

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
March 2010

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services April 28, 2010

Monthly Report, March 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 19,333 and a numerator of 7,855.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	60	13	22%	061	Fauquier	70	23	33%
003	Albemarle	62	26	42%	063	Floyd	24	13	54%
005	Alleghany/Covington	80	2	3%	065	Fluvanna	22	0	0%
007	Amelia	32	14	44%	067	Franklin County	122	23	19%
009	Amherst	47	18	38%	069	Frederick	92	12	13%
011	Appomattox	45	24	53%	071	Giles	17	10	59%
013	Arlington	140	73	52%	073	Gloucester	60	20	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	312	166	53%	075	Goochland	27	1	4%
017	Bath	6	1	17%	077	Grayson	24	9	38%
019	Bedford County/City	122	43	35%	079	Greene	36	11	31%
021	Bland	9	5	56%	081	Greensville/Emporia	80	26	33%
023	Botetourt	21	0	0%	083	Halifax	95	27	28%
025	Brunswick	76	47	62%	085	Hanover	114	22	19%
027	Buchanan	68	30	44%	087	Henrico	887	310	35%
029	Buckingham	48	19	40%	089	Henry/Martinsville	337	103	31%
031	Campbell	163	73	45%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	110	45	41%	093	Isle of Wight	74	31	42%
035	Carroll	69	40	58%	095	James City	85	34	40%
036	Charles City	11	1	9%	097	King and Queen	8	3	38%
037	Charlotte	33	16	48%	099	King George	20	6	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	552	258	47%	101	King William	29	12	41%
043	Clarke	6	2	33%	103	Lancaster	20	7	35%
045	Craig	5	1	20%	105	Lee	135	55	41%
047	Culpeper	96	36	38%	107	Loudoun	175	50	29%
049	Cumberland	37	21	57%	109	Louisa	46	22	48%
051	Dickensen	37	15	41%	111	Lunenburg	38	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	49	15	31%	113	Madison	14	5	36%
057	Essex	76	27	36%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	724	290	40%	117	Mecklenburg	94	37	39%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	4	18%	187	Warren	77	32	42%
121	Montgomery	232	111	48%	191	Washington	95	41	43%
125	Nelson	10	5	50%	193	Westmoreland	41	14	34%
127	New Kent	24	7	29%	195	Wise	225	83	37%
131	Northampton	60	27	45%	197	Wythe	62	23	37%
133	Northumberland	11	7	64%	199	York/Poquoson	72	34	47%
135	Nottoway	67	16	24%	510	Alexandria	286	116	41%
137	Orange	42	7	17%	520	Bristol	136	70	51%
139	Page	39	14	36%	540	Charlottesville	183	93	51%
141	Patrick	50	30	60%	550	Chesapeake	738	347	47%
143	Pittsylvania	78	28	36%	590	Danville	219	90	41%
145	Powhatan	25	14	56%	620	Franklin City	41	17	41%
147	Prince Edward	76	33	43%	630	Fredericksburg	126	63	50%
149	Prince George	45	13	29%	640	Galax	44	19	43%
153	Prince William	775	253	33%	650	Hampton	692	350	51%
155	Pulaski	69	26	38%	670	Hopewell	221	49	22%
157	Rappahannock	4	1	25%	680	Lynchburg	368	131	36%
159	Richmond County	13	7	54%	683	Manassas	90	30	33%
161	Roanoke County	176	94	53%	685	Manassas Park	29	10	34%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48	15	31%	700	Newport News	1,041	453	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	145	63	43%	710	Norfolk	1,279	657	51%
167	Russell	117	51	44%	720	Norton	38	17	45%
169	Scott	110	41	37%	730	Petersburg	409	175	43%
171	Shenandoah	15	6	40%	740	Portsmouth	675	273	40%
173	Smyth	106	35	33%	750	Radford	41	18	44%
175	Southampton	65	17	26%	760	Richmond City	1,739	658	38%
177	Spotsylvania	232	77	33%	770	Roanoke City	661	202	31%
179	Stafford	179	82	46%	800	Suffolk	183	96	52%
181	Surry	33	11	33%	810	Virginia Beach	528	285	54%
183	Sussex	43	19	44%	830	Williamsburg	23	10	43%
185	Tazewell	150	62	41%	840	Winchester	59	29	49%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 17,449 and a numerator of 6,197. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	56	10	18%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	59	12	20%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	47	12	26%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	21	10	48%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	74	1	1%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	20	0	0%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	32	14	44%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	112	15	13%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	45	17	38%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	84	7	8%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	42	22	52%	071	<i>Giles</i>	9	3	33%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	120	56	47%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	53	13	25%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	282	137	49%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	26	0	0%
017	<i>Bath</i>	6	1	17%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	24	9	38%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	107	29	27%	079	<i>Greene</i>	34	10	29%
021	<i>Bland</i>	7	3	43%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	75	21	28%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	20	0	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	89	22	25%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	67	39	58%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	109	18	17%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	60	23	38%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	811	245	30%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	43	15	35%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	312	83	27%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	141	51	36%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	103	40	39%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	68	25	37%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	59	30	51%	095	<i>James City</i>	75	27	36%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	9	1	11%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	6	1	17%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	30	13	43%	099	<i>King George</i>	17	4	24%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	499	208	42%	101	<i>King William</i>	26	11	42%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	5	2	40%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	20	7	35%
045	<i>Craig</i>	4	0	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	127	48	38%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	83	28	34%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	149	27	18%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	35	19	54%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	44	20	45%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	30	8	27%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	36	0	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	40	6	15%	113	<i>Madison</i>	11	3	27%
057	<i>Essex</i>	71	22	31%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	9	0	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	646	223	35%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	87	30	34%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	21	3	14%	187	Warren	65	23	35%
121	Montgomery	204	84	41%	191	Washington	87	33	38%
125	Nelson	6	1	17%	193	Westmoreland	35	14	40%
127	New Kent	23	6	26%	195	Wise	202	61	30%
131	Northampton	52	19	37%	197	Wythe	58	19	33%
133	Northumberland	7	3	43%	199	York/Poquoson	67	29	43%
135	Nottoway	64	14	22%	510	Alexandria	251	84	33%
137	Orange	41	7	17%	520	Bristol	125	59	47%
139	Page	35	11	31%	540	Charlottesville	159	70	44%
141	Patrick	43	23	53%	550	Chesapeake	687	300	44%
143	Pittsylvania	75	26	35%	590	Danville	203	77	38%
145	Powhatan	18	7	39%	620	Franklin City	37	14	38%
147	Prince Edward	71	29	41%	630	Fredericksburg	103	42	41%
149	Prince George	41	10	24%	640	Galax	41	16	39%
153	Prince William	669	157	23%	650	Hampton	616	287	47%
155	Pulaski	59	16	27%	670	Hopewell	203	38	19%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	337	104	31%
159	Richmond County	13	7	54%	683	Manassas	82	23	28%
161	Roanoke County	159	77	48%	685	Manassas Park	24	6	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	44	11	25%	700	Newport News	947	371	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	121	39	32%	710	Norfolk	1,194	574	48%
167	Russell	111	45	41%	720	Norton	36	15	42%
169	Scott	103	36	35%	730	Petersburg	378	149	39%
171	Shenandoah	12	3	25%	740	Portsmouth	607	209	34%
173	Smyth	99	28	28%	750	Radford	36	13	36%
175	Southampton	60	12	20%	760	Richmond City	1,578	531	34%
177	Spotsylvania	218	67	31%	770	Roanoke City	596	144	24%
179	Stafford	157	60	38%	800	Suffolk	166	80	48%
181	Surry	28	6	21%	810	Virginia Beach	456	216	47%
183	Sussex	39	17	44%	830	Williamsburg	19	7	37%
185	Tazewell	131	43	33%	840	Winchester	51	21	41%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,884 and a numerator of 1,658. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	4	3	75%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	11	11	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	15	14	93%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	6	1	17%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	0	0%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	0	0	N/A	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	10	8	80%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	2	1	50%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	8	5	63%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	3	2	67%	071	<i>Giles</i>	8	7	88%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	20	17	85%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	7	7	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	30	29	97%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	1	1	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	0	0	N/A
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	15	14	93%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	1	50%
021	<i>Bland</i>	2	2	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	5	5	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	0	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	6	5	83%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	9	8	89%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	5	4	80%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	8	7	88%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	76	65	86%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	5	4	80%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	25	20	80%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	22	22	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	7	5	71%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	6	6	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	10	10	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	10	7	70%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	0	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	2	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	2	67%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	53	50	94%	101	<i>King William</i>	3	1	33%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	0	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	1	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	8	7	88%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	13	8	62%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	26	23	88%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	2	2	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	2	2	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	7	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	2	0	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	9	9	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	3	2	67%
057	<i>Essex</i>	5	5	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	78	67	86%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	7	7	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	12	9	75%
121	Montgomery	28	27	96%	191	Washington	8	8	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	6	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	1	100%	195	Wise	23	22	96%
131	Northampton	8	8	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	3	2	67%	510	Alexandria	35	32	91%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	11	11	100%
139	Page	4	3	75%	540	Charlottesville	24	23	96%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	51	47	92%
143	Pittsylvania	3	2	67%	590	Danville	16	13	81%
145	Powhatan	7	7	100%	620	Franklin City	4	3	75%
147	Prince Edward	5	4	80%	630	Fredericksburg	23	21	91%
149	Prince George	4	3	75%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	106	96	91%	650	Hampton	76	63	83%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	18	11	61%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	31	27	87%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	8	7	88%
161	Roanoke County	17	17	100%	685	Manassas Park	5	4	80%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	94	82	87%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	24	24	100%	710	Norfolk	85	83	98%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	7	5	71%	730	Petersburg	31	26	84%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	68	64	94%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	5	5	100%	760	Richmond City	161	127	79%
177	Spotsylvania	14	10	71%	770	Roanoke City	65	58	89%
179	Stafford	22	22	100%	800	Suffolk	17	16	94%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	72	69	96%
183	Sussex	4	2	50%	830	Williamsburg	4	3	75%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Mar-10	Mar-09	Change from Mar-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,212	5,235	-0.4%	4,807	8%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,356	2,380	-1%	1,658	42%
Applications Approved	2,275	2,260	1%	2,820	-19%
Cases Closed *	2,683	2,360	14%	4,476	-40%
Cases at End of Month	33,962	30,429	12%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month ²	35,173	31,383	12%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,683 closed cases, 966 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 527 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 199 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 132 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Mar-10	Mar-09	Change from Mar-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	631	630	0.2%	338	87%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	323	304	6%	232	39%
Applications Approved	324	302	7%	81	300%
Cases Closed *	345	236	46%	107	222%
Cases at End of Month	2,158	1,634	32%	321	572%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,330	1,771	32%	394	491%

