

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**September 2010**

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services October 28, 2010

# Monthly Report, September 2010

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for September

*These are estimated locality September 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 41% with a denominator of 20,120 and a numerator of 8,202.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	74	31	42%	061	Fauquier	69	28	41%
003	Albemarle	56	24	43%	063	Floyd	29	17	59%
005	Alleghany/Covington	69	8	12%	065	Fluvanna	17	5	29%
007	Amelia	31	7	23%	067	Franklin County	144	43	30%
009	Amherst	39	16	41%	069	Frederick	100	32	32%
011	Appomattox	50	28	56%	071	Giles	27	6	22%
013	Arlington	141	77	55%	073	Gloucester	56	24	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	291	140	48%	075	Goochland	28	4	14%
017	Bath	4	2	50%	077	Grayson	26	11	42%
019	Bedford County/City	111	40	36%	079	Greene	39	12	31%
021	Bland	7	3	43%	081	Greensville/Emporia	86	22	26%
023	Botetourt	13	2	15%	083	Halifax	100	27	27%
025	Brunswick	67	32	48%	085	Hanover	117	39	33%
027	Buchanan	66	22	33%	087	Henrico	954	373	39%
029	Buckingham	39	18	46%	089	Henry/Martinsville	337	110	33%
031	Campbell	166	65	39%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	114	40	35%	093	Isle of Wight	81	34	42%
035	Carroll	61	36	59%	095	James City	79	33	42%
036	Charles City	11	2	18%	097	King and Queen	6	3	50%
037	Charlotte	38	17	45%	099	King George	26	9	35%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	623	253	41%	101	King William	32	11	34%
043	Clarke	9	5	56%	103	Lancaster	19	6	32%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	143	48	34%
047	Culpeper	88	39	44%	107	Loudoun	158	45	28%
049	Cumberland	38	16	42%	109	Louisa	46	20	43%
051	Dickensen	35	18	51%	111	Lunenburg	38	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	45	16	36%	113	Madison	12	3	25%
057	Essex	57	18	32%	115	Mathews	12	3	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	738	301	41%	117	Mecklenburg	75	34	45%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	26	5	19%	187	Warren	89	40	45%
121	Montgomery	223	107	48%	191	Washington	94	48	51%
125	Nelson	14	4	29%	193	Westmoreland	54	11	20%
127	New Kent	26	2	8%	195	Wise	201	84	42%
131	Northampton	69	21	30%	197	Wythe	75	25	33%
133	Northumberland	13	7	54%	199	York/Poquoson	82	40	49%
135	Nottoway	73	31	42%	510	Alexandria	302	131	43%
137	Orange	49	24	49%	520	Bristol	154	84	55%
139	Page	40	14	35%	540	Charlottesville	203	97	48%
141	Patrick	62	32	52%	550	Chesapeake	744	418	56%
143	Pittsylvania	99	34	34%	590	Danville	228	91	40%
145	Powhatan	18	9	50%	620	Franklin City	42	13	31%
147	Prince Edward	75	36	48%	630	Fredericksburg	125	66	53%
149	Prince George	41	21	51%	640	Galax	41	11	27%
153	Prince William	819	320	39%	650	Hampton	757	348	46%
155	Pulaski	74	26	35%	670	Hopewell	219	28	13%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	345	129	37%
159	Richmond County	8	4	50%	683	Manassas	107	29	27%
161	Roanoke County	186	97	52%	685	Manassas Park	28	12	43%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	46	17	37%	700	Newport News	1,128	453	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	153	80	52%	710	Norfolk	1,446	799	55%
167	Russell	110	46	42%	720	Norton	42	15	36%
169	Scott	108	48	44%	730	Petersburg	383	167	44%
171	Shenandoah	14	5	36%	740	Portsmouth	748	275	37%
173	Smyth	94	25	27%	750	Radford	43	13	30%
175	Southampton	61	17	28%	760	Richmond City	1,810	604	33%
177	Spotsylvania	269	89	33%	770	Roanoke City	630	195	31%
179	Stafford	178	72	40%	800	Suffolk	190	89	47%
181	Surry	26	8	31%	810	Virginia Beach	632	318	50%
183	Sussex	41	16	39%	830	Williamsburg	26	2	8%
185	Tazewell	131	48	37%	840	Winchester	64	24	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for September

*These are estimated locality September 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 35% with a denominator of 18,094 and a numerator of 6,366. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	66	23	35%	061	Fauquier	54	14	26%
003	Albemarle	49	17	35%	063	Floyd	21	10	48%
005	Alleghany/Covington	67	8	12%	065	Fluvanna	15	3	20%
007	Amelia	31	7	23%	067	Franklin County	133	33	25%
009	Amherst	34	11	32%	069	Frederick	91	23	25%
011	Appomattox	45	24	53%	071	Giles	23	4	17%
013	Arlington	117	57	49%	073	Gloucester	50	18	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	263	113	43%	075	Goochland	25	1	4%
017	Bath	3	1	33%	077	Grayson	22	7	32%
019	Bedford County/City	92	21	23%	079	Greene	36	9	25%
021	Bland	6	2	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	80	16	20%
023	Botetourt	13	2	15%	083	Halifax	91	20	22%
025	Brunswick	64	29	45%	085	Hanover	106	28	26%
027	Buchanan	63	19	30%	087	Henrico	869	297	34%
029	Buckingham	33	13	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	312	86	28%
031	Campbell	145	44	30%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	102	29	28%	093	Isle of Wight	73	27	37%
035	Carroll	52	27	52%	095	James City	66	25	38%
036	Charles City	10	2	20%	097	King and Queen	3	0	0%
037	Charlotte	31	12	39%	099	King George	23	7	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	554	189	34%	101	King William	30	9	30%
043	Clarke	9	5	56%	103	Lancaster	19	6	32%
045	Craig	2	0	0%	105	Lee	128	34	27%
047	Culpeper	79	33	42%	107	Loudoun	136	23	17%
049	Cumberland	33	12	36%	109	Louisa	43	17	40%
051	Dickensen	28	11	39%	111	Lunenburg	38	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	41	12	29%	113	Madison	10	2	20%
057	Essex	51	15	29%	115	Mathews	12	3	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	641	209	33%	117	Mecklenburg	73	32	44%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	21	1	5%	187	Warren	77	28	36%
121	Montgomery	195	80	41%	191	Washington	89	43	48%
125	Nelson	11	1	9%	193	Westmoreland	52	11	21%
127	New Kent	24	2	8%	195	Wise	175	60	34%
131	Northampton	63	15	24%	197	Wythe	70	20	29%
133	Northumberland	11	5	45%	199	York/Poquoson	76	34	45%
135	Nottoway	70	28	40%	510	Alexandria	253	85	34%
137	Orange	46	21	46%	520	Bristol	131	62	47%
139	Page	39	13	33%	540	Charlottesville	182	77	42%
141	Patrick	59	29	49%	550	Chesapeake	683	358	52%
143	Pittsylvania	95	31	33%	590	Danville	216	79	37%
145	Powhatan	13	4	31%	620	Franklin City	37	10	27%
147	Prince Edward	63	24	38%	630	Fredericksburg	103	46	45%
149	Prince George	38	18	47%	640	Galax	38	8	21%
153	Prince William	708	214	30%	650	Hampton	676	279	41%
155	Pulaski	65	18	28%	670	Hopewell	203	13	6%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	318	107	34%
159	Richmond County	6	3	50%	683	Manassas	96	18	19%
161	Roanoke County	157	69	44%	685	Manassas Park	19	5	26%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	42	13	31%	700	Newport News	1,019	361	35%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	120	48	40%	710	Norfolk	1,354	714	53%
167	Russell	102	38	37%	720	Norton	40	13	33%
169	Scott	95	39	41%	730	Petersburg	341	133	39%
171	Shenandoah	11	2	18%	740	Portsmouth	677	208	31%
173	Smyth	89	20	22%	750	Radford	40	10	25%
175	Southampton	57	14	25%	760	Richmond City	1,685	507	30%
177	Spotsylvania	247	73	30%	770	Roanoke City	560	127	23%
179	Stafford	150	46	31%	800	Suffolk	169	69	41%
181	Surry	24	7	29%	810	Virginia Beach	555	244	44%
183	Sussex	35	10	29%	830	Williamsburg	23	0	0%
185	Tazewell	112	29	26%	840	Winchester	60	21	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for September*

*These are estimated locality September 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 91% with a denominator of 2,026 and a numerator of 1,836. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	8	8	100%	061	Fauquier	15	14	93%
003	Albemarle	7	7	100%	063	Floyd	8	7	88%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	0	0%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	11	10	91%
009	Amherst	5	5	100%	069	Frederick	9	9	100%
011	Appomattox	5	4	80%	071	Giles	4	2	50%
013	Arlington	24	20	83%	073	Gloucester	6	6	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	28	27	96%	075	Goochland	3	3	100%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	19	19	100%	079	Greene	3	3	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	9	7	78%
025	Brunswick	3	3	100%	085	Hanover	11	11	100%
027	Buchanan	3	3	100%	087	Henrico	85	76	89%
029	Buckingham	6	5	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	25	24	96%
031	Campbell	21	21	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	12	11	92%	093	Isle of Wight	8	7	88%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	13	8	62%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	3	3	100%
037	Charlotte	7	5	71%	099	King George	3	2	67%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69	64	93%	101	King William	2	2	100%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	15	14	93%
047	Culpeper	9	6	67%	107	Loudoun	22	22	100%
049	Cumberland	5	4	80%	109	Louisa	3	3	100%
051	Dickensen	7	7	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	4	4	100%	113	Madison	2	1	50%
057	Essex	6	3	50%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	97	92	95%	117	Mecklenburg	2	2	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	4	80%	187	Warren	12	12	100%
121	Montgomery	28	27	96%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	0	0%	195	Wise	26	24	92%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	6	6	100%
135	Nottoway	3	3	100%	510	Alexandria	49	46	94%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	23	22	96%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	21	20	95%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	61	60	98%
143	Pittsylvania	4	3	75%	590	Danville	12	12	100%
145	Powhatan	5	5	100%	620	Franklin City	5	3	60%
147	Prince Edward	12	12	100%	630	Fredericksburg	22	20	91%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	111	106	95%	650	Hampton	81	69	85%
155	Pulaski	9	8	89%	670	Hopewell	16	15	94%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	27	22	81%
159	Richmond County	2	1	50%	683	Manassas	11	11	100%
161	Roanoke County	29	28	97%	685	Manassas Park	9	7	78%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	109	92	84%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	33	32	97%	710	Norfolk	92	85	92%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	13	9	69%	730	Petersburg	42	34	81%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	71	67	94%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	3	3	100%
175	Southampton	4	3	75%	760	Richmond City	125	97	78%
177	Spotsylvania	22	16	73%	770	Roanoke City	70	68	97%
179	Stafford	28	26	93%	800	Suffolk	21	20	95%
181	Surry	2	1	50%	810	Virginia Beach	77	74	96%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	4	3	75%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Sep-10	Sep-09	Change from Sep-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,646	6,003	-6%	4,807	17%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,169	3,137	1%	1,658	91%
Applications Approved	2,643	2,856	-7%	2,820	-6%
Cases Closed *	2,822	2,539	11%	4,476	-37%
Cases at End of Month	34,202	33,219	3%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	35,425	34,435	3%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,822 closed cases, 1,178 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 618 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 214 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 140 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Sep-10	Sep-09	Change from Sep-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	616	662	-7%	338	82%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	375	333	13%	232	62%
Applications Approved	286	270	6%	81	253%
Cases Closed *	287	282	2%	107	168%
Cases at End of Month	2,133	1,981	8%	321	564%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,285	2,132	7%	394	480%

