

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

July 2010

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services August 27, 2010

Monthly Report, July 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 42% with a denominator of 20,074 and a numerator of 8,365.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	78	32	41%	061	Fauquier	60	33	55%
003	Albemarle	63	23	37%	063	Floyd	29	16	55%
005	Alleghany/Covington	69	3	4%	065	Fluvanna	18	4	22%
007	Amelia	32	9	28%	067	Franklin County	140	35	25%
009	Amherst	44	21	48%	069	Frederick	101	27	27%
011	Appomattox	45	26	58%	071	Giles	21	4	19%
013	Arlington	137	71	52%	073	Gloucester	58	21	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	285	148	52%	075	Goochland	31	4	13%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	27	10	37%
019	Bedford County/City	112	45	40%	079	Greene	36	12	33%
021	Bland	11	4	36%	081	Greensville/Emporia	80	29	36%
023	Botetourt	18	0	0%	083	Halifax	104	25	24%
025	Brunswick	62	25	40%	085	Hanover	105	27	26%
027	Buchanan	63	24	38%	087	Henrico	963	332	34%
029	Buckingham	46	17	37%	089	Henry/Martinsville	345	122	35%
031	Campbell	167	80	48%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	120	50	42%	093	Isle of Wight	106	38	36%
035	Carroll	60	34	57%	095	James City	87	32	37%
036	Charles City	10	0	0%	097	King and Queen	9	4	44%
037	Charlotte	35	17	49%	099	King George	20	6	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	610	231	38%	101	King William	30	12	40%
043	Clarke	11	6	55%	103	Lancaster	21	4	19%
045	Craig	5	1	20%	105	Lee	143	55	38%
047	Culpeper	90	36	40%	107	Loudoun	174	60	34%
049	Cumberland	40	20	50%	109	Louisa	54	24	44%
051	Dickensen	42	18	43%	111	Lunenburg	33	3	9%
053	Dinwiddie	46	16	35%	113	Madison	9	3	33%
057	Essex	63	25	40%	115	Mathews	8	2	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	729	311	43%	117	Mecklenburg	75	34	45%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	24	5	21%	187	Warren	91	42	46%
121	Montgomery	239	113	47%	191	Washington	98	46	47%
125	Nelson	19	11	58%	193	Westmoreland	42	17	40%
127	New Kent	22	2	9%	195	Wise	195	88	45%
131	Northampton	66	26	39%	197	Wythe	70	28	40%
133	Northumberland	11	5	45%	199	York/Poquoson	85	39	46%
135	Nottoway	69	19	28%	510	Alexandria	300	144	48%
137	Orange	42	17	40%	520	Bristol	151	74	49%
139	Page	40	18	45%	540	Charlottesville	211	100	47%
141	Patrick	53	24	45%	550	Chesapeake	760	380	50%
143	Pittsylvania	89	33	37%	590	Danville	239	106	44%
145	Powhatan	15	8	53%	620	Franklin City	40	14	35%
147	Prince Edward	76	40	53%	630	Fredericksburg	133	62	47%
149	Prince George	46	14	30%	640	Galax	39	18	46%
153	Prince William	808	355	44%	650	Hampton	730	371	51%
155	Pulaski	72	29	40%	670	Hopewell	244	69	28%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	355	135	38%
159	Richmond County	8	3	38%	683	Manassas	108	33	31%
161	Roanoke County	184	86	47%	685	Manassas Park	24	15	63%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45	15	33%	700	Newport News	1,107	471	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	151	91	60%	710	Norfolk	1,418	777	55%
167	Russell	108	45	42%	720	Norton	42	13	31%
169	Scott	111	41	37%	730	Petersburg	386	166	43%
171	Shenandoah	20	7	35%	740	Portsmouth	754	279	37%
173	Smyth	99	44	44%	750	Radford	34	11	32%
175	Southampton	64	15	23%	760	Richmond City	1,740	675	39%
177	Spotsylvania	263	105	40%	770	Roanoke City	665	191	29%
179	Stafford	196	73	37%	800	Suffolk	187	95	51%
181	Surry	26	12	46%	810	Virginia Beach	606	279	46%
183	Sussex	40	16	40%	830	Williamsburg	31	2	6%
185	Tazewell	133	56	42%	840	Winchester	65	23	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 18,110 and a numerator of 6,594. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	73	27	37%	061	Fauquier	47	20	43%
003	Albemarle	52	13	25%	063	Floyd	21	8	38%
005	Alleghany/Covington	63	3	5%	065	Fluvanna	16	3	19%
007	Amelia	32	9	28%	067	Franklin County	131	29	22%
009	Amherst	38	16	42%	069	Frederick	94	21	22%
011	Appomattox	41	23	56%	071	Giles	17	0	0%
013	Arlington	113	51	45%	073	Gloucester	54	18	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	263	126	48%	075	Goochland	29	2	7%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	24	7	29%
019	Bedford County/City	99	32	32%	079	Greene	32	8	25%
021	Bland	10	3	30%	081	Greensville/Emporia	75	24	32%
023	Botetourt	18	0	0%	083	Halifax	95	17	18%
025	Brunswick	56	21	38%	085	Hanover	98	20	20%
027	Buchanan	59	21	36%	087	Henrico	881	263	30%
029	Buckingham	38	11	29%	089	Henry/Martinsville	318	96	30%
031	Campbell	142	55	39%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	109	43	39%	093	Isle of Wight	99	31	31%
035	Carroll	52	26	50%	095	James City	74	22	30%
036	Charles City	9	0	0%	097	King and Queen	5	0	0%
037	Charlotte	31	13	42%	099	King George	17	3	18%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	546	173	32%	101	King William	29	12	41%
043	Clarke	11	6	55%	103	Lancaster	20	3	15%
045	Craig	4	0	0%	105	Lee	126	42	33%
047	Culpeper	78	26	33%	107	Loudoun	143	31	22%
049	Cumberland	37	17	46%	109	Louisa	50	20	40%
051	Dickensen	33	9	27%	111	Lunenburg	32	3	9%
053	Dinwiddie	40	11	28%	113	Madison	8	3	38%
057	Essex	57	20	35%	115	Mathews	8	2	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	652	235	36%	117	Mecklenburg	73	32	44%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	2	10%	187	Warren	81	33	41%
121	Montgomery	209	84	40%	191	Washington	91	39	43%
125	Nelson	16	8	50%	193	Westmoreland	40	17	43%
127	New Kent	20	2	10%	195	Wise	171	65	38%
131	Northampton	60	20	33%	197	Wythe	62	20	32%
133	Northumberland	9	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	81	35	43%
135	Nottoway	65	15	23%	510	Alexandria	249	97	39%
137	Orange	38	14	37%	520	Bristol	132	55	42%
139	Page	38	17	45%	540	Charlottesville	188	80	43%
141	Patrick	50	22	44%	550	Chesapeake	700	322	46%
143	Pittsylvania	87	31	36%	590	Danville	226	95	42%
145	Powhatan	11	4	36%	620	Franklin City	35	10	29%
147	Prince Edward	65	29	45%	630	Fredericksburg	107	41	38%
149	Prince George	43	12	28%	640	Galax	32	13	41%
153	Prince William	685	236	34%	650	Hampton	651	305	47%
155	Pulaski	66	23	35%	670	Hopewell	220	47	21%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	324	109	34%
159	Richmond County	6	1	17%	683	Manassas	97	22	23%
161	Roanoke County	164	66	40%	685	Manassas Park	15	7	47%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	41	11	27%	700	Newport News	1,018	396	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	122	62	51%	710	Norfolk	1,330	693	52%
167	Russell	98	35	36%	720	Norton	39	10	26%
169	Scott	98	31	32%	730	Petersburg	347	134	39%
171	Shenandoah	18	5	28%	740	Portsmouth	679	206	30%
173	Smyth	95	40	42%	750	Radford	30	7	23%
175	Southampton	59	13	22%	760	Richmond City	1,620	581	36%
177	Spotsylvania	242	86	36%	770	Roanoke City	600	130	22%
179	Stafford	169	46	27%	800	Suffolk	169	78	46%
181	Surry	24	10	42%	810	Virginia Beach	543	219	40%
183	Sussex	36	12	33%	830	Williamsburg	28	0	0%
185	Tazewell	112	35	31%	840	Winchester	63	21	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 90% with a denominator of 1,964 and a numerator of 1,771. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	5	100%	061	Fauquier	13	13	100%
003	Albemarle	11	10	91%	063	Floyd	8	8	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	6	0	0%	065	Fluvanna	2	1	50%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	9	6	67%
009	Amherst	6	5	83%	069	Frederick	7	6	86%
011	Appomattox	4	3	75%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	24	20	83%	073	Gloucester	4	3	75%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	22	22	100%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	13	100%	079	Greene	4	4	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	5	5	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	9	8	89%
025	Brunswick	6	4	67%	085	Hanover	7	7	100%
027	Buchanan	4	3	75%	087	Henrico	82	69	84%
029	Buckingham	8	6	75%	089	Henry/Martinsville	27	26	96%
031	Campbell	25	25	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	11	7	64%	093	Isle of Wight	7	7	100%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	13	10	77%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	4	4	100%
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64	58	91%	101	King William	1	0	0%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	1	1	100%
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	17	13	76%
047	Culpeper	12	10	83%	107	Loudoun	31	29	94%
049	Cumberland	3	3	100%	109	Louisa	4	4	100%
051	Dickensen	9	9	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	6	5	83%	113	Madison	1	0	0%
057	Essex	6	5	83%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	77	76	99%	117	Mecklenburg	2	2	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	3	75%	187	Warren	10	9	90%
121	Montgomery	30	29	97%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	0	0%	195	Wise	24	23	96%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	8	8	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	4	4	100%	510	Alexandria	51	47	92%
137	Orange	4	3	75%	520	Bristol	19	19	100%
139	Page	2	1	50%	540	Charlottesville	23	20	87%
141	Patrick	3	2	67%	550	Chesapeake	60	58	97%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	13	11	85%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	5	4	80%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	26	21	81%
149	Prince George	3	2	67%	640	Galax	7	5	71%
153	Prince William	123	119	97%	650	Hampton	79	66	84%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	24	22	92%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	31	26	84%
159	Richmond County	2	2	100%	683	Manassas	11	11	100%
161	Roanoke County	20	20	100%	685	Manassas Park	9	8	89%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	89	75	84%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	29	29	100%	710	Norfolk	88	84	95%
167	Russell	10	10	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	13	10	77%	730	Petersburg	39	32	82%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	75	73	97%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	5	2	40%	760	Richmond City	120	94	78%
177	Spotsylvania	21	19	90%	770	Roanoke City	65	61	94%
179	Stafford	27	27	100%	800	Suffolk	18	17	94%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	63	60	95%
183	Sussex	4	4	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	21	21	100%	840	Winchester	2	2	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-10	Jul-09	Change from Jul-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,619	5,761	-2%	4,807	17%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,978	3,036	-2%	1,658	80%
Applications Approved	2,549	2,768	-8%	2,820	-10%
Cases Closed *	2,763	2,539	9%	4,476	-38%
Cases at End of Month	34,122	32,256	6%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month ²	35,360	33,385	6%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,763 closed cases, 972 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 568 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 198 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 126 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-10	Jul-09	Change from Jul-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	595	608	-2%	338	76%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	331	320	3%	232	43%
Applications Approved	283	304	-7%	81	249%
Cases Closed *	281	240	17%	107	163%
Cases at End of Month	2,130	1,919	11%	321	564%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,279	2,056	11%	394	478%

