

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

April 2011

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services May 20, 2011

Monthly Report, April 2010

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for April

These are estimated locality April 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 8,049 and a denominator of 18,598.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	16	58	28%	061	Fauquier	20	52	38%
003	Albemarle	35	63	56%	063	Floyd	11	25	44%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	53	36%	065	Fluvanna	7	22	32%
007	Amelia	17	40	43%	067	Franklin County	40	116	34%
009	Amherst	21	38	55%	069	Frederick	29	81	36%
011	Appomattox	32	46	70%	071	Giles	13	28	46%
013	Arlington	71	129	55%	073	Gloucester	16	45	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	137	280	49%	075	Goochland	4	27	15%
017	Bath	0	4	0%	077	Grayson	15	26	58%
019	Bedford County/City	43	105	41%	079	Greene	17	33	52%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	22	73	30%
023	Botetourt	2	22	9%	083	Halifax	29	94	31%
025	Brunswick	37	66	56%	085	Hanover	46	95	48%
027	Buchanan	20	56	36%	087	Henrico	339	909	37%
029	Buckingham	12	30	40%	089	Henry/Martinsville	91	263	35%
031	Campbell	74	171	43%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	36	125	29%	093	Isle of Wight	27	64	42%
035	Carroll	34	65	52%	095	James City	31	77	40%
036	Charles City	5	13	38%	097	King and Queen	1	9	11%
037	Charlotte	19	42	45%	099	King George	9	27	33%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	277	603	46%	101	King William	10	28	36%
043	Clarke	5	11	45%	103	Lancaster	7	20	35%
045	Craig	3	6	50%	105	Lee	47	118	40%
047	Culpeper	30	83	36%	107	Loudoun	45	146	31%
049	Cumberland	15	39	38%	109	Louisa	24	54	44%
051	Dickensen	17	37	46%	111	Lunenburg	11	33	33%
053	Dinwiddie	17	48	35%	113	Madison	5	12	42%
057	Essex	25	51	49%	115	Mathews	2	9	22%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	299	725	41%	117	Mecklenburg	30	72	42%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	14	29%	187	Warren	32	72	44%
121	Montgomery	105	196	54%	191	Washington	34	86	40%
125	Nelson	5	10	50%	193	Westmoreland	17	51	33%
127	New Kent	1	24	4%	195	Wise	71	187	38%
131	Northampton	19	66	29%	197	Wythe	30	68	44%
133	Northumberland	6	13	46%	199	York/Poquoson	37	99	37%
135	Nottoway	26	84	31%	510	Alexandria	134	267	50%
137	Orange	18	44	41%	520	Bristol	87	172	51%
139	Page	17	38	45%	540	Charlottesville	104	201	52%
141	Patrick	24	50	48%	550	Chesapeake	390	710	55%
143	Pittsylvania	31	73	42%	590	Danville	88	196	45%
145	Powhatan	5	21	24%	620	Franklin City	13	34	38%
147	Prince Edward	46	78	59%	630	Fredericksburg	45	109	41%
149	Prince George	12	37	32%	640	Galax	11	38	29%
153	Prince William	286	738	39%	650	Hampton	380	719	53%
155	Pulaski	28	70	40%	670	Hopewell	22	212	10%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	113	331	34%
159	Richmond County	3	13	23%	683	Manassas	38	91	42%
161	Roanoke County	69	153	45%	685	Manassas Park	12	21	57%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	18	41	44%	700	Newport News	463	1,037	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74	136	54%	710	Norfolk	706	1,281	55%
167	Russell	41	95	43%	720	Norton	21	34	62%
169	Scott	33	95	35%	730	Petersburg	140	320	44%
171	Shenandoah	5	21	24%	740	Portsmouth	278	621	45%
173	Smyth	46	101	46%	750	Radford	11	31	35%
175	Southampton	3	68	4%	760	Richmond City	701	1,696	41%
177	Spotsylvania	109	251	43%	770	Roanoke City	178	628	28%
179	Stafford	84	179	47%	800	Suffolk	93	170	55%
181	Surry	16	35	46%	810	Virginia Beach	293	553	53%
183	Sussex	15	35	43%	830	Williamsburg	8	26	31%
185	Tazewell	51	108	47%	840	Winchester	30	73	41%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for April

These are estimated locality April 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 6,147 and a denominator of 16,513. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	47	11%	061	Fauquier	13	45	29%
003	Albemarle	27	54	50%	063	Floyd	7	21	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	49	33%	065	Fluvanna	6	20	30%
007	Amelia	13	35	37%	067	Franklin County	29	103	28%
009	Amherst	14	31	45%	069	Frederick	21	72	29%
011	Appomattox	24	36	67%	071	Giles	9	23	39%
013	Arlington	43	100	43%	073	Gloucester	14	43	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	107	250	43%	075	Goochland	3	23	13%
017	Bath	0	4	0%	077	Grayson	11	22	50%
019	Bedford County/City	29	91	32%	079	Greene	13	29	45%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	16	66	24%
023	Botetourt	2	22	9%	083	Halifax	22	87	25%
025	Brunswick	35	64	55%	085	Hanover	31	80	39%
027	Buchanan	17	52	33%	087	Henrico	271	826	33%
029	Buckingham	8	25	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	72	244	30%
031	Campbell	52	149	35%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	25	110	23%	093	Isle of Wight	21	57	37%
035	Carroll	25	56	45%	095	James City	24	67	36%
036	Charles City	4	11	36%	097	King and Queen	0	8	0%
037	Charlotte	14	36	39%	099	King George	4	22	18%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	205	527	39%	101	King William	10	27	37%
043	Clarke	3	9	33%	103	Lancaster	7	20	35%
045	Craig	3	6	50%	105	Lee	37	108	34%
047	Culpeper	24	74	32%	107	Loudoun	21	118	18%
049	Cumberland	13	36	36%	109	Louisa	12	42	29%
051	Dickensen	11	31	35%	111	Lunenburg	11	32	34%
053	Dinwiddie	13	43	30%	113	Madison	5	12	42%
057	Essex	20	44	45%	115	Mathews	2	9	22%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	187	608	31%	117	Mecklenburg	22	64	34%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	12	17%	187	Warren	23	63	37%
121	Montgomery	80	170	47%	191	Washington	27	79	34%
125	Nelson	3	8	38%	193	Westmoreland	17	46	37%
127	New Kent	1	24	4%	195	Wise	47	161	29%
131	Northampton	13	60	22%	197	Wythe	25	63	40%
133	Northumberland	6	13	46%	199	York/Poquoson	29	90	32%
135	Nottoway	20	78	26%	510	Alexandria	95	227	42%
137	Orange	14	40	35%	520	Bristol	65	150	43%
139	Page	13	34	38%	540	Charlottesville	74	171	43%
141	Patrick	20	46	43%	550	Chesapeake	325	640	51%
143	Pittsylvania	24	66	36%	590	Danville	76	180	42%
145	Powhatan	4	20	20%	620	Franklin City	11	30	37%
147	Prince Edward	35	67	52%	630	Fredericksburg	33	95	35%
149	Prince George	7	31	23%	640	Galax	10	37	27%
153	Prince William	196	643	30%	650	Hampton	307	633	48%
155	Pulaski	19	60	32%	670	Hopewell	9	194	5%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	86	300	29%
159	Richmond County	3	13	23%	683	Manassas	25	78	32%
161	Roanoke County	42	126	33%	685	Manassas Park	4	13	31%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	36	39%	700	Newport News	367	933	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	48	108	44%	710	Norfolk	623	1,193	52%
167	Russell	36	89	40%	720	Norton	15	28	54%
169	Scott	22	83	27%	730	Petersburg	106	278	38%
171	Shenandoah	3	18	17%	740	Portsmouth	206	544	38%
173	Smyth	38	92	41%	750	Radford	10	30	33%
175	Southampton	0	64	0%	760	Richmond City	570	1,549	37%
177	Spotsylvania	89	228	39%	770	Roanoke City	118	561	21%
179	Stafford	57	151	38%	800	Suffolk	68	143	48%
181	Surry	11	30	37%	810	Virginia Beach	217	476	46%
183	Sussex	15	35	43%	830	Williamsburg	7	25	28%
185	Tazewell	38	93	41%	840	Winchester	23	65	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for April

These are estimated locality April 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,902 and a denominator of 2,085. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	11	11	100%	061	Fauquier	7	7	100%
003	Albemarle	8	9	89%	063	Floyd	4	4	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	3	4	75%	065	Fluvanna	1	2	50%
007	Amelia	4	5	80%	067	Franklin County	11	13	85%
009	Amherst	7	7	100%	069	Frederick	8	9	89%
011	Appomattox	8	10	80%	071	Giles	4	5	80%
013	Arlington	28	29	97%	073	Gloucester	2	2	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	30	100%	075	Goochland	1	4	25%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	14	14	100%	079	Greene	4	4	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	7	86%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	2	2	100%	085	Hanover	15	15	100%
027	Buchanan	3	4	75%	087	Henrico	68	83	82%
029	Buckingham	4	5	80%	089	Henry/Martinsville	19	19	100%
031	Campbell	22	22	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	11	15	73%	093	Isle of Wight	6	7	86%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	7	10	70%
036	Charles City	1	2	50%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	5	6	83%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	72	76	95%	101	King William	0	1	0%
043	Clarke	2	2	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	10	10	100%
047	Culpeper	6	9	67%	107	Loudoun	24	28	86%
049	Cumberland	2	3	67%	109	Louisa	12	12	100%
051	Dickensen	6	6	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	1	0%
053	Dinwiddie	4	5	80%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	5	7	71%	115	Mathews	0	0	N/A
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	112	117	96%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	25	26	96%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	2	2	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	5	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	24	26	92%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	8	9	89%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	39	40	98%
137	Orange	4	4	100%	520	Bristol	22	22	100%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	30	30	100%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	65	70	93%
143	Pittsylvania	7	7	100%	590	Danville	12	16	75%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	2	4	50%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	12	14	86%
149	Prince George	5	6	83%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	90	95	95%	650	Hampton	73	86	85%
155	Pulaski	9	10	90%	670	Hopewell	13	18	72%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	27	31	87%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	13	13	100%
161	Roanoke County	27	27	100%	685	Manassas Park	8	8	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	5	80%	700	Newport News	96	104	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	28	93%	710	Norfolk	83	88	94%
167	Russell	5	6	83%	720	Norton	6	6	100%
169	Scott	11	12	92%	730	Petersburg	34	42	81%
171	Shenandoah	2	3	67%	740	Portsmouth	72	77	94%
173	Smyth	8	9	89%	750	Radford	1	1	100%
175	Southampton	3	4	75%	760	Richmond City	131	147	89%
177	Spotsylvania	20	23	87%	770	Roanoke City	60	67	90%
179	Stafford	27	28	96%	800	Suffolk	25	27	93%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	76	77	99%
183	Sussex	0	0	N/A	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	13	15	87%	840	Winchester	7	8	88%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Apr-11	Apr-10	Change from Apr-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,384	5,222	-16%	4,807	-9%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,335	2,355	-1%	1,658	41%
Applications Approved	2,041	2,275	-10%	2,820	-28%
Cases Closed *	2,466	2,494	-1%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	32,866	34,132	-4%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month ²	33,819	35,128	-3.7%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,466 closed cases, 1,046 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 703 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 238 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 151 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Apr-11	Apr-10	Change from Apr-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	401	643	-38%	338	19%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	245	323	-24%	232	6%
Applications Approved	215	324	-34%	81	165%
Cases Closed *	280	263	6%	107	162%
Cases at End of Month	2,141	2,164	-1%	321	567%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,250	2,291	-2%	394	471%