•The database indicates that of the 287 closed cases, 154 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 94 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 26 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 20 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

# VIEW Work Activity

## Statewide - Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	36,335	1,857	1,084	2,818	1,034	565	4,221	437	721	1,344	1,648	1,070	5,968	1,183	439	3,515	1,339	6,800	292
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,664	681	493	1,213	445	259	1,829	179	322	628	588	526	2,515	665	178	1,493	667	2,874	109
Part. in a Work Activity	11,259	532	376	784	338	179	1,395	128	277	427	425	401	1,748	402	117	1,079	419	2,174	58
% of Enrolled	72%	78%	76%	65%	76%	69%	76%	72%	86%	68%	72%	76%	70%	60%	66%	72%	63%	76%	53%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	147	10	3	6	0	18	19	9	1	3	7	4	14	9	6	3	11	24	0
Participants Employed	7,460	386	268	614	285	115	811	94	159	298	267	256	1,016	307	86	742	291	1,410	55
% of Enrolled	48%	57%	54%	51%	64%	44%	44%	53%	49%	47%	45%	49%	40%	46%	48%	50%	44%	49%	50%
Full Time	5,980	342	238	502	238	96	614	69	108	235	224	196	757	246	69	583	223	1,196	44
Part Time	1,479	44	30	112	46	19	197	25	51	63	43	60	259	61	17	159	68	214	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$7.53	\$7.71	\$7.97	\$7.97	\$8.47	\$9.45	\$8.48	\$8.35	\$7.92	\$7.74	\$7.94	\$8.22	\$8.43	\$7.73	\$8.09	\$8.03	\$7.93	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,092	\$1,015	\$1,049	\$1,086	\$1,083	\$1,171	\$1,274	\$1,107	\$1,040	\$1,064	\$1,060	\$1,056	\$1,099	\$1,105	\$1,042	\$1,070	\$1,063	\$1,049	\$1,098

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	10,095	508	364	858	344	205	1,126	146	211	347	501	341	1,487	354	116	996	306	1,806	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW	21,333	925	720	1,735	604	409	2,501	224	445	851	885	717	3,274	866	255	2,070	850	3,846	156
Part. in a Work Activity	15,499	704	524	1,172	468	267	1,857	150	367	630	652	509	2,419	573	176	1,469	574	2,881	107
% of Total Enrolled	73%	76%	73%	68%	77%	65%	74%	67%	82%	74%	74%	71%	74%	66%	69%	71%	68%	75%	69%
Participants Employed	10,253	511	367	871	399	181	1,149	121	217	411	381	331	1,393	407	133	1,033	388	1,878	82
% of Total Enrolled	48%	55%	51%	50%	66%	44%	46%	54%	49%	48%	43%	46%	43%	47%	52%	50%	46%	49%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.23	\$7.57	\$7.77	\$7.97	\$8.04	\$8.44	\$9.72	\$8.35	\$8.29	\$7.94	\$7.89	\$7.90	\$8.29	\$8.42	\$7.88	\$8.08	\$8.04	\$7.97	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$1,009	\$1,033	\$1,067	\$1,066	\$1,135	\$1,273	\$1,032	\$977	\$1,040	\$1,042	\$989	\$1,080	\$1,060	\$1,009	\$1,041	\$1,054	\$1,044	\$1,068
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	71%	68%	66%	72%	64%	75%	76%	69%	65%	59%	68%	72%	80%	58%	73%	71%	74%	85%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	245,045	15,764	9,235	18,135	7,083	4,568	30,546	3,486	5,276	9,189	11,596	6,996	32,618	7,169	3,155	23,066	8,297	46,637	2,229
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	185,476	11,988	7,724	13,646	5,343	3,348	23,360	2,609	4,007	7,531	9,259	5,309	25,162	5,154	2,297	16,932	6,436	33,755	1,616
Part. in a Work Activity	177,435	11,520	7,387	13,035	5,200	3,179	22,551	2,522	3,903	7,121	8,938	5,078	24,221	4,862	2,158	16,145	6,145	31,916	1,554
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	95%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	127,388	7,576	5,084	9,447	3,783	2,214	16,599	1,780	3,017	5,220	5,798	3,268	17,272	3,460	1,479	12,058	4,470	23,940	923
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	63%	62%	69%	67%	64%	71%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.02	\$6.09	\$6.18	\$6.97	\$7.01	\$7.20	\$8.51	\$7.32	\$7.27	\$6.43	\$6.29	\$6.60	\$7.23	\$7.76	\$6.84	\$6.88	\$6.87	\$6.74	\$6.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$933	\$804	\$841	\$941	\$936	\$971	\$1,136	\$968	\$939	\$840	\$842	\$862	\$977	\$1,012	\$880	\$906	\$932	\$886	\$827
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	57%	51%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	54%	46%	56%	54%	57%	62%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,857	183	99	310	264	215	266	451	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	681	39	27	133	111	109	81	155	26
Participants in a Work Activity	532	33	20	114	95	85	69	100	16
% of Enrolled	78%	85%	74%	86%	86%	78%	85%	65%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	0
Participants Employed	386	22	19	83	45	61	50	90	16
% of Enrolled	57%	56%	70%	62%	41%	56%	62%	58%	62%
Full Time	342	22	19	77	34	52	44	82	12
Part Time	44	0	0	6	11	9	6	8	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.53	\$8.06	\$7.41	\$7.36	\$7.64	\$7.54	\$7.79	\$7.49	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,015	\$1,161	\$1,030	\$979	\$957	\$1,034	\$1,095	\$1,016	\$824

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	508	27	20	83	74	73	83	123	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	925	62	39	166	146	131	131	219	31
Participants in a Work Activity	704	43	25	131	114	107	102	158	24
% of Total Enrolled	76%	69%	64%	79%	78%	82%	78%	72%	77%
Participants Employed	511	26	22	100	68	71	76	124	24
% of Total Enrolled	55%	42%	56%	60%	47%	54%	58%	57%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.57	\$8.00	\$7.45	\$7.44	\$7.68	\$7.54	\$7.68	\$7.62	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$1,144	\$1,018	\$975	\$979	\$1,013	\$1,025	\$1,040	\$845
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	65%	58%	86%	79%	87%	62%	60%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,764	1,589	1,111	2,188	2,165	1,513	3,028	3,655	515
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,988	1,207	850	1,640	1,725	1,077	2,302	2,787	400
Participants in a Work Activity	11,520	1,126	805	1,624	1,637	1,056	2,218	2,685	369
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,576	635	511	1,077	1,074	631	1,568	1,779	301
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	59%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.09	\$6.31	\$6.16	\$5.79	\$6.31	\$6.36	\$6.02	\$6.08	\$5.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$804	\$825	\$811	\$767	\$844	\$870	\$792	\$796	\$727
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	48%	60%	57%	66%	57%	57%	60%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,084	14	118	52	208	219	125	262	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	493	3	57	13	88	83	56	151	42
Participants in a Work Activity	376	2	51	11	69	61	40	120	22
% of Enrolled	76%	67%	89%	85%	78%	73%	71%	79%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	268	1	40	7	48	42	31	80	19
% of Enrolled	54%	33%	70%	54%	55%	51%	55%	53%	45%
Full Time	238	1	37	7	43	36	29	69	16
Part Time	30	0	3	0	5	6	2	11	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.71	\$6.55	\$7.73	\$7.25	\$7.82	\$7.39	\$7.86	\$7.87	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$851	\$1,112	\$973	\$1,089	\$944	\$1,029	\$1,070	\$1,035

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	364	3	30	12	78	67	49	107	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	720	10	79	23	142	124	76	203	63
Participants in a Work Activity	524	8	62	15	100	99	54	145	41
% of Total Enrolled	73%	80%	78%	65%	70%	80%	71%	71%	65%
Participants Employed	367	6	56	12	57	59	45	103	29
% of Total Enrolled	51%	60%	71%	52%	40%	48%	59%	51%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.13	\$7.65	\$7.77	\$7.74	\$7.51	\$7.97	\$8.04	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,033	\$889	\$1,026	\$983	\$1,087	\$975	\$1,055	\$1,054	\$1,003
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	20%	66%	58%	74%	59%	68%	73%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,235	228	1,252	609	1,603	1,580	1,425	1,879	659
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,724	175	1036	492	1327	1305	1139	1,675	575
Participants in a Work Activity	7,387	164	945	455	1271	1272	1117	1,617	546
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	91%	92%	96%	97%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	5,084	110	695	325	784	860	762	1,183	365
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	59%	66%	67%	71%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.18	\$6.06	\$6.00	\$6.25	\$5.92	\$6.45	\$6.20	\$6.24	\$6.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$841	\$830	\$814	\$835	\$813	\$878	\$824	\$856	\$864
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	51%	47%	46%	56%	53%	49%	56%	49%	59%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,818	118	43	10	55	276	52	384	201	340	74	1,265
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1213	123	24	3	19	130	21	183	61	148	31	470
Participants in a Work Activity	784	57	3	2	17	89	11	137	50	121	23	274
% of Enrolled	65%	46%	13%	67%	89%	68%	52%	75%	82%	82%	74%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	0
Participants Employed	614	50	3	2	15	56	10	118	35	84	21	220
% of Enrolled	51%	41%	13%	67%	79%	43%	48%	64%	57%	57%	68%	47%
Full Time	502	30	2	1	13	47	8	100	33	63	15	190
Part Time	112	20	1	1	2	9	2	18	2	21	6	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.04	\$7.75	\$8.00	\$7.83	\$7.95	\$7.44	\$7.98	\$7.68	\$8.06	\$7.54	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,086	\$1,004	\$852	\$969	\$1,036	\$1,089	\$898	\$1,082	\$1,082	\$1,060	\$956	\$1,146