•The database indicates that of the 345 closed cases, 165 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 98 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 18 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 13 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,120	1,972	1,126	2,824	1,060	552	4,233	428	719	1,337	1,672	1,113	5,848	1,115	436	3,421	1,354	6,603	307
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,395	785	515	1,168	470	263	1,776	142	282	666	533	547	2,525	643	181	1,462	703	2,640	94
Part. in a Work Activity	10,688	548	368	729	348	173	1,255	104	228	469	398	375	1,715	401	130	1,027	391	1,976	53
% of Enrolled	69%	70%	71%	62%	74%	66%	71%	73%	81%	70%	75%	69%	68%	62%	72%	70%	56%	75%	56%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	154	10	5	15	0	13	19	1	1	8	1	2	22	15	5	5	11	21	0
Participants Employed	6,918	390	237	549	267	102	730	76	134	296	236	240	947	294	95	719	255	1,312	39
% of Enrolled	45%	50%	46%	47%	57%	39%	41%	54%	48%	44%	44%	44%	38%	46%	52%	49%	36%	50%	41%
Full Time	5,390	353	193	437	217	76	532	57	98	226	181	155	724	224	75	549	197	1,067	29
Part Time	1,528	37	44	112	50	26	198	19	36	70	55	85	223	70	20	170	58	245	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.44	\$7.62	\$7.90	\$7.88	\$8.31	\$9.34	\$8.37	\$8.27	\$7.73	\$7.60	\$7.79	\$8.11	\$8.25	\$8.00	\$7.93	\$7.82	\$7.84	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,009	\$1,007	\$1,060	\$1,041	\$1,068	\$1,207	\$1,091	\$1,048	\$1,027	\$1,005	\$951	\$1,072	\$1,032	\$1,064	\$1,028	\$1,015	\$1,029	\$1,043

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	26,322	1,495	1,009	2,303	958	531	3,039	332	602	1,060	1,299	811	3,708	938	331	2,408	867	4,409	222
Total Enrolled in VIEW	31,943	1,705	1,233	2,615	984	630	3,614	367	676	1,340	1,441	1,086	4,713	1,180	402	2,991	1,242	5,480	244
Part. in a Work Activity	28,271	1,515	1,108	2,269	929	534	3,209	332	638	1,203	1,311	958	4,190	1,003	354	2,654	1,055	4,798	211
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	90%	87%	94%	85%	89%	90%	94%	90%	91%	88%	89%	85%	88%	89%	85%	88%	86%
Participants Employed	15,731	885	567	1,301	543	290	1,734	193	346	695	590	475	2,044	620	209	1,574	579	2,992	94
% of Total Enrolled	49%	52%	46%	50%	55%	46%	48%	53%	51%	52%	41%	44%	43%	53%	52%	53%	47%	55%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.44	\$7.57	\$7.99	\$7.86	\$8.28	\$9.75	\$8.41	\$8.34	\$7.83	\$7.67	\$7.70	\$8.32	\$8.42	\$8.02	\$8.03	\$7.93	\$7.86	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,001	\$996	\$1,077	\$1,039	\$1,073	\$1,289	\$1,105	\$983	\$1,028	\$1,012	\$942	\$1,106	\$1,064	\$1,015	\$1,056	\$1,051	\$1,043	\$1,032
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	72%	63%	66%	76%	60%	75%	75%	65%	68%	60%	74%	75%	79%	68%	72%	70%	74%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	235,062	15,341	8,878	17,336	6,775	4,310	29,260	3,340	5,055	8,844	11,166	6,701	31,236	6,720	3,024	22,099	7,986	44,838	2,153
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	177,298	11,634	7,412	12,948	5,092	3,137	22,363	2,507	3,820	7,232	8,882	5,065	24,085	4,765	2,183	16,161	6,177	32,277	1,558
Part. in a Work Activity	169,733	11,164	7,068	12,375	4,952	2,990	21,572	2,421	3,723	6,846	8,583	4,828	23,214	4,514	2,060	15,449	5,899	30,574	1,501
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	95%	96%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	122,562	7,356	4,903	9,012	3,589	2,100	15,990	1,700	2,909	5,040	5,633	3,119	16,629	3,241	1,409	11,594	4,286	23,162	890
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	70%	70%	67%	72%	68%	76%	70%	63%	62%	69%	68%	65%	72%	69%	72%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.96	\$6.04	\$6.12	\$6.91	\$6.95	\$7.13	\$8.44	\$7.27	\$7.23	\$6.36	\$6.23	\$6.52	\$7.18	\$7.69	\$6.77	\$6.82	\$6.82	\$6.68	\$6.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$927	\$797	\$834	\$935	\$929	\$965	\$1,128	\$964	\$939	\$832	\$836	\$854	\$973	\$1,008	\$874	\$900	\$927	\$881	\$813
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	58%	53%	55%	60%	54%	47%	56%	55%	57%	62%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,972	203	97	308	280	229	317	480	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	785	55	25	130	122	138	107	179	29
Participants in a Work Activity	548	40	14	100	99	75	91	115	14
% of Enrolled	70%	73%	56%	77%	81%	54%	85%	64%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	1	1	0	0	7	1
Participants Employed	390	20	13	84	48	56	55	100	14
% of Enrolled	50%	36%	52%	65%	39%	41%	51%	56%	48%
Full Time	353	20	13	77	42	48	49	90	14
Part Time	37	0	0	7	6	8	6	10	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$7.78	\$7.57	\$7.16	\$7.74	\$7.25	\$7.58	\$7.54	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$1,084	\$1,122	\$944	\$1,030	\$970	\$1,006	\$1,048	\$990

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,495	110	72	210	207	173	279	397	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,705	128	99	263	255	202	271	431	56
Participants in a Work Activity	1,515	118	78	238	243	179	252	367	40
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	79%	90%	95%	89%	93%	85%	71%
Participants Employed	885	61	56	150	118	93	147	234	26
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	57%	57%	46%	46%	54%	54%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$8.08	\$7.53	\$7.15	\$7.42	\$7.28	\$7.49	\$7.52	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,160	\$1,022	\$928	\$971	\$998	\$978	\$1,040	\$952
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	66%	65%	87%	67%	96%	67%	66%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,341	1,572	1,086	2,131	2,097	1,462	2,952	3,552	489
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,634	1,191	825	1,588	1,659	1,039	2,240	2,709	383
Participants in a Work Activity	11,164	1,110	777	1,569	1,580	1,011	2,159	2,604	354
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	97%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,356	623	492	1,041	1,037	607	1,532	1,738	286
% of Total Enrolled	63%	52%	60%	66%	63%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.04	\$6.25	\$6.12	\$5.73	\$6.25	\$6.29	\$5.98	\$6.03	\$5.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$797	\$814	\$805	\$760	\$838	\$862	\$787	\$789	\$724
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	55%	49%	61%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,126	14	129	50	217	228	125	272	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	515	8	66	15	85	98	36	157	50
Participants in a Work Activity	368	6	54	15	61	62	29	117	24
% of Enrolled	71%	75%	82%	100%	72%	63%	81%	75%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
Participants Employed	237	3	40	7	40	47	22	60	18
% of Enrolled	46%	38%	61%	47%	47%	48%	61%	38%	36%
Full Time	193	1	29	4	34	41	18	50	16
Part Time	44	2	11	3	6	6	4	10	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.02	\$7.80	\$7.82	\$7.43	\$7.39	\$7.50	\$7.89	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$512	\$1,030	\$983	\$970	\$1,010	\$923	\$1,051	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,009	14	117	46	193	189	128	228	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,233	13	148	41	223	243	134	310	121
Participants in a Work Activity	1,108	13	135	38	194	224	124	279	101
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	91%	93%	87%	92%	93%	90%	83%
Participants Employed	567	6	78	19	75	127	64	148	50
% of Total Enrolled	46%	46%	53%	46%	34%	52%	48%	48%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.50	\$7.49	\$7.76	\$7.52	\$7.41	\$7.54	\$7.77	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$996	\$1,000	\$993	\$1,104	\$985	\$981	\$959	\$983	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	50%	79%	44%	65%	57%	68%	61%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,878	224	1,219	591	1,526	1,509	1,376	1,798	635
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,412	173	1,008	477	1,261	1,241	1,097	1,599	556
Participants in a Work Activity	7,068	162	916	441	1,200	1,210	1,076	1,541	522
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	91%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	4,903	109	670	313	759	828	741	1,130	353
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	66%	66%	60%	67%	68%	71%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.12	\$5.98	\$5.93	\$6.21	\$5.87	\$6.40	\$6.15	\$6.17	\$6.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$834	\$822	\$804	\$830	\$805	\$874	\$819	\$848	\$855
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	47%	47%	56%	52%	49%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,824	131	45	8	39	265	45	408	197	349	73	1,264
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1168	113	14	1	18	90	16	180	55	159	34	488
Participants in a Work Activity	729	45	3	1	15	36	13	139	46	129	25	277
% of Enrolled	62%	40%	21%	100%	83%	40%	81%	77%	84%	81%	74%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	10	2	0
Participants Employed	549	37	3	0	12	27	8	122	27	85	21	207
% of Enrolled	47%	33%	21%	0%	67%	30%	50%	68%	49%	53%	62%	42%
Full Time	437	19	2	N/A	12	23	7	105	24	68	12	165
Part Time	112	18	1	N/A	0	4	1	17	3	17	9	42
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.95	\$7.75	N/A	\$8.11	\$7.71	\$7.89	\$7.86	\$7.19	\$8.15	\$7.15	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$944	\$852	N/A	\$1,234	\$1,096	\$1,153	\$1,057	\$940	\$1,058	\$834	\$1,107

