

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**January 2010**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services February 23, 2010

# *Monthly Report, January 2010*

## *Table of Contents*

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# *Estimated Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 19,575 and a numerator of 7,985.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	67	18	27%	061	Fauquier	71	31	44%
003	Albemarle	64	21	33%	063	Floyd	19	5	26%
005	Alleghany/Covington	76	9	12%	065	Fluvanna	15	0	0%
007	Amelia	32	13	41%	067	Franklin County	116	32	28%
009	Amherst	48	19	40%	069	Frederick	77	35	45%
011	Appomattox	46	29	63%	071	Giles	17	8	47%
013	Arlington	138	55	40%	073	Gloucester	61	18	30%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	325	188	58%	075	Goochland	23	2	9%
017	Bath	5	1	20%	077	Grayson	24	8	33%
019	Bedford County/City	114	45	39%	079	Greene	36	12	33%
021	Bland	7	3	43%	081	Greensville/Emporia	85	31	36%
023	Botetourt	19	1	5%	083	Halifax	101	26	26%
025	Brunswick	82	33	40%	085	Hanover	110	21	19%
027	Buchanan	67	31	46%	087	Henrico	909	417	46%
029	Buckingham	53	17	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	339	107	32%
031	Campbell	164	68	41%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	113	40	35%	093	Isle of Wight	81	37	46%
035	Carroll	73	45	62%	095	James City	88	32	36%
036	Charles City	10	1	10%	097	King and Queen	5	3	60%
037	Charlotte	39	14	36%	099	King George	20	8	40%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	561	249	44%	101	King William	33	13	39%
043	Clarke	6	4	67%	103	Lancaster	21	6	29%
045	Craig	5	2	40%	105	Lee	141	52	37%
047	Culpeper	85	34	40%	107	Loudoun	182	66	36%
049	Cumberland	42	26	62%	109	Louisa	50	19	38%
051	Dickensen	37	12	32%	111	Lunenburg	39	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	52	18	35%	113	Madison	16	9	56%
057	Essex	68	20	29%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	645	185	29%	117	Mecklenburg	97	47	48%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	6	32%	187	Warren	75	43	57%
121	Montgomery	219	122	56%	191	Washington	101	37	37%
125	Nelson	13	5	38%	193	Westmoreland	39	13	33%
127	New Kent	19	0	0%	195	Wise	247	84	34%
131	Northampton	56	27	48%	197	Wythe	67	19	28%
133	Northumberland	11	7	64%	199	York/Poquoson	73	30	41%
135	Nottoway	70	13	19%	510	Alexandria	294	61	21%
137	Orange	44	7	16%	520	Bristol	134	68	51%
139	Page	41	19	46%	540	Charlottesville	200	90	45%
141	Patrick	61	30	49%	550	Chesapeake	789	357	45%
143	Pittsylvania	77	24	31%	590	Danville	249	104	42%
145	Powhatan	26	12	46%	620	Franklin City	45	21	47%
147	Prince Edward	71	29	41%	630	Fredericksburg	121	37	31%
149	Prince George	41	16	39%	640	Galax	51	18	35%
153	Prince William	767	282	37%	650	Hampton	726	383	53%
155	Pulaski	67	33	49%	670	Hopewell	226	27	12%
157	Rappahannock	4	1	25%	680	Lynchburg	367	148	40%
159	Richmond County	18	3	17%	683	Manassas	94	28	30%
161	Roanoke County	175	104	59%	685	Manassas Park	31	10	32%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	49	9	18%	700	Newport News	1,036	481	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	157	79	50%	710	Norfolk	1,296	661	51%
167	Russell	118	58	49%	720	Norton	37	13	35%
169	Scott	110	43	39%	730	Petersburg	430	175	41%
171	Shenandoah	17	6	35%	740	Portsmouth	706	277	39%
173	Smyth	88	30	34%	750	Radford	36	14	39%
175	Southampton	63	16	25%	760	Richmond City	1,749	700	40%
177	Spotsylvania	233	78	33%	770	Roanoke City	639	202	32%
179	Stafford	176	75	43%	800	Suffolk	190	102	54%
181	Surry	28	10	36%	810	Virginia Beach	579	273	47%
183	Sussex	49	20	41%	830	Williamsburg	20	11	55%
185	Tazewell	148	63	43%	840	Winchester	64	24	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

*These are estimated locality January 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 17,652 and a numerator of 6,290. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	61	12	20%	061	Fauquier	60	20	33%
003	Albemarle	48	6	13%	063	Floyd	18	4	22%
005	Alleghany/Covington	69	3	4%	065	Fluvanna	13	0	0%
007	Amelia	32	13	41%	067	Franklin County	103	21	20%
009	Amherst	43	14	33%	069	Frederick	67	27	40%
011	Appomattox	43	27	63%	071	Giles	14	5	36%
013	Arlington	123	41	33%	073	Gloucester	56	13	23%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	289	153	53%	075	Goochland	22	1	5%
017	Bath	5	1	20%	077	Grayson	22	7	32%
019	Bedford County/City	103	34	33%	079	Greene	30	6	20%
021	Bland	5	1	20%	081	Greensville/Emporia	80	26	33%
023	Botetourt	17	1	6%	083	Halifax	94	19	20%
025	Brunswick	75	26	35%	085	Hanover	102	16	16%
027	Buchanan	56	22	39%	087	Henrico	837	357	43%
029	Buckingham	49	14	29%	089	Henry/Martinsville	318	88	28%
031	Campbell	146	50	34%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	103	32	31%	093	Isle of Wight	68	26	38%
035	Carroll	66	38	58%	095	James City	78	25	32%
036	Charles City	7	1	14%	097	King and Queen	4	2	50%
037	Charlotte	35	10	29%	099	King George	16	4	25%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	502	196	39%	101	King William	29	11	38%
043	Clarke	5	3	60%	103	Lancaster	21	6	29%
045	Craig	4	1	25%	105	Lee	132	43	33%
047	Culpeper	75	27	36%	107	Loudoun	157	43	27%
049	Cumberland	39	24	62%	109	Louisa	47	16	34%
051	Dickensen	30	5	17%	111	Lunenburg	36	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	43	9	21%	113	Madison	13	6	46%
057	Essex	64	17	27%	115	Mathews	9	1	11%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	566	122	22%	117	Mecklenburg	89	39	44%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	5	28%	187	Warren	63	31	49%
121	Montgomery	189	93	49%	191	Washington	91	27	30%
125	Nelson	9	1	11%	193	Westmoreland	34	13	38%
127	New Kent	18	0	0%	195	Wise	219	57	26%
131	Northampton	49	20	41%	197	Wythe	62	14	23%
133	Northumberland	8	4	50%	199	York/Poquoson	68	26	38%
135	Nottoway	66	10	15%	510	Alexandria	255	24	9%
137	Orange	43	7	16%	520	Bristol	128	62	48%
139	Page	36	15	42%	540	Charlottesville	182	72	40%
141	Patrick	55	24	44%	550	Chesapeake	741	315	43%
143	Pittsylvania	75	22	29%	590	Danville	227	86	38%
145	Powhatan	19	5	26%	620	Franklin City	40	17	43%
147	Prince Edward	66	24	36%	630	Fredericksburg	97	16	16%
149	Prince George	39	14	36%	640	Galax	47	14	30%
153	Prince William	655	175	27%	650	Hampton	653	318	49%
155	Pulaski	57	23	40%	670	Hopewell	209	15	7%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	340	123	36%
159	Richmond County	18	3	17%	683	Manassas	84	18	21%
161	Roanoke County	152	82	54%	685	Manassas Park	25	6	24%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45	8	18%	700	Newport News	935	393	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	133	56	42%	710	Norfolk	1,211	583	48%
167	Russell	110	50	45%	720	Norton	34	11	32%
169	Scott	100	37	37%	730	Petersburg	400	154	39%
171	Shenandoah	15	4	27%	740	Portsmouth	636	210	33%
173	Smyth	83	25	30%	750	Radford	32	10	31%
175	Southampton	57	11	19%	760	Richmond City	1,579	570	36%
177	Spotsylvania	224	72	32%	770	Roanoke City	568	135	24%
179	Stafford	160	62	39%	800	Suffolk	173	86	50%
181	Surry	23	5	22%	810	Virginia Beach	514	209	41%
183	Sussex	40	13	33%	830	Williamsburg	14	7	50%
185	Tazewell	133	48	36%	840	Winchester	55	15	27%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,923 and a numerator of 1,695. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	6	100%	061	Fauquier	11	11	100%
003	Albemarle	16	15	94%	063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	7	6	86%	065	Fluvanna	2	0	0%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	13	11	85%
009	Amherst	5	5	100%	069	Frederick	10	8	80%
011	Appomattox	3	2	67%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	15	14	93%	073	Gloucester	5	5	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	36	35	97%	075	Goochland	1	1	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	2	1	50%
019	Bedford County/City	11	11	100%	079	Greene	6	6	100%
021	Bland	2	2	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	5	5	100%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	7	7	100%	085	Hanover	8	5	63%
027	Buchanan	11	9	82%	087	Henrico	72	60	83%
029	Buckingham	4	3	75%	089	Henry/Martinsville	21	19	90%
031	Campbell	18	18	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	10	8	80%	093	Isle of Wight	13	11	85%
035	Carroll	7	7	100%	095	James City	10	7	70%
036	Charles City	3	0	0%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59	53	90%	101	King William	4	2	50%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	9	9	100%
047	Culpeper	10	7	70%	107	Loudoun	25	23	92%
049	Cumberland	3	2	67%	109	Louisa	3	3	100%
051	Dickensen	7	7	100%	111	Lunenburg	3	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	9	100%	113	Madison	3	3	100%
057	Essex	4	3	75%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	79	63	80%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	12	12	100%
121	Montgomery	30	29	97%	191	Washington	10	10	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	5	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	0	0%	195	Wise	28	27	96%
131	Northampton	7	7	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	5	4	80%
135	Nottoway	4	3	75%	510	Alexandria	39	37	95%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	6	6	100%
139	Page	5	4	80%	540	Charlottesville	18	18	100%
141	Patrick	6	6	100%	550	Chesapeake	48	42	88%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	22	18	82%
145	Powhatan	7	7	100%	620	Franklin City	5	4	80%
147	Prince Edward	5	5	100%	630	Fredericksburg	24	21	88%
149	Prince George	2	2	100%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	112	107	96%	650	Hampton	73	65	89%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	17	12	71%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	27	25	93%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	10	10	100%
161	Roanoke County	23	22	96%	685	Manassas Park	6	4	67%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	1	25%	700	Newport News	101	88	87%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	24	23	96%	710	Norfolk	85	78	92%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	3	2	67%
169	Scott	10	6	60%	730	Petersburg	30	21	70%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	70	67	96%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	6	5	83%	760	Richmond City	170	130	76%
177	Spotsylvania	9	6	67%	770	Roanoke City	71	67	94%
179	Stafford	16	13	81%	800	Suffolk	17	16	94%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	65	64	98%
183	Sussex	9	7	78%	830	Williamsburg	6	4	67%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	9	9	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>Jan-10</b>	<b>Jan-09</b>	<b>Change from Jan-09</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,667	4,935	-5%	4,807	-3%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,168	2,462	-12%	1,658	31%
Applications Approved	1,934	2,279	-15%	2,820	-31%
Cases Closed *	2,416	2,113	14%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	34,337	30,158	14%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	35,034	30,992	13%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,416 closed cases, 1,092 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 667 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 173 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 122 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>Jan-10</b>	<b>Jan-09</b>	<b>Change from Jan-09</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	520	642	-19%	338	54%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	310	299	4%	232	33%
Applications Approved	242	269	-10%	81	199%
Cases Closed *	272	175	55%	107	154%
Cases at End of Month	2,153	1,434	50%	321	571%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,261	1,520	49%	394	474%

