1	2
Participants Employed	916	176	3	47	265	7	418
% of Enrolled	40%	47%	27%	40%	43%	33%	36%
Full Time	737	140	3	39	213	5	337
Part Time	179	36	0	8	52	2	81
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$7.92	\$7.00	\$8.81	\$8.31	\$7.36	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,088	\$1,048	\$919	\$1,173	\$1,134	\$938	\$1,069

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	967	175	4	37	251	8	492
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,676	448	13	119	715	23	1,358
Participants in a Work Activity	2,128	368	7	75	546	19	1,113
% of Total Enrolled	80%	82%	54%	63%	76%	83%	82%
Participants Employed	1,083	204	4	47	318	7	503
% of Total Enrolled	40%	46%	31%	39%	44%	30%	37%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.94	\$7.13	\$8.84	\$8.40	\$7.36	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,048	\$918	\$1,157	\$1,137	\$963	\$1,065
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	76%	83%	90%	79%	79%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	29,697	5,850	193	949	6,902	265	15,538
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,776	4,361	138	657	5,423	181	12,016
Participants in a Work Activity	21,968	4,239	130	605	5,151	169	11,674
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	15,949	2,914	93	428	3,764	104	8,646
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	67%	65%	69%	57%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.34	\$6.56	\$7.99	\$7.40	\$7.00	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$995	\$858	\$1,054	\$1,006	\$898	\$945
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	53%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,071	181	37	381	280	192
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	603	104	8	218	152	121
Participants in a Work Activity	420	74	7	137	107	95
% of Enrolled	70%	71%	88%	63%	70%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	3	1	4	0	0
Participants Employed	298	49	5	106	64	74
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	63%	49%	42%	61%
Full Time	250	46	5	88	54	57
Part Time	48	3	0	18	10	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.82	\$8.25	\$8.16	\$8.22	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,107	\$1,155	\$1,106	\$1,046	\$1,047

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	260	52	16	89	56	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	688	122	15	237	174	140
Participants in a Work Activity	549	98	13	169	147	122
% of Total Enrolled	80%	80%	87%	71%	84%	87%
Participants Employed	342	53	8	118	77	86
% of Total Enrolled	50%	43%	53%	50%	44%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$7.87	\$7.91	\$8.16	\$8.23	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,098	\$1,095	\$1,044	\$1,053	\$1,014
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	85%	53%	78%	78%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,250	999	398	1,776	1,832	1,245
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,371	676	254	1362	1059	1020
Participants in a Work Activity	4,176	655	242	1244	1038	997
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	91%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	3,015	419	179	957	656	804
% of Total Enrolled	69%	62%	70%	70%	62%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.27	\$7.17	\$7.69	\$8.12	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$945	\$909	\$1,017	\$1,120	\$975
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	49%	57%	57%	54%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	429	98	25	53	53	15	43	25	34	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	186	52	12	15	14	9	26	5	9	44
Participants in a Work Activity	130	37	7	14	10	7	16	4	5	30
% of Enrolled	70%	71%	58%	93%	71%	78%	62%	80%	56%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	84	19	4	8	7	7	11	2	2	24
% of Enrolled	45%	37%	33%	53%	50%	78%	42%	40%	22%	55%
Full Time	70	15	2	7	6	7	8	2	1	22
Part Time	14	4	2	1	1	0	3	0	1	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.15	\$8.19	\$8.31	\$7.51	\$8.67	\$6.76	\$8.75	\$7.25	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$981	\$916	\$1,074	\$1,089	\$1,308	\$977	\$1,137	\$706	\$1,004

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	88	18	6	4	8	3	18	3	9	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	227	54	14	22	16	9	34	10	11	57
Participants in a Work Activity	176	44	10	21	12	7	24	9	9	40
% of Total Enrolled	78%	81%	71%	95%	75%	78%	71%	90%	82%	70%
Participants Employed	113	21	6	13	9	7	15	6	5	31
% of Total Enrolled	50%	39%	43%	59%	56%	78%	44%	60%	45%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.14	\$7.85	\$8.33	\$7.56	\$8.67	\$7.06	\$9.21	\$7.70	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,031	\$925	\$1,043	\$1,030	\$1,299	\$927	\$1,071	\$1,004	\$1,066
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	62%	60%	53%	60%	100%	94%	63%	50%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,865	426	219	227	340	159	328	259	268	639
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,043	322	143	182	255	99	234	208	142	458
Participants in a Work Activity	1,924	308	133	176	238	91	215	202	136	425
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	97%	93%	92%	92%	97%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	1,331	222	79	110	174	63	158	127	94	304
% of Total Enrolled	65%	69%	55%	60%	68%	64%	68%	61%	66%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.69	\$6.65	\$6.92	\$7.13	\$6.46	\$6.67	\$6.68	\$6.41	\$6.74	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$835	\$874	\$979	\$825	\$860	\$862	\$839	\$928	\$894
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	41%	34%	47%	48%	53%	55%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,330	20	110	120	36	96	1,245	1,667	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,347	6	36	47	10	43	482	705	18
Participants in a Work Activity	952	4	19	32	6	34	338	505	14
% of Enrolled	71%	67%	53%	68%	60%	79%	70%	72%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Participants Employed	704	4	18	28	5	25	234	378	12
% of Enrolled	52%	67%	50%	60%	50%	58%	49%	54%	67%
Full Time	582	4	8	23	5	19	188	326	9
Part Time	122	0	10	5	0	6	46	52	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.13	\$7.72	\$7.71	\$9.31	\$7.26	\$7.86	\$7.71	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$926	\$835	\$1,037	\$1,437	\$899	\$1,056	\$1,071	\$1,027