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,303	83	29	8	29	197	48	328	186	235	66	1,094
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,615	156	28	11	45	195	62	393	205	301	88	1,131
Participants in a Work Activity	2,269	131	20	11	40	131	56	357	184	273	76	990
% of Total Enrolled	87%	84%	71%	100%	89%	67%	90%	91%	90%	91%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	1,301	71	7	7	24	68	31	255	83	186	52	517
% of Total Enrolled	50%	46%	25%	64%	53%	35%	50%	65%	40%	62%	59%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.01	\$7.57	\$7.97	\$7.80	\$7.81	\$8.12	\$7.88	\$7.55	\$8.12	\$7.77	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$916	\$839	\$1,180	\$1,120	\$1,062	\$1,145	\$1,068	\$979	\$1,102	\$1,013	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	63%	40%	67%	81%	62%	62%	77%	48%	59%	76%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	17,336	1,055	292	65	432	1,481	445	2,490	1,533	1,851	684	7,008
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,948	785	176	25	304	1,076	305	1,995	1,196	1,451	522	5,113
Participants in a Work Activity	12,375	753	159	25	296	951	297	1,969	1,152	1,379	507	4,887
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	90%	100%	97%	88%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,012	562	85	16	213	685	179	1,596	774	1,088	378	3,436
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	48%	64%	70%	64%	59%	80%	65%	75%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.72	\$7.20	\$6.89	\$6.79	\$6.72	\$6.90	\$6.76	\$6.63	\$7.38	\$6.85	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$817	\$915	\$1,042	\$918	\$931	\$941	\$918	\$924	\$1,022	\$893	\$949
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	42%	64%	45%	50%	47%	54%	45%	53%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,060	641	10	0	77	332
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	470	280	6	0	29	155
Participants in a Work Activity	348	207	6	N/A	19	116
% of Enrolled	74%	74%	100%	N/A	66%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	267	163	1	N/A	17	86
% of Enrolled	57%	58%	17%	N/A	59%	55%
Full Time	217	133	0	N/A	13	71
Part Time	50	30	1	N/A	4	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.76	\$8.00	N/A	\$7.43	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$1,008	\$797	N/A	\$904	\$1,133

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	958	553	10	0	59	336
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	984	581	9	0	64	330
Participants in a Work Activity	929	549	9	N/A	53	318
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	100%	N/A	83%	96%
Participants Employed	543	320	3	N/A	31	189
% of Total Enrolled	55%	55%	33%	N/A	48%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$7.74	\$7.27	N/A	\$7.31	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,003	\$547	N/A	\$863	\$1,131
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	83%	0%	N/A	64%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,775	3,657	68	16	605	2,429
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,092	2,799	40	7	441	1,805
Participants in a Work Activity	4,952	2,741	40	7	417	1,747
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,589	1,902	25	5	325	1,332
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	63%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$6.95	\$6.65	\$7.75	\$6.56	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$929	\$938	\$706	\$694	\$847	\$941
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	55%	58%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	552	11	172	87	28	121	133	307	200	107
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	263	4	82	45	6	55	71	94	39	55
Participants in a Work Activity	173	4	47	31	5	33	53	53	19	34
% of Enrolled	66%	100%	57%	69%	83%	60%	75%	56%	49%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	4	0	3	6	0	0	0
Participants Employed	102	2	24	19	3	22	32	39	18	21
% of Enrolled	39%	50%	29%	42%	3	40%	45%	41%	46%	38%
Full Time	76	2	20	15	3	16	20	29	14	15
Part Time	26	0	4	4	0	6	12	10	4	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.63	\$8.69	\$8.27	\$8.35	\$8.50	\$7.90	\$8.04	\$8.03	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,229	\$1,186	\$1,127	\$1,085	\$1,066	\$933	\$1,043	\$1,054	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	531	12	145	106	29	116	123	222	143	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	630	14	152	132	30	151	151	244	146	98
Participants in a Work Activity	534	14	136	113	26	118	127	211	125	86
% of Total Enrolled	85%	100%	89%	86%	87%	78%	84%	86%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	290	9	61	57	17	79	67	94	57	37
% of Total Enrolled	46%	64%	40%	43%	57%	52%	44%	39%	39%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$8.11	\$8.64	\$8.39	\$7.89	\$8.39	\$7.92	\$8.07	\$7.87	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$1,096	\$1,098	\$1,101	\$932	\$1,178	\$960	\$1,032	\$1,017	\$1,057
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	43%	73%	59%	63%	55%	59%	76%	69%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,310	169	845	683	531	1058	1024	2,153	1345	808
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,137	99	608	551	307	783	789	1,558	944	614
Participants in a Work Activity	2,990	94	574	531	300	737	754	1501	922	579
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	96%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	2,100	61	361	386	204	548	540	890	509	381
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	59%	70%	66%	70%	68%	57%	54%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.23	\$7.38	\$7.19	\$6.52	\$7.19	\$7.06	\$6.46	\$6.53	\$6.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$965	\$963	\$973	\$976	\$902	\$982	\$954	\$813	\$822	\$803
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	47%	52%	56%	52%	56%	51%	62%	58%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,233	303	1,596	271	1,312	503	202	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,776	105	602	165	613	204	69	18
Participants in a Work Activity	1,255	75	508	103	354	158	46	11
% of Enrolled	71%	71%	84%	62%	58%	77%	67%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	11	0	6	2	0	0
Participants Employed	730	39	250	68	238	94	31	10
% of Enrolled	41%	37%	42%	41%	39%	46%	45%	56%
Full Time	532	24	182	49	164	78	26	9
Part Time	198	15	68	19	74	16	5	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.34	\$9.08	\$9.53	\$10.35	\$9.07	\$9.04	\$8.56	\$9.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,207	\$1,156	\$1,190	\$1,356	\$1,178	\$1,223	\$1,177	\$1,480

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,039	194	1,017	236	1,092	321	133	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,614	247	1,207	310	1,254	399	154	43
Participants in a Work Activity	3,209	221	1,106	265	1,078	370	126	43
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	92%	85%	86%	93%	82%	100%
Participants Employed	1,734	111	541	164	624	194	72	28
% of Total Enrolled	48%	45%	45%	53%	50%	49%	47%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.75	\$9.92	\$10.11	\$10.50	\$9.44	\$9.58	\$8.92	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,289	\$1,300	\$1,290	\$1,340	\$1,281	\$1,326	\$1,184	\$1,149
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	80%	75%	71%	75%	75%	84%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	29,260	2,587	10,233	2,019	9,352	3,436	1,257	376
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,363	1,996	7,621	1,599	7,202	2,718	944	283
Participants in a Work Activity	21,572	1,962	7,373	1,530	6,912	2,605	909	281
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	15,990	1,460	5,195	1,259	5,305	1,929	630	212
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	68%	79%	74%	71%	67%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$7.98	\$8.39	\$8.97	\$8.63	\$8.13	\$7.99	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,039	\$1,112	\$1,167	\$1,188	\$1,043	\$1,081	\$1,064
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	59%	66%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	428	192	117	21	94	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	142	49	41	10	40	2
Participants in a Work Activity	104	40	27	6	30	1
% of Enrolled	73%	82%	66%	60%	75%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	76	30	18	4	24	0
% of Enrolled	54%	61%	44%	40%	60%	0%
Full Time	57	20	13	4	20	N/A
Part Time	19	10	5	0	4	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.57	\$8.79	\$9.00	\$7.68	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,066	\$1,136	\$1,386	\$1,038	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	332	131	108	24	66	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	367	138	111	28	84	6
Participants in a Work Activity	332	123	107	27	70	5
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	96%	96%	83%	83%
Participants Employed	193	73	58	13	45	4
% of Total Enrolled	53%	53%	52%	46%	54%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.80	\$8.55	\$8.16	\$7.66	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,091	\$1,169	\$1,252	\$979	\$1,184
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	82%	65%	88%	74%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,340	1,275	979	312	687	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,507	918	747	231	553	58
Participants in a Work Activity	2,421	884	741	223	518	55
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,700	599	513	152	398	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	69%	66%	72%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.25	\$7.59	\$7.03	\$6.96	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$948	\$995	\$987	\$942	\$958
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	719	146	54	44	109	35	331
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	282	40	11	30	37	7	157
Participants in a Work Activity	228	35	6	15	27	4	141
% of Enrolled	81%	88%	55%	50%	73%	57%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	134	25	6	10	20	3	70
% of Enrolled	48%	63%	55%	33%	54%	43%	45%
Full Time	98	21	6	7	16	1	47
Part Time	36	4	0	3	4	2	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.92	\$7.80	\$8.07	\$7.14	\$9.33	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,300	\$1,149	\$1,037	\$913	\$1,133	\$986

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	602	144	18	44	95	22	279
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	676	121	12	56	111	35	341
Participants in a Work Activity	638	111	8	48	103	32	336
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	67%	86%	93%	91%	99%
Participants Employed	346	64	6	31	51	21	173
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	50%	55%	46%	60%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.73	\$7.80	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$8.04	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,164	\$1,141	\$904	\$931	\$989	\$943
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	74%	100%	62%	47%	56%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,055	1180	182	392	763	236	2,302
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,820	822	115	280	541	161	1,901
Participants in a Work Activity	3,723	803	110	261	516	160	1,873
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	93%	95%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	2,909	614	86	212	335	110	1,552
% of Total Enrolled	76%	75%	75%	76%	62%	68%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.66	\$7.36	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.54	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$998	\$1,085	\$911	\$950	\$1,000	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	55%	49%	54%	51%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,337	89	94	226	281	647
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	666	43	54	111	191	267
Participants in a Work Activity	469	30	48	76	135	180
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	89%	68%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	2	0	5	1	0
Participants Employed	296	25	31	48	75	117
% of Enrolled	44%	58%	57%	43%	39%	44%
Full Time	226	22	31	34	48	91
Part Time	70	3	0	14	27	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.76	\$7.42	\$7.75	\$7.84	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$1,046	\$1,134	\$972	\$1,034	\$1,011