•The database indicates that of the 272 closed cases, 145 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 90 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 18 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 10 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	36,490	2,011	1,139	2,809	1,065	548	4,124	463	748	1,356	1,744	1,121	5,881	1,143	442	3,486	1,382	6,729	299
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,793	794	517	1,206	476	262	1,763	174	306	681	618	528	2,558	633	199	1,472	724	2,780	102
Part. in a Work Activity	11,317	569	363	814	369	181	1,329	112	246	484	415	373	1,812	417	138	1,124	424	2,106	41
% of Enrolled	72%	72%	70%	67%	78%	69%	75%	64%	80%	71%	67%	71%	71%	66%	69%	76%	59%	76%	40%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	172	8	4	12	2	18	19	7	4	8	1	3	19	20	6	5	12	24	0
Participants Employed	7,281	415	240	553	261	106	765	83	143	326	273	237	970	307	96	746	274	1,453	33
% of Enrolled	46%	52%	46%	46%	55%	40%	43%	48%	47%	48%	44%	45%	38%	48%	48%	51%	38%	52%	32%
Full Time	5,840	381	195	442	214	82	585	66	101	258	221	170	744	242	76	600	215	1,224	24
Part Time	1,441	34	45	111	47	24	180	17	42	68	52	67	226	65	20	146	59	229	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$7.40	\$7.51	\$7.86	\$7.78	\$8.09	\$9.23	\$8.38	\$8.43	\$7.71	\$7.58	\$7.65	\$8.11	\$8.30	\$7.88	\$7.95	\$7.77	\$7.79	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,060	#####	\$1,002	\$1,049	\$1,011	\$1,039	\$1,217	\$1,140	\$1,048	\$1,045	\$1,016	\$973	\$1,072	\$1,067	\$1,027	\$1,059	\$1,014	\$1,042	\$991

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	21,340	1,208	821	1,872	784	393	2,448	275	520	851	1,100	653	3,010	755	267	1,925	714	3,558	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW	28,336	1,508	1,075	2,342	862	537	3,176	333	613	1,190	1,298	950	4,185	1,049	369	2,650	1,124	4,860	215
Part. in a Work Activity	24,834	1,334	958	2,004	808	459	2,826	291	570	1,039	1,159	823	3,687	891	316	2,337	912	4,241	179
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	89%	86%	94%	85%	89%	87%	93%	87%	89%	87%	88%	85%	86%	88%	81%	87%	83%
Participants Employed	14,007	805	500	1,159	475	259	1,539	172	306	626	539	416	1,801	544	185	1,411	503	2,687	80
% of Total Enrolled	49%	53%	47%	49%	55%	48%	48%	52%	50%	53%	42%	44%	43%	52%	50%	53%	45%	55%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	\$7.40	\$7.51	\$7.96	\$7.80	\$8.19	\$9.71	\$8.35	\$8.33	\$7.80	\$7.63	\$7.64	\$8.30	\$8.38	\$7.94	\$8.01	\$7.91	\$7.84	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$998	\$987	\$1,074	\$1,028	\$1,056	\$1,291	\$1,113	\$976	\$1,027	\$1,004	\$943	\$1,106	\$1,076	\$1,012	\$1,061	\$1,055	\$1,049	\$1,035
Three Months Employment	72%	74%	64%	66%	75%	59%	76%	75%	67%	68%	64%	74%	75%	78%	72%	74%	72%	73%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	232,059	15,193	8,769	17,089	6,674	4,213	28,845	3,307	5,008	8,705	11,057	6,602	30,827	6,587	2,990	21,819	7,894	44,346	2,134
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	174,749	11,506	7,297	12,760	5,009	3,058	22,015	2,480	3,780	7,122	8,793	4,969	23,723	4,651	2,158	15,935	6,094	31,857	1,542
Part. in a Work Activity	167,327	11,043	6,954	12,196	4,864	2,929	21,285	2,391	3,679	6,719	8,484	4,738	22,888	4,421	2,025	15,233	5,810	30,185	1,483
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	94%	96%	95%	96%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	121,260	7,299	4,852	8,907	3,535	2,071	15,832	1,683	2,878	4,988	5,588	3,072	16,458	3,175	1,390	11,482	4,229	22,936	885
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	70%	71%	68%	72%	68%	76%	70%	64%	62%	69%	68%	64%	72%	69%	72%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.94	\$6.03	\$6.10	\$6.90	\$6.93	\$7.10	\$8.43	\$7.25	\$7.22	\$6.35	\$6.22	\$6.49	\$7.17	\$7.67	\$6.75	\$6.81	\$6.80	\$6.67	\$6.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$926	\$795	\$832	\$934	\$927	\$962	\$1,127	\$964	\$938	\$831	\$834	\$853	\$972	\$1,009	\$873	\$899	\$927	\$880	\$812
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	54%	63%	53%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	54%	46%	56%	55%	57%	62%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,011	203	97	311	282	221	327	509	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	794	61	38	129	130	123	107	185	21
Participants in a Work Activity	569	52	20	103	101	64	96	118	15
% of Enrolled	72%	85%	53%	80%	78%	52%	90%	64%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	415	27	20	88	50	55	61	100	14
% of Enrolled	52%	44%	53%	68%	38%	45%	57%	54%	67%
Full Time	381	27	20	80	44	50	53	93	14
Part Time	34	0	0	8	6	5	8	7	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.40	\$8.06	\$7.78	\$7.04	\$7.38	\$7.34	\$7.39	\$7.57	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$1,154	\$1,139	\$920	\$982	\$1,020	\$988	\$1,060	\$979

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,208	87	64	160	167	131	232	330	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,508	117	90	234	231	167	237	385	47
Participants in a Work Activity	1,334	104	73	211	213	148	220	334	31
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	81%	90%	92%	89%	93%	87%	66%
Participants Employed	805	57	52	140	107	81	130	214	24
% of Total Enrolled	53%	49%	58%	60%	46%	49%	55%	56%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.40	\$8.10	\$7.48	\$7.09	\$7.28	\$7.28	\$7.45	\$7.51	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$998	\$1,166	\$1,021	\$917	\$947	\$1,009	\$976	\$1,041	\$953
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	73%	62%	86%	62%	95%	73%	69%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,193	1,564	1,081	2,108	2,076	1,437	2,922	3,524	481
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,506	1,185	819	1,574	1,647	1,012	2,214	2,679	376
Participants in a Work Activity	11,043	1,100	773	1,556	1,561	987	2,134	2,585	347
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,299	621	489	1,036	1,028	596	1,521	1,723	285
% of Total Enrolled	63%	52%	60%	66%	62%	59%	69%	64%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.03	\$6.24	\$6.11	\$5.72	\$6.23	\$6.27	\$5.96	\$6.01	\$5.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$795	\$813	\$803	\$759	\$836	\$862	\$786	\$787	\$723
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	55%	49%	61%	58%	65%	57%	57%	61%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,139	16	135	45	211	237	128	271	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	517	9	71	13	77	100	49	142	56
Participants in a Work Activity	363	8	63	12	52	61	32	107	28
% of Enrolled	70%	89%	89%	92%	68%	61%	65%	75%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
Participants Employed	240	3	41	7	37	50	24	59	19
% of Enrolled	46%	33%	58%	54%	48%	50%	49%	42%	34%
Full Time	195	1	27	6	36	40	18	52	15
Part Time	45	2	14	1	1	10	6	7	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$7.02	\$7.48	\$7.97	\$7.67	\$7.37	\$7.71	\$7.52	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,002	\$512	\$914	\$1,130	\$1,090	\$975	\$923	\$1,082	\$977

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	821	12	94	37	154	152	107	180	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,075	13	127	34	189	209	125	272	106
Participants in a Work Activity	958	13	120	31	161	188	111	244	90
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	94%	91%	85%	90%	89%	90%	85%
Participants Employed	500	6	67	17	65	112	58	130	45
% of Total Enrolled	47%	46%	53%	50%	34%	54%	46%	48%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$7.50	\$7.31	\$7.74	\$7.52	\$7.38	\$7.57	\$7.62	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$987	\$1,000	\$939	\$1,104	\$1,003	\$980	\$953	\$967	\$1,117
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	67%	80%	50%	60%	57%	68%	62%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,769	224	1,204	584	1,504	1,484	1,368	1,771	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,297	173	993	474	1234	1215	1091	1,572	545
Participants in a Work Activity	6,954	162	903	438	1176	1181	1066	1,516	512
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	91%	92%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,852	109	661	312	754	817	735	1,116	348
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	61%	67%	67%	71%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.10	\$5.98	\$5.90	\$6.19	\$5.85	\$6.39	\$6.15	\$6.14	\$6.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$832	\$822	\$799	\$828	\$805	\$873	\$818	\$846	\$854
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	48%	47%	57%	53%	49%	57%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,809	134	43	7	47	254	45	385	206	339	73	1,276
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1206	117	18	2	16	86	23	195	62	152	38	497
Participants in a Work Activity	814	55	5	1	13	41	12	151	50	132	31	323
% of Enrolled	67%	47%	28%	50%	81%	48%	52%	77%	81%	87%	82%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	7	1	0
Participants Employed	553	40	4	0	10	27	7	123	29	73	21	219
% of Enrolled	46%	34%	22%	0%	63%	31%	30%	63%	47%	48%	55%	44%
Full Time	442	20	2	N/A	9	23	5	106	25	62	14	176
Part Time	111	20	2	N/A	1	4	2	17	4	11	7	43
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$7.66	\$7.50	N/A	\$7.67	\$7.61	\$8.91	\$7.65	\$7.30	\$8.20	\$7.49	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$875	\$850	N/A	\$1,084	\$1,068	\$1,269	\$1,021	\$963	\$1,100	\$963	\$1,093