•The database indicates that of the 280 closed cases, 148 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 90 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 25 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 19 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

VIEW Work Activity

Statewide - Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	35,007	1,760	1,088	2,735	993	568	4,133	400	693	1,290	1,544	1,018	5,842	1,154	415	3,428	1,254	6,394	298
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,717	673	514	1,067	381	257	1,672	151	326	615	480	480	2,530	656	162	1,475	602	2,584	92
Part. in a Work Activity	10,844	525	411	729	288	171	1,336	109	278	412	369	371	1,824	414	120	1,125	345	1,974	43
% of Enrolled	74%	78%	80%	68%	76%	67%	80%	72%	85%	67%	77%	77%	72%	63%	74%	76%	57%	76%	47%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	287	9	6	26	3	15	29	10	3	7	10	14	48	20	14	25	13	35	0
Participants Employed	6,905	366	234	525	234	112	770	75	159	264	228	219	1,051	286	89	742	231	1,282	38
% of Enrolled	47%	54%	46%	49%	61%	44%	46%	50%	49%	43%	48%	46%	42%	44%	55%	50%	38%	50%	41%
Full Time	5,331	319	187	416	202	91	554	64	99	188	191	158	767	208	71	571	181	1,039	25
Part Time	1,573	47	47	108	32	21	216	11	60	76	37	61	284	78	18	171	50	243	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.54	\$7.72	\$7.81	\$8.05	\$8.42	\$9.21	\$8.67	\$8.32	\$7.89	\$7.72	\$7.77	\$8.23	\$8.62	\$7.93	\$8.14	\$8.05	\$7.96	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,015	\$999	\$1,045	\$1,103	\$1,108	\$1,182	\$1,174	\$988	\$1,016	\$1,051	\$993	\$1,075	\$1,103	\$1,071	\$1,082	\$1,066	\$1,033	\$1,003

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	27,934	1,342	1,063	2,419	921	587	3,056	343	561	1,032	1,282	892	4,106	1,041	373	2,847	874	4,935	260
Total Enrolled in VIEW	34,397	1,508	1,274	2,828	1,015	681	3,859	367	730	1,367	1,461	1,140	5,182	1,362	449	3,502	1,286	6,106	280
Part. in a Work Activity	30,576	1,379	1,161	2,441	967	557	3,504	322	692	1,196	1,346	1,019	4,620	1,145	383	3,053	1,079	5,462	250
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	91%	86%	95%	82%	91%	88%	95%	87%	92%	89%	89%	84%	85%	87%	84%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	17,314	823	611	1,420	615	326	2,010	200	409	705	637	518	2,407	699	227	1,815	610	3,156	126
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	48%	50%	61%	48%	52%	54%	56%	52%	44%	45%	46%	51%	51%	52%	47%	52%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$7.64	\$7.78	\$7.96	\$8.10	\$8.53	\$9.84	\$8.63	\$8.49	\$8.01	\$7.85	\$7.95	\$8.37	\$8.56	\$8.01	\$8.18	\$8.12	\$8.02	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,026	\$1,030	\$1,057	\$1,068	\$1,129	\$1,280	\$1,104	\$1,016	\$1,048	\$1,036	\$1,000	\$1,089	\$1,072	\$1,018	\$1,052	\$1,065	\$1,040	\$1,069
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	74%	64%	66%	70%	59%	75%	78%	72%	67%	63%	67%	71%	77%	62%	72%	69%	72%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	255,149	16,166	9,638	18,958	7,409	4,836	31,771	3,617	5,484	9,574	11,988	7,315	34,019	7,630	3,318	24,102	8,630	48,367	2,327
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	194,250	12,340	8,096	14,386	5,636	3,561	24,343	2,715	4,204	7,864	9,585	5,601	26,413	5,548	2,441	17,893	6,723	35,199	1,702
Part. in a Work Activity	185,807	11,860	7,744	13,719	5,500	3,367	23,519	2,617	4,095	7,437	9,273	5,348	25,410	5,246	2,283	17,012	6,411	33,324	1,642
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	132,491	7,782	5,273	9,858	3,944	2,342	17,265	1,837	3,162	5,427	5,955	3,404	17,989	3,704	1,552	12,615	4,615	24,809	958
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	69%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	62%	61%	68%	67%	64%	71%	69%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$6.14	\$6.23	\$7.01	\$7.06	\$7.27	\$8.57	\$7.38	\$7.32	\$6.50	\$6.33	\$6.66	\$7.28	\$7.82	\$6.91	\$6.94	\$6.92	\$6.78	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$809	\$846	\$943	\$939	\$976	\$1,140	\$974	\$942	\$847	\$847	\$867	\$980	\$1,014	\$885	\$911	\$935	\$890	\$834
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	52%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	55%	46%	56%	54%	57%	61%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,760	160	97	297	240	209	255	444	58
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	673	28	30	123	111	120	95	138	28
Participants in a Work Activity	525	22	23	107	88	83	89	94	19
% of Enrolled	78%	79%	77%	87%	79%	69%	94%	68%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	2	0	4	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	366	13	17	77	48	56	55	84	16
% of Enrolled	54%	46%	57%	63%	43%	47%	58%	61%	57%
Full Time	319	12	17	64	38	46	53	75	14
Part Time	47	1	0	13	10	10	2	9	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$7.65	\$7.63	\$7.43	\$7.62	\$7.62	\$7.64	\$7.52	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$986	\$1,136	\$971	\$967	\$980	\$1,102	\$1,041	\$950

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,342	75	69	213	181	174	240	348	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,508	89	76	265	224	205	232	369	48
Participants in a Work Activity	1,379	79	68	251	200	197	218	323	43
% of Total Enrolled	91%	89%	89%	95%	89%	96%	94%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	823	42	39	159	110	105	122	210	36
% of Total Enrolled	55%	47%	51%	60%	49%	51%	53%	57%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	\$8.19	\$7.59	\$7.51	\$7.69	\$7.60	\$7.70	\$7.66	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,178	\$1,076	\$990	\$974	\$990	\$1,078	\$1,053	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	62%	73%	76%	70%	91%	71%	73%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,166	1,611	1,139	2,256	2,216	1,570	3,094	3,758	522
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,340	1,226	874	1,702	1,773	1,126	2,357	2,871	411
Participants in a Work Activity	11,860	1,145	828	1,682	1,685	1,105	2,269	2,765	381
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,782	646	524	1,117	1,103	655	1,595	1,832	310
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.14	\$6.35	\$6.19	\$5.86	\$6.35	\$6.42	\$6.05	\$6.13	\$5.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$809	\$831	\$817	\$775	\$847	\$872	\$798	\$803	\$735
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,088	17	121	46	197	205	124	293	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	514	4	59	17	99	71	51	185	28
Participants in a Work Activity	411	4	50	16	86	52	45	137	21
% of Enrolled	80%	100%	85%	94%	87%	73%	88%	74%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
Participants Employed	234	3	30	11	38	39	28	72	13
% of Enrolled	46%	75%	51%	65%	38%	55%	55%	39%	46%
Full Time	187	2	23	8	35	32	21	56	10
Part Time	47	1	7	3	3	7	7	16	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.72	\$7.52	\$7.71	\$8.05	\$7.71	\$7.64	\$7.65	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$858	\$899	\$880	\$1,156	\$1,047	\$921	\$967	\$1,097

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,063	20	103	38	221	183	124	303	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,274	22	137	40	253	225	135	360	102
Participants in a Work Activity	1,161	21	129	37	237	215	133	304	85
% of Total Enrolled	91%	95%	94%	93%	94%	96%	99%	84%	83%
Participants Employed	611	10	84	25	97	106	76	170	43
% of Total Enrolled	48%	45%	61%	63%	38%	47%	56%	47%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.78	\$7.46	\$7.60	\$7.81	\$7.91	\$7.59	\$7.87	\$7.87	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,030	\$932	\$980	\$970	\$1,126	\$1,010	\$1,021	\$1,016	\$1,116
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	80%	69%	58%	70%	54%	60%	67%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,638	241	1,298	625	1,665	1,660	1,470	1,994	685
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,096	186	1,073	508	1,394	1,381	1,178	1,777	599
Participants in a Work Activity	7,744	175	983	472	1,339	1,348	1,157	1,702	568
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,273	114	718	338	816	900	782	1,232	373
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	67%	59%	65%	66%	69%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.23	\$6.11	\$6.04	\$6.29	\$6.00	\$6.50	\$6.24	\$6.30	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$846	\$834	\$816	\$837	\$823	\$883	\$828	\$859	\$875
Five Months Employment ⁹	51%	47%	46%	54%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,735	104	59	12	48	264	63	373	185	305	62	1,260
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1067	51	23	7	14	109	19	179	51	143	26	445
Participants in a Work Activity	729	37	5	5	9	81	14	138	40	120	19	261
% of Enrolled	68%	73%	22%	71%	64%	74%	74%	77%	78%	84%	73%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	4	0	1	0	2	2	2	0	4	6	5
Participants Employed	525	27	5	3	7	39	10	132	25	78	15	184
% of Enrolled	49%	53%	22%	43%	50%	36%	53%	74%	49%	55%	58%	41%
Full Time	416	18	5	3	6	28	8	107	22	59	10	150
Part Time	108	9	0	0	1	11	1	25	3	19	5	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$7.79	\$7.72	\$7.33	\$7.46	\$8.23	\$6.67	\$7.91	\$7.44	\$7.74	\$7.87	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,014	\$1,068	\$1,059	\$1,020	\$1,074	\$868	\$1,061	\$990	\$991	\$996	\$1,076

