

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
November 2010

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services December 30, 2010

Monthly Report, November 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2010 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 42% with a denominator of 20,273 and a numerator of 8,448.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	88	28	32%	061	Fauquier	58	25	43%
003	Albemarle	58	22	38%	063	Floyd	30	15	50%
005	Alleghany/Covington	74	16	22%	065	Fluvanna	20	9	45%
007	Amelia	41	16	39%	067	Franklin County	146	47	32%
009	Amherst	44	18	41%	069	Frederick	105	31	30%
011	Appomattox	52	34	65%	071	Giles	28	11	39%
013	Arlington	128	61	48%	073	Gloucester	49	20	41%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	318	165	52%	075	Goochland	28	7	25%
017	Bath	4	3	75%	077	Grayson	27	14	52%
019	Bedford County/City	116	47	41%	079	Greene	40	3	8%
021	Bland	13	4	31%	081	Greensville/Emporia	85	28	33%
023	Botetourt	18	0	0%	083	Halifax	112	27	24%
025	Brunswick	75	40	53%	085	Hanover	109	36	33%
027	Buchanan	61	26	43%	087	Henrico	953	301	32%
029	Buckingham	38	18	47%	089	Henry/Martinsville	340	122	36%
031	Campbell	160	73	46%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	120	45	38%	093	Isle of Wight	84	32	38%
035	Carroll	60	29	48%	095	James City	93	30	32%
036	Charles City	15	4	27%	097	King and Queen	10	2	20%
037	Charlotte	41	17	41%	099	King George	30	12	40%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	607	252	42%	101	King William	38	17	45%
043	Clarke	9	6	67%	103	Lancaster	22	7	32%
045	Craig	9	3	33%	105	Lee	140	55	39%
047	Culpeper	93	39	42%	107	Loudoun	147	52	35%
049	Cumberland	35	15	43%	109	Louisa	49	27	55%
051	Dickensen	41	21	51%	111	Lunenburg	41	1	2%
053	Dinwiddie	47	15	32%	113	Madison	14	6	43%
057	Essex	58	22	38%	115	Mathews	12	1	8%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	742	313	42%	117	Mecklenburg	75	37	49%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	24	6	25%	187	Warren	86	44	51%
121	Montgomery	219	107	49%	191	Washington	95	50	53%
125	Nelson	7	2	29%	193	Westmoreland	57	22	39%
127	New Kent	24	0	0%	195	Wise	213	87	41%
131	Northampton	73	25	34%	197	Wythe	81	33	41%
133	Northumberland	12	7	58%	199	York/Poquoson	93	42	45%
135	Nottoway	80	22	28%	510	Alexandria	304	118	39%
137	Orange	55	22	40%	520	Bristol	148	73	49%
139	Page	38	15	39%	540	Charlottesville	216	117	54%
141	Patrick	61	28	46%	550	Chesapeake	759	417	55%
143	Pittsylvania	98	28	29%	590	Danville	220	95	43%
145	Powhatan	20	7	35%	620	Franklin City	44	13	30%
147	Prince Edward	86	46	53%	630	Fredericksburg	115	57	50%
149	Prince George	44	16	36%	640	Galax	46	14	30%
153	Prince William	813	304	37%	650	Hampton	736	348	47%
155	Pulaski	78	30	38%	670	Hopewell	229	22	10%
157	Rappahannock	3	1	33%	680	Lynchburg	339	137	40%
159	Richmond County	10	3	30%	683	Manassas	96	31	32%
161	Roanoke County	181	97	54%	685	Manassas Park	32	9	28%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	42	21	50%	700	Newport News	1,142	489	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	149	75	50%	710	Norfolk	1,408	829	59%
167	Russell	103	43	42%	720	Norton	40	13	33%
169	Scott	99	40	40%	730	Petersburg	375	143	38%
171	Shenandoah	24	7	29%	740	Portsmouth	744	305	41%
173	Smyth	102	27	26%	750	Radford	40	11	28%
175	Southampton	81	24	30%	760	Richmond City	1,837	679	37%
177	Spotsylvania	270	92	34%	770	Roanoke City	660	231	35%
179	Stafford	184	84	46%	800	Suffolk	176	87	49%
181	Surry	30	12	40%	810	Virginia Beach	638	326	51%
183	Sussex	43	16	37%	830	Williamsburg	23	3	13%
185	Tazewell	112	38	34%	840	Winchester	66	31	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 36% with a denominator of 18,159 and a numerator of 6,584. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	77	20	26%	061	Fauquier	45	13	29%
003	Albemarle	51	15	29%	063	Floyd	25	10	40%
005	Alleghany/Covington	71	14	20%	065	Fluvanna	18	8	44%
007	Amelia	40	16	40%	067	Franklin County	134	35	26%
009	Amherst	38	12	32%	069	Frederick	92	20	22%
011	Appomattox	46	28	61%	071	Giles	25	8	32%
013	Arlington	100	39	39%	073	Gloucester	45	16	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	285	134	47%	075	Goochland	24	3	13%
017	Bath	3	2	67%	077	Grayson	22	9	41%
019	Bedford County/City	94	25	27%	079	Greene	36	0	0%
021	Bland	11	3	27%	081	Greensville/Emporia	79	22	28%
023	Botetourt	17	0	0%	083	Halifax	105	20	19%
025	Brunswick	69	35	51%	085	Hanover	98	27	28%
027	Buchanan	58	23	40%	087	Henrico	863	228	26%
029	Buckingham	33	14	42%	089	Henry/Martinsville	305	92	30%
031	Campbell	140	53	38%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	107	35	33%	093	Isle of Wight	77	27	35%
035	Carroll	54	24	44%	095	James City	80	19	24%
036	Charles City	13	3	23%	097	King and Queen	8	0	0%
037	Charlotte	37	13	35%	099	King George	28	10	36%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	531	182	34%	101	King William	36	16	44%
043	Clarke	9	6	67%	103	Lancaster	22	7	32%
045	Craig	9	3	33%	105	Lee	129	45	35%
047	Culpeper	87	34	39%	107	Loudoun	121	26	21%
049	Cumberland	32	12	38%	109	Louisa	42	20	48%
051	Dickensen	35	15	43%	111	Lunenburg	41	1	2%
053	Dinwiddie	44	12	27%	113	Madison	13	5	38%
057	Essex	56	20	36%	115	Mathews	12	1	8%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	626	206	33%	117	Mecklenburg	65	27	42%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	21	5	24%	187	Warren	72	31	43%
121	Montgomery	190	78	41%	191	Washington	91	46	51%
125	Nelson	5	0	0%	193	Westmoreland	55	22	40%
127	New Kent	23	0	0%	195	Wise	189	65	34%
131	Northampton	67	19	28%	197	Wythe	76	29	38%
133	Northumberland	10	5	50%	199	York/Poquoson	84	34	40%
135	Nottoway	73	15	21%	510	Alexandria	261	81	31%
137	Orange	53	20	38%	520	Bristol	133	59	44%
139	Page	36	13	36%	540	Charlottesville	191	93	49%
141	Patrick	56	23	41%	550	Chesapeake	697	359	52%
143	Pittsylvania	91	22	24%	590	Danville	206	85	41%
145	Powhatan	16	3	19%	620	Franklin City	41	10	24%
147	Prince Edward	71	31	44%	630	Fredericksburg	101	45	45%
149	Prince George	40	13	33%	640	Galax	41	9	22%
153	Prince William	696	193	28%	650	Hampton	653	290	44%
155	Pulaski	65	17	26%	670	Hopewell	214	9	4%
157	Rappahannock	2	0	0%	680	Lynchburg	310	113	36%
159	Richmond County	9	2	22%	683	Manassas	86	21	24%
161	Roanoke County	151	67	44%	685	Manassas Park	22	4	18%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	36	15	42%	700	Newport News	1,031	395	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	123	49	40%	710	Norfolk	1,320	748	57%
167	Russell	95	35	37%	720	Norton	35	9	26%
169	Scott	86	34	40%	730	Petersburg	330	115	35%
171	Shenandoah	21	4	19%	740	Portsmouth	673	242	36%
173	Smyth	95	20	21%	750	Radford	39	10	26%
175	Southampton	79	23	29%	760	Richmond City	1,700	562	33%
177	Spotsylvania	240	73	30%	770	Roanoke City	570	150	26%
179	Stafford	156	58	37%	800	Suffolk	151	63	42%
181	Surry	27	9	33%	810	Virginia Beach	569	258	45%
183	Sussex	38	12	32%	830	Williamsburg	22	3	14%
185	Tazewell	100	26	26%	840	Winchester	62	27	44%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for November

