

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

August 2010

Monthly Report, August 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 20,157 and a numerator of 8,246.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	74	25	34%	061	Fauquier	65	30	46%
003	Albemarle	58	27	47%	063	Floyd	30	18	60%
005	Alleghany/Covington	72	1	1%	065	Fluvanna	16	5	31%
007	Amelia	35	10	29%	067	Franklin County	146	35	24%
009	Amherst	43	15	35%	069	Frederick	98	31	32%
011	Appomattox	46	26	57%	071	Giles	25	8	32%
013	Arlington	131	56	43%	073	Gloucester	57	19	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	277	136	49%	075	Goochland	34	7	21%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	27	9	33%
019	Bedford County/City	113	49	43%	079	Greene	38	15	39%
021	Bland	10	3	30%	081	Greensville/Emporia	81	27	33%
023	Botetourt	15	1	7%	083	Halifax	101	30	30%
025	Brunswick	61	34	56%	085	Hanover	112	34	30%
027	Buchanan	61	28	46%	087	Henrico	969	334	34%
029	Buckingham	39	16	41%	089	Henry/Martinsville	339	104	31%
031	Campbell	167	73	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	117	48	41%	093	Isle of Wight	92	30	33%
035	Carroll	67	39	58%	095	James City	91	35	38%
036	Charles City	9	0	0%	097	King and Queen	9	4	44%
037	Charlotte	36	19	53%	099	King George	22	7	32%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	627	247	39%	101	King William	32	8	25%
043	Clarke	9	7	78%	103	Lancaster	21	4	19%
045	Craig	5	0	0%	105	Lee	147	60	41%
047	Culpeper	99	30	30%	107	Loudoun	164	58	35%
049	Cumberland	38	16	42%	109	Louisa	52	24	46%
051	Dickensen	38	17	45%	111	Lunenburg	32	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	44	13	30%	113	Madison	9	2	22%
057	Essex	63	27	43%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	744	301	40%	117	Mecklenburg	78	31	40%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	27	7	26%	187	Warren	90	41	46%
121	Montgomery	240	102	43%	191	Washington	95	47	49%
125	Nelson	18	6	33%	193	Westmoreland	48	10	21%
127	New Kent	25	1	4%	195	Wise	202	80	40%
131	Northampton	62	25	40%	197	Wythe	75	24	32%
133	Northumberland	13	5	38%	199	York/Poquoson	85	37	44%
135	Nottoway	68	32	47%	510	Alexandria	299	136	45%
137	Orange	44	20	45%	520	Bristol	155	86	55%
139	Page	39	9	23%	540	Charlottesville	199	106	53%
141	Patrick	54	27	50%	550	Chesapeake	764	400	52%
143	Pittsylvania	102	35	34%	590	Danville	238	106	45%
145	Powhatan	18	6	33%	620	Franklin City	36	16	44%
147	Prince Edward	76	41	54%	630	Fredericksburg	131	60	46%
149	Prince George	41	15	37%	640	Galax	35	12	34%
153	Prince William	820	323	39%	650	Hampton	742	362	49%
155	Pulaski	75	25	33%	670	Hopewell	233	56	24%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	352	123	35%
159	Richmond County	9	4	44%	683	Manassas	104	31	30%
161	Roanoke County	187	86	46%	685	Manassas Park	25	15	60%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	49	14	29%	700	Newport News	1,090	445	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	153	87	57%	710	Norfolk	1,482	814	55%
167	Russell	113	45	40%	720	Norton	41	13	32%
169	Scott	104	42	40%	730	Petersburg	381	170	45%
171	Shenandoah	18	6	33%	740	Portsmouth	749	292	39%
173	Smyth	90	29	32%	750	Radford	33	11	33%
175	Southampton	60	18	30%	760	Richmond City	1,783	611	34%
177	Spotsylvania	262	99	38%	770	Roanoke City	633	213	34%
179	Stafford	192	74	39%	800	Suffolk	186	97	52%
181	Surry	26	12	46%	810	Virginia Beach	622	297	48%
183	Sussex	37	22	59%	830	Williamsburg	27	6	22%
185	Tazewell	128	51	40%	840	Winchester	72	25	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 35% with a denominator of 18,187 and a numerator of 6,454. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	66	17	26%	061	Fauquier	49	14	29%
003	Albemarle	47	16	34%	063	Floyd	21	9	43%
005	Alleghany/Covington	67	1	1%	065	Fluvanna	14	3	21%
007	Amelia	35	10	29%	067	Franklin County	137	28	20%
009	Amherst	38	10	26%	069	Frederick	90	23	26%
011	Appomattox	42	23	55%	071	Giles	22	5	23%
013	Arlington	106	35	33%	073	Gloucester	50	14	28%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	250	110	44%	075	Goochland	32	5	16%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	24	6	25%
019	Bedford County/City	98	34	35%	079	Greene	34	11	32%
021	Bland	9	2	22%	081	Greensville/Emporia	75	21	28%
023	Botetourt	15	1	7%	083	Halifax	92	22	24%
025	Brunswick	57	32	56%	085	Hanover	103	25	24%
027	Buchanan	55	23	42%	087	Henrico	881	258	29%
029	Buckingham	33	11	33%	089	Henry/Martinsville	313	79	25%
031	Campbell	141	50	35%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	107	40	37%	093	Isle of Wight	85	25	29%
035	Carroll	57	29	51%	095	James City	80	26	33%
036	Charles City	8	0	0%	097	King and Queen	6	1	17%
037	Charlotte	31	14	45%	099	King George	19	4	21%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	569	191	34%	101	King William	32	8	25%
043	Clarke	9	7	78%	103	Lancaster	21	4	19%
045	Craig	5	0	0%	105	Lee	130	44	34%
047	Culpeper	89	25	28%	107	Loudoun	139	33	24%
049	Cumberland	35	14	40%	109	Louisa	47	19	40%
051	Dickensen	29	10	34%	111	Lunenburg	32	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	42	11	26%	113	Madison	7	1	14%
057	Essex	57	22	39%	115	Mathews	10	1	10%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	655	216	33%	117	Mecklenburg	77	30	39%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	4	17%	187	Warren	78	30	38%
121	Montgomery	210	74	35%	191	Washington	90	42	47%
125	Nelson	15	3	20%	193	Westmoreland	46	10	22%
127	New Kent	23	1	4%	195	Wise	176	54	31%
131	Northampton	56	19	34%	197	Wythe	69	18	26%
133	Northumberland	12	4	33%	199	York/Poquoson	79	32	41%
135	Nottoway	65	29	45%	510	Alexandria	248	88	35%
137	Orange	41	18	44%	520	Bristol	134	65	49%
139	Page	37	8	22%	540	Charlottesville	180	89	49%
141	Patrick	50	23	46%	550	Chesapeake	702	340	48%
143	Pittsylvania	98	31	32%	590	Danville	227	96	42%
145	Powhatan	13	1	8%	620	Franklin City	31	13	42%
147	Prince Edward	65	30	46%	630	Fredericksburg	105	38	36%
149	Prince George	37	12	32%	640	Galax	30	8	27%
153	Prince William	708	215	30%	650	Hampton	661	293	44%
155	Pulaski	68	18	26%	670	Hopewell	213	38	18%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	321	96	30%
159	Richmond County	6	2	33%	683	Manassas	94	21	22%
161	Roanoke County	162	61	38%	685	Manassas Park	16	7	44%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	45	10	22%	700	Newport News	1,005	372	37%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	126	60	48%	710	Norfolk	1,394	728	52%
167	Russell	103	35	34%	720	Norton	37	9	24%
169	Scott	89	30	34%	730	Petersburg	343	142	41%
171	Shenandoah	16	4	25%	740	Portsmouth	674	221	33%
173	Smyth	85	24	28%	750	Radford	28	7	25%
175	Southampton	56	15	27%	760	Richmond City	1,670	521	31%
177	Spotsylvania	238	79	33%	770	Roanoke City	566	150	27%
179	Stafford	162	46	28%	800	Suffolk	167	78	47%
181	Surry	24	10	42%	810	Virginia Beach	559	235	42%
183	Sussex	31	16	52%	830	Williamsburg	24	3	13%
185	Tazewell	108	31	29%	840	Winchester	69	22	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 91% with a denominator of 1,970 and a numerator of 1,792. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	8	8	100%	061	Fauquier	16	16	100%
003	Albemarle	11	11	100%	063	Floyd	9	9	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	5	0	0%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	9	7	78%
009	Amherst	5	5	100%	069	Frederick	8	8	100%
011	Appomattox	4	3	75%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	25	21	84%	073	Gloucester	7	5	71%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	27	26	96%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	15	15	100%	079	Greene	4	4	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	9	8	89%
025	Brunswick	4	2	50%	085	Hanover	9	9	100%
027	Buchanan	6	5	83%	087	Henrico	88	76	86%
029	Buckingham	6	5	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	25	96%
031	Campbell	26	23	88%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	10	8	80%	093	Isle of Wight	7	5	71%
035	Carroll	10	10	100%	095	James City	11	9	82%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	3	3	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	58	56	97%	101	King William	0	0	N/A
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	17	16	94%
047	Culpeper	10	5	50%	107	Loudoun	25	25	100%
049	Cumberland	3	2	67%	109	Louisa	5	5	100%
051	Dickensen	9	7	78%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	2	2	100%	113	Madison	2	1	50%
057	Essex	6	5	83%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	89	85	96%	117	Mecklenburg	1	1	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	3	75%	187	Warren	12	11	92%
121	Montgomery	30	28	93%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	0	0%	195	Wise	26	26	100%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	6	5	83%
135	Nottoway	3	3	100%	510	Alexandria	51	48	94%
137	Orange	3	2	67%	520	Bristol	21	21	100%
139	Page	2	1	50%	540	Charlottesville	19	17	89%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	62	60	97%
143	Pittsylvania	4	4	100%	590	Danville	11	10	91%
145	Powhatan	5	5	100%	620	Franklin City	5	3	60%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	26	22	85%
149	Prince George	4	3	75%	640	Galax	5	4	80%
153	Prince William	112	108	96%	650	Hampton	81	69	85%
155	Pulaski	7	7	100%	670	Hopewell	20	18	90%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	31	27	87%
159	Richmond County	3	2	67%	683	Manassas	10	10	100%
161	Roanoke County	25	25	100%	685	Manassas Park	9	8	89%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	85	73	86%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	27	27	100%	710	Norfolk	88	86	98%
167	Russell	10	10	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	15	12	80%	730	Petersburg	38	28	74%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	75	71	95%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	5	4	80%
175	Southampton	4	3	75%	760	Richmond City	113	90	80%
177	Spotsylvania	24	20	83%	770	Roanoke City	67	63	94%
179	Stafford	30	28	93%	800	Suffolk	19	19	100%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	63	62	98%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	3	100%
185	Tazewell	20	20	100%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Aug-10	Aug-09	Change from Aug-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,787	5,922	-2%	4,807	20%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,012	2,886	4%	1,658	82%
Applications Approved	2,531	2,623	-4%	2,820	-10%
Cases Closed *	2,932	2,570	14%	4,476	-34%
Cases at End of Month	34,038	32,631	4%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month ²	35,388	33,844	5%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,932 closed cases, 1,381 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 907 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 280 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 173 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Aug-10	Aug-09	Change from Aug-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	593	616	-4%	338	75%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	368	317	16%	232	59%
Applications Approved	254	295	-14%	81	214%
Cases Closed *	280	267	5%	107	162%
Cases at End of Month	2,115	1,939	9%	321	559%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,264	2,109	7%	394	475%

