

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
March 2011

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services April 28, 2011

Monthly Report, March 2011

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 7,993 and a denominator of 18,957.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	22	63	35%	061	Fauquier	31	54	57%
003	Albemarle	28	61	46%	063	Floyd	11	33	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	64	28%	065	Fluvanna	7	19	37%
007	Amelia	13	42	31%	067	Franklin County	37	119	31%
009	Amherst	15	34	44%	069	Frederick	22	93	24%
011	Appomattox	23	46	50%	071	Giles	14	31	45%
013	Arlington	81	126	64%	073	Gloucester	19	39	49%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	142	278	51%	075	Goochland	4	25	16%
017	Bath	2	4	50%	077	Grayson	15	26	58%
019	Bedford County/City	41	101	41%	079	Greene	4	34	12%
021	Bland	3	9	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	16	70	23%
023	Botetourt	1	20	5%	083	Halifax	30	98	31%
025	Brunswick	34	64	53%	085	Hanover	48	95	51%
027	Buchanan	21	57	37%	087	Henrico	290	917	32%
029	Buckingham	10	29	34%	089	Henry/Martinsville	87	279	31%
031	Campbell	54	157	34%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	32	122	26%	093	Isle of Wight	26	65	40%
035	Carroll	31	62	50%	095	James City	32	85	38%
036	Charles City	7	13	54%	097	King and Queen	2	12	17%
037	Charlotte	19	43	44%	099	King George	13	27	48%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	263	616	43%	101	King William	11	29	38%
043	Clarke	4	10	40%	103	Lancaster	8	24	33%
045	Craig	2	9	22%	105	Lee	54	126	43%
047	Culpeper	28	80	35%	107	Loudoun	56	145	39%
049	Cumberland	12	36	33%	109	Louisa	27	59	46%
051	Dickensen	18	40	45%	111	Lunenburg	12	39	31%
053	Dinwiddie	18	48	38%	113	Madison	3	12	25%
057	Essex	24	56	43%	115	Mathews	2	8	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	306	729	42%	117	Mecklenburg	28	61	46%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	14	29%	187	Warren	29	70	41%
121	Montgomery	104	198	53%	191	Washington	34	96	35%
125	Nelson	5	12	42%	193	Westmoreland	17	51	33%
127	New Kent	1	25	4%	195	Wise	76	187	41%
131	Northampton	25	72	35%	197	Wythe	27	69	39%
133	Northumberland	9	15	60%	199	York/Poquoson	40	97	41%
135	Nottoway	31	80	39%	510	Alexandria	135	282	48%
137	Orange	21	47	45%	520	Bristol	85	171	50%
139	Page	14	36	39%	540	Charlottesville	88	194	45%
141	Patrick	23	48	48%	550	Chesapeake	431	719	60%
143	Pittsylvania	31	78	40%	590	Danville	81	208	39%
145	Powhatan	5	17	29%	620	Franklin City	10	33	30%
147	Prince Edward	45	85	53%	630	Fredericksburg	53	112	47%
149	Prince George	16	35	46%	640	Galax	10	38	26%
153	Prince William	302	769	39%	650	Hampton	361	729	50%
155	Pulaski	36	71	51%	670	Hopewell	36	227	16%
157	Rappahannock	1	3	33%	680	Lynchburg	121	337	36%
159	Richmond County	6	12	50%	683	Manassas	33	89	37%
161	Roanoke County	75	159	47%	685	Manassas Park	13	25	52%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	18	45	40%	700	Newport News	442	1,045	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75	153	49%	710	Norfolk	690	1,319	52%
167	Russell	35	105	33%	720	Norton	16	34	47%
169	Scott	44	97	45%	730	Petersburg	171	347	49%
171	Shenandoah	6	18	33%	740	Portsmouth	287	643	45%
173	Smyth	37	98	38%	750	Radford	11	35	31%
175	Southampton	22	74	30%	760	Richmond City	679	1,738	39%
177	Spotsylvania	100	250	40%	770	Roanoke City	188	628	30%
179	Stafford	63	180	35%	800	Suffolk	95	161	59%
181	Surry	12	31	39%	810	Virginia Beach	293	566	52%
183	Sussex	10	37	27%	830	Williamsburg	6	21	29%
185	Tazewell	47	108	44%	840	Winchester	31	70	44%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 6,024 and a denominator of 16,792. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	51	24%	061	Fauquier	20	43	47%
003	Albemarle	18	49	37%	063	Floyd	7	29	24%
005	Alleghany/Covington	14	60	23%	065	Fluvanna	6	18	33%
007	Amelia	9	37	24%	067	Franklin County	26	107	24%
009	Amherst	10	29	34%	069	Frederick	12	81	15%
011	Appomattox	17	38	45%	071	Giles	8	25	32%
013	Arlington	54	99	55%	073	Gloucester	17	37	46%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	109	245	44%	075	Goochland	1	21	5%
017	Bath	2	4	50%	077	Grayson	10	21	48%
019	Bedford County/City	26	86	30%	079	Greene	0	29	0%
021	Bland	3	8	38%	081	Greensville/Emporia	9	63	14%
023	Botetourt	1	20	5%	083	Halifax	24	92	26%
025	Brunswick	30	58	52%	085	Hanover	32	79	41%
027	Buchanan	15	51	29%	087	Henrico	236	841	28%
029	Buckingham	6	24	25%	089	Henry/Martinsville	65	254	26%
031	Campbell	37	140	26%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	22	109	20%	093	Isle of Wight	22	58	38%
035	Carroll	23	54	43%	095	James City	24	74	32%
036	Charles City	6	12	50%	097	King and Queen	1	11	9%
037	Charlotte	13	37	35%	099	King George	8	22	36%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	189	536	35%	101	King William	11	28	39%
043	Clarke	3	8	38%	103	Lancaster	8	24	33%
045	Craig	2	8	25%	105	Lee	41	112	37%
047	Culpeper	22	72	31%	107	Loudoun	26	115	23%
049	Cumberland	10	32	31%	109	Louisa	15	47	32%
051	Dickensen	11	32	34%	111	Lunenburg	12	37	32%
053	Dinwiddie	14	43	33%	113	Madison	3	11	27%
057	Essex	19	51	37%	115	Mathews	2	8	25%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	188	608	31%	117	Mecklenburg	20	53	38%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	11	18%	187	Warren	23	63	37%
121	Montgomery	78	172	45%	191	Washington	27	89	30%
125	Nelson	3	10	30%	193	Westmoreland	17	48	35%
127	New Kent	1	25	4%	195	Wise	52	161	32%
131	Northampton	20	67	30%	197	Wythe	21	63	33%
133	Northumberland	9	15	60%	199	York/Poquoson	31	88	35%
135	Nottoway	27	76	36%	510	Alexandria	93	239	39%
137	Orange	19	45	42%	520	Bristol	66	152	43%
139	Page	10	32	31%	540	Charlottesville	65	169	38%
141	Patrick	17	40	43%	550	Chesapeake	368	652	56%
143	Pittsylvania	25	71	35%	590	Danville	69	193	36%
145	Powhatan	4	16	25%	620	Franklin City	8	30	27%
147	Prince Edward	30	70	43%	630	Fredericksburg	39	95	41%
149	Prince George	11	30	37%	640	Galax	9	37	24%
153	Prince William	200	659	30%	650	Hampton	285	644	44%
155	Pulaski	25	60	42%	670	Hopewell	23	210	11%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	90	301	30%
159	Richmond County	6	11	55%	683	Manassas	21	77	27%
161	Roanoke County	46	129	36%	685	Manassas Park	4	16	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	13	39	33%	700	Newport News	345	930	37%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	50	126	40%	710	Norfolk	601	1,226	49%
167	Russell	29	98	30%	720	Norton	10	28	36%
169	Scott	30	82	37%	730	Petersburg	130	303	43%
171	Shenandoah	4	14	29%	740	Portsmouth	214	562	38%
173	Smyth	28	89	31%	750	Radford	11	35	31%
175	Southampton	19	70	27%	760	Richmond City	547	1,595	34%
177	Spotsylvania	74	220	34%	770	Roanoke City	114	549	21%
179	Stafford	40	154	26%	800	Suffolk	73	138	53%
181	Surry	8	27	30%	810	Virginia Beach	216	485	45%
183	Sussex	10	36	28%	830	Williamsburg	6	19	32%
185	Tazewell	34	95	36%	840	Winchester	23	62	37%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for March

These are estimated locality March 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated March statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,969 and a denominator of 2,165. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	12	83%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	11	11	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	10	12	83%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	4	4	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	1	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	5	80%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	11	12	92%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	5	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	12	83%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	6	8	75%	071	<i>Giles</i>	6	6	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	27	27	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	2	2	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	33	33	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	3	4	75%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	5	5	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	15	15	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	5	80%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	1	0%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	7	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	6	6	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	4	6	67%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	16	16	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	6	6	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	54	76	71%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	4	5	80%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	22	25	88%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	17	17	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	10	13	77%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	4	7	57%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	8	8	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	8	11	73%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	1	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	6	6	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	5	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	74	80	93%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	1	0%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	2	50%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	13	14	93%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	6	8	75%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	30	30	100%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	2	4	50%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	12	12	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	8	88%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	0	2	0%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	5	80%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	1	0%
057	<i>Essex</i>	5	5	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	0	N/A
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	118	121	98%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	3	67%	187	Warren	6	7	86%
121	Montgomery	26	26	100%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	3	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	24	26	92%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	9	9	100%
135	Nottoway	4	4	100%	510	Alexandria	42	43	98%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	19	19	100%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	23	25	92%
141	Patrick	6	8	75%	550	Chesapeake	63	67	94%
143	Pittsylvania	6	7	86%	590	Danville	12	15	80%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	2	3	67%
147	Prince Edward	15	15	100%	630	Fredericksburg	14	17	82%
149	Prince George	5	5	100%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	102	110	93%	650	Hampton	76	85	89%
155	Pulaski	11	11	100%	670	Hopewell	13	17	76%
157	Rappahannock	1	1	100%	680	Lynchburg	31	36	86%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	12	12	100%
161	Roanoke County	29	30	97%	685	Manassas Park	9	9	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	6	83%	700	Newport News	97	115	84%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	25	27	93%	710	Norfolk	89	93	96%
167	Russell	6	7	86%	720	Norton	6	6	100%
169	Scott	14	15	93%	730	Petersburg	41	44	93%
171	Shenandoah	2	4	50%	740	Portsmouth	73	81	90%
173	Smyth	9	9	100%	750	Radford	0	0	N/A
175	Southampton	3	4	75%	760	Richmond City	132	143	92%
177	Spotsylvania	26	30	87%	770	Roanoke City	74	79	94%
179	Stafford	23	26	88%	800	Suffolk	22	23	96%
181	Surry	4	4	100%	810	Virginia Beach	77	81	95%
183	Sussex	0	1	0%	830	Williamsburg	0	2	0%
185	Tazewell	13	13	100%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Mar-11	Mar-10	Change from Mar-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,786	5,222	-8%	4,807	0%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,340	2,355	-1%	1,658	41%
Applications Approved	2,075	2,275	-9%	2,820	-26%
Cases Closed *	2,752	2,683	3%	4,476	-39%
Cases at End of Month	32,986	33,962	-3%	67,995	-51%
Cases Paid During Month ²	34,151	35,173	-2.9%	70,403	-51%

