

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
February 2010

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services March 29, 2010

Monthly Report, February 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 19,279 and a numerator of 7,924.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	61	14	23%	061	Fauquier	69	23	33%
003	Albemarle	58	24	41%	063	Floyd	23	11	48%
005	Alleghany/Covington	75	7	9%	065	Fluvanna	19	0	0%
007	Amelia	33	13	39%	067	Franklin County	111	23	21%
009	Amherst	46	18	39%	069	Frederick	88	18	20%
011	Appomattox	52	24	46%	071	Giles	18	8	44%
013	Arlington	139	84	60%	073	Gloucester	58	22	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	307	166	54%	075	Goochland	23	2	9%
017	Bath	7	0	0%	077	Grayson	22	6	27%
019	Bedford County/City	122	45	37%	079	Greene	33	9	27%
021	Bland	7	4	57%	081	Greensville/Emporia	83	22	27%
023	Botetourt	20	0	0%	083	Halifax	98	24	24%
025	Brunswick	79	46	58%	085	Hanover	111	22	20%
027	Buchanan	65	30	46%	087	Henrico	891	360	40%
029	Buckingham	46	18	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	342	91	27%
031	Campbell	160	70	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	108	42	39%	093	Isle of Wight	83	28	34%
035	Carroll	74	39	53%	095	James City	93	39	42%
036	Charles City	8	0	0%	097	King and Queen	6	2	33%
037	Charlotte	36	13	36%	099	King George	19	8	42%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	550	245	45%	101	King William	32	11	34%
043	Clarke	6	2	33%	103	Lancaster	21	4	19%
045	Craig	6	1	17%	105	Lee	132	44	33%
047	Culpeper	93	34	37%	107	Loudoun	174	53	30%
049	Cumberland	37	19	51%	109	Louisa	47	21	45%
051	Dickensen	39	14	36%	111	Lunenburg	37	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	55	16	29%	113	Madison	13	3	23%
057	Essex	68	18	26%	115	Mathews	8	1	13%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	689	275	40%	117	Mecklenburg	93	37	40%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	4	17%	187	Warren	82	36	44%
121	Montgomery	226	118	52%	191	Washington	96	44	46%
125	Nelson	11	5	45%	193	Westmoreland	40	13	33%
127	New Kent	23	5	22%	195	Wise	232	86	37%
131	Northampton	58	22	38%	197	Wythe	61	23	38%
133	Northumberland	10	6	60%	199	York/Poquoson	77	31	40%
135	Nottoway	69	17	25%	510	Alexandria	289	123	43%
137	Orange	47	10	21%	520	Bristol	130	71	55%
139	Page	42	16	38%	540	Charlottesville	188	89	47%
141	Patrick	55	36	65%	550	Chesapeake	766	354	46%
143	Pittsylvania	77	26	34%	590	Danville	231	97	42%
145	Powhatan	27	14	52%	620	Franklin City	45	18	40%
147	Prince Edward	76	28	37%	630	Fredericksburg	119	58	49%
149	Prince George	45	14	31%	640	Galax	47	12	26%
153	Prince William	769	272	35%	650	Hampton	703	358	51%
155	Pulaski	69	31	45%	670	Hopewell	224	34	15%
157	Rappahannock	4	1	25%	680	Lynchburg	355	142	40%
159	Richmond County	16	2	13%	683	Manassas	91	23	25%
161	Roanoke County	171	96	56%	685	Manassas Park	29	14	48%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	49	16	33%	700	Newport News	1,036	454	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	144	71	49%	710	Norfolk	1,259	638	51%
167	Russell	115	62	54%	720	Norton	36	14	39%
169	Scott	108	43	40%	730	Petersburg	417	181	43%
171	Shenandoah	17	5	29%	740	Portsmouth	692	273	39%
173	Smyth	96	37	39%	750	Radford	38	18	47%
175	Southampton	61	21	34%	760	Richmond City	1,716	695	41%
177	Spotsylvania	233	79	34%	770	Roanoke City	651	213	33%
179	Stafford	176	80	45%	800	Suffolk	174	105	60%
181	Surry	27	8	30%	810	Virginia Beach	545	275	50%
183	Sussex	44	20	45%	830	Williamsburg	20	7	35%
185	Tazewell	143	62	43%	840	Winchester	66	25	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 17,360 and a numerator of 6,249. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	57	10	18%	061	Fauquier	58	13	22%
003	Albemarle	43	9	21%	063	Floyd	20	8	40%
005	Alleghany/Covington	67	3	4%	065	Fluvanna	18	0	0%
007	Amelia	33	13	39%	067	Franklin County	100	13	13%
009	Amherst	44	16	36%	069	Frederick	76	10	13%
011	Appomattox	49	22	45%	071	Giles	12	3	25%
013	Arlington	122	68	56%	073	Gloucester	52	16	31%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	278	138	50%	075	Goochland	22	1	5%
017	Bath	7	0	0%	077	Grayson	20	6	30%
019	Bedford County/City	108	32	30%	079	Greene	30	7	23%
021	Bland	5	2	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	77	16	21%
023	Botetourt	18	0	0%	083	Halifax	91	17	19%
025	Brunswick	71	38	54%	085	Hanover	104	17	16%
027	Buchanan	56	23	41%	087	Henrico	815	297	36%
029	Buckingham	40	12	30%	089	Henry/Martinsville	319	73	23%
031	Campbell	139	50	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	99	37	37%	093	Isle of Wight	71	18	25%
035	Carroll	67	32	48%	095	James City	80	30	38%
036	Charles City	6	0	0%	097	King and Queen	4	0	0%
037	Charlotte	32	9	28%	099	King George	16	5	31%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	491	193	39%	101	King William	28	9	32%
043	Clarke	5	2	40%	103	Lancaster	21	4	19%
045	Craig	4	0	0%	105	Lee	123	35	28%
047	Culpeper	80	26	33%	107	Loudoun	147	30	20%
049	Cumberland	35	17	49%	109	Louisa	44	18	41%
051	Dickensen	30	5	17%	111	Lunenburg	35	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	46	7	15%	113	Madison	10	1	10%
057	Essex	64	14	22%	115	Mathews	7	1	14%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	612	208	34%	117	Mecklenburg	85	29	34%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	3	14%	187	Warren	68	23	34%
121	Montgomery	196	90	46%	191	Washington	87	36	41%
125	Nelson	7	1	14%	193	Westmoreland	34	13	38%
127	New Kent	22	4	18%	195	Wise	207	62	30%
131	Northampton	51	15	29%	197	Wythe	58	21	36%
133	Northumberland	7	3	43%	199	York/Poquoson	73	27	37%
135	Nottoway	64	13	20%	510	Alexandria	254	88	35%
137	Orange	46	10	22%	520	Bristol	122	63	52%
139	Page	36	12	33%	540	Charlottesville	163	67	41%
141	Patrick	48	29	60%	550	Chesapeake	719	312	43%
143	Pittsylvania	75	24	32%	590	Danville	213	82	38%
145	Powhatan	20	7	35%	620	Franklin City	41	14	34%
147	Prince Edward	70	22	31%	630	Fredericksburg	98	39	40%
149	Prince George	42	12	29%	640	Galax	45	10	22%
153	Prince William	658	167	25%	650	Hampton	632	299	47%
155	Pulaski	60	22	37%	670	Hopewell	206	26	13%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	330	118	36%
159	Richmond County	16	2	13%	683	Manassas	83	15	18%
161	Roanoke County	150	76	51%	685	Manassas Park	23	10	43%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	46	15	33%	700	Newport News	941	372	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	122	49	40%	710	Norfolk	1,172	553	47%
167	Russell	107	54	50%	720	Norton	34	12	35%
169	Scott	100	40	40%	730	Petersburg	384	157	41%
171	Shenandoah	15	3	20%	740	Portsmouth	625	209	33%
173	Smyth	91	32	35%	750	Radford	33	13	39%
175	Southampton	56	16	29%	760	Richmond City	1,546	562	36%
177	Spotsylvania	223	72	32%	770	Roanoke City	577	146	25%
179	Stafford	159	64	40%	800	Suffolk	159	91	57%
181	Surry	23	4	17%	810	Virginia Beach	469	202	43%
183	Sussex	38	15	39%	830	Williamsburg	16	5	31%
185	Tazewell	125	45	36%	840	Winchester	57	17	30%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 87% with a denominator of 1,919 and a numerator of 1,676. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	4	100%	061	Fauquier	11	10	91%
003	Albemarle	15	15	100%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	4	50%	065	Fluvanna	1	0	0%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	11	10	91%
009	Amherst	2	2	100%	069	Frederick	12	8	67%
011	Appomattox	3	2	67%	071	Giles	6	5	83%
013	Arlington	17	16	94%	073	Gloucester	6	6	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	29	28	97%	075	Goochland	1	1	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	2	0	0%
019	Bedford County/City	14	13	93%	079	Greene	3	2	67%
021	Bland	2	2	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	2	0	0%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	8	8	100%	085	Hanover	7	5	71%
027	Buchanan	9	7	78%	087	Henrico	76	63	83%
029	Buckingham	6	6	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	23	18	78%
031	Campbell	21	20	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	9	5	56%	093	Isle of Wight	12	10	83%
035	Carroll	7	7	100%	095	James City	13	9	69%
036	Charles City	2	0	0%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59	52	88%	101	King William	4	2	50%
043	Clarke	1	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	2	1	50%	105	Lee	9	9	100%
047	Culpeper	13	8	62%	107	Loudoun	27	23	85%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	3	3	100%
051	Dickensen	9	9	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	9	100%	113	Madison	3	2	67%
057	Essex	4	4	100%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	77	67	87%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	14	13	93%
121	Montgomery	30	28	93%	191	Washington	9	8	89%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	6	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	1	100%	195	Wise	25	24	96%
131	Northampton	7	7	100%	197	Wythe	3	2	67%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	5	4	80%	510	Alexandria	35	35	100%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	8	8	100%
139	Page	6	4	67%	540	Charlottesville	25	22	88%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	47	42	89%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	18	15	83%
145	Powhatan	7	7	100%	620	Franklin City	4	4	100%
147	Prince Edward	6	6	100%	630	Fredericksburg	21	19	90%
149	Prince George	3	2	67%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	111	105	95%	650	Hampton	71	59	83%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	18	8	44%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	25	24	96%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	8	8	100%
161	Roanoke County	21	20	95%	685	Manassas Park	6	4	67%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	1	33%	700	Newport News	95	82	86%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	22	22	100%	710	Norfolk	87	85	98%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	8	3	38%	730	Petersburg	33	24	73%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	67	64	96%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	5	5	100%	760	Richmond City	170	133	78%
177	Spotsylvania	10	7	70%	770	Roanoke City	74	67	91%
179	Stafford	17	16	94%	800	Suffolk	15	14	93%
181	Surry	4	4	100%	810	Virginia Beach	76	73	96%
183	Sussex	6	5	83%	830	Williamsburg	4	2	50%
185	Tazewell	18	17	94%	840	Winchester	9	8	89%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Feb-10	Feb-09	Change from Feb-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,436	4,017	-14%	4,807	-29%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,116	2,254	-6%	1,658	28%
Applications Approved	1,848	2,148	-14%	2,820	-34%
Cases Closed *	2,424	2,237	8%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	34,138	30,337	13%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month ²	34,938	31,219	12%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,424 closed cases, 887 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 482 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 172 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 104 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Feb-10	Feb-09	Change from Feb-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	441	581	-24%	338	30%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	345	335	3%	232	49%
Applications Approved	264	254	4%	81	226%
Cases Closed *	228	173	32%	107	113%
Cases at End of Month	2,168	1,556	39%	321	575%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,274	1,664	37%	394	477%

