

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**April 2010**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services May 26, 2010

# Monthly Report, April 2010

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for April

*These are estimated locality April 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 19,401 and a numerator of 8,047.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	71	15	21%	061	Fauquier	70	28	40%
003	Albemarle	71	25	35%	063	Floyd	29	15	52%
005	Alleghany/Covington	79	11	14%	065	Fluvanna	23	0	0%
007	Amelia	32	13	41%	067	Franklin County	118	33	28%
009	Amherst	44	17	39%	069	Frederick	93	26	28%
011	Appomattox	41	21	51%	071	Giles	16	7	44%
013	Arlington	145	42	29%	073	Gloucester	62	21	34%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	321	175	55%	075	Goochland	25	4	16%
017	Bath	6	3	50%	077	Grayson	25	11	44%
019	Bedford County/City	117	46	39%	079	Greene	36	10	28%
021	Bland	10	6	60%	081	Greensville/Emporia	77	22	29%
023	Botetourt	22	1	5%	083	Halifax	93	26	28%
025	Brunswick	72	34	47%	085	Hanover	120	27	23%
027	Buchanan	69	29	42%	087	Henrico	888	327	37%
029	Buckingham	47	21	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	315	102	32%
031	Campbell	173	86	50%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	116	45	39%	093	Isle of Wight	83	33	40%
035	Carroll	62	42	68%	095	James City	80	36	45%
036	Charles City	10	0	0%	097	King and Queen	7	4	57%
037	Charlotte	33	17	52%	099	King George	18	6	33%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	566	269	48%	101	King William	27	14	52%
043	Clarke	10	1	10%	103	Lancaster	16	5	31%
045	Craig	4	1	25%	105	Lee	132	61	46%
047	Culpeper	90	32	36%	107	Loudoun	165	53	32%
049	Cumberland	36	18	50%	109	Louisa	50	24	48%
051	Dickensen	34	15	44%	111	Lunenburg	35	3	9%
053	Dinwiddie	52	19	37%	113	Madison	14	3	21%
057	Essex	74	29	39%	115	Mathews	9	4	44%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	727	290	40%	117	Mecklenburg	95	50	53%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	21	3	14%	187	Warren	75	36	48%
121	Montgomery	235	118	50%	191	Washington	94	45	48%
125	Nelson	13	6	46%	193	Westmoreland	42	15	36%
127	New Kent	23	5	22%	195	Wise	222	97	44%
131	Northampton	57	24	42%	197	Wythe	65	25	38%
133	Northumberland	9	6	67%	199	York/Poquoson	72	32	44%
135	Nottoway	63	18	29%	510	Alexandria	290	136	47%
137	Orange	42	11	26%	520	Bristol	141	76	54%
139	Page	41	14	34%	540	Charlottesville	171	94	55%
141	Patrick	48	29	60%	550	Chesapeake	718	374	52%
143	Pittsylvania	88	29	33%	590	Danville	216	82	38%
145	Powhatan	23	10	43%	620	Franklin City	37	18	49%
147	Prince Edward	73	30	41%	630	Fredericksburg	121	64	53%
149	Prince George	40	19	48%	640	Galax	45	20	44%
153	Prince William	789	301	38%	650	Hampton	709	340	48%
155	Pulaski	72	26	36%	670	Hopewell	216	66	31%
157	Rappahannock	2	1	50%	680	Lynchburg	370	131	35%
159	Richmond County	7	3	43%	683	Manassas	96	35	36%
161	Roanoke County	182	85	47%	685	Manassas Park	20	9	45%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	44	14	32%	700	Newport News	1,046	442	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	154	71	46%	710	Norfolk	1,333	690	52%
167	Russell	117	52	44%	720	Norton	38	14	37%
169	Scott	105	46	44%	730	Petersburg	383	173	45%
171	Shenandoah	16	9	56%	740	Portsmouth	682	259	38%
173	Smyth	109	35	32%	750	Radford	41	15	37%
175	Southampton	69	21	30%	760	Richmond City	1,776	673	38%
177	Spotsylvania	229	70	31%	770	Roanoke City	650	196	30%
179	Stafford	186	84	45%	800	Suffolk	176	91	52%
181	Surry	31	9	29%	810	Virginia Beach	539	270	50%
183	Sussex	40	14	35%	830	Williamsburg	24	1	4%
185	Tazewell	149	59	40%	840	Winchester	61	28	46%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# *Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for April*

*These are estimated locality April 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 37% with a denominator of 17,564 and a numerator of 6,417. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	67	12	18%	061	Fauquier	58	17	29%
003	Albemarle	55	12	22%	063	Floyd	23	9	39%
005	Alleghany/Covington	73	9	12%	065	Fluvanna	21	0	0%
007	Amelia	32	13	41%	067	Franklin County	108	25	23%
009	Amherst	42	15	36%	069	Frederick	85	19	22%
011	Appomattox	38	19	50%	071	Giles	9	1	11%
013	Arlington	128	26	20%	073	Gloucester	54	13	24%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	293	149	51%	075	Goochland	24	3	13%
017	Bath	6	3	50%	077	Grayson	25	11	44%
019	Bedford County/City	105	34	32%	079	Greene	33	8	24%
021	Bland	8	4	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	72	17	24%
023	Botetourt	21	1	5%	083	Halifax	86	19	22%
025	Brunswick	64	28	44%	085	Hanover	114	22	19%
027	Buchanan	62	23	37%	087	Henrico	816	263	32%
029	Buckingham	41	15	37%	089	Henry/Martinsville	289	81	28%
031	Campbell	150	63	42%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	110	40	36%	093	Isle of Wight	76	26	34%
035	Carroll	51	32	63%	095	James City	67	28	42%
036	Charles City	8	0	0%	097	King and Queen	5	2	40%
037	Charlotte	30	14	47%	099	King George	17	5	29%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	517	221	43%	101	King William	25	13	52%
043	Clarke	9	1	11%	103	Lancaster	16	5	31%
045	Craig	3	0	0%	105	Lee	124	53	43%
047	Culpeper	79	25	32%	107	Loudoun	146	34	23%
049	Cumberland	33	15	45%	109	Louisa	48	22	46%
051	Dickensen	28	9	32%	111	Lunenburg	34	3	9%
053	Dinwiddie	43	10	23%	113	Madison	12	2	17%
057	Essex	69	24	35%	115	Mathews	8	3	38%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	655	226	35%	117	Mecklenburg	88	43	49%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	2	11%	187	Warren	63	25	40%
121	Montgomery	209	94	45%	191	Washington	86	38	44%
125	Nelson	8	2	25%	193	Westmoreland	38	15	39%
127	New Kent	22	5	23%	195	Wise	198	76	38%
131	Northampton	50	17	34%	197	Wythe	58	18	31%
133	Northumberland	5	2	40%	199	York/Poquoson	68	28	41%
135	Nottoway	58	15	26%	510	Alexandria	251	98	39%
137	Orange	41	11	27%	520	Bristol	127	62	49%
139	Page	38	12	32%	540	Charlottesville	146	71	49%
141	Patrick	43	24	56%	550	Chesapeake	670	328	49%
143	Pittsylvania	85	27	32%	590	Danville	203	72	35%
145	Powhatan	17	5	29%	620	Franklin City	32	15	47%
147	Prince Edward	67	24	36%	630	Fredericksburg	98	45	46%
149	Prince George	37	17	46%	640	Galax	41	16	39%
153	Prince William	677	198	29%	650	Hampton	638	281	44%
155	Pulaski	62	16	26%	670	Hopewell	198	50	25%
157	Rappahannock	1	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	336	100	30%
159	Richmond County	6	2	33%	683	Manassas	86	26	30%
161	Roanoke County	167	70	42%	685	Manassas Park	16	7	44%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	40	10	25%	700	Newport News	951	357	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	130	48	37%	710	Norfolk	1,240	602	49%
167	Russell	112	47	42%	720	Norton	36	12	33%
169	Scott	95	41	43%	730	Petersburg	345	144	42%
171	Shenandoah	13	6	46%	740	Portsmouth	620	200	32%
173	Smyth	104	30	29%	750	Radford	38	13	34%
175	Southampton	64	17	27%	760	Richmond City	1,635	561	34%
177	Spotsylvania	216	60	28%	770	Roanoke City	588	137	23%
179	Stafford	161	60	37%	800	Suffolk	159	76	48%
181	Surry	28	7	25%	810	Virginia Beach	479	212	44%
183	Sussex	36	11	31%	830	Williamsburg	21	0	0%
185	Tazewell	127	37	29%	840	Winchester	58	25	43%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for April*

*These are estimated locality April 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 1,837 and a numerator of 1,630. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	3	75%	061	Fauquier	12	11	92%
003	Albemarle	16	13	81%	063	Floyd	6	6	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	6	2	33%	065	Fluvanna	2	0	0%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	10	8	80%
009	Amherst	2	2	100%	069	Frederick	8	7	88%
011	Appomattox	3	2	67%	071	Giles	7	6	86%
013	Arlington	17	16	94%	073	Gloucester	8	8	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	28	26	93%	075	Goochland	1	1	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	0	0	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	12	12	100%	079	Greene	3	2	67%
021	Bland	2	2	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	5	5	100%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	8	6	75%	085	Hanover	6	5	83%
027	Buchanan	7	6	86%	087	Henrico	72	64	89%
029	Buckingham	6	6	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	21	81%
031	Campbell	23	23	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	6	5	83%	093	Isle of Wight	7	7	100%
035	Carroll	11	10	91%	095	James City	13	8	62%
036	Charles City	2	0	0%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	3	3	100%	099	King George	1	1	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	49	48	98%	101	King William	2	1	50%
043	Clarke	1	0	0%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	8	8	100%
047	Culpeper	11	7	64%	107	Loudoun	19	19	100%
049	Cumberland	3	3	100%	109	Louisa	2	2	100%
051	Dickensen	6	6	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	9	9	100%	113	Madison	2	1	50%
057	Essex	5	5	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	72	64	89%	117	Mecklenburg	7	7	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	1	50%	187	Warren	12	11	92%
121	Montgomery	26	24	92%	191	Washington	8	7	88%
125	Nelson	5	4	80%	193	Westmoreland	4	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	0	0%	195	Wise	24	21	88%
131	Northampton	7	7	100%	197	Wythe	7	7	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	5	3	60%	510	Alexandria	39	38	97%
137	Orange	1	0	0%	520	Bristol	14	14	100%
139	Page	3	2	67%	540	Charlottesville	25	23	92%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	48	46	96%
143	Pittsylvania	3	2	67%	590	Danville	13	10	77%
145	Powhatan	6	5	83%	620	Franklin City	5	3	60%
147	Prince Edward	6	6	100%	630	Fredericksburg	23	19	83%
149	Prince George	3	2	67%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	112	103	92%	650	Hampton	71	59	83%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	18	16	89%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	34	31	91%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	10	9	90%
161	Roanoke County	15	15	100%	685	Manassas Park	4	2	50%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	95	85	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	24	23	96%	710	Norfolk	93	88	95%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	10	5	50%	730	Petersburg	38	29	76%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	62	59	95%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	3	2	67%
175	Southampton	5	4	80%	760	Richmond City	141	112	79%
177	Spotsylvania	13	10	77%	770	Roanoke City	62	59	95%
179	Stafford	25	24	96%	800	Suffolk	17	15	88%
181	Surry	3	2	67%	810	Virginia Beach	60	58	97%
183	Sussex	4	3	75%	830	Williamsburg	3	1	33%
185	Tazewell	22	22	100%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Apr-10	Apr-09	Change from Apr-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,000	5,255	-4.9%	4,807	4%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,539	2,945	-14%	1,658	53%
Applications Approved	2,376	2,808	-15%	2,820	-16%
Cases Closed *	2,494	2,360	6%	4,476	-44%
Cases at End of Month	34,132	30,429	12%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	35,128	31,383	12%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,494 closed cases, 919 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 533 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 125 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 129 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Apr-10	Apr-09	Change from Apr-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	526	573	-8.2%	338	56%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	316	348	-9%	232	36%
Applications Approved	254	331	-23%	81	214%
Cases Closed *	263	236	11%	107	146%
Cases at End of Month	2,164	1,634	32%	321	574%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,291	1,771	29%	394	481%

