

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**October 2010**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services November 29, 2010

# Monthly Report, October 2010

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 42% with a denominator of 20,299 and a numerator of 8,435.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	90	23	26%	061	Fauquier	68	29	43%
003	Albemarle	65	25	38%	063	Floyd	33	12	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	71	6	8%	065	Fluvanna	18	3	17%
007	Amelia	36	9	25%	067	Franklin County	145	50	34%
009	Amherst	40	18	45%	069	Frederick	105	32	30%
011	Appomattox	50	34	68%	071	Giles	24	13	54%
013	Arlington	132	68	52%	073	Gloucester	53	17	32%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	313	150	48%	075	Goochland	27	6	22%
017	Bath	4	3	75%	077	Grayson	26	10	38%
019	Bedford County/City	108	44	41%	079	Greene	42	18	43%
021	Bland	9	2	22%	081	Greensville/Emporia	86	27	31%
023	Botetourt	15	0	0%	083	Halifax	97	29	30%
025	Brunswick	69	33	48%	085	Hanover	104	33	32%
027	Buchanan	67	22	33%	087	Henrico	969	333	34%
029	Buckingham	43	15	35%	089	Henry/Martinsville	341	125	37%
031	Campbell	162	72	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	118	45	38%	093	Isle of Wight	76	29	38%
035	Carroll	60	34	57%	095	James City	87	32	37%
036	Charles City	12	2	17%	097	King and Queen	7	3	43%
037	Charlotte	46	17	37%	099	King George	25	7	28%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	622	237	38%	101	King William	36	16	44%
043	Clarke	8	6	75%	103	Lancaster	20	6	30%
045	Craig	7	0	0%	105	Lee	147	57	39%
047	Culpeper	91	35	38%	107	Loudoun	160	50	31%
049	Cumberland	43	13	30%	109	Louisa	49	26	53%
051	Dickensen	42	21	50%	111	Lunenburg	37	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	51	18	35%	113	Madison	13	2	15%
057	Essex	59	16	27%	115	Mathews	11	3	27%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	749	311	42%	117	Mecklenburg	79	32	41%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	27	7	26%	187	Warren	89	40	45%
121	Montgomery	220	104	47%	191	Washington	89	46	52%
125	Nelson	9	3	33%	193	Westmoreland	52	15	29%
127	New Kent	28	2	7%	195	Wise	200	79	40%
131	Northampton	73	27	37%	197	Wythe	81	35	43%
133	Northumberland	13	9	69%	199	York/Poquoson	90	44	49%
135	Nottoway	76	32	42%	510	Alexandria	304	119	39%
137	Orange	50	22	44%	520	Bristol	147	84	57%
139	Page	39	16	41%	540	Charlottesville	205	112	55%
141	Patrick	66	35	53%	550	Chesapeake	749	415	55%
143	Pittsylvania	89	30	34%	590	Danville	213	89	42%
145	Powhatan	18	12	67%	620	Franklin City	39	13	33%
147	Prince Edward	80	37	46%	630	Fredericksburg	123	60	49%
149	Prince George	41	17	41%	640	Galax	41	15	37%
153	Prince William	807	302	37%	650	Hampton	758	367	48%
155	Pulaski	77	33	43%	670	Hopewell	229	23	10%
157	Rappahannock	4	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	348	143	41%
159	Richmond County	8	2	25%	683	Manassas	102	33	32%
161	Roanoke County	187	96	51%	685	Manassas Park	28	11	39%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	46	17	37%	700	Newport News	1,163	482	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	146	75	51%	710	Norfolk	1,436	841	59%
167	Russell	110	43	39%	720	Norton	44	20	45%
169	Scott	101	46	46%	730	Petersburg	387	154	40%
171	Shenandoah	22	7	32%	740	Portsmouth	729	282	39%
173	Smyth	103	24	23%	750	Radford	39	9	23%
175	Southampton	71	18	25%	760	Richmond City	1,818	695	38%
177	Spotsylvania	271	100	37%	770	Roanoke City	643	214	33%
179	Stafford	193	92	48%	800	Suffolk	178	88	49%
181	Surry	27	12	44%	810	Virginia Beach	641	303	47%
183	Sussex	43	20	47%	830	Williamsburg	24	0	0%
185	Tazewell	130	54	42%	840	Winchester	68	31	46%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 18,221 and a numerator of 6,578. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	82	15	18%	061	Fauquier	53	15	28%
003	Albemarle	58	19	33%	063	Floyd	28	7	25%
005	Alleghany/Covington	69	6	9%	065	Fluvanna	15	1	7%
007	Amelia	35	9	26%	067	Franklin County	135	41	30%
009	Amherst	34	13	38%	069	Frederick	94	22	23%
011	Appomattox	45	30	67%	071	Giles	22	11	50%
013	Arlington	108	47	44%	073	Gloucester	47	12	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	283	122	43%	075	Goochland	23	2	9%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	22	6	27%
019	Bedford County/City	88	24	27%	079	Greene	38	14	37%
021	Bland	8	1	13%	081	Greensville/Emporia	80	21	26%
023	Botetourt	15	0	0%	083	Halifax	90	22	24%
025	Brunswick	64	29	45%	085	Hanover	96	27	28%
027	Buchanan	65	20	31%	087	Henrico	884	265	30%
029	Buckingham	37	11	30%	089	Henry/Martinsville	314	100	32%
031	Campbell	140	51	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	105	34	32%	093	Isle of Wight	68	23	34%
035	Carroll	53	28	53%	095	James City	70	21	30%
036	Charles City	11	2	18%	097	King and Queen	4	0	0%
037	Charlotte	38	11	29%	099	King George	23	5	22%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	544	163	30%	101	King William	34	14	41%
043	Clarke	8	6	75%	103	Lancaster	20	6	30%
045	Craig	7	0	0%	105	Lee	133	44	33%
047	Culpeper	82	28	34%	107	Loudoun	134	24	18%
049	Cumberland	38	9	24%	109	Louisa	43	20	47%
051	Dickensen	33	12	36%	111	Lunenburg	37	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	47	15	32%	113	Madison	12	1	8%
057	Essex	56	14	25%	115	Mathews	11	3	27%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	643	216	34%	117	Mecklenburg	72	25	35%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	4	17%	187	Warren	75	27	36%
121	Montgomery	194	80	41%	191	Washington	85	42	49%
125	Nelson	7	1	14%	193	Westmoreland	51	15	29%
127	New Kent	26	2	8%	195	Wise	180	59	33%
131	Northampton	65	21	32%	197	Wythe	75	30	40%
133	Northumberland	11	7	64%	199	York/Poquoson	83	38	46%
135	Nottoway	70	26	37%	510	Alexandria	258	79	31%
137	Orange	48	20	42%	520	Bristol	128	65	51%
139	Page	37	14	38%	540	Charlottesville	182	90	49%
141	Patrick	62	31	50%	550	Chesapeake	689	356	52%
143	Pittsylvania	84	25	30%	590	Danville	200	78	39%
145	Powhatan	12	6	50%	620	Franklin City	36	10	28%
147	Prince Edward	65	22	34%	630	Fredericksburg	105	44	42%
149	Prince George	37	13	35%	640	Galax	37	11	30%
153	Prince William	686	188	27%	650	Hampton	670	299	45%
155	Pulaski	66	24	36%	670	Hopewell	214	10	5%
157	Rappahannock	3	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	322	121	38%
159	Richmond County	7	1	14%	683	Manassas	92	23	25%
161	Roanoke County	160	69	43%	685	Manassas Park	20	6	30%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	41	12	29%	700	Newport News	1,053	388	37%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	118	47	40%	710	Norfolk	1,341	753	56%
167	Russell	103	37	36%	720	Norton	40	17	43%
169	Scott	89	39	44%	730	Petersburg	344	124	36%
171	Shenandoah	18	3	17%	740	Portsmouth	657	214	33%
173	Smyth	98	19	19%	750	Radford	37	7	19%
175	Southampton	68	17	25%	760	Richmond City	1,687	582	34%
177	Spotsylvania	247	84	34%	770	Roanoke City	559	132	24%
179	Stafford	164	65	40%	800	Suffolk	159	70	44%
181	Surry	24	10	42%	810	Virginia Beach	572	235	41%
183	Sussex	37	14	38%	830	Williamsburg	23	0	0%
185	Tazewell	111	35	32%	840	Winchester	65	28	43%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

# Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 89% with a denominator of 2,078 and a numerator of 1,857. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	8	8	100%	061	Fauquier	15	14	93%
003	Albemarle	7	6	86%	063	Floyd	5	5	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	0	0%	065	Fluvanna	3	2	67%
007	Amelia	1	0	0%	067	Franklin County	10	9	90%
009	Amherst	6	5	83%	069	Frederick	11	10	91%
011	Appomattox	5	4	80%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	24	21	88%	073	Gloucester	6	5	83%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	28	93%	075	Goochland	4	4	100%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	20	20	100%	079	Greene	4	4	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	5	4	80%	085	Hanover	8	6	75%
027	Buchanan	2	2	100%	087	Henrico	85	68	80%
029	Buckingham	6	4	67%	089	Henry/Martinsville	27	25	93%
031	Campbell	22	21	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	13	11	85%	093	Isle of Wight	8	6	75%
035	Carroll	7	6	86%	095	James City	17	11	65%
036	Charles City	1	0	0%	097	King and Queen	3	3	100%
037	Charlotte	8	6	75%	099	King George	2	2	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	78	74	95%	101	King William	2	2	100%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	14	13	93%
047	Culpeper	9	7	78%	107	Loudoun	26	26	100%
049	Cumberland	5	4	80%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	9	9	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	4	3	75%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	3	2	67%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	106	95	90%	117	Mecklenburg	7	7	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	3	75%	187	Warren	14	13	93%
121	Montgomery	26	24	92%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	0	0%	195	Wise	20	20	100%
131	Northampton	8	6	75%	197	Wythe	6	5	83%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	6	86%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	46	40	87%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	19	19	100%
139	Page	2	2	100%	540	Charlottesville	23	22	96%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	60	59	98%
143	Pittsylvania	5	5	100%	590	Danville	13	11	85%
145	Powhatan	6	6	100%	620	Franklin City	3	3	100%
147	Prince Edward	15	15	100%	630	Fredericksburg	18	16	89%
149	Prince George	4	4	100%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	121	114	94%	650	Hampton	88	68	77%
155	Pulaski	11	9	82%	670	Hopewell	15	13	87%
157	Rappahannock	1	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	26	22	85%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	10	10	100%
161	Roanoke County	27	27	100%	685	Manassas Park	8	5	63%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	110	94	85%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	28	100%	710	Norfolk	95	88	93%
167	Russell	7	6	86%	720	Norton	4	3	75%
169	Scott	12	7	58%	730	Petersburg	43	30	70%
171	Shenandoah	4	4	100%	740	Portsmouth	72	68	94%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	3	1	33%	760	Richmond City	131	113	86%
177	Spotsylvania	24	16	67%	770	Roanoke City	84	82	98%
179	Stafford	29	27	93%	800	Suffolk	19	18	95%
181	Surry	3	2	67%	810	Virginia Beach	69	68	99%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	1	0	0%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>Oct-10</b>	<b>Oct-09</b>	<b>Change from Oct-09</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,229	5,468	-4%	4,807	9%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,916	3,073	-5%	1,658	76%
Applications Approved	2,397	2,750	-13%	2,820	-15%
Cases Closed *	2,641	2,552	3%	4,476	-41%
Cases at End of Month	34,370	33,833	2%	67,995	-49%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	35,527	34,960	2%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,641 closed cases, 1,153 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 630 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 249 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 175 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>Oct-10</b>	<b>Oct-09</b>	<b>Change from Oct-09</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	575	583	-1%	338	70%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	352	341	3%	232	52%
Applications Approved	278	313	-11%	81	243%
Cases Closed *	279	227	23%	107	161%
Cases at End of Month	2,184	2,061	6%	321	580%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,319	2,186	6%	394	489%