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	858	30	6	3	11	93	20	137	76	75	28	379
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,735	134	25	4	26	175	29	272	108	208	52	702
Participants in a Work Activity	1,172	72	6	3	20	93	17	201	78	168	35	479
% of Total Enrolled	68%	54%	24%	75%	77%	53%	59%	74%	72%	81%	67%	68%
Participants Employed	871	53	3	2	21	74	12	178	56	122	32	318
% of Total Enrolled	50%	40%	12%	50%	81%	42%	41%	65%	52%	59%	62%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.91	\$7.75	\$8.00	\$7.75	\$7.93	\$7.47	\$7.92	\$7.70	\$8.12	\$7.64	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$931	\$846	\$962	\$968	\$1,021	\$926	\$1,039	\$1,069	\$1,078	\$962	\$1,139
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	65%	100%	50%	68%	71%	68%	71%	49%	59%	62%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,135	1,081	304	70	449	1,577	462	2,596	1,599	1,956	714	7,327
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,646	810	186	28	320	1,164	321	2,096	1,255	1,545	544	5,377
Participants in a Work Activity	13,035	777	166	27	313	1,026	310	2,065	1,210	1,467	527	5,147
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	96%	98%	88%	97%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,447	580	85	17	228	732	187	1,667	810	1,153	391	3,597
% of Total Enrolled	69%	72%	46%	61%	71%	63%	58%	80%	65%	75%	72%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$6.77	\$7.20	\$7.00	\$6.86	\$6.80	\$6.93	\$6.82	\$6.67	\$7.46	\$6.89	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$941	\$822	\$915	\$1,030	\$921	\$935	\$937	\$923	\$929	\$1,029	\$896	\$956
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	42%	60%	47%	50%	48%	54%	44%	52%	46%	50%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,034	615	6	1	91	321
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	445	246	3	0	33	163
Participants in a Work Activity	338	183	2	0	23	130
% of Enrolled	76%	74%	67%	N/A	70%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	285	162	2	0	23	98
% of Enrolled	64%	66%	67%	N/A	70%	60%
Full Time	238	138	0	0	17	83
Part Time	46	24	1	0	6	15
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.80	\$3.63	\$0.00	\$7.90	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,083	\$1,076	\$188	\$0	\$1,037	\$1,124

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	344	181	2	0	24	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	604	339	4	0	43	218
Participants in a Work Activity	468	260	4	N/A	29	175
% of Total Enrolled	77%	77%	100%	N/A	67%	80%
Participants Employed	399	217	3	N/A	31	148
% of Total Enrolled	66%	64%	75%	N/A	72%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$7.93	\$4.83	N/A	\$7.69	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,056	\$540	N/A	\$994	\$1,107
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	72%	67%	N/A	59%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,083	3,805	70	16	628	2,564
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	5,343	2,921	43	7	461	1,911
Participants in a Work Activity	5,200	2,865	43	7	434	1,851
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,783	1,986	28	5	343	1,421
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	65%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.01	\$7.01	\$6.42	\$7.75	\$6.61	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$936	\$944	\$682	\$694	\$853	\$949
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	54%	60%	56%	58%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	565	17	178	71	27	122	150	292	187	105
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	259	7	75	34	13	70	60	109	53	56
Participants in a Work Activity	179	6	44	28	10	44	47	58	26	32
% of Enrolled	69%	86%	59%	82%	77%	63%	78%	53%	49%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	1	0	5	4	6	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	115	5	27	21	8	30	24	55	25	30
% of Enrolled	44%	71%	36%	62%	62%	43%	40%	50%	47%	54%
Full Time	96	5	22	18	8	25	18	44	21	23
Part Time	19	0	5	3	0	5	6	11	4	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.47	\$8.45	\$8.83	\$7.92	\$8.22	\$8.68	\$8.39	\$8.08	\$8.27	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,171	\$1,232	\$1,224	\$1,084	\$1,186	\$1,194	\$1,142	\$1,098	\$1,145	\$1,059

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	205	6	61	24	25	38	51	79	53	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	409	9	105	66	26	103	100	156	87	69
Participants in a Work Activity	267	8	70	46	19	62	62	107	59	48
% of Total Enrolled	65%	89%	67%	70%	73%	60%	62%	69%	68%	70%
Participants Employed	181	6	43	35	13	43	41	82	44	38
% of Total Enrolled	44%	67%	41%	53%	50%	42%	41%	53%	51%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$8.50	\$9.03	\$8.18	\$8.36	\$8.63	\$7.91	\$8.14	\$8.33	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,135	\$1,223	\$1,220	\$1,130	\$1,119	\$1,208	\$979	\$1,068	\$1,088	\$1,045
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	80%	62%	51%	78%	72%	63%	85%	80%	91%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,568	180	916	716	563	1107	1086	2,229	1400	829
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,348	105	661	583	334	825	840	1,616	986	630
Participants in a Work Activity	3,179	100	623	567	324	766	799	1554	960	594
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,214	64	388	407	215	573	567	923	527	396
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	59%	70%	64%	69%	68%	57%	53%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$7.29	\$7.52	\$7.23	\$6.58	\$7.26	\$7.12	\$6.54	\$6.62	\$6.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$973	\$986	\$983	\$911	\$990	\$954	\$827	\$836	\$817
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	47%	52%	56%	52%	56%	51%	62%	58%	67%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,221	325	1,556	264	1,341	503	196	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,829	117	595	158	657	214	79	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,395	86	482	111	488	165	57	6
% of Enrolled	76%	74%	81%	70%	74%	77%	72%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	10	0	8	0	1	0
Participants Employed	811	43	262	71	309	97	25	4
% of Enrolled	44%	37%	44%	45%	47%	45%	32%	44%
Full Time	614	30	207	48	235	71	19	4
Part Time	197	13	55	23	74	26	6	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.45	\$9.75	\$9.71	\$10.40	\$9.01	\$9.61	\$8.65	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,274	\$1,312	\$1,318	\$1,396	\$1,211	\$1,281	\$1,155	\$1,217

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,126	79	389	88	387	116	53	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,501	168	874	200	867	264	115	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,857	115	690	147	618	206	71	10
% of Total Enrolled	74%	68%	79%	74%	71%	78%	62%	77%
Participants Employed	1,149	69	390	91	423	128	43	5
% of Total Enrolled	46%	41%	45%	46%	49%	48%	37%	38%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.72	\$9.77	\$10.24	\$10.54	\$9.13	\$9.80	\$8.95	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,273	\$1,286	\$1,346	\$1,332	\$1,194	\$1,307	\$1,175	\$1,190
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	78%	73%	73%	74%	83%	77%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	30,546	2,681	10,722	2,135	9,748	3,552	1,315	393
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	23,360	2,081	7,996	1,676	7,513	2,807	997	290
Participants in a Work Activity	22,551	2,047	7,733	1,605	7,242	2,684	954	286
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	16,599	1,503	5,407	1,310	5,519	1,993	654	213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	73%	71%	66%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.51	\$8.05	\$8.48	\$9.06	\$8.67	\$8.20	\$8.04	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,136	\$1,049	\$1,124	\$1,178	\$1,190	\$1,053	\$1,085	\$1,068
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	60%	65%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	437	195	100	31	107	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	179	70	43	11	51	4
Participants in a Work Activity	128	50	30	8	37	3
% of Enrolled	72%	71%	70%	73%	73%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	3	4	0	2	0
Participants Employed	94	35	21	4	33	1
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	49%	36%	65%	25%
Full Time	69	25	15	1	28	0
Part Time	25	10	6	3	5	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$8.04	\$8.77	\$9.19	\$8.65	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,107	\$1,000	\$1,118	\$967	\$1,241	\$779

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	146	71	35	10	26	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	224	85	58	19	57	5
Participants in a Work Activity	150	52	38	15	43	2
% of Total Enrolled	67%	61%	66%	79%	75%	40%
Participants Employed	121	44	34	5	37	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	59%	26%	65%	20%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$7.99	\$8.51	\$9.25	\$8.49	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$932	\$1,079	\$1,014	\$1,113	\$774
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	79%	82%	100%	67%	0%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,486	1,342	1017	323	713	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,609	954	777	240	577	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,522	919	771	233	541	58
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,780	628	536	156	421	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	65%	73%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.29	\$7.64	\$7.08	\$7.05	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$968	\$949	\$999	\$987	\$951	\$954
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	48%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	721	149	45	66	95	33	333
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	322	43	18	30	36	9	186
Participants in a Work Activity	277	37	15	17	30	5	173
% of Enrolled	86%	86%	83%	57%	83%	56%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	159	23	7	15	18	3	93
% of Enrolled	49%	53%	39%	50%	50%	33%	50%
Full Time	108	19	6	8	10	2	63
Part Time	51	4	1	7	8	1	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$9.03	\$8.21	\$8.23	\$8.41	\$8.31	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,040	\$1,251	\$1,045	\$1,009	\$996	\$994	\$1,003