•The database indicates that of the 2,752 closed cases, 1,142 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 579 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 252 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 156 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Mar-11	Mar-10	Change from Mar-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	504	643	-22%	338	49%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	293	323	-9%	232	26%
Applications Approved	226	324	-30%	81	179%
Cases Closed *	296	345	-14%	107	177%
Cases at End of Month	2,179	2,158	1%	321	579%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,297	2,330	-1%	394	483%

•The database indicates that of the 296 closed cases, 157 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 101 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 29 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 24 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	35,165	1,763	1,080	2,762	989	550	4,147	404	698	1,285	1,569	1,012	5,858	1,177	419	3,419	1,265	6,465	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,102	688	529	1,114	405	261	1,659	157	321	625	501	481	2,566	674	186	1,497	624	2,704	110
Part. in a Work Activity	10,758	520	402	750	294	175	1,317	112	270	414	359	356	1,759	413	133	1,067	346	2,028	43
% of Enrolled	71%	76%	76%	67%	73%	67%	79%	71%	84%	66%	72%	74%	69%	61%	72%	71%	55%	75%	39%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	304	8	7	29	3	17	40	10	4	8	13	13	37	25	16	20	13	40	1
Participants Employed	6,833	347	240	519	234	105	751	82	161	273	216	208	1,024	287	83	720	238	1,311	34
% of Enrolled	45%	50%	45%	47%	58%	40%	45%	52%	50%	44%	43%	43%	40%	43%	45%	48%	38%	48%	31%
Full Time	5,239	304	199	399	200	85	536	67	104	205	182	154	740	209	59	545	177	1,053	21
Part Time	1,593	43	41	119	34	20	215	15	57	68	34	54	284	78	24	175	61	258	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.56	\$7.72	\$7.80	\$8.09	\$8.34	\$9.43	\$8.74	\$8.29	\$7.84	\$7.76	\$7.87	\$8.17	\$8.62	\$7.93	\$8.09	\$7.94	\$7.96	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,024	\$1,022	\$1,022	\$1,102	\$1,122	\$1,207	\$1,181	\$1,005	\$1,038	\$1,055	\$1,019	\$1,068	\$1,092	\$993	\$1,076	\$1,021	\$1,022	\$989

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	25,608	1,252	973	2,204	843	528	2,787	319	515	929	1,200	823	3,740	955	343	2,607	791	4,553	246
Total Enrolled in VIEW	32,808	1,442	1,202	2,680	974	652	3,673	348	699	1,292	1,410	1,086	4,946	1,302	431	3,323	1,220	5,855	273
Part. in a Work Activity	29,031	1,309	1,086	2,313	920	530	3,323	307	660	1,143	1,279	967	4,363	1,097	364	2,869	1,031	5,225	245
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	90%	86%	94%	81%	90%	88%	94%	88%	91%	89%	88%	84%	84%	86%	85%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	16,302	770	576	1,331	588	299	1,885	188	390	663	604	488	2,248	667	205	1,697	575	3,008	120
% of Total Enrolled	50%	53%	48%	50%	60%	46%	51%	54%	56%	51%	43%	45%	45%	51%	48%	51%	47%	51%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$7.65	\$7.79	\$7.96	\$8.09	\$8.51	\$9.87	\$8.61	\$8.49	\$8.01	\$7.87	\$7.98	\$8.34	\$8.56	\$8.03	\$8.16	\$8.09	\$8.01	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,027	\$1,032	\$1,056	\$1,064	\$1,131	\$1,288	\$1,093	\$1,016	\$1,054	\$1,035	\$1,012	\$1,084	\$1,068	\$1,009	\$1,047	\$1,058	\$1,038	\$1,070
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	72%	65%	65%	70%	63%	76%	79%	72%	67%	64%	65%	71%	78%	63%	72%	69%	72%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	253,747	16,128	9,581	18,839	7,371	4,791	31,576	3,600	5,454	9,512	11,949	7,267	33,818	7,569	3,296	23,968	8,578	48,129	2,321
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	193,130	12,308	8,052	14,280	5,608	3,535	24,200	2,700	4,183	7,816	9,554	5,557	26,249	5,500	2,426	17,755	6,680	35,028	1,699
Part. in a Work Activity	184,702	11,825	7,695	13,621	5,463	3,344	23,379	2,604	4,074	7,403	9,228	5,307	25,231	5,210	2,266	16,879	6,380	33,155	1,638
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	96%	95%	93%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	131,719	7,746	5,247	9,785	3,923	2,318	17,163	1,827	3,151	5,397	5,930	3,378	17,862	3,679	1,535	12,526	4,591	24,708	953
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	69%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	62%	61%	68%	67%	63%	71%	69%	71%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$6.13	\$6.22	\$7.00	\$7.06	\$7.25	\$8.56	\$7.37	\$7.32	\$6.49	\$6.33	\$6.65	\$7.27	\$7.82	\$6.90	\$6.93	\$6.91	\$6.78	\$6.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$809	\$846	\$943	\$938	\$976	\$1,140	\$973	\$942	\$846	\$846	\$867	\$979	\$1,013	\$884	\$910	\$935	\$889	\$833
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	52%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	55%	46%	56%	54%	57%	61%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,763	160	96	295	241	212	250	449	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	688	29	30	125	107	131	87	150	29
Participants in a Work Activity	520	23	24	101	83	86	78	106	19
% of Enrolled	76%	79%	80%	81%	78%	66%	90%	71%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	347	11	17	75	44	55	45	84	16
% of Enrolled	50%	38%	57%	60%	41%	42%	52%	56%	55%
Full Time	304	10	17	64	38	43	43	75	14
Part Time	43	1	0	11	6	12	2	9	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$7.64	\$7.61	\$7.48	\$7.64	\$7.64	\$7.70	\$7.51	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$996	\$1,094	\$1,009	\$989	\$990	\$1,114	\$1,035	\$934

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,252	70	63	196	166	169	218	328	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,442	86	70	252	210	201	218	357	48
Participants in a Work Activity	1,309	77	63	238	189	190	204	306	42
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	90%	94%	90%	95%	94%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	770	40	34	153	102	100	108	197	36
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	49%	61%	49%	50%	50%	55%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$8.21	\$7.57	\$7.52	\$7.71	\$7.60	\$7.74	\$7.66	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$1,187	\$1,050	\$1,002	\$983	\$986	\$1,080	\$1,050	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	57%	68%	75%	70%	88%	67%	70%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,128	1,608	1,136	2,245	2,212	1,567	3,089	3,749	522
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,308	1,224	869	1,693	1,769	1,123	2,354	2,865	411
Participants in a Work Activity	11,825	1,143	823	1,676	1,679	1,102	2,265	2,757	380
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	7,746	645	520	1,112	1,097	650	1,588	1,824	310
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	67%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.13	\$6.35	\$6.18	\$5.85	\$6.35	\$6.42	\$6.04	\$6.13	\$5.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$809	\$831	\$815	\$775	\$847	\$871	\$797	\$802	\$735
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,080	15	121	46	199	211	120	284	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	529	4	63	15	88	85	51	183	40
Participants in a Work Activity	402	4	54	15	76	59	44	127	23
% of Enrolled	76%	100%	86%	100%	86%	69%	86%	69%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Participants Employed	240	3	38	10	37	43	25	69	15
% of Enrolled	45%	75%	60%	67%	42%	51%	49%	38%	38%
Full Time	199	2	30	9	33	38	17	56	14
Part Time	41	1	8	1	4	5	8	13	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.77	\$7.51	\$7.65	\$8.05	\$7.64	\$7.55	\$7.70	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$806	\$927	\$930	\$1,159	\$1,048	\$896	\$998	\$1,270

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	973	20	91	34	208	170	115	269	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,202	21	129	36	238	217	126	336	99
Participants in a Work Activity	1,086	20	120	33	225	203	124	281	80
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	93%	92%	95%	94%	98%	84%	81%
Participants Employed	576	9	81	22	94	102	71	157	40
% of Total Enrolled	48%	43%	63%	61%	39%	47%	56%	47%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.79	\$7.41	\$7.60	\$7.82	\$7.91	\$7.59	\$7.88	\$7.89	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$887	\$984	\$993	\$1,122	\$1,013	\$1,023	\$1,019	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	60%	67%	50%	69%	56%	65%	69%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,581	241	1,289	622	1,661	1,651	1,466	1,969	682
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,052	185	1,067	504	1,391	1,374	1,174	1,760	597
Participants in a Work Activity	7,695	174	976	468	1,337	1,339	1,153	1,685	563
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	91%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	5,247	113	716	335	813	897	778	1,223	372
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	66%	58%	65%	66%	69%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.22	\$6.10	\$6.03	\$6.28	\$5.99	\$6.49	\$6.23	\$6.29	\$6.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$846	\$831	\$816	\$838	\$822	\$883	\$827	\$858	\$873
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	46%	46%	55%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,762	110	59	13	50	263	55	378	188	309	70	1,267
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1114	56	23	7	17	123	19	189	49	145	27	459
Participants in a Work Activity	750	49	5	4	13	84	11	150	46	122	23	243
% of Enrolled	67%	88%	22%	57%	76%	68%	58%	79%	94%	84%	85%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	29	6	0	0	0	1	1	6	0	6	4	5
Participants Employed	519	27	5	2	10	47	7	129	27	76	15	174
% of Enrolled	47%	48%	22%	29%	59%	38%	37%	68%	55%	52%	56%	38%
Full Time	399	17	5	2	9	30	5	105	23	56	10	137
Part Time	119	10	0	0	1	17	1	24	4	20	5	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.55	\$7.72	\$7.38	\$7.49	\$8.16	\$6.31	\$7.87	\$7.45	\$7.88	\$8.29	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$941	\$1,068	\$1,118	\$1,052	\$972	\$766	\$1,049	\$999	\$1,013	\$1,055	\$1,039