These are estimated locality November 2010 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated November statewide rate is 88% with a denominator of 2,114 and a numerator of 1,854. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	11	8	73%	061	Fauquier	13	12	92%
003	Albemarle	7	7	100%	063	Floyd	5	5	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	3	2	67%	065	Fluvanna	2	1	50%
007	Amelia	1	0	0%	067	Franklin County	12	12	100%
009	Amherst	6	6	100%	069	Frederick	13	11	85%
011	Appomattox	6	6	100%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	28	22	79%	073	Gloucester	4	4	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	33	31	94%	075	Goochland	4	4	100%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	5	5	100%
019	Bedford County/City	22	22	100%	079	Greene	4	3	75%
021	Bland	2	1	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	1	0	0%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	6	5	83%	085	Hanover	11	9	82%
027	Buchanan	3	3	100%	087	Henrico	90	73	81%
029	Buckingham	5	4	80%	089	Henry/Martinsville	35	30	86%
031	Campbell	20	20	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	13	10	77%	093	Isle of Wight	7	5	71%
035	Carroll	6	5	83%	095	James City	13	11	85%
036	Charles City	2	1	50%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	2	2	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	76	70	92%	101	King William	2	1	50%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	11	10	91%
047	Culpeper	6	5	83%	107	Loudoun	26	26	100%
049	Cumberland	3	3	100%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	6	6	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	2	2	100%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	116	107	92%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Denominator	Numerator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	1	33%	187	Warren	14	13	93%
121	Montgomery	29	29	100%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	0	0%
127	New Kent	1	0	0%	195	Wise	24	22	92%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	5	4	80%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	9	8	89%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	43	37	86%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	15	14	93%
139	Page	2	2	100%	540	Charlottesville	25	24	96%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	62	58	94%
143	Pittsylvania	7	6	86%	590	Danville	14	10	71%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	3	3	100%
147	Prince Edward	15	15	100%	630	Fredericksburg	14	12	86%
149	Prince George	4	3	75%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	117	111	95%	650	Hampton	83	58	70%
155	Pulaski	13	13	100%	670	Hopewell	15	13	87%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	29	24	83%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	10	10	100%
161	Roanoke County	30	30	100%	685	Manassas Park	10	5	50%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	6	100%	700	Newport News	111	94	85%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	26	100%	710	Norfolk	88	81	92%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	5	4	80%
169	Scott	13	6	46%	730	Petersburg	45	28	62%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	71	63	89%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	1	1	100%
175	Southampton	2	1	50%	760	Richmond City	137	117	85%
177	Spotsylvania	30	19	63%	770	Roanoke City	90	81	90%
179	Stafford	28	26	93%	800	Suffolk	25	24	96%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	69	68	99%
183	Sussex	5	4	80%	830	Williamsburg	1	0	0%
185	Tazewell	12	12	100%	840	Winchester	4	4	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-10	Nov-09	Change from Nov-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,016	4,590	9%	4,807	4%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,754	2,637	4%	1,658	66%
Applications Approved	2,243	2,750	-18%	2,820	-20%
Cases Closed *	2,538	2,314	10%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	34,370	34,101	1%	67,995	-49%
Cases Paid During Month ²	35,402	34,991	1%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,538 closed cases, 965 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 573 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 242 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 151 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Nov-10	Nov-09	Change from Nov-09	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	601	568	6%	338	78%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	353	283	25%	232	52%
Applications Approved	226	254	-11%	81	179%
Cases Closed *	254	247	3%	107	137%
Cases at End of Month	2,184	2,107	4%	321	580%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,284	2,220	3%	394	480%

•The database indicates that of the 254 closed cases, 132 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 90 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 30 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 23 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	36,433	1,865	1,123	2,814	1,041	561	4,203	450	711	1,329	1,674	1,061	6,034	1,177	436	3,565	1,323	6,770	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW	16,164	699	523	1,253	463	272	1,789	184	333	658	614	551	2,623	676	194	1,579	669	2,960	124
Part. in a Work Activity	11,751	554	400	816	338	191	1,400	126	294	454	468	387	1,855	430	139	1,134	391	2,315	59
% of Enrolled	73%	79%	76%	65%	73%	70%	78%	68%	88%	69%	76%	70%	71%	64%	72%	72%	58%	78%	48%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	124	6	4	12	0	7	14	10	1	1	3	6	16	9	4	9	6	16	0
Participants Employed	7,668	380	272	622	283	119	835	96	179	306	291	240	1,031	313	102	787	274	1,489	49
% of Enrolled	47%	54%	52%	50%	61%	44%	47%	52%	54%	47%	47%	44%	39%	46%	53%	50%	41%	50%	40%
Full Time	5,986	328	241	516	231	95	602	78	116	247	225	170	740	246	74	596	205	1,239	37
Part Time	1,682	52	31	106	52	24	233	18	63	59	66	70	291	67	28	191	69	250	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.49	\$7.71	\$7.92	\$8.05	\$8.30	\$9.39	\$8.81	\$8.37	\$7.84	\$7.80	\$7.80	\$8.20	\$8.48	\$7.86	\$8.01	\$7.93	\$7.93	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,001	\$1,044	\$1,068	\$1,071	\$1,095	\$1,238	\$1,173	\$1,028	\$1,081	\$1,040	\$1,007	\$1,081	\$1,112	\$1,006	\$1,047	\$1,032	\$1,039	\$1,047

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	15,510	779	580	1,359	524	319	1,680	208	314	541	749	508	2,274	545	195	1,536	486	2,775	138
Total Enrolled in VIEW	25,703	1,128	900	2,081	750	495	2,914	279	539	1,032	1,107	861	3,894	1,026	317	2,555	996	4,627	202
Part. in a Work Activity	22,124	1,009	796	1,717	697	387	2,578	235	510	892	991	737	3,354	816	262	2,156	782	4,043	162
% of Total Enrolled	86%	89%	88%	83%	93%	78%	88%	84%	95%	86%	90%	86%	86%	80%	83%	84%	79%	87%	80%
Participants Employed	12,565	605	445	1,053	472	221	1,428	152	289	509	488	392	1,690	510	164	1,279	450	2,324	94
% of Total Enrolled	49%	54%	49%	51%	63%	45%	49%	54%	54%	49%	44%	46%	43%	50%	52%	50%	45%	50%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$7.59	\$7.81	\$7.97	\$8.07	\$8.45	\$9.81	\$8.62	\$8.32	\$7.98	\$7.89	\$7.94	\$8.33	\$8.50	\$7.93	\$8.11	\$8.04	\$7.98	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,013	\$1,038	\$1,061	\$1,060	\$1,126	\$1,285	\$1,096	\$983	\$1,058	\$1,034	\$995	\$1,086	\$1,074	\$996	\$1,044	\$1,049	\$1,039	\$1,074
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	71%	70%	66%	70%	64%	76%	80%	73%	68%	60%	69%	72%	79%	61%	74%	71%	74%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	248,346	15,925	9,365	18,399	7,200	4,652	30,934	3,536	5,344	9,310	11,720	7,098	33,085	7,312	3,208	23,404	8,405	47,192	2,257
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	188,269	12,118	7,837	13,863	5,438	3,419	23,656	2,646	4,076	7,649	9,369	5,398	25,556	5,273	2,337	17,247	6,526	34,214	1,647
Part. in a Work Activity	180,141	11,639	7,489	13,242	5,301	3,238	22,856	2,551	3,974	7,235	9,053	5,154	24,594	4,988	2,193	16,432	6,227	32,396	1,579
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	128,978	7,638	5,141	9,578	3,834	2,249	16,801	1,802	3,070	5,286	5,860	3,305	17,468	3,543	1,502	12,231	4,506	24,232	932
% of Total Enrolled	69%	63%	66%	69%	71%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	63%	61%	68%	67%	64%	71%	69%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$6.11	\$6.20	\$6.98	\$7.03	\$7.22	\$8.53	\$7.35	\$7.28	\$6.45	\$6.31	\$6.61	\$7.24	\$7.78	\$6.86	\$6.89	\$6.88	\$6.75	\$6.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$934	\$805	\$843	\$942	\$936	\$972	\$1,138	\$972	\$940	\$843	\$844	\$864	\$978	\$1,013	\$881	\$907	\$932	\$887	\$829
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	54%	46%	55%	54%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,865	183	97	313	258	205	270	473	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	699	40	32	140	108	118	79	153	29
Participants in a Work Activity	554	36	21	116	86	89	67	119	20
% of Enrolled	79%	90%	66%	83%	80%	75%	85%	78%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	380	20	16	87	44	56	40	98	19
% of Enrolled	54%	50%	50%	62%	41%	47%	51%	64%	66%
Full Time	328	20	16	80	33	45	36	82	16
Part Time	52	0	0	7	11	11	4	16	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$7.87	\$7.42	\$7.44	\$7.64	\$7.55	\$7.53	\$7.45	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,121	\$1,037	#####	\$977	\$1,000	\$1,040	\$983	\$898

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	779	45	33	126	109	101	139	194	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,128	75	51	204	170	154	165	270	39
Participants in a Work Activity	1,009	65	46	188	151	143	152	230	34
% of Total Enrolled	89%	87%	90%	92%	89%	93%	92%	85%	87%
Participants Employed	605	32	25	122	81	77	87	152	29
% of Total Enrolled	54%	43%	49%	60%	48%	50%	53%	56%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.59	\$7.95	\$7.50	\$7.48	\$7.70	\$7.59	\$7.70	\$7.62	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$1,120	\$1,042	\$991	\$995	\$1,005	\$1,044	\$1,032	\$870
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	70%	62%	75%	74%	88%	64%	64%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	15,925	1,598	1,120	2,211	2,188	1,537	3,056	3,697	518
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,118	1,215	856	1,664	1,742	1,093	2,322	2,821	405
Participants in a Work Activity	11,639	1,134	812	1,645	1,655	1,070	2,234	2,713	376
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,638	638	513	1,093	1,083	637	1,573	1,796	305
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.11	\$6.32	\$6.16	\$5.82	\$6.32	\$6.38	\$6.02	\$6.10	\$5.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$805	\$826	\$812	\$771	\$846	\$870	\$793	\$798	\$730
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	49%	60%	57%	65%	56%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,123	13	124	52	216	219	130	276	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	523	4	63	16	96	93	50	155	46
Participants in a Work Activity	400	3	51	16	77	59	39	127	28
% of Enrolled	76%	75%	81%	100%	80%	63%	78%	82%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	272	2	39	8	47	46	31	79	20
% of Enrolled	52%	50%	62%	50%	49%	49%	62%	51%	43%
Full Time	241	2	35	7	44	40	29	67	17
Part Time	31	0	4	1	3	6	2	12	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$8.08	\$7.52	\$7.68	\$7.79	\$7.55	\$7.65	\$7.83	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,101	\$1,065	\$1,097	\$1,083	\$994	\$1,012	\$1,024	\$1,125