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	211	41	10	15	31	10	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	445	66	21	33	65	19	241
Participants in a Work Activity	367	52	15	24	44	17	215
% of Total Enrolled	82%	79%	71%	73%	68%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	217	31	8	17	25	8	128
% of Total Enrolled	49%	47%	38%	52%	38%	42%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$8.70	\$8.19	\$8.27	\$8.39	\$7.76	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$977	\$1,157	\$993	\$948	\$1,024	\$931	\$931
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	68%	100%	70%	65%	73%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,276	1229	193	412	800	256	2,386
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,007	867	127	292	575	178	1,968
Participants in a Work Activity	3,903	844	122	273	549	176	1,939
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	93%	95%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,017	637	91	221	349	115	1,604
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	72%	76%	61%	65%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.27	\$7.69	\$7.41	\$7.21	\$7.32	\$7.55	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$939	\$999	\$1,077	\$910	\$953	\$995	\$919
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	55%	49%	55%	51%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,344	95	99	216	288	646
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	628	30	49	104	188	257
Participants in a Work Activity	427	16	39	67	146	159
% of Enrolled	68%	53%	80%	64%	78%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	298	12	30	45	89	122
% of Enrolled	47%	40%	61%	43%	47%	47%
Full Time	235	10	30	34	67	94
Part Time	63	2	0	11	22	28
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$7.80	\$7.42	\$8.55	\$7.98	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$1,047	\$1,102	\$1,074	\$1,091	\$1,032

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	347	23	21	74	58	171
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	851	51	62	158	242	338
Participants in a Work Activity	630	35	49	110	206	230
% of Total Enrolled	74%	69%	79%	70%	85%	68%
Participants Employed	411	25	36	70	131	149
% of Total Enrolled	48%	49%	58%	44%	54%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.70	\$7.44	\$8.41	\$8.01	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,040	\$1,028	\$1,118	\$1,123	\$1,010	\$1,011
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	64%	79%	64%	59%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,189	852	740	1,859	2,118	3,620
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,531	681	644	1,478	1,787	2,941
Participants in a Work Activity	7,121	644	626	1,382	1,684	2,785
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	97%	94%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,220	458	454	976	1,235	2,097
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	70%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.43	\$6.63	\$6.15	\$6.49	\$6.63	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$840	\$847	\$849	\$839	\$879	\$816
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	50%	45%	55%	59%	62%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,648	238	548	107	265	490
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	588	78	243	86	61	120
Participants in a Work Activity	425	37	196	69	33	90
% of Enrolled	72%	47%	81%	80%	54%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	6	0	0
Participants Employed	267	30	108	42	29	58
% of Enrolled	45%	38%	44%	49%	48%	48%
Full Time	224	21	97	26	28	52
Part Time	43	9	11	16	1	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$8.10	\$7.78	\$7.52	\$7.65	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,060	\$1,108	\$1,078	\$996	\$1,066	\$1,047

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	501	72	186	47	71	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	885	112	340	108	97	228
Participants in a Work Activity	652	73	258	81	62	178
% of Total Enrolled	74%	65%	76%	75%	64%	78%
Participants Employed	381	43	160	54	40	84
% of Total Enrolled	43%	38%	47%	50%	41%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.19	\$7.99	\$7.48	\$7.59	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,064	\$1,057	\$994	\$994	\$1,056
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	67%	54%	70%	55%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,596	1,792	3,109	1,140	1,874	3,681
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,259	1,485	2,329	987	1,432	3,026
Participants in a Work Activity	8,938	1,426	2,232	929	1,402	2,949
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,798	859	1,490	660	793	1,996
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	64%	67%	55%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.29	\$6.25	\$6.67	\$6.20	\$6.26	\$6.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$842	\$827	\$930	\$812	\$855	\$794
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	48%	54%	66%	51%	52%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,070	82	131	70	72	65	131	63	179	133	144
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	526	34	61	34	36	33	67	41	71	72	77
Participants in a Work Activity	401	27	40	32	33	27	49	16	63	52	62
% of Enrolled	76%	79%	66%	94%	92%	82%	73%	39%	89%	72%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	256	19	37	17	18	15	25	11	37	43	34
% of Enrolled	49%	56%	61%	50%	50%	45%	37%	27%	52%	60%	44%
Full Time	196	15	25	15	12	14	14	7	28	39	27
Part Time	60	4	12	2	6	1	11	4	9	4	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$8.16	\$7.51	\$7.33	\$8.23	\$8.27	\$7.60	\$7.19	\$8.17	\$8.21	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$1,029	\$912	\$1,087	\$1,054	\$1,189	\$860	\$855	\$1,131	\$1,167	\$1,143

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	341	19	37	26	29	21	38	13	58	40	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	717	48	72	49	48	47	96	45	110	93	109
Participants in a Work Activity	509	33	53	35	38	39	72	21	78	59	81
% of Total Enrolled	71%	69%	74%	71%	79%	83%	75%	47%	71%	63%	74%
Participants Employed	331	23	40	21	22	23	39	12	54	51	46
% of Total Enrolled	46%	48%	56%	43%	46%	49%	41%	27%	49%	55%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$8.29	\$7.47	\$7.64	\$8.07	\$8.29	\$7.55	\$7.19	\$8.12	\$8.09	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$989	\$1,079	\$901	\$1,073	\$954	\$1,031	\$791	\$797	\$999	\$1,121	\$1,038
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	85%	69%	71%	47%	60%	58%	58%	65%	82%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	6,996	425	906	701	597	477	828	290	1017	834	921
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,309	301	713	522	417	339	642	219	794	626	736
Participants in a Work Activity	5,078	284	707	487	389	325	612	217	756	585	716
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,268	183	412	296	214	197	375	101	536	430	524
% of Total Enrolled	62%	61%	58%	57%	51%	58%	58%	46%	68%	69%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.60	\$7.24	\$6.11	\$6.93	\$7.03	\$7.09	\$6.54	\$5.86	\$6.37	\$6.58	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$862	\$951	\$814	\$930	\$907	\$942	\$806	\$730	\$824	\$882	\$866
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	47%	57%	53%	48%	47%	55%	55%	57%	55%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,968	1089	44	175	1,641	43	2,976
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,515	450	20	89	781	17	1,158
Participants in a Work Activity	1,748	315	9	51	508	10	855
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	45%	57%	65%	59%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	5	1	0	2	0	6
Participants Employed	1,016	201	6	39	336	4	430
% of Enrolled	40%	45%	30%	44%	43%	24%	37%
Full Time	757	166	4	22	247	3	315
Part Time	259	35	2	17	89	1	115
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.23	\$7.96	\$8.17	\$8.40	\$6.74	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,099	\$1,127	\$1,051	\$984	\$1,134	\$939	\$1,071

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,487	324	18	56	345	16	728
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,274	595	28	120	964	24	1,543
Participants in a Work Activity	2,419	419	10	78	717	13	1,182
% of Total Enrolled	74%	70%	36%	65%	74%	54%	77%
Participants Employed	1,393	265	10	58	444	8	608
% of Total Enrolled	43%	45%	36%	48%	46%	33%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$8.38	\$8.45	\$8.15	\$8.44	\$6.56	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,108	\$1,097	\$930	\$1,113	\$778	\$1,063
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	78%	78%	65%	77%	56%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	32,618	6,567	234	1088	7,853	290	16,586
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	25,162	4,919	171	768	6,219	201	12,884
Participants in a Work Activity	24,221	4,763	155	704	5,894	188	12,517
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	91%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	17,272	3,204	109	495	4,207	117	9,140
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	64%	64%	68%	58%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.23	\$7.47	\$6.76	\$8.10	\$7.51	\$7.24	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$977	\$1,007	\$875	\$1,046	\$1,013	\$912	\$951
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	59%	61%	54%	63%	57%	53%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,183	204	52	449	286	192
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	665	126	15	243	153	128
Participants in a Work Activity	402	66	10	139	102	85
% of Enrolled	60%	52%	67%	57%	67%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	2	0	5	0	2
Participants Employed	307	48	7	116	62	74
% of Enrolled	46%	38%	47%	48%	41%	58%
Full Time	246	33	5	107	45	56
Part Time	61	15	2	9	17	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.45	\$8.61	\$8.43	\$8.47	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,105	\$1,018	\$1,354	\$1,148	\$1,034	\$1,132

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	354	62	17	137	81	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	866	143	23	324	206	170
Participants in a Work Activity	573	103	12	198	148	112
% of Total Enrolled	66%	72%	52%	61%	72%	66%
Participants Employed	407	68	7	160	84	88
% of Total Enrolled	47%	48%	30%	49%	41%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.42	\$8.40	\$8.61	\$8.51	\$8.42	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,060	\$1,008	\$1,138	\$1,088	\$1,042	\$1,060
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	81%	46%	85%	78%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,169	1,126	440	2,109	2,085	1,409
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,154	781	290	1,637	1,271	1,175
Participants in a Work Activity	4,862	746	274	1,479	1,232	1,131
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	90%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,460	491	190	1,124	759	896
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	66%	69%	60%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.76	\$7.44	\$7.27	\$7.88	\$8.27	\$7.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$948	\$918	\$1,029	\$1,117	\$978
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	43%	47%	56%	58%	56%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	439	95	21	57	46	26	37	29	28	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	178	42	4	30	12	16	28	11	6	29
Participants in a Work Activity	117	33	3	24	8	11	14	7	3	14
% of Enrolled	66%	79%	75%	80%	67%	69%	50%	64%	50%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2
Participants Employed	86	22	3	17	6	9	13	3	2	11
% of Enrolled	48%	52%	75%	57%	50%	56%	46%	27%	33%	38%
Full Time	69	19	2	10	4	8	12	3	2	9
Part Time	17	3	1	7	2	1	1	0	0	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.73	\$8.23	\$5.55	\$7.59	\$8.09	\$8.19	\$6.92	\$7.25	\$9.63	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,175	\$653	\$938	\$922	\$1,239	\$969	\$994	\$1,453	\$970