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	633	0	24	27	7	16	207	336	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,693	8	55	67	14	59	576	892	22
Participants in a Work Activity	1,364	5	31	54	11	50	497	698	18
% of Total Enrolled	81%	63%	56%	81%	79%	85%	86%	78%	82%
Participants Employed	863	5	26	40	7	37	293	441	14
% of Total Enrolled	51%	63%	47%	60%	50%	63%	51%	49%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.78	\$6.13	\$7.89	\$7.81	\$9.11	\$7.30	\$7.94	\$7.70	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$773	\$944	\$1,008	\$1,112	\$903	\$1,061	\$1,038	\$943
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	80%	63%	72%	80%	63%	76%	72%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	21,120	123	871	827	240	810	7,444	10,514	291
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,333	87	595	625	171	572	5,303	7,779	201
Participants in a Work Activity	14,654	85	543	579	164	551	5,175	7,365	192
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	91%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	11,125	47	407	454	114	368	3,812	5,771	152
% of Total Enrolled	73%	54%	68%	73%	67%	64%	72%	74%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.70	\$6.60	\$7.08	\$7.37	\$6.80	\$6.76	\$6.72	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$894	\$871	\$832	\$870	\$1,007	\$860	\$893	\$901	\$883
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	61%	56%	51%	53%	45%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,314	132	88	61	74	331	628
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	675	25	43	29	41	205	332
Participants in a Work Activity	379	24	35	22	24	102	172
% of Enrolled	56%	96%	81%	76%	59%	50%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	3	0	0	1	3
Participants Employed	283	12	22	15	21	73	140
% of Enrolled	42%	48%	51%	52%	51%	36%	42%
Full Time	223	10	19	10	13	48	123
Part Time	60	2	3	5	8	25	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	\$7.21	\$8.24	\$7.77	\$7.21	\$7.19	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$1,030	\$1,213	\$853	\$841	\$874	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	204	30	17	9	11	54	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW	757	37	53	34	48	217	368
Participants in a Work Activity	529	34	43	33	39	126	254
% of Total Enrolled	70%	92%	81%	97%	81%	58%	69%
Participants Employed	321	16	24	17	23	83	158
% of Total Enrolled	42%	43%	45%	50%	48%	38%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	\$7.15	\$8.07	\$8.03	\$7.15	\$7.20	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,026	\$1,099	\$877	\$857	\$886	\$1,080
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	79%	61%	50%	62%	69%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,600	967	654	281	613	1,902	3,183
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,836	677	482	218	478	1,505	2,476
Participants in a Work Activity	5,567	674	423	206	463	1,441	2,360
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	94%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,096	461	304	160	330	1,057	1,784
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	63%	73%	69%	70%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.73	\$7.25	\$6.86	\$6.75	\$6.64	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$922	\$930	\$982	\$848	\$930	\$901	\$928
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	50%	51%	58%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2009

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/09 and Work Activity as of 8/31/09

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,550	147	128	1,206	94	2,153	1,298	451	1,073
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,571	53	44	548	18	928	461	161	358
Participants in a Work Activity	1,991	37	22	407	18	733	364	125	285
% of Enrolled	77%	70%	50%	74%	100%	79%	79%	78%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	1	0	2	6	1	3
Participants Employed	1,472	28	15	326	13	566	239	89	196
% of Enrolled	57%	53%	34%	59%	72%	61%	52%	55%	55%
Full Time	1,287	23	10	282	12	517	198	84	161
Part Time	185	5	5	44	1	49	41	5	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.48	\$8.43	\$7.48	\$7.90	\$7.40	\$7.76	\$7.47	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$959	\$961	\$1,007	\$1,265	\$997	\$1,064	\$1,065	\$1,036