•The database indicates that of the 281 closed cases, 109 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 67 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 17 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 14 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,252	1,859	1,084	2,850	1,033	556	4,264	409	728	1,335	1,658	1,069	5,954	1,171	424	3,456	1,346	6,753	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,422	675	493	1,228	421	277	1,814	144	329	634	586	487	2,516	655	174	1,467	649	2,757	116
Part. in a Work Activity	10,920	508	367	755	344	189	1,363	105	269	436	430	351	1,728	394	136	1,031	389	2,055	70
% of Enrolled	71%	75%	74%	61%	82%	68%	75%	73%	82%	69%	73%	72%	69%	60%	78%	70%	60%	75%	60%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	158	4	5	14	0	17	23	3	1	5	6	3	27	8	6	9	6	21	0
Participants Employed	7,345	380	263	579	294	129	805	74	155	288	257	229	1,013	304	102	760	273	1,376	64
% of Enrolled	48%	56%	53%	47%	70%	47%	44%	51%	47%	45%	44%	47%	40%	46%	59%	52%	42%	50%	55%
Full Time	5,866	342	230	466	253	107	609	54	107	232	212	164	742	235	83	599	212	1,170	49
Part Time	1,478	38	33	113	40	22	196	20	48	56	45	65	271	69	19	161	61	206	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.50	\$7.66	\$7.89	\$8.03	\$8.53	\$9.36	\$8.51	\$8.28	\$7.82	\$7.59	\$7.83	\$8.11	\$8.37	\$7.95	\$7.99	\$7.89	\$7.91	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,011	\$1,033	\$1,068	\$1,094	\$1,173	\$1,242	\$1,085	\$1,029	\$1,058	\$1,039	#####	\$1,069	\$1,066	\$1,069	\$1,054	\$1,044	\$1,051	\$1,087

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	3,495	152	140	274	110	79	374	47	77	131	180	121	503	127	32	340	118	660	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW	16,611	710	541	1,348	433	328	1,974	156	350	679	646	536	2,654	704	187	1,595	694	2,950	126
Part. in a Work Activity	12,445	579	425	937	381	222	1,507	120	288	528	501	395	1,921	463	151	1,224	444	2,269	90
% of Total Enrolled	75%	82%	79%	70%	88%	68%	76%	77%	82%	78%	78%	74%	72%	66%	81%	77%	64%	77%	71%
Participants Employed	7,767	399	280	630	302	145	852	81	160	308	273	247	1,066	324	109	808	289	1,426	68
% of Total Enrolled	47%	56%	52%	47%	70%	44%	43%	52%	46%	45%	42%	46%	40%	46%	58%	51%	42%	48%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.50	\$7.70	\$7.88	\$8.02	\$8.45	\$9.43	\$8.36	\$8.28	\$7.85	\$7.61	\$7.85	\$8.14	\$8.36	\$7.98	\$7.99	\$7.89	\$7.92	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$987	\$1,016	\$1,047	\$1,053	\$1,133	\$1,219	\$1,011	\$958	\$1,031	\$994	\$964	\$1,047	\$1,041	\$1,017	\$1,024	\$1,022	\$1,031	\$1,049
Three Months Employment ¹	72%	72%	65%	67%	74%	65%	75%	72%	67%	66%	59%	71%	74%	79%	62%	74%	70%	73%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	241,656	15,607	9,111	17,845	6,962	4,488	30,117	3,428	5,207	9,096	11,463	6,881	32,131	7,020	3,105	22,727	8,212	46,050	2,206
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	182,638	11,865	7,614	13,410	5,249	3,278	23,006	2,568	3,941	7,446	9,123	5,208	24,800	5,031	2,251	16,645	6,368	33,234	1,601
Part. in a Work Activity	174,763	11,402	7,267	12,807	5,119	3,120	22,235	2,483	3,836	7,040	8,804	4,972	23,868	4,751	2,124	15,899	6,072	31,429	1,535
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	98%	95%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	125,781	7,497	5,026	9,281	3,721	2,185	16,390	1,749	2,980	5,168	5,731	3,211	17,045	3,400	1,461	11,911	4,412	23,698	915
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	67%	71%	68%	76%	69%	63%	62%	69%	68%	65%	72%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$6.08	\$6.16	\$6.95	\$6.99	\$7.18	\$8.48	\$7.30	\$7.25	\$6.41	\$6.25	\$6.57	\$7.21	\$7.74	\$6.83	\$6.85	\$6.85	\$6.72	\$6.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$931	\$801	\$839	\$939	\$933	\$969	\$1,133	\$967	\$938	\$838	\$838	\$859	\$975	\$1,010	\$879	\$903	\$930	\$884	\$824
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	57%	51%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	58%	53%	55%	59%	54%	47%	56%	54%	57%	62%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,859	186	101	294	268	217	276	454	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	675	46	26	124	101	109	89	155	25
Participants in a Work Activity	508	37	13	100	87	83	75	95	18
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	50%	81%	86%	76%	84%	61%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	380	19	13	81	51	66	49	83	18
% of Enrolled	56%	41%	50%	65%	50%	61%	55%	54%	72%
Full Time	342	19	13	75	40	57	45	78	15
Part Time	38	0	0	6	11	9	4	5	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$8.01	\$7.40	\$7.38	\$7.64	\$7.40	\$7.50	\$7.64	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$1,152	\$1,064	\$970	\$967	\$991	\$1,035	\$1,064	\$905

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	152	12	3	29	21	19	23	38	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	710	52	29	126	105	114	94	165	25
Participants in a Work Activity	579	37	18	104	92	94	89	127	18
% of Total Enrolled	82%	71%	62%	83%	88%	82%	95%	77%	72%
Participants Employed	399	19	14	82	52	67	57	90	18
% of Total Enrolled	56%	37%	48%	65%	50%	59%	61%	55%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$8.01	\$7.44	\$7.38	\$7.65	\$7.40	\$7.45	\$7.66	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$1,137	\$1,017	\$942	\$974	\$977	\$975	\$1,045	\$839
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	64%	57%	84%	76%	92%	66%	61%	87%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,607	1,583	1,103	2,168	2,141	1,493	3,000	3,615	504
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,865	1,201	844	1,618	1,699	1,067	2,279	2,764	393
Participants in a Work Activity	11,402	1,120	798	1,601	1,618	1,045	2,196	2,662	362
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,497	631	505	1,065	1,061	627	1,556	1,758	294
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	59%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.08	\$6.30	\$6.14	\$5.78	\$6.29	\$6.34	\$6.00	\$6.07	\$5.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$801	\$823	\$809	\$764	\$843	\$866	\$790	\$794	\$726
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,084	20	115	51	207	230	122	252	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	493	7	54	12	85	93	42	157	43
Participants in a Work Activity	367	6	52	11	72	63	35	103	25
% of Enrolled	74%	86%	96%	92%	85%	68%	83%	66%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	263	4	45	8	40	44	30	73	19
% of Enrolled	53%	57%	83%	67%	47%	47%	71%	46%	44%
Full Time	230	3	41	5	39	37	28	61	16
Part Time	33	1	4	3	1	7	2	12	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$7.14	\$7.53	\$8.00	\$7.78	\$7.41	\$7.47	\$7.96	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$803	\$1,082	\$1,020	\$1,130	\$941	\$985	\$1,025	\$1,078

