

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**January 2011**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services February 28 2011

# Monthly Report, January 2011

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for January

*These are estimated locality January 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 40% with a numerator of 7,963 and a denominator of 19,903.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	20	89	22%	061	Fauquier	25	59	42%
003	Albemarle	28	62	45%	063	Floyd	15	31	48%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	71	30%	065	Fluvanna	6	17	35%
007	Amelia	16	43	37%	067	Franklin County	45	134	34%
009	Amherst	20	40	50%	069	Frederick	17	100	17%
011	Appomattox	31	47	66%	071	Giles	15	31	48%
013	Arlington	75	134	56%	073	Gloucester	22	59	37%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	157	304	52%	075	Goochland	6	24	25%
017	Bath	2	5	40%	077	Grayson	12	25	48%
019	Bedford County/City	40	107	37%	079	Greene	16	35	46%
021	Bland	2	13	15%	081	Greensville/Emporia	30	91	33%
023	Botetourt	0	19	0%	083	Halifax	22	89	25%
025	Brunswick	34	74	46%	085	Hanover	38	100	38%
027	Buchanan	23	56	41%	087	Henrico	351	975	36%
029	Buckingham	8	34	24%	089	Henry/Martinsville	99	324	31%
031	Campbell	73	160	46%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	37	120	31%	093	Isle of Wight	28	78	36%
035	Carroll	28	55	51%	095	James City	34	91	37%
036	Charles City	7	13	54%	097	King and Queen	3	10	30%
037	Charlotte	18	49	37%	099	King George	9	28	32%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	247	638	39%	101	King William	17	36	47%
043	Clarke	7	12	58%	103	Lancaster	7	27	26%
045	Craig	1	9	11%	105	Lee	45	133	34%
047	Culpeper	22	82	27%	107	Loudoun	55	140	39%
049	Cumberland	3	33	9%	109	Louisa	25	49	51%
051	Dickensen	16	39	41%	111	Lunenburg	2	40	5%
053	Dinwiddie	13	45	29%	113	Madison	7	15	47%
057	Essex	20	60	33%	115	Mathews	2	9	22%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	288	735	39%	117	Mecklenburg	32	71	45%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	24	21%	187	Warren	22	80	28%
121	Montgomery	106	200	53%	191	Washington	36	88	41%
125	Nelson	3	9	33%	193	Westmoreland	9	52	17%
127	New Kent	4	26	15%	195	Wise	73	199	37%
131	Northampton	20	70	29%	197	Wythe	26	76	34%
133	Northumberland	8	16	50%	199	York/Poquoson	41	97	42%
135	Nottoway	29	88	33%	510	Alexandria	121	295	41%
137	Orange	18	51	35%	520	Bristol	84	156	54%
139	Page	13	37	35%	540	Charlottesville	108	218	50%
141	Patrick	26	57	46%	550	Chesapeake	410	750	55%
143	Pittsylvania	32	83	39%	590	Danville	91	235	39%
145	Powhatan	5	16	31%	620	Franklin City	7	32	22%
147	Prince Edward	41	76	54%	630	Fredericksburg	48	115	42%
149	Prince George	10	37	27%	640	Galax	18	50	36%
153	Prince William	269	800	34%	650	Hampton	338	739	46%
155	Pulaski	32	65	49%	670	Hopewell	20	228	9%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	124	323	38%
159	Richmond County	0	10	0%	683	Manassas	34	92	37%
161	Roanoke County	72	181	40%	685	Manassas Park	12	29	41%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	15	44	34%	700	Newport News	446	1,139	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72	151	48%	710	Norfolk	714	1,388	51%
167	Russell	37	107	35%	720	Norton	11	39	28%
169	Scott	37	98	38%	730	Petersburg	159	373	43%
171	Shenandoah	7	17	41%	740	Portsmouth	276	687	40%
173	Smyth	29	103	28%	750	Radford	12	38	32%
175	Southampton	21	80	26%	760	Richmond City	659	1,792	37%
177	Spotsylvania	101	273	37%	770	Roanoke City	213	657	32%
179	Stafford	84	189	44%	800	Suffolk	89	165	54%
181	Surry	14	31	45%	810	Virginia Beach	313	614	51%
183	Sussex	10	46	22%	830	Williamsburg	7	22	32%
185	Tazewell	46	109	42%	840	Winchester	33	70	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

*These are estimated locality January 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 34% with a numerator of 6,010 and a denominator of 17,687. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	10	76	13%	061	Fauquier	14	47	30%
003	Albemarle	19	53	36%	063	Floyd	10	26	38%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	68	28%	065	Fluvanna	6	16	38%
007	Amelia	11	37	30%	067	Franklin County	28	117	24%
009	Amherst	13	33	39%	069	Frederick	6	85	7%
011	Appomattox	27	42	64%	071	Giles	10	26	38%
013	Arlington	49	106	46%	073	Gloucester	18	54	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	127	273	47%	075	Goochland	4	21	19%
017	Bath	1	4	25%	077	Grayson	8	21	38%
019	Bedford County/City	22	88	25%	079	Greene	12	31	39%
021	Bland	2	11	18%	081	Greensville/Emporia	24	85	28%
023	Botetourt	0	19	0%	083	Halifax	17	84	20%
025	Brunswick	28	68	41%	085	Hanover	23	85	27%
027	Buchanan	19	50	38%	087	Henrico	279	880	32%
029	Buckingham	3	28	11%	089	Henry/Martinsville	65	286	23%
031	Campbell	54	140	39%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	28	107	26%	093	Isle of Wight	23	73	32%
035	Carroll	22	48	46%	095	James City	24	79	30%
036	Charles City	6	12	50%	097	King and Queen	1	8	13%
037	Charlotte	13	44	30%	099	King George	5	24	21%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	176	561	31%	101	King William	16	35	46%
043	Clarke	5	10	50%	103	Lancaster	7	27	26%
045	Craig	1	9	11%	105	Lee	35	120	29%
047	Culpeper	17	75	23%	107	Loudoun	26	109	24%
049	Cumberland	1	31	3%	109	Louisa	18	42	43%
051	Dickensen	11	34	32%	111	Lunenburg	2	39	5%
053	Dinwiddie	10	42	24%	113	Madison	5	13	38%
057	Essex	16	56	29%	115	Mathews	0	7	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	182	618	29%	117	Mecklenburg	23	62	37%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	3	21	14%	187	Warren	14	72	19%
121	Montgomery	81	175	46%	191	Washington	31	83	37%
125	Nelson	1	6	17%	193	Westmoreland	9	48	19%
127	New Kent	4	25	16%	195	Wise	54	176	31%
131	Northampton	17	67	25%	197	Wythe	21	71	30%
133	Northumberland	8	16	50%	199	York/Poquoson	33	88	38%
135	Nottoway	24	83	29%	510	Alexandria	84	255	33%
137	Orange	15	48	31%	520	Bristol	70	142	49%
139	Page	12	36	33%	540	Charlottesville	86	195	44%
141	Patrick	19	49	39%	550	Chesapeake	350	685	51%
143	Pittsylvania	26	76	34%	590	Danville	76	218	35%
145	Powhatan	4	15	27%	620	Franklin City	5	29	17%
147	Prince Edward	26	59	44%	630	Fredericksburg	33	98	34%
149	Prince George	5	31	16%	640	Galax	12	44	27%
153	Prince William	158	676	23%	650	Hampton	267	647	41%
155	Pulaski	21	54	39%	670	Hopewell	9	210	4%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	94	289	33%
159	Richmond County	0	9	0%	683	Manassas	24	82	29%
161	Roanoke County	44	148	30%	685	Manassas Park	6	21	29%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	39	26%	700	Newport News	337	1,011	33%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	46	123	37%	710	Norfolk	624	1,293	48%
167	Russell	32	98	33%	720	Norton	7	34	21%
169	Scott	30	87	34%	730	Petersburg	121	325	37%
171	Shenandoah	4	14	29%	740	Portsmouth	211	611	35%
173	Smyth	23	96	24%	750	Radford	11	36	31%
175	Southampton	18	76	24%	760	Richmond City	535	1,656	32%
177	Spotsylvania	75	239	31%	770	Roanoke City	136	572	24%
179	Stafford	52	157	33%	800	Suffolk	68	142	48%
181	Surry	9	26	35%	810	Virginia Beach	241	538	45%
183	Sussex	9	43	21%	830	Williamsburg	6	20	30%
185	Tazewell	31	94	33%	840	Winchester	27	64	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 88% with a numerator of 1,953 and a denominator of 2,216. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	10	13	77%	061	Fauquier	11	12	92%
003	Albemarle	9	9	100%	063	Floyd	5	5	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	3	67%	065	Fluvanna	0	1	0%
007	Amelia	5	6	83%	067	Franklin County	17	17	100%
009	Amherst	7	7	100%	069	Frederick	11	15	73%
011	Appomattox	4	5	80%	071	Giles	5	5	100%
013	Arlington	26	28	93%	073	Gloucester	4	5	80%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	31	97%	075	Goochland	2	3	67%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	18	19	95%	079	Greene	4	4	100%
021	Bland	0	2	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	6	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	5	5	100%
025	Brunswick	6	6	100%	085	Hanover	15	15	100%
027	Buchanan	4	6	67%	087	Henrico	72	95	76%
029	Buckingham	5	6	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	34	38	89%
031	Campbell	19	20	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	9	13	69%	093	Isle of Wight	5	5	100%
035	Carroll	6	7	86%	095	James City	10	12	83%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	71	77	92%	101	King William	1	1	100%
043	Clarke	2	2	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	10	13	77%
047	Culpeper	5	7	71%	107	Loudoun	29	31	94%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	5	5	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	1	0%
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	2	2	100%
057	Essex	4	4	100%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	106	117	91%	117	Mecklenburg	9	9	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	3	67%	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	25	25	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	2	3	67%	193	Westmoreland	0	4	0%
127	New Kent	0	1	0%	195	Wise	19	23	83%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	8	9	89%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	37	40	93%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	14	14	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	23	96%
141	Patrick	7	8	88%	550	Chesapeake	60	65	92%
143	Pittsylvania	6	7	86%	590	Danville	15	17	88%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	2	3	67%
147	Prince Edward	15	17	88%	630	Fredericksburg	15	17	88%
149	Prince George	5	6	83%	640	Galax	6	6	100%
153	Prince William	111	124	90%	650	Hampton	71	92	77%
155	Pulaski	11	11	100%	670	Hopewell	11	18	61%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	30	34	88%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	10	10	100%
161	Roanoke County	28	33	85%	685	Manassas Park	6	8	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	109	128	85%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	28	93%	710	Norfolk	90	95	95%
167	Russell	5	9	56%	720	Norton	4	5	80%
169	Scott	7	11	64%	730	Petersburg	38	48	79%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	65	76	86%
173	Smyth	6	7	86%	750	Radford	1	2	50%
175	Southampton	3	4	75%	760	Richmond City	124	136	91%
177	Spotsylvania	26	34	76%	770	Roanoke City	77	85	91%
179	Stafford	32	32	100%	800	Suffolk	21	23	91%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	72	76	95%
183	Sussex	1	3	33%	830	Williamsburg	1	2	50%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-11	Jan-10	Change from Jan-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,706	4,749	-1%	4,807	-2%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,284	2,168	5%	1,658	38%
Applications Approved	1,813	1,934	-6%	2,820	-36%
Cases Closed *	2,594	2,416	7%	4,476	-42%
Cases at End of Month	33,984	34,337	-1%	67,995	-50%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	34,992	35,034	-0.1%	70,403	-50%