•The database indicates that of the 279 closed cases, 162 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 84 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 31 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 24 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

# VIEW Work Activity

## Statewide - Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	36,554	1,860	1,123	2,838	1,030	576	4,214	443	708	1,340	1,670	1,069	6,009	1,190	452	3,561	1,334	6,833	304
Total Enrolled in VIEW	16,050	720	520	1,251	460	255	1,799	187	336	634	614	524	2,571	662	179	1,586	680	2,956	116
Part. in a Work Activity	11,572	552	381	817	357	189	1,380	129	291	458	445	374	1,778	410	126	1,153	406	2,267	59
% of Enrolled	72%	77%	73%	65%	78%	74%	77%	69%	87%	72%	72%	71%	69%	62%	70%	73%	60%	77%	51%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	134	3	4	12	0	8	21	9	0	1	3	5	9	10	5	9	9	26	0
Participants Employed	7,595	394	262	629	278	110	822	93	173	310	279	233	1,020	303	94	794	278	1,474	49
% of Enrolled	47%	55%	50%	50%	60%	43%	46%	50%	51%	49%	45%	44%	40%	46%	53%	50%	41%	50%	42%
Full Time	5,997	342	234	523	230	88	608	70	113	239	228	172	737	235	74	615	212	1,241	36
Part Time	1,598	52	28	106	48	22	214	23	60	71	51	61	283	68	20	179	66	233	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.16	\$7.52	\$7.73	\$7.95	\$8.05	\$8.37	\$9.48	\$8.78	\$8.31	\$7.87	\$7.79	\$7.84	\$8.18	\$8.44	\$7.80	\$8.10	\$7.96	\$7.93	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,084	\$1,014	\$1,054	\$1,086	\$1,083	\$1,128	\$1,261	\$1,145	\$1,030	\$1,065	\$1,050	\$1,031	\$1,079	\$1,098	\$1,046	\$1,076	\$1,042	\$1,043	\$1,055

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	13,311	678	493	1,158	452	266	1,450	182	277	461	650	441	1,935	479	166	1,335	409	2,365	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW	23,671	1,041	822	1,920	691	452	2,707	261	500	940	1,000	795	3,587	944	282	2,359	929	4,264	177
Part. in a Work Activity	19,953	905	699	1,555	621	352	2,363	217	464	806	873	671	3,016	725	228	1,947	713	3,658	140
% of Total Enrolled	84%	87%	85%	81%	90%	78%	87%	83%	93%	86%	87%	84%	84%	77%	81%	83%	77%	86%	79%
Participants Employed	11,484	569	403	972	438	201	1,298	140	260	458	435	360	1,546	457	150	1,178	416	2,116	87
% of Total Enrolled	49%	55%	49%	51%	63%	44%	48%	54%	52%	49%	44%	45%	43%	48%	53%	50%	45%	50%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$7.59	\$7.79	\$7.98	\$8.06	\$8.46	\$9.79	\$8.59	\$8.26	\$7.97	\$7.89	\$7.93	\$8.31	\$8.47	\$7.87	\$8.11	\$8.03	\$7.99	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,014	\$1,036	\$1,066	\$1,066	\$1,129	\$1,283	\$1,078	\$976	\$1,048	\$1,044	\$991	\$1,081	\$1,071	\$1,001	\$1,047	\$1,050	\$1,043	\$1,072
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	71%	69%	66%	71%	63%	75%	78%	71%	65%	59%	67%	72%	78%	58%	73%	70%	73%	83%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	246,697	15,848	9,296	18,269	7,140	4,608	30,722	3,511	5,314	9,255	11,652	7,052	32,846	7,245	3,179	23,247	8,350	46,917	2,246
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	186,953	12,063	7,784	13,759	5,399	3,383	23,513	2,635	4,045	7,586	9,308	5,361	25,352	5,213	2,313	17,121	6,483	34,002	1,633
Part. in a Work Activity	178,789	11,582	7,434	13,143	5,257	3,211	22,711	2,539	3,944	7,178	8,995	5,116	24,383	4,921	2,173	16,295	6,182	32,163	1,562
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	95%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	128,229	7,616	5,111	9,517	3,812	2,231	16,705	1,792	3,050	5,252	5,828	3,287	17,370	3,500	1,492	12,161	4,481	24,096	928
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	63%	61%	69%	67%	65%	71%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.03	\$6.10	\$6.19	\$6.98	\$7.02	\$7.21	\$8.52	\$7.34	\$7.28	\$6.44	\$6.30	\$6.61	\$7.24	\$7.77	\$6.85	\$6.89	\$6.88	\$6.74	\$6.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$934	\$805	\$842	\$941	\$936	\$972	\$1,137	\$971	\$939	\$841	\$843	\$863	\$977	\$1,013	\$880	\$907	\$932	\$887	\$828
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	57%	51%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	54%	46%	55%	54%	57%	62%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,860	184	100	313	253	212	278	452	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	720	51	29	141	113	118	78	159	31
Participants in a Work Activity	552	34	20	107	101	90	66	115	19
% of Enrolled	77%	67%	69%	76%	89%	76%	85%	72%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	394	25	17	83	48	57	45	100	19
% of Enrolled	55%	49%	59%	59%	42%	48%	58%	63%	61%
Full Time	342	25	17	76	38	46	39	85	16
Part Time	52	0	0	7	10	11	6	15	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$7.95	\$7.41	\$7.42	\$7.68	\$7.56	\$7.62	\$7.49	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,014	\$1,132	\$1,054	\$987	\$997	\$1,015	\$1,054	\$1,011	\$898

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	678	42	30	106	95	90	121	164	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,041	72	44	190	163	147	143	245	37
Participants in a Work Activity	905	55	36	167	145	128	133	209	32
% of Total Enrolled	87%	76%	82%	88%	89%	87%	93%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	569	31	24	110	78	75	80	143	28
% of Total Enrolled	55%	43%	55%	58%	48%	51%	56%	58%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.59	\$7.99	\$7.51	\$7.47	\$7.71	\$7.59	\$7.67	\$7.62	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,014	\$1,138	\$1,047	\$985	\$998	\$1,009	\$1,030	\$1,034	\$879
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	64%	60%	80%	77%	86%	63%	61%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,848	1,594	1,116	2,197	2,181	1,521	3,045	3,677	517
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,063	1,212	854	1,654	1,737	1,087	2,310	2,804	405
Participants in a Work Activity	11,582	1,129	808	1,635	1,649	1,062	2,225	2,699	375
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,616	637	513	1,084	1,081	635	1,570	1,791	305
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.10	\$6.32	\$6.16	\$5.80	\$6.32	\$6.38	\$6.02	\$6.09	\$5.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$805	\$826	\$812	\$769	\$845	\$871	\$792	\$797	\$730
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	49%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,123	15	121	54	221	230	128	263	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	520	4	54	12	92	93	55	157	53
Participants in a Work Activity	381	3	46	12	65	61	40	126	28
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	85%	100%	71%	66%	73%	80%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	262	1	37	8	44	44	34	74	20
% of Enrolled	50%	25%	69%	67%	48%	47%	62%	47%	38%
Full Time	234	1	35	8	39	38	31	64	18
Part Time	28	0	2	0	5	6	3	10	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.73	\$8.15	\$7.74	\$7.59	\$7.77	\$7.47	\$7.74	\$7.88	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$1,059	\$1,125	\$1,056	\$1,063	\$987	\$1,006	\$1,059	\$1,107

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	493	6	43	15	110	95	56	136	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	822	11	87	23	162	149	86	229	75
Participants in a Work Activity	699	10	79	20	135	127	82	190	56
% of Total Enrolled	85%	91%	91%	87%	83%	85%	95%	83%	75%
Participants Employed	403	7	56	14	60	69	54	110	33
% of Total Enrolled	49%	64%	64%	61%	37%	46%	63%	48%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.79	\$7.28	\$7.65	\$7.84	\$7.74	\$7.57	\$7.96	\$8.02	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$912	\$1,026	\$1,027	\$1,081	\$986	\$1,051	\$1,049	\$1,036
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	25%	65%	63%	76%	62%	69%	78%	59%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,296	229	1,261	611	1,614	1,602	1,427	1,889	663
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,784	176	1,041	492	1,341	1,323	1,144	1,687	580
Participants in a Work Activity	7,434	165	951	456	1,276	1,283	1,122	1,630	551
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	91%	93%	95%	97%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	5,111	111	695	327	786	869	767	1,190	366
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	59%	66%	67%	71%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.19	\$6.07	\$6.00	\$6.26	\$5.93	\$6.46	\$6.21	\$6.25	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$842	\$831	\$814	\$837	\$813	\$879	\$826	\$856	\$866
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	51%	47%	46%	56%	53%	48%	56%	49%	59%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,838	124	44	10	54	282	56	390	196	342	79	1,261
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1251	125	22	3	19	137	24	188	63	164	35	471
Participants in a Work Activity	817	54	3	3	18	81	21	142	47	133	26	289
% of Enrolled	65%	43%	14%	100%	95%	59%	88%	76%	75%	81%	74%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	1	1
Participants Employed	629	49	3	3	15	55	15	128	38	89	18	216
% of Enrolled	50%	39%	14%	100%	79%	40%	63%	68%	60%	54%	51%	46%
Full Time	523	32	3	3	12	43	12	110	36	70	13	189
Part Time	106	17	0	0	3	12	3	18	2	19	5	27
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.04	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.74	\$8.03	\$7.60	\$7.93	\$7.77	\$8.02	\$7.62	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,086	\$1,021	\$1,083	\$1,175	\$1,053	\$1,104	\$943	\$1,077	\$1,097	\$1,075	\$965	\$1,127