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	140	1	8	1	34	28	13	47	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	541	10	55	17	101	97	48	159	54
Participants in a Work Activity	425	8	54	13	80	80	45	114	31
% of Total Enrolled	79%	80%	98%	76%	79%	82%	94%	72%	57%
Participants Employed	280	5	46	9	41	47	34	76	22
% of Total Enrolled	52%	50%	84%	53%	41%	48%	71%	48%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.70	\$7.11	\$7.55	\$8.00	\$7.77	\$7.44	\$7.82	\$7.96	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$880	\$990	\$1,008	\$1,137	\$951	\$1,040	\$1,019	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	25%	66%	68%	61%	57%	73%	68%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,111	226	1,238	601	1,573	1,556	1,406	1,858	653
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,614	175	1021	486	1304	1286	1119	1,655	568
Participants in a Work Activity	7,267	164	935	450	1242	1250	1099	1,589	538
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	92%	93%	95%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,026	110	688	322	771	851	757	1,167	360
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	59%	66%	68%	71%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.16	\$6.05	\$5.98	\$6.24	\$5.90	\$6.44	\$6.18	\$6.22	\$6.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$839	\$830	\$811	\$835	\$811	\$877	\$823	\$853	\$861
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	47%	47%	57%	53%	49%	56%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,850	126	50	9	43	277	46	399	198	349	64	1,289
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1228	120	23	2	20	118	18	195	67	156	32	477
Participants in a Work Activity	755	54	3	2	18	57	12	143	50	119	24	273
% of Enrolled	61%	45%	13%	100%	90%	48%	67%	73%	75%	76%	75%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	6	3	0
Participants Employed	579	48	3	1	16	43	9	133	36	73	21	196
% of Enrolled	47%	40%	13%	50%	80%	36%	50%	68%	54%	47%	66%	41%
Full Time	466	25	2	0	12	32	7	117	35	62	18	156
Part Time	113	23	1	1	4	11	2	16	1	11	3	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$8.12	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.71	\$7.94	\$7.41	\$7.90	\$7.51	\$7.77	\$7.73	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$981	\$852	\$650	\$951	\$1,056	\$904	\$1,072	\$1,059	\$1,051	\$1,044	\$1,122

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	274	10	2	0	4	30	5	38	24	28	8	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,348	120	23	3	21	131	19	206	70	168	40	547
Participants in a Work Activity	937	54	4	2	18	72	13	169	64	138	29	374
% of Total Enrolled	70%	45%	17%	67%	86%	55%	68%	82%	91%	82%	73%	68%
Participants Employed	630	47	3	1	16	50	9	142	40	80	26	216
% of Total Enrolled	47%	39%	13%	33%	76%	38%	47%	69%	57%	48%	65%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.08	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.76	\$7.89	\$7.41	\$7.87	\$7.52	\$7.75	\$7.71	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$946	\$846	\$645	\$909	\$996	\$876	\$1,041	\$1,032	\$1,054	\$1,021	\$1,111
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	77%	100%	33%	65%	75%	59%	78%	48%	60%	62%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	17,845	1,072	302	68	446	1,535	452	2,552	1,571	1,925	702	7,220
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,410	800	185	27	318	1,133	315	2,052	1,234	1,516	537	5,293
Participants in a Work Activity	12,807	765	164	26	310	998	306	2,025	1,188	1,440	520	5,065
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	96%	97%	88%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,281	575	85	16	226	713	186	1,641	797	1,127	387	3,528
% of Total Enrolled	69%	72%	46%	59%	71%	63%	59%	80%	65%	74%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$6.76	\$7.20	\$6.92	\$6.84	\$6.76	\$6.92	\$6.81	\$6.66	\$7.43	\$6.88	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$819	\$915	\$1,019	\$918	\$933	\$936	\$922	\$927	\$1,028	\$897	\$953
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	42%	60%	46%	50%	48%	54%	45%	53%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,033	609	8	1	88	327
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	421	240	2	0	33	146
Participants in a Work Activity	344	198	2	N/A	21	123
% of Enrolled	82%	83%	100%	N/A	64%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	294	169	2	N/A	21	102
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	100%	N/A	64%	70%
Full Time	253	149	1	N/A	14	89
Part Time	40	20	0	N/A	7	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.92	\$3.63	N/A	\$7.52	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,094	\$1,076	\$628	N/A	\$942	\$1,164

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	110	60	1	0	9	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	433	249	2	0	36	146
Participants in a Work Activity	381	225	2	M/A	26	128
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	100%	N/A	72%	88%
Participants Employed	302	172	2	N/A	23	105
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	100%	N/A	64%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.91	\$3.63	N/A	\$7.52	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,024	\$624	N/A	\$908	\$1,140
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	75%	0%	N/A	61%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	6,962	3,744	70	16	624	2,508
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,249	2,879	41	7	458	1,864
Participants in a Work Activity	5,119	2,829	41	7	430	1,812
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,721	1,964	27	5	337	1,388
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	66%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.99	\$6.99	\$6.39	\$7.75	\$6.58	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$933	\$942	\$690	\$694	\$849	\$948
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	56%	58%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	556	20	173	81	20	120	142	303	198	105
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	277	6	82	50	15	70	54	116	61	55
Participants in a Work Activity	189	6	45	35	14	42	47	70	37	33
% of Enrolled	68%	100%	55%	70%	93%	60%	87%	60%	61%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	6	1	7	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	129	6	33	26	8	28	28	64	35	29
% of Enrolled	47%	100%	40%	52%	5	40%	52%	55%	57%	53%
Full Time	107	6	28	24	5	23	21	49	26	23
Part Time	22	0	5	2	3	5	7	15	9	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.46	\$9.41	\$8.39	\$8.70	\$8.33	\$7.78	\$7.96	\$8.24	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,184	\$1,345	\$1,217	\$1,014	\$1,146	\$999	\$1,087	\$1,134	\$1,030

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	79	1	25	15	8	13	17	30	21	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	328	8	88	56	20	85	71	126	70	56
Participants in a Work Activity	222	7	55	39	17	50	54	90	49	41
% of Total Enrolled	68%	88%	63%	70%	85%	59%	76%	71%	70%	73%
Participants Employed	145	6	34	29	10	33	33	68	38	30
% of Total Enrolled	44%	75%	39%	52%	50%	39%	46%	54%	54%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.46	\$9.36	\$8.27	\$8.42	\$8.42	\$7.72	\$8.02	\$8.29	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,133	\$1,176	\$1,270	\$1,156	\$952	\$1,177	\$976	\$1,049	\$1,092	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	67%	63%	57%	67%	71%	67%	85%	77%	96%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,488	177	898	710	553	1088	1062	2,206	1382	824
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,278	104	645	573	329	811	816	1,601	976	625
Participants in a Work Activity	3,120	99	609	557	320	755	780	1535	947	588
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,185	64	379	403	212	565	562	915	524	391
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	59%	70%	64%	70%	69%	57%	54%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.27	\$7.48	\$7.22	\$6.57	\$7.23	\$7.10	\$6.52	\$6.60	\$6.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$969	\$968	\$981	\$982	\$904	\$987	\$954	\$824	\$834	\$812
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	47%	52%	56%	53%	56%	51%	62%	58%	67%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,264	295	1,602	274	1,344	505	208	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,814	108	604	159	668	204	63	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,363	92	474	108	465	166	50	8
% of Enrolled	75%	85%	78%	68%	70%	81%	79%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	23	1	9	0	9	4	0	0
Participants Employed	805	49	257	72	298	96	27	6
% of Enrolled	44%	45%	43%	45%	45%	47%	43%	75%
Full Time	609	31	192	48	233	79	20	6
Part Time	196	18	65	24	65	17	7	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.36	\$9.41	\$9.77	\$10.31	\$8.88	\$9.31	\$8.58	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,242	\$1,188	\$1,285	\$1,343	\$1,190	\$1,271	\$1,133	\$1,224

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	374	16	147	31	122	41	14	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,974	116	685	161	718	211	75	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,507	100	549	112	507	173	58	8
% of Total Enrolled	76%	86%	80%	70%	71%	82%	77%	100%
Participants Employed	852	51	276	73	317	98	31	6
% of Total Enrolled	43%	44%	40%	45%	44%	46%	41%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.43	\$9.40	\$9.86	\$10.28	\$8.98	\$9.34	\$8.69	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,219	\$1,173	\$1,249	\$1,319	\$1,181	\$1,236	\$1,131	\$1,171
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	77%	73%	72%	77%	79%	80%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	30,117	2,644	10,573	2,101	9,607	3,513	1,293	386
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,006	2,042	7,866	1,650	7,415	2,779	968	286
Participants in a Work Activity	22,235	2,023	7,603	1,579	7,148	2,663	935	284
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	16,390	1,490	5,326	1,299	5,446	1,970	646	213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	79%	73%	71%	67%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.02	\$8.44	\$9.04	\$8.66	\$8.17	\$8.02	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,133	\$1,044	\$1,118	\$1,177	\$1,190	\$1,049	\$1,084	\$1,068
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	60%	65%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	409	194	97	23	93	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	144	50	40	10	41	3
Participants in a Work Activity	105	36	30	6	32	1
% of Enrolled	73%	72%	75%	60%	78%	33%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	74	26	22	3	23	0
% of Enrolled	51%	52%	55%	30%	56%	0%
Full Time	54	16	17	1	20	N/A
Part Time	20	10	5	2	3	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.51	\$8.06	\$8.92	\$8.58	\$8.61	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$984	\$1,125	\$972	\$1,178	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	47	27	9	3	7	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	156	54	42	14	43	3
Participants in a Work Activity	120	39	31	13	36	1
% of Total Enrolled	77%	72%	74%	93%	84%	33%
Participants Employed	81	29	23	3	26	0
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	55%	21%	60%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.01	\$8.66	\$8.58	\$8.42	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$914	\$1,092	\$966	\$1,047	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	86%	64%	100%	58%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,428	1,317	1003	321	699	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,568	936	768	237	568	59
Participants in a Work Activity	2,483	903	761	229	534	56
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,749	617	529	154	411	38
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	65%	72%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.28	\$7.64	\$7.05	\$7.01	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$949	\$999	\$986	\$944	\$958
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	728	148	48	59	101	36	336
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	329	37	13	30	43	13	193
Participants in a Work Activity	269	32	10	16	33	11	167
% of Enrolled	82%	86%	77%	53%	77%	85%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	155	20	5	13	20	7	90
% of Enrolled	47%	54%	38%	43%	47%	54%	47%
Full Time	107	15	4	4	17	6	61
Part Time	48	5	1	9	3	1	29
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$8.66	\$8.32	\$8.37	\$8.41	\$7.66	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,192	\$1,048	\$902	\$1,137	\$1,000	\$988