•The database indicates that of the 228 closed cases, 97 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 61 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 12 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 10 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,306	1,998	1,137	2,803	1,052	565	4,187	459	749	1,337	1,715	1,113	5,870	1,136	435	3,460	1,386	6,604	300
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,465	764	520	1,144	477	255	1,748	161	298	686	574	509	2,533	639	171	1,484	726	2,676	100
Part. in a Work Activity	11,306	589	403	777	374	164	1,389	104	248	488	425	363	1,798	424	127	1,129	390	2,062	52
% of Enrolled	73%	77%	78%	68%	78%	64%	79%	65%	83%	71%	74%	71%	71%	66%	74%	76%	54%	77%	52%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	167	7	8	9	1	16	19	3	0	7	1	4	26	15	7	9	10	25	0
Participants Employed	6,968	380	237	529	261	96	748	74	138	297	239	223	953	306	90	720	262	1,382	33
% of Enrolled	45%	50%	46%	46%	55%	38%	43%	46%	46%	43%	42%	44%	38%	48%	53%	49%	36%	52%	33%
Full Time	5,527	344	192	428	205	74	573	59	100	232	188	156	733	235	73	567	201	1,141	26
Part Time	1,441	36	45	101	56	22	175	15	38	65	51	67	220	71	17	153	61	241	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.35	\$7.56	\$7.87	\$7.88	\$8.30	\$9.26	\$8.45	\$8.45	\$7.71	\$7.55	\$7.65	\$8.12	\$8.26	\$7.82	\$7.95	\$7.82	\$7.80	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$991	\$1,004	\$1,058	\$1,022	\$1,069	\$1,219	\$1,134	\$1,054	\$1,039	\$1,005	\$956	\$1,073	\$1,049	\$1,048	\$1,050	\$1,010	\$1,036	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	23,388	1,321	899	2,022	859	461	2,670	294	549	934	1,170	715	3,319	830	289	2,141	784	3,925	206
Total Enrolled in VIEW	29,943	1,585	1,148	2,446	914	578	3,345	347	643	1,255	1,361	1,005	4,451	1,101	380	2,823	1,179	5,151	231
Part. in a Work Activity	26,492	1,426	1,034	2,111	867	486	3,009	304	603	1,116	1,234	880	3,942	959	334	2,505	978	4,512	192
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	90%	86%	95%	84%	90%	88%	94%	89%	91%	88%	89%	87%	88%	89%	83%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	14,704	827	526	1,209	503	271	1,617	179	323	650	560	437	1,910	582	193	1,477	529	2,828	83
% of Total Enrolled	49%	52%	46%	49%	55%	47%	48%	52%	50%	52%	41%	43%	43%	53%	51%	52%	45%	55%	36%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.41	\$7.55	\$7.98	\$7.84	\$8.24	\$9.74	\$8.42	\$8.35	\$7.81	\$7.64	\$7.65	\$8.30	\$8.41	\$7.95	\$8.01	\$7.92	\$7.85	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$998	\$995	\$1,077	\$1,032	\$1,069	\$1,291	\$1,115	\$981	\$1,027	\$1,010	\$943	\$1,104	\$1,070	\$1,009	\$1,059	\$1,051	\$1,046	\$1,038
Three Months Employment	72%	73%	63%	65%	75%	60%	75%	75%	67%	67%	63%	73%	75%	79%	70%	73%	71%	74%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	233,398	15,257	8,819	17,177	6,722	4,270	29,016	3,317	5,026	8,772	11,094	6,645	31,015	6,645	3,000	21,959	7,933	44,589	2,142
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	175,892	11,559	7,355	12,832	5,048	3,093	22,147	2,491	3,797	7,168	8,831	5,004	23,899	4,698	2,171	16,052	6,130	32,064	1,553
Part. in a Work Activity	168,489	11,114	7,016	12,267	4,906	2,950	21,427	2,402	3,699	6,779	8,531	4,770	23,052	4,478	2,043	15,350	5,847	30,369	1,489
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	121,783	7,316	4,873	8,942	3,555	2,083	15,898	1,690	2,891	5,005	5,608	3,086	16,531	3,207	1,396	11,527	4,250	23,040	885
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	70%	70%	67%	72%	68%	76%	70%	64%	62%	69%	68%	64%	72%	69%	72%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$6.03	\$6.11	\$6.90	\$6.94	\$7.12	\$8.43	\$7.26	\$7.22	\$6.35	\$6.22	\$6.50	\$7.17	\$7.68	\$6.76	\$6.81	\$6.81	\$6.68	\$6.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$926	\$796	\$833	\$934	\$928	\$964	\$1,127	\$964	\$938	\$831	\$835	\$853	\$972	\$1,008	\$873	\$900	\$927	\$880	\$812
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	53%	63%	53%	55%	58%	53%	55%	60%	54%	47%	56%	55%	57%	62%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,998	201	99	312	287	225	329	487	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	764	55	26	127	119	126	106	175	30
Participants in a Work Activity	589	49	17	97	110	83	91	125	17
% of Enrolled	77%	89%	65%	76%	92%	66%	86%	71%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	1
Participants Employed	380	21	13	84	47	53	51	97	14
% of Enrolled	50%	38%	50%	66%	39%	42%	48%	55%	47%
Full Time	344	21	13	74	41	46	44	92	13
Part Time	36	0	0	10	6	7	7	5	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.73	\$7.49	\$7.05	\$7.50	\$7.25	\$7.34	\$7.53	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$991	\$1,077	\$1,089	\$908	\$980	\$981	\$984	\$1,058	\$912

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,321	97	67	181	183	150	253	350	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,585	120	92	246	240	181	253	399	54
Participants in a Work Activity	1,426	112	76	222	229	167	234	350	36
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	83%	90%	95%	92%	92%	88%	67%
Participants Employed	827	58	53	140	112	85	133	221	25
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	58%	57%	47%	47%	53%	55%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$8.09	\$7.47	\$7.09	\$7.33	\$7.28	\$7.44	\$7.51	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$1,162	\$1,019	\$917	\$953	\$1,006	\$975	\$1,043	\$952
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	69%	64%	86%	64%	98%	69%	68%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,257	1,567	1,083	2,119	2,085	1,449	2,937	3,534	483
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,559	1,186	820	1,578	1,654	1,024	2,227	2,688	382
Participants in a Work Activity	11,114	1,105	775	1,562	1,575	1,004	2,146	2,596	351
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	7,316	621	489	1,036	1,032	600	1,523	1,729	286
% of Total Enrolled	63%	52%	60%	66%	62%	59%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.03	\$6.25	\$6.11	\$5.72	\$6.24	\$6.27	\$5.96	\$6.02	\$5.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$796	\$813	\$804	\$759	\$836	\$862	\$786	\$788	\$723
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	49%	61%	58%	65%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,137	15	132	47	209	237	128	278	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	520	9	70	12	82	104	42	152	49
Participants in a Work Activity	403	7	57	10	65	84	37	116	27
% of Enrolled	78%	78%	81%	83%	79%	81%	88%	76%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	2
Participants Employed	237	3	44	5	37	54	21	57	16
% of Enrolled	46%	33%	63%	42%	45%	52%	50%	38%	33%
Full Time	192	1	32	3	35	45	16	47	13
Part Time	45	2	12	2	2	9	5	10	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$7.02	\$7.78	\$8.04	\$7.41	\$7.40	\$7.72	\$7.61	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	\$512	\$1,012	\$1,050	\$1,019	\$988	\$930	\$1,067	\$958