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,060	88	87	214	204	467
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,340	90	98	244	343	565
Participants in a Work Activity	1,203	78	96	212	307	510
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	98%	87%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	695	47	56	126	177	289
% of Total Enrolled	52%	52%	57%	52%	52%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.84	\$7.50	\$7.94	\$8.01	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,050	\$1,150	\$1,015	\$1,046	\$996
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	73%	80%	63%	64%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,844	812	721	1,782	2,044	3,485
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,232	647	627	1,406	1,719	2,833
Participants in a Work Activity	6,846	615	615	1,317	1,616	2,683
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,040	439	446	930	1,182	2,043
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	71%	66%	69%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.36	\$6.57	\$6.11	\$6.41	\$6.55	\$6.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$832	\$841	\$841	\$829	\$870	\$810
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	52%	44%	55%	59%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,672	233	554	107	248	530
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	533	57	216	77	48	135
Participants in a Work Activity	398	34	179	61	36	88
% of Enrolled	75%	60%	83%	79%	75%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	236	26	95	34	30	51
% of Enrolled	44%	46%	44%	44%	63%	38%
Full Time	181	17	72	23	28	41
Part Time	55	9	23	11	2	10
Average Wage⁶	\$7.60	\$8.17	\$7.64	\$7.16	\$7.35	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,005	\$1,084	\$1,018	\$913	\$1,013	\$999

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,299	178	418	114	182	407
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	1,441	197	518	145	149	432
Participants in a Work Activity	1,311	166	470	130	138	407
% of Total Enrolled	91%	84%	91%	90%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	590	67	244	76	64	139
% of Total Enrolled	41%	34%	47%	52%	43%	32%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.67	\$7.91	\$7.72	\$7.29	\$7.57	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,012	\$957	\$1,064	\$964	\$1,004	\$969
Three Months Employment⁸	60%	70%	58%	65%	63%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,166	1,729	2,966	1,104	1,796	3,571
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	8,882	1,430	2,199	954	1,370	2,929
Participants in a Work Activity	8,583	1,375	2,108	896	1,349	2,855
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,633	837	1,421	637	777	1,961
% of Total Enrolled	63%	59%	65%	67%	57%	67%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.23	\$6.20	\$6.58	\$6.13	\$6.23	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$836	\$821	\$923	\$803	\$852	\$789
Five Months Employment⁹	53%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,113	74	132	75	85	63	150	59	195	136	144
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	547	33	62	38	29	32	65	44	97	70	77
Participants in a Work Activity	375	29	36	27	25	26	53	17	76	33	53
% of Enrolled	69%	88%	58%	71%	86%	81%	82%	39%	78%	47%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	240	16	32	15	14	16	21	16	45	32	33
% of Enrolled	44%	48%	52%	39%	48%	50%	32%	36%	46%	46%	43%
Full Time	155	12	16	14	10	15	10	7	23	26	22
Part Time	85	4	16	1	4	1	11	9	22	6	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$8.05	\$7.64	\$7.56	\$7.61	\$8.08	\$7.55	\$7.39	\$7.96	\$8.16	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$951	\$1,036	\$885	\$1,080	\$1,009	\$1,104	\$811	\$744	\$910	\$1,064	\$954

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	811	49	110	66	70	35	98	36	136	95	116
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,086	61	121	89	90	56	149	57	175	133	155
Participants in a Work Activity	958	58	110	85	82	55	130	47	162	86	143
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	91%	96%	91%	98%	87%	82%	93%	65%	92%
Participants Employed	475	32	51	40	33	31	57	19	79	56	77
% of Total Enrolled	44%	52%	42%	45%	37%	55%	38%	33%	45%	42%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.70	\$8.32	\$7.23	\$8.13	\$7.84	\$8.12	\$7.41	\$7.30	\$7.86	\$7.62	\$7.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$1,058	\$880	\$1,077	\$994	\$1,045	\$789	\$722	\$954	\$993	\$918
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	81%	71%	81%	68%	86%	54%	86%	67%	84%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,701	396	880	674	569	454	806	272	973	804	873
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,065	282	691	498	398	321	623	206	753	599	694
Participants in a Work Activity	4,828	266	684	463	368	307	592	204	713	553	678
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	92%	96%	95%	99%	95%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	3,119	174	393	284	205	186	358	95	510	407	507
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	57%	57%	52%	58%	57%	46%	68%	68%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.52	\$7.18	\$6.06	\$6.90	\$6.92	\$7.03	\$6.46	\$5.78	\$6.27	\$6.50	\$6.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$854	\$943	\$812	\$926	\$899	\$940	\$796	\$728	\$812	\$872	\$855
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	45%	57%	53%	48%	49%	56%	60%	58%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,848	1029	41	183	1,627	45	2,923
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,525	445	19	117	721	19	1,204
Participants in a Work Activity	1,715	299	4	62	458	12	880
% of Enrolled	68%	67%	21%	53%	64%	63%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	1	2	1	11	1	6
Participants Employed	947	197	4	45	305	4	392
% of Enrolled	38%	44%	21%	38%	42%	21%	33%
Full Time	724	156	2	32	242	2	290
Part Time	223	41	2	13	63	2	102
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.99	\$10.13	\$8.32	\$8.31	\$10.85	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,070	\$1,244	\$1,024	\$1,125	\$1,540	\$1,030

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,708	740	31	137	1,018	22	1,760
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,713	882	36	184	1,310	37	2,264
Participants in a Work Activity	4,190	777	22	147	1,172	34	2,038
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	61%	80%	89%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	2,044	415	13	77	612	19	908
% of Total Enrolled	43%	47%	36%	42%	47%	51%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.24	\$8.39	\$9.06	\$8.56	\$9.33	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$1,091	\$1,006	\$1,167	\$1,142	\$1,133	\$1,085
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	79%	75%	81%	81%	56%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	31,236	6,216	216	1020	7,419	276	16,089
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,085	4,673	157	722	5,874	193	12,466
Participants in a Work Activity	23,214	4,526	142	663	5,583	181	12,119
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	90%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	16,629	3,071	101	454	3,996	115	8,892
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	64%	63%	68%	60%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.40	\$6.69	\$8.07	\$7.46	\$7.27	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$973	\$1,000	\$865	\$1,057	\$1,011	\$921	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	65%	56%	54%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,115	208	43	398	289	177
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	643	117	15	227	157	127
Participants in a Work Activity	401	61	12	139	107	82
% of Enrolled	62%	52%	80%	61%	68%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	13	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	294	52	8	102	70	62
% of Enrolled	46%	44%	53%	45%	45%	49%
Full Time	224	39	5	78	58	44
Part Time	70	13	3	24	12	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.98	\$9.41	\$8.22	\$8.23	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$995	\$1,046	\$1,045	\$1,038	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	938	164	50	318	234	172
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,180	198	39	383	310	250
Participants in a Work Activity	1,003	160	34	317	278	214
% of Total Enrolled	85%	81%	87%	83%	90%	86%
Participants Employed	620	102	17	213	144	144
% of Total Enrolled	53%	52%	44%	56%	46%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$7.98	\$8.38	\$8.36	\$8.86	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,035	\$1,018	\$1,052	\$1,141	\$1,029
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	85%	70%	79%	78%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,720	1,065	421	1,944	1,960	1,330
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,765	730	273	1,483	1,173	1,106
Participants in a Work Activity	4,514	694	259	1,354	1,141	1,066
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,241	457	185	1,035	715	849
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	68%	70%	61%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$7.33	\$7.23	\$7.76	\$8.25	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$945	\$910	\$1,017	\$1,125	\$977
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	44%	49%	56%	58%	55%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	436	106	21	52	45	27	41	25	40	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	181	55	2	24	15	15	29	6	9	26
Participants in a Work Activity	130	42	2	20	9	10	14	4	8	21
% of Enrolled	72%	76%	100%	83%	60%	67%	48%	67%	89%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	95	27	1	14	7	9	13	2	5	17
% of Enrolled	52%	49%	50%	58%	47%	60%	45%	33%	56%	65%
Full Time	75	19	1	10	4	8	11	1	4	17
Part Time	20	8	0	4	3	1	2	1	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.90	\$8.67	\$8.25	\$9.25	\$8.19	\$7.23	\$8.63	\$7.50	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,021	\$1,502	\$1,089	\$1,117	\$1,158	\$1,060	\$799	\$917	\$1,092

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	331	81	19	44	29	15	44	14	31	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	402	95	21	50	34	16	53	17	32	84
Participants in a Work Activity	354	86	21	46	29	16	39	17	32	68
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	100%	92%	85%	100%	74%	100%	100%	81%
Participants Employed	209	51	7	28	20	9	22	9	15	48
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	33%	56%	59%	56%	42%	53%	47%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.77	\$7.97	\$8.17	\$8.49	\$8.19	\$7.50	\$8.80	\$7.55	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$950	\$1,129	\$996	\$1,020	\$1,123	\$985	\$957	\$922	\$1,114
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	68%	75%	61%	64%	100%	93%	50%	33%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,024	463	228	252	354	169	344	267	286	661
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,183	351	151	201	270	107	250	215	160	478
Participants in a Work Activity	2,060	337	143	195	253	100	224	209	156	443
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%	90%	97%	98%	93%
Participants Employed	1,409	244	80	123	183	66	165	130	103	315
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	53%	61%	68%	62%	66%	60%	64%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.77	\$6.76	\$6.95	\$7.22	\$6.57	\$6.69	\$6.73	\$6.46	\$6.80	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$874	\$837	\$881	\$974	\$831	\$857	\$869	\$838	\$922	\$904
Five Months Employment ⁹	47%	41%	34%	46%	49%	54%	58%	41%	33%	51%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,421	24	121	132	40	119	1,235	1,711	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,462	8	45	57	18	68	509	736	21
Participants in a Work Activity	1,027	2	30	41	7	46	339	548	14
% of Enrolled	70%	25%	67%	72%	39%	68%	67%	74%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0
Participants Employed	719	2	20	33	2	34	232	382	14
% of Enrolled	49%	25%	44%	58%	11%	50%	46%	52%	67%
Full Time	549	2	14	26	2	17	164	312	12
Part Time	170	0	6	7	0	17	68	70	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$4.82	\$7.91	\$8.34	\$7.53	\$7.80	\$7.93	\$7.94	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$649	\$991	\$1,133	\$978	\$880	\$981	\$1,063	\$1,058