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	77	13	2	7	10	4	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	350	42	13	30	47	14	204
Participants in a Work Activity	288	39	10	17	33	12	177
% of Total Enrolled	82%	93%	77%	57%	70%	86%	87%
Participants Employed	160	21	5	13	20	7	94
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	38%	43%	43%	50%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$8.59	\$8.32	\$8.37	\$8.41	\$7.66	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$1,126	\$1,041	\$888	\$1,051	\$962	\$905
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	79%	100%	53%	58%	58%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,207	1210	188	408	787	250	2,364
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,941	848	121	291	561	173	1,947
Participants in a Work Activity	3,836	827	116	270	533	171	1,919
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	93%	95%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	2,980	628	89	218	346	114	1,585
% of Total Enrolled	76%	74%	74%	75%	62%	66%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.67	\$7.39	\$7.21	\$7.31	\$7.55	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$997	\$1,082	\$908	\$954	\$997	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	55%	49%	54%	52%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,335	97	97	209	292	640
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	634	37	48	118	199	232
Participants in a Work Activity	436	25	39	72	145	155
% of Enrolled	69%	68%	81%	61%	73%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	288	20	27	48	84	109
% of Enrolled	45%	54%	56%	41%	42%	47%
Full Time	232	19	27	38	58	90
Part Time	56	1	0	10	26	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.47	\$7.47	\$8.37	\$7.91	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,070	\$1,114	\$1,069	\$1,061	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	131	7	7	34	27	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	679	39	48	124	211	257
Participants in a Work Activity	528	30	43	94	177	184
% of Total Enrolled	78%	77%	90%	76%	84%	72%
Participants Employed	308	22	28	50	97	111
% of Total Enrolled	45%	56%	58%	40%	46%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$7.49	\$7.46	\$8.37	\$7.98	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,059	\$1,140	\$1,108	\$967	\$1,018
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	72%	79%	60%	61%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,096	843	732	1,840	2,097	3,584
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,446	673	636	1,459	1,767	2,911
Participants in a Work Activity	7,040	640	624	1,364	1,661	2,751
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,168	454	450	965	1,219	2,080
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.41	\$6.61	\$6.14	\$6.47	\$6.60	\$6.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$838	\$845	\$847	\$836	\$876	\$814
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	51%	45%	55%	59%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,658	244	557	103	246	508
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	586	74	229	78	53	152
Participants in a Work Activity	430	33	194	65	40	98
% of Enrolled	73%	45%	85%	83%	75%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	3	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	257	24	109	39	30	55
% of Enrolled	44%	32%	48%	50%	57%	36%
Full Time	212	19	88	27	29	49
Part Time	45	5	21	12	1	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$8.11	\$7.58	\$7.29	\$7.53	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,188	\$1,010	\$989	\$1,059	\$1,056

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	180	33	70	19	23	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	646	76	247	80	62	181
Participants in a Work Activity	501	50	201	65	48	137
% of Total Enrolled	78%	66%	81%	81%	77%	76%
Participants Employed	273	28	113	41	31	60
% of Total Enrolled	42%	37%	46%	51%	50%	33%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.98	\$7.61	\$7.30	\$7.52	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$997	\$988	\$977	\$1,006	\$1,010
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	68%	56%	63%	58%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,463	1,777	3,061	1,127	1,847	3,651
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,123	1,463	2,278	970	1,408	3,004
Participants in a Work Activity	8,804	1,401	2,185	910	1,385	2,923
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,731	847	1,466	650	788	1,980
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	64%	67%	56%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.25	\$6.22	\$6.62	\$6.11	\$6.25	\$6.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$838	\$823	\$925	\$800	\$854	\$791
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,069	86	132	68	77	57	139	58	179	130	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	487	33	47	32	29	32	62	36	73	68	75
Participants in a Work Activity	351	23	32	27	25	30	49	13	61	40	51
% of Enrolled	72%	70%	68%	84%	86%	94%	79%	36%	84%	59%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	229	13	27	16	17	17	27	10	36	35	31
% of Enrolled	47%	39%	57%	50%	59%	53%	44%	28%	49%	51%	41%
Full Time	164	11	17	13	14	13	16	6	24	29	21
Part Time	65	2	10	3	3	4	11	4	12	6	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$8.03	\$7.60	\$7.35	\$8.01	\$8.27	\$7.53	\$7.11	\$8.04	\$8.14	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$1,057	\$901	\$1,041	\$1,108	\$1,013	\$871	\$816	\$1,079	\$1,088	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	121	5	10	14	11	8	10	6	16	16	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	536	38	53	37	33	34	70	39	82	70	80
Participants in a Work Activity	395	25	38	28	27	31	58	18	67	42	61
% of Total Enrolled	74%	66%	72%	76%	82%	91%	83%	46%	82%	60%	76%
Participants Employed	247	15	29	17	17	18	30	11	41	36	33
% of Total Enrolled	46%	39%	55%	46%	52%	53%	43%	28%	50%	51%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.15	\$7.58	\$7.68	\$8.01	\$8.25	\$7.51	\$7.12	\$8.07	\$8.12	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$1,012	\$941	\$1,081	\$966	\$958	\$778	\$757	\$957	\$1,108	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	88%	69%	81%	59%	79%	64%	67%	67%	76%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,881	415	891	696	586	468	815	285	999	821	905
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,208	295	698	514	408	332	631	213	780	616	721
Participants in a Work Activity	4,972	277	693	479	379	318	602	211	738	574	701
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,211	177	403	292	211	193	368	100	529	421	517
% of Total Enrolled	62%	60%	58%	57%	52%	58%	58%	47%	68%	68%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.57	\$7.21	\$6.09	\$6.92	\$7.01	\$7.07	\$6.52	\$5.84	\$6.34	\$6.55	\$6.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$859	\$945	\$814	\$929	\$907	\$938	\$806	\$727	\$820	\$877	\$862
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	58%	53%	48%	48%	55%	56%	57%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,954	1088	49	174	1,630	37	2,976
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,516	432	18	97	785	14	1,170
Participants in a Work Activity	1,728	276	6	56	511	8	871
% of Enrolled	69%	64%	33%	58%	65%	57%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	27	5	3	2	8	0	9
Participants Employed	1,013	192	6	41	341	6	427
% of Enrolled	40%	44%	33%	42%	43%	43%	36%
Full Time	742	154	2	25	251	3	307
Part Time	271	38	4	16	90	3	120
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.00	\$8.29	\$8.22	\$8.27	\$6.33	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,069	\$854	\$996	\$1,113	\$768	\$1,049