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,189	25	25	175	25	383	239	85	232
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,085	63	55	643	34	1,054	586	206	444
Participants in a Work Activity	2,597	53	52	553	33	902	477	166	361
% of Total Enrolled	84%	84%	95%	86%	97%	86%	81%	81%	81%
Participants Employed	1,741	38	19	386	15	642	301	112	228
% of Total Enrolled	56%	60%	35%	60%	44%	61%	51%	54%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.41	\$8.08	\$7.55	\$7.73	\$7.42	\$7.81	\$7.71	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$952	\$948	\$1,018	\$1,056	\$998	\$1,067	\$1,065	\$1,043
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	72%	69%	78%	58%	77%	71%	67%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	43,048	941	819	6,854	672	13,900	7,052	2,775	10,035
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,769	666	633	4,878	526	9,809	5,460	2,125	6,672
Participants in a Work Activity	29,205	636	604	4,638	515	9,341	5,141	2,101	6,229
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	22,327	481	383	3,623	365	7,130	4,111	1,620	4,614
% of Total Enrolled	73%	72%	61%	74%	69%	73%	75%	76%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.63	\$6.66	\$6.38	\$6.76	\$6.25	\$6.51	\$6.54	\$6.47	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$889	\$811	\$899	\$786	\$840	\$885	\$847	\$934
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	47%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	46.4%	2.40	70	\$7.80	4.72	50	71.1%	5.28	56	4.13	59
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	42.4%	1.84	93	\$8.88	7.77	8	64.0%	4.13	81	4.58	37
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	48.1%	2.64	65	\$7.77	4.63	53	56.9%	2.96	106	3.41	93
007	<i>Amelia</i>	53.8%	3.46	38	\$8.24	5.96	18	65.2%	4.33	74	4.58	35
009	<i>Amherst</i>	59.5%	4.27	22	\$7.69	4.41	62	57.1%	3.01	105	3.89	68
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	43.1%	1.94	88	\$7.21	3.05	98	54.6%	2.58	109	2.53	116
013	<i>Arlington</i>	41.9%	1.77	94	\$9.42	9.29	4	71.8%	5.39	51	5.49	16
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	53.2%	3.36	43	\$7.66	4.32	65	80.7%	6.86	16	4.85	25
017	<i>Bath</i>	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.27	3.22	95	66.7%	4.56	68	5.93	9
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	51.6%	3.15	47	\$7.64	4.27	66	63.4%	4.03	82	3.81	75
021	<i>Bland</i>	100.0%	10.00	2	\$6.52	1.10	118	100.0%	10.00	1	7.03	3
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	41.7%	1.74	95	\$7.44	3.70	79	50.0%	1.84	114	2.43	117
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	49.1%	2.79	62	\$6.94	2.29	115	78.8%	6.54	23	3.87	70
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	48.1%	2.64	66	\$8.01	5.31	30	67.5%	4.70	65	4.22	56
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	57.4%	3.97	27	\$7.78	4.66	52	75.0%	5.92	41	4.85	24
031	<i>Campbell</i>	45.6%	2.30	74	\$7.75	4.58	55	67.3%	4.67	66	3.85	73
033	<i>Caroline</i>	43.4%	1.99	86	\$7.87	4.92	43	85.5%	7.63	9	4.84	26
035	<i>Carroll</i>	62.3%	4.66	11	\$7.06	2.63	109	69.8%	5.07	59	4.12	60
036	<i>Charles City</i>	62.5%	4.69	10	\$6.13	0.00	119	80.0%	6.74	18	3.81	77
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	38.5%	1.28	102	\$7.43	3.67	80	76.0%	6.08	35	3.68	83
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	45.5%	2.28	75	\$7.94	5.11	35	75.9%	6.06	37	4.49	40
043	<i>Clarke</i>	50.0%	2.92	53	\$8.12	5.62	24	60.0%	3.47	98	4.00	63
045	<i>Craig</i>	57.1%	3.93	28	\$7.58	4.10	67	100.0%	10.00	2	6.01	7
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	51.9%	3.19	46	\$8.48	6.64	13	63.3%	4.01	85	4.61	34
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	34.1%	0.67	114	\$7.94	5.11	36	76.2%	6.12	34	3.97	65
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	55.7%	3.73	32	\$7.10	2.74	108	58.6%	3.25	102	3.24	99
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	43.2%	1.96	87	\$7.15	2.88	100	79.2%	6.60	22	3.81	76
057	<i>Essex</i>	38.9%	1.34	101	\$7.14	2.85	103	62.2%	3.83	90	2.67	114
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	40.8%	1.62	98	\$9.61	9.83	3	72.8%	5.57	45	5.67	13
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	55.2%	3.65	34	\$8.04	5.40	28	57.5%	3.06	104	4.03	61
063	<i>Floyd</i>	69.6%	5.69	5	\$7.51	3.90	73	84.6%	7.49	11	5.69	11
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	55.6%	3.70	33	\$7.76	4.60	54	100.0%	10.00	3	6.10	5
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	36.3%	0.97	110	\$7.75	4.58	56	63.0%	3.97	86	3.17	103
069	<i>Frederick</i>	39.7%	1.46	99	\$8.23	5.93	19	66.7%	4.56	69	3.98	64
071	<i>Giles</i>	54.5%	3.56	35	\$8.12	5.62	25	66.7%	4.56	70	4.58	36
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	47.3%	2.53	68	\$7.89	4.97	41	63.3%	4.02	83	3.84	74
075	<i>Goochland</i>	30.8%	0.19	116	\$7.13	2.82	105	83.3%	7.28	13	3.43	92
077	<i>Grayson</i>	37.5%	1.15	106	\$6.99	2.43	113	54.6%	2.58	110	2.05	118

