

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**June 2011**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services July 28, 2011

# Monthly Report, June 2011

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for June

*These are estimated locality June 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,948 and a denominator of 18,622.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	19	56	34%	061	Fauquier	29	57	51%
003	Albemarle	35	68	51%	063	Floyd	11	25	44%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	45	42%	065	Fluvanna	7	15	47%
007	Amelia	15	38	39%	067	Franklin County	28	102	27%
009	Amherst	16	33	48%	069	Frederick	5	73	7%
011	Appomattox	29	48	60%	071	Giles	12	25	48%
013	Arlington	72	128	56%	073	Gloucester	16	46	35%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	154	290	53%	075	Goochland	12	32	38%
017	Bath	1	2	50%	077	Grayson	15	22	68%
019	Bedford County/City	40	105	38%	079	Greene	9	22	41%
021	Bland	3	8	38%	081	Greensville/Emporia	27	90	30%
023	Botetourt	4	19	21%	083	Halifax	29	95	31%
025	Brunswick	36	63	57%	085	Hanover	45	87	52%
027	Buchanan	15	49	31%	087	Henrico	344	938	37%
029	Buckingham	10	28	36%	089	Henry/Martinsville	78	252	31%
031	Campbell	64	183	35%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	25	125	20%	093	Isle of Wight	21	49	43%
035	Carroll	36	58	62%	095	James City	22	75	29%
036	Charles City	9	14	64%	097	King and Queen	3	11	27%
037	Charlotte	14	40	35%	099	King George	10	23	43%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	268	567	47%	101	King William	7	29	24%
043	Clarke	5	12	42%	103	Lancaster	7	22	32%
045	Craig	2	6	33%	105	Lee	53	127	42%
047	Culpeper	36	92	39%	107	Loudoun	46	142	32%
049	Cumberland	15	38	39%	109	Louisa	31	58	53%
051	Dickensen	19	41	46%	111	Lunenburg	14	44	32%
053	Dinwiddie	20	52	38%	113	Madison	4	12	33%
057	Essex	25	54	46%	115	Mathews	4	14	29%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	316	719	44%	117	Mecklenburg	35	81	43%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	18	11%	187	Warren	33	72	46%
121	Montgomery	107	185	58%	191	Washington	37	82	45%
125	Nelson	5	13	38%	193	Westmoreland	22	53	42%
127	New Kent	3	27	11%	195	Wise	70	177	40%
131	Northampton	23	65	35%	197	Wythe	26	75	35%
133	Northumberland	6	12	50%	199	York/Poquoson	41	94	44%
135	Nottoway	30	92	33%	510	Alexandria	122	258	47%
137	Orange	13	41	32%	520	Bristol	83	172	48%
139	Page	20	34	59%	540	Charlottesville	101	203	50%
141	Patrick	23	46	50%	550	Chesapeake	364	677	54%
143	Pittsylvania	32	87	37%	590	Danville	97	197	49%
145	Powhatan	6	20	30%	620	Franklin City	2	40	5%
147	Prince Edward	31	66	47%	630	Fredericksburg	59	112	53%
149	Prince George	18	41	44%	640	Galax	13	36	36%
153	Prince William	299	746	40%	650	Hampton	383	760	50%
155	Pulaski	39	75	52%	670	Hopewell	17	213	8%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	131	338	39%
159	Richmond County	5	10	50%	683	Manassas	33	90	37%
161	Roanoke County	64	151	42%	685	Manassas Park	9	16	56%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	47	34%	700	Newport News	474	1,044	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67	148	45%	710	Norfolk	693	1,307	53%
167	Russell	34	97	35%	720	Norton	16	32	50%
169	Scott	29	72	40%	730	Petersburg	159	326	49%
171	Shenandoah	6	19	32%	740	Portsmouth	265	613	43%
173	Smyth	48	108	44%	750	Radford	8	37	22%
175	Southampton	20	73	27%	760	Richmond City	663	1,666	40%
177	Spotsylvania	93	241	39%	770	Roanoke City	166	634	26%
179	Stafford	85	172	49%	800	Suffolk	97	177	55%
181	Surry	13	30	43%	810	Virginia Beach	271	573	47%
183	Sussex	8	35	23%	830	Williamsburg	9	23	39%
185	Tazewell	59	122	48%	840	Winchester	33	78	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for June

*These are estimated locality June 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 6,066 and a denominator of 16,581. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	48	25%	061	Fauquier	22	48	46%
003	Albemarle	22	55	40%	063	Floyd	6	20	30%
005	Alleghany/Covington	14	39	36%	065	Fluvanna	4	12	33%
007	Amelia	13	33	39%	067	Franklin County	23	97	24%
009	Amherst	12	29	41%	069	Frederick	0	66	0%
011	Appomattox	22	40	55%	071	Giles	8	21	38%
013	Arlington	51	104	49%	073	Gloucester	12	42	29%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	112	248	45%	075	Goochland	10	29	34%
017	Bath	1	2	50%	077	Grayson	12	19	63%
019	Bedford County/City	31	96	32%	079	Greene	7	20	35%
021	Bland	3	8	38%	081	Greensville/Emporia	19	82	23%
023	Botetourt	4	19	21%	083	Halifax	22	87	25%
025	Brunswick	32	59	54%	085	Hanover	28	68	41%
027	Buchanan	12	45	27%	087	Henrico	272	851	32%
029	Buckingham	7	24	29%	089	Henry/Martinsville	59	232	25%
031	Campbell	44	163	27%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	18	114	16%	093	Isle of Wight	15	43	35%
035	Carroll	26	48	54%	095	James City	17	68	25%
036	Charles City	8	13	62%	097	King and Queen	1	9	11%
037	Charlotte	10	36	28%	099	King George	5	18	28%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	211	508	42%	101	King William	7	28	25%
043	Clarke	3	10	30%	103	Lancaster	7	22	32%
045	Craig	2	6	33%	105	Lee	39	113	35%
047	Culpeper	27	80	34%	107	Loudoun	27	121	22%
049	Cumberland	11	34	32%	109	Louisa	14	41	34%
051	Dickensen	12	33	36%	111	Lunenburg	14	43	33%
053	Dinwiddie	13	45	29%	113	Madison	4	12	33%
057	Essex	20	49	41%	115	Mathews	3	13	23%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	197	599	33%	117	Mecklenburg	24	70	34%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	0	16	0%	187	Warren	23	62	37%
121	Montgomery	80	158	51%	191	Washington	32	77	42%
125	Nelson	2	10	20%	193	Westmoreland	22	49	45%
127	New Kent	3	27	11%	195	Wise	47	153	31%
131	Northampton	17	59	29%	197	Wythe	22	71	31%
133	Northumberland	6	12	50%	199	York/Poquoson	32	85	38%
135	Nottoway	23	85	27%	510	Alexandria	78	214	36%
137	Orange	10	36	28%	520	Bristol	61	150	41%
139	Page	14	28	50%	540	Charlottesville	72	173	42%
141	Patrick	20	43	47%	550	Chesapeake	303	613	49%
143	Pittsylvania	24	79	30%	590	Danville	82	176	47%
145	Powhatan	5	19	26%	620	Franklin City	0	38	0%
147	Prince Edward	25	60	42%	630	Fredericksburg	41	88	47%
149	Prince George	9	32	28%	640	Galax	11	34	32%
153	Prince William	213	652	33%	650	Hampton	319	689	46%
155	Pulaski	30	66	45%	670	Hopewell	10	199	5%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	101	303	33%
159	Richmond County	5	10	50%	683	Manassas	21	77	27%
161	Roanoke County	46	132	35%	685	Manassas Park	4	11	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	43	28%	700	Newport News	367	930	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	42	122	34%	710	Norfolk	602	1,213	50%
167	Russell	29	92	32%	720	Norton	10	26	38%
169	Scott	21	63	33%	730	Petersburg	130	294	44%
171	Shenandoah	5	16	31%	740	Portsmouth	196	542	36%
173	Smyth	40	100	40%	750	Radford	6	35	17%
175	Southampton	16	69	23%	760	Richmond City	543	1,528	36%
177	Spotsylvania	74	218	34%	770	Roanoke City	110	573	19%
179	Stafford	54	140	39%	800	Suffolk	75	153	49%
181	Surry	9	25	36%	810	Virginia Beach	196	495	40%
183	Sussex	8	34	24%	830	Williamsburg	8	22	36%
185	Tazewell	43	106	41%	840	Winchester	25	69	36%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for June*

*These are estimated locality June 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,882 and a denominator of 2,041. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	8	88%	061	Fauquier	7	9	78%
003	Albemarle	13	13	100%	063	Floyd	5	5	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	5	6	83%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	2	5	40%	067	Franklin County	5	5	100%
009	Amherst	4	4	100%	069	Frederick	5	7	71%
011	Appomattox	7	8	88%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	21	24	88%	073	Gloucester	4	4	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	42	42	100%	075	Goochland	2	3	67%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	9	9	100%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	8	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	7	8	88%
025	Brunswick	4	4	100%	085	Hanover	17	19	89%
027	Buchanan	3	4	75%	087	Henrico	72	87	83%
029	Buckingham	3	4	75%	089	Henry/Martinsville	19	20	95%
031	Campbell	20	20	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	7	11	64%	093	Isle of Wight	6	6	100%
035	Carroll	10	10	100%	095	James City	5	7	71%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	2	2	100%
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	57	59	97%	101	King William	0	1	0%
043	Clarke	2	2	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	14	14	100%
047	Culpeper	9	12	75%	107	Loudoun	19	21	90%
049	Cumberland	4	4	100%	109	Louisa	17	17	100%
051	Dickensen	7	8	88%	111	Lunenburg	0	1	0%
053	Dinwiddie	7	7	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	5	5	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	119	120	99%	117	Mecklenburg	11	11	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	10	10	100%
121	Montgomery	27	27	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	4	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	23	24	96%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	9	9	100%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	44	44	100%
137	Orange	3	5	60%	520	Bristol	22	22	100%
139	Page	6	6	100%	540	Charlottesville	29	30	97%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	61	64	95%
143	Pittsylvania	8	8	100%	590	Danville	15	21	71%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	2	2	100%
147	Prince Edward	6	6	100%	630	Fredericksburg	18	24	75%
149	Prince George	9	9	100%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	86	94	91%	650	Hampton	64	71	90%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	7	14	50%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	30	35	86%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	12	13	92%
161	Roanoke County	18	19	95%	685	Manassas Park	5	5	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	107	114	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	25	26	96%	710	Norfolk	91	94	97%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	6	6	100%
169	Scott	8	9	89%	730	Petersburg	29	32	91%
171	Shenandoah	1	3	33%	740	Portsmouth	69	71	97%
173	Smyth	8	8	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	120	138	87%
177	Spotsylvania	19	23	83%	770	Roanoke City	56	61	92%
179	Stafford	31	32	97%	800	Suffolk	22	24	92%
181	Surry	4	5	80%	810	Virginia Beach	75	78	96%
183	Sussex	0	1	0%	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	16	16	100%	840	Winchester	8	9	89%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-11	Jun-10	Change from Jun-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,516	5,649	-2%	4,807	15%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,880	2,661	8%	1,658	74%
Applications Approved	2,358	2,397	-2%	2,820	-16%
Cases Closed *	2,777	2,800	-1%	4,476	-38%
Cases at End of Month	32,685	34,015	-4%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	33,822	35,121	-4%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,777 closed cases, 1,025 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 609 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 236 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 154 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jun-11	Jun-10	Change from Jun-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	490	612	-20%	338	45%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	270	317	-15%	232	16%
Applications Approved	227	236	-4%	81	180%
Cases Closed *	276	258	7%	107	158%
Cases at End of Month	1,989	2,161	-8%	321	520%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,119	2,276	-7%	394	438%