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,419	82	19	12	44	244	53	358	177	238	68	1,124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,828	157	29	13	51	291	57	446	186	335	84	1,179
Participants in a Work Activity	2,441	126	13	11	46	235	53	405	175	292	72	1,013
% of Total Enrolled	86%	80%	45%	85%	90%	81%	93%	91%	94%	87%	86%	86%
Participants Employed	1,420	67	5	4	31	132	29	293	91	194	48	526
% of Total Enrolled	50%	43%	17%	31%	61%	45%	51%	66%	49%	58%	57%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.79	\$7.72	\$7.60	\$7.53	\$8.03	\$7.71	\$7.85	\$7.78	\$8.07	\$7.96	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$940	\$1,061	\$1,016	\$967	\$1,016	\$1,047	\$1,031	\$1,053	\$1,068	\$985	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	56%	100%	100%	44%	70%	58%	67%	61%	60%	69%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	18,958	1,109	314	77	473	1,656	486	2,709	1,658	2,064	739	7,673
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,386	835	194	36	336	1,249	346	2,205	1,303	1,645	569	5,668
Participants in a Work Activity	13,719	798	171	34	329	1,108	337	2,170	1,259	1,555	551	5,407
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	94%	98%	89%	97%	98%	97%	95%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	9,858	594	87	19	235	782	202	1,750	836	1,214	403	3,736
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	53%	70%	63%	58%	79%	64%	74%	71%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$6.80	\$7.22	\$7.05	\$6.87	\$6.87	\$6.99	\$6.87	\$6.71	\$7.49	\$6.93	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$943	\$825	\$920	\$1,027	\$922	\$937	\$946	\$926	\$931	\$1,028	\$898	\$959
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	44%	42%	61%	44%	50%	47%	54%	44%	53%	46%	51%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	993	603	8	1	85	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	381	226	5	0	28	122
Participants in a Work Activity	288	162	3	N/A	20	103
% of Enrolled	76%	72%	60%	N/A	71%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	N/A	0	2
Participants Employed	234	132	3	N/A	18	81
% of Enrolled	61%	58%	60%	N/A	64%	66%
Full Time	202	111	3	N/A	15	73
Part Time	32	21	0	N/A	3	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.86	\$7.58	N/A	\$8.00	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,064	\$1,147	N/A	\$1,054	\$1,176

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	921	516	6	2	75	322
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,015	578	7	0	85	345
Participants in a Work Activity	967	549	7	N/A	77	334
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	100%	N/A	91%	97%
Participants Employed	615	335	5	N/A	55	220
% of Total Enrolled	61%	58%	71%	N/A	65%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$7.91	\$6.00	N/A	\$7.96	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,034	\$746	N/A	\$1,033	\$1,131
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	70%	100%	N/A	68%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,409	3,975	74	18	660	2,682
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	5,636	3,072	46	7	490	2,021
Participants in a Work Activity	5,500	3,020	46	7	461	1,966
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	3,944	2,067	30	5	360	1,482
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	65%	71%	73%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.05	\$6.48	\$7.75	\$6.68	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$945	\$698	\$694	\$861	\$955
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	55%	56%	60%	55%	58%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	568	19	187	76	30	107	149	298	187	111
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	257	7	91	36	7	64	52	92	34	58
Participants in a Work Activity	171	5	48	31	6	40	41	43	12	31
% of Enrolled	67%	71%	53%	86%	86%	63%	79%	47%	35%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	0	3	5	1	3	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	112	4	37	18	3	25	25	38	11	27
% of Enrolled	44%	57%	41%	50%	43%	39%	48%	41%	32%	47%
Full Time	91	3	28	17	3	21	19	25	6	19
Part Time	21	1	9	1	0	4	6	13	5	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$9.19	\$8.46	\$8.20	\$7.68	\$8.93	\$7.96	\$7.81	\$7.54	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,108	\$1,176	\$1,090	\$1,137	\$1,197	\$1,184	\$1,015	\$1,003	\$919	\$1,037

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	587	17	156	87	65	130	132	260	162	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	681	18	180	107	46	167	163	280	165	115
Participants in a Work Activity	557	17	148	93	40	125	134	250	146	104
% of Total Enrolled	82%	94%	82%	87%	87%	75%	82%	89%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	326	12	77	55	19	75	88	126	66	60
% of Total Enrolled	48%	67%	43%	51%	41%	45%	54%	45%	40%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.68	\$9.02	\$8.31	\$8.47	\$8.89	\$8.01	\$8.19	\$8.32	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$1,163	\$1,221	\$1,136	\$1,130	\$1,206	\$992	\$1,069	\$1,063	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	83%	73%	52%	73%	66%	42%	70%	60%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,836	187	991	750	593	1174	1141	2,327	1464	863
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,561	113	722	611	353	873	889	1,702	1045	657
Participants in a Work Activity	3,367	108	677	593	341	806	842	1642	1019	623
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	94%	97%	97%	92%	95%	96%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,342	70	422	423	221	598	608	958	544	414
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	58%	69%	63%	68%	68%	56%	52%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.40	\$7.60	\$7.27	\$6.63	\$7.34	\$7.17	\$6.61	\$6.67	\$6.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$980	\$997	\$986	\$915	\$997	\$955	\$834	\$840	\$828
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	47%	52%	54%	51%	55%	49%	61%	57%	67%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,133	298	1,572	241	1,312	461	210	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,672	104	540	150	611	185	76	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,336	93	457	104	443	165	68	6
% of Enrolled	80%	89%	85%	69%	73%	89%	89%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	29	0	16	0	12	1	0	0
Participants Employed	770	52	246	75	275	93	24	5
% of Enrolled	46%	50%	46%	50%	45%	50%	32%	83%
Full Time	554	29	182	48	202	69	19	5
Part Time	216	23	64	27	73	24	5	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.21	\$9.48	\$9.37	\$9.80	\$8.92	\$9.22	\$8.35	\$10.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,182	\$1,086	\$1,250	\$1,259	\$1,124	\$1,177	\$1,061	\$1,498

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,056	189	1,068	204	1,074	348	144	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,859	250	1,375	296	1,325	410	184	19
Participants in a Work Activity	3,504	242	1,253	261	1,183	377	169	19
% of Total Enrolled	91%	97%	91%	88%	89%	92%	92%	100%
Participants Employed	2,010	132	707	162	715	219	66	9
% of Total Enrolled	52%	53%	51%	55%	54%	53%	36%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.84	\$10.45	\$10.22	\$10.34	\$9.32	\$10.00	\$8.93	\$10.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,280	\$1,318	\$1,358	\$1,303	\$1,196	\$1,319	\$1,178	\$1,450
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	76%	73%	87%	71%	81%	81%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	31,771	2,739	11,178	2,213	10,193	3,671	1,372	405
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	24,343	2,132	8,365	1,751	7,851	2,898	1,050	296
Participants in a Work Activity	23,519	2,113	8,069	1,678	7,572	2,776	1,017	294
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,265	1,555	5,670	1,368	5,726	2,056	673	217
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	71%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$8.14	\$8.56	\$9.10	\$8.71	\$8.27	\$8.06	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,140	\$1,056	\$1,133	\$1,179	\$1,189	\$1,060	\$1,087	\$1,077
Five Months Employment ⁹	63%	64%	61%	65%	62%	70%	59%	64%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	400	179	97	26	91	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	151	66	35	9	39	2
Participants in a Work Activity	109	45	27	6	31	0
% of Enrolled	72%	68%	77%	67%	79%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	3	5	1	1	0
Participants Employed	75	33	16	4	22	0
% of Enrolled	50%	50%	46%	44%	56%	0%
Full Time	64	23	15	4	22	N/A
Part Time	11	10	1	0	0	M/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.67	\$7.94	\$8.91	\$7.44	\$9.81	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,174	\$979	\$1,203	\$1,129	\$1,452	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	343	156	99	27	54	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	367	142	110	33	78	4
Participants in a Work Activity	322	120	98	32	69	3
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	89%	97%	88%	75%
Participants Employed	200	74	63	12	50	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	52%	57%	36%	64%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$7.97	\$9.08	\$8.58	\$9.05	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$963	\$1,203	\$1,115	\$1,191	\$774
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	78%	74%	100%	79%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,617	1,394	1,062	335	733	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,715	994	817	248	595	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,617	954	807	241	557	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	1,837	651	557	159	431	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	64%	72%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$7.32	\$7.72	\$7.11	\$7.15	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$950	\$1,010	\$991	\$961	\$954
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	47%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	693	141	44	64	98	31	315
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	326	46	19	34	42	12	173
Participants in a Work Activity	278	40	16	20	32	8	162
% of Enrolled	85%	87%	84%	59%	76%	67%	94%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	159	29	8	19	18	6	79
% of Enrolled	49%	63%	42%	56%	43%	50%	46%
Full Time	99	23	8	4	14	6	44
Part Time	60	6	0	15	4	0	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.87	\$8.49	\$8.29	\$8.22	\$8.10	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$1,154	\$1,241	\$825	\$1,096	\$1,159	\$903