•The database indicates that of the 280 closed cases, 150 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 85 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 24 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 17 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,153	1,857	1,083	2,850	1,026	556	4,231	420	714	1,334	1,640	1,066	5,934	1,181	426	3,484	1,334	6,725	292
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,609	678	497	1,225	435	269	1,828	150	327	636	593	498	2,496	673	179	1,461	676	2,881	107
Part. in a Work Activity	10,939	511	363	762	346	176	1,367	106	269	405	426	341	1,668	415	119	1,040	411	2,146	68
% of Enrolled	70%	75%	73%	62%	80%	65%	75%	71%	82%	64%	72%	68%	67%	62%	66%	71%	61%	74%	64%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	151	1	2	12	1	14	21	5	2	7	3	3	18	16	6	5	7	28	0
Participants Employed	7,353	388	252	605	305	117	783	76	142	286	268	225	1,002	313	94	738	275	1,422	62
% of Enrolled	47%	57%	51%	49%	70%	43%	43%	51%	43%	45%	45%	45%	40%	47%	53%	51%	41%	49%	58%
Full Time	5,937	349	227	492	264	95	594	56	101	236	216	171	743	246	74	584	208	1,234	47
Part Time	1,415	39	25	113	40	22	189	20	41	50	52	54	259	67	20	154	67	188	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.44	\$7.64	\$7.99	\$8.06	\$8.54	\$9.33	\$8.45	\$8.40	\$7.89	\$7.62	\$7.88	\$8.19	\$8.38	\$7.92	\$8.01	\$7.88	\$7.91	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,087	\$1,001	\$1,053	\$1,082	\$1,101	\$1,162	\$1,256	\$1,101	\$1,051	\$1,079	\$1,031	\$1,046	\$1,095	\$1,079	\$1,043	\$1,064	\$1,034	\$1,060	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	6,586	327	242	559	223	140	706	98	152	226	328	217	984	239	76	619	204	1,201	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW	18,960	823	635	1,546	516	370	2,240	188	406	753	763	614	2,942	792	217	1,815	782	3,418	140
Part. in a Work Activity	15,185	697	517	1,157	455	261	1,829	148	359	617	644	485	2,357	569	175	1,442	563	2,804	106
% of Total Enrolled	80%	85%	81%	75%	88%	71%	82%	79%	88%	82%	84%	79%	80%	72%	81%	79%	72%	82%	76%
Participants Employed	8,932	458	316	752	355	161	986	98	184	355	333	274	1,212	367	118	896	330	1,660	77
% of Total Enrolled	47%	56%	50%	49%	69%	44%	44%	52%	45%	47%	44%	45%	41%	46%	54%	49%	42%	49%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$7.52	\$7.73	\$7.94	\$8.02	\$8.45	\$9.55	\$8.35	\$8.33	\$7.92	\$7.84	\$7.89	\$8.24	\$8.37	\$7.91	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$7.95	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$994	\$1,025	\$1,057	\$1,061	\$1,128	\$1,249	\$1,025	\$984	\$1,045	\$1,029	\$983	\$1,070	\$1,051	\$1,011	\$1,036	\$1,031	\$1,043	\$1,061
Three Months Employment	71%	72%	65%	67%	73%	65%	75%	74%	68%	65%	59%	68%	73%	79%	58%	74%	69%	74%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	243,370	15,684	9,183	18,008	7,024	4,527	30,320	3,470	5,250	9,140	11,529	6,942	32,368	7,090	3,137	22,893	8,248	46,344	2,213
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	184,044	11,926	7,671	13,535	5,297	3,313	23,176	2,589	3,980	7,478	9,194	5,254	24,967	5,095	2,275	16,782	6,405	33,498	1,609
Part. in a Work Activity	176,091	11,464	7,323	12,933	5,160	3,149	22,386	2,497	3,877	7,077	8,878	5,020	24,029	4,811	2,143	16,008	6,118	31,673	1,545
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	126,526	7,541	5,049	9,361	3,755	2,199	16,487	1,764	2,998	5,186	5,765	3,231	17,151	3,433	1,468	11,970	4,433	23,817	918
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	63%	61%	69%	67%	65%	71%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$6.08	\$6.17	\$6.96	\$7.00	\$7.19	\$8.49	\$7.31	\$7.26	\$6.42	\$6.28	\$6.58	\$7.22	\$7.75	\$6.83	\$6.86	\$6.86	\$6.73	\$6.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$932	\$802	\$840	\$940	\$935	\$970	\$1,134	\$968	\$940	\$839	\$841	\$860	\$976	\$1,011	\$879	\$905	\$930	\$885	\$826
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	57%	51%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	58%	53%	55%	59%	54%	47%	56%	54%	57%	62%

See pp 42-44

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,857	189	100	301	263	220	267	449	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	678	39	25	134	112	106	77	158	27
Participants in a Work Activity	511	33	14	92	93	85	64	112	18
% of Enrolled	75%	85%	56%	69%	83%	80%	83%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	388	22	13	78	56	59	46	96	18
% of Enrolled	57%	56%	52%	58%	50%	56%	60%	61%	67%
Full Time	349	22	13	75	43	52	42	87	15
Part Time	39	0	0	3	13	7	4	9	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$8.06	\$7.35	\$7.27	\$7.65	\$7.35	\$7.42	\$7.49	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,168	\$1,021	\$972	\$965	\$992	\$1,022	\$1,022	\$875

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	327	20	10	60	50	45	52	75	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	823	54	33	155	132	120	107	191	31
Participants in a Work Activity	697	43	25	128	114	107	98	158	24
% of Total Enrolled	85%	80%	76%	83%	86%	89%	92%	83%	77%
Participants Employed	458	24	16	91	64	65	63	112	23
% of Total Enrolled	56%	44%	48%	59%	48%	54%	59%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$8.06	\$7.41	\$7.38	\$7.69	\$7.42	\$7.46	\$7.65	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$1,161	\$1,010	\$955	\$987	\$979	\$992	\$1,036	\$827
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	62%	61%	85%	81%	88%	65%	62%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,684	1,586	1,105	2,178	2,154	1,502	3,014	3,635	510
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,926	1,203	845	1,633	1,715	1,071	2,288	2,773	398
Participants in a Work Activity	11,464	1,122	800	1,613	1,631	1,053	2,203	2,674	368
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,541	633	506	1,072	1,070	628	1,561	1,772	299
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	59%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.08	\$6.31	\$6.15	\$5.78	\$6.30	\$6.34	\$6.00	\$6.08	\$5.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$802	\$825	\$809	\$766	\$844	\$866	\$790	\$795	\$727
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	61%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,083	16	114	51	206	225	124	265	82
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	497	3	58	11	88	83	47	161	46
Participants in a Work Activity	363	2	51	7	69	56	30	121	27
% of Enrolled	73%	67%	88%	64%	78%	67%	64%	75%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	252	2	43	7	41	37	27	77	18
% of Enrolled	51%	67%	74%	64%	47%	45%	57%	48%	39%
Full Time	227	2	40	5	38	34	26	66	16
Part Time	25	0	3	2	3	3	1	11	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	\$6.90	\$7.52	\$7.71	\$7.81	\$7.31	\$7.57	\$7.85	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$896	\$1,089	\$989	\$1,103	\$938	\$991	\$1,087	\$1,083