•The database indicates that of the 276 closed cases, 131 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 101 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 30 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 25 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	34,674	1,710	1,081	2,691	948	553	4,062	393	655	1,319	1,510	1,034	5,839	1,152	413	3,468	1,206	6,358	282
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,796	650	512	1,059	380	244	1,672	161	296	624	478	504	2,603	638	175	1,518	632	2,561	89
Part. in a Work Activity	10,824	515	407	728	287	178	1,323	111	255	425	356	372	1,827	412	121	1,125	355	1,977	50
% of Enrolled	73%	79%	79%	69%	76%	73%	79%	69%	86%	68%	74%	74%	70%	65%	69%	74%	56%	77%	56%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	151	5	6	17	0	6	11	4	1	3	4	6	34	10	7	9	9	19	0
Participants Employed	7,206	367	262	554	259	124	813	79	166	273	226	215	1,102	297	85	764	244	1,331	45
% of Enrolled	49%	56%	51%	52%	68%	51%	49%	49%	56%	44%	47%	43%	42%	47%	49%	50%	39%	52%	51%
Full Time	5,615	324	225	468	221	98	605	63	99	194	182	163	788	210	71	606	188	1,081	29
Part Time	1,591	43	37	86	38	26	208	16	67	79	44	52	314	87	14	158	56	250	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$7.64	\$7.68	\$7.92	\$8.08	\$8.25	\$9.53	\$8.32	\$8.51	\$7.97	\$7.83	\$7.79	\$8.25	\$8.50	\$7.99	\$8.12	\$7.97	\$7.95	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,036	\$1,033	\$1,080	\$1,115	\$1,101	\$1,242	\$1,112	\$1,023	\$1,025	\$1,047	\$1,027	\$1,069	\$1,088	\$1,097	\$1,085	\$1,066	\$1,035	\$1,037

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	32,584	1,542	1,209	2,823	1,068	673	3,577	401	674	1,234	1,463	1,056	4,825	1,210	438	3,308	1,048	5,745	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW	37,853	1,674	1,398	3,110	1,117	742	4,270	413	807	1,522	1,587	1,259	5,706	1,495	501	3,882	1,400	6,667	303
Part. in a Work Activity	33,776	1,543	1,284	2,711	1,060	622	3,877	362	776	1,338	1,474	1,132	5,070	1,269	425	3,402	1,184	5,977	270
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	92%	87%	95%	84%	91%	88%	96%	88%	93%	90%	89%	85%	85%	88%	85%	90%	89%
Participants Employed	19,321	915	703	1,595	699	369	2,241	226	472	773	704	570	2,693	783	252	2,006	666	3,509	145
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	50%	51%	63%	50%	52%	55%	58%	51%	44%	45%	47%	52%	50%	52%	48%	53%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$7.66	\$7.77	\$7.98	\$8.14	\$8.49	\$9.91	\$8.60	\$8.52	\$8.01	\$7.86	\$7.96	\$8.38	\$8.57	\$8.00	\$8.19	\$8.15	\$8.04	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,085	\$1,029	\$1,035	\$1,061	\$1,081	\$1,120	\$1,294	\$1,104	\$1,022	\$1,043	\$1,033	\$1,010	\$1,087	\$1,071	\$1,020	\$1,058	\$1,067	\$1,043	\$1,076
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	74%	64%	66%	71%	63%	75%	74%	70%	66%	60%	69%	74%	78%	62%	73%	68%	74%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED10	Total ED11	Total ED12	Total ED13	Total ED14	Total ED15	Total ED16	Total ED17	Total ED18
Individuals Referred	257,989	16,285	9,739	19,202	7,505	4,899	32,117	3,658	5,564	9,696	12,088	7,408	34,422	7,740	3,366	24,376	8,731	48,847	2,346
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	196,690	12,455	8,184	14,576	5,705	3,610	24,652	2,751	4,261	7,977	9,663	5,680	26,776	5,658	2,483	18,148	6,809	35,585	1,717
Part. in a Work Activity	188,094	11,975	7,829	13,905	5,563	3,418	23,811	2,650	4,157	7,539	9,347	5,427	25,715	5,348	2,316	17,263	6,492	33,684	1,655
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	95%	93%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	134,036	7,847	5,339	9,995	4,010	2,378	17,438	1,861	3,211	5,475	6,005	3,444	18,206	3,782	1,573	12,770	4,659	25,068	975
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	69%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	69%	62%	61%	68%	67%	63%	70%	68%	70%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$6.15	\$6.25	\$7.02	\$7.08	\$7.28	\$8.59	\$7.39	\$7.34	\$6.51	\$6.35	\$6.67	\$7.29	\$7.84	\$6.92	\$6.95	\$6.93	\$6.80	\$6.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$939	\$811	\$848	\$945	\$942	\$977	\$1,142	\$975	\$943	\$848	\$848	\$869	\$981	\$1,014	\$887	\$912	\$937	\$891	\$838
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	57%	51%	50%	56%	52%	63%	54%	55%	57%	53%	55%	59%	55%	46%	56%	54%	57%	61%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,710	150	98	304	235	188	263	422	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	650	23	40	134	102	97	101	131	22
Participants in a Work Activity	515	21	25	110	82	74	94	91	18
% of Enrolled	79%	91%	63%	82%	80%	76%	93%	69%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	367	8	21	81	44	58	57	80	18
% of Enrolled	56%	35%	53%	60%	43%	60%	56%	61%	82%
Full Time	324	7	18	69	39	48	52	75	16
Part Time	43	1	3	12	5	10	5	5	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.64	\$7.56	\$7.68	\$7.57	\$7.53	\$7.80	\$7.90	\$7.59	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$957	\$1,060	\$991	\$993	\$1,040	\$1,127	\$1,060	\$933

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,542	82	85	266	210	186	278	391	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,674	96	89	301	247	218	270	403	50
Participants in a Work Activity	1,543	89	79	284	226	210	255	355	45
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	89%	94%	91%	96%	94%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	915	44	48	175	119	119	141	230	39
% of Total Enrolled	55%	46%	54%	58%	48%	55%	52%	57%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.66	\$8.15	\$7.63	\$7.55	\$7.68	\$7.67	\$7.76	\$7.66	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$1,169	\$1,064	\$996	\$973	\$1,009	\$1,086	\$1,049	\$919
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	50%	79%	73%	71%	89%	74%	73%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,285	1,613	1,152	2,282	2,235	1,580	3,118	3,782	523
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,455	1,230	886	1,724	1,792	1,138	2,384	2,889	412
Participants in a Work Activity	11,975	1,150	837	1,703	1,705	1,117	2,297	2,784	382
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,847	647	532	1,126	1,109	667	1,606	1,848	312
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	62%	59%	67%	64%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.15	\$6.35	\$6.21	\$5.88	\$6.36	\$6.45	\$6.07	\$6.15	\$5.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$811	\$832	\$819	\$777	\$847	\$876	\$801	\$805	\$738
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	54%	48%	60%	57%	65%	57%	57%	60%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,081	18	124	42	196	202	132	290	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	512	5	58	20	98	63	58	176	34
Participants in a Work Activity	407	4	50	19	80	46	46	138	24
% of Enrolled	79%	80%	86%	95%	82%	73%	79%	78%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
Participants Employed	262	2	37	11	52	35	34	74	17
% of Enrolled	51%	40%	64%	55%	53%	56%	59%	42%	50%
Full Time	225	2	33	9	47	31	29	61	13
Part Time	37	0	4	2	5	4	5	13	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.68	\$8.00	\$7.63	\$7.61	\$8.05	\$7.50	\$7.59	\$7.55	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,033	\$1,212	\$1,036	\$960	\$1,142	\$999	\$971	\$994	\$1,087

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,209	24	116	43	248	206	149	346	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,398	23	149	46	273	248	150	402	107
Participants in a Work Activity	1,284	22	142	43	257	232	148	350	90
% of Total Enrolled	92%	96%	95%	93%	94%	94%	99%	87%	84%
Participants Employed	703	10	99	27	119	115	87	195	51
% of Total Enrolled	50%	43%	66%	59%	44%	46%	58%	49%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.51	\$7.63	\$7.85	\$7.92	\$7.58	\$7.82	\$7.83	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,035	\$940	\$998	\$1,001	\$1,120	\$1,004	\$1,009	\$1,025	\$1,124
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	75%	67%	67%	72%	59%	60%	60%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,739	243	1,311	629	1,683	1,678	1,485	2,020	690
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,184	186	1,081	513	1,407	1,399	1,188	1,808	602
Participants in a Work Activity	7,829	175	992	477	1,352	1,361	1,167	1,735	570
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,339	114	728	340	832	908	790	1,249	378
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	66%	59%	65%	66%	69%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.25	\$6.12	\$6.06	\$6.30	\$6.03	\$6.50	\$6.26	\$6.31	\$6.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$848	\$835	\$819	\$839	\$828	\$883	\$829	\$861	\$879
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	51%	48%	46%	55%	52%	48%	56%	49%	59%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,691	94	57	11	47	258	50	366	189	299	70	1,250
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1059	41	25	4	9	108	17	172	59	143	26	455
Participants in a Work Activity	728	35	8	2	7	75	12	136	47	115	16	275
% of Enrolled	69%	85%	32%	50%	78%	69%	71%	79%	80%	80%	62%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	4	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	1	1
Participants Employed	554	28	8	1	6	40	9	124	32	81	13	212
% of Enrolled	52%	68%	32%	25%	67%	37%	53%	72%	54%	57%	50%	47%
Full Time	468	22	8	1	6	32	9	102	30	68	13	177
Part Time	86	6	0	0	0	8	0	22	2	13	0	35
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$7.66	\$7.72	\$7.25	\$7.92	\$7.96	\$7.69	\$7.93	\$7.45	\$7.99	\$8.02	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,010	\$1,079	\$1,099	\$1,153	\$1,097	\$1,127	\$1,056	\$1,010	\$1,082	\$1,120	\$1,104