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	561	104	34	44	91	27	261
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	730	114	36	59	115	31	375
Participants in a Work Activity	692	111	36	52	99	27	367
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	100%	88%	86%	87%	98%
Participants Employed	409	64	16	36	52	15	226
% of Total Enrolled	56%	56%	44%	61%	45%	48%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.69	\$8.31	\$8.49	\$8.74	\$8.07	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$1,107	\$1,087	\$988	\$1,144	\$1,015	\$962
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	70%	70%	78%	70%	75%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,484	1276	212	432	844	268	2,452
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,204	906	142	311	614	187	2,044
Participants in a Work Activity	4,095	885	137	291	585	182	2,015
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	94%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	3,162	666	98	236	373	119	1,670
% of Total Enrolled	75%	74%	69%	76%	61%	64%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.32	\$7.73	\$7.49	\$7.28	\$7.42	\$7.60	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$942	\$1,000	\$1,080	\$914	\$967	\$997	\$920
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	56%	50%	53%	50%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,290	88	87	206	292	617
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	615	31	45	97	175	267
Participants in a Work Activity	412	23	38	77	118	156
% of Enrolled	67%	74%	84%	79%	67%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	2	0	1	1	3
Participants Employed	264	14	30	40	73	107
% of Enrolled	43%	45%	67%	41%	42%	40%
Full Time	188	11	30	30	45	72
Part Time	76	3	0	10	28	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.47	\$7.71	\$7.91	\$8.15	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,016	\$985	\$1,155	\$1,014	\$1,037	\$966

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,032	82	58	213	202	477
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,367	93	90	252	364	568
Participants in a Work Activity	1,196	81	85	217	335	478
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	94%	86%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	705	51	55	116	205	278
% of Total Enrolled	52%	55%	61%	46%	56%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$7.70	\$7.79	\$8.38	\$8.09	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,030	\$1,175	\$1,098	\$1,044	\$1,009
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	48%	74%	67%	68%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,574	893	758	1,931	2,210	3,782
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	7,864	712	659	1,541	1,874	3,078
Participants in a Work Activity	7,437	676	648	1,439	1,768	2,906
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,427	477	467	1,008	1,293	2,182
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	65%	69%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.50	\$6.68	\$6.21	\$6.57	\$6.70	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$847	\$855	\$858	\$847	\$887	\$821
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	44%	54%	59%	61%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,544	252	487	108	218	479
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	480	65	186	72	44	113
Participants in a Work Activity	369	39	154	49	30	97
% of Enrolled	77%	60%	83%	68%	68%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	5	4	0
Participants Employed	228	28	89	32	22	57
% of Enrolled	48%	43%	48%	44%	50%	50%
Full Time	191	25	70	26	21	49
Part Time	37	3	19	6	1	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$8.03	\$7.67	\$7.44	\$7.92	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,194	\$1,008	\$1,039	\$1,147	\$1,019

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,282	203	436	108	169	366
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,461	211	534	154	168	394
Participants in a Work Activity	1,346	190	500	133	159	364
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	94%	86%	95%	92%
Participants Employed	637	76	261	83	68	149
% of Total Enrolled	44%	36%	49%	54%	40%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.12	\$7.94	\$7.36	\$7.80	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,079	\$1,053	\$964	\$1,004	\$1,040
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	62%	64%	73%	61%	56%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	11,988	1,864	3,221	1,170	1,933	3,800
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,585	1,537	2,433	1,019	1,476	3,120
Participants in a Work Activity	9,273	1,484	2,334	954	1,457	3,044
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	5,955	882	1,550	681	813	2,029
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.33	\$6.30	\$6.73	\$6.24	\$6.31	\$6.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$847	\$833	\$934	\$814	\$858	\$797
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	48%	53%	64%	50%	52%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,018	83	119	55	71	62	124	66	170	134	134
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	480	39	55	21	31	32	58	30	61	81	72
Participants in a Work Activity	371	30	43	19	25	20	48	24	52	56	54
% of Enrolled	77%	77%	78%	90%	81%	63%	83%	80%	85%	69%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	8	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	0	0
Participants Employed	219	16	32	13	14	10	21	11	30	41	31
% of Enrolled	46%	41%	58%	62%	45%	31%	36%	37%	49%	51%	43%
Full Time	158	14	22	13	12	7	9	7	17	34	23
Part Time	61	2	10	0	2	3	12	4	13	7	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.55	\$7.39	\$7.63	\$8.87	\$8.26	\$7.42	\$7.89	\$7.62	\$7.49	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$993	\$1,165	\$898	\$1,086	\$1,220	\$1,016	\$774	\$940	\$965	\$994	\$1,045

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	892	67	91	64	73	55	108	43	140	114	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,140	85	114	71	87	74	155	68	165	151	170
Participants in a Work Activity	1,019	78	108	65	79	66	137	57	156	122	151
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	95%	92%	91%	89%	88%	84%	95%	81%	89%
Participants Employed	518	41	53	30	33	32	59	25	84	84	77
% of Total Enrolled	45%	48%	46%	42%	38%	43%	38%	37%	51%	56%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.62	\$7.41	\$7.51	\$8.48	\$8.63	\$7.56	\$7.44	\$8.08	\$7.92	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,000	\$1,093	\$868	\$1,073	\$1,127	\$1,066	\$805	\$837	\$1,008	\$1,066	\$1,048
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	75%	59%	67%	61%	58%	51%	60%	75%	71%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,315	458	932	729	625	499	864	311	1062	870	965
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,601	332	737	539	445	360	674	240	833	663	778
Participants in a Work Activity	5,348	316	734	502	414	342	644	236	795	613	752
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	100%	93%	93%	95%	96%	98%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,404	198	421	305	225	203	389	113	552	452	546
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	56%	58%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.66	\$7.36	\$6.14	\$6.94	\$7.13	\$7.16	\$6.58	\$6.02	\$6.44	\$6.64	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$867	\$958	\$814	\$933	\$926	\$947	\$805	\$740	\$831	\$886	\$871
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	48%	57%	52%	48%	47%	54%	51%	57%	56%	59%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,842	1096	46	139	1,661	61	2,839
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,530	447	19	79	826	24	1,135
Participants in a Work Activity	1,824	325	12	61	545	21	860
% of Enrolled	72%	73%	63%	77%	66%	88%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	48	11	1	4	15	0	17
Participants Employed	1,051	210	8	43	377	8	405
% of Enrolled	42%	47%	42%	54%	46%	33%	36%
Full Time	767	173	4	29	267	5	289
Part Time	284	37	4	14	110	3	116
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$8.28	\$8.34	\$8.52	\$8.38	\$11.34	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,146	\$1,042	\$1,051	\$1,091	\$1,503	\$1,019

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	4,106	856	36	151	1,087	54	1,922
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,182	998	40	189	1,491	52	2,412
Participants in a Work Activity	4,620	883	33	161	1,328	42	2,173
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	83%	85%	89%	81%	90%
Participants Employed	2,407	472	19	111	763	20	1,022
% of Total Enrolled	46%	47%	48%	59%	51%	38%	42%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.46	\$8.58	\$8.50	\$8.53	\$9.63	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,134	\$1,150	\$1,029	\$1,112	\$1,194	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	74%	80%	67%	78%	50%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	34,019	6,929	247	1144	8,300	319	17,080
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,413	5,235	180	819	6,602	224	13,353
Participants in a Work Activity	25,410	5,058	169	756	6,262	207	12,958
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	92%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	17,989	3,364	117	536	4,449	127	9,396
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	65%	65%	67%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.52	\$6.87	\$8.16	\$7.57	\$7.54	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$1,012	\$890	\$1,048	\$1,016	\$946	\$953
Five Months Employment ⁹	59%	60%	54%	62%	57%	51%	60%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,154	197	51	429	287	190
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	656	113	15	259	157	112
Participants in a Work Activity	414	57	11	152	112	82
% of Enrolled	63%	50%	73%	59%	71%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	10	1	8	0	1
Participants Employed	286	46	7	110	58	65
% of Enrolled	44%	41%	47%	42%	37%	58%
Full Time	208	34	3	88	36	47
Part Time	78	12	4	22	22	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$9.20	\$7.96	\$8.27	\$9.09	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,223	\$990	\$1,070	\$1,119	\$1,073

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,041	167	57	374	260	183
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,362	198	49	508	341	266
Participants in a Work Activity	1,145	175	39	408	291	232
% of Total Enrolled	84%	88%	80%	80%	85%	87%
Participants Employed	699	98	15	274	156	156
% of Total Enrolled	51%	49%	31%	54%	46%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.62	\$8.23	\$8.43	\$8.79	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,057	\$954	\$1,056	\$1,107	\$1,088
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	67%	78%	77%	81%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,630	1,194	467	2,272	2,199	1,498
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,548	824	308	1,786	1,378	1,252
Participants in a Work Activity	5,246	789	292	1,627	1,331	1,207
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,704	514	195	1,223	815	957
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	63%	68%	59%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$7.53	\$7.29	\$7.92	\$8.34	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$956	\$914	\$1,025	\$1,118	\$983
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	44%	48%	56%	58%	56%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	415	86	25	53	51	19	28	28	38	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	162	35	4	20	20	11	16	13	8	35
Participants in a Work Activity	120	32	2	18	14	9	8	7	6	24
% of Enrolled	74%	91%	50%	90%	70%	82%	50%	54%	75%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	3	0	1	2	0	1	4	1	2
Participants Employed	89	24	0	9	11	8	8	6	5	18
% of Enrolled	55%	69%	0%	45%	55%	73%	50%	46%	63%	51%
Full Time	71	20	N/A	6	9	4	5	4	5	18
Part Time	18	4	M/A	3	2	4	3	2	0	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.25	N/A	\$8.15	\$7.91	\$7.94	\$7.64	\$7.40	\$7.91	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,236	N/A	\$1,094	\$1,163	\$876	\$910	\$773	\$1,221	\$1,001