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	580	7	51	19	121	116	64	156	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	900	12	99	28	178	169	91	244	79
Participants in a Work Activity	796	11	91	25	159	152	88	207	63
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	92%	89%	89%	90%	97%	85%	80%
Participants Employed	445	7	62	15	67	78	56	122	38
% of Total Enrolled	49%	58%	63%	54%	38%	46%	62%	50%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$7.37	\$7.62	\$7.82	\$7.74	\$7.62	\$7.95	\$8.00	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$940	\$1,004	\$1,040	\$1,082	\$1,002	\$1,054	\$1,038	\$1,085
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	33%	62%	67%	76%	61%	70%	78%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,365	234	1,266	613	1,621	1,618	1,436	1,906	671
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,837	176	1,050	496	1,350	1,338	1,148	1,697	582
Participants in a Work Activity	7,489	165	958	461	1,291	1,299	1,126	1,636	553
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	91%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,141	111	701	328	791	875	769	1,196	370
% of Total Enrolled	66%	63%	67%	66%	59%	65%	67%	70%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.20	\$6.08	\$6.00	\$6.26	\$5.94	\$6.47	\$6.21	\$6.26	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$843	\$833	\$814	\$838	\$815	\$881	\$826	\$856	\$870
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	46%	46%	55%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,814	124	47	10	50	273	53	392	204	331	75	1,255
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1253	121	23	3	20	137	22	191	58	161	37	480
Participants in a Work Activity	816	51	3	3	17	89	18	146	43	133	28	285
% of Enrolled	65%	42%	13%	100%	85%	65%	82%	76%	74%	83%	76%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	3	1
Participants Employed	622	44	3	3	14	52	16	129	35	84	21	221
% of Enrolled	50%	36%	13%	100%	70%	38%	73%	68%	60%	52%	57%	46%
Full Time	516	30	3	3	13	41	14	104	34	71	16	187
Part Time	106	14	0	0	1	11	2	25	1	13	5	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.97	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.01	\$8.00	\$7.34	\$7.95	\$7.89	\$7.95	\$7.72	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,024	\$1,083	\$1,175	\$954	\$1,048	\$966	\$1,048	\$1,083	\$1,082	\$974	\$1,109

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,359	47	9	4	20	154	29	206	110	125	39	616
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,081	135	26	4	33	220	39	329	130	258	64	843
Participants in a Work Activity	1,717	87	7	3	29	161	36	292	121	221	52	708
% of Total Enrolled	83%	64%	27%	75%	88%	73%	92%	89%	93%	86%	81%	84%
Participants Employed	1,053	52	3	3	23	94	22	216	70	143	38	389
% of Total Enrolled	51%	39%	12%	75%	70%	43%	56%	66%	54%	55%	59%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.81	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.51	\$8.01	\$7.51	\$7.88	\$7.83	\$8.11	\$7.81	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$905	\$1,075	\$1,005	\$944	\$1,022	\$946	\$1,030	\$1,084	\$1,082	\$977	\$1,122
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	62%	50%	100%	65%	68%	65%	68%	57%	62%	69%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,399	1,092	308	70	455	1,612	467	2,629	1,619	1,991	724	7,432
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,863	820	188	28	324	1,196	329	2,125	1,268	1,581	554	5,450
Participants in a Work Activity	13,242	787	166	27	317	1,049	323	2,097	1,221	1,498	536	5,221
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	88%	96%	98%	88%	98%	99%	96%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,578	582	85	18	230	747	196	1,694	821	1,172	396	3,637
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	64%	71%	62%	60%	80%	65%	74%	71%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$6.78	\$7.20	\$7.02	\$6.85	\$6.82	\$6.95	\$6.84	\$6.69	\$7.47	\$6.91	\$7.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$823	\$918	\$1,030	\$919	\$936	\$937	\$924	\$930	\$1,029	\$897	\$957
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	45%	42%	56%	46%	50%	48%	54%	44%	52%	45%	50%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,041	637	8	1	89	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	463	261	5	0	40	157
Participants in a Work Activity	338	186	3	N/A	22	127
% of Enrolled	73%	71%	60%	N/A	55%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	283	159	1	N/A	22	101
% of Enrolled	61%	61%	20%	N/A	55%	64%
Full Time	231	126	0	N/A	18	87
Part Time	52	33	1	N/A	4	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.74	\$7.25	N/A	\$8.33	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,026	\$314	N/A	\$1,141	\$1,135

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	524	285	4	0	35	200
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	750	427	6	N/A	59	258
Participants in a Work Activity	697	395	6	N/A	51	245
% of Total Enrolled	93%	93%	100%	N/A	86%	95%
Participants Employed	472	255	3	N/A	38	176
% of Total Enrolled	63%	60%	50%	N/A	64%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$7.91	\$4.83	N/A	\$7.97	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$1,043	\$520	N/A	\$1,037	\$1,099
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	67%	N/A	65%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,200	3,865	72	16	638	2,609
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,438	2,972	45	7	471	1,943
Participants in a Work Activity	5,301	2,917	45	7	444	1,888
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,834	2,011	28	5	348	1,442
% of Total Enrolled	71%	68%	62%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.02	\$6.42	\$7.75	\$6.64	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$936	\$944	\$681	\$694	\$857	\$950
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	54%	60%	56%	58%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	561	22	177	72	26	120	144	296	188	108
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	272	7	86	35	12	67	65	124	63	61
Participants in a Work Activity	191	7	49	30	9	45	51	59	24	35
% of Enrolled	70%	100%	57%	86%	75%	67%	78%	48%	38%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	119	5	31	19	5	27	32	49	20	29
% of Enrolled	44%	71%	36%	54%	42%	40%	49%	40%	32%	48%
Full Time	95	5	24	15	4	24	23	37	15	22
Part Time	24	0	7	4	1	3	9	12	5	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.55	\$8.68	\$7.69	\$8.40	\$8.92	\$7.73	\$7.87	\$8.06	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,253	\$1,174	\$965	\$970	\$1,189	\$1,012	\$1,047	\$1,095	\$1,013

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	319	9	88	39	42	65	76	138	90	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	495	9	126	75	35	127	123	202	121	81
Participants in a Work Activity	387	8	96	64	29	89	101	162	92	70
% of Total Enrolled	78%	89%	76%	85%	83%	70%	82%	80%	76%	86%
Participants Employed	221	6	50	39	14	52	60	94	49	45
% of Total Enrolled	45%	67%	40%	52%	40%	41%	49%	47%	40%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.50	\$9.05	\$8.10	\$8.31	\$8.86	\$7.86	\$8.12	\$8.26	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,126	\$1,212	\$1,215	\$1,099	\$1,121	\$1,224	\$985	\$1,074	\$1,090	\$1,058
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	83%	71%	50%	73%	71%	55%	81%	78%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,652	181	937	722	576	1131	1105	2,257	1421	836
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,419	105	679	588	342	844	861	1,647	1011	636
Participants in a Work Activity	3,238	100	638	572	330	779	819	1579	976	603
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	96%	92%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,249	64	395	411	216	579	584	932	530	402
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	70%	63%	69%	68%	57%	52%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.22	\$7.30	\$7.54	\$7.23	\$6.59	\$7.29	\$7.13	\$6.56	\$6.63	\$6.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$974	\$988	\$982	\$912	\$993	\$954	\$829	\$838	\$820
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	47%	51%	55%	52%	55%	50%	61%	57%	67%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,203	312	1,546	249	1,336	506	205	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,789	121	583	149	652	205	72	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,400	106	480	97	492	168	53	4
% of Enrolled	78%	88%	82%	65%	75%	82%	74%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	12	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	835	53	271	72	311	103	22	3
% of Enrolled	47%	44%	46%	48%	48%	50%	31%	43%
Full Time	602	38	209	42	218	74	18	3
Part Time	233	15	62	30	93	29	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.39	\$10.24	\$9.30	\$10.20	\$9.11	\$9.64	\$8.59	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,238	\$1,404	\$1,257	\$1,289	\$1,183	\$1,247	\$1,168	\$1,352

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,680	114	561	125	584	190	85	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,914	200	1,034	228	987	312	140	13
Participants in a Work Activity	2,578	189	934	192	852	281	117	13
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	90%	84%	86%	90%	84%	100%
Participants Employed	1,428	91	502	110	510	163	47	5
% of Total Enrolled	49%	46%	49%	48%	52%	52%	34%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.81	\$10.24	\$10.18	\$10.47	\$9.32	\$9.93	\$8.92	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,285	\$1,371	\$1,345	\$1,302	\$1,216	\$1,311	\$1,161	\$1,190
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	76%	74%	79%	74%	85%	77%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	30,934	2,700	10,848	2,166	9,890	3,594	1,336	400
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,656	2,100	8,108	1,700	7,607	2,837	1,014	290
Participants in a Work Activity	22,856	2,080	7,834	1,628	7,336	2,714	976	288
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	16,801	1,519	5,501	1,324	5,572	2,015	657	213
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	73%	71%	65%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.08	\$8.51	\$9.07	\$8.69	\$8.23	\$8.04	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,138	\$1,054	\$1,127	\$1,177	\$1,191	\$1,055	\$1,085	\$1,068
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	61%	65%	61%	70%	59%	64%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	450	199	99	36	111	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	184	73	40	11	58	2
Participants in a Work Activity	126	53	30	7	36	0
% of Enrolled	68%	73%	75%	64%	62%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	3	0	6	0
Participants Employed	96	40	20	5	31	0
% of Enrolled	52%	55%	50%	45%	53%	0%
Full Time	78	30	17	4	27	N/A
Part Time	18	10	3	1	4	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.15	\$9.65	\$8.45	\$9.16	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,028	\$1,267	\$1,139	\$1,305	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	208	94	54	16	39	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	279	105	75	25	70	4
Participants in a Work Activity	235	84	66	25	57	3
% of Total Enrolled	84%	80%	88%	100%	81%	75%
Participants Employed	152	56	43	9	43	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	53%	57%	36%	61%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$8.07	\$9.07	\$8.97	\$8.81	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$974	\$1,186	\$1,128	\$1,163	\$774
Three Months Employment ⁸	80%	83%	88%	100%	67%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,536	1,361	1032	328	724	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,646	966	788	242	589	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,551	930	780	235	548	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,802	637	543	158	425	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	65%	72%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.35	\$7.31	\$7.69	\$7.10	\$7.09	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$951	\$1,006	\$991	\$955	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	61%	47%	44%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	711	136	51	62	94	33	335
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	333	34	18	34	39	5	203
Participants in a Work Activity	294	30	8	27	33	4	192
% of Enrolled	88%	88%	44%	79%	85%	80%	95%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	179	21	7	23	20	4	104
% of Enrolled	54%	62%	39%	68%	51%	80%	51%
Full Time	116	16	6	10	13	1	70
Part Time	63	5	1	13	7	3	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$9.26	\$7.67	\$8.48	\$8.67	\$7.61	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,301	\$1,057	\$1,026	\$1,109	\$725	\$967