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	899	14	105	37	170	170	113	202	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,148	13	136	36	207	229	128	288	111
Participants in a Work Activity	1,034	13	126	33	179	206	119	263	95
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	93%	92%	86%	90%	93%	91%	86%
Participants Employed	526	6	73	17	69	119	59	137	46
% of Total Enrolled	46%	46%	54%	47%	33%	52%	46%	48%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.50	\$7.49	\$7.81	\$7.53	\$7.40	\$7.56	\$7.67	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$995	\$1,000	\$987	\$1,134	\$994	\$982	\$952	\$977	\$1,100
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	60%	83%	50%	64%	56%	67%	59%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,819	224	1,215	585	1,513	1,498	1,369	1,784	631
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,355	173	1001	475	1249	1230	1093	1,585	549
Participants in a Work Activity	7,016	162	908	439	1189	1198	1072	1,531	517
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	91%	92%	95%	97%	98%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	4,873	109	667	312	756	824	736	1,120	349
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	61%	67%	67%	71%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.11	\$5.98	\$5.92	\$6.20	\$5.86	\$6.40	\$6.15	\$6.15	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$833	\$822	\$803	\$830	\$805	\$874	\$818	\$847	\$853
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	48%	47%	56%	52%	49%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,803	136	45	8	44	256	41	394	198	344	74	1,263
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1144	112	12	1	13	87	20	181	53	152	38	475
Participants in a Work Activity	777	45	3	1	12	42	18	139	47	121	28	321
% of Enrolled	68%	40%	25%	100%	92%	48%	90%	77%	89%	80%	74%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	1	0
Participants Employed	529	39	2	0	9	28	10	119	29	71	20	202
% of Enrolled	46%	35%	17%	0%	69%	32%	50%	66%	55%	47%	53%	43%
Full Time	428	21	1	N/A	8	22	9	102	27	60	13	165
Part Time	101	18	1	N/A	1	6	1	17	2	11	7	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$7.91	\$7.88	N/A	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$7.67	\$7.82	\$7.24	\$8.14	\$7.35	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$950	\$791	N/A	\$1,115	\$1,063	\$1,146	\$1,037	\$973	\$1,091	\$923	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,022	75	23	8	22	168	38	283	166	205	57	977
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,446	150	26	11	38	186	58	367	194	277	83	1056
Participants in a Work Activity	2,111	125	19	11	34	124	52	336	172	245	73	920
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	73%	100%	89%	67%	90%	92%	89%	88%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	1,209	68	6	7	21	63	30	240	81	167	50	476
% of Total Enrolled	49%	45%	23%	64%	55%	34%	52%	65%	42%	60%	60%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.97	\$7.58	\$7.97	\$7.62	\$7.81	\$8.11	\$7.88	\$7.56	\$8.11	\$7.80	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$914	\$818	\$1,180	\$1,086	\$1,044	\$1,139	\$1,064	\$986	\$1,111	\$1,027	\$1,116
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	67%	50%	67%	81%	63%	63%	77%	52%	56%	74%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	17,177	1,051	289	65	427	1,459	436	2,473	1,519	1,832	680	6,946
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,832	782	175	25	298	1,067	301	1,981	1,189	1,433	520	5,061
Participants in a Work Activity	12,267	750	158	25	291	945	293	1,957	1,147	1,355	505	4,841
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	98%	89%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,942	559	84	16	210	681	178	1,588	772	1,073	377	3,404
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	48%	64%	70%	64%	59%	80%	65%	75%	73%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.72	\$7.19	\$6.89	\$6.76	\$6.71	\$6.90	\$6.76	\$6.63	\$7.37	\$6.85	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$934	\$816	\$915	\$1,042	\$913	\$930	\$940	\$918	\$924	\$1,023	\$893	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	42%	64%	46%	50%	47%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,052	639	11	0	81	321
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	477	268	6	0	37	166
Participants in a Work Activity	374	224	5	N/A	20	125
% of Enrolled	78%	84%	83%	N/A	54%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	261	159	1	N/A	18	83
% of Enrolled	55%	59%	17%	N/A	49%	50%
Full Time	205	131	0	N/A	10	64
Part Time	56	28	1	N/A	8	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.79	\$8.00	N/A	\$7.33	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$1,008	\$797	N/A	\$839	\$1,091

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	859	497	9	0	52	301
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	914	542	8	0	59	305
Participants in a Work Activity	867	515	7	N/A	47	298
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	88%	N/A	80%	98%
Participants Employed	503	300	3	N/A	28	172
% of Total Enrolled	55%	55%	38%	N/A	47%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.73	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.25	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,003	\$547	N/A	\$840	\$1,115
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	84%	0%	N/A	65%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,722	3,638	67	16	599	2,402
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,048	2,779	39	7	437	1,786
Participants in a Work Activity	4,906	2,718	38	7	412	1,731
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,555	1,885	25	5	322	1,318
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	64%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$6.94	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.55	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$928	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$846	\$939
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	55%	58%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	565	12	176	85	33	127	132	300	199	101
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	255	4	65	42	8	67	69	100	45	55
Participants in a Work Activity	164	4	40	22	8	40	50	52	24	28
% of Enrolled	64%	100%	62%	52%	100%	60%	72%	52%	53%	51%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	0	5	0	8	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	96	1	21	14	6	25	29	33	16	17
% of Enrolled	38%	25%	32%	33%	4	37%	42%	33%	36%	31%
Full Time	74	1	16	12	4	19	22	26	12	14
Part Time	22	0	5	2	2	6	7	7	4	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$7.25	\$8.39	\$7.81	\$7.73	\$8.62	\$8.33	\$7.89	\$7.81	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$942	\$1,115	\$1,086	\$865	\$1,140	\$1,012	\$1,070	\$1,025	\$1,112

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	461	11	127	92	28	98	105	206	135	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	578	13	132	119	29	145	140	231	141	90
Participants in a Work Activity	486	13	119	100	25	111	118	192	113	79
% of Total Enrolled	84%	100%	90%	84%	86%	77%	84%	83%	80%	88%
Participants Employed	271	8	57	53	17	75	61	83	51	32
% of Total Enrolled	47%	62%	43%	45%	59%	52%	44%	36%	36%	36%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.92	\$8.53	\$8.29	\$7.89	\$8.42	\$7.88	\$8.01	\$7.81	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,055	\$1,065	\$1,091	\$932	\$1,181	\$969	\$1,038	\$1,012	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	38%	72%	56%	73%	53%	60%	76%	69%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,270	168	837	677	530	1047	1011	2,142	1339	803
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,093	98	591	540	306	778	780	1,553	943	610
Participants in a Work Activity	2,950	93	560	522	299	730	746	1489	913	576
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	97%	98%	94%	96%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,083	60	357	384	204	544	534	885	506	379
% of Total Enrolled	67%	61%	60%	71%	67%	70%	68%	57%	54%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.20	\$7.35	\$7.17	\$6.52	\$7.18	\$7.05	\$6.44	\$6.51	\$6.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$958	\$969	\$975	\$902	\$982	\$955	\$812	\$820	\$803
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	47%	52%	56%	53%	56%	51%	62%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,187	313	1,531	281	1,315	504	192	51
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,748	103	592	161	585	217	71	19
Participants in a Work Activity	1,389	93	505	108	429	189	50	15
% of Enrolled	79%	90%	85%	67%	73%	87%	70%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	13	0	5	1	0	0
Participants Employed	748	43	260	64	239	100	30	12
% of Enrolled	43%	42%	44%	40%	41%	46%	42%	63%
Full Time	573	29	200	46	175	87	26	10
Part Time	175	14	60	18	64	13	4	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.26	\$9.78	\$9.38	\$9.54	\$9.01	\$9.38	\$8.40	\$9.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,219	\$1,345	\$1,212	\$1,206	\$1,183	\$1,303	\$1,162	\$1,150

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,670	172	877	205	956	302	114	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,345	224	1,111	288	1,155	378	148	41
Participants in a Work Activity	3,009	212	1,021	251	1,022	350	112	41
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	92%	87%	88%	93%	76%	100%
Participants Employed	1,617	105	499	157	581	181	67	27
% of Total Enrolled	48%	47%	45%	55%	50%	48%	45%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.74	\$9.94	\$10.12	\$10.29	\$9.43	\$9.61	\$8.91	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,291	\$1,323	\$1,301	\$1,298	\$1,282	\$1,332	\$1,191	\$1,117
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	83%	76%	71%	75%	74%	85%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	29,016	2,572	10,147	2,001	9,257	3,423	1,241	375
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,147	1,975	7,541	1,582	7,123	2,705	938	283
Participants in a Work Activity	21,427	1,954	7,304	1,521	6,876	2,595	896	281
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,898	1,455	5,158	1,253	5,273	1,921	626	212
% of Total Enrolled	72%	74%	68%	79%	74%	71%	67%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$7.97	\$8.38	\$8.95	\$8.62	\$8.13	\$7.99	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,127	\$1,039	\$1,112	\$1,163	\$1,188	\$1,042	\$1,081	\$1,060
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	59%	67%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	459	214	120	28	94	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	161	65	46	11	37	2
Participants in a Work Activity	104	46	28	7	21	2
% of Enrolled	65%	71%	61%	64%	57%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	3	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	74	33	17	6	18	0
% of Enrolled	46%	51%	37%	55%	49%	0%
Full Time	59	23	15	6	15	N/A
Part Time	15	10	2	0	3	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.75	\$8.66	\$8.58	\$7.66	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,100	\$1,204	\$1,335	\$1,064	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	294	113	100	21	57	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	347	131	108	26	76	6
Participants in a Work Activity	304	112	103	25	59	5
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	95%	96%	78%	83%
Participants Employed	179	67	57	13	38	4
% of Total Enrolled	52%	51%	53%	50%	50%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.77	\$8.59	\$8.16	\$7.67	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,107	\$1,176	\$1,252	\$973	\$1,184
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	81%	66%	88%	75%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,317	1,265	972	311	682	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,491	913	744	230	546	58
Participants in a Work Activity	2,402	879	737	222	509	55
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,690	596	512	152	392	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	69%	66%	72%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$7.24	\$7.59	\$7.03	\$6.95	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$948	\$994	\$987	\$942	\$958
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	56%	61%	46%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	749	149	51	62	103	40	344
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	298	40	11	26	44	6	171
Participants in a Work Activity	248	39	7	14	33	3	152
% of Enrolled	83%	98%	64%	54%	75%	50%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	138	27	6	10	20	1	74
% of Enrolled	46%	68%	55%	38%	45%	17%	43%
Full Time	100	22	6	7	15	0	50
Part Time	38	5	0	3	5	1	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$9.08	\$7.80	\$8.27	\$8.16	\$6.75	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,279	\$1,149	\$1,068	\$996	\$848	\$981