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	242	1	18	3	54	49	31	75	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	635	10	67	17	124	111	59	188	59
Participants in a Work Activity	517	8	61	14	97	97	54	145	41
% of Total Enrolled	81%	80%	91%	82%	78%	87%	92%	77%	69%
Participants Employed	316	6	52	10	44	52	38	89	25
% of Total Enrolled	50%	60%	78%	59%	35%	47%	64%	47%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.92	\$7.82	\$7.45	\$7.83	\$8.02	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$889	\$988	\$991	\$1,123	\$958	\$1,042	\$1,055	\$985
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	20%	64%	56%	68%	55%	72%	71%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,183	226	1,249	603	1,587	1,572	1,420	1,869	657
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,671	175	1,028	486	1,317	1,296	1,127	1,669	573
Participants in a Work Activity	7,323	164	937	450	1,253	1,263	1,105	1,608	543
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	91%	93%	95%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,049	110	692	323	775	855	759	1,173	362
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	59%	66%	67%	70%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.17	\$6.06	\$5.98	\$6.24	\$5.91	\$6.44	\$6.19	\$6.23	\$6.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$840	\$830	\$812	\$835	\$812	\$877	\$823	\$855	\$862
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	47%	46%	57%	53%	48%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,850	118	44	7	50	284	46	392	197	354	68	1,290
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1225	130	23	2	16	137	17	183	61	164	31	461
Participants in a Work Activity	762	58	3	1	12	63	15	133	46	127	24	280
% of Enrolled	62%	45%	13%	50%	75%	46%	88%	73%	75%	77%	77%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	5	1	2
Participants Employed	605	54	3	1	11	47	10	128	34	85	19	213
% of Enrolled	49%	42%	13%	50%	69%	34%	59%	70%	56%	52%	61%	46%
Full Time	492	32	2	0	8	39	8	106	32	69	15	181
Part Time	113	22	1	1	3	8	2	22	2	16	4	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.13	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.77	\$8.00	\$7.47	\$7.95	\$7.75	\$8.03	\$7.64	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,006	\$852	\$650	\$907	\$1,074	\$935	\$1,057	\$1,111	\$1,085	\$997	\$1,142

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	559	19	6	1	5	59	6	89	43	56	17	258
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,546	132	24	3	22	157	20	234	84	193	46	631
Participants in a Work Activity	1,157	68	6	2	20	92	17	199	77	167	35	474
% of Total Enrolled	75%	52%	25%	67%	91%	59%	85%	85%	92%	87%	76%	75%
Participants Employed	752	53	3	1	18	60	10	158	46	102	26	275
% of Total Enrolled	49%	40%	13%	33%	82%	38%	50%	68%	55%	53%	57%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.10	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.72	\$7.89	\$7.47	\$7.88	\$7.67	\$7.96	\$7.67	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$969	\$846	\$645	\$914	\$994	\$909	\$1,033	\$1,060	\$1,073	\$976	\$1,124
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	73%	100%	33%	67%	73%	64%	73%	45%	61%	67%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,008	1,076	304	69	447	1,555	454	2,585	1,582	1,943	707	7,286
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,535	807	185	27	318	1,152	316	2,075	1,242	1,535	540	5,338
Participants in a Work Activity	12,933	773	165	26	311	1,010	309	2,044	1,196	1,459	525	5,115
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	96%	98%	88%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,361	577	85	16	227	723	187	1,655	801	1,140	388	3,562
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	46%	59%	71%	63%	59%	80%	64%	74%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.96	\$6.76	\$7.20	\$6.92	\$6.84	\$6.78	\$6.92	\$6.81	\$6.67	\$7.44	\$6.88	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$821	\$915	\$1,019	\$918	\$934	\$937	\$923	\$928	\$1,028	\$896	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	47%	42%	60%	47%	50%	48%	54%	44%	52%	46%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,026	610	6	1	85	324
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	435	242	3	0	32	158
Participants in a Work Activity	346	190	3	N/A	20	133
% of Enrolled	80%	79%	100%	N/A	63%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	305	173	2	N/A	20	110
% of Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	N/A	63%	70%
Full Time	264	157	1	N/A	13	93
Part Time	40	16	0	N/A	7	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.00	\$3.63	N/A	\$7.55	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,115	\$628	N/A	\$914	\$1,121

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	223	111	1	0	18	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	516	291	3	0	39	183
Participants in a Work Activity	455	257	3	N/A	28	167
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	100%	N/A	72%	91%
Participants Employed	355	196	2	N/A	26	131
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	67%	N/A	67%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.94	\$3.63	N/A	\$7.45	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,047	\$624	N/A	\$918	\$1,118
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	74%	50%	N/A	61%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,024	3,775	70	16	624	2,539
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,297	2,898	42	7	459	1,891
Participants in a Work Activity	5,160	2,842	42	7	432	1,837
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,755	1,976	27	5	339	1,408
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	64%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$6.39	\$7.75	\$6.59	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$943	\$690	\$694	\$850	\$949
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	56%	58%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	556	18	181	71	22	124	140	292	188	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	269	7	87	43	12	73	47	107	55	52
Participants in a Work Activity	176	7	45	31	9	46	38	68	35	33
% of Enrolled	65%	100%	52%	72%	75%	63%	81%	64%	64%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	1	7	1	3	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	117	6	29	23	6	32	21	62	33	29
% of Enrolled	43%	86%	33%	53%	4	44%	45%	58%	60%	56%
Full Time	95	6	22	20	4	27	16	47	26	21
Part Time	22	0	7	3	2	5	5	15	7	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.46	\$9.38	\$8.48	\$8.13	\$8.31	\$7.96	\$8.13	\$8.42	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,162	\$1,184	\$1,304	\$1,184	\$1,027	\$1,127	\$1,029	\$1,090	\$1,132	\$1,042

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	140	5	45	18	15	24	33	45	29	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	370	9	100	61	21	95	84	140	78	62
Participants in a Work Activity	261	8	65	46	18	62	62	106	59	47
% of Total Enrolled	71%	89%	65%	75%	86%	65%	74%	76%	76%	76%
Participants Employed	161	6	37	30	10	41	37	77	43	34
% of Total Enrolled	44%	67%	37%	49%	48%	43%	44%	55%	55%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.46	\$9.40	\$8.24	\$8.42	\$8.45	\$7.70	\$8.12	\$8.36	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,176	\$1,290	\$1,146	\$1,070	\$1,163	\$930	\$1,061	\$1,092	\$1,024
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	100%	62%	53%	78%	70%	65%	86%	81%	94%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,527	180	906	713	557	1097	1074	2,213	1387	826
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,313	105	656	578	329	818	827	1,609	981	628
Participants in a Work Activity	3,149	100	618	560	320	764	787	1545	953	592
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,199	64	382	404	212	573	564	918	526	392
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	70%	64%	70%	68%	57%	54%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.27	\$7.51	\$7.22	\$6.57	\$7.25	\$7.10	\$6.54	\$6.62	\$6.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$970	\$968	\$987	\$982	\$908	\$988	\$953	\$826	\$836	\$815
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	47%	52%	56%	52%	56%	51%	62%	58%	67%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,231	308	1,594	265	1,319	495	213	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,828	103	602	169	666	211	67	10
Participants in a Work Activity	1,367	82	481	112	464	168	53	7
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	80%	66%	70%	80%	79%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	0	12	0	7	2	0	0
Participants Employed	783	46	248	70	291	94	29	5
% of Enrolled	43%	45%	41%	41%	44%	45%	43%	50%
Full Time	594	30	183	47	229	78	22	5
Part Time	189	16	65	23	62	16	7	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.33	\$9.81	\$9.63	\$10.46	\$8.88	\$9.14	\$8.56	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,256	\$1,285	\$1,291	\$1,403	\$1,197	\$1,262	\$1,139	\$1,252

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	706	45	265	54	240	61	33	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,240	136	793	187	792	232	90	10
Participants in a Work Activity	1,829	115	673	144	615	202	70	10
% of Total Enrolled	82%	85%	85%	77%	78%	87%	78%	100%
Participants Employed	986	62	329	80	365	109	36	5
% of Total Enrolled	44%	46%	41%	43%	46%	47%	40%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.55	\$9.78	\$9.93	\$10.53	\$9.09	\$9.40	\$8.89	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,249	\$1,292	\$1,279	\$1,346	\$1,195	\$1,267	\$1,193	\$1,190
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	76%	72%	73%	75%	82%	80%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	30,320	2,662	10,653	2,115	9,672	3,524	1,306	388
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,176	2,054	7,938	1,667	7,460	2,791	979	287
Participants in a Work Activity	22,386	2,030	7,671	1,597	7,186	2,675	942	285
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	16,487	1,497	5,362	1,306	5,479	1,980	650	213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	71%	66%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.04	\$8.46	\$9.05	\$8.67	\$8.18	\$8.03	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,048	\$1,120	\$1,178	\$1,190	\$1,050	\$1,086	\$1,068
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	60%	65%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	420	196	102	25	94	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	150	60	37	6	44	3
Participants in a Work Activity	106	36	27	4	37	2
% of Enrolled	71%	60%	73%	67%	84%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	3	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	76	30	17	3	25	1
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	46%	50%	57%	33%
Full Time	56	20	13	1	22	0
Part Time	20	10	4	2	3	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.21	\$8.82	\$8.58	\$8.47	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,034	\$1,103	\$972	\$1,209	\$779

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	98	50	22	5	19	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	188	71	49	15	49	4
Participants in a Work Activity	148	50	38	15	43	2
% of Total Enrolled	79%	70%	78%	100%	88%	50%
Participants Employed	98	36	28	4	29	1
% of Total Enrolled	52%	51%	57%	27%	59%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.14	\$8.57	\$8.81	\$8.30	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$942	\$1,084	\$1,031	\$1,074	\$774
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	80%	76%	100%	63%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,470	1,333	1015	322	710	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,589	947	772	238	572	60
Participants in a Work Activity	2,497	907	764	231	538	57
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,764	623	532	155	415	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	65%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.31	\$7.29	\$7.64	\$7.06	\$7.02	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$950	\$999	\$987	\$947	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	61%	47%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	714	134	50	59	96	37	338
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	327	40	15	30	42	13	187
Participants in a Work Activity	269	27	12	18	33	8	171
% of Enrolled	82%	68%	80%	60%	79%	62%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	142	20	6	13	14	4	85
% of Enrolled	43%	50%	40%	43%	33%	31%	45%
Full Time	101	17	5	4	11	2	62
Part Time	41	3	1	9	3	2	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$9.23	\$8.25	\$8.37	\$8.29	\$8.07	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,286	\$1,077	\$902	\$1,091	\$954	\$1,015