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	503	113	7	13	118	4	248
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,654	452	18	101	815	15	1,253
Participants in a Work Activity	1,921	325	6	60	559	11	960
% of Total Enrolled	72%	72%	33%	59%	69%	73%	77%
Participants Employed	1,066	196	6	43	362	7	452
% of Total Enrolled	40%	43%	33%	43%	44%	47%	36%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$8.14	\$8.29	\$8.18	\$8.27	\$6.46	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,059	\$785	\$928	\$1,081	\$756	\$1,035
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	79%	80%	70%	80%	64%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	32,131	6,442	225	1060	7,719	281	16,404
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,800	4,826	162	757	6,114	195	12,746
Participants in a Work Activity	23,868	4,678	149	695	5,784	185	12,377
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	92%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	17,045	3,157	104	483	4,134	116	9,051
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	64%	64%	68%	59%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$7.45	\$6.71	\$8.10	\$7.49	\$7.24	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$1,005	\$864	\$1,050	\$1,011	\$913	\$950
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	61%	54%	64%	57%	53%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,171	202	53	433	297	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	655	124	15	237	162	117
Participants in a Work Activity	394	64	8	143	102	77
% of Enrolled	60%	52%	53%	60%	63%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	1	5	0	1
Participants Employed	304	54	4	116	65	65
% of Enrolled	46%	44%	27%	49%	40%	56%
Full Time	235	39	4	97	48	47
Part Time	69	15	0	19	17	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.08	\$8.43	\$8.42	\$8.48	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$973	\$1,198	\$1,106	\$1,041	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	127	19	7	50	33	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	704	125	15	264	169	131
Participants in a Work Activity	463	85	8	170	106	94
% of Total Enrolled	66%	68%	53%	64%	63%	72%
Participants Employed	324	57	4	129	65	69
% of Total Enrolled	46%	46%	27%	49%	38%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.10	\$8.43	\$8.43	\$8.48	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,041	\$998	\$1,267	\$1,052	\$1,050	\$1,033
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	82%	33%	81%	78%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,020	1,102	434	2,054	2,045	1,385
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,031	771	284	1,588	1,240	1,148
Participants in a Work Activity	4,751	733	272	1,440	1,198	1,108
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	96%	91%	97%	97%
Participants Employed	3,400	485	189	1,097	746	883
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	69%	60%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.74	\$7.41	\$7.26	\$7.86	\$8.24	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$948	\$917	\$1,026	\$1,115	\$977
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	48%	57%	58%	55%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	424	101	23	59	40	24	39	27	28	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	174	48	5	33	10	16	18	7	6	31
Participants in a Work Activity	136	41	2	28	9	11	12	6	4	23
% of Enrolled	78%	85%	40%	85%	90%	69%	67%	86%	67%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	102	29	2	16	5	10	12	6	4	18
% of Enrolled	59%	60%	40%	48%	50%	63%	67%	86%	67%	58%
Full Time	83	20	2	11	5	10	10	4	4	17
Part Time	19	9	0	5	0	0	2	2	0	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.31	\$7.96	\$8.00	\$8.10	\$8.18	\$6.91	\$7.92	\$8.63	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,137	\$1,222	\$1,001	\$1,111	\$1,183	\$966	\$873	\$1,324	\$1,003

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	32	3	5	6	3	0	3	3	4	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	187	50	5	36	12	16	20	9	7	32
Participants in a Work Activity	151	48	2	30	10	11	14	6	6	24
% of Total Enrolled	81%	96%	40%	83%	83%	69%	70%	67%	86%	75%
Participants Employed	109	31	2	18	5	10	13	6	5	19
% of Total Enrolled	58%	62%	40%	50%	42%	63%	65%	67%	71%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$8.29	\$7.96	\$8.25	\$8.10	\$8.18	\$6.99	\$7.92	\$8.35	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$991	\$1,213	\$1,016	\$1,014	\$1,024	\$949	\$968	\$1,239	\$1,038
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	63%	50%	65%	50%	100%	73%	63%	33%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,105	472	237	268	359	172	354	274	292	677
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,251	366	155	214	273	111	257	221	164	490
Participants in a Work Activity	2,124	353	144	206	256	103	236	214	159	453
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	96%	94%	93%	92%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	1,461	258	81	128	185	70	175	134	107	323
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	52%	60%	68%	63%	68%	61%	65%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$6.84	\$6.95	\$7.27	\$6.60	\$6.77	\$6.87	\$6.50	\$6.85	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$879	\$841	\$881	\$971	\$830	\$864	\$891	\$840	\$933	\$906
Five Months Employment ⁹	47%	41%	35%	47%	48%	56%	58%	42%	33%	51%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,456	28	108	137	40	125	1,209	1,775	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,467	4	35	45	16	80	494	770	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,031	1	25	35	7	54	342	548	19
% of Enrolled	70%	25%	71%	78%	44%	68%	69%	71%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0
Participants Employed	760	1	21	29	5	45	231	409	19
% of Enrolled	52%	25%	60%	64%	31%	56%	47%	53%	83%
Full Time	599	1	15	20	2	30	180	336	15
Part Time	161	0	6	9	3	15	51	73	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$9.98	\$7.92	\$8.98	\$7.51	\$8.13	\$7.98	\$7.91	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,729	\$975	\$1,175	\$754	\$1,026	\$1,026	\$1,067	\$1,138

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	340	5	15	13	4	13	121	168	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,595	4	48	57	19	84	525	831	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,224	3	32	49	8	62	436	611	23
% of Total Enrolled	77%	75%	67%	86%	42%	74%	83%	74%	85%
Participants Employed	808	1	25	36	5	47	241	431	22
% of Total Enrolled	51%	25%	52%	63%	26%	56%	46%	52%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$9.98	\$7.93	\$8.90	\$7.51	\$8.08	\$7.99	\$7.91	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$1,717	\$982	\$1,099	\$748	\$1,013	\$983	\$1,040	\$1,134
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	0%	44%	73%	100%	95%	77%	73%	94%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	22,727	136	956	919	271	876	7,950	11,295	324
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,645	93	664	708	197	632	5,698	8,418	235
Participants in a Work Activity	15,899	90	610	662	186	609	5,565	7,956	221
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	94%	94%	96%	98%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	11,911	51	442	502	121	407	4,059	6,153	176
% of Total Enrolled	72%	55%	67%	71%	61%	64%	71%	73%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.72	\$6.70	\$7.23	\$7.39	\$6.94	\$6.85	\$6.82	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$903	\$868	\$836	\$886	\$1,000	\$874	\$899	\$912	\$905
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	54%	52%	50%	47%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,346	121	98	66	79	350	632
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	649	20	42	36	37	215	299
Participants in a Work Activity	389	17	31	23	26	98	194
% of Enrolled	60%	85%	74%	64%	70%	46%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	3	0	1	2	0
Participants Employed	273	11	23	16	18	72	133
% of Enrolled	42%	55%	55%	44%	49%	33%	44%
Full Time	212	9	14	9	15	50	115
Part Time	61	2	9	7	3	22	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.83	\$8.05	\$8.98	\$7.61	\$7.62	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,173	\$991	\$1,076	\$1,020	\$943	\$1,096

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	118	15	6	6	13	23	55
Total Enrolled in VIEW	694	22	46	36	40	225	325
Participants in a Work Activity	444	20	34	36	29	106	219
% of Total Enrolled	64%	91%	74%	100%	73%	47%	67%
Participants Employed	289	11	23	19	18	77	141
% of Total Enrolled	42%	50%	50%	53%	45%	34%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.83	\$8.05	\$8.75	\$7.61	\$7.66	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$1,165	\$1,005	\$1,046	\$980	\$947	\$1,057
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	47%	83%	71%	50%	72%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,212	1046	716	314	657	2,050	3,429
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,368	722	530	248	517	1,642	2,709
Participants in a Work Activity	6,072	719	463	236	501	1,563	2,590
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,412	484	331	179	346	1,137	1,935
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	62%	72%	67%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$6.81	\$7.28	\$7.03	\$6.84	\$6.73	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$945	\$977	\$861	\$938	\$908	\$937
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	52%	50%	47%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/10 and Work Activity as of 7/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,753	173	124	1,203	107	2,302	1,306	422	1,116
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,757	57	49	525	21	1,069	473	150	413
Participants in a Work Activity	2,055	38	28	394	16	832	347	105	295
% of Enrolled	75%	67%	57%	75%	76%	78%	73%	70%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	1	0	0	4	10	0	6
Participants Employed	1,376	36	11	300	8	538	213	67	203
% of Enrolled	50%	63%	22%	57%	38%	50%	45%	45%	49%
Full Time	1,170	31	7	260	7	470	163	56	176
Part Time	206	5	4	40	1	68	50	11	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.72	\$7.67	\$7.98	\$7.85	\$7.74	\$8.01	\$8.03	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,047	\$912	\$1,067	\$1,081	\$1,007	\$1,082	\$1,103	\$1,104