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	549	125	13	42	91	22	256
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	643	113	12	53	107	35	323
Participants in a Work Activity	603	104	8	44	98	32	317
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	67%	83%	92%	91%	98%
Participants Employed	323	59	6	28	48	21	161
% of Total Enrolled	50%	52%	50%	53%	45%	60%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.75	\$7.80	\$7.89	\$8.01	\$7.80	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$981	\$1,156	\$1,141	\$889	\$945	\$931	\$948
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	75%	100%	71%	51%	63%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,026	1167	179	392	760	236	2,292
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,797	816	115	278	537	161	1,890
Participants in a Work Activity	3,699	798	110	259	512	160	1,860
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	93%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	2,891	609	86	210	332	110	1,544
% of Total Enrolled	76%	75%	75%	76%	62%	68%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.65	\$7.36	\$7.16	\$7.22	\$7.50	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$997	\$1,085	\$910	\$952	\$993	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	55%	49%	54%	51%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,337	91	97	225	279	645
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	686	42	57	108	197	282
Participants in a Work Activity	488	30	43	76	144	195
% of Enrolled	71%	71%	75%	70%	73%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	4	0	3	0	0
Participants Employed	297	24	37	45	74	117
% of Enrolled	43%	57%	65%	42%	38%	41%
Full Time	232	21	37	34	51	89
Part Time	65	3	0	11	23	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.55	\$7.42	\$7.90	\$7.95	\$7.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,019	\$1,142	\$1,073	\$1,052	\$989

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	934	82	80	183	176	413
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,255	84	91	220	327	533
Participants in a Work Activity	1,116	70	87	187	290	482
% of Total Enrolled	89%	83%	96%	85%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	650	45	55	115	165	270
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	60%	52%	50%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$7.74	\$7.50	\$7.97	\$8.00	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$1,029	\$1,151	\$1,031	\$1,047	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	63%	82%	66%	65%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,772	806	719	1,761	2,030	3,456
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,168	641	621	1,386	1,706	2,814
Participants in a Work Activity	6,779	608	608	1,296	1,602	2,665
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,005	437	446	920	1,172	2,030
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	72%	66%	69%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.35	\$6.56	\$6.11	\$6.40	\$6.54	\$6.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$831	\$838	\$841	\$829	\$869	\$809
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	44%	55%	59%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,715	240	578	111	253	533
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	574	60	244	87	42	141
Participants in a Work Activity	425	38	179	71	33	104
% of Enrolled	74%	63%	73%	82%	79%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	239	25	101	38	25	50
% of Enrolled	42%	42%	41%	44%	60%	35%
Full Time	188	18	80	29	24	37
Part Time	51	7	21	9	1	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.73	\$7.65	\$7.16	\$7.36	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$1,071	\$1,024	\$945	\$1,001	\$982

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,170	156	381	110	162	361
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,361	179	486	141	139	416
Participants in a Work Activity	1,234	152	437	126	126	393
% of Total Enrolled	91%	85%	90%	89%	91%	94%
Participants Employed	560	61	234	74	57	134
% of Total Enrolled	41%	34%	48%	52%	41%	32%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	\$7.75	\$7.71	\$7.29	\$7.59	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$965	\$1,061	\$968	\$994	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	74%	63%	64%	65%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,094	1,717	2,948	1,102	1,782	3,545
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,831	1,419	2,177	953	1,361	2,921
Participants in a Work Activity	8,531	1,366	2,086	893	1,339	2,847
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,608	832	1,412	635	772	1,957
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	65%	67%	57%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.22	\$6.18	\$6.57	\$6.13	\$6.22	\$6.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$835	\$821	\$922	\$803	\$850	\$789
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,113	81	136	68	79	63	146	57	199	132	152
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	509	34	60	35	25	32	61	39	88	63	72
Participants in a Work Activity	363	27	45	26	22	26	47	13	73	33	51
% of Enrolled	71%	79%	75%	74%	88%	81%	77%	33%	83%	52%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	223	14	29	19	15	16	16	11	37	31	35
% of Enrolled	44%	41%	48%	54%	60%	50%	26%	28%	42%	49%	49%
Full Time	156	9	14	18	15	14	10	5	20	29	22
Part Time	67	5	15	1	0	2	6	6	17	2	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$7.92	\$7.64	\$7.60	\$7.78	\$8.06	\$7.50	\$7.06	\$7.81	\$7.69	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$971	\$877	\$1,065	\$1,144	\$1,060	\$924	\$726	\$904	\$1,009	\$926

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	715	41	98	55	65	31	89	31	119	81	105
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,005	58	113	81	83	53	139	51	161	122	144
Participants in a Work Activity	880	51	103	77	75	52	120	41	148	81	132
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	91%	95%	90%	98%	86%	80%	92%	66%	92%
Participants Employed	437	30	48	39	32	30	52	14	67	51	74
% of Total Enrolled	43%	52%	42%	48%	39%	57%	37%	27%	42%	42%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$8.25	\$7.21	\$8.15	\$7.86	\$8.07	\$7.40	\$7.00	\$7.76	\$7.50	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$943	\$1,082	\$869	\$1,074	\$1,014	\$1,030	\$808	\$701	\$966	\$966	\$902
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	82%	72%	85%	68%	86%	44%	83%	70%	82%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,645	392	875	666	566	452	799	268	962	797	868
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,004	280	685	494	393	318	613	201	741	592	687
Participants in a Work Activity	4,770	262	679	459	364	304	583	199	700	549	671
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,086	172	390	283	204	185	354	92	499	403	504
% of Total Enrolled	62%	61%	57%	57%	52%	58%	58%	46%	67%	68%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.50	\$7.16	\$6.05	\$6.90	\$6.91	\$7.02	\$6.45	\$5.69	\$6.24	\$6.48	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$853	\$945	\$810	\$925	\$900	\$938	\$798	\$727	\$811	\$869	\$853
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	53%	48%	48%	56%	60%	58%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,870	1046	44	186	1,627	45	2,922
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,533	417	15	121	740	18	1,222
Participants in a Work Activity	1,798	321	7	52	490	7	921
% of Enrolled	71%	77%	47%	43%	66%	39%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	4	1	2	11	0	8
Participants Employed	953	189	5	43	303	5	408
% of Enrolled	38%	45%	33%	36%	41%	28%	33%
Full Time	733	149	3	30	242	3	306
Part Time	220	40	2	13	61	2	102
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.08	\$9.97	\$8.28	\$8.28	\$6.81	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$1,066	\$1,259	\$1,008	\$1,128	\$753	\$1,043

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,319	663	29	121	923	19	1,564
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,451	803	29	178	1,248	34	2,159
Participants in a Work Activity	3,942	713	21	136	1,113	30	1,929
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	72%	76%	89%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	1,910	383	12	71	575	17	852
% of Total Enrolled	43%	48%	41%	40%	46%	50%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.24	\$8.47	\$9.08	\$8.49	\$8.94	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,091	\$1,062	\$1,184	\$1,129	\$1,011	\$1,089
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	80%	71%	78%	80%	45%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	31,015	6,160	215	1008	7,361	273	15,998
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,899	4,614	151	717	5,826	190	12,401
Participants in a Work Activity	23,052	4,482	141	655	5,537	178	12,059
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	91%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	16,531	3,051	100	450	3,963	113	8,854
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	66%	63%	68%	59%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.39	\$6.68	\$8.05	\$7.45	\$7.20	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$999	\$868	\$1,058	\$1,009	\$905	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	55%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,136	198	46	409	304	179
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	639	108	17	227	165	122
Participants in a Work Activity	424	63	12	151	114	84
% of Enrolled	66%	58%	71%	67%	69%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	10	1	2	0	2
Participants Employed	306	50	10	109	76	61
% of Enrolled	48%	46%	59%	48%	46%	50%
Full Time	235	36	7	86	67	39
Part Time	71	14	3	23	9	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$7.79	\$8.95	\$8.18	\$8.62	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$935	\$1,042	\$1,047	\$1,130	\$1,046

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	830	146	44	278	209	153
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,101	181	36	368	284	232
Participants in a Work Activity	959	152	31	307	266	203
% of Total Enrolled	87%	84%	86%	83%	94%	88%
Participants Employed	582	93	15	204	137	133
% of Total Enrolled	53%	51%	42%	55%	48%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$7.97	\$8.40	\$8.35	\$8.86	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,036	\$1,062	\$1,056	\$1,146	\$1,036
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	90%	64%	78%	77%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,645	1,053	419	1,916	1,942	1,315
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,698	718	271	1,471	1,149	1,089
Participants in a Work Activity	4,478	690	257	1,346	1,129	1,056
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	92%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	3,207	448	184	1,026	708	841
% of Total Enrolled	68%	62%	68%	70%	62%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.32	\$7.22	\$7.75	\$8.24	\$7.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$944	\$912	\$1,018	\$1,126	\$977
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	49%	57%	58%	55%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	435	96	22	51	45	27	40	32	41	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	171	47	1	22	12	15	26	6	12	30
Participants in a Work Activity	127	37	1	19	10	12	17	5	5	21
% of Enrolled	74%	79%	100%	86%	83%	80%	65%	83%	42%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1
Participants Employed	90	23	1	12	5	8	15	3	4	19
% of Enrolled	53%	49%	100%	55%	42%	53%	58%	50%	33%	63%
Full Time	73	16	1	9	4	8	12	2	3	18
Part Time	17	7	0	3	1	0	3	1	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.55	\$8.67	\$7.97	\$7.65	\$8.30	\$6.79	\$8.17	\$7.55	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$951	\$1,502	\$1,072	\$1,044	\$1,264	\$892	\$847	\$1,006	\$1,202

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	289	65	19	37	26	13	39	13	28	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	380	91	20	46	29	16	50	17	30	81
Participants in a Work Activity	334	83	20	43	26	16	35	17	29	65
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	100%	93%	90%	100%	70%	100%	97%	80%
Participants Employed	193	47	7	25	17	8	21	9	13	46
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	35%	54%	59%	50%	42%	53%	43%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.65	\$7.97	\$8.25	\$8.01	\$8.30	\$7.39	\$8.80	\$7.52	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$955	\$1,129	\$992	\$981	\$1,225	\$942	\$957	\$892	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	69%	75%	61%	69%	100%	94%	55%	36%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,000	456	228	248	352	167	342	266	283	658
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,171	348	150	199	269	107	248	215	158	477
Participants in a Work Activity	2,043	334	142	192	252	100	220	209	153	441
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	93%	89%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	1,396	241	80	121	181	65	164	130	101	313
% of Total Enrolled	64%	69%	53%	61%	67%	61%	66%	60%	64%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.73	\$6.95	\$7.21	\$6.52	\$6.68	\$6.72	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$873	\$837	\$881	\$974	\$827	\$862	\$865	\$838	\$920	\$902
Five Months Employment ⁹	47%	41%	34%	46%	49%	54%	58%	41%	32%	51%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,460	21	121	137	44	120	1,247	1,732	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,484	8	46	63	16	63	527	740	21
Participants in a Work Activity	1,129	4	32	44	5	52	418	561	13
% of Enrolled	76%	50%	70%	70%	31%	83%	79%	76%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	0
Participants Employed	720	2	18	32	3	31	252	370	12
% of Enrolled	49%	25%	39%	51%	19%	49%	48%	50%	57%
Full Time	567	2	14	24	3	19	188	307	10
Part Time	153	0	4	8	0	12	64	63	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.25	\$8.02	\$8.03	\$8.13	\$7.70	\$7.93	\$7.98	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,050	\$942	\$993	\$1,065	\$1,057	\$940	\$1,007	\$1,088	\$1,111