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	152	27	8	13	26	8	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	406	58	17	32	56	18	225
Participants in a Work Activity	359	52	15	21	43	17	211
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	88%	66%	77%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	184	28	7	13	21	8	107
% of Total Enrolled	45%	48%	41%	41%	38%	44%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.80	\$8.21	\$8.37	\$8.37	\$7.76	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$1,158	\$1,036	\$888	\$1,035	\$931	\$941
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	72%	100%	63%	58%	58%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,250	1223	192	411	798	254	2,372
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,980	859	124	292	568	177	1,960
Participants in a Work Activity	3,877	837	119	272	542	175	1,932
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	93%	95%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	2,998	635	91	218	347	115	1,592
% of Total Enrolled	75%	74%	73%	75%	61%	65%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$7.69	\$7.40	\$7.21	\$7.31	\$7.55	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$999	\$1,081	\$908	\$953	\$995	\$920
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	55%	55%	49%	54%	52%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,334	95	96	215	290	638
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	636	32	48	113	208	235
Participants in a Work Activity	405	18	40	69	149	129
% of Enrolled	64%	56%	83%	61%	72%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	1	3	0	0
Participants Employed	286	15	31	46	90	104
% of Enrolled	45%	47%	65%	41%	43%	44%
Full Time	236	14	31	37	67	87
Part Time	50	1	0	9	23	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.57	\$7.46	\$8.70	\$7.98	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,058	\$1,113	\$1,132	\$1,093	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	226	13	12	53	39	109
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	753	42	53	142	226	290
Participants in a Work Activity	617	34	49	110	205	219
% of Total Enrolled	82%	81%	92%	77%	91%	76%
Participants Employed	355	24	32	59	115	125
% of Total Enrolled	47%	57%	60%	42%	51%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.62	\$7.46	\$8.49	\$8.02	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,047	\$1,123	\$1,139	\$1,006	\$1,016
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	64%	78%	64%	61%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,140	845	735	1,849	2,107	3,604
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,478	674	637	1,469	1,775	2,923
Participants in a Work Activity	7,077	642	625	1,372	1,671	2,767
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,186	457	451	970	1,225	2,083
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	71%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.42	\$6.62	\$6.14	\$6.48	\$6.62	\$6.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$839	\$847	\$848	\$838	\$878	\$814
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	51%	45%	55%	59%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,640	236	543	101	255	505
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	593	70	247	83	53	140
Participants in a Work Activity	426	36	189	60	37	104
% of Enrolled	72%	51%	77%	72%	70%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	3	0	0
Participants Employed	268	25	112	40	32	59
% of Enrolled	45%	36%	45%	48%	60%	42%
Full Time	216	17	95	23	29	52
Part Time	52	8	17	17	3	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.63	\$7.62	\$7.49	\$7.63	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$999	\$1,042	\$973	\$1,047	\$1,053

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	328	46	118	32	50	82
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	763	88	300	94	75	206
Participants in a Work Activity	644	72	252	81	61	178
% of Total Enrolled	84%	82%	84%	86%	81%	86%
Participants Employed	333	35	139	50	37	72
% of Total Enrolled	44%	40%	46%	53%	49%	35%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.98	\$7.93	\$7.48	\$7.63	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$991	\$1,051	\$982	\$1,003	\$1,051
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	66%	55%	65%	53%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,529	1,780	3,081	1,135	1,866	3,667
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,194	1,472	2,307	980	1,418	3,017
Participants in a Work Activity	8,878	1,416	2,207	924	1,394	2,937
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,765	853	1,477	657	791	1,987
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	64%	67%	56%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.28	\$6.23	\$6.65	\$6.19	\$6.26	\$6.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$841	\$824	\$929	\$810	\$855	\$793
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,066	84	132	66	79	63	139	57	181	128	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	498	34	52	34	31	32	62	36	68	68	81
Participants in a Work Activity	341	27	32	27	29	18	44	10	55	47	52
% of Enrolled	68%	79%	62%	79%	94%	56%	71%	28%	81%	69%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	225	16	29	15	16	13	25	10	37	37	27
% of Enrolled	45%	47%	56%	44%	52%	41%	40%	28%	54%	54%	33%
Full Time	171	14	19	12	11	11	16	6	28	32	22
Part Time	54	2	10	3	5	2	9	4	9	5	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.27	\$7.58	\$7.43	\$7.95	\$8.27	\$7.61	\$7.11	\$8.13	\$8.16	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,090	\$901	\$1,068	\$1,041	\$1,114	\$912	\$816	\$1,145	\$1,124	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	217	12	23	18	19	12	22	9	34	27	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	614	43	62	41	39	40	83	39	91	82	94
Participants in a Work Activity	485	33	52	35	36	35	67	18	75	55	79
% of Total Enrolled	79%	77%	84%	85%	92%	88%	81%	46%	82%	67%	84%
Participants Employed	274	21	33	17	17	18	33	11	47	41	36
% of Total Enrolled	45%	49%	53%	41%	44%	45%	40%	28%	52%	50%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$8.40	\$7.54	\$7.82	\$7.97	\$8.43	\$7.54	\$7.12	\$8.03	\$8.16	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,091	\$914	\$1,087	\$947	\$1,007	\$808	\$757	\$979	\$1,131	\$1,006
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	85%	69%	70%	47%	65%	58%	58%	67%	78%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,942	419	899	699	591	470	824	287	1010	827	916
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,254	299	705	519	412	337	635	213	785	620	729
Participants in a Work Activity	5,020	282	701	483	384	322	605	211	746	577	709
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,231	182	407	294	211	194	369	100	534	422	518
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	58%	57%	51%	58%	58%	47%	68%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.58	\$7.24	\$6.10	\$6.93	\$7.01	\$7.08	\$6.53	\$5.84	\$6.35	\$6.56	\$6.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$860	\$951	\$813	\$930	\$907	\$940	\$807	\$727	\$822	\$880	\$863
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	58%	53%	48%	48%	56%	55%	57%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,934	1088	50	172	1,617	40	2,967
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,496	441	21	99	747	14	1,174
Participants in a Work Activity	1,668	274	9	58	471	6	850
% of Enrolled	67%	62%	43%	59%	63%	43%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	4	1	1	2	1	9
Participants Employed	1,002	196	9	41	323	4	429
% of Enrolled	40%	44%	43%	41%	43%	29%	37%
Full Time	743	162	6	22	237	2	314
Part Time	259	34	3	19	86	2	115
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.09	\$8.58	\$8.16	\$8.34	\$5.93	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,106	\$1,165	\$955	\$1,131	\$831	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	984	215	14	36	233	10	476
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,942	511	22	109	874	19	1,407
Participants in a Work Activity	2,357	407	9	75	691	13	1,162
% of Total Enrolled	80%	80%	41%	69%	79%	68%	83%
Participants Employed	1,212	228	9	50	391	7	527
% of Total Enrolled	41%	45%	41%	46%	45%	37%	37%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.24	\$8.58	\$8.12	\$8.39	\$6.46	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,089	\$1,115	\$930	\$1,103	\$756	\$1,054
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	77%	80%	68%	80%	64%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	32,368	6,498	229	1079	7,788	285	16,489
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,967	4,862	165	763	6,157	197	12,823
Participants in a Work Activity	24,029	4,714	152	701	5,836	185	12,441
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	17,151	3,178	108	489	4,167	116	9,093
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	65%	64%	68%	59%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.46	\$6.76	\$8.09	\$7.50	\$7.24	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$1,006	\$875	\$1,049	\$1,012	\$913	\$950
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	61%	53%	64%	57%	53%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,181	197	50	450	295	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	673	126	16	232	163	136
Participants in a Work Activity	415	67	7	151	112	78
% of Enrolled	62%	53%	44%	65%	69%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	2	0	8	0	6
Participants Employed	313	58	6	117	64	68
% of Enrolled	47%	46%	38%	50%	39%	50%
Full Time	246	42	5	103	48	48
Part Time	67	16	1	14	16	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.28	\$8.79	\$8.36	\$8.44	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,028	\$1,223	\$1,110	\$1,039	\$1,096

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	239	37	13	93	53	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	792	133	21	290	192	156
Participants in a Work Activity	569	103	12	199	145	110
% of Total Enrolled	72%	77%	57%	69%	76%	71%
Participants Employed	367	65	6	143	75	78
% of Total Enrolled	46%	49%	29%	49%	39%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.27	\$8.79	\$8.38	\$8.40	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,032	\$1,185	\$1,060	\$1,042	\$1,048
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	79%	27%	84%	76%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,090	1,114	436	2,081	2,060	1,399
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,095	776	288	1,608	1,258	1,165
Participants in a Work Activity	4,811	741	273	1,459	1,219	1,119
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,433	489	189	1,111	754	890
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	66%	69%	60%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.43	\$7.27	\$7.86	\$8.26	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$950	\$918	\$1,026	\$1,118	\$978
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	43%	48%	56%	58%	56%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	426	95	21	60	42	27	38	30	26	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	179	48	7	31	11	15	20	7	6	34
Participants in a Work Activity	119	36	4	24	8	9	11	6	4	17
% of Enrolled	66%	75%	57%	77%	73%	60%	55%	86%	67%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	94	27	4	14	6	9	11	5	3	15
% of Enrolled	53%	56%	57%	45%	55%	60%	55%	71%	50%	44%
Full Time	74	19	3	10	6	7	11	3	3	12
Part Time	20	8	1	4	0	2	0	2	0	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$8.41	\$6.33	\$8.04	\$8.49	\$8.28	\$6.70	\$8.05	\$8.83	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$1,131	\$865	\$1,007	\$1,120	\$1,177	\$960	\$859	\$1,283	\$926