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	35.3%	0.83	112	\$7.45	3.73	77	66.7%	4.56	71	3.04	107
081	Greensville/Emporia	30.0%	0.08	118	\$7.00	2.46	112	56.7%	2.93	107	1.82	119
083	Halifax	38.1%	1.24	104	\$7.58	4.10	68	62.5%	3.88	88	3.07	106
085	Hanover	39.5%	1.43	100	\$8.84	7.66	9	90.2%	8.40	8	5.83	10
087	Henrico	44.5%	2.13	79	\$8.40	6.41	15	78.7%	6.52	24	5.02	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	35.5%	0.86	111	\$7.39	3.56	84	60.6%	3.57	96	2.66	115
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	60.3%	4.38	17	\$7.41	3.62	82	72.0%	5.43	48	4.48	43
095	James City	59.7%	4.29	21	\$7.81	4.75	48	72.0%	5.43	49	4.82	28
097	King and Queen	42.9%	1.90	91	\$7.85	4.86	44	60.0%	3.47	99	3.41	94
099	King George	53.3%	3.39	42	\$7.91	5.03	40	53.3%	2.39	111	3.60	87
101	King William	59.1%	4.20	24	\$8.33	6.21	17	52.9%	2.32	112	4.25	54
103	Lancaster	56.3%	3.80	31	\$7.56	4.04	70	60.0%	3.47	100	3.77	80
105	Lee	60.5%	4.40	16	\$6.98	2.40	114	79.4%	6.64	21	4.48	42
107	Loudoun	49.4%	2.83	61	\$9.65	9.94	2	80.2%	6.76	17	6.51	4
109	Louisa	42.6%	1.87	92	\$8.16	5.73	22	81.5%	6.98	15	4.86	23
111	Lunenburg	29.4%	0.00	119	\$6.70	1.61	117	100.0%	10.00	4	3.87	71
113	Madison	61.5%	4.55	12	\$7.72	4.49	58	50.0%	1.84	115	3.63	86
115	Mathews	77.8%	6.85	4	\$8.67	7.18	10	100.0%	10.00	5	8.01	1
117	Mecklenburg	37.0%	1.07	109	\$7.39	3.56	85	74.6%	5.85	44	3.49	91
119	Middlesex	44.1%	2.08	81	\$7.06	2.63	110	93.8%	8.98	7	4.56	38
121	Montgomery	62.9%	4.74	8	\$7.98	5.23	32	71.3%	5.32	53	5.10	19
125	Nelson	60.0%	4.33	19	\$7.51	3.90	74	69.2%	4.98	60	4.40	46
127	New Kent	50.0%	2.92	54	\$9.11	8.42	7	80.0%	6.74	19	6.02	6
131	Northampton	46.3%	2.40	71	\$8.52	6.75	12	85.0%	7.55	10	5.57	15
133	Northumberland	60.0%	4.33	20	\$9.21	8.70	6	62.5%	3.88	89	5.64	14
135	Nottoway	33.0%	0.51	115	\$7.04	2.57	111	75.7%	6.03	38	3.04	108
137	Orange	50.0%	2.92	55	\$7.52	3.93	72	78.3%	6.45	27	4.43	44
139	Page	56.5%	3.83	29	\$8.48	6.64	14	60.6%	3.57	97	4.68	32
141	Patrick	53.8%	3.46	39	\$7.14	2.85	104	72.7%	5.55	46	3.96	67
143	Pittsylvania	44.1%	2.08	82	\$7.46	3.76	76	52.6%	2.27	113	2.70	113
145	Powhatan	30.4%	0.14	117	\$7.36	3.47	88	78.6%	6.50	25	3.37	97
147	Prince Edward	50.0%	2.92	56	\$7.50	3.87	75	78.2%	6.44	29	4.41	45
149	Prince George	45.3%	2.25	77	\$8.07	5.48	27	61.3%	3.68	95	3.80	78
153	Prince William	45.9%	2.34	73	\$9.24	8.79	5	75.1%	5.94	40	5.69	12
155	Pulaski	48.9%	2.76	63	\$7.38	3.53	86	65.1%	4.30	76	3.53	89
157	Rappahannock	80.0%	7.17	3	\$7.98	5.23	33	100.0%	10.00	6	7.46	2
159	Richmond County	45.5%	2.27	76	\$7.70	4.44	60	50.0%	1.84	116	2.85	110
161	Roanoke County	58.9%	4.17	26	\$7.89	4.97	42	65.1%	4.30	75	4.48	41
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	50.0%	2.92	57	\$7.28	3.25	94	70.6%	5.20	57	3.79	79
165	Rockingham/H'burg	61.1%	4.49	14	\$7.80	4.72	51	61.5%	3.72	93	4.31	51
167	Russell	46.2%	2.38	72	\$7.15	2.88	101	71.3%	5.31	54	3.52	90
169	Scott	51.4%	3.12	49	\$7.11	2.77	107	83.0%	7.22	14	4.37	49
171	Shenandoah	65.0%	5.04	6	\$7.68	4.38	63	66.7%	4.56	72	4.66	33