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,408	10	98	108	35	82	787	1,246	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,991	12	110	134	36	113	973	1,568	45
Participants in a Work Activity	2,654	8	87	115	31	103	899	1,373	38
% of Total Enrolled	89%	67%	79%	86%	86%	91%	92%	88%	84%
Participants Employed	1,574	5	52	75	10	66	533	805	28
% of Total Enrolled	53%	42%	47%	56%	28%	58%	55%	51%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$5.47	\$8.02	\$8.09	\$8.82	\$7.70	\$8.15	\$7.99	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$697	\$943	\$1,024	\$996	\$953	\$1,052	\$1,083	\$1,009
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	0%	52%	73%	86%	86%	73%	71%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	22,099	133	926	883	261	847	7,753	10,987	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,161	90	640	677	191	606	5,546	8,190	221
Participants in a Work Activity	15,449	87	587	629	181	586	5,422	7,748	209
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	11,594	47	427	481	117	389	3,967	6,002	164
% of Total Enrolled	72%	52%	67%	71%	61%	64%	72%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$6.63	\$6.66	\$7.16	\$7.38	\$6.88	\$6.83	\$6.79	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$900	\$863	\$835	\$878	\$1,002	\$867	\$898	\$909	\$891
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	56%	56%	52%	50%	46%	53%	58%	53%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,354	121	88	66	76	341	662
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	703	18	32	33	43	232	345
Participants in a Work Activity	391	13	23	24	23	106	202
% of Enrolled	56%	72%	72%	73%	53%	46%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	1	0	1	6	3
Participants Employed	255	7	14	10	11	73	140
% of Enrolled	36%	39%	44%	30%	26%	31%	41%
Full Time	197	6	14	4	7	47	119
Part Time	58	1	0	6	4	26	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.50	\$7.67	\$8.51	\$8.65	\$7.76	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$1,093	\$1,084	\$754	\$1,128	\$937	\$1,054

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	867	104	71	39	61	218	374
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,242	77	86	58	88	327	606
Participants in a Work Activity	1,055	74	71	56	82	250	522
% of Total Enrolled	85%	96%	83%	97%	93%	76%	86%
Participants Employed	579	36	39	31	38	137	298
% of Total Enrolled	47%	47%	45%	53%	43%	42%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.05	\$7.95	\$8.21	\$7.75	\$7.62	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,180	\$1,041	\$922	\$1,000	\$961	\$1,096
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	48%	76%	59%	40%	69%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,986	1013	692	300	644	1,996	3,341
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,177	707	510	238	507	1,585	2,630
Participants in a Work Activity	5,899	704	446	225	490	1,518	2,516
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,286	478	317	168	338	1,102	1,883
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	62%	71%	67%	70%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$6.80	\$7.27	\$6.94	\$6.80	\$6.69	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$927	\$941	\$980	\$852	\$936	\$906	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	52%	50%	50%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/10 and Work Activity as of 3/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,603	159	126	1,188	107	2,199	1,270	440	1,114
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,640	53	49	555	25	990	454	143	371
Participants in a Work Activity	1,976	34	24	398	22	759	344	109	286
% of Enrolled	75%	64%	49%	72%	88%	77%	76%	76%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	1	0	0	10	9	1	0
Participants Employed	1,312	24	13	300	11	469	223	77	195
% of Enrolled	50%	45%	27%	54%	44%	47%	49%	54%	53%
Full Time	1,067	21	9	245	6	393	169	63	161
Part Time	245	3	4	55	5	76	54	14	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.49	\$7.96	\$7.82	\$7.90	\$7.70	\$7.92	\$8.08	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$962	\$1,032	\$1,042	\$996	\$998	\$1,045	\$1,046	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,409	107	91	705	86	1,477	762	273	908
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,480	124	106	1,016	78	1,870	991	349	946
Participants in a Work Activity	4,798	115	101	909	74	1,620	855	317	807
% of Total Enrolled	88%	93%	95%	89%	95%	87%	86%	91%	85%
Participants Employed	2,992	71	36	647	33	1,037	521	188	459
% of Total Enrolled	55%	57%	34%	64%	42%	55%	53%	54%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$7.75	\$9.27	\$7.81	\$8.12	\$7.62	\$7.99	\$8.02	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$1,045	\$938	\$1,053	\$1,047	\$1,005	\$1,066	\$1,111	\$1,078
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	76%	57%	78%	55%	76%	69%	71%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	44,838	995	856	7,152	702	14,505	7,305	2,861	10,462
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	32,277	711	668	5,125	550	10,299	5,692	2,209	7,023
Participants in a Work Activity	30,574	684	636	4,866	538	9,774	5,351	2,183	6,542
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	23,162	510	394	3,799	378	7,389	4,232	1,665	4,795
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	59%	74%	69%	72%	74%	75%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.68	\$6.72	\$6.51	\$6.83	\$6.32	\$6.57	\$6.59	\$6.53	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$881	\$898	\$813	\$906	\$793	\$846	\$890	\$855	\$939
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	46%	57%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	39.0%	3.37	105	\$7.87	4.77	68	69.2%	6.92	59	5.02	98
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	52.9%	6.69	40	\$8.73	6.48	14	74.1%	7.41	45	6.86	19
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	45.5%	4.92	83	\$8.01	5.05	54	62.8%	6.28	87	5.42	84
007	<i>Amelia</i>	52.5%	6.59	43	\$8.32	5.67	28	81.0%	8.10	22	6.78	22
009	<i>Amherst</i>	52.2%	6.53	48	\$7.84	4.71	71	72.7%	7.27	48	6.17	53
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	57.1%	7.71	16	\$7.50	4.04	103	80.5%	8.05	24	6.60	29
013	<i>Arlington</i>	44.9%	4.79	87	\$9.92	8.85	3	80.3%	8.03	25	7.22	7
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	55.1%	7.22	28	\$7.74	4.51	83	82.6%	8.26	16	6.66	24
017	<i>Bath</i>	33.3%	2.00	114	\$7.27	3.58	115	0.0%	0.00	118	1.86	118
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	51.6%	6.39	49	\$7.94	4.91	62	63.2%	6.32	85	5.88	70
021	<i>Bland</i>	46.2%	5.08	79	\$7.50	4.04	104	50.0%	5.00	108	4.70	107
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	25.0%	0.00	119	\$7.57	4.17	94	40.0%	4.00	115	2.72	117
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	42.1%	4.12	95	\$7.23	3.50	116	70.8%	7.08	54	4.90	101
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	47.7%	5.44	66	\$8.08	5.19	48	65.9%	6.59	76	5.74	76
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	44.9%	4.79	86	\$8.13	5.29	39	80.7%	8.07	23	6.05	63
031	<i>Campbell</i>	51.6%	6.38	50	\$8.01	5.05	55	64.0%	6.40	83	5.95	66
033	<i>Caroline</i>	51.5%	6.36	51	\$7.98	4.99	58	84.9%	8.49	13	6.61	28
035	<i>Carroll</i>	52.7%	6.65	41	\$7.49	4.02	106	79.2%	7.92	28	6.19	52
036	<i>Charles City</i>	41.7%	4.00	99	\$5.47	0.00	119	0.0%	0.00	119	1.33	119
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	36.7%	2.80	108	\$7.84	4.71	72	68.0%	6.80	65	4.77	105
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	47.1%	5.29	69	\$8.24	5.51	29	78.9%	7.89	29	6.23	49
043	<i>Clarke</i>	64.3%	9.43	4	\$8.11	5.25	44	42.9%	4.29	114	6.32	46
045	<i>Craig</i>	63.6%	9.27	6	\$7.97	4.97	59	66.7%	6.67	71	6.97	16
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	52.9%	6.70	39	\$8.80	6.62	12	81.7%	8.17	17	7.16	9
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	55.4%	7.29	26	\$8.12	5.27	40	86.4%	8.64	9	7.06	12
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	56.6%	7.58	20	\$7.53	4.10	99	65.1%	6.51	78	6.06	62
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	46.8%	5.22	71	\$8.05	5.13	49	48.4%	4.84	110	5.06	97
057	<i>Essex</i>	53.7%	6.88	33	\$7.77	4.57	77	67.7%	6.77	67	6.07	60
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	44.8%	4.76	88	\$10.11	9.22	2	75.2%	7.52	40	7.17	8
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	52.3%	6.54	47	\$8.55	6.12	17	65.2%	6.52	77	6.40	42
063	<i>Floyd</i>	53.3%	6.80	36	\$7.80	4.63	75	81.3%	8.13	19	6.52	35
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	50.0%	6.00	56	\$7.80	4.63	76	100.0%	10.00	1	6.88	18
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	34.9%	2.37	110	\$7.81	4.65	73	61.7%	6.17	91	4.40	110
069	<i>Frederick</i>	40.1%	3.63	103	\$8.64	6.30	15	72.6%	7.26	51	5.73	77
071	<i>Giles</i>	50.0%	6.00	57	\$8.12	5.27	41	61.9%	6.19	89	5.82	72
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	47.3%	5.35	67	\$8.02	5.07	52	51.5%	5.15	107	5.19	93
075	<i>Goochland</i>	36.1%	2.67	109	\$8.39	5.81	21	75.0%	7.50	42	5.32	88
077	<i>Grayson</i>	46.3%	5.12	77	\$7.76	4.55	80	43.8%	4.38	113	4.68	108