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,872	69	21	7	20	162	35	262	158	184	54	900
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,342	148	25	11	36	174	56	349	189	262	80	1012
Participants in a Work Activity	2,004	122	16	11	31	118	47	316	168	237	70	868
% of Total Enrolled	86%	82%	64%	100%	86%	68%	84%	91%	89%	90%	88%	86%
Participants Employed	1,159	65	6	7	20	60	28	227	78	161	48	459
% of Total Enrolled	49%	44%	24%	64%	56%	34%	50%	65%	41%	61%	60%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.91	\$7.58	\$7.97	\$7.52	\$7.78	\$8.22	\$7.81	\$7.56	\$8.12	\$7.83	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$892	\$818	\$1,180	\$1,049	\$1,044	\$1,149	\$1,053	\$983	\$1,114	\$1,038	\$1,114
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	69%	57%	80%	80%	64%	63%	74%	53%	59%	73%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	17,089	1,048	287	65	428	1,454	433	2,460	1,519	1,817	678	6,900
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,760	781	174	25	297	1,057	299	1,970	1,187	1,421	517	5,032
Participants in a Work Activity	12,196	749	155	25	288	942	288	1,945	1,145	1,351	502	4,806
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	100%	97%	89%	96%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,907	558	84	16	209	678	176	1,580	770	1,068	375	3,393
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	48%	64%	70%	64%	59%	80%	65%	75%	73%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.90	\$6.71	\$7.19	\$6.89	\$6.74	\$6.71	\$6.88	\$6.75	\$6.63	\$7.37	\$6.85	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$934	\$815	\$915	\$1,042	\$910	\$930	\$937	\$916	\$924	\$1,023	\$894	\$947
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	42%	69%	46%	50%	47%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,065	638	10	0	87	330
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	476	268	6	0	38	164
Participants in a Work Activity	369	213	5	N/A	18	133
% of Enrolled	78%	79%	83%	N/A	47%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	N/A	1	1
Participants Employed	261	156	1	N/A	18	86
% of Enrolled	55%	58%	17%	N/A	47%	52%
Full Time	214	133	0	N/A	11	70
Part Time	47	23	1	N/A	7	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.78	\$7.73	\$8.00	N/A	\$7.30	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,011	\$1,000	\$797	N/A	\$833	\$1,072

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	784	454	7	0	50	273
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	862	513	8	0	57	284
Participants in a Work Activity	808	485	7	N/A	43	273
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	88%	N/A	75%	96%
Participants Employed	475	283	3	N/A	26	163
% of Total Enrolled	55%	55%	38%	N/A	46%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$7.70	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.25	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,028	\$1,000	\$547	N/A	\$848	\$1,108
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	84%	50%	N/A	66%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,674	3,611	65	16	597	2,385
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	5,009	2,758	39	7	435	1,770
Participants in a Work Activity	4,864	2,696	38	7	409	1,714
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,535	1,870	25	5	321	1,314
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	64%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.93	\$6.93	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.55	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$927	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$847	\$938
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	54%	60%	55%	58%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2010 TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	548	12	171	79	35	122	129	299	202	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	262	4	72	48	9	71	58	102	46	56
Participants in a Work Activity	181	3	44	33	9	45	47	41	21	20
% of Enrolled	69%	75%	61%	69%	100%	63%	81%	40%	46%	36%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	0	0	7	0	7	4	0	0	0
Participants Employed	106	1	26	19	8	26	26	33	19	14
% of Enrolled	40%	25%	36%	40%	6	37%	45%	32%	41%	25%
Full Time	82	1	20	14	6	22	19	24	15	9
Part Time	24	0	6	5	2	4	7	9	4	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$7.25	\$8.08	\$7.91	\$7.22	\$8.40	\$8.22	\$7.77	\$7.70	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,039	\$942	\$1,084	\$1,026	\$837	\$1,156	\$953	\$991	\$1,035	\$931

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	393	11	106	81	18	92	85	186	125	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	537	13	130	108	27	138	121	215	131	84
Participants in a Work Activity	459	12	116	97	23	107	104	179	105	74
% of Total Enrolled	85%	92%	89%	90%	85%	78%	86%	83%	80%	88%
Participants Employed	259	8	56	51	17	71	56	80	51	29
% of Total Enrolled	48%	62%	43%	47%	63%	51%	46%	37%	39%	35%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$7.92	\$8.49	\$8.32	\$7.71	\$8.34	\$7.84	\$8.00	\$7.81	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$1,055	\$1,057	\$1,098	\$932	\$1,153	\$949	\$1,035	\$1,016	\$1,069
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	44%	73%	61%	73%	52%	53%	76%	71%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,213	168	821	663	523	1043	995	2,134	1335	799
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,058	98	590	532	304	772	762	1,542	935	607
Participants in a Work Activity	2,929	93	559	519	297	728	733	1483	909	574
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	95%	98%	98%	94%	96%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,071	60	356	382	204	540	529	885	506	379
% of Total Enrolled	68%	61%	60%	72%	67%	70%	69%	57%	54%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.20	\$7.35	\$7.17	\$6.50	\$7.17	\$7.04	\$6.44	\$6.51	\$6.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$958	\$968	\$975	\$902	\$978	\$953	\$812	\$821	\$801
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	46%	53%	56%	53%	56%	51%	62%	58%	66%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,124	301	1,481	277	1,313	509	194	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,763	102	585	165	614	213	64	20
Participants in a Work Activity	1,329	87	489	114	420	162	45	12
% of Enrolled	75%	85%	84%	69%	68%	76%	70%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	16	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	765	49	250	70	256	102	26	12
% of Enrolled	43%	48%	43%	42%	42%	48%	41%	60%
Full Time	585	32	197	49	191	83	23	10
Part Time	180	17	53	21	65	19	3	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.23	\$9.54	\$9.46	\$9.29	\$9.06	\$9.21	\$8.40	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,217	\$1,291	\$1,238	\$1,195	\$1,189	\$1,242	\$1,166	\$1,074

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,448	151	797	192	889	274	104	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,176	213	1,053	275	1,104	359	133	39
Participants in a Work Activity	2,826	198	959	237	964	328	104	36
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	91%	86%	87%	91%	78%	92%
Participants Employed	1,539	103	470	150	554	175	62	25
% of Total Enrolled	48%	48%	45%	55%	50%	49%	47%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.71	\$9.95	\$10.10	\$10.19	\$9.44	\$9.57	\$8.90	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,291	\$1,326	\$1,305	\$1,285	\$1,286	\$1,317	\$1,184	\$1,109
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	78%	77%	75%	76%	75%	83%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	28,845	2,556	10,073	1,991	9,207	3,412	1,233	373
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	22,015	1,966	7,493	1,573	7,086	2,692	924	281
Participants in a Work Activity	21,285	1,945	7,253	1,511	6,833	2,577	889	277
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,832	1,454	5,133	1,247	5,251	1,916	621	210
% of Total Enrolled	72%	74%	69%	79%	74%	71%	67%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$7.97	\$8.37	\$8.93	\$8.62	\$8.12	\$7.98	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,127	\$1,039	\$1,111	\$1,162	\$1,188	\$1,040	\$1,080	\$1,059
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	59%	66%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	463	204	126	28	100	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	174	62	54	11	45	2
Participants in a Work Activity	112	45	33	7	27	0
% of Enrolled	64%	73%	61%	64%	60%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	5	0	1	0
Participants Employed	83	31	24	6	22	0
% of Enrolled	48%	50%	44%	55%	49%	0%
Full Time	66	22	20	6	18	N/A
Part Time	17	9	4	0	4	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$8.49	\$8.66	\$8.58	\$7.88	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,140	\$1,054	\$1,253	\$1,335	\$1,085	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	275	105	93	20	54	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	333	122	105	26	74	6
Participants in a Work Activity	291	107	97	25	58	4
% of Total Enrolled	87%	88%	92%	96%	78%	67%
Participants Employed	172	61	56	13	38	4
% of Total Enrolled	52%	50%	53%	50%	51%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$8.70	\$8.48	\$8.16	\$7.67	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,113	\$1,113	\$1,163	\$1,252	\$973	\$1,184
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	81%	67%	88%	73%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,307	1,261	966	311	681	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,480	907	741	230	544	58
Participants in a Work Activity	2,391	876	731	222	508	54
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	99%	97%	93%	93%
Participants Employed	1,683	590	511	152	392	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	69%	66%	72%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.25	\$7.22	\$7.58	\$7.03	\$6.95	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$964	\$948	\$993	\$987	\$942	\$958
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	56%	62%	46%	43%	48%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	748	141	49	53	108	40	357
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	306	43	8	25	43	7	180
Participants in a Work Activity	246	38	5	14	33	3	153
% of Enrolled	80%	88%	63%	56%	77%	43%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	1	1	2
Participants Employed	143	24	5	11	20	2	81
% of Enrolled	47%	56%	63%	44%	47%	29%	45%
Full Time	101	21	5	7	15	0	53
Part Time	42	3	0	4	5	2	28
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.87	\$7.76	\$7.79	\$8.54	\$7.38	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,048	\$1,238	\$1,102	\$971	\$973	\$764	\$1,025

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	520	122	11	36	86	22	243
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	613	109	9	50	101	35	309
Participants in a Work Activity	570	99	5	40	92	31	303
% of Total Enrolled	93%	91%	56%	80%	91%	89%	98%
Participants Employed	306	55	5	26	47	21	152
% of Total Enrolled	50%	50%	56%	52%	47%	60%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.67	\$7.76	\$7.81	\$8.03	\$7.80	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$976	\$1,143	\$1,094	\$855	\$946	\$931	\$949
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	76%	100%	72%	58%	59%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,008	1165	178	388	757	236	2,284
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,780	814	114	276	532	161	1,883
Participants in a Work Activity	3,679	795	108	257	506	159	1,854
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	93%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,878	606	85	209	331	110	1,537
% of Total Enrolled	76%	74%	75%	76%	62%	68%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.22	\$7.64	\$7.35	\$7.15	\$7.22	\$7.50	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$938	\$995	\$1,082	\$908	\$953	\$993	\$918
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	55%	49%	55%	53%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,356	91	99	223	284	659
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	681	42	54	114	200	271
Participants in a Work Activity	484	30	50	77	133	194
% of Enrolled	71%	71%	93%	68%	67%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	4	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	326	24	36	52	80	134
% of Enrolled	48%	57%	67%	46%	40%	49%
Full Time	258	22	36	43	53	104
Part Time	68	2	0	9	27	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.71	\$7.68	\$7.40	\$7.85	\$7.91	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,045	\$1,010	\$1,149	\$1,082	\$1,038	\$1,013

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	851	74	71	160	167	379
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,190	80	86	209	313	502
Participants in a Work Activity	1,039	68	85	177	267	442
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	99%	85%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	626	43	51	112	161	259
% of Total Enrolled	53%	54%	59%	54%	51%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$7.74	\$7.51	\$7.92	\$8.00	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,014	\$1,155	\$1,029	\$1,046	\$993
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	62%	77%	68%	66%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,705	802	712	1,738	2,023	3,430
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,122	638	618	1,376	1,696	2,794
Participants in a Work Activity	6,719	606	607	1,286	1,582	2,638
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	4,988	435	442	917	1,169	2,025
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	72%	67%	69%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.35	\$6.55	\$6.10	\$6.39	\$6.54	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$831	\$836	\$840	\$828	\$869	\$809
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	52%	44%	55%	60%	62%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,744	255	591	114	241	543
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	618	76	264	84	43	151
Participants in a Work Activity	415	35	192	58	28	102
% of Enrolled	67%	46%	73%	69%	65%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	273	27	123	42	23	58
% of Enrolled	44%	36%	47%	50%	53%	38%
Full Time	221	22	102	31	22	44
Part Time	52	5	21	11	1	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$8.03	\$7.61	\$7.20	\$7.69	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,016	\$1,160	\$1,033	\$948	\$1,039	\$952