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	660	18	14	98	16	200	119	43	152
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,950	69	58	577	23	1,085	537	156	445
Participants in a Work Activity	2,269	45	38	454	19	855	413	117	328
% of Total Enrolled	77%	65%	66%	79%	83%	79%	77%	75%	74%
Participants Employed	1,426	39	13	323	8	529	235	72	207
% of Total Enrolled	48%	57%	22%	56%	35%	49%	44%	46%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.68	\$7.67	\$8.05	\$7.85	\$7.73	\$7.95	\$8.03	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,016	\$933	\$1,066	\$964	\$995	\$1,022	\$1,076	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	74%	62%	76%	66%	75%	73%	65%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	46,050	1034	877	7,359	720	14,850	7,495	2,942	10,773
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	33,234	733	690	5,281	564	10,612	5,835	2,278	7,241
Participants in a Work Activity	31,429	704	660	5,009	550	10,067	5,480	2,242	6,717
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	98%	93%
Participants Employed	23,698	529	406	3,905	383	7,559	4,297	1,708	4,911
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	59%	74%	68%	71%	74%	75%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.72	\$6.75	\$6.47	\$6.88	\$6.35	\$6.60	\$6.62	\$6.56	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$884	\$899	\$817	\$911	\$798	\$849	\$892	\$859	\$942
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	46%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	54.3%	5.43	34	\$8.29	7.01	29	76.7%	7.67	31	6.70	30
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	50.0%	5.00	47	\$8.59	7.46	12	78.9%	7.89	26	6.78	24
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	39.2%	3.92	98	\$8.08	6.69	45	76.6%	7.66	33	6.09	67
007	<i>Amelia</i>	39.5%	3.95	97	\$8.15	6.80	40	87.5%	8.75	11	6.50	37
009	<i>Amherst</i>	56.4%	5.64	28	\$7.49	5.80	103	72.4%	7.24	51	6.23	55
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	58.3%	5.83	25	\$7.46	5.76	104	79.5%	7.95	23	6.51	35
013	<i>Arlington</i>	44.0%	4.40	79	\$9.40	8.68	4	76.5%	7.65	35	6.91	16
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	69.1%	6.91	11	\$7.91	6.44	66	74.9%	7.49	41	6.94	15
017	<i>Bath</i>	100.0%	10.00	1	\$3.63	0.00	118	0.0%	0.00	117	3.33	118
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	40.3%	4.03	93	\$8.37	7.13	24	60.4%	6.04	93	5.73	85
021	<i>Bland</i>	50.0%	5.00	48	\$7.11	5.23	114	25.0%	2.50	116	4.24	115
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	13.0%	1.30	118	\$7.75	6.20	76	100.0%	10.00	1	5.83	81
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	54.7%	5.47	32	\$7.58	5.94	95	69.4%	6.94	60	6.12	63
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	36.5%	3.65	105	\$8.01	6.59	53	64.4%	6.44	80	5.56	95
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	45.9%	4.59	71	\$7.68	6.09	83	81.0%	8.10	19	6.26	52
031	<i>Campbell</i>	46.0%	4.60	70	\$7.98	6.54	59	60.7%	6.07	92	5.74	84
033	<i>Caroline</i>	45.6%	4.56	74	\$8.10	6.72	43	81.7%	8.17	16	6.48	41
035	<i>Carroll</i>	83.6%	8.36	2	\$7.55	5.89	96	66.0%	6.60	74	6.95	14
036	<i>Charles City</i>	25.0%	2.50	115	\$9.98	9.55	2	0.0%	0.00	118	4.02	117
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	51.5%	5.15	44	\$8.01	6.59	54	59.1%	5.91	95	5.88	77
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	43.4%	4.34	82	\$8.14	6.78	41	78.7%	7.87	27	6.33	45
043	<i>Clarke</i>	75.0%	7.50	5	\$8.46	7.26	16	66.7%	6.67	68	7.14	7
045	<i>Craig</i>	33.3%	3.33	109	\$7.50	5.82	102	33.3%	3.33	113	4.16	116
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	53.7%	5.37	35	\$8.01	6.59	55	85.7%	8.57	13	6.84	22
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	52.9%	5.29	37	\$8.25	6.95	34	79.0%	7.90	25	6.71	27
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	48.3%	4.83	60	\$7.44	5.73	106	57.1%	5.71	102	5.42	103
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	50.0%	5.00	49	\$7.83	6.32	72	46.9%	4.69	111	5.33	106
057	<i>Essex</i>	62.0%	6.20	20	\$8.29	7.01	30	63.2%	6.32	84	6.51	36
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	40.3%	4.03	94	\$9.86	9.37	3	73.2%	7.32	44	6.91	18
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	54.8%	5.48	31	\$8.66	7.56	11	64.1%	6.41	81	6.48	40
063	<i>Floyd</i>	76.2%	7.62	4	\$7.76	6.21	75	64.7%	6.47	79	6.77	25
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	38.5%	3.85	101	\$8.32	7.05	28	100.0%	10.00	2	6.97	13
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	38.2%	3.82	103	\$7.89	6.41	69	75.0%	7.50	40	5.91	76
069	<i>Frederick</i>	38.6%	3.86	100	\$9.36	8.62	5	62.9%	6.29	86	6.26	53
071	<i>Giles</i>	47.4%	4.74	63	\$7.41	5.68	108	59.1%	5.91	96	5.44	101
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	52.1%	5.21	41	\$7.93	6.47	64	44.1%	4.41	112	5.36	105
075	<i>Goochland</i>	33.3%	3.33	110	\$8.29	7.01	31	80.0%	8.00	21	6.11	65
077	<i>Grayson</i>	52.9%	5.29	38	\$8.00	6.57	57	68.4%	6.84	62	6.24	54

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	43.3%	4.33	83	\$8.37	7.13	25	52.6%	5.26	106	5.57	93
081	Greenville/Emporia	42.9%	4.29	85	\$7.51	5.83	100	63.6%	6.36	82	5.49	97
083	Halifax	36.8%	3.68	104	\$7.98	6.54	60	68.2%	6.82	63	5.68	89
085	Hanover	42.6%	4.26	86	\$8.18	6.84	38	69.6%	6.96	59	6.02	71
087	Henrico	44.4%	4.44	77	\$8.27	6.98	32	80.4%	8.04	20	6.49	38
089	Henry/Martinsville	45.7%	4.57	73	\$7.61	5.98	93	55.6%	5.56	105	5.37	104
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	56.5%	5.65	27	\$7.68	6.09	84	74.5%	7.45	43	6.40	43
095	James City	63.2%	6.32	18	\$8.90	7.92	8	72.7%	7.27	47	7.17	6
097	King and Queen	40.0%	4.00	95	\$7.96	6.51	61	50.0%	5.00	107	5.17	108
099	King George	26.7%	2.67	113	\$8.43	7.22	17	33.3%	3.33	114	4.41	114
101	King William	50.0%	5.00	50	\$8.25	6.95	35	65.0%	6.50	77	6.15	61
103	Lancaster	41.7%	4.17	88	\$8.10	6.72	44	50.0%	5.00	108	5.30	107
105	Lee	65.1%	6.51	14	\$7.38	5.64	111	83.9%	8.39	14	6.85	21
107	Loudoun	45.3%	4.53	75	\$10.28	10.00	1	72.2%	7.22	52	7.25	5
109	Louisa	42.6%	4.26	87	\$8.41	7.19	22	57.5%	5.75	101	5.73	86
111	Lunenburg	28.2%	2.82	112	\$7.12	5.25	113	66.7%	6.67	69	4.91	112
113	Madison	21.4%	2.14	117	\$8.58	7.44	13	100.0%	10.00	3	6.53	34
115	Mathews	62.5%	6.25	19	\$8.18	6.84	39	100.0%	10.00	4	7.70	2
117	Mecklenburg	50.0%	5.00	51	\$8.07	6.68	47	66.7%	6.67	70	6.11	64
119	Middlesex	65.0%	6.50	15	\$6.99	5.05	115	72.7%	7.27	48	6.28	50
121	Montgomery	68.9%	6.89	12	\$7.87	6.38	70	77.6%	7.76	30	7.01	12
125	Nelson	50.0%	5.00	52	\$7.66	6.06	88	58.3%	5.83	98	5.63	92
127	New Kent	26.3%	2.63	114	\$7.51	5.83	101	100.0%	10.00	5	6.16	60
131	Northampton	53.6%	5.36	36	\$7.68	6.09	85	96.4%	9.64	6	7.03	11
133	Northumberland	66.7%	6.67	13	\$7.92	6.45	65	62.5%	6.25	87	6.46	42
135	Nottoway	51.4%	5.14	45	\$8.12	6.75	42	75.7%	7.57	38	6.49	39
137	Orange	60.5%	6.05	22	\$8.42	7.20	19	58.1%	5.81	100	6.35	44
139	Page	51.8%	5.18	43	\$8.27	6.98	33	56.8%	5.68	104	5.94	74
141	Patrick	51.3%	5.13	46	\$7.30	5.52	112	63.0%	6.30	85	5.65	90
143	Pittsylvania	50.0%	5.00	53	\$7.52	5.85	97	58.1%	5.81	99	5.55	96
145	Powhatan	46.7%	4.67	64	\$6.46	4.26	117	63.6%	6.36	83	5.10	111
147	Prince Edward	41.3%	4.13	90	\$7.75	6.20	77	75.5%	7.55	39	5.96	73
149	Prince George	50.0%	5.00	54	\$8.05	6.65	49	82.6%	8.26	15	6.64	31
153	Prince William	44.2%	4.42	78	\$8.98	8.05	7	76.6%	7.66	34	6.71	29
155	Pulaski	57.1%	5.71	26	\$7.52	5.85	98	48.2%	4.82	110	5.46	99
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	#####	N/A	0.0%	0.00	119	0.00	119
159	Richmond County	71.4%	7.14	9	\$8.35	7.10	26	33.3%	3.33	115	5.86	79
161	Roanoke County	47.6%	4.76	62	\$7.75	6.20	78	59.7%	5.97	94	5.64	91
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	63.9%	6.39	17	\$7.52	5.85	99	90.9%	9.09	10	7.11	9
165	Rockingham/H'burg	71.9%	7.19	8	\$8.38	7.14	23	70.4%	7.04	57	7.12	8
167	Russell	49.5%	4.95	56	\$7.65	6.05	92	76.2%	7.62	36	6.21	57
169	Scott	58.8%	5.88	24	\$7.40	5.67	109	91.8%	9.18	9	6.91	17
171	Shenandoah	50.0%	5.00	55	\$8.42	7.20	20	66.7%	6.67	71	6.29	48