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	55.4%	7.29	27	\$7.90	4.83	65	61.9%	6.19	90	6.10	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.3%	3.18	106	\$7.41	3.86	109	54.1%	5.41	106	4.15	115
083	Halifax	34.0%	2.16	111	\$7.91	4.85	64	70.0%	7.00	57	4.67	109
085	Hanover	41.8%	4.04	98	\$9.06	7.14	8	81.4%	8.14	18	6.44	38
087	Henrico	46.7%	5.21	73	\$8.56	6.14	16	81.0%	8.10	21	6.49	37
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.1%	5.31	68	\$7.72	4.47	85	58.5%	5.85	98	5.21	92
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	57.3%	7.74	15	\$7.75	4.53	81	76.0%	7.60	37	6.62	27
095	James City	56.0%	7.43	23	\$8.09	5.21	47	72.7%	7.27	49	6.64	26
097	King and Queen	33.3%	2.00	115	\$7.97	4.97	60	75.0%	7.50	43	4.82	104
099	King George	43.6%	4.46	90	\$8.38	5.79	24	70.0%	7.00	58	5.75	75
101	King William	56.0%	7.44	22	\$8.17	5.37	35	61.1%	6.11	92	6.31	47
103	Lancaster	58.8%	8.12	11	\$8.49	6.00	18	64.3%	6.43	82	6.85	20
105	Lee	57.0%	7.69	18	\$7.15	3.34	117	87.1%	8.71	8	6.58	31
107	Loudoun	52.9%	6.70	38	\$10.50	10.00	1	70.8%	7.08	55	7.92	1
109	Louisa	45.9%	5.03	81	\$7.85	4.73	70	47.4%	4.74	112	4.83	103
111	Lunenburg	33.3%	2.00	116	\$7.30	3.64	112	85.7%	8.57	11	4.74	106
113	Madison	46.4%	5.14	75	\$8.16	5.35	36	87.5%	8.75	6	6.41	40
115	Mathews	56.3%	7.50	21	\$8.19	5.41	33	100.0%	10.00	2	7.64	2
117	Mecklenburg	45.1%	4.83	85	\$7.86	4.75	69	67.3%	6.73	68	5.44	83
119	Middlesex	41.5%	3.96	100	\$7.50	4.04	105	93.3%	9.33	5	5.78	74
121	Montgomery	64.9%	9.57	3	\$7.88	4.79	67	77.5%	7.75	33	7.37	4
125	Nelson	60.0%	8.40	9	\$8.04	5.11	51	56.3%	5.63	101	6.38	43
127	New Kent	27.8%	0.67	118	\$8.82	6.66	11	85.7%	8.57	12	5.30	89
131	Northampton	37.8%	3.06	107	\$8.38	5.79	25	87.5%	8.75	7	5.87	71
133	Northumberland	52.9%	6.71	37	\$8.80	6.62	13	50.0%	5.00	109	6.11	56
135	Nottoway	42.1%	4.11	96	\$7.62	4.27	89	83.9%	8.39	14	5.59	79
137	Orange	53.6%	6.86	34	\$7.66	4.35	88	73.9%	7.39	46	6.20	51
139	Page	43.2%	4.36	91	\$8.39	5.81	22	58.5%	5.85	97	5.34	87
141	Patrick	52.4%	6.58	44	\$7.29	3.62	113	64.8%	6.48	80	5.56	80
143	Pittsylvania	43.0%	4.31	93	\$7.57	4.17	95	63.2%	6.32	86	4.93	100
145	Powhatan	51.4%	6.32	52	\$9.33	7.67	6	55.6%	5.56	103	6.52	36
147	Prince Edward	49.7%	5.92	59	\$7.53	4.10	100	79.3%	7.93	27	5.98	64
149	Prince George	45.3%	4.88	84	\$7.95	4.93	61	76.0%	7.60	38	5.80	73
153	Prince William	49.8%	5.94	58	\$9.44	7.89	5	74.7%	7.47	44	7.10	11
155	Pulaski	40.5%	3.72	102	\$7.55	4.14	96	48.3%	4.83	111	4.23	113
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	10.00	1	\$8.19	5.41	34	66.7%	6.67	72	7.36	5
159	Richmond County	46.9%	5.25	70	\$7.55	4.14	97	33.3%	3.33	117	4.24	112
161	Roanoke County	61.8%	8.83	8	\$8.12	5.27	42	58.9%	5.89	95	6.66	25
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48.4%	5.63	63	\$7.31	3.66	111	100.0%	10.00	3	6.43	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	57.3%	7.75	14	\$8.14	5.31	38	66.0%	6.60	74	6.55	32
167	Russell	46.3%	5.11	78	\$7.42	3.88	108	67.0%	6.70	69	5.23	91
169	Scott	46.0%	5.05	80	\$7.28	3.60	114	96.4%	9.64	4	6.10	58
171	Shenandoah	56.7%	7.60	19	\$7.89	4.81	66	62.5%	6.25	88	6.22	50

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	33.6%	2.07	113	\$7.52	4.08	101	65.0%	6.50	79	4.22	114
175	<i>Southampton</i>	34.0%	2.15	112	\$9.27	7.55	7	57.1%	5.71	99	5.14	94
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	55.6%	7.35	24	\$8.36	5.75	26	79.3%	7.93	26	7.01	15
179	<i>Stafford</i>	46.5%	5.15	74	\$8.86	6.74	10	77.6%	7.76	32	6.55	33
181	<i>Surry</i>	53.4%	6.83	35	\$8.21	5.45	31	59.1%	5.91	94	6.06	61
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.2%	4.36	92	\$7.75	4.53	82	40.0%	4.00	116	4.30	111
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	54.2%	7.02	31	\$7.49	4.02	107	66.7%	6.67	73	5.90	67
187	<i>Warren</i>	52.3%	6.56	45	\$8.39	5.81	23	54.8%	5.48	104	5.95	65
191	<i>Washington</i>	52.3%	6.54	46	\$7.41	3.86	110	57.1%	5.71	100	5.37	85
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	57.1%	7.71	17	\$8.23	5.49	30	76.9%	7.69	34	6.96	17
195	<i>Wise</i>	54.3%	7.03	30	\$7.52	4.08	102	65.9%	6.59	75	5.90	68
197	<i>Wythe</i>	47.8%	5.46	64	\$7.54	4.12	98	68.2%	6.82	64	5.47	82
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	58.4%	8.02	12	\$7.70	4.43	86	86.4%	8.64	10	7.03	14
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.6%	5.67	61	\$9.58	8.17	4	75.2%	7.52	41	7.12	10
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.7%	5.46	65	\$7.77	4.57	78	60.6%	6.06	93	5.36	86
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	50.7%	6.18	55	\$8.49	6.00	19	67.9%	6.79	66	6.32	45
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.7%	9.28	5	\$7.81	4.65	74	78.2%	7.82	31	7.25	6
590	<i>Danville</i>	32.2%	1.72	117	\$7.68	4.39	87	56.1%	5.61	102	3.91	116
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	42.3%	4.15	94	\$8.12	5.27	43	54.6%	5.46	105	4.96	99
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	57.6%	7.82	13	\$8.35	5.73	27	76.0%	7.60	36	7.05	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	41.3%	3.92	101	\$7.61	4.25	92	63.6%	6.36	84	4.85	102
650	<i>Hampton</i>	54.8%	7.15	29	\$8.15	5.33	37	73.2%	7.32	47	6.60	30
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	41.9%	4.06	97	\$7.62	4.27	90	68.8%	6.88	61	5.07	96
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.2%	6.28	54	\$7.73	4.49	84	68.8%	6.88	60	5.88	69
683	<i>Manassas</i>	46.8%	5.22	72	\$8.92	6.86	9	83.8%	8.38	15	6.82	21
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	65.1%	9.63	2	\$8.49	6.00	20	72.7%	7.27	50	7.63	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	51.3%	6.32	53	\$7.99	5.01	56	71.3%	7.13	53	6.15	55
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.5%	7.31	25	\$7.62	4.27	91	76.3%	7.63	35	6.41	41
720	<i>Norton</i>	46.4%	5.14	76	\$7.07	3.18	118	81.3%	8.13	20	5.48	81
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	49.2%	5.80	60	\$8.05	5.13	50	78.8%	7.88	30	6.27	48
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.6%	6.62	42	\$7.99	5.01	57	68.7%	6.87	62	6.16	54
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.1%	8.18	10	\$7.77	4.57	79	75.7%	7.57	39	6.77	23
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	40.1%	3.63	104	\$8.10	5.23	45	68.6%	6.86	63	5.24	90
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.7%	4.97	82	\$8.10	5.23	46	66.8%	6.68	70	5.63	78
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.9%	6.93	32	\$8.02	5.07	53	70.7%	7.07	56	6.36	44
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.5%	5.64	62	\$8.21	5.45	32	71.8%	7.18	52	6.09	59
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	62.2%	8.93	7	\$7.59	4.21	93	64.7%	6.47	81	6.54	34
840	<i>Winchester</i>	44.4%	4.65	89	\$7.92	4.87	63	58.8%	5.88	96	5.13	95