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	76	12	7	8	7	6	8	6	6	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	217	55	8	41	16	15	24	11	10	37
Participants in a Work Activity	175	51	4	35	12	11	18	8	10	26
% of Total Enrolled	81%	93%	50%	85%	75%	73%	75%	73%	100%	70%
Participants Employed	118	33	4	19	6	10	14	6	6	20
% of Total Enrolled	54%	60%	50%	46%	38%	67%	58%	55%	60%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$8.26	\$6.33	\$8.20	\$8.31	\$8.18	\$7.06	\$7.92	\$8.17	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$1,004	\$921	\$1,012	\$1,050	\$1,018	\$960	\$968	\$1,188	\$1,017
Three Months Employment ⁸	58%	57%	67%	65%	36%	100%	73%	71%	42%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,137	475	239	271	360	178	360	277	293	684
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,275	367	157	219	276	111	261	223	167	494
Participants in a Work Activity	2,143	353	146	210	258	103	239	216	163	455
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	96%	93%	93%	92%	97%	98%	92%
Participants Employed	1,468	258	83	129	186	70	176	134	108	324
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	53%	59%	67%	63%	67%	60%	65%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.83	\$6.84	\$6.91	\$7.27	\$6.61	\$6.77	\$6.87	\$6.50	\$6.86	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$879	\$842	\$877	\$970	\$832	\$864	\$891	\$840	\$933	\$906
Five Months Employment ⁹	47%	41%	35%	46%	48%	56%	57%	42%	34%	51%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,484	28	107	137	38	129	1,210	1,799	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,461	10	38	50	17	82	481	759	24
Participants in a Work Activity	1,040	5	23	42	9	54	350	541	16
% of Enrolled	71%	50%	61%	84%	53%	66%	73%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	738	1	20	31	5	42	224	399	16
% of Enrolled	51%	10%	53%	62%	29%	51%	47%	53%	67%
Full Time	584	1	15	22	1	28	175	331	11
Part Time	154	0	5	9	4	14	49	68	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$9.98	\$7.95	\$9.32	\$7.51	\$8.19	\$7.92	\$7.95	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,729	\$1,032	\$1,235	\$734	\$1,051	\$1,037	\$1,070	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	619	7	21	25	6	24	213	315	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,815	10	57	73	20	96	595	933	31
Participants in a Work Activity	1,442	8	37	65	12	72	508	716	24
% of Total Enrolled	79%	80%	65%	89%	60%	75%	85%	77%	77%
Participants Employed	896	1	28	42	5	53	277	467	23
% of Total Enrolled	49%	10%	49%	58%	25%	55%	47%	50%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$9.98	\$7.95	\$9.06	\$7.51	\$8.18	\$8.00	\$7.96	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,717	\$1,018	\$1,150	\$748	\$1,037	\$999	\$1,046	\$1,119
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	0%	50%	72%	88%	93%	77%	72%	94%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	22,893	137	960	925	274	887	8,001	11,379	330
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,782	97	670	716	198	642	5,740	8,481	238
Participants in a Work Activity	16,008	94	613	669	188	615	5,606	8,001	222
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	91%	93%	95%	96%	98%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	11,970	51	444	507	121	411	4,083	6,177	176
% of Total Enrolled	71%	53%	66%	71%	61%	64%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$6.72	\$6.71	\$7.25	\$7.39	\$6.96	\$6.87	\$6.83	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$868	\$837	\$889	\$1,000	\$877	\$901	\$913	\$904
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	54%	54%	52%	51%	47%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,334	122	96	65	71	343	637
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	676	27	40	39	38	221	311
Participants in a Work Activity	411	22	29	20	28	112	200
% of Enrolled	61%	81%	73%	51%	74%	51%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	3	1	1	2	0
Participants Employed	275	15	21	16	17	72	134
% of Enrolled	41%	56%	53%	41%	45%	33%	43%
Full Time	208	12	12	10	13	51	110
Part Time	67	3	9	6	4	21	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.89	\$8.16	\$8.70	\$7.76	\$7.69	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,138	\$986	\$1,028	\$1,006	\$954	\$1,076

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	204	26	9	7	16	44	102
Total Enrolled in VIEW	782	31	51	40	51	239	370
Participants in a Work Activity	563	29	38	39	41	143	273
% of Total Enrolled	72%	94%	75%	98%	80%	60%	74%
Participants Employed	330	17	25	21	22	83	162
% of Total Enrolled	42%	55%	49%	53%	43%	35%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.83	\$8.15	\$8.70	\$7.65	\$7.73	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,031	\$1,095	\$1,013	\$1,044	\$976	\$958	\$1,070
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	48%	80%	75%	62%	72%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,248	1053	719	314	657	2,060	3,445
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,405	726	533	252	521	1,649	2,724
Participants in a Work Activity	6,118	723	466	239	505	1,577	2,608
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,433	487	332	180	347	1,142	1,945
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	62%	71%	67%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$6.82	\$7.29	\$7.03	\$6.84	\$6.74	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$930	\$945	\$977	\$862	\$937	\$909	\$938
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	52%	50%	47%	57%	51%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/10 and Work Activity as of 8/31/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,725	166	126	1,183	97	2,311	1,320	429	1,093
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,881	56	45	543	21	1,154	503	151	408
Participants in a Work Activity	2,146	35	25	413	15	878	371	102	307
% of Enrolled	74%	63%	56%	76%	71%	76%	74%	68%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	28	0	2	0	0	6	13	1	6
Participants Employed	1,422	32	16	296	5	573	212	73	215
% of Enrolled	49%	57%	36%	55%	24%	50%	42%	48%	53%
Full Time	1,234	25	8	270	5	501	173	59	193
Part Time	188	7	8	26	0	72	39	14	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.64	\$7.89	\$7.88	\$7.64	\$7.76	\$8.01	\$7.99	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$1,014	\$834	\$1,078	\$1,084	\$1,000	\$1,118	\$1,070	\$1,154

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,201	30	19	191	29	402	201	72	257
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,418	77	63	655	36	1,257	632	186	512
Participants in a Work Activity	2,804	56	50	533	30	1,047	515	158	415
% of Total Enrolled	82%	73%	79%	81%	83%	83%	81%	85%	81%
Participants Employed	1,660	39	20	365	8	613	273	90	252
% of Total Enrolled	49%	51%	32%	56%	22%	49%	43%	48%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.68	\$7.82	\$8.04	\$7.85	\$7.75	\$8.03	\$8.03	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,043	\$1,004	\$859	\$1,086	\$964	\$994	\$1,051	\$1,077	\$1,103
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	73%	65%	77%	74%	75%	72%	67%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	46,344	1042	886	7,423	728	14,955	7,529	2,951	10,830
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	33,498	741	695	5,327	572	10,709	5,880	2,290	7,284
Participants in a Work Activity	31,673	710	665	5,046	557	10,137	5,523	2,260	6,775
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	23,817	530	410	3,930	383	7,598	4,312	1,715	4,939
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	59%	74%	67%	71%	73%	75%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.73	\$6.75	\$6.56	\$6.89	\$6.35	\$6.61	\$6.63	\$6.57	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$885	\$899	\$817	\$913	\$798	\$850	\$893	\$860	\$943
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	56%	46%	56%	54%	55%	61%	59%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	55.1%	6.28	29	\$8.36	6.86	29	81.0%	8.10	16	7.08	18
003	Albemarle	48.3%	5.33	62	\$8.80	7.49	12	71.7%	7.17	53	6.67	33
005	Alleghany/Covington	40.2%	4.20	95	\$8.10	6.48	50	73.3%	7.33	44	6.00	73
007	Amelia	48.8%	5.41	57	\$8.40	6.91	23	84.6%	8.46	11	6.93	21
009	Amherst	57.1%	6.56	24	\$7.62	5.78	97	63.6%	6.36	85	6.24	58
011	Appomattox	60.4%	7.01	13	\$7.46	5.55	105	78.4%	7.84	26	6.80	29
013	Arlington	45.6%	4.96	73	\$9.78	8.91	4	76.5%	7.65	32	7.17	14
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.4%	7.99	7	\$7.94	6.25	66	73.8%	7.38	39	7.21	12
017	Bath	66.7%	7.89	8	\$3.63	0.00	119	50.0%	5.00	108	4.30	115
019	Bedford County/City	41.5%	4.39	89	\$8.49	7.04	18	64.2%	6.42	83	5.95	76
021	Bland	60.0%	6.96	14	\$7.13	5.07	113	20.0%	2.00	117	4.68	113
023	Botetourt	12.5%	0.35	118	\$7.75	5.97	82	100.0%	10.00	1	5.44	103
025	Brunswick	53.2%	6.02	36	\$7.54	5.67	98	69.4%	6.94	63	6.21	59
027	Buchanan	44.4%	4.80	77	\$8.06	6.42	51	62.2%	6.22	90	5.81	82
029	Buckingham	41.5%	4.38	91	\$7.82	6.07	78	70.0%	7.00	61	5.82	80
031	Campbell	50.9%	5.69	41	\$8.02	6.36	57	61.3%	6.13	94	6.06	71
033	Caroline	48.9%	5.41	56	\$8.27	6.72	34	79.1%	7.91	24	6.68	32
035	Carroll	77.6%	9.41	2	\$7.51	5.62	100	64.2%	6.42	84	7.15	16
036	Charles City	10.0%	0.00	119	\$9.98	9.20	2	0.0%	0.00	118	3.07	119
037	Charlotte	43.6%	4.68	81	\$7.97	6.29	62	47.4%	4.74	111	5.23	107
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	44.6%	4.82	76	\$8.24	6.68	37	77.1%	7.71	29	6.40	47
043	Clarke	66.7%	7.89	9	\$8.46	7.00	19	100.0%	10.00	2	8.30	1
045	Craig	33.3%	3.25	110	\$7.50	5.61	102	33.3%	3.33	115	4.06	117
047	Culpeper	50.7%	5.67	42	\$8.14	6.54	48	79.6%	7.96	23	6.72	31
049	Cumberland	45.0%	4.87	74	\$8.43	6.96	21	65.0%	6.50	79	6.11	67
051	Dickensen	48.5%	5.36	59	\$7.41	5.48	111	61.1%	6.11	95	5.65	92
053	Dinwiddie	54.8%	6.24	30	\$7.83	6.09	76	48.5%	4.85	110	5.73	86
057	Essex	60.0%	6.96	15	\$8.26	6.71	36	56.8%	5.68	101	6.45	44
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	41.5%	4.38	90	\$9.93	9.13	3	71.9%	7.19	52	6.90	24
061	Fauquier	57.1%	6.56	25	\$8.57	7.16	17	75.7%	7.57	34	7.10	17
063	Floyd	81.8%	10.00	1	\$7.72	5.93	85	66.7%	6.67	69	7.53	4
065	Fluvanna	41.2%	4.34	92	\$8.21	6.64	40	100.0%	10.00	3	6.99	19
067	Franklin County	38.2%	3.93	101	\$7.89	6.17	72	72.9%	7.29	46	5.80	84
069	Frederick	37.0%	3.76	105	\$9.40	8.36	5	62.2%	6.22	91	6.11	65
071	Giles	50.0%	5.57	45	\$7.47	5.57	104	63.6%	6.36	86	5.83	79
073	Gloucester	49.1%	5.45	54	\$7.95	6.26	65	50.0%	5.00	109	5.57	94
075	Goochland	40.9%	4.30	93	\$8.58	7.17	16	80.0%	8.00	18	6.49	43
077	Grayson	58.8%	6.80	19	\$7.92	6.22	69	55.6%	5.56	103	6.19	62