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,100	144	357	107	148	344
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,298	169	473	132	128	396
Participants in a Work Activity	1,159	139	420	117	115	368
% of Total Enrolled	89%	82%	89%	89%	90%	93%
Participants Employed	539	58	228	72	51	130
% of Total Enrolled	42%	34%	48%	55%	40%	33%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.63	\$7.80	\$7.67	\$7.29	\$7.63	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,004	\$976	\$1,054	\$966	\$985	\$952
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	73%	66%	67%	65%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,057	1,709	2,933	1,099	1,775	3,541
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,793	1,413	2,169	946	1,352	2,913
Participants in a Work Activity	8,484	1,356	2,073	889	1,331	2,835
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,588	830	1,406	633	766	1,953
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	65%	67%	57%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.22	\$6.18	\$6.56	\$6.12	\$6.21	\$6.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$834	\$821	\$921	\$803	\$849	\$788
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,121	81	131	73	82	61	151	54	199	142	147
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	528	30	58	42	27	37	69	38	87	69	71
Participants in a Work Activity	373	28	37	34	23	29	51	12	71	34	54
% of Enrolled	71%	93%	64%	81%	85%	78%	74%	32%	82%	49%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	237	15	31	27	13	18	22	10	34	32	35
% of Enrolled	45%	50%	53%	64%	48%	49%	32%	26%	39%	46%	49%
Full Time	170	10	16	26	10	16	15	5	18	29	25
Part Time	67	5	15	1	3	2	7	5	16	3	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.65	\$7.68	\$7.51	\$7.90	\$7.78	\$7.94	\$7.37	\$7.04	\$8.11	\$7.26	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$973	\$964	\$881	\$1,160	\$1,029	\$1,043	\$967	\$736	\$936	\$928	\$1,004

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	653	37	91	51	59	30	82	30	112	73	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	950	53	103	78	79	53	132	48	151	121	132
Participants in a Work Activity	823	50	87	77	71	51	112	38	139	76	122
% of Total Enrolled	87%	94%	84%	99%	90%	96%	85%	79%	92%	63%	92%
Participants Employed	416	29	44	39	30	30	49	13	64	48	70
% of Total Enrolled	44%	55%	43%	50%	38%	57%	37%	27%	42%	40%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.64	\$8.19	\$7.17	\$8.15	\$7.89	\$8.07	\$7.41	\$6.98	\$7.73	\$7.45	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$1,069	\$870	\$1,074	\$1,007	\$1,030	\$824	\$707	\$958	\$950	\$906
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	83%	69%	82%	70%	90%	47%	83%	68%	83%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,602	390	871	664	561	449	790	267	957	794	859
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,969	278	677	492	391	317	611	198	733	591	681
Participants in a Work Activity	4,738	261	670	459	360	303	579	196	696	547	667
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	92%	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,072	171	388	283	203	185	351	91	497	402	501
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	57%	58%	52%	58%	57%	46%	68%	68%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.49	\$7.15	\$6.04	\$6.90	\$6.91	\$7.02	\$6.45	\$5.68	\$6.22	\$6.47	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$853	\$943	\$810	\$925	\$899	\$938	\$800	\$728	\$810	\$868	\$853
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	45%	57%	53%	47%	48%	56%	60%	58%	55%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,881	1035	38	185	1,649	43	2,931
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,558	426	13	122	762	14	1,221
Participants in a Work Activity	1,812	312	7	60	527	9	897
% of Enrolled	71%	73%	54%	49%	69%	64%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	2	3	0	8	1	5
Participants Employed	970	207	5	42	313	6	397
% of Enrolled	38%	49%	38%	34%	41%	43%	33%
Full Time	744	158	3	31	246	4	302
Part Time	226	49	2	11	67	2	95
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$8.09	\$8.97	\$8.32	\$8.31	\$6.93	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$1,056	\$1,067	\$1,039	\$1,121	\$837	\$1,049

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,010	574	20	113	844	19	1,440
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,185	746	24	166	1,185	30	2,034
Participants in a Work Activity	3,687	669	16	127	1,049	28	1,798
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	67%	77%	89%	93%	88%
Participants Employed	1,801	360	10	68	548	17	798
% of Total Enrolled	43%	48%	42%	41%	46%	57%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.23	\$8.16	\$9.15	\$8.50	\$8.94	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,106	\$1,084	\$979	\$1,204	\$1,134	\$1,011	\$1,093
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	81%	83%	80%	80%	67%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	30,827	6,099	206	1006	7,300	273	15,943
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	23,723	4,569	146	708	5,777	187	12,336
Participants in a Work Activity	22,888	4,448	136	648	5,486	176	11,994
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	16,458	3,032	98	448	3,942	113	8,825
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	67%	63%	68%	60%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.17	\$7.39	\$6.64	\$8.06	\$7.44	\$7.20	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	\$998	\$862	\$1,060	\$1,009	\$905	\$948
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	54%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,143	192	46	402	315	188
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	633	111	13	228	171	110
Participants in a Work Activity	417	57	8	157	115	80
% of Enrolled	66%	51%	62%	69%	67%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	15	1	3	0	1
Participants Employed	307	47	7	114	82	57
% of Enrolled	48%	42%	54%	50%	48%	52%
Full Time	242	34	4	93	72	39
Part Time	65	13	3	21	10	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$7.81	\$9.25	\$8.24	\$8.72	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$948	\$987	\$1,074	\$1,129	\$1,069

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	755	135	43	251	182	144
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,049	179	34	350	269	217
Participants in a Work Activity	891	146	28	287	242	188
% of Total Enrolled	85%	82%	82%	82%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	544	88	13	193	124	126
% of Total Enrolled	52%	49%	38%	55%	46%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$7.98	\$8.43	\$8.35	\$8.79	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,045	\$1,041	\$1,063	\$1,137	\$1,057
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	88%	58%	75%	78%	78%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,587	1,047	418	1,893	1,920	1,309
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,651	716	270	1,454	1,136	1,075
Participants in a Work Activity	4,421	685	256	1,327	1,110	1,043
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	91%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	3,175	445	183	1,017	696	834
% of Total Enrolled	68%	62%	68%	70%	61%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.67	\$7.32	\$7.21	\$7.74	\$8.22	\$7.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$945	\$910	\$1,019	\$1,125	\$979
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	43%	49%	57%	58%	55%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	442	96	25	51	45	24	41	33	45	82
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	199	56	4	28	15	16	23	8	14	35
Participants in a Work Activity	138	37	3	24	12	9	16	4	11	22
% of Enrolled	69%	66%	75%	86%	80%	56%	70%	50%	79%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	96	23	2	14	8	8	12	3	6	20
% of Enrolled	48%	41%	50%	50%	53%	50%	52%	38%	43%	57%
Full Time	76	13	1	12	6	8	11	2	5	18
Part Time	20	10	1	2	2	0	1	1	1	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$7.47	\$8.84	\$8.26	\$8.52	\$8.30	\$6.39	\$8.17	\$7.45	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$829	\$1,121	\$1,115	\$1,158	\$1,259	\$888	\$847	\$942	\$1,173

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	267	61	16	33	24	12	36	13	27	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	369	91	21	44	27	16	46	16	29	79
Participants in a Work Activity	316	80	20	42	22	13	33	15	28	63
% of Total Enrolled	86%	88%	95%	95%	81%	81%	72%	94%	97%	80%
Participants Employed	185	46	6	24	16	8	19	9	13	44
% of Total Enrolled	50%	51%	29%	55%	59%	50%	41%	56%	45%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.61	\$7.96	\$8.28	\$8.01	\$8.30	\$7.25	\$8.80	\$7.52	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$946	\$1,116	\$1,000	\$1,025	\$1,225	\$948	\$957	\$873	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	67%	100%	61%	75%	100%	94%	64%	40%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	2,990	454	225	244	352	166	341	266	284	658
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,158	348	150	197	267	106	244	214	157	475
Participants in a Work Activity	2,025	331	142	191	248	97	218	207	152	439
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	97%	93%	92%	89%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	1,390	240	80	120	180	65	162	130	101	312
% of Total Enrolled	64%	69%	53%	61%	67%	61%	66%	61%	64%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.75	\$6.72	\$6.94	\$7.21	\$6.52	\$6.68	\$6.70	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$873	\$836	\$879	\$975	\$829	\$862	\$865	\$838	\$918	\$902
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	41%	36%	46%	49%	53%	57%	41%	32%	51%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,486	22	128	142	45	119	1,270	1,723	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,472	6	48	63	17	66	520	730	22
Participants in a Work Activity	1,124	2	31	42	5	46	419	564	15
% of Enrolled	76%	33%	65%	67%	29%	70%	81%	77%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
Participants Employed	746	2	21	35	5	34	264	374	11
% of Enrolled	51%	33%	44%	56%	29%	52%	51%	51%	50%
Full Time	600	2	14	26	4	26	200	319	9
Part Time	146	0	7	9	1	8	64	55	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$7.25	\$7.97	\$8.04	\$8.38	\$7.75	\$7.85	\$8.03	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$942	\$896	\$1,045	\$1,002	\$1,004	\$1,006	\$1,112	\$1,112

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,925	7	76	82	29	68	639	989	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,650	10	96	116	27	102	872	1,386	41
Participants in a Work Activity	2,337	6	74	101	26	91	796	1,210	33
% of Total Enrolled	88%	60%	77%	87%	96%	89%	91%	87%	80%
Participants Employed	1,411	5	46	68	10	60	487	712	23
% of Total Enrolled	53%	50%	48%	59%	37%	59%	56%	51%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.01	\$6.13	\$8.05	\$7.99	\$8.82	\$7.68	\$8.10	\$7.98	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,061	\$773	\$939	\$1,010	\$996	\$972	\$1,056	\$1,089	\$1,019
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	25%	59%	67%	89%	83%	76%	73%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	21,819	129	909	866	257	844	7,675	10,836	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	15,935	88	628	662	184	601	5,481	8,073	218
Participants in a Work Activity	15,233	85	575	615	177	578	5,351	7,647	205
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,482	47	421	475	117	388	3,936	5,939	159
% of Total Enrolled	72%	53%	67%	72%	64%	65%	72%	74%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.81	\$6.70	\$6.65	\$7.13	\$7.38	\$6.86	\$6.81	\$6.77	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$899	\$871	\$834	\$875	\$1,002	\$868	\$897	\$907	\$890
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	56%	56%	52%	52%	46%	53%	58%	52%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,382	125	87	67	69	359	675
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	724	18	33	32	44	224	373
Participants in a Work Activity	424	15	26	22	28	101	232
% of Enrolled	59%	83%	79%	69%	64%	45%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	2	2	2	2	4
Participants Employed	274	9	17	6	16	73	153
% of Enrolled	38%	50%	52%	19%	36%	33%	41%
Full Time	215	7	13	2	11	48	134
Part Time	59	2	4	4	5	25	19
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$8.66	\$7.57	\$7.53	\$7.81	\$7.53	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,014	\$1,244	\$954	\$705	\$990	\$907	\$1,074