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,141	7	88	92	33	76	709	1,098	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,823	12	102	125	30	107	931	1,475	41
Participants in a Work Activity	2,505	8	81	109	28	97	851	1,297	34
% of Total Enrolled	89%	67%	79%	87%	93%	91%	91%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	1,477	5	49	70	10	62	509	748	24
% of Total Enrolled	52%	42%	48%	56%	33%	58%	55%	51%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$6.13	\$8.04	\$7.97	\$8.82	\$7.66	\$8.14	\$7.97	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$773	\$950	\$1,007	\$996	\$961	\$1,057	\$1,085	\$1,022
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	0%	58%	68%	100%	83%	75%	72%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	21,959	129	919	873	260	845	7,720	10,906	307
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,052	90	633	670	186	605	5,520	8,130	218
Participants in a Work Activity	15,350	87	582	623	179	583	5,388	7,702	206
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	93%	96%	96%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,527	47	424	477	117	389	3,951	5,962	160
% of Total Enrolled	72%	52%	67%	71%	63%	64%	72%	73%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.81	\$6.70	\$6.66	\$7.13	\$7.38	\$6.86	\$6.82	\$6.78	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$900	\$871	\$835	\$875	\$1,002	\$867	\$897	\$908	\$890
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	56%	56%	52%	51%	47%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,386	126	93	70	75	348	674
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	726	20	31	31	49	238	357
Participants in a Work Activity	390	17	19	14	28	99	213
% of Enrolled	54%	85%	61%	45%	57%	42%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	2	0	2	0	6
Participants Employed	262	11	15	8	13	73	142
% of Enrolled	36%	55%	48%	26%	27%	31%	40%
Full Time	201	10	13	3	8	48	119
Part Time	61	1	2	5	5	25	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$8.15	\$7.69	\$8.62	\$7.88	\$7.52	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$1,209	\$1,010	\$831	\$915	\$900	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	784	97	63	35	58	196	335
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,179	72	80	53	87	307	580
Participants in a Work Activity	978	69	65	52	81	226	485
% of Total Enrolled	83%	96%	81%	98%	93%	74%	84%
Participants Employed	529	36	37	28	36	118	274
% of Total Enrolled	45%	50%	46%	53%	41%	38%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$8.07	\$7.94	\$8.26	\$7.56	\$7.54	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,178	\$1,040	\$970	\$960	\$955	\$1,095
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	58%	72%	62%	50%	70%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,933	1009	687	297	641	1,982	3,317
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,130	703	504	233	506	1,573	2,611
Participants in a Work Activity	5,847	700	441	221	489	1,503	2,493
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,250	478	316	167	337	1,087	1,865
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	63%	72%	67%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.81	\$6.79	\$7.27	\$6.93	\$6.79	\$6.67	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$927	\$941	\$980	\$856	\$933	\$905	\$934
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	52%	50%	50%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through February 28, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/10 and Work Activity as of 2/26/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,604	150	127	1,212	107	2,176	1,262	444	1,126
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,676	44	47	566	19	985	466	147	402
Participants in a Work Activity	2,062	27	33	441	13	777	365	117	289
% of Enrolled	77%	61%	70%	78%	68%	79%	78%	80%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	0	3	2	0	11	8	0	1
Participants Employed	1,382	20	12	315	9	512	231	85	198
% of Enrolled	52%	45%	26%	56%	47%	52%	50%	58%	49%
Full Time	1,141	19	9	263	6	431	178	75	160
Part Time	241	1	3	52	3	81	53	10	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.48	\$8.44	\$7.76	\$7.76	\$7.66	\$7.82	\$7.97	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$989	\$1,085	\$1,055	\$1,035	\$993	\$1,036	\$1,101	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,925	98	85	611	81	1,284	687	243	836
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,151	108	98	960	73	1,742	936	328	906
Participants in a Work Activity	4,512	99	95	866	70	1,519	807	296	760
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	97%	90%	96%	87%	86%	90%	84%
Participants Employed	2,828	65	35	605	30	986	496	180	431
% of Total Enrolled	55%	60%	36%	63%	41%	57%	53%	55%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$7.78	\$8.30	\$7.81	\$8.20	\$7.61	\$7.97	\$8.01	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,058	\$933	\$1,056	\$1,048	\$1,007	\$1,067	\$1,118	\$1,081
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	77%	64%	79%	50%	77%	68%	67%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	44,589	992	852	7,104	700	14,406	7,274	2,852	10,409
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	32,064	697	663	5,090	549	10,219	5,654	2,199	6,993
Participants in a Work Activity	30,369	670	633	4,831	537	9,707	5,320	2,169	6,502
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	23,040	504	393	3,770	376	7,352	4,215	1,661	4,769
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	59%	74%	68%	72%	75%	76%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.68	\$6.71	\$6.45	\$6.82	\$6.32	\$6.56	\$6.59	\$6.52	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$898	\$813	\$905	\$792	\$845	\$889	\$855	\$938
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	46%	57%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	36.2%	3.00	109	\$7.81	4.04	68	68.9%	6.89	63	4.64	107
003	Albemarle	52.2%	6.68	42	\$8.75	6.30	13	75.0%	7.50	37	6.83	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	45.3%	5.11	82	\$7.97	4.42	53	66.7%	6.67	72	5.40	81
007	Amelia	51.7%	6.57	44	\$8.25	5.10	29	81.8%	8.18	20	6.62	26
009	Amherst	53.6%	7.00	33	\$7.74	3.87	78	62.5%	6.25	93	5.71	70
011	Appomattox	60.4%	8.57	7	\$7.50	3.29	100	81.6%	8.16	22	6.67	21
013	Arlington	46.9%	5.46	75	\$9.94	9.16	3	82.9%	8.29	16	7.63	2
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	55.4%	7.40	26	\$7.73	3.85	79	84.1%	8.41	14	6.55	28
017	Bath	37.5%	3.31	107	\$7.27	2.74	113	0.0%	0.00	118	2.02	118
019	Bedford County/City	52.3%	6.70	41	\$7.97	4.42	54	65.6%	6.56	78	5.89	59
021	Bland	46.2%	5.29	79	\$7.50	3.29	101	60.0%	6.00	97	4.86	98
023	Botetourt	23.1%	0.00	119	\$7.58	3.49	92	50.0%	5.00	110	2.83	117
025	Brunswick	42.5%	4.45	91	\$7.21	2.60	115	72.0%	7.20	50	4.75	103
027	Buchanan	48.3%	5.79	62	\$8.09	4.71	44	69.2%	6.92	60	5.81	66
029	Buckingham	48.1%	5.75	64	\$8.15	4.86	37	84.9%	8.49	13	6.36	33
031	Campbell	50.5%	6.28	53	\$8.00	4.50	52	65.0%	6.50	79	5.76	69
033	Caroline	51.4%	6.49	49	\$7.97	4.42	55	89.9%	8.99	7	6.63	25
035	Carroll	53.7%	7.02	32	\$7.49	3.27	103	82.7%	8.27	17	6.19	46
036	Charles City	41.7%	4.26	95	\$6.13	0.00	119	0.0%	0.00	119	1.42	119
037	Charlotte	38.6%	3.55	105	\$7.86	4.16	67	67.9%	6.79	66	4.83	100
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.7%	5.65	68	\$8.24	5.07	31	79.8%	7.98	26	6.23	43
043	Clarke	61.5%	8.82	6	\$7.92	4.30	62	37.5%	3.75	116	5.63	74
045	Craig	63.6%	9.30	4	\$7.97	4.42	56	66.7%	6.67	73	6.80	16
047	Culpeper	51.1%	6.44	50	\$8.77	6.35	12	81.0%	8.10	24	6.96	12
049	Cumberland	56.6%	7.69	20	\$8.07	4.66	45	86.4%	8.64	10	7.00	11
051	Dickensen	57.6%	7.92	16	\$7.47	3.22	104	63.6%	6.36	86	5.84	63
053	Dinwiddie	50.0%	6.18	55	\$8.07	4.66	46	57.6%	5.76	102	5.53	79
057	Essex	51.6%	6.55	47	\$7.65	3.65	86	69.0%	6.90	62	5.70	71
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	44.9%	5.01	85	\$10.12	9.59	2	75.7%	7.57	36	7.39	4
061	Fauquier	52.8%	6.81	38	\$8.59	5.91	14	66.0%	6.60	77	6.44	32
063	Floyd	55.3%	7.38	27	\$7.62	3.58	87	81.3%	8.13	23	6.36	34
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	6.18	56	\$7.80	4.01	72	100.0%	10.00	1	6.73	18
067	Franklin County	33.9%	2.48	114	\$7.81	4.04	69	63.0%	6.30	92	4.27	110
069	Frederick	43.2%	4.61	90	\$8.53	5.77	15	72.2%	7.22	49	5.87	60
071	Giles	51.7%	6.57	45	\$8.11	4.76	39	62.5%	6.25	94	5.86	61
073	Gloucester	48.0%	5.73	66	\$8.04	4.59	48	58.3%	5.83	100	5.38	82
075	Goochland	41.4%	4.20	99	\$8.47	5.63	18	71.4%	7.14	53	5.66	73
077	Grayson	47.2%	5.54	73	\$7.81	4.04	70	50.0%	5.00	111	4.86	99