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,823	94	25	14	52	287	57	411	207	272	83	1,321
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,110	161	35	15	56	315	60	485	210	362	93	1318
Participants in a Work Activity	2,711	138	19	14	50	257	58	449	197	319	79	1131
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	54%	93%	89%	82%	97%	93%	94%	88%	85%	86%
Participants Employed	1,595	73	8	5	31	147	33	327	105	218	52	596
% of Total Enrolled	51%	45%	23%	33%	55%	47%	55%	67%	50%	60%	56%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$7.76	\$7.72	\$7.54	\$7.59	\$8.05	\$7.75	\$7.87	\$7.73	\$8.16	\$7.96	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,061	\$953	\$1,072	\$1,028	\$986	\$1,024	\$1,070	\$1,034	\$1,042	\$1,083	\$1,000	\$1,103
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	49%	100%	100%	47%	66%	57%	65%	64%	64%	67%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,202	1,112	320	79	480	1,683	488	2,743	1,674	2,086	750	7,787
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,576	837	200	38	341	1,265	348	2,231	1,318	1,664	576	5,758
Participants in a Work Activity	13,905	804	176	37	331	1,126	342	2,202	1,272	1,572	557	5,486
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	97%	97%	89%	98%	99%	97%	94%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	9,995	598	90	20	235	795	206	1,778	848	1,233	406	3,786
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	45%	53%	69%	63%	59%	80%	64%	74%	70%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.02	\$6.81	\$7.23	\$7.06	\$6.88	\$6.89	\$7.00	\$6.89	\$6.72	\$7.51	\$6.94	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$945	\$827	\$924	\$1,028	\$924	\$939	\$949	\$929	\$931	\$1,030	\$900	\$960
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	50%	45%	40%	61%	44%	50%	47%	53%	44%	53%	45%	51%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	948	566	8	1	83	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	380	226	3	1	30	120
Participants in a Work Activity	287	163	2	1	26	95
% of Enrolled	76%	72%	67%	N/A	87%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	259	149	2	1	20	87
% of Enrolled	68%	66%	67%	N/A	67%	73%
Full Time	221	122	2	1	14	82
Part Time	38	27	0	0	6	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$7.90	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.79	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,115	\$1,057	\$1,234	\$1,386	\$976	\$1,240

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	1,068	605	6	2	85	370
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,117	641	7	1	94	374
Participants in a Work Activity	1,060	604	7	1	85	363
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	100%	100%	90%	97%
Participants Employed	699	385	5	1	60	248
% of Total Enrolled	63%	60%	71%	100%	64%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.14	\$7.95	\$6.00	\$8.00	\$7.99	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,048	\$746	\$1,376	\$1,024	\$1,148
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	71%	100%	N/A	70%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,505	4,027	74	18	670	2,716
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	5,705	3,113	46	8	496	2,042
Participants in a Work Activity	5,563	3,053	46	8	467	1,989
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	4,010	2,106	30	6	363	1,505
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	65%	75%	73%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.08	\$7.07	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.69	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$942	\$948	\$698	\$784	\$862	\$958
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	55%	57%	60%	55%	58%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	553	18	171	73	40	107	144	282	179	103
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	244	8	74	31	13	61	57	89	36	53
Participants in a Work Activity	178	4	41	28	12	45	48	50	13	37
% of Enrolled	73%	50%	55%	90%	92%	74%	84%	56%	36%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	124	4	34	21	5	29	31	45	12	33
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	46%	68%	38%	48%	54%	51%	33%	62%
Full Time	98	4	27	18	4	22	23	29	9	20
Part Time	26	0	7	3	1	7	8	16	3	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.25	\$9.13	\$8.65	\$7.96	\$7.36	\$8.59	\$7.72	\$7.94	\$7.68	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,101	\$1,271	\$1,134	\$1,120	\$959	\$1,124	\$1,033	\$1,037	\$1,078	\$1,022

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	673	20	178	102	77	146	150	290	184	106
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	742	21	193	116	55	179	178	303	182	121
Participants in a Work Activity	622	17	165	102	50	138	150	270	162	108
% of Total Enrolled	84%	81%	85%	88%	91%	77%	84%	89%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	369	12	84	64	23	84	102	145	73	72
% of Total Enrolled	50%	57%	44%	55%	42%	47%	57%	48%	40%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.49	\$8.59	\$9.00	\$8.26	\$8.27	\$8.84	\$8.04	\$8.21	\$8.27	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,120	\$1,153	\$1,206	\$1,114	\$1,056	\$1,200	\$1,013	\$1,076	\$1,064	\$1,087
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	63%	70%	52%	67%	72%	53%	68%	53%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,899	190	1010	761	604	1184	1150	2,346	1478	868
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,610	115	735	617	362	883	898	1,717	1056	661
Participants in a Work Activity	3,418	108	690	599	351	817	853	1655	1030	625
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	94%	97%	97%	93%	95%	96%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,378	70	427	432	225	606	618	975	551	424
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	70%	62%	69%	69%	57%	52%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.28	\$7.40	\$7.62	\$7.28	\$6.64	\$7.35	\$7.19	\$6.63	\$6.68	\$6.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$977	\$980	\$997	\$986	\$912	\$998	\$957	\$838	\$842	\$833
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	52%	48%	52%	54%	52%	55%	49%	61%	57%	67%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,062	290	1,513	243	1,302	463	211	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,672	102	525	160	631	181	67	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,323	76	464	118	474	143	42	6
% of Enrolled	79%	75%	88%	74%	75%	79%	63%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	6	0	0	5	0	0
Participants Employed	813	46	272	76	309	81	23	6
% of Enrolled	49%	45%	52%	48%	49%	45%	34%	100%
Full Time	605	28	213	45	236	60	18	5
Part Time	208	18	59	31	73	21	5	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.53	\$10.02	\$9.78	\$10.14	\$9.11	\$9.74	\$8.63	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,242	\$1,168	\$1,346	\$1,249	\$1,164	\$1,249	\$1,190	\$1,110

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,577	220	1,241	247	1,274	403	160	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,270	275	1,500	332	1,488	457	197	21
Participants in a Work Activity	3,877	262	1,381	292	1,324	414	183	21
% of Total Enrolled	91%	95%	92%	88%	89%	91%	93%	100%
Participants Employed	2,241	144	783	184	800	242	76	12
% of Total Enrolled	52%	52%	52%	55%	54%	53%	39%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.91	\$10.60	\$10.29	\$10.40	\$9.39	\$10.08	\$9.04	\$9.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,294	\$1,328	\$1,375	\$1,305	\$1,212	\$1,334	\$1,210	\$1,320
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	77%	72%	83%	75%	82%	74%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	32,117	2,765	11,293	2,243	10,325	3,702	1,382	407
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	24,652	2,150	8,467	1,777	7,975	2,924	1,061	298
Participants in a Work Activity	23,811	2,129	8,176	1,700	7,682	2,798	1,030	296
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,438	1,564	5,732	1,379	5,792	2,069	683	219
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	71%	64%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.59	\$8.17	\$8.59	\$9.12	\$8.72	\$8.29	\$8.08	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,142	\$1,059	\$1,137	\$1,180	\$1,190	\$1,063	\$1,090	\$1,075
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	61%	65%	62%	70%	60%	64%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	393	174	103	20	89	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	161	75	42	8	34	2
Participants in a Work Activity	111	46	34	7	24	0
% of Enrolled	69%	61%	81%	88%	71%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	79	35	20	6	18	0
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	48%	75%	53%	0%
Full Time	63	27	18	5	13	N/A
Part Time	16	8	2	1	5	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.32	\$8.37	\$8.15	\$7.58	\$8.66	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,112	\$1,071	\$1,117	\$1,041	\$1,209	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	401	179	124	33	58	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	413	164	128	36	81	4
Participants in a Work Activity	362	136	117	35	71	3
% of Total Enrolled	88%	83%	91%	97%	88%	75%
Participants Employed	226	84	72	16	53	1
% of Total Enrolled	55%	51%	56%	44%	65%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.60	\$8.10	\$8.93	\$8.37	\$8.98	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$993	\$1,187	\$1,076	\$1,181	\$774
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	77%	66%	88%	79%	0%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,658	1,407	1080	341	737	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,751	1010	831	251	598	61
Participants in a Work Activity	2,650	968	821	244	559	58
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,861	661	565	162	434	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	65%	73%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.39	\$7.34	\$7.73	\$7.12	\$7.15	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$975	\$952	\$1,011	\$990	\$961	\$954
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	56%	62%	47%	44%	47%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	655	138	41	43	94	33	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	296	45	10	22	40	10	169
Participants in a Work Activity	255	41	8	17	29	7	153
% of Enrolled	86%	91%	80%	77%	73%	70%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	166	30	4	16	26	5	85
% of Enrolled	56%	67%	40%	73%	65%	50%	50%
Full Time	99	22	4	7	16	5	45
Part Time	67	8	0	9	10	0	40
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.51	\$8.98	\$7.19	\$8.51	\$8.73	\$7.81	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,023	\$1,185	\$1,093	\$967	\$1,202	\$1,077	\$915

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	674	125	41	54	116	33	305
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	807	131	38	59	132	33	414
Participants in a Work Activity	776	127	38	53	118	33	407
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	100%	90%	89%	100%	98%
Participants Employed	472	74	19	38	70	17	254
% of Total Enrolled	58%	56%	50%	64%	53%	52%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.52	\$8.71	\$7.85	\$8.49	\$8.87	\$7.99	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,022	\$1,113	\$1,028	\$996	\$1,180	\$1,007	\$959
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	78%	64%	76%	76%	64%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,564	1292	217	438	863	274	2,480
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,261	921	144	311	629	189	2,067
Participants in a Work Activity	4,157	899	139	292	601	188	2,038
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,211	674	101	238	389	121	1,688
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	70%	77%	62%	64%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.34	\$7.74	\$7.44	\$7.30	\$7.48	\$7.59	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$1,001	\$1,069	\$915	\$974	\$996	\$920
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	55%	56%	51%	53%	50%	56%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,319	83	89	217	300	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	624	27	47	100	193	257
Participants in a Work Activity	425	16	36	77	127	169
% of Enrolled	68%	59%	77%	77%	66%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	1	0	1
Participants Employed	273	13	28	44	77	111
% of Enrolled	44%	48%	60%	44%	40%	43%
Full Time	194	11	28	35	51	69
Part Time	79	2	0	9	26	42
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.64	\$7.66	\$8.02	\$8.27	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,025	\$1,019	\$1,139	\$1,007	\$1,084	\$963