•The database indicates that of the 2,594 closed cases, 970 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 531 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 215 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 125 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-11	Jan-10	Change from Jan-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	552	686	-20%	338	63%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	254	310	-18%	232	9%
Applications Approved	261	242	8%	81	222%
Cases Closed *	278	272	2%	107	160%
Cases at End of Month	2,241	2,153	4%	321	598%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,368	2,261	5%	394	501%

•The database indicates that of the 278 closed cases, 103 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 48 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 19 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 11 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

# VIEW Work Activity

## Statewide - Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	36,225	1,818	1,101	2,848	1,032	566	4,242	437	717	1,302	1,643	1,064	6,006	1,204	445	3,509	1,291	6,686	314
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,877	712	519	1,198	435	245	1,721	169	348	629	553	529	2,655	718	201	1,589	663	2,864	129
Part. in a Work Activity	11,016	513	392	747	318	169	1,240	112	294	450	405	333	1,786	453	126	1,126	342	2,157	53
% of Enrolled	69%	72%	76%	62%	73%	69%	72%	66%	84%	72%	73%	63%	67%	63%	63%	71%	52%	75%	41%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	130	5	4	13	1	9	14	5	0	0	10	4	10	7	8	13	8	19	0
Participants Employed	7,110	357	244	553	253	98	760	88	181	271	246	207	1,030	305	85	772	246	1,370	44
% of Enrolled	45%	50%	47%	46%	58%	40%	44%	52%	52%	43%	44%	39%	39%	42%	42%	49%	37%	48%	34%
Full Time	5,385	300	207	445	208	71	525	72	109	205	196	155	722	213	58	560	188	1,122	29
Part Time	1,724	57	37	107	45	27	235	16	72	66	50	52	308	92	27	212	58	248	15
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.14	\$7.51	\$7.71	\$7.83	\$7.97	\$8.19	\$9.37	\$8.81	\$8.46	\$7.83	\$7.77	\$7.80	\$8.18	\$8.44	\$7.90	\$8.10	\$7.90	\$7.93	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,058	\$1,002	\$1,025	\$1,032	\$1,064	\$1,072	\$1,206	\$1,177	\$998	\$1,051	\$1,042	\$1,003	\$1,062	\$1,051	\$977	\$1,043	\$1,042	\$1,033	\$1,043

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	21,084	1,041	791	1,816	711	443	2,275	263	436	734	1,002	669	3,080	782	289	2,112	667	3,762	211
Total Enrolled in VIEW	29,306	1,274	1,047	2,389	866	559	3,301	313	616	1,155	1,266	970	4,424	1,185	374	2,938	1,119	5,263	247
Part. in a Work Activity	25,625	1,156	944	2,012	822	446	2,967	273	579	1,017	1,155	844	3,881	969	303	2,505	889	4,664	199
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	90%	84%	95%	80%	90%	87%	94%	88%	91%	87%	88%	82%	81%	85%	79%	89%	81%
Participants Employed	14,398	671	508	1,193	527	255	1,641	170	337	590	552	431	1,991	589	179	1,486	499	2,669	110
% of Total Enrolled	49%	53%	49%	50%	61%	46%	50%	54%	55%	51%	44%	44%	45%	50%	48%	51%	45%	51%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$7.62	\$7.80	\$7.96	\$8.09	\$8.48	\$9.87	\$8.63	\$8.41	\$8.01	\$7.89	\$7.97	\$8.35	\$8.51	\$7.98	\$8.16	\$8.06	\$8.00	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,078	\$1,021	\$1,032	\$1,056	\$1,062	\$1,130	\$1,290	\$1,089	\$993	\$1,056	\$1,036	\$1,003	\$1,085	\$1,058	\$1,005	\$1,045	\$1,059	\$1,040	\$1,084
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	71%	69%	66%	69%	63%	77%	80%	73%	69%	65%	67%	72%	80%	60%	74%	69%	74%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	251,031	16,015	9,466	18,605	7,280	4,731	31,254	3,567	5,408	9,403	11,839	7,173	33,440	7,448	3,253	23,687	8,499	47,667	2,296
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	190,662	12,201	7,938	14,070	5,524	3,461	23,926	2,673	4,130	7,731	9,466	5,474	25,894	5,404	2,377	17,498	6,607	34,611	1,677
Part. in a Work Activity	182,393	11,729	7,592	13,425	5,391	3,278	23,118	2,580	4,025	7,321	9,151	5,221	24,921	5,107	2,218	16,654	6,290	32,772	1,600
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	97%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	93%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	130,300	7,678	5,193	9,676	3,878	2,279	16,967	1,815	3,107	5,341	5,897	3,335	17,680	3,610	1,516	12,376	4,538	24,468	946
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	69%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	62%	61%	68%	67%	64%	71%	69%	71%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.05	\$6.12	\$6.21	\$6.99	\$7.04	\$7.23	\$8.55	\$7.36	\$7.30	\$6.47	\$6.32	\$6.63	\$7.26	\$7.80	\$6.88	\$6.91	\$6.90	\$6.76	\$6.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$935	\$807	\$844	\$942	\$937	\$974	\$1,139	\$972	\$940	\$845	\$845	\$865	\$978	\$1,012	\$882	\$908	\$934	\$888	\$832
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	53%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	54%	46%	56%	54%	57%	62%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,818	166	101	303	252	221	250	462	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	712	31	40	142	104	116	83	165	31
Participants in a Work Activity	513	27	21	95	90	84	71	107	18
% of Enrolled	72%	87%	53%	67%	87%	72%	86%	65%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	357	13	19	76	45	55	44	88	17
% of Enrolled	50%	42%	48%	54%	43%	47%	53%	53%	55%
Full Time	300	13	18	66	32	43	41	73	14
Part Time	57	0	1	10	13	12	3	15	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$8.14	\$7.42	\$7.36	\$7.60	\$7.50	\$7.70	\$7.53	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,002	\$1,179	\$1,016	\$972	\$944	\$988	\$1,104	\$1,009	\$888

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,041	55	54	166	142	132	182	270	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,274	78	63	226	191	166	189	319	42
Participants in a Work Activity	1,156	70	54	213	176	161	175	270	37
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	86%	94%	92%	97%	93%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	671	38	29	134	89	81	97	172	31
% of Total Enrolled	53%	49%	46%	59%	47%	49%	51%	54%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$8.26	\$7.47	\$7.49	\$7.66	\$7.59	\$7.73	\$7.63	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,021	\$1,211	\$1,021	\$987	\$976	\$999	\$1,069	\$1,040	\$869
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	59%	63%	78%	72%	88%	65%	67%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,015	1,599	1,127	2,229	2,202	1,547	3,069	3,722	520
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,201	1,217	865	1,677	1,757	1,101	2,335	2,843	406
Participants in a Work Activity	11,729	1,138	816	1,662	1,672	1,083	2,246	2,735	377
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,678	643	516	1,099	1,089	638	1,579	1,808	306
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.12	\$6.35	\$6.17	\$5.83	\$6.33	\$6.39	\$6.04	\$6.11	\$5.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$807	\$831	\$812	\$772	\$845	\$871	\$796	\$800	\$731
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	66%	57%	57%	60%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,101	18	119	49	214	210	126	278	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	519	7	54	18	89	81	53	169	48
Participants in a Work Activity	392	7	52	16	63	64	36	132	22
% of Enrolled	76%	100%	96%	89%	71%	79%	68%	78%	46%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	244	2	36	7	41	43	33	64	18
% of Enrolled	47%	29%	67%	39%	46%	53%	62%	38%	38%
Full Time	207	1	31	6	36	35	29	55	14
Part Time	37	1	5	1	5	8	4	9	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.71	\$8.08	\$7.59	\$7.25	\$7.86	\$7.52	\$7.74	\$7.73	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,025	\$668	\$1,027	\$951	\$1,078	\$964	\$986	\$1,049	\$1,098

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	791	15	68	27	174	137	92	220	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,047	18	112	33	203	192	110	287	92
Participants in a Work Activity	944	17	110	29	185	182	104	246	71
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	98%	88%	91%	95%	95%	86%	77%
Participants Employed	508	8	77	17	79	88	65	135	39
% of Total Enrolled	49%	44%	69%	52%	39%	46%	59%	47%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$7.44	\$7.63	\$7.76	\$7.84	\$7.59	\$7.90	\$7.96	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$866	\$1,006	\$1,022	\$1,100	\$999	\$1,026	\$1,030	\$1,083
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	50%	66%	59%	70%	61%	69%	77%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,466	237	1,273	617	1,644	1,630	1,452	1,936	677
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,938	182	1,055	501	1,368	1,354	1,163	1,723	592
Participants in a Work Activity	7,592	171	970	464	1,309	1,322	1,138	1,659	559
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	5,193	112	714	330	803	885	774	1,204	371
% of Total Enrolled	65%	62%	68%	66%	59%	65%	67%	70%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.21	\$6.09	\$6.03	\$6.27	\$5.96	\$6.48	\$6.23	\$6.27	\$6.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$844	\$830	\$816	\$838	\$818	\$881	\$826	\$857	\$871
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	51%	46%	46%	55%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,848	119	50	11	50	276	63	389	200	328	77	1,285
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1198	99	24	5	18	126	20	182	60	148	33	483
Participants in a Work Activity	747	49	4	4	15	84	14	145	47	111	20	254
% of Enrolled	62%	49%	17%	80%	83%	67%	70%	80%	78%	75%	61%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	4
Participants Employed	553	35	3	3	9	45	11	133	30	70	20	194
% of Enrolled	46%	35%	13%	60%	50%	36%	55%	73%	50%	47%	61%	40%
Full Time	445	20	3	3	9	27	8	111	29	59	13	163
Part Time	107	15	0	0	0	18	2	22	1	11	7	31
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$7.50	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.50	\$7.94	\$6.93	\$7.88	\$7.92	\$7.79	\$8.24	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$893	\$1,083	\$1,175	\$1,030	\$855	\$878	\$1,059	\$1,095	\$1,045	\$998	\$1,076