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	116	19	10	13	11	8	10	12	10	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	255	59	8	46	19	20	31	17	12	43
Participants in a Work Activity	176	52	4	35	12	11	18	8	10	26
% of Total Enrolled	69%	88%	50%	76%	63%	55%	58%	47%	83%	60%
Participants Employed	133	36	4	24	9	11	15	6	6	22
% of Total Enrolled	52%	61%	50%	52%	47%	55%	48%	35%	50%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$8.28	\$6.33	\$7.94	\$8.08	\$8.02	\$7.19	\$7.92	\$8.17	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$1,016	\$921	\$981	\$939	\$1,038	\$999	\$968	\$1,188	\$1,028
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	58%	51%	50%	62%	36%	100%	81%	86%	42%	55%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,155	476	239	272	363	179	361	280	295	690
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,297	369	157	222	278	114	264	228	168	497
Participants in a Work Activity	2,158	355	147	212	260	106	240	219	164	455
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	94%	93%	91%	96%	98%	92%
Participants Employed	1,479	260	83	134	188	71	176	134	108	325
% of Total Enrolled	64%	70%	53%	60%	68%	62%	67%	59%	64%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.84	\$6.85	\$6.91	\$7.27	\$6.62	\$6.77	\$6.88	\$6.50	\$6.86	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$880	\$844	\$877	\$967	\$830	\$868	\$893	\$840	\$933	\$907
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	41%	36%	46%	48%	56%	56%	41%	34%	51%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,515	26	113	133	43	121	1,244	1,804	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,493	8	41	45	17	73	497	788	24
Participants in a Work Activity	1,079	2	30	36	9	57	364	565	16
% of Enrolled	72%	25%	73%	80%	53%	78%	73%	72%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	742	1	23	29	5	50	226	392	16
% of Enrolled	50%	13%	56%	64%	29%	68%	45%	50%	67%
Full Time	583	1	12	23	2	28	184	322	11
Part Time	159	0	11	6	3	22	42	70	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$9.98	\$8.20	\$8.96	\$7.51	\$8.40	\$7.93	\$8.07	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,729	\$965	\$1,243	\$767	\$1,041	\$1,039	\$1,086	\$1,088

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	996	11	39	43	12	37	344	497	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,070	11	65	82	21	105	687	1,068	31
Participants in a Work Activity	1,469	8	41	66	12	72	513	733	24
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	63%	80%	57%	69%	75%	69%	77%
Participants Employed	1,033	1	34	48	5	64	329	529	23
% of Total Enrolled	50%	9%	52%	59%	24%	61%	48%	50%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$9.98	\$8.06	\$9.01	\$7.51	\$8.32	\$8.01	\$8.02	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,717	\$975	\$1,171	\$748	\$1,039	\$995	\$1,060	\$1,119
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	25%	51%	72%	86%	93%	73%	73%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	23,066	137	967	933	279	897	8,064	11,458	331
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	16,932	98	674	721	199	651	5,792	8,559	238
Participants in a Work Activity	16,145	94	622	671	190	625	5,654	8,067	222
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	95%	96%	98%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,058	51	448	510	121	422	4,112	6,218	176
% of Total Enrolled	71%	52%	66%	71%	61%	65%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.88	\$6.72	\$6.72	\$7.26	\$7.39	\$7.00	\$6.88	\$6.84	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$906	\$868	\$837	\$892	\$1,000	\$880	\$901	\$914	\$904
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	52%	54%	52%	52%	47%	53%	58%	51%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,339	134	93	66	72	342	632
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	667	24	38	39	32	224	310
Participants in a Work Activity	419	22	27	32	19	106	213
% of Enrolled	63%	92%	71%	82%	59%	47%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	4	2	1	2	2
Participants Employed	291	17	24	20	14	75	141
% of Enrolled	44%	71%	63%	51%	44%	33%	45%
Full Time	223	13	15	12	11	51	121
Part Time	68	4	9	8	3	24	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.68	\$7.87	\$8.66	\$8.17	\$7.74	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,078	\$1,033	\$1,001	\$1,093	\$957	\$1,128

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	306	42	15	8	21	72	148
Total Enrolled in VIEW	850	36	54	41	56	263	400
Participants in a Work Activity	574	29	39	39	41	145	281
% of Total Enrolled	68%	81%	72%	95%	73%	55%	70%
Participants Employed	388	22	29	25	24	95	193
% of Total Enrolled	46%	61%	54%	61%	43%	36%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$7.70	\$8.02	\$8.72	\$7.85	\$7.83	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$1,087	\$998	\$1,045	\$1,003	\$967	\$1,109
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	50%	85%	73%	64%	71%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,297	1061	723	314	661	2,074	3,464
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,436	728	535	253	522	1,663	2,735
Participants in a Work Activity	6,145	725	468	240	506	1,588	2,618
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,470	490	335	184	348	1,149	1,964
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	63%	73%	67%	69%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.87	\$6.82	\$7.29	\$7.06	\$6.85	\$6.75	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$932	\$945	\$977	\$864	\$938	\$909	\$941
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	52%	50%	48%	57%	51%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through September 30, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/10 and Work Activity as of 9/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,800	164	129	1,196	107	2,345	1,317	430	1,112
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,874	63	51	541	23	1,155	479	147	415
Participants in a Work Activity	2,174	37	29	428	16	878	365	102	319
% of Enrolled	76%	59%	57%	79%	70%	76%	76%	69%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	0	4	1	0	3	14	0	2
Participants Employed	1,410	33	18	289	3	575	208	75	209
% of Enrolled	49%	52%	35%	53%	13%	50%	43%	51%	50%
Full Time	1,196	23	9	263	2	501	163	56	179
Part Time	214	10	9	26	1	74	45	19	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$7.82	\$7.61	\$7.92	\$7.25	\$7.83	\$7.89	\$8.14	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$997	\$831	\$1,094	\$900	\$1,008	\$1,071	\$1,036	\$1,110

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,806	53	30	298	41	594	325	103	362
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,846	91	71	733	42	1,403	701	207	598
Participants in a Work Activity	2,881	59	51	538	30	1,086	519	159	439
% of Total Enrolled	75%	65%	72%	73%	71%	77%	74%	77%	73%
Participants Employed	1,878	46	23	402	9	705	302	102	289
% of Total Enrolled	49%	51%	32%	55%	21%	50%	43%	49%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.76	\$7.61	\$8.07	\$7.78	\$7.80	\$8.02	\$8.13	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,001	\$845	\$1,097	\$930	\$1,002	\$1,044	\$1,076	\$1,091
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	78%	68%	77%	71%	74%	72%	67%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	46,637	1055	888	7,469	734	15,054	7,574	2,967	10,896
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	33,755	749	703	5,378	574	10,798	5,909	2,305	7,339
Participants in a Work Activity	31,916	719	672	5,102	561	10,216	5,558	2,270	6,818
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	98%	93%
Participants Employed	23,940	532	413	3,951	384	7,645	4,324	1,724	4,967
% of Total Enrolled	71%	71%	59%	73%	67%	71%	73%	75%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.74	\$6.77	\$6.56	\$6.89	\$6.35	\$6.62	\$6.63	\$6.58	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$886	\$899	\$817	\$914	\$797	\$851	\$893	\$861	\$943
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	56%	45%	56%	54%	55%	61%	58%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.6%	5.79	46	\$8.33	6.13	30	80.0%	8.00	20	6.64	29
003	Albemarle	47.0%	5.28	72	\$8.70	6.78	13	68.2%	6.82	66	6.29	42
005	Alleghany/Covington	39.6%	4.25	99	\$7.91	5.39	76	65.1%	6.51	79	5.39	97
007	Amelia	47.9%	5.42	66	\$8.29	6.06	32	84.6%	8.46	13	6.65	27
009	Amherst	49.0%	5.57	58	\$7.70	5.03	89	63.6%	6.36	86	5.65	80
011	Appomattox	58.1%	6.83	23	\$7.44	4.57	111	79.4%	7.94	23	6.45	36
013	Arlington	41.1%	4.46	93	\$9.77	8.65	5	77.8%	7.78	28	6.96	16
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	64.0%	7.66	11	\$7.93	5.43	70	72.0%	7.20	50	6.76	23
017	Bath	75.0%	9.20	3	\$4.83	0.00	119	66.7%	6.67	74	5.29	102
019	Bedford County/City	44.3%	4.91	81	\$8.41	6.27	24	64.0%	6.40	84	5.86	70
021	Bland	60.0%	7.10	18	\$7.13	4.03	115	20.0%	2.00	118	4.38	115
023	Botetourt	12.0%	0.41	118	\$7.75	5.11	86	100.0%	10.00	1	5.17	105
025	Brunswick	55.6%	6.48	27	\$7.47	4.62	107	69.4%	6.94	63	6.02	58
027	Buchanan	41.9%	4.58	89	\$8.00	5.55	63	65.0%	6.50	80	5.54	91
029	Buckingham	42.9%	4.71	84	\$7.64	4.92	96	70.8%	7.08	58	5.57	89
031	Campbell	54.1%	6.28	33	\$8.01	5.57	61	59.1%	5.91	97	5.92	64
033	Caroline	47.6%	5.37	69	\$8.40	6.25	25	81.1%	8.11	19	6.58	32
035	Carroll	70.9%	8.62	6	\$7.65	4.94	95	66.0%	6.60	78	6.72	25
036	Charles City	9.1%	0.00	119	\$9.98	9.02	3	25.0%	2.50	117	3.84	118
037	Charlotte	45.8%	5.13	76	\$8.07	5.67	52	47.1%	4.71	113	5.17	106
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	44.5%	4.95	80	\$8.38	6.22	27	78.1%	7.81	27	6.32	39
043	Clarke	66.7%	8.03	8	\$8.50	6.43	19	80.0%	8.00	21	7.49	4
045	Craig	50.0%	5.71	49	\$8.00	5.55	64	50.0%	5.00	109	5.42	93
047	Culpeper	51.8%	5.95	41	\$7.99	5.53	65	79.5%	7.95	22	6.48	35
049	Cumberland	48.9%	5.56	59	\$8.29	6.06	33	60.0%	6.00	93	5.87	68
051	Dickensen	56.4%	6.60	26	\$7.45	4.59	109	57.6%	5.76	100	5.65	82
053	Dimwiddie	61.1%	7.26	13	\$7.70	5.03	90	50.0%	5.00	110	5.76	76
057	Essex	61.0%	7.24	14	\$8.28	6.04	34	51.2%	5.12	108	6.13	52
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	44.6%	4.96	79	\$10.24	9.47	2	73.0%	7.30	45	7.24	8
061	Fauquier	58.6%	6.91	21	\$8.51	6.44	17	81.8%	8.18	17	7.18	10
063	Floyd	80.8%	10.00	1	\$7.75	5.11	87	68.2%	6.82	67	7.31	7
065	Fluvanna	38.1%	4.05	104	\$8.19	5.88	39	100.0%	10.00	2	6.64	28
067	Franklin County	42.3%	4.63	86	\$7.93	5.43	71	71.2%	7.12	55	5.73	77
069	Frederick	41.0%	4.45	95	\$9.03	7.36	8	61.5%	6.15	92	5.98	60
071	Giles	41.4%	4.50	91	\$7.47	4.62	108	68.2%	6.82	68	5.32	100
073	Gloucester	52.3%	6.03	37	\$8.06	5.66	54	51.4%	5.14	107	5.61	83
075	Goochland	35.7%	3.71	108	\$8.45	6.34	21	77.8%	7.78	29	5.94	61
077	Grayson	52.2%	6.01	38	\$7.77	5.15	83	57.9%	5.79	99	5.65	81