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,234	91	71	251	250	571
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,522	104	95	278	404	641
Participants in a Work Activity	1,338	91	89	236	367	555
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	94%	85%	91%	87%
Participants Employed	773	57	57	131	221	307
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	60%	47%	55%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.01	\$7.74	\$7.77	\$8.31	\$8.12	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,035	\$1,167	\$1,073	\$1,046	\$1,006
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	52%	73%	58%	70%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,696	900	763	1,963	2,243	3,827
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	7,977	721	663	1,564	1,906	3,123
Participants in a Work Activity	7,539	685	651	1,454	1,793	2,956
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	5,475	483	468	1,020	1,304	2,200
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.51	\$6.70	\$6.21	\$6.58	\$6.72	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$848	\$857	\$859	\$847	\$889	\$823
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	50%	44%	54%	59%	61%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,510	233	495	103	225	454
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	478	66	169	72	52	119
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	356	37	124	65	38	92
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	74%	56%	73%	90%	73%	77%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	4	2	0	1	0	1
<b>Participants Employed</b>	226	26	76	40	29	55
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	47%	39%	45%	56%	56%	46%
Full Time	182	22	62	30	23	45
Part Time	44	4	14	10	6	10
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.83	\$7.99	\$7.89	\$7.64	\$8.27	\$7.55
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,047	\$1,107	\$1,099	\$998	\$1,100	\$952

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	1,463	237	491	124	201	410
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	1,587	235	571	165	188	428
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	1,474	216	535	150	174	399
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	93%	92%	94%	91%	93%	93%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	704	85	279	94	80	166
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	44%	36%	49%	57%	43%	39%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.86	\$8.10	\$7.96	\$7.38	\$7.92	\$7.84
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,033	\$1,059	\$1,062	\$961	\$1,010	\$1,021
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	60%	58%	63%	69%	61%	54%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	12,088	1,882	3,249	1,182	1,954	3,821
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	9,663	1,552	2,457	1,027	1,491	3,136
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	9,347	1,496	2,357	967	1,467	3,060
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,005	889	1,564	690	820	2,042
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	62%	57%	64%	67%	55%	65%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.35	\$6.31	\$6.75	\$6.25	\$6.34	\$6.11
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$848	\$833	\$935	\$816	\$860	\$797
<b>Five Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	53%	48%	54%	64%	50%	52%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,034	79	120	59	77	58	131	81	160	136	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	504	28	52	29	31	34	73	38	68	82	69
Participants in a Work Activity	372	21	30	24	26	29	60	30	54	55	43
% of Enrolled	74%	75%	58%	83%	84%	85%	82%	79%	79%	67%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	215	12	25	15	13	12	21	14	32	45	26
% of Enrolled	43%	43%	48%	52%	42%	35%	29%	37%	47%	55%	38%
Full Time	163	10	16	15	12	9	12	7	22	39	21
Part Time	52	2	9	0	1	3	9	7	10	6	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.79	\$7.92	\$7.35	\$7.66	\$8.73	\$8.28	\$7.48	\$7.80	\$7.79	\$7.74	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,021	\$897	\$1,116	\$1,214	\$1,110	\$889	\$822	\$1,061	\$1,062	\$1,093

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	1,056	79	106	77	84	62	132	64	164	133	155
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,259	92	126	83	95	77	179	77	183	165	182
Participants in a Work Activity	1,132	82	117	76	85	71	160	66	175	133	167
% of Total Enrolled	90%	89%	93%	92%	89%	92%	89%	86%	96%	81%	92%
Participants Employed	570	45	55	35	39	34	66	27	95	91	83
% of Total Enrolled	45%	49%	44%	42%	41%	44%	37%	35%	52%	55%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.54	\$7.40	\$7.58	\$8.36	\$8.59	\$7.68	\$7.45	\$8.09	\$7.95	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,010	\$1,097	\$872	\$1,087	\$1,112	\$1,076	\$843	\$838	\$1,018	\$1,079	\$1,055
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	74%	62%	71%	57%	61%	47%	71%	82%	69%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Notto-way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,408	467	942	735	629	506	874	321	1078	880	976
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,680	337	745	547	450	363	687	245	845	673	788
Participants in a Work Activity	5,427	319	741	513	418	347	656	240	809	620	764
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	93%	96%	95%	98%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	3,444	202	421	310	231	205	395	115	559	456	550
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	56%	57%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.67	\$7.37	\$6.15	\$6.95	\$7.14	\$7.17	\$6.62	\$6.05	\$6.47	\$6.66	\$6.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$869	\$960	\$815	\$935	\$925	\$949	\$809	\$741	\$834	\$889	\$873
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	49%	57%	52%	47%	47%	53%	51%	57%	56%	59%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,839	1099	50	149	1,651	55	2,835
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,603	460	24	65	907	20	1,127
Participants in a Work Activity	1,827	334	16	49	568	15	845
% of Enrolled	70%	73%	67%	75%	63%	75%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	34	6	1	0	20	1	6
Participants Employed	1,102	230	8	37	394	9	424
% of Enrolled	42%	50%	33%	57%	43%	45%	38%
Full Time	788	181	5	25	268	7	302
Part Time	314	49	3	12	126	2	122
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.25	\$8.34	\$8.87	\$8.63	\$8.30	\$10.40	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$1,110	\$1,179	\$1,100	\$1,071	\$1,481	\$1,032

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	4,825	997	43	172	1,291	57	2,265
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	5,706	1086	46	203	1,681	56	2,634
Participants in a Work Activity	5,070	967	38	173	1,471	46	2,375
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	83%	85%	88%	82%	90%
Participants Employed	2,693	531	21	119	853	23	1,146
% of Total Enrolled	47%	49%	46%	59%	51%	41%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$8.54	\$8.73	\$8.50	\$8.50	\$9.46	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,087	\$1,134	\$1,163	\$1,020	\$1,103	\$1,171	\$1,058
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	78%	75%	72%	79%	64%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	34,422	7,023	252	1158	8,419	322	17,248
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	26,776	5,299	185	828	6,751	228	13,485
Participants in a Work Activity	25,715	5,118	174	765	6,371	211	13,076
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	92%	94%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,206	3,415	119	542	4,526	130	9,474
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	64%	65%	67%	57%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$7.54	\$6.91	\$8.17	\$7.58	\$7.81	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$1,014	\$895	\$1,046	\$1,016	\$968	\$953
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	59%	60%	53%	62%	57%	52%	60%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,152	200	58	414	292	188
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	638	100	17	260	144	117
Participants in a Work Activity	412	50	14	157	99	92
% of Enrolled	65%	50%	82%	60%	69%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	5	1	3	0	1
Participants Employed	297	39	11	116	64	67
% of Enrolled	47%	39%	65%	45%	44%	57%
Full Time	210	31	6	93	39	41
Part Time	87	8	5	23	25	26
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.50	\$8.38	\$8.38	\$8.30	\$8.95	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,088	\$1,121	\$989	\$1,097	\$1,070	\$1,087

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,210	190	63	431	304	222
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,495	209	57	554	376	299
Participants in a Work Activity	1,269	175	49	451	324	270
% of Total Enrolled	85%	84%	86%	81%	86%	90%
Participants Employed	783	103	20	304	179	177
% of Total Enrolled	52%	49%	35%	55%	48%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.57	\$8.33	\$8.43	\$8.88	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,071	\$1,055	\$994	\$1,062	\$1,111	\$1,062
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	69%	70%	78%	86%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,740	1,207	473	2,307	2,232	1,521
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,658	834	316	1,822	1,405	1,281
Participants in a Work Activity	5,348	790	301	1,663	1,354	1,240
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	91%	96%	97%
Participants Employed	3,782	518	200	1,252	836	976
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	63%	69%	60%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$7.53	\$7.31	\$7.93	\$8.37	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,014	\$956	\$916	\$1,026	\$1,117	\$981
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	55%	44%	48%	56%	58%	56%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	413	88	22	48	55	21	33	27	36	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	175	48	2	16	18	10	21	14	10	36
Participants in a Work Activity	121	36	1	15	11	4	8	12	9	25
% of Enrolled	69%	75%	50%	94%	61%	40%	38%	86%	90%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Participants Employed	85	28	1	8	11	3	8	5	5	16
% of Enrolled	49%	58%	50%	50%	61%	30%	38%	36%	50%	44%
Full Time	71	24	1	6	9	1	5	5	5	15
Part Time	14	4	0	2	2	2	3	0	0	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$8.11	\$8.00	\$7.79	\$7.91	\$7.67	\$8.15	\$7.94	\$7.91	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,214	\$1,212	\$1,038	\$1,077	\$717	\$991	\$1,179	\$1,084	\$1,031

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	438	78	29	50	37	24	47	38	31	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	501	105	20	70	44	33	53	36	29	111
Participants in a Work Activity	425	99	19	63	38	27	38	32	28	81
% of Total Enrolled	85%	94%	95%	90%	86%	82%	72%	89%	97%	73%
Participants Employed	252	59	11	33	19	20	27	16	15	52
% of Total Enrolled	50%	56%	55%	47%	43%	61%	51%	44%	52%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.30	\$7.32	\$7.92	\$8.04	\$7.92	\$7.59	\$7.92	\$7.90	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,020	\$1,052	\$847	\$975	\$1,053	\$1,017	\$996	\$1,023	\$995	\$1,047
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	70%	75%	79%	79%	55%	67%	67%	22%	48%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,366	505	255	298	380	191	386	297	307	747
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,483	400	168	239	298	128	280	242	180	548
Participants in a Work Activity	2,316	382	159	228	278	116	251	234	175	493
% of Total Enrolled	93%	96%	95%	95%	93%	91%	90%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,573	276	90	142	196	80	185	141	112	351
% of Total Enrolled	63%	69%	54%	59%	66%	63%	66%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$6.96	\$6.97	\$7.31	\$6.68	\$6.93	\$6.92	\$6.58	\$6.92	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$887	\$858	\$871	\$964	\$841	\$879	\$896	\$849	\$927	\$914
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	46%	42%	33%	48%	49%	54%	57%	39%	34%	50%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,468	31	89	146	50	131	1,237	1,742	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,518	13	25	53	24	86	528	769	20
Participants in a Work Activity	1,125	11	21	41	14	59	411	558	10
% of Enrolled	74%	85%	84%	77%	58%	69%	78%	73%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	0
Participants Employed	764	7	19	33	11	37	260	387	10
% of Enrolled	50%	54%	76%	62%	46%	43%	49%	50%	50%
Full Time	606	6	13	22	8	20	198	330	9
Part Time	158	1	6	11	3	17	62	57	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$7.87	\$7.74	\$8.37	\$8.30	\$8.24	\$8.07	\$8.12	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,085	\$1,116	\$1,038	\$1,129	\$1,173	\$1,031	\$1,038	\$1,111	\$1,352