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,816	58	12	5	30	198	41	261	141	175	54	841
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,389	141	28	6	41	254	45	369	153	292	73	987
Participants in a Work Activity	2,012	100	8	5	36	201	38	334	143	249	61	837
% of Total Enrolled	84%	71%	29%	83%	88%	79%	84%	91%	93%	85%	84%	85%
Participants Employed	1,193	55	3	3	25	111	24	244	77	164	42	445
% of Total Enrolled	50%	39%	11%	50%	61%	44%	53%	66%	50%	56%	58%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.71	\$7.50	\$7.75	\$7.45	\$7.98	\$7.46	\$7.86	\$7.82	\$8.08	\$8.00	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$888	\$1,075	\$1,005	\$939	\$989	\$977	\$1,033	\$1,081	\$1,077	\$992	\$1,114
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	64%	100%	100%	59%	68%	56%	68%	63%	59%	75%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,605	1,095	309	71	461	1,633	475	2,653	1,632	2,022	731	7,523
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,070	825	189	29	328	1,219	335	2,150	1,280	1,610	562	5,543
Participants in a Work Activity	13,425	791	166	28	321	1,081	324	2,120	1,236	1,519	544	5,295
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	97%	98%	89%	97%	99%	97%	94%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	9,676	584	85	18	230	761	197	1,713	825	1,189	400	3,674
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	62%	70%	62%	59%	80%	64%	74%	71%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.99	\$6.78	\$7.20	\$7.02	\$6.85	\$6.84	\$6.95	\$6.85	\$6.70	\$7.48	\$6.92	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$942	\$822	\$918	\$1,030	\$919	\$934	\$939	\$925	\$931	\$1,029	\$898	\$957
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	45%	42%	59%	45%	50%	47%	54%	45%	53%	46%	50%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,032	622	8	1	89	312
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	435	250	6	0	39	140
Participants in a Work Activity	318	173	3	N/A	27	115
% of Enrolled	73%	69%	50%	N/A	69%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	253	141	2	N/A	21	89
% of Enrolled	58%	56%	33%	N/A	54%	64%
Full Time	208	109	2	N/A	18	79
Part Time	45	32	0	N/A	3	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.68	\$7.38	N/A	\$8.35	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$988	\$1,115	N/A	\$1,199	\$1,150

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	711	397	5	1	53	255
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	866	495	7	0	68	296
Participants in a Work Activity	822	469	7	N/A	62	284
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	100%	N/A	91%	96%
Participants Employed	527	284	4	N/A	45	194
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	57%	N/A	66%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$7.88	\$5.50	N/A	\$8.04	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$1,031	\$632	N/A	\$1,070	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	71%	75%	N/A	70%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,280	3,913	73	17	646	2,631
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	5,524	3,015	46	7	476	1,980
Participants in a Work Activity	5,391	2,963	46	7	450	1,925
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	3,878	2,029	29	5	351	1,464
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	71%	74%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.04	\$7.03	\$6.44	\$7.75	\$6.66	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$937	\$944	\$687	\$694	\$861	\$952
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	56%	60%	55%	58%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	566	18	182	77	33	112	144	314	201	113
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	245	6	84	31	12	52	60	129	61	68
Participants in a Work Activity	169	6	38	29	10	36	50	53	22	31
% of Enrolled	69%	100%	45%	94%	83%	69%	83%	41%	36%	46%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	2	5	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	98	2	28	13	4	23	28	44	18	26
% of Enrolled	40%	33%	33%	42%	33%	44%	47%	34%	30%	38%
Full Time	71	2	19	12	2	20	16	29	11	18
Part Time	27	0	9	1	2	3	12	15	7	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$8.13	\$8.24	\$7.47	\$8.00	\$8.96	\$7.86	\$8.06	\$8.51	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,072	\$1,103	\$1,044	\$1,090	\$963	\$1,246	\$962	\$1,043	\$1,079	\$1,017

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	443	14	117	63	51	96	102	211	131	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	559	12	140	86	40	140	141	247	148	99
Participants in a Work Activity	446	11	110	75	33	103	114	199	116	83
% of Total Enrolled	80%	92%	79%	87%	83%	74%	81%	81%	78%	84%
Participants Employed	255	6	58	41	16	61	73	110	59	51
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	41%	48%	40%	44%	52%	45%	40%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$8.50	\$9.05	\$8.11	\$8.57	\$8.93	\$7.90	\$8.24	\$8.43	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,130	\$1,212	\$1,223	\$1,107	\$1,141	\$1,251	\$975	\$1,084	\$1,096	\$1,071
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	83%	75%	50%	67%	72%	52%	75%	70%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,731	184	957	736	583	1152	1119	2,296	1446	850
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,461	107	689	595	347	853	870	1,677	1033	644
Participants in a Work Activity	3,278	102	648	579	334	788	827	1600	993	607
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	97%	96%	92%	95%	95%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	2,279	64	403	412	218	587	595	946	539	407
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	58%	69%	63%	69%	68%	56%	52%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.23	\$7.30	\$7.56	\$7.24	\$6.62	\$7.31	\$7.15	\$6.59	\$6.66	\$6.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$974	\$974	\$991	\$983	\$914	\$997	\$954	\$832	\$841	\$823
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	47%	52%	55%	52%	55%	50%	62%	57%	67%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,242	321	1,548	248	1,355	514	211	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,721	107	557	148	626	200	75	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,240	90	462	92	376	158	56	6
% of Enrolled	72%	84%	83%	62%	60%	79%	75%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	8	1	4	1	0	0
Participants Employed	760	49	253	74	262	97	21	4
% of Enrolled	44%	46%	45%	50%	42%	49%	28%	50%
Full Time	525	23	197	42	170	73	16	4
Part Time	235	26	56	32	92	24	5	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.37	\$10.12	\$9.57	\$9.47	\$9.01	\$9.52	\$8.40	\$10.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,206	\$1,197	\$1,291	\$1,171	\$1,127	\$1,241	\$1,062	\$1,598

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,275	149	781	163	782	260	115	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,301	213	1,183	254	1,116	358	160	17
Participants in a Work Activity	2,967	208	1,074	218	983	328	139	17
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	91%	86%	88%	92%	87%	100%
Participants Employed	1,641	105	583	132	571	190	53	7
% of Total Enrolled	50%	49%	49%	52%	51%	53%	33%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.87	\$10.36	\$10.28	\$10.33	\$9.37	\$9.90	\$8.89	\$10.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,290	\$1,368	\$1,367	\$1,292	\$1,211	\$1,302	\$1,145	\$1,501
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	78%	75%	83%	76%	85%	78%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	31,254	2,717	10,976	2,188	9,992	3,626	1,352	403
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	23,926	2,109	8,210	1,720	7,696	2,865	1,032	294
Participants in a Work Activity	23,118	2,093	7,928	1,646	7,422	2,745	992	292
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	99%
Participants Employed	16,967	1,533	5,564	1,343	5,615	2,034	663	215
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	71%	64%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.55	\$8.11	\$8.53	\$9.08	\$8.70	\$8.24	\$8.05	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,139	\$1,056	\$1,130	\$1,177	\$1,190	\$1,057	\$1,084	\$1,076
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	61%	65%	62%	70%	59%	64%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	437	192	102	32	106	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	169	66	40	11	50	2
Participants in a Work Activity	112	47	24	6	35	0
% of Enrolled	66%	71%	60%	55%	70%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	4	0
Participants Employed	88	35	20	3	30	0
% of Enrolled	52%	53%	50%	27%	60%	0%
Full Time	72	25	19	2	26	N/A
Part Time	16	10	1	1	4	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$8.07	\$9.07	\$7.58	\$9.62	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,177	\$1,008	\$1,255	\$1,047	\$1,335	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	263	117	74	22	45	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	313	119	87	29	74	4
Participants in a Work Activity	273	101	78	29	62	3
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	90%	100%	84%	75%
Participants Employed	170	63	49	10	47	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	53%	56%	34%	64%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.63	\$8.01	\$9.05	\$8.83	\$8.95	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,089	\$940	\$1,202	\$1,119	\$1,165	\$774
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	83%	82%	100%	73%	0%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,567	1,371	1046	332	727	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,673	977	798	246	591	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,580	941	790	239	552	58
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,815	642	548	158	428	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	69%	64%	72%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.36	\$7.31	\$7.70	\$7.10	\$7.12	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	\$949	\$1,008	\$991	\$957	\$954
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	47%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	717	139	48	57	102	31	340
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	348	42	17	34	39	8	208
Participants in a Work Activity	294	36	14	24	30	6	184
% of Enrolled	84%	86%	82%	71%	77%	75%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	181	27	8	19	18	5	104
% of Enrolled	52%	64%	47%	56%	46%	63%	50%
Full Time	109	20	6	6	13	2	62
Part Time	72	7	2	13	5	3	42
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.46	\$8.50	\$7.81	\$8.36	\$8.33	\$7.53	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$998	\$1,042	\$1,006	\$945	\$1,101	\$772	\$988

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	436	82	28	34	66	16	210
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	616	96	29	52	90	22	327
Participants in a Work Activity	579	91	29	43	77	21	318
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	100%	83%	86%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	337	52	12	32	42	10	189
% of Total Enrolled	55%	54%	41%	62%	47%	45%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.41	\$8.52	\$8.00	\$8.43	\$8.46	\$7.72	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$993	\$1,105	\$986	\$990	\$1,081	\$883	\$953
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	77%	89%	72%	71%	78%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,408	1261	207	425	825	259	2,431
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,130	892	135	307	595	180	2,021
Participants in a Work Activity	4,025	870	130	286	567	179	1,993
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	93%	95%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,107	655	94	233	364	117	1,644
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	70%	76%	61%	65%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.30	\$7.70	\$7.43	\$7.27	\$7.36	\$7.56	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$940	\$999	\$1,070	\$913	\$958	\$989	\$920
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	54%	57%	50%	54%	51%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,302	93	91	204	289	625
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	629	29	45	98	205	252
Participants in a Work Activity	450	23	41	71	149	166
% of Enrolled	72%	79%	91%	72%	73%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	271	15	30	39	87	100
% of Enrolled	43%	52%	67%	40%	42%	40%
Full Time	205	14	30	25	65	71
Part Time	66	1	0	14	22	29
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$8.10	\$7.45	\$8.02	\$8.04	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,094	\$1,140	\$978	\$1,106	\$997