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,158	42	7	3	15	130	26	173	99	106	38	519
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,920	139	24	4	28	200	35	301	121	234	58	776
Participants in a Work Activity	1,555	84	7	3	25	142	30	272	113	201	46	632
% of Total Enrolled	81%	60%	29%	75%	89%	71%	86%	90%	93%	86%	79%	81%
Participants Employed	972	55	3	3	21	84	17	201	63	134	35	356
% of Total Enrolled	51%	40%	13%	75%	75%	42%	49%	67%	52%	57%	60%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$7.89	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.73	\$7.97	\$7.60	\$7.88	\$7.79	\$8.11	\$7.75	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$932	\$1,075	\$1,005	\$950	\$1,017	\$955	\$1,033	\$1,090	\$1,085	\$976	\$1,128
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	67%	50%	50%	71%	72%	70%	70%	56%	57%	68%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,269	1,089	305	70	451	1,593	466	2,611	1,608	1,975	722	7,379
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,759	813	186	28	321	1,182	326	2,112	1,263	1,565	548	5,415
Participants in a Work Activity	13,143	780	166	27	313	1,035	318	2,088	1,216	1,482	532	5,186
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	89%	96%	98%	88%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,517	581	85	18	228	737	192	1,686	814	1,163	393	3,620
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	46%	64%	71%	62%	59%	80%	64%	74%	72%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.98	\$6.77	\$7.20	\$7.02	\$6.86	\$6.81	\$6.94	\$6.83	\$6.69	\$7.46	\$6.90	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$941	\$822	\$918	\$1,030	\$919	\$936	\$938	\$924	\$930	\$1,029	\$897	\$956
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	46%	42%	60%	47%	50%	48%	54%	44%	52%	46%	50%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,030	630	8	1	91	300
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	460	255	5	0	39	161
Participants in a Work Activity	357	191	3	N/A	25	138
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	60%	N/A	64%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	278	157	1	N/A	23	97
% of Enrolled	60%	62%	20%	N/A	59%	60%
Full Time	230	130	0	N/A	18	82
Part Time	48	27	1	N/A	5	15
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.05	\$7.82	\$7.25	N/A	\$7.98	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,083	\$1,061	\$314	N/A	\$1,050	\$1,133

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	452	253	4	0	32	163
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	691	390	6	0	53	242
Participants in a Work Activity	621	345	4	N/A	45	227
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	67%	N/A	85%	94%
Participants Employed	438	238	3	N/A	37	160
% of Total Enrolled	63%	61%	50%	N/A	70%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$7.94	\$4.83	M/A	\$7.81	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,052	\$520	N/A	\$1,016	\$1,110
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	70%	67%	N/A	62%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,140	3,840	72	16	633	2,579
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	5,399	2,951	45	7	466	1,930
Participants in a Work Activity	5,257	2,888	43	7	441	1,878
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,812	1,999	28	5	347	1,433
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	62%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.02	\$7.02	\$6.42	\$7.75	\$6.63	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$936	\$945	\$681	\$694	\$856	\$949
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	52%	60%	56%	58%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	576	20	184	71	32	121	148	304	200	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	255	7	81	29	13	64	61	116	56	60
Participants in a Work Activity	189	6	52	23	12	47	49	59	28	31
% of Enrolled	74%	86%	64%	79%	92%	73%	80%	51%	50%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	2	1	3	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	110	5	29	20	6	27	23	49	23	26
% of Enrolled	43%	71%	36%	69%	46%	42%	38%	42%	41%	43%
Full Time	88	5	22	16	5	23	17	36	17	19
Part Time	22	0	7	4	1	4	6	13	6	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.37	\$8.55	\$8.78	\$7.73	\$8.21	\$8.78	\$7.92	\$7.94	\$8.21	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,128	\$1,253	\$1,196	\$1,001	\$1,055	\$1,194	\$1,066	\$1,055	\$1,126	\$992

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	266	6	74	32	37	52	65	114	80	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	452	9	120	69	33	110	111	177	101	76
Participants in a Work Activity	352	8	91	57	29	77	90	140	78	62
% of Total Enrolled	78%	89%	76%	83%	88%	70%	81%	79%	77%	82%
Participants Employed	201	6	48	38	14	47	48	87	47	40
% of Total Enrolled	44%	67%	40%	55%	42%	43%	43%	49%	47%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.46	\$8.50	\$9.12	\$8.12	\$8.33	\$8.74	\$7.88	\$8.13	\$8.26	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,129	\$1,212	\$1,223	\$1,103	\$1,112	\$1,205	\$983	\$1,072	\$1,080	\$1,064
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	83%	64%	47%	70%	72%	59%	83%	76%	91%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,608	180	924	719	572	1118	1095	2,246	1415	831
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,383	105	674	584	341	829	850	1,633	999	634
Participants in a Work Activity	3,211	100	634	568	330	770	809	1562	965	597
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	97%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	2,231	64	393	410	216	575	573	928	530	398
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	70%	63%	69%	67%	57%	53%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.21	\$7.30	\$7.54	\$7.23	\$6.59	\$7.27	\$7.12	\$6.55	\$6.62	\$6.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	\$974	\$988	\$982	\$911	\$991	\$955	\$828	\$836	\$818
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	47%	51%	55%	52%	56%	51%	62%	58%	67%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,214	318	1,565	258	1,331	503	195	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,799	125	601	149	627	216	73	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,380	110	486	100	455	175	47	7
% of Enrolled	77%	88%	81%	67%	73%	81%	64%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	1	13	0	5	2	0	0
Participants Employed	822	46	272	71	305	103	21	4
% of Enrolled	46%	37%	45%	48%	49%	48%	29%	50%
Full Time	608	31	211	44	220	80	18	4
Part Time	214	15	61	27	85	23	3	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.48	\$9.78	\$9.53	\$10.48	\$9.13	\$9.73	\$8.85	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,261	\$1,313	\$1,291	\$1,344	\$1,195	\$1,293	\$1,250	\$1,328

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,450	103	481	110	509	158	72	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,707	187	961	215	919	285	127	13
Participants in a Work Activity	2,363	173	860	176	778	256	107	13
% of Total Enrolled	87%	93%	89%	82%	85%	90%	84%	100%
Participants Employed	1,298	77	453	100	475	144	44	5
% of Total Enrolled	48%	41%	47%	47%	52%	51%	35%	38%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.79	\$9.90	\$10.22	\$10.54	\$9.27	\$9.95	\$9.00	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,283	\$1,309	\$1,351	\$1,321	\$1,210	\$1,318	\$1,191	\$1,190
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	77%	74%	75%	73%	82%	75%	55%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	30,722	2,695	10,767	2,153	9,820	3,568	1,323	396
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	23,513	2,091	8,057	1,688	7,557	2,823	1,007	290
Participants in a Work Activity	22,711	2,069	7,789	1,616	7,280	2,702	967	288
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	16,705	1,508	5,459	1,318	5,548	2,004	655	213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	73%	71%	65%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.52	\$8.06	\$8.50	\$9.07	\$8.68	\$8.22	\$8.04	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,137	\$1,050	\$1,126	\$1,178	\$1,191	\$1,054	\$1,086	\$1,068
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	65%	62%	70%	60%	65%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	443	195	102	32	110	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	187	72	45	14	54	2
Participants in a Work Activity	129	52	31	10	36	0
% of Enrolled	69%	72%	69%	71%	67%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	2	5	0	2	0
Participants Employed	93	40	18	5	30	0
% of Enrolled	50%	56%	40%	36%	56%	0%
Full Time	70	28	13	4	25	N/A
Part Time	23	12	5	1	5	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.78	\$8.18	\$9.18	\$8.45	\$9.38	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,145	\$1,000	\$1,180	\$1,139	\$1,317	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	182	88	47	12	31	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	261	97	71	25	64	4
Participants in a Work Activity	217	79	57	25	53	3
% of Total Enrolled	83%	81%	80%	100%	83%	75%
Participants Employed	140	53	37	9	40	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	55%	52%	36%	63%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.59	\$8.09	\$8.82	\$8.97	\$8.93	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,078	\$958	\$1,141	\$1,128	\$1,170	\$774
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	76%	88%	100%	66%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,511	1,352	1027	324	717	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,635	963	785	242	584	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,539	927	774	235	545	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,792	635	538	158	422	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	65%	72%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.34	\$7.30	\$7.66	\$7.10	\$7.09	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$950	\$1,002	\$991	\$955	\$954
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	61%	47%	44%	48%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	708	139	47	64	96	31	331
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	336	40	17	34	42	10	193
Participants in a Work Activity	291	35	12	21	35	6	182
% of Enrolled	87%	88%	71%	62%	83%	60%	94%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	173	22	8	19	21	6	97
% of Enrolled	51%	55%	47%	56%	50%	60%	50%
Full Time	113	18	7	8	13	2	65
Part Time	60	4	1	11	8	4	32
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	\$9.17	\$7.77	\$8.39	\$8.41	\$8.11	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$1,322	\$1,039	\$960	\$1,042	\$888	\$983

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	277	51	18	21	43	12	132
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	500	75	22	39	71	21	272
Participants in a Work Activity	464	72	21	28	59	20	264
% of Total Enrolled	93%	96%	95%	72%	83%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	260	37	10	23	31	10	149
% of Total Enrolled	52%	49%	45%	59%	44%	48%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$8.70	\$8.02	\$8.36	\$8.40	\$7.76	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$976	\$1,143	\$1,026	\$943	\$1,051	\$926	\$926
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	72%	100%	65%	64%	89%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,314	1236	197	417	807	258	2,399
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,045	875	128	297	578	180	1,987
Participants in a Work Activity	3,944	854	123	275	555	178	1,959
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	96%	93%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,050	643	93	226	354	117	1,617
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	73%	76%	61%	65%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.28	\$7.69	\$7.41	\$7.24	\$7.34	\$7.56	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$939	\$999	\$1,077	\$910	\$955	\$992	\$919
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	57%	49%	54%	50%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,340	94	102	213	287	644
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	634	31	48	103	188	264
Participants in a Work Activity	458	20	42	70	134	192
% of Enrolled	72%	65%	88%	68%	71%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	310	15	29	43	92	131
% of Enrolled	49%	48%	60%	42%	49%	50%
Full Time	239	13	29	34	65	98
Part Time	71	2	0	9	27	33
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$7.83	\$7.41	\$8.64	\$7.81	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,065	\$1,025	\$1,097	\$1,174	\$1,032	\$1,051