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	3,308	31	109	141	44	147	1,131	1,666	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,882	29	113	164	44	204	1,286	1,988	54
Participants in a Work Activity	3,402	28	102	147	40	172	1,176	1,692	45
% of Total Enrolled	88%	97%	90%	90%	91%	84%	91%	85%	83%
Participants Employed	2,006	14	66	93	13	109	668	1,009	34
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	58%	57%	30%	53%	52%	51%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$8.37	\$7.95	\$8.58	\$8.27	\$8.46	\$8.16	\$8.16	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,058	\$1,225	\$952	\$1,093	\$1,139	\$1,044	\$1,026	\$1,081	\$1,066
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	75%	54%	75%	80%	74%	75%	72%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	24,376	155	1004	1002	301	983	8,505	12,077	349
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,148	112	703	782	216	733	6,194	9,152	256
Participants in a Work Activity	17,263	109	652	726	207	697	6,034	8,599	239
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	93%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,770	61	469	547	128	462	4,352	6,567	184
% of Total Enrolled	70%	54%	67%	70%	59%	63%	70%	72%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.95	\$7.00	\$6.79	\$7.33	\$7.45	\$7.13	\$6.95	\$6.91	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$912	\$919	\$841	\$899	\$1,014	\$890	\$907	\$921	\$907
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	56%	48%	53%	51%	52%	48%	53%	58%	51%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,206	135	76	53	76	304	562
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	632	25	34	38	32	223	280
Participants in a Work Activity	355	21	23	21	25	81	184
% of Enrolled	56%	84%	68%	55%	78%	36%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	4	1	1	1	2
Participants Employed	244	16	12	20	18	69	109
% of Enrolled	39%	64%	35%	53%	56%	31%	39%
Full Time	188	13	9	12	17	48	89
Part Time	56	3	3	8	1	21	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.63	\$8.50	\$8.36	\$7.98	\$7.90	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,047	\$1,189	\$999	\$1,200	\$986	\$1,096

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	1,048	121	69	41	75	250	492
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,400	84	94	71	103	375	673
Participants in a Work Activity	1,184	82	76	70	93	280	583
% of Total Enrolled	85%	98%	81%	99%	90%	75%	87%
Participants Employed	666	46	50	44	48	147	331
% of Total Enrolled	48%	55%	53%	62%	47%	39%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$7.82	\$8.64	\$8.62	\$8.15	\$7.94	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$1,148	\$1,108	\$1,019	\$1,091	\$982	\$1,087
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	64%	61%	65%	59%	69%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,731	1115	761	339	693	2,175	3,648
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,809	759	568	279	551	1,739	2,913
Participants in a Work Activity	6,492	756	497	266	535	1,660	2,778
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,659	504	353	199	366	1,187	2,050
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	62%	71%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.93	\$6.86	\$7.41	\$7.17	\$6.92	\$6.79	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$937	\$952	\$990	\$871	\$946	\$911	\$945
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	54%	51%	50%	47%	57%	50%	58%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through June 30, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/11 and Work Activity as of 6/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,358	142	133	1,092	111	2,214	1,191	398	1,077
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,561	38	55	478	19	988	442	136	405
Participants in a Work Activity	1,977	29	35	405	11	763	336	103	295
% of Enrolled	77%	76%	64%	85%	58%	77%	76%	76%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	4	0	0	2	8	0	5
Participants Employed	1,331	24	22	291	6	509	210	78	191
% of Enrolled	52%	63%	40%	61%	32%	52%	48%	57%	47%
Full Time	1,081	23	8	231	3	442	161	67	146
Part Time	250	1	14	60	3	67	49	11	45
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.11	\$7.95	\$8.03	\$8.21	\$7.83	\$7.96	\$7.71	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,035	\$1,142	\$913	\$1,063	\$940	\$999	\$1,054	\$1,053	\$1,068

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	5,745	132	116	964	105	1,895	977	345	1,211
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	6,667	145	142	1,222	87	2,298	1,213	385	1,175
Participants in a Work Activity	5,977	126	128	1,137	87	2,023	1,097	368	1,011
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	90%	93%	100%	88%	90%	96%	86%
Participants Employed	3,509	77	53	741	33	1,226	587	225	567
% of Total Enrolled	53%	53%	37%	61%	38%	53%	48%	58%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.14	\$7.91	\$8.17	\$8.06	\$7.86	\$8.07	\$8.04	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,056	\$934	\$1,096	\$964	\$1,004	\$1,045	\$1,042	\$1,073
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	56%	71%	80%	38%	74%	73%	64%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	48,847	1101	938	7,835	767	15,763	7,881	3,101	11,461
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3, 5</sup>	35,585	787	745	5,702	598	11,351	6,211	2,419	7,772
Participants in a Work Activity	33,684	756	713	5,437	587	10,741	5,857	2,389	7,204
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,068	552	433	4,186	396	8,002	4,513	1,800	5,186
% of Total Enrolled	70%	70%	58%	73%	66%	70%	73%	74%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.80	\$6.84	\$6.62	\$6.97	\$6.42	\$6.68	\$6.69	\$6.64	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$891	\$906	\$823	\$922	\$803	\$856	\$898	\$866	\$947
Five Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	54%	45%	56%	53%	56%	61%	58%	57%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	40.1%	2.24	107	\$8.27	4.93	40	53.3%	5.33	108	4.17	108
003	Albemarle	56.5%	4.36	32	\$8.71	5.89	17	78.1%	7.81	19	6.02	11
005	Alleghany/Covington	45.3%	2.91	91	\$7.76	3.83	96	48.8%	4.88	113	3.87	115
007	Amelia	48.9%	3.38	70	\$8.54	5.52	24	74.1%	7.41	36	5.44	37
009	Amherst	54.8%	4.14	43	\$7.74	3.78	99	51.7%	5.17	111	4.37	104
011	Appomattox	60.0%	4.81	17	\$7.77	3.85	95	73.1%	7.31	41	5.32	44
013	Arlington	52.4%	3.82	55	\$10.60	10.00	1	76.7%	7.67	23	7.16	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	60.1%	4.82	16	\$7.95	4.24	74	70.6%	7.06	56	5.37	41
017	Bath	71.4%	6.30	3	\$6.00	0.00	120	100.0%	10.00	1	5.43	38
019	Bedford County/City	47.1%	3.15	82	\$8.31	5.02	38	57.6%	5.76	101	4.64	87
021	Bland	43.5%	2.67	100	\$7.51	3.28	114	75.0%	7.50	28	4.49	99
023	Botetourt	22.9%	0.00	120	\$7.72	3.74	101	100.0%	10.00	2	4.58	93
025	Brunswick	43.7%	2.70	96	\$7.40	3.04	116	61.5%	6.15	92	3.96	113
027	Buchanan	45.8%	2.98	88	\$8.15	4.67	52	50.0%	5.00	112	4.22	107
029	Buckingham	42.2%	2.50	103	\$7.58	3.43	110	71.4%	7.14	51	4.36	105
031	Campbell	54.7%	4.13	45	\$8.12	4.61	55	69.9%	6.99	62	5.24	51
033	Caroline	49.3%	3.43	68	\$8.57	5.59	23	69.2%	6.92	64	5.31	48
035	Carroll	66.4%	5.65	5	\$7.63	3.54	106	66.7%	6.67	69	5.29	49
036	Charles City	48.3%	3.30	75	\$8.37	5.15	34	75.0%	7.50	29	5.32	47
037	Charlotte	41.1%	2.36	106	\$8.36	5.13	36	57.1%	5.71	102	4.40	102
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	48.9%	3.38	71	\$8.54	5.52	25	77.7%	7.77	21	5.55	30
043	Clarke	57.1%	4.44	27	\$8.59	5.63	20	62.5%	6.25	91	5.44	35
045	Craig	33.3%	1.36	117	\$7.54	3.35	113	100.0%	10.00	3	4.90	68
047	Culpeper	51.2%	3.68	62	\$8.10	4.57	57	77.1%	7.71	22	5.32	46
049	Cumberland	44.2%	2.76	95	\$8.59	5.63	21	61.1%	6.11	93	4.83	73
051	Dickensen	53.9%	4.03	47	\$7.63	3.54	107	79.2%	7.92	15	5.16	54
053	Dimwiddie	54.8%	4.14	44	\$7.82	3.96	93	64.3%	6.43	84	4.84	72
057	Essex	56.2%	4.32	34	\$8.30	5.00	39	70.0%	7.00	59	5.44	36
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	52.2%	3.80	57	\$10.29	9.33	3	71.9%	7.19	49	6.77	5
061	Fauquier	56.3%	4.33	33	\$8.93	6.37	12	65.8%	6.58	80	5.76	18
063	Floyd	55.4%	4.21	37	\$7.59	3.46	108	47.1%	4.71	115	4.13	110
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	3.52	66	\$7.85	4.02	88	63.6%	6.36	87	4.63	88
067	Franklin County	46.7%	3.09	85	\$8.05	4.46	64	66.3%	6.63	79	4.73	81
069	Frederick	43.5%	2.68	98	\$9.00	6.52	9	70.2%	7.02	58	5.41	40
071	Giles	55.0%	4.17	40	\$7.75	3.80	98	57.1%	5.71	103	4.56	95
073	Gloucester	58.4%	4.61	23	\$7.95	4.24	75	53.7%	5.37	106	4.74	79
075	Goochland	45.7%	2.95	89	\$8.73	5.93	16	75.0%	7.50	30	5.46	33
077	Grayson	58.7%	4.65	20	\$7.85	4.02	89	66.7%	6.67	70	5.11	58