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	373	66	24	46	33	17	35	34	27	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	449	93	19	65	41	28	45	31	26	101
Participants in a Work Activity	383	91	17	59	33	26	35	26	24	72
% of Total Enrolled	85%	98%	89%	91%	80%	93%	78%	84%	92%	71%
Participants Employed	227	54	6	30	18	18	24	14	14	49
% of Total Enrolled	51%	58%	32%	46%	44%	64%	53%	45%	54%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$8.36	\$7.10	\$7.98	\$8.05	\$7.86	\$7.58	\$7.73	\$7.99	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,058	\$799	\$983	\$1,070	\$1,024	\$990	\$890	\$1,002	\$1,041
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	52%	80%	75%	71%	57%	79%	78%	43%	52%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,318	497	251	294	377	185	376	294	304	740
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,441	390	167	236	296	123	272	238	177	542
Participants in a Work Activity	2,283	375	157	226	275	116	248	229	171	486
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	94%	91%	96%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,552	272	85	139	196	79	182	139	111	349
% of Total Enrolled	64%	70%	51%	59%	66%	64%	67%	58%	63%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.91	\$6.95	\$6.94	\$7.31	\$6.68	\$6.90	\$6.92	\$6.55	\$6.92	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$885	\$857	\$871	\$966	\$842	\$879	\$894	\$839	\$928	\$913
Five Months Employment ⁹	46%	42%	34%	48%	49%	54%	57%	40%	33%	50%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,428	30	102	133	46	133	1,192	1,754	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,475	11	31	47	26	89	505	753	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,125	10	23	35	17	68	407	553	12
% of Enrolled	76%	91%	74%	74%	65%	76%	81%	73%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	25	1	2	0	2	1	14	4	1
Participants Employed	742	9	20	32	9	37	257	368	10
% of Enrolled	50%	82%	65%	68%	35%	42%	51%	49%	77%
Full Time	571	9	15	20	6	13	199	300	9
Part Time	171	0	5	12	3	24	58	68	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.95	\$7.76	\$7.98	\$7.81	\$8.05	\$8.13	\$8.19	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,120	\$1,037	\$1,040	\$1,017	\$846	\$1,063	\$1,119	\$1,300

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,847	27	99	124	34	126	975	1,428	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,502	25	106	149	42	188	1,168	1,779	45
Participants in a Work Activity	3,053	24	90	135	38	159	1,057	1,513	37
% of Total Enrolled	87%	96%	85%	91%	90%	85%	90%	85%	82%
Participants Employed	1,815	12	59	88	10	102	605	907	32
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	56%	59%	24%	54%	52%	51%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.51	\$7.98	\$8.48	\$7.76	\$8.45	\$8.16	\$8.16	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,273	\$959	\$1,061	\$965	\$1,031	\$1,023	\$1,078	\$1,046
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	86%	66%	69%	83%	77%	74%	71%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	24,102	153	998	991	292	966	8,421	11,935	346
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,893	110	698	772	214	719	6,122	9,010	248
Participants in a Work Activity	17,012	107	645	717	205	686	5,953	8,467	232
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	92%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	12,615	60	464	542	125	456	4,305	6,481	182
% of Total Enrolled	71%	55%	66%	70%	58%	63%	70%	72%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$6.96	\$6.77	\$7.31	\$7.41	\$7.10	\$6.94	\$6.90	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$911	\$913	\$840	\$894	\$1,004	\$886	\$906	\$920	\$903
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	48%	53%	52%	52%	48%	53%	58%	52%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,254	136	75	62	74	325	582
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	602	20	26	43	31	226	256
Participants in a Work Activity	345	19	21	25	27	84	169
% of Enrolled	57%	95%	81%	58%	87%	37%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	2	4	2	2	3
Participants Employed	231	16	14	12	17	64	108
% of Enrolled	38%	80%	54%	28%	55%	28%	42%
Full Time	181	12	12	7	15	46	89
Part Time	50	4	2	5	2	18	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.84	\$9.72	\$8.54	\$8.95	\$7.88	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,106	\$1,398	\$975	\$1,228	\$993	\$1,046

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	874	99	51	37	60	207	420
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,286	73	81	68	93	361	610
Participants in a Work Activity	1,079	71	69	66	84	265	524
% of Total Enrolled	84%	97%	85%	97%	90%	73%	86%
Participants Employed	610	41	45	37	42	136	309
% of Total Enrolled	47%	56%	56%	54%	45%	38%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.82	\$8.61	\$8.74	\$8.22	\$7.94	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,144	\$1,094	\$1,057	\$1,071	\$986	\$1,083
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	61%	79%	62%	67%	59%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,630	1102	751	337	682	2,150	3,608
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,723	751	557	276	543	1,729	2,867
Participants in a Work Activity	6,411	748	490	262	527	1,649	2,735
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,615	500	348	193	361	1,180	2,033
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	62%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.92	\$6.85	\$7.39	\$7.12	\$6.91	\$6.78	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$950	\$986	\$870	\$943	\$911	\$943
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	51%	49%	47%	57%	50%	58%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through April 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/11 and Work Activity as of 4/29/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,394	145	136	1,113	104	2,209	1,202	397	1,088
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,584	36	55	505	26	1,012	429	128	393
Participants in a Work Activity	1,974	30	35	417	19	760	321	93	299
% of Enrolled	76%	83%	64%	83%	73%	75%	75%	73%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	35	0	4	1	0	7	14	1	8
Participants Employed	1,282	24	21	291	8	486	194	75	183
% of Enrolled	50%	67%	38%	58%	31%	48%	45%	59%	47%
Full Time	1,039	21	9	222	4	425	152	65	141
Part Time	243	3	12	69	4	61	42	10	42
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.65	\$7.77	\$8.05	\$9.04	\$7.81	\$7.95	\$7.77	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$1,068	\$918	\$1,040	\$1,498	\$999	\$1,060	\$1,044	\$1,067

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,935	119	98	853	91	1,615	839	296	1,024
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	6,106	133	125	1,144	85	2,111	1,115	347	1,046
Participants in a Work Activity	5,462	117	111	1,054	82	1,866	1,000	327	905
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	89%	92%	96%	88%	90%	94%	87%
Participants Employed	3,156	70	46	667	31	1,117	529	195	501
% of Total Enrolled	52%	53%	37%	58%	36%	53%	47%	56%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.04	\$7.85	\$8.12	\$8.12	\$7.85	\$8.06	\$8.09	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,033	\$931	\$1,084	\$985	\$1,003	\$1,048	\$1,048	\$1,072
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	65%	70%	79%	36%	75%	71%	65%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	48,367	1092	925	7,772	760	15,602	7,815	3,071	11,330
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3, 5}	35,199	778	731	5,641	597	11,236	6,153	2,390	7,673
Participants in a Work Activity	33,324	751	699	5,374	583	10,636	5,796	2,361	7,124
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	24,809	547	427	4,130	395	7,922	4,473	1,783	5,132
% of Total Enrolled	70%	70%	58%	73%	66%	71%	73%	75%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$6.82	\$6.61	\$6.95	\$6.41	\$6.67	\$6.68	\$6.63	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$890	\$904	\$822	\$919	\$803	\$855	\$897	\$865	\$946
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	54%	45%	56%	53%	56%	61%	58%	57%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	40.0%	3.94	101	\$8.32	5.21	40	60.5%	6.05	96	5.07	96
003	Albemarle	56.1%	6.73	31	\$8.69	6.04	17	70.3%	7.03	59	6.60	17
005	Alleghany/Covington	42.7%	4.40	95	\$7.79	4.02	92	55.6%	5.56	107	4.66	110
007	Amelia	48.2%	5.37	69	\$8.62	5.89	20	75.0%	7.50	33	6.25	34
009	Amherst	54.8%	6.51	35	\$7.70	3.82	99	48.5%	4.85	114	5.06	97
011	Appomattox	61.1%	7.60	12	\$7.79	4.02	93	74.1%	7.41	41	6.34	31
013	Arlington	52.8%	6.16	47	\$10.45	10.00	1	76.5%	7.65	30	7.93	2
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	58.0%	7.05	22	\$7.91	4.29	78	69.7%	6.97	62	6.10	38
017	Bath	71.4%	9.38	2	\$6.00	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	1	6.46	26
019	Bedford County/City	46.0%	4.98	81	\$8.38	5.35	38	66.7%	6.67	76	5.67	66
021	Bland	45.5%	4.88	83	\$7.46	3.28	113	80.0%	8.00	16	5.39	88
023	Botetourt	17.2%	0.00	119	\$7.72	3.87	97	100.0%	10.00	2	4.62	111
025	Brunswick	46.5%	5.06	79	\$7.41	3.17	115	59.3%	5.93	100	4.72	109
027	Buchanan	47.2%	5.19	77	\$8.19	4.92	46	61.5%	6.15	91	5.42	85
029	Buckingham	42.3%	4.33	97	\$7.51	3.39	111	66.7%	6.67	77	4.80	105
031	Campbell	56.3%	6.77	27	\$8.09	4.70	54	68.0%	6.80	71	6.09	39
033	Caroline	49.5%	5.58	62	\$8.62	5.89	21	67.2%	6.72	73	6.07	43
035	Carroll	61.3%	7.63	11	\$7.60	3.60	103	69.1%	6.91	67	6.04	45
036	Charles City	48.0%	5.33	70	\$8.51	5.64	27	85.7%	8.57	7	6.51	21
037	Charlotte	37.9%	3.58	105	\$8.48	5.57	30	61.1%	6.11	92	5.09	95
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.3%	5.20	75	\$8.46	5.53	34	74.4%	7.44	38	6.06	44
043	Clarke	66.7%	8.56	4	\$8.68	6.02	18	83.3%	8.33	8	7.64	4
045	Craig	30.8%	2.34	115	\$7.60	3.60	104	100.0%	10.00	3	5.31	90
047	Culpeper	52.1%	6.04	51	\$7.97	4.43	72	77.8%	7.78	24	6.08	40
049	Cumberland	43.2%	4.50	93	\$8.63	5.91	19	57.9%	5.79	103	5.40	86
051	Dickensen	51.3%	5.90	55	\$7.59	3.57	106	73.1%	7.31	45	5.59	74
053	Dimwiddie	56.2%	6.74	30	\$7.82	4.09	89	60.7%	6.07	94	5.63	72
057	Essex	58.1%	7.07	21	\$8.36	5.30	39	51.5%	5.15	111	5.84	62
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	51.4%	5.92	53	\$10.22	9.48	3	73.0%	7.30	46	7.57	6
061	Fauquier	57.3%	6.93	24	\$9.08	6.92	8	74.4%	7.44	40	7.10	8
063	Floyd	60.8%	7.54	14	\$7.53	3.44	110	43.8%	4.38	115	5.12	94
065	Fluvanna	44.4%	4.71	91	\$8.31	5.19	41	70.0%	7.00	60	5.63	71
067	Franklin County	45.4%	4.87	84	\$8.03	4.56	65	70.4%	7.04	57	5.49	80
069	Frederick	42.8%	4.42	94	\$9.02	6.79	10	73.5%	7.35	43	6.18	35
071	Giles	50.9%	5.82	60	\$7.71	3.84	98	58.3%	5.83	102	5.17	93
073	Gloucester	55.7%	6.65	32	\$7.98	4.45	70	65.8%	6.58	83	5.89	59
075	Goochland	47.5%	5.24	72	\$8.58	5.80	23	80.0%	8.00	17	6.35	30
077	Grayson	62.5%	7.84	10	\$7.81	4.07	90	57.9%	5.79	104	5.90	58