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	37.7%	1.18	105	\$7.36	3.47	89	69.2%	4.98	61	3.21	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	34.5%	0.73	113	\$8.08	5.51	26	69.2%	4.98	62	3.74	81
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	49.8%	2.89	59	\$8.16	5.73	23	77.8%	6.37	31	5.00	21
179	<i>Stafford</i>	44.3%	2.10	80	\$8.23	5.93	20	78.2%	6.45	28	4.83	27
181	<i>Surry</i>	50.0%	2.92	58	\$8.03	5.37	29	50.0%	1.84	117	3.38	96
183	<i>Sussex</i>	47.9%	2.62	67	\$7.15	2.88	102	62.1%	3.81	91	3.10	104
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	59.4%	4.25	23	\$7.26	3.19	96	72.2%	5.46	47	4.30	52
187	<i>Warren</i>	44.0%	2.06	84	\$8.23	5.93	21	49.2%	1.71	118	3.23	100
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.8%	3.46	40	\$7.26	3.19	97	62.9%	3.94	87	3.53	88
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	54.4%	3.54	36	\$7.82	4.77	47	75.0%	5.92	42	4.74	30
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.4%	3.40	41	\$7.33	3.39	91	64.2%	4.16	79	3.65	85
197	<i>Wythe</i>	56.4%	3.82	30	\$7.38	3.53	87	65.0%	4.29	77	3.88	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.7%	4.72	9	\$7.30	3.31	93	63.3%	4.02	84	4.01	62
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	41.6%	1.73	96	\$9.67	10.00	1	76.6%	6.18	33	5.97	8
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.6%	2.72	64	\$7.41	3.62	83	61.4%	3.70	94	3.35	98
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	46.7%	2.44	69	\$8.35	6.27	16	61.6%	3.74	92	4.15	58
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.0%	4.34	18	\$7.55	4.01	71	78.4%	6.48	26	4.94	22
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.1%	1.08	107	\$7.58	4.10	69	59.6%	3.41	101	2.86	109
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	44.1%	2.08	83	\$7.73	4.52	57	57.7%	3.10	103	3.23	101
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	61.4%	4.54	13	\$7.94	5.11	37	77.9%	6.40	30	5.35	18
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.8%	2.03	85	\$7.12	2.80	106	80.0%	6.74	20	3.85	72
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.9%	3.04	52	\$7.94	5.11	38	75.6%	6.02	39	4.73	31
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	38.2%	1.25	103	\$7.20	3.02	99	69.1%	4.96	63	3.08	105
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.9%	3.33	44	\$7.34	3.42	90	70.3%	5.15	58	3.96	66
683	<i>Manassas</i>	41.5%	1.71	97	\$8.61	7.01	11	83.8%	7.35	12	5.36	17
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	52.6%	3.29	45	\$7.92	5.06	39	71.4%	5.34	52	4.56	39
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.4%	2.84	60	\$7.70	4.44	61	71.9%	5.42	50	4.23	55
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	60.9%	4.46	15	\$7.42	3.64	81	77.1%	6.27	32	4.79	29
720	<i>Norton</i>	51.5%	3.13	48	\$6.83	1.98	116	75.0%	5.92	43	3.68	84
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	42.9%	1.92	90	\$7.95	5.14	34	76.0%	6.08	36	4.38	48
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	51.4%	3.11	50	\$7.81	4.75	49	71.2%	5.31	55	4.39	47
750	<i>Radford</i>	58.9%	4.18	25	\$7.67	4.35	64	38.7%	0.00	119	2.84	111
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	37.0%	1.08	108	\$7.84	4.83	45	64.8%	4.26	78	3.39	95
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.0%	2.21	78	\$7.84	4.83	46	64.1%	4.14	80	3.73	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	54.4%	3.54	37	\$7.71	4.46	59	66.9%	4.60	67	4.20	57
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.4%	3.11	51	\$8.00	5.28	31	67.6%	4.72	64	4.37	50
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	63.6%	4.85	7	\$7.32	3.36	92	66.7%	4.56	73	4.26	53
840	<i>Winchester</i>	43.1%	1.93	89	\$7.45	3.73	78	55.8%	2.79	108	2.82	112

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.7%	2.16	115	\$816	2.49	96	57.9%	6.77	32	3.81	105
003	Albemarle	75.1%	7.85	13	\$994	6.09	16	54.6%	5.88	61	6.61	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	73.0%	7.28	23	\$813	2.42	100	45.9%	3.52	107	4.41	88
007	Amelia	61.6%	4.07	98	\$941	5.03	35	44.6%	3.18	112	4.09	98
009	Amherst	68.8%	6.09	52	\$831	2.79	91	51.8%	5.13	80	4.67	81
011	Appomattox	71.1%	6.73	36	\$828	2.73	92	42.4%	2.57	116	4.01	99
013	Arlington	74.6%	7.71	15	\$1,030	6.84	10	64.0%	8.42	9	7.66	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.3%	5.95	57	\$938	4.95	37	54.5%	5.84	62	5.58	42
017	Bath	67.6%	5.75	67	\$706	0.25	119	59.1%	7.10	27	4.37	91
019	Bedford County/City	67.0%	5.59	72	\$819	2.55	95	55.5%	6.13	53	4.76	76
021	Bland	63.9%	4.71	88	\$815	2.45	99	48.4%	4.20	95	3.79	107
023	Botetourt	51.6%	1.27	119	\$921	4.62	48	42.9%	2.70	114	2.86	118
025	Brunswick	57.9%	3.04	110	\$807	2.30	102	57.1%	6.56	39	3.97	101
027	Buchanan	52.3%	1.49	118	\$805	2.26	103	54.6%	5.89	60	3.21	115
029	Buckingham	58.2%	3.14	108	\$919	4.58	49	51.9%	5.14	79	4.29	93
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.10	51	\$863	3.44	72	59.7%	7.27	24	5.61	40
033	Caroline	62.0%	4.19	96	\$945	5.11	31	42.5%	2.60	115	3.97	100
035	Carroll	67.6%	5.75	66	\$794	2.04	110	46.3%	3.62	105	3.80	106
036	Charles City	54.0%	1.96	116	\$871	3.60	69	60.5%	7.49	16	4.35	92
037	Charlotte	52.6%	1.55	117	\$892	4.03	63	46.4%	3.67	103	3.08	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66.8%	5.54	73	\$995	6.13	15	60.6%	7.49	15	6.39	23
043	Clarke	59.2%	3.40	107	\$952	5.25	29	47.2%	3.87	100	4.17	97
045	Craig	63.6%	4.65	89	\$987	5.96	18	66.7%	9.15	3	6.59	17
047	Culpeper	65.6%	5.21	80	\$943	5.07	33	55.6%	6.15	52	5.48	44
049	Cumberland	56.4%	2.61	113	\$936	4.93	38	46.4%	3.65	104	3.73	109
051	Dickensen	59.7%	3.55	104	\$797	2.09	108	48.5%	4.23	94	3.29	114
053	Dinwiddie	68.1%	5.90	60	\$930	4.80	41	53.4%	5.54	70	5.41	46
057	Essex	68.9%	6.13	50	\$835	2.87	88	41.4%	2.29	117	3.77	108
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	69.3%	6.23	46	\$1,104	8.33	4	61.6%	7.78	13	7.45	6
061	Fauquier	70.0%	6.43	42	\$985	5.92	19	62.7%	8.06	10	6.80	11
063	Floyd	71.9%	6.97	32	\$908	4.35	55	45.5%	3.42	109	4.91	71
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	7.71	16	\$1,082	7.89	5	53.9%	5.68	65	7.09	7
067	Franklin County	65.4%	5.14	82	\$928	4.76	44	50.1%	4.66	88	4.85	72
069	Frederick	61.5%	4.06	99	\$962	5.44	26	53.3%	5.52	71	5.01	67
071	Giles	60.4%	3.73	103	\$928	4.75	46	47.9%	4.07	98	4.18	95
073	Gloucester	68.4%	5.98	56	\$832	2.81	90	56.1%	6.29	47	5.03	64
075	Goochland	67.4%	5.70	71	\$858	3.33	77	55.3%	6.07	56	5.03	62
077	Grayson	65.6%	5.19	81	\$821	2.59	94	57.1%	6.57	37	4.78	75