•The database indicates that of the 263 closed cases, 125 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 81 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 16 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 13 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	36,296	1,959	1,113	2,807	1,074	589	4,312	420	723	1,375	1,633	1,100	5,944	1,138	420	3,402	1,339	6,643	305
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,299	770	500	1,173	456	284	1,800	137	290	681	532	546	2,524	639	181	1,429	665	2,595	97
Part. in a Work Activity	10,966	584	395	754	335	199	1,366	94	234	476	367	396	1,732	407	139	1,044	394	1,997	53
% of Enrolled	72%	76%	79%	64%	73%	70%	76%	69%	81%	70%	69%	73%	69%	64%	77%	73%	59%	77%	55%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	160	6	4	11	0	11	24	2	2	8	11	0	25	19	5	4	9	19	0
Participants Employed	7,213	418	261	547	275	121	775	73	146	319	227	254	981	307	92	750	258	1,365	44
% of Enrolled	47%	54%	52%	47%	60%	43%	43%	53%	50%	47%	43%	47%	39%	48%	51%	52%	39%	53%	45%
Full Time	5,670	383	224	434	228	88	560	53	105	240	185	167	750	238	70	577	199	1,137	32
Part Time	1,541	35	37	113	47	33	215	20	41	79	42	87	231	69	22	173	57	228	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$7.52	\$7.64	\$7.87	\$7.85	\$8.44	\$9.32	\$8.26	\$8.34	\$7.74	\$7.59	\$7.86	\$8.14	\$8.32	\$7.89	\$7.94	\$7.80	\$7.87	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,032	\$1,020	\$1,051	\$1,053	\$1,077	\$1,215	\$1,055	\$1,078	\$1,028	\$1,033	\$971	\$1,090	\$1,063	\$1,039	\$1,038	\$1,005	\$1,037	\$1,072

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	28,343	1,585	1,071	2,477	1,029	581	3,290	349	640	1,140	1,377	876	3,986	999	348	2,587	944	4,824	240
Total Enrolled in VIEW	33,676	1,789	1,291	2,788	1,039	666	3,806	387	714	1,420	1,527	1,134	4,930	1,258	426	3,147	1,316	5,782	256
Part. in a Work Activity	30,068	1,620	1,184	2,420	989	572	3,442	353	671	1,277	1,384	1,020	4,420	1,073	380	2,822	1,125	5,093	223
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	92%	87%	95%	86%	90%	91%	94%	90%	91%	90%	90%	85%	89%	90%	85%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	16,842	943	611	1,388	580	313	1,859	208	372	750	624	512	2,193	670	220	1,683	624	3,185	107
% of Total Enrolled	50%	53%	47%	50%	56%	47%	49%	54%	52%	53%	41%	45%	44%	53%	52%	53%	47%	55%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$7.49	\$7.60	\$7.99	\$7.86	\$8.30	\$9.76	\$8.44	\$8.36	\$7.83	\$7.66	\$7.75	\$8.34	\$8.43	\$8.00	\$8.03	\$7.92	\$7.87	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,008	\$1,004	\$1,078	\$1,048	\$1,071	\$1,292	\$1,108	\$990	\$1,026	\$1,014	\$953	\$1,109	\$1,070	\$1,004	\$1,056	\$1,050	\$1,042	\$1,061
Three Months Employment <sup>1</sup>	72%	73%	62%	67%	77%	60%	74%	73%	66%	67%	59%	73%	75%	78%	65%	73%	72%	74%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	236,634	15,406	8,940	17,464	6,829	4,351	29,475	3,361	5,093	8,900	11,217	6,743	31,460	6,780	3,045	22,234	8,042	45,130	2,164
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	178,583	11,693	7,459	13,071	5,133	3,170	22,515	2,524	3,849	7,290	8,945	5,102	24,236	4,836	2,203	16,270	6,231	32,490	1,566
Part. in a Work Activity	171,015	11,239	7,125	12,474	4,992	3,022	21,758	2,439	3,750	6,899	8,634	4,869	23,372	4,577	2,077	15,559	5,948	30,774	1,507
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	123,399	7,393	4,931	9,079	3,621	2,119	16,090	1,714	2,928	5,087	5,659	3,148	16,731	3,286	1,417	11,676	4,316	23,306	898
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	67%	71%	68%	76%	70%	63%	62%	69%	68%	64%	72%	69%	72%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$6.05	\$6.13	\$6.92	\$6.96	\$7.14	\$8.45	\$7.28	\$7.24	\$6.38	\$6.24	\$6.54	\$7.19	\$7.70	\$6.78	\$6.83	\$6.83	\$6.69	\$6.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$928	\$798	\$836	\$936	\$930	\$965	\$1,129	\$965	\$939	\$834	\$837	\$855	\$974	\$1,009	\$874	\$901	\$928	\$882	\$818
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	57%	52%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	58%	53%	55%	60%	54%	47%	56%	54%	57%	62%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,959	205	102	303	283	226	307	476	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	770	49	24	130	124	145	97	177	24
Participants in a Work Activity	584	39	15	106	109	87	89	123	16
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	63%	82%	88%	60%	92%	69%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	418	20	13	89	52	61	63	104	16
% of Enrolled	54%	41%	54%	68%	42%	42%	65%	59%	67%
Full Time	383	19	13	83	46	53	57	97	15
Part Time	35	1	0	6	6	8	6	7	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$7.85	\$7.35	\$7.19	\$8.12	\$7.32	\$7.42	\$7.65	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$1,081	\$1,101	\$957	\$1,106	\$977	\$1,008	\$1,091	\$1,020

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,585	113	74	218	227	180	295	426	52
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,789	134	103	272	274	212	284	453	57
Participants in a Work Activity	1,620	122	85	252	264	201	265	390	41
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	83%	93%	96%	95%	93%	86%	72%
Participants Employed	943	67	59	162	125	98	160	244	28
% of Total Enrolled	53%	50%	57%	60%	46%	46%	56%	54%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.49	\$8.05	\$7.53	\$7.17	\$7.59	\$7.33	\$7.51	\$7.55	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$1,154	\$1,027	\$922	\$1,004	\$998	\$990	\$1,044	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	66%	63%	88%	69%	96%	67%	66%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,406	1,573	1,089	2,137	2,108	1,471	2,965	3,570	493
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,693	1,193	829	1,594	1,674	1,047	2,251	2,721	384
Participants in a Work Activity	11,239	1,112	782	1,577	1,596	1,028	2,170	2,619	355
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,393	626	495	1,049	1,042	609	1,543	1,741	288
% of Total Enrolled	63%	52%	60%	66%	62%	58%	69%	64%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.05	\$6.26	\$6.12	\$5.74	\$6.27	\$6.30	\$5.99	\$6.04	\$5.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$798	\$815	\$806	\$761	\$841	\$863	\$788	\$791	\$726
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	54%	49%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,113	15	130	48	222	226	127	259	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	500	9	58	17	88	94	43	145	46
Participants in a Work Activity	395	7	54	15	72	65	35	115	32
% of Enrolled	79%	78%	93%	88%	82%	69%	81%	79%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Participants Employed	261	5	37	12	42	50	25	68	22
% of Enrolled	52%	56%	64%	71%	48%	53%	58%	47%	48%
Full Time	224	3	31	9	38	45	22	58	18
Part Time	37	2	6	3	4	5	3	10	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.64	\$8.38	\$7.46	\$7.69	\$7.60	\$7.36	\$7.44	\$8.00	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,020	\$849	\$1,032	\$1,063	\$1,037	\$986	\$940	\$1,053	\$1,051

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,071	15	123	48	208	197	137	243	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,291	14	151	43	234	258	147	322	122
Participants in a Work Activity	1,184	13	145	40	210	234	137	296	109
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	96%	93%	90%	91%	93%	92%	89%
Participants Employed	611	8	81	23	78	138	69	161	53
% of Total Enrolled	47%	57%	54%	53%	33%	53%	47%	50%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.60	\$8.23	\$7.48	\$7.71	\$7.58	\$7.41	\$7.51	\$7.80	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,004	\$1,086	\$992	\$1,123	\$1,007	\$980	\$961	\$990	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	50%	76%	47%	63%	56%	71%	60%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	8,940	225	1,225	596	1,539	1,520	1,385	1,809	641
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,459	174	1011	479	1270	1252	1106	1,610	557
Participants in a Work Activity	7,125	162	922	443	1214	1219	1085	1,553	527
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	91%	92%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,931	110	671	317	761	836	744	1,137	355
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	66%	66%	60%	67%	67%	71%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.13	\$6.03	\$5.93	\$6.22	\$5.88	\$6.41	\$6.16	\$6.18	\$6.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$836	\$828	\$805	\$833	\$807	\$874	\$819	\$849	\$858
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	47%	47%	56%	53%	49%	56%	49%	60%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,807	127	46	8	51	271	38	399	199	335	80	1,253
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1173	113	18	1	19	88	16	183	60	165	34	476
Participants in a Work Activity	754	47	4	1	15	42	13	149	46	134	25	278
% of Enrolled	64%	42%	22%	100%	79%	48%	81%	81%	77%	81%	74%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	2	0
Participants Employed	547	43	3	0	14	26	10	126	29	85	22	189
% of Enrolled	47%	38%	17%	0%	74%	30%	63%	69%	48%	52%	65%	40%
Full Time	434	22	2	N/A	13	19	8	105	26	71	13	155
Part Time	113	21	1	N/A	1	7	2	21	3	14	9	34
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$7.87	\$7.75	N/A	\$7.55	\$7.87	\$7.77	\$7.74	\$7.29	\$7.95	\$7.55	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$926	\$852	N/A	\$1,014	\$1,052	\$1,070	\$1,022	\$980	\$1,071	\$940	\$1,119