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	61.0%	7.58	13	\$8.49	5.60	29	77.8%	7.78	25	6.98	9
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.1%	3.61	104	\$7.56	3.51	109	51.4%	5.14	112	4.08	117
083	Halifax	36.0%	3.25	112	\$8.12	4.76	51	62.0%	6.20	89	4.74	106
085	Hanover	58.7%	7.18	18	\$8.50	5.62	28	67.2%	6.72	74	6.51	22
087	Henrico	51.2%	5.87	57	\$8.53	5.69	25	78.3%	7.83	23	6.46	25
089	Henry/Martinsville	48.9%	5.48	66	\$7.94	4.36	75	63.6%	6.36	87	5.40	87
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	52.6%	6.13	48	\$8.04	4.58	64	65.0%	6.50	85	5.74	63
095	James City	59.1%	7.24	17	\$8.48	5.57	31	69.2%	6.92	65	6.58	19
097	King and Queen	31.6%	2.48	114	\$7.10	2.47	118	80.0%	8.00	18	4.32	115
099	King George	30.6%	2.31	116	\$8.23	5.01	43	77.8%	7.78	26	5.03	99
101	King William	46.2%	5.01	80	\$7.98	4.45	71	75.0%	7.50	34	5.65	70
103	Lancaster	43.9%	4.62	92	\$8.05	4.61	63	71.4%	7.14	51	5.46	81
105	Lee	60.0%	7.40	16	\$7.51	3.39	112	76.2%	7.62	32	6.14	37
107	Loudoun	54.7%	6.49	36	\$10.34	9.75	2	86.5%	8.65	6	8.30	1
109	Louisa	45.2%	4.84	86	\$8.74	6.16	15	70.0%	7.00	61	6.00	49
111	Lunenburg	36.8%	3.38	109	\$7.44	3.24	114	60.0%	6.00	98	4.21	116
113	Madison	36.4%	3.31	111	\$8.58	5.80	24	100.0%	10.00	4	6.37	29
115	Mathews	64.3%	8.14	7	\$7.86	4.18	85	57.1%	5.71	105	6.01	47
117	Mecklenburg	50.9%	5.83	59	\$8.08	4.67	56	75.0%	7.50	35	6.00	48
119	Middlesex	53.3%	6.25	45	\$7.58	3.55	108	78.6%	7.86	21	5.89	60
121	Montgomery	65.7%	8.39	5	\$7.85	4.16	86	66.7%	6.67	78	6.40	28
125	Nelson	48.4%	5.39	68	\$8.07	4.65	58	75.0%	7.50	36	5.85	61
127	New Kent	23.8%	1.14	118	\$7.76	3.96	95	83.3%	8.33	9	4.48	113
131	Northampton	52.2%	6.05	50	\$8.06	4.63	60	81.8%	8.18	11	6.29	32
133	Northumberland	45.2%	4.83	87	\$7.73	3.89	96	77.8%	7.78	27	5.50	78
135	Nottoway	55.6%	6.65	33	\$7.92	4.31	77	71.1%	7.11	53	6.02	46
137	Orange	64.1%	8.11	8	\$9.05	6.85	9	78.8%	7.88	20	7.62	5
139	Page	51.4%	5.91	54	\$8.31	5.19	42	51.7%	5.17	109	5.43	84
141	Patrick	53.9%	6.35	42	\$7.36	3.06	116	73.2%	7.32	44	5.57	75
143	Pittsylvania	40.5%	4.02	100	\$7.80	4.04	91	61.0%	6.10	93	4.72	108
145	Powhatan	38.5%	3.67	102	\$9.63	8.16	6	50.0%	5.00	113	5.61	73
147	Prince Edward	45.3%	4.86	85	\$7.99	4.47	68	72.0%	7.20	50	5.51	76
149	Prince George	55.6%	6.63	34	\$8.61	5.87	22	78.6%	7.86	22	6.79	14
153	Prince William	54.0%	6.36	40	\$9.32	7.46	7	70.7%	7.07	55	6.96	10
155	Pulaski	48.9%	5.49	65	\$7.78	4.00	94	60.7%	6.07	95	5.18	92
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	1.34	117	\$9.00	6.74	11	0.0%	0.00	119	2.69	119
159	Richmond County	53.8%	6.34	43	\$7.99	4.47	69	42.9%	4.29	116	5.03	101
161	Roanoke County	57.9%	7.04	23	\$8.07	4.65	59	60.2%	6.02	97	5.90	57
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	64.7%	8.22	6	\$7.96	4.40	73	76.5%	7.65	31	6.76	15
165	Rockingham/H'burg	63.8%	8.06	9	\$8.47	5.55	32	67.9%	6.79	72	6.80	12
167	Russell	49.1%	5.52	63	\$7.69	3.80	101	69.7%	6.97	63	5.43	83
169	Scott	51.2%	5.88	56	\$7.60	3.60	105	90.6%	9.06	5	6.18	36
171	Shenandoah	41.3%	4.17	99	\$8.47	5.55	33	72.7%	7.27	47	5.66	67

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	38.3%	3.65	103	\$7.91	4.29	79	69.6%	6.96	64	4.97	103
175	<i>Southampton</i>	36.8%	3.39	108	\$7.85	4.16	87	70.4%	7.04	58	4.86	104
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	53.9%	6.35	41	\$8.43	5.46	36	77.0%	7.70	29	6.50	23
179	<i>Stafford</i>	45.7%	4.94	82	\$8.79	6.27	14	81.3%	8.13	12	6.45	27
181	<i>Surry</i>	54.4%	6.44	37	\$8.74	6.16	16	61.9%	6.19	90	6.26	33
183	<i>Sussex</i>	45.2%	4.83	88	\$8.22	4.99	44	66.7%	6.67	79	5.50	79
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	52.6%	6.12	49	\$7.70	3.82	100	71.2%	7.12	52	5.69	65
187	<i>Warren</i>	44.9%	4.79	89	\$8.89	6.49	13	66.0%	6.60	82	5.96	52
191	<i>Washington</i>	47.1%	5.17	78	\$7.59	3.57	107	54.2%	5.42	108	4.72	107
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	48.5%	5.41	67	\$8.01	4.52	66	51.7%	5.17	110	5.03	100
195	<i>Wise</i>	56.9%	6.87	26	\$7.66	3.73	102	72.6%	7.26	49	5.95	54
197	<i>Wythe</i>	56.3%	6.76	28	\$7.87	4.20	83	60.0%	6.00	99	5.65	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.3%	6.41	38	\$8.45	5.51	35	77.4%	7.74	28	6.55	20
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	53.4%	6.26	44	\$10.00	8.99	5	81.1%	8.11	13	7.79	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	47.2%	5.19	76	\$7.87	4.20	84	67.0%	6.70	75	5.36	89
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.3%	7.45	15	\$8.42	5.44	37	72.6%	7.26	48	6.72	16
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	58.3%	7.11	20	\$8.12	4.76	52	78.9%	7.89	19	6.59	18
590	<i>Danville</i>	37.8%	3.56	106	\$7.88	4.22	80	56.0%	5.60	106	4.46	114
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	36.5%	3.33	110	\$8.12	4.76	53	36.4%	3.64	118	3.91	118
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.6%	7.17	19	\$8.53	5.69	26	80.3%	8.03	15	6.96	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	42.2%	4.31	98	\$7.88	4.22	81	65.4%	6.54	84	5.03	102
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.8%	5.98	52	\$8.16	4.85	48	73.6%	7.36	42	6.07	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	37.7%	3.54	107	\$7.94	4.36	76	58.8%	5.88	101	4.59	112
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	48.9%	5.49	64	\$7.88	4.22	82	68.1%	6.81	70	5.51	77
683	<i>Manassas</i>	35.9%	3.23	113	\$8.93	6.58	12	80.6%	8.06	14	5.96	53
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	47.4%	5.22	74	\$10.02	9.03	4	83.3%	8.33	10	7.53	7
700	<i>Newport News</i>	51.0%	5.84	58	\$8.16	4.85	49	70.6%	7.06	56	5.92	56
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.9%	6.18	46	\$7.85	4.16	88	74.5%	7.45	37	5.93	55
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.0%	10.00	1	\$7.26	2.83	117	66.7%	6.67	80	6.50	24
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	50.7%	5.79	61	\$8.08	4.67	57	74.4%	7.44	39	5.97	50
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	47.4%	5.23	73	\$8.06	4.63	61	71.0%	7.10	54	5.65	69
750	<i>Radford</i>	57.1%	6.91	25	\$7.96	4.40	74	69.0%	6.90	68	6.07	41
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	42.4%	4.35	96	\$8.18	4.90	47	66.5%	6.65	81	5.30	91
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.6%	4.74	90	\$8.06	4.63	62	69.2%	6.92	66	5.43	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	56.2%	6.74	29	\$8.09	4.70	55	64.5%	6.45	86	5.96	51
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	47.9%	5.31	71	\$8.22	4.99	45	68.2%	6.82	69	5.71	64
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	71.1%	9.33	3	\$8.13	4.79	50	62.5%	6.25	88	6.79	13
840	<i>Winchester</i>	54.0%	6.36	39	\$8.01	4.52	67	42.4%	4.24	117	5.04	98