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	714	92	50	32	52	174	314
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,124	67	75	52	83	289	558
Participants in a Work Activity	912	64	62	51	78	212	445
% of Total Enrolled	81%	96%	83%	98%	94%	73%	80%
Participants Employed	503	34	34	25	36	117	257
% of Total Enrolled	45%	51%	45%	48%	43%	40%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$8.06	\$7.96	\$8.03	\$7.52	\$7.53	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,055	\$1,168	\$1,040	\$969	\$962	\$958	\$1,105
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	59%	63%	62%	68%	71%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,894	1009	677	295	638	1,970	3,305
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,094	701	500	232	504	1,561	2,596
Participants in a Work Activity	5,810	698	439	220	487	1,494	2,472
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,229	476	313	164	337	1,086	1,853
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	63%	71%	67%	70%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$6.78	\$7.26	\$6.89	\$6.78	\$6.67	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$927	\$939	\$980	\$855	\$933	\$905	\$934
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	52%	50%	50%	57%	51%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/10 and Work Activity as of 1/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,729	155	127	1,251	101	2,217	1,292	452	1,134
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,780	50	44	598	27	1,009	474	153	425
Participants in a Work Activity	2,106	37	30	434	20	798	369	115	303
% of Enrolled	76%	74%	68%	73%	74%	79%	78%	75%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	0	4	1	0	4	12	0	3
Participants Employed	1,453	27	13	350	11	528	229	86	209
% of Enrolled	52%	54%	30%	59%	41%	52%	48%	56%	49%
Full Time	1,224	24	6	303	8	452	177	79	175
Part Time	229	3	7	47	3	76	52	7	34
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.79	\$7.54	\$8.38	\$7.76	\$8.57	\$7.65	\$7.78	\$7.97	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,012	\$913	\$1,061	\$1,137	\$1,003	\$1,039	\$1,106	\$1,092

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,558	80	70	558	80	1,165	625	227	753
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,860	102	88	923	70	1,647	882	311	837
Participants in a Work Activity	4,241	96	83	815	64	1,437	770	275	701
% of Total Enrolled	87%	94%	94%	88%	91%	87%	87%	88%	84%
Participants Employed	2,687	63	33	577	28	948	463	171	404
% of Total Enrolled	55%	62%	38%	63%	40%	58%	52%	55%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$7.80	\$8.23	\$7.79	\$8.48	\$7.60	\$7.94	\$8.02	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,068	\$896	\$1,054	\$1,073	\$1,011	\$1,069	\$1,127	\$1,086
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	78%	66%	78%	55%	77%	68%	65%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	44,346	979	845	7,071	700	14,334	7,231	2,838	10,348
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,857	693	656	5,062	547	10,161	5,620	2,185	6,933
Participants in a Work Activity	30,185	668	625	4,796	533	9,651	5,297	2,155	6,460
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	22,936	502	391	3,750	374	7,323	4,195	1,654	4,747
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	60%	74%	68%	72%	75%	76%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.67	\$6.71	\$6.44	\$6.81	\$6.32	\$6.56	\$6.58	\$6.52	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$880	\$898	\$811	\$905	\$792	\$845	\$889	\$855	\$938
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	56%	46%	57%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	38.9%	3.50	<b>105</b>	\$7.81	4.14	<b>66</b>	71.1%	6.15	<b>59</b>	4.60	<b>96</b>
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	50.5%	6.20	<b>54</b>	\$8.67	6.26	<b>13</b>	76.5%	6.86	<b>39</b>	6.44	<b>25</b>
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	43.9%	4.67	<b>90</b>	\$7.91	4.38	<b>62</b>	68.9%	5.85	<b>66</b>	4.97	<b>85</b>
007	<i>Amelia</i>	54.7%	7.20	<b>34</b>	\$8.19	5.07	<b>32</b>	82.6%	7.68	<b>19</b>	6.65	<b>17</b>
009	<i>Amherst</i>	53.8%	6.97	<b>38</b>	\$7.74	3.97	<b>75</b>	61.8%	4.90	<b>95</b>	5.28	<b>73</b>
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	59.3%	8.27	<b>13</b>	\$7.51	3.40	<b>99</b>	77.1%	6.95	<b>38</b>	6.21	<b>36</b>
013	<i>Arlington</i>	48.4%	5.71	<b>68</b>	\$9.95	9.41	<b>3</b>	77.9%	7.06	<b>33</b>	7.39	<b>2</b>
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	55.2%	7.30	<b>30</b>	\$7.70	3.87	<b>79</b>	84.0%	7.87	<b>13</b>	6.35	<b>30</b>
017	<i>Bath</i>	37.5%	3.16	<b>108</b>	\$7.27	2.81	<b>112</b>	50.0%	3.33	<b>114</b>	3.10	<b>116</b>
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	53.6%	6.93	<b>39</b>	\$7.92	4.41	<b>60</b>	67.8%	5.70	<b>70</b>	5.68	<b>53</b>
021	<i>Bland</i>	46.2%	5.19	<b>82</b>	\$7.50	3.37	<b>101</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>76</b>	4.71	<b>94</b>
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	24.0%	0.00	<b>119</b>	\$7.58	3.57	<b>90</b>	57.1%	4.29	<b>107</b>	2.62	<b>118</b>
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	42.7%	4.39	<b>93</b>	\$7.17	2.56	<b>115</b>	69.2%	5.90	<b>65</b>	4.28	<b>108</b>
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	48.7%	5.79	<b>66</b>	\$8.10	4.85	<b>38</b>	72.7%	6.36	<b>52</b>	5.67	<b>54</b>
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	50.0%	6.09	<b>56</b>	\$8.15	4.98	<b>36</b>	82.4%	7.65	<b>20</b>	6.24	<b>34</b>
031	<i>Campbell</i>	51.4%	6.43	<b>48</b>	\$8.00	4.61	<b>51</b>	65.6%	5.41	<b>81</b>	5.48	<b>67</b>
033	<i>Caroline</i>	49.2%	5.90	<b>64</b>	\$7.98	4.56	<b>53</b>	87.7%	8.36	<b>9</b>	6.27	<b>32</b>
035	<i>Carroll</i>	52.8%	6.74	<b>43</b>	\$7.31	2.91	<b>108</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>23</b>	5.66	<b>56</b>
036	<i>Charles City</i>	50.0%	6.09	<b>57</b>	\$6.13	0.00	<b>119</b>	25.0%	0.00	<b>119</b>	2.03	<b>119</b>
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	38.0%	3.28	<b>107</b>	\$7.89	4.33	<b>63</b>	70.4%	6.05	<b>60</b>	4.55	<b>100</b>
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	48.3%	5.69	<b>70</b>	\$8.23	5.17	<b>28</b>	81.1%	7.48	<b>21</b>	6.11	<b>39</b>
043	<i>Clarke</i>	61.5%	8.80	<b>8</b>	\$7.92	4.41	<b>61</b>	44.4%	2.59	<b>117</b>	5.27	<b>74</b>
045	<i>Craig</i>	63.6%	9.29	<b>4</b>	\$7.97	4.53	<b>56</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>24</b>	7.05	<b>7</b>
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	50.0%	6.09	<b>58</b>	\$8.70	6.33	<b>12</b>	81.0%	7.46	<b>22</b>	6.63	<b>18</b>
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	56.6%	7.64	<b>22</b>	\$8.07	4.78	<b>43</b>	90.0%	8.67	<b>7</b>	7.03	<b>8</b>
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	57.8%	7.92	<b>18</b>	\$7.48	3.33	<b>102</b>	62.2%	4.96	<b>93</b>	5.40	<b>70</b>
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	50.7%	6.27	<b>52</b>	\$8.06	4.75	<b>44</b>	58.8%	4.51	<b>102</b>	5.18	<b>78</b>
057	<i>Essex</i>	50.5%	6.22	<b>53</b>	\$7.61	3.65	<b>87</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>77</b>	5.14	<b>80</b>
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	44.6%	4.84	<b>89</b>	\$10.10	9.78	<b>2</b>	77.3%	6.97	<b>37</b>	7.19	<b>3</b>
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	53.3%	6.88	<b>41</b>	\$8.48	5.79	<b>17</b>	67.4%	5.65	<b>73</b>	6.10	<b>41</b>
063	<i>Floyd</i>	55.6%	7.40	<b>28</b>	\$7.52	3.42	<b>95</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>25</b>	6.05	<b>44</b>
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	55.6%	7.40	<b>29</b>	\$7.76	4.01	<b>74</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	7.14	<b>5</b>
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	34.5%	2.46	<b>113</b>	\$7.78	4.06	<b>73</b>	64.0%	5.20	<b>88</b>	3.91	<b>110</b>
069	<i>Frederick</i>	43.1%	4.47	<b>92</b>	\$8.49	5.81	<b>15</b>	73.2%	6.43	<b>48</b>	5.57	<b>64</b>
071	<i>Giles</i>	50.0%	6.09	<b>59</b>	\$8.22	5.15	<b>31</b>	62.5%	5.00	<b>91</b>	5.41	<b>69</b>
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	47.9%	5.61	<b>73</b>	\$8.05	4.73	<b>45</b>	59.4%	4.58	<b>101</b>	4.97	<b>83</b>
075	<i>Goochland</i>	41.7%	4.14	<b>96</b>	\$8.16	5.00	<b>34</b>	83.3%	7.78	<b>14</b>	5.64	<b>59</b>
077	<i>Grayson</i>	50.0%	6.09	<b>60</b>	\$7.74	3.97	<b>76</b>	50.0%	3.33	<b>115</b>	4.46	<b>104</b>