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	314	57	19	26	47	12	153
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	539	82	25	44	78	21	289
Participants in a Work Activity	510	79	23	36	67	21	284
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	82%	86%	100%	98%
Participants Employed	289	41	11	28	33	10	166
% of Total Enrolled	54%	50%	44%	64%	42%	48%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.71	\$7.98	\$8.42	\$8.42	\$7.76	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,145	\$1,008	\$994	\$1,080	\$913	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	69%	100%	75%	68%	88%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,344	1243	198	419	813	258	2,413
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,076	881	131	299	584	180	2,001
Participants in a Work Activity	3,974	860	125	279	558	179	1,973
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	93%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,070	647	94	229	356	117	1,627
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	72%	77%	61%	65%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.70	\$7.41	\$7.25	\$7.34	\$7.56	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$1,000	\$1,074	\$913	\$957	\$991	\$919
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	57%	49%	54%	50%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,329	99	97	213	294	626
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	658	31	50	94	204	279
Participants in a Work Activity	454	19	39	63	149	184
% of Enrolled	69%	61%	78%	67%	73%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	306	15	31	37	89	134
% of Enrolled	47%	48%	62%	39%	44%	48%
Full Time	247	14	31	27	69	106
Part Time	59	1	0	10	20	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$8.34	\$7.40	\$8.15	\$7.91	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,090	\$1,099	\$1,047	\$1,098	\$1,073

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	541	40	30	117	105	249
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,032	64	68	194	291	415
Participants in a Work Activity	892	52	62	159	263	356
% of Total Enrolled	86%	81%	91%	82%	90%	86%
Participants Employed	509	34	39	82	154	200
% of Total Enrolled	49%	53%	57%	42%	53%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.90	\$7.42	\$8.59	\$7.98	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,025	\$1,106	\$1,160	\$1,022	\$1,040
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	68%	78%	64%	65%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,310	866	745	1,881	2,149	3,669
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,649	691	648	1,503	1,821	2,986
Participants in a Work Activity	7,235	656	634	1,402	1,714	2,829
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,286	465	457	986	1,251	2,127
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.45	\$6.66	\$6.16	\$6.53	\$6.65	\$6.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$843	\$850	\$850	\$844	\$881	\$819
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	44%	54%	58%	62%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,674	253	549	104	247	521
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	614	83	262	85	58	126
Participants in a Work Activity	468	39	210	64	46	109
% of Enrolled	76%	47%	80%	75%	79%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	291	32	123	44	37	55
% of Enrolled	47%	39%	47%	52%	64%	44%
Full Time	225	23	97	23	34	48
Part Time	66	9	26	21	3	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.96	\$7.76	\$7.57	\$8.08	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,133	\$1,030	\$916	\$1,129	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	749	115	266	66	110	192
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,107	151	423	124	129	280
Participants in a Work Activity	991	122	385	110	115	259
% of Total Enrolled	90%	81%	91%	89%	89%	93%
Participants Employed	488	53	204	70	56	105
% of Total Enrolled	44%	35%	48%	56%	43%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$8.14	\$7.97	\$7.46	\$7.84	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,065	\$1,055	\$961	\$1,010	\$1,038
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	63%	58%	66%	56%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,720	1,810	3,156	1,146	1,900	3,708
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,369	1,502	2,367	996	1,454	3,050
Participants in a Work Activity	9,053	1,440	2,268	938	1,431	2,976
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	5,860	865	1,516	670	805	2,004
% of Total Enrolled	63%	58%	64%	67%	55%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.31	\$6.26	\$6.69	\$6.22	\$6.29	\$6.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$844	\$829	\$931	\$812	\$857	\$794
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	53%	65%	50%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,061	78	129	61	78	63	135	64	165	130	158
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	551	38	64	32	41	31	77	45	68	69	86
Participants in a Work Activity	387	28	44	30	26	23	57	12	56	49	62
% of Enrolled	70%	74%	69%	94%	63%	74%	74%	27%	82%	71%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	240	19	35	14	17	13	24	12	35	33	38
% of Enrolled	44%	50%	55%	44%	41%	42%	31%	27%	51%	48%	44%
Full Time	170	13	22	12	13	10	10	8	22	30	30
Part Time	70	6	13	2	4	3	14	4	13	3	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$8.44	\$7.44	\$7.16	\$7.69	\$8.79	\$7.54	\$7.19	\$7.63	\$7.92	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,047	\$888	\$1,009	\$1,008	\$1,053	\$833	\$889	\$1,006	\$1,133	\$1,119

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	508	30	57	36	45	31	60	24	83	55	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	861	57	87	58	63	55	121	50	129	110	131
Participants in a Work Activity	737	49	76	52	54	49	104	30	118	87	118
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	87%	90%	86%	89%	86%	60%	91%	79%	90%
Participants Employed	392	28	44	23	26	28	45	13	66	61	58
% of Total Enrolled	46%	49%	51%	40%	41%	51%	37%	26%	51%	55%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.46	\$7.44	\$7.44	\$8.17	\$8.75	\$7.53	\$7.20	\$8.11	\$8.04	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$995	\$1,071	\$882	\$1,028	\$1,026	\$1,063	\$800	\$831	\$1,022	\$1,091	\$1,044
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	88%	71%	65%	58%	61%	63%	67%	63%	82%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,098	435	912	710	609	482	838	301	1030	841	940
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,398	309	720	529	429	344	653	222	806	637	749
Participants in a Work Activity	5,154	292	715	493	396	328	622	220	768	593	727
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	92%	95%	95%	99%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,305	187	414	298	218	200	378	102	540	436	532
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	58%	56%	51%	58%	58%	46%	67%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$7.28	\$6.12	\$6.92	\$7.05	\$7.15	\$6.55	\$5.87	\$6.40	\$6.60	\$6.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$864	\$952	\$814	\$929	\$912	\$945	\$806	\$733	\$828	\$882	\$868
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	57%	52%	48%	47%	54%	54%	57%	56%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,034	1082	49	166	1,676	49	3,012
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,623	423	26	100	819	20	1,235
Participants in a Work Activity	1,855	317	14	69	533	11	911
% of Enrolled	71%	75%	54%	69%	65%	55%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	4	1	0	4	3	4
Participants Employed	1,031	187	11	47	358	7	421
% of Enrolled	39%	44%	42%	47%	44%	35%	34%
Full Time	740	151	7	25	248	4	305
Part Time	291	36	4	22	110	3	116
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$8.15	\$8.74	\$8.12	\$8.38	\$11.26	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,096	\$1,101	\$956	\$1,109	\$1,440	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,274	468	29	84	586	28	1,079
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,894	716	34	146	1,142	34	1,822
Participants in a Work Activity	3,354	617	24	114	970	26	1,603
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	71%	78%	85%	76%	88%
Participants Employed	1,690	322	14	76	545	14	719
% of Total Enrolled	43%	45%	41%	52%	48%	41%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.43	\$8.76	\$8.11	\$8.45	\$9.51	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,114	\$1,114	\$937	\$1,111	\$1,157	\$1,068
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	76%	90%	70%	78%	71%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	33,085	6,676	242	1105	8,019	299	16,744
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	25,556	5,015	175	787	6,344	208	13,027
Participants in a Work Activity	24,594	4,856	163	723	6,010	194	12,648
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	17,468	3,245	112	506	4,278	122	9,205
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	64%	64%	67%	59%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.24	\$7.49	\$6.84	\$8.10	\$7.53	\$7.46	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$1,008	\$882	\$1,043	\$1,014	\$936	\$952
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	61%	53%	62%	56%	51%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,177	202	54	448	286	187
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	676	126	17	237	172	124
Participants in a Work Activity	430	60	11	167	108	84
% of Enrolled	64%	48%	65%	70%	63%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	3	1	2	0	3
Participants Employed	313	43	6	124	68	72
% of Enrolled	46%	34%	35%	52%	40%	58%
Full Time	246	33	6	103	52	52
Part Time	67	10	0	21	16	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.74	\$8.46	\$8.40	\$8.35	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,150	\$1,356	\$1,086	\$1,013	\$1,207

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	545	86	31	197	138	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,026	167	30	369	257	203
Participants in a Work Activity	816	140	22	283	205	166
% of Total Enrolled	80%	84%	73%	77%	80%	82%
Participants Employed	510	80	8	195	112	115
% of Total Enrolled	50%	48%	27%	53%	44%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.54	\$8.47	\$8.51	\$8.52	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,066	\$1,116	\$1,070	\$1,057	\$1,099
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	69%	57%	85%	79%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,312	1,144	451	2,157	2,128	1,432
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,273	799	294	1,672	1,309	1,199
Participants in a Work Activity	4,988	761	279	1,523	1,266	1,159
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	97%	97%
Participants Employed	3,543	499	191	1,153	780	920
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	65%	69%	60%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.78	\$7.48	\$7.27	\$7.90	\$8.28	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$955	\$918	\$1,027	\$1,115	\$982
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	44%	48%	57%	58%	56%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	436	90	27	53	46	21	35	27	31	106
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	194	47	7	29	14	13	28	8	9	39
Participants in a Work Activity	139	33	5	28	10	9	15	8	7	24
% of Enrolled	72%	70%	71%	97%	71%	69%	54%	100%	78%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	102	23	3	18	7	8	14	6	3	20
% of Enrolled	53%	49%	43%	62%	50%	62%	50%	75%	33%	51%
Full Time	74	15	2	11	6	6	12	4	1	17
Part Time	28	8	1	7	1	2	2	2	2	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$8.37	\$5.55	\$8.17	\$7.86	\$8.03	\$7.35	\$7.38	\$8.53	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$1,062	\$653	\$1,010	\$1,023	\$1,135	\$1,035	\$942	\$730	\$974