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	64.4%	5.39	8	\$8.49	5.41	28	76.2%	7.62	24	6.14	9
081	Greensville/Emporia	36.9%	1.82	113	\$7.68	3.65	102	47.1%	4.71	116	3.39	118
083	Halifax	36.2%	1.73	114	\$8.10	4.57	58	58.0%	5.80	100	4.03	112
085	Hanover	58.6%	4.64	21	\$8.50	5.43	26	71.6%	7.16	50	5.74	20
087	Henrico	50.7%	3.61	65	\$8.50	5.43	27	79.3%	7.93	14	5.66	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	48.9%	3.37	72	\$7.96	4.26	72	62.6%	6.26	90	4.63	89
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	4.35	68	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.17	2
093	Isle of Wight	53.1%	3.92	52	\$8.14	4.65	54	55.6%	5.56	104	4.71	82
095	James City	56.7%	4.39	31	\$8.58	5.61	22	75.0%	7.50	31	5.83	17
097	King and Queen	55.0%	4.17	41	\$7.32	2.87	118	75.0%	7.50	32	4.85	70
099	King George	35.1%	1.59	115	\$8.33	5.07	37	70.0%	7.00	60	4.55	97
101	King William	47.1%	3.15	81	\$7.92	4.17	78	78.6%	7.86	17	5.06	63
103	Lancaster	43.2%	2.63	101	\$8.04	4.43	65	78.6%	7.86	18	4.98	67
105	Lee	58.1%	4.57	24	\$7.55	3.37	112	72.8%	7.28	43	5.08	60
107	Loudoun	55.4%	4.22	36	\$10.40	9.57	2	82.8%	8.28	7	7.36	1
109	Louisa	53.0%	3.91	53	\$8.87	6.24	14	75.7%	7.57	25	5.91	13
111	Lunenburg	35.1%	1.58	116	\$7.45	3.15	115	71.4%	7.14	52	3.96	114
113	Madison	44.4%	2.80	93	\$8.37	5.15	35	87.5%	8.75	5	5.57	28
115	Mathews	60.6%	4.89	14	\$7.92	4.17	79	54.6%	5.46	105	4.84	71
117	Mecklenburg	51.9%	3.77	59	\$8.09	4.54	59	81.8%	8.18	9	5.50	32
119	Middlesex	50.9%	3.64	63	\$7.59	3.46	109	66.7%	6.67	71	4.59	92
121	Montgomery	67.4%	5.78	4	\$7.87	4.07	86	65.4%	6.54	81	5.46	34
125	Nelson	51.5%	3.71	61	\$7.99	4.33	69	63.6%	6.36	88	4.80	75
127	New Kent	29.5%	0.87	118	\$8.27	4.93	41	80.0%	8.00	12	4.60	91
131	Northampton	59.5%	4.75	18	\$8.16	4.70	48	81.8%	8.18	10	5.88	14
133	Northumberland	44.4%	2.80	94	\$7.92	4.17	80	66.7%	6.67	72	4.55	98
135	Nottoway	55.2%	4.19	39	\$7.95	4.24	76	68.9%	6.89	65	5.10	59
137	Orange	65.4%	5.52	7	\$8.98	6.48	11	78.8%	7.88	16	6.63	6
139	Page	55.2%	4.19	38	\$8.26	4.91	43	51.9%	5.19	110	4.76	76
141	Patrick	57.0%	4.42	30	\$7.38	3.00	117	68.6%	6.86	67	4.76	77
143	Pittsylvania	42.6%	2.55	102	\$7.92	4.17	81	61.0%	6.10	94	4.28	106
145	Powhatan	41.1%	2.36	105	\$9.46	7.52	6	63.6%	6.36	89	5.42	39
147	Prince Edward	45.6%	2.95	90	\$7.98	4.30	71	79.6%	7.96	13	5.07	62
149	Prince George	53.2%	3.93	51	\$8.64	5.74	18	60.9%	6.09	95	5.25	50
153	Prince William	53.8%	4.01	48	\$9.39	7.37	7	75.3%	7.53	27	6.30	7
155	Pulaski	50.0%	3.52	67	\$7.73	3.76	100	64.3%	6.43	85	4.57	94
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	0.28	119	\$9.00	6.52	10	0.0%	0.00	119	2.27	120
159	Richmond County	51.7%	3.74	60	\$7.90	4.13	84	22.2%	2.22	118	3.36	119
161	Roanoke County	60.2%	4.84	15	\$8.16	4.70	49	64.1%	6.41	86	5.32	45
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	63.8%	5.31	9	\$7.99	4.33	70	73.0%	7.30	42	5.64	26
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.3%	5.63	6	\$8.48	5.39	29	69.7%	6.97	63	6.00	12
167	Russell	48.2%	3.28	77	\$7.68	3.65	103	70.8%	7.08	54	4.67	86
169	Scott	54.6%	4.11	46	\$7.67	3.63	104	89.2%	8.92	4	5.56	29
171	Shenandoah	41.8%	2.46	104	\$8.27	4.93	42	66.7%	6.67	73	4.69	84

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	43.6%	2.69	<b>97</b>	\$7.92	4.17	<b>82</b>	72.4%	7.24	<b>46</b>	4.70	<b>83</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	37.3%	1.88	<b>112</b>	\$7.91	4.15	<b>83</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>53</b>	4.39	<b>103</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	54.9%	4.15	<b>42</b>	\$8.43	5.28	<b>33</b>	77.8%	7.78	<b>20</b>	5.74	<b>21</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	47.6%	3.21	<b>80</b>	\$8.88	6.26	<b>13</b>	86.0%	8.60	<b>6</b>	6.02	<b>10</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	62.0%	5.07	<b>11</b>	\$8.62	5.70	<b>19</b>	65.0%	6.50	<b>82</b>	5.76	<b>19</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	46.6%	3.08	<b>86</b>	\$8.15	4.67	<b>53</b>	59.1%	5.91	<b>98</b>	4.55	<b>96</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	52.2%	3.81	<b>56</b>	\$7.76	3.83	<b>97</b>	73.5%	7.35	<b>39</b>	5.00	<b>66</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.9%	3.12	<b>83</b>	\$8.84	6.17	<b>15</b>	72.0%	7.20	<b>48</b>	5.50	<b>31</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.4%	3.05	<b>87</b>	\$7.58	3.43	<b>111</b>	58.7%	5.87	<b>99</b>	4.12	<b>111</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	46.8%	3.11	<b>84</b>	\$8.07	4.50	<b>61</b>	48.3%	4.83	<b>114</b>	4.15	<b>109</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	57.1%	4.44	<b>29</b>	\$7.66	3.61	<b>105</b>	73.3%	7.33	<b>40</b>	5.13	<b>57</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	58.0%	4.56	<b>25</b>	\$7.82	3.96	<b>94</b>	60.4%	6.04	<b>96</b>	4.85	<b>69</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	53.4%	3.96	<b>49</b>	\$8.46	5.35	<b>31</b>	74.0%	7.40	<b>37</b>	5.57	<b>27</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	53.0%	3.90	<b>54</b>	\$10.08	8.87	<b>4</b>	82.1%	8.21	<b>8</b>	6.99	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.5%	3.33	<b>73</b>	\$7.83	3.98	<b>92</b>	60.4%	6.04	<b>97</b>	4.45	<b>100</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	61.4%	4.99	<b>12</b>	\$8.46	5.35	<b>32</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>74</b>	5.67	<b>23</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.6%	4.90	<b>13</b>	\$8.17	4.72	<b>47</b>	80.1%	8.01	<b>11</b>	5.87	<b>15</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	38.8%	2.06	<b>109</b>	\$7.84	4.00	<b>90</b>	53.6%	5.36	<b>107</b>	3.81	<b>116</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	37.9%	1.95	<b>111</b>	\$8.06	4.48	<b>63</b>	37.5%	3.75	<b>117</b>	3.39	<b>117</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	59.2%	4.71	<b>19</b>	\$8.48	5.39	<b>30</b>	75.0%	7.50	<b>33</b>	5.87	<b>16</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	47.7%	3.22	<b>79</b>	\$7.84	4.00	<b>91</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>75</b>	4.63	<b>90</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.9%	3.77	<b>58</b>	\$8.16	4.70	<b>50</b>	75.3%	7.53	<b>26</b>	5.33	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	39.2%	2.12	<b>108</b>	\$7.94	4.22	<b>77</b>	68.8%	6.88	<b>66</b>	4.40	<b>101</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	47.9%	3.25	<b>78</b>	\$7.89	4.11	<b>85</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>76</b>	4.67	<b>85</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	38.6%	2.04	<b>110</b>	\$9.04	6.61	<b>8</b>	74.4%	7.44	<b>34</b>	5.36	<b>42</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	57.1%	4.44	<b>28</b>	\$9.54	7.70	<b>5</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>77</b>	6.27	<b>8</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.8%	3.62	<b>64</b>	\$8.16	4.70	<b>51</b>	72.1%	7.21	<b>47</b>	5.17	<b>53</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	53.4%	3.95	<b>50</b>	\$7.86	4.04	<b>87</b>	74.4%	7.44	<b>35</b>	5.14	<b>56</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	78.0%	7.15	<b>2</b>	\$7.26	2.74	<b>119</b>	72.7%	7.27	<b>44</b>	5.72	<b>22</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	49.2%	3.41	<b>69</b>	\$8.12	4.61	<b>56</b>	70.6%	7.06	<b>57</b>	5.03	<b>64</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.4%	3.31	<b>74</b>	\$8.07	4.50	<b>62</b>	72.5%	7.25	<b>45</b>	5.02	<b>65</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.9%	4.29	<b>35</b>	\$7.96	4.26	<b>73</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>78</b>	5.07	<b>61</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	43.5%	2.68	<b>99</b>	\$8.19	4.76	<b>45</b>	68.3%	6.83	<b>68</b>	4.75	<b>78</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.2%	2.90	<b>92</b>	\$8.08	4.52	<b>60</b>	70.7%	7.07	<b>55</b>	4.83	<b>74</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.4%	4.61	<b>22</b>	\$8.04	4.43	<b>66</b>	64.4%	6.44	<b>83</b>	5.16	<b>55</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	48.3%	3.29	<b>76</b>	\$8.25	4.89	<b>44</b>	73.9%	7.39	<b>38</b>	5.19	<b>52</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	63.0%	5.20	<b>10</b>	\$8.18	4.74	<b>46</b>	70.0%	7.00	<b>61</b>	5.65	<b>25</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.3%	4.47	<b>26</b>	\$8.04	4.43	<b>67</b>	53.1%	5.31	<b>109</b>	4.74	<b>80</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.2%	1.96	117	\$842	2.93	93	57.0%	6.53	32	3.81	106
003	Albemarle	73.2%	7.69	14	\$1,001	6.17	18	54.6%	5.87	53	6.57	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.4%	7.21	21	\$827	2.63	104	44.6%	3.16	110	4.33	94
007	Amelia	59.9%	4.07	96	\$960	5.34	31	49.4%	4.47	90	4.63	83
009	Amherst	67.0%	6.00	52	\$857	3.23	88	49.6%	4.53	87	4.59	86
011	Appomattox	70.6%	6.98	24	\$859	3.28	86	44.3%	3.08	111	4.45	90
013	Arlington	72.7%	7.57	15	\$1,059	7.34	9	63.8%	8.37	7	7.76	5
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.7%	6.18	47	\$948	5.08	42	55.1%	6.00	49	5.75	34
017	Bath	65.2%	5.51	67	\$698	0.00	120	57.1%	6.57	30	4.03	102
019	Bedford County/City	65.2%	5.51	68	\$847	3.04	91	53.5%	5.58	59	4.71	79
021	Bland	61.3%	4.44	93	\$835	2.79	97	47.5%	3.96	100	3.73	108
023	Botetourt	45.0%	0.00	120	\$924	4.60	53	40.3%	2.00	117	2.20	118
025	Brunswick	56.5%	3.14	110	\$815	2.38	110	56.6%	6.42	39	3.98	103
027	Buchanan	52.6%	2.07	116	\$832	2.73	101	53.9%	5.67	56	3.49	112
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.18	109	\$935	4.83	48	52.0%	5.17	70	4.40	92
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.39	42	\$889	3.88	74	58.6%	6.96	23	5.74	35
033	Caroline	62.1%	4.67	90	\$956	5.26	35	44.2%	3.05	114	4.33	96
035	Carroll	67.3%	6.09	49	\$819	2.47	107	46.1%	3.57	107	4.04	101
036	Charles City	54.5%	2.58	113	\$919	4.49	58	47.8%	4.04	97	3.70	109
037	Charlotte	51.3%	1.73	118	\$925	4.62	52	47.2%	3.88	102	3.41	113
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.4%	5.30	72	\$1,014	6.42	16	60.3%	7.43	15	6.38	21
043	Clarke	60.9%	4.33	94	\$980	5.73	26	48.3%	4.18	92	4.75	76
045	Craig	52.6%	2.08	115	\$1,028	6.71	12	61.1%	7.64	13	5.48	45
047	Culpeper	65.4%	5.58	65	\$952	5.17	38	55.6%	6.15	47	5.63	40
049	Cumberland	56.5%	3.13	111	\$949	5.10	41	46.6%	3.71	105	3.98	104
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.10	95	\$819	2.46	108	48.0%	4.10	94	3.55	110
053	Dinwiddie	66.4%	5.84	57	\$952	5.16	39	51.4%	5.00	76	5.33	51
057	Essex	69.0%	6.55	37	\$858	3.26	87	41.8%	2.41	116	4.07	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.19	46	\$1,137	8.92	3	61.3%	7.68	11	7.60	6
061	Fauquier	68.0%	6.27	44	\$1,011	6.36	17	61.7%	7.79	9	6.81	12
063	Floyd	68.9%	6.52	38	\$924	4.59	54	44.2%	3.07	113	4.73	78
065	Fluvanna	70.1%	6.86	32	\$1,069	7.54	7	56.3%	6.35	41	6.92	8
067	Franklin County	62.8%	4.87	84	\$939	4.90	46	50.1%	4.65	83	4.81	71
069	Frederick	58.1%	3.57	105	\$997	6.09	20	51.5%	5.05	74	4.90	65
071	Giles	59.2%	3.87	100	\$949	5.12	40	47.2%	3.87	103	4.29	98
073	Gloucester	66.7%	5.92	54	\$841	2.92	94	53.1%	5.48	63	4.77	74
075	Goochland	64.3%	5.27	75	\$895	4.01	71	52.9%	5.41	66	4.90	66
077	Grayson	66.3%	5.80	58	\$839	2.88	96	54.8%	5.93	52	4.87	68