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	51.5%	5.92	43	\$8.27	6.02	36	70.0%	7.00	61	6.31	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	40.6%	4.40	97	\$7.55	4.76	102	57.5%	5.75	101	4.97	109
083	Halifax	38.4%	4.09	103	\$8.19	5.88	40	67.4%	6.74	70	5.57	88
085	Hanover	48.3%	5.47	63	\$8.15	5.81	44	64.8%	6.48	82	5.92	63
087	Henrico	46.1%	5.16	74	\$8.44	6.32	22	77.3%	7.73	33	6.40	38
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.1%	5.30	71	\$7.99	5.53	66	53.6%	5.36	105	5.40	95
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	50.5%	5.78	47	\$7.76	5.13	84	77.8%	7.78	30	6.23	45
095	James City	58.5%	6.90	22	\$9.01	7.32	9	71.7%	7.17	52	7.13	12
097	King and Queen	50.0%	5.71	50	\$6.33	2.63	118	50.0%	5.00	111	4.44	114
099	King George	30.4%	2.98	112	\$8.61	6.62	15	46.2%	4.62	114	4.74	112
101	King William	52.2%	6.01	39	\$7.94	5.45	69	61.9%	6.19	90	5.88	66
103	Lancaster	47.4%	5.34	70	\$8.08	5.69	50	35.7%	3.57	116	4.87	111
105	Lee	60.2%	7.14	17	\$7.44	4.57	112	85.7%	8.57	9	6.76	24
107	Loudoun	45.5%	5.08	77	\$10.54	10.00	1	73.4%	7.34	41	7.47	5
109	Louisa	38.5%	4.10	101	\$8.39	6.23	26	64.9%	6.49	81	5.61	84
111	Lunenburg	26.7%	2.45	113	\$7.19	4.13	113	58.3%	5.83	98	4.14	116
113	Madison	26.3%	2.40	114	\$9.25	7.74	6	100.0%	10.00	3	6.71	26
115	Mathews	55.0%	6.40	29	\$8.02	5.59	56	100.0%	10.00	4	7.33	6
117	Mecklenburg	49.1%	5.58	57	\$8.12	5.76	46	64.6%	6.46	83	5.93	62
119	Middlesex	48.4%	5.48	62	\$7.19	4.13	114	81.3%	8.13	18	5.91	65
121	Montgomery	65.4%	7.86	9	\$7.92	5.41	73	71.1%	7.11	56	6.79	20
125	Nelson	42.1%	4.61	88	\$7.76	5.13	85	72.7%	7.27	48	5.67	79
127	New Kent	23.8%	2.05	115	\$7.51	4.69	104	85.7%	8.57	10	5.11	107
131	Northampton	55.1%	6.41	28	\$7.92	5.41	74	91.2%	9.12	6	6.98	15
133	Northumberland	35.3%	3.66	109	\$7.92	5.41	75	85.7%	8.57	11	5.88	67
135	Nottoway	54.8%	6.38	31	\$8.09	5.71	49	82.1%	8.21	16	6.77	22
137	Orange	64.9%	7.79	10	\$8.49	6.41	20	66.7%	6.67	75	6.95	17
139	Page	53.0%	6.13	36	\$8.18	5.87	41	51.4%	5.14	106	5.71	78
141	Patrick	50.0%	5.71	51	\$7.48	4.64	106	69.6%	6.96	62	5.77	75
143	Pittsylvania	41.2%	4.48	92	\$7.59	4.83	101	54.6%	5.46	103	4.92	110
145	Powhatan	33.3%	3.38	110	\$6.56	3.03	117	55.6%	5.56	102	3.99	117
147	Prince Edward	42.2%	4.62	87	\$7.96	5.48	68	75.0%	7.50	37	5.87	69
149	Prince George	53.7%	6.22	34	\$8.02	5.59	57	85.2%	8.52	12	6.78	21
153	Prince William	48.8%	5.54	60	\$9.13	7.53	7	73.9%	7.39	39	6.82	19
155	Pulaski	51.9%	5.97	40	\$7.70	5.03	91	49.1%	4.91	112	5.30	101
157	Rappahannock	20.0%	1.52	117	\$9.00	7.30	10	0.0%	0.00	119	2.94	119
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.71	52	\$8.17	5.85	43	41.7%	4.17	115	5.24	103
161	Roanoke County	58.7%	6.91	20	\$8.12	5.76	47	59.4%	5.94	95	6.20	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.1%	8.79	5	\$7.69	5.01	92	78.3%	7.83	25	7.21	9
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67.9%	8.20	7	\$8.34	6.15	29	70.9%	7.09	57	7.15	11
167	Russell	46.6%	5.23	73	\$7.68	4.99	93	79.1%	7.91	24	6.04	56
169	Scott	54.2%	6.29	32	\$7.54	4.75	103	86.9%	8.69	7	6.58	31
171	Shenandoah	50.0%	5.71	53	\$8.36	6.18	28	77.8%	7.78	31	6.56	34

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	40.1%	4.33	<b>98</b>	\$7.74	5.10	<b>88</b>	73.8%	7.38	<b>40</b>	5.60	<b>85</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	32.4%	3.25	<b>111</b>	\$7.61	4.87	<b>100</b>	68.2%	6.82	<b>69</b>	4.98	<b>108</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	49.4%	5.62	<b>55</b>	\$8.51	6.44	<b>18</b>	84.5%	8.45	<b>14</b>	6.84	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	40.8%	4.42	<b>96</b>	\$8.42	6.29	<b>23</b>	78.3%	7.83	<b>26</b>	6.18	<b>48</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	61.0%	7.24	<b>15</b>	\$8.72	6.81	<b>12</b>	73.1%	7.31	<b>44</b>	7.12	<b>13</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	42.9%	4.71	<b>85</b>	\$7.85	5.29	<b>78</b>	64.0%	6.40	<b>85</b>	5.47	<b>92</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.0%	6.83	<b>24</b>	\$7.68	4.99	<b>94</b>	61.9%	6.19	<b>91</b>	6.00	<b>59</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	41.7%	4.56	<b>90</b>	\$8.63	6.65	<b>14</b>	72.4%	7.24	<b>49</b>	6.15	<b>50</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	47.6%	5.37	<b>68</b>	\$7.51	4.69	<b>105</b>	59.4%	5.94	<b>96</b>	5.33	<b>99</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	51.2%	5.87	<b>44</b>	\$7.64	4.92	<b>97</b>	54.6%	5.46	<b>104</b>	5.42	<b>94</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.6%	6.63	<b>25</b>	\$7.62	4.89	<b>99</b>	59.5%	5.95	<b>94</b>	5.82	<b>73</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	59.2%	6.99	<b>19</b>	\$7.97	5.50	<b>67</b>	68.4%	6.84	<b>65</b>	6.44	<b>37</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.0%	7.24	<b>16</b>	\$8.32	6.11	<b>31</b>	93.2%	9.32	<b>5</b>	7.56	<b>2</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.5%	5.50	<b>61</b>	\$9.80	8.70	<b>4</b>	82.8%	8.28	<b>15</b>	7.49	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	50.7%	5.81	<b>45</b>	\$8.04	5.62	<b>55</b>	73.2%	7.32	<b>43</b>	6.25	<b>44</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	53.1%	6.14	<b>35</b>	\$8.21	5.92	<b>37</b>	69.1%	6.91	<b>64</b>	6.32	<b>40</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	54.8%	6.38	<b>30</b>	\$8.07	5.67	<b>53</b>	76.7%	7.67	<b>36</b>	6.58	<b>33</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.8%	3.87	<b>106</b>	\$7.93	5.43	<b>72</b>	62.9%	6.29	<b>87</b>	5.20	<b>104</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	21.4%	1.72	<b>116</b>	\$7.78	5.17	<b>82</b>	70.8%	7.08	<b>59</b>	4.66	<b>113</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	51.8%	5.95	<b>42</b>	\$8.28	6.04	<b>35</b>	77.4%	7.74	<b>32</b>	6.58	<b>30</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	46.0%	5.15	<b>75</b>	\$7.45	4.59	<b>110</b>	70.4%	7.04	<b>60</b>	5.59	<b>87</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	47.9%	5.41	<b>67</b>	\$8.01	5.57	<b>62</b>	73.4%	7.34	<b>42</b>	6.11	<b>55</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	36.1%	3.77	<b>107</b>	\$7.83	5.25	<b>79</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>54</b>	5.39	<b>96</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	44.1%	4.88	<b>82</b>	\$7.81	5.22	<b>80</b>	66.1%	6.61	<b>77</b>	5.57	<b>90</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	37.4%	3.95	<b>105</b>	\$8.95	7.22	<b>11</b>	76.7%	7.67	<b>35</b>	6.28	<b>43</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	38.5%	4.10	<b>102</b>	\$8.59	6.58	<b>16</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>76</b>	5.78	<b>74</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.5%	5.64	<b>54</b>	\$8.02	5.59	<b>58</b>	72.9%	7.29	<b>46</b>	6.17	<b>49</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	50.2%	5.74	<b>48</b>	\$7.80	5.20	<b>81</b>	74.1%	7.41	<b>38</b>	6.12	<b>54</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	77.4%	9.53	<b>2</b>	\$6.96	3.73	<b>116</b>	76.9%	7.69	<b>34</b>	6.98	<b>14</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	48.3%	5.46	<b>65</b>	\$8.12	5.76	<b>48</b>	71.5%	7.15	<b>53</b>	6.13	<b>53</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	43.1%	4.74	<b>83</b>	\$8.02	5.59	<b>59</b>	71.8%	7.18	<b>51</b>	5.84	<b>71</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	61.5%	7.32	<b>12</b>	\$7.64	4.92	<b>98</b>	62.1%	6.21	<b>89</b>	6.15	<b>51</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	39.4%	4.23	<b>100</b>	\$8.18	5.87	<b>42</b>	67.0%	6.70	<b>73</b>	5.60	<b>86</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.3%	5.05	<b>78</b>	\$8.08	5.69	<b>51</b>	67.4%	6.74	<b>71</b>	5.83	<b>72</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	49.3%	5.61	<b>56</b>	\$8.13	5.78	<b>45</b>	67.3%	6.73	<b>72</b>	6.04	<b>57</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.3%	5.47	<b>64</b>	\$8.21	5.92	<b>38</b>	72.8%	7.28	<b>47</b>	6.22	<b>46</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.2%	9.08	<b>4</b>	\$8.02	5.59	<b>60</b>	86.4%	8.64	<b>8</b>	7.77	<b>1</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	41.0%	4.45	<b>94</b>	\$7.91	5.39	<b>77</b>	62.7%	6.27	<b>88</b>	5.37	<b>98</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.4%	2.16	114	\$836	3.02	94	58.0%	6.72	27	3.97	104
003	Albemarle	73.5%	7.76	12	\$999	6.23	18	55.1%	5.91	55	6.63	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.6%	7.24	24	\$822	2.75	102	46.0%	3.39	110	4.46	90
007	Amelia	60.8%	4.22	95	\$951	5.29	33	46.7%	3.59	104	4.36	93
009	Amherst	67.3%	6.02	54	\$847	3.25	88	50.4%	4.61	85	4.63	83
011	Appomattox	70.5%	6.93	36	\$849	3.27	87	45.2%	3.18	111	4.46	89
013	Arlington	72.2%	7.41	20	\$1,049	7.21	9	64.0%	8.37	7	7.66	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.0%	6.23	46	\$944	5.16	39	55.0%	5.89	56	5.76	37
017	Bath	65.1%	5.42	73	\$682	0.00	120	54.2%	5.66	62	3.69	108
019	Bedford County/City	66.0%	5.68	64	\$839	3.10	92	54.7%	5.79	58	4.85	75
021	Bland	62.9%	4.79	88	\$830	2.92	96	46.9%	3.66	102	3.79	107
023	Botetourt	45.7%	0.00	120	\$915	4.59	54	41.8%	2.24	116	2.27	119
025	Brunswick	57.8%	3.38	111	\$814	2.59	107	57.5%	6.56	30	4.18	100
027	Buchanan	52.6%	1.93	116	\$825	2.82	99	54.5%	5.75	60	3.50	112
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.07	112	\$930	4.89	47	53.0%	5.34	68	4.43	91
031	Campbell	69.1%	6.54	40	\$879	3.88	72	58.6%	6.89	23	5.77	36
033	Caroline	62.9%	4.80	87	\$948	5.24	37	43.3%	2.64	115	4.23	98
035	Carroll	67.1%	5.97	55	\$814	2.60	106	46.2%	3.45	108	4.01	103
036	Charles City	52.0%	1.77	117	\$868	3.66	77	52.4%	5.16	72	3.53	111
037	Charlotte	51.3%	1.57	118	\$907	4.43	61	48.4%	4.06	93	3.35	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65.1%	5.43	72	\$1,007	6.39	15	60.7%	7.46	14	6.43	20
043	Clarke	61.0%	4.26	93	\$973	5.72	26	47.4%	3.78	99	4.59	85
045	Craig	60.7%	4.19	96	\$1,030	6.84	11	60.0%	7.26	18	6.10	29
047	Culpeper	65.8%	5.62	67	\$949	5.26	35	56.3%	6.25	39	5.71	39
049	Cumberland	58.1%	3.47	109	\$942	5.11	41	47.3%	3.76	101	4.11	101
051	Dickensen	60.1%	4.03	99	\$811	2.53	110	48.4%	4.06	94	3.54	110
053	Dinwiddie	67.3%	6.04	53	\$945	5.17	38	51.8%	4.99	78	5.40	48
057	Essex	70.5%	6.92	37	\$844	3.18	90	41.3%	2.10	117	4.06	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.6%	6.12	50	\$1,124	8.70	3	61.8%	7.75	10	7.52	6
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.50	42	\$999	6.24	17	61.5%	7.68	12	6.81	12
063	Floyd	71.3%	7.14	27	\$921	4.69	51	46.6%	3.57	105	5.13	59
065	Fluvanna	71.7%	7.25	23	\$1,077	7.77	6	55.2%	5.95	53	6.99	7
067	Franklin County	62.9%	4.80	86	\$935	4.98	45	49.8%	4.46	88	4.75	81
069	Frederick	58.7%	3.63	104	\$986	5.98	22	52.3%	5.13	73	4.91	70
071	Giles	58.3%	3.51	107	\$937	5.02	44	48.2%	4.00	96	4.18	99
073	Gloucester	66.5%	5.80	62	\$837	3.04	93	54.2%	5.65	63	4.83	78
075	Goochland	63.7%	5.04	84	\$875	3.79	75	53.8%	5.54	65	4.79	79
077	Grayson	66.1%	5.69	63	\$835	3.01	95	56.3%	6.25	40	4.98	64