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,477	91	33	8	35	210	44	344	200	261	73	1,178
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,788	158	33	11	51	214	65	416	219	322	92	1207
Participants in a Work Activity	2,420	134	21	11	44	150	58	381	196	289	79	1057
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	64%	100%	86%	70%	89%	92%	89%	90%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	1,388	77	7	7	30	75	34	272	90	199	54	543
% of Total Enrolled	50%	49%	21%	64%	59%	35%	52%	65%	41%	62%	59%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$7.95	\$7.57	\$7.97	\$7.76	\$7.85	\$8.06	\$7.88	\$7.56	\$8.13	\$7.84	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,078	\$919	\$839	\$1,180	\$1,085	\$1,061	\$1,124	\$1,063	\$986	\$1,108	\$1,036	\$1,116
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	64%	50%	60%	77%	61%	63%	78%	48%	59%	76%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	17,464	1,059	296	65	437	1,495	445	2,500	1,540	1,876	687	7,064
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,071	786	180	25	310	1,094	308	2,008	1,206	1,471	525	5,158
Participants in a Work Activity	12,474	754	159	25	300	968	299	1,982	1,159	1,394	508	4,926
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	100%	97%	88%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,079	566	85	16	219	691	182	1,609	778	1,100	379	3,454
% of Total Enrolled	69%	72%	47%	64%	71%	63%	59%	80%	65%	75%	72%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$6.73	\$7.20	\$6.89	\$6.80	\$6.73	\$6.91	\$6.77	\$6.64	\$7.39	\$6.86	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$936	\$818	\$915	\$1,042	\$917	\$932	\$940	\$919	\$925	\$1,023	\$895	\$950
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	42%	60%	45%	50%	47%	54%	45%	52%	46%	50%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,074	651	10	0	81	332
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	456	279	3	0	28	146
Participants in a Work Activity	335	210	3	N/A	15	107
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	100%	N/A	54%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	275	172	1	N/A	14	88
% of Enrolled	60%	62%	33%	N/A	50%	60%
Full Time	228	140	1	N/A	11	76
Part Time	47	32	0	N/A	3	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.85	\$7.77	\$2.30	N/A	\$7.44	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	\$1,032	\$299	N/A	\$890	\$1,129

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	1,029	585	12	0	64	368
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,039	616	9	0	70	344
Participants in a Work Activity	989	590	9	N/A	54	336
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	100%	N/A	77%	98%
Participants Employed	580	343	3	N/A	32	202
% of Total Enrolled	56%	56%	33%	N/A	46%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$7.75	\$6.03	N/A	\$7.35	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,048	\$1,014	\$485	N/A	\$871	\$1,138
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	82%	0%	N/A	62%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,829	3,683	69	16	610	2,451
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	5,133	2,822	40	7	447	1,817
Participants in a Work Activity	4,992	2,765	40	7	418	1,762
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,621	1,921	25	5	326	1,344
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	63%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.96	\$6.96	\$6.54	\$7.75	\$6.56	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$930	\$940	\$695	\$694	\$848	\$943
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	54%	60%	55%	58%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	589	17	195	88	26	127	136	305	194	111
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	284	4	85	51	13	64	67	97	46	51
Participants in a Work Activity	199	2	48	34	12	50	53	53	24	29
% of Enrolled	70%	50%	56%	67%	92%	78%	79%	55%	52%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	5	1	0	5	0	0	0
Participants Employed	121	2	27	23	6	28	35	44	23	21
% of Enrolled	43%	50%	32%	45%	5	44%	52%	45%	50%	41%
Full Time	88	2	20	19	5	19	23	32	17	15
Part Time	33	0	7	4	1	9	12	12	6	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$8.63	\$9.04	\$8.08	\$7.72	\$8.84	\$8.01	\$8.00	\$8.06	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,229	\$1,203	\$1,145	\$988	\$1,125	\$904	\$1,072	\$1,107	\$1,033

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	581	14	153	116	39	127	132	240	156	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	666	14	158	139	37	159	159	256	154	102
Participants in a Work Activity	572	14	141	121	34	129	133	223	131	92
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	89%	87%	92%	81%	84%	87%	85%	90%
Participants Employed	313	9	65	63	20	83	73	107	65	42
% of Total Enrolled	47%	64%	41%	45%	54%	52%	46%	42%	42%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.11	\$8.71	\$8.31	\$7.78	\$8.47	\$7.94	\$8.07	\$7.87	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,071	\$1,096	\$1,079	\$1,107	\$925	\$1,174	\$967	\$1,061	\$1,044	\$1,088
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	50%	68%	54%	63%	58%	58%	79%	72%	91%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,351	172	854	689	539	1066	1031	2,164	1354	810
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,170	99	614	558	314	789	796	1,566	950	616
Participants in a Work Activity	3,022	94	579	539	307	745	758	1507	924	583
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	98%	94%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,119	61	365	390	207	551	545	898	513	385
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	59%	70%	66%	70%	68%	57%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.14	\$7.23	\$7.41	\$7.19	\$6.52	\$7.20	\$7.07	\$6.48	\$6.55	\$6.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$965	\$963	\$972	\$978	\$902	\$983	\$954	\$818	\$827	\$807
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	47%	52%	56%	52%	56%	51%	62%	58%	67%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,312	312	1,636	268	1,346	505	205	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,800	121	590	163	626	214	72	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,366	96	484	119	427	179	52	9
% of Enrolled	76%	79%	82%	73%	68%	84%	72%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	0	14	0	8	2	0	0
Participants Employed	775	48	244	78	258	106	33	8
% of Enrolled	43%	40%	41%	48%	41%	50%	46%	57%
Full Time	560	27	177	58	173	89	28	8
Part Time	215	21	67	20	85	17	5	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.32	\$8.89	\$9.60	\$9.80	\$9.10	\$9.20	\$8.54	\$10.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,215	\$1,121	\$1,224	\$1,301	\$1,171	\$1,259	\$1,182	\$1,631

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,290	212	1,080	263	1,192	355	141	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,806	263	1,269	325	1,318	421	166	44
Participants in a Work Activity	3,442	245	1,166	289	1,169	392	137	44
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	92%	89%	89%	93%	83%	100%
Participants Employed	1,859	121	580	180	662	211	77	28
% of Total Enrolled	49%	46%	46%	55%	50%	50%	46%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.76	\$9.80	\$10.10	\$10.49	\$9.47	\$9.61	\$8.92	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,292	\$1,272	\$1,301	\$1,345	\$1,280	\$1,330	\$1,188	\$1,149
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	78%	74%	69%	74%	76%	87%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	29,475	2,599	10,317	2,038	9,422	3,458	1,264	377
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	22,515	2,008	7,677	1,610	7,252	2,733	951	284
Participants in a Work Activity	21,758	1,984	7,424	1,544	6,983	2,622	919	282
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	16,090	1,468	5,229	1,273	5,334	1,940	634	212
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	79%	74%	71%	67%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$7.98	\$8.40	\$8.99	\$8.64	\$8.15	\$8.00	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,129	\$1,039	\$1,113	\$1,168	\$1,188	\$1,045	\$1,081	\$1,064
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	59%	65%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	420	191	109	21	95	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	137	47	40	8	40	2
Participants in a Work Activity	94	39	24	5	26	0
% of Enrolled	69%	83%	60%	63%	65%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	73	31	14	3	25	0
% of Enrolled	53%	66%	35%	38%	63%	0%
Full Time	53	21	11	3	18	N/A
Part Time	20	10	3	0	7	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$8.44	\$8.58	\$8.67	\$7.81	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,055	\$1,056	\$1,126	\$1,328	\$981	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	349	137	114	24	70	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	387	146	120	28	87	6
Participants in a Work Activity	353	132	115	27	74	5
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	96%	96%	85%	83%
Participants Employed	208	81	61	13	49	4
% of Total Enrolled	54%	55%	51%	46%	56%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$8.77	\$8.62	\$8.15	\$7.77	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,101	\$1,179	\$1,249	\$968	\$1,184
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	79%	67%	88%	69%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,361	1,284	987	314	688	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,524	925	754	231	556	58
Participants in a Work Activity	2,439	892	747	223	522	55
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,714	606	516	152	402	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	68%	66%	72%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.28	\$7.27	\$7.61	\$7.03	\$6.97	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$965	\$950	\$996	\$988	\$941	\$958
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	61%	47%	44%	48%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	723	150	52	53	111	37	320
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	290	41	11	25	43	5	165
Participants in a Work Activity	234	36	6	9	31	4	148
% of Enrolled	81%	88%	55%	36%	72%	80%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	146	25	6	7	20	4	84
% of Enrolled	50%	61%	55%	28%	47%	80%	51%
Full Time	105	21	6	4	14	3	57
Part Time	41	4	0	3	6	1	27
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$8.74	\$7.80	\$7.93	\$7.76	\$7.78	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,078	\$1,272	\$1,149	\$1,066	\$989	\$1,003	\$1,041

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	640	160	12	45	108	24	291
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	714	132	12	56	122	37	355
Participants in a Work Activity	671	121	8	49	108	34	351
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	67%	88%	89%	92%	99%
Participants Employed	372	68	6	32	56	23	187
% of Total Enrolled	52%	52%	50%	57%	46%	62%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	\$8.71	\$7.80	\$7.89	\$7.94	\$8.00	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$990	\$1,164	\$1,141	\$901	\$931	\$988	\$958
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	72%	100%	70%	49%	50%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,093	1192	182	395	772	238	2,314
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,849	831	115	280	548	163	1,912
Participants in a Work Activity	3,750	811	110	262	521	162	1,884
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	94%	95%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	2,928	617	86	213	339	112	1,561
% of Total Enrolled	76%	74%	75%	76%	62%	69%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.66	\$7.36	\$7.17	\$7.23	\$7.54	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$939	\$999	\$1,085	\$910	\$950	\$999	\$919
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	55%	49%	54%	52%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,375	93	93	224	291	674
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	681	43	53	118	207	260
Participants in a Work Activity	476	30	39	87	148	172
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	74%	74%	71%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	2	1	4	1	0
Participants Employed	319	27	29	62	85	116
% of Enrolled	47%	63%	55%	53%	41%	45%
Full Time	240	21	29	44	58	88
Part Time	79	6	0	18	27	28
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$7.59	\$7.42	\$7.85	\$7.79	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,028	\$959	\$1,135	\$1,018	\$1,061	\$997