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	195	35	13	22	19	13	16	15	15	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	317	71	12	50	25	23	35	18	17	66
Participants in a Work Activity	262	65	9	46	22	20	27	15	17	41
% of Total Enrolled	83%	92%	75%	92%	88%	87%	77%	83%	100%	62%
Participants Employed	164	40	4	27	12	11	19	9	8	34
% of Total Enrolled	52%	56%	33%	54%	48%	48%	54%	50%	47%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.29	\$6.33	\$7.99	\$7.84	\$7.83	\$7.42	\$7.78	\$8.29	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$996	\$993	\$921	\$973	\$1,005	\$1,022	\$1,013	\$915	\$1,034	\$1,016
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	55%	75%	65%	47%	60%	84%	100%	22%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,208	481	242	282	367	182	365	283	296	710
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,337	376	160	222	282	118	267	229	170	513
Participants in a Work Activity	2,193	360	149	214	265	111	243	222	166	463
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	96%	94%	94%	91%	97%	98%	90%
Participants Employed	1,502	263	83	136	190	72	179	136	108	335
% of Total Enrolled	64%	70%	52%	61%	67%	61%	67%	59%	64%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$6.87	\$6.91	\$7.29	\$6.63	\$6.80	\$6.89	\$6.52	\$6.89	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$881	\$844	\$877	\$965	\$834	\$868	\$895	\$839	\$928	\$908
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	41%	36%	46%	48%	55%	58%	41%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,565	27	110	141	46	133	1,235	1,843	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,579	12	36	56	20	77	499	856	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,134	9	23	40	10	48	372	616	16
% of Enrolled	72%	75%	64%	71%	50%	62%	75%	72%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	0
Participants Employed	787	5	21	30	5	35	256	419	16
% of Enrolled	50%	42%	58%	54%	25%	45%	51%	49%	70%
Full Time	596	5	14	22	2	16	190	337	10
Part Time	191	0	7	8	3	19	66	82	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.70	\$7.60	\$8.48	\$7.51	\$7.76	\$7.98	\$8.04	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,076	\$911	\$1,158	\$767	\$890	\$1,021	\$1,078	\$1,043

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,536	17	61	68	18	60	523	776	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,555	18	80	104	28	132	829	1,333	31
Participants in a Work Activity	2,156	16	60	90	20	107	747	1,092	24
% of Total Enrolled	84%	89%	75%	87%	71%	81%	90%	82%	77%
Participants Employed	1,279	7	41	61	5	70	419	653	23
% of Total Enrolled	50%	39%	51%	59%	18%	53%	51%	49%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.69	\$7.95	\$8.79	\$7.51	\$8.28	\$8.07	\$8.07	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,335	\$965	\$1,137	\$748	\$1,030	\$995	\$1,071	\$1,088
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	33%	58%	74%	80%	87%	74%	74%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	23,404	144	976	950	283	918	8,189	11,613	331
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,247	103	681	735	205	671	5,891	8,723	238
Participants in a Work Activity	16,432	99	627	682	195	645	5,751	8,211	222
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	95%	96%	98%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,231	55	452	520	121	427	4,173	6,307	176
% of Total Enrolled	71%	53%	66%	71%	59%	64%	71%	72%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$6.88	\$6.73	\$7.28	\$7.39	\$7.01	\$6.89	\$6.86	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$907	\$900	\$838	\$894	\$1,000	\$880	\$901	\$916	\$903
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	50%	54%	51%	52%	47%	53%	58%	53%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,323	142	83	60	75	341	622
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	669	28	35	35	43	233	295
Participants in a Work Activity	391	24	30	26	26	99	186
% of Enrolled	58%	86%	86%	74%	60%	42%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	1	1	2	1
Participants Employed	274	17	22	20	16	70	129
% of Enrolled	41%	61%	63%	57%	37%	30%	44%
Full Time	205	12	18	13	11	44	107
Part Time	69	5	4	7	5	26	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$7.51	\$8.21	\$8.52	\$8.07	\$7.72	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,007	\$1,116	\$999	\$1,031	\$934	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	486	59	25	16	31	126	229
Total Enrolled in VIEW	996	48	62	47	71	301	467
Participants in a Work Activity	782	46	49	46	62	201	378
% of Total Enrolled	79%	96%	79%	98%	87%	67%	81%
Participants Employed	450	26	34	30	29	107	224
% of Total Enrolled	45%	54%	55%	64%	41%	36%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$7.65	\$8.18	\$8.66	\$7.84	\$7.84	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,080	\$1,024	\$1,057	\$974	\$959	\$1,100
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	56%	79%	85%	69%	70%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,405	1081	730	319	667	2,105	3,503
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,526	735	542	258	531	1,685	2,775
Participants in a Work Activity	6,227	732	474	245	515	1,608	2,653
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,506	491	340	187	352	1,156	1,980
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	63%	72%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$6.83	\$7.31	\$7.08	\$6.86	\$6.76	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$932	\$945	\$979	\$867	\$937	\$909	\$941
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	52%	50%	48%	56%	50%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through November 30, 2010

TANF Cases as of the end of 11/30/10 and Work Activity as of 11/30/10

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,770	166	146	1,195	112	2,311	1,291	411	1,138
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,960	55	65	565	26	1,139	546	115	449
Participants in a Work Activity	2,315	39	36	467	17	905	416	89	346
% of Enrolled	78%	71%	55%	83%	65%	79%	76%	77%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	4	0	0	2	10	0	0
Participants Employed	1,489	31	23	312	6	599	243	66	209
% of Enrolled	50%	56%	35%	55%	23%	53%	45%	57%	47%
Full Time	1,239	23	14	259	1	536	186	54	166
Part Time	250	8	9	53	5	63	57	12	43
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.57	\$7.73	\$7.89	\$7.29	\$7.85	\$7.90	\$8.13	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,064	\$979	\$1,055	\$637	\$1,020	\$1,045	\$1,059	\$1,067

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,775	75	57	454	58	886	481	158	606
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,627	109	91	863	62	1,637	858	246	761
Participants in a Work Activity	4,043	92	77	768	58	1,441	744	226	637
% of Total Enrolled	87%	84%	85%	89%	94%	88%	87%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	2,324	56	31	488	14	855	383	132	365
% of Total Enrolled	50%	51%	34%	57%	23%	52%	45%	54%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$8.19	\$7.72	\$8.06	\$7.66	\$7.79	\$8.03	\$8.16	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,038	\$938	\$1,079	\$854	\$1,002	\$1,040	\$1,059	\$1,080
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	71%	72%	75%	68%	75%	74%	65%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	47,192	1067	903	7,569	740	15,218	7,642	3,009	11,044
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	34,214	761	713	5,459	583	10,929	5,994	2,333	7,442
Participants in a Work Activity	32,396	733	682	5,191	570	10,360	5,641	2,303	6,916
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,232	537	418	4,011	387	7,745	4,374	1,740	5,020
% of Total Enrolled	71%	71%	59%	73%	66%	71%	73%	75%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.75	\$6.81	\$6.57	\$6.91	\$6.36	\$6.64	\$6.65	\$6.60	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$887	\$903	\$821	\$915	\$797	\$852	\$894	\$862	\$944
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	45%	56%	54%	55%	61%	58%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	40.5%	4.56	97	\$8.26	6.08	38	77.8%	7.78	27	6.14	66
003	Albemarle	50.0%	6.06	56	\$8.71	6.88	17	68.8%	6.88	68	6.61	37
005	Alleghany/Covington	38.5%	4.25	103	\$7.81	5.28	87	62.2%	6.22	96	5.25	105
007	Amelia	49.1%	5.92	61	\$8.46	6.44	27	88.2%	8.82	7	7.06	21
009	Amherst	53.1%	6.55	39	\$7.90	5.44	75	67.7%	6.77	73	6.26	60
011	Appomattox	57.4%	7.22	20	\$7.42	4.59	113	77.8%	7.78	28	6.53	43
013	Arlington	45.5%	5.35	81	\$10.24	9.59	2	76.4%	7.64	30	7.53	9
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	59.7%	7.59	15	\$7.91	5.46	74	69.6%	6.96	63	6.67	35
017	Bath	50.0%	6.06	57	\$4.83	0.00	119	66.7%	6.67	75	4.24	117
019	Bedford County/City	42.3%	4.84	91	\$8.59	6.67	20	64.4%	6.44	88	5.98	80
021	Bland	58.3%	7.37	18	\$7.37	4.50	115	33.3%	3.33	116	5.07	108
023	Botetourt	11.5%	0.00	119	\$7.50	4.73	107	50.0%	5.00	113	3.24	118
025	Brunswick	50.6%	6.15	54	\$7.44	4.63	111	71.1%	7.11	56	5.96	82
027	Buchanan	42.7%	4.91	89	\$7.95	5.53	68	69.7%	6.97	61	5.80	86
029	Buckingham	39.7%	4.43	100	\$7.44	4.63	112	65.2%	6.52	83	5.19	107
031	Campbell	52.9%	6.52	41	\$7.98	5.59	63	64.9%	6.49	86	6.20	64
033	Caroline	47.9%	5.73	73	\$8.54	6.58	22	69.1%	6.91	67	6.41	51
035	Carroll	62.6%	8.05	11	\$7.62	4.95	99	62.3%	6.23	95	6.41	50
036	Charles City	38.9%	4.31	102	\$8.69	6.84	18	33.3%	3.33	117	4.83	111
037	Charlotte	41.3%	4.68	92	\$8.17	5.92	44	57.9%	5.79	104	5.47	99
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	45.0%	5.27	82	\$8.43	6.38	30	76.3%	7.63	31	6.43	47
043	Clarke	66.7%	8.69	6	\$8.50	6.51	25	83.3%	8.33	17	7.84	5
045	Craig	75.0%	10.00	1	\$7.75	5.18	92	100.0%	10.00	1	8.39	1
047	Culpeper	53.3%	6.59	38	\$8.07	5.74	53	83.3%	8.33	18	6.89	28
049	Cumberland	50.9%	6.20	53	\$8.75	6.95	16	61.1%	6.11	102	6.42	49
051	Dickensen	49.0%	5.91	62	\$7.50	4.73	108	61.5%	6.15	99	5.60	96
053	Dimwiddie	54.2%	6.72	33	\$7.65	5.00	98	56.0%	5.60	110	5.77	88
057	Essex	56.3%	7.06	26	\$8.29	6.13	35	55.0%	5.50	112	6.23	62
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	48.5%	5.83	65	\$10.18	9.49	3	73.7%	7.37	44	7.56	8
061	Fauquier	57.3%	7.22	21	\$9.07	7.52	7	87.5%	8.75	8	7.83	7
063	Floyd	69.7%	9.16	4	\$7.51	4.75	104	65.2%	6.52	84	6.81	31
065	Fluvanna	44.0%	5.12	85	\$7.98	5.59	64	100.0%	10.00	2	6.90	26
067	Franklin County	42.7%	4.91	88	\$8.01	5.64	59	68.1%	6.81	71	5.79	87
069	Frederick	39.7%	4.43	99	\$9.05	7.48	8	71.1%	7.11	55	6.34	55
071	Giles	56.4%	7.07	25	\$7.51	4.75	105	65.0%	6.50	85	6.11	71
073	Gloucester	51.3%	6.26	51	\$7.95	5.53	69	57.6%	5.76	106	5.85	85
075	Goochland	41.2%	4.67	93	\$8.76	6.97	15	90.0%	9.00	5	6.88	29
077	Grayson	53.6%	6.62	37	\$7.82	5.30	86	66.7%	6.67	76	6.20	65