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.4%	7.93	10	\$910	4.40	53	50.3%	4.71	86	5.68	37
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.9%	3.05	109	\$792	2.00	111	57.0%	6.53	41	3.86	103
083	Halifax	59.6%	3.53	105	\$816	2.48	97	48.1%	4.12	97	3.38	111
085	Hanover	65.1%	5.07	84	\$1,054	7.31	8	65.2%	8.76	7	7.05	8
087	Henrico	69.4%	6.26	44	\$1,006	6.35	14	56.0%	6.27	48	6.30	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.3%	4.84	86	\$913	4.44	52	54.7%	5.89	59	5.06	61
091	Highland	71.4%	6.83	35	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.35	22	4.73	79
093	Isle of Wight	72.2%	7.05	29	\$889	3.97	65	55.7%	6.18	50	5.73	36
095	James City	72.6%	7.17	28	\$870	3.59	71	51.3%	4.99	81	5.25	50
097	King and Queen	55.2%	2.30	114	\$874	3.66	68	34.3%	0.37	119	2.11	120
099	King George	70.5%	6.56	38	\$909	4.38	54	48.8%	4.30	93	5.08	60
101	King William	60.4%	3.75	102	\$979	5.80	21	46.9%	3.80	101	4.45	86
103	Lancaster	68.2%	5.94	58	\$825	2.66	93	48.1%	4.13	96	4.24	94
105	Lee	66.0%	5.31	78	\$756	1.25	116	59.9%	7.31	23	4.62	83
107	Loudoun	80.1%	9.26	3	\$1,156	9.39	2	65.7%	8.89	5	9.18	1
109	Louisa	62.6%	4.37	94	\$955	5.31	27	55.4%	6.10	55	5.26	49
111	Lunenburg	47.0%	0.00	120	\$734	0.82	117	60.3%	7.42	20	2.75	119
113	Madison	67.6%	5.75	65	\$979	5.79	22	45.8%	3.49	108	5.01	66
115	Mathews	63.6%	4.65	90	\$860	3.37	76	53.5%	5.57	68	4.53	85
117	Mecklenburg	68.5%	6.02	53	\$803	2.23	104	58.1%	6.84	31	5.03	63
119	Middlesex	67.5%	5.74	69	\$862	3.41	74	55.5%	6.12	54	5.09	59
121	Montgomery	80.7%	9.42	2	\$914	4.48	51	53.8%	5.68	66	6.53	18
125	Nelson	68.5%	6.00	54	\$992	6.05	17	55.7%	6.17	51	6.07	30
127	New Kent	66.7%	5.50	74	\$1,007	6.36	13	52.8%	5.39	74	5.75	35
131	Northampton	63.2%	4.51	91	\$801	2.17	106	65.6%	8.85	6	5.18	54
133	Northumberland	61.1%	3.93	100	\$839	2.96	87	40.4%	2.02	118	2.97	117
135	Nottoway	67.9%	5.84	62	\$863	3.43	73	55.1%	6.01	57	5.09	58
137	Orange	72.9%	7.25	25	\$939	4.99	36	43.3%	2.81	113	5.02	65
139	Page	74.4%	7.67	17	\$976	5.73	23	57.2%	6.58	36	6.66	13
141	Patrick	67.5%	5.72	70	\$797	2.09	109	65.8%	8.91	4	5.57	43
143	Pittsylvania	57.3%	2.88	112	\$847	3.10	83	51.1%	4.93	84	3.64	110
145	Powhatan	57.5%	2.92	111	\$898	4.14	60	53.1%	5.48	72	4.18	96
147	Prince Edward	74.3%	7.65	18	\$850	3.18	79	60.3%	7.42	21	6.08	29
149	Prince George	63.1%	4.49	92	\$982	5.86	20	50.0%	4.63	89	5.00	68
153	Prince William	74.7%	7.75	14	\$1,186	10.00	1	62.0%	7.89	12	8.55	2
155	Pulaski	65.7%	5.23	79	\$925	4.69	47	45.3%	3.36	110	4.43	87
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	5.50	75	\$948	5.16	30	46.7%	3.73	102	4.80	74
159	Richmond County	66.2%	5.37	77	\$928	4.75	45	32.9%	0.00	120	3.37	112
161	Roanoke County	75.7%	8.02	7	\$1,019	6.61	11	52.4%	5.28	77	6.64	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	75.3%	7.91	11	\$849	3.15	80	54.3%	5.79	63	5.62	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.5%	7.96	9	\$933	4.86	40	58.7%	6.99	28	6.60	16
167	Russell	62.7%	4.39	93	\$834	2.85	89	58.7%	6.99	29	4.74	78
169	Scott	59.5%	3.48	106	\$856	3.30	78	64.6%	8.60	8	5.13	56
171	Shenandoah	67.6%	5.75	68	\$904	4.27	56	53.4%	5.56	69	5.19	53