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.9%	2.20	114	\$822	2.60	96	57.8%	6.68	31	3.83	104
003	Albemarle	74.7%	8.04	10	\$998	6.15	18	55.1%	5.94	56	6.71	13
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.6%	7.17	27	\$817	2.49	100	46.2%	3.52	107	4.39	91
007	Amelia	61.7%	4.39	94	\$943	5.04	35	45.1%	3.23	110	4.22	96
009	Amherst	67.9%	6.12	53	\$841	2.98	88	51.8%	5.04	77	4.71	81
011	Appomattox	71.1%	7.04	31	\$841	2.98	87	44.5%	3.04	113	4.36	93
013	Arlington	73.1%	7.61	19	\$1,039	6.99	11	64.0%	8.39	9	7.66	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.0%	6.15	51	\$938	4.94	42	54.8%	5.87	57	5.65	39
017	Bath	62.5%	4.61	90	\$706	0.25	119	54.2%	5.69	64	3.52	110
019	Bedford County/City	66.1%	5.64	68	\$829	2.73	95	55.2%	5.97	55	4.78	77
021	Bland	63.0%	4.75	86	\$822	2.59	97	47.4%	3.83	100	3.72	108
023	Botetourt	48.3%	0.61	119	\$915	4.48	54	41.5%	2.24	116	2.44	119
025	Brunswick	56.9%	3.03	112	\$812	2.39	104	57.2%	6.51	34	3.98	101
027	Buchanan	52.3%	1.74	116	\$814	2.43	101	54.7%	5.83	58	3.33	113
029	Buckingham	57.0%	3.07	111	\$926	4.70	46	53.1%	5.40	69	4.39	92
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.37	42	\$870	3.57	72	59.4%	7.11	24	5.68	38
033	Caroline	62.6%	4.64	88	\$945	5.07	34	43.7%	2.83	114	4.18	97
035	Carroll	66.5%	5.73	66	\$804	2.23	108	46.9%	3.70	103	3.89	102
036	Charles City	52.2%	1.72	117	\$863	3.41	76	56.4%	6.30	40	3.81	105
037	Charlotte	51.5%	1.52	118	\$899	4.14	62	47.8%	3.95	99	3.20	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.7%	5.52	72	\$1,000	6.19	16	60.8%	7.50	15	6.40	22
043	Clarke	61.6%	4.36	96	\$963	5.45	27	47.4%	3.83	101	4.55	86
045	Craig	64.0%	5.03	83	\$1,042	7.04	10	64.3%	8.45	8	6.84	11
047	Culpeper	65.3%	5.39	76	\$948	5.14	33	55.9%	6.16	47	5.56	42
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.33	109	\$940	4.97	40	48.8%	4.22	94	4.17	98
051	Dickensen	59.6%	3.81	102	\$805	2.24	107	48.6%	4.17	96	3.41	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.6%	6.05	57	\$941	5.00	38	51.7%	5.01	78	5.36	46
057	Essex	69.5%	6.59	41	\$837	2.90	91	41.1%	2.12	118	3.87	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	68.2%	6.21	49	\$1,112	8.45	4	61.9%	7.81	11	7.49	6
061	Fauquier	68.7%	6.35	44	\$995	6.08	19	61.5%	7.70	13	6.71	14
063	Floyd	70.1%	6.74	36	\$918	4.54	52	44.9%	3.17	111	4.82	74
065	Fluvanna	74.8%	8.07	9	\$1,085	7.90	5	54.6%	5.79	60	7.26	7
067	Franklin County	63.7%	4.94	84	\$931	4.80	45	49.8%	4.51	86	4.75	79
069	Frederick	59.4%	3.73	104	\$973	5.65	26	52.2%	5.16	76	4.85	72
071	Giles	58.7%	3.54	106	\$941	5.00	39	47.1%	3.77	102	4.10	99
073	Gloucester	66.7%	5.80	64	\$835	2.86	92	55.5%	6.06	50	4.91	68
075	Goochland	64.3%	5.13	80	\$865	3.46	75	54.6%	5.79	61	4.80	76
077	Grayson	65.6%	5.49	73	\$830	2.75	94	56.3%	6.28	42	4.84	73

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.7%	8.33	5	\$911	4.39	55	49.5%	4.41	90	5.71	37
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.5%	3.19	110	\$796	2.06	111	56.0%	6.18	46	3.81	107
083	Halifax	58.5%	3.49	107	\$821	2.57	98	47.9%	3.98	98	3.35	112
085	Hanover	62.9%	4.72	87	\$1,057	7.35	8	65.3%	8.74	6	6.94	8
087	Henrico	68.0%	6.17	50	\$1,011	6.41	14	56.3%	6.28	43	6.29	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.6%	5.21	78	\$923	4.64	48	53.7%	5.56	68	5.14	55
091	Highland	71.4%	7.13	29	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.28	19	4.80	75
093	Isle of Wight	71.7%	7.21	25	\$898	4.12	64	55.3%	6.00	51	5.78	33
095	James City	71.0%	7.02	32	\$878	3.72	69	51.6%	4.99	79	5.24	51
097	King and Queen	53.0%	1.93	115	\$881	3.79	68	34.3%	0.25	119	1.99	120
099	King George	67.8%	6.09	55	\$910	4.38	56	49.4%	4.39	91	4.95	66
101	King William	61.2%	4.24	98	\$974	5.66	25	45.5%	3.33	109	4.41	90
103	Lancaster	67.8%	6.10	54	\$831	2.77	93	49.4%	4.39	92	4.42	89
105	Lee	65.6%	5.47	74	\$760	1.35	116	60.8%	7.49	16	4.77	78
107	Loudoun	78.7%	9.18	3	\$1,167	9.57	2	65.4%	8.75	5	9.17	1
109	Louisa	61.9%	4.45	93	\$950	5.19	30	54.3%	5.72	63	5.12	56
111	Lunenburg	46.1%	0.00	120	\$728	0.69	117	59.8%	7.22	21	2.64	118
113	Madison	65.8%	5.54	71	\$987	5.94	20	46.6%	3.62	104	5.03	61
115	Mathews	61.7%	4.38	95	\$857	3.29	78	54.1%	5.67	65	4.45	88
117	Mecklenburg	67.7%	6.08	56	\$812	2.39	103	58.2%	6.78	28	5.09	58
119	Middlesex	66.0%	5.60	69	\$869	3.54	73	57.5%	6.61	32	5.25	50
121	Montgomery	80.0%	9.54	2	\$918	4.54	51	54.1%	5.67	66	6.58	16
125	Nelson	68.3%	6.25	47	\$1,000	6.19	17	50.5%	4.69	84	5.71	35
127	New Kent	61.3%	4.26	97	\$1,002	6.23	15	50.4%	4.67	85	5.05	59
131	Northampton	62.1%	4.49	92	\$803	2.21	109	66.3%	9.00	2	5.23	52
133	Northumberland	60.5%	4.04	100	\$838	2.92	89	41.2%	2.14	117	3.03	117
135	Nottoway	67.9%	6.15	52	\$872	3.60	71	55.3%	5.99	53	5.25	49
137	Orange	72.0%	7.28	23	\$942	5.02	36	43.6%	2.79	115	5.03	62
139	Page	70.1%	6.74	37	\$976	5.71	24	55.7%	6.10	49	6.18	27
141	Patrick	66.8%	5.81	61	\$803	2.21	110	66.0%	8.91	3	5.65	40
143	Pittsylvania	56.7%	2.98	113	\$852	3.19	83	51.0%	4.84	82	3.67	109
145	Powhatan	59.6%	3.79	103	\$921	4.59	50	54.4%	5.75	62	4.71	82
147	Prince Edward	73.1%	7.58	20	\$855	3.25	81	59.9%	7.26	20	6.03	30
149	Prince George	62.2%	4.52	91	\$980	5.79	22	49.6%	4.45	89	4.92	67
153	Prince William	73.7%	7.75	17	\$1,188	10.00	1	61.8%	7.76	12	8.51	2
155	Pulaski	64.7%	5.24	77	\$924	4.66	47	44.9%	3.15	112	4.35	94
157	Rappahannock	65.5%	5.46	75	\$958	5.35	28	48.5%	4.14	97	4.98	63
159	Richmond County	64.4%	5.14	79	\$922	4.61	49	33.3%	0.00	120	3.25	114
161	Roanoke County	75.0%	8.13	7	\$1,022	6.64	12	52.6%	5.26	71	6.67	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.7%	7.76	16	\$847	3.10	85	55.3%	5.99	54	5.62	41
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.8%	7.79	15	\$941	5.01	37	58.3%	6.81	27	6.54	18
167	Russell	62.5%	4.61	89	\$838	2.91	90	57.3%	6.55	33	4.69	83
169	Scott	58.4%	3.46	108	\$862	3.41	77	65.1%	8.67	7	5.18	54
171	Shenandoah	66.4%	5.72	67	\$902	4.21	61	52.3%	5.17	75	5.04	60

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	60.2%	3.96	101	\$805	2.25	106	52.5%	5.22	73	3.81	106
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.0%	3.62	105	\$813	2.42	102	46.4%	3.56	105	3.20	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.8%	6.66	39	\$1,017	6.54	13	56.3%	6.28	44	6.49	20
179	<i>Stafford</i>	61.0%	4.18	99	\$1,125	8.71	3	58.1%	6.77	29	6.55	17
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.6%	6.89	35	\$852	3.20	82	49.7%	4.46	88	4.85	71
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.7%	5.78	65	\$936	4.89	43	57.1%	6.49	36	5.72	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.4%	6.27	46	\$787	1.88	115	56.8%	6.40	37	4.85	70
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.0%	6.72	38	\$982	5.83	21	56.0%	6.20	45	6.25	25
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.7%	5.80	63	\$874	3.64	70	49.0%	4.27	93	4.57	85
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.9%	5.57	70	\$904	4.26	60	50.8%	4.78	83	4.87	69
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.2%	5.08	82	\$789	1.93	113	57.1%	6.49	35	4.50	87
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.5%	6.03	58	\$819	2.53	99	56.4%	6.30	41	4.95	64
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.2%	5.09	81	\$867	3.49	74	46.3%	3.54	106	4.04	100
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.00	33	\$1,043	7.06	9	70.0%	10.00	1	8.02	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.7%	6.91	34	\$848	3.11	84	48.7%	4.19	95	4.74	80
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	1	\$918	4.54	53	55.8%	6.13	48	6.89	10
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.1%	7.88	14	\$906	4.29	59	56.6%	6.34	39	6.17	29
590	<i>Danville</i>	67.0%	5.86	60	\$789	1.93	114	52.4%	5.20	74	4.33	95
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.7%	6.36	43	\$793	2.00	112	53.9%	5.63	67	4.66	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.8%	8.63	4	\$977	5.72	23	54.6%	5.81	59	6.72	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.5%	4.89	85	\$855	3.27	79	59.5%	7.15	22	5.10	57
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.5%	7.15	28	\$898	4.12	63	52.7%	5.29	70	5.52	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.5%	6.59	40	\$906	4.29	58	51.3%	4.91	80	5.26	48
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	72.1%	7.32	22	\$810	2.35	105	62.3%	7.91	10	5.86	31
683	<i>Manassas</i>	66.7%	5.80	62	\$1,081	7.83	6	59.4%	7.12	23	6.92	9
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.9%	8.11	8	\$1,064	7.48	7	65.5%	8.78	4	8.13	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.3%	7.65	18	\$909	4.34	57	58.3%	6.82	26	6.27	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.7%	7.21	24	\$846	3.07	86	55.3%	5.99	52	5.43	45
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.7%	8.04	11	\$724	0.61	118	60.1%	7.30	18	5.32	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.6%	7.17	26	\$935	4.87	44	57.9%	6.70	30	6.25	26
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	74.3%	7.95	12	\$890	3.97	67	61.0%	7.55	14	6.49	21
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.4%	7.40	21	\$893	4.03	65	45.9%	3.43	108	4.95	65
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.3%	7.10	30	\$948	5.14	32	60.3%	7.35	17	6.53	19
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	67.2%	5.94	59	\$949	5.16	31	49.8%	4.49	87	5.20	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.4%	8.24	6	\$855	3.26	80	59.1%	7.04	25	6.18	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.3%	6.24	48	\$939	4.95	41	56.6%	6.35	38	5.85	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.2%	7.91	13	\$891	3.98	66	52.5%	5.24	72	5.71	36
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.4%	6.28	45	\$954	5.25	29	51.1%	4.84	81	5.46	44