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.7%	8.37	5	\$910	4.49	59	48.7%	4.15	90	5.67	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.4%	3.55	106	\$806	2.43	111	54.7%	5.80	57	3.93	105
083	Halifax	57.8%	3.39	110	\$827	2.85	98	47.8%	3.89	97	3.38	113
085	Hanover	64.5%	5.24	78	\$1,046	7.16	10	62.9%	8.06	8	6.82	11
087	Henrico	67.6%	6.13	48	\$1,013	6.51	14	56.6%	6.32	36	6.32	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.10	81	\$930	4.88	48	53.7%	5.53	66	5.17	57
091	Highland	71.4%	7.19	25	\$694	0.23	119	60.0%	7.26	19	4.89	71
093	Isle of Wight	71.0%	7.07	29	\$899	4.27	65	55.6%	6.05	49	5.80	34
095	James City	70.7%	6.99	34	\$892	4.13	69	51.9%	5.02	77	5.38	49
097	King and Queen	52.9%	2.00	115	\$877	3.83	74	36.0%	0.64	119	2.16	120
099	King George	65.5%	5.54	70	\$918	4.63	53	47.4%	3.78	100	4.65	82
101	King William	60.4%	4.09	98	\$967	5.60	27	46.2%	3.46	107	4.39	92
103	Lancaster	67.6%	6.12	49	\$830	2.91	97	48.2%	4.02	95	4.35	95
105	Lee	65.7%	5.58	68	\$767	1.68	116	60.2%	7.32	16	4.86	74
107	Loudoun	78.2%	9.07	3	\$1,178	9.75	2	65.2%	8.70	5	9.17	1
109	Louisa	60.7%	4.19	97	\$953	5.33	31	54.6%	5.77	59	5.10	60
111	Lunenburg	46.1%	0.12	119	\$730	0.95	117	55.2%	5.93	54	2.33	118
113	Madison	65.0%	5.39	74	\$987	6.00	21	46.9%	3.66	103	5.02	62
115	Mathews	62.3%	4.63	91	\$868	3.66	78	56.3%	6.23	42	4.84	77
117	Mecklenburg	67.5%	6.09	51	\$824	2.79	101	56.6%	6.32	37	5.07	61
119	Middlesex	66.7%	5.86	60	\$893	4.15	68	56.3%	6.23	43	5.41	47
121	Montgomery	79.5%	9.45	2	\$923	4.75	50	53.8%	5.56	64	6.59	16
125	Nelson	64.6%	5.28	76	\$995	6.16	19	50.5%	4.64	84	5.36	51
127	New Kent	60.8%	4.22	94	\$1,000	6.26	16	52.1%	5.10	75	5.19	56
131	Northampton	62.9%	4.79	89	\$817	2.65	104	66.9%	9.18	2	5.54	43
133	Northumberland	58.8%	3.65	102	\$840	3.11	91	41.0%	2.01	118	2.93	117
135	Nottoway	68.7%	6.42	43	\$882	3.94	70	55.3%	5.98	52	5.44	45
137	Orange	73.0%	7.61	17	\$951	5.28	34	43.9%	2.82	114	5.24	55
139	Page	69.8%	6.73	38	\$983	5.91	23	55.6%	6.05	50	6.23	26
141	Patrick	66.9%	5.91	59	\$812	2.55	109	65.5%	8.79	3	5.75	38
143	Pittsylvania	55.4%	2.70	113	\$855	3.40	84	50.7%	4.70	81	3.60	109
145	Powhatan	58.2%	3.49	108	\$912	4.53	57	53.2%	5.39	67	4.47	88
147	Prince Edward	71.2%	7.12	28	\$866	3.61	79	60.0%	7.25	20	5.99	30
149	Prince George	62.6%	4.72	90	\$977	5.80	25	50.0%	4.50	87	5.01	63
153	Prince William	73.5%	7.75	14	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.7%	7.74	11	8.50	2
155	Pulaski	64.5%	5.26	77	\$929	4.85	49	44.4%	2.96	113	4.36	94
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.09	82	\$954	5.36	30	48.5%	4.09	92	4.84	76
159	Richmond County	64.3%	5.19	80	\$933	4.93	46	33.7%	0.00	120	3.38	114
161	Roanoke County	74.6%	8.08	8	\$1,029	6.82	13	51.9%	5.03	76	6.64	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	74.4%	8.02	9	\$853	3.37	85	55.7%	6.09	48	5.82	33
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.4%	8.00	10	\$949	5.25	36	58.2%	6.76	26	6.67	13
167	Russell	62.3%	4.63	92	\$844	3.18	89	57.4%	6.54	31	4.78	80
169	Scott	58.6%	3.60	105	\$870	3.69	76	65.5%	8.78	4	5.36	52
171	Shenandoah	64.4%	5.21	79	\$911	4.50	58	52.5%	5.19	71	4.97	68