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	40.6%	4.26	94	\$8.37	6.87	27	63.2%	6.32	88	5.82	81
081	Greensville/Emporia	39.8%	4.14	98	\$7.54	5.67	99	58.1%	5.81	99	5.21	108
083	Halifax	39.8%	4.15	97	\$7.98	6.30	61	65.9%	6.59	73	5.68	91
085	Hanover	45.9%	4.99	72	\$8.12	6.51	49	67.9%	6.79	66	6.10	68
087	Henrico	44.7%	4.84	75	\$8.39	6.90	25	79.6%	7.96	22	6.57	40
089	Henry/Martinsville	46.3%	5.06	70	\$7.93	6.23	68	55.2%	5.52	104	5.60	93
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	50.6%	5.66	43	\$7.68	5.87	90	73.5%	7.35	42	6.29	56
095	James City	57.5%	6.62	23	\$9.06	7.87	8	72.2%	7.22	49	7.24	9
097	King and Queen	50.0%	5.57	46	\$6.33	3.91	118	66.7%	6.67	70	5.38	105
099	King George	28.6%	2.59	112	\$8.79	7.48	13	27.3%	2.73	116	4.26	116
101	King William	46.3%	5.06	69	\$8.20	6.62	41	65.2%	6.52	76	6.07	69
103	Lancaster	37.5%	3.83	102	\$8.31	6.78	32	35.7%	3.57	114	4.73	112
105	Lee	58.7%	6.78	20	\$7.38	5.43	112	84.5%	8.45	12	6.89	25
107	Loudoun	42.8%	4.56	87	\$10.53	10.00	1	73.2%	7.32	45	7.30	7
109	Louisa	37.5%	3.83	103	\$8.37	6.87	28	58.1%	5.81	100	5.50	100
111	Lunenburg	28.2%	2.53	113	\$7.12	5.06	114	58.3%	5.83	97	4.48	114
113	Madison	26.7%	2.32	114	\$8.81	7.51	11	100.0%	10.00	4	6.61	38
115	Mathews	66.7%	7.89	10	\$8.18	6.59	42	100.0%	10.00	5	8.16	3
117	Mecklenburg	51.6%	5.80	40	\$8.03	6.38	54	67.2%	6.72	68	6.30	53
119	Middlesex	58.3%	6.73	22	\$7.06	4.97	115	72.7%	7.27	47	6.32	51
121	Montgomery	67.5%	8.01	6	\$7.88	6.16	73	73.4%	7.34	43	7.17	15
125	Nelson	44.4%	4.80	78	\$7.76	5.99	81	58.3%	5.83	98	5.54	96
127	New Kent	25.0%	2.09	115	\$7.51	5.62	101	87.5%	8.75	10	5.49	101
131	Northampton	54.8%	6.24	31	\$7.84	6.10	75	93.6%	9.36	7	7.23	11
133	Northumberland	54.5%	6.20	33	\$7.92	6.22	70	71.4%	7.14	55	6.52	42
135	Nottoway	50.0%	5.57	47	\$8.16	6.57	45	77.8%	7.78	27	6.64	36
137	Orange	59.2%	6.85	17	\$8.30	6.77	33	62.5%	6.25	89	6.62	37
139	Page	49.2%	5.46	53	\$8.24	6.68	38	52.8%	5.28	106	5.80	83
141	Patrick	53.2%	6.01	37	\$7.48	5.58	103	65.2%	6.52	77	6.04	72
143	Pittsylvania	49.3%	5.48	50	\$7.63	5.80	96	52.5%	5.25	107	5.51	99
145	Powhatan	36.8%	3.74	106	\$6.46	4.10	117	63.6%	6.36	87	4.73	111
147	Prince Edward	38.3%	3.94	100	\$7.72	5.93	86	73.7%	7.37	41	5.75	85
149	Prince George	49.0%	5.43	55	\$8.15	6.55	47	80.0%	8.00	19	6.66	34
153	Prince William	46.1%	5.02	71	\$9.09	7.91	7	74.7%	7.47	37	6.80	28
155	Pulaski	54.8%	6.23	32	\$7.67	5.86	91	45.3%	4.53	112	5.54	95
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	2.09	116	\$9.00	7.78	9	0.0%	0.00	119	3.29	118
159	Richmond County	60.0%	6.96	16	\$8.17	6.58	44	41.7%	4.17	113	5.90	77
161	Roanoke County	52.8%	5.97	38	\$7.96	6.28	63	60.9%	6.09	96	6.11	66
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	66.7%	7.89	11	\$7.45	5.54	108	84.2%	8.42	13	7.28	8
165	Rockingham/H'burg	71.6%	8.58	5	\$8.32	6.80	31	70.8%	7.08	56	7.48	5
167	Russell	48.5%	5.36	60	\$7.69	5.88	89	80.7%	8.07	17	6.44	45
169	Scott	54.2%	6.15	34	\$7.42	5.49	110	88.1%	8.81	9	6.82	26
171	Shenandoah	47.6%	5.24	63	\$8.42	6.94	22	77.8%	7.78	28	6.65	35

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	35.5%	3.55	107	\$7.82	6.07	79	68.1%	6.81	65	5.48	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	31.7%	3.03	111	\$7.82	6.07	80	65.2%	6.52	78	5.21	109
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	49.3%	5.47	51	\$8.38	6.88	26	83.9%	8.39	14	6.91	23
179	<i>Stafford</i>	39.1%	4.05	99	\$8.40	6.91	24	76.1%	7.61	33	6.19	63
181	<i>Surry</i>	52.5%	5.92	39	\$8.70	7.35	14	75.0%	7.50	36	6.92	22
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.1%	4.61	85	\$7.65	5.83	93	61.5%	6.15	93	5.53	97
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.9%	6.81	18	\$7.46	5.55	106	65.3%	6.53	75	6.30	54
187	<i>Warren</i>	43.2%	4.62	84	\$8.45	6.99	20	70.2%	7.02	60	6.21	61
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.8%	5.13	67	\$7.45	5.54	109	54.8%	5.48	105	5.38	106
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	54.1%	6.13	35	\$7.64	5.81	95	56.5%	5.65	102	5.87	78
195	<i>Wise</i>	58.6%	6.77	21	\$7.65	5.83	94	61.6%	6.16	92	6.25	57
197	<i>Wythe</i>	64.4%	7.58	12	\$7.83	6.09	77	72.2%	7.22	50	6.96	20
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	55.2%	6.29	28	\$8.18	6.59	43	92.5%	9.25	8	7.38	6
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	47.0%	5.15	66	\$9.40	8.36	6	81.9%	8.19	15	7.24	10
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.3%	5.20	65	\$8.02	6.36	58	70.6%	7.06	57	6.21	60
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	47.6%	5.23	64	\$8.24	6.68	39	69.9%	6.99	62	6.30	52
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	55.7%	6.37	27	\$8.04	6.39	52	76.6%	7.66	31	6.80	27
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.0%	3.47	108	\$7.94	6.25	67	64.4%	6.44	82	5.39	104
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	22.2%	1.70	117	\$7.85	6.12	74	73.9%	7.39	38	5.07	110
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	50.0%	5.57	48	\$8.36	6.86	30	79.8%	7.98	21	6.80	30
640	<i>Galax</i>	42.4%	4.51	88	\$7.46	5.55	107	70.4%	7.04	59	5.70	90
650	<i>Hampton</i>	46.6%	5.09	68	\$8.00	6.33	60	76.7%	7.67	30	6.36	48
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	34.7%	3.44	109	\$7.73	5.94	84	71.7%	7.17	54	5.52	98
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	43.1%	4.61	86	\$7.72	5.93	87	65.6%	6.56	74	5.70	89
683	<i>Manassas</i>	40.0%	4.18	96	\$8.89	7.62	10	80.0%	8.00	20	6.60	39
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	50.0%	5.57	49	\$8.59	7.19	15	65.0%	6.50	80	6.42	46
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.1%	5.58	44	\$7.96	6.28	64	72.2%	7.22	48	6.36	49
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	48.8%	5.40	58	\$7.75	5.97	83	75.2%	7.52	35	6.29	55
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.2%	8.94	3	\$6.94	4.80	116	78.6%	7.86	25	7.20	13
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	43.8%	4.70	80	\$7.92	6.22	71	70.6%	7.06	58	5.99	74
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	43.2%	4.62	83	\$8.03	6.38	55	72.0%	7.20	51	6.06	70
750	<i>Radford</i>	56.5%	6.48	26	\$7.67	5.86	92	66.7%	6.67	71	6.33	50
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	37.5%	3.82	104	\$8.16	6.57	46	67.7%	6.77	67	5.72	87
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	43.6%	4.68	82	\$8.04	6.39	53	68.2%	6.82	64	5.96	75
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	48.4%	5.35	61	\$8.03	6.38	56	66.7%	6.67	72	6.13	64
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	49.2%	5.46	52	\$8.27	6.72	35	73.8%	7.38	40	6.52	41
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.2%	8.94	4	\$8.02	6.36	59	93.8%	9.38	6	8.23	2
840	<i>Winchester</i>	44.0%	4.74	79	\$7.70	5.90	88	65.0%	6.50	81	5.71	88