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,140	100	91	223	226	500
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,420	100	102	263	365	590
Participants in a Work Activity	1,277	87	99	229	328	534
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	97%	87%	90%	91%
Participants Employed	750	52	58	143	191	306
% of Total Enrolled	53%	52%	57%	54%	52%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$7.82	\$7.51	\$7.95	\$7.97	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,026	\$1,029	\$1,156	\$1,016	\$1,045	\$993
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	69%	82%	65%	62%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	8,900	822	723	1,791	2,057	3,507
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,290	655	629	1,423	1,731	2,852
Participants in a Work Activity	6,899	624	615	1,334	1,629	2,697
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,087	444	447	947	1,193	2,056
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	71%	67%	69%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.38	\$6.58	\$6.11	\$6.43	\$6.56	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$834	\$840	\$843	\$831	\$872	\$811
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	52%	45%	55%	59%	62%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,633	233	535	101	247	517
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	532	60	206	71	60	135
Participants in a Work Activity	367	27	163	57	39	81
% of Enrolled	69%	45%	79%	80%	65%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	6	0	2	3	0
Participants Employed	227	20	91	34	27	55
% of Enrolled	43%	33%	44%	48%	45%	41%
Full Time	185	13	76	25	26	45
Part Time	42	7	15	9	1	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.59	\$8.11	\$7.62	\$7.18	\$7.45	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,033	\$1,082	\$1,043	\$939	\$1,064	\$1,041

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,377	192	442	115	196	432
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,527	211	542	148	168	458
Participants in a Work Activity	1,384	176	495	135	148	430
% of Total Enrolled	91%	83%	91%	91%	88%	94%
Participants Employed	624	69	260	83	67	145
% of Total Enrolled	41%	33%	48%	56%	40%	32%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.66	\$7.91	\$7.71	\$7.29	\$7.59	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,014	\$959	\$1,056	\$966	\$1,013	\$984
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	72%	57%	62%	63%	53%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,217	1,734	2,981	1,105	1,809	3,588
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,945	1,436	2,221	956	1,380	2,952
Participants in a Work Activity	8,634	1,381	2,128	898	1,357	2,870
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,659	839	1,432	643	780	1,965
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	64%	67%	57%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.24	\$6.20	\$6.59	\$6.14	\$6.23	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$837	\$821	\$923	\$804	\$853	\$790
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	48%	53%	66%	51%	52%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,100	79	136	71	82	62	150	58	183	134	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	546	32	64	30	30	34	63	44	94	74	81
Participants in a Work Activity	396	31	38	25	27	32	43	15	81	50	54
% of Enrolled	73%	97%	59%	83%	90%	94%	68%	34%	86%	68%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	254	16	33	18	17	16	22	13	46	35	38
% of Enrolled	47%	50%	52%	60%	57%	47%	35%	30%	49%	47%	47%
Full Time	167	12	18	16	12	15	11	7	23	27	26
Part Time	87	4	15	2	5	1	11	6	23	8	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$8.10	\$7.67	\$7.75	\$8.45	\$8.06	\$7.53	\$7.44	\$7.98	\$8.12	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$1,033	\$894	\$1,038	\$1,149	\$1,117	\$829	\$806	\$954	\$1,023	\$951

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	876	54	116	75	74	39	105	38	143	108	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,134	63	126	94	92	60	154	58	179	145	163
Participants in a Work Activity	1,020	60	118	91	86	58	135	48	171	105	148
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	94%	97%	93%	97%	88%	83%	96%	72%	91%
Participants Employed	512	33	54	45	36	31	61	18	90	63	81
% of Total Enrolled	45%	52%	43%	48%	39%	52%	40%	31%	50%	43%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.75	\$8.35	\$7.31	\$8.13	\$8.14	\$8.11	\$7.40	\$7.31	\$7.90	\$7.69	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$953	\$1,058	\$893	\$1,062	\$1,034	\$1,043	\$791	\$733	\$978	\$994	\$923
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	79%	71%	82%	64%	83%	53%	100%	70%	79%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,743	403	884	679	573	457	809	273	979	809	877
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,102	283	695	503	400	324	626	207	757	608	699
Participants in a Work Activity	4,869	267	691	468	371	309	595	205	720	562	681
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	93%	95%	95%	99%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,148	175	396	287	207	186	361	95	519	413	509
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	57%	57%	52%	57%	58%	46%	69%	68%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.54	\$7.19	\$6.07	\$6.92	\$6.97	\$7.03	\$6.47	\$5.78	\$6.30	\$6.52	\$6.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$855	\$944	\$814	\$926	\$904	\$940	\$796	\$728	\$816	\$873	\$855
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	45%	57%	53%	48%	48%	56%	59%	58%	55%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,944	1077	40	186	1,626	41	2,974
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,524	447	16	116	710	15	1,220
Participants in a Work Activity	1,732	301	8	60	452	8	903
% of Enrolled	69%	67%	50%	52%	64%	53%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	5	0	0	8	2	10
Participants Employed	981	204	3	42	312	6	414
% of Enrolled	39%	46%	19%	36%	44%	40%	34%
Full Time	750	161	1	30	236	2	320
Part Time	231	43	2	12	76	4	94
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.14	\$8.20	\$10.17	\$8.24	\$8.33	\$7.17	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,110	\$1,081	\$1,035	\$1,128	\$894	\$1,061

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,986	817	34	153	1,097	23	1,862
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,930	925	36	194	1,367	38	2,370
Participants in a Work Activity	4,420	835	27	160	1,228	35	2,135
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	75%	82%	90%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	2,193	443	13	86	659	20	972
% of Total Enrolled	44%	48%	36%	44%	48%	53%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$8.35	\$8.39	\$8.99	\$8.55	\$9.05	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,109	\$1,112	\$1,006	\$1,152	\$1,137	\$1,098	\$1,086
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	79%	63%	81%	80%	56%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	31,460	6,270	218	1035	7,499	277	16,161
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	24,236	4,709	157	732	5,919	194	12,525
Participants in a Work Activity	23,372	4,576	146	671	5,627	182	12,170
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	16,731	3,097	101	462	4,032	115	8,924
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	64%	63%	68%	59%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.19	\$7.42	\$6.69	\$8.07	\$7.47	\$7.27	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$974	\$1,002	\$865	\$1,056	\$1,011	\$919	\$949
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	61%	54%	65%	57%	54%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,138	206	46	405	286	195
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	639	115	19	226	161	118
Participants in a Work Activity	407	65	15	144	110	73
% of Enrolled	64%	57%	79%	64%	68%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	13	0	4	0	2
Participants Employed	307	56	10	109	69	63
% of Enrolled	48%	49%	53%	48%	43%	53%
Full Time	238	46	7	85	55	45
Part Time	69	10	3	24	14	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.32	\$8.18	\$9.23	\$8.27	\$8.21	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,098	\$1,126	\$1,051	\$1,029	\$1,081

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	999	173	51	330	259	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,258	214	41	413	330	260
Participants in a Work Activity	1,073	173	35	340	298	227
% of Total Enrolled	85%	81%	85%	82%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	670	110	19	235	153	153
% of Total Enrolled	53%	51%	46%	57%	46%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.07	\$8.34	\$8.41	\$8.81	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,054	\$1,035	\$1,060	\$1,136	\$1,034
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	86%	64%	79%	77%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	6,780	1,074	422	1,959	1,984	1,341
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,836	743	275	1512	1191	1115
Participants in a Work Activity	4,577	704	260	1375	1160	1078
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	97%	97%
Participants Employed	3,286	462	187	1,056	723	858
% of Total Enrolled	68%	62%	68%	70%	61%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$7.36	\$7.24	\$7.78	\$8.24	\$7.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$948	\$912	\$1,018	\$1,124	\$977
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	43%	49%	56%	58%	55%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	420	105	17	55	40	24	40	31	35	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	181	60	3	28	12	13	25	7	8	25
Participants in a Work Activity	139	48	2	26	11	7	17	4	8	16
% of Enrolled	77%	80%	67%	93%	92%	54%	68%	57%	100%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	92	27	1	17	9	7	11	2	6	12
% of Enrolled	51%	45%	33%	61%	75%	54%	44%	29%	75%	48%
Full Time	70	20	1	11	5	6	8	2	5	12
Part Time	22	7	0	6	4	1	3	0	1	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.03	\$8.67	\$8.07	\$8.89	\$8.56	\$6.38	\$7.88	\$7.46	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,039	\$1,039	\$1,502	\$1,032	\$1,072	\$1,228	\$861	\$1,107	\$973	\$1,060

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	348	84	21	50	30	13	45	16	31	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	426	104	22	55	34	16	54	20	32	89
Participants in a Work Activity	380	95	21	53	31	16	44	18	32	70
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	95%	96%	91%	100%	81%	90%	100%	79%
Participants Employed	220	55	7	31	22	9	23	9	16	48
% of Total Enrolled	52%	53%	32%	56%	65%	56%	43%	45%	50%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$7.83	\$7.97	\$8.14	\$8.41	\$8.19	\$7.31	\$8.77	\$7.53	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,004	\$933	\$1,129	\$990	\$1,015	\$1,123	\$943	\$974	\$941	\$1,114
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	70%	50%	69%	57%	100%	93%	50%	31%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,045	466	230	257	355	169	348	268	286	666
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,203	359	152	204	270	107	251	217	160	483
Participants in a Work Activity	2,077	345	143	198	253	100	229	210	156	443
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	97%	94%	93%	91%	97%	98%	92%
Participants Employed	1,417	248	80	124	184	66	166	130	104	315
% of Total Enrolled	64%	69%	53%	61%	68%	62%	66%	60%	65%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.78	\$6.78	\$6.95	\$7.23	\$6.58	\$6.69	\$6.74	\$6.47	\$6.80	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$874	\$836	\$881	\$972	\$832	\$857	\$867	\$840	\$924	\$904
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	47%	41%	35%	46%	49%	54%	58%	41%	34%	51%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,402	23	120	132	38	120	1,197	1,734	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,429	6	42	55	14	71	489	729	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,044	1	30	44	5	51	341	557	15
% of Enrolled	73%	17%	71%	80%	36%	72%	70%	76%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
Participants Employed	750	1	19	32	3	38	238	404	15
% of Enrolled	52%	17%	45%	58%	21%	54%	49%	55%	65%
Full Time	577	0	14	26	2	19	172	331	13
Part Time	173	1	5	6	1	19	66	73	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.25	\$7.96	\$8.33	\$7.68	\$7.73	\$8.02	\$7.89	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,038	\$534	\$963	\$1,138	\$890	\$865	\$1,022	\$1,061	\$1,050