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	63.6%	8.21	10	\$8.42	6.37	31	75.0%	7.50	35	7.36	11
081	Greensville/Emporia	37.2%	4.04	107	\$7.53	4.79	103	62.8%	6.28	93	5.04	109
083	Halifax	35.1%	3.71	110	\$8.14	5.87	46	63.3%	6.33	91	5.30	103
085	Hanover	52.1%	6.38	46	\$8.11	5.82	47	69.8%	6.98	60	6.39	53
087	Henrico	47.7%	5.70	75	\$8.45	6.42	28	77.9%	7.79	26	6.64	36
089	Henry/Martinsville	48.2%	5.78	67	\$7.97	5.57	66	57.8%	5.78	105	5.71	91
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	51.4%	6.28	50	\$8.19	5.96	42	70.7%	7.07	57	6.44	46
095	James City	58.7%	7.42	17	\$8.79	7.02	14	74.0%	7.40	41	7.28	12
097	King and Queen	33.3%	3.43	113	\$6.33	2.66	118	75.0%	7.50	36	4.53	115
099	King George	26.7%	2.38	114	\$8.47	6.45	26	57.1%	5.71	107	4.85	110
101	King William	54.0%	6.69	34	\$7.99	5.60	61	64.7%	6.47	87	6.25	61
103	Lancaster	48.0%	5.75	70	\$7.84	5.34	80	46.7%	4.67	115	5.25	106
105	Lee	59.8%	7.61	14	\$7.48	4.70	109	75.0%	7.50	37	6.60	38
107	Loudoun	48.2%	5.78	66	\$10.47	10.00	1	78.7%	7.87	24	7.88	4
109	Louisa	42.3%	4.85	90	\$8.42	6.37	32	67.7%	6.77	74	5.99	78
111	Lunenburg	26.0%	2.28	115	\$7.20	4.20	116	66.7%	6.67	77	4.38	116
113	Madison	36.0%	3.85	108	\$8.97	7.34	10	100.0%	10.00	3	7.06	20
115	Mathews	47.8%	5.72	74	\$7.83	5.32	84	60.0%	6.00	103	5.68	93
117	Mecklenburg	51.2%	6.24	52	\$8.11	5.82	48	62.9%	6.29	92	6.12	69
119	Middlesex	54.3%	6.74	32	\$7.42	4.59	114	84.2%	8.42	16	6.58	40
121	Montgomery	65.7%	8.53	7	\$7.88	5.41	76	68.0%	6.80	72	6.91	25
125	Nelson	47.6%	5.69	77	\$7.76	5.20	91	87.5%	8.75	9	6.54	42
127	New Kent	17.9%	1.00	118	\$7.51	4.75	106	80.0%	8.00	21	4.58	113
131	Northampton	55.6%	6.94	28	\$7.98	5.59	65	85.7%	8.57	11	7.03	22
133	Northumberland	50.0%	6.06	58	\$7.78	5.23	90	100.0%	10.00	4	7.10	19
135	Nottoway	55.5%	6.92	29	\$8.04	5.69	57	81.6%	8.16	20	6.92	24
137	Orange	61.4%	7.86	13	\$8.81	7.06	13	66.7%	6.67	78	7.20	15
139	Page	52.0%	6.38	47	\$8.10	5.80	50	50.0%	5.00	114	5.72	90
141	Patrick	56.5%	7.08	24	\$7.46	4.66	110	66.0%	6.60	81	6.11	70
143	Pittsylvania	43.4%	5.02	87	\$7.84	5.34	81	56.3%	5.63	109	5.33	101
145	Powhatan	41.2%	4.67	94	\$9.51	8.30	5	71.4%	7.14	50	6.70	34
147	Prince Edward	44.3%	5.16	84	\$7.94	5.51	71	73.5%	7.35	46	6.01	76
149	Prince George	54.8%	6.82	31	\$8.18	5.94	43	79.3%	7.93	22	6.90	27
153	Prince William	51.7%	6.32	48	\$9.32	7.96	6	74.1%	7.41	39	7.23	14
155	Pulaski	53.8%	6.67	35	\$7.83	5.32	85	57.1%	5.71	108	5.90	83
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	2.12	116	\$9.00	7.39	9	0.0%	0.00	119	3.17	119
159	Richmond County	47.1%	5.60	78	\$8.29	6.13	36	22.2%	2.22	118	4.65	112
161	Roanoke County	55.4%	6.92	30	\$8.11	5.82	49	62.3%	6.23	94	6.32	57
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	64.4%	8.33	8	\$7.97	5.57	67	71.4%	7.14	51	7.01	23
165	Rockingham/H'burg	68.2%	8.93	5	\$8.38	6.29	33	69.3%	6.93	64	7.39	10
167	Russell	47.6%	5.69	76	\$7.70	5.09	95	74.0%	7.40	42	6.06	73
169	Scott	50.0%	6.06	59	\$7.59	4.89	102	88.3%	8.83	6	6.60	39
171	Shenandoah	40.0%	4.48	98	\$8.31	6.17	34	72.7%	7.27	47	5.98	81

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	37.6%	4.11	105	\$7.74	5.16	93	76.1%	7.61	33	5.63	94
175	<i>Southampton</i>	34.1%	3.55	111	\$7.72	5.12	94	72.4%	7.24	49	5.30	102
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	52.8%	6.51	42	\$8.51	6.52	24	84.6%	8.46	15	7.16	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	43.6%	5.05	86	\$8.52	6.54	23	79.1%	7.91	23	6.50	44
181	<i>Surry</i>	63.8%	8.24	9	\$8.66	6.79	19	84.6%	8.46	14	7.83	6
183	<i>Sussex</i>	40.8%	4.62	96	\$7.84	5.34	82	69.2%	6.92	65	5.63	95
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	52.7%	6.49	43	\$7.70	5.09	96	64.0%	6.40	89	5.99	79
187	<i>Warren</i>	40.9%	4.63	95	\$8.86	7.15	12	71.4%	7.14	52	6.31	58
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.2%	5.45	79	\$7.62	4.95	100	61.3%	6.13	101	5.51	98
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	51.5%	6.30	49	\$7.93	5.50	72	61.9%	6.19	98	6.00	77
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.3%	7.05	27	\$7.62	4.95	101	63.8%	6.38	90	6.13	68
197	<i>Wythe</i>	61.5%	7.88	12	\$7.95	5.53	70	70.0%	7.00	59	6.80	32
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	53.0%	6.54	40	\$8.28	6.12	37	87.0%	8.70	10	7.12	18
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	52.2%	6.41	44	\$9.93	9.04	4	85.4%	8.54	13	8.00	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	50.0%	6.06	60	\$8.00	5.62	60	78.0%	7.80	25	6.49	45
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.4%	7.23	19	\$8.26	6.08	39	72.4%	7.24	48	6.85	30
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.5%	7.09	23	\$8.06	5.73	56	74.5%	7.45	38	6.76	33
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.5%	4.09	106	\$7.92	5.48	73	62.2%	6.22	97	5.26	104
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	22.6%	1.74	117	\$7.66	5.02	97	68.4%	6.84	70	4.53	114
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	56.7%	7.11	22	\$8.44	6.40	29	83.1%	8.31	19	7.27	13
640	<i>Galax</i>	48.1%	5.76	69	\$7.85	5.35	78	61.5%	6.15	100	5.76	89
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.5%	6.15	55	\$8.07	5.74	54	73.9%	7.39	43	6.43	48
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	35.5%	3.78	109	\$7.84	5.34	83	69.7%	6.97	62	5.36	100
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	48.2%	5.78	68	\$7.85	5.35	79	70.1%	7.01	58	6.05	74
683	<i>Manassas</i>	33.6%	3.47	112	\$8.92	7.25	11	76.7%	7.67	29	6.13	67
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	38.5%	4.24	104	\$8.59	6.67	21	66.7%	6.67	79	5.86	84
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.0%	5.90	63	\$8.07	5.74	55	73.7%	7.37	45	6.34	56
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.2%	6.41	45	\$7.79	5.25	89	75.5%	7.55	34	6.40	52
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.4%	9.90	2	\$7.07	3.97	117	76.2%	7.62	32	7.16	17
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	48.0%	5.74	71	\$8.10	5.80	51	71.3%	7.13	53	6.22	63
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	44.6%	5.22	83	\$8.03	5.67	58	74.0%	7.40	40	6.10	72
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.4%	7.54	16	\$7.81	5.28	88	69.2%	6.92	66	6.58	41
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	39.5%	4.40	101	\$8.20	5.98	41	66.6%	6.66	80	5.68	92
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	46.1%	5.45	80	\$8.08	5.76	52	68.5%	6.85	69	6.02	75
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.7%	6.64	36	\$8.16	5.90	45	65.4%	6.54	82	6.36	54
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.0%	5.74	72	\$8.21	5.99	40	71.2%	7.12	54	6.28	59
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.2%	9.87	3	\$7.99	5.60	62	85.7%	8.57	12	8.02	2
840	<i>Winchester</i>	48.8%	5.87	64	\$7.86	5.37	77	55.4%	5.54	111	5.59	97