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	734	61	39	149	149	336
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,155	72	75	214	322	472
Participants in a Work Activity	1,017	65	71	180	299	402
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	95%	84%	93%	85%
Participants Employed	590	39	43	97	179	232
% of Total Enrolled	51%	54%	57%	45%	56%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.01	\$7.86	\$7.70	\$8.50	\$8.03	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,056	\$1,041	\$1,153	\$1,130	\$1,037	\$1,024
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	65%	78%	64%	70%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,403	876	747	1,894	2,176	3,710
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,731	695	651	1,516	1,845	3,024
Participants in a Work Activity	7,321	664	640	1,416	1,740	2,861
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,341	468	461	994	1,269	2,149
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.47	\$6.67	\$6.18	\$6.54	\$6.67	\$6.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$845	\$852	\$853	\$845	\$884	\$820
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	50%	44%	54%	59%	61%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,643	242	558	102	233	508
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	553	71	227	79	48	128
Participants in a Work Activity	405	33	172	65	38	97
% of Enrolled	73%	46%	76%	82%	79%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	9	0
Participants Employed	246	27	97	38	27	57
% of Enrolled	44%	38%	43%	48%	56%	45%
Full Time	196	20	78	26	23	49
Part Time	50	7	19	12	4	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.87	\$7.82	\$7.66	\$7.84	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,136	\$1,056	\$984	\$1,061	\$1,005

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,002	153	353	79	142	275
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,266	176	475	133	146	336
Participants in a Work Activity	1,155	159	441	116	136	303
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	93%	87%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	552	61	233	74	60	124
% of Total Enrolled	44%	35%	49%	56%	41%	37%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.10	\$7.98	\$7.46	\$7.83	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$1,057	\$1,059	\$968	\$1,005	\$1,034
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	67%	67%	71%	59%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,839	1,834	3,184	1,153	1,915	3,753
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,466	1,517	2,398	1,002	1,462	3,087
Participants in a Work Activity	9,151	1,463	2,302	941	1,442	3,003
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	97%
Participants Employed	5,897	870	1,534	674	807	2,012
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.32	\$6.28	\$6.71	\$6.23	\$6.30	\$6.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$845	\$830	\$933	\$813	\$857	\$795
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	53%	48%	53%	65%	50%	52%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,064	82	124	61	80	64	136	66	173	133	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	529	37	56	27	43	28	78	44	71	69	76
Participants in a Work Activity	333	29	28	22	31	12	53	12	56	35	55
% of Enrolled	63%	78%	50%	81%	72%	43%	68%	27%	79%	51%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	207	14	25	13	14	11	25	10	28	33	34
% of Enrolled	39%	38%	45%	48%	33%	39%	32%	23%	39%	48%	45%
Full Time	155	10	18	13	11	8	15	7	16	28	29
Part Time	52	4	7	0	3	3	10	3	12	5	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$8.86	\$7.39	\$7.26	\$8.15	\$8.73	\$7.60	\$7.31	\$7.57	\$7.63	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,044	\$904	\$979	\$1,095	\$1,029	\$872	\$904	\$898	\$1,068	\$1,169

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	669	48	72	48	60	40	86	27	107	77	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	970	70	100	64	75	56	140	57	148	116	144
Participants in a Work Activity	844	60	87	59	67	51	123	40	138	91	128
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	87%	92%	89%	91%	88%	70%	93%	78%	89%
Participants Employed	431	34	46	27	27	28	52	12	71	66	68
% of Total Enrolled	44%	49%	46%	42%	36%	50%	37%	21%	48%	57%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.85	\$7.43	\$7.52	\$8.26	\$8.75	\$7.57	\$7.30	\$8.02	\$7.99	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,089	\$884	\$1,057	\$1,062	\$1,063	\$817	\$836	\$998	\$1,082	\$1,067
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	85%	68%	68%	58%	58%	57%	69%	65%	74%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,173	446	918	716	617	489	848	301	1042	850	946
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,474	320	726	533	437	345	664	230	820	641	758
Participants in a Work Activity	5,221	301	720	497	405	330	633	226	780	595	734
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	93%	93%	96%	95%	98%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,335	192	416	302	219	200	384	102	542	440	538
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	50%	58%	58%	44%	66%	69%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.63	\$7.35	\$6.13	\$6.93	\$7.07	\$7.15	\$6.57	\$5.87	\$6.41	\$6.60	\$6.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$865	\$955	\$814	\$931	\$916	\$945	\$807	\$733	\$828	\$883	\$870
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	48%	57%	52%	48%	46%	55%	53%	57%	56%	60%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,006	1108	43	165	1,711	55	2,924
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,655	429	30	91	855	21	1,229
Participants in a Work Activity	1,786	295	17	58	541	10	865
% of Enrolled	67%	69%	57%	64%	63%	48%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	2	2	0	3	2	1
Participants Employed	1,030	179	14	48	378	4	407
% of Enrolled	39%	42%	47%	53%	44%	19%	33%
Full Time	722	146	9	30	254	2	281
Part Time	308	33	5	18	124	2	126
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.14	\$8.61	\$8.42	\$8.43	\$12.39	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$1,096	\$1,197	\$1,041	\$1,101	\$1,453	\$1,005

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,080	638	30	111	803	36	1,462
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,424	831	38	161	1,285	40	2,069
Participants in a Work Activity	3,881	722	31	131	1,130	30	1,837
% of Total Enrolled	88%	87%	82%	81%	88%	75%	89%
Participants Employed	1,991	383	19	92	638	14	845
% of Total Enrolled	45%	46%	50%	57%	50%	35%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$8.44	\$8.58	\$8.33	\$8.51	\$9.51	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,085	\$1,124	\$1,150	\$983	\$1,117	\$1,157	\$1,053
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	76%	92%	71%	79%	60%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	33,440	6,785	242	1116	8,123	305	16,869
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	25,894	5,105	178	797	6,448	213	13,153
Participants in a Work Activity	24,921	4,934	168	734	6,123	195	12,767
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	92%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	17,680	3,291	117	519	4,348	122	9,283
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	66%	65%	67%	57%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.26	\$7.50	\$6.87	\$8.13	\$7.55	\$7.46	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$978	\$1,010	\$890	\$1,045	\$1,015	\$936	\$952
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	59%	60%	54%	62%	57%	51%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,204	210	56	451	298	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	718	141	20	258	174	125
Participants in a Work Activity	453	79	11	165	114	84
% of Enrolled	63%	56%	55%	64%	66%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	0	3	0	1
Participants Employed	305	51	6	116	69	63
% of Enrolled	42%	36%	30%	45%	40%	50%
Full Time	213	37	4	82	47	43
Part Time	92	14	2	34	22	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$8.67	\$7.83	\$8.36	\$8.38	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,113	\$1,103	\$1,018	\$1,032	\$1,076

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	782	124	43	271	205	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,185	194	37	431	293	230
Participants in a Work Activity	969	159	30	339	245	196
% of Total Enrolled	82%	82%	81%	79%	84%	85%
Participants Employed	589	89	10	227	134	129
% of Total Enrolled	50%	46%	27%	53%	46%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.51	\$8.48	\$8.25	\$8.48	\$8.58	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,058	\$1,040	\$1,020	\$1,050	\$1,058	\$1,089
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	71%	60%	82%	84%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,448	1,167	458	2,196	2,166	1,461
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,404	818	299	1,724	1,340	1,223
Participants in a Work Activity	5,107	776	285	1,570	1,296	1,180
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,610	505	193	1,181	798	933
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	65%	69%	60%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$7.49	\$7.27	\$7.90	\$8.30	\$7.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$953	\$916	\$1,025	\$1,113	\$982
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	44%	48%	57%	58%	56%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	445	89	26	63	50	23	29	32	33	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	201	51	9	30	18	8	20	14	10	41
Participants in a Work Activity	126	37	6	26	11	6	9	10	5	16
% of Enrolled	63%	73%	67%	87%	61%	75%	45%	71%	50%	39%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	2
Participants Employed	85	19	3	14	9	6	9	8	3	14
% of Enrolled	42%	37%	33%	47%	50%	75%	45%	57%	30%	34%
Full Time	58	11	1	9	7	3	7	5	2	13
Part Time	27	8	2	5	2	3	2	3	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$8.44	\$6.47	\$8.26	\$8.12	\$8.00	\$7.16	\$7.54	\$8.71	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$977	\$1,046	\$481	\$1,047	\$1,003	\$911	\$908	\$1,002	\$843	\$994

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	289	54	19	34	25	17	25	25	20	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	374	80	15	59	32	24	39	25	20	80
Participants in a Work Activity	303	78	11	53	25	22	28	20	17	49
% of Total Enrolled	81%	98%	73%	90%	78%	92%	72%	80%	85%	61%
Participants Employed	179	42	6	28	14	13	21	11	9	35
% of Total Enrolled	48%	53%	40%	47%	44%	54%	54%	44%	45%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$8.41	\$7.10	\$8.02	\$7.94	\$7.88	\$7.44	\$7.84	\$8.24	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,005	\$1,040	\$799	\$983	\$991	\$1,053	\$1,008	\$942	\$1,031	\$1,008
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	51%	100%	73%	58%	67%	67%	88%	33%	54%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,253	489	246	285	372	185	370	286	297	723
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,377	378	163	230	288	119	268	234	172	525
Participants in a Work Activity	2,218	364	151	220	267	112	244	226	166	468
% of Total Enrolled	93%	96%	93%	96%	93%	94%	91%	97%	97%	89%
Participants Employed	1,516	264	85	137	192	74	181	138	109	336
% of Total Enrolled	64%	70%	52%	60%	67%	62%	68%	59%	63%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.88	\$6.90	\$6.94	\$7.31	\$6.65	\$6.84	\$6.90	\$6.54	\$6.90	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$882	\$849	\$871	\$966	\$834	\$875	\$895	\$841	\$928	\$908
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	41%	35%	47%	49%	53%	57%	41%	33%	50%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,509	29	103	136	48	138	1,192	1,830	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,589	11	43	60	26	85	514	827	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,126	9	34	46	12	59	372	575	19
% of Enrolled	71%	82%	79%	77%	46%	69%	72%	70%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	0	0	2	10	1	0
Participants Employed	772	6	25	33	6	36	255	392	19
% of Enrolled	49%	55%	58%	55%	23%	42%	50%	47%	83%
Full Time	560	6	15	21	3	14	178	311	12
Part Time	212	0	10	12	3	22	77	81	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$7.67	\$7.46	\$8.35	\$7.47	\$8.40	\$8.14	\$8.07	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,059	\$864	\$1,064	\$822	\$958	\$1,012	\$1,084	\$1,027