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,204	74	17	10	37	226	50	320	162	215	65	1,028
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,680	146	28	11	48	278	54	421	172	322	80	1120
Participants in a Work Activity	2,313	120	11	7	42	222	49	387	162	282	68	963
% of Total Enrolled	86%	82%	39%	64%	88%	80%	91%	92%	94%	88%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	1,331	60	5	3	30	124	27	272	84	184	47	495
% of Total Enrolled	50%	41%	18%	27%	63%	45%	50%	65%	49%	57%	59%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.75	\$7.72	\$7.69	\$7.52	\$8.01	\$7.73	\$7.85	\$7.79	\$8.10	\$7.95	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$913	\$1,061	\$1,036	\$955	\$1,006	\$1,042	\$1,031	\$1,070	\$1,075	\$983	\$1,106
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	58%	100%	100%	42%	68%	58%	67%	62%	58%	70%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,839	1,106	313	76	468	1,646	483	2,687	1,646	2,052	737	7,625
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,280	828	192	34	335	1,240	343	2,187	1,292	1,636	566	5,627
Participants in a Work Activity	13,621	794	169	30	326	1,097	333	2,158	1,248	1,547	548	5,371
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	88%	97%	88%	97%	99%	97%	95%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	9,785	589	87	18	234	774	200	1,734	831	1,205	403	3,710
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	53%	70%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	71%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$6.80	\$7.22	\$7.04	\$6.87	\$6.86	\$6.98	\$6.86	\$6.71	\$7.49	\$6.93	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$943	\$823	\$920	\$1,033	\$921	\$936	\$945	\$926	\$931	\$1,029	\$898	\$958
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	44%	42%	61%	45%	50%	47%	54%	44%	53%	46%	51%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	989	593	8	1	84	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	405	228	5	0	35	137
Participants in a Work Activity	294	163	5	N/A	22	104
% of Enrolled	73%	71%	100%	N/A	63%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	N/A	0	2
Participants Employed	234	131	3	N/A	19	81
% of Enrolled	58%	57%	60%	N/A	54%	59%
Full Time	200	105	2	N/A	19	74
Part Time	34	26	1	N/A	0	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.84	\$7.58	N/A	\$8.12	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,029	\$882	N/A	\$1,174	\$1,212

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	843	466	6	2	66	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	974	557	7	0	82	328
Participants in a Work Activity	920	521	7	N/A	74	318
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	100%	N/A	90%	97%
Participants Employed	588	319	5	N/A	51	213
% of Total Enrolled	60%	57%	71%	N/A	62%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.86	\$6.00	N/A	\$7.95	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,021	\$588	N/A	\$1,060	\$1,136
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	71%	100%	N/A	71%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,371	3,956	74	18	655	2,668
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,608	3,061	46	7	487	2,007
Participants in a Work Activity	5,463	3,000	46	7	458	1,952
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,923	2,054	30	5	356	1,478
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	65%	71%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.04	\$6.48	\$7.75	\$6.67	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$944	\$680	\$694	\$862	\$955
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	56%	60%	55%	58%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	550	17	177	77	34	107	138	303	194	109
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	261	9	96	40	9	55	52	110	40	70
Participants in a Work Activity	175	4	50	30	7	43	41	43	13	30
% of Enrolled	67%	44%	52%	75%	78%	78%	79%	39%	33%	43%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	1	2	4	1	5	4	1	0	1
Participants Employed	105	3	34	16	4	25	23	34	10	24
% of Enrolled	40%	33%	35%	40%	44%	45%	44%	31%	25%	34%
Full Time	85	3	25	15	3	23	16	21	6	15
Part Time	20	0	9	1	1	2	7	13	4	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$7.58	\$8.47	\$8.84	\$7.19	\$8.57	\$7.86	\$7.83	\$7.60	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,122	\$1,240	\$1,098	\$1,263	\$919	\$1,172	\$1,023	\$989	\$946	\$1,007

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	528	17	134	82	59	117	119	246	154	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	652	18	176	103	46	157	152	273	159	114
Participants in a Work Activity	530	14	144	88	40	120	124	245	145	100
% of Total Enrolled	81%	78%	82%	85%	87%	76%	82%	90%	91%	88%
Participants Employed	299	9	70	49	18	70	83	120	62	58
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	40%	48%	39%	45%	55%	44%	39%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.51	\$8.50	\$9.01	\$8.32	\$8.44	\$8.85	\$8.01	\$8.21	\$8.38	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,131	\$1,221	\$1,218	\$1,137	\$1,107	\$1,215	\$994	\$1,070	\$1,081	\$1,060
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	83%	79%	54%	75%	71%	45%	71%	62%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,791	187	974	746	587	1165	1132	2,321	1462	859
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,535	113	718	607	353	865	879	1,699	1042	657
Participants in a Work Activity	3,344	105	674	589	341	801	834	1638	1018	620
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	93%	95%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	2,318	67	415	418	220	594	604	953	541	412
% of Total Enrolled	66%	59%	58%	69%	62%	69%	69%	56%	52%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$7.59	\$7.26	\$6.62	\$7.32	\$7.17	\$6.60	\$6.66	\$6.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$980	\$994	\$986	\$913	\$997	\$955	\$833	\$841	\$825
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	47%	52%	54%	52%	55%	49%	61%	57%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,147	303	1,563	250	1,305	477	209	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,659	110	549	145	595	182	72	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,317	97	466	96	434	159	59	6
% of Enrolled	79%	88%	85%	66%	73%	87%	82%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	40	0	23	0	15	2	0	0
Participants Employed	751	55	245	65	263	94	25	4
% of Enrolled	45%	50%	45%	45%	44%	52%	35%	67%
Full Time	536	30	181	38	192	72	19	4
Part Time	215	25	64	27	71	22	6	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.43	\$9.69	\$9.67	\$9.43	\$9.04	\$9.90	\$8.50	\$10.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,207	\$1,124	\$1,271	\$1,159	\$1,147	\$1,301	\$1,112	\$1,548

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,787	176	967	187	974	323	131	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,673	240	1,315	280	1,253	392	174	19
Participants in a Work Activity	3,323	234	1,196	241	1,114	362	157	19
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	91%	86%	89%	92%	90%	100%
Participants Employed	1,885	123	669	146	663	213	63	8
% of Total Enrolled	51%	51%	51%	52%	53%	54%	36%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.87	\$10.31	\$10.28	\$10.25	\$9.36	\$10.06	\$8.96	\$10.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,288	\$1,317	\$1,365	\$1,281	\$1,209	\$1,329	\$1,183	\$1,470
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	78%	74%	84%	73%	80%	76%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	31,576	2,733	11,104	2,198	10,116	3,658	1,362	405
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,200	2,125	8,315	1,739	7,797	2,885	1,043	296
Participants in a Work Activity	23,379	2,108	8,020	1,663	7,521	2,766	1,007	294
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,163	1,547	5,637	1,353	5,688	2,051	671	216
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	71%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.13	\$8.56	\$9.08	\$8.71	\$8.27	\$8.06	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,140	\$1,056	\$1,132	\$1,177	\$1,190	\$1,060	\$1,087	\$1,076
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	61%	65%	62%	70%	60%	64%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	404	180	99	29	89	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	157	65	40	9	41	2
Participants in a Work Activity	112	44	31	5	32	0
% of Enrolled	71%	68%	78%	56%	78%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	2	7	0	1	0
Participants Employed	82	33	19	4	26	0
% of Enrolled	52%	51%	48%	44%	63%	0%
Full Time	67	21	16	4	26	N/A
Part Time	15	12	3	0	0	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.74	\$7.91	\$9.27	\$7.44	\$9.59	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,181	\$958	\$1,268	\$1,129	\$1,408	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	319	148	91	24	50	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	348	134	102	31	77	4
Participants in a Work Activity	307	112	94	31	67	3
% of Total Enrolled	88%	84%	92%	100%	87%	75%
Participants Employed	188	70	58	11	48	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	57%	35%	62%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.61	\$7.95	\$9.03	\$8.54	\$9.06	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,093	\$934	\$1,204	\$1,111	\$1,186	\$774
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	78%	83%	100%	73%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,600	1,388	1057	333	730	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,700	988	810	247	594	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,604	948	803	240	555	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,827	647	553	159	429	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	64%	72%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.31	\$7.71	\$7.10	\$7.14	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$973	\$948	\$1,009	\$991	\$960	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	698	138	47	65	100	31	317
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	321	41	21	34	43	10	172
Participants in a Work Activity	270	32	13	24	32	8	161
% of Enrolled	84%	78%	62%	71%	74%	80%	94%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	1	0	1	1
Participants Employed	161	26	7	19	19	8	82
% of Enrolled	50%	63%	33%	56%	44%	80%	48%
Full Time	104	21	7	4	15	8	49
Part Time	57	5	0	15	4	0	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$9.06	\$8.13	\$8.29	\$8.43	\$8.15	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$1,155	\$1,146	\$825	\$1,115	\$1,189	\$944