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	461	31	26	96	82	226
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	940	54	66	174	265	381
Participants in a Work Activity	806	46	60	143	242	315
% of Total Enrolled	86%	85%	91%	82%	91%	83%
Participants Employed	458	29	36	72	148	173
% of Total Enrolled	49%	54%	55%	41%	56%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.72	\$7.44	\$8.61	\$7.97	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,048	\$1,019	\$1,111	\$1,167	\$1,004	\$1,025
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	67%	73%	62%	62%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,255	856	742	1,869	2,135	3,653
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,586	683	646	1,489	1,804	2,964
Participants in a Work Activity	7,178	651	634	1,391	1,697	2,805
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,252	461	454	977	1,248	2,112
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	70%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.44	\$6.64	\$6.15	\$6.51	\$6.64	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$841	\$848	\$849	\$843	\$880	\$817
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	50%	45%	55%	59%	62%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,670	245	566	106	257	496
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	614	78	258	91	64	123
Participants in a Work Activity	445	39	196	73	46	91
% of Enrolled	72%	50%	76%	80%	72%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	279	31	114	45	33	56
% of Enrolled	45%	40%	44%	49%	52%	46%
Full Time	228	22	98	27	31	50
Part Time	51	9	16	18	2	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.79	\$8.02	\$7.79	\$7.44	\$8.04	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,135	\$1,048	\$955	\$1,102	\$1,053

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	650	93	243	57	97	160
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,000	127	388	116	114	255
Participants in a Work Activity	873	105	351	98	95	224
% of Total Enrolled	87%	83%	90%	84%	83%	88%
Participants Employed	435	47	183	62	49	94
% of Total Enrolled	44%	37%	47%	53%	43%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.15	\$7.97	\$7.47	\$7.83	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,074	\$1,056	\$993	\$1,030	\$1,046
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	59%	66%	55%	69%	55%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,652	1,800	3,131	1,142	1,889	3,690
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,308	1,489	2,349	990	1,443	3,037
Participants in a Work Activity	8,995	1,436	2,252	931	1,417	2,959
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	97%
Participants Employed	5,828	862	1,501	666	800	1,999
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	64%	67%	55%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.30	\$6.25	\$6.68	\$6.21	\$6.28	\$6.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$843	\$828	\$931	\$813	\$857	\$794
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	48%	54%	65%	51%	52%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,069	78	130	70	77	62	135	61	172	131	153
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	524	36	55	29	39	32	65	45	73	70	80
Participants in a Work Activity	374	29	39	25	30	26	50	15	55	45	60
% of Enrolled	71%	81%	71%	86%	77%	81%	77%	33%	75%	64%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	233	18	33	15	18	12	23	11	35	33	35
% of Enrolled	44%	50%	60%	52%	46%	38%	35%	24%	48%	47%	44%
Full Time	172	12	24	13	14	12	9	7	26	28	27
Part Time	61	6	9	2	4	0	14	4	9	5	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$8.24	\$7.45	\$7.14	\$7.75	\$9.13	\$7.47	\$7.19	\$7.71	\$8.21	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,031	\$981	\$914	\$1,047	\$1,052	\$1,331	\$818	\$855	\$1,064	\$1,137	\$1,112

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	441	22	51	33	36	27	57	18	75	47	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	795	51	77	52	55	52	110	50	123	103	122
Participants in a Work Activity	671	44	68	45	50	47	94	29	107	80	107
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	88%	87%	91%	90%	85%	58%	87%	78%	88%
Participants Employed	360	25	43	22	25	25	43	12	59	55	51
% of Total Enrolled	45%	49%	56%	42%	45%	48%	39%	24%	48%	53%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$8.25	\$7.46	\$7.63	\$8.03	\$8.68	\$7.51	\$7.19	\$8.12	\$8.12	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$991	\$1,037	\$903	\$1,074	\$1,006	\$1,074	\$786	\$797	\$1,007	\$1,108	\$1,030
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	87%	67%	71%	50%	56%	60%	62%	63%	78%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,052	427	911	707	603	479	836	296	1025	837	931
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,361	304	717	525	424	342	647	222	804	634	742
Participants in a Work Activity	5,116	288	712	488	394	327	618	220	761	589	719
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	99%	93%	93%	96%	96%	99%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,287	185	414	297	217	199	377	101	537	433	527
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	58%	57%	51%	58%	58%	45%	67%	68%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.61	\$7.25	\$6.12	\$6.93	\$7.04	\$7.13	\$6.55	\$5.86	\$6.39	\$6.59	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$863	\$948	\$814	\$931	\$911	\$945	\$805	\$730	\$826	\$882	\$866
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	46%	57%	52%	48%	47%	54%	54%	57%	56%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,009	1087	46	172	1,656	49	2,999
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,571	442	25	100	784	19	1,201
Participants in a Work Activity	1,778	302	16	60	531	12	857
% of Enrolled	69%	68%	64%	60%	68%	63%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	2	2	0	2	0	3
Participants Employed	1,020	188	7	48	347	7	423
% of Enrolled	40%	43%	28%	48%	44%	37%	35%
Full Time	737	153	5	30	243	4	302
Part Time	283	35	2	18	104	3	121
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.11	\$8.13	\$8.06	\$8.40	\$8.35	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,079	\$1,086	\$1,074	\$1,012	\$1,110	\$777	\$1,062

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,935	395	26	74	494	23	923
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,587	658	32	137	1,035	30	1,695
Participants in a Work Activity	3,016	545	21	94	875	23	1,458
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	66%	69%	85%	77%	86%
Participants Employed	1,546	294	12	69	497	12	662
% of Total Enrolled	43%	45%	38%	50%	48%	40%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	\$8.38	\$8.41	\$8.06	\$8.46	\$8.68	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,104	\$1,105	\$932	\$1,113	\$990	\$1,065
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	77%	78%	69%	77%	71%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	32,846	6,613	241	1098	7,938	294	16,662
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	25,352	4,969	173	781	6,266	207	12,956
Participants in a Work Activity	24,383	4,804	160	711	5,942	192	12,574
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	92%	91%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	17,370	3,224	111	502	4,247	120	9,166
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	64%	64%	68%	58%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.48	\$6.77	\$8.09	\$7.52	\$7.38	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$977	\$1,007	\$877	\$1,044	\$1,014	\$923	\$951
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	59%	61%	54%	63%	57%	53%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,190	201	52	454	298	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	662	131	16	236	159	120
Participants in a Work Activity	410	59	9	144	111	87
% of Enrolled	62%	45%	56%	61%	70%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	2	2	3	0	3
Participants Employed	303	42	6	113	69	73
% of Enrolled	46%	32%	38%	48%	43%	61%
Full Time	235	27	5	97	54	52
Part Time	68	15	1	16	15	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$8.42	\$8.46	\$8.33	\$8.50	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,098	\$1,005	\$1,329	\$1,089	\$1,063	\$1,179

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	479	73	25	181	122	78
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	944	158	28	346	230	182
Participants in a Work Activity	725	126	17	251	185	146
% of Total Enrolled	77%	80%	61%	73%	80%	80%
Participants Employed	457	72	7	175	100	103
% of Total Enrolled	48%	46%	25%	51%	43%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.47	\$8.40	\$8.61	\$8.49	\$8.55	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,071	\$1,010	\$1,138	\$1,069	\$1,074	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	72%	57%	85%	75%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,245	1,132	447	2,135	2,110	1,421
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,213	790	293	1,654	1,289	1,187
Participants in a Work Activity	4,921	753	276	1,500	1,249	1,143
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	94%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,500	494	190	1,137	769	910
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	65%	69%	60%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.45	\$7.27	\$7.88	\$8.28	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,013	\$949	\$918	\$1,027	\$1,118	\$982
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	43%	47%	56%	58%	56%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	452	91	25	58	47	24	42	29	30	106
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	179	44	4	28	13	17	27	9	2	35
Participants in a Work Activity	126	34	3	24	7	15	15	7	2	19
% of Enrolled	70%	77%	75%	86%	54%	88%	56%	78%	100%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	94	23	3	15	7	8	14	5	1	18
% of Enrolled	53%	52%	75%	54%	54%	47%	52%	56%	50%	51%
Full Time	74	18	2	11	7	6	12	3	0	15
Part Time	20	5	1	4	0	2	2	2	1	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$8.19	\$5.55	\$8.22	\$7.86	\$8.03	\$7.37	\$7.40	\$10.00	\$7.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,046	\$1,190	\$653	\$1,080	\$1,111	\$1,114	\$1,031	\$942	\$260	\$929

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	166	28	12	21	18	12	13	13	11	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	282	65	9	48	23	22	32	17	13	53
Participants in a Work Activity	228	61	7	42	18	19	23	13	13	32
% of Total Enrolled	81%	94%	78%	88%	78%	86%	72%	76%	100%	60%
Participants Employed	150	39	4	25	11	11	17	8	7	28
% of Total Enrolled	53%	60%	44%	52%	48%	50%	53%	47%	54%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$8.26	\$6.33	\$8.03	\$7.94	\$7.83	\$7.30	\$7.84	\$8.43	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,001	\$1,019	\$921	\$1,004	\$1,016	\$1,022	\$997	\$913	\$1,056	\$983
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	58%	51%	50%	61%	31%	75%	84%	100%	30%	55%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,179	479	241	274	367	180	363	281	295	699
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,313	372	158	222	280	117	264	229	168	503
Participants in a Work Activity	2,173	358	148	214	261	110	240	221	164	457
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	94%	91%	97%	98%	91%
Participants Employed	1,492	262	83	135	189	72	178	136	108	329
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	53%	61%	68%	62%	67%	59%	64%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.85	\$6.86	\$6.91	\$7.29	\$6.63	\$6.80	\$6.88	\$6.51	\$6.88	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$880	\$845	\$877	\$969	\$833	\$868	\$893	\$839	\$928	\$905
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	41%	36%	46%	48%	55%	57%	41%	34%	50%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,561	29	113	144	45	129	1,247	1,826	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,586	10	37	50	21	77	532	836	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,153	6	23	40	10	57	388	613	16
% of Enrolled	73%	60%	62%	80%	48%	74%	73%	73%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	0	0	0	7	1	0
Participants Employed	794	3	22	27	5	42	248	431	16
% of Enrolled	50%	30%	59%	54%	24%	55%	47%	52%	70%
Full Time	615	3	17	20	2	22	187	353	11
Part Time	179	0	5	7	3	20	61	78	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$8.68	\$7.73	\$9.00	\$7.51	\$8.61	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,399	\$1,012	\$1,208	\$767	\$1,100	\$1,030	\$1,097	\$1,088