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,112	22	82	91	28	88	727	1,055	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,938	19	95	125	33	151	957	1,520	38
Participants in a Work Activity	2,505	18	77	113	27	127	856	1,256	31
% of Total Enrolled	85%	95%	81%	90%	82%	84%	89%	83%	82%
Participants Employed	1,486	8	50	73	6	82	487	750	30
% of Total Enrolled	51%	42%	53%	58%	18%	54%	51%	49%	79%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.16	\$8.56	\$7.98	\$8.62	\$7.47	\$8.51	\$8.14	\$8.11	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,045	\$1,294	\$959	\$1,062	\$806	\$1,061	\$1,003	\$1,075	\$1,023
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	57%	58%	75%	83%	84%	75%	72%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	23,687	148	987	967	288	935	8,289	11,740	333
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	17,498	104	691	752	207	686	5,979	8,836	243
Participants in a Work Activity	16,654	101	636	700	198	658	5,823	8,310	228
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	92%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	12,376	56	456	529	122	436	4,218	6,377	182
% of Total Enrolled	71%	54%	66%	70%	59%	64%	71%	72%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.91	\$6.89	\$6.75	\$7.29	\$7.39	\$7.06	\$6.91	\$6.87	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$908	\$900	\$838	\$891	\$1,000	\$886	\$903	\$918	\$900
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	49%	54%	51%	51%	48%	53%	58%	53%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,291	133	89	55	77	345	592
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	663	20	31	37	44	239	292
Participants in a Work Activity	342	19	24	25	24	80	170
% of Enrolled	52%	95%	77%	68%	55%	33%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	1	1	2	2	2
Participants Employed	246	16	17	16	15	58	124
% of Enrolled	37%	80%	55%	43%	34%	24%	42%
Full Time	188	13	10	12	12	38	103
Part Time	58	3	7	4	3	20	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$7.65	\$8.00	\$8.66	\$8.01	\$7.69	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,097	\$984	\$1,033	\$1,090	\$936	\$1,087

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	667	82	36	23	47	170	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,119	56	68	55	85	332	523
Participants in a Work Activity	889	54	56	51	75	225	428
% of Total Enrolled	79%	96%	82%	93%	88%	68%	82%
Participants Employed	499	32	39	32	31	116	249
% of Total Enrolled	45%	57%	57%	58%	36%	35%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$7.77	\$8.23	\$8.68	\$7.82	\$7.85	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,124	\$1,040	\$1,039	\$999	\$957	\$1,108
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	59%	73%	76%	75%	64%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,499	1091	739	326	675	2,129	3,539
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,607	741	545	265	539	1,706	2,811
Participants in a Work Activity	6,290	738	478	249	523	1,623	2,679
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	94%	97%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,538	494	342	189	353	1,165	1,995
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	63%	71%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.90	\$6.84	\$7.33	\$7.09	\$6.86	\$6.76	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$934	\$948	\$980	\$866	\$938	\$909	\$943
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	52%	50%	48%	57%	50%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/11 and Work Activity as of 1/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,686	168	142	1,174	102	2,305	1,270	418	1,107
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,864	49	67	545	20	1,092	510	137	444
Participants in a Work Activity	2,157	32	30	455	13	815	379	93	340
% of Enrolled	75%	65%	45%	83%	65%	75%	74%	68%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	0	0	0	1	14	0	4
Participants Employed	1,370	29	22	286	8	536	215	74	200
% of Enrolled	48%	59%	33%	52%	40%	49%	42%	54%	45%
Full Time	1,122	24	11	234	1	473	153	64	162
Part Time	248	5	11	52	7	63	62	10	38
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$8.50	\$7.74	\$7.93	\$7.64	\$7.85	\$7.87	\$8.04	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,033	\$1,156	\$933	\$1,051	\$745	\$1,014	\$1,000	\$1,080	\$1,079

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,762	96	74	617	79	1,235	643	212	806
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	5,263	120	108	966	73	1,846	962	294	894
Participants in a Work Activity	4,664	102	93	887	73	1,615	860	268	766
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	86%	92%	100%	87%	89%	91%	86%
Participants Employed	2,669	62	36	555	22	966	445	157	426
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	33%	57%	30%	52%	46%	53%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.12	\$7.77	\$8.09	\$7.73	\$7.84	\$8.05	\$8.15	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,040	\$1,032	\$924	\$1,085	\$842	\$1,008	\$1,036	\$1,052	\$1,075
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	69%	73%	77%	47%	76%	74%	63%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	47,667	1081	910	7,631	751	15,391	7,713	3,027	11,163
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	34,611	768	723	5,523	588	11,060	6,048	2,360	7,541
Participants in a Work Activity	32,772	738	691	5,265	577	10,469	5,699	2,329	7,004
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,468	541	421	4,057	391	7,813	4,419	1,758	5,068
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	58%	73%	66%	71%	73%	74%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.76	\$6.81	\$6.58	\$6.92	\$6.37	\$6.65	\$6.66	\$6.61	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$888	\$903	\$821	\$917	\$797	\$854	\$895	\$863	\$945
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	55%	46%	56%	54%	56%	61%	58%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	39.9%	4.27	101	\$8.43	6.03	34	70.5%	7.05	62	5.78	74
003	Albemarle	54.2%	6.37	30	\$8.52	6.21	23	77.1%	7.71	29	6.77	22
005	Alleghany/Covington	39.0%	4.15	102	\$7.71	4.55	97	63.8%	6.38	90	5.03	106
007	Amelia	48.6%	5.55	64	\$8.85	6.89	14	85.0%	8.50	11	6.98	14
009	Amherst	54.2%	6.37	31	\$7.86	4.86	81	64.5%	6.45	88	5.89	67
011	Appomattox	57.3%	6.83	19	\$7.70	4.53	98	77.8%	7.78	25	6.38	37
013	Arlington	49.3%	5.65	58	\$10.36	10.00	1	77.7%	7.77	27	7.81	4
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	57.4%	6.84	17	\$7.88	4.90	76	71.4%	7.14	53	6.29	42
017	Bath	57.1%	6.80	20	\$5.50	0.00	119	75.0%	7.50	36	4.77	110
019	Bedford County/City	45.3%	5.07	82	\$8.50	6.17	27	64.0%	6.40	89	5.88	68
021	Bland	44.4%	4.94	85	\$7.44	3.99	113	50.0%	5.00	115	4.65	114
023	Botetourt	10.7%	0.00	119	\$7.50	4.12	106	100.0%	10.00	1	4.71	111
025	Brunswick	46.0%	5.17	77	\$7.43	3.97	115	67.7%	6.77	76	5.30	95
027	Buchanan	48.7%	5.57	63	\$8.26	5.68	39	58.6%	5.86	102	5.70	81
029	Buckingham	42.2%	4.61	92	\$7.52	4.16	105	68.0%	6.80	72	5.19	99
031	Campbell	55.6%	6.58	28	\$8.03	5.21	59	70.4%	7.04	63	6.27	44
033	Caroline	45.9%	5.15	78	\$8.48	6.13	29	70.6%	7.06	60	6.11	51
035	Carroll	68.8%	8.51	3	\$7.63	4.38	100	66.0%	6.60	85	6.50	34
036	Charles City	42.1%	4.60	93	\$8.56	6.30	22	57.1%	5.71	108	5.54	89
037	Charlotte	36.0%	3.71	107	\$8.26	5.68	40	58.3%	5.83	104	5.07	104
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.1%	5.18	75	\$8.44	6.05	33	76.2%	7.62	31	6.28	43
043	Clarke	50.0%	5.76	52	\$8.50	6.17	28	83.3%	8.33	14	6.75	23
045	Craig	50.0%	5.76	53	\$7.75	4.63	93	100.0%	10.00	2	6.80	19
047	Culpeper	52.9%	6.19	38	\$8.01	5.16	63	83.0%	8.30	17	6.55	31
049	Cumberland	50.0%	5.76	54	\$8.75	6.69	16	57.9%	5.79	107	6.08	54
051	Dickensen	46.0%	5.18	76	\$7.47	4.05	108	63.3%	6.33	93	5.19	101
053	Dimwiddie	57.1%	6.80	21	\$7.77	4.67	90	58.6%	5.86	103	5.78	75
057	Essex	52.5%	6.12	41	\$8.41	5.99	37	51.4%	5.14	114	5.75	78
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	49.3%	5.65	59	\$10.28	9.84	3	75.2%	7.52	35	7.67	5
061	Fauquier	56.3%	6.68	24	\$9.05	7.30	8	82.1%	8.21	19	7.40	6
063	Floyd	61.0%	7.37	9	\$7.45	4.01	112	59.1%	5.91	99	5.76	76
065	Fluvanna	41.4%	4.49	95	\$8.00	5.14	64	88.9%	8.89	6	6.18	47
067	Franklin County	43.7%	4.83	89	\$7.98	5.10	67	67.9%	6.79	74	5.58	87
069	Frederick	41.4%	4.50	94	\$9.05	7.30	9	75.0%	7.50	37	6.44	35
071	Giles	53.3%	6.25	36	\$7.46	4.03	110	56.0%	5.60	111	5.29	96
073	Gloucester	52.6%	6.14	40	\$7.98	5.10	68	58.3%	5.83	105	5.69	82
075	Goochland	50.0%	5.76	55	\$8.58	6.34	19	92.3%	9.23	5	7.11	9
077	Grayson	51.5%	5.98	46	\$7.76	4.65	92	59.1%	5.91	100	5.51	90