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	515	98	32	40	82	22	241
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	699	110	35	59	106	27	362
Participants in a Work Activity	660	105	33	51	91	26	354
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	94%	86%	86%	96%	98%
Participants Employed	390	60	15	36	50	15	214
% of Total Enrolled	56%	55%	43%	61%	47%	56%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.72	\$8.16	\$8.49	\$8.80	\$8.07	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$1,100	\$1,042	\$988	\$1,151	\$1,015	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	72%	70%	75%	69%	78%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,454	1270	210	428	836	265	2,445
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,183	903	141	311	606	183	2,039
Participants in a Work Activity	4,074	880	134	290	579	181	2,010
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	95%	93%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,151	663	97	236	372	119	1,664
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	69%	76%	61%	65%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$7.72	\$7.47	\$7.28	\$7.42	\$7.60	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$999	\$1,074	\$914	\$966	\$997	\$920
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	56%	50%	53%	50%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,285	89	89	207	291	609
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	625	30	46	101	196	252
Participants in a Work Activity	414	18	38	69	132	157
% of Enrolled	66%	60%	83%	68%	67%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	3	0	1	1	3
Participants Employed	273	18	30	39	80	106
% of Enrolled	44%	60%	65%	39%	41%	42%
Full Time	205	15	30	29	54	77
Part Time	68	3	0	10	26	29
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.55	\$7.72	\$7.99	\$8.14	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$966	\$1,143	\$986	\$1,073	\$1,013

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	929	72	51	197	183	426
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,292	86	86	243	348	529
Participants in a Work Activity	1,143	74	82	204	327	456
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	95%	84%	94%	86%
Participants Employed	663	47	50	109	198	259
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	58%	45%	57%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.73	\$7.82	\$8.46	\$8.08	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,022	\$1,177	\$1,112	\$1,043	\$1,022
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	50%	77%	66%	71%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,512	884	754	1,922	2,196	3,756
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,816	705	657	1,536	1,863	3,055
Participants in a Work Activity	7,403	670	646	1,431	1,762	2,894
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,397	474	463	1,004	1,287	2,169
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	70%	65%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.49	\$6.68	\$6.20	\$6.56	\$6.69	\$6.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$846	\$853	\$857	\$846	\$886	\$821
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	44%	54%	59%	61%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,569	241	509	102	218	499
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	501	69	203	64	48	117
Participants in a Work Activity	359	37	162	47	33	80
% of Enrolled	72%	54%	80%	73%	69%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	1	0	5	7	0
Participants Employed	216	26	89	25	24	52
% of Enrolled	43%	38%	44%	39%	50%	44%
Full Time	182	23	71	20	21	47
Part Time	34	3	18	5	3	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.76	\$7.99	\$7.74	\$7.62	\$7.87	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,205	\$1,015	\$1,034	\$1,077	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,200	189	409	97	162	343
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,410	199	523	144	165	379
Participants in a Work Activity	1,279	176	482	124	150	347
% of Total Enrolled	91%	88%	92%	86%	91%	92%
Participants Employed	604	71	250	77	68	138
% of Total Enrolled	43%	36%	48%	53%	41%	36%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$8.12	\$7.96	\$7.36	\$7.80	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$1,074	\$1,056	\$951	\$1,003	\$1,040
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	65%	65%	71%	62%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,949	1,859	3,204	1,165	1,928	3,793
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,554	1,534	2,423	1,011	1,473	3,113
Participants in a Work Activity	9,228	1,475	2,321	948	1,453	3,031
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	97%
Participants Employed	5,930	877	1,544	676	813	2,020
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.33	\$6.29	\$6.73	\$6.23	\$6.31	\$6.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$846	\$832	\$934	\$812	\$858	\$796
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	54%	64%	50%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,012	84	125	56	76	62	124	62	164	127	132
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	481	40	51	19	31	35	61	28	59	83	74
Participants in a Work Activity	356	27	34	19	26	22	49	22	52	53	52
% of Enrolled	74%	68%	67%	100%	84%	63%	80%	79%	88%	64%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	8	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	208	15	28	12	12	9	21	9	33	39	30
% of Enrolled	43%	38%	55%	63%	39%	26%	34%	32%	56%	47%	41%
Full Time	154	14	20	12	10	6	10	7	20	33	22
Part Time	54	1	8	0	2	3	11	2	13	6	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$8.52	\$7.41	\$7.56	\$9.12	\$8.29	\$7.54	\$8.03	\$7.67	\$7.72	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$1,174	\$910	\$1,053	\$1,303	\$999	\$818	\$1,087	\$951	\$1,042	\$1,091

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	823	66	85	59	69	50	99	40	128	101	126
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,086	83	112	67	82	69	152	64	157	143	157
Participants in a Work Activity	967	73	101	63	75	63	135	53	150	111	143
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	90%	94%	91%	91%	89%	83%	96%	78%	91%
Participants Employed	488	38	51	29	31	30	56	21	82	77	73
% of Total Enrolled	45%	46%	46%	43%	38%	43%	37%	33%	52%	54%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$8.66	\$7.42	\$7.48	\$8.56	\$8.67	\$7.58	\$7.49	\$8.09	\$8.01	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$1,108	\$872	\$1,062	\$1,152	\$1,064	\$813	\$887	\$1,008	\$1,086	\$1,068
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	77%	60%	67%	55%	58%	51%	67%	66%	74%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,267	458	928	724	623	494	859	309	1055	860	957
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,557	331	736	535	441	355	672	237	827	657	766
Participants in a Work Activity	5,307	312	730	500	411	339	642	233	790	606	744
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	93%	93%	95%	96%	98%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,378	195	419	304	223	201	387	110	551	446	542
% of Total Enrolled	61%	59%	57%	57%	51%	57%	58%	46%	67%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.65	\$7.35	\$6.14	\$6.94	\$7.12	\$7.15	\$6.58	\$5.98	\$6.44	\$6.63	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$867	\$959	\$814	\$932	\$927	\$946	\$806	\$744	\$830	\$886	\$872
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	48%	57%	52%	48%	47%	55%	52%	57%	55%	59%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,858	1114	42	140	1,659	57	2,846
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,566	434	20	84	832	21	1,175
Participants in a Work Activity	1,759	315	13	61	532	12	826
% of Enrolled	69%	73%	65%	73%	64%	57%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	37	10	0	1	10	0	16
Participants Employed	1,024	189	9	48	376	7	395
% of Enrolled	40%	44%	45%	57%	45%	33%	34%
Full Time	740	162	5	30	259	3	281
Part Time	284	27	4	18	117	4	114
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$8.15	\$8.25	\$8.72	\$8.36	\$10.74	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,129	\$1,075	\$1,047	\$1,081	\$1,235	\$1,025

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,740	791	31	144	974	48	1,752
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,946	948	40	181	1,426	44	2,307
Participants in a Work Activity	4,363	834	33	149	1,262	34	2,051
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	83%	82%	88%	77%	89%
Participants Employed	2,248	436	19	105	713	17	958
% of Total Enrolled	45%	46%	48%	58%	50%	39%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.40	\$8.58	\$8.49	\$8.51	\$9.35	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,122	\$1,150	\$1,008	\$1,110	\$1,144	\$1,055
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	73%	88%	69%	78%	50%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	33,818	6,888	243	1140	8,237	314	16,996
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,249	5,194	180	813	6,554	216	13,292
Participants in a Work Activity	25,231	5,020	169	746	6,215	199	12,882
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	92%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	17,862	3,330	117	530	4,409	124	9,352
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	65%	65%	67%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.51	\$6.87	\$8.16	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$979	\$1,011	\$890	\$1,046	\$1,016	\$938	\$952
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	60%	53%	62%	57%	51%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,177	202	52	439	281	203
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	674	122	18	249	169	116
Participants in a Work Activity	413	66	7	147	116	77
% of Enrolled	61%	54%	39%	59%	69%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	13	2	6	0	4
Participants Employed	287	46	4	106	69	62
% of Enrolled	43%	38%	22%	43%	41%	53%
Full Time	209	34	4	81	44	46
Part Time	78	12	0	25	25	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$9.15	\$7.99	\$8.39	\$8.79	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,092	\$1,209	\$1,238	\$1,050	\$1,082	\$1,078

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	955	156	52	340	238	169
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,302	197	45	476	326	258
Participants in a Work Activity	1,097	173	34	387	277	226
% of Total Enrolled	84%	88%	76%	81%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	667	93	11	260	152	151
% of Total Enrolled	51%	47%	24%	55%	47%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.65	\$8.23	\$8.47	\$8.70	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,062	\$1,037	\$1,057	\$1,080	\$1,080
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	70%	62%	79%	81%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,569	1,188	464	2,252	2,182	1,483
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,500	823	306	1,760	1,367	1,244
Participants in a Work Activity	5,210	787	288	1,611	1,322	1,202
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	94%	92%	97%	97%
Participants Employed	3,679	509	194	1,210	813	953
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	63%	69%	59%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.52	\$7.28	\$7.92	\$8.32	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$956	\$916	\$1,025	\$1,114	\$982
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	48%	57%	58%	56%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	419	84	28	55	49	21	30	28	38	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	186	49	3	22	19	9	16	14	10	44
Participants in a Work Activity	133	34	2	21	11	7	8	12	10	28
% of Enrolled	72%	69%	67%	95%	58%	78%	50%	86%	100%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	5	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	6
Participants Employed	83	19	1	10	9	6	7	9	5	17
% of Enrolled	45%	39%	33%	45%	47%	67%	44%	64%	50%	39%
Full Time	59	11	1	7	6	4	4	6	3	17
Part Time	24	8	0	3	3	2	3	3	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.32	\$7.25	\$8.23	\$7.94	\$8.08	\$7.32	\$7.52	\$8.31	\$7.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$1,049	\$1,256	\$1,114	\$913	\$936	\$920	\$918	\$800	\$1,033