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,587	12	110	114	39	88	829	1,353	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,147	13	115	145	38	119	1,011	1,659	47
Participants in a Work Activity	2,822	9	94	130	35	112	940	1,463	39
% of Total Enrolled	90%	69%	82%	90%	92%	94%	93%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	1,683	7	54	83	11	71	563	865	29
% of Total Enrolled	53%	54%	47%	57%	29%	60%	56%	52%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$5.91	\$8.07	\$8.13	\$8.75	\$7.70	\$8.16	\$7.98	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$675	\$936	\$1,035	\$999	\$948	\$1,052	\$1,083	\$1,006
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	0%	50%	72%	83%	86%	75%	72%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	22,234	133	936	889	265	851	7,790	11,061	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	16,270	90	643	686	192	611	5,573	8,253	222
Participants in a Work Activity	15,559	87	594	638	183	592	5,447	7,809	209
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,676	49	429	487	118	393	3,987	6,048	165
% of Total Enrolled	72%	54%	67%	71%	61%	64%	72%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.83	\$6.65	\$6.67	\$7.17	\$7.38	\$6.89	\$6.84	\$6.79	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$901	\$855	\$835	\$881	\$1,002	\$867	\$898	\$910	\$891
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	56%	55%	52%	50%	46%	53%	58%	53%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,339	129	88	67	74	334	647
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	665	22	31	35	40	218	319
Participants in a Work Activity	394	17	25	22	32	117	181
% of Enrolled	59%	77%	81%	63%	80%	54%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	2	0	6	1
Participants Employed	258	9	19	15	17	66	132
% of Enrolled	39%	41%	61%	43%	43%	30%	41%
Full Time	199	7	15	7	13	45	112
Part Time	57	2	2	8	4	21	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$7.79	\$6.90	\$8.49	\$8.31	\$7.61	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,005	\$1,088	\$917	\$863	\$1,071	\$903	\$1,071

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	944	115	77	43	67	242	400
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,316	86	90	61	92	353	634
Participants in a Work Activity	1,125	83	76	60	87	279	540
% of Total Enrolled	85%	97%	84%	98%	95%	79%	85%
Participants Employed	624	40	45	35	42	148	314
% of Total Enrolled	47%	47%	50%	57%	46%	42%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$8.05	\$7.61	\$8.24	\$7.85	\$7.65	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,175	\$975	\$930	\$1,007	\$975	\$1,099
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	45%	77%	58%	46%	70%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,042	1025	698	303	646	2,011	3,359
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,231	713	514	241	511	1,605	2,647
Participants in a Work Activity	5,948	710	451	229	495	1,535	2,528
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,316	480	323	172	342	1,106	1,893
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	63%	71%	67%	69%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.83	\$6.80	\$7.24	\$6.96	\$6.83	\$6.70	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$928	\$942	\$974	\$853	\$937	\$907	\$936
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	52%	49%	48%	57%	51%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through April 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/10 and Work Activity as of 4/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,643	161	128	1,190	103	2,225	1,269	439	1,128
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,595	57	56	527	25	970	439	141	380
Participants in a Work Activity	1,997	40	33	405	23	764	330	111	291
% of Enrolled	77%	70%	59%	77%	92%	79%	75%	79%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	2	1	0	3	11	0	2
Participants Employed	1,365	31	17	312	11	495	211	83	205
% of Enrolled	53%	54%	30%	59%	44%	51%	48%	59%	54%
Full Time	1,137	28	13	258	6	429	160	66	177
Part Time	228	3	4	54	5	66	51	17	28
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$7.54	\$7.97	\$7.97	\$7.73	\$7.68	\$7.84	\$8.10	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,037	\$1,023	\$1,153	\$1,058	\$954	\$994	\$1,034	\$1,041	\$1,103

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,824	114	100	747	88	1,626	836	302	1,011
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	5,782	128	118	1,065	79	1,972	1,043	368	1,009
Participants in a Work Activity	5,093	122	114	966	78	1,726	896	335	856
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	97%	91%	99%	88%	86%	91%	85%
Participants Employed	3,185	77	42	693	34	1,096	546	204	493
% of Total Enrolled	55%	60%	36%	65%	43%	56%	52%	55%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$7.73	\$9.16	\$7.89	\$8.10	\$7.62	\$7.96	\$8.02	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,039	\$1,008	\$1,056	\$1,045	\$1,002	\$1,059	\$1,103	\$1,080
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	76%	56%	77%	56%	76%	70%	70%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	45,130	1003	861	7,197	705	14,589	7,351	2,881	10,543
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	32,490	713	675	5,159	551	10,367	5,727	2,224	7,074
Participants in a Work Activity	30,774	689	645	4,903	540	9,845	5,379	2,194	6,579
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	23,306	515	398	3,833	378	7,429	4,250	1,681	4,822
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	59%	74%	69%	72%	74%	76%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.69	\$6.73	\$6.53	\$6.85	\$6.32	\$6.58	\$6.60	\$6.54	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$882	\$898	\$819	\$907	\$793	\$846	\$890	\$856	\$939
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	46%	57%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em-ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	42.2%	4.62	100	\$7.87	4.28	71	71.8%	7.18	48	5.36	89
003	Albemarle	51.5%	6.67	56	\$8.71	6.11	14	72.0%	7.20	46	6.66	24
005	Alleghany/Covington	48.7%	6.05	71	\$7.95	4.45	62	63.8%	6.38	77	5.63	80
007	Amelia	52.4%	6.86	47	\$8.35	5.33	25	79.0%	7.90	23	6.69	18
009	Amherst	52.0%	6.77	53	\$7.82	4.17	76	69.4%	6.94	61	5.96	65
011	Appomattox	56.9%	7.84	24	\$7.51	3.49	103	81.6%	8.16	17	6.50	36
013	Arlington	46.0%	5.46	84	\$9.80	8.49	3	77.5%	7.75	29	7.23	9
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	55.7%	7.58	31	\$7.75	4.02	82	82.2%	8.22	15	6.61	31
017	Bath	33.3%	2.67	112	\$6.03	0.26	118	0.0%	0.00	118	0.98	119
019	Bedford County/City	54.4%	7.30	36	\$7.95	4.45	63	64.7%	6.47	75	6.07	58
021	Bland	57.1%	7.90	21	\$8.23	5.07	31	50.0%	5.00	104	5.99	63
023	Botetourt	21.2%	0.00	119	\$7.57	3.62	98	50.0%	5.00	105	2.87	117
025	Brunswick	42.9%	4.76	98	\$7.31	3.06	112	71.4%	7.14	51	4.99	102
027	Buchanan	50.0%	6.33	62	\$8.05	4.67	52	65.9%	6.59	74	5.87	71
029	Buckingham	47.9%	5.87	75	\$8.13	4.85	41	82.1%	8.21	16	6.31	49
031	Campbell	52.3%	6.85	49	\$7.97	4.50	58	62.2%	6.22	85	5.85	72
033	Caroline	51.4%	6.64	57	\$8.07	4.72	49	86.0%	8.60	12	6.65	27
035	Carroll	53.6%	7.13	41	\$7.48	3.43	107	76.2%	7.62	34	6.06	60
036	Charles City	53.8%	7.18	40	\$5.91	0.00	119	0.0%	0.00	119	2.39	118
037	Charlotte	39.1%	3.94	108	\$8.14	4.87	39	63.6%	6.36	78	5.06	101
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.9%	5.87	74	\$8.35	5.33	26	78.8%	7.88	25	6.36	46
043	Clarke	64.3%	9.48	5	\$8.11	4.80	44	50.0%	5.00	106	6.43	41
045	Craig	63.6%	9.33	6	\$7.97	4.50	59	60.0%	6.00	89	6.61	30
047	Culpeper	55.5%	7.54	33	\$8.77	6.24	11	79.3%	7.93	22	7.24	7
049	Cumberland	51.7%	6.70	55	\$8.11	4.80	45	82.6%	8.26	14	6.59	32
051	Dickensen	57.3%	7.94	19	\$7.53	3.54	101	62.9%	6.29	82	5.92	68
053	Dimwiddie	46.5%	5.57	78	\$8.05	4.67	53	44.8%	4.48	116	4.91	106
057	Essex	52.9%	6.97	44	\$7.83	4.19	75	69.7%	6.97	60	6.04	61
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	45.7%	5.39	88	\$10.10	9.15	2	73.6%	7.36	43	7.30	5
061	Fauquier	50.8%	6.52	58	\$8.62	5.92	16	66.7%	6.67	71	6.37	45
063	Floyd	58.8%	8.27	15	\$7.76	4.04	81	76.9%	7.69	33	6.67	22
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	6.33	63	\$7.80	4.13	77	100.0%	10.00	1	6.82	16
067	Franklin County	35.0%	3.04	111	\$7.85	4.24	72	61.4%	6.14	88	4.47	110
069	Frederick	41.1%	4.38	103	\$8.71	6.11	15	68.2%	6.82	67	5.77	76
071	Giles	52.3%	6.84	50	\$8.06	4.69	51	63.2%	6.32	80	5.95	66
073	Gloucester	47.0%	5.66	76	\$8.07	4.72	50	50.0%	5.00	107	5.13	98
075	Goochland	36.1%	3.28	109	\$8.39	5.41	24	62.5%	6.25	83	4.98	103
077	Grayson	53.5%	7.10	42	\$7.71	3.93	85	47.1%	4.71	114	5.25	94