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.1%	1.96	116	\$840	2.95	93	56.7%	6.45	34	3.79	107
003	Albemarle	73.5%	7.78	9	\$1,000	6.19	18	54.2%	5.76	54	6.58	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.1%	7.13	21	\$825	2.65	103	44.5%	3.12	110	4.30	96
007	Amelia	59.6%	4.01	96	\$958	5.34	31	47.8%	4.02	94	4.46	87
009	Amherst	67.0%	6.01	50	\$855	3.25	89	50.3%	4.69	81	4.65	83
011	Appomattox	70.9%	7.06	25	\$858	3.32	85	44.0%	2.99	114	4.46	88
013	Arlington	72.9%	7.62	15	\$1,056	7.33	9	64.1%	8.46	7	7.80	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.09	49	\$945	5.08	43	55.1%	5.99	49	5.72	35
017	Bath	65.2%	5.53	68	\$698	0.07	119	55.6%	6.13	45	3.91	104
019	Bedford County/City	65.4%	5.58	67	\$847	3.09	90	54.0%	5.70	56	4.79	76
021	Bland	61.3%	4.46	93	\$834	2.83	97	47.0%	3.81	103	3.70	109
023	Botetourt	44.8%	0.00	120	\$920	4.57	55	41.8%	2.39	116	2.32	118
025	Brunswick	57.1%	3.33	108	\$814	2.43	110	56.6%	6.42	36	4.06	101
027	Buchanan	52.7%	2.13	115	\$831	2.78	99	53.9%	5.67	59	3.53	112
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.19	110	\$933	4.84	48	52.0%	5.17	69	4.40	92
031	Campbell	69.0%	6.55	39	\$887	3.90	72	58.6%	6.96	23	5.81	33
033	Caroline	62.4%	4.76	89	\$956	5.30	32	44.3%	3.06	112	4.37	94
035	Carroll	66.9%	5.99	51	\$816	2.46	108	45.9%	3.50	107	3.98	102
036	Charles City	54.5%	2.63	113	\$913	4.44	61	47.8%	4.03	93	3.70	108
037	Charlotte	50.6%	1.55	118	\$926	4.69	52	47.7%	4.00	97	3.41	113
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.3%	5.27	73	\$1,012	6.44	15	60.3%	7.41	16	6.37	21
043	Clarke	61.9%	4.64	92	\$980	5.78	26	46.6%	3.68	105	4.70	81
045	Craig	52.8%	2.15	114	\$1,027	6.73	12	61.1%	7.64	13	5.51	44
047	Culpeper	65.5%	5.60	65	\$950	5.17	38	55.5%	6.13	47	5.63	39
049	Cumberland	56.4%	3.13	111	\$947	5.11	39	46.6%	3.70	104	3.98	103
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.10	95	\$817	2.48	107	48.1%	4.11	91	3.57	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.6%	5.90	55	\$950	5.18	37	51.4%	5.00	76	5.36	49
057	Essex	69.7%	6.76	35	\$857	3.29	87	41.6%	2.33	117	4.12	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.8%	6.22	46	\$1,133	8.87	3	61.2%	7.67	12	7.59	6
061	Fauquier	68.2%	6.33	44	\$1,010	6.39	16	62.0%	7.88	8	6.87	11
063	Floyd	69.9%	6.81	33	\$922	4.61	53	44.2%	3.05	113	4.82	73
065	Fluvanna	69.0%	6.56	38	\$1,080	7.80	6	56.3%	6.35	40	6.90	8
067	Franklin County	62.6%	4.82	86	\$937	4.92	46	50.1%	4.66	82	4.80	75
069	Frederick	58.4%	3.69	100	\$997	6.13	20	52.0%	5.17	70	5.00	64
071	Giles	58.4%	3.67	104	\$946	5.10	41	47.2%	3.86	101	4.21	99
073	Gloucester	66.5%	5.87	58	\$840	2.95	94	53.3%	5.51	64	4.78	77
075	Goochland	65.0%	5.47	71	\$890	3.97	71	53.9%	5.69	58	5.04	62
077	Grayson	66.5%	5.88	56	\$837	2.90	96	54.3%	5.80	53	4.86	70

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.42	5	\$914	4.44	60	50.0%	4.62	84	5.83	30
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.7%	3.49	106	\$805	2.25	111	54.1%	5.73	55	3.82	106
083	Halifax	57.4%	3.40	107	\$833	2.81	98	47.7%	4.00	98	3.41	114
085	Hanover	65.4%	5.59	66	\$1,048	7.15	10	62.0%	7.88	9	6.87	10
087	Henrico	67.4%	6.12	48	\$1,016	6.52	14	56.8%	6.47	33	6.37	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.12	80	\$934	4.85	47	53.4%	5.55	62	5.17	55
091	Highland	71.4%	7.21	20	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.34	18	4.85	71
093	Isle of Wight	70.3%	6.91	30	\$904	4.24	65	53.9%	5.69	57	5.61	40
095	James City	70.2%	6.88	31	\$894	4.04	70	51.6%	5.07	74	5.33	51
097	King and Queen	50.9%	1.64	117	\$871	3.58	80	33.8%	0.21	119	1.81	120
099	King George	63.3%	5.01	83	\$914	4.44	59	48.0%	4.08	92	4.51	85
101	King William	58.9%	3.81	98	\$966	5.50	28	47.7%	4.01	96	4.44	90
103	Lancaster	66.2%	5.80	61	\$842	2.98	92	49.2%	4.39	90	4.39	93
105	Lee	65.6%	5.64	64	\$775	1.64	116	60.2%	7.40	17	4.89	67
107	Loudoun	78.1%	9.03	3	\$1,179	9.81	2	65.2%	8.75	4	9.20	1
109	Louisa	60.7%	4.31	94	\$967	5.51	27	53.1%	5.45	65	5.09	58
111	Lunenburg	47.1%	0.61	119	\$740	0.93	117	51.1%	4.92	78	2.15	119
113	Madison	64.1%	5.23	76	\$991	6.02	22	47.3%	3.90	100	5.05	61
115	Mathews	64.2%	5.26	74	\$879	3.73	76	53.7%	5.64	60	4.88	68
117	Mecklenburg	66.3%	5.81	60	\$831	2.76	100	57.2%	6.58	29	5.05	60
119	Middlesex	66.9%	5.99	52	\$894	4.05	69	56.7%	6.43	35	5.49	45
121	Montgomery	79.4%	9.37	2	\$926	4.70	51	53.6%	5.59	61	6.55	16
125	Nelson	63.6%	5.10	81	\$997	6.13	19	50.0%	4.62	85	5.28	52
127	New Kent	58.4%	3.68	102	\$1,004	6.26	17	51.7%	5.08	73	5.01	63
131	Northampton	63.0%	4.93	84	\$828	2.70	101	66.6%	9.13	2	5.59	41
133	Northumberland	58.4%	3.68	103	\$839	2.93	95	40.0%	1.90	118	2.84	117
135	Nottoway	68.2%	6.33	45	\$886	3.88	73	55.6%	6.13	46	5.45	46
137	Orange	72.4%	7.49	18	\$961	5.40	29	43.7%	2.90	115	5.26	54
139	Page	69.2%	6.62	37	\$986	5.91	23	54.4%	5.82	52	6.12	27
141	Patrick	66.8%	5.96	54	\$814	2.44	109	64.3%	8.51	6	5.64	38
143	Pittsylvania	55.1%	2.78	112	\$858	3.32	86	49.9%	4.60	86	3.57	110
145	Powhatan	56.7%	3.22	109	\$946	5.10	40	51.3%	4.96	77	4.43	91
147	Prince Edward	70.2%	6.87	32	\$871	3.58	79	59.2%	7.12	21	5.86	29
149	Prince George	62.5%	4.78	88	\$986	5.90	24	49.5%	4.48	87	5.06	59
153	Prince William	72.9%	7.62	16	\$1,189	10.00	1	61.6%	7.78	10	8.47	2
155	Pulaski	64.2%	5.24	75	\$931	4.78	49	44.3%	3.08	111	4.37	95
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.18	78	\$954	5.26	35	47.1%	3.82	102	4.76	80
159	Richmond County	62.7%	4.85	85	\$928	4.72	50	33.0%	0.00	120	3.19	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.86	8	\$1,028	6.75	11	52.7%	5.34	67	6.65	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.5%	7.77	10	\$861	3.38	83	54.7%	5.89	51	5.68	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.73	12	\$955	5.28	33	57.7%	6.71	28	6.57	15
167	Russell	62.2%	4.71	91	\$847	3.09	91	57.0%	6.51	31	4.77	78
169	Scott	58.2%	3.62	105	\$872	3.59	78	65.4%	8.80	3	5.34	50
171	Shenandoah	62.6%	4.82	87	\$915	4.47	58	51.4%	5.01	75	4.77	79