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	52.0%	6.56	45	\$7.81	4.14	67	72.0%	6.27	57	5.66	58
081	Greenville/Emporia	37.1%	3.08	110	\$7.41	3.15	106	46.9%	2.92	116	3.05	117
083	Halifax	34.3%	2.42	115	\$7.80	4.11	69	72.7%	6.36	53	4.30	107
085	Hanover	41.0%	3.98	99	\$9.15	7.44	6	79.6%	7.28	28	6.23	35
087	Henrico	46.2%	5.21	81	\$8.50	5.84	14	80.0%	7.33	26	6.13	38
089	Henry/Martinsville	48.2%	5.67	71	\$7.67	3.79	82	65.5%	5.40	82	4.96	86
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	61.8%	8.85	7	\$7.80	4.11	70	78.2%	7.09	32	6.69	16
095	James City	58.6%	8.11	16	\$7.99	4.58	52	67.3%	5.64	75	6.11	40
097	King and Queen	28.6%	1.07	117	\$7.96	4.51	57	100.0%	10.00	2	5.19	77
099	King George	38.2%	3.34	106	\$8.43	5.67	19	58.3%	4.44	105	4.48	103
101	King William	54.5%	7.16	35	\$8.28	5.30	25	61.1%	4.81	98	5.76	49
103	Lancaster	59.3%	8.26	14	\$8.01	4.63	50	75.0%	6.67	43	6.52	21
105	Lee	59.8%	8.40	12	\$7.09	2.36	116	86.1%	8.15	12	6.30	31
107	Loudoun	54.5%	7.16	36	\$10.19	10.00	1	74.6%	6.61	45	7.92	1
109	Louisa	46.5%	5.28	77	\$8.03	4.68	47	57.5%	4.33	106	4.76	91
111	Lunenburg	27.1%	0.72	118	\$6.98	2.09	118	83.3%	7.78	15	3.53	112
113	Madison	50.0%	6.09	61	\$8.16	5.00	35	87.5%	8.33	10	6.48	22
115	Mathews	50.0%	6.09	62	\$8.30	5.34	24	100.0%	10.00	3	7.15	4
117	Mecklenburg	42.4%	4.31	95	\$7.73	3.94	77	68.0%	5.73	68	4.66	95
119	Middlesex	41.3%	4.06	97	\$7.25	2.76	113	94.1%	9.22	5	5.34	72
121	Montgomery	65.0%	9.62	2	\$7.81	4.14	68	74.5%	6.59	46	6.78	12
125	Nelson	60.0%	8.44	10	\$7.80	4.11	71	58.8%	4.51	103	5.69	52
127	New Kent	37.0%	3.06	111	\$8.82	6.63	9	88.9%	8.52	8	6.07	42
131	Northampton	34.5%	2.47	112	\$8.34	5.44	21	86.4%	8.18	11	5.36	71
133	Northumberland	56.3%	7.56	23	\$8.80	6.58	10	63.6%	5.15	89	6.43	26
135	Nottoway	39.7%	3.67	103	\$7.45	3.25	104	83.3%	7.78	16	4.90	87
137	Orange	51.4%	6.41	50	\$7.67	3.79	83	72.7%	6.36	54	5.52	65
139	Page	47.2%	5.44	75	\$8.32	5.39	23	61.0%	4.80	99	5.21	76
141	Patrick	54.5%	7.16	37	\$7.29	2.86	109	67.4%	5.65	74	5.22	75
143	Pittsylvania	39.8%	3.71	102	\$7.63	3.69	85	65.0%	5.33	83	4.25	109
145	Powhatan	56.7%	7.66	21	\$8.94	6.92	7	66.7%	5.56	78	6.71	15
147	Prince Edward	53.0%	6.80	42	\$7.47	3.30	103	79.6%	7.28	29	5.79	48
149	Prince George	45.3%	5.00	87	\$7.96	4.51	58	63.0%	5.06	90	4.86	88
153	Prince William	50.2%	6.14	55	\$9.44	8.15	5	75.6%	6.74	41	7.01	9
155	Pulaski	41.3%	4.05	98	\$7.56	3.52	93	53.2%	3.76	111	3.78	111
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	10.00	1	\$8.19	5.07	33	66.7%	5.56	79	6.88	11
159	Richmond County	44.8%	4.88	88	\$7.52	3.42	96	40.0%	2.00	118	3.44	114
161	Roanoke County	61.5%	8.78	9	\$8.12	4.90	37	58.8%	4.51	104	6.06	43
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45.6%	5.07	85	\$7.25	2.76	114	93.8%	9.17	6	5.66	55
165	Rockingham/H'burg	57.4%	7.83	20	\$8.04	4.70	46	62.4%	4.98	92	5.84	46
167	Russell	46.3%	5.23	79	\$7.28	2.83	110	61.9%	4.91	94	4.33	106
169	Scott	48.5%	5.74	67	\$7.28	2.83	111	94.8%	9.31	4	5.96	45
171	Shenandoah	63.0%	9.13	5	\$7.71	3.89	78	72.7%	6.36	55	6.46	24

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	34.4%	2.44	<b>114</b>	\$7.52	3.42	<b>97</b>	60.0%	4.67	<b>100</b>	3.51	<b>113</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	37.5%	3.16	<b>109</b>	\$8.23	5.17	<b>29</b>	65.6%	5.42	<b>80</b>	4.58	<b>97</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	55.1%	7.30	<b>31</b>	\$8.35	5.47	<b>20</b>	74.8%	6.64	<b>44</b>	6.47	<b>23</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	46.1%	5.18	<b>83</b>	\$8.79	6.55	<b>11</b>	77.9%	7.05	<b>34</b>	6.26	<b>33</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	48.1%	5.64	<b>72</b>	\$8.03	4.68	<b>48</b>	61.5%	4.87	<b>97</b>	5.06	<b>81</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.4%	4.54	<b>91</b>	\$7.52	3.42	<b>98</b>	67.7%	5.70	<b>71</b>	4.55	<b>99</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	54.9%	7.23	<b>33</b>	\$7.45	3.25	<b>105</b>	73.1%	6.41	<b>51</b>	5.63	<b>60</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	51.4%	6.43	<b>47</b>	\$8.34	5.44	<b>22</b>	52.3%	3.64	<b>113</b>	5.17	<b>79</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.6%	6.93	<b>40</b>	\$7.38	3.08	<b>107</b>	56.8%	4.24	<b>108</b>	4.75	<b>92</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	55.7%	7.43	<b>26</b>	\$8.23	5.17	<b>30</b>	73.3%	6.44	<b>47</b>	6.35	<b>29</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	55.6%	7.40	<b>27</b>	\$7.51	3.40	<b>100</b>	69.4%	5.93	<b>64</b>	5.58	<b>63</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	46.4%	5.25	<b>78</b>	\$7.57	3.55	<b>92</b>	67.9%	5.71	<b>69</b>	4.84	<b>89</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	58.8%	8.16	<b>15</b>	\$7.68	3.82	<b>81</b>	82.7%	7.69	<b>18</b>	6.56	<b>20</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.7%	5.80	<b>65</b>	\$9.57	8.47	<b>4</b>	75.4%	6.72	<b>42</b>	7.00	<b>10</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.8%	5.58	<b>74</b>	\$7.62	3.67	<b>86</b>	61.7%	4.90	<b>96</b>	4.72	<b>93</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	49.2%	5.90	<b>63</b>	\$8.49	5.81	<b>16</b>	64.4%	5.26	<b>86</b>	5.66	<b>57</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	62.5%	9.03	<b>6</b>	\$7.79	4.09	<b>72</b>	78.5%	7.13	<b>31</b>	6.75	<b>14</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	32.8%	2.07	<b>116</b>	\$7.65	3.74	<b>84</b>	56.3%	4.17	<b>109</b>	3.33	<b>115</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	40.0%	3.75	<b>101</b>	\$8.48	5.79	<b>18</b>	54.6%	3.94	<b>110</b>	4.49	<b>102</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.1%	7.98	<b>17</b>	\$8.27	5.27	<b>26</b>	77.5%	7.00	<b>35</b>	6.75	<b>13</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	42.5%	4.32	<b>94</b>	\$7.58	3.57	<b>91</b>	68.8%	5.83	<b>67</b>	4.58	<b>98</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	55.8%	7.46	<b>25</b>	\$8.10	4.85	<b>39</b>	75.7%	6.76	<b>40</b>	6.36	<b>27</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	40.5%	3.86	<b>100</b>	\$7.53	3.45	<b>94</b>	71.4%	6.19	<b>58</b>	4.50	<b>101</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.6%	6.47	<b>46</b>	\$7.69	3.84	<b>80</b>	69.7%	5.96	<b>62</b>	5.42	<b>68</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	46.6%	5.30	<b>76</b>	\$8.90	6.82	<b>8</b>	82.9%	7.71	<b>17</b>	6.61	<b>19</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	64.1%	9.40	<b>3</b>	\$7.98	4.56	<b>54</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>27</b>	7.10	<b>6</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	51.4%	6.42	<b>49</b>	\$7.98	4.56	<b>55</b>	73.1%	6.42	<b>50</b>	5.80	<b>47</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	57.6%	7.87	<b>19</b>	\$7.60	3.62	<b>88</b>	77.3%	6.98	<b>36</b>	6.15	<b>37</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	51.1%	6.34	<b>51</b>	\$7.05	2.27	<b>117</b>	72.2%	6.30	<b>56</b>	4.97	<b>84</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	46.1%	5.17	<b>84</b>	\$8.09	4.83	<b>41</b>	78.6%	7.14	<b>30</b>	5.71	<b>51</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.5%	6.68	<b>44</b>	\$7.94	4.46	<b>59</b>	67.5%	5.67	<b>72</b>	5.60	<b>62</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.0%	8.44	<b>11</b>	\$7.83	4.19	<b>65</b>	73.2%	6.42	<b>49</b>	6.35	<b>28</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	39.2%	3.57	<b>104</b>	\$8.10	4.85	<b>40</b>	69.5%	5.94	<b>63</b>	4.79	<b>90</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.4%	5.01	<b>86</b>	\$8.08	4.80	<b>42</b>	64.0%	5.20	<b>87</b>	5.00	<b>82</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.0%	7.26	<b>32</b>	\$8.02	4.66	<b>49</b>	64.8%	5.31	<b>85</b>	5.74	<b>50</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.3%	5.69	<b>69</b>	\$8.24	5.20	<b>27</b>	69.8%	5.97	<b>61</b>	5.62	<b>61</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	56.1%	7.52	<b>24</b>	\$7.60	3.62	<b>89</b>	65.0%	5.33	<b>84</b>	5.49	<b>66</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	46.3%	5.22	<b>80</b>	\$7.84	4.21	<b>64</b>	52.6%	3.68	<b>112</b>	4.37	<b>105</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.1%	2.29	114	\$821	2.57	98	57.8%	6.84	31	3.90	105
003	Albemarle	74.4%	7.99	12	\$995	6.10	17	54.9%	6.06	56	6.72	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.4%	7.15	31	\$815	2.45	100	45.9%	3.67	106	4.42	93
007	Amelia	61.5%	4.36	95	\$943	5.04	35	45.5%	3.55	111	4.32	96
009	Amherst	68.2%	6.23	51	\$836	2.88	89	51.9%	5.27	80	4.79	81
011	Appomattox	71.5%	7.17	30	\$840	2.96	87	43.9%	3.13	113	4.42	94
013	Arlington	74.0%	7.85	16	\$1,039	6.99	11	64.1%	8.52	9	7.79	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.8%	6.12	55	\$938	4.93	41	54.9%	6.05	58	5.70	38
017	Bath	64.1%	5.09	84	\$706	0.25	119	54.2%	5.87	63	3.73	109
019	Bedford County/City	66.6%	5.80	68	\$828	2.71	95	55.1%	6.11	55	4.87	76
021	Bland	63.0%	4.78	89	\$822	2.59	96	47.9%	4.19	98	3.85	108
023	Botetourt	48.3%	0.65	119	\$915	4.47	52	41.5%	2.50	116	2.54	119
025	Brunswick	57.3%	3.18	112	\$810	2.34	104	56.9%	6.59	36	4.04	102
027	Buchanan	52.4%	1.81	117	\$813	2.41	101	54.7%	6.01	59	3.41	113
029	Buckingham	57.5%	3.24	110	\$925	4.68	46	52.9%	5.54	70	4.49	88
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.44	44	\$869	3.54	72	59.6%	7.31	23	5.77	36
033	Caroline	62.2%	4.54	94	\$945	5.08	34	43.2%	2.93	115	4.18	99
035	Carroll	66.6%	5.78	69	\$799	2.13	111	46.7%	3.89	102	3.93	104
036	Charles City	53.4%	2.09	115	\$871	3.58	71	56.4%	6.47	43	4.05	101
037	Charlotte	51.9%	1.67	118	\$899	4.15	62	47.2%	4.01	101	3.28	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66.4%	5.72	71	\$998	6.16	16	60.7%	7.61	15	6.50	22
043	Clarke	61.2%	4.28	98	\$958	5.35	27	46.4%	3.81	103	4.48	89
045	Craig	64.0%	5.06	85	\$1,042	7.04	9	69.2%	9.88	2	7.33	7
047	Culpeper	65.0%	5.35	77	\$948	5.14	31	55.8%	6.30	48	5.60	44
049	Cumberland	58.4%	3.48	109	\$938	4.94	40	47.8%	4.16	99	4.19	98
051	Dickensen	59.7%	3.85	104	\$803	2.22	107	48.7%	4.41	95	3.49	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.9%	6.15	53	\$939	4.96	37	52.3%	5.38	76	5.50	48
057	Essex	69.0%	6.45	42	\$836	2.87	91	40.8%	2.31	117	3.88	106
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	68.5%	6.32	46	\$1,111	8.44	4	61.8%	7.91	12	7.56	6
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.45	43	\$993	6.05	18	61.7%	7.87	13	6.79	13
063	Floyd	70.4%	6.84	37	\$910	4.37	53	45.8%	3.63	110	4.95	73
065	Fluvanna	74.6%	8.02	11	\$1,082	7.86	5	54.6%	5.97	61	7.28	8
067	Franklin County	64.1%	5.10	83	\$930	4.77	45	49.8%	4.69	87	4.86	77
069	Frederick	60.3%	4.03	103	\$968	5.54	26	53.3%	5.64	69	5.07	64
071	Giles	58.9%	3.62	107	\$937	4.92	42	47.4%	4.06	100	4.20	97
073	Gloucester	67.0%	5.91	65	\$834	2.84	92	56.1%	6.39	45	5.05	67
075	Goochland	67.1%	5.93	62	\$862	3.40	78	54.7%	6.00	60	5.11	62
077	Grayson	65.8%	5.57	73	\$828	2.71	94	56.8%	6.56	39	4.95	72