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.5%	7.45	8	\$8.43	6.03	35	72.4%	7.24	49	6.91	17
081	Greensville/Emporia	37.1%	3.87	104	\$7.57	4.26	104	56.8%	5.68	109	4.60	115
083	Halifax	34.7%	3.51	110	\$8.10	5.35	52	66.7%	6.67	79	5.18	102
085	Hanover	57.1%	6.80	22	\$8.33	5.82	38	71.0%	7.10	56	6.58	30
087	Henrico	49.6%	5.71	56	\$8.51	6.19	25	79.4%	7.94	22	6.61	27
089	Henry/Martinsville	49.1%	5.62	61	\$7.98	5.10	69	67.0%	6.70	78	5.81	73
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	51.7%	6.00	45	\$8.12	5.39	48	69.4%	6.94	68	6.11	52
095	James City	58.4%	6.99	12	\$8.62	6.42	18	74.5%	7.45	41	6.95	15
097	King and Queen	40.0%	4.29	99	\$7.10	3.29	118	100.0%	10.00	3	5.86	69
099	King George	27.0%	2.39	115	\$8.25	5.66	41	60.0%	6.00	96	4.68	112
101	King William	47.5%	5.38	69	\$8.02	5.19	60	72.7%	7.27	47	5.95	62
103	Lancaster	43.8%	4.84	87	\$7.94	5.02	72	58.3%	5.83	106	5.23	98
105	Lee	59.3%	7.12	10	\$7.49	4.09	107	78.0%	7.80	24	6.34	40
107	Loudoun	52.0%	6.05	43	\$10.33	9.94	2	83.2%	8.32	16	8.10	1
109	Louisa	46.7%	5.27	72	\$8.46	6.09	31	71.1%	7.11	55	6.15	49
111	Lunenburg	21.1%	1.52	117	\$7.30	3.70	116	69.2%	6.92	70	4.05	117
113	Madison	34.5%	3.48	111	\$8.83	6.85	15	100.0%	10.00	4	6.78	21
115	Mathews	54.2%	6.37	32	\$7.88	4.90	77	66.7%	6.67	80	5.98	58
117	Mecklenburg	48.0%	5.46	65	\$8.02	5.19	61	64.7%	6.47	87	5.71	79
119	Middlesex	53.8%	6.32	34	\$7.44	3.99	114	66.7%	6.67	81	5.66	84
121	Montgomery	66.1%	8.12	5	\$7.86	4.86	82	68.0%	6.80	73	6.59	29
125	Nelson	45.5%	5.09	81	\$7.72	4.57	96	77.8%	7.78	26	5.81	71
127	New Kent	18.2%	1.09	118	\$7.47	4.05	109	83.3%	8.33	15	4.49	116
131	Northampton	51.5%	5.98	47	\$8.02	5.19	62	79.5%	7.95	21	6.37	39
133	Northumberland	44.0%	4.88	86	\$7.84	4.81	84	87.5%	8.75	8	6.15	50
135	Nottoway	56.9%	6.77	23	\$7.99	5.12	66	73.9%	7.39	43	6.43	36
137	Orange	63.5%	7.74	7	\$8.95	7.10	11	73.0%	7.30	46	7.38	7
139	Page	47.7%	5.42	66	\$8.11	5.37	50	50.0%	5.00	116	5.26	97
141	Patrick	55.6%	6.58	27	\$7.46	4.03	111	70.9%	7.09	58	5.90	66
143	Pittsylvania	41.1%	4.45	97	\$7.83	4.79	87	59.2%	5.92	98	5.05	105
145	Powhatan	35.0%	3.56	108	\$9.51	8.25	6	60.0%	6.00	97	5.94	64
147	Prince Edward	47.2%	5.35	70	\$7.97	5.08	70	73.2%	7.32	45	5.92	65
149	Prince George	57.4%	6.84	18	\$8.23	5.62	43	73.3%	7.33	44	6.60	28
153	Prince William	51.2%	5.93	49	\$9.37	7.96	7	75.7%	7.57	34	7.15	8
155	Pulaski	50.3%	5.81	51	\$7.82	4.77	88	63.5%	6.35	92	5.64	86
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	2.09	116	\$9.00	7.20	10	0.0%	0.00	119	3.10	119
159	Richmond County	45.0%	5.02	84	\$8.24	5.64	42	33.3%	3.33	118	4.67	113
161	Roanoke County	56.2%	6.66	25	\$8.08	5.31	54	58.7%	5.87	101	5.95	63
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	66.2%	8.13	4	\$8.04	5.23	58	70.0%	7.00	66	6.78	20
165	Rockingham/H'burg	65.5%	8.04	6	\$8.46	6.09	32	66.3%	6.63	83	6.92	16
167	Russell	46.6%	5.26	73	\$7.66	4.44	99	72.4%	7.24	51	5.65	85
169	Scott	48.8%	5.58	62	\$7.59	4.30	102	88.3%	8.83	7	6.24	46
171	Shenandoah	40.0%	4.29	100	\$8.57	6.32	21	66.7%	6.67	82	5.76	77

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	38.9%	4.13	<b>103</b>	\$7.84	4.81	<b>85</b>	70.4%	7.04	<b>64</b>	5.33	<b>94</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	33.3%	3.31	<b>112</b>	\$7.77	4.67	<b>91</b>	72.7%	7.27	<b>48</b>	5.09	<b>103</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	52.7%	6.15	<b>39</b>	\$8.48	6.13	<b>30</b>	81.5%	8.15	<b>20</b>	6.81	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	45.7%	5.13	<b>80</b>	\$8.58	6.34	<b>20</b>	84.4%	8.44	<b>12</b>	6.64	<b>25</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	58.2%	6.96	<b>13</b>	\$8.68	6.54	<b>17</b>	75.9%	7.59	<b>33</b>	7.03	<b>12</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	36.5%	3.77	<b>106</b>	\$7.82	4.77	<b>89</b>	75.0%	7.50	<b>38</b>	5.35	<b>93</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	51.3%	5.95	<b>48</b>	\$7.73	4.59	<b>94</b>	65.1%	6.51	<b>86</b>	5.68	<b>83</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	43.6%	4.82	<b>90</b>	\$8.93	7.06	<b>12</b>	72.4%	7.24	<b>50</b>	6.37	<b>38</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	45.8%	5.15	<b>79</b>	\$7.59	4.30	<b>103</b>	61.2%	6.12	<b>95</b>	5.19	<b>100</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	43.8%	4.84	<b>88</b>	\$7.87	4.88	<b>79</b>	53.6%	5.36	<b>112</b>	5.03	<b>107</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.9%	6.33	<b>33</b>	\$7.63	4.38	<b>101</b>	67.2%	6.72	<b>77</b>	5.81	<b>72</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	59.1%	7.09	<b>11</b>	\$7.90	4.94	<b>73</b>	68.8%	6.88	<b>71</b>	6.30	<b>41</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.3%	6.39	<b>29</b>	\$8.51	6.19	<b>26</b>	83.7%	8.37	<b>13</b>	6.98	<b>13</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	53.1%	6.21	<b>37</b>	\$9.90	9.05	<b>5</b>	85.3%	8.53	<b>10</b>	7.93	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.0%	5.32	<b>71</b>	\$7.96	5.06	<b>71</b>	76.6%	7.66	<b>30</b>	6.02	<b>56</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.8%	6.90	<b>14</b>	\$8.43	6.03	<b>36</b>	70.8%	7.08	<b>59</b>	6.67	<b>24</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.5%	6.85	<b>16</b>	\$8.09	5.33	<b>53</b>	77.2%	7.72	<b>28</b>	6.63	<b>26</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.9%	3.84	<b>105</b>	\$7.90	4.94	<b>74</b>	56.5%	5.65	<b>110</b>	4.81	<b>109</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	30.1%	2.85	<b>114</b>	\$7.73	4.59	<b>95</b>	47.1%	4.71	<b>117</b>	4.05	<b>118</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	56.1%	6.65	<b>26</b>	\$8.52	6.21	<b>24</b>	82.4%	8.24	<b>18</b>	7.04	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	42.4%	4.64	<b>91</b>	\$7.88	4.90	<b>78</b>	71.0%	7.10	<b>57</b>	5.55	<b>88</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.9%	5.89	<b>50</b>	\$8.14	5.43	<b>47</b>	74.7%	7.47	<b>40</b>	6.26	<b>45</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	34.9%	3.55	<b>109</b>	\$7.85	4.84	<b>83</b>	63.8%	6.38	<b>91</b>	4.92	<b>108</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	49.2%	5.63	<b>60</b>	\$7.87	4.88	<b>80</b>	70.1%	7.01	<b>65</b>	5.84	<b>70</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	33.1%	3.28	<b>113</b>	\$8.89	6.98	<b>13</b>	78.4%	7.84	<b>23</b>	6.03	<b>55</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	41.2%	4.46	<b>96</b>	\$10.20	9.67	<b>4</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>54</b>	7.09	<b>10</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.3%	5.66	<b>57</b>	\$8.11	5.37	<b>51</b>	72.3%	7.23	<b>52</b>	6.09	<b>53</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.3%	6.10	<b>42</b>	\$7.84	4.81	<b>86</b>	76.1%	7.61	<b>32</b>	6.18	<b>48</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.8%	9.25	<b>2</b>	\$7.13	3.35	<b>117</b>	69.6%	6.96	<b>67</b>	6.52	<b>32</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	47.6%	5.41	<b>68</b>	\$8.12	5.39	<b>49</b>	70.6%	7.06	<b>61</b>	5.95	<b>61</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	46.3%	5.21	<b>74</b>	\$8.05	5.25	<b>57</b>	74.3%	7.43	<b>42</b>	5.96	<b>60</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	57.5%	6.86	<b>15</b>	\$8.00	5.14	<b>65</b>	75.0%	7.50	<b>39</b>	6.50	<b>33</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	40.8%	4.42	<b>98</b>	\$8.16	5.47	<b>45</b>	66.3%	6.63	<b>84</b>	5.51	<b>91</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.1%	5.04	<b>83</b>	\$8.08	5.31	<b>55</b>	67.7%	6.77	<b>75</b>	5.70	<b>80</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.4%	6.26	<b>35</b>	\$8.15	5.45	<b>46</b>	63.0%	6.30	<b>94</b>	6.00	<b>57</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	47.7%	5.41	<b>67</b>	\$8.20	5.56	<b>44</b>	69.4%	6.94	<b>69</b>	5.97	<b>59</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	78.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$8.07	5.29	<b>56</b>	85.7%	8.57	<b>9</b>	7.95	<b>2</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	51.8%	6.02	<b>44</b>	\$7.90	4.94	<b>75</b>	51.5%	5.15	<b>113</b>	5.37	<b>92</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.2%	2.12	116	\$841	3.06	93	57.3%	6.62	29	3.93	105
003	Albemarle	73.4%	7.86	13	\$999	6.20	18	54.2%	5.76	58	6.61	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.8%	7.15	28	\$822	2.69	103	44.8%	3.21	111	4.35	96
007	Amelia	60.0%	4.23	95	\$955	5.33	31	47.7%	4.01	96	4.52	87
009	Amherst	67.3%	6.21	51	\$852	3.29	88	50.3%	4.70	82	4.73	81
011	Appomattox	70.8%	7.15	27	\$853	3.30	87	43.7%	2.91	115	4.46	93
013	Arlington	72.7%	7.66	17	\$1,056	7.33	9	63.7%	8.37	7	7.79	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.20	52	\$944	5.11	41	55.0%	5.99	51	5.77	38
017	Bath	63.0%	5.05	84	\$687	0.00	120	55.6%	6.14	47	3.73	107
019	Bedford County/City	65.6%	5.74	65	\$845	3.15	91	54.3%	5.79	57	4.89	73
021	Bland	61.5%	4.65	93	\$830	2.84	99	45.5%	3.41	109	3.63	111
023	Botetourt	45.0%	0.17	119	\$918	4.60	54	41.8%	2.39	116	2.39	118
025	Brunswick	57.3%	3.50	110	\$814	2.53	108	56.7%	6.45	36	4.16	100
027	Buchanan	52.8%	2.29	115	\$831	2.87	97	54.4%	5.82	56	3.66	109
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.33	112	\$931	4.85	48	52.1%	5.19	71	4.46	92
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.60	40	\$884	3.92	73	58.5%	6.94	23	5.82	36
033	Caroline	61.7%	4.70	92	\$953	5.29	34	43.9%	2.97	114	4.32	97
035	Carroll	67.7%	6.31	47	\$816	2.57	107	45.9%	3.52	106	4.13	102
036	Charles City	53.8%	2.57	114	\$900	4.24	65	48.9%	4.33	90	3.71	108
037	Charlotte	50.1%	1.56	118	\$916	4.56	57	47.9%	4.06	93	3.39	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.5%	5.44	73	\$1,010	6.42	15	60.3%	7.43	15	6.43	21
043	Clarke	59.8%	4.18	96	\$974	5.71	26	46.6%	3.69	104	4.52	88
045	Craig	62.1%	4.79	90	\$1,030	6.83	11	58.8%	7.03	22	6.21	27
047	Culpeper	65.7%	5.77	64	\$949	5.20	37	55.7%	6.18	46	5.72	40
049	Cumberland	58.0%	3.68	106	\$945	5.14	39	46.2%	3.60	105	4.14	101
051	Dickensen	59.7%	4.14	97	\$812	2.49	110	48.3%	4.17	92	3.60	112
053	Dinwiddie	66.7%	6.03	55	\$948	5.19	38	51.5%	5.04	75	5.42	50
057	Essex	69.8%	6.89	36	\$849	3.23	89	40.8%	2.12	118	4.08	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.33	46	\$1,130	8.81	3	61.2%	7.67	12	7.60	6
061	Fauquier	68.7%	6.57	41	\$1,008	6.37	16	61.6%	7.79	9	6.91	9
063	Floyd	70.1%	6.97	34	\$919	4.62	53	45.4%	3.38	110	4.99	66
065	Fluvanna	69.6%	6.83	37	\$1,070	7.62	7	56.5%	6.40	39	6.95	8
067	Franklin County	62.4%	4.89	88	\$934	4.91	46	50.3%	4.71	80	4.84	77
069	Frederick	58.5%	3.82	104	\$991	6.05	20	51.8%	5.12	74	5.00	65
071	Giles	58.8%	3.91	102	\$939	5.01	43	47.3%	3.90	100	4.28	99
073	Gloucester	66.0%	5.85	61	\$838	3.01	94	54.2%	5.76	59	4.87	76
075	Goochland	65.7%	5.78	63	\$890	4.04	71	54.0%	5.72	61	5.18	58
077	Grayson	65.9%	5.82	62	\$838	3.00	95	54.9%	5.96	52	4.93	72