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.1%	3.74	<b>101</b>	\$813	2.57	<b>108</b>	52.7%	5.25	<b>70</b>	3.85	<b>106</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.7%	3.64	<b>103</b>	\$817	2.65	<b>103</b>	45.2%	3.18	<b>112</b>	3.16	<b>116</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.7%	6.41	<b>44</b>	\$1,029	6.82	<b>12</b>	56.5%	6.30	<b>38</b>	6.51	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.7%	3.92	<b>100</b>	\$1,117	8.55	<b>4</b>	57.9%	6.69	<b>28</b>	6.39	<b>21</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.7%	7.55	<b>18</b>	\$864	3.58	<b>80</b>	47.5%	3.81	<b>98</b>	4.98	<b>65</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.7%	5.86	<b>61</b>	\$938	5.04	<b>43</b>	56.9%	6.41	<b>32</b>	5.77	<b>35</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.1%	6.26	<b>45</b>	\$792	2.16	<b>115</b>	56.7%	6.34	<b>34</b>	4.92	<b>69</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.5%	6.63	<b>39</b>	\$990	6.06	<b>20</b>	55.8%	6.11	<b>47</b>	6.27	<b>25</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.9%	5.64	<b>66</b>	\$878	3.85	<b>73</b>	48.5%	4.10	<b>91</b>	4.53	<b>87</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.4%	5.50	<b>71</b>	\$907	4.42	<b>62</b>	50.6%	4.68	<b>82</b>	4.87	<b>72</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.8%	5.06	<b>83</b>	\$796	2.25	<b>113</b>	56.8%	6.38	<b>33</b>	4.56	<b>86</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.9%	5.92	<b>56</b>	\$824	2.80	<b>100</b>	56.2%	6.22	<b>45</b>	4.98	<b>66</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	64.8%	5.34	<b>75</b>	\$880	3.89	<b>71</b>	46.6%	3.56	<b>106</b>	4.26	<b>97</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.07	<b>30</b>	\$1,053	7.29	<b>8</b>	69.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	8.12	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.6%	6.96	<b>35</b>	\$856	3.42	<b>83</b>	48.9%	4.21	<b>89</b>	4.86	<b>73</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.5%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$919	4.66	<b>52</b>	56.3%	6.25	<b>41</b>	6.97	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.5%	7.76	<b>13</b>	\$914	4.57	<b>55</b>	56.2%	6.22	<b>44</b>	6.18	<b>27</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	66.0%	5.66	<b>65</b>	\$794	2.20	<b>114</b>	52.2%	5.11	<b>74</b>	4.32	<b>96</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.9%	5.92	<b>57</b>	\$797	2.27	<b>112</b>	54.3%	5.68	<b>61</b>	4.62	<b>84</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.3%	8.53	<b>4</b>	\$978	5.83	<b>24</b>	55.9%	6.13	<b>46</b>	6.83	<b>10</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.5%	4.97	<b>85</b>	\$864	3.57	<b>81</b>	58.8%	6.93	<b>22</b>	5.16	<b>58</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	71.0%	7.06	<b>31</b>	\$901	4.31	<b>64</b>	52.7%	5.25	<b>69</b>	5.54	<b>42</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	69.1%	6.53	<b>41</b>	\$909	4.47	<b>60</b>	51.1%	4.80	<b>80</b>	5.27	<b>54</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.3%	7.15	<b>26</b>	\$816	2.63	<b>105</b>	61.8%	7.76	<b>9</b>	5.85	<b>32</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	65.6%	5.56	<b>69</b>	\$1,085	7.94	<b>5</b>	59.6%	7.15	<b>21</b>	6.88	<b>9</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.4%	7.75	<b>15</b>	\$1,068	7.59	<b>7</b>	64.6%	8.52	<b>6</b>	7.95	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	72.6%	7.53	<b>19</b>	\$914	4.57	<b>56</b>	58.4%	6.82	<b>25</b>	6.30	<b>24</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.8%	7.01	<b>33</b>	\$851	3.32	<b>86</b>	55.4%	5.99	<b>51</b>	5.44	<b>46</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.3%	8.25	<b>6</b>	\$727	0.89	<b>118</b>	60.3%	7.36	<b>15</b>	5.50	<b>44</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.8%	7.29	<b>22</b>	\$941	5.09	<b>42</b>	57.7%	6.62	<b>29</b>	6.33	<b>22</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.2%	7.67	<b>16</b>	\$893	4.16	<b>67</b>	60.9%	7.52	<b>13</b>	6.45	<b>19</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.9%	7.31	<b>21</b>	\$896	4.21	<b>66</b>	46.0%	3.40	<b>109</b>	4.97	<b>67</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.9%	7.05	<b>32</b>	\$951	5.29	<b>32</b>	60.2%	7.31	<b>17</b>	6.55	<b>17</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.9%	5.92	<b>58</b>	\$956	5.39	<b>28</b>	50.1%	4.53	<b>86</b>	5.28	<b>53</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.8%	8.13	<b>7</b>	\$861	3.52	<b>82</b>	58.5%	6.84	<b>24</b>	6.16	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.7%	6.14	<b>47</b>	\$943	5.14	<b>40</b>	56.6%	6.34	<b>35</b>	5.87	<b>31</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.9%	7.89	<b>11</b>	\$904	4.37	<b>63</b>	51.2%	4.84	<b>79</b>	5.70	<b>40</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	67.5%	6.09	<b>52</b>	\$954	5.36	<b>29</b>	50.6%	4.68	<b>83</b>	5.38	<b>50</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	4,865	231	198	427	161	74	498	78	120	178	241	182	660	182	65	489	165	885	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	90%	97%	94%	90%	89%	81%	77%	93%	89%	97%	94%	87%	79%	95%	93%	87%	93%	100%
% Timely in September 2010	88%	91%	99%	92%	91%	82%	79%	76%	90%	84%	97%	95%	83%	66%	92%	89%	87%	94%	100%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	164	11	1	4	10	2	24	11	5	2	3	4	48	12	1	20	1	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	14	7	18	30	41	32	25	20	7	25	11	54	38	1	17	34	7	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010		231	14	9	48	41	25	30	55
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010		90%	79%	100%	90%	98%	88%	100%	89%
% Timely in September 2010		91%	67%	100%	90%	100%	73%	100%	96%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days		11	1	0	4	0	2	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		14	1	0	20	0	16	0	4

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	198	0	12	5	44	38	17	73	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	94%	99%	89%
% Timely in September 2010	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	427	17	4	1	3	48	6	68	36	46	11	187
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	94%	75%	100%	100%	98%	83%	99%	100%	98%	45%	93%
% Timely in September 2010	92%	86%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	33%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>												
# Over 30 Days	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	161	80	2	0	13	66
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	100%	N/A	15%	92%
% Timely in September 2010	91%	100%	100%	N/A	17%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	8	2
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	26	44

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	74	1	5	15	6	21	26	31	21	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	100%	60%	93%	83%	95%	88%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2010	82%	100%	75%	100%	75%	91%	75%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><i>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></i></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	498	44	180	37	164	45	23	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	81%	100%	86%	89%	70%	98%	35%	60%
% Timely in September 2010	79%	100%	83%	83%	70%	100%	33%	33%
<b><i>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></i></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><i>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></i></b>								
# Over 30 Days	24	0	2	0	22	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	7	0	34	0	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><i>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></i></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	78	38	16	5	18	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	77%	68%	100%	80%	72%	100%
% Timely in September 2010	76%	69%	100%	100%	67%	100%
<b><i>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></i></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><i>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></i></b>						
# Over 30 Days	11	8	0	1	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	26	0	47	10	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	120	20	6	9	22	6	57
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	67%	33%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2010	90%	100%	60%	20%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	20	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	178	11	10	41	34	82
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	100%	100%	98%	100%	77%
% Timely in September 2010	84%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	241	39	83	27	35	57
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	97%	95%	94%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2010	97%	92%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	25	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	182	9	20	17	20	11	23	8	24	18	32
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	78%	100%	94%	95%	100%	96%	25%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2010	95%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>											
# Over 30 Days	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	6	0	0	0	0	6	26	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	660	144	8	17	175	7	309
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	89%	88%	94%	72%	86%	94%
% Timely in September 2010	83%	89%	100%	90%	62%	100%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	48	4	0	1	33	0	10
Average # of Days Over 30	54	68	0	12	60	0	34

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	182	30	11	68	35	38
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	79%	73%	100%	57%	100%	95%
% Timely in September 2010	66%	54%	100%	38%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	12	4	0	7	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	14	0	43	103	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	65	8	6	8	7	4	8	7	6	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%
% Timely in September 2010	92%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	489	6	23	20	5	22	159	247	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	96%	100%	60%	100%	98%	89%	57%
% Timely in September 2010	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	81%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	20	0	0	0	1	0	2	14	3
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	34	0	20	15	18

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	165	15	9	6	13	36	86
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	56%	93%
% Timely in September 2010	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	0	0	0	0	34	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	885	19	15	148	22	283	168	62	168
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	84%	100%	93%	100%	90%	94%	100%	93%
% Timely in September 2010	94%	90%	100%	93%	100%	94%	93%	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	12

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Sep-09</i>	<i>Sep-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,448	14,574	0.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,545	127,722	0.9%
Total Cases Collected	140,993	142,296	0.9%
TANF Collections	\$2,595,420	\$2,646,103	2.0%
NTANF Collections	\$47,466,828	\$47,428,061	-0.1%
Total Collections	\$50,062,248	\$50,074,164	0.02%
SFY YTD Collections	\$149,424,732	\$151,249,943	1.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$352	\$355	0.8%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Sep-10</i>
TANF Paternities	323
NTANF Paternities	546
Combined Paternities	869

## *September Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

Albemarle	5	Pittsylvania	2
Alleghany / Covington	3	Prince William	4
Amelia	2	Pulaski	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	15	Rappahannock	1
Bedford City	2	Roanoke County	9
Buckingham	3	Rockingham/ H'burg	3
Campbell	6	Shenandoah	17
Caroline	3	Southampton	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	16	Spotsylvania	8
Clarke	1	Stafford	14
Culpeper	3	Surry	1
Cumberland	3	Tazewell	2
Dinwiddie	6	Warren	6
Fairfax / Falls Church	4	Wythe	1
Fauquier	3	York / Poquoson	5
Floyd	1	Bristol	9
Franklin County	6	Charlottesville	4
Frederick	5	Danville	4
Gloucester	1	Franklin City	1
Halifax	2	Fredricksburg	5
Henrico	7	Hampton	11
Henry / Martinsville	10	Hopewell	5
Isle of Wight	2	Manassas Park	4
James City	3	Newport News	27
Loudoun	5	Norfolk	30
Lunenburg	1	Petersburg	1
Madison	1	Portsmouth	1
Montgomery	2	Richmond City	8
Nelson	1	Roanoke City	15
New Kent	1	Suffolk	17
Nottoway	1	Virginia Beach	7
Orange	2	Williamsburg	1
Page	1	Winchester	3
Patrick	3	Statewide	359

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of morning of October 4, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through September 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through September 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


September 30, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of October and represent end of September data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August, it was \$8.24 rather than the \$8.17 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.