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.7%	8.35	6	\$908	4.33	55	49.2%	4.54	92	5.74	37
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.4%	3.22	111	\$800	2.14	110	55.6%	6.24	50	3.87	107
083	Halifax	58.7%	3.58	108	\$821	2.57	97	47.9%	4.19	97	3.45	112
085	Hanover	63.3%	4.86	88	\$1,060	7.41	7	65.2%	8.81	7	7.03	9
087	Henrico	68.2%	6.25	50	\$1,009	6.38	14	56.2%	6.42	44	6.35	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.8%	5.29	79	\$921	4.59	48	54.1%	5.85	64	5.25	57
091	Highland	71.4%	7.14	32	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.42	20	4.85	78
093	Isle of Wight	72.4%	7.42	23	\$898	4.13	63	55.5%	6.23	51	5.93	33
095	James City	71.8%	7.23	28	\$875	3.67	69	51.6%	5.19	81	5.36	49
097	King and Queen	53.3%	2.07	116	\$879	3.74	68	35.6%	0.93	119	2.25	120
099	King George	67.8%	6.12	56	\$910	4.37	54	49.1%	4.52	93	5.00	70
101	King William	60.9%	4.19	100	\$975	5.69	25	45.8%	3.64	109	4.51	87
103	Lancaster	67.4%	6.02	58	\$829	2.74	93	49.4%	4.60	90	4.45	91
105	Lee	65.8%	5.57	74	\$759	1.31	116	60.5%	7.56	16	4.81	80
107	Loudoun	79.3%	9.34	3	\$1,162	9.46	2	65.3%	8.82	6	9.21	1
109	Louisa	62.2%	4.56	93	\$953	5.24	30	54.9%	6.06	57	5.29	55
111	Lunenburg	46.0%	0.00	120	\$728	0.70	117	59.8%	7.36	21	2.69	118
113	Madison	66.1%	5.64	72	\$987	5.94	20	45.8%	3.65	107	5.08	63
115	Mathews	61.3%	4.31	96	\$862	3.41	76	53.3%	5.65	68	4.45	90
117	Mecklenburg	67.8%	6.12	54	\$810	2.34	103	58.1%	6.92	28	5.13	60
119	Middlesex	66.4%	5.73	70	\$865	3.46	75	57.2%	6.69	33	5.29	52
121	Montgomery	80.2%	9.60	2	\$916	4.50	51	54.0%	5.84	66	6.64	16
125	Nelson	68.3%	6.27	49	\$993	6.05	19	52.7%	5.48	71	5.93	32
127	New Kent	63.6%	4.94	87	\$1,002	6.23	15	52.3%	5.36	78	5.51	46
131	Northampton	62.4%	4.62	91	\$801	2.17	109	66.2%	9.08	4	5.29	53
133	Northumberland	60.7%	4.15	101	\$838	2.92	88	40.7%	2.28	118	3.11	117
135	Nottoway	68.0%	6.19	52	\$868	3.52	73	55.5%	6.22	52	5.31	51
137	Orange	72.1%	7.32	25	\$942	5.02	36	43.5%	3.02	114	5.12	61
139	Page	71.8%	7.25	27	\$975	5.69	24	55.6%	6.26	49	6.40	23
141	Patrick	66.9%	5.88	66	\$803	2.20	108	65.9%	8.98	5	5.69	39
143	Pittsylvania	56.7%	3.00	113	\$849	3.15	83	50.8%	4.96	84	3.70	110
145	Powhatan	60.4%	4.06	102	\$905	4.27	58	54.1%	5.85	65	4.73	83
147	Prince Edward	73.6%	7.74	18	\$853	3.23	82	60.1%	7.44	19	6.14	30
149	Prince George	62.6%	4.67	90	\$980	5.79	21	49.6%	4.66	89	5.04	68
153	Prince William	74.1%	7.89	14	\$1,188	10.00	1	62.0%	7.95	11	8.61	2
155	Pulaski	64.9%	5.30	78	\$924	4.66	47	44.7%	3.34	112	4.43	92
157	Rappahannock	65.5%	5.48	76	\$958	5.35	28	48.5%	4.35	96	5.06	65
159	Richmond County	64.3%	5.15	81	\$918	4.53	50	32.1%	0.00	120	3.23	116
161	Roanoke County	75.2%	8.19	8	\$1,023	6.65	12	52.2%	5.36	79	6.73	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.8%	7.80	17	\$847	3.09	84	55.1%	6.13	54	5.67	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.2%	7.93	13	\$938	4.94	39	58.4%	6.99	26	6.62	19
167	Russell	62.4%	4.61	92	\$836	2.87	90	57.7%	6.82	32	4.77	82
169	Scott	58.9%	3.63	106	\$862	3.40	77	64.9%	8.73	8	5.25	56
171	Shenandoah	67.1%	5.93	63	\$902	4.21	61	52.6%	5.44	73	5.19	58