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	343	62	24	42	31	17	30	28	24	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	431	93	16	65	37	25	43	29	24	99
Participants in a Work Activity	364	89	14	58	31	23	31	25	23	70
% of Total Enrolled	84%	96%	88%	89%	84%	92%	72%	86%	96%	71%
Participants Employed	205	48	6	29	16	14	23	13	12	44
% of Total Enrolled	48%	52%	38%	45%	43%	56%	53%	45%	50%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.41	\$7.10	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$7.96	\$7.49	\$7.76	\$8.10	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$1,037	\$799	\$984	\$950	\$1,098	\$1,003	\$942	\$955	\$1,043
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	52%	100%	78%	75%	57%	67%	89%	29%	54%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,296	494	250	292	376	185	373	289	301	736
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,426	390	164	236	293	120	272	236	175	540
Participants in a Work Activity	2,266	374	154	225	273	113	245	228	170	484
% of Total Enrolled	93%	96%	94%	95%	93%	94%	90%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,535	269	85	138	194	75	182	138	110	344
% of Total Enrolled	63%	69%	52%	58%	66%	63%	67%	58%	63%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.93	\$6.94	\$7.31	\$6.67	\$6.88	\$6.91	\$6.55	\$6.91	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$884	\$851	\$871	\$966	\$833	\$885	\$895	\$842	\$923	\$912
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	34%	48%	49%	54%	57%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,419	27	96	129	46	131	1,190	1,764	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,497	11	33	49	27	87	513	762	15
Participants in a Work Activity	1,067	6	30	43	17	53	378	527	13
% of Enrolled	71%	55%	91%	88%	63%	61%	74%	69%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	1	1	0	1	1	13	2	1
Participants Employed	720	6	27	33	8	33	251	351	11
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	82%	67%	30%	38%	49%	46%	73%
Full Time	545	6	18	22	4	14	188	285	8
Part Time	175	0	9	11	4	19	63	66	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.67	\$7.71	\$8.30	\$7.66	\$8.11	\$8.07	\$8.12	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$1,059	\$980	\$1,051	\$882	\$947	\$1,069	\$1,104	\$1,216

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,607	25	90	115	34	112	906	1,295	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,323	22	101	141	41	174	1,110	1,691	43
Participants in a Work Activity	2,869	21	89	131	37	144	991	1,421	35
% of Total Enrolled	86%	95%	88%	93%	90%	83%	89%	84%	81%
Participants Employed	1,697	8	59	84	9	95	569	842	31
% of Total Enrolled	51%	36%	58%	60%	22%	55%	51%	50%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.56	\$7.98	\$8.54	\$7.62	\$8.47	\$8.13	\$8.13	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,294	\$959	\$1,067	\$841	\$1,055	\$1,018	\$1,071	\$1,023
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	75%	56%	67%	88%	77%	73%	72%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	23,968	151	991	984	292	953	8,385	11,869	343
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,755	107	695	764	213	706	6,074	8,950	246
Participants in a Work Activity	16,879	104	645	714	204	673	5,905	8,404	230
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	93%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,526	56	464	539	125	449	4,275	6,436	182
% of Total Enrolled	71%	52%	67%	71%	59%	64%	70%	72%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.93	\$6.89	\$6.77	\$7.31	\$7.40	\$7.09	\$6.93	\$6.88	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$900	\$840	\$894	\$998	\$888	\$905	\$918	\$900
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	47%	54%	52%	52%	48%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,265	132	81	61	77	324	590
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	624	23	31	34	33	233	270
Participants in a Work Activity	346	17	29	20	21	76	183
% of Enrolled	55%	74%	94%	59%	64%	33%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	3	4	2	1	3
Participants Employed	238	13	20	12	14	62	117
% of Enrolled	38%	57%	65%	35%	42%	27%	43%
Full Time	177	9	13	7	12	45	91
Part Time	61	4	7	5	2	17	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.92	\$8.86	\$9.11	\$8.44	\$7.68	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,096	\$1,089	\$1,030	\$1,079	\$957	\$1,028

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	791	94	44	30	55	195	373
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,220	68	78	61	89	352	572
Participants in a Work Activity	1,031	66	66	59	80	254	506
% of Total Enrolled	85%	97%	85%	97%	90%	72%	88%
Participants Employed	575	36	44	34	39	131	291
% of Total Enrolled	47%	53%	56%	56%	44%	37%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.88	\$8.55	\$8.76	\$8.03	\$7.87	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,142	\$1,069	\$1,064	\$1,016	\$974	\$1,085
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	60%	80%	67%	68%	60%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,578	1098	744	332	681	2,139	3,584
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,680	749	555	270	541	1,720	2,845
Participants in a Work Activity	6,380	746	488	256	525	1,643	2,722
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,591	498	347	191	359	1,176	2,020
% of Total Enrolled	69%	66%	63%	71%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.85	\$7.38	\$7.11	\$6.89	\$6.77	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$949	\$983	\$870	\$940	\$910	\$943
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	51%	50%	47%	57%	50%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through March 31, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 3/31/11 and Work Activity as of 3/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,465	150	135	1,161	100	2,256	1,201	388	1,074
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,704	34	62	545	24	1,070	446	122	401
Participants in a Work Activity	2,028	28	21	443	20	784	329	93	310
% of Enrolled	75%	82%	34%	81%	83%	73%	74%	76%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	40	0	5	0	0	6	18	1	10
Participants Employed	1,311	26	20	296	11	494	200	79	185
% of Enrolled	48%	76%	32%	54%	46%	46%	45%	65%	46%
Full Time	1,053	22	8	235	2	429	150	69	138
Part Time	258	4	12	61	9	65	50	10	47
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$8.49	\$7.81	\$8.02	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$7.90	\$8.00	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$1,170	\$834	\$1,053	\$826	\$991	\$1,027	\$1,118	\$1,023

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,553	110	87	788	87	1,483	776	270	952
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,855	126	121	1,093	81	2,038	1,070	325	1,001
Participants in a Work Activity	5,225	111	107	999	79	1,794	960	309	866
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	88%	91%	98%	88%	90%	95%	87%
Participants Employed	3,008	69	42	632	28	1,069	503	187	478
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	35%	58%	35%	52%	47%	58%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$8.03	\$7.80	\$8.10	\$7.83	\$7.85	\$8.06	\$8.11	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$1,027	\$915	\$1,085	\$841	\$1,005	\$1,043	\$1,050	\$1,069
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	62%	69%	78%	35%	74%	71%	61%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	48,129	1090	918	7,732	756	15,522	7,781	3,057	11,273
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	35,028	773	729	5,606	594	11,188	6,124	2,378	7,636
Participants in a Work Activity	33,155	746	697	5,333	581	10,587	5,770	2,351	7,090
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,708	547	425	4,106	393	7,892	4,457	1,776	5,112
% of Total Enrolled	71%	71%	58%	73%	66%	71%	73%	75%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$6.82	\$6.60	\$6.94	\$6.39	\$6.66	\$6.67	\$6.62	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$889	\$903	\$821	\$919	\$797	\$855	\$896	\$865	\$945
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	45%	56%	53%	56%	61%	58%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	<i>Accomack</i>	39.0%	3.70	101	\$8.38	5.52	40	61.7%	6.17	89	5.13	97
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	54.5%	6.42	37	\$8.72	6.31	16	71.8%	7.18	47	6.64	19
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	41.1%	4.07	96	\$7.75	4.06	95	57.5%	5.75	102	4.63	109
007	<i>Amelia</i>	45.8%	4.89	78	\$8.66	6.17	19	77.3%	7.73	28	6.26	34
009	<i>Amherst</i>	54.7%	6.44	33	\$7.73	4.01	97	50.0%	5.00	112	5.15	96
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	58.1%	7.05	18	\$7.82	4.22	89	76.7%	7.67	29	6.31	32
013	<i>Arlington</i>	51.3%	5.84	50	\$10.31	10.00	1	77.7%	7.77	25	7.87	3
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	57.3%	6.90	22	\$7.86	4.32	84	70.8%	7.08	52	6.10	43
017	<i>Bath</i>	71.4%	9.38	3	\$6.00	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	1	6.46	27
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	44.9%	4.72	80	\$8.46	5.71	35	65.8%	6.58	84	5.67	63
021	<i>Bland</i>	42.9%	4.38	91	\$7.41	3.27	115	60.0%	6.00	94	4.55	111
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	17.9%	0.00	119	\$7.72	3.99	99	100.0%	10.00	2	4.66	108
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	45.5%	4.84	79	\$7.42	3.29	114	60.0%	6.00	95	4.71	106
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	46.5%	5.01	75	\$8.21	5.13	43	57.1%	5.71	103	5.29	88
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	43.3%	4.45	89	\$7.48	3.43	113	66.7%	6.67	76	4.85	102
031	<i>Campbell</i>	56.9%	6.83	24	\$8.08	4.83	55	70.6%	7.06	53	6.24	35
033	<i>Caroline</i>	47.2%	5.14	69	\$8.65	6.15	20	69.7%	6.97	61	6.08	44
035	<i>Carroll</i>	62.8%	7.86	6	\$7.60	3.71	104	66.7%	6.67	77	6.08	45
036	<i>Charles City</i>	36.4%	3.24	108	\$8.56	5.94	22	75.0%	7.50	32	5.56	69
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	37.8%	3.49	103	\$8.56	5.94	23	55.0%	5.50	107	4.98	100
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	46.0%	4.92	77	\$8.40	5.57	39	72.8%	7.28	42	5.92	51
043	<i>Clarke</i>	50.0%	5.63	54	\$8.50	5.80	29	83.3%	8.33	12	6.59	21
045	<i>Craig</i>	27.3%	1.65	115	\$7.69	3.92	101	100.0%	10.00	3	5.19	93
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	52.2%	6.02	45	\$7.95	4.52	74	78.0%	7.80	22	6.11	42
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	43.5%	4.48	88	\$8.67	6.19	18	57.9%	5.79	101	5.49	74
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	48.6%	5.38	63	\$7.57	3.64	108	67.9%	6.79	71	5.27	89
053	<i>Dimwiddie</i>	52.9%	6.14	42	\$7.88	4.36	80	60.0%	6.00	96	5.50	72
057	<i>Essex</i>	51.6%	5.91	48	\$8.41	5.59	37	51.5%	5.15	110	5.55	71
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	50.9%	5.78	52	\$10.28	9.93	2	73.8%	7.38	39	7.69	4
061	<i>Fauquier</i>	56.9%	6.83	25	\$9.03	7.03	9	82.9%	8.29	13	7.38	7
063	<i>Floyd</i>	62.5%	7.81	7	\$7.52	3.53	109	42.1%	4.21	116	5.18	94
065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	42.9%	4.38	92	\$8.16	5.01	45	70.0%	7.00	55	5.46	76
067	<i>Franklin County</i>	44.6%	4.68	83	\$8.01	4.66	66	68.1%	6.81	69	5.39	84
069	<i>Frederick</i>	39.8%	3.84	98	\$9.01	6.98	10	78.7%	7.87	20	6.23	36
071	<i>Giles</i>	50.0%	5.63	55	\$7.73	4.01	98	58.3%	5.83	98	5.16	95
073	<i>Gloucester</i>	58.4%	7.10	17	\$7.98	4.59	71	56.4%	5.64	105	5.78	60
075	<i>Goochland</i>	47.5%	5.19	68	\$8.58	5.99	21	87.5%	8.75	7	6.64	16
077	<i>Grayson</i>	61.1%	7.57	10	\$7.82	4.22	90	50.0%	5.00	113	5.60	67