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.5%	8.60	4	\$915	4.41	60	50.9%	4.88	79	5.97	29
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.5%	3.41	106	\$809	2.26	111	53.5%	5.57	61	3.75	107
083	Halifax	57.3%	3.35	107	\$833	2.76	99	47.8%	4.05	96	3.39	114
085	Hanover	65.5%	5.58	64	\$1,046	7.07	10	61.9%	7.85	8	6.83	11
087	Henrico	67.0%	6.01	51	\$1,016	6.47	14	56.9%	6.50	34	6.33	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.09	81	\$935	4.83	47	53.7%	5.63	57	5.18	57
091	Highland	75.0%	8.18	7	\$784	1.75	116	60.0%	7.34	18	5.76	33
093	Isle of Wight	70.1%	6.86	31	\$906	4.24	66	53.9%	5.70	55	5.60	41
095	James City	69.9%	6.80	34	\$899	4.09	68	51.4%	5.01	75	5.30	52
097	King and Queen	53.6%	2.34	114	\$871	3.53	80	32.9%	0.00	120	1.95	120
099	King George	63.3%	4.99	82	\$916	4.44	59	48.0%	4.09	95	4.51	87
101	King William	59.4%	3.93	98	\$964	5.42	29	47.7%	4.02	99	4.45	88
103	Lancaster	65.8%	5.67	62	\$841	2.91	95	49.2%	4.40	91	4.33	95
105	Lee	65.3%	5.54	66	\$777	1.62	117	60.2%	7.40	17	4.85	69
107	Loudoun	77.6%	8.89	3	\$1,180	9.81	2	65.2%	8.76	3	9.15	1
109	Louisa	61.8%	4.59	92	\$974	5.63	27	53.4%	5.56	62	5.26	54
111	Lunenburg	46.9%	0.53	119	\$741	0.89	118	51.1%	4.93	78	2.11	119
113	Madison	64.5%	5.33	71	\$990	5.95	22	47.3%	3.91	101	5.06	61
115	Mathews	62.5%	4.77	86	\$879	3.68	76	53.6%	5.61	58	4.69	82
117	Mecklenburg	66.2%	5.77	60	\$834	2.77	98	57.3%	6.62	29	5.05	62
119	Middlesex	66.1%	5.75	61	\$896	4.02	70	56.9%	6.50	33	5.42	48
121	Montgomery	79.7%	9.46	2	\$929	4.70	50	53.5%	5.58	60	6.58	15
125	Nelson	64.0%	5.19	78	\$996	6.07	21	50.0%	4.63	84	5.30	53
127	New Kent	59.3%	3.89	99	\$1,014	6.43	15	52.1%	5.20	69	5.17	59
131	Northampton	64.1%	5.22	76	\$833	2.75	100	66.5%	9.10	2	5.69	37
133	Northumberland	58.3%	3.62	103	\$849	3.08	90	39.4%	1.75	118	2.82	117
135	Nottoway	67.8%	6.21	45	\$889	3.90	73	55.7%	6.19	46	5.43	47
137	Orange	72.6%	7.52	18	\$961	5.35	30	43.6%	2.89	115	5.25	56
139	Page	70.0%	6.82	33	\$986	5.86	24	54.4%	5.83	54	6.17	26
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.05	50	\$816	2.40	109	64.2%	8.49	5	5.64	39
143	Pittsylvania	55.0%	2.73	112	\$860	3.30	85	50.0%	4.63	85	3.55	111
145	Powhatan	57.0%	3.28	108	\$968	5.50	28	51.7%	5.08	72	4.62	84
147	Prince Edward	69.8%	6.76	35	\$873	3.57	79	59.3%	7.15	21	5.82	30
149	Prince George	62.1%	4.68	89	\$990	5.94	23	49.7%	4.54	86	5.05	63
153	Prince William	72.6%	7.54	17	\$1,190	10.00	1	61.6%	7.77	10	8.43	2
155	Pulaski	64.3%	5.27	74	\$931	4.74	49	44.2%	3.07	112	4.36	93
157	Rappahannock	63.9%	5.16	80	\$954	5.22	36	47.1%	3.83	104	4.74	77
159	Richmond County	62.2%	4.70	87	\$927	4.67	51	33.7%	0.21	119	3.19	115
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	7.94	9	\$1,030	6.75	11	52.6%	5.33	67	6.67	13
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.2%	7.69	13	\$862	3.33	83	54.9%	5.96	50	5.66	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.7%	7.83	10	\$958	5.30	33	57.7%	6.71	28	6.61	14
167	Russell	61.9%	4.61	91	\$847	3.04	92	56.8%	6.47	36	4.70	81
169	Scott	58.6%	3.71	102	\$876	3.62	78	65.2%	8.76	4	5.36	50
171	Shenandoah	62.2%	4.68	88	\$912	4.36	62	51.7%	5.08	73	4.71	80