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2009 – March 31, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	9,494	473	298	706	310	185	1,120	105	185	398	347	328	1,571	416	104	926	371	1,581	70
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	88%	93%	91%	91%	93%	84%	82%	93%	92%	95%	92%	93%	87%	78%	88%	95%	81%	87%	80%
% Timely in March 2010	91%	89%	90%	97%	100%	89%	86%	100%	90%	98%	96%	96%	90%	71%	93%	91%	83%	94%	90%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	13,458	740	535	1,201	518	237	1,456	175	365	581	729	461	1,931	463	167	1,209	470	2,094	126
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	97%	98%	95%	99%	94%	93%	95%	97%	94%	98%	97%	94%	92%	96%	91%	91%	91%	97%
Percent in March 2010	94%	98%	97%	93%	100%	89%	92%	100%	98%	94%	100%	95%	98%	88%	93%	93%	93%	89%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	123	5	0	4	1	7	15	2	6	2	5	3	29	22	1	13	3	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	36	33	0	18	39	19	26	107	101	37	45	17	56	21	10	22	10	9	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	473	34	12	80	66	94	57	111	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	92%	95%	100%	91%	96%	88%	79%
% Timely in March 2010	89%	100%	83%	85%	100%	78%	96%	86%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	740	55	45	106	107	82	134	192	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	96%	93%	100%	100%	98%	100%	95%	74%
Percent in March 2010	98%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	13	0	18	0	0	104

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	298	6	35	11	58	51	20	82	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	100%	100%	100%	84%	96%	65%	98%	83%
% Timely in March 2010	90%	N/A	100%	100%	76%	95%	80%	94%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	535	10	62	17	108	94	58	125	61
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	98%	89%
Percent in March 2010	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Allegheny/ Covington</i>	<i>Botet- ourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	706	52	6	1	6	61	12	105	22	89	21	331
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	92%	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%	96%	100%	96%	71%	92%
% Timely in March 2010	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1201	57	12	4	11	73	28	170	117	112	38	579
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	98%	83%	100%	100%	66%	100%	98%	100%	96%	97%	95%
Percent in March 2010	93%	100%	50%	N/A	100%	57%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	310	175	5	0	21	109
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	100%	N/A	90%	83%
% Timely in March 2010	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	518	299	5	0	28	186
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	80%	N/A	86%	99%
Percent in March 2010	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	0	39

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	185	2	67	32	5	38	41	70	31	39
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	84%	100%	66%	97%	60%	97%	95%	80%	77%	82%
% Timely in March 2010	89%	N/A	65%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	237	3	58	63	9	56	48	126	83	43
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	90%	100%	100%	93%	92%	97%	98%	95%
Percent in March 2010	89%	N/A	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,120	65	359	110	401	127	43	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	82%	100%	91%	92%	70%	97%	37%	87%
% Timely in March 2010	86%	100%	86%	100%	75%	96%	75%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1456	120	440	108	534	166	61	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	89%	97%	94%	93%	79%	96%
Percent in March 2010	92%	100%	90%	100%	93%	89%	79%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	15	0	2	0	11	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	26	0	30	0	3	7

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	105	41	29	7	27	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	95%	93%	86%	96%	0%
% Timely in March 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	175	64	67	15	28	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	95%	97%	93%	93%	100%
Percent in March 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	2	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	107	107	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	185	28	7	22	22	4	102
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	43%	64%	95%	100%	99%
% Timely in March 2010	90%	100%	33%	60%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	365	71	2	23	64	19	186
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	97%	100%	87%	97%	100%	98%
Percent in March 2010	98%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	5	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	101	0	119	13	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	398	29	30	66	104	169
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	93%	100%	98%	92%
% Timely in March 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	581	50	56	103	126	246
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	96%	100%	93%	94%	91%
Percent in March 2010	94%	88%	100%	100%	92%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	0	0	0	37

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	347	28	142	45	33	99
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	96%	86%	98%	100%	95%
% Timely in March 2010	96%	94%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	729	91	242	68	83	245
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	99%	97%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in March 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	1	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	7	54	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	328	21	48	19	13	19	40	28	62	32	46
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	81%	94%	100%	100%	95%	98%	89%	98%	81%	93%
% Timely in March 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	461	24	61	42	40	22	53	26	81	46	66
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	85%	98%
Percent in March 2010	95%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	35	0	0	0	7	0	10	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,571	284	12	68	471	8	728
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	87%	90%	83%	97%	82%	100%	89%
% Timely in March 2010	90%	94%	78%	100%	76%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1931	397	13	73	547	9	892
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	96%	92%	88%	95%	89%	93%
Percent in March 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	29	4	1	0	21	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	56	127	13	0	51	0	9

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	416	84	11	142	100	79
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	78%	51%	100%	80%	87%	87%
% Timely in March 2010	71%	24%	100%	74%	82%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	463	79	23	140	124	97
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	92%	100%	87%	94%	94%
Percent in March 2010	88%	100%	100%	64%	100%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	22	14	0	3	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	24	0	8	20.2	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	104	39	2	13	8	9	11	4	6	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	88%	79%	100%	100%	88%	89%	100%	100%	83%	92%
% Timely in March 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	75%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	167	43	13	25	10	5	16	10	20	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	96%	98%	92%	96%	100%	100%	88%	100%	95%	96%
Percent in March 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	926	5	29	36	11	42	310	473	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	40%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	92%	80%
% Timely in March 2010	91%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%	25%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1209	4	55	54	19	47	363	645	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	50%	93%	98%	100%	87%	94%	89%	100%
Percent in March 2010	93%	50%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	93%	91%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	4
Average # of Days Over 30	22	77	0	0	0	0	0	7	40

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	371	8	20	20	31	109	183
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	81%	100%	100%	90%	97%	57%	89%
% Timely in March 2010	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	36%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	470	47	34	24	38	112	215
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	98%	94%	100%	100%	95%	85%
Percent in March 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	88%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	12	5

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - March 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,581	40	42	277	12	578	292	88	252
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	87%	85%	86%	89%	100%	78%	94%	97%	96%
% Timely in March 2010	94%	84%	62%	94%	90%	97%	91%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	2094	54	46	329	51	622	406	169	417
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	91%	100%	92%	98%	83%	94%	96%	94%
Percent in March 2010	89%	100%	100%	84%	100%	79%	91%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	3	19	0	0	0	8	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Mar-09</i>	<i>Mar-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	16,319	15,908	-2.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,911	131,264	1.0%
Total Cases Collected	146,230	147,172	0.6%
TANF Collections	\$7,206,058	\$5,801,027	-19.5%
NTANF Collections	\$57,580,093	\$57,274,739	-0.5%
Total Collections	\$64,786,151	\$63,075,766	-2.6%
SFY YTD Collections	\$466,563,779	\$463,415,935	-0.7%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$365	\$364	-0.3%

Paternities Established

	<i>Mar-10</i>
TANF Paternities	313
NTANF Paternities	556
Combined Paternities	869

Diversionsary Assistance

March Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	3		New Kent	1
Alleghany / Covington	2		Orange	2
Amherst	1		Patrick	1
Appomattox	1		Pittsylvania	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	14		Prince William	3
Bedford City	3		Pulaski	5
Buckingham	1		Roanoke County	8
Campbell	4		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	3
Caroline	1		Rockingham / H'burg	6
Charlotte	1		Shenandoah	13
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	19		Spotsylvania	15
Clarke	2		Stafford	8
Culpeper	4		Warren	4
Cumberland	1		York / Poquoson	2
Dickensen	2		Bristol	6
Dinwiddie	2		Charlottesville	6
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Chesapeake	3
Floyd	6		Danville	1
Franklin County	5		Fredricksburg	1
Frederick	12		Hampton	11
Greene	8		Hopewell	6
Halifax	1		Mannassas	1
Hanover	2		Manassas Park	1
Henrico	4		Newport News	17
Henry / Martinsville	5		Norfolk	17
Isle of Wight	1		Petersburg	3
James City	2		Richmond City	6
Loudoun	4		Roanoke City	11
Louisa	1		Suffolk	6
Lunenburg	1		Virginia Beach	6
Madison	3		Winchester	5
Montgomery	1		Statewide	298

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of morning of April 1, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:
william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Perhaps of note is that January 2010 saw the first monthly decline for some time. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

Mar 31, 2010




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March, it was \$8.20 rather than the \$8.05 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
 Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.