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,335	15	52	58	15	48	464	670	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,359	15	75	93	28	119	780	1,218	31
Participants in a Work Activity	1,947	13	55	81	16	91	691	976	24
% of Total Enrolled	83%	87%	73%	87%	57%	76%	89%	80%	77%
Participants Employed	1,178	5	40	50	5	65	381	609	23
% of Total Enrolled	50%	33%	53%	54%	18%	55%	49%	50%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$9.40	\$7.94	\$8.94	\$7.51	\$8.34	\$8.04	\$8.06	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$1,556	\$975	\$1,165	\$748	\$1,038	\$993	\$1,072	\$1,119
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	25%	53%	72%	80%	91%	73%	73%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	23,247	142	970	941	280	905	8,137	11,541	331
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	17,121	100	679	728	205	661	5,858	8,652	238
Participants in a Work Activity	16,295	96	623	677	191	632	5,715	8,139	222
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	93%	96%	98%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,161	53	451	511	121	422	4,149	6,278	176
% of Total Enrolled	71%	53%	66%	70%	59%	64%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.89	\$6.85	\$6.73	\$7.27	\$7.39	\$7.00	\$6.89	\$6.85	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$907	\$899	\$838	\$893	\$1,000	\$880	\$902	\$916	\$904
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	53%	54%	52%	52%	47%	53%	58%	51%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,334	137	92	62	75	338	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	680	24	38	38	40	231	309
Participants in a Work Activity	406	21	29	25	32	101	198
% of Enrolled	60%	88%	76%	66%	80%	44%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	3	0	1	4	1
Participants Employed	278	14	21	22	12	72	137
% of Enrolled	41%	58%	55%	58%	30%	31%	44%
Full Time	212	9	16	16	10	46	115
Part Time	66	5	5	6	2	26	22
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.55	\$7.88	\$8.76	\$8.16	\$7.64	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$961	\$1,093	\$1,065	\$1,086	\$927	\$1,096

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	409	51	20	11	27	105	195
Total Enrolled in VIEW	929	43	59	43	65	285	434
Participants in a Work Activity	713	41	45	42	56	182	347
% of Total Enrolled	77%	95%	76%	98%	86%	64%	80%
Participants Employed	416	24	29	28	25	102	208
% of Total Enrolled	45%	56%	49%	65%	38%	36%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.69	\$8.02	\$8.75	\$7.84	\$7.80	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,065	\$998	\$1,096	\$1,002	\$953	\$1,101
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	50%	85%	78%	65%	70%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,350	1067	727	316	663	2,091	3,486
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,483	732	539	255	528	1,675	2,754
Participants in a Work Activity	6,182	729	470	242	512	1,596	2,633
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,481	490	335	185	349	1,152	1,970
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	62%	73%	66%	69%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.88	\$6.83	\$7.29	\$7.08	\$6.85	\$6.75	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$932	\$945	\$977	\$870	\$938	\$909	\$941
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	52%	50%	48%	57%	51%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through October 31, 2010

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/10 and Work Activity as of 10/29/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,833	160	141	1,199	109	2,340	1,307	417	1,160
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,956	55	61	541	25	1,153	537	139	445
Participants in a Work Activity	2,267	38	34	451	13	925	385	96	325
% of Enrolled	77%	69%	56%	83%	52%	80%	72%	69%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	0	5	2	0	3	13	0	3
Participants Employed	1,474	30	23	301	3	597	231	74	215
% of Enrolled	50%	55%	38%	56%	12%	52%	43%	53%	48%
Full Time	1,241	20	13	264	1	529	178	59	177
Part Time	233	10	10	37	2	68	53	15	38
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$8.62	\$7.71	\$7.92	\$7.25	\$7.85	\$7.83	\$8.05	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,058	\$972	\$1,079	\$576	\$1,013	\$1,048	\$1,041	\$1,083

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,365	67	43	395	51	761	423	126	499
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,264	103	81	795	55	1,534	793	225	678
Participants in a Work Activity	3,658	83	67	699	45	1,339	674	203	548
% of Total Enrolled	86%	81%	83%	88%	82%	87%	85%	90%	81%
Participants Employed	2,116	52	30	446	10	794	342	116	326
% of Total Enrolled	50%	50%	37%	56%	18%	52%	43%	52%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$8.27	\$7.72	\$8.07	\$7.73	\$7.80	\$8.00	\$8.14	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,052	\$918	\$1,092	\$849	\$1,001	\$1,041	\$1,069	\$1,096
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	74%	67%	74%	65%	75%	72%	66%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	46,917	1063	893	7,517	738	15,134	7,618	2,983	10,971
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	34,002	757	707	5,413	581	10,870	5,965	2,318	7,391
Participants in a Work Activity	32,163	726	676	5,147	564	10,300	5,606	2,287	6,857
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,096	535	417	3,982	385	7,705	4,351	1,731	4,990
% of Total Enrolled	71%	71%	59%	74%	66%	71%	73%	75%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.74	\$6.80	\$6.57	\$6.90	\$6.35	\$6.63	\$6.64	\$6.59	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$887	\$903	\$820	\$915	\$796	\$852	\$894	\$862	\$944
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	45%	56%	54%	55%	61%	58%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	46.5%	5.39	74	\$8.26	6.01	37	76.1%	6.81	30	6.07	44
003	Albemarle	49.3%	5.83	57	\$8.70	6.78	16	72.2%	6.30	45	6.30	35
005	Alleghany/Covington	39.6%	4.28	100	\$7.89	5.36	74	67.4%	5.66	67	5.10	92
007	Amelia	49.0%	5.78	59	\$8.25	5.99	39	86.7%	8.22	8	6.66	22
009	Amherst	53.7%	6.52	36	\$7.72	5.06	93	66.7%	5.56	69	5.71	65
011	Appomattox	54.5%	6.66	32	\$7.44	4.57	113	73.3%	6.44	41	5.89	55
013	Arlington	41.2%	4.54	97	\$9.90	8.88	4	77.2%	6.96	25	6.79	21
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.0%	7.68	14	\$7.94	5.45	70	70.5%	6.06	57	6.40	30
017	Bath	50.0%	5.94	54	\$4.83	0.00	119	66.7%	5.56	70	3.83	114
019	Bedford County/City	41.4%	4.57	96	\$8.61	6.62	19	61.5%	4.87	89	5.35	83
021	Bland	63.6%	8.09	11	\$7.28	4.29	115	25.0%	0.00	117	4.13	112
023	Botetourt	12.5%	0.00	119	\$7.50	4.68	109	50.0%	3.33	109	2.67	119
025	Brunswick	55.8%	6.86	26	\$7.46	4.61	112	66.7%	5.56	71	5.67	68
027	Buchanan	43.1%	4.84	89	\$7.99	5.53	63	63.6%	5.15	84	5.17	90
029	Buckingham	42.3%	4.72	93	\$7.63	4.90	100	70.8%	6.11	55	5.24	85
031	Campbell	55.8%	6.86	25	\$7.97	5.50	64	61.7%	4.89	88	5.75	63
033	Caroline	45.6%	5.23	78	\$8.40	6.25	29	71.6%	6.22	52	5.90	54
035	Carroll	64.4%	8.21	10	\$7.65	4.94	99	65.4%	5.38	78	6.18	40
036	Charles City	33.3%	3.30	113	\$9.40	8.00	5	25.0%	0.00	118	3.77	116
037	Charlotte	45.5%	5.22	79	\$8.03	5.60	56	50.0%	3.33	110	4.72	104
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	44.7%	5.09	82	\$8.38	6.22	31	76.5%	6.87	28	6.06	45
043	Clarke	66.7%	8.57	7	\$8.50	6.43	23	83.3%	7.78	15	7.59	2
045	Craig	75.0%	9.89	2	\$7.75	5.11	88	50.0%	3.33	111	6.11	42
047	Culpeper	54.6%	6.67	30	\$8.09	5.71	50	76.2%	6.83	29	6.40	29
049	Cumberland	48.1%	5.63	63	\$8.68	6.74	17	55.6%	4.07	102	5.48	78
051	Dickensen	54.5%	6.66	33	\$7.51	4.69	106	60.0%	4.67	96	5.34	84
053	Dimwiddie	55.8%	6.86	27	\$7.69	5.01	96	50.0%	3.33	112	5.07	94
057	Essex	60.0%	7.52	17	\$8.26	6.01	38	51.3%	3.50	108	5.68	67
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	47.1%	5.48	72	\$10.22	9.44	2	73.8%	6.51	39	7.14	9
061	Fauquier	52.1%	6.27	43	\$8.82	6.99	13	87.5%	8.33	7	7.20	8
063	Floyd	75.0%	9.89	3	\$7.73	5.08	91	70.8%	6.11	56	7.03	11
065	Fluvanna	45.5%	5.22	80	\$8.02	5.59	58	100.0%	10.00	1	6.93	13
067	Franklin County	42.0%	4.67	94	\$7.97	5.50	65	71.7%	6.22	51	5.46	80
069	Frederick	40.0%	4.35	98	\$9.12	7.51	7	64.3%	5.24	82	5.70	66
071	Giles	48.6%	5.71	61	\$7.60	4.85	102	69.6%	5.94	62	5.50	77
073	Gloucester	53.3%	6.46	39	\$7.94	5.45	71	52.9%	3.73	107	5.21	87
075	Goochland	37.5%	3.96	105	\$8.41	6.27	27	77.8%	7.04	22	5.75	62
077	Grayson	60.9%	7.66	15	\$7.84	5.27	78	63.2%	5.09	85	6.01	48