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	52.8%	6.83	36	\$7.89	4.23	63	70.8%	7.08	56	6.05	51
081	Greensville/Emporia	37.4%	3.29	108	\$7.40	3.05	107	44.4%	4.44	115	3.60	116
083	Halifax	34.1%	2.52	113	\$7.75	3.89	77	74.4%	7.44	44	4.62	108
085	Hanover	39.9%	3.86	103	\$9.08	7.09	6	78.4%	7.84	28	6.26	41
087	Henrico	46.1%	5.28	81	\$8.49	5.67	16	80.4%	8.04	25	6.33	35
089	Henry/Martinsville	48.1%	5.75	65	\$7.71	3.80	80	63.2%	6.32	89	5.29	85
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	60.2%	8.51	10	\$7.78	3.97	75	76.9%	7.69	34	6.72	19
095	James City	56.0%	7.55	23	\$7.97	4.42	57	68.3%	6.83	65	6.27	39
097	King and Queen	35.0%	2.74	112	\$7.97	4.42	58	75.0%	7.50	38	4.89	96
099	King George	41.7%	4.26	96	\$8.40	5.46	21	63.6%	6.36	87	5.36	83
101	King William	54.3%	7.17	31	\$8.25	5.10	30	61.1%	6.11	96	6.13	49
103	Lancaster	58.6%	8.15	12	\$8.01	4.52	49	69.2%	6.92	61	6.53	30
105	Lee	56.9%	7.76	18	\$7.09	2.31	116	85.9%	8.59	11	6.22	45
107	Loudoun	54.5%	7.21	30	\$10.29	10.00	1	71.2%	7.12	55	8.11	1
109	Louisa	44.9%	5.00	86	\$8.01	4.52	50	51.3%	5.13	109	4.88	97
111	Lunenburg	27.5%	1.00	118	\$7.00	2.09	118	83.3%	8.33	15	3.81	115
113	Madison	50.0%	6.18	57	\$8.16	4.88	36	87.5%	8.75	9	6.60	27
115	Mathews	50.0%	6.18	58	\$8.30	5.22	25	100.0%	10.00	2	7.13	9
117	Mecklenburg	41.6%	4.25	97	\$7.76	3.92	76	69.8%	6.98	58	5.05	93
119	Middlesex	42.0%	4.34	92	\$7.39	3.03	109	93.8%	9.38	6	5.58	78
121	Montgomery	65.4%	9.71	3	\$7.88	4.21	65	77.1%	7.71	33	7.21	6
125	Nelson	60.0%	8.47	11	\$7.80	4.01	73	63.2%	6.32	90	6.27	40
127	New Kent	33.3%	2.35	115	\$8.82	6.47	10	100.0%	10.00	3	6.27	38
131	Northampton	35.6%	2.86	111	\$8.34	5.31	23	88.5%	8.85	8	5.67	72
133	Northumberland	52.9%	6.85	35	\$8.80	6.42	11	54.6%	5.46	106	6.24	42
135	Nottoway	41.8%	4.30	93	\$7.50	3.29	102	81.8%	8.18	21	5.26	87
137	Orange	50.0%	6.18	59	\$7.67	3.70	83	75.0%	7.50	39	5.79	68
139	Page	44.5%	4.92	87	\$8.29	5.19	27	55.8%	5.58	105	5.23	88
141	Patrick	52.5%	6.75	40	\$7.29	2.79	111	64.2%	6.42	83	5.32	84
143	Pittsylvania	41.0%	4.11	102	\$7.59	3.51	90	64.9%	6.49	81	4.70	105
145	Powhatan	50.0%	6.18	60	\$8.94	6.75	7	45.5%	4.55	114	5.83	65
147	Prince Edward	51.4%	6.50	48	\$7.46	3.20	105	78.4%	7.84	29	5.85	62
149	Prince George	46.3%	5.32	78	\$7.94	4.35	61	72.0%	7.20	51	5.62	75
153	Prince William	50.3%	6.25	54	\$9.43	7.93	5	74.6%	7.46	43	7.21	5
155	Pulaski	41.8%	4.28	94	\$7.56	3.44	93	51.5%	5.15	108	4.29	109
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	10.00	1	\$8.19	4.95	34	66.7%	6.67	74	7.21	7
159	Richmond County	43.3%	4.65	89	\$7.52	3.34	98	36.4%	3.64	117	3.87	113
161	Roanoke County	60.3%	8.54	8	\$8.11	4.76	40	56.0%	5.60	104	6.30	37
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	47.5%	5.59	71	\$7.25	2.69	114	94.1%	9.41	5	5.90	58
165	Rockingham/H'burg	56.4%	7.64	22	\$8.10	4.74	42	63.1%	6.31	91	6.23	44
167	Russell	46.7%	5.41	76	\$7.33	2.88	110	63.8%	6.38	85	4.89	95
169	Scott	47.0%	5.48	74	\$7.28	2.76	112	98.1%	9.81	4	6.02	53
171	Shenandoah	58.6%	8.15	13	\$7.89	4.23	64	72.7%	7.27	48	6.55	29

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	33.3%	2.35	116	\$7.53	3.37	97	64.4%	6.44	82	4.05	112
175	<i>Southampton</i>	35.7%	2.90	110	\$8.30	5.22	26	63.6%	6.36	88	4.83	101
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	55.4%	7.42	24	\$8.35	5.34	22	78.2%	7.82	30	6.86	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	48.2%	5.77	63	\$8.86	6.56	9	76.5%	7.65	35	6.66	22
181	<i>Surry</i>	52.8%	6.83	37	\$8.26	5.12	28	61.5%	6.15	95	6.03	52
183	<i>Sussex</i>	41.4%	4.20	100	\$7.56	3.44	94	50.0%	5.00	112	4.21	111
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	52.6%	6.77	39	\$7.44	3.15	106	68.9%	6.89	64	5.60	77
187	<i>Warren</i>	51.7%	6.57	46	\$8.42	5.50	19	53.1%	5.31	107	5.80	67
191	<i>Washington</i>	52.0%	6.63	43	\$7.40	3.05	108	56.2%	5.62	103	5.10	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	56.8%	7.73	19	\$8.19	4.95	35	74.2%	7.42	47	6.70	20
195	<i>Wise</i>	55.4%	7.41	25	\$7.51	3.32	99	67.6%	6.76	67	5.83	64
197	<i>Wythe</i>	46.1%	5.28	80	\$7.56	3.44	95	66.7%	6.67	75	5.13	90
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	57.9%	8.00	15	\$7.66	3.68	85	82.6%	8.26	18	6.65	24
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	47.9%	5.69	67	\$9.61	8.37	4	74.2%	7.42	46	7.16	8
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.6%	5.62	70	\$7.67	3.70	84	59.1%	5.91	99	5.08	92
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	49.8%	6.14	61	\$8.48	5.65	17	67.3%	6.73	69	6.17	47
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.0%	9.16	5	\$7.81	4.04	71	79.0%	7.90	27	7.03	10
590	<i>Danville</i>	32.2%	2.10	117	\$7.68	3.73	82	57.8%	5.78	101	3.87	114
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	41.1%	4.13	101	\$8.20	4.98	33	50.0%	5.00	113	4.70	104
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	57.3%	7.86	17	\$8.31	5.24	24	74.7%	7.47	42	6.86	14
640	<i>Galax</i>	41.4%	4.21	98	\$7.60	3.53	89	66.7%	6.67	76	4.80	102
650	<i>Hampton</i>	54.7%	7.25	29	\$8.14	4.83	38	74.9%	7.49	41	6.52	31
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	38.4%	3.52	106	\$7.54	3.39	96	70.2%	7.02	57	4.65	106
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	50.7%	6.33	52	\$7.70	3.77	81	67.0%	6.70	70	5.60	76
683	<i>Manassas</i>	45.3%	5.09	83	\$8.91	6.68	8	85.3%	8.53	12	6.77	17
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	65.9%	9.81	2	\$8.42	5.50	20	75.0%	7.50	40	7.61	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.7%	6.34	51	\$7.97	4.42	59	72.0%	7.20	52	5.99	55
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	56.6%	7.69	21	\$7.61	3.56	88	77.2%	7.72	32	6.32	36
720	<i>Norton</i>	46.3%	5.33	77	\$7.06	2.24	117	82.4%	8.24	19	5.27	86
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	47.2%	5.54	72	\$8.07	4.66	47	77.5%	7.75	31	5.99	56
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	53.0%	6.86	34	\$7.97	4.42	60	67.6%	6.76	68	6.01	54
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.2%	8.53	9	\$7.80	4.01	74	74.4%	7.44	45	6.66	23
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	39.5%	3.76	104	\$8.11	4.76	41	69.4%	6.94	59	5.15	89
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.1%	5.05	84	\$8.10	4.74	43	64.2%	6.42	84	5.40	80
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	54.9%	7.30	28	\$8.01	4.52	51	66.9%	6.69	71	6.17	48
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	47.6%	5.62	69	\$8.23	5.05	32	71.3%	7.13	54	5.93	57
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	58.5%	8.13	14	\$7.59	3.51	91	65.0%	6.50	80	6.05	50
840	<i>Winchester</i>	43.6%	4.70	88	\$7.88	4.21	66	59.6%	5.96	98	4.96	94