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.0%	7.55	11	\$8.49	5.78	31	75.0%	7.50	33	6.94	11
081	Greensville/Emporia	36.8%	3.32	106	\$7.58	3.67	107	51.2%	5.12	111	4.03	117
083	Halifax	35.7%	3.12	110	\$8.12	4.92	49	64.6%	6.46	86	4.83	103
085	Hanover	58.0%	7.03	19	\$8.49	5.78	32	68.7%	6.87	64	6.56	24
087	Henrico	50.0%	5.63	56	\$8.51	5.82	28	78.5%	7.85	21	6.43	28
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.8%	5.24	65	\$7.96	4.55	72	64.5%	6.45	87	5.41	83
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	54.8%	6.46	32	\$8.03	4.71	63	61.7%	6.17	90	5.78	59
095	James City	59.6%	7.30	13	\$8.54	5.89	25	67.3%	6.73	72	6.64	18
097	King and Queen	37.5%	3.44	104	\$7.10	2.55	118	100.0%	10.00	4	5.33	87
099	King George	24.4%	1.15	117	\$8.23	5.17	42	61.5%	6.15	91	4.16	116
101	King William	44.6%	4.68	82	\$8.00	4.64	70	77.8%	7.78	23	5.70	62
103	Lancaster	43.2%	4.44	90	\$8.08	4.83	56	75.0%	7.50	34	5.59	68
105	Lee	60.7%	7.50	12	\$7.52	3.53	110	75.3%	7.53	31	6.18	38
107	Loudoun	52.1%	6.00	47	\$10.25	9.86	3	84.1%	8.41	11	8.09	1
109	Louisa	47.2%	5.13	70	\$8.80	6.50	14	69.2%	6.92	62	6.18	39
111	Lunenburg	32.8%	2.62	114	\$7.49	3.46	111	66.7%	6.67	78	4.25	115
113	Madison	35.5%	3.08	111	\$8.54	5.89	26	100.0%	10.00	5	6.33	31
115	Mathews	56.0%	6.68	28	\$7.96	4.55	73	57.1%	5.71	104	5.65	65
117	Mecklenburg	52.2%	6.02	46	\$8.09	4.85	54	66.1%	6.61	83	5.82	57
119	Middlesex	53.5%	6.24	40	\$7.49	3.46	112	66.7%	6.67	79	5.45	78
121	Montgomery	64.6%	8.18	5	\$7.85	4.29	85	67.0%	6.70	75	6.39	30
125	Nelson	55.6%	6.60	30	\$8.07	4.80	57	77.8%	7.78	24	6.39	29
127	New Kent	22.0%	0.72	118	\$7.62	3.76	103	87.5%	8.75	8	4.41	114
131	Northampton	50.9%	5.78	51	\$8.04	4.73	62	82.9%	8.29	14	6.27	33
133	Northumberland	44.8%	4.72	81	\$7.76	4.08	94	88.9%	8.89	6	5.90	53
135	Nottoway	53.8%	6.30	39	\$8.01	4.66	67	74.5%	7.45	36	6.14	40
137	Orange	62.3%	7.78	8	\$9.06	7.10	8	72.7%	7.27	43	7.39	6
139	Page	47.6%	5.20	67	\$8.32	5.38	41	54.3%	5.43	108	5.34	86
141	Patrick	53.5%	6.23	41	\$7.36	3.16	116	70.9%	7.09	51	5.49	73
143	Pittsylvania	41.2%	4.09	95	\$7.80	4.18	91	61.9%	6.19	88	4.82	104
145	Powhatan	38.6%	3.64	102	\$9.35	7.77	7	50.0%	5.00	114	5.47	75
147	Prince Edward	46.5%	5.01	76	\$8.01	4.66	68	69.8%	6.98	60	5.55	70
149	Prince George	56.4%	6.75	26	\$8.55	5.92	24	80.0%	8.00	18	6.89	13
153	Prince William	52.9%	6.13	43	\$9.36	7.80	6	73.4%	7.34	40	7.09	9
155	Pulaski	48.8%	5.42	62	\$7.79	4.15	93	61.5%	6.15	92	5.24	91
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	1.25	116	\$9.00	6.96	11	0.0%	0.00	119	2.74	119
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.63	57	\$8.10	4.87	51	28.6%	2.86	118	4.45	113
161	Roanoke County	57.1%	6.88	23	\$8.10	4.87	52	58.3%	5.83	99	5.86	56
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	62.2%	7.76	9	\$7.95	4.52	75	74.2%	7.42	38	6.57	22
165	Rockingham/H'burg	64.9%	8.24	4	\$8.50	5.80	30	67.3%	6.73	73	6.92	12
167	Russell	48.6%	5.38	64	\$7.71	3.97	100	69.9%	6.99	58	5.44	79
169	Scott	49.8%	5.58	59	\$7.60	3.71	105	87.5%	8.75	9	6.01	47
171	Shenandoah	39.1%	3.72	100	\$8.44	5.66	36	75.0%	7.50	35	5.63	66

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	39.5%	3.79	99	\$7.91	4.43	77	68.5%	6.85	66	5.02	99
175	<i>Southampton</i>	34.7%	2.95	112	\$7.80	4.18	92	69.0%	6.90	63	4.67	107
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	54.6%	6.43	34	\$8.47	5.73	33	79.4%	7.94	19	6.70	14
179	<i>Stafford</i>	46.6%	5.03	74	\$8.70	6.26	17	81.3%	8.13	15	6.48	26
181	<i>Surry</i>	55.7%	6.63	29	\$8.76	6.40	15	66.7%	6.67	80	6.57	23
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.8%	4.54	87	\$8.03	4.71	64	68.0%	6.80	70	5.35	85
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	49.5%	5.54	60	\$7.74	4.04	96	67.1%	6.71	74	5.43	81
187	<i>Warren</i>	44.6%	4.68	84	\$8.85	6.61	13	71.2%	7.12	49	6.14	41
191	<i>Washington</i>	47.0%	5.10	72	\$7.59	3.69	106	56.3%	5.63	106	4.80	105
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	44.4%	4.65	85	\$8.02	4.69	65	53.9%	5.39	109	4.91	101
195	<i>Wise</i>	55.2%	6.53	31	\$7.66	3.85	102	69.8%	6.98	59	5.79	58
197	<i>Wythe</i>	56.3%	6.74	27	\$7.88	4.36	81	65.3%	6.53	85	5.88	55
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.6%	6.43	36	\$8.47	5.73	34	77.4%	7.74	27	6.63	20
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	54.3%	6.38	38	\$10.06	9.42	4	80.2%	8.02	17	7.94	2
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.7%	5.05	73	\$7.89	4.39	78	68.6%	6.86	65	5.43	80
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	59.1%	7.22	14	\$8.41	5.59	38	71.9%	7.19	46	6.67	15
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.8%	6.99	20	\$8.10	4.87	53	77.6%	7.76	26	6.54	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.4%	3.25	107	\$7.89	4.39	79	58.0%	5.80	100	4.48	112
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	34.6%	2.92	113	\$7.83	4.25	88	35.0%	3.50	117	3.56	118
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.5%	7.12	16	\$8.53	5.87	27	80.2%	8.02	16	7.00	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	40.4%	3.95	97	\$7.87	4.34	82	70.4%	7.04	54	5.11	98
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.3%	5.85	49	\$8.13	4.94	47	73.1%	7.31	41	6.03	46
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	37.2%	3.39	105	\$7.87	4.34	83	59.5%	5.95	97	4.56	110
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	49.0%	5.44	61	\$7.84	4.27	87	66.5%	6.65	81	5.45	77
683	<i>Manassas</i>	36.2%	3.21	109	\$8.96	6.87	12	75.8%	7.58	30	5.88	54
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	42.1%	4.24	93	\$10.03	9.35	5	85.7%	8.57	10	7.39	5
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.8%	5.59	58	\$8.13	4.94	48	71.7%	7.17	48	5.90	52
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.5%	6.05	44	\$7.85	4.29	86	74.3%	7.43	37	5.93	50
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.0%	10.00	1	\$7.26	2.92	117	70.0%	7.00	56	6.64	17
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	50.9%	5.78	53	\$8.07	4.80	58	72.5%	7.25	44	5.94	49
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	47.0%	5.10	71	\$8.06	4.78	61	71.1%	7.11	50	5.67	64
750	<i>Radford</i>	58.8%	7.16	15	\$7.95	4.52	76	70.0%	7.00	57	6.23	37
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	41.5%	4.14	94	\$8.15	4.99	46	66.2%	6.62	82	5.25	90
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.2%	4.61	86	\$8.07	4.80	59	68.5%	6.85	67	5.42	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.5%	6.94	21	\$8.11	4.90	50	61.2%	6.12	93	5.99	48
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	47.8%	5.23	66	\$8.18	5.06	44	68.5%	6.85	68	5.71	61
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	72.1%	9.49	2	\$8.07	4.80	60	72.2%	7.22	45	7.17	8
840	<i>Winchester</i>	54.6%	6.43	35	\$8.01	4.66	69	45.5%	4.55	115	5.21	92