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	59.0%	7.36	18	\$8.36	6.18	33	64.7%	5.29	81	6.28	36
081	Greensville/Emporia	39.1%	4.21	101	\$7.51	4.69	107	60.5%	4.73	95	4.54	108
083	Halifax	37.0%	3.88	108	\$8.15	5.81	43	65.9%	5.45	75	5.05	95
085	Hanover	50.4%	5.99	53	\$8.06	5.66	53	69.5%	5.93	63	5.86	56
087	Henrico	48.0%	5.62	65	\$8.46	6.36	25	77.0%	6.94	26	6.31	34
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.2%	5.49	71	\$7.97	5.50	66	54.6%	3.95	105	4.98	97
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	50.5%	6.01	52	\$8.27	6.02	36	73.8%	6.51	38	6.18	39
095	James City	53.8%	6.53	35	\$8.94	7.20	11	71.7%	6.23	50	6.65	23
097	King and Queen	44.4%	5.06	83	\$6.33	2.63	118	50.0%	3.33	113	3.67	117
099	King George	25.0%	1.98	114	\$8.61	6.62	20	57.1%	4.29	100	4.29	110
101	King William	52.1%	6.27	44	\$8.03	5.60	57	61.1%	4.81	93	5.56	74
103	Lancaster	47.8%	5.59	69	\$7.94	5.45	72	30.8%	0.77	115	3.94	113
105	Lee	57.9%	7.19	20	\$7.47	4.62	110	80.0%	7.33	17	6.38	31
107	Loudoun	46.5%	5.38	75	\$10.54	10.00	1	75.2%	6.70	32	7.36	6
109	Louisa	43.7%	4.93	85	\$8.40	6.25	30	63.9%	5.19	83	5.46	81
111	Lunenburg	24.0%	1.82	116	\$7.19	4.13	116	61.5%	4.87	90	3.61	118
113	Madison	36.0%	3.72	110	\$8.97	7.25	10	100.0%	10.00	2	6.99	12
115	Mathews	50.0%	5.94	55	\$7.83	5.25	81	75.0%	6.67	33	5.95	52
117	Mecklenburg	48.0%	5.61	66	\$8.12	5.76	45	62.9%	5.05	86	5.48	79
119	Middlesex	53.1%	6.43	40	\$7.30	4.33	114	84.2%	7.89	14	6.22	38
121	Montgomery	66.8%	8.59	6	\$7.88	5.34	75	69.7%	5.97	60	6.63	24
125	Nelson	47.6%	5.56	70	\$7.76	5.13	87	88.9%	8.52	6	6.40	28
127	New Kent	17.9%	0.85	118	\$7.51	4.69	108	80.0%	7.33	18	4.29	111
131	Northampton	52.6%	6.35	42	\$7.97	5.50	67	91.4%	8.86	4	6.90	15
133	Northumberland	47.1%	5.47	73	\$7.84	5.27	79	100.0%	10.00	3	6.91	14
135	Nottoway	53.4%	6.47	38	\$8.12	5.76	46	77.8%	7.04	23	6.42	27
137	Orange	62.5%	7.91	13	\$8.93	7.18	12	65.5%	5.40	77	6.83	18
139	Page	55.1%	6.74	28	\$8.12	5.76	47	47.4%	2.98	114	5.16	91
141	Patrick	53.4%	6.48	37	\$7.47	4.62	111	68.9%	5.85	65	5.65	70
143	Pittsylvania	43.0%	4.83	90	\$7.83	5.25	82	55.3%	4.04	103	4.71	105
145	Powhatan	40.0%	4.35	99	\$8.68	6.74	18	71.4%	6.19	53	5.76	61
147	Prince Edward	41.8%	4.64	95	\$7.97	5.50	68	73.6%	6.48	40	5.54	75
149	Prince George	49.2%	5.80	58	\$8.02	5.59	59	84.6%	7.95	12	6.45	26
153	Prince William	51.7%	6.20	47	\$9.27	7.78	6	73.3%	6.44	42	6.81	20
155	Pulaski	52.1%	6.26	45	\$7.79	5.18	86	56.4%	4.18	101	5.21	88
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	1.98	115	\$9.00	7.30	8	N/A	#####	N/A	4.64	107
159	Richmond County	53.8%	6.54	34	\$8.43	6.30	26	30.0%	0.67	116	4.51	109
161	Roanoke County	57.3%	7.09	21	\$8.11	5.74	48	57.4%	4.32	99	5.72	64
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	69.8%	9.07	5	\$7.81	5.22	83	84.6%	7.95	13	7.41	4
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.1%	8.49	8	\$8.37	6.20	32	69.8%	5.97	59	6.89	16
167	Russell	47.9%	5.60	68	\$7.71	5.04	95	76.8%	6.91	27	5.85	57
169	Scott	51.0%	6.10	49	\$7.59	4.83	104	86.4%	8.19	9	6.37	32
171	Shenandoah	42.4%	4.74	92	\$8.33	6.13	35	70.0%	6.00	58	5.62	72

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	37.0%	3.88	<b>106</b>	\$7.74	5.10	<b>90</b>	75.6%	6.75	<b>31</b>	5.24	<b>86</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	37.0%	3.88	<b>107</b>	\$7.72	5.06	<b>94</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>72</b>	4.83	<b>103</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	50.6%	6.03	<b>50</b>	\$8.49	6.41	<b>24</b>	85.1%	8.01	<b>11</b>	6.82	<b>19</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	43.5%	4.90	<b>86</b>	\$8.55	6.51	<b>22</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>34</b>	6.03	<b>46</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	65.1%	8.33	<b>9</b>	\$8.75	6.87	<b>14</b>	77.8%	7.04	<b>24</b>	7.41	<b>5</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	38.5%	4.11	<b>103</b>	\$7.84	5.27	<b>80</b>	65.4%	5.38	<b>79</b>	4.92	<b>99</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.9%	6.88	<b>24</b>	\$7.67	4.97	<b>97</b>	62.6%	5.02	<b>87</b>	5.62	<b>71</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	42.7%	4.78	<b>91</b>	\$8.74	6.85	<b>15</b>	72.2%	6.30	<b>46</b>	5.98	<b>50</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.3%	5.35	<b>76</b>	\$7.57	4.80	<b>105</b>	61.5%	4.87	<b>91</b>	5.01	<b>96</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	52.8%	6.38	<b>41</b>	\$7.60	4.85	<b>103</b>	55.0%	4.00	<b>104</b>	5.08	<b>93</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	58.4%	7.26	<b>19</b>	\$7.62	4.89	<b>101</b>	61.5%	4.86	<b>92</b>	5.67	<b>69</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	62.8%	7.96	<b>12</b>	\$7.96	5.48	<b>69</b>	69.4%	5.93	<b>64</b>	6.46	<b>25</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.6%	6.67	<b>31</b>	\$8.34	6.15	<b>34</b>	91.3%	8.84	<b>5</b>	7.22	<b>7</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.5%	6.02	<b>51</b>	\$9.95	8.97	<b>3</b>	82.5%	7.66	<b>16</b>	7.55	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.0%	5.62	<b>64</b>	\$8.02	5.59	<b>60</b>	78.1%	7.08	<b>21</b>	6.10	<b>43</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	54.8%	6.69	<b>29</b>	\$8.16	5.83	<b>42</b>	72.1%	6.28	<b>48</b>	6.27	<b>37</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.1%	6.90	<b>23</b>	\$8.07	5.67	<b>52</b>	74.0%	6.53	<b>37</b>	6.37	<b>33</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.9%	3.86	<b>109</b>	\$7.93	5.43	<b>73</b>	61.1%	4.81	<b>94</b>	4.70	<b>106</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	18.2%	0.90	<b>117</b>	\$7.73	5.08	<b>92</b>	65.0%	5.33	<b>80</b>	3.77	<b>115</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	56.6%	6.98	<b>22</b>	\$8.41	6.27	<b>28</b>	79.8%	7.30	<b>20</b>	6.85	<b>17</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	44.0%	4.99	<b>84</b>	\$7.67	4.97	<b>98</b>	59.3%	4.57	<b>97</b>	4.84	<b>102</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	48.8%	5.75	<b>60</b>	\$8.04	5.62	<b>55</b>	72.8%	6.38	<b>43</b>	5.92	<b>53</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	35.8%	3.69	<b>111</b>	\$7.80	5.20	<b>84</b>	69.7%	5.95	<b>61</b>	4.95	<b>98</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	45.4%	5.21	<b>81</b>	\$7.86	5.31	<b>77</b>	67.4%	5.66	<b>68</b>	5.39	<b>82</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	34.6%	3.51	<b>112</b>	\$9.00	7.30	<b>9</b>	75.0%	6.67	<b>35</b>	5.83	<b>58</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	38.5%	4.11	<b>104</b>	\$8.59	6.58	<b>21</b>	54.6%	3.94	<b>106</b>	4.88	<b>101</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.0%	5.94	<b>56</b>	\$8.06	5.66	<b>54</b>	72.8%	6.37	<b>44</b>	5.99	<b>49</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.8%	6.21	<b>46</b>	\$7.80	5.20	<b>85</b>	74.7%	6.63	<b>36</b>	6.01	<b>47</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.7%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$7.05	3.89	<b>117</b>	80.0%	7.33	<b>19</b>	7.07	<b>10</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	47.9%	5.61	<b>67</b>	\$8.10	5.73	<b>49</b>	71.0%	6.14	<b>54</b>	5.82	<b>59</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	43.1%	4.85	<b>88</b>	\$8.00	5.55	<b>62</b>	72.2%	6.29	<b>47</b>	5.56	<b>73</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.3%	7.57	<b>16</b>	\$7.75	5.11	<b>89</b>	67.9%	5.71	<b>66</b>	6.13	<b>41</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	39.1%	4.20	<b>102</b>	\$8.18	5.87	<b>41</b>	66.2%	5.49	<b>74</b>	5.19	<b>89</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.9%	5.28	<b>77</b>	\$8.09	5.71	<b>51</b>	66.5%	5.53	<b>73</b>	5.51	<b>76</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	51.6%	6.18	<b>48</b>	\$8.14	5.80	<b>44</b>	65.7%	5.43	<b>76</b>	5.80	<b>60</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.1%	5.63	<b>62</b>	\$8.24	5.97	<b>40</b>	72.1%	6.27	<b>49</b>	5.96	<b>51</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.2%	9.77	<b>4</b>	\$8.02	5.59	<b>61</b>	85.7%	8.09	<b>10</b>	7.82	<b>1</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	43.2%	4.87	<b>87</b>	\$7.88	5.34	<b>76</b>	58.9%	4.52	<b>98</b>	4.91	<b>100</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.1%	2.11	114	\$836	3.05	95	58.4%	6.81	25	3.99	104
003	Albemarle	73.5%	7.80	13	\$999	6.24	18	54.7%	5.80	54	6.61	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.5%	7.24	24	\$822	2.78	102	45.8%	3.35	109	4.45	90
007	Amelia	60.9%	4.28	96	\$948	5.24	37	46.4%	3.50	106	4.34	95
009	Amherst	67.5%	6.13	51	\$848	3.28	88	50.4%	4.61	84	4.67	81
011	Appomattox	70.3%	6.91	36	\$849	3.30	87	45.2%	3.18	111	4.46	89
013	Arlington	72.1%	7.42	21	\$1,050	7.25	9	64.0%	8.36	7	7.68	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.7%	6.20	48	\$945	5.17	40	55.1%	5.90	52	5.76	36
017	Bath	62.2%	4.66	91	\$681	0.00	120	52.0%	5.05	75	3.24	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.6%	5.61	67	\$843	3.17	91	54.6%	5.77	56	4.85	75
021	Bland	63.1%	4.90	86	\$831	2.96	97	46.9%	3.66	101	3.84	107
023	Botetourt	45.7%	0.06	119	\$918	4.66	54	41.8%	2.24	116	2.32	118
025	Brunswick	57.7%	3.41	111	\$814	2.62	106	57.1%	6.46	30	4.16	100
027	Buchanan	52.6%	1.97	116	\$826	2.86	99	54.5%	5.74	57	3.52	111
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.09	112	\$931	4.90	47	52.5%	5.18	71	4.39	92
031	Campbell	69.2%	6.60	40	\$880	3.90	73	58.7%	6.89	23	5.80	34
033	Caroline	62.5%	4.75	88	\$949	5.25	36	43.4%	2.68	115	4.23	98
035	Carroll	66.8%	5.93	59	\$814	2.61	107	46.2%	3.46	107	4.00	103
036	Charles City	53.0%	2.09	115	\$899	4.28	66	53.5%	5.46	66	3.94	105
037	Charlotte	51.2%	1.58	118	\$911	4.51	59	47.9%	3.91	96	3.33	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.9%	5.40	73	\$1,007	6.40	15	60.7%	7.44	14	6.42	21
043	Clarke	61.0%	4.31	95	\$974	5.75	26	46.6%	3.55	105	4.54	86
045	Craig	64.3%	5.24	76	\$1,030	6.86	11	60.0%	7.26	18	6.45	19
047	Culpeper	65.9%	5.70	64	\$950	5.28	34	56.2%	6.21	43	5.73	38
049	Cumberland	58.2%	3.54	108	\$945	5.19	38	47.0%	3.68	100	4.14	101
051	Dickensen	60.1%	4.06	98	\$812	2.57	110	48.6%	4.12	91	3.59	110
053	Dinwiddie	66.9%	5.98	56	\$945	5.17	39	51.9%	5.02	76	5.39	49
057	Essex	70.4%	6.95	35	\$845	3.22	90	40.6%	1.92	118	4.03	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.20	47	\$1,126	8.73	3	61.8%	7.74	9	7.56	6
061	Fauquier	68.5%	6.42	43	\$1,002	6.31	16	61.5%	7.66	12	6.80	12
063	Floyd	71.0%	7.12	27	\$919	4.68	52	46.6%	3.57	103	5.12	59
065	Fluvanna	72.7%	7.57	17	\$1,077	7.77	6	56.5%	6.30	39	7.21	7
067	Franklin County	62.4%	4.70	89	\$936	5.00	45	49.9%	4.46	88	4.72	80
069	Frederick	58.3%	3.57	106	\$988	6.03	22	51.4%	4.89	78	4.83	77
071	Giles	58.9%	3.73	103	\$938	5.05	44	48.2%	4.00	94	4.26	97
073	Gloucester	66.4%	5.83	61	\$838	3.08	93	54.1%	5.63	60	4.85	76
075	Goochland	64.2%	5.20	79	\$877	3.85	75	53.8%	5.54	64	4.86	73
077	Grayson	66.5%	5.84	60	\$837	3.06	94	56.1%	6.19	45	5.03	64