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	62.3%	4.27	95	\$801	2.17	107	52.8%	5.40	73	3.95	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	60.5%	3.77	101	\$811	2.37	101	47.4%	3.93	99	3.36	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	70.3%	6.50	40	\$1,017	6.57	12	56.9%	6.50	43	6.52	19
179	<i>Stafford</i>	61.9%	4.18	97	\$1,120	8.65	3	57.0%	6.54	40	6.45	22
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.4%	7.38	22	\$848	3.13	81	50.7%	4.83	85	5.11	57
183	<i>Sussex</i>	69.0%	6.16	48	\$930	4.80	42	57.6%	6.69	34	5.88	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	69.0%	6.15	49	\$781	1.78	114	57.0%	6.53	42	4.82	73
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.7%	6.33	43	\$971	5.63	25	57.7%	6.72	33	6.23	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.9%	5.83	63	\$870	3.59	70	49.6%	4.53	90	4.65	82
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.4%	5.42	76	\$894	4.08	61	50.2%	4.68	87	4.72	80
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.2%	4.80	87	\$779	1.73	115	57.1%	6.57	38	4.37	90
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.4%	5.99	55	\$816	2.48	98	56.2%	6.31	46	4.93	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.3%	4.84	85	\$860	3.38	75	45.3%	3.35	111	3.86	104
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	6.71	37	\$1,035	6.93	9	69.8%	10.00	1	7.88	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.7%	6.91	34	\$842	3.00	85	48.9%	4.33	92	4.75	77
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	82.8%	10.00	1	\$917	4.53	50	55.9%	6.24	49	6.92	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.3%	7.63	19	\$899	4.16	59	56.3%	6.34	45	6.04	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	68.1%	5.90	61	\$787	1.90	112	52.8%	5.38	75	4.39	89
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	69.4%	6.26	45	\$786	1.86	113	53.6%	5.60	67	4.58	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	78.8%	8.90	4	\$975	5.71	24	54.2%	5.76	64	6.79	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	65.4%	5.13	83	\$844	3.06	84	60.4%	7.45	18	5.21	52
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.9%	6.96	33	\$893	4.05	62	52.6%	5.33	76	5.45	45
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	70.2%	6.50	41	\$901	4.21	58	51.3%	4.98	82	5.23	51
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	73.0%	7.27	24	\$801	2.18	105	62.4%	8.01	11	5.82	34
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.6%	5.76	64	\$1,076	7.75	6	59.5%	7.22	25	6.91	10
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.0%	7.54	21	\$1,061	7.45	7	67.7%	9.44	2	8.14	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	74.2%	7.60	20	\$901	4.22	57	58.2%	6.86	30	6.22	26
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.7%	7.18	27	\$840	2.98	86	55.1%	6.01	58	5.39	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	76.0%	8.10	6	\$718	0.49	118	60.4%	7.44	19	5.34	48
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	72.1%	7.00	30	\$928	4.77	43	57.5%	6.67	35	6.15	27
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	75.3%	7.91	12	\$885	3.89	66	61.3%	7.70	14	6.50	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.8%	7.21	26	\$891	4.00	64	45.9%	3.53	106	4.91	70
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	72.0%	6.98	31	\$945	5.09	32	60.5%	7.48	17	6.52	20
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	68.2%	5.93	59	\$942	5.04	34	49.6%	4.53	91	5.17	55
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	76.2%	8.18	5	\$847	3.11	82	59.3%	7.14	26	6.14	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	69.2%	6.19	47	\$934	4.87	39	56.5%	6.40	44	5.82	33
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	75.6%	8.00	8	\$883	3.84	67	52.1%	5.20	78	5.68	38
840	<i>Winchester</i>	70.4%	6.55	39	\$954	5.29	28	51.2%	4.97	83	5.60	41