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.4%	2.00	116	\$838	3.07	95	57.4%	6.61	29	3.89	105
003	Albemarle	73.4%	7.82	14	\$1,000	6.25	18	54.5%	5.80	56	6.62	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.0%	7.14	29	\$823	2.78	102	45.5%	3.33	109	4.42	91
007	Amelia	60.5%	4.24	97	\$952	5.31	35	46.7%	3.67	103	4.41	93
009	Amherst	67.3%	6.12	52	\$850	3.31	88	50.0%	4.57	84	4.67	83
011	Appomattox	70.5%	7.01	35	\$850	3.31	87	43.9%	2.91	113	4.41	92
013	Arlington	72.3%	7.51	18	\$1,054	7.32	9	63.8%	8.36	6	7.73	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.7%	6.22	48	\$944	5.17	40	55.1%	5.96	52	5.78	37
017	Bath	62.2%	4.71	91	\$681	0.00	120	53.9%	5.63	60	3.45	112
019	Bedford County/City	65.6%	5.65	67	\$844	3.20	90	54.4%	5.78	57	4.88	74
021	Bland	63.1%	4.95	87	\$833	2.99	97	45.5%	3.35	108	3.76	107
023	Botetourt	45.2%	0.00	120	\$918	4.66	54	41.8%	2.32	116	2.33	118
025	Brunswick	57.5%	3.40	111	\$814	2.61	108	56.8%	6.45	32	4.15	101
027	Buchanan	52.5%	2.02	115	\$826	2.85	100	54.6%	5.83	55	3.57	111
029	Buckingham	56.3%	3.08	112	\$929	4.86	49	52.5%	5.25	70	4.40	94
031	Campbell	68.7%	6.51	41	\$881	3.93	73	58.3%	6.86	23	5.76	39
033	Caroline	62.5%	4.78	90	\$955	5.38	31	43.8%	2.87	114	4.34	98
035	Carroll	66.8%	5.97	58	\$814	2.61	107	46.0%	3.48	106	4.02	103
036	Charles City	53.4%	2.27	114	\$900	4.30	66	50.0%	4.57	85	3.71	108
037	Charlotte	50.8%	1.55	118	\$912	4.54	59	48.2%	4.07	94	3.39	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.7%	5.40	75	\$1,008	6.42	15	60.5%	7.46	14	6.43	20
043	Clarke	61.0%	4.36	96	\$974	5.75	26	46.6%	3.63	104	4.58	85
045	Craig	64.3%	5.28	77	\$1,030	6.86	11	56.3%	6.29	41	6.14	29
047	Culpeper	65.9%	5.74	64	\$951	5.29	36	55.7%	6.13	47	5.72	41
049	Cumberland	58.1%	3.58	108	\$945	5.19	39	46.8%	3.68	102	4.15	100
051	Dickensen	59.9%	4.08	98	\$812	2.57	109	48.5%	4.17	91	3.61	110
053	Dinwiddie	66.8%	5.98	57	\$945	5.19	38	51.8%	5.05	75	5.41	49
057	Essex	69.9%	6.85	37	\$844	3.19	91	40.9%	2.07	118	4.04	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.27	45	\$1,127	8.74	3	61.3%	7.66	12	7.56	6
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.56	40	\$1,006	6.37	16	61.4%	7.70	10	6.88	10
063	Floyd	71.0%	7.14	28	\$919	4.67	52	46.2%	3.52	105	5.11	61
065	Fluvanna	71.8%	7.35	21	\$1,074	7.72	6	56.5%	6.36	36	7.14	7
067	Franklin County	62.5%	4.78	89	\$936	5.01	46	50.1%	4.60	83	4.79	80
069	Frederick	58.2%	3.59	107	\$988	6.03	22	50.8%	4.79	78	4.80	78
071	Giles	59.6%	3.98	100	\$937	5.02	44	48.2%	4.08	93	4.36	96
073	Gloucester	66.4%	5.86	61	\$838	3.07	94	53.8%	5.62	62	4.85	77
075	Goochland	64.0%	5.20	79	\$882	3.94	72	53.2%	5.45	66	4.87	75
077	Grayson	66.1%	5.79	63	\$838	3.08	93	55.1%	5.97	51	4.95	66

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.6%	8.69	5	\$913	4.56	58	48.7%	4.23	90	5.83	35
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.9%	3.51	109	\$806	2.45	111	54.2%	5.73	58	3.90	104
083	Halifax	57.6%	3.43	110	\$829	2.90	98	47.7%	3.93	99	3.42	113
085	Hanover	64.3%	5.29	76	\$1,043	7.10	10	62.1%	7.90	8	6.76	12
087	Henrico	67.4%	6.16	50	\$1,014	6.53	14	56.5%	6.35	38	6.34	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.22	78	\$931	4.91	47	53.4%	5.52	65	5.21	56
091	Highland	71.4%	7.26	23	\$694	0.26	119	60.0%	7.32	17	4.95	68
093	Isle of Wight	70.6%	7.02	34	\$903	4.35	64	55.0%	5.95	54	5.77	38
095	James City	70.7%	7.07	32	\$894	4.18	70	51.2%	4.91	77	5.39	50
097	King and Queen	51.9%	1.85	117	\$877	3.84	76	36.0%	0.73	119	2.14	120
099	King George	65.0%	5.47	72	\$918	4.64	55	47.7%	3.94	98	4.68	82
101	King William	61.3%	4.45	93	\$965	5.57	27	45.9%	3.45	107	4.49	89
103	Lancaster	67.4%	6.14	51	\$834	3.00	96	48.0%	4.01	95	4.38	95
105	Lee	65.7%	5.67	66	\$771	1.76	116	60.3%	7.39	15	4.94	69
107	Loudoun	77.9%	9.05	3	\$1,177	9.73	2	64.9%	8.65	5	9.14	1
109	Louisa	61.0%	4.36	95	\$957	5.42	28	53.7%	5.58	64	5.12	60
111	Lunenburg	45.9%	0.20	119	\$733	1.03	117	53.9%	5.65	59	2.30	119
113	Madison	65.3%	5.56	70	\$991	6.08	21	46.9%	3.73	101	5.12	59
115	Mathews	61.0%	4.38	94	\$868	3.66	79	55.4%	6.05	50	4.70	81
117	Mecklenburg	67.0%	6.04	55	\$828	2.89	99	57.1%	6.52	30	5.15	58
119	Middlesex	67.0%	6.05	54	\$895	4.20	68	58.0%	6.77	25	5.67	42
121	Montgomery	79.7%	9.56	2	\$924	4.77	51	53.8%	5.63	61	6.65	15
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.48	71	\$991	6.09	20	50.5%	4.71	79	5.43	48
127	New Kent	59.0%	3.83	102	\$1,000	6.26	17	52.1%	5.16	73	5.08	62
131	Northampton	63.2%	4.99	85	\$820	2.73	104	66.8%	9.17	2	5.63	43
133	Northumberland	59.4%	3.93	101	\$839	3.11	92	41.0%	2.10	117	3.05	117
135	Nottoway	68.4%	6.44	44	\$882	3.95	71	56.0%	6.21	43	5.53	46
137	Orange	72.2%	7.46	20	\$955	5.38	30	43.7%	2.85	115	5.23	55
139	Page	69.9%	6.84	38	\$982	5.90	24	55.0%	5.96	53	6.23	25
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.11	53	\$812	2.57	110	65.1%	8.70	4	5.79	36
143	Pittsylvania	55.4%	2.81	113	\$857	3.45	84	50.1%	4.61	82	3.63	109
145	Powhatan	58.7%	3.72	103	\$936	5.01	45	51.3%	4.94	76	4.56	87
147	Prince Edward	71.0%	7.15	26	\$868	3.66	80	60.0%	7.31	18	6.04	30
149	Prince George	62.7%	4.85	88	\$979	5.84	25	49.8%	4.53	87	5.07	63
153	Prince William	73.2%	7.77	15	\$1,191	10.00	1	61.4%	7.69	11	8.49	2
155	Pulaski	64.7%	5.41	74	\$930	4.90	48	44.3%	3.00	112	4.44	90
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.19	80	\$954	5.36	33	48.5%	4.16	92	4.90	73
159	Richmond County	63.5%	5.07	84	\$928	4.85	50	33.3%	0.00	120	3.31	115
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	8.01	9	\$1,029	6.83	12	52.2%	5.16	72	6.67	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.9%	7.94	11	\$857	3.46	83	55.9%	6.19	44	5.86	34
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.2%	8.03	8	\$950	5.28	37	57.9%	6.74	26	6.68	13
167	Russell	62.2%	4.70	92	\$846	3.23	89	56.9%	6.48	31	4.80	79
169	Scott	58.3%	3.62	106	\$870	3.72	78	65.4%	8.79	3	5.37	51
171	Shenandoah	63.2%	4.97	86	\$912	4.53	60	52.5%	5.26	69	4.92	71