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	61.1%	4.25	<b>99</b>	\$805	2.25	<b>106</b>	52.5%	5.42	<b>74</b>	3.97	<b>103</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.6%	3.83	<b>105</b>	\$811	2.36	<b>102</b>	46.0%	3.70	<b>105</b>	3.29	<b>114</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.9%	6.73	<b>39</b>	\$1,019	6.57	<b>13</b>	56.8%	6.58	<b>37</b>	6.63	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	61.3%	4.29	<b>97</b>	\$1,125	8.72	<b>3</b>	58.1%	6.91	<b>29</b>	6.64	<b>17</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.7%	6.93	<b>36</b>	\$855	3.25	<b>79</b>	49.7%	4.67	<b>88</b>	4.95	<b>71</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.9%	5.86	<b>67</b>	\$933	4.84	<b>44</b>	57.1%	6.66	<b>34</b>	5.79	<b>35</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.7%	6.38	<b>45</b>	\$786	1.86	<b>115</b>	56.8%	6.58	<b>38</b>	4.94	<b>74</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.9%	6.73	<b>38</b>	\$978	5.76	<b>23</b>	56.1%	6.38	<b>46</b>	6.29	<b>26</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.2%	5.97	<b>60</b>	\$873	3.62	<b>70</b>	49.3%	4.57	<b>91</b>	4.72	<b>84</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.7%	5.53	<b>75</b>	\$902	4.22	<b>60</b>	50.7%	4.93	<b>85</b>	4.89	<b>75</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	5.15	<b>82</b>	\$787	1.89	<b>114</b>	57.0%	6.62	<b>35</b>	4.55	<b>86</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.4%	6.00	<b>59</b>	\$818	2.51	<b>99</b>	56.5%	6.49	<b>42</b>	5.00	<b>69</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.6%	5.21	<b>80</b>	\$868	3.52	<b>74</b>	46.4%	3.80	<b>104</b>	4.18	<b>100</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.2%	7.07	<b>34</b>	\$1,040	7.01	<b>10</b>	69.7%	10.00	<b>1</b>	8.03	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	71.0%	7.02	<b>35</b>	\$846	3.07	<b>85</b>	48.9%	4.46	<b>94</b>	4.85	<b>79</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$918	4.55	<b>49</b>	55.9%	6.33	<b>47</b>	6.96	<b>11</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.1%	7.88	<b>15</b>	\$905	4.26	<b>59</b>	56.6%	6.53	<b>40</b>	6.22	<b>29</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	67.0%	5.91	<b>64</b>	\$788	1.91	<b>113</b>	52.5%	5.42	<b>75</b>	4.41	<b>95</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.4%	6.28	<b>48</b>	\$792	1.98	<b>112</b>	53.7%	5.74	<b>67</b>	4.67	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	77.6%	8.87	<b>4</b>	\$979	5.77	<b>22</b>	54.5%	5.96	<b>62</b>	6.87	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.9%	5.02	<b>86</b>	\$854	3.23	<b>81</b>	59.6%	7.32	<b>22</b>	5.19	<b>59</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.8%	7.25	<b>26</b>	\$897	4.11	<b>64</b>	52.7%	5.47	<b>72</b>	5.61	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.6%	6.62	<b>40</b>	\$905	4.28	<b>57</b>	51.2%	5.08	<b>82</b>	5.33	<b>50</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	72.5%	7.43	<b>22</b>	\$809	2.32	<b>105</b>	62.5%	8.08	<b>10</b>	5.94	<b>31</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	67.2%	5.96	<b>61</b>	\$1,080	7.81	<b>6</b>	59.3%	7.24	<b>24</b>	7.00	<b>10</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.7%	8.07	<b>9</b>	\$1,059	7.39	<b>8</b>	66.5%	9.15	<b>3</b>	8.20	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.6%	7.74	<b>19</b>	\$907	4.32	<b>56</b>	58.2%	6.95	<b>27</b>	6.34	<b>25</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	72.1%	7.32	<b>24</b>	\$845	3.06	<b>86</b>	55.3%	6.16	<b>53</b>	5.51	<b>45</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.8%	8.37	<b>5</b>	\$723	0.59	<b>118</b>	60.5%	7.56	<b>17</b>	5.50	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.4%	7.13	<b>33</b>	\$934	4.86	<b>43</b>	57.8%	6.85	<b>30</b>	6.28	<b>27</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	74.6%	8.04	<b>10</b>	\$889	3.95	<b>67</b>	61.0%	7.70	<b>14</b>	6.56	<b>21</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.5%	7.45	<b>21</b>	\$894	4.05	<b>65</b>	45.8%	3.65	<b>108</b>	5.05	<b>66</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.5%	7.17	<b>29</b>	\$948	5.14	<b>32</b>	60.3%	7.50	<b>18</b>	6.60	<b>20</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	67.4%	6.02	<b>57</b>	\$947	5.13	<b>33</b>	49.8%	4.71	<b>86</b>	5.29	<b>54</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.7%	8.34	<b>7</b>	\$855	3.25	<b>80</b>	59.1%	7.19	<b>25</b>	6.26	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.5%	6.31	<b>47</b>	\$938	4.94	<b>38</b>	56.5%	6.50	<b>41</b>	5.92	<b>34</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	72.9%	7.56	<b>20</b>	\$890	3.97	<b>66</b>	52.3%	5.36	<b>77</b>	5.63	<b>41</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	69.4%	6.58	<b>41</b>	\$953	5.25	<b>29</b>	50.9%	5.00	<b>83</b>	5.61	<b>42</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2009 – January 31, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	8,873	460	270	693	291	166	1,010	114	187	388	408	303	1,423	340	111	848	350	1,441	70
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	93%	94%	91%	91%	83%	84%	92%	94%	96%	93%	94%	86%	81%	89%	95%	81%	86%	81%
% Timely in January 2010	87%	87%	86%	87%	90%	88%	82%	82%	89%	91%	87%	91%	88%	77%	95%	91%	82%	85%	82%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	9,473	538	399	839	344	155	998	133	267	398	505	306	1,357	333	110	893	309	1,507	82
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	97%	98%	95%	99%	96%	93%	95%	97%	95%	98%	98%	94%	94%	96%	91%	92%	91%	98%
Percent in January 2010	93%	98%	98%	94%	99%	95%	92%	100%	97%	95%	96%	98%	95%	90%	97%	89%	91%	89%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	177	17	0	4	4	5	37	3	7	2	10	4	39	16	0	12	10	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	43	0	12	29	13	22	48	107	6	21	24	41	27	0	13	16	19	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	460	44	22	64	63	72	60	114	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	98%	95%	94%	98%	92%	97%	94%	48%
% Timely in January 2010	87%	90%	N/A	80%	100%	87%	88%	85%	40%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	538	41	40	82	70	53	101	141	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	98%	93%	100%	100%	96%	100%	96%	70%
Percent in January 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	94%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	17	0	0	3	0	3	0	1	10
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	0	34	0	72	0	4	41

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	270	7	40	10	41	44	23	69	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	100%	100%	85%	98%	70%	100%	92%
% Timely in January 2010	86%	100%	100%	100%	63%	91%	75%	100%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	399	5	47	16	73	63	42	106	47
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	99%	91%
Percent in January 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	693	54	10	2	4	59	12	108	22	87	21	314
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	89%	90%	100%	100%	63%	83%	99%	100%	97%	86%	93%
% Timely in January 2010	87%	64%	50%	N/A	100%	75%	75%	95%	100%	100%	60%	88%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	839	46	5	2	8	54	18	119	81	82	23	401
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	98%	100%	100%	100%	69%	100%	99%	100%	95%	96%	95%
Percent in January 2010	94%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	63%	100%	97%	100%	100%	86%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	12	3	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	291	150	3	0	28	110
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	99%	100%	N/A	89%	82%
% Timely in January 2010	90%	98%	100%	N/A	83%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	344	203	1	0	20	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	99%
Percent in January 2010	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	83%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	2	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	29	37	0	0	0	22

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	166	2	49	37	3	42	33	70	35	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	83%	100%	57%	100%	33%	98%	88%	81%	83%	80%
% Timely in January 2010	88%	N/A	62%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	82%	78%	88%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	155	3	38	35	6	39	34	82	61	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	92%	94%	98%	98%	95%
Percent in January 2010	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	89%	89%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,010	61	311	89	377	112	44	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	97%	94%	89%	73%	96%	41%	94%
% Timely in January 2010	82%	100%	96%	86%	64%	93%	50%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	998	83	286	70	385	113	38	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	89%	97%	95%	93%	82%	96%
Percent in January 2010	92%	100%	90%	100%	95%	85%	33%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	37	0	0	0	28	0	9	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	22	0	23	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	114	43	35	7	28	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	98%	94%	86%	86%	0%
% Timely in January 2010	82%	100%	83%	100%	71%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	133	46	54	12	21	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	96%	96%	100%	90%	N/A
Percent in January 2010	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	106	0	0	19	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	187	29	6	14	26	3	109
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	0%	71%	96%	100%	99%
% Timely in January 2010	89%	100%	0%	0%	86%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	267	57	0	18	48	14	130
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	96%	N/A	89%	96%	100%	98%
Percent in January 2010	97%	100%	N/A	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	107	0	107	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	388	31	30	69	103	155
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	96%	100%	93%	97%	99%	92%
% Timely in January 2010	91%	100%	80%	100%	95%	86%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	398	33	36	79	83	167
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	100%	94%	94%	93%
Percent in January 2010	95%	100%	100%	89%	95%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	6

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	408	57	173	46	28	104
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	96%	91%	98%	100%	89%
% Timely in January 2010	87%	91%	74%	100%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	505	49	171	51	58	176
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	98%	96%	96%	100%	99%
Percent in January 2010	96%	93%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	10	2	8	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	10	24	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	303	16	42	22	13	18	40	21	48	39	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	88%	88%	100%	100%	100%	93%	95%	96%	87%	98%
% Timely in January 2010	91%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	92%	83%	100%	67%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	306	15	43	27	30	14	35	13	52	29	48
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	90%	98%
Percent in January 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	91%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	26	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,423	265	8	69	435	4	642
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	86%	90%	88%	96%	80%	100%	88%
% Timely in January 2010	88%	86%	50%	100%	80%	100%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1357	288	6	53	390	8	612
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	94%	100%	83%	95%	88%	93%
Percent in January 2010	95%	95%	100%	86%	97%	N/A	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	39	9	1	0	22	0	7
Average # of Days Over 30	41	55	3	0	37	0	43

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	340	77	7	111	89	56
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	81%	62%	100%	78%	92%	91%
% Timely in January 2010	77%	17%	100%	89%	93%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	333	61	20	108	81	63
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	92%	100%	90%	98%	95%
Percent in January 2010	90%	85%	100%	84%	100%	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	16	14	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	26	0	0	39	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	111	36	1	19	8	5	10	6	9	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	94%
% Timely in January 2010	95%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	110	31	5	14	9	1	10	6	14	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	96%	97%	80%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	93%	100%
Percent in January 2010	97%	91%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	848	7	29	42	18	40	276	419	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	14%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	92%	94%
% Timely in January 2010	91%	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	88%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	893	1	37	30	18	34	262	491	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	0%	89%	97%	100%	88%	95%	89%	100%
Percent in January 2010	89%	0%	91%	80%	100%	85%	92%	87%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	12	5	0	0	3	0	0	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	13	13	0	0	8	0	0	7	43

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	350	14	21	18	25	98	174
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	81%	93%	100%	89%	92%	60%	86%
% Timely in January 2010	82%	88%	100%	100%	100%	36%	85%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	309	38	24	17	22	70	138
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	97%	92%	100%	100%	97%	86%
Percent in January 2010	91%	90%	86%	100%	100%	93%	88%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	0	7	3
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	0	11	26

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,441	35	25	258	16	471	280	84	272
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	86%	89%	96%	90%	94%	72%	94%	96%	95%
% Timely in January 2010	85%	83%	100%	82%	100%	75%	89%	88%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1507	39	33	259	34	447	295	116	284
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	92%	100%	91%	97%	83%	96%	97%	93%
Percent in January 2010	89%	92%	100%	89%	100%	82%	95%	95%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	7	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	19	4	0	0	0	0	21	0	23

## ***Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals***

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Jan-09</i></b>	<b><i>Jan-10</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	13,526	14,244	5.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	125,479	125,473	-0.005%
Total Cases Collected	139,005	139,717	0.5%
TANF Collections	\$2,220,187	\$2,474,100	11.4%
NTANF Collections	\$47,502,095	\$45,823,476	-3.5%
Total Collections	\$49,722,282	\$48,297,576	-2.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$355,556,742	\$344,188,432	-3.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$357	\$349	-2.1%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Jan-10</i></b>
TANF Paternities	251
NTANF Paternities	351
Combined Paternities	602

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *January Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	7		Pittsylvania	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	6		Prince William	2
Bedford City	3		Pulaski	1
Buckingham	7		Richmond County	1
Campbell	1		Roanoke County	6
Caroline	2		Rockingham/ H'burg	10
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	21		Shenandoah	7
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	13
Culpeper	6		Stafford	13
Cumberland	2		Tazewell	2
Dickensen	1		Warren	4
Dinwiddie	2		Wythe	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	4		York / Poquoson	4
Fauquier	2		Bristol	8
Floyd	2		Charlottesville	1
Franklin County	7		Chesapeake	3
Frederick	6		Danville	1
Gloucester	1		Franklin City	1
Greene	5		Galax	1
Hanover	1		Hampton	6
Henrico	5		Hopewell	2
Henry / Martinsville	6		Mannassas	1
Isle of Wight	1		Manassas Park	3
Loudoun	1		Newport News	19
Louisa	3		Norfolk	14
Lunenburg	1		Richmond City	11
Montgomery	2		Roanoke City	9
Orange	1		Suffolk	9
Page	1		Virginia Beach	3
Patrick	2		Winchester	3
			<b>Statewide</b>	<b>274</b>

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of morning of February 1, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:**  
**[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov)**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Perhaps of note is that January saw the first monthly decline for some time. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2010




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


January 31, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January, it was \$8.17 rather than the \$8.00 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.