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.5%	3.71	99	\$823	2.61	104	52.2%	5.23	68	3.85	105
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.4%	3.68	101	\$822	2.59	105	45.1%	3.30	109	3.19	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.5%	6.41	41	\$1,025	6.69	13	56.2%	6.30	42	6.47	17
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.1%	3.88	97	\$1,118	8.56	4	58.1%	6.83	25	6.42	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.9%	6.81	34	\$870	3.57	81	46.5%	3.67	106	4.68	82
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.5%	5.87	57	\$943	5.04	44	57.0%	6.53	30	5.81	32
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.7%	6.19	47	\$798	2.11	114	56.6%	6.41	37	4.91	66
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.5%	6.42	40	\$997	6.12	21	54.9%	5.95	50	6.16	26
191	<i>Washington</i>	65.2%	5.51	69	\$883	3.83	75	47.8%	4.02	95	4.45	89
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.4%	5.30	72	\$913	4.43	62	50.5%	4.74	80	4.82	72
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.8%	5.15	79	\$803	2.21	112	56.8%	6.47	32	4.61	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.4%	5.84	59	\$828	2.70	102	55.7%	6.17	44	4.91	65
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.4%	5.04	82	\$886	3.88	74	47.6%	3.98	99	4.30	97
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.9%	7.08	22	\$1,060	7.40	8	69.8%	10.00	1	8.16	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	69.3%	6.64	36	\$859	3.33	84	49.4%	4.45	89	4.81	74
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.7%	10.00	1	\$920	4.57	54	56.3%	6.33	41	6.97	7
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.2%	7.70	14	\$919	4.56	57	56.4%	6.36	39	6.21	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.0%	5.48	70	\$797	2.08	115	51.9%	5.13	72	4.23	98
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.2%	5.78	62	\$803	2.21	113	53.4%	5.53	63	4.51	86
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.4%	8.57	4	\$983	5.85	25	56.1%	6.27	43	6.90	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.3%	4.73	90	\$875	3.66	77	58.8%	7.02	22	5.14	56
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.3%	6.91	29	\$906	4.29	64	52.8%	5.38	66	5.53	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.35	43	\$911	4.39	63	50.1%	4.64	83	5.12	57
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.9%	7.07	24	\$821	2.57	106	61.3%	7.68	11	5.77	34
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.22	77	\$1,087	7.95	5	59.5%	7.19	20	6.79	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.3%	7.72	13	\$1,077	7.74	7	64.5%	8.55	5	8.00	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.9%	7.35	19	\$920	4.57	56	58.3%	6.88	24	6.26	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.5%	6.96	27	\$855	3.26	88	55.5%	6.12	48	5.45	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.30	6	\$735	0.83	118	60.5%	7.46	15	5.53	42
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.9%	7.07	23	\$943	5.04	45	57.7%	6.72	27	6.28	23
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.7%	7.56	17	\$897	4.10	68	60.9%	7.58	14	6.41	20
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.8%	7.05	26	\$898	4.14	67	45.6%	3.41	108	4.87	69
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.4%	6.92	28	\$953	5.23	36	59.6%	7.23	19	6.46	18
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.9%	5.72	63	\$959	5.35	30	50.5%	4.76	79	5.28	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.6%	8.07	7	\$865	3.46	82	58.1%	6.81	26	6.11	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.9%	5.98	53	\$946	5.09	42	56.6%	6.41	38	5.83	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	73.4%	7.74	11	\$903	4.23	66	52.0%	5.15	71	5.71	36
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.4%	6.39	42	\$955	5.27	34	49.4%	4.46	88	5.37	48

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2010 – April 30, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
Timely Enrollment¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	20,590	922	841	1,841	684	370	2,070	235	467	776	937	729	2,898	775	299	2,168	665	3,726	187
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	94%	95%	95%	94%	88%	84%	87%	96%	92%	95%	94%	90%	77%	94%	95%	87%	91%	87%
% Timely in April 2011	93%	99%	98%	96%	94%	87%	93%	82%	98%	92%	97%	96%	86%	69%	100%	97%	88%	97%	78%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	14,916	679	610	1,274	527	255	1,518	164	364	549	727	542	2,133	559	206	1,507	498	2,648	156
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	96%	96%	97%	90%	94%	93%	97%	95%	98%	97%	94%	92%	96%	90%	93%	91%	96%
Percent in April 2011	94%	100%	96%	99%	100%	92%	96%	86%	92%	95%	99%	98%	92%	89%	100%	93%	95%	91%	91%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	123	1	1	1	1	2	10	3	1	2	2	5	50	28	1	4	5	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	213	13	2	10	96	38	90	22	9	26	35	48	27	30	5	39	26	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	922	50	51	157	130	111	158	239	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	92%	96%	99%	97%	95%	92%	92%	77%
% Timely in April 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	679	35	38	129	98	87	106	164	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	97%	97%	98%	95%	100%	97%	96%	82%
Percent in April 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	213

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	841	12	68	27	178	145	88	261	62
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	97%	100%	96%	97%	92%	99%	73%
% Timely in April 2011	98%	N/A	100%	100%	95%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	610	9	50	20	132	113	66	173	47
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	98%	95%	98%	99%	97%	97%	81%
Percent in April 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1841	64	12	8	29	199	41	290	143	185	49	821
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	86%	75%	100%	97%	98%	98%	96%	100%	99%	63%	96%
% Timely in April 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	50%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1274	46	7	3	20	127	31	209	108	132	36	555
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	96%	71%	100%	100%	90%	97%	99%	98%	97%	97%	96%
Percent in April 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	684	393	5	0	50	236
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	100%	N/A	78%	89%
% Timely in April 2011	94%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	76%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	527	299	5	0	34	189
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	98%	100%	N/A	79%	98%
Percent in April 2011	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	10

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	370	10	80	69	29	89	93	187	116	71
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	90%	66%	96%	90%	92%	97%	87%	86%	87%
% Timely in April 2011	87%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	56%	100%	78%	67%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	255	6	65	47	23	49	65	156	95	61
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	80%	100%	91%	96%	86%	96%	97%	95%
Percent in April 2011	92%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	92%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	96	0	190	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,070	154	708	153	698	242	104	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	84%	99%	87%	87%	74%	98%	79%	82%
% Timely in April 2011	93%	100%	97%	94%	87%	95%	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1518	125	506	115	518	170	74	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	95%	96%	92%	95%	93%	100%
Percent in April 2011	96%	100%	96%	100%	92%	100%	91%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>								
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	0	0	38	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	235	96	74	19	44	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	77%	96%	95%	91%	50%
% Timely in April 2011	82%	75%	100%	100%	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	164	64	51	15	33	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	91%	98%	100%	88%	100%
Percent in April 2011	86%	83%	100%	100%	67%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	90	3	0	259	0	8

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	467	77	30	32	78	18	232
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	93%	56%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in April 2011	98%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	364	59	21	26	56	13	189
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	95%	95%	81%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in April 2011	92%	78%	100%	80%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	22	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	776	59	47	163	169	338
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	100%	98%	98%	100%	83%
% Timely in April 2011	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	549	42	34	108	125	240
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	98%	100%	93%	99%	93%
Percent in April 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	93%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	9

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	937	152	314	89	123	259
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	94%	89%	99%	100%	98%
% Timely in April 2011	97%	92%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	727	115	257	71	96	188
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	99%	98%	92%	100%	99%
Percent in April 2011	99%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	0	0	0	26

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	729	49	80	51	69	43	85	40	111	83	118
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	93%	86%	96%	100%	89%	70%	98%	99%	98%
% Timely in April 2011	96%	83%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	83%	100%	100%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	542	37	61	39	53	32	69	22	89	53	87
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	97%	98%	97%	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	85%	100%
Percent in April 2011	98%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	5	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	3	0	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,898	594	24	94	791	33	1,362
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	90%	89%	88%	95%	80%	97%	95%
% Timely in April 2011	86%	97%	N/A	71%	69%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2133	434	21	63	588	22	1,005
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	94%	95%	95%	92%	95%	94%
Percent in April 2011	92%	87%	100%	100%	88%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	50	3	0	0	35	0	12
Average # of Days Over 30	48	95	0	0	51	0	27

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	775	122	42	272	179	160
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	77%	66%	100%	58%	92%	96%
% Timely in April 2011	69%	0%	100%	69%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	559	85	25	190	144	115
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	92%	95%	92%	89%	90%	96%
Percent in April 2011	89%	79%	100%	95%	80%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	28	22	0	6	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	25	0	35	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	299	60	16	36	31	14	24	25	24	69
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	81%	94%	97%	93%	92%	100%	100%	88%
% Timely in April 2011	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	206	49	10	27	21	10	14	20	18	37
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	81%
Percent in April 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,168	21	73	98	27	106	726	1099	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	86%	90%	97%	63%	97%	100%	94%	67%
% Timely in April 2011	97%	100%	100%	86%	83%	100%	100%	97%	75%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1507	17	58	74	19	78	494	756	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	98%	93%	84%	91%	95%	87%	73%
Percent in April 2011	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	98%	89%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	7	0	0	3	7

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	665	54	41	29	52	150	339
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	98%	100%	100%	100%	60%	93%
% Timely in April 2011	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	45%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	498	42	25	19	45	115	252
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	95%	88%	89%	100%	90%	94%
Percent in April 2011	95%	80%	100%	67%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	0	39	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - April 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	3,726	74	76	659	77	1201	684	243	712
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	91%	80%	90%	100%	86%	95%	99%	93%
% Timely in April 2011	97%	75%	50%	100%	100%	97%	97%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2648	59	62	476	60	822	490	187	492
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	95%	95%	94%	100%	84%	92%	97%	95%
Percent in April 2011	91%	100%	100%	94%	100%	82%	93%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	6	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	26	8	40	0	0	0	6	0	20

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Apr-10</i>	<i>Apr-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,836	16,108	1.7%
NTANF Cases Collected	131,461	131,484	0.0%
Total Cases Collected	147,297	147,592	0.2%
TANF Collections	\$4,616,903	\$4,724,048	2.3%
NTANF Collections	\$53,158,802	\$52,436,501	-1.4%
Total Collections	\$57,775,705	\$57,160,549	-1.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$521,191,640	\$531,106,953	1.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$366	\$370	1.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>Apr-11</i>
TANF Paternities	228
NTANF Paternities	424
Combined Paternities	652

Diversionsary Assistance

April Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	3		Prince George	2
Alleghany / Covington	3		Prince William	1
Appomattox	1		Pulaski	3
Bedford City	1		Roanoke County	5
Buckingham	5		Rockingham/ H'burg	4
Caroline	3		Scott	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	15		Shenandoah	11
Cumberland	1		Smyth	2
Dickenson	1		Spotsylvania	6
Dinwiddie	2		Stafford	10
Fairfax / Falls Church	5		Tazewell	1
Fauquier	2		Warren	5
Floyd	4		Wythe	1
Franklin County	2		York / Poquoson	2
Frederick	1		Bristol	6
Greene	2		Charlottesville	1
Henrico	5		Chesapeake	6
Henry / Martinsville	1		Fredericksburg	1
Isle of Wight	3		Hampton	5
Loudoun	1		Hopewell	5
Louisa	1		Newport News	13
Mathews	1		Norfolk	19
Montgomery	1		Petersburg	5
Nelson	1		Richmond City	3
Nottoway	2		Roanoke City	11
Orange	2		Suffolk	6
Page	1		Virginia Beach	10
Patrick	1		Winchester	2
Pittsylvania	1		Statewide	219

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of morning of May 2, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through April 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appears to have leveled after recent growth and may be on decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through April 2011



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


April 30, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of May and represent end of April data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of April, it was \$8.27 rather than the \$8.16 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.