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.6%	2.17	114	\$836	2.91	94	58.0%	6.66	27	3.91	105
003	Albemarle	73.9%	7.93	12	\$999	6.17	18	55.2%	5.90	54	6.67	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.5%	7.24	23	\$821	2.61	102	46.5%	3.47	107	4.44	89
007	Amelia	60.9%	4.23	96	\$951	5.21	32	46.7%	3.52	105	4.32	92
009	Amherst	67.8%	6.20	50	\$847	3.13	88	51.2%	4.77	80	4.70	81
011	Appomattox	70.8%	7.05	35	\$848	3.15	87	44.9%	3.03	112	4.41	90
013	Arlington	72.9%	7.64	18	\$1,048	7.16	10	64.1%	8.37	7	7.72	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.2%	6.30	46	\$943	5.06	39	55.3%	5.91	53	5.76	34
017	Bath	64.3%	5.20	80	\$690	0.00	120	54.2%	5.60	62	3.60	109
019	Bedford County/City	66.0%	5.69	65	\$838	2.95	92	54.7%	5.76	57	4.80	75
021	Bland	62.9%	4.79	88	\$830	2.80	97	47.4%	3.72	100	3.77	106
023	Botetourt	45.9%	0.00	120	\$915	4.50	54	41.8%	2.16	116	2.22	119
025	Brunswick	57.7%	3.34	110	\$813	2.46	106	57.8%	6.60	30	4.13	100
027	Buchanan	52.6%	1.89	116	\$825	2.70	98	54.3%	5.63	61	3.41	112
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.03	112	\$930	4.79	47	52.9%	5.24	69	4.36	91
031	Campbell	69.0%	6.54	42	\$878	3.76	71	58.9%	6.93	23	5.74	35
033	Caroline	63.0%	4.84	87	\$950	5.19	35	42.8%	2.44	115	4.16	97
035	Carroll	67.3%	6.06	56	\$812	2.43	107	46.4%	3.43	108	3.97	103
036	Charles City	52.6%	1.88	117	\$868	3.56	76	53.7%	5.46	65	3.63	108
037	Charlotte	51.2%	1.49	118	\$907	4.33	61	48.1%	3.92	95	3.24	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.4%	5.50	73	\$1,006	6.31	15	60.7%	7.43	15	6.41	20
043	Clarke	61.0%	4.25	95	\$968	5.56	27	47.4%	3.71	102	4.51	86
045	Craig	59.3%	3.77	100	\$1,019	6.56	13	60.0%	7.23	18	5.85	30
047	Culpeper	65.8%	5.62	68	\$950	5.19	34	56.1%	6.15	45	5.66	40
049	Cumberland	57.6%	3.29	111	\$940	4.99	41	47.9%	3.86	96	4.05	101
051	Dickensen	59.9%	3.95	99	\$809	2.38	110	48.3%	3.97	93	3.43	111
053	Dinwiddie	67.1%	5.99	57	\$945	5.09	38	51.8%	4.93	78	5.34	50
057	Essex	70.3%	6.90	36	\$842	3.04	90	41.4%	2.05	118	4.00	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.5%	6.12	52	\$1,120	8.59	3	61.7%	7.70	10	7.47	6
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.51	43	\$999	6.18	17	61.3%	7.58	12	6.76	12
063	Floyd	71.4%	7.21	27	\$918	4.55	53	47.1%	3.62	103	5.13	56
065	Fluvanna	73.4%	7.78	16	\$1,081	7.81	6	54.6%	5.71	58	7.10	7
067	Franklin County	62.8%	4.77	89	\$934	4.86	45	49.7%	4.36	87	4.66	82
069	Frederick	58.2%	3.48	107	\$987	5.93	22	52.3%	5.07	72	4.83	74
071	Giles	59.2%	3.75	101	\$937	4.94	44	47.5%	3.75	99	4.15	98
073	Gloucester	66.3%	5.76	64	\$837	2.94	93	54.4%	5.67	59	4.79	76
075	Goochland	65.5%	5.53	72	\$875	3.69	75	53.3%	5.35	67	4.85	70
077	Grayson	66.5%	5.82	62	\$835	2.89	95	56.7%	6.32	35	5.01	62

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.7%	8.14	7	\$908	4.36	59	48.7%	4.08	90	5.53	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.1%	3.45	108	\$807	2.33	111	55.5%	5.98	51	3.92	104
083	Halifax	57.9%	3.40	109	\$824	2.67	99	47.8%	3.83	97	3.30	114
085	Hanover	64.1%	5.14	81	\$1,049	7.17	9	63.8%	8.29	8	6.87	10
087	Henrico	67.7%	6.16	51	\$1,012	6.43	14	56.6%	6.29	37	6.29	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.12	82	\$929	4.77	48	53.6%	5.44	66	5.11	58
091	Highland	71.4%	7.22	24	\$694	0.07	119	60.0%	7.23	19	4.84	71
093	Isle of Wight	71.5%	7.25	22	\$899	4.17	65	55.7%	6.02	48	5.82	32
095	James City	70.8%	7.05	34	\$889	3.97	69	52.1%	5.03	75	5.35	49
097	King and Queen	52.9%	1.96	115	\$877	3.73	74	35.1%	0.31	119	2.00	120
099	King George	65.6%	5.58	70	\$918	4.55	52	47.7%	3.79	98	4.64	83
101	King William	58.9%	3.67	103	\$970	5.60	26	46.2%	3.39	109	4.22	96
103	Lancaster	67.4%	6.08	54	\$832	2.83	96	48.2%	3.95	94	4.29	94
105	Lee	65.6%	5.58	69	\$766	1.51	116	60.2%	7.28	17	4.79	78
107	Loudoun	78.3%	9.18	3	\$1,178	9.75	2	65.4%	8.72	4	9.22	1
109	Louisa	61.1%	4.29	94	\$953	5.26	30	54.3%	5.63	60	5.06	59
111	Lunenburg	46.9%	0.28	119	\$727	0.74	117	55.2%	5.88	55	2.30	118
113	Madison	65.1%	5.44	74	\$987	5.94	21	46.6%	3.49	106	4.96	64
115	Mathews	63.1%	4.85	86	\$864	3.47	78	56.3%	6.18	42	4.83	72
117	Mecklenburg	68.0%	6.26	48	\$822	2.63	101	56.7%	6.29	36	5.06	61
119	Middlesex	67.4%	6.09	53	\$891	4.02	68	57.0%	6.38	33	5.50	43
121	Montgomery	79.8%	9.58	2	\$923	4.65	50	53.9%	5.54	64	6.59	16
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.39	76	\$995	6.09	19	51.5%	4.87	79	5.45	45
127	New Kent	61.1%	4.30	93	\$1,000	6.20	16	50.9%	4.69	82	5.06	60
131	Northampton	62.4%	4.67	90	\$815	2.49	104	66.8%	9.11	2	5.42	46
133	Northumberland	60.1%	4.01	97	\$840	3.00	91	41.7%	2.12	117	3.04	117
135	Nottoway	68.1%	6.27	47	\$880	3.79	70	55.1%	5.87	56	5.31	51
137	Orange	72.6%	7.54	20	\$947	5.14	37	43.8%	2.73	114	5.14	55
139	Page	69.9%	6.79	39	\$982	5.84	23	55.7%	6.01	50	6.21	26
141	Patrick	67.0%	5.98	58	\$810	2.40	109	65.5%	8.76	3	5.71	39
143	Pittsylvania	55.8%	2.79	113	\$855	3.29	83	50.6%	4.60	85	3.56	110
145	Powhatan	58.9%	3.67	104	\$913	4.45	57	53.2%	5.34	68	4.48	87
147	Prince Edward	71.1%	7.12	30	\$863	3.45	79	59.8%	7.18	20	5.92	29
149	Prince George	62.3%	4.63	92	\$977	5.74	25	49.6%	4.34	88	4.90	66
153	Prince William	73.4%	7.79	15	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.7%	7.69	11	8.50	2
155	Pulaski	64.5%	5.26	78	\$928	4.75	49	44.4%	2.88	113	4.29	93
157	Rappahannock	65.0%	5.40	75	\$954	5.28	28	48.5%	4.02	91	4.90	67
159	Richmond County	64.7%	5.31	77	\$933	4.85	46	34.0%	0.00	120	3.39	113
161	Roanoke County	74.3%	8.03	9	\$1,028	6.76	11	52.1%	5.04	74	6.61	15
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.9%	7.91	13	\$850	3.19	85	55.8%	6.05	47	5.72	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.5%	8.08	8	\$949	5.16	36	58.3%	6.75	26	6.67	13
167	Russell	62.4%	4.66	91	\$844	3.07	89	57.2%	6.44	31	4.73	80
169	Scott	58.6%	3.60	106	\$866	3.51	77	65.4%	8.72	5	5.28	52
171	Shenandoah	64.4%	5.24	79	\$908	4.35	60	52.0%	5.00	76	4.86	69