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	57.1%	7.90	22	\$7.89	4.32	68	70.0%	7.00	58	6.41	42
081	Greensville/Emporia	39.6%	4.05	107	\$7.40	3.25	109	52.5%	5.25	103	4.18	114
083	Halifax	32.7%	2.53	114	\$7.91	4.37	66	71.8%	7.18	49	4.69	109
085	Hanover	44.3%	5.09	94	\$8.99	6.72	8	80.9%	8.09	19	6.63	29
087	Henrico	48.2%	5.94	72	\$8.55	5.76	17	79.8%	7.98	20	6.56	34
089	Henry/Martinsville	48.0%	5.89	73	\$7.71	3.93	86	57.4%	5.74	95	5.19	96
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	60.2%	8.57	11	\$7.73	3.97	84	75.6%	7.56	38	6.70	17
095	James City	57.2%	7.93	20	\$8.13	4.85	42	72.0%	7.20	47	6.66	26
097	King and Queen	31.8%	2.33	115	\$7.97	4.50	60	50.0%	5.00	108	3.94	115
099	King George	46.3%	5.53	82	\$8.34	5.31	28	64.3%	6.43	76	5.75	77
101	King William	56.4%	7.73	25	\$8.14	4.87	40	68.8%	6.88	66	6.49	37
103	Lancaster	64.7%	9.57	4	\$8.41	5.46	21	57.1%	5.71	96	6.91	15
105	Lee	59.6%	8.44	13	\$7.17	2.75	117	88.2%	8.82	8	6.67	21
107	Loudoun	55.4%	7.52	35	\$10.49	10.00	1	68.9%	6.89	65	8.14	1
109	Louisa	45.9%	5.43	86	\$7.94	4.43	64	48.7%	4.87	112	4.91	105
111	Lunenburg	31.0%	2.16	117	\$7.31	3.06	113	100.0%	10.00	2	5.07	99
113	Madison	46.4%	5.55	79	\$8.15	4.89	37	87.5%	8.75	9	6.40	43
115	Mathews	56.3%	7.71	28	\$8.19	4.98	34	100.0%	10.00	3	7.56	2
117	Mecklenburg	50.3%	6.39	59	\$7.90	4.34	67	69.8%	6.98	59	5.91	69
119	Middlesex	42.6%	4.70	99	\$7.31	3.06	114	92.9%	9.29	6	5.68	78
121	Montgomery	65.4%	9.72	2	\$7.88	4.30	70	78.3%	7.83	28	7.28	6
125	Nelson	62.2%	9.01	8	\$8.00	4.56	56	50.0%	5.00	109	6.19	53
127	New Kent	28.9%	1.70	118	\$8.75	6.20	13	83.3%	8.33	13	5.41	87
131	Northampton	41.2%	4.39	102	\$8.41	5.46	22	91.3%	9.13	7	6.33	48
133	Northumberland	45.0%	5.23	92	\$8.77	6.24	12	50.0%	5.00	110	5.49	84
135	Nottoway	43.4%	4.89	95	\$7.69	3.89	88	79.3%	7.93	21	5.57	83
137	Orange	56.3%	7.72	27	\$7.77	4.06	80	69.2%	6.92	62	6.24	52
139	Page	45.3%	5.30	91	\$8.31	5.24	29	54.1%	5.41	101	5.32	92
141	Patrick	56.1%	7.67	29	\$7.29	3.01	115	61.5%	6.15	87	5.61	82
143	Pittsylvania	39.9%	4.11	106	\$7.59	3.67	94	63.4%	6.34	79	4.71	108
145	Powhatan	52.6%	6.91	46	\$9.05	6.86	7	55.6%	5.56	100	6.44	40
147	Prince Edward	49.7%	6.27	67	\$7.51	3.49	104	78.9%	7.89	24	5.88	70
149	Prince George	50.0%	6.33	64	\$7.61	3.71	93	77.3%	7.73	32	5.92	67
153	Prince William	50.2%	6.38	60	\$9.47	7.77	5	74.0%	7.40	42	7.19	10
155	Pulaski	41.1%	4.37	104	\$7.56	3.60	99	48.3%	4.83	113	4.27	112
157	Rappahannock	66.7%	10.00	1	\$8.19	4.98	35	50.0%	5.00	111	6.66	25
159	Richmond County	50.0%	6.33	65	\$7.53	3.54	102	30.8%	3.08	117	4.32	111
161	Roanoke County	61.8%	8.93	9	\$8.13	4.85	43	59.5%	5.95	91	6.57	33
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45.7%	5.39	87	\$7.35	3.14	110	95.0%	9.50	5	6.01	62
165	Rockingham/H'burg	58.7%	8.25	16	\$8.15	4.89	38	67.7%	6.77	69	6.64	28
167	Russell	45.6%	5.37	90	\$7.59	3.67	95	69.1%	6.91	63	5.32	91
169	Scott	46.2%	5.50	83	\$7.33	3.10	111	96.4%	9.64	4	6.08	57
171	Shenandoah	54.1%	7.23	37	\$7.78	4.08	79	62.5%	6.25	84	5.85	73

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	33.3%	2.67	<b>113</b>	\$7.58	3.65	<b>96</b>	63.2%	6.32	<b>81</b>	4.21	<b>113</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	35.6%	3.16	<b>110</b>	\$9.16	7.10	<b>6</b>	56.0%	5.60	<b>97</b>	5.29	<b>93</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	56.9%	7.85	<b>23</b>	\$8.41	5.46	<b>23</b>	78.7%	7.87	<b>26</b>	7.06	<b>11</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	46.4%	5.53	<b>81</b>	\$8.81	6.33	<b>10</b>	77.5%	7.75	<b>30</b>	6.54	<b>35</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	57.4%	7.96	<b>18</b>	\$8.24	5.09	<b>30</b>	57.9%	5.79	<b>93</b>	6.28	<b>51</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	45.7%	5.38	<b>89</b>	\$7.85	4.24	<b>73</b>	45.8%	4.58	<b>115</b>	4.73	<b>107</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	56.3%	7.73	<b>26</b>	\$7.51	3.49	<b>105</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>72</b>	5.96	<b>64</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	52.2%	6.82	<b>51</b>	\$8.47	5.59	<b>20</b>	57.9%	5.79	<b>94</b>	6.07	<b>59</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.5%	7.10	<b>43</b>	\$7.41	3.28	<b>108</b>	55.8%	5.58	<b>99</b>	5.32	<b>90</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	53.9%	7.20	<b>38</b>	\$8.23	5.07	<b>32</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>52</b>	6.47	<b>39</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.9%	7.18	<b>39</b>	\$7.55	3.58	<b>100</b>	66.1%	6.61	<b>73</b>	5.79	<b>75</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	46.9%	5.66	<b>77</b>	\$7.51	3.49	<b>106</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>53</b>	5.43	<b>86</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	59.7%	8.46	<b>12</b>	\$7.70	3.91	<b>87</b>	86.1%	8.61	<b>11</b>	6.99	<b>13</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.1%	6.36	<b>61</b>	\$9.61	8.08	<b>4</b>	76.1%	7.61	<b>35</b>	7.35	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	50.0%	6.33	<b>66</b>	\$7.80	4.13	<b>78</b>	59.8%	5.98	<b>90</b>	5.48	<b>85</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	52.7%	6.92	<b>45</b>	\$8.50	5.66	<b>18</b>	69.0%	6.90	<b>64</b>	6.49	<b>38</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	65.1%	9.65	<b>3</b>	\$7.89	4.32	<b>69</b>	77.3%	7.73	<b>31</b>	7.23	<b>8</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	31.7%	2.30	<b>116</b>	\$7.68	3.86	<b>89</b>	53.1%	5.31	<b>102</b>	3.82	<b>116</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	43.0%	4.80	<b>97</b>	\$8.10	4.78	<b>48</b>	56.0%	5.60	<b>98</b>	5.06	<b>100</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.8%	8.28	<b>14</b>	\$8.35	5.33	<b>27</b>	74.2%	7.42	<b>41</b>	7.01	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	43.4%	4.89	<b>96</b>	\$7.65	3.80	<b>90</b>	61.8%	6.18	<b>86</b>	4.96	<b>104</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	55.7%	7.58	<b>30</b>	\$8.16	4.91	<b>36</b>	75.1%	7.51	<b>39</b>	6.67	<b>23</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	41.9%	4.56	<b>101</b>	\$7.65	3.80	<b>91</b>	70.4%	7.04	<b>56</b>	5.13	<b>97</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.9%	6.74	<b>54</b>	\$7.74	4.00	<b>83</b>	66.8%	6.68	<b>70</b>	5.81	<b>74</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	46.4%	5.54	<b>80</b>	\$8.92	6.57	<b>9</b>	87.2%	8.72	<b>10</b>	6.94	<b>14</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	63.6%	9.33	<b>7</b>	\$8.49	5.63	<b>19</b>	72.7%	7.27	<b>44</b>	7.41	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	52.1%	6.80	<b>52</b>	\$7.98	4.52	<b>57</b>	71.7%	7.17	<b>50</b>	6.17	<b>54</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.6%	7.56	<b>32</b>	\$7.62	3.73	<b>92</b>	75.8%	7.58	<b>36</b>	6.29	<b>50</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	49.1%	6.14	<b>69</b>	\$7.24	2.90	<b>116</b>	78.6%	7.86	<b>27</b>	5.63	<b>79</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	49.5%	6.23	<b>68</b>	\$8.05	4.67	<b>54</b>	81.1%	8.11	<b>18</b>	6.34	<b>47</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.3%	6.85	<b>48</b>	\$7.96	4.48	<b>61</b>	70.0%	7.00	<b>57</b>	6.11	<b>56</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	58.7%	8.25	<b>17</b>	\$7.84	4.21	<b>74</b>	75.7%	7.57	<b>37</b>	6.68	<b>20</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	41.0%	4.36	<b>105</b>	\$8.11	4.80	<b>46</b>	70.7%	7.07	<b>54</b>	5.41	<b>88</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.0%	5.23	<b>93</b>	\$8.11	4.80	<b>47</b>	68.2%	6.82	<b>68</b>	5.62	<b>81</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.4%	7.53	<b>34</b>	\$8.02	4.61	<b>55</b>	70.5%	7.05	<b>55</b>	6.39	<b>44</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.9%	6.08	<b>70</b>	\$8.20	5.00	<b>33</b>	72.7%	7.27	<b>45</b>	6.12	<b>55</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	61.7%	8.91	<b>10</b>	\$7.58	3.65	<b>97</b>	75.0%	7.50	<b>40</b>	6.68	<b>19</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	45.9%	5.43	<b>85</b>	\$7.94	4.43	<b>65</b>	58.3%	5.83	<b>92</b>	5.23	<b>95</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	54.0%	2.27	115	\$827	2.69	97	57.9%	6.67	28	3.88	103
003	Albemarle	74.2%	7.93	13	\$999	6.16	18	55.4%	6.00	50	6.70	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	72.0%	7.31	25	\$818	2.50	101	46.3%	3.49	106	4.43	89
007	Amelia	61.8%	4.46	94	\$944	5.05	35	45.1%	3.15	111	4.22	96
009	Amherst	67.8%	6.12	56	\$840	2.97	89	51.9%	5.02	77	4.70	80
011	Appomattox	71.1%	7.04	32	\$843	3.01	87	44.7%	3.03	112	4.36	93
013	Arlington	73.1%	7.61	18	\$1,039	6.98	11	64.1%	8.39	8	7.66	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.1%	6.20	53	\$940	4.97	40	54.8%	5.82	58	5.66	40
017	Bath	62.5%	4.65	89	\$695	0.02	119	54.2%	5.65	61	3.44	110
019	Bedford County/City	66.5%	5.78	66	\$831	2.77	95	55.2%	5.94	53	4.83	72
021	Bland	63.2%	4.85	85	\$828	2.71	96	47.4%	3.78	101	3.78	108
023	Botetourt	47.2%	0.37	119	\$915	4.48	54	41.5%	2.16	116	2.34	119
025	Brunswick	57.0%	3.10	112	\$814	2.42	104	57.3%	6.50	34	4.01	101
027	Buchanan	52.5%	1.84	117	\$815	2.46	103	54.4%	5.72	60	3.34	113
029	Buckingham	57.1%	3.12	111	\$926	4.69	46	52.9%	5.30	69	4.37	92
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.44	41	\$872	3.60	72	59.3%	7.05	23	5.70	38
033	Caroline	62.2%	4.56	92	\$948	5.15	34	43.4%	2.67	115	4.12	98
035	Carroll	66.4%	5.73	67	\$805	2.24	109	46.7%	3.59	103	3.85	104
036	Charles City	54.4%	2.39	114	\$855	3.25	81	56.4%	6.27	43	3.97	102
037	Charlotte	51.8%	1.64	118	\$904	4.26	61	47.8%	3.90	99	3.26	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.8%	5.56	73	\$1,002	6.24	15	60.7%	7.46	14	6.42	22
043	Clarke	61.6%	4.40	96	\$963	5.45	27	47.4%	3.77	102	4.54	86
045	Craig	64.0%	5.06	82	\$1,042	7.04	10	60.0%	7.26	18	6.45	21
047	Culpeper	65.5%	5.49	75	\$950	5.18	31	55.9%	6.12	45	5.59	41
049	Cumberland	57.4%	3.22	110	\$940	4.97	39	48.5%	4.07	96	4.09	99
051	Dickensen	59.7%	3.86	102	\$806	2.26	108	48.7%	4.14	92	3.42	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.3%	5.99	57	\$942	5.02	37	51.7%	4.96	78	5.32	48
057	Essex	69.1%	6.49	40	\$836	2.88	91	41.0%	2.01	118	3.79	107
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	68.1%	6.22	52	\$1,113	8.48	4	61.8%	7.76	10	7.48	6
061	Fauquier	68.4%	6.31	48	\$996	6.12	19	61.3%	7.62	12	6.68	13
063	Floyd	70.6%	6.92	35	\$917	4.52	53	45.3%	3.19	110	4.88	70
065	Fluvanna	74.8%	8.08	8	\$1,085	7.90	5	54.6%	5.75	59	7.24	7
067	Franklin County	63.2%	4.83	86	\$932	4.81	45	49.7%	4.41	87	4.68	82
069	Frederick	59.4%	3.79	103	\$972	5.62	26	52.0%	5.06	76	4.82	73
071	Giles	59.1%	3.69	105	\$940	4.97	41	47.5%	3.80	100	4.15	97
073	Gloucester	66.7%	5.83	63	\$835	2.85	92	55.4%	5.97	51	4.88	68
075	Goochland	64.3%	5.16	80	\$865	3.46	75	53.9%	5.58	66	4.73	78
077	Grayson	66.2%	5.67	68	\$833	2.82	93	56.3%	6.24	44	4.91	67