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.7%	2.20	114	\$820	2.56	98	58.0%	6.84	30	3.87	107
003	Albemarle	74.6%	8.03	11	\$997	6.13	17	55.2%	6.11	54	6.76	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.5%	7.16	29	\$816	2.48	100	45.8%	3.63	109	4.42	93
007	Amelia	61.4%	4.36	96	\$945	5.08	34	44.8%	3.34	112	4.26	96
009	Amherst	68.2%	6.24	50	\$838	2.93	88	51.6%	5.17	79	4.78	81
011	Appomattox	71.8%	7.25	25	\$841	2.98	87	44.5%	3.27	113	4.50	89
013	Arlington	73.7%	7.77	17	\$1,039	6.99	11	64.1%	8.46	9	7.74	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.8%	6.14	55	\$938	4.94	41	54.8%	6.01	58	5.70	38
017	Bath	64.1%	5.10	82	\$706	0.25	119	54.2%	5.84	64	3.73	109
019	Bedford County/City	66.4%	5.74	68	\$829	2.73	94	55.0%	6.06	56	4.84	77
021	Bland	63.0%	4.80	87	\$822	2.59	96	47.9%	4.17	98	3.85	108
023	Botetourt	48.0%	0.62	119	\$915	4.48	52	41.5%	2.49	116	2.53	119
025	Brunswick	56.9%	3.11	112	\$810	2.36	104	56.9%	6.55	37	4.01	101
027	Buchanan	52.4%	1.83	116	\$813	2.41	101	54.6%	5.94	60	3.40	113
029	Buckingham	57.3%	3.21	111	\$925	4.68	46	53.1%	5.56	69	4.48	90
031	Campbell	68.7%	6.38	43	\$869	3.55	72	59.4%	7.22	22	5.72	36
033	Caroline	62.4%	4.63	91	\$944	5.07	35	43.1%	2.91	115	4.20	99
035	Carroll	66.6%	5.81	65	\$803	2.21	109	46.8%	3.88	103	3.96	102
036	Charles City	52.2%	1.80	117	\$871	3.58	71	56.4%	6.43	42	3.94	104
037	Charlotte	51.9%	1.71	118	\$900	4.18	62	47.8%	4.15	99	3.34	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66.1%	5.67	71	\$999	6.18	16	60.8%	7.60	15	6.48	22
043	Clarke	61.2%	4.30	97	\$958	5.36	27	47.4%	4.04	102	4.56	86
045	Craig	64.0%	5.07	83	\$1,042	7.05	10	64.3%	8.52	8	6.88	11
047	Culpeper	65.3%	5.43	77	\$948	5.15	31	55.6%	6.23	48	5.60	42
049	Cumberland	58.2%	3.45	109	\$938	4.94	42	48.5%	4.32	97	4.24	98
051	Dickensen	59.6%	3.86	103	\$804	2.22	107	48.7%	4.38	95	3.49	111
053	Dinwiddie	68.0%	6.19	53	\$941	5.00	37	51.8%	5.21	77	5.47	46
057	Essex	69.3%	6.54	40	\$837	2.90	90	41.1%	2.38	117	3.94	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	68.4%	6.30	46	\$1,112	8.45	4	61.9%	7.88	11	7.55	6
061	Fauquier	68.8%	6.42	42	\$994	6.08	18	61.4%	7.76	13	6.75	14
063	Floyd	70.5%	6.88	37	\$913	4.44	53	45.5%	3.54	110	4.95	72
065	Fluvanna	74.8%	8.08	10	\$1,085	7.91	5	54.6%	5.94	61	7.31	7
067	Franklin County	63.8%	5.03	85	\$930	4.78	45	49.8%	4.67	87	4.82	78
069	Frederick	60.4%	4.07	102	\$969	5.56	26	52.2%	5.32	75	4.99	70
071	Giles	59.1%	3.72	106	\$940	4.98	38	47.4%	4.04	101	4.25	97
073	Gloucester	67.0%	5.90	62	\$835	2.86	92	55.6%	6.21	49	4.99	69
075	Goochland	66.2%	5.69	69	\$868	3.53	74	54.6%	5.94	62	5.05	65
077	Grayson	65.7%	5.54	73	\$830	2.75	93	56.2%	6.37	44	4.89	73

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.5%	8.29	5	\$910	4.37	55	48.9%	4.45	93	5.70	37
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.7%	3.33	110	\$798	2.11	111	55.8%	6.27	46	3.91	106
083	Halifax	58.6%	3.58	107	\$821	2.57	97	47.8%	4.14	100	3.43	112
085	Hanover	62.8%	4.73	89	\$1,058	7.37	8	65.1%	8.75	6	6.95	9
087	Henrico	68.0%	6.19	52	\$1,009	6.38	14	56.3%	6.41	43	6.33	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.9%	5.31	79	\$922	4.62	48	53.9%	5.76	67	5.23	55
091	Highland	71.4%	7.14	30	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.38	19	4.84	76
093	Isle of Wight	72.3%	7.39	22	\$898	4.13	63	55.2%	6.10	55	5.87	33
095	James City	71.2%	7.08	33	\$875	3.67	69	51.7%	5.19	78	5.31	49
097	King and Queen	53.3%	2.11	115	\$881	3.79	68	34.3%	0.56	119	2.15	120
099	King George	67.9%	6.16	54	\$912	4.41	54	49.4%	4.57	90	5.05	66
101	King William	60.8%	4.18	98	\$974	5.66	25	46.3%	3.75	105	4.53	88
103	Lancaster	67.3%	5.99	58	\$827	2.69	95	49.4%	4.57	91	4.42	94
105	Lee	65.7%	5.53	74	\$759	1.31	116	60.5%	7.52	16	4.79	80
107	Loudoun	79.2%	9.31	3	\$1,163	9.50	2	65.3%	8.80	5	9.20	1
109	Louisa	61.8%	4.47	94	\$952	5.23	30	54.3%	5.87	63	5.19	57
111	Lunenburg	45.8%	0.00	120	\$727	0.68	117	59.8%	7.32	21	2.67	118
113	Madison	66.1%	5.66	72	\$987	5.94	20	46.2%	3.73	107	5.11	61
115	Mathews	60.7%	4.17	99	\$862	3.41	77	54.1%	5.82	65	4.47	92
117	Mecklenburg	67.3%	6.00	56	\$811	2.38	103	58.2%	6.91	28	5.10	62
119	Middlesex	66.1%	5.67	70	\$865	3.46	76	57.5%	6.73	33	5.28	52
121	Montgomery	80.2%	9.57	2	\$918	4.53	51	54.1%	5.81	66	6.64	17
125	Nelson	68.3%	6.28	48	\$993	6.05	19	51.0%	5.01	81	5.78	34
127	New Kent	62.9%	4.77	88	\$1,002	6.23	15	50.9%	4.97	84	5.32	48
131	Northampton	62.1%	4.55	93	\$803	2.21	110	66.3%	9.05	3	5.27	54
133	Northumberland	60.5%	4.09	101	\$838	2.92	89	40.7%	2.26	118	3.09	117
135	Nottoway	68.1%	6.21	51	\$869	3.55	73	55.3%	6.14	51	5.30	50
137	Orange	71.8%	7.24	26	\$942	5.02	36	43.8%	3.09	114	5.12	60
139	Page	71.1%	7.05	34	\$975	5.69	24	55.6%	6.21	50	6.32	24
141	Patrick	66.6%	5.81	66	\$803	2.21	108	65.7%	8.91	4	5.64	41
143	Pittsylvania	56.7%	3.05	113	\$850	3.17	83	50.9%	4.97	83	3.73	110
145	Powhatan	59.5%	3.81	104	\$905	4.27	59	55.0%	6.06	57	4.72	83
147	Prince Edward	73.4%	7.68	19	\$853	3.22	82	59.8%	7.34	20	6.08	30
149	Prince George	62.7%	4.71	90	\$980	5.79	22	49.6%	4.63	89	5.05	67
153	Prince William	74.0%	7.87	14	\$1,188	10.00	1	61.8%	7.87	12	8.58	2
155	Pulaski	64.9%	5.33	78	\$924	4.67	47	45.0%	3.41	111	4.47	91
157	Rappahannock	65.5%	5.50	76	\$958	5.35	28	48.5%	4.33	96	5.06	64
159	Richmond County	63.9%	5.05	84	\$920	4.57	49	32.1%	0.00	120	3.21	116
161	Roanoke County	74.9%	8.10	8	\$1,023	6.65	12	52.4%	5.37	74	6.71	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.7%	7.77	16	\$846	3.08	85	55.3%	6.13	52	5.66	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.8%	7.80	15	\$939	4.97	39	58.3%	6.94	26	6.57	20
167	Russell	62.4%	4.63	92	\$836	2.88	91	57.7%	6.79	32	4.77	82
169	Scott	58.6%	3.57	108	\$862	3.40	78	65.0%	8.70	7	5.22	56
171	Shenandoah	66.7%	5.82	64	\$902	4.21	61	52.6%	5.41	71	5.15	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	60.5%	4.11	100	\$805	2.25	106	52.5%	5.39	72	3.92	105
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.3%	3.76	105	\$813	2.41	102	46.2%	3.73	108	3.30	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.7%	6.67	39	\$1,018	6.56	13	57.0%	6.59	35	6.61	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	61.6%	4.41	95	\$1,126	8.74	3	58.1%	6.89	29	6.68	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.7%	7.21	27	\$856	3.28	79	49.7%	4.64	88	5.04	68
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.6%	5.80	67	\$933	4.84	44	57.1%	6.63	34	5.76	35
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.4%	6.30	47	\$786	1.86	115	56.7%	6.50	38	4.89	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.9%	6.72	38	\$982	5.83	21	56.0%	6.32	45	6.29	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	67.0%	5.91	61	\$874	3.64	70	49.2%	4.51	92	4.69	84
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.6%	5.53	75	\$902	4.22	60	50.7%	4.91	85	4.89	75
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.3%	5.16	80	\$788	1.91	114	57.0%	6.58	36	4.55	87
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.3%	6.00	57	\$818	2.51	99	56.4%	6.44	41	4.98	71
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.3%	5.16	81	\$867	3.51	75	46.7%	3.86	104	4.17	100
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.03	35	\$1,042	7.05	9	69.9%	10.00	1	8.03	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.7%	6.93	36	\$847	3.09	84	48.8%	4.43	94	4.82	79
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.7%	10.00	1	\$918	4.54	50	55.8%	6.26	47	6.94	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.1%	7.88	13	\$905	4.28	58	56.5%	6.46	40	6.21	29
590	<i>Danville</i>	67.0%	5.91	60	\$789	1.92	113	52.5%	5.38	73	4.41	95
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.5%	6.32	44	\$792	1.98	112	53.8%	5.74	68	4.68	85
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	77.2%	8.76	4	\$977	5.73	23	54.7%	5.97	59	6.82	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.6%	4.95	86	\$853	3.23	81	59.3%	7.21	24	5.13	59
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.6%	7.18	28	\$897	4.12	64	52.7%	5.46	70	5.59	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.1%	6.50	41	\$905	4.28	57	51.4%	5.11	80	5.29	51
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	72.1%	7.34	23	\$809	2.32	105	62.4%	8.03	10	5.90	31
683	<i>Manassas</i>	66.7%	5.84	63	\$1,081	7.83	6	59.4%	7.22	23	6.96	8
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.9%	8.11	7	\$1,060	7.41	7	66.7%	9.15	2	8.23	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.3%	7.67	20	\$908	4.33	56	58.2%	6.92	27	6.31	25
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.9%	7.29	24	\$845	3.06	86	55.2%	6.12	53	5.49	45
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.9%	8.10	9	\$723	0.60	118	60.3%	7.46	17	5.39	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.4%	7.14	31	\$934	4.86	43	57.9%	6.82	31	6.27	27
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	74.5%	8.01	12	\$889	3.96	67	61.0%	7.65	14	6.54	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.5%	7.44	21	\$893	4.04	65	46.3%	3.75	106	5.08	63
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.4%	7.13	32	\$948	5.14	33	60.3%	7.46	18	6.58	19
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	67.3%	5.98	59	\$948	5.15	32	49.9%	4.70	86	5.28	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.5%	8.29	6	\$855	3.26	80	59.1%	7.13	25	6.23	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.2%	6.24	49	\$938	4.95	40	56.6%	6.49	39	5.89	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.4%	7.69	18	\$890	3.98	66	52.2%	5.32	76	5.66	39
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.5%	6.32	45	\$955	5.28	29	51.0%	4.99	82	5.53	44