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.8%	3.66	105	\$812	2.43	108	52.6%	5.17	71	3.75	107
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.0%	3.70	102	\$817	2.54	103	45.7%	3.25	111	3.16	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.1%	6.56	41	\$1,026	6.72	12	56.3%	6.20	41	6.49	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.9%	3.97	98	\$1,118	8.55	4	57.9%	6.65	28	6.39	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.4%	7.22	25	\$862	3.43	81	47.4%	3.72	101	4.79	77
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.6%	5.86	61	\$937	4.94	43	57.0%	6.39	32	5.73	36
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.2%	6.32	44	\$790	2.00	115	56.6%	6.29	38	4.87	68
187	<i>Warren</i>	70.0%	6.83	38	\$988	5.95	20	55.9%	6.07	46	6.28	23
191	<i>Washington</i>	66.0%	5.68	66	\$877	3.74	72	48.4%	3.99	92	4.47	88
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.6%	5.57	71	\$906	4.31	62	50.6%	4.62	84	4.83	73
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.9%	5.09	84	\$795	2.10	113	56.9%	6.35	34	4.52	85
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.3%	6.07	55	\$823	2.66	100	56.2%	6.15	44	4.96	63
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.0%	5.12	83	\$877	3.73	73	46.8%	3.56	104	4.14	99
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.9%	7.09	32	\$1,050	7.20	8	70.0%	10.00	1	8.09	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.3%	6.90	37	\$855	3.29	84	49.1%	4.18	89	4.79	79
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.2%	10.00	1	\$920	4.58	51	56.2%	6.18	43	6.92	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.8%	7.89	14	\$913	4.45	56	56.4%	6.21	40	6.18	27
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.9%	5.64	67	\$793	2.05	114	52.2%	5.07	73	4.25	95
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	67.0%	5.96	59	\$798	2.15	112	54.1%	5.59	63	4.56	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.4%	8.63	4	\$978	5.75	24	55.7%	6.02	49	6.80	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.2%	4.88	85	\$862	3.44	80	59.3%	7.03	22	5.12	57
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.1%	7.14	29	\$901	4.22	64	52.7%	5.20	70	5.52	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.3%	6.61	40	\$909	4.37	58	51.1%	4.75	81	5.24	53
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.3%	7.18	28	\$814	2.48	105	61.9%	7.75	9	5.80	33
683	<i>Manassas</i>	66.4%	5.80	63	\$1,086	7.91	5	59.7%	7.15	21	6.95	8
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	74.2%	8.01	10	\$1,068	7.55	7	64.6%	8.49	6	8.02	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	72.8%	7.62	19	\$913	4.45	55	58.4%	6.77	25	6.28	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.9%	7.09	31	\$850	3.19	86	55.4%	5.95	52	5.41	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.1%	8.27	5	\$727	0.73	118	60.8%	7.44	14	5.48	44
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.4%	7.22	26	\$938	4.95	42	57.8%	6.61	29	6.26	25
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.3%	7.76	17	\$893	4.06	67	61.0%	7.49	13	6.44	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.9%	7.34	21	\$896	4.12	66	46.1%	3.36	110	4.94	65
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.9%	7.08	33	\$950	5.20	33	60.2%	7.28	16	6.52	17
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.7%	5.89	60	\$954	5.28	29	50.2%	4.48	86	5.22	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.9%	8.20	6	\$860	3.40	82	58.7%	6.87	24	6.16	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.8%	6.20	49	\$943	5.06	40	56.6%	6.29	39	5.85	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.9%	7.94	11	\$904	4.28	63	51.8%	4.95	77	5.72	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.2%	6.31	45	\$953	5.25	31	50.8%	4.68	83	5.41	47

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – August 31, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,553	121	111	229	76	42	248	38	63	94	130	93	350	106	26	248	84	473	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	90%	98%	96%	93%	90%	85%	82%	90%	96%	98%	94%	87%	82%	100%	94%	89%	94%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	92%	90%	98%	96%	93%	90%	85%	82%	90%	96%	98%	94%	87%	82%	100%	94%	89%	94%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	157	9	0	6	5	7	20	6	6	1	3	6	55	17	0	11	3	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	15	0	10	18	40	24	11	13	2	55	9	46	19	0	18	6	8	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	121	9	3	25	21	15	12	29	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	78%	100%	88%	95%	93%	100%	90%	71%
% Timely in August 2010	90%	78%	100%	88%	95%	93%	100%	90%	71%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	9	1	0	2	0	1	0	2	3
Average # of Days Over 30	15	2	0	5	0	11	0	8	32

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	111	0	4	1	24	23	5	50	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	98%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	229	10	1	0	2	29	5	34	23	23	4	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	97%	80%	100%	100%	100%	75%	94%
% Timely in August 2010	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	97%	80%	100%	100%	100%	75%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	11

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	76	37	0	0	5	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	N/A	N/A	20%	97%
% Timely in August 2010	93%	100%	N/A	N/A	20%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	4	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	12	46

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	42	0	3	13	2	10	14	21	18	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	N/A	0%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	90%	N/A	0%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	248	17	98	25	77	21	7	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	85%	100%	93%	92%	71%	95%	57%	67%
% Timely in August 2010	85%	100%	93%	92%	71%	95%	57%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	20	0	0	1	14	0	4	1
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	5	28	0	18	10

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	38	21	9	2	6	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	82%	71%	100%	100%	83%	N/A
% Timely in August 2010	82%	71%	100%	100%	83%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	6	5	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	10	0	17	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	63	9	2	7	8	4	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	50%	29%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	90%	100%	50%	29%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	1	5	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	23	11	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	94	5	5	27	24	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	91%
% Timely in August 2010	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	2

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	130	24	43	18	16	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	55	0	4	0	0	81

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	93	4	13	10	9	6	7	5	9	10	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	86%	20%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	94%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	86%	20%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	32	0	0	2	5	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	350	70	4	7	101	3	165
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	87%	75%	100%	73%	67%	95%
% Timely in August 2010	87%	87%	75%	100%	73%	67%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	55	5	2	0	40	0	8
Average # of Days Over 30	46	74	65	0	45	0	25

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	106	17	7	39	23	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	82%	88%	100%	67%	87%	95%
% Timely in August 2010	82%	88%	100%	67%	87%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	17	1	0	11	4	1
Average # of Days Over 30	19	17	0	19	22	2

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	26	2	2	6	2	0	3	3	4	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	248	5	13	9	4	13	82	121	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	92%	100%	50%	92%	94%	96%	100%
% Timely in August 2010	94%	100%	92%	100%	50%	92%	94%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	0	1	1	4	4	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	4	11	6	7	128

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	84	9	5	4	9	14	43
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%	86%
% Timely in August 2010	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	4	7

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - August 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	473	8	10	80	11	145	96	39	84
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	75%	100%	93%	100%	91%	96%	100%	96%
% Timely in August 2010	94%	75%	100%	93%	100%	91%	96%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Aug-09</i>	<i>Aug-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,162	14,609	3.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	125,250	127,837	2.1%
Total Cases Collected	139,412	142,446	2.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,461,310	\$2,898,837	17.8%
NTANF Collections	\$45,653,909	\$48,916,361	7.1%
Total Collections	\$48,115,219	\$51,815,198	7.7%
SFY YTD Collections	\$99,362,484	\$101,175,779	1.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$351	\$357	1.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Aug-10</i>
TANF Paternities	278
NTANF Paternities	456
Combined Paternities	734

August Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Albemarle	10	Nottoway	2
Alleghany / Covington	6	Orange	9
Amelia	2	Patrick	9
Appomattox	1	Pittsylvania	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	10	Prince William	7
Bedford City	3	Pulaski	4
Buckingham	3	Roanoke County	9
Campbell	4	Rockingham / H'burg	6
Caroline	2	Scott	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	19	Shenandoah	17
Clarke	1	Southampton	2
Culpeper	4	Spotsylvania	8
Dickensen	2	Stafford	10
Dinwiddie	4	Warren	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	4	Westmoreland	1
Fauquier	3	York / Poquoson	2
Floyd	1	Bristol	11
Franklin County	8	Charlottesville	7
Frederick	5	Chesapeake	9
Grayson	1	Danville	2
Greene	2	Franklin City	2
Halifax	2	Fredricksburg	1
Hanover	5	Hampton	16
Henrico	15	Hopewell	4
Henry / Martinsville	8	Mannassas	1
Isle of Wight	3	Newport News	28
James City	1	Norfolk	26
Loudoun	1	Petersburg	1
Louisa	3	Richmond City	8
Lunenburg	4	Roanoke City	12
Madison	1	Suffolk	8
Middlesex	1	Virginia Beach	11
Montgomery	5	Winchester	4
New Kent	2	Statewide	388

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of morning of August 3, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


August 31, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August, it was \$8.23 rather than the \$8.12 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.