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.1%	8.53	5	\$910	4.49	60	48.7%	4.14	90	5.72	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.3%	3.56	107	\$805	2.43	111	54.4%	5.71	58	3.90	106
083	Halifax	57.9%	3.45	110	\$828	2.88	98	47.7%	3.87	98	3.40	112
085	Hanover	64.3%	5.23	78	\$1,044	7.11	10	62.9%	8.06	8	6.80	11
087	Henrico	67.8%	6.21	46	\$1,014	6.53	14	56.6%	6.32	38	6.35	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.9%	5.13	81	\$931	4.90	46	53.7%	5.53	65	5.19	56
091	Highland	71.4%	7.23	25	\$694	0.26	119	60.0%	7.26	19	4.91	69
093	Isle of Wight	70.7%	7.02	33	\$903	4.35	64	54.9%	5.86	53	5.74	37
095	James City	70.2%	6.88	38	\$893	4.16	70	51.6%	4.94	77	5.33	51
097	King and Queen	52.5%	1.96	117	\$877	3.84	76	36.0%	0.64	119	2.15	120
099	King George	64.8%	5.39	74	\$918	4.64	55	47.4%	3.78	99	4.60	83
101	King William	60.8%	4.27	97	\$969	5.66	27	45.9%	3.37	108	4.43	91
103	Lancaster	67.5%	6.13	50	\$833	2.99	96	48.0%	3.94	95	4.35	94
105	Lee	65.5%	5.59	68	\$769	1.73	116	60.3%	7.33	15	4.88	72
107	Loudoun	78.1%	9.08	3	\$1,178	9.74	2	65.1%	8.67	4	9.16	1
109	Louisa	61.2%	4.39	94	\$955	5.38	29	54.4%	5.71	59	5.16	58
111	Lunenburg	45.5%	0.00	120	\$730	0.97	117	53.9%	5.58	62	2.19	119
113	Madison	65.3%	5.52	70	\$991	6.08	20	46.9%	3.66	102	5.08	60
115	Mathews	61.5%	4.47	93	\$868	3.67	79	54.7%	5.79	55	4.64	82
117	Mecklenburg	66.8%	5.93	58	\$826	2.84	100	56.8%	6.38	34	5.05	61
119	Middlesex	67.4%	6.11	52	\$893	4.17	69	57.1%	6.45	31	5.58	43
121	Montgomery	79.8%	9.57	2	\$924	4.77	50	53.9%	5.58	63	6.64	15
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.44	72	\$992	6.11	19	50.5%	4.64	83	5.39	48
127	New Kent	59.0%	3.77	101	\$1,000	6.26	17	52.1%	5.09	74	5.04	63
131	Northampton	62.8%	4.82	87	\$818	2.70	104	67.3%	9.27	2	5.59	42
133	Northumberland	59.4%	3.87	100	\$839	3.11	92	41.0%	2.01	117	3.00	117
135	Nottoway	68.3%	6.35	44	\$882	3.95	71	55.9%	6.12	47	5.48	47
137	Orange	72.3%	7.46	20	\$955	5.37	30	43.8%	2.80	114	5.21	55
139	Page	70.2%	6.89	37	\$982	5.91	24	55.2%	5.95	51	6.25	27
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.07	54	\$813	2.59	109	65.2%	8.69	3	5.78	35
143	Pittsylvania	55.4%	2.77	113	\$857	3.46	83	50.7%	4.68	81	3.64	109
145	Powhatan	58.0%	3.48	109	\$923	4.74	51	52.7%	5.25	67	4.49	88
147	Prince Edward	71.0%	7.11	28	\$866	3.63	81	59.8%	7.20	20	5.98	30
149	Prince George	62.2%	4.64	92	\$977	5.81	25	50.0%	4.50	86	4.98	66
153	Prince William	73.4%	7.78	15	\$1,191	10.00	1	61.7%	7.74	11	8.51	2
155	Pulaski	64.4%	5.28	75	\$930	4.89	48	44.3%	2.93	113	4.37	93
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.14	80	\$954	5.36	32	48.5%	4.08	92	4.86	74
159	Richmond County	64.3%	5.24	77	\$928	4.85	49	33.7%	0.00	120	3.36	113
161	Roanoke County	74.3%	8.03	9	\$1,029	6.83	12	52.2%	5.11	72	6.66	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	74.5%	8.07	8	\$856	3.43	85	56.0%	6.16	46	5.89	31
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.2%	8.01	10	\$949	5.27	35	58.2%	6.77	27	6.68	13
167	Russell	62.2%	4.66	90	\$845	3.23	89	57.0%	6.44	32	4.78	79
169	Scott	58.4%	3.60	105	\$871	3.72	77	65.1%	8.66	5	5.33	52
171	Shenandoah	63.3%	4.97	84	\$911	4.52	58	52.5%	5.18	70	4.89	71