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 5 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.1%	3.85	<b>101</b>	\$828	2.64	<b>103</b>	52.1%	5.21	<b>68</b>	3.90	<b>105</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.1%	3.58	<b>104</b>	\$823	2.55	<b>105</b>	45.5%	3.40	<b>109</b>	3.18	<b>116</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.7%	6.47	<b>40</b>	\$1,026	6.67	<b>13</b>	56.3%	6.33	<b>42</b>	6.49	<b>17</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.5%	3.96	<b>97</b>	\$1,117	8.51	<b>4</b>	58.5%	6.92	<b>24</b>	6.46	<b>18</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.3%	7.18	<b>22</b>	\$871	3.52	<b>81</b>	46.6%	3.70	<b>106</b>	4.80	<b>72</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.4%	5.84	<b>56</b>	\$946	5.05	<b>44</b>	57.0%	6.53	<b>31</b>	5.81	<b>31</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.4%	6.10	<b>48</b>	\$801	2.09	<b>114</b>	56.6%	6.43	<b>38</b>	4.87	<b>67</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.6%	6.44	<b>41</b>	\$998	6.11	<b>19</b>	54.9%	5.95	<b>51</b>	6.17	<b>27</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.9%	5.43	<b>70</b>	\$883	3.77	<b>75</b>	47.8%	4.04	<b>98</b>	4.41	<b>91</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.1%	5.20	<b>77</b>	\$914	4.40	<b>61</b>	50.3%	4.71	<b>82</b>	4.77	<b>75</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	64.0%	5.17	<b>79</b>	\$805	2.18	<b>112</b>	56.9%	6.49	<b>35</b>	4.61	<b>85</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.5%	5.86	<b>55</b>	\$829	2.67	<b>102</b>	55.9%	6.24	<b>45</b>	4.92	<b>64</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.0%	4.92	<b>83</b>	\$890	3.90	<b>72</b>	48.2%	4.14	<b>93</b>	4.32	<b>97</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.8%	7.03	<b>23</b>	\$1,063	7.43	<b>8</b>	69.8%	10.00	<b>1</b>	8.15	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	69.1%	6.57	<b>36</b>	\$861	3.32	<b>84</b>	49.4%	4.47	<b>89</b>	4.79	<b>73</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.7%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$920	4.52	<b>57</b>	56.0%	6.27	<b>44</b>	6.93	<b>7</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.4%	7.75	<b>12</b>	\$922	4.57	<b>55</b>	56.5%	6.39	<b>40</b>	6.23	<b>24</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	65.1%	5.49	<b>69</b>	\$797	2.02	<b>115</b>	51.7%	5.10	<b>71</b>	4.20	<b>99</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.2%	5.79	<b>59</b>	\$803	2.14	<b>113</b>	52.9%	5.43	<b>65</b>	4.45	<b>89</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	76.2%	8.51	<b>5</b>	\$981	5.77	<b>25</b>	56.3%	6.33	<b>43</b>	6.87	<b>9</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.8%	4.85	<b>85</b>	\$879	3.68	<b>77</b>	58.7%	6.98	<b>22</b>	5.17	<b>58</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.3%	6.89	<b>29</b>	\$907	4.26	<b>64</b>	52.9%	5.43	<b>64</b>	5.53	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.3%	6.34	<b>43</b>	\$911	4.34	<b>63</b>	50.3%	4.72	<b>81</b>	5.13	<b>60</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.4%	6.94	<b>27</b>	\$823	2.54	<b>106</b>	61.2%	7.67	<b>12</b>	5.72	<b>36</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.4%	5.28	<b>73</b>	\$1,090	7.98	<b>5</b>	59.6%	7.24	<b>19</b>	6.83	<b>10</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.5%	7.77	<b>11</b>	\$1,075	7.67	<b>6</b>	64.2%	8.47	<b>6</b>	7.97	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.8%	7.30	<b>20</b>	\$921	4.55	<b>56</b>	58.3%	6.88	<b>25</b>	6.24	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.5%	6.95	<b>25</b>	\$856	3.23	<b>89</b>	55.5%	6.13	<b>48</b>	5.44	<b>46</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.7%	8.38	<b>6</b>	\$738	0.82	<b>119</b>	60.3%	7.41	<b>16</b>	5.54	<b>42</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.4%	6.92	<b>28</b>	\$945	5.02	<b>45</b>	57.8%	6.75	<b>26</b>	6.23	<b>25</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.7%	7.54	<b>16</b>	\$898	4.06	<b>69</b>	60.9%	7.58	<b>14</b>	6.40	<b>20</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.5%	6.95	<b>26</b>	\$900	4.11	<b>67</b>	45.5%	3.41	<b>108</b>	4.82	<b>70</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.3%	6.89	<b>30</b>	\$953	5.20	<b>37</b>	59.6%	7.24	<b>20</b>	6.44	<b>19</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.8%	5.66	<b>63</b>	\$960	5.32	<b>32</b>	50.5%	4.78	<b>80</b>	5.25	<b>55</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.4%	8.02	<b>8</b>	\$866	3.42	<b>82</b>	57.8%	6.75	<b>27</b>	6.06	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.7%	5.93	<b>53</b>	\$947	5.06	<b>43</b>	56.7%	6.43	<b>37</b>	5.81	<b>32</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	71.9%	7.33	<b>19</b>	\$907	4.25	<b>65</b>	51.1%	4.93	<b>77</b>	5.50	<b>44</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.50	<b>39</b>	\$957	5.28	<b>34</b>	49.5%	4.49	<b>88</b>	5.42	<b>49</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	24,960	1,110	1,017	2,220	813	441	2,550	284	570	971	1,100	887	3,536	934	355	2,667	823	4,470	212
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	95%	95%	96%	94%	89%	85%	88%	95%	92%	95%	95%	91%	77%	94%	95%	87%	91%	87%
% Timely in June 2011	93%	96%	93%	97%	90%	96%	92%	95%	89%	88%	99%	96%	92%	70%	96%	95%	91%	94%	92%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	18,686	840	774	1,625	649	326	1,901	200	448	703	883	680	2,669	702	255	1,925	605	3,323	178
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	97%	96%	97%	90%	95%	94%	98%	95%	98%	98%	93%	90%	95%	90%	94%	91%	96%
Percent in June 2011	94%	100%	99%	97%	95%	80%	96%	95%	100%	95%	100%	100%	94%	83%	95%	91%	96%	94%	88%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	89	1	0	2	1	2	8	2	4	1	1	0	15	32	1	7	8	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	14	0	14	6	150	25	20	9	18	42	0	62	38	14	13	57	16	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1110	61	65	196	156	127	198	280	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	93%	97%	99%	97%	95%	92%	92%	81%
% Timely in June 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	91%	96%	100%
<b>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	840	45	45	151	120	108	141	205	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	98%	98%	99%	96%	100%	97%	97%	84%
Percent in June 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1017	13	80	32	213	168	112	326	73
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	98%	100%	96%	95%	93%	99%	74%
% Timely in June 2011	93%	N/A	100%	100%	93%	90%	93%	96%	75%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	774	12	64	25	170	140	84	223	56
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	100%	98%	96%	99%	99%	98%	97%	84%
Percent in June 2011	99%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2220	82	16	12	37	238	47	354	179	218	61	976
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	96%	89%	75%	92%	97%	98%	98%	96%	100%	99%	69%	95%
% Timely in June 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	88%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1625	56	10	7	26	161	39	266	128	161	44	727
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	96%	96%	70%	100%	100%	91%	97%	99%	98%	96%	98%	97%
Percent in June 2011	97%	100%	67%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	813	471	6	1	61	274
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	100%	83%	100%	82%	88%
% Timely in June 2011	90%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	69%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	649	372	5	1	44	227
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	97%	98%	100%	100%	77%	99%
Percent in June 2011	95%	97%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	6	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	441	12	95	79	38	107	110	212	134	78
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	89%	92%	67%	96%	87%	94%	96%	87%	87%	87%
% Timely in June 2011	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	92%	91%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	326	10	80	64	26	71	75	178	109	69
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	84%	100%	92%	89%	85%	96%	97%	94%
Percent in June 2011	80%	100%	86%	100%	100%	58%	78%	88%	100%	75%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	150	0	150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,550	185	868	191	874	296	123	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	85%	99%	88%	88%	75%	99%	82%	77%
% Timely in June 2011	92%	100%	97%	100%	83%	100%	100%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1901	152	633	143	646	221	95	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	95%	96%	92%	96%	95%	100%
Percent in June 2011	96%	100%	94%	100%	93%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>								
# Over 30 Days	8	0	3	0	4	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	25	0	27	0	0	19

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	284	113	98	21	50	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	88%	79%	97%	100%	90%	50%
% Timely in June 2011	95%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	200	78	65	18	38	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	91%	98%	100%	89%	100%
Percent in June 2011	95%	90%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	0	36	4

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	570	93	33	36	103	23	282
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	94%	53%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in June 2011	89%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	448	70	29	30	73	18	228
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	96%	97%	83%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in June 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	9	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	971	71	59	196	214	431
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	92%	100%	98%	98%	99%	84%
% Timely in June 2011	88%	100%	100%	100%	92%	78%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	703	54	46	141	157	305
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	96%	98%	94%	98%	94%
Percent in June 2011	95%	88%	88%	100%	85%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	0	0	0	18

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	1100	183	359	115	144	299
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	94%	89%	99%	100%	99%
% Timely in June 2011	99%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	883	145	302	81	114	241
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	99%	98%	91%	100%	100%
Percent in June 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	42	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	887	54	100	60	80	54	105	51	138	107	138
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	98%	94%	92%	96%	100%	89%	75%	99%	98%	99%
% Timely in June 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	88%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	680	45	78	49	63	41	80	34	107	73	110
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	98%	98%	99%	98%	97%	100%	98%	100%	100%	86%	100%
Percent in June 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	3,536	715	29	108	988	45	1,651
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	89%	86%	94%	83%	98%	96%
% Timely in June 2011	92%	90%	100%	89%	85%	100%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	2669	549	23	88	737	24	1,248
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	93%	94%	91%	95%	92%	92%	94%
Percent in June 2011	94%	98%	N/A	100%	89%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	15	3	1	0	11	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	62	89	50	0	56	0	0

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	934	139	48	322	214	211
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	77%	59%	100%	60%	92%	96%
% Timely in June 2011	70%	8%	100%	58%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	702	96	33	254	173	146
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	89%	94%	86%	91%	96%
Percent in June 2011	83%	25%	100%	83%	90%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	32	26	0	5	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	39	0	39	11	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	355	78	18	41	35	14	31	30	28	80
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	94%	97%	78%	95%	97%	93%	94%	100%	100%	88%
% Timely in June 2011	96%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	255	58	14	34	25	13	20	23	23	45
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%	100%	100%	80%
Percent in June 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	2,667	27	88	116	32	125	887	1365	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	95%	89%	92%	97%	59%	98%	100%	94%	67%
% Timely in June 2011	95%	100%	100%	88%	0%	100%	100%	96%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	1925	21	65	94	25	99	640	964	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	90%	100%	98%	93%	88%	91%	96%	86%	82%
Percent in June 2011	91%	N/A	100%	88%	100%	92%	97%	85%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	18	0	0	6	7

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	823	65	51	42	63	172	430
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	87%	98%	100%	98%	100%	58%	93%
% Timely in June 2011	91%	100%	100%	80%	100%	50%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	605	53	31	27	50	133	311
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	94%	96%	90%	93%	100%	90%	95%
Percent in June 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	1	0	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	57	0	0	22	0	62	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2010	4,470	88	92	776	83	1434	849	289	859
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2010	91%	91%	82%	90%	100%	85%	96%	98%	93%
% Timely in June 2011	94%	100%	100%	95%	100%	87%	97%	95%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2010	3323	72	71	614	75	1047	604	230	610
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2010	91%	96%	96%	95%	100%	83%	93%	97%	95%
Percent in June 2011	94%	100%	100%	98%	100%	88%	97%	100%	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	18

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Jun-10</i>	<i>Jun-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,031	15,274	1.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	130,930	132,109	0.9%
Total Cases Collected	145,961	147,383	1.0%
TANF Collections	\$3,359,091	\$3,565,218	6.1%
NTANF Collections	\$52,292,636	\$52,340,822	0.1%
Total Collections	\$55,651,727	\$55,906,040	0.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$632,035,386	\$646,678,529	2.3%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$369	\$374	1.3%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Jun-11</i>
TANF Paternities	242
NTANF Paternities	425
Combined Paternities	667

## *June Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Montgomery	2
Alleghany / Covington	1		Nelson	1
Amherst	1		Nottoway	2
Appomattox	1		Orange	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	5		Patrick	3
Bedford City	1		Pittsylvania	3
Brunswick	1		Pulaski	2
Buckingham	5		Roanoke County	5
Campbell	2		Rockingham / H'burg	12
Caroline	2		Shenandoah	10
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	12		Spotsylvania	4
Clarke	1		Stafford	10
Culpeper	1		Tazewell	1
Cumberland	4		Warren	6
Dickenson	1		York / Poquoson	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Bristol	6
Franklin County	6		Charlottesville	7
Frederick	3		Chesapeake	5
Gloucester	2		Danville	1
Goochland	1		Fredericksburg	2
Grayson	2		Galax	1
Greene	9		Hampton	12
Henrico	8		Hopewell	4
Henry / Martinsville	5		Newport News	24
Isle of Wight	1		Norfolk	21
King and Queen	1		Petersburg	4
Loudoun	2		Portsmouth	1
Louisa	1		Richmond City	5
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	13
Madison	2		Suffolk	13
Middlesex	1		Virginia Beach	4
			Statewide	280

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup>Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of July 1, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through June 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now on decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through June 2011



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


June 30, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of July and represent end of June data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June it was \$8.30 rather than the \$8.21 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent apparent reversal of that trend is presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.