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.53	5	\$913	4.50	60	49.8%	4.56	86	5.86	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.8%	3.64	108	\$807	2.38	111	54.6%	5.88	54	3.97	104
083	Halifax	57.4%	3.51	109	\$830	2.84	98	47.6%	3.98	98	3.44	113
085	Hanover	65.1%	5.61	70	\$1,045	7.12	10	62.1%	7.93	8	6.89	11
087	Henrico	67.4%	6.24	50	\$1,015	6.53	14	56.7%	6.45	37	6.40	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.30	78	\$933	4.89	47	53.3%	5.53	64	5.24	55
091	Highland	71.4%	7.32	20	\$694	0.14	119	60.0%	7.35	18	4.94	71
093	Isle of Wight	70.4%	7.05	32	\$903	4.29	64	54.6%	5.87	55	5.74	39
095	James City	70.3%	7.03	33	\$891	4.06	70	51.3%	4.99	76	5.36	52
097	King and Queen	52.1%	2.11	117	\$871	3.66	78	35.1%	0.56	119	2.11	119
099	King George	64.5%	5.46	72	\$916	4.55	58	47.7%	3.99	97	4.67	83
101	King William	59.6%	4.11	98	\$966	5.55	27	46.8%	3.75	103	4.47	91
103	Lancaster	66.7%	6.03	56	\$834	2.93	96	48.9%	4.31	91	4.43	94
105	Lee	65.5%	5.73	66	\$772	1.69	116	60.2%	7.41	16	4.94	70
107	Loudoun	78.1%	9.12	3	\$1,177	9.74	2	65.0%	8.71	4	9.19	1
109	Louisa	61.2%	4.55	94	\$958	5.39	28	53.6%	5.61	63	5.19	57
111	Lunenburg	44.3%	0.00	120	\$733	0.93	117	52.8%	5.39	66	2.11	120
113	Madison	64.2%	5.37	76	\$991	6.04	21	47.0%	3.81	102	5.07	62
115	Mathews	62.2%	4.82	89	\$875	3.73	76	53.0%	5.45	65	4.67	82
117	Mecklenburg	66.1%	5.88	60	\$828	2.80	100	57.1%	6.56	30	5.08	61
119	Middlesex	67.5%	6.27	49	\$895	4.14	68	56.7%	6.46	35	5.62	43
121	Montgomery	79.7%	9.55	2	\$925	4.73	51	54.0%	5.73	60	6.67	14
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.58	71	\$989	6.00	22	51.0%	4.89	79	5.49	48
127	New Kent	58.9%	3.94	101	\$1,000	6.23	17	51.3%	4.98	78	5.05	63
131	Northampton	63.2%	5.10	83	\$823	2.70	102	66.9%	9.23	2	5.68	42
133	Northumberland	59.0%	3.95	100	\$841	3.07	92	41.5%	2.30	117	3.11	117
135	Nottoway	68.6%	6.57	42	\$883	3.90	74	56.1%	6.30	43	5.59	44
137	Orange	72.4%	7.59	18	\$957	5.37	30	44.0%	2.99	113	5.32	54
139	Page	69.2%	6.73	38	\$983	5.87	23	54.7%	5.91	53	6.17	28
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.19	53	\$813	2.51	109	64.7%	8.63	5	5.78	37
143	Pittsylvania	55.2%	2.93	113	\$857	3.38	84	50.0%	4.63	83	3.65	110
145	Powhatan	57.3%	3.49	111	\$936	4.96	45	51.3%	4.99	77	4.48	89
147	Prince Edward	71.0%	7.20	25	\$870	3.65	80	60.0%	7.36	17	6.07	30
149	Prince George	62.8%	4.97	86	\$980	5.82	25	49.7%	4.53	87	5.11	60
153	Prince William	73.0%	7.73	16	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.6%	7.77	10	8.50	2
155	Pulaski	64.5%	5.43	74	\$931	4.85	49	44.6%	3.15	112	4.48	90
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.29	79	\$954	5.31	32	47.1%	3.83	101	4.81	79
159	Richmond County	63.4%	5.14	82	\$928	4.80	50	33.0%	0.00	120	3.31	115
161	Roanoke County	73.9%	7.97	10	\$1,029	6.79	12	52.6%	5.34	69	6.70	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.7%	7.94	11	\$861	3.47	83	55.5%	6.12	49	5.84	35
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.9%	8.00	9	\$952	5.26	36	57.5%	6.67	28	6.64	15
167	Russell	62.0%	4.77	91	\$845	3.15	90	57.0%	6.54	31	4.82	78
169	Scott	57.9%	3.68	107	\$871	3.65	79	65.5%	8.85	3	5.39	51
171	Shenandoah	62.8%	4.99	85	\$914	4.52	59	51.9%	5.15	73	4.89	75