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.1%	8.44	5	\$910	4.38	56	49.0%	4.21	90	5.67	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.7%	3.29	109	\$796	2.06	111	55.7%	6.08	48	3.81	106
083	Halifax	58.4%	3.51	107	\$821	2.58	98	48.0%	3.94	98	3.34	112
085	Hanover	63.1%	4.82	87	\$1,056	7.33	8	65.0%	8.64	6	6.93	8
087	Henrico	68.1%	6.22	51	\$1,011	6.41	14	56.6%	6.31	39	6.31	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.5%	5.20	79	\$923	4.64	49	53.4%	5.43	68	5.09	57
091	Highland	71.4%	7.14	29	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.26	19	4.80	74
093	Isle of Wight	72.2%	7.37	22	\$898	4.14	63	55.2%	5.92	55	5.81	34
095	James City	71.0%	7.02	33	\$881	3.78	69	51.6%	4.93	79	5.24	50
097	King and Queen	52.6%	1.88	116	\$881	3.79	68	35.1%	0.39	119	2.02	120
099	King George	68.0%	6.18	54	\$912	4.41	55	48.8%	4.17	91	4.92	66
101	King William	60.8%	4.17	98	\$972	5.63	25	46.0%	3.40	108	4.40	91
103	Lancaster	68.1%	6.23	50	\$832	2.79	94	49.1%	4.25	88	4.42	90
105	Lee	65.8%	5.57	71	\$761	1.35	116	60.5%	7.38	15	4.77	77
107	Loudoun	79.1%	9.28	3	\$1,168	9.60	2	65.4%	8.73	4	9.20	1
109	Louisa	61.9%	4.47	93	\$950	5.17	32	54.1%	5.61	65	5.08	58
111	Lunenburg	45.9%	0.00	120	\$728	0.69	117	59.0%	6.99	25	2.56	118
113	Madison	65.8%	5.57	72	\$988	5.95	20	46.6%	3.55	104	5.02	61
115	Mathews	61.7%	4.42	95	\$857	3.29	78	54.1%	5.63	62	4.45	88
117	Mecklenburg	68.6%	6.34	45	\$816	2.47	102	57.9%	6.67	29	5.16	54
119	Middlesex	66.1%	5.66	69	\$867	3.51	73	57.8%	6.65	31	5.27	49
121	Montgomery	80.1%	9.58	2	\$919	4.55	51	54.1%	5.63	63	6.58	15
125	Nelson	68.7%	6.38	43	\$999	6.17	17	51.5%	4.92	80	5.82	33
127	New Kent	61.5%	4.35	97	\$1,002	6.23	16	50.4%	4.62	85	5.07	60
131	Northampton	62.5%	4.65	90	\$807	2.29	107	66.6%	9.07	2	5.33	47
133	Northumberland	59.9%	3.92	101	\$840	2.95	90	41.2%	2.06	117	2.98	117
135	Nottoway	67.9%	6.16	55	\$873	3.62	71	55.2%	5.94	54	5.24	51
137	Orange	72.3%	7.39	21	\$941	5.00	38	43.9%	2.82	114	5.07	59
139	Page	69.9%	6.71	37	\$978	5.74	22	55.6%	6.05	49	6.17	29
141	Patrick	67.3%	5.98	59	\$804	2.24	110	66.1%	8.93	3	5.72	36
143	Pittsylvania	56.5%	2.97	113	\$853	3.21	83	51.0%	4.76	83	3.65	109
145	Powhatan	59.3%	3.74	104	\$919	4.55	52	53.9%	5.56	67	4.62	84
147	Prince Edward	72.8%	7.53	20	\$855	3.26	80	59.8%	7.21	20	6.00	30
149	Prince George	62.8%	4.74	88	\$974	5.66	24	49.1%	4.24	89	4.88	69
153	Prince William	73.6%	7.74	16	\$1,188	10.00	1	61.7%	7.73	11	8.49	2
155	Pulaski	64.5%	5.21	78	\$925	4.67	47	44.6%	3.00	113	4.29	95
157	Rappahannock	65.5%	5.49	74	\$958	5.35	28	48.5%	4.08	95	4.97	63
159	Richmond County	65.0%	5.34	77	\$924	4.65	48	33.7%	0.00	120	3.33	114
161	Roanoke County	74.8%	8.08	9	\$1,023	6.66	12	52.4%	5.15	74	6.63	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.9%	7.56	19	\$848	3.11	85	55.1%	5.90	56	5.52	42
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.0%	7.85	15	\$943	5.04	36	58.3%	6.80	26	6.56	16
167	Russell	62.2%	4.57	91	\$841	2.97	88	57.4%	6.54	33	4.70	81
169	Scott	58.2%	3.43	108	\$863	3.42	76	64.8%	8.57	7	5.14	56
171	Shenandoah	65.9%	5.60	70	\$902	4.20	62	52.3%	5.13	75	4.98	62