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	3.71	105	\$815	2.62	106	52.3%	5.20	71	3.84	106
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.6%	3.72	104	\$821	2.74	103	45.4%	3.31	111	3.26	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	69.0%	6.58	39	\$1,027	6.79	13	56.5%	6.37	35	6.58	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.6%	3.98	99	\$1,115	8.51	4	57.6%	6.67	27	6.39	21
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.5%	7.55	17	\$867	3.66	81	47.9%	3.98	97	5.06	64
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.3%	5.84	62	\$937	5.03	43	56.5%	6.35	37	5.74	40
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.7%	6.24	47	\$793	2.21	115	56.4%	6.34	39	4.93	70
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.6%	6.48	43	\$993	6.12	19	55.5%	6.07	48	6.22	27
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.4%	5.59	68	\$881	3.92	74	47.9%	4.00	96	4.50	88
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.3%	5.57	69	\$908	4.45	62	50.0%	4.57	86	4.86	76
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.7%	5.11	81	\$798	2.30	112	56.8%	6.44	33	4.62	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.03	56	\$826	2.85	101	55.8%	6.17	45	5.02	65
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.6%	5.10	82	\$880	3.91	75	47.2%	3.81	100	4.27	99
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.15	27	\$1,055	7.34	8	69.8%	10.00	1	8.16	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	70.5%	7.00	36	\$856	3.44	85	49.0%	4.30	89	4.91	72
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.3%	10.00	1	\$919	4.66	53	56.3%	6.31	40	6.99	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.5%	7.83	12	\$915	4.59	57	56.1%	6.26	42	6.23	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.7%	5.68	65	\$794	2.23	114	52.1%	5.14	74	4.35	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.4%	5.86	60	\$797	2.27	113	53.7%	5.58	63	4.57	86
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.7%	8.73	4	\$982	5.90	23	55.8%	6.16	46	6.93	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.6%	5.09	83	\$870	3.72	77	58.9%	7.02	21	5.28	54
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.8%	7.10	31	\$901	4.32	65	52.7%	5.30	68	5.57	44
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.6%	6.48	42	\$909	4.47	61	50.2%	4.63	81	5.19	57
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.2%	7.21	25	\$819	2.71	105	61.7%	7.77	9	5.90	32
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.8%	5.42	73	\$1,085	7.92	5	59.4%	7.14	20	6.83	11
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.4%	7.82	13	\$1,068	7.59	7	63.8%	8.36	7	7.92	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	72.3%	7.50	19	\$916	4.62	56	58.3%	6.85	24	6.32	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.9%	7.11	30	\$852	3.36	86	55.4%	6.06	49	5.51	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.3%	8.34	6	\$730	0.97	118	60.1%	7.36	16	5.55	45
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.4%	7.24	24	\$941	5.11	42	57.5%	6.64	28	6.33	23
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.0%	7.69	16	\$894	4.19	69	60.9%	7.57	13	6.48	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.5%	7.28	22	\$897	4.24	67	45.4%	3.32	110	4.94	67
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.7%	7.05	33	\$952	5.32	34	59.8%	7.25	19	6.54	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.7%	5.96	59	\$957	5.41	29	50.3%	4.65	80	5.34	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.6%	8.14	7	\$862	3.56	82	58.3%	6.86	22	6.18	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.5%	6.16	49	\$944	5.16	41	56.7%	6.40	34	5.91	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.9%	7.96	10	\$903	4.35	63	52.8%	5.35	67	5.89	33
840	<i>Winchester</i>	67.8%	6.27	46	\$954	5.37	32	49.6%	4.48	88	5.37	52

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – November 30, 2010

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	9,899	470	404	863	333	175	983	139	233	360	483	356	1,364	367	134	1,042	317	1,786	90
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	93%	95%	95%	94%	82%	83%	88%	96%	92%	96%	95%	89%	77%	95%	96%	88%	92%	94%
% Timely in November 2010	92%	94%	95%	97%	95%	69%	86%	76%	98%	96%	98%	93%	88%	71%	91%	98%	89%	96%	94%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	4,343	202	177	395	143	68	428	57	113	163	225	163	610	158	51	430	145	786	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	98%	95%	98%	88%	95%	91%	99%	94%	97%	99%	94%	95%	94%	91%	94%	92%	97%
Percent in November 2010	94%	94%	99%	95%	99%	89%	95%	89%	100%	93%	95%	99%	95%	93%	94%	93%	96%	92%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	153	8	2	3	3	16	32	4	0	1	0	2	44	19	2	6	3	6	2
Average # of Days Over 30	40	31	11	40	8	26	45	47	0	4	0	6	55	32	12	38	34	37	32

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	470	32	24	80	73	53	76	112	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	88%	96%	99%	99%	94%	95%	92%	60%
% Timely in November 2010	94%	90%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	0%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	202	12	9	43	38	22	24	47	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	92%	100%	95%	100%	100%	92%	96%	86%
Percent in November 2010	94%	86%	100%	96%	100%	100%	83%	91%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	6
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	37

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	404	3	33	11	87	72	43	124	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	67%	97%	100%	94%	96%	88%	98%	87%
% Timely in November 2010	95%	67%	100%	100%	93%	100%	88%	100%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	177	0	9	5	41	35	16	61	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	N/A	100%	100%	98%	97%	100%	98%	100%
Percent in November 2010	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	5	0	0	16	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	863	34	4	2	10	99	23	141	81	92	24	353
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	79%	75%	50%	100%	99%	96%	99%	100%	98%	58%	95%
% Timely in November 2010	97%	57%	0%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	395	15	3	1	3	41	6	62	33	43	10	178
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%	98%	100%	95%	100%	95%
Percent in November 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	40	41	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	333	190	4	0	22	117
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	99%	100%	N/A	55%	92%
% Timely in November 2010	95%	96%	100%	N/A	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	143	70	2	0	9	62
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	100%
Percent in November 2010	99%	100%	100%	N/A	75%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	8	5	0	0	0	10

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	175	1	37	27	16	42	52	90	61	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	82%	100%	41%	89%	88%	98%	94%	94%	97%	90%
% Timely in November 2010	69%	N/A	0%	67%	100%	100%	100%	94%	96%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	68	1	13	12	7	17	18	29	20	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	88%	100%	77%	100%	71%	88%	94%	97%	95%	100%
Percent in November 2010	89%	100%	78%	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	16	0	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	26	0	0	0	0	32	0	32

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	983	90	332	75	333	97	50	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	83%	100%	84%	93%	74%	98%	62%	50%
% Timely in November 2010	86%	100%	81%	100%	81%	100%	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	428	39	153	36	137	38	21	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	95%	97%	93%	92%	90%	100%
Percent in November 2010	95%	100%	93%	100%	95%	88%	92%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	32	0	3	0	28	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	45	0	4	0	51	0	0	12

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	139	59	38	12	29	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	78%	100%	92%	90%	100%
% Timely in November 2010	76%	42%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	57	25	12	4	16	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	88%	100%	100%	88%	N/A
Percent in November 2010	89%	88%	100%	100%	80%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	4	3	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	47	26	0	108	0	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	233	38	14	13	37	9	122
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	86%	46%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in November 2010	98%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	113	19	6	8	21	5	54
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	99%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in November 2010	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	360	23	24	76	74	163
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	96%	100%	97%	100%	85%
% Timely in November 2010	96%	100%	100%	94%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	163	10	9	33	31	80
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	90%	100%	94%	100%	93%
Percent in November 2010	93%	83%	100%	93%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	4

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	483	76	177	51	67	112
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	96%	93%	98%	100%	99%
% Timely in November 2010	98%	100%	97%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	225	35	85	25	29	51
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	98%	88%	100%	98%
Percent in November 2010	95%	100%	95%	80%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	356	14	42	24	34	21	48	14	56	38	65
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	96%	97%	100%	85%	50%	98%	100%	100%
% Timely in November 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	77%	33%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	163	7	19	14	19	12	17	8	24	16	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	99%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
Percent in November 2010	99%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>											
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,364	282	21	48	370	17	626
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	85%	71%	100%	81%	94%	96%
% Timely in November 2010	88%	86%	50%	100%	78%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	610	140	7	16	151	5	291
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	94%	86%	94%	93%	100%	95%
Percent in November 2010	95%	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	44	7	4	0	30	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	55	54	27	0	63	0	12

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	367	58	19	127	90	73
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	77%	76%	100%	54%	93%	92%
% Timely in November 2010	71%	50%	100%	38%	89%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	158	26	9	56	32	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	89%	93%	94%	97%
Percent in November 2010	93%	100%	100%	87%	94%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	19	3	0	12	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	20	0	27	54	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	134	21	7	17	15	10	12	12	10	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	86%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	87%
% Timely in November 2010	91%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	33%	100%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	51	7	4	7	7	1	7	5	6	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	71%
Percent in November 2010	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,042	12	41	47	13	47	345	530	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	83%	93%	100%	46%	98%	99%	95%	57%
% Timely in November 2010	98%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	98%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	430	6	17	17	5	21	148	212	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	100%	94%	80%	100%	98%	85%	25%
Percent in November 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	89%	0%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	62

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	317	26	17	9	24	76	165
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	96%	100%	100%	100%	71%	92%
% Timely in November 2010	89%	50%	100%	100%	100%	89%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	145	14	5	5	13	32	76
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	93%
Percent in November 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	0	0	0	0	34	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - November 30, 2010

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,786	45	37	300	43	569	346	104	342
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	91%	84%	90%	98%	89%	95%	100%	91%
% Timely in November 2010	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	95%	99%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	786	13	14	142	21	249	139	58	150
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	92%	85%	100%	92%	100%	89%	90%	98%	93%
Percent in November 2010	92%	50%	100%	96%	100%	88%	89%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	6	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	39	0	40	0	61	0	21

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Nov-09</i>	<i>Nov-10</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,192	14,554	2.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	124,598	128,115	2.8%
Total Cases Collected	138,790	142,669	2.8%
TANF Collections	\$2,512,087	\$2,711,212	7.9%
NTANF Collections	\$44,470,418	\$48,217,058	8.4%
Total Collections	\$46,982,505	\$50,928,270	8.40%
SFY YTD Collections	\$245,222,975	\$251,509,244	2.6%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$348	\$354	1.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Nov-10</i>
TANF Paternities	245
NTANF Paternities	398
Combined Paternities	643

November Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	4		Page	1
Alleghany / Covington	8		Patrick	4
Amelia	3		Pittsylvania	3
Amherst	1		Prince William	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	12		Pulaski	4
Bedford City	4		Roanoke County	4
Buckingham	2		Rockingham / H'burg	8
Campbell	3		Scott	1
Caroline	4		Shenandoah	18
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	16		Spotsylvania	12
Culpeper	2		Stafford	11
Dickensen	1		Warren	3
Dinwiddie	4		Wythe	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	5		York / Poquoson	1
Fauquier	1		Bristol	7
Floyd	4		Charlottesville	6
Franklin County	9		Chesapeake	3
Frederick	8		Franklin City	1
Giles	1		Fredricksburg	2
Gloucester	1		Hampton	5
Grayson	1		Hopewell	7
Greene	5		Lynchburg	1
Halifax	3		Mannassas	2
Henrico	7		Newport News	18
Henry / Martinsville	5		Norfolk	18
King William	1		Portsmouth	1
Loudoun	1		Richmond City	6
Louisa	3		Roanoke City	7
Lunenburg	1		Suffolk	8
Montgomery	2		Virginia Beach	8
Nottoway	1		Winchester	3
Orange	3		Statewide	301

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of morning of December 10, 2010. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through November 2010



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through November 2010



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


November 30, 2010



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of December and represent end of November data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of November, it was \$8.23 rather than the \$8.14 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. November is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.