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	3.66	<b>104</b>	\$813	2.60	<b>108</b>	52.7%	5.25	<b>68</b>	3.84	<b>108</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.0%	3.76	<b>102</b>	\$820	2.73	<b>103</b>	45.1%	3.14	<b>112</b>	3.21	<b>116</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.7%	6.48	<b>42</b>	\$1,027	6.79	<b>13</b>	56.3%	6.23	<b>41</b>	6.50	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.7%	3.95	<b>99</b>	\$1,118	8.57	<b>4</b>	57.6%	6.59	<b>29</b>	6.37	<b>22</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.5%	7.54	<b>19</b>	\$870	3.70	<b>78</b>	47.8%	3.90	<b>97</b>	5.05	<b>62</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.1%	5.74	<b>63</b>	\$938	5.05	<b>43</b>	56.7%	6.35	<b>36</b>	5.71	<b>41</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	68.0%	6.26	<b>45</b>	\$792	2.19	<b>115</b>	56.6%	6.33	<b>37</b>	4.93	<b>68</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.4%	6.65	<b>39</b>	\$991	6.07	<b>21</b>	55.8%	6.11	<b>48</b>	6.28	<b>26</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.7%	5.63	<b>66</b>	\$879	3.89	<b>74</b>	48.3%	4.03	<b>93</b>	4.52	<b>87</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.4%	5.55	<b>69</b>	\$905	4.40	<b>62</b>	50.0%	4.50	<b>87</b>	4.82	<b>78</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.9%	5.12	<b>82</b>	\$797	2.29	<b>112</b>	56.8%	6.39	<b>33</b>	4.60	<b>84</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.01	<b>55</b>	\$826	2.84	<b>101</b>	56.2%	6.21	<b>44</b>	5.02	<b>65</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.8%	5.11	<b>83</b>	\$880	3.90	<b>72</b>	46.6%	3.56	<b>104</b>	4.19	<b>99</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.10	<b>29</b>	\$1,054	7.32	<b>8</b>	69.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	8.14	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.5%	6.98	<b>34</b>	\$856	3.44	<b>84</b>	49.3%	4.29	<b>89</b>	4.90	<b>70</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$919	4.67	<b>53</b>	56.4%	6.27	<b>40</b>	6.98	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.6%	7.82	<b>12</b>	\$915	4.59	<b>57</b>	56.2%	6.21	<b>42</b>	6.21	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.8%	5.66	<b>65</b>	\$794	2.22	<b>114</b>	52.2%	5.10	<b>73</b>	4.33	<b>96</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.3%	5.79	<b>62</b>	\$796	2.27	<b>113</b>	54.0%	5.60	<b>61</b>	4.55	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.7%	8.69	<b>4</b>	\$982	5.91	<b>23</b>	55.8%	6.10	<b>49</b>	6.90	<b>9</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.1%	4.91	<b>85</b>	\$866	3.64	<b>80</b>	58.9%	6.96	<b>22</b>	5.17	<b>57</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.8%	7.06	<b>31</b>	\$902	4.33	<b>65</b>	52.6%	5.23	<b>69</b>	5.54	<b>44</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.8%	6.49	<b>41</b>	\$909	4.47	<b>61</b>	50.9%	4.75	<b>80</b>	5.24	<b>54</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.3%	7.18	<b>26</b>	\$817	2.68	<b>105</b>	61.8%	7.74	<b>10</b>	5.87	<b>33</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	65.0%	5.45	<b>71</b>	\$1,086	7.95	<b>5</b>	59.6%	7.15	<b>21</b>	6.85	<b>10</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.4%	7.79	<b>14</b>	\$1,068	7.59	<b>7</b>	64.6%	8.52	<b>6</b>	7.97	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	72.6%	7.54	<b>18</b>	\$916	4.61	<b>56</b>	58.3%	6.78	<b>26</b>	6.31	<b>25</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.9%	7.08	<b>30</b>	\$852	3.35	<b>86</b>	55.5%	6.01	<b>50</b>	5.48	<b>46</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.3%	8.31	<b>6</b>	\$730	0.97	<b>118</b>	60.1%	7.30	<b>16</b>	5.52	<b>45</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.5%	7.26	<b>23</b>	\$941	5.10	<b>42</b>	57.6%	6.61	<b>28</b>	6.32	<b>24</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.9%	7.65	<b>16</b>	\$894	4.18	<b>68</b>	60.9%	7.51	<b>13</b>	6.44	<b>20</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.7%	7.31	<b>22</b>	\$897	4.24	<b>67</b>	45.7%	3.30	<b>110</b>	4.95	<b>67</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.7%	7.04	<b>32</b>	\$951	5.31	<b>33</b>	60.1%	7.28	<b>17</b>	6.54	<b>17</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.9%	5.95	<b>57</b>	\$956	5.40	<b>28</b>	50.2%	4.56	<b>85</b>	5.31	<b>53</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.7%	8.13	<b>7</b>	\$862	3.55	<b>82</b>	58.4%	6.83	<b>24</b>	6.17	<b>29</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.5%	6.14	<b>49</b>	\$944	5.17	<b>41</b>	56.7%	6.35	<b>35</b>	5.89	<b>32</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.9%	7.93	<b>11</b>	\$904	4.39	<b>63</b>	51.2%	4.83	<b>79</b>	5.71	<b>40</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	67.4%	6.11	<b>53</b>	\$955	5.37	<b>31</b>	50.6%	4.65	<b>82</b>	5.38	<b>50</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2010 – October 31, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	7,721	367	313	675	255	133	791	111	182	281	374	284	1,038	285	101	815	251	1,408	57
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	92%	95%	95%	93%	84%	81%	88%	94%	91%	96%	95%	89%	76%	96%	94%	89%	91%	95%
% Timely in October 2010	91%	90%	91%	96%	95%	80%	85%	95%	95%	98%	97%	93%	88%	72%	95%	93%	96%	92%	88%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2,075	94	95	195	60	30	206	20	53	76	119	81	280	74	19	193	68	392	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	98%	99%	95%	98%	87%	94%	95%	98%	96%	99%	99%	93%	97%	100%	88%	93%	91%	95%
Percent in October 2010	94%	98%	99%	95%	98%	87%	94%	95%	98%	96%	99%	99%	93%	97%	100%	88%	93%	91%	95%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	185	11	1	7	4	11	37	4	3	1	3	3	46	25	1	15	1	11	1
Average # of Days Over 30	32	14	2	14	39	21	35	42	37	7	8	5	57	22	18	17	65	15	8

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010		367	22	15	64	59	42	54	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010		92%	86%	93%	98%	98%	88%	100%	63%
% Timely in October 2010		90%	89%	83%	100%	100%	79%	100%	60%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010		94	5	3	20	15	9	12	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010		98%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	80%
Percent in October 2010		98%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	80%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days		11	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
Average # of Days Over 30		14	0	0	0	0	10	0	19

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	313	0	21	8	70	50	35	103	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	N/A	95%	88%	94%	94%	89%	99%	88%
% Timely in October 2010	91%	N/A	89%	67%	85%	92%	88%	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	95	0	3	1	20	22	6	38	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2010	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	675	28	4	2	9	77	19	110	68	65	18	275
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	82%	75%	50%	100%	99%	95%	98%	100%	98%	56%	95%
% Timely in October 2010	96%	64%	N/A	0%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	71%	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	195	8	1	0	2	26	4	27	18	21	3	85
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in October 2010	95%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>												
# Over 30 Days	7	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	14	6	32	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	255	135	2	0	17	101
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	100%	N/A	41%	92%
% Timely in October 2010	95%	100%	N/A	N/A	71%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	60	30	0	0	4	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	75%	100%
Percent in October 2010	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	75%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	38	42

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	133	1	28	21	14	29	40	57	36	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	84%	100%	46%	95%	93%	97%	93%	95%	97%	90%
% Timely in October 2010	80%	N/A	33%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	93%	82%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	30	0	4	8	2	8	8	20	16	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	87%	N/A	75%	100%	100%	75%	88%	95%	94%	100%
Percent in October 2010	87%	N/A	75%	100%	100%	75%	88%	95%	94%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	21	0	21	0	0	0	0	8	0	8

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	791	75	274	60	259	80	38	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	81%	100%	84%	92%	69%	96%	55%	60%
% Timely in October 2010	85%	100%	82%	96%	73%	94%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	206	12	78	18	73	14	9	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	96%	94%	92%	93%	89%	100%
Percent in October 2010	94%	100%	96%	94%	92%	93%	89%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	37	0	2	0	34	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	11	0	37	1	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	111	48	27	10	25	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	81%	100%	90%	88%	100%
% Timely in October 2010	95%	87%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	20	8	4	2	6	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	88%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
Percent in October 2010	95%	88%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	3	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	30	0	78	0	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	182	34	12	13	26	7	90
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	83%	38%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in October 2010	95%	100%	100%	33%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	53	9	0	5	7	4	28
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2010	98%	100%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	0	37	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	281	21	19	58	54	129
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	100%	98%	100%	81%
% Timely in October 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	76	4	5	19	17	31
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%	94%
Percent in October 2010	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	7

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	374	58	136	43	50	87
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	95%	95%	98%	100%	97%
% Timely in October 2010	97%	100%	98%	100%	100%	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	119	21	43	15	14	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	99%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2010	99%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	8

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	284	12	31	20	27	18	36	11	44	32	53
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	95%	96%	100%	86%	55%	98%	100%	98%
% Timely in October 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	64%	100%	95%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	81	4	9	7	12	6	4	6	8	9	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%
Percent in October 2010	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,038	217	16	36	262	14	493
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	88%	81%	100%	78%	86%	95%
% Timely in October 2010	88%	86%	67%	100%	79%	86%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	280	64	2	5	78	3	128
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	91%	100%	80%	91%	100%	95%
Percent in October 2010	93%	91%	100%	80%	91%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	46	5	2	0	28	1	10
Average # of Days Over 30	57	55	3	0	71	18	32

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	285	53	15	105	59	53
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	76%	74%	100%	56%	95%	92%
% Timely in October 2010	72%	71%	100%	49%	91%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	74	16	7	24	15	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	86%	100%	93%	100%
Percent in October 2010	97%	100%	86%	100%	93%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	25	7	0	15	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	7	0	24	47	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	101	16	7	13	8	7	10	11	9	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%
% Timely in October 2010	95%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	19	2	0	6	2	0	3	3	3	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A
Percent in October 2010	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	815	11	33	37	10	36	275	404	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	82%	91%	100%	60%	97%	99%	93%	44%
% Timely in October 2010	93%	60%	80%	100%	50%	92%	98%	93%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	193	5	8	7	4	7	62	99	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	88%	100%	100%	86%	75%	100%	98%	79%	100%
Percent in October 2010	88%	100%	100%	86%	75%	100%	98%	79%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	15	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	5
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	33

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	251	24	13	7	23	56	128
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	66%	93%
% Timely in October 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	68	7	2	4	9	11	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	89%
Percent in October 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	65	0	0	0	0	65	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - October 31, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,408	32	28	240	33	460	269	86	260
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	88%	79%	90%	97%	90%	93%	100%	92%
% Timely in October 2010	92%	92%	54%	92%	91%	96%	92%	100%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	392	9	10	62	9	120	76	32	74
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	100%	87%	100%	89%	92%	97%	91%
Percent in October 2010	91%	100%	100%	87%	100%	89%	92%	97%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	11	0	2	0	1	0	8	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	9	0	10	0	18	0	0

## **Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals**

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Oct-09</i></b>	<b><i>Oct-10</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	14,782	14,615	-1.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,955	127,388	0.3%
Total Cases Collected	141,737	142,003	0.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,641,662	\$2,639,999	-0.1%
NTANF Collections	\$46,174,076	\$46,691,032	1.1%
Total Collections	\$48,815,738	\$49,331,031	1.06%
SFY YTD Collections	\$198,240,470	\$200,580,974	1.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$350	\$353	0.8%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Oct-10</i></b>
TANF Paternities	199
NTANF Paternities	353
Combined Paternities	552

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *October Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

Albemarle	5	Page	1
Alleghany / Covington	5	Patrick	1
Amelia	1	Pittsylvania	1
Amherst	1	Powhatan	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8	Prince William	6
Bedford City	3	Pulaski	4
Botetourt	1	Roanoke County	5
Buckingham	3	Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Caroline	1	Rockingham / H'burg	12
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	13	Shenandoah	11
Culpeper	3	Smyth	1
Cumberland	3	Spotsylvania	4
Dinwiddie	2	Stafford	14
Fairfax / Falls Church	5	Surry	1
Fauquier	2	Tazewell	2
Floyd	2	Warren	6
Fluvanna	1	York / Poquoson	4
Franklin County	7	Bristol	13
Frederick	7	Charlottesville	4
Goochland	1	Chesapeake	4
Grayson	2	Danville	1
Greene	3	Franklin City	2
Halifax	1	Fredricksburg	3
Hanover	2	Hampton	13
Henrico	6	Hopewell	9
Henry / Martinsville	4	Lynchburg	2
Isle of Wight	1	Mannassas	2
James City	1	Manassas Park	1
King William	1	Newport News	30
Loudoun	1	Norfolk	17
Lunenburg	2	Portsmouth	1
Madison	1	Richmond City	9
Mecklenburg	1	Roanoke City	7
Montgomery	3	Suffolk	9
Nelson	2	Virginia Beach	9
Nottoway	1	Williamsburg	1
Orange	3	Winchester	4
		Statewide	325

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- <sup>14</sup> As of morning of November 1, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through October 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through October 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


October 31, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of November and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of October, it was \$8.26 rather than the \$8.16 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.