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	40.6%	4.06	92	\$7.77	6.23	74	61.0%	6.10	91	5.46	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	22.4%	2.24	116	\$7.67	6.08	86	61.5%	6.15	89	4.82	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	48.9%	4.89	57	\$8.43	7.22	18	81.3%	8.13	17	6.74	26
179	<i>Stafford</i>	38.5%	3.85	102	\$8.48	7.29	15	77.7%	7.77	29	6.30	47
181	<i>Surry</i>	52.8%	5.28	39	\$8.75	7.70	9	71.4%	7.14	54	6.71	28
183	<i>Sussex</i>	45.0%	4.50	76	\$7.61	5.98	94	50.0%	5.00	109	5.16	109
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	60.6%	6.06	21	\$7.45	5.74	105	65.7%	6.57	75	6.13	62
187	<i>Warren</i>	38.8%	3.88	99	\$8.42	7.20	21	70.7%	7.07	56	6.05	68
191	<i>Washington</i>	48.5%	4.85	59	\$7.44	5.73	107	57.1%	5.71	103	5.43	102
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	59.4%	5.94	23	\$7.66	6.06	89	66.7%	6.67	72	6.22	56
195	<i>Wise</i>	54.5%	5.45	33	\$7.66	6.06	90	61.0%	6.10	90	5.87	78
197	<i>Wythe</i>	70.8%	7.08	10	\$7.82	6.30	73	72.5%	7.25	50	6.88	20
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	56.0%	5.60	30	\$8.08	6.69	46	94.9%	9.49	7	7.26	4
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	46.4%	4.64	67	\$9.34	8.59	6	79.4%	7.94	24	7.06	10
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.8%	4.78	61	\$7.96	6.51	62	67.7%	6.77	66	6.02	72
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	46.1%	4.61	69	\$8.22	6.90	36	68.0%	6.80	64	6.10	66
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.0%	5.60	29	\$8.05	6.65	50	76.1%	7.61	37	6.62	32
590	<i>Danville</i>	33.1%	3.31	111	\$7.70	6.12	82	58.5%	5.85	97	5.10	110
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	34.8%	3.48	107	\$7.85	6.35	71	65.5%	6.55	76	5.46	100
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	52.7%	5.27	40	\$8.35	7.10	27	81.2%	8.12	18	6.83	23
640	<i>Galax</i>	40.7%	4.07	91	\$7.40	5.67	110	77.8%	7.78	28	5.84	80
650	<i>Hampton</i>	45.9%	4.59	72	\$7.99	6.56	58	76.7%	7.67	32	6.27	51
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	34.2%	3.42	108	\$7.66	6.06	91	72.1%	7.21	53	5.56	94
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	43.2%	4.32	84	\$7.67	6.08	87	67.9%	6.79	65	5.73	87
683	<i>Manassas</i>	41.3%	4.13	89	\$8.69	7.61	10	80.0%	8.00	22	6.58	33
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	75.0%	7.50	6	\$8.55	7.40	14	70.0%	7.00	58	7.30	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	51.9%	5.19	42	\$7.91	6.44	67	73.1%	7.31	45	6.31	46
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	48.8%	4.88	58	\$7.73	6.17	79	74.5%	7.45	42	6.16	59
720	<i>Norton</i>	72.0%	7.20	7	\$6.82	4.80	116	86.7%	8.67	12	6.89	19
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	43.4%	4.34	81	\$7.91	6.44	68	72.9%	7.29	46	6.02	70
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	43.8%	4.38	80	\$7.95	6.50	63	72.7%	7.27	49	6.05	69
750	<i>Radford</i>	65.0%	6.50	16	\$7.71	6.14	81	62.1%	6.21	88	6.28	49
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	36.1%	3.61	106	\$8.05	6.65	51	68.6%	6.86	61	5.70	88
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	39.5%	3.95	96	\$8.01	6.59	56	66.8%	6.68	67	5.74	83
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	46.2%	4.62	68	\$8.03	6.62	52	64.9%	6.49	78	5.91	75
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	46.5%	4.65	65	\$8.21	6.89	37	70.7%	7.07	55	6.20	58
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	81.5%	8.15	3	\$8.06	6.66	48	93.8%	9.38	8	8.06	1
840	<i>Winchester</i>	46.5%	4.65	66	\$7.72	6.15	80	66.7%	6.67	73	5.82	82

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.7%	2.18	115	\$834	2.88	95	57.9%	6.75	28	3.94	105
003	Albemarle	74.1%	7.93	12	\$997	6.14	18	55.2%	6.03	54	6.70	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.9%	7.31	24	\$819	2.58	102	46.1%	3.55	109	4.48	89
007	Amelia	60.0%	3.96	98	\$945	5.10	36	46.6%	3.70	103	4.25	96
009	Amherst	67.5%	6.07	56	\$845	3.10	88	51.5%	5.01	80	4.73	82
011	Appomattox	70.8%	7.00	35	\$847	3.14	87	44.9%	3.23	112	4.46	90
013	Arlington	73.0%	7.62	19	\$1,044	7.08	10	64.0%	8.40	8	7.70	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.2%	6.28	47	\$942	5.03	40	55.2%	6.02	55	5.78	36
017	Bath	65.9%	5.61	72	\$690	0.00	120	54.2%	5.74	62	3.78	109
019	Bedford County/City	66.1%	5.70	67	\$836	2.92	92	54.8%	5.92	57	4.85	75
021	Bland	62.9%	4.77	89	\$830	2.79	96	47.4%	3.91	100	3.83	108
023	Botetourt	45.9%	0.00	120	\$915	4.50	54	41.5%	2.32	117	2.27	119
025	Brunswick	57.7%	3.32	111	\$814	2.47	104	57.6%	6.66	31	4.15	100
027	Buchanan	52.5%	1.86	116	\$823	2.66	98	54.3%	5.77	61	3.43	113
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.06	112	\$929	4.79	47	52.9%	5.40	68	4.41	91
031	Campbell	69.0%	6.50	42	\$876	3.71	73	59.0%	7.04	23	5.75	37
033	Caroline	62.9%	4.78	88	\$948	5.16	34	43.2%	2.77	115	4.24	98
035	Carroll	67.4%	6.05	57	\$811	2.42	107	46.5%	3.67	107	4.04	102
036	Charles City	54.8%	2.51	114	\$868	3.56	75	53.7%	5.60	66	3.89	106
037	Charlotte	51.7%	1.63	118	\$907	4.35	60	47.8%	4.02	99	3.33	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.4%	5.49	74	\$1,005	6.29	15	60.7%	7.51	14	6.43	20
043	Clarke	61.5%	4.40	94	\$968	5.57	27	47.4%	3.90	102	4.62	85
045	Craig	59.3%	3.75	102	\$1,019	6.57	13	60.0%	7.32	18	5.88	31
047	Culpeper	65.9%	5.63	68	\$949	5.18	33	56.1%	6.27	45	5.70	39
049	Cumberland	58.1%	3.44	109	\$938	4.95	41	47.9%	4.04	98	4.14	101
051	Dickensen	59.8%	3.92	99	\$809	2.38	109	48.4%	4.17	93	3.49	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.0%	5.95	58	\$945	5.09	37	51.8%	5.09	78	5.38	49
057	Essex	70.5%	6.92	37	\$841	3.01	90	40.9%	2.13	118	4.02	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.14	53	\$1,118	8.56	3	61.7%	7.78	10	7.49	6
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.47	43	\$999	6.18	17	61.7%	7.77	12	6.81	12
063	Floyd	71.1%	7.08	31	\$918	4.55	52	46.5%	3.65	108	5.09	61
065	Fluvanna	73.6%	7.79	16	\$1,082	7.85	6	54.6%	5.84	58	7.16	7
067	Franklin County	62.9%	4.79	87	\$933	4.86	45	49.6%	4.50	88	4.72	83
069	Frederick	58.8%	3.61	107	\$981	5.83	23	52.2%	5.19	75	4.88	72
071	Giles	59.0%	3.69	104	\$936	4.93	44	48.1%	4.10	95	4.24	97
073	Gloucester	66.6%	5.81	63	\$836	2.91	93	54.5%	5.81	59	4.85	74
075	Goochland	64.2%	5.15	82	\$864	3.47	78	54.4%	5.79	60	4.80	80
077	Grayson	66.3%	5.73	65	\$835	2.89	94	56.6%	6.40	40	5.01	64