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2009 – February 28, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	9,056	478	285	682	300	159	1,058	117	192	393	358	291	1,476	384	101	883	381	1,454	64
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	94%	93%	90%	90%	85%	84%	90%	93%	95%	95%	91%	87%	81%	88%	95%	83%	87%	77%
% Timely in February 2010	91%	94%	96%	92%	90%	91%	81%	77%	89%	92%	98%	79%	93%	77%	91%	96%	92%	95%	86%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	11,567	638	473	1,032	436	199	1,226	154	321	486	623	393	1,676	405	153	1,044	394	1,806	108
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	97%	98%	95%	99%	95%	93%	95%	97%	94%	98%	98%	93%	93%	97%	91%	91%	91%	96%
Percent in February 2010	94%	97%	97%	96%	99%	91%	93%	90%	98%	89%	99%	99%	92%	93%	98%	93%	87%	93%	92%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	137	7	0	2	4	2	30	5	6	3	5	9	22	22	2	9	4	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	20	0	38	14	8	14	52	132	7	55	22	58	22	5	21	6	13	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	478	42	15	64	73	82	58	123	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	98%	100%	98%	99%	94%	97%	90%	71%
% Timely in February 2010	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	84%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	638	45	45	96	87	66	121	164	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	96%	93%	100%	100%	97%	100%	96%	64%
Percent in February 2010	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	50%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	63

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	285	7	37	10	52	46	21	75	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	100%	100%	81%	96%	76%	100%	89%
% Timely in February 2010	96%	N/A	100%	100%	93%	94%	100%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	473	8	56	16	96	79	50	115	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	89%
Percent in February 2010	97%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botetourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	682	50	9	1	6	66	5	107	20	82	23	313
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	92%	78%	100%	100%	67%	100%	96%	100%	95%	74%	93%
% Timely in February 2010	92%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	79%	100%	87%	100%	92%	50%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1032	51	10	4	9	65	22	148	103	99	31	490
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	98%	90%	100%	100%	68%	100%	99%	100%	95%	97%	96%
Percent in February 2010	96%	100%	80%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	300	158	4	0	28	110
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	99%	100%	N/A	86%	79%
% Timely in February 2010	90%	95%	100%	N/A	67%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	436	254	3	0	25	154
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	67%	N/A	84%	99%
Percent in February 2010	99%	100%	50%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	4	2	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	14	14	0	0	21	8

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	159	3	47	30	3	44	32	64	33	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	85%	100%	66%	97%	33%	98%	88%	77%	73%	81%
% Timely in February 2010	91%	100%	71%	88%	N/A	100%	100%	86%	80%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	199	3	48	51	8	46	43	108	73	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	96%	100%	100%	91%	91%	96%	97%	94%
Percent in February 2010	91%	N/A	90%	100%	100%	86%	78%	92%	92%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,058	69	339	95	363	125	52	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	99%	93%	87%	73%	97%	33%	93%
% Timely in February 2010	81%	100%	94%	83%	62%	100%	31%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1226	103	356	93	465	138	47	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	89%	96%	95%	94%	79%	96%
Percent in February 2010	93%	100%	89%	91%	96%	100%	75%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	30	0	0	1	21	0	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	3	14	0	17	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	117	46	37	6	27	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	91%	92%	83%	89%	0%
% Timely in February 2010	77%	75%	89%	N/A	60%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	154	54	61	14	25	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	94%	97%	93%	92%	N/A
Percent in February 2010	90%	88%	100%	50%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	5	3	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	52	56	0	0	47	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	192	29	6	17	28	5	107
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	33%	59%	96%	100%	98%
% Timely in February 2010	89%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	321	66	1	21	57	17	159
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	97%	100%	90%	96%	100%	98%
Percent in February 2010	98%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	5	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	132	0	155	15	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	393	31	28	59	111	164
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	93%	97%	98%	92%
% Timely in February 2010	92%	100%	100%	100%	94%	87%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	486	42	44	91	102	207
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	98%	100%	92%	95%	91%
Percent in February 2010	89%	89%	100%	83%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	358	24	157	52	25	100
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	92%	98%	100%	94%
% Timely in February 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	623	71	204	64	71	213
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	99%	97%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in February 2010	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	5	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	55	0	55	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	291	18	44	20	11	18	32	22	52	38	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	78%	89%	95%	91%	100%	94%	91%	100%	82%	92%
% Timely in February 2010	79%	0%	100%	75%	83%	100%	86%	50%	100%	33%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	393	21	52	36	36	19	45	16	70	38	60
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	87%	98%
Percent in February 2010	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	9	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	4	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	31	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,476	263	7	67	444	8	687
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	87%	90%	86%	97%	81%	100%	89%
% Timely in February 2010	93%	95%	N/A	100%	84%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1676	341	12	61	480	8	774
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	95%	92%	85%	95%	88%	93%
Percent in February 2010	92%	98%	100%	100%	90%	N/A	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	22	7	0	0	15	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	58	74	0	0	50	0	0

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	384	79	12	131	92	70
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	81%	62%	100%	79%	90%	89%
% Timely in February 2010	77%	25%	100%	96%	86%	82%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	405	74	21	129	101	80
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	93%	100%	89%	96%	95%
Percent in February 2010	93%	92%	100%	90%	100%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	22	17	0	1	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	22	20	0	10	42	2

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	101	30	1	14	9	9	10	5	7	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	88%	70%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	86%	94%
% Timely in February 2010	91%	75%	N/A	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	153	38	11	24	10	4	15	9	18	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	97%	91%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	94%	96%
Percent in February 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	883	6	31	41	17	38	290	440	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	33%	100%	100%	82%	100%	99%	92%	95%
% Timely in February 2010	96%	0%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1044	2	46	38	19	42	309	566	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	50%	91%	97%	100%	86%	95%	89%	100%
Percent in February 2010	93%	100%	89%	100%	100%	75%	98%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	9	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	21	25	0	0	15	0	0	7	71

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	381	14	18	21	31	107	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	83%	100%	100%	90%	94%	63%	89%
% Timely in February 2010	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	394	39	28	21	32	92	182
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	97%	93%	100%	100%	97%	84%
Percent in February 2010	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	77%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	2	2
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	9	2

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - February 28, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,454	27	34	264	10	495	289	86	249
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	87%	89%	97%	89%	100%	75%	94%	94%	95%
% Timely in February 2010	95%	67%	100%	100%	100%	92%	95%	95%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1806	48	41	298	40	530	360	140	349
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	90%	100%	93%	98%	84%	94%	96%	94%
Percent in February 2010	93%	89%	100%	98%	100%	89%	89%	92%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	13	1	0	0	0	0	17	0	16

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Feb-09</i>	<i>Feb-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,688	15,451	12.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	123,209	127,041	3.110%
Total Cases Collected	136,897	142,492	4.1%
TANF Collections	\$2,637,047	\$5,567,591	111.1%
NTANF Collections	\$43,583,839	\$50,584,146	16.1%
Total Collections	\$46,220,886	\$56,151,737	21.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$401,777,628	\$400,340,169	-0.4%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$354	\$355	0.2%

Paternities Established

	<i>Feb-10</i>
TANF Paternities	189
NTANF Paternities	383
Combined Paternities	572

Diversionsary Assistance

February Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	3		Prince William	3
Alleghany / Covington	1		Rappahannock	1
Amherst	1		Roanoke County	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	2
Bedford City	2		Rockingham / H'burg	11
Buchanan	1		Scott	1
Buckingham	7		Shenandoah	10
Campbell	1		Smyth	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	19		Spotsylvania	7
Culpeper	1		Stafford	9
Cumberland	2		Warren	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		York / Poquoson	1
Fauquier	1		Bristol	3
Floyd	3		Charlottesville	1
Franklin County	3		Chesapeake	1
Frederick	6		Danville	1
Goochland	1		Franklin City	1
Halifax	1		Fredricksburg	1
Hanover	1		Hampton	6
Henrico	5		Hopewell	3
Henry / Martinsville	3		Lynchburg	1
Isle of Wight	3		Manassas Park	1
James City	1		Newport News	19
Loudoun	2		Norfolk	9
Louisa	3		Portsmouth	1
Mecklenburg	2		Richmond City	1
Nottoway	1		Roanoke City	3
Orange	1		Suffolk	5
Page	1		Virginia Beach	5
Patrick	2		Winchester	9
Pittsylvania	3		Statewide	217

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of morning of March 5, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.**


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through February 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Perhaps of note is that January 2010 saw the first monthly decline for some time. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through February 2010




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Feb 28, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of March and represent end of February data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of February, it was \$8.19 rather than the \$8.02 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.