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	2,354	144	104	197	79	44	243	32	64	99	136	67	322	113	41	208	79	370	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	92%	95%	95%	92%	89%	90%	100%	97%	93%	93%	100%	84%	78%	85%	96%	71%	96%	83%
% Timely in August 2009	91%	92%	95%	95%	92%	89%	90%	100%	97%	93%	93%	100%	84%	78%	85%	96%	71%	96%	83%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	159	6	3	4	3	6	15	1	3	1	3	0	63	24	2	9	12	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	19	12	2	9	18	22	51	25	3	8	0	38	17	4	37	21	2	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	144	11	9	24	31	7	19	37	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	100%	96%	97%	100%	74%	95%	67%
% Timely in August 2009	92%	100%	100%	96%	97%	100%	74%	95%	67%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	0	46	3	0	0	3	8

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	104	0	12	6	22	10	11	28	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	N/A	100%	67%	100%	100%	73%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2009	95%	N/A	100%	67%	100%	100%	73%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	10.5	0	0	16	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botetourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	197	9	1	0	0	15	2	28	9	24	9	100
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
% Timely in August 2009	95%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	79	51	0	0	5	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	78%
% Timely in August 2009	92%	98%	N/A	N/A	100%	78%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	9	5	0	0	0	12

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	44	2	8	10	1	12	11	12	10	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	100%	50%	90%	100%	100%	100%	83%	90%	50%
% Timely in August 2009	89%	100%	50%	90%	100%	100%	100%	83%	90%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	6	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	243	21	67	22	84	32	9	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	100%	93%	100%	83%	100%	89%	50%
% Timely in August 2009	90%	100%	93%	100%	83%	100%	89%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	15	0	0	0	8	0	4	3
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	21	0	37	4

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	32	13	12	2	5	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
% Timely in August 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	51	51	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	64	13	1	9	14	1	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	97%	100%	0%	89%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2009	97%	100%	0%	89%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	31	22	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	99	11	9	19	27	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	100%	89%	96%	88%
% Timely in August 2009	93%	100%	100%	89%	96%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	3	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	136	10	46	17	11	52
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	90%	93%	94%	100%	92%
% Timely in August 2009	93%	90%	93%	94%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	8	11	11	0	0	1

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	67	3	8	6	5	6	8	4	13	7	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2009	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	322	57	1	14	97	2	151
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	95%	100%	86%	62%	100%	94%
% Timely in August 2009	84%	95%	100%	86%	62%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	63	3	0	0	52	0	8
Average # of Days Over 30	38	56	0	0	41	0	14

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	113	26	3	44	22	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	78%	65%	100%	66%	95%	100%
% Timely in August 2009	78%	65%	100%	66%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	24	11	0	12	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	29	0	6	9	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	41	6	5	2	4	0	5	2	5	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	85%	67%	80%	100%	75%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%
% Timely in August 2009	85%	67%	80%	100%	75%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	208	0	10	7	1	5	49	129	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
% Timely in August 2009	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	92

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	79	7	6	5	5	20	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%	65%	56%
% Timely in August 2009	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%	65%	56%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
Average # of Days Over 30	21	0	0	0	0	0	21

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - August 31, 2009

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	370	8	12	56	4	98	105	34	53
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	100%	92%	96%	100%	94%	95%	94%	100%
% Timely in August 2009	96%	100%	92%	96%	100%	94%	95%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	3	0	3	2	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Aug-08</i>	<i>Aug-09</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,388	14,162	-8.0%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,636	125,250	-2.6%
Total Cases Collected	144,024	139,412	-3.2%
TANF Collections	\$3,777,384	\$2,461,310	-34.8%
NTANF Collections	\$47,675,991	\$45,653,909	-4.2%
Total Collections	\$51,453,375	\$48,115,219	-6.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$109,224,963	\$99,362,484	-9.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$372	\$351	-5.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Aug-09</i>
TANF Paternities	241
NTANF Paternities	379
Combined Paternities	620

Diversionsary Assistance

August Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	9		Page	1
Alleghany / Covington	2		Patrick	3
Amelia	2		Pittsylvania	6
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	14		Prince William	4
Bedford City	2		Pulaski	1
Brunswick	2		Roanoke County	12
Buckingham	5		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Campbell	2		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	20		Scott	1
Clarke	2		Shenandoah	13
Culpeper	2		Spotsylvania	15
Cumberland	1		Stafford	21
Dinwiddie	4		Warren	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	4		Wythe	4
Fauquier	7		York / Poquoson	3
Floyd	3		Bristol	4
Franklin County	7		Charlottesville	6
Frederick	1		Chesapeake	8
Gloucester	2		Danville	1
Grayson	2		Franklin City	1
Greene	1		Fredricksburg	4
Halifax	2		Hampton	12
Hanover	1		Hopewell	7
Henrico	7		Lynchburg	2
Henry / Martinsville	6		Mannassas	2
Isle of Wight	1		Manassas Park	3
James City	1		Newport News	20
Loudoun	5		Norfolk	26
Louisa	1		Portsmouth	3
Lunenburg	4		Richmond City	6
Madison	1		Roanoke City	12
Mathews	2		Suffolk	19
Montgomery	3		Virginia Beach	11
Orange	3		Winchester	6
			Statewide	383

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of September 1, 2009. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2009



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2009




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

August 31, 2009




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August, it was \$8.05 rather than the \$7.83 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.