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.7%	3.88	<b>103</b>	\$818	2.61	<b>106</b>	52.3%	5.25	<b>70</b>	3.91	<b>106</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.2%	3.75	<b>105</b>	\$821	2.66	<b>104</b>	45.7%	3.47	<b>107</b>	3.29	<b>116</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.5%	6.53	<b>43</b>	\$1,025	6.71	<b>13</b>	56.9%	6.50	<b>33</b>	6.58	<b>17</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.6%	4.11	<b>99</b>	\$1,113	8.46	<b>4</b>	57.7%	6.72	<b>26</b>	6.43	<b>20</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.3%	7.29	<b>21</b>	\$866	3.57	<b>81</b>	47.6%	3.98	<b>99</b>	4.95	<b>69</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.5%	5.71	<b>67</b>	\$938	5.00	<b>44</b>	56.6%	6.43	<b>38</b>	5.71	<b>41</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.6%	6.29	<b>48</b>	\$796	2.16	<b>114</b>	56.5%	6.40	<b>40</b>	4.95	<b>68</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.8%	6.61	<b>39</b>	\$997	6.16	<b>19</b>	55.2%	6.05	<b>50</b>	6.27	<b>25</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.4%	5.68	<b>68</b>	\$881	3.86	<b>75</b>	47.9%	4.05	<b>94</b>	4.53	<b>86</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.0%	5.31	<b>77</b>	\$908	4.39	<b>62</b>	50.0%	4.63	<b>84</b>	4.78	<b>80</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.6%	5.20	<b>80</b>	\$800	2.24	<b>112</b>	56.9%	6.50	<b>32</b>	4.65	<b>84</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	6.00	<b>57</b>	\$826	2.77	<b>101</b>	55.9%	6.23	<b>44</b>	5.00	<b>64</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.6%	5.19	<b>81</b>	\$886	3.96	<b>72</b>	47.8%	4.04	<b>95</b>	4.40	<b>95</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.0%	7.20	<b>24</b>	\$1,057	7.34	<b>8</b>	69.8%	10.00	<b>1</b>	8.18	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	69.9%	6.90	<b>35</b>	\$857	3.38	<b>85</b>	49.1%	4.38	<b>89</b>	4.89	<b>74</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.3%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$920	4.63	<b>52</b>	56.5%	6.38	<b>41</b>	7.00	<b>7</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.5%	7.87	<b>12</b>	\$917	4.57	<b>56</b>	56.3%	6.34	<b>42</b>	6.26	<b>26</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.2%	5.63	<b>69</b>	\$795	2.16	<b>115</b>	52.0%	5.17	<b>72</b>	4.32	<b>98</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.5%	5.99	<b>58</b>	\$797	2.18	<b>113</b>	53.8%	5.66	<b>62</b>	4.61	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.3%	8.63	<b>4</b>	\$982	5.86	<b>24</b>	55.9%	6.23	<b>45</b>	6.91	<b>10</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.7%	4.95	<b>87</b>	\$871	3.67	<b>77</b>	59.0%	7.07	<b>21</b>	5.23	<b>56</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.5%	7.08	<b>31</b>	\$903	4.30	<b>63</b>	52.7%	5.36	<b>68</b>	5.58	<b>45</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.3%	6.47	<b>45</b>	\$909	4.42	<b>61</b>	50.0%	4.61	<b>85</b>	5.17	<b>59</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.1%	7.22	<b>23</b>	\$820	2.65	<b>105</b>	61.4%	7.72	<b>11</b>	5.86	<b>34</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.2%	5.38	<b>75</b>	\$1,084	7.90	<b>5</b>	59.3%	7.15	<b>20</b>	6.81	<b>12</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.1%	7.78	<b>14</b>	\$1,076	7.72	<b>6</b>	63.8%	8.38	<b>6</b>	7.96	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	72.2%	7.52	<b>19</b>	\$918	4.58	<b>55</b>	58.4%	6.91	<b>24</b>	6.34	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.6%	7.11	<b>29</b>	\$854	3.32	<b>86</b>	55.5%	6.13	<b>48</b>	5.52	<b>47</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.38	<b>6</b>	\$731	0.88	<b>118</b>	60.5%	7.48	<b>14</b>	5.58	<b>46</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.0%	7.20	<b>26</b>	\$943	5.09	<b>42</b>	57.7%	6.72	<b>27</b>	6.34	<b>24</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	73.1%	7.76	<b>15</b>	\$895	4.13	<b>69</b>	61.0%	7.61	<b>13</b>	6.50	<b>19</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.2%	7.25	<b>22</b>	\$898	4.20	<b>67</b>	45.7%	3.46	<b>108</b>	4.97	<b>67</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.6%	7.09	<b>30</b>	\$952	5.26	<b>35</b>	59.7%	7.26	<b>19</b>	6.54	<b>18</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	66.3%	5.93	<b>59</b>	\$957	5.37	<b>29</b>	50.3%	4.70	<b>81</b>	5.34	<b>53</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.5%	8.15	<b>8</b>	\$863	3.50	<b>82</b>	58.2%	6.85	<b>25</b>	6.17	<b>29</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	67.2%	6.18	<b>54</b>	\$945	5.12	<b>40</b>	56.8%	6.47	<b>34</b>	5.92	<b>32</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.9%	8.26	<b>7</b>	\$900	4.23	<b>66</b>	52.8%	5.39	<b>67</b>	5.96	<b>31</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.4%	6.50	<b>44</b>	\$954	5.30	<b>33</b>	49.6%	4.51	<b>88</b>	5.43	<b>49</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2010 – January 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	14,492	659	591	1,242	506	263	1,449	182	322	536	696	519	2,032	550	207	1,508	474	2,617	139
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	92%	94%	95%	94%	82%	83%	81%	95%	91%	95%	92%	88%	72%	92%	95%	87%	90%	86%
% Timely in January 2011	85%	88%	95%	93%	94%	73%	81%	29%	94%	84%	88%	82%	82%	57%	89%	92%	84%	87%	44%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	9,151	428	380	779	325	141	922	115	224	335	456	339	1,293	350	118	947	293	1,623	83
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	97%	96%	96%	91%	94%	95%	98%	95%	97%	97%	94%	93%	93%	90%	90%	91%	98%
Percent in January 2011	94%	98%	96%	98%	97%	98%	93%	93%	100%	95%	98%	95%	94%	92%	92%	91%	83%	90%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	211	19	1	3	4	25	26	12	0	1	6	9	55	27	2	8	2	11	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	47	54	71	32	38	33	37	0	24	12	15	55	39	52	19	10	21	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	659	37	33	113	89	81	106	178	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	89%	94%	99%	99%	84%	93%	89%	68%
% Timely in January 2011	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	86%	0%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	428	29	22	79	68	51	66	99	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	97%	95%	99%	94%	100%	97%	97%	86%
Percent in January 2011	98%	100%	89%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	19	0	0	0	0	12	0	2	5
Average # of Days Over 30	47	0	0	0	0	37	0	4	88

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	591	9	46	21	120	105	61	182	47
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	96%	95%	94%	97%	90%	99%	70%
% Timely in January 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	92%	100%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	380	2	32	11	86	69	42	108	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	97%	100%	98%	99%	100%	98%	77%
Percent in January 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	63%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	54	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1242	43	6	3	16	136	29	196	105	135	33	540
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	79%	67%	67%	94%	99%	97%	96%	100%	99%	61%	95%
% Timely in January 2011	93%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	79%	100%	100%	100%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	779	31	4	1	9	80	22	133	74	77	22	326
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	75%	100%	100%	86%	95%	99%	97%	97%	100%	97%
Percent in January 2011	98%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	96%	100%	97%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>												
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	71	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	506	292	5	0	31	178
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	100%	N/A	65%	89%
% Timely in January 2011	94%	100%	100%	N/A	80%	83%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	325	179	4	0	22	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	98%	100%	N/A	68%	98%
Percent in January 2011	97%	97%	100%	N/A	100%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	0	0	32

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	263	4	63	39	23	62	72	139	88	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	82%	75%	43%	92%	87%	98%	96%	86%	84%	88%
% Timely in January 2011	73%	100%	14%	100%	100%	100%	100%	44%	23%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	141	1	30	24	14	31	41	83	57	26
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	87%	100%	86%	94%	90%	98%	98%	96%
Percent in January 2011	98%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	25	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,449	119	500	104	481	162	74	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	83%	99%	85%	89%	72%	98%	70%	78%
% Timely in January 2011	81%	92%	87%	83%	65%	96%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	922	91	301	77	311	93	44	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	94%	96%	92%	94%	91%	100%
Percent in January 2011	93%	100%	92%	95%	93%	97%	88%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	26	0	0	1	24	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	30	34	5	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	182	75	52	14	40	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	81%	69%	96%	93%	80%	100%
% Timely in January 2011	29%	9%	75%	100%	0%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	115	45	32	11	26	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	93%	100%	100%	88%	100%
Percent in January 2011	93%	91%	100%	100%	75%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	12	7	0	1	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	17	0	170	39	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	322	56	20	23	48	11	164
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	90%	48%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2011	94%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	224	35	13	12	35	9	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	97%	92%	75%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	536	40	34	110	118	234
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	97%	97%	100%	82%
% Timely in January 2011	84%	100%	75%	92%	100%	71%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	335	18	23	65	70	159
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	91%	100%	94%
Percent in January 2011	95%	100%	100%	89%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	0	0	24

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	696	113	241	66	94	182
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	95%	90%	98%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2011	88%	92%	75%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	456	71	167	48	63	107
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	99%	96%	92%	100%	99%
Percent in January 2011	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	6	1	5	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	11	12	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	519	29	62	38	51	28	70	22	88	47	84
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	93%	90%	89%	96%	89%	87%	45%	99%	100%	99%
% Timely in January 2011	82%	71%	50%	83%	100%	50%	83%	33%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	339	15	40	26	33	19	43	13	53	36	61
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	98%	100%	94%	100%	98%	100%	100%	83%	100%
Percent in January 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	67%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	9	1	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	2	0	5	0	29	2	18	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,032	405	24	67	558	26	952
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	86%	79%	97%	78%	96%	95%
% Timely in January 2011	82%	89%	100%	92%	59%	100%	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1293	257	17	46	348	13	612
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	95%	94%	91%	93%	92%	94%
Percent in January 2011	94%	96%	100%	94%	94%	100%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	55	3	2	0	38	0	12
Average # of Days Over 30	55	48	88	0	67	0	17

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	550	91	25	193	130	111
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	72%	75%	100%	45%	88%	94%
% Timely in January 2011	57%	72%	100%	19%	63%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	350	53	18	123	85	71
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	83%	90%	92%	94%
Percent in January 2011	92%	100%	83%	89%	93%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	27	6	0	16	4	1
Average # of Days Over 30	39	26	0	46	29	29

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	207	39	11	27	23	11	15	20	16	45
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	97%	73%	93%	96%	82%	100%	100%	100%	84%
% Timely in January 2011	89%	100%	0%	83%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	83%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	118	21	5	18	13	8	11	10	10	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	100%	100%	73%
Percent in January 2011	92%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	52	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,508	16	57	73	19	74	491	767	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	75%	89%	97%	47%	96%	99%	94%	73%
% Timely in January 2011	92%	N/A	80%	92%	40%	85%	100%	90%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	947	12	34	43	13	45	318	475	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	100%	91%	85%	91%	96%	86%	57%
Percent in January 2011	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	95%	86%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	8	1	0	0	3	1	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	19	46	0	0	20	18	0	8	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	474	38	26	19	37	116	238
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	97%	100%	100%	100%	64%	92%
% Timely in January 2011	84%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	293	26	12	8	24	70	153
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	96%	83%	100%	100%	86%	90%
Percent in January 2011	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	77%	80%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	10	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - January 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,617	64	59	446	57	814	495	167	515
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	84%	83%	89%	98%	87%	94%	99%	92%
% Timely in January 2011	87%	33%	71%	86%	100%	84%	89%	90%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1623	44	36	287	42	505	306	101	302
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	93%	94%	93%	100%	85%	91%	99%	94%
Percent in January 2011	90%	94%	100%	96%	100%	79%	90%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	11	4	0	0	1	0	6	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	16	0	0	102	0	11	0	0

## **Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals**

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Jan-10</i></b>	<b><i>Jan-11</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	14,244	14,454	1.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	125,473	124,414	-0.8%
Total Cases Collected	139,717	138,868	-0.6%
TANF Collections	\$2,474,100	\$2,446,313	-1.1%
NTANF Collections	\$45,823,476	\$45,354,204	-1.0%
Total Collections	\$48,297,576	\$47,800,517	-1.0%
SFY YTD Collections	\$344,188,432	\$350,946,747	2.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$349	\$354	1.4%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Jan-11</i></b>
TANF Paternities	191
NTANF Paternities	321
Combined Paternities	512

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *January Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	3		Pittsylvania	1
Alleghany / Covington	4		Prince William	4
Amelia	1		Pulaski	1
Appomattox	1		Roanoke County	7
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	13		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Bedford City	1		Shenandoah	14
Buckingham	2		Southampton	1
Campbell	3		Spotsylvania	11
Caroline	1		Stafford	10
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Surry	1
Culpeper	1		Tazewell	1
Cumberland	3		Warren	4
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Bristol	6
Fauquier	3		Charlottesville	1
Floyd	4		Chesapeake	4
Franklin County	5		Franklin City	2
Frederick	3		Hampton	13
Gloucester	2		Hopewell	4
Grayson	1		Manassas	1
Greene	6		Newport News	25
Henrico	3		Norfolk	18
Loudoun	2		Petersburg	1
Louisa	2		Portsmouth	1
Lunenburg	2		Richmond City	7
Nelson	1		Roanoke City	9
Orange	1		Suffolk	8
Page	1		Virginia Beach	3
Patrick	3		Winchester	6
			<b>Statewide</b>	<b>261</b>

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of morning of January 2, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent increase is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2011



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


January 31, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January, it was \$8.22 rather than the \$8.14 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.