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.9%	8.17	6	\$908	4.36	58	49.0%	4.33	89	5.62	42
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.3%	3.49	108	\$806	2.31	110	55.4%	6.08	53	3.96	104
083	Halifax	57.9%	3.37	110	\$823	2.66	99	47.9%	4.05	97	3.36	114
085	Hanover	63.8%	5.04	83	\$1,050	7.20	8	64.1%	8.42	7	6.89	10
087	Henrico	67.6%	6.11	55	\$1,011	6.42	14	56.7%	6.43	36	6.32	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.4%	5.19	81	\$925	4.70	49	53.7%	5.60	67	5.16	56
091	Highland	71.4%	7.19	27	\$694	0.07	119	60.0%	7.32	19	4.86	73
093	Isle of Wight	72.2%	7.39	22	\$899	4.19	64	56.0%	6.22	46	5.93	30
095	James City	70.9%	7.04	33	\$886	3.91	69	51.8%	5.09	77	5.35	50
097	King and Queen	52.3%	1.78	117	\$881	3.83	70	35.1%	0.59	119	2.06	120
099	King George	66.5%	5.81	64	\$917	4.55	53	47.9%	4.05	96	4.80	79
101	King William	59.8%	3.91	100	\$971	5.61	26	46.6%	3.69	104	4.41	92
103	Lancaster	67.8%	6.15	52	\$830	2.79	97	48.2%	4.13	94	4.36	94
105	Lee	65.8%	5.60	73	\$764	1.49	116	60.3%	7.40	16	4.83	76
107	Loudoun	78.7%	9.24	3	\$1,177	9.73	2	65.3%	8.74	4	9.24	1
109	Louisa	61.7%	4.44	93	\$954	5.27	30	54.0%	5.68	64	5.13	58
111	Lunenburg	46.9%	0.28	119	\$727	0.74	117	55.8%	6.18	47	2.40	118
113	Madison	65.0%	5.37	76	\$986	5.93	21	46.6%	3.68	105	4.99	65
115	Mathews	63.1%	4.83	86	\$864	3.48	77	55.6%	6.12	50	4.81	78
117	Mecklenburg	67.8%	6.17	51	\$820	2.60	101	57.3%	6.58	33	5.11	59
119	Middlesex	68.1%	6.25	48	\$891	4.01	68	57.7%	6.69	30	5.65	41
121	Montgomery	80.0%	9.59	2	\$922	4.65	50	54.0%	5.69	63	6.64	16
125	Nelson	65.9%	5.63	71	\$997	6.13	19	51.5%	5.02	79	5.59	43
127	New Kent	61.4%	4.36	95	\$1,000	6.20	16	50.4%	4.73	85	5.10	60
131	Northampton	62.6%	4.69	90	\$812	2.44	106	66.6%	9.10	2	5.41	48
133	Northumberland	60.6%	4.14	96	\$840	3.00	91	41.7%	2.36	116	3.17	117
135	Nottoway	68.3%	6.32	45	\$877	3.74	71	54.9%	5.95	56	5.34	51
137	Orange	72.4%	7.45	20	\$944	5.08	38	43.6%	2.88	114	5.14	57
139	Page	70.3%	6.88	38	\$982	5.85	22	55.7%	6.15	49	6.29	25
141	Patrick	67.0%	5.94	59	\$800	2.20	111	65.6%	8.82	3	5.65	40
143	Pittsylvania	56.0%	2.83	113	\$854	3.28	83	50.8%	4.83	82	3.64	110
145	Powhatan	59.5%	3.82	101	\$913	4.45	55	52.8%	5.36	70	4.54	87
147	Prince Edward	71.7%	7.26	25	\$862	3.44	79	59.8%	7.26	20	5.99	29
149	Prince George	62.5%	4.65	91	\$977	5.74	25	49.6%	4.51	87	4.97	66
153	Prince William	73.4%	7.75	17	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.7%	7.77	11	8.51	2
155	Pulaski	64.6%	5.26	77	\$927	4.73	48	44.5%	3.13	113	4.37	93
157	Rappahannock	64.4%	5.21	79	\$958	5.37	28	48.5%	4.20	92	4.92	69
159	Richmond County	65.2%	5.44	75	\$933	4.86	46	33.0%	0.00	120	3.43	112
161	Roanoke County	74.3%	8.01	11	\$1,028	6.75	11	52.8%	5.36	71	6.71	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.6%	7.79	15	\$849	3.18	85	55.8%	6.17	48	5.71	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.5%	8.04	10	\$948	5.15	35	58.5%	6.90	25	6.70	14
167	Russell	62.4%	4.65	92	\$843	3.05	89	57.3%	6.58	32	4.76	81
169	Scott	58.8%	3.61	106	\$866	3.51	76	64.8%	8.62	5	5.25	53
171	Shenandoah	64.4%	5.21	78	\$904	4.28	63	52.5%	5.30	73	4.93	67

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.1%	3.72	103	\$811	2.42	108	52.8%	5.37	69	3.83	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.8%	3.64	105	\$817	2.53	103	46.0%	3.52	110	3.23	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.1%	6.52	41	\$1,026	6.72	12	56.7%	6.41	38	6.55	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	60.2%	4.01	97	\$1,115	8.51	4	58.0%	6.78	27	6.43	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.2%	7.40	21	\$861	3.42	81	47.4%	3.91	101	4.91	70
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.9%	5.92	60	\$938	4.95	42	57.0%	6.51	34	5.79	35
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.3%	6.30	46	\$790	1.99	115	56.6%	6.40	39	4.90	71
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.7%	6.69	39	\$987	5.94	20	56.2%	6.29	43	6.30	24
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.2%	5.70	66	\$877	3.73	72	48.5%	4.21	91	4.55	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.9%	5.63	69	\$906	4.32	61	50.8%	4.83	83	4.93	68
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.6%	4.98	84	\$794	2.08	113	57.0%	6.50	35	4.52	88
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.6%	6.12	54	\$823	2.65	100	56.3%	6.30	42	5.02	62
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.4%	5.20	80	\$874	3.68	74	46.5%	3.67	106	4.18	99
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.9%	7.03	34	\$1,049	7.17	9	69.9%	10.00	1	8.07	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.5%	6.93	36	\$853	3.25	84	48.8%	4.28	90	4.82	77
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$918	4.55	51	56.2%	6.28	44	6.94	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.9%	7.90	13	\$911	4.42	57	56.4%	6.35	41	6.22	27
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.9%	5.63	70	\$791	2.02	114	52.3%	5.23	74	4.29	95
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	67.9%	6.19	49	\$798	2.15	112	53.9%	5.66	65	4.67	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.9%	8.73	4	\$977	5.74	24	55.4%	6.08	51	6.85	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.4%	4.92	85	\$861	3.42	80	59.7%	7.24	21	5.19	55
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.2%	7.13	29	\$899	4.18	65	52.7%	5.35	72	5.55	44
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.2%	6.57	40	\$908	4.35	59	51.0%	4.89	81	5.27	52
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.5%	7.19	26	\$814	2.47	105	62.0%	7.86	9	5.84	34
683	<i>Manassas</i>	66.7%	5.86	61	\$1,084	7.87	5	59.5%	7.18	22	6.97	8
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.5%	8.05	9	\$1,068	7.56	7	64.6%	8.55	6	8.05	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	73.1%	7.66	18	\$912	4.44	56	58.4%	6.87	26	6.32	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	71.2%	7.13	30	\$849	3.17	86	55.4%	6.08	52	5.46	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.8%	8.14	8	\$726	0.72	118	60.5%	7.45	15	5.44	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.4%	7.19	28	\$937	4.94	43	57.7%	6.70	29	6.28	26
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.6%	7.81	14	\$892	4.04	67	60.9%	7.57	13	6.47	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.1%	7.37	23	\$897	4.14	66	46.0%	3.52	111	5.01	63
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	71.0%	7.07	32	\$950	5.19	32	60.2%	7.36	17	6.54	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.7%	5.84	62	\$953	5.25	31	49.9%	4.59	86	5.23	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	75.0%	8.19	5	\$859	3.38	82	58.8%	6.99	24	6.19	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.8%	6.17	50	\$942	5.04	39	56.7%	6.42	37	5.87	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.9%	8.16	7	\$905	4.30	62	51.9%	5.12	76	5.86	33
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.9%	6.47	44	\$954	5.29	29	50.8%	4.82	84	5.52	45

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – July 31, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	150	5	0	3	2	5	36	2	2	1	9	7	45	9	3	11	2	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	64	0	18	18	36	25	2	171	7	26	13	51	21	11	22	5	28	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	21	15

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	36	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<i>Timely Enrollment¹¹</i>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</i>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</i>								
# Over 30 Days	36	0	0	0	17	0	17	2
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	0	32	0	21	2

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<i>Timely Enrollment¹¹</i>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</i>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</i>						
# Over 30 Days	2	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	2	0	0	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	171	0	329	13	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	9	0	6	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	17	0	0	45

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>											
# Over 30 Days	7	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	22	0	1	0	0	14	0	21	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	45	5	3	0	33	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	51	49	62	0	52	0	44

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	9	1	0	4	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	21	13	0	30	18	9

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	7	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	52

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - July 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	8	2	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	10	141	0	0	0	13	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jul-09</i>	<i>Jul-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,687	14,499	-1.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,954	126,434	-2.0%
Total Cases Collected	143,641	140,933	-1.9%
TANF Collections	\$2,674,446	\$2,733,101	2.2%
NTANF Collections	\$48,572,819	\$46,627,480	-4.0%
Total Collections	\$51,247,265	\$49,360,581	-3.7%
SFY YTD Collections	\$51,247,265	\$49,360,581	-3.7%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$357	\$350	-1.8%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jul-10</i>
TANF Paternities	313
NTANF Paternities	479
Combined Paternities	792

Diversionsary Assistance

July Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Accomack	1		Nottoway	3
Albemarle	4		Orange	4
Alleghany / Covington	3		Patrick	6
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	12		Pittsylvania	4
Bedford City	4		Prince William	2
Botetourt	1		Pulaski	4
Buckingham	7		Roanoke County	6
Campbell	3		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Caroline	3		Scott	1
Charlotte	1		Shenandoah	22
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	29		Smyth	2
Culpeper	2		Spotsylvania	11
Cumberland	4		Stafford	16
Dickensen	1		Warren	4
Dinwiddie	7		York / Poquoson	6
Fairfax / Falls Church	7		Bristol	11
Fauquier	4		Charlottesville	5
Floyd	6		Chesapeake	11
Franklin County	5		Danville	3
Frederick	10		Fredricksburg	7
Giles	1		Galax	1
Greene	2		Hampton	18
Halifax	2		Hopewell	3
Hanover	5		Lynchburg	1
Henrico	6		Manassas Park	1
Henry / Martinsville	8		Newport News	34
Isle of Wight	2		Norfolk	26
James City	2		Petersburg	1
Loudoun	2		Portsmouth	2
Louisa	3		Richmond City	9
Lunenburg	2		Roanoke City	8
Madison	2		Suffolk	10
Mathews	1		Virginia Beach	12
Montgomery	2		Winchester	1
			Statewide	421

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of morning of August 3, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


July 31, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July, it was \$8.22 rather than the \$8.11 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.