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.9%	1.82	116	\$841	3.15	93	56.9%	6.51	31	3.83	107
003	Albemarle	73.4%	7.74	11	\$999	6.26	17	54.0%	5.73	57	6.58	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.1%	7.11	22	\$823	2.81	103	44.5%	3.12	111	4.35	96
007	Amelia	58.9%	3.75	97	\$959	5.47	30	47.8%	4.03	95	4.42	92
009	Amherst	67.2%	6.04	49	\$853	3.39	88	50.1%	4.67	82	4.70	82
011	Appomattox	70.5%	6.93	31	\$857	3.47	86	43.7%	2.92	115	4.44	91
013	Arlington	72.8%	7.57	16	\$1,056	7.37	9	64.0%	8.44	6	7.79	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.00	51	\$944	5.17	42	55.1%	6.01	49	5.73	36
017	Bath	65.2%	5.48	68	\$680	0.00	120	55.6%	6.15	45	3.88	106
019	Bedford County/City	65.4%	5.52	66	\$846	3.26	91	54.0%	5.73	56	4.84	74
021	Bland	61.1%	4.34	93	\$831	2.96	99	46.1%	3.56	106	3.62	108
023	Botetourt	45.3%	0.00	120	\$920	4.71	55	41.8%	2.40	116	2.37	118
025	Brunswick	56.9%	3.20	109	\$814	2.64	109	56.6%	6.44	38	4.09	100
027	Buchanan	52.7%	2.03	114	\$831	2.97	98	54.0%	5.71	58	3.57	111
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.17	110	\$932	4.95	48	52.2%	5.23	68	4.45	89
031	Campbell	69.1%	6.55	36	\$886	4.05	74	58.7%	7.01	23	5.87	32
033	Caroline	61.8%	4.56	91	\$956	5.42	32	43.9%	2.96	113	4.31	97
035	Carroll	67.1%	6.00	50	\$816	2.66	107	45.7%	3.46	107	4.04	102
036	Charles City	52.3%	1.94	115	\$900	4.32	65	46.7%	3.73	103	3.33	114
037	Charlotte	50.6%	1.45	118	\$927	4.84	50	47.7%	4.01	96	3.43	112
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.1%	5.18	76	\$1,011	6.48	15	60.1%	7.39	17	6.35	22
043	Clarke	59.3%	3.85	96	\$980	5.89	26	46.6%	3.69	105	4.48	87
045	Craig	52.9%	2.10	113	\$1,033	6.92	11	61.1%	7.66	13	5.56	43
047	Culpeper	65.5%	5.56	65	\$948	5.25	38	55.5%	6.14	46	5.65	40
049	Cumberland	56.6%	3.12	111	\$946	5.22	39	46.6%	3.70	104	4.01	103
051	Dickenson	59.8%	4.00	94	\$815	2.64	108	48.1%	4.12	91	3.59	110
053	Dinwiddie	66.5%	5.83	57	\$949	5.28	37	51.5%	5.04	77	5.39	51
057	Essex	69.0%	6.52	37	\$851	3.36	89	41.6%	2.33	117	4.07	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.19	46	\$1,132	8.87	3	61.2%	7.67	12	7.58	6
061	Fauquier	68.3%	6.33	44	\$1,009	6.46	16	61.9%	7.88	8	6.89	9
063	Floyd	69.9%	6.76	34	\$921	4.72	53	44.7%	3.18	110	4.89	72
065	Fluvanna	68.8%	6.47	39	\$1,074	7.73	7	56.3%	6.36	42	6.85	10
067	Franklin County	62.4%	4.71	87	\$936	5.02	46	50.4%	4.73	80	4.82	76
069	Frederick	57.8%	3.44	105	\$994	6.17	21	52.2%	5.23	69	4.95	66
071	Giles	58.3%	3.58	102	\$945	5.20	41	47.1%	3.85	100	4.21	99
073	Gloucester	66.8%	5.91	55	\$840	3.14	94	53.8%	5.65	59	4.90	71
075	Goochland	65.0%	5.42	71	\$890	4.13	71	53.5%	5.58	64	5.04	62
077	Grayson	66.5%	5.83	58	\$838	3.10	95	54.5%	5.86	53	4.93	70

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.42	5	\$914	4.58	59	50.0%	4.63	83	5.88	30
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.6%	3.38	106	\$806	2.48	111	54.5%	5.86	51	3.91	104
083	Halifax	57.2%	3.27	108	\$832	2.98	97	47.7%	4.01	97	3.42	113
085	Hanover	65.2%	5.48	69	\$1,046	7.18	10	61.8%	7.85	9	6.84	11
087	Henrico	67.3%	6.05	48	\$1,016	6.58	14	56.8%	6.48	33	6.37	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.07	78	\$934	4.97	47	53.6%	5.61	62	5.22	55
091	Highland	71.4%	7.20	20	\$694	0.27	119	60.0%	7.36	18	4.94	69
093	Isle of Wight	70.8%	7.01	26	\$903	4.38	64	54.1%	5.74	55	5.71	37
095	James City	70.5%	6.95	29	\$894	4.19	70	51.5%	5.05	76	5.40	50
097	King and Queen	51.8%	1.80	117	\$871	3.75	79	33.8%	0.21	119	1.92	120
099	King George	63.4%	4.98	82	\$916	4.64	58	47.7%	4.01	98	4.54	84
101	King William	58.5%	3.63	99	\$966	5.61	28	48.1%	4.11	92	4.45	90
103	Lancaster	66.2%	5.76	61	\$833	3.00	96	48.9%	4.32	90	4.36	95
105	Lee	65.7%	5.61	64	\$775	1.86	116	60.3%	7.43	16	4.97	64
107	Loudoun	77.8%	8.95	3	\$1,177	9.75	2	65.1%	8.74	4	9.15	1
109	Louisa	61.4%	4.43	92	\$966	5.62	27	52.9%	5.43	65	5.16	58
111	Lunenburg	46.4%	0.30	119	\$744	1.27	117	51.7%	5.08	75	2.22	119
113	Madison	64.4%	5.25	73	\$991	6.10	22	47.3%	3.91	99	5.09	60
115	Mathews	62.5%	4.74	86	\$885	4.02	75	53.7%	5.65	60	4.80	78
117	Mecklenburg	66.6%	5.87	56	\$830	2.95	100	57.0%	6.53	30	5.11	59
119	Middlesex	66.9%	5.95	53	\$895	4.22	69	56.7%	6.44	37	5.54	45
121	Montgomery	79.3%	9.36	2	\$926	4.82	51	53.6%	5.62	61	6.60	15
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.43	70	\$997	6.22	19	50.0%	4.63	84	5.43	48
127	New Kent	58.7%	3.68	98	\$998	6.25	18	51.7%	5.09	72	5.01	63
131	Northampton	62.7%	4.79	84	\$825	2.84	102	66.0%	8.99	2	5.54	44
133	Northumberland	58.5%	3.63	100	\$842	3.18	92	40.0%	1.91	118	2.91	117
135	Nottoway	67.9%	6.22	45	\$886	4.05	73	55.3%	6.09	48	5.45	47
137	Orange	72.2%	7.41	18	\$960	5.49	29	43.8%	2.94	114	5.28	54
139	Page	68.9%	6.49	38	\$986	6.00	23	54.3%	5.80	54	6.09	28
141	Patrick	66.9%	5.94	54	\$812	2.60	110	64.5%	8.57	5	5.70	38
143	Pittsylvania	55.2%	2.72	112	\$858	3.49	85	50.0%	4.63	85	3.62	109
145	Powhatan	57.4%	3.33	107	\$938	5.06	45	51.3%	4.98	78	4.46	88
147	Prince Edward	70.8%	7.01	27	\$872	3.77	78	59.1%	7.12	22	5.96	29
149	Prince George	62.5%	4.74	85	\$983	5.95	24	49.7%	4.54	87	5.08	61
153	Prince William	73.0%	7.61	15	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.6%	7.79	10	8.47	2
155	Pulaski	64.3%	5.24	75	\$931	4.93	49	44.3%	3.08	112	4.41	93
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.13	77	\$954	5.38	35	47.1%	3.83	101	4.78	80
159	Richmond County	62.9%	4.83	83	\$923	4.77	52	33.0%	0.00	120	3.20	116
161	Roanoke County	73.7%	7.81	9	\$1,029	6.84	12	52.6%	5.34	67	6.66	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.1%	7.66	13	\$862	3.58	83	54.5%	5.86	52	5.70	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.6%	7.81	10	\$955	5.39	34	57.7%	6.72	28	6.64	14
167	Russell	62.0%	4.60	90	\$847	3.27	90	57.0%	6.54	29	4.81	77
169	Scott	57.9%	3.46	104	\$871	3.74	80	65.4%	8.82	3	5.34	52
171	Shenandoah	62.3%	4.69	88	\$913	4.58	60	51.7%	5.09	74	4.78	79