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.9%	3.92	<b>100</b>	\$807	2.29	<b>106</b>	52.7%	5.23	<b>71</b>	3.82	<b>105</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.0%	3.66	<b>106</b>	\$819	2.53	<b>100</b>	46.4%	3.50	<b>105</b>	3.23	<b>116</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.8%	6.70	<b>38</b>	\$1,018	6.56	<b>13</b>	56.5%	6.29	<b>41</b>	6.52	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	60.7%	4.14	<b>99</b>	\$1,124	8.69	<b>3</b>	57.9%	6.66	<b>30</b>	6.50	<b>19</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.4%	7.13	<b>30</b>	\$853	3.23	<b>82</b>	48.3%	4.04	<b>97</b>	4.80	<b>75</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.9%	5.88	<b>61</b>	\$937	4.91	<b>43</b>	56.7%	6.35	<b>36</b>	5.72	<b>35</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.5%	6.34	<b>46</b>	\$788	1.91	<b>115</b>	56.7%	6.33	<b>38</b>	4.86	<b>71</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.8%	6.70	<b>39</b>	\$983	5.85	<b>21</b>	55.8%	6.09	<b>47</b>	6.21	<b>26</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.8%	5.84	<b>62</b>	\$874	3.65	<b>70</b>	48.7%	4.13	<b>93</b>	4.54	<b>85</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.2%	5.41	<b>76</b>	\$904	4.26	<b>60</b>	50.8%	4.72	<b>84</b>	4.79	<b>76</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.0%	5.06	<b>83</b>	\$791	1.96	<b>113</b>	57.0%	6.44	<b>35</b>	4.49	<b>87</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.3%	5.98	<b>58</b>	\$819	2.54	<b>99</b>	56.5%	6.28	<b>42</b>	4.93	<b>64</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.3%	5.15	<b>81</b>	\$867	3.49	<b>74</b>	46.0%	3.40	<b>107</b>	4.02	<b>100</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.02	<b>34</b>	\$1,045	7.09	<b>9</b>	69.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	8.04	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.6%	6.92	<b>36</b>	\$849	3.14	<b>84</b>	48.6%	4.12	<b>94</b>	4.72	<b>79</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$919	4.55	<b>50</b>	55.8%	6.11	<b>46</b>	6.89	<b>10</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	74.3%	7.95	<b>12</b>	\$907	4.32	<b>58</b>	56.5%	6.30	<b>40</b>	6.19	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	66.6%	5.78	<b>65</b>	\$790	1.95	<b>114</b>	52.4%	5.16	<b>73</b>	4.30	<b>94</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	68.6%	6.35	<b>44</b>	\$793	2.00	<b>112</b>	54.1%	5.62	<b>64</b>	4.66	<b>83</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	77.0%	8.69	<b>4</b>	\$977	5.73	<b>23</b>	55.0%	5.89	<b>57</b>	6.77	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.7%	4.99	<b>84</b>	\$858	3.31	<b>77</b>	59.6%	7.14	<b>21</b>	5.15	<b>55</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.5%	7.17	<b>27</b>	\$898	4.13	<b>64</b>	52.7%	5.24	<b>70</b>	5.52	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.9%	6.44	<b>42</b>	\$907	4.30	<b>59</b>	51.1%	4.80	<b>82</b>	5.18	<b>52</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	72.1%	7.33	<b>24</b>	\$811	2.37	<b>105</b>	62.2%	7.86	<b>9</b>	5.85	<b>31</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	66.7%	5.81	<b>64</b>	\$1,081	7.84	<b>6</b>	59.4%	7.10	<b>22</b>	6.92	<b>9</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.6%	8.04	<b>10</b>	\$1,064	7.48	<b>7</b>	65.0%	8.64	<b>5</b>	8.06	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.3%	7.66	<b>17</b>	\$910	4.36	<b>57</b>	58.3%	6.79	<b>27</b>	6.27	<b>24</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.7%	7.21	<b>26</b>	\$846	3.09	<b>86</b>	55.3%	5.97	<b>52</b>	5.42	<b>45</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.0%	8.14	<b>7</b>	\$726	0.65	<b>118</b>	60.2%	7.31	<b>16</b>	5.37	<b>46</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.5%	7.17	<b>28</b>	\$936	4.89	<b>44</b>	57.7%	6.62	<b>32</b>	6.23	<b>25</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	74.2%	7.92	<b>14</b>	\$890	3.97	<b>67</b>	60.9%	7.50	<b>13</b>	6.46	<b>20</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.2%	7.36	<b>23</b>	\$895	4.07	<b>65</b>	45.9%	3.37	<b>109</b>	4.93	<b>65</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.2%	7.09	<b>31</b>	\$949	5.15	<b>33</b>	60.2%	7.31	<b>17</b>	6.52	<b>17</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	67.0%	5.89	<b>60</b>	\$950	5.18	<b>30</b>	49.8%	4.45	<b>86</b>	5.17	<b>53</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.6%	8.31	<b>6</b>	\$856	3.28	<b>79</b>	59.1%	7.01	<b>24</b>	6.20	<b>27</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	68.2%	6.23	<b>49</b>	\$939	4.96	<b>42</b>	56.7%	6.34	<b>37</b>	5.85	<b>32</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.3%	7.95	<b>11</b>	\$891	3.98	<b>66</b>	52.5%	5.19	<b>72</b>	5.71	<b>37</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.5%	6.31	<b>47</b>	\$954	5.26	<b>29</b>	51.2%	4.82	<b>81</b>	5.46	<b>44</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2009 – April 30, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	9,949	497	336	759	296	217	1,210	102	191	434	358	346	1,640	421	114	950	385	1,620	73
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	93%	91%	92%	93%	87%	82%	90%	95%	94%	93%	93%	88%	79%	89%	95%	83%	87%	84%
% Timely in April 2010	91%	87%	95%	95%	92%	91%	80%	91%	100%	95%	95%	93%	93%	77%	100%	92%	96%	94%	89%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	15,282	832	606	1,340	590	271	1,679	197	403	658	806	519	2,197	545	192	1,374	540	2,394	139
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	97%	98%	95%	99%	92%	92%	95%	97%	94%	98%	97%	94%	91%	95%	92%	91%	91%	97%
Percent in April 2010	94%	100%	100%	98%	100%	78%	93%	95%	95%	95%	100%	98%	97%	88%	92%	92%	92%	90%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	124	14	1	6	2	4	25	3	3	3	3	3	23	14	1	14	1	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	18	1	10	13	29	19	85	157	50	6	6	72	16	40	20	9	17	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	497	38	14	81	73	107	50	113	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	93%	94%	97%	93%	96%	90%	67%
% Timely in April 2010	87%	100%	100%	81%	87%	89%	92%	92%	33%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	832	63	47	123	121	96	147	215	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	97%	94%	100%	100%	98%	100%	96%	75%
Percent in April 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	14	0	0	3	1	2	0	3	5
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	11	1	9	0	5	36

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	336	6	39	14	59	55	26	101	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	91%	100%	100%	100%	85%	95%	69%	97%	81%
% Timely in April 2010	95%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	93%	90%	96%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	606	10	68	21	120	107	69	143	68
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	90%
Percent in April 2010	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	759	60	13	1	9	72	11	119	24	96	24	330
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	92%	88%	92%	100%	100%	71%	100%	97%	100%	95%	75%	94%
% Timely in April 2010	95%	63%	100%	N/A	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	95%	67%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1340	63	14	4	15	84	31	189	130	127	41	642
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	97%	79%	100%	100%	69%	100%	98%	100%	96%	98%	96%
Percent in April 2010	98%	83%	100%	N/A	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	10	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	296	166	4	0	22	104
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	100%	75%	N/A	91%	84%
% Timely in April 2010	92%	100%	50%	N/A	100%	69%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	590	343	6	0	32	209
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	99%	100%	83%	N/A	88%	99%
Percent in April 2010	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	21	0	0	4

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	217	3	71	41	10	43	49	73	36	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	87%	100%	72%	98%	40%	100%	96%	84%	83%	84%
% Timely in April 2010	91%	100%	78%	100%	0%	100%	91%	89%	91%	86%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	271	4	67	70	9	65	56	139	92	47
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	84%	100%	100%	92%	88%	97%	98%	96%
Percent in April 2010	78%	100%	50%	100%	N/A	89%	71%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,210	81	391	112	426	132	56	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	82%	100%	88%	91%	69%	98%	39%	83%
% Timely in April 2010	80%	100%	83%	81%	76%	100%	33%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1679	138	509	134	600	197	71	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	100%	89%	97%	94%	93%	79%	93%
Percent in April 2010	93%	100%	91%	96%	95%	94%	80%	67%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	25	0	3	0	10	0	10	2
Average # of Days Over 30	19	0	20	0	22	0	16	19

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	102	36	29	5	31	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	90%	89%	93%	80%	94%	0%
% Timely in April 2010	91%	88%	100%	100%	86%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	197	69	77	15	35	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	96%	97%	93%	91%	100%
Percent in April 2010	95%	100%	100%	N/A	86%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	85	85	0	0	0	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	191	29	5	18	22	2	115
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	100%	60%	72%	95%	100%	99%
% Timely in April 2010	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	403	76	2	26	70	21	208
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	96%	100%	85%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in April 2010	95%	80%	N/A	67%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	157	0	157	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	434	25	27	87	124	171
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	94%	100%	93%	99%	98%	89%
% Timely in April 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	96%	87%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	658	57	60	113	144	284
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	96%	100%	94%	94%	91%
Percent in April 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	94%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	50	0	0	0	0	50

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	358	41	140	44	36	97
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	98%	86%	98%	100%	96%
% Timely in April 2010	95%	95%	88%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	806	99	264	77	92	274
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	98%	99%	97%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in April 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	6	7	8	0	0	2

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	346	22	49	19	17	20	43	28	60	38	50
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	93%	73%	94%	95%	100%	100%	93%	93%	98%	87%	94%
% Timely in April 2010	93%	25%	100%	90%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	519	26	74	47	46	23	58	28	96	49	72
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	97%	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	99%	84%	99%
Percent in April 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,640	310	14	69	465	10	772
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	88%	90%	86%	97%	83%	100%	90%
% Timely in April 2010	93%	93%	100%	100%	84%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	2197	441	13	88	617	15	1,023
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	94%	96%	92%	89%	95%	93%	93%
Percent in April 2010	97%	98%	N/A	93%	94%	100%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	23	5	1	0	13	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	72	116	43	0	73	0	23

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	421	81	12	156	95	77
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	79%	58%	100%	78%	87%	90%
% Timely in April 2010	77%	73%	100%	62%	89%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	545	92	30	162	141	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	89%	100%	88%	94%	93%
Percent in April 2010	88%	69%	100%	90%	94%	87%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	14	3	0	7	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	23	0	8	24.5	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	114	42	1	19	10	7	15	3	5	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	89%	81%	100%	100%	90%	86%	100%	100%	80%	92%
% Timely in April 2010	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	192	48	13	27	16	8	18	13	23	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	95%	98%	92%	96%	100%	100%	83%	100%	96%	92%
Percent in April 2010	92%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	0%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	950	7	31	44	12	42	306	485	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	95%	43%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	92%	83%
% Timely in April 2010	92%	33%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	89%	60%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	1374	4	62	64	19	52	409	737	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	92%	50%	92%	98%	100%	87%	95%	89%	100%
Percent in April 2010	92%	N/A	86%	100%	N/A	80%	98%	89%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	14	3	0	0	1	0	0	6	4
Average # of Days Over 30	20	38	0	0	9	0	0	10	24

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	385	11	22	23	23	116	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	83%	100%	100%	91%	96%	60%	91%
% Timely in April 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	540	52	39	27	45	120	257
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	98%	95%	100%	100%	95%	86%
Percent in April 2010	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	0	9

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2009	1,620	44	44	288	13	581	305	95	250
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2009	87%	89%	80%	90%	100%	77%	94%	97%	94%
% Timely in April 2010	94%	100%	80%	96%	100%	92%	93%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2009	2394	59	55	378	59	727	452	193	471
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2009	91%	92%	100%	91%	98%	83%	94%	96%	94%
Percent in April 2010	90%	100%	100%	88%	100%	83%	96%	96%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	27	0	0	0	14	0	1

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Apr-09</i>	<i>Apr-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,636	15,836	1.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,799	131,461	1.3%
Total Cases Collected	145,435	147,297	1.3%
TANF Collections	\$4,932,893	\$4,616,903	-6.4%
NTANF Collections	\$52,227,523	\$53,158,802	1.8%
Total Collections	\$57,160,416	\$57,775,705	1.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$523,724,195	\$521,191,640	-0.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$367	\$366	-0.3%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Apr-10</i>
TANF Paternities	289
NTANF Paternities	399
Combined Paternities	688

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *April Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Patrick	6
Alleghany / Covington	1		Pittsylvania	2
Amelia	1		Prince William	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Pulaski	1
Bedford City	4		Roanoke County	15
Buckingham	4		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Campbell	1		Rockingham / H'burg	11
Caroline	1		Shenandoah	14
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	10		Smyth	1
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	10
Culpeper	3		Stafford	7
Cumberland	1		Sussex	1
Dinwiddie	1		Tazewell	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Warren	4
Fauquier	1		Westmoreland	2
Franklin County	2		Wythe	1
Frederick	4		York / Poquoson	1
Giles	1		Bristol	10
Gloucester	3		Charlottesville	4
Grayson	1		Chesapeake	3
Greene	3		Franklin City	1
Halifax	1		Hampton	13
Hanover	2		Lynchburg	1
Henrico	10		Newport News	17
Henry / Martinsville	10		Norfolk	14
Isle of Wight	2		Petersburg	2
James City	1		Richmond City	7
Loudoun	3		Roanoke City	9
Louisa	1		Suffolk	10
Mathews	1		Virginia Beach	11
Orange	4		Winchester	7
			Statewide	284

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of morning of May 3, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to:**  
**[william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov)**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through April 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Perhaps of note is that January 2010 saw the first monthly decline for some time. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through April 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Apr 30, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of May and represent end of April data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of April, it was \$8.20 rather than the \$8.07 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.