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.4%	3.62	101	\$822	2.79	104	52.2%	5.22	70	3.88	105
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.3%	3.58	103	\$821	2.76	106	45.4%	3.37	109	3.24	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.8%	6.46	40	\$1,025	6.77	13	56.7%	6.46	36	6.56	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.5%	3.90	95	\$1,114	8.51	4	58.1%	6.82	25	6.41	20
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.7%	7.01	28	\$870	3.73	81	46.8%	3.76	102	4.83	75
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.4%	5.80	59	\$940	5.10	44	56.8%	6.47	34	5.79	34
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.5%	6.10	47	\$797	2.30	113	56.6%	6.43	39	4.94	67
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.7%	6.44	42	\$997	6.21	20	54.9%	5.97	50	6.21	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.3%	5.50	67	\$883	3.98	76	47.9%	4.06	93	4.52	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.7%	5.07	79	\$912	4.55	61	50.3%	4.71	81	4.78	81
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.7%	5.06	80	\$802	2.40	112	56.9%	6.51	32	4.65	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.3%	5.77	60	\$827	2.89	101	55.6%	6.17	44	4.94	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.6%	5.04	81	\$888	4.07	72	47.9%	4.05	94	4.39	94
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	71.1%	7.10	23	\$1,060	7.45	8	69.7%	10.00	1	8.19	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	69.5%	6.66	35	\$858	3.49	84	49.5%	4.49	88	4.88	73
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	1	\$920	4.72	54	56.4%	6.37	40	7.03	7
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.2%	7.70	12	\$919	4.68	56	56.4%	6.36	41	6.25	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.9%	5.39	72	\$796	2.28	115	51.9%	5.14	71	4.27	98
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.2%	5.74	62	\$797	2.29	114	53.5%	5.58	63	4.54	85
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.6%	8.62	4	\$982	5.93	25	56.0%	6.27	43	6.94	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.3%	4.68	89	\$873	3.79	77	59.2%	7.13	21	5.20	56
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.4%	6.91	32	\$905	4.42	63	52.8%	5.38	66	5.57	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.4%	6.35	43	\$910	4.52	62	50.0%	4.62	86	5.16	57
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	71.0%	7.08	25	\$821	2.77	105	61.4%	7.72	11	5.86	33
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.3%	5.24	74	\$1,087	7.98	5	59.6%	7.23	20	6.82	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.0%	7.62	14	\$1,076	7.77	6	63.8%	8.39	7	7.93	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.9%	7.33	19	\$918	4.68	57	58.4%	6.92	24	6.31	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.5%	6.95	30	\$855	3.43	87	55.5%	6.14	47	5.50	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.30	6	\$735	1.08	118	60.3%	7.45	15	5.61	41
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	71.0%	7.08	24	\$943	5.16	43	57.7%	6.72	27	6.32	23
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.8%	7.57	17	\$896	4.24	68	60.9%	7.61	14	6.47	19
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.2%	7.13	21	\$898	4.28	67	45.6%	3.44	108	4.95	65
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.4%	6.90	33	\$952	5.34	36	59.6%	7.25	19	6.50	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.9%	5.68	63	\$958	5.45	31	50.5%	4.78	79	5.30	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.7%	8.09	7	\$865	3.62	82	58.0%	6.81	26	6.17	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.9%	5.96	52	\$945	5.20	40	56.8%	6.47	35	5.88	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	74.0%	7.90	8	\$900	4.31	66	51.7%	5.09	73	5.77	35
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.45	41	\$955	5.39	33	49.1%	4.40	89	5.41	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – March 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	18,594	833	749	1,654	613	339	1,867	219	426	691	871	652	2,610	688	278	1,950	605	3,371	178
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	93%	95%	96%	95%	88%	84%	85%	95%	91%	94%	94%	90%	77%	92%	95%	87%	91%	87%
% Timely in March 2011	93%	93%	100%	97%	100%	100%	90%	88%	98%	90%	95%	98%	89%	78%	88%	95%	90%	95%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	13,137	594	543	1,097	471	218	1,331	150	311	490	660	480	1,895	486	171	1,345	425	2,337	133
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	97%	96%	97%	89%	94%	94%	98%	95%	98%	97%	94%	92%	95%	90%	93%	91%	97%
Percent in March 2011	94%	96%	97%	95%	100%	87%	97%	93%	100%	94%	99%	98%	95%	97%	100%	88%	###	90%	96%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	109	1	0	2	0	1	14	7	1	5	2	3	40	19	3	4	4	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	183	0	9	0	160	35	74	28	10	10	23	54	30	26	12	34	15	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	833	44	44	144	119	100	138	219	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	93%	91%	95%	99%	97%	95%	91%	91%	76%
% Timely in March 2011	93%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	72%	96%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	594	34	30	110	84	76	91	150	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	97%	97%	98%	95%	100%	97%	96%	79%
Percent in March 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	183	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	183

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	749	12	59	23	156	131	76	236	56
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	97%	100%	96%	98%	91%	99%	71%
% Timely in March 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	543	7	45	19	116	100	55	156	45
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	98%	95%	98%	99%	98%	97%	80%
Percent in March 2011	97%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1654	57	9	5	26	181	38	262	133	175	45	723
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	84%	78%	100%	96%	98%	97%	97%	100%	99%	67%	96%
% Timely in March 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1097	39	6	2	13	111	26	181	89	113	30	487
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	95%	67%	100%	100%	89%	96%	99%	98%	96%	97%	96%
Percent in March 2011	95%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	94%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	613	352	5	0	43	213
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	N/A	74%	90%
% Timely in March 2011	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	471	269	4	0	30	168
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	98%	100%	N/A	77%	98%
Percent in March 2011	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	339	7	76	63	29	80	84	178	110	68
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	86%	66%	95%	90%	96%	96%	87%	87%	87%
% Timely in March 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	218	4	56	36	19	45	58	133	83	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	89%	100%	82%	100%	89%	96%	84%	97%	98%	96%
Percent in March 2011	87%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%	56%	96%	92%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	160	0	160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,867	148	642	138	619	218	91	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	84%	99%	86%	85%	73%	99%	76%	82%
% Timely in March 2011	90%	100%	94%	80%	79%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1331	112	449	99	452	146	63	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	95%	96%	92%	94%	94%	100%
Percent in March 2011	97%	100%	97%	92%	96%	96%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	14	0	1	2	11	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	4	25	39	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	219	89	68	17	44	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	85%	73%	96%	94%	89%	100%
% Timely in March 2011	88%	73%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	150	58	48	13	30	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	91%	98%	100%	90%	100%
Percent in March 2011	93%	100%	86%	100%	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	7	5	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	74	37	0	229	103	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	426	69	26	31	68	17	215
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	92%	55%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in March 2011	98%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	311	50	19	21	48	11	162
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	98%	95%	81%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in March 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	0	28	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	691	53	39	147	157	295
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	100%	97%	98%	100%	81%
% Timely in March 2011	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	72%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	490	35	32	97	110	216
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	97%	100%	92%	100%	93%
Percent in March 2011	94%	100%	100%	92%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	10

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	871	140	296	83	115	237
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	94%	89%	99%	100%	97%
% Timely in March 2011	95%	89%	100%	100%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	660	108	232	58	89	173
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	99%	97%	91%	100%	99%
Percent in March 2011	99%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	10

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	652	42	74	47	64	41	78	31	101	67	107
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	98%	92%	89%	95%	100%	90%	61%	99%	99%	99%
% Timely in March 2011	98%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	480	26	57	35	47	26	63	20	82	44	80
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	98%	97%	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	82%	100%
Percent in March 2011	98%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	26	0	0	19	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,610	528	24	87	705	30	1,236
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	88%	88%	97%	81%	97%	94%
% Timely in March 2011	89%	95%	100%	94%	77%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1895	374	20	60	521	20	900
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	95%	93%	93%	95%	93%
Percent in March 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	40	3	0	1	23	0	13
Average # of Days Over 30	54	65	0	7	67	0	33

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	688	108	32	232	169	147
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	77%	74%	100%	55%	89%	94%
% Timely in March 2011	78%	40%	100%	74%	88%	89%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	486	71	22	170	123	100
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	92%	99%	91%	89%	92%	95%
Percent in March 2011	97%	100%	100%	95%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	19	8	0	5	4	2
Average # of Days Over 30	30	21	0	34	59	1

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	278	56	15	36	27	10	23	24	22	65
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	96%	60%	94%	96%	100%	91%	100%	100%	88%
% Timely in March 2011	88%	83%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	171	34	9	26	18	9	11	18	16	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	100%	100%	77%
Percent in March 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1,950	20	71	91	21	93	641	1000	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	85%	90%	98%	57%	97%	100%	93%	69%
% Timely in March 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1345	15	51	69	17	69	447	667	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	98%	93%	82%	93%	95%	86%	70%
Percent in March 2011	88%	100%	100%	93%	75%	93%	87%	86%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	605	49	34	26	48	139	309
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	98%	100%	100%	100%	60%	93%
% Timely in March 2011	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	425	37	20	16	36	106	210
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	97%	85%	94%	100%	90%	93%
Percent in March 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	0	0	0	0	34	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - March 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	3,371	69	73	597	66	1081	622	219	644
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	90%	82%	89%	100%	86%	95%	99%	92%
% Timely in March 2011	95%	86%	78%	97%	100%	91%	100%	96%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2337	57	57	427	56	704	430	163	443
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	95%	95%	94%	100%	84%	92%	97%	95%
Percent in March 2011	90%	100%	100%	95%	100%	77%	95%	93%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	1	22	0	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Mar-10</i>	<i>Mar-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,908	16,719	5.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	131,264	133,644	1.8%
Total Cases Collected	147,172	150,363	2.2%
TANF Collections	\$5,801,027	\$6,585,563	13.5%
NTANF Collections	\$57,274,739	\$59,109,901	3.2%
Total Collections	\$63,075,766	\$65,695,464	4.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$463,415,935	\$473,946,404	2.3%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$364	\$368	1.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Mar-11</i>
TANF Paternities	300
NTANF Paternities	436
Combined Paternities	736

March Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Pittsylvania	2
Alleghany / Covington	1		Pulaski	1
Amelia	2		Rockingham / H'burg	8
Appomattox	2		Shenandoah	10
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	7		Smyth	1
Bedford City	6		Spotsylvania	5
Buckingham	5		Stafford	7
Caroline	3		Tazewell	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Warren	5
Clarke	2		Wythe	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	4		York / Poquoson	2
Fauquier	1		Bristol	4
Floyd	2		Charlottesville	2
Franklin County	4		Chesapeake	5
Frederick	6		Galax	1
Goochland	1		Hampton	10
Grayson	1		Hopewell	7
Greene	4		Manassas	1
Hanover	5		Manassas Park	1
Henrico	4		Newport News	14
Henry / Martinsville	1		Norfolk	7
Isle of Wight	1		Petersburg	1
Loudoun	3		Portsmouth	1
Lunenburg	2		Richmond City	1
Montgomery	2		Roanoke City	3
Nelson	1		Suffolk	8
Orange	6		Virginia Beach	3
Page	1		Winchester	8
Patrick	2		Statewide	214

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of morning of March 1, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through March 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trend is presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth and may be on decline.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through March 2011



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


March 31, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of April and represent end of March data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of March, it was \$8.26 rather than